

η -Ricci solitons and η -Einstein metrics on weak β -Kenmotsu f -manifolds

Vladimir Rovenski *

Abstract

The study is motivated by the interest in metric f -contact geometry and Ricci-type solitons in theoretical physics and geometry. Weak f -structures on a smooth manifold M^{2n+s} ($s > 1$) have been introduced by V. Rovenski and R. Wolak as a generalization of f -structures by K. Yano. In this paper, we introduce new structures of this kind called weak β -Kenmotsu f -structures as a generalization of the concept by K. Kenmotsu (and its extensions by other geometers) and explore their properties and geometrical interpretations. The paper demonstrates that a weak β -Kenmotsu f -manifold is locally a twisted/warped product of \mathbb{R}^s and a weak Kählerian manifold. It also shows that such manifolds with constant β and an η -Ricci soliton structure have constant scalar curvature and can be η -Einstein manifolds.

Keywords: Twisted product, β -Kenmotsu f -manifold, η -Einstein manifold, η -Ricci soliton

1 Introduction

Contact geometry is of growing interest due to its important role in theoretical physics. In addition, many recent articles have been motivated by the question: how interesting Ricci solitons – self-similar solutions of the Ricci flow equation, which naturally generalize Einstein metrics – might be for geometry of contact metric manifolds. There are no Einstein metrics on some compact manifolds, which motivates the study of more general metrics. Cho-Kimura [2] generalized the notion of Ricci soliton to η -Ricci soliton:

$$\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_V g + \text{Ric} + \lambda g + \mu \eta \otimes \eta = 0, \quad (1)$$

where Ric is the Ricci tensor, \mathcal{L}_V is the Lie derivative in the direction of the vector field V , and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$. If V is a Killing vector field, then (1) reduces to η -Einstein metric, $\text{Ric} = \lambda g + \mu \eta \otimes \eta$. Some authors consider a question (see [2, 6, 7]): when a contact metric manifold (e.g., a Kenmotsu manifold, see [9, 11]) equipped with a Ricci-type soliton structure carries an Einstein-type metric?

A metric f -structure on a $(2n + s)$ -dimensional smooth manifold is a higher dimensional analog of a contact metric structure, defined by a $(1,1)$ -tensor f of constant rank $2n$, which satisfies $f^3 + f = 0$, and orthonormal vector fields $\{\xi_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq s}$ spanning the $2n$ -dimensional characteristic distribution, $\ker f$, see [1, 21]. A special class of metric f -manifolds, known as β -Kenmotsu f -manifolds (Kenmotsu manifolds when $s = \beta = 1$), was characterized in terms of warped products of the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^s and a Kähler manifold (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) , see [3, 4, 19]. The notion of a twisted/warped product is popular in differential geometry as well as in general relativity.

In [15], we introduced new metric structures on manifolds that generalize the metric f -structure and its satellites such as \mathcal{C} -, \mathcal{S} -, \mathcal{K} - and f - \mathcal{K} - contact structures. Such so-called “weak” structures (i.e., the complex structure on the contact distribution is replaced by a nonsingular skew-symmetric tensor) are useful for studying totally geodesic and totally umbilical foliations, Killing fields and partial curvature, and let us take a fresh look at the theory of classical structures and find new

*Department of Mathematics, University of Haifa, Mount Carmel, 3498838 Haifa, Israel
e-mail: vrovenski@univ.haifa.ac.il

applications. In [16] we proved that the classical \mathcal{S} -structure is rigid in the class of weak \mathcal{S} -manifolds, and a weak metric f -structure with parallel tensor f is a weak \mathcal{C} -structure. In [17], we got a topological obstruction to the existence of weak f -K-contact manifolds. In [18], we generalized for weak metric f -structure concepts of η -Einstein manifolds (see also [3, 10] for \mathcal{S} -manifolds and metric $f.pk$ -manifolds) and η -Ricci solitons, studied the Ricci curvature of a weak f -K-contact manifold and answered the questions when such a manifold (i) carries a generalized Ricci soliton or just a gradient quasi Einstein metric, (ii) carries an η -Ricci soliton or just an η -Einstein metric.

Definition 1. An η -Ricci soliton is a weak metric f -manifold $M^{2n+s}(f, Q, \xi_i, \eta^i, g)$ satisfying

$$(1/2) \mathcal{L}_V g + \text{Ric} = \lambda g + \mu \sum_i \eta^i \otimes \eta^i + (\lambda + \mu) \sum_{i \neq j} \eta^i \otimes \eta^j \quad (2)$$

for some smooth vector field V on M and functions $\lambda, \mu \in C^\infty(M)$. A weak metric f -manifold $M^{2n+s}(f, Q, \xi_i, \eta^i, g)$ is said to be η -Einstein, if

$$\text{Ric} = a g + b \sum_i \eta^i \otimes \eta^i + (a + b) \sum_{i \neq j} \eta^i \otimes \eta^j \quad \text{for some } a, b \in C^\infty(M). \quad (3)$$

Remark 1.1. For a Killing vector field V , i.e., $\mathcal{L}_V g = 0$, equation (2) reduces to (3). Taking the trace of (3), gives the scalar curvature $r = (2n + s)a + sb$. For $s = 1$ and $Q = \text{id}$, (3) and (2) give the well-known definitions, see Introduction: from (3) we get an η -Einstein structure $\text{Ric} = a g + b \eta \otimes \eta$, and (2) gives an η -Ricci soliton $\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_V g + \text{Ric} = \lambda g + \mu \eta \otimes \eta$ on an almost contact metric manifold.

This paper generalizes some results in [12, 13] (where $s = 1$) and consists of an introduction and three sections. In Section 2, we survey the basics of a weak metric f -structure. In Section 3, we introduce a weak β -Kenmotsu f -structure, derive its fundamental properties (Theorem 3.1) and give its geometrical interpretation (Theorem 3.2) that a weak β -Kenmotsu f -manifold is locally a twisted/warped product of a real line and a weak Kählerian manifold. We also show (Theorem 3.3) that a weak β -Kenmotsu f -manifold with ξ -parallel Ricci tensor is an η -Einstein manifold. In Section 4, we study the question: *What are weak β -Kenmotsu f -manifolds, whose metrics represent η -Ricci solitons?* We prove (Theorem 4.1) that an η -Einstein weak β -Kenmotsu f -manifold with $\beta = \text{const} \neq 0$ equipped with an η -Ricci soliton structure is an Einstein manifold. We supplement this result with the cases, when the nonzero potential vector field (of an η -Ricci soliton) is a contact vector field (Theorem 4.2), or is collinear to $\sum_i \xi_i$ (Theorem 4.3). Some results in Sections 3-4 are obtained under the assumption that $\beta = \text{const}$, but the reader can easily extend them to the case where β is a nonzero smooth function on M .

2 Preliminaries: weak metric f -manifolds

Here, we survey the basics of a weak metric f -structure as a higher dimensional analog of a weak almost contact metric structure (see [15, 16]). We will use Einstein's summation convention for upper and lower repeating indices.

First, we generalize the notion of framed f -structure [1, 8, 21] called $f.pk$ -structure in [4].

