

PARTIAL SEMIGROUPOID ACTIONS ON SETS

RAFAEL HAAG PETASNY AND THAÍSA TAMUSIUNAS*

ABSTRACT. We introduce partial semigroupoid actions on sets and demonstrate that each such action admits universal globalization. Our construction extends the universal globalization for partial category actions given by P. Nystedt (Lundström) and the tensor product globalization for strong partial semigroup actions given by G. Kudryavtseva and V. Laan, thereby unifying the theory of partial actions for both categories and semigroups.

1. INTRODUCTION

Partial group actions on sets were introduced by R. Exel in [11], where he characterizes a class of C^* -algebras as crossed products by partial actions. Examples of partial group action on sets can be easily obtained from a restriction of a (global) group action to a subset of a given set. In [1, Teorema 4.23], F. Abadie proved that any partial group action on a set can indeed be viewed as a restriction of a (unique) global one. This global action was later called *universal globalization*, due to its universal property. In [16, Theorem 3.4], J. Kellendonk and M. Lawson proved that the universal globalizations are initial objects in a determined category. Partial actions and the globalization problem were investigated in many other cases, such as partial actions of monoids ([15] and [20]), semigroups [17], ordered groupoids ([14] and [19]), groupoids [3], inverse categories [2], categories [21], and inverse semigroupoids [8]. Another contexts of partial actions also can be found in the surveys [5], [9] and [10], and in Exel's book [13].

On the other hand, J. Cuntz and W. Krieger introduced a class of C^* -algebras generated by partial isometries under relations defined by a 0-1 matrix, as seen in [7]. These algebras were initially studied for edge matrices of directed graphs and were generalized by A. Kumjian and D. Pask to higher-rank graphs in [18]. In the latter, it was shown that a higher-rank graph C^* -algebra is isomorphic to the C^* -algebra associated to the graph's path category. Due to this algebraic-structure construction and the fact that a 0-1 matrix cannot always be converted made into the edge matrix of a graph, Exel introduced in [12] a novel algebraic concept: semigroupoids and their associated C^* -algebras.

As an algebraic structure, a semigroupoid is a set equipped with a partially defined associative operation. It is worth mentioning that there is another (more restrict) notion of semigroupoid due to B. Tilson [22]. The latter can be seen as a directed graph equipped with a partially defined associative operation and, therefore, it covers the class of categories

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 18B40. Secondary 20N99, 20M30.

Key words and phrases. semigroupoids, partial actions, partial actions on sets, globalization.

* Corresponding author.

and semigroups. However, the class of Exel's semigroupoids is strictly larger than the class of Tilson's semigroupoids (also referred to as semicategories or categorical semigroupoids). Several properties of semigroupoids and graphed semigroupoids can be found in the work written by L. Cordeiro in [6].

In this paper, we are interested in studying the globalization problem for partial semigroupoid actions on sets. Our method generalizes the work of P. Nystedt (Lundström) in [21], whose construction of a universal globalization for partial category actions traces back to F. Abadie's approach in [1]. We also compare our results with the tensor product globalization for partial semigroup actions presented by G. Kudryavtseva and V. Laan in [17], concluding that our universal globalization coincides with the tensor product globalization when the partial semigroup action is non-degenerate.

The paper is structured as follows: in the second section we present the definition of semigroupoid and its partial actions, along with the construction of the standard restriction of a global action to a subset, and the definition of universal globalization. In the third section, we present the construction of a universal globalization for any given partial action. Finally, in the last section, we explore the relationship between our construction and those presented for categories and semigroups.

2. GLOBAL AND PARTIAL ACTIONS

2.1. Semigroupoids. For our purposes, by a semigroupoid we mean an Exel's semigroupoid, which is a more general definition compared to Tilson's semigroupoid.

Definition 2.1. [12, Definition 2.1] A **semigroupoid** is a triple $S = (S, S^{(2)}, \star)$ such that S is a set, $S^{(2)}$ is a subset of $S \times S$, and $\star: S^{(2)} \rightarrow S$ is an operation which is associative in the following sense: if $r, s, t \in S$ are such that either

- (i) $(s, t) \in S^{(2)}$ and $(t, r) \in S^{(2)}$, or
- (ii) $(s, t) \in S^{(2)}$ and $(st, r) \in S^{(2)}$, or
- (iii) $(t, r) \in S^{(2)}$ and $(s, tr) \in S^{(2)}$,

then all of (s, t) , (t, r) , (st, r) and (s, tr) lie in $S^{(2)}$, and $(st)r = s(tr)$.

