jinns: a JAX Library for Physics-Informed Neural Networks

Hugo Gangloff Nicolas Jouvin MIA Paris-Saclay, INRAE, AgroParisTech, Université Paris-Saclay HUGO.GANGLOFF@INRAE.FR NICOLAS.JOUVIN@INRAE.FR

Abstract

jinns is an open-source Python library for physics-informed neural networks, built to tackle both forward and inverse problems, as well as meta-model learning. Rooted in the JAX ecosystem, it provides a versatile framework for efficiently prototyping real-problems, while easily allowing extensions to specific needs. Furthermore, the implementation leverages existing popular JAX libraries such as equinox and optax for model definition and optimisation, bringing a sense of familiarity to the user. Many models are available as baselines, and the documentation provides reference implementations of different use-cases along with step-by-step tutorials for extensions to specific needs. The code is available on Gitlab https://gitlab.com/mia_jinns/jinns.

Keywords: physics-informed neural networks, pinns, JAX, python, inverse problems

1 Introduction

In the past decade, physics-informed neural networks (PINNs, Raissi et al., 2019) have grown into an important area of machine learning, with many applications ranging from physics Karniadakis et al. (2021), to biology and ecology Daneker et al. (2023); Reyes et al. (2024). The general aim is to solve ordinary or partial differential equations with neural networks, by minimizing a loss accounting for the network's compliance to the prescribed dynamic. The differential operator governing the dynamic can be given and fixed or unknown, respectively leading to so-called *forward* and *backward* problems. One might also seek to solve an entire family of differential equations as in meta-modeling and operator learning (Li et al., 2020). The quick development of the literature has led to a myriad of research directions, from comparison to state-of-the-art numerical solvers to applications in real-world problems (Cuomo et al., 2022). For the general mathematical context we refer to Appendix A.

With this increased interest comes the need for efficient, open-source and reproducible software. Currently, several libraries have been deployed in different scientific programming languages and paradigms. We mention here, non-exhaustively, in both Julia and Python: the NeuralPDE (Zubov et al., 2021), Modulus (NVIDIA, 2023), PINA (Coscia et al., 2023), IDRLnet (Peng et al., 2021) and Elvet (Araz et al., 2021). At the time of writing, one of the most popular Python library is DeepXDE (Lu et al., 2021) that supports multiple backends: Tensorflow, PyTorch, Paddle and JAX.

Introduced a few years ago, the JAX Python library (Bradbury et al., 2024) stands out both for its computational performances, leveraging on *just-in-time* (JIT) compilation, and its functional programming style. This allows for effortless vectorization and intuitive automatic differentiation in both forward and reverse modes, which is especially relevant

^{©2024} Hugo Gangloff and Nicolas Jouvin.

License: CC-BY 4.0, see https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

GANGLOFF AND JOUVIN

when implementing partial derivatives. JAX has now grown into a large ecosystem for machine learning, with reference libraries for neural networks or optimization. However, there is no dedicated JAX libraries for PINNs, and, due to JAX technical constraints, DeepXDE cannot be used to its full extent with this backend, especially for inverse problems. Aiming at filling this gap, jinns is a JAX-library focusing on inverse problems and metamodeling. To the best of our knowledge, it is the first library for PINNs built solely on the JAX ecosystem, and providing hands-on implementation of refined PINNs architectures such as HyperPINNs or SeparablePINNs (de Avila Belbute-Peres et al., 2021; Cho et al., 2024).



Figure 1: Typical user workflow for jinns users.

2 Design and implementation

2.1 Organisation of the library

jinns is a Python library with compatibility for Python 3.11+ built on-top of the JAX ecosystem. It is focused on user-flexibility and reproducibility. As such, it provides a modular interface allowing to specify the learning problem, defining a loss, a set of training points, and the parameters of interest to be optimized. Importantly, jinns relies on pure JAX, without any internal redefinition of data structures and transformations, so that users may directly use, *e.g.*, **array** structures and jit or grad functions. The library is organized in modules dedicated to parts of the problem definition: the loss, the parameters, data generation, etc. Below is an overview of the different modules:

• data – This module handles the collocation points of the differential equation, as well as parameters and observed data for meta-modeling or inverse problems. Basic

geometries such as cubic domains are implemented and users can define their own, or even use a pre-defined set of points, such as a pre-defined mesh. This module provides classes that can be thought of as equivalent to dataloaders.