Definition 2. A weak f -structure on a smooth manifold M^{2n+s} ($n > 0, s > 1$) is given by a $(1, 1)$ -tensor f of rank $2n$ and a nonsingular $(1, 1)$ -tensor Q satisfying $f^3 + fQ = 0$. A framed weak f -structure on M^{2n+s} is a set (f, Q, ξ_i, η^i) , where ξ_i ($1 \leq i \leq s$) are characteristic vector fields and η^i are 1-forms, satisfying

$$f^2 = -Q + \eta^i \otimes \xi_i, \quad \eta^i(\xi_j) = \delta_j^i, \quad Q \xi_i = \xi_i. \quad (4)$$

Assume that a $2n$ -dimensional contact distribution $\mathcal{D} := \bigcap_i \ker \eta^i$ is f -invariant. For a framed weak f -structure on a manifold M^{2n+s} , the tensor f has rank $2n$, thus $\mathcal{D} = f(TM)$, and

$$f \xi_i = 0, \quad \eta^i \circ f = 0, \quad \eta^i \circ Q = \eta^i, \quad [Q, f] = 0.$$

By the above, the distribution $\mathcal{D}^\perp = \ker f$ is spanned by $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_s\}$ and is invariant for Q .

A framed weak f -structure (f, Q, ξ_i, η^i) is called *normal* if the following tensor is zero:

$$\mathcal{N}^{(1)}(X, Y) = [f, f](X, Y) + 2 d\eta^i(X, Y) \xi_i, \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}_M,$$

where the Nijenhuis torsion of a (1,1)-tensor S and the exterior derivative of a 1-form ω are given by

$$\begin{aligned} [S, S](X, Y) &= S^2[X, Y] + [SX, SY] - S[SX, Y] - S[X, SY], \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}_M, \\ d\omega(X, Y) &= \frac{1}{2} \{X(\omega(Y)) - Y(\omega(X)) - \omega([X, Y])\}, \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}_M. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Using the Levi-Civita connection ∇ of g , one can rewrite $[S, S]$ as

$$[S, S](X, Y) = (S\nabla_Y S - \nabla_{SY} S)X - (S\nabla_X S - \nabla_{SX} S)Y. \quad (6)$$

The following tensors $\mathcal{N}_i^{(2)}$, $\mathcal{N}_i^{(3)}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{ij}^{(4)}$ on framed weak f -manifolds, see [16, 18], are well known in the classical theory, see [1]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_i^{(2)}(X, Y) &= (\mathcal{L}_{fX} \eta^i)(Y) - (\mathcal{L}_{fY} \eta^i)(X) = 2 d\eta^i(fX, Y) - 2 d\eta^i(fY, X), \\ \mathcal{N}_i^{(3)}(X) &= (\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} f)X = [\xi_i, fX] - f[\xi_i, X], \\ \mathcal{N}_{ij}^{(4)}(X) &= (\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} \eta^j)(X) = \xi_i(\eta^j(X)) - \eta^j([\xi_i, X]) = 2 d\eta^j(\xi_i, X). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.1. Let $M^{2n+s}(f, Q, \xi_i, \eta^i)$ be a framed weak f -manifold. Consider the product manifold $\bar{M} = M^{2n+s} \times \mathbb{R}^s$, where \mathbb{R}^s is a Euclidean space with a basis $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_s$, and define tensors J and \bar{Q} on \bar{M} putting $J(X, a^i \partial_i) = (fX - a^i \xi_i, \eta^j(X) \partial_j)$ and $\bar{Q}(X, a^i \partial_i) = (QX, a^i \partial_i)$, where $a_i \in C^\infty(M)$. It can be verified that $J^2 = -\bar{Q}$. The tensors $\mathcal{N}^{(1)}, \mathcal{N}_i^{(2)}, \mathcal{N}_i^{(3)}, \mathcal{N}_{ij}^{(4)}$ appear when we derive the integrability condition $[J, J] = 0$ of J and express the normality condition $\mathcal{N}^{(1)} = 0$ of (f, Q, ξ_i, η^i) .

Definition 3. If there exists a Riemannian metric g on M^{2n+s} such that

$$g(fX, fY) = g(X, QY) - \sum_i \eta^i(X) \eta^i(Y), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}_M, \quad (7)$$

then (f, Q, ξ_i, η^i, g) is called a *weak metric f -structure* on M , g is called a *compatible metric*, and $M^{2n+s}(f, Q, \xi_i, \eta^i, g)$ is called a *metric weak f -manifold*.

Conditions for the existence of compatible metrics on a framed weak f -manifold are given in [15]. For a weak metric f -structure, the tensor f is skew-symmetric and Q is self-adjoint. Putting $Y = \xi_i$ in (7) and using $Q \xi_i = \xi_i$, we get $\eta^i(X) = g(X, \xi_i)$. Thus, ξ_i is g -orthogonal to \mathcal{D} for any compatible metric g . Therefore, TM splits as complementary orthogonal sum of its subbundles \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{D}^\perp .

A distribution $\mathcal{D}^\perp \subset TM$ (integrable or not) is totally geodesic if and only if its second fundamental form vanishes, i.e., $\nabla_X Y + \nabla_Y X \in \mathcal{D}^\perp$ for any vector fields $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}^\perp$ – this is the case when any geodesic of M that is tangent to \mathcal{D}^\perp at one point is tangent to \mathcal{D}^\perp at all its points. According to the Frobenius theorem, any involutive distribution is integrable, i.e., it touches the leaves of the foliation. Any integrable and totally geodesic distribution determines a totally geodesic foliation.

Proposition 2.1 (see [16]). *The normality condition for a weak metric f -structure implies*

$$\mathcal{N}_i^{(3)} = \mathcal{N}_{ij}^{(4)} = 0, \quad \mathcal{N}_i^{(2)}(X, Y) = \eta^i([\tilde{Q}X, fY]), \quad (8)$$

$$\nabla_{\xi_i} \xi_j \in \mathcal{D}, \quad [X, \xi_i] \in \mathcal{D} \quad (X \in \mathcal{D}). \quad (9)$$

Moreover, \mathcal{D}^\perp is a totally geodesic distribution.

The fundamental 2-form Φ on $M^{2n+s}(f, Q, \xi_i, \eta^i, g)$ is defined by

$$\Phi(X, Y) = g(X, fY), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}_M.$$

Recall the co-boundary formula for exterior derivative d on a 2-form Φ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 3d\Phi(X, Y, Z) &= X\Phi(Y, Z) + Y\Phi(Z, X) + Z\Phi(X, Y) \\ &\quad - \Phi([X, Y], Z) - \Phi([Z, X], Y) - \Phi([Y, Z], X). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Proposition 2.2 (see [16]). *For a metric weak f -structure we get*

$$\begin{aligned} 2g((\nabla_X f)Y, Z) &= 3d\Phi(X, fY, fZ) - 3d\Phi(X, Y, Z) + g(\mathcal{N}^{(1)}(Y, Z), fX) \\ &\quad + \sum_i \mathcal{N}_i^{(2)}(Y, Z)\eta^i(X) + 2d\eta^i(fY, X)\eta^i(Z) - 2d\eta^i(fZ, X)\eta^i(Y) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{N}^{(5)}(X, Y, Z), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where a skew-symmetric with respect to Y and Z tensor $\mathcal{N}^{(5)}(X, Y, Z)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}^{(5)}(X, Y, Z) &= fZ(g(X, \tilde{Q}Y)) - fY(g(X, \tilde{Q}Z)) \\ &\quad + g([X, fZ], \tilde{Q}Y) - g([X, fY], \tilde{Q}Z) + g([Y, fZ] - [Z, fY] - f[Y, Z], \tilde{Q}X). \end{aligned}$$

3 Geometry of weak β -Kenmotsu f -manifolds

In the following definition, we generalize the notions of β -Kenmotsu manifolds ($s = 1$) and Kenmotsu f -manifolds ($\beta = 1, s > 1$), see [3, 4, 5, 19], and weak β -Kenmotsu manifolds ($s = 1$), see [13].