From now on, let S be semigroupoid. Furthermore, for each $s \in S$, we denote

$$S^s = \{t \in S : (s, t) \in S^{(2)}\} \quad \text{and} \quad {}^sS = \{t \in S : (t, s) \in S^{(2)}\}.$$

In other words, S^s is the set of elements in S that are right composable with s , while sS is the set of elements in S that are left composable with s . Due to the associativity of S , we have $S^t = S^{st}$ and ${}^sS = {}^{st}S$, whenever $(s, t) \in S^{(2)}$. A semigroupoid is called **categorical** if, for every $s, t \in S$, the sets S^s and S^t are either disjoint or equal; equivalently, if sS and tS are either disjoint or equal.

The class of semigroupoids generalizes two relevant algebraic structures: the semigroups, which can be seen as the semigroupoids whose composition is totally defined; and categories, which can be seen as semigroupoids with local identities. We will present the definition of those classes for future reference.

Definition 2.2. A **semigroup** is pair (\mathcal{S}, \cdot) consisting of a non-empty set \mathcal{S} and a binary associative operation $\cdot: \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$, in the sense that, for every triple $s, t, r \in \mathcal{S}$, we have $s \cdot (t \cdot r) = (s \cdot t) \cdot r$.

By definition, the semigroups are precisely the semigroupoids such that $\mathcal{S}^{(2)} = \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}$. Furthermore, every semigroup is a categorical semigroupoid, since $\mathcal{S}^s = \mathcal{S}^t$ for every pair $s, t \in \mathcal{S}$.

Definition 2.3. A **category** is a quintuple $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}_0, \mathcal{C}_1, D, R, \circ)$, where \mathcal{C}_0 is called the set of *objects* of \mathcal{C} , \mathcal{C}_1 is called the set of *morphisms* of \mathcal{C} , $D, R: \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0$ are the *domain* and *range* functions and \circ is a partially defined operation $\mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_1$ called *composition*, satisfying the following properties:

- The composition $f \circ g$ is defined if and only if $D(f) = R(g)$, and in this case $D(f \circ g) = D(g)$ and $R(f \circ g) = R(f)$.
- The composition \circ is associative, in the sense that: if $f \circ g$ and $g \circ h$ are defined, then $(f \circ g) \circ h = f \circ (g \circ h)$;

A morphism $f \in \mathcal{C}_1$ is called an *identity* if $g \circ f = g$, whenever $D(g) = R(f)$, and $f \circ h = h$, whenever $D(f) = R(h)$. In this case f must satisfy $D(f) = R(f)$.

- For each object $e \in \mathcal{C}_0$ there is an identity $1_e \in \mathcal{C}_1$ such that $D(1_e) = e = R(1_e)$.

Notice that, if $1_e \circ 1_f$ is defined, then $1_e = 1_f$ and, therefore, $e = D(1_e) = D(1_f) = f$. Consequently, $e \mapsto 1_e$ is an injection and, hence, we can assume $\mathcal{C}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{C}_1 =: \mathcal{C}$. Furthermore, for every $f \in \mathcal{C}_1$, the composition $1_{R(f)} \circ f$ is defined. Therefore, if f is an identity, it must be $f = 1_{R(f)} \in \mathcal{C}_0$. Therefore, \mathcal{C}_0 is precisely the set of identities of \mathcal{C}_1 .

A category can be seen as a semigroupoid such that $\mathcal{C}^{(2)} = \{(f, g): D(f) = R(g)\} \subseteq \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$, and such that every element $f \in \mathcal{C}$ has a left identity $R(f)$ and a right identity $D(f)$. Furthermore, every category is a categorical semigroupoid. In fact, for every $f \in \mathcal{C}$ we have:

$$\mathcal{C}^f = \{g \in \mathcal{C}: (f, g) \in \mathcal{C}^{(2)}\} = \{g \in \mathcal{C}: R(g) = D(f)\} = \{g \in \mathcal{C}: (D(f), g) \in \mathcal{C}^{(2)}\} = \mathcal{C}^{D(f)}.$$

Therefore, if there is $h \in \mathcal{C}^f \cap \mathcal{C}^g$, then $D(f) = R(h) = D(g)$. Hence, $\mathcal{C}^f = \mathcal{C}^{R(h)} = \mathcal{C}^g$.