- **parameters** This module provides the **Params** class, a specific module for the parameters of the problem. We explicitly distinguish between equation parameters, defining the differential operator, and the neural network's weights and biases, allowing to handle different scenarios of interest. In addition, an optional **DerivativeKeys** mask can be provided specifying how the different loss components should be differentiated with respect to the parameters.
- loss This module provides an API to define PDE-related loss (DynamicLoss) of the problem. The package handles vectorization over batch dimensions, hence users only have to implement the differential operator at one collocation points, as if they were writing the mathematical equation. In addition, this module implements standard differential operators such as divergence or Laplacian, as demonstrated in Appendix B. Both forward or reverse differentiation modes are available, allowing speed-ups based on the PINN architecture. Then, the global loss can be constructed, including initial or boundary conditions, and observations if any. A default class is provided for each type of differential equation, along with popular equations readily available in the package.
- **utils** This module provides utility functions to create neural networks frequently used in the PINN litterature such as vanilla PINNs,¹ Separable PINNs (SPINN, Cho et al., 2024) or HyperPINNs (de Avila Belbute-Peres et al., 2021). Importantly, jinns does not implement its own neural network module, but rather relies on the equinox package and its Module class. Hence, users may design their own architecture in a transparent fashion.

2.2 Basic usage

Figure 1 describes jinns' workflow which breaks out as follows:

Defining the problem – First, users have to define their problem, specifying the data generation mechanism for collocation points, and possibly for observed data points. Then, users need to implement the differential equation, as well as the boundary and initial conditions, if any.

Defining the parameters – In jinns, parameters of interests are explicitly divided into two types: the neural network weights and biases ν and the differential operator parameters θ . For advanced problems, the custom class jinns.parameters.DerivativeKeys let users specify a derivative mask for the parameters. The latter allows complete granularity as to which term of the loss should be differentiated with respect to which parameters. For example, in some inverse problems, one could need to differentiate the physics-term of the loss only with respect to the nn_params (ν), the eq_params (θ), or both. Finally, equation

^{1.} This corresponds to a multi-layer perceptron, where the input layer dimension depends on the differential equation t, x or (t, x).

parameters may depend on both time and space in a transparent fashion, which is handy for inhomegeneous equations.

Solving – The jinns.solve function performs the main optimization loop with respect to the parameters, handling forward and inverse problems as well as meta-modeling in a common API, and optionally using derivative masks specified by the user. Optimization in itself relies on optax, the reference JAX library for gradient-based optimization, and users are free to use any optimizer. The parameters and each term composing the loss may be monitored independently, facilitating diagnostic. Additionally, a custom validation step can be implemented separately and called periodically during training.

2.3 Extensions

The package is designed to be modular, and abstract classes are available for users to define their own data generation scheme, losses, PINN architectures, validation criterion, etc. On the technical side, JAX transforms such as jit or grad requires custom objects to be *registered as PyTrees*. In jinns, this is done by inheriting from the equinox.Module class which handles PyTree registration in a transparent fashion, and any extension or custom implementation should be compatible with JAX transformations.

2.4 Code quality

The source code follows best practices for Python software development and PEP 8 conventions. All custom classes and methods are documented and systematic type hinting improves readability of the code.

Documentation The package comes with a static documentation website for its API at https://mia_jinns.gitlab.io/jinns/, automatically updated by the Gitlab pipeline after each version update. In addition, tutorials are available for many aspects of the library, from introductory to advanced. Finally, we provide dozens of Jupyter notebook examples reproducing results from the literature.

Testing The development takes place on Gitlab, with a read-only Github mirror for software dissemination. The source code is thoroughly tested by unit testing triggered by each merge request in our continuous integration pipeline on Gitlab.

2.5 Benchmark

We used a community established benchmark (PINNacle, Hao et al., 2023) to compare jinns with the following popular frameworks: DeepXDE, PINA and Modulus. Timing and estimation performances can be found in App. C, as well as technical details. Overall, jinns compares favorably to the other libraries, in particular, it is the fastest library for inverse problems. The reproducible benchmark code is available as a dedicated Gitlab repository: https://gitlab.com/mia_jinns/pinn-multi-library-benchmark.