Definition 4. A normal (i.e., $\mathcal{N}^{(1)} = 0$) weak metric f -manifold $M^{2n+s}(f, Q, \xi_i, \eta^i, g)$ will be called a *weak β -Kenmotsu f -manifold* (a *weak Kenmotsu f -manifold* when $\beta \equiv 1$) if

$$(\nabla_X f)Y = \beta\{g(fX, Y)\bar{\xi} - \bar{\eta}(Y)fX\} \quad (X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}_M), \quad (12)$$

where $\bar{\xi} = \sum_i \xi_i, \bar{\eta} = \sum_i \eta^i$, and β is a nonzero smooth function on M .

Note that $\bar{\eta}(\xi_i) = \eta^i(\bar{\xi}) = 1$ and $\bar{\eta}(\bar{\xi}) = s$. Taking $X = \xi_j$ in (12) and using $f\xi_j = 0$, we get $\nabla_{\xi_j} f = 0$, which implies $f(\nabla_{\xi_i} \xi_j) = 0$, and so $\nabla_{\xi_i} \xi_j \in \mathcal{D}^\perp$. This and the 1st equality in (9) give

$$\nabla_{\xi_i} \xi_j = 0 \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq s), \quad (13)$$

thus, \mathcal{D}^\perp (of a weak β -Kenmotsu f -manifold) touches a foliation with flat totally geodesic leaves.

Lemma 3.1. *For a weak β -Kenmotsu f -manifold the following formula holds:*

$$\nabla_X \xi_i = \beta\{X - \eta^j(X)\xi_j\} \quad (1 \leq i \leq s, \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}_M). \quad (14)$$

Proof. Taking $Y = \xi_i$ in (12) and using $g(fX, \xi_i) = 0$ and $\bar{\eta}(\xi_i) = 1$, we get $f(\nabla_X \xi_i - \beta X) = 0$. Since f is non-degenerate on \mathcal{D} and has rank $2n$, we get $\nabla_X \xi_i - \beta X = c^p \xi_p$. The inner product with ξ_j gives $g(\nabla_X \xi_i, \xi_j) = \beta g(X, \xi_j) - c^j$. Using (9) and (13), we find $g(\nabla_X \xi_i, \xi_j) = g(\nabla_{\xi_i} X, \xi_j) = 0$; hence, $c^j = \beta \eta^j(X)$. This proves (14). \square

The following result generalizes Theorem 3.4 in [19].

Theorem 3.1. *A metric weak f -manifold is a weak β -Kenmotsu f -manifold if and only if the following conditions are valid:*

$$\mathcal{N}^{(1)} = 0, \quad d\eta^i = 0, \quad d\Phi = 2\beta\bar{\eta} \wedge \Phi, \quad \mathcal{N}^{(5)}(X, Y, Z) = 2\beta\bar{\eta}(X)g(fY, \tilde{Q}Z). \quad (15)$$

Proof. Using (14), we obtain

$$(\nabla_X \eta^i)Y = Xg(\xi_i, Y) - g(\xi_i, \nabla_X Y) = g(\nabla_X \xi_i, Y) = \beta\{g(X, Y) - \sum_j \eta^j(X) \eta^j(Y)\} \quad (16)$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}_M$. By (16), $(\nabla_X \eta^i)Y = (\nabla_Y \eta^i)X$ is true. Thus, for $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$ we obtain

$$0 = (\nabla_X \eta^i)Y - (\nabla_Y \eta^i)X = -\beta g([X, Y], \xi_i)$$

that means integrability of the distribution \mathcal{D} , or equivalently, $d\eta^i(X, Y) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, s$ and $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$. By this and $\mathcal{N}_{ij}^{(4)} = 0$, see (8), we find $d\eta^i = 0$. Using (12) and (10), we get

$$3d\Phi(X, Y, Z) = 2\beta\{\bar{\eta}(X)g(fZ, Y) + \bar{\eta}(Y)g(fX, Z) + \bar{\eta}(Z)g(fY, X)\}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$3(\bar{\eta} \wedge \Phi)(X, Y, Z) = \bar{\eta}(X)g(fZ, Y) + \bar{\eta}(Y)g(fX, Z) + \bar{\eta}(Z)g(fY, X).$$

Thus, $d\Phi = 2\beta\bar{\eta} \wedge \Phi$ is valid. By (6) with $S = f$, and (12), we get $[f, f] = 0$; thus $\mathcal{N}^{(1)} = 0$. Finally, from (11), using (4) and (7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_X f)Y, Z) - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{N}^{(5)}(X, Y, Z) &= 3\beta\{(\bar{\eta} \wedge \Phi)(X, fY, fZ) - (\bar{\eta} \wedge \Phi)(X, Y, Z)\} \\ &= \beta\{-\bar{\eta}(X)g(QZ, fY) + \bar{\eta}(X)g(Z, fY) - \bar{\eta}(Y)g(fX, Z) - \bar{\eta}(Z)g(X, fY)\} \\ &= \beta\{\bar{\eta}(Z)g(fX, Y) - \bar{\eta}(Y)g(fX, Z) - \bar{\eta}(X)g(fY, \tilde{Q}Z)\}. \end{aligned}$$

From this, using (12), we get $\mathcal{N}^{(5)}(X, Y, Z) = 2\beta\bar{\eta}(X)g(fY, \tilde{Q}Z)$.

Conversely, using (4) and (15) in (11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2g((\nabla_X f)Y, Z) &= 6\beta(\bar{\eta} \wedge \Phi)(X, fY, fZ) - 6\beta(\bar{\eta} \wedge \Phi)(X, Y, Z) + 2\beta\bar{\eta}(X)g(\tilde{Q}fY, Z) \\ &= 2\beta\{-\bar{\eta}(X)g(fY, QZ) - \bar{\eta}(X)g(fZ, Y) - \bar{\eta}(Y)g(fX, Z) - \bar{\eta}(Z)g(fY, X) + \bar{\eta}(X)g(fY, \tilde{Q}Z)\} \\ &= 2\beta\{g(fX, Y)g(\tilde{\xi}, Z) - \bar{\eta}(Y)g(fX, Z)\}, \end{aligned}$$

thus (12) is true. \square

Definition 5 (see [13]). A Riemannian manifold (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) equipped with a skew-symmetric (1,1)-tensor J (other than the complex structure) is called a *weak Kählerian manifold* if the tensor J^2 is negative definite and $\bar{\nabla}J = 0$, where $\bar{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection of \bar{g} .

