

Leading-Twist Flavor Singlet Quark TMDs at Small- x

Daniel Adamiak*

Jefferson Lab, Newport News, VA 23606, USA

M. Gabriel Santiago[†]

*Department of Physics, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA 23606, USA
Center for Nuclear Femtography, SURA, 1201 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005, USA*

Yossathorn Tawabutr[‡]

*Department of Physics, University of Jyväskylä, P.O. Box 35, 40014 University of Jyväskylä, Finland and
Helsinki Institute of Physics, P.O. Box 64, 00014 University of Helsinki, Finland*

We study the small- x asymptotics of the flavor singlet, leading-twist quark Transverse Momentum Dependent parton distribution functions (TMDs). We apply the recently developed Light-Cone Operator Treatment to rewrite the TMD operator definitions at small x in terms of polarized dipole amplitudes, present the small- x evolution equations and their solutions in the large- N_c , linearized, Double-Logarithmic Approximation (DLA), where N_c is the number of quark colors. Previously, this approach was used to determine the small- x asymptotics of the unpolarized and helicity TMDs. In this work we obtain the small- x asymptotics of the Sivers function, helicity worm-gear, transversity, pretzelocity, Boer-Mulders and transversity worm-gear TMDs, thus completing the set of the asymptotic small- x behavior of all the leading-twist flavor-singlet quark TMDs.

Introduction

A major open problem in nuclear physics is to quantitatively describe the three dimensional structure of hadrons in terms of their quark and gluon degrees of freedom. One avenue with which to address this problem is Transverse Momentum Dependent Parton Distribution Functions (TMDs). These are number densities of quarks and gluons within a parent hadron, where the partons have a given fraction of the parent hadron's longitudinal momentum x and a transverse momentum k_T . While the scale evolution of TMDs is known and given by the Collins-Soper-Sterman equations [3–7], the evolution to small values of x has been an ongoing effort [2, 8–14], and the result of this work is to extend this effort to the complete set of leading-twist quark TMDs. The kinematic region of small- x corresponds to high center of mass energy scattering, and is dominated by the sea quark and gluon distributions of the interacting hadrons. Thus, if one can obtain the small- x evolution of TMDs, one can study the three dimensional momentum structure of hadrons at high energy.

The TMDs are of great interest. They can be extracted from various spin-asymmetry observables in semi-inclusive deep inelastic scattering (SIDIS). These observables may be computed starting from some intermediate x , in which small- x effects start to play a dominating role, down to very small- x where nonlinear gluon recombination effects become important. Indeed such calculations have already been performed for the quark and gluon helicity TMDs [15]. While the data for most of these observables remain sparse at small x , the future Electron-Ion Collider (EIC) will probe this kinematic region [16–24]. Thus, knowing the small- x evolution of the TMDs will provide crucial input for high-precision anal-

ysis of future data.

Our results may also complement the global fitting performed in the TMD factorization framework. For TMD collinear-factorization based global analysis, the small- x behavior of the TMDs has to be parameterized, usually as x^α , where α is a fit parameter that governs the small- x behavior. This α parameter, also referred to as the small- x intercept, is a quantity that can be *predicted* using the small- x formalism. Our intercept can then be compared to the extracted value of TMD-factorization fits to determine if the data needs a small- x informed description, or alternatively used to reduce the number of parameters in the fit. Indeed the main result we present in this letter is the collection small- x intercepts of the flavor singlet (quark plus anti-quark) TMDs in Table I.

Our prediction for the intercept may also be used as a prior in TMD factorization fits in which there are not enough data to determine the small- x behavior, but one nonetheless wants to model the TMD at all x in a reasonable way. This was done for quark transversity in [25, 26]. In that work, the authors incorporated Lattice QCD computations of the nucleon tensor charges into their global analysis. These tensor charges are moments of the transversity TMD. As such, the authors needed a description of the transversity TMD that is valid at all x . They used the value of the non-singlet quark transversity x -intercept as a prior for their singlet quark transversity x -intercept in order to be able to extrapolate down to $x = 0$, such that the integral of the transversity TMD over all x could be computed. In this letter, we directly derive the small- x intercept for the quark singlet TMD and validate the choice of these authors.

This procedure is not unique to transversity. While there might not be an equivalent of the tensor charge

Leading Twist Quark TMDs			
	Quark Polarization		
	U	L	T
Nucleon Polarization	U	$f_1^S \sim x^{-\frac{4\alpha_s N_c}{\pi} \ln(2)}$	$h_1^{\perp S} \sim x$
	L	$g_1^S \sim x^{-3.66\sqrt{\alpha_s N_c}/2\pi}$	$h_{1L}^{\perp S} \sim x$
	T	$f_{1T}^{\perp S} \sim x^{-2.9\sqrt{\alpha_s N_c}/4\pi}$	$g_{1T}^S \sim x^{-2.9\sqrt{\alpha_s N_c}/4\pi}$

TABLE I: The collected leading small- x asymptotics for the leading-twist flavor singlet quark TMDs. The intercept of the unpolarized quark TMD f_1 is given by the solution for eikonal BFKL evolution [1] and the intercept for the helicity TMD g_1 was calculated using the LCOT in [2]. The remaining six intercepts are calculated using the LCOT in this work. We note that we are only tabulating the leading power scaling in x , while some of these TMDs also have oscillatory behavior in x . In these cases, the oscillations are over very large intervals in $\ln(1/x)$, so the behavior in the asymptotic limit $x \rightarrow 0$ is dominated by the power law scaling.

that is of interest, the consistency of the various theoretical approaches can be compared for each TMD. Lattice moments of all the TMDs can be computed in principle [27] and then compared to all- x constructions of the TMDs. An all- x construction of the TMDs necessitates determining the correct small- x behavior.

The construction of small- x evolution equations for the TMDs [2, 12–14] is based on the extension [8–11] of the dipole picture [28, 29] to include polarization dependence. Hence, the formalism relies heavily on strong ordering between the lifetime of a quark-anti-quark dipole created from the virtual photon in a deep inelastic scattering (DIS) process and the timescale of the dipole-target interaction [8, 28–30], which is the central assumption of the dipole model that has resulted in several notable predictions in the unpolarized sector, including gluon saturation and the CGC framework [31, 32]. As a result, the TMD’s small- x behaviors predicted here and those obtained from experimental measurements would serve as a test not only of our derivation of small- x evolution for the TMDs, but potentially of the CGC framework as a whole.

In this work, we will extend the CGC as in [10], with a framework we refer to as the Light Cone Operator Treatment (LCOT). This consists of taking the operator definition for a parton distribution and simplifying it in the small- x limit. The leading contribution to the TMDs can be shown to come from a correlator of two Wilson lines which encodes the high energy scattering between a pair of partons in a color dipole and the parent hadron for the parton distribution. One includes energy suppressed (sub-eikonal) operators in the Wilson lines which communicate spin information between the color dipole and the parent hadron, allowing for the construction of “polarized dipole amplitudes” which ultimately determine the TMDs. Such energy suppressed corrections have been the focus of intense study recently [2, 8–13, 33–73], and have been applied both for access to spin physics in the small- x regime and for high-precision calculations of unpolarized observables. Here, we will derive the small-

x expressions for the leading-twist quark TMDs in the massless-quark limit. We will then derive and solve evolution equations of the polarized dipole amplitudes in the large- N_c linearized Double Logarithmic Approximation (DLA) to ultimately obtain the small- x asymptotic scaling of the TMDs.

Throughout this work, we make use of light-cone coordinates $u = (u^+ = x^0 + x^3, u^- = u^0 - u^3, \underline{u})$, labeling the transverse part of a four-vector u as \underline{u} except in the case of an integral measure, where it will be denoted as u_\perp , and in the case of the quark transverse momentum argument of a TMD, where we will use the conventional label k_T . We will also label the quantization axis for the transverse spin of the quarks as \underline{S} and write the center of mass energy squared for the scattering of a color dipole on a hadron target as s .

Sivers function and helicity worm-gear In order to study the TMDs at small- x , we begin with their operator definitions. For the Sivers function, the linear combination of the unpolarized quark TMD, f_1 , and the Sivers function, f_{1T}^\perp , is [74]

$$f_1^q(x, k_\perp^2) - \frac{k \times \underline{S}_P}{M_P} f_{1T}^{\perp q}(x, k_\perp^2) = \int \frac{dr^- d^2 r_\perp}{2(2\pi)^3} e^{ik \cdot r} \quad (1)$$

$$\times \langle P, S_P | \bar{\psi}(0) \mathcal{U}[0, r] \frac{\gamma^+}{2} \psi(r) | P, S \rangle,$$

where x is the longitudinal momentum fraction carried by the quark, k_T is the quark’s transverse momentum and $|P, S_P\rangle$ labels a hadron state of momentum, P , and polarization, S_P , in the transverse direction. We take the gauge link, $\mathcal{U}[0, r]$, to be that of Semi-Inclusive Deep Inelastic Scattering (SIDIS) for a hadron moving with a large ‘+’ momentum component,

$$\mathcal{U}[0, r] = V_{\underline{x}}[\infty, r] V_{\underline{0}}[0, \infty], \quad (2)$$

with $V_{\underline{x}}[f, i]$ being a fundamental-representation Wilson line running along the light cone at transverse position \underline{x} in the ‘-’ direction from i^- to f^- . We avoid singular gauges such as the light cone gauge of the target, $A^+ = 0$,