3 Conclusion

jinns is a fast growing JAX library for physics-informed machine learning problems, with a strong focus on providing a flexible and modular interface for inverse problems and metamodeling. It compares favorably to existing libraries on community established benchmark problems.

Acknowledgments and Disclosure of Funding

The authors would like to thank Lucia Clarotto, Pierre Gloaguen, Jean-Benoist Léger, Inass Soukarieh, and Achille Thin for their inputs on several aspects of the project.

Appendix A. Context

Physics-informed machine learning problems are described by a differential operator \mathcal{N}_{θ} , defining the differential equation with parameters θ . The goal is then to learn the weights and biases ν of a neural network so that it respects the prescribed equation. This can be summarized as a regression problem $\mathcal{N}_{\theta}[u_{\nu}] \approx 0$, where the residuals of the differential operator are computed on so-called collocation points. The latter can be sampled on the domain, with uniform or more refined scheme, or even prescribed by users beforehand. Additionally, one could have initial or boundary conditions, as well as noisy observations of the solution u at some locations to be fitted. All this constraints are included in a global loss $\mathcal{L}(\nu, \theta)$ to be minimized. Then, there are several scenarios of interests: forward problems consist in minimizing the loss with respect to the network parameters θ . In addition, metamodeling focuses on the task of learning a network u_{ν} outputting a solution for a family of parametric PDEs indexed by θ .

Appendix B. PDE-related loss implementation in jinns

In this section, we illustrate the implementation of the PDE residuals in jinns on three different problems: a linear first order differential equation, a Poisson equation and a Fisher-KPP equation. The code uses JAX's functional programming style, with readily available automatic differentiation. Thanks to jinns internal handling of vectorization via jax.vmap, there is no need to handle the batch dimension so that users may focus on the PDE residuals at one point of the domain. Note that the class implementing the physics-related losses inherits from one of the three : jinns.loss.ODE, jinns.loss.PDEStatio or jinns.loss.PDEStatio classes, which are internal abstract Python dataclass registered as PyTrees thanks to equinox.Module.

B.1 Linear first order differential equation

In Code 1, we show the definition in jinns of the dynamic loss in the case of a linear first order differential equation. The mathematical problem reads:

$$\forall t \in [0, T], \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}u(t) - au(t) = 0, \tag{1}$$

```
with a coefficient \theta = a \in \mathbb{R}.
```

```
class Linear_ODE(jinns.loss.ODE):
    def equation(self, t, u, params):
        du_dt = jax.grad(u, 0)
        return du_dt(t, params) - params.eq_params["a"] * u(t, params)
```

Code 1: Implementation of a linear first order differential equation in jinns.

B.2 2D Poisson equation

In Code 2, we show the definition, in jinns, of the PDE-related loss in the case of the 2D Poisson equation. Recall that the mathematical problem reads:

$$\forall (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad -\nabla \cdot (a(x,y)\nabla u(x,y)) = f(x,y), \tag{2}$$

where a is an heterogeneous diffusion coefficient varying in space. Note that thanks to

```
def source_term(xy):
    x, y = xy[0], xy[1]
    res = # TODO: implement your source term
    return res
class PoissonLoss2D(jinns.loss.PDEStatio):
    def equation(self, x, u, params):
        u_pinn = lambda x, params: u(x, params)
        a_times_jac_u = lambda x, params: (
            params.eq_params["a"](x, params) *
            jax.jacrev(u_pinn, 0)(x, params)
        )
        return jinns.loss.divergence_rev(
            x, a_times_jac_u, params, eq_type="statio_PDE"
        ) + source_term(x, params)
```

Code 2: Implementation of a Poisson equation in jinns.

jinns internal loss abstract definitions, the heterogeneous diffusion coefficient a(x, y) is simply defined via the attribute eq_params_heterogeneity (see the jinns documentation for more details).