Theorem 3.2. *Any point of a weak β -Kenmotsu f -manifold is locally a twisted product $\mathbb{R}^s \times_\sigma \bar{M}$ (warped product when $X(\beta) = 0$ for $X \in \mathcal{D}$), where $\bar{M}(\bar{g}, J)$ is a weak Kählerian manifold.*

Proof. By (13), the distribution \mathcal{D}^\perp touches a foliation with flat totally geodesic leaves, and by the second equality of (9), the distribution \mathcal{D} touches a foliation. By (14), the Weingarten operator $A_{\xi_i} = -(\nabla \xi_i)^\perp$ ($1 \leq i \leq s$) on \mathcal{D} is conformal: $A_{\xi_i}X = -\beta X$ ($X \in \mathcal{D}$). Hence, \mathcal{D} touches a totally umbilical foliation with the mean curvature vector $H = -\beta \bar{\xi}$. By [14, Theorem 1], our manifold is locally a twisted product. If $X(\beta) = 0$ ($X \in \mathcal{D}$) is true, then we get locally a warped product, see [14, Proposition 3]. By (7), the (1,1)-tensor $J = f|_{\mathcal{D}}$ is skew-symmetric and J^2 is negative definite. To show $\bar{\nabla}J = 0$, using (12) we find $(\bar{\nabla}_X J)Y = \pi_{2*}((\nabla_X f)Y) = 0$ for $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$. \square

Example 3.1. Let $\bar{M}(\bar{g}, J)$ be a weak Kählerian manifold and $\sigma = ce^{\beta \sum t_i}$ a function on Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^s(t_1, \dots, t_s)$, where β, c are nonzero constants. Then the warped product manifold $M = \mathbb{R}^s \times_\sigma \bar{M}$ has a weak metric f -structure which satisfies (12). Using (6) with $S = J$, for a weak Kählerian manifold, we get $[J, J] = 0$; hence, $\mathcal{N}^{(1)} = 0$ is true.

Corollary 3.1. *A weak Kenmotsu f -manifold $M^{2n+s}(f, Q, \xi_i, \eta^i, g)$ is locally a warped product $\mathbb{R}^s \times_\sigma \bar{M}$, where $\sigma = ce^{\sum t_i}$ ($c = \text{const} \neq 0$) and $\bar{M}(\bar{g}, J)$ is a weak Kählerian manifold.*

The curvature tensor is given by $R_{X,Y} = [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y] - \nabla_{[X,Y]}$, $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}_M$, and $\text{Ric}(X, Y) = \text{trace}(Z \rightarrow R_{Z,X}Y)$ is the Ricci tensor. The Ricci operator Ric^\sharp is given by $g(\text{Ric}^\sharp X, Y) = \text{Ric}(X, Y)$. The following formulas are known, e.g., [5, Eqs. (6) and (7)]:

$$(\nabla_X \mathcal{L}_V g)(Y, Z) = g((\mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(X, Y), Z) + g((\mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(X, Z), Y), \quad (17)$$

$$(\mathcal{L}_V R)_{X,Y}Z = (\nabla_X \mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y \mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(X, Z). \quad (18)$$

Recall that the scalar curvature of g is given by $r = \text{trace}_g \text{Ric}$.

To simplify the calculations in the rest of the paper, we assume that $\beta = \text{const}$.

Proposition 3.1. *The following formulas hold on weak β -Kenmotsu f -manifolds with $\beta = \text{const}$:*

$$R_{X,Y} \xi_i = \beta^2 \{ \bar{\eta}(X)Y - \bar{\eta}(Y)X + (\bar{\eta}(Y)\eta^p(X) - \bar{\eta}(X)\eta^p(Y))\xi_p \} \quad (X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}_M), \quad (19)$$

$$\text{Ric}^\sharp \xi_i = -2n\beta^2 \bar{\xi}, \quad (20)$$

$$(\nabla_{\xi_i} \text{Ric}^\sharp)X = -2\beta \text{Ric}^\sharp X - 4n\beta^3 \{ s(X - \eta^j(X)\xi_j) + \bar{\eta}(X)\bar{\xi} \} \quad (X \in \mathfrak{X}_M), \quad (21)$$

$$\xi_i(r) = -2\beta \{ r + 2sn(2n+1)\beta^2 \}. \quad (22)$$

Proof. Taking covariant derivative of (14) along $Y \in \mathfrak{X}_M$, and assuming $\nabla X = \nabla Y = 0$ at a point of the manifold, we get

$$\nabla_Y \nabla_X \xi_i = -\beta^2 \{ (g(X, Y) - \sum_q \eta^q(Y)\eta^q(X))\bar{\xi} + \bar{\eta}(X)(Y - \eta^p(Y)\xi_p) \}.$$

Repeated application of (14) and the foregoing equation in the curvature tensor R of the Riemannian manifold, we get (19). Using a local orthonormal basis (e_q) of the manifold, and the equality $\sum_{p,q} (\bar{\eta}(Y)\eta^p(e_q) - \bar{\eta}(e_q)\eta^p(Y))\eta^p(e_q) = (s-1)\bar{\eta}(Y)$, we derive from (19)

$$\begin{aligned} g(\text{Ric}^\sharp \xi_i, Y) &= \sum_q g(R_{e_q, Y} \xi_i, e_q) \\ &= \beta^2 \sum_q \{ \bar{\eta}(e_q)g(Y, e_q) - \bar{\eta}(Y)g(e_q, e_q) + (\bar{\eta}(Y)\eta^p(e_q) - \bar{\eta}(e_q)\eta^p(Y))\eta^p(e_q) \} \\ &= \beta^2 \{ g(Y, \bar{\xi}) - (2n+s)\bar{\eta}(Y) + (s-1)\bar{\eta}(Y) \} = -2n\beta^2 g(\bar{\xi}, Y), \end{aligned}$$

from which we get (20). Next, using (14), we get

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} g)(Y, Z) = g(\nabla_Y \xi_i, Z) + g(\nabla_Z \xi_i, Y) = 2\beta \{ g(Y, Z) - \sum_j \eta^j(Y)\eta^j(Z) \}. \quad (23)$$

Taking covariant derivative of (23) in the X -direction and using (14) gives

$$(\nabla_X \mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} g)(Y, Z) = 2\beta^2 \{ \sum_j \eta^j(X)(\eta^j(Y)\bar{\eta}(Z) + \bar{\eta}(Y)\eta^j(Z)) - g(X, Y)\bar{\eta}(Z) - g(X, Z)\bar{\eta}(Y) \}$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}_M$. Using this in (17) with $V = \xi_i$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &g((\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} \nabla)(X, Y), Z) + g((\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} \nabla)(X, Z), Y) \\ &= 2\beta^2 \{ \sum_j \eta^j(X)(\eta^j(Y)\bar{\eta}(Z) + \bar{\eta}(Y)\eta^j(Z)) - g(X, Y)\bar{\eta}(Z) - g(X, Z)\bar{\eta}(Y) \}. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