and thus neglect the transverse Wilson line at infinity in the staple gauge link. One can perform several algebraic manipulations (cf. [10, 11]) to rewrite Eq. (2) as a small- x quasi-classical average over the ‘target’ proton state [55, 75–80] in terms of impact-parameter-integrated polarized dipole amplitudes. Specifically, taking the flavor singlet ($q^S(x, k_T^2) = q(x, k_T^2) + \bar{q}(x, k_T^2)$) projection of the Siverson function, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{\underline{k} \times \underline{S}_P}{M_P} f_{1T}^{\perp S}(x, k_{\perp}^2) \Big|_{\text{sub-eik.}} = \frac{16N_c}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^2x_{10} \quad (3) \\
& \times \int \frac{d^2k_{1\perp}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{e^{i(\underline{k}+\underline{k}_1)\cdot\underline{x}_{10}}}{k_{1\perp}^2 k_{\perp}^2} \int \frac{dz}{z} \{(\underline{k}_1 \cdot \underline{k})(\underline{k} - \underline{k}_1)^i \\
& \times \left[\epsilon^{ij} \underline{S}_P^j x_{10}^2 F_A^S(x_{10}^2, z) + \underline{x}_{10}^i (\underline{x}_{10} \times \underline{S}_P) F_B^S(x_{10}^2, z) \right. \\
& \left. + \epsilon^{ij} \underline{x}_{10}^j (\underline{x}_{10} \cdot \underline{S}_P) F_C^S(x_{10}^2, z) \right] \\
& + i(\underline{k}_1 \cdot \underline{k})(\underline{x}_{10} \times \underline{S}_P) F^{S[2]}(x_{10}^2, z) \\
& \left. - i(\underline{k} \times \underline{k}_1)(\underline{x}_{10} \cdot \underline{S}_P) F_{\text{mag}}^S(x_{10}^2, z) \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where we introduced five impact-parameter-integrated polarized dipole amplitudes – F_A^S , F_B^S , F_C^S , $F^{S[2]}$ and F_{mag}^S . These amplitudes are functions of the transverse dipole size x_{10}^2 and the fraction of the dipole’s total longitudinal momentum carried by the quark z , and they encode different interactions between the dipole and the target which communicate information on the transverse spin of the target hadron. For example, the F_A^S , F_B^S , F_C^S , and $F^{S[2]}$ dipole amplitudes arise from the sub-eikonal correction to the covariant phase acquired by a quark as it freely propagates through its parent hadron. This can be seen by expanding the ordinary free Feynman propagator in terms of the center of mass energy of the quark and its parent hadron, then keeping the first two terms. On the other hand, the F_{mag}^S dipole amplitude comes from inserting the off diagonal transverse components of the gauge field strength tensor F^{12} in the Wilson line along the quark’s path. This is thus an interaction between the quark’s color magnetic moment and the chromomagnetic background field of the parent hadron. The same operators which enter these dipole amplitudes also enter into the helicity TMD [2], with the F^{12} operator giving an expected chromomagnetic interaction to generate helicity dependence and mixing with dipole amplitudes containing the covariant phase operators.

Next we turn to the worm-gear g_{1T} , finding an expression for g_{1T} containing polarized dipole amplitudes which are almost identical to those entering the flavor singlet Siverson function in Eq. (3) (see the Supplemental Material and cf. Section II of [14]). The minor differences do not alter the evolution equations, resulting in the same scaling behavior with x for both the flavor singlet Siverson function, $f_{1T}^{\perp S}$, and the flavor singlet worm-gear T function, g_{1T} . Thus we will only need to derive and solve evolution equations for the dipoles entering the sub-eikonal

Siverson function in order to obtain the asymptotics of both TMDs.

In order to study the small- x evolution of the Siverson function, we must construct the small- x evolution equations for each of the five polarized dipole amplitudes and plug the evolved results back into Eq. (3). The evolution equations are presented in the supplementary material. One can show that $F^{S[2]}$ has trivial initial condition, $F^{S[2](0)} = 0$, and only couples to itself under evolution, thus its contribution is identically zero. The remaining four amplitudes – F_A^S , F_B^S , F_C^S , and F_{mag}^S – all enter into a system of coupled evolution equations, very similar to that found in the study of the large- N_c evolution for the flavor non-singlet Siverson function [13] and the flavor singlet helicity TMD [2, 15]. Numerically solving these equations yields an exponentially growing, oscillating solution for F_A^S , F_B^S , and F_{mag}^S , while F_C^S is given entirely by its initial condition. The oscillating dipole amplitudes have the form

$$F^S(z) = e^{\alpha \ln(zs/\Lambda^2)} \cos\left(\omega \ln\left(\frac{zs}{\Lambda^2}\right) + \phi\right), \quad (4)$$

for a fixed x_{10}^2 . Oscillations have appeared before in the solution to large N_c & N_f evolution of the helicity TMD at $N_f = 6$ [15]. We extract the values of the parameters α , ω , and ϕ using the same method, recording the results in Table 1 in the supplemental material.

In the asymptotic limit, the dipole amplitude with the largest value of α dominates, hence we conclude to two significant figures that

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{1T}^{\perp S}(x \ll 1, k_{\perp}^2) & \sim g_{1T}^S(x \ll 1, k_{\perp}^2) \quad (5) \\
& \sim \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{2.9\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi}}} \times (\text{Oscillating term}).
\end{aligned}$$

The flavor singlet Siverson function grows slower than the non-singlet, whose intercept for the sub-eikonal contribution is $3.4\sqrt{\alpha_s N_c}/4\pi$. This is expected based on the naïve time-reversal odd (T-odd) parity of the Siverson function, which leads to the charge conjugation odd (C-odd) contribution at eikonal order from the spin-dependent odderon in the flavor non-singlet sector [39, 42, 51] and a vanishing eikonal flavor singlet contribution. In contrast, the flavor non-singlet g_{1T} is significantly suppressed compared to the flavor singlet sector studied here, being almost constant in x and in agreement with usual expectations for small- x physics. Indeed, the flavor singlet sector turns out to be dominant over the flavor non-singlet sector for all of the leading-twist T-even TMDs. The dominance of the flavor singlet contribution, as seen in the T-even TMDs, follows from the fact that the evolution can be driven by flavor blind gluon exchanges which contribute to the flavor singlet sector, while the T-odd TMDs (the Siverson function and the Boer-Mulders function) will be dominated by C-odd exchanges which can distinguish quark from anti-quark, thus contributing to the flavor non-singlet sector.

Transversity and pretzelosity Let us now turn to the chiral-odd TMDs. We begin with the transversity TMD, h_{1T} , where we find the following expression in terms of flavor singlet polarized dipole amplitudes [11, 14]

$$h_{1T}^S(x, k_\perp^2) + \frac{k_x^2}{M_P^2} h_{1T}^{\perp S}(x, k_\perp^2) \Big|_{\text{sub-sub-eik}} = \frac{xN_c}{\pi^3} \quad (6)$$

$$\times \int d^2x_{10} \frac{d^2k_{1\perp}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{e^{i(\underline{k}_1 + \underline{k}) \cdot \underline{x}_{10}}}{k_{1\perp}^2 k_\perp^2} \left(\frac{1}{k_{1\perp}^2} + \frac{1}{k_\perp^2} \right) \int_{\frac{\Lambda^2}{s}}^1 \frac{dz}{z}$$

$$\times \left\{ \left[2(\underline{S} \cdot \underline{k}_1)(\underline{S} \cdot \underline{k}) - (\underline{k}_1 \cdot \underline{k}) \right] H^{1T}(x_{10}^2, z) \right. \\ \left. - \left[(\underline{S} \times \underline{k}_1)(\underline{S} \cdot \underline{k}) + (\underline{S} \cdot \underline{k}_1)(\underline{S} \times \underline{k}) \right] H^{2T}(x_{10}^2, z) \right\},$$

where we have introduced two impact-parameter integrated dipole amplitudes, H^{1T} and H^{2T} . These two dipole amplitudes contain operators corresponding to a spin-dependent exchange of a quark anti-quark pair between the fast moving quark and the parent hadron. Their initial conditions are analogous to that of Reggeon exchange (cf. [81–86]).

For the pretzelosity, h_{1T}^\perp , we find an expression in terms of dipole amplitudes which are essentially the same as those entering Eq. (6) for the transversity TMD. Hence, they have the same small- x asymptotics. The pair of dipole amplitudes for each TMD obey identical, decoupled evolution equations of the form

$$H^{1T}(x_{10}^2, z) = H^{1T(0)}(x_{10}^2, z) \quad (7)$$

$$+ \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi} \int_{\max\{1/x_{10}^2, \Lambda^2\}/s}^z \frac{dz'}{z'} \int_{1/z's}^{x_{10}^2} \frac{dx_{21}^2}{x_{21}^2}$$

$$\times \left[H^{1T}(x_{21}^2, z') - \Gamma^{1T}(x_{10}^2, x_{21}^2, z') \right]$$

$$+ \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi} \int_{\frac{\Lambda^2}{s}}^z \frac{dz'}{z'} \int_{1/z's}^{x_{10}^2 z/z'} \frac{dx_{21}^2}{x_{21}^2} H^{1T}(x_{21}^2, z'),$$

with Γ^{1T} the neighbor dipole amplitude employed to impose lifetime ordering constraints in the evolution (see Section D of [8]). This equation can be solved analytically (see the Supplemental Material), and it can be shown that the neighbor dipole behaves identically to H^{1T} , so the term in square brackets in Eq. (7) vanishes, and the evolution equations reduce to

$$H_{10}^{1T}(z) = H_{10}^{1T(0)}(z) \quad (8)$$

$$+ \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi} \int_{\frac{\Lambda^2}{s}}^z \frac{dz'}{z'} \int_{1/z's}^{x_{10}^2 z/z'} \frac{dx_{21}^2}{x_{21}^2} H_{21}^{1T}(z').$$

This evolution equation is identical to the one for flavor non-singlet helicity distribution, which has been solved

analytically in [48]. In fact, it is also identical to the evolution equation for the QCD Reggeon [81–86]. Plugging the solution for the polarized dipole amplitudes into the TMD definition Eq. (6) yields the small- x asymptotic form of

$$h_{1T}^S(x, k_\perp^2) \sim h_{1T}^{\perp S}(x, k_\perp^2) \sim x \left(\frac{1}{x} \right)^{\sqrt{2\alpha_s N_c/\pi}}. \quad (9)$$

This shows that the flavor singlet transversity and pretzelosity TMDs have the same small- x asymptotic behaviors as their flavor non-singlet counterparts [14]. This makes sense physically, as these two TMDs are chiral-odd, and have no gluon counterpart to mix with in the flavor singlet sector¹.