B.3 Fisher KPP

In Codes 3 and 4, we show the definition, in jinns, of the PDE-related loss in the case of a Fisher KPP problem in arbitrary dimension (dim_x), using both forward and reverse automatic differentiation. The forward case would for example match the SPINN models while

the reverse case is the loss associated to vanilla PINN models. Recall that the mathematical problem reads:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(t,x) = D\Delta u(t,x) + u(t,x)(r - \gamma u(t,x)), \tag{3}$$

where D, r and γ are, respectively, the diffusion coefficient, the growth rate and the competition-effect parameters. Those parameters could easily be defined as functions depending on the space, time or other covariates (see previous section).

Appendix C. PINN multi-library benchmark

C.1 Description

We propose a short benchmark study comparing jinns and three other popular PINN libraries in Python: DeepXDE (Lu et al., 2021), PINA (Coscia et al., 2023) and Modulus (NVIDIA, 2023). Several benchmark studies were recently proposed in the PINN litterature, such as PDEBench (Takamoto et al., 2022) and PINNacle (Hao et al., 2023). While the latter addresses an extensive list of PDE problems using a variety of PINN models, the authors only used the DeepXDE library. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study comparing several libraries.

We consider a selected list of PDE problems, addressing both forward and inverse problems, with an experimental set-up described in the following section. From PINNacle (Hao et al., 2023), we reproduce

- Burgers1D Section 1 of Appendix B of the paper,
- 2D Navier-Stokes lid-driven flow Section 11 of Appendix B of the paper,
- Poisson Inverse Section 21 of Appendix B of the paper.

From the DeepXDE documentation² we reproduce

- Diffusion-Reaction inverse Described at https://deepxde.readthedocs.io/ en/latest/demos/pinn_inverse/reaction.inverse.html,
- Navier-Stokes inverse Described in Raissi et al. (2019, Section 4.1.1).

All the code and instructions for reproducing our experiments described are available on a standalone repository at https://gitlab.com/mia_jinns/pinn-multi-library-benchmark. Contributions are appreciated and encouraged to enrich and improve this benchmark study.

C.2 Experimental set-up

Experiments were carried out on a local laptop equipped with a Nvidia T600 GPU. All hyper-parameters are equal across all libraries and clearly indicated at the beginning of each script. We only compared performances using a vanilla PINN architecture (multilayered Perceptron), a fixed optimizer, and using regularly spaced collocation points over the domain, except for Modulus, where this option does not exist and collocation points are

 $^{2. \ {\}tt https://deepxde.readthedocs.io/en/latest/demos/pinn_inverse.html}$

```
class FisherKPP_reverseAD(jinns.loss.PDENonStatio):
    def equation(self, t_x, u, params):
        du_dt = grad(u)(t_x, params)[0]
        lap = jinns.loss.laplacian_rev(
            t_x, u, params, eq_type="nonstatio_PDE"
        )[..., None]
        return (du_dt - params.eq_params["D"] * lap
            - u(t_x, params)
            * (params.eq_params["r"] - params.eq_params["g"]
            * u(t_x, params))
        )
```

Code 3: Implementation of a Fisher KPP PDE in jinns using reverse-mode automatic differentiation.

```
class FisherKPP_forwardAD(jinns.loss.PDENonStatio):
    Implementation of the Fisher KPP equation with forward AD
    to be used in the context of SPINN
    .....
    dim_x: int = eqx.field(default=1, static=True)
    def equation(self, t_x, u, params):
        s = jnp.zeros((1, self.dim_x + 1))
        s = s.at[0].set(1.0)
        u_tx, du_dt = jax.jvp(
            lambda t_x: u(t_x, params),
            (t_x,),
            (jnp.repeat(s, t_x.shape[0], axis=0),), # tangent vector
        )
        lap = jinns.loss.laplacian_fwd(
            t_x, u, params, eq_type="nonstatio_PDE"
        )
        return (du_dt - params.eq_params["D"] * lap
            — u_tx * (params.eq_params["r"] — params.eq_params["g"]
            * u_tx)
        )
```

Code 4: Implementation of a Fisher KPP PDE in jinns using forward-mode automatic differentiation. Depending on the chosen architecture for u, *e.g.* separable PINN, this can lead to important computational gains.

sampled from a uniform distribution. We emphasize that the original PINNacle benchmark compares different architectures, sampling schemes, optimizers and even loss function, which is beyond the scope of this short study here.