By a combinatorial computation, we find

$$\begin{aligned} &g((\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} \nabla)(Y, Z), X) + g((\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} \nabla)(Y, X), Z) \\ &= 2\beta^2 \{ \sum_j \eta^j(Y)(\eta^j(Z)\bar{\eta}(X) + \bar{\eta}(Z)\eta^j(X)) - g(Y, Z)\bar{\eta}(X) - g(Y, X)\bar{\eta}(Z) \}, \\ &g((\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} \nabla)(Z, X), Y) + g((\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} \nabla)(Z, Y), X) \\ &= 2\beta^2 \{ \sum_j \eta^j(Z)(\eta^j(X)\bar{\eta}(Y) + \bar{\eta}(X)\eta^j(Y)) - g(Z, X)\bar{\eta}(Y) - g(Z, Y)\bar{\eta}(X) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting (24) from the sum of the last two equations gives

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} \nabla)(Y, Z) = 2\beta^2 \left\{ \sum_j \eta^j(Y) \eta^j(Z) - g(Y, Z) \right\} \bar{\xi} \quad (Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}_M). \quad (25)$$

Taking covariant derivative of (25) in the X -direction and using (14) gives

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X \mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} \nabla)(Y, Z) &= 2\beta^3 \left\{ [(g(X, Y) - \sum_j \eta^j(X) \eta^j(Y)) \bar{\eta}(Z) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (g(X, Z) - \sum_j \eta^j(X) \eta^j(Z)) \bar{\eta}(Y)] \bar{\xi} + s \left(\sum_j \eta^j(Y) \eta^j(Z) - g(Y, Z) \right) (X - \eta^p(X) \xi_p) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using this in (18) with $V = \xi_i$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} R)_{X,Y} Z &= 2\beta^3 \left\{ (g(X, Z) - \sum_j \eta^j(X) \eta^j(Z)) (\bar{\eta}(Y) \bar{\xi} + s(Y - \eta^q(Y) \xi_q)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (g(Y, Z) - \sum_j \eta^j(Y) \eta^j(Z)) (\bar{\eta}(X) \bar{\xi} + s(X - \eta^q(X) \xi_q)) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Contracting (26) over X , we deduce

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} \text{Ric})(Y, Z) = \sum_a g((\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} R)_{e_a, Y} Z, e_a) = -4sn\beta^3 (g(Y, Z) - \sum_j \eta^j(Y) \eta^j(Z)). \quad (27)$$

Taking the Lie derivative of equality $\text{Ric}(Y, Z) = g(\text{Ric}^\# Y, Z)$, we obtain

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} \text{Ric})(Y, Z) = (\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} g)(\text{Ric}^\# Y, Z) + g((\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} \text{Ric}^\#)Y, Z). \quad (28)$$

On the other hand, replacing Y by $\text{Ric}^\# Y$ in (23) and using (20), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} g)(\text{Ric}^\# Y, Z) &= 2\beta (g(\text{Ric}^\# Y, Z) - g(\text{Ric}^\# \xi_j, Y) \eta^j(Z)) \\ &= 2\beta (g(\text{Ric}^\# Y, Z) + 2n\beta^2 \bar{\eta}(Y) \bar{\eta}(Z)). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Applying (28) and (29) in (27) we get

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} \text{Ric}^\#)Y = -2\beta \text{Ric}^\# Y - 4n\beta^3 \{s(Y - \eta^j(Y) \xi_j) + \bar{\eta}(Y) \bar{\xi}\}. \quad (30)$$

Using (14), we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} \text{Ric}^\#)Y &= \mathcal{L}_{\xi_i}(\text{Ric}^\# Y) - \text{Ric}^\# \mathcal{L}_{\xi_i} Y \\ &= \nabla_{\xi_i}(\text{Ric}^\# Y) - \nabla_{\text{Ric}^\# Y} \xi_i - \text{Ric}^\# \nabla_{\xi_i} Y + \text{Ric}^\# \nabla_Y \xi_i \\ &= (\nabla_{\xi_i} \text{Ric}^\#)Y - \text{Ric}^\# Y - 2n\eta^j(Y) \xi_j + \text{Ric}^\# Y + 2n\eta^j(Y) \xi_j = (\nabla_{\xi_i} \text{Ric}^\#)Y. \end{aligned}$$

Using this in (30), gives (21). Contracting (21), we get (22). \square

The following theorem generalizes [5, Theorem 1] with $\beta \equiv 1$ and $Q = \text{id}$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $M^{2n+s}(f, Q, \xi_i, \eta^i, g)$ be a weak β -Kenmotsu f -manifold satisfying $\beta = \text{const}$. If $\nabla_{\xi_i} \text{Ric}^\# = 0$, then (M, g) is an η -Einstein manifold (3) of scalar curvature $r = -2sn(2n+1)\beta^2$.*

Proof. By conditions and (21), $\text{Ric}^\# Y = 2n\beta^2 \{s(Y - \eta^j(Y) \xi_j) + \bar{\eta}(Y) \bar{\xi}\}$, hence, $r = -2sn(2n+1)\beta^2$. Since (3) with $a = 2sn\beta^2$ and $b = 2(1-s)n\beta^2$ is true, (M, g) is an η -Einstein manifold. \square

4 η -Ricci solitons on weak β -Kenmotsu f -manifolds

Here, we study η -Ricci solitons on weak β -Kenmotsu f -manifolds and generalize some results in [12].

First, we derive the following three lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $M^{2n+s}(f, Q, \xi_i, \eta^i, g)$ be a weak β -Kenmotsu f -manifold with $\beta = \text{const}$. If g represents an η -Ricci soliton (2), then $\lambda + \mu = -2n\beta^2$ is true.*

Proof. For a weak β -Kenmotsu f -manifold equipped with an η -Ricci soliton (2), using (9), we get

$$(\mathcal{L}_V g)(\xi_i, \xi_j) = g(\xi_i, [V, \xi_j]) = 0. \quad (31)$$

Thus, using (2) in the Lie derivative of $g(\xi_i, \xi_j) = \delta_{ij}$, we obtain $\text{Ric}(\xi_i, \xi_j) = \lambda + \mu$. Finally, using the equality $\text{Ric}(\xi_i, \xi_j) = -2n\beta^2$, see (20), we achieve the required result. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let $M^{2n+s}(f, Q, \xi_i, \eta^i, g)$ be a weak β -Kenmotsu f -manifold with $\beta = \text{const}$. If g represents an η -Ricci soliton (2), then $(\mathcal{L}_V R)_{X, \xi_j} \xi_i = 0$ for all i, j .*

Proof. Taking the covariant derivative of (2) for $Z \in \mathfrak{X}_M$ and using (12), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_Z \mathcal{L}_V g)(X, Y) &= -(\nabla_Z \text{Ric})(X, Y) \\ &+ \beta(\lambda + \mu)\left\{ (g(X, Z) - \sum_{j \neq p} \eta^j(X)\eta^p(Z))\bar{\eta}(Y) + (g(Y, Z) - \sum_{j \neq p} \eta^j(Y)\eta^p(Z))\bar{\eta}(X) \right\} \\ &+ \beta\mu\left\{ (g(X, Z) - \sum_j \eta^j(X)\eta^j(Z))\bar{\eta}(Y) + (g(Y, Z) - \sum_j \eta^j(Y)\eta^j(Z))\bar{\eta}(X) \right\} \quad (X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}_M). \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Recall the commutation formula with the tensor $\mathcal{L}_V \nabla$, see [20, p. 23],

$$(\mathcal{L}_V \nabla_Z g - \nabla_Z \mathcal{L}_V g - \nabla_{[V, Z]} g)(X, Y) = -g((\mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(Z, X), Y) - g((\mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(Z, Y), X). \quad (33)$$