Boer-Mulders and transverse worm-gear Finally, we study the last two chiral-odd TMDs, the time-reversal-odd (T-odd) Boer-Mulders function, h_1^\perp , and the transverse worm-gear, h_{1L}^\perp . Taking the small- x limit of the operator definition for the Boer-Mulders function yields [13]

$$\frac{k_y}{M_P} h_{1T}^{\perp S}(x, k_\perp^2) = \frac{ixN_c}{\pi^3} \int d^2x_{10} \frac{d^2k_{1\perp}}{(2\pi)^3} \quad (10)$$

$$\times \frac{e^{i(\underline{k}_1 + \underline{k}) \cdot \underline{x}_{10}}}{k_{1\perp}^2 k_\perp^2} \left(\frac{1}{k_{1\perp}^2} + \frac{1}{k_\perp^2} \right) \int_{\frac{\Lambda^2}{s}}^1 \frac{dz}{z}$$

$$\times \left\{ \left[2(\underline{S} \cdot \underline{k}_1)(\underline{S} \cdot \underline{k}) - (\underline{k}_1 \cdot \underline{k}) \right] (\underline{x}_{10} \times \underline{S}) H_{10}^1(z) \right. \\ \left. + \left[(\underline{S} \times \underline{k}_1)(\underline{S} \cdot \underline{k}) + (\underline{S} \cdot \underline{k}_1)(\underline{S} \times \underline{k}) \right] (\underline{x}_{10} \cdot \underline{S}) H_{10}^2(z) \right\},$$

where the TMD is expressed in terms of two polarized dipole amplitudes H^1 and H^2 which are dominated by polarized quark exchanges in the massless quark limit. These operators are also analogous to the Reggeon exchange, just like those found in the transversity and pretzelosity TMDs. Finally, for the transverse worm-gear TMD, h_{1L}^\perp , one obtains an expression in terms of essentially the same polarized dipole amplitudes as those entering h_1^\perp , with identical evolution equations for each set of polarized dipole amplitudes. The evolution of these dipoles is exactly the same as for the corresponding flavor non-singlet TMDs [14], and can be solved analytically [13], yielding an oscillatory solution that ultimately brings no $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\alpha_s})$ corrections to the naïve scaling:

$$h_{1L}^{\perp S}(x \ll 1, k_\perp^2) \sim h_{1L}^{\perp S}(x \ll 1, k_\perp^2) \sim \left(\frac{1}{x} \right)^0. \quad (11)$$

Once again, we find that these two chiral-odd TMDs have identical asymptotics for both the flavor singlet and flavor

¹ We thank Feng Yuan for making this connection.

non-singlet sectors [13, 14] as there is no corresponding gluon TMD to mix with.

Conclusions We have studied the small- x asymptotics of the six leading-twist, flavor singlet quark TMDs which contain dependence on the transverse spin of either the quark or the parent hadron. In particular, these include the Sivers function, f_{1T}^\perp , the transverse worm-gear, g_{1T} , the Boer-Mulders function, h_1^\perp , the helicity worm-gear, h_{1L} , the transversity, h_{1T} , and the pretzelosity, h_{1T}^\perp . We have obtained the expressions for all the six TMDs in terms of polarized dipole amplitudes, which are modifications of the well-known small- x eikonal dipole scattering amplitudes that encode spin dependence in the parton-target interaction by including energy-suppressed sub-eikonal and sub-sub-eikonal operators into new polarized Wilson lines. Furthermore, we have obtained small- x evolution equations for all of these polarized dipole amplitudes. All of these equations close in the linearized large- N_c limit, and we have solved them by further taking the DLA. The DLA equations can be implemented numerically, and in conjunction with the leading scaling behavior of the TMDs obtained here, are ready for application in both global analysis and for making predictions and impact studies of future EIC data.

We have collected the small- x asymptotic scaling of all eight leading-twist flavor singlet quark TMDs into Table I, from which we can see several interesting patterns emerge. Going left to right through Table I, from unpolarized to longitudinally and then transversely polarized quark, one sees that the scaling becomes more and more energy suppressed similarly to the naïve scaling of the TMDs.

Going column by column, we consider the unpolarized quark distributions, f_1 and f_{1T}^\perp . The unpolarized quark TMD is a naïve T-even function, and its flavor singlet term comes from the eikonal dipole scattering amplitude, growing much faster than the flavor non-singlet contribution corresponding to the Reggeon. The Sivers function, on the other hand, is a naïve T-odd function and has a dominant eikonal contribution only in the C-odd flavor non-singlet sector. The flavor singlet contribution is actually sub-leading at small- x , the exact opposite of the usual hierarchy found in small- x QCD. This is because usual small- x resummation involves C-even Pomeron exchanges, while the leading contributions to the Sivers function are C-odd and thus sensitive to the imbalance of quarks and anti-quarks given by the flavor non-singlet sector.

The second column consists of the quark helicity and transverse worm-gear TMDs. Here, we find the expected result that the flavor singlet contributions grow faster than the flavor non-singlet counterparts and the polarized dipole amplitudes and evolution equations are very similar between the two flavor singlet TMDs. The TMDs in the first two columns grow faster at small x than the TMDs in the third column, so comparisons to lattice mo-

ments in the first two columns might be more fruitful than those in the third column in terms of testing the small- x behavior of the TMDs.

Finally, in the third column, we have the Boer-Mulders function, the helicity worm-gear, the transversity, and the pretzelosity. There are two notable patterns in this column, firstly the small- x asymptotic scaling for all four TMDs in this column is the same in both the flavor singlet and flavor non-singlet sectors. Physically, this feature is due to the fact that these TMDs are all chiral-odd and thus have no gluon TMD to mix with under evolution. It is important to note that bringing in contributions from finite quark mass, m_f , would allow for potential m_f/k_T -suppressed contributions, which are *sub-eikonal* and therefore possibly parametrically comparable to the contributions considered here. We leave a detailed study of these quark-mass corrections to future work. The second pattern we observe is that the TMDs which are “off-diagonal” in spin – the Boer-Mulders function, which encodes transversely polarized quarks in an unpolarized target, and the helicity worm-gear which encodes transversely polarized quarks in a longitudinally polarized hadron – receive no $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\alpha_s})$ corrections to their naïve asymptotic scaling. Here, for the flavor singlet TMDs, we see that this only holds when the quark transverse polarization is probed. However, for the flavor non-singlet sector, this pattern extends to all of the “off-diagonal” TMDs [14].

In order to move toward high-precision predictions, one must relax various approximations that were made in deriving the evolution equations. Initial steps have already been taken in the case of the quark (and gluon) helicity TMDs, such as the generalization of the equations to the large- N_c & N_f Veneziano limit [2] as well as studies of the sub-leading single logarithmic corrections to the evolution [67]. There has also been work on the generalization to all N_c values to obtain a Jalilian-Marian-Iancu-McLerran-Weigert-Leodinov-Kovner (JIMWLK) type equation [87–91] including sub-eikonal operators needed for helicity-dependent insertions within the Wilson lines, as has been studied in [58, 60]. In order to implement these equations for full phenomenological applications and global analyses, one also requires the dipole amplitudes which contribute to the gluon TMDs at small- x and their corresponding evolution equations. These developments are all important topics for future work.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Yuri Kovchegov and Zhite Yu for useful discussions and reviewing drafts of this letter.

This work was supported by the Center for Nuclear Femtography, Southeastern Universities Research Association, Washington, D.C. and U.S. DOE Grant number

DE-FG02-97ER41028 (MGS), and also by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics under Award Number DE-AC05-06OR23177 (DA) under which Jefferson Science Associates, LLC, manages and operates Jefferson Lab.

YT was supported by the Academy of Finland, the Centre of Excellence in Quark Matter and projects 338263 and 346567, under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme by the European Research Council (ERC, grant agreements No. ERC-2023-101123801 GlueSatLight and No. ERC-2018-ADG-835105 YoctoLHC) and by the STRONG-2020 project (grant agreement No. 824093). The content of this article does not reflect the official opinion of the European Union and responsibility for the information and views expressed therein lies entirely with the authors.