C.3 Results

Table 1 presents training time (in seconds), while Tables 2 and 3 gives the L1 and L2 *relative* error respectively. For forward problems, the error metrics are computed between the estimated PINN \hat{u} and the reference solution u^* on a set of validation points. In inverse problems, we report the estimation error on the equation parameters $\hat{\theta}$ and θ^* . For the two last lines, the error are reported for the two scalar parameters of interested, hence the identical lines in Tables 2 and 3.

Looking at the results, some comments are in order. First of all, all experiments are reproducible by all libraries, with the notable exception of DeepXDE JAX backend which cannot handle inverse problems. Estimation errors vary between methods, which is a consequence of backend and implementation differences, but overall they all remain on the same scale, highlighting the consistency of each implementation. Second, jinns seems to be the fastest library for PINN modeling, especially when it comes to inverse problems with up to one order of magnitude ($\times 10$) speed-up for computations. Third, concerning implementation, each library has its own strength. For example, we found that DeepXDE has the most concise way of defining problems; while jinns allows more granularity on the optimization, especially with its DerivativeKeys option.

Finally, on a technical note, it is interesting to notice that we followed the experimental setup of PINNacle where mini-batching, either on collocation points, observations, or both, and stochastic optimization were not used. Such a feature is available in all libraries and could be useful to improve some timings and estimated solutions.

	iinns	Dee	epXDE	PINA	NVIDIA Modulus	
	J 11110	JAX	Pytorch	1 11 11		
Burgers1D	445	723	671	1977	646	
NS2d-C	265	278	441	1600	275	
PInv	149	218	CC	1509	135	
Diffusion-Reaction-Inv	284	NI	3424	4061	2541	
Navier-Stokes-Inv	175	NI	1511	1403	498	

Table 1: Training time in seconds on a Nvidia T600 GPU. NI means problem cannot be implemented in the backend, CC means the code crashed.

	iinns	Ι	DeepXDE	PINA	NVIDIA Modulus	
	5	JAX	Pytorch	1 11 (11		
Burgers1D	0.013	0.011	0.012	0.040	0.019	
NS2d-C	0.031	0.064	0.070	0.385	0.076	
PInv	0.070	0.170	CC	0.067	0.034	
Diffusion-Reaction-Inv	(0.016, 0.013)	NI	(0.005, 0.017)	(0.024, 0.028)	(0.018, 0.084)	
Navier-Stokes-Inv	(0.007, 0.015)	NI	(0.00 , 0.010)	(0.001, 0.054)	(0.024, 0.027)	

Table 2:	L1	relative	error	with	respect	to	ground	truth.	NI	means	problem	cannot	be
	im	plemente	d in tl	he ba	ckend, C	Сn	neans the	e code c	rash	ied.			

	iinns	Ι	DeepXDE	PINA	NVIDIA Modulus	
	5	JAX	Pytorch			
Burgers1D	0.050	0.035	0.020	0.147	0.101	
NS2d-C	0.064	0.105	0.105	0.623	0.125	
PInv	0.088	0.262	CC	0.083	0.043	
Diffusion-Reaction-Inv	(0.016, 0.013)	NI	(0.005 , 0.017)	(0.024, 0.028)	(0.018, 0.084)	
Navier-Stokes-Inv	(0.007, 0.015)	NI	(0.00 , 0.010)	(0.001, 0.054)	(0.024, 0.027)	

Table 3: L2 relative error with respect to ground truth. NI means problem cannot be implemented in the backend, CC means the code crashed.