Since Riemannian metric is parallel, $\nabla g = 0$, it follows from (33) that

$$(\nabla_Z \mathcal{L}_V g)(X, Y) = g((\mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(Z, X), Y) + g((\mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(Z, Y), X). \quad (34)$$

Plugging (34) into (32), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g((\mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(Z, X), Y) + g((\mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(Z, Y), X) &= -2(\nabla_Z \text{Ric})(X, Y) \\ &+ 2\beta(\lambda + \mu)\left\{ (g(X, Z) - \sum_{j \neq p} \eta^j(X)\eta^p(Z))\bar{\eta}(Y) + (g(Y, Z) - \sum_{j \neq p} \eta^j(Y)\eta^p(Z))\bar{\eta}(X) \right\} \\ &+ 2\beta\mu\left\{ (g(X, Z) - \sum_j \eta^j(X)\eta^j(Z))\bar{\eta}(Y) + (g(Y, Z) - \sum_j \eta^j(Y)\eta^j(Z))\bar{\eta}(X) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}_M$. Cyclically rearranging X, Y and Z in (35), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g((\mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(X, Y), Z) &= (\nabla_Z \text{Ric})(X, Y) - (\nabla_X \text{Ric})(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y \text{Ric})(Z, X) \\ &+ 2\beta(\lambda + \mu)(g(X, Y) - \sum_{j \neq p} \eta^j(X)\eta^p(Y))\bar{\eta}(Z) + 2\beta\mu(g(X, Y) - \sum_j \eta^j(X)\eta^j(Y))\bar{\eta}(Z). \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Taking covariant derivative of (20) along $X \in \mathfrak{X}_M$ and using (12), we obtain

$$(\nabla_X \text{Ric}^\sharp) \xi_i = -\beta \text{Ric}^\sharp X - 2sn\beta^3 X + 2n\beta^3 (s\eta^j(X)\xi_j - \bar{\eta}(X)\bar{\xi}). \quad (37)$$

Substituting $Y = \xi_i$ in (36) yields the following:

$$g((\mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(X, \xi_i), Z) = (\nabla_Z \text{Ric})(X, \xi_i) - (\nabla_X \text{Ric})(\xi_i, Z) - (\nabla_{\xi_i} \text{Ric})(Z, X).$$

Applying (21) and (37) to this, we obtain

$$(\mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(X, \xi_i) = 2\beta \text{Ric}^\sharp X + 4n\beta^3 \{s(X - \eta^j(X)\xi_j) + \bar{\eta}(X)\bar{\xi}\} \quad (38)$$

for any $X \in \mathfrak{X}_M$. Next, using (14) in the covariant derivative of (38) for Y , and calculating $\nabla_Y (s \eta^j(X) \xi_j - \bar{\eta}(X) \bar{\xi}) = 0$, yields

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_Y (\mathcal{L}_V \nabla))(X, \xi_i) + \beta (\mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(X, Y) &= 2\beta (\nabla_Y \text{Ric}^\#)X + 2\beta^2 \bar{\eta}(Y) \text{Ric}^\# X \\ &+ 4n \bar{\eta}(Y) \beta^4 \{s(X - \eta^j(X) \xi_j) + \bar{\eta}(X) \bar{\xi}\} \quad (X \in \mathfrak{X}_M). \end{aligned}$$

Plugging this in the following formula (see [20], p. 23):

$$(\mathcal{L}_V R)_{X,Y}Z = (\nabla_X (\mathcal{L}_V \nabla))(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y (\mathcal{L}_V \nabla))(X, Z)$$

with $Z = \xi_i$, we obtain for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}_M$:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_V R)_{X,Y} \xi_i &= 2\beta \{(\nabla_X \text{Ric}^\#)Y - (\nabla_Y \text{Ric}^\#)X\} + 2\beta^2 \{\bar{\eta}(X) \text{Ric}^\# Y - \bar{\eta}(Y) \text{Ric}^\# X\} \\ &+ 4n \beta^4 \{[s(Y - \eta^j(Y) \xi_j) + \bar{\eta}(Y) \bar{\xi}] \bar{\eta}(X) - [s(X - \eta^j(X) \xi_j) + \bar{\eta}(X) \bar{\xi}] \bar{\eta}(Y)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Substituting $Y = \xi_j$ in (39) gives

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_V R)_{X,\xi_j} \xi_i &= 2\beta \{(\nabla_X \text{Ric}^\#)\xi_j - (\nabla_{\xi_j} \text{Ric}^\#)X\} + 2\beta^2 \{\bar{\eta}(X) \text{Ric}^\# \xi_j - \text{Ric}^\# X\} \\ &- 4sn \beta^4 (X - \eta^j(X) \xi_j). \end{aligned}$$

Then using (20), (21) and (37), yields our result. \square

Lemma 4.3. *On an η -Einstein (3) weak β -Kenmotsu f -manifold with $\beta = \text{const}$, we obtain*

$$\text{Ric}^\# X = \left(s \beta^2 + \frac{r}{2n}\right) X - \left((2n + s) \beta^2 + \frac{r}{2n}\right) \eta^j(X) \xi_j - 2n \beta^2 \sum_{i \neq j} \eta^i(X) \xi_j \quad (X \in \mathfrak{X}_M). \quad (40)$$

Proof. Tracing (3) gives $r = (2n + s)a + sb$. Putting $X = Y = \xi_i$ in (3) and using (20), yields $a + b = -2n \beta^2$. Thus, $a = s \beta^2 + \frac{r}{2n}$ and $b = -(2n + s) \beta^2 - \frac{r}{2n}$, and (3) gives the required (40). \square

Next, we consider an η -Einstein weak β -Kenmotsu f -manifold as an η -Ricci soliton.

Theorem 4.1. *Let g represents an η -Ricci soliton (2) on a weak β -Kenmotsu f -manifold with $\beta = \text{const}$. If the manifold is also η -Einstein (3), then $a = -2sn \beta^2$, $b = 2(s - 1)n \beta^2$, and it is an η -Einstein manifold of constant scalar curvature $r = -2sn(2n + 1) \beta^2$.*

Proof. Taking covariant derivative of (40) for the Y -direction and using (14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_Y \text{Ric}^\#)X &= \frac{Y(r)}{2n} \{X - \eta^j(X) \xi_j\} \\ &- \left((2n + s) \beta^2 + \frac{r}{2n}\right) \beta \{g(X, Y) \bar{\xi} + \bar{\eta}(X) (Y - \eta^j(Y) \xi_j) - \sum_i \eta^i(X) \eta^i(Y) \bar{\xi}\} \\ &- 2(s - 1)n \beta^3 \left\{ (g(X, Y) - \sum_p \eta^p(X) \eta^p(Y)) \bar{\xi} + \bar{\eta}(X) (Y - \eta^p(Y) \xi_p) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Contracting (41) over Y and using $X = \sum_{a=1}^{2n+s} g(X, e_a) e_a$ for a local orthonormal basis (e_a) , we get

$$(2n - 1) X(r) = -\eta^i(X) \xi_i(r) - 2n \beta \{ (2n + s) 2n \beta^2 + r - 2(s - 1)n \beta^2 \} \bar{\eta}(X).$$