* Email: dadamiak@jlab.org

† Email: msantiago@odu.edu

‡ Email: yossathorn.j.tawabutr@jyu.fi

- [1] I. Balitsky and L. Lipatov, The Pomeron Singularity in Quantum Chromodynamics, *Sov.J.Nucl.Phys.* **28**, 822 (1978).
- [2] F. Cougoulic, Y. V. Kovchegov, A. Tarasov, and Y. Tawabutr, Quark and gluon helicity evolution at small x : revised and updated, *JHEP* **07**, 095, arXiv:2204.11898 [hep-ph].
- [3] J. C. Collins and D. E. Soper, Parton Distribution and Decay Functions, *Nucl.Phys.* **B194**, 445 (1982).
- [4] J. C. Collins and D. E. Soper, Back-To-Back Jets in QCD, *Nucl.Phys.* **B193**, 381 (1981).
- [5] J. C. Collins and D. E. Soper, Back-To-Back Jets: Fourier Transform from B to K-Transverse, *Nucl. Phys. B* **197**, 446 (1982).
- [6] J. C. Collins, D. E. Soper, and G. F. Sterman, Transverse Momentum Distribution in Drell-Yan Pair and W and Z Boson Production, *Nucl. Phys. B* **250**, 199 (1985).
- [7] J. C. Collins, D. E. Soper, and G. F. Sterman, Factorization of Hard Processes in QCD, *Adv.Ser.Direct.High Energy Phys.* **5**, 1 (1988), arXiv:hep-ph/0409313 [hep-ph].
- [8] Y. V. Kovchegov, D. Pitonyak, and M. D. Sievert, Helicity Evolution at Small- x , *JHEP* **01**, 072, arXiv:1511.06737 [hep-ph].
- [9] Y. V. Kovchegov, D. Pitonyak, and M. D. Sievert, Small- x Asymptotics of the Gluon Helicity Distribution, *JHEP* **10**, 198, arXiv:1706.04236 [nucl-th].
- [10] Y. V. Kovchegov and M. D. Sievert, Small- x Helicity Evolution: an Operator Treatment, *Phys. Rev.* **D99**, 054032 (2019), arXiv:1808.09010 [hep-ph].
- [11] Y. V. Kovchegov and M. D. Sievert, Valence Quark Transversity at Small x , *Phys. Rev.* **D99**, 054033 (2019), arXiv:1808.10354 [hep-ph].
- [12] Y. V. Kovchegov and M. G. Santiago, Quark sivers function at small x : spin-dependent odderon and the sub-eikonal evolution, *JHEP* **11**, 200, arXiv:2108.03667 [hep-ph].
- [13] Y. V. Kovchegov and M. G. Santiago, T-odd leading-twist quark TMDs at small x , *JHEP* **11**, 098, arXiv:2209.03538 [hep-ph].
- [14] M. G. Santiago, Spin-spin coupling at small x : Worm-gear and pretzelocity TMDs, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 034004 (2024), arXiv:2310.02231 [hep-ph].
- [15] D. Adamiak, Y. V. Kovchegov, and Y. Tawabutr, Helicity evolution at small x : Revised asymptotic results at large N_c and N_f , *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 054005 (2023), arXiv:2306.01651 [hep-ph].
- [16] A. Accardi *et al.*, Electron Ion Collider: The Next QCD Frontier, *Eur. Phys. J.* **A52**, 268 (2016), arXiv:1212.1701 [nucl-ex].
- [17] D. Boer *et al.*, Gluons and the quark sea at high energies: Distributions, polarization, tomography, (2011), arXiv:1108.1713 [nucl-th].
- [18] A. Prokudin, Y. Hatta, Y. Kovchegov, and C. Marquet, eds., *Proceedings, Probing Nucleons and Nuclei in High Energy Collisions* (WSP, 2020) arXiv:2002.12333 [hep-ph].
- [19] R. Abdul Khalek *et al.*, Science Requirements and Detector Concepts for the Electron-Ion Collider: EIC Yellow Report, *Nucl. Phys. A* **1026**, 122447 (2022), arXiv:2103.05419 [physics.ins-det].
- [20] R. Abdul Khalek *et al.*, Snowmass 2021 White Paper: Electron Ion Collider for High Energy Physics, (2022), arXiv:2203.13199 [hep-ph].
- [21] S. Amoroso *et al.*, Snowmass 2021 Whitepaper: Proton Structure at the Precision Frontier, *Acta Phys. Polon. B* **53**, 12 (2022), arXiv:2203.13923 [hep-ph].
- [22] R. Abir *et al.*, The case for an EIC Theory Alliance: Theoretical Challenges of the EIC, (2023), arXiv:2305.14572 [hep-ph].
- [23] V. D. Burkert *et al.*, Precision studies of QCD in the low energy domain of the EIC, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **131**, 104032 (2023), arXiv:2211.15746 [nucl-ex].
- [24] M. Hentschinski *et al.*, White Paper on Forward Physics, BFKL, Saturation Physics and Diffraction, *Acta Phys. Polon. B* **54**, 3 (2023), arXiv:2203.08129 [hep-ph].
- [25] C. Cocuzza, A. Metz, D. Pitonyak, A. Prokudin, N. Sato, and R. Seidl, First Simultaneous Global QCD Analysis of Dihadron Fragmentation Functions and Transversity Parton Distribution Functions, (2023), arXiv:2308.14857 [hep-ph].
- [26] C. Cocuzza, A. Metz, D. Pitonyak, A. Prokudin, N. Sato, and R. Seidl (JAM), Transversity distributions and tensor charges of the nucleon: extraction from dihadron production and their universal nature, (2023), arXiv:2306.12998 [hep-ph].
- [27] B. U. Musch, P. Hagler, J. W. Negele, and A. Schafer, Exploring quark transverse momentum distributions with lattice QCD, *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 094507 (2011), arXiv:1011.1213 [hep-lat].
- [28] A. H. Mueller, Small x Behavior and Parton Saturation: A QCD Model, *Nucl. Phys.* **B335**, 115 (1990).
- [29] N. N. Nikolaev and B. G. Zakharov, Colour transparency and scaling properties of nuclear shadowing in deep inelastic scattering, *Z. Phys.* **C49**, 607 (1991).
- [30] G. Beuf, Improving the kinematics for low- x QCD evolution equations in coordinate space, *Phys. Rev.* **D89**, 074039 (2014),

- arXiv:1401.0313 [hep-ph].
- [31] J. L. Albacete, N. Armesto, J. G. Milhano, P. Quiroga-Arias, and C. A. Salgado, AAMQS: A non-linear QCD analysis of new HERA data at small- x including heavy quarks, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C71**, 1705 (2011), arXiv:1012.4408 [hep-ph].
- [32] G. Beuf, H. Hänninen, T. Lappi, and H. Mäntysaari, Color Glass Condensate at next-to-leading order meets HERA data, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 074028 (2020), arXiv:2007.01645 [hep-ph].
- [33] F. Dominguez, C. Marquet, B.-W. Xiao, and F. Yuan, Universality of Unintegrated Gluon Distributions at small x , *Phys. Rev.* **D83**, 105005 (2011), arXiv:1101.0715 [hep-ph].
- [34] F. Dominguez, J.-W. Qiu, B.-W. Xiao, and F. Yuan, On the linearly polarized gluon distributions in the color dipole model, *Phys. Rev.* **D85**, 045003 (2012), arXiv:1109.6293 [hep-ph].
- [35] Y. V. Kovchegov and M. D. Sievert, A New Mechanism for Generating a Single Transverse Spin Asymmetry, *Phys. Rev.* **D86**, 034028 (2012), arXiv:1201.5890 [hep-ph].
- [36] Y. V. Kovchegov and M. D. Sievert, Siverson function in the quasiclassical approximation, *Phys. Rev.* **D89**, 054035 (2014), arXiv:1310.5028 [hep-ph].
- [37] J. Zhou, Transverse single spin asymmetries at small x and the anomalous magnetic moment, *Phys. Rev.* **D89**, 074050 (2014), arXiv:1308.5912 [hep-ph].
- [38] T. Altinoluk, N. Armesto, G. Beuf, M. Martinez, and C. A. Salgado, Next-to-eikonal corrections in the CGC: gluon production and spin asymmetries in pA collisions, *JHEP* **07**, 068, arXiv:1404.2219 [hep-ph].
- [39] D. Boer, M. G. Echevarria, P. Mulders, and J. Zhou, Single spin asymmetries from a single Wilson loop, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 122001 (2016), arXiv:1511.03485 [hep-ph].
- [40] Y. V. Kovchegov and M. D. Sievert, Calculating TMDs of a Large Nucleus: Quasi-Classical Approximation and Quantum Evolution, *Nucl. Phys.* **B903**, 164 (2016), arXiv:1505.01176 [hep-ph].
- [41] A. Dumitru, T. Lappi, and V. Skokov, Distribution of Linearly Polarized Gluons and Elliptic Azimuthal Anisotropy in Deep Inelastic Scattering Dijet Production at High Energy, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 252301 (2015), arXiv:1508.04438 [hep-ph].
- [42] L. Szymanowski and J. Zhou, The spin dependent odderon in the diquark model, *Phys. Lett.* **B760**, 249 (2016), arXiv:1604.03207 [hep-ph].
- [43] Y. Hatta, Y. Nakagawa, F. Yuan, Y. Zhao, and B. Xiao, Gluon orbital angular momentum at small- x , *Phys. Rev.* **D95**, 114032 (2017), arXiv:1612.02445 [hep-ph].
- [44] Y. Hatta, B.-W. Xiao, S. Yoshida, and F. Yuan, Single Spin Asymmetry in Forward pA Collisions, *Phys. Rev.* **D94**, 054013 (2016), arXiv:1606.08640 [hep-ph].
- [45] Y. Hatta, B.-W. Xiao, S. Yoshida, and F. Yuan, Single spin asymmetry in forward pA collisions II: Fragmentation contribution, *Phys. Rev.* **D95**, 014008 (2017), arXiv:1611.04746 [hep-ph].
- [46] D. Boer, Gluon TMDs in quarkonium production, *Proceedings, New Observables in Quarkonium Production: Trento, Italy, February 28-March 4, 2016*, *Few Body Syst.* **58**, 32 (2017), arXiv:1611.06089 [hep-ph].
- [47] I. Balitsky and A. Tarasov, Gluon TMD in particle production from low to moderate x , *JHEP* **06**, 164, arXiv:1603.06548 [hep-ph].
- [48] Y. V. Kovchegov, D. Pitonyak, and M. D. Sievert, Helicity Evolution at Small x : Flavor Singlet and Non-Singlet Observables, *Phys. Rev.* **D95**, 014033 (2017), arXiv:1610.06197 [hep-ph].
- [49] Y. V. Kovchegov, D. Pitonyak, and M. D. Sievert, Small- x asymptotics of the quark helicity distribution, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 052001 (2017), arXiv:1610.06188 [hep-ph].
- [50] Y. V. Kovchegov, D. Pitonyak, and M. D. Sievert, Small- x Asymptotics of the Quark Helicity Distribution: Analytic Results, *Phys. Lett.* **B772**, 136 (2017), arXiv:1703.05809 [hep-ph].
- [51] H. Dong, D.-X. Zheng, and J. Zhou, Sea quark Siverson distribution, *Phys. Lett. B* **788**, 401 (2019), arXiv:1805.09479 [hep-ph].
- [52] S. Benić and Y. Hatta, Single spin asymmetry in forward pA collisions: Phenomenology at RHIC, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 094012 (2019), arXiv:1811.10589 [hep-ph].
- [53] G. A. Chirilli, Sub-eikonal corrections to scattering amplitudes at high energy, *JHEP* **01**, 118, arXiv:1807.11435 [hep-ph].
- [54] T. Altinoluk and R. Boussarie, Low x physics as an infinite twist (G)TMD framework: unravelling the origins of saturation, *JHEP* **10**, 208, arXiv:1902.07930 [hep-ph].
- [55] Y. V. Kovchegov, Orbital Angular Momentum at Small x , *JHEP* **03**, 174, arXiv:1901.07453 [hep-ph].
- [56] R. Boussarie, Y. Hatta, and F. Yuan, Proton Spin Structure at Small- x , *Phys. Lett.* **B797**, 134817 (2019), arXiv:1904.02693 [hep-ph].
- [57] R. Boussarie, Y. Hatta, L. Szymanowski, and S. Wallon, Probing the Siverson function with an unpolarized target: GTMD distributions and the Odderons, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 172501 (2020), arXiv:1912.08182 [hep-ph].
- [58] F. Cougoulic and Y. V. Kovchegov, Helicity-dependent generalization of the JIMWLK evolution, *Phys. Rev.* **D100**, 114020 (2019), arXiv:1910.04268 [hep-ph].
- [59] Y. V. Kovchegov and Y. Tawabutr, Helicity at Small x : Oscillations Generated by Bringing Back the Quarks, *JHEP* **08**, 014, arXiv:2005.07285 [hep-ph].
- [60] F. Cougoulic and Y. V. Kovchegov, Helicity-dependent extension of the McLerran-Venugopalan model, *Nucl. Phys. A* **1004**, 122051 (2020), arXiv:2005.14688 [hep-ph].
- [61] T. Altinoluk, G. Beuf, A. Czajka, and A. Tymowska, Quarks at next-to-eikonal accuracy in the CGC: Forward quark-nucleus scattering, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 014019 (2021), arXiv:2012.03886 [hep-ph].
- [62] Y. V. Kovchegov and M. G. Santiago, Lensing mechanism meets small- x physics: Single transverse spin asymmetry in $p^\dagger + p$ and $p^\dagger + A$ collisions, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 014022 (2020), arXiv:2003.12650 [hep-ph].
- [63] A. Bacchetta, F. G. Celiberto, M. Radici,