References

- Jack Y. Araz, Juan Carlos Criado, and Michael Spannwosky. Elvet a neural network-based differential equation and variational problem solver, 2021.
- James Bradbury, Roy Frostig, Peter Hawkins, Matthew James Johnson, Chris Leary, Dougal Maclaurin, George Necula, Adam Paszke, Jake VanderPlas, Skye Wanderman-Milne, and Qiao Zhang. JAX: composable transformations of Python+NumPy programs, 2024. URL http://github.com/google/jax.
- Junwoo Cho, Seungtae Nam, Hyunmo Yang, Seok-Bae Yun, Youngjoon Hong, and Eunbyung Park. Separable physics-informed neural networks. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 36, 2024.
- Dario Coscia, Anna Ivagnes, Nicola Demo, and Gianluigi Rozza. Physics-informed neural networks for advanced modeling. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 8(87):5352, 2023. doi: 10.21105/joss.05352. URL https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.05352.
- Salvatore Cuomo, Vincenzo Schiano Di Cola, Fabio Giampaolo, Gianluigi Rozza, Maziar Raissi, and Francesco Piccialli. Scientific machine learning through physics-informed neural networks: Where we are and what's next. *Journal of Scientific Computing*, 92(3): 88, 2022.
- Mitchell Daneker, Zhen Zhang, George Em Karniadakis, and Lu Lu. Systems biology: Identifiability analysis and parameter identification via systems-biology-informed neural networks. In *Computational Modeling of Signaling Networks*, pages 87–105. Springer, 2023.
- Filipe de Avila Belbute-Peres, Yi-fan Chen, and Fei Sha. Hyperpinn: Learning parameterized differential equations with physics-informed hypernetworks. *The symbiosis of deep learning and differential equations*, 690, 2021.
- Zhongkai Hao, Jiachen Yao, Chang Su, Hang Su, Ziao Wang, Fanzhi Lu, Zeyu Xia, Yichi Zhang, Songming Liu, Lu Lu, et al. Pinnacle: A comprehensive benchmark of physicsinformed neural networks for solving pdes. arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.08827, 2023.
- George Em Karniadakis, Ioannis G Kevrekidis, Lu Lu, Paris Perdikaris, Sifan Wang, and Liu Yang. Physics-informed machine learning. *Nature Reviews Physics*, 3(6):422–440, 2021.
- Zongyi Li, Nikola Kovachki, Kamyar Azizzadenesheli, Burigede Liu, Kaushik Bhattacharya, Andrew Stuart, and Anima Anandkumar. Fourier neural operator for parametric partial differential equations. arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.08895, 2020.
- Lu Lu, Xuhui Meng, Zhiping Mao, and George Em Karniadakis. DeepXDE: A deep learning library for solving differential equations. SIAM Review, 63(1):208–228, 2021. doi: 10. 1137/19M1274067.
- NVIDIA. Nvidia modulus, 2023. URL https://github.com/NVIDIA/modulus.

- Wei Peng, Jun Zhang, Weien Zhou, Xiaoyu Zhao, Wen Yao, and Xiaoqian Chen. Idrlnet: A physics-informed neural network library. 2021.
- Maziar Raissi, Paris Perdikaris, and George E Karniadakis. Physics-informed neural networks: A deep learning framework for solving forward and inverse problems involving nonlinear partial differential equations. *Journal of Computational physics*, 378:686–707, 2019.
- Juan Francisco Mandujano Reyes, Ting Fung Ma, Ian P McGahan, Daniel J Storm, Daniel P Walsh, and Jun Zhu. Spatio-temporal ecological models via physics-informed neural networks for studying chronic wasting disease. *Spatial Statistics*, 62:100850, 2024.
- Makoto Takamoto, Timothy Praditia, Raphael Leiteritz, Daniel MacKinlay, Francesco Alesiani, Dirk Pflüger, and Mathias Niepert. Pdebench: An extensive benchmark for scientific machine learning. In S. Koyejo, S. Mohamed, A. Agarwal, D. Belgrave, K. Cho, and A. Oh, editors, Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, volume 35, pages 1596-1611. Curran Associates, Inc., 2022. URL https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2022/file/ 0a9747136d411fb83f0cf81820d44afb-Paper-Datasets_and_Benchmarks.pdf.
- Kirill Zubov, Zoe McCarthy, Yingbo Ma, Francesco Calisto, Valerio Pagliarino, Simone Azeglio, Luca Bottero, Emmanuel Luján, Valentin Sulzer, Ashutosh Bharambe, Nand Vinchhi, Kaushik Balakrishnan, Devesh Upadhyay, and Chris Rackauckas. Neuralpde: Automating physics-informed neural networks (pinns) with error approximations, 2021. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.09443.