Therefore,

$$X(r) = 0 \quad (X \in \mathcal{D}). \quad (42)$$

Using (40) and (41) in (39), and then using (42) and applying Lemma 4.2 gives $(\mathcal{L}_V R)_{X,Y} \xi_i = 0$ for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}_M$. Therefore,

$$(\mathcal{L}_V \text{Ric})(Y, \xi_i) = 0 \quad (Y \in \mathfrak{X}_M). \quad (43)$$

Equation (20) gives $\text{Ric}(Y, \xi_i) = -2n\beta^2\bar{\eta}(Y)$. Taking its Lie derivative along V yields

$$(\mathcal{L}_V \text{Ric})(Y, \xi_i) + \text{Ric}(Y, \mathcal{L}_V \xi_i) = -2n\beta^2(\mathcal{L}_V \bar{\eta})(Y)$$

for all $Y \in \mathfrak{X}_M$. Inserting (43) in the preceding equation, we have

$$\text{Ric}(Y, \mathcal{L}_V \xi_i) = -2n\beta^2 \{(\mathcal{L}_V g)(Y, \bar{\xi}) + g(Y, \mathcal{L}_V \bar{\xi})\}. \quad (44)$$

Note that $(\mathcal{L}_V g)(Y, \bar{\xi}) = 2s\bar{\eta}(Y)(2n\beta^2 + \lambda + \mu) = 0$. Thus, in view of (2), (20) and (40), the equation (44) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(s\beta^2 + \frac{r}{2n}\right) g(Y, \mathcal{L}_V \xi_i) - \left((2n+s)\beta^2 + \frac{r}{2n}\right) \sum_p \eta^p(Y) \eta^p(\mathcal{L}_V \xi_i) - 2n\beta^2 \sum_{p \neq q} \eta^p(Y) \eta^q(\mathcal{L}_V \xi_i) \\ & = -4sn\beta^2 \bar{\eta}(Y)(2n\beta^2 + (\lambda + \mu)) - 2n\beta^2 g(Y, \mathcal{L}_V \bar{\xi}). \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Using $\eta^p(\mathcal{L}_V \xi_i) = 0$, see (9), and $\lambda + \mu = -2n\beta^2$, see Lemma 4.1, we reduce (45) to the following: $(s\beta^2 + \frac{r}{2n}) g(Y, \mathcal{L}_V \xi_i) = -2n\beta^2 g(Y, \mathcal{L}_V \bar{\xi})$, from which we obtain

$$\left(s(2n+1)\beta^2 + \frac{r}{2n}\right) \mathcal{L}_V \bar{\xi} = 0. \quad (46)$$

Case I. Let's assume that (M, g) has constant scalar curvature $r = -2sn(2n+1)\beta^2$. Then by (40), we obtain

$$\text{Ric}^\# X = -2sn\beta^2 X + 2(s-1)n\beta^2 \eta^j(X) \xi_j - 2n\beta^2 \sum_{i \neq j} \eta^i(X) \xi_j.$$

Note that (M, g) is an η -Einstein manifold with $a = -2sn\beta^2$ and $b = 2(s-1)n\beta^2$ in (3).

Case II. Let's assume that $s(2n+1)\beta^2 + \frac{r}{2n} \neq 0$ on an open set \mathcal{U} of M . Then $\mathcal{L}_V \xi_i = [V, \xi_i] = 0$ on \mathcal{U} , see (46). It follows that

$$\nabla_{\xi_i} V = \nabla_V \xi_j = \beta\{V - \eta^p(V) \xi_p\}, \quad (47)$$

where we have used (14). Next, we recall the well known formula, see [20]:

$$(\mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(X, Y) = \nabla_X \nabla_Y V - \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} V + R_{V, X} Y. \quad (48)$$

Replacing Y by ξ_i in (48) and using (14), (19) and (47), we get

$$(\mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(X, \xi_i) = -\beta^2 \{g(X, V) - \sum_j \eta^j(X) \eta^j(V)\} \bar{\xi}. \quad (49)$$

Further, from (38) and (49), we get

$$\text{Ric}^\# X = -2n\beta^2 \{s(X - \eta^j(X) \xi_j) + \bar{\eta}(X) \bar{\xi}\} - (\beta/2) \{g(X, V) - \sum_j \eta^j(X) \eta^j(V)\} \bar{\xi}. \quad (50)$$

Comparing \mathcal{D} -components of (50) and (40), we get $s(2n+1)\beta^2 + \frac{r}{2n} = 0$, - a contradiction. \square

Corollary 4.1. *Let g represents an η -Ricci soliton (2) on a Kenmotsu f -manifold. If the manifold is also an η -Einstein (3), then $a = -2sn$, $b = 2(s-1)n$, and it is an η -Einstein manifold of constant scalar curvature $r = -2sn(2n+1)$.*

Definition 6. A vector field V on a weak metric f -manifold is called a *contact vector field*, if the flow of X preserves the forms η^i , i.e., there exists a function $\rho \in C^\infty(M)$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}_X \eta^i = \rho \eta^i, \quad (51)$$

and if $\rho = 0$, then V is said to be a *strict contact vector field*.

We consider the interaction of a weak β -Kenmotsu f -structure with an η -Ricci soliton whose potential vector field V is a contact vector field, or V is collinear to $\bar{\xi}$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $M^{2n+s}(f, Q, \xi_i, \eta^i, g)$, be a weak β -Kenmotsu f -manifold with $\beta = \text{const}$. If g represents an η -Ricci soliton (2) with a contact potential vector field V , then V is strict contact and the manifold is η -Einstein (3) with $a = -2sn\beta^2$, $b = 2(s-1)n\beta^2$ of constant scalar curvature $r = -2sn(2n+1)\beta^2$.*

Proof. Taking Lie derivative of $\eta^i(X) = g(X, \xi_i)$ along V and using (51) and (31), we obtain $\mathcal{L}_V \xi_i = \rho \xi_i$. Then, using $\mathcal{L}_V \xi_i \in \mathcal{D}$, see (9), we get $\rho = 0$. Therefore, $\mathcal{L}_V \xi_i = 0$ and V is a strict contact vector field. Also, (51) gives $\mathcal{L}_V \eta^j = 0$. Recall the known formula (see [20, p. 23]):

$$(\mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(X, Y) = \mathcal{L}_V(\nabla_X Y) - \nabla_X(\mathcal{L}_V Y) - \nabla_{[V, X]} Y \quad (X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}_M). \quad (52)$$

Setting $Y = \xi_i$ in (52) and using (14) and the equality $(\mathcal{L}_V \eta^j)(X) = V(\eta^j(X)) - \eta^j([V, X])$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(X, \xi_i) &= \beta \mathcal{L}_V(X - \eta^p(X)\xi_p) - \beta(\mathcal{L}_V X - \eta^p(\mathcal{L}_V X)\xi_p) \\ &= -\beta\{(\mathcal{L}_V \eta^p)(X)\xi_p + \eta^p(X)\mathcal{L}_V \xi_p\} + \beta \eta^p(\mathcal{L}_V X)\xi_p, \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