- and P. Tael, Transverse-momentum-dependent gluon distribution functions in a spectator model, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, 733 (2020), arXiv:2005.02288 [hep-ph].
- [64] G. A. Chirilli, High-energy operator product expansion at sub-eikonal level, *JHEP* **06**, 096, arXiv:2101.12744 [hep-ph].
- [65] T. Altinoluk and G. Beuf, Quark and scalar propagators at next-to-eikonal accuracy in the CGC through a dynamical background gluon field, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 074026 (2022), arXiv:2109.01620 [hep-ph].
- [66] D. Adamiak, Y. V. Kovchegov, W. Melnitchouk, D. Pitonyak, N. Sato, and M. D. Sievert (Jefferson Lab Angular Momentum), First analysis of world polarized DIS data with small- x helicity evolution, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, L031501 (2021), arXiv:2102.06159 [hep-ph].
- [67] Y. V. Kovchegov, A. Tarasov, and Y. Tawabutr, Helicity evolution at small x : the single-logarithmic contribution, *JHEP* **03**, 184, arXiv:2104.11765 [hep-ph].
- [68] S. Bondarenko, S. Pozdnyakov, and A. Prygarin, Unifying approaches: derivation of Balitsky hierarchy from the Lipatov effective action, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, 793 (2021), arXiv:2106.01677 [hep-th].
- [69] K. Banu, N. Vasim, and R. Abir, Analytic interpolation between the J_i and Jaffe-Manohar definitions of the orbital angular momentum distribution of gluons at small x , *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 114033 (2022), arXiv:2106.03896 [hep-ph].
- [70] S. Benić, D. Horvatić, A. Kaushik, and E. A. Vivoda, Odderon mechanism for transverse single spin asymmetry in the Wandzura-Wilczek approximation, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 114025 (2022), arXiv:2210.10353 [hep-ph].
- [71] Y. Hatta and J. Zhou, Small- x evolution of the gluon GPD E_g , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129**, 252002 (2022), arXiv:2207.03378 [hep-ph].
- [72] J. Borden and Y. V. Kovchegov, Analytic solution for the revised helicity evolution at small x and large N_c : New resummed gluon-gluon polarized anomalous dimension and intercept, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 014001 (2023), arXiv:2304.06161 [hep-ph].
- [73] M. Li, Small x physics beyond eikonal approximation: an effective Hamiltonian approach, *JHEP* **07**, 158, arXiv:2304.12842 [hep-ph].
- [74] S. Meissner, A. Metz, and K. Goeke, Relations between generalized and transverse momentum dependent parton distributions, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 034002 (2007), arXiv:hep-ph/0703176 [HEP-PH].
- [75] L. D. McLerran and R. Venugopalan, Computing quark and gluon distribution functions for very large nuclei, *Phys. Rev. D* **49**, 2233 (1994), hep-ph/9309289.
- [76] L. D. McLerran and R. Venugopalan, Gluon distribution functions for very large nuclei at small transverse momentum, *Phys. Rev. D* **49**, 3352 (1994), hep-ph/9311205.
- [77] L. D. McLerran and R. Venugopalan, Green's functions in the color field of a large nucleus, *Phys. Rev. D* **50**, 2225 (1994), hep-ph/9402335.
- [78] Y. V. Kovchegov, Non-abelian Weizsäcker-Williams field and a two-dimensional effective color charge density for a very large nucleus, *Phys. Rev. D* **54**, 5463 (1996), hep-ph/9605446.
- [79] I. Balitsky, Operator expansion for diffractive high-energy scattering, *AIP Conf. Proc.* **407**, 953 (1997), arXiv:hep-ph/9706411.
- [80] I. Balitsky, Factorization and high-energy effective action, *Phys. Rev. D* **60**, 014020 (1999), hep-ph/9812311.
- [81] R. Kirschner and L. Lipatov, Double Logarithmic Asymptotics and Regge Singularities of Quark Amplitudes with Flavor Exchange, *Nucl. Phys.* **B213**, 122 (1983).
- [82] R. Kirschner, Regge Asymptotics of Scattering Amplitudes in the Logarithmic Approximation of QCD, *Proceedings, 23RD International Conference on High Energy Physics, JULY 16-23, 1986, Berkeley, CA*, *Z. Phys.* **C31**, 135 (1986).
- [83] R. Kirschner, Regge asymptotics of scattering with flavor exchange in QCD, *Z. Phys.* **C67**, 459 (1995), arXiv:hep-th/9404158 [hep-th].
- [84] R. Kirschner, Reggeon interactions in perturbative QCD, *Z. Phys.* **C65**, 505 (1995), arXiv:hep-th/9407085 [hep-th].
- [85] S. Griffiths and D. Ross, Studying the perturbative Reggeon, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C12**, 277 (2000), arXiv:hep-ph/9906550 [hep-ph].
- [86] K. Itakura, Y. V. Kovchegov, L. McLerran, and D. Teaney, Baryon stopping and valence quark distribution at small x , *Nucl. Phys.* **A730**, 160 (2004), arXiv:hep-ph/0305332.
- [87] J. Jalilian-Marian, A. Kovner, A. Leonidov, and H. Weigert, The BFKL equation from the Wilson renormalization group, *Nucl. Phys.* **B504**, 415 (1997), hep-ph/9701284.
- [88] J. Jalilian-Marian, A. Kovner, A. Leonidov, and H. Weigert, The Wilson renormalization group for low x physics: Towards the high density regime, *Phys. Rev. D* **59**, 014014 (1998), hep-ph/9706377.
- [89] J. Jalilian-Marian, A. Kovner, and H. Weigert, The Wilson renormalization group for low x physics: Gluon evolution at finite parton density, *Phys. Rev. D* **59**, 014015 (1998), hep-ph/9709432.
- [90] E. Iancu, A. Leonidov, and L. D. McLerran, The renormalization group equation for the color glass condensate, *Phys. Lett.* **B510**, 133 (2001).
- [91] E. Iancu, A. Leonidov, and L. D. McLerran, Nonlinear gluon evolution in the color glass condensate. I, *Nucl. Phys.* **A692**, 583 (2001), hep-ph/0011241.