Since we are working with semigroupoids, it is important to highlight the distinction between the definition proposed by Exel and that introduced by Tilson. A **directed graph** is a quadruple (G_0, G_1, d, r) , where G_0 is the set of *vertex*, G_1 is the set of *edges* and $d, r: G_1 \rightarrow G_0$ are the *domain* and *range* functions.

Definition 2.4. [22, Appendix B] A **graphed semigroupoid** (also called **Tilson semigroupoid**) is a quintuple (G_0, G_1, d, r, \star) , where:

- (G_0, G_1, d, r) is a directed graph;
- \star is a function that, for each pair of arrows (g, h) with $d(g) = r(h)$, it associates an arrow $\star(g, h) := gh$ with $d(gh) = d(h)$ and $r(gh) = r(g)$;
- \star is associative, meaning that if $f, g, h \in G_1$ are such that $d(f) = r(g)$ and $d(g) = r(h)$, then $(fg)h = f(gh)$ holds.

Remark 2.5. In [6, Theorem 2.15], it was demonstrated that the class of graphed semigroupoids corresponds exactly to the class of categorical semigroupoids. Furthermore, in the same work, all possible underlying graph structures for any given categorical semigroupoid were fully characterized.

Example 2.6. Markov semigroupoids constitute a class of semigroupoids that contains the path categories of directed graphs and some semigroupoids that cannot be realized as graphed semigroupoids. They are constructed in the following way: Let Σ be a set and $A = (A(x, y))_{x, y \in \Sigma}$ be a 0-1 matrix. Assume that:

- S is the set of sequences (s_1, \dots, s_n) , $n \geq 1$, $s_1, \dots, s_n \in \Sigma$, such that $A(s_i, s_{i+1}) = 1$;
- $S^{(2)}$ is the set of pairs $((s_1, \dots, s_n), (t_1, \dots, t_m)) \in S \times S$ such that $A(s_n, t_1) = 1$;
- $*$ is given by $(s_1, \dots, s_n) \star (t_1, \dots, t_m) = (s_1, \dots, s_n, t_1, \dots, t_m) \in S$, when defined.

Then $S = (S, S^{(2)}, \star)$ is a semigroupoid, called **Markov semigroupoid**.

Notice that, for a Markov semigroupoid, if there exist $x, y \in \Sigma$ such that $A(x, y) = A(y, x) = 1$, then S is an infinite set. If furthermore $A(x, x) = 1$ and $A(y, y) = 0$, then $x \in S^x \cap S^y$ and $y \in S^x \setminus S^y$; therefore, S does not admit a compatible graph structure, because it is not categorical. The next example shows that a Markov semigroupoid can be finite and categorical.

Example 2.7. Let $\Sigma = \{s, t\}$ and A be the 2×2 matrix such that $A(s, t) = 1$ and $A(x, y) = 0$, for all $(x, y) \neq (s, t)$. The Markov semigroupoid associated to (Σ, A) is the set $S = \{s, t, st\}$, where the only composition defined is $s \star t = st$. This Markov semigroupoid can be endowed with a graph structure (S_0, S_1, d, r) , in the following way: S_0 consists of three vertices, say $S_0 = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$, $S_1 = S$, and the functions $d, r: S \rightarrow S_0$ are given by $d(t) = d(st) = e_1$, $d(s) = r(t) = e_2$ and $r(s) = r(st) = e_3$.

Moreover, it is important to note that Markov semigroupoids are not the only type of semigroupoids that may fail to admit a compatible graph structure, as illustrated in the following example.

Example 2.8. Let $S = \{s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2, 0\}$ with $S^{(2)} = \{(s_1, t_1), (s_1, t_2), (s_2, t_2)\}$, and define the product as $s_i \star t_j = 0$ for all $(s_i, t_j) \in S^{(2)}$. Then, S forms a semigroupoid that does not admit a compatible graph structure, since $S^{s_1} = \{t_1, t_2\}$ and $S^{s_2} = \{t_2\}$, meaning it is not categorical.