From (53), since $\mathcal{L}_V \eta^p = \mathcal{L}_V \xi_p = 0$ is true and the distribution \mathcal{D} is involutive, i.e., $\mathcal{L}_V X \in \mathcal{D}$ ($X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$), we obtain $(\mathcal{L}_V \nabla)(X, \xi_i) = 0$. Using (38), we get

$$\text{Ric}^\# X = -2n\beta^2\{sX - s\eta^j(X)\xi_j + \bar{\eta}(X)\bar{\xi}\}. \quad (54)$$

Therefore, our (M, g) is an η -Einstein manifold (3) with $a = -2sn\beta^2$, $b = 2(s-1)n\beta^2$. Taking the trace of (54) gives $r = -2sn(2n+1)\beta^2$. \square

Theorem 4.3. *Let $M^{2n+s}(f, Q, \xi_i, \eta^i, g)$, be a weak β -Kenmotsu f -manifold with $\beta = \text{const}$. If g represents an η -Ricci soliton (2) with a potential vector field V collinear to $\bar{\xi}$: $V = \delta \bar{\xi}$ for a smooth function $\delta \neq 0$ on M , then $\delta = \text{const}$ and the manifold is η -Einstein (3) with $a = -2sn\beta^2$ and $b = 2(s-1)n\beta^2$ of constant scalar curvature $r = -2sn(2n+1)\beta^2$.*

Proof. Using (12) in the covariant derivative of $V = \delta \bar{\xi}$ with any $X \in \mathfrak{X}_M$ yields

$$\nabla_X V = X(\delta)\bar{\xi} + \delta\beta(X - \eta^j(X)\xi_j) \quad (X \in \mathfrak{X}_M).$$

Using this and calculations

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_{\delta \bar{\xi}} g)(X, Y) &= \delta(\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\xi}} g)(X, Y) + X(\delta)\bar{\eta}(Y) + Y(\delta)\bar{\eta}(X), \\ (\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\xi}} g)(X, Y) &= 2s\beta\{g(X, Y) - \sum_j \eta^j(X)\eta^j(Y)\}, \end{aligned}$$

we transform the η -Ricci soliton equation (2) into

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \text{Ric}(X, Y) &= -X(\delta)\bar{\eta}(Y) - Y(\delta)\bar{\eta}(X) + 2(\lambda - \delta\beta)g(X, Y) \\ &+ 2(\delta\beta + \mu) \sum_j \eta^j(X)\eta^j(Y) - 4n\beta^2 \sum_{i \neq j} \eta^i(X)\eta^j(Y), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}_M. \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

Inserting $X = Y = \xi_i$ in (55) and using (20) and $\lambda + \mu = -2n\beta^2$, see Lemma 4.1, we get $\xi_i(\delta) = 0$. It follows from (55) and (20) that $X(\delta) = 0$ ($X \in \mathcal{D}$). Thus δ is constant on M , and (55) reads

$$\text{Ric} = (\lambda - \delta\beta)g + (\delta\beta + \mu) \sum_j \eta^j \otimes \eta^j - 2n\beta^2 \sum_{i \neq j} \eta^i \otimes \eta^j.$$

This shows that (M, g) is an η -Einstein manifold with $a = \lambda - \delta\beta$ and $b = \mu + \delta\beta$ in (3). Therefore, from Theorem 4.1 we conclude that $\lambda = \delta\beta - 2sn\beta^2$, $\mu = -\delta\beta + 2(s-1)n\beta^2$, and the scalar curvature of (M, g) is $r = -2sn(2n+1)\beta^2$. \square

References

- [1] Blair, D. E. Geometry of manifolds with structural group $U(n) \times O(s)$, *J. Diff. Geom.* 4 (1970), 155–167
- [2] Cho, J. and Kimura, M. Ricci solitons and real hypersurfaces in a complex space form, *Tohoku Math. J.* 61(2), 205–212 (2009)
- [3] Falcitelli, M. and Pastore, A.M. f -Structures of Kenmotsu type, *Mediterr. J. Math.* 3 (2006), 549–564
- [4] Falcitelli, M. and Pastore, A.M. Almost Kenmotsu f -manifolds, *Balkan J. Geom. Appl.*, 12 (1) (2007) 32–43
- [5] Ghosh, A. Ricci soliton and Ricci almost soliton within the framework of Kenmotsu manifold, *Carpathian Math. Publ.*, 11(1), 59–69, (2019)
- [6] Ghosh, A. K-contact and (k, μ) -contact metric as a generalized η -Ricci soliton, *Math. Slovaca* 73, No. 1, 185–194 (2023)
- [7] Ghosh, G. and De, U. C.: Generalized Ricci soliton on K-contact manifolds, *Math. Sci. Appl. E-Notes*, 8 (2020), 165–169
- [8] Goldberg, S.I. and Yano, K. On normal globally framed f -manifolds, *Tohoku Math. J.* 22 (1970), 362–370
- [9] Kenmotsu, K. A class of almost contact Riemannian manifolds, *Tôhoku Math. J.*, 24 (1972), 93–103
- [10] Kobayashi, M. and Tsuhiya, S. Invariant submanifolds of an f -manifold with complemented frames, *Kodai Math. Rep.* 24 (1972), 430–450
- [11] Olszak, Z. Normal locally conformal almost cosymplectic manifolds, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 39(3-4) (1991), 315–323
- [12] Patra, D.S. and Rovenski V. Almost η -Ricci solitons on Kenmotsu manifolds, *European J. of Mathematics*, 7 (2021), 1753–1766
- [13] Patra, D.S. and Rovenski, V. Weak β -Kenmotsu manifolds and η -Ricci solitons, pp. 53–72. In: Rovenski, V., Walczak, P., Wolak, R. (eds) *Differential Geometric Structures and Applications*, 2023. Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics, 440. Springer, Cham.
- [14] Ponge, R. and Reckziegel, H. Twisted products in pseudo-Riemannian geometry, *Geom. Dedicata*, 48 (1993), 15–25
- [15] Rovenski, V. and Wolak, R. New metric structures on \mathfrak{g} -foliations, *Indagationes Mathematicae*, 33 (2022), 518–532
- [16] Rovenski, V. Metric structures that admit totally geodesic foliations, *J. Geom.* (2023) 114:32.
- [17] Rovenski, V.: On the splitting tensor of the weak f -contact structure, *Symmetry* 2023, 15(6), 1215. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym15061215>
- [18] Rovenski, V. Einstein-type metrics and Ricci-type solitons on weak f -K-contact manifolds, pp. 29–51. In: Rovenski, V., Walczak, P., Wolak, R. (eds) *Differential Geometric Structures and Applications*, 2023. Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics, 440. Springer, Cham.
- [19] Sari, R. and Turgut Vanli, A. Generalized Kenmotsu manifolds, *Communications in Mathematics and Applications*, 7, No. 4, 311–328, 2016
- [20] Yano, K. *Integral formulas in Riemannian geometry*, Vol. 1. M. Dekker, 1970
- [21] Yano, K. On a structure f satisfying $f^3 + f = 0$, Technical Report No. 12, University of Washington, 1961