Supplemental material for “Leading-Twist Flavor Singlet Quark TMDs at Small- x ”

Daniel Adamiak*

Jefferson Lab, Newport News, Virginia 23606, USA

M. Gabriel Santiago†

Department of Physics, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA 23606, USA

Center for Nuclear Femtography, SURA, 1201 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005, USA

Yossathorn Tawabutr‡

*Department of Physics, University of Jyväskylä, P.O. Box 35, 40014 University of Jyväskylä, Finland and
Helsinki Institute of Physics, P.O. Box 64, 00014 University of Helsinki, Finland*

I. CONVENTIONS

Throughout this work we make use of light-cone coordinates $u = (u^+ = x^0 + x^3, u^- = u^0 - u^3, \underline{u})$, labelling the transverse part of a four-vector u as \underline{u} except in the case of an integral measure, where it will be denoted as u_\perp , and in the case of the quark transverse momentum argument of a TMD, where we will use the conventional label k_T . The polarized dipole amplitudes which give the small- x piece of the TMDs are given by eikonal light cone Wilson lines

$$V_{\underline{x}}[x_f^-, x_i^-] = \mathcal{P} \exp \left[\frac{ig}{2} \int_{x_i^-}^{x_f^-} dx^- A^+(0^+, x^-, \underline{x}) \right], \quad (1)$$

which resum an infinite number of eikonal gluon exchanged between the quark anti-quark dipole and the target [1]. Here \mathcal{P} is a path ordering operator acting on the gauge fields. Finally, we will make use of double angle brackets to account for the powers of energy which accompany the various polarized dipole amplitudes as $\langle\langle \dots \rangle\rangle_n = (zs)^n \langle \dots \rangle$ for a dipole amplitude with the quark carrying momentum fraction z and having s as the center of mass energy for scattering with the target.

* Email: dadamiak@jlab.org

† Email: gsantiago@sura.org

‡ Email: yossathorn.j.tawabutr@jyu.fi

II. SIVERS AND WORM-GEAR G TMDS

The operators entering the polarized dipole amplitudes for the Sivers function and the worm-gear G function are [2]:

$$V_{\underline{x}}^i = \frac{p_1^+}{4s} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- V_{\underline{x}}[\infty, z^-] \left[\vec{D}_x^i - \bar{D}_x^i \right] V_{\underline{x}}[z^-, -\infty], \quad (2a)$$

$$V_{\underline{x}; \underline{k}, \underline{k}_1}^{[2]} = \frac{i p_1^+}{8s} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- V_{\underline{x}}[\infty, z^-] \left[(\vec{D}_x^i - \bar{D}_x^i)^2 - (k_1^i - k^i)^2 \right] V_{\underline{x}}[z^-, -\infty] \\ - \frac{g^2 p_1^+}{4s} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz_1^- \int_{z_1^-}^{\infty} dz_2^- V_{\underline{x}}[\infty, z_2^-] t^b \psi_\beta(z_2^-, \underline{x}) U_{\underline{x}}^{ba}[z_2^-, z_1^-] \left[\frac{\gamma^+}{2} \right]_{\alpha\beta} \bar{\psi}_\alpha(z_1^-, \underline{x}) t^a V_{\underline{x}}[z_1^-, -\infty], \quad (2b)$$

$$V_{\underline{x}}^{\text{mag}} = \frac{i g p_1^+}{2s} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- V_{\underline{x}}[\infty, z^-] F^{12} V_{\underline{x}}[z^-, -\infty] \\ - \frac{g^2 p_1^+}{4s} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz_1^- \int_{z_1^-}^{\infty} dz_2^- V_{\underline{x}}[\infty, z_2^-] t^b \psi_\beta(z_2^-, \underline{x}) U_{\underline{x}}^{ba}[z_2^-, z_1^-] \left[\frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^5}{2} \right]_{\alpha\beta} \bar{\psi}_\alpha(z_1^-, \underline{x}) t^a V_{\underline{x}}[z_1^-, -\infty]. \quad (2c)$$

Here $\psi, \bar{\psi}$ are the background quark and anti-quark fields, and our right- and left-acting fundamental covariant derivatives are defined by $\vec{D}^i = \vec{\partial}_i - igA^i$, $\bar{D}^i = \vec{\partial}_i + igA^i$.

The polarized dipole amplitudes entering the Sivers function are defined in terms of Eq. (2) as

$$F_{\underline{w}, \underline{\zeta}}^{S i}(z) = \frac{1}{2N_c} \sum_f \text{Re} \left\langle \left\langle \text{T tr} \left[V_{\underline{\zeta}} V_{\underline{w}}^{i \dagger} \right] + \text{T tr} \left[V_{\underline{w}}^i V_{\underline{\zeta}}^\dagger \right] \right\rangle \right\rangle_1, \quad (3a)$$

$$F_{\underline{w}, \underline{\zeta}}^{S [2]}(z) = \frac{1}{2N_c} \sum_f \text{Im} \left\langle \left\langle \text{T tr} \left[V_{\underline{\zeta}} V_{\underline{w}; \underline{k}, \underline{k}_1}^{[2] \dagger} \right] - \text{T tr} \left[V_{\underline{w}; \underline{k}, \underline{k}_1}^{[2]} V_{\underline{\zeta}}^\dagger \right] \right\rangle \right\rangle_1, \quad (3b)$$

$$F_{\underline{w}, \underline{\zeta}}^{S \text{mag}}(z) = \frac{1}{2N_c} \sum_f \text{Re} \left\langle \left\langle \text{T tr} \left[V_{\underline{\zeta}} V_{\underline{w}}^{\text{mag} \dagger} \right] + \text{T tr} \left[V_{\underline{w}}^{\text{mag}} V_{\underline{\zeta}}^\dagger \right] \right\rangle \right\rangle_1, \quad (3c)$$

then integrated over impact parameter to obtain

$$\int d^2 b_\perp F_{10}^i = \epsilon^{ij} S_P^j x_{10}^2 F_A(x_{10}^2, z) + x_{10}^i \underline{x}_{10} \times \underline{S}_P F_B(x_{10}^2, z) + \epsilon^{ij} x_{10}^j \underline{x}_{10} \cdot \underline{S}_P F_C(x_{10}^2, z), \quad (4a)$$

$$\int d^2 b_\perp F_{10}^{[2]} = \underline{x}_{10} \times \underline{S}_P F^{[2]}(x_{10}^2, z), \quad (4b)$$

$$\int d^2 b_\perp F_{10}^{\text{mag}} = \underline{x}_{10} \cdot \underline{S}_P F_{\text{mag}}(x_{10}^2, z). \quad (4c)$$

For the worm-gear G function, the polarized dipole amplitudes are defined similarly as

$$G_{T \underline{w}, \underline{\zeta}}^{S i}(z) = \frac{1}{2N_c} \sum_f \text{Im} \left\langle \left\langle \text{T tr} \left[V_{\underline{\zeta}} V_{\underline{w}}^{i \dagger} \right] + \text{T tr} \left[V_{\underline{w}}^i V_{\underline{\zeta}}^\dagger \right] \right\rangle \right\rangle_1, \quad (5a)$$

$$G_{T \underline{w}, \underline{\zeta}}^{S [2]}(z) = \frac{1}{2N_c} \sum_f \text{Re} \left\langle \left\langle \text{T tr} \left[V_{\underline{\zeta}} V_{\underline{w}; \underline{k}, \underline{k}_1}^{[2] \dagger} \right] - \text{T tr} \left[V_{\underline{w}; \underline{k}, \underline{k}_1}^{[2]} V_{\underline{\zeta}}^\dagger \right] \right\rangle \right\rangle_1, \quad (5b)$$

$$G_{T \underline{w}, \underline{\zeta}}^{S \text{mag}}(z) = \frac{1}{2N_c} \sum_f \text{Im} \left\langle \left\langle \text{T tr} \left[V_{\underline{\zeta}} V_{\underline{w}}^{\text{mag} \dagger} \right] + \text{T tr} \left[V_{\underline{w}}^{\text{mag}} V_{\underline{\zeta}}^\dagger \right] \right\rangle \right\rangle_1, \quad (5c)$$

and their impact parameter integrated forms are the same as given in Eq. (4).

The large- N_c , linearized, DLA small- x equations for the four dipole amplitudes which give nontrivial contributions to the Sivers function are:

$$F_A^S(x_{10}^2, z) = F_A^{S(0)}(x_{10}^2, z) + \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \int_{\frac{\Lambda^2}{s}}^z \frac{dz'}{z'} \int_{\max\{x_{10}^2, \frac{1}{z's}\}}^{\frac{z'}{z'}x_{10}^2} \frac{dx_{21}^2}{x_{21}^2} [4F_A^S(x_{21}^2, z') + 2F_B^S(x_{21}^2, z') + 2F_C^S(x_{21}^2, z')] \quad (6a)$$

$$F_B^S(x_{10}^2, z) = F_B^{S(0)}(x_{10}^2, z) + \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \int_{\frac{\Lambda^2}{s}}^z \frac{dz'}{z'} \int_{\max\{x_{10}^2, \frac{1}{z's}\}}^{\frac{z'}{z'}x_{10}^2} \frac{dx_{21}^2}{x_{21}^2} \quad (6b)$$

$$\times [2F_A^S(x_{21}^2, z') - F_B^S(x_{21}^2, z') + 3F_C^S(x_{21}^2, z') - 2F^S \text{mag}(x_{21}^2, z')]$$

$$F_C^S(x_{10}^2, z) = F_C^{S(0)}(x_{10}^2, z) \quad (6c)$$

$$F^S \text{mag}(x_{10}^2, z) = F^S \text{mag}(0)(x_{10}^2, z) + \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \int_{\max\{\frac{\Lambda^2}{s}, \frac{1}{sx_{10}^2}\}}^z \frac{dz'}{z'} \int_{\frac{1}{z's}}^{x_{10}^2} \frac{dx_{21}^2}{x_{21}^2} \quad (6d)$$

$$\times [2\Gamma^S \text{mag}(x_{10}^2, x_{21}^2, z') + 4\Gamma_A^S(x_{10}^2, x_{21}^2, z') - 2\Gamma_A^S(x_{10}^2, x_{21}^2, z') + 6\Gamma_A^S(x_{10}^2, x_{21}^2, z')]$$