Furthermore, it is not a Markov semigroupoid. Specifically, we have $s_1 \star t_1 = s_1 \star t_2$, while $t_1 \neq t_2$ (note that any element in a Markov semigroupoid has a unique factorization into elements of Σ).

2.2. Partial actions of semigroupoids. In this subsection, we introduce partial actions on sets, discuss the concept of globalization, and provide illustrative examples.

We begin by defining a partial action. From now on, X will denote a set.

Definition 2.9. A **partial action of S on X** is a pair $\alpha = (\{ {}_s X \}_{s \in S}, \{ \alpha_s \}_{s \in S})$ consisting of a collection $\{ {}_s X \}_{s \in S}$ of subsets of X and a collection $\{ \alpha_s \}_{s \in S}$ of functions $\alpha_s: {}_s X \rightarrow X$ such that:

(P1) If $(s, t) \in S^{(2)}$, then $\alpha_t^{-1}({}_sX \cap \alpha_t({}_tX)) = {}_tX \cap {}_{st}X$;

(P2) If $(s, t) \in S^{(2)}$, then $(\alpha_s \circ \alpha_t)(x) = \alpha_{st}(x)$, for every $x \in {}_tX \cap {}_{st}X$.

In this case, we denote $\alpha_s({}_sX) = X_s$. A **global action** of S on X is a partial action α such that:

(G1) If $S^s = S^t \neq \emptyset$, then ${}_sX = {}_tX$;

(G2) If $(s, t) \in S^{(2)}$, then ${}_tX = {}_{st}X$.

Furthermore, we say a partial action is **non-degenerate** if $X = \bigcup_{s \in S} ({}_sX \cup X_s)$. Otherwise, we say that it is **degenerate**.

The next two lemmas are handy to determine if a given partial action is global.

Lemma 2.10. *Let $\{{}_sX\}_{s \in S}$ be a collection of subsets of X and $\{\alpha_s\}_{s \in S}$ be a collection of functions $\alpha_s: {}_sX \rightarrow \alpha_s({}_sX) = X_s$. Then, for each pair $(s, t) \in S^{(2)}$, the following are equivalent:*

- (i) Conditions (P1), (P2) and (G2) hold for (s, t) .
- (ii) ${}_tX = {}_{st}X$, $X_t \subseteq {}_sX$, and $\alpha_s \circ \alpha_t = \alpha_{st}$.

Consequently, $(\{{}_sX\}_{s \in S}, \{\alpha_s\}_{s \in S})$ is a global action if and only if it satisfies (G1) and (ii).

Proof. Assume (i). Then ${}_tX = {}_{st}X$ is precisely (G2). Applying α_t to (P1) we obtain

$${}_sX \cap X_t = \alpha_t({}_tX \cap {}_{st}X) = \alpha_t({}_tX) = X_t \quad \therefore \quad X_t \subseteq {}_sX.$$

Now, (P2) is $(\alpha_s \circ \alpha_t)(x) = \alpha_{st}(x)$, for every $x \in {}_{st}X = {}_tX$, so $\alpha_s \circ \alpha_t = \alpha_{st}$ as functions. Conversely, assume (ii). By hypothesis, (G2) holds, and the condition $\alpha_s \circ \alpha_t = \alpha_{st}$ implies (P2). Furthermore, we have $\alpha_t^{-1}({}_sX \cap X_t) = \alpha_t^{-1}(X_t) = {}_tX = {}_tX \cap {}_{st}X$, so (P1) holds. \square

Lemma 2.11. *Let (α, X) be a partial action satisfying (G1). If $S^s \neq \emptyset$, for every $s \in S$, then (α, X) satisfies (G2). Therefore (α, X) is a global action of S .*

Proof. Let $(s, t) \in S^{(2)}$. Then $S^t = S^{st}$, and consequently $S^t = S^{st} \neq \emptyset$. Therefore (G1) implies ${}_tX = {}_{st}X$. \square

Example 2.12. Let S be a semigroupoid, $X = S$, ${}_sX = S^s$ and $\alpha_s(x) = sx$. Then α is a global action of S on X . In fact, if $(s, t) \in S^{(2)}$, then $S^t = S^{st}$ and $tx \in S^s$ if and only if $x \in S^t$, that is, $X_t = {}_sX \cap X_t$. Hence $\alpha_t^{-1}({}_sX \cap X_t) = {}_tX = {}_tX \cap {}_{st}X$. Since S is associative, we have $\alpha_s \circ \alpha_t = \alpha_{st}$.