$$+ \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \int_{\max\{\frac{\Lambda^2}{s}, \frac{1}{z's}\}}^z \frac{dz'}{z'} \int_{\frac{1}{z's}}^{\frac{z'}{z'}x_{10}^2} \frac{dx_{21}^2}{x_{21}^2} [2F^S \text{mag}(x_{21}^2, z') + 2F_A^S(x_{21}^2, z') - F_B^S(x_{21}^2, z') + 3F_C^S(x_{21}^2, z')],$$

and the corresponding neighbor dipole amplitude equations

$$\Gamma_A^S(x_{10}^2, x_{21}^2, z') = F_A^{S(0)}(x_{10}^2, z') + \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \int_{\frac{\Lambda^2}{s}}^{\frac{z'}{z'}x_{10}^2} \frac{dz''}{z''} \int_{\max\{x_{10}^2, \frac{1}{z''s}\}}^{\frac{z''}{z''}x_{21}^2} \frac{dx_{32}^2}{x_{32}^2} \quad (7a)$$

$$\times [4F_A^S(x_{32}^2, z'') + 2F_B^S(x_{32}^2, z'') + 2F_C^S(x_{32}^2, z'')]$$

$$\Gamma_B^S(x_{10}^2, x_{21}^2, z') = F_B^{S(0)}(x_{10}^2, z') + \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \int_{\frac{\Lambda^2}{s}}^{\frac{z'}{z'}x_{10}^2} \frac{dz''}{z''} \int_{\max\{x_{10}^2, \frac{1}{sz''}\}}^{\frac{z''}{z''}x_{21}^2} \frac{dx_{32}^2}{x_{32}^2} \quad (7b)$$

$$\times [2F_A^S(x_{32}^2, z'') - F_B^S(x_{32}^2, z'') + 3F_C^S(x_{32}^2, z'') - 2F^S \text{mag}(x_{32}^2, z'')]$$

$$\Gamma_C^S(x_{10}^2, x_{21}^2, z') = F_C^{S(0)}(x_{10}^2, z') \quad (7c)$$

$$\Gamma^S \text{mag}(x_{10}^2, x_{21}^2, z') = F^S \text{mag}(0)(x_{10}^2, z') + \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \int_{\max\{\frac{\Lambda^2}{s}, \frac{1}{sx_{10}^2}\}}^{\frac{z'}{z'}x_{10}^2} \frac{dz''}{z''} \int_{\frac{1}{z''s}}^{\min\{x_{10}^2, x_{21}^2 \frac{z''}{z''}\}} \frac{dx_{32}^2}{x_{32}^2} \quad (7d)$$

$$\times [2\Gamma^S \text{mag}(x_{10}^2, x_{32}^2, z'') + 4\Gamma_A^S(x_{10}^2, x_{32}^2, z'') - 2\Gamma_A^S(x_{10}^2, x_{32}^2, z'') + 6\Gamma_A^S(x_{10}^2, x_{32}^2, z'')]$$

$$+ \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \int_{\max\{\frac{\Lambda^2}{s}, \frac{1}{z''s}\}}^{\frac{z'}{z'}x_{10}^2} \frac{dz''}{z''} \int_{\frac{1}{z''s}}^{\frac{z''}{z''}x_{21}^2} \frac{dx_{32}^2}{x_{32}^2} [2F^S \text{mag}(x_{32}^2, z'') + 2F_A^S(x_{32}^2, z'') - F_B^S(x_{32}^2, z'') + 3F_C^S(x_{32}^2, z'')].$$

The four dipole amplitudes entering the worm-gear g_{1T} TMD obey the same equations.

The resulting best fit parameters from the numerical solution are collected in Table I. A word of caution is ap-

Dipole Amplitudes	Intercept (α)	Frequency (ω)	Phase (ϕ)
F_A^S	2.821 ± 0.002	0.211 ± 0.003	1.88 ± 0.01
F_B^S	2.887 ± 0.007	0.197 ± 0.003	2.90 ± 0.02
$F^S \text{ mag}$	2.888 ± 0.006	0.179 ± 0.002	0.29 ± 0.02

TABLE I: Continuum limit extraction of the parameters of the oscillating ansatz used to describe the polarized dipole amplitudes of Siverts and Worm-Gear G TMDs

appropriate for interpreting the uncertainties of Table I. The continuum limit (by which we mean asymptotically large $\ln(zs/\Lambda^2)$ and an infinitely fine grid in both $\ln(zs/\Lambda^2)$ and $\ln(zx_{10}^2/\Lambda^2)$) depends on the model used to fit the numerical extractions. The uncertainties in Table I are the statistical errors from the resulting best-fit model, but when we adjust the model the intercept can change by about 0.1.

III. TRANSVERSITY AND PRETZELOCITY TMDs

The polarized dipole amplitudes entering the transversity TMD and pretzelocity TMDs are defined in terms of the following operators

$$V_{\underline{x}}^T \equiv \frac{g^2 (p_1^+)^2}{16 s^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz_1^- \int_{z_1^-}^{\infty} dz_2^- V_{\underline{x}}[\infty, z_2^-] t^b \psi_\beta(z_2^-, \underline{x}) U_{\underline{x}}^{ba}[z_2^-, z_1^-] \left[[i\gamma^5 \underline{S} \cdot \underline{D}_x - \underline{S} \times \underline{D}_x] \gamma^+ \gamma^- \right. \\ \left. + [i\gamma^5 \underline{S} \cdot \underline{D}_x - \underline{S} \times \underline{D}_x] \gamma^- \gamma^+ \right]_{\alpha\beta} \bar{\psi}_\alpha(z_1^-, \underline{x}) t^a V_{\underline{x}}[z_1^-, -\infty], \quad (8a)$$

$$V_{\underline{x}}^{T\perp} \equiv -\frac{g^2 (p_1^+)^2}{16 s^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz_1^- \int_{z_1^-}^{\infty} dz_2^- V_{\underline{x}}[\infty, z_2^-] t^b \psi_\beta(z_2^-, \underline{x}) U_{\underline{x}}^{ba}[z_2^-, z_1^-] \left[[i\underline{S} \cdot \underline{D}_x - \gamma^5 \underline{S} \times \underline{D}_x] \gamma^+ \gamma^- \right. \\ \left. + [i\underline{S} \cdot \underline{D}_x - \gamma^5 \underline{S} \times \underline{D}_x] \gamma^- \gamma^+ \right]_{\alpha\beta} \bar{\psi}_\alpha(z_1^-, \underline{x}) t^a V_{\underline{x}}[z_1^-, -\infty]. \quad (8b)$$

These enter the polarized dipole amplitudes for the transversity TMD as [3]

$$H_{10}^{1T,S}(z) \equiv \frac{1}{2N_c} \text{Im} \left\langle \left\langle \text{T tr} [V_{\underline{0}} V_{\underline{1}}^{T\dagger}] - \text{T tr} [V_{\underline{0}}^\dagger V_{\underline{1}}^T] \right\rangle \right\rangle_2, \quad (9a)$$

$$H_{10}^{2T,S}(z) \equiv \frac{1}{2N_c} \text{Re} \left\langle \left\langle \text{T tr} [V_{\underline{0}} V_{\underline{1}}^{T\perp\dagger}] + \text{T tr} [V_{\underline{0}}^\dagger V_{\underline{1}}^{T\perp}] \right\rangle \right\rangle_2, \quad (9b)$$

which we integrate over impact parameter to obtain

$$\int d^2 b_\perp H^{1T,S}(x_{10}, z) = H_{10}^{1T,S}(z) \quad (10a)$$

$$\int d^2 b_\perp H^{2T,S}(x_{10}, z) = H_{10}^{2T,S}(z). \quad (10b)$$

We can similarly define the polarized dipole amplitudes entering the pretzelocity TMD as

$$H_{10}^{1\perp,S}(z) \equiv \frac{1}{2N_c} \text{Im} \left\langle \left\langle \text{T tr} [V_{\underline{0}} V_{\underline{1}}^{T\dagger}] - \text{T tr} [V_{\underline{0}}^\dagger V_{\underline{1}}^T] \right\rangle \right\rangle_2, \quad (11a)$$

$$H_{10}^{2\perp,S}(z) \equiv \frac{1}{2N_c} \text{Re} \left\langle \left\langle \text{T tr} [V_{\underline{0}} V_{\underline{1}}^{T\perp\dagger}] + \text{T tr} [V_{\underline{0}}^\dagger V_{\underline{1}}^{T\perp}] \right\rangle \right\rangle_2, \quad (11b)$$

which we integrate over impact parameter to obtain

$$\int d^2 b_\perp H^{1\perp,S}(x_{10}, z) = H_{10}^{1\perp,S}(z) \quad (12a)$$

$$\int d^2 b_\perp H^{2\perp,S}(x_{10}, z) = H_{10}^{2\perp,S}(z). \quad (12b)$$

In the large- N_c limit, the dipole amplitudes $H^{1T,S}$, $H^{2T,S}$, $H^{1\perp,S}$, $H^{2\perp,S}$ each satisfy the following coupled pair of equations:

$$H^{1T,S}(x_{10}^2, z) = H^{1T,S(0)}(x_{10}^2, z) + \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi} \int_{\frac{\Delta^2}{s}}^z \frac{dz'}{z'} \int_{1/z's}^{x_{10}^2 z/z'} \frac{dx_{21}^2}{x_{21}^2} H^{1T,S}(x_{21}^2, z') \quad (13a)$$

$$+ \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi} \int_{\max\{1/x_{10}^2, \Lambda^2\}/s}^z \frac{dz'}{z'} \int_{1/z's}^{x_{10}^2} \frac{dx_{21}^2}{x_{21}^2} \left[H^{1T,S}(x_{21}^2, z') - \Gamma^{1T,S}(x_{10}^2, x_{21}^2, z') \right],$$

$$\Gamma^{1T,S}(x_{10}^2, x_{21}^2, z') = \Gamma^{1T,S(0)}(x_{10}^2, x_{21}^2, z') + \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi} \int_{\frac{\Delta^2}{s}}^{z'} \frac{dz''}{z''} \int_{1/z''s}^{x_{21}^2 z'/z''} \frac{dx_{32}^2}{x_{32}^2} H^{1T,S}(x_{32}^2, z'') \quad (13b)$$

$$+ \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi} \int_{\max\{1/x_{10}^2, \Lambda^2\}/s}^{z'} \frac{dz''}{z''} \int_{1/z''s}^{\min\{x_{10}^2, x_{21}^2 z'/z''\}} \frac{dx_{32}^2}{x_{32}^2} \left[H^{1T,S}(x_{32}^2, z'') - \Gamma^{1T,S}(x_{10}^2, x_{32}^2, z'') \right].$$

In order to determine the high-energy asymptotic solution of Eqs. (13), we make the changes of variables [4–8],

$$\eta^{(n)} = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi}} \ln \frac{z^{(n)} s}{\Lambda^2}, \quad s_{ij} = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi}} \ln \frac{1}{x_{ij}^2 \Lambda^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_{ij}^{(n)} = \eta^{(n)} - s_{ij}, \quad (14)$$

where the superscript, (n) , represents any number of primes, i.e. η , η' and η'' . Then, it is convenient to express the dipole amplitudes from Eqs. (13) such that

$$H^{1T,S}(x_{10}^2, z) \rightarrow H^{1T,S}(\zeta_{10}, \eta) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma^{1T,S}(x_{10}^2, x_{21}^2, z') \rightarrow \Gamma^{1T,S}(s_{10}, \zeta'_{21}, \eta'), \quad (15)$$

which turns the evolution equations (13) into

$$H^{1T,S}(\zeta_{10}, \eta) = H^{1T,S(0)}(\zeta_{10}, \eta) + \int_0^{\zeta_{10}} d\xi \int_{\max\{0, \eta - \zeta_{10} + \xi\}}^{\eta} d\eta' \left[H^{1T,S}(\xi, \eta') - \Gamma^{1T,S}(s_{10}, \xi, \eta') \right] \quad (16a)$$

$$+ \int_0^{\zeta_{10}} d\xi \int_0^{\eta} d\eta' H^{1T,S}(\xi, \eta'),$$

$$\Gamma^{1T,S}(s_{10}, \zeta'_{21}, \eta') = H^{1T,S(0)}(\eta' - s_{10}, \eta') + \int_0^{\zeta'_{21}} d\xi \int_{\max\{0, \xi + s_{10}\}}^{\eta'} d\eta'' \left[H^{1T,S}(\xi, \eta'') - \Gamma^{1T,S}(s_{10}, \xi, \eta'') \right] \quad (16b)$$

$$+ \int_0^{\zeta'_{21}} d\xi \int_0^{\eta'} d\eta'' H^{1T,S}(\xi, \eta'').$$

Then, we solve the evolution equations of this form in the Mellin space, considering three separate cases: (i) $x_{10}^2 \leq \frac{1}{\Lambda^2}$, (ii) $\frac{1}{\Lambda^2} < x_{10}^2 \leq x_{21}^2 z's/\Lambda^2$ and (iii) $x_{21}^2 z's < x_{10}^2 \Lambda^2$. Ultimately, all the cases result in the same conclusion, that the neighbor dipole amplitude behaves the same way as the ordinary dipole counterpart whose transverse size is determined by the transverse scale, x_{21} , typically characterizing the former's lifetime. This allows us to rewrite Eq. (16a) as

$$H^{1T,S}(\zeta_{10}, \eta) = H^{1T,S(0)}(\zeta_{10}, \eta) + \int_0^{\zeta_{10}} d\xi \int_0^{\eta} d\eta' H^{1T,S}(\xi, \eta'), \quad (17)$$

that is, the first integral on the right-hand side vanished. Equivalent to Eq. (11) in the main text, this evolution equation is identical to the one for flavor-nonsinglet helicity distribution, which has been shown in [9] to yield asymptotic solution,

$$H^{1T,S}(\zeta_{10}, \eta) \sim e^{2\sqrt{\eta\zeta_{10}}}, \quad (18)$$

at large $\eta\zeta_{10} = \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi} \ln \frac{zs}{\Lambda^2} \ln(zsx_{10}^2)$. This asymptotic solution dominates the inhomogeneous term, which usually grows at most linearly in ζ_{10} and η . In terms of the TMDs, we have the small- x asymptotic form,

$$h_{1T}^S(x, k_\perp^2) \sim h_{1T}^{\perp S}(x, k_\perp^2) \sim x \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{\sqrt{2\alpha_s N_c/\pi}}, \quad (19)$$

c.f. Eq. (12) in the main text. This implies that the flavor singlet transversity and pretzelosity TMDs have the same small- x asymptotic behaviors as their flavor non-singlet counterparts [3].

IV. BOER-MULDERS AND WORM-GEAR H TMDS

The Boer-Mulders and worm-gear H TMDs both contain the operators given in Eq. (8). For the Boer-Mulders TMD the polarized dipole amplitudes are defined as [3]

$$H_{10}^1(z) \equiv \frac{1}{2N_c} \text{Im} \left\langle \left\langle \text{T tr} \left[V_{\underline{0}} V_{\underline{1}}^{T\dagger} \right] - \text{T tr} \left[V_{\underline{0}}^\dagger V_{\underline{1}}^T \right] \right\rangle \right\rangle_2, \quad (20a)$$

$$H_{10}^2(z) \equiv \frac{1}{2N_c} \text{Re} \left\langle \left\langle \text{T tr} \left[V_{\underline{0}} V_{\underline{1}}^{T\perp\dagger} \right] + \text{T tr} \left[V_{\underline{0}}^\dagger V_{\underline{1}}^{T\perp} \right] \right\rangle \right\rangle_2, \quad (20b)$$

which when integrated over impact parameter yield the form

$$\int d^2b_\perp H_{10}^1(z) = (\underline{x}_{10} \times \underline{S}) H^1(x_{10}^2, z), \quad (21a)$$

$$\int d^2b_\perp H_{10}^2(z) = (\underline{x}_{10} \cdot \underline{S}) H^2(x_{10}^2, z). \quad (21b)$$

The similar amplitudes which enter the worm-gear H TMD are

$$H_{10}^1(z) = \frac{1}{2N_c} \sum_f \text{Re} \left\langle \left\langle \text{T tr} \left[V_{\underline{0}} V_{\underline{1}}^{T\dagger} \right] - \text{T tr} \left[V_{\underline{0}}^\dagger V_{\underline{1}}^T \right] \right\rangle \right\rangle_2, \quad (22a)$$

$$H_{10}^2(z) = \frac{1}{2N_c} \sum_f \text{Im} \left\langle \left\langle \text{T tr} \left[V_{\underline{0}} V_{\underline{1}}^{T\perp\dagger} \right] + \text{T tr} \left[V_{\underline{0}}^\dagger V_{\underline{1}}^{T\perp} \right] \right\rangle \right\rangle_2. \quad (22b)$$

These amplitudes integrate over impact parameter to give the same form as in Eq. (21).

-
- [1] I. Balitsky, Operator expansion for high-energy scattering, Nucl. Phys. **B463**, 99 (1996), arXiv:hep-ph/9509348 [hep-ph].
- [2] Y. V. Kovchegov and M. G. Santiago, T-odd leading-twist quark TMDs at small x , JHEP **11**, 098, arXiv:2209.03538 [hep-ph].
- [3] M. G. Santiago, Spin-spin coupling at small x : Worm-gear and pretzelosity TMDs, Phys. Rev. D **109**, 034004 (2024), arXiv:2310.02231 [hep-ph].
- [4] Y. V. Kovchegov, D. Pitonyak, and M. D. Sievert, Small- x Asymptotics of the Quark Helicity Distribution: Analytic Results, Phys. Lett. **B772**, 136 (2017), arXiv:1703.05809 [hep-ph].
- [5] Y. V. Kovchegov and Y. Tawabutr, Helicity at Small x : Oscillations Generated by Bringing Back the Quarks, JHEP **08**, 014, arXiv:2005.07285 [hep-ph].
- [6] F. Cougoulic, Y. V. Kovchegov, A. Tarasov, and Y. Tawabutr, Quark and gluon helicity evolution at small x : revised and updated, JHEP **07**, 095, arXiv:2204.11898 [hep-ph].
- [7] D. Adamiak, Y. V. Kovchegov, and Y. Tawabutr, Helicity evolution at small x : Revised asymptotic results at large N_c and N_f , Phys. Rev. D **108**, 054005 (2023), arXiv:2306.01651 [hep-ph].
- [8] D. Adamiak, N. Baldonado, Y. V. Kovchegov, W. Melnitchouk, D. Pitonyak, N. Sato, M. D. Sievert, A. Tarasov, and Y. Tawabutr (Jefferson Lab Angular Momentum (JAM)), Global analysis of polarized DIS and SIDIS data with improved small- x helicity evolution, Phys. Rev. D **108**, 114007 (2023), arXiv:2308.07461 [hep-ph].
- [9] Y. V. Kovchegov, D. Pitonyak, and M. D. Sievert, Helicity Evolution at Small x : Flavor Singlet and Non-Singlet Observables, Phys. Rev. **D95**, 014033 (2017), arXiv:1610.06197 [hep-ph].