Example 2.12 illustrates that a semigroupoid always acts globally on itself via left multiplication. When S is specifically a semigroup or a category, for every $t \in S$, there exists some $s \in S$ such that $(s, t) \in S^{(2)}$. In the case of a category, we can choose $s = R(t)$, the left identity of t , and for a semigroup, we can choose any $s \in S$. Consequently, $S = \bigcup_{s \in S} S^s = \bigcup_{s \in S} {}_sX$, and the left multiplication action is non-degenerate. This is not always the case for a semigroupoid. For instance, the left multiplication of the semigroupoid $S = \{s, t, st\}$, presented in Example 2.6, satisfies $\bigcup_{s \in S} ({}_sX \cup X_s) = \{t, st\} \neq S$.

Example 2.13. Let $S = \{s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2, 0\}$ be the semigroupoid of Example 2.8 and $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Consider the following subsets of X and the respective functions $\alpha_s: {}_sX \rightarrow X$:

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{s_1}X &= \{1, 2\}, & \alpha_{s_1}(1, 2) &= (2, 3), & {}_{s_2}X &= \{1\}, & \alpha_{s_2}(1) &= (2), \\ {}_{t_1}X &= \{2\}, & \alpha_{t_1}(2) &= (1), & {}_{t_2}X &= \{1, 3\}, & \alpha_{t_2}(1, 3) &= (1, 3), \\ {}_0X &= \{1, 2\}, & \alpha_0(1, 2) &= (2, 2). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\alpha = (\{{}_sX\}_{s \in S}, \{\alpha_s\}_{s \in S})$ is a partial action of S on X . This action is degenerate since $4 \notin \cup_{s \in S} ({}_sX \cup X_s)$. Moreover, it is not global because $0 = s_2 t_2$ but ${}_0X \neq {}_{t_2}X$.

2.3. Restriction and globalization. In this section, we present the construction of partial actions by restricting global ones and motivate our definition of universal globalization.

Proposition 2.14. *Let $\beta = (\{{}_sY\}_{s \in S}, \{\beta_s\}_{s \in S})$ be a global action of S on a set Y and X be a subset of Y . For each $s \in S$, define ${}_sX = \{x \in X \cap {}_sY : \beta_s(x) \in X\} = \beta_s^{-1}(X \cap Y_s) \cap X$ and $\alpha_s = \beta_s|_{{}_sX}: {}_sX \rightarrow \beta_s({}_sX)$. Then, $\alpha = (\{{}_sX\}_{s \in S}, \{\alpha_s\}_{s \in S})$ is a partial action of S on X .*

Proof. To prove (P1), assume for a moment that, for $(s, t) \in S^{(2)}$, the following identities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} (*) & \quad \beta_t^{-1}({}_sX \cap Y_t) \cap X = \alpha_t^{-1}({}_sX \cap X_t), \quad \text{and} \\ (**) & \quad \beta_s^{-1}(X \cap Y_{st}) \cap Y_t = \beta_s^{-1}(X \cap Y_s) \cap Y_t. \end{aligned}$$

Since β is a global action, conditions (P1) and (G2) implies $\beta_t^{-1}({}_sY \cap Y_t) = {}_{st}Y = {}_tY$. From (P2) it follows that $\beta_{st} = \beta_s \circ \beta_t$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{st}X \cap {}_tX &= \beta_{st}^{-1}(X \cap Y_{st}) \cap \beta_t^{-1}(X \cap Y_t) \cap X \\ &\stackrel{(P2)}{=} \beta_t^{-1}(\beta_s^{-1}(X \cap Y_{st})) \cap \beta_t^{-1}(X \cap Y_t) \cap X \\ &= \beta_t^{-1}\left(\beta_s^{-1}(X \cap Y_{st}) \cap X \cap Y_t\right) \cap X \\ &\stackrel{(**)}{=} \beta_t^{-1}\left(\beta_s^{-1}(X \cap Y_s) \cap X \cap Y_t\right) \cap X \\ &= \beta_t^{-1}({}_sX \cap Y_t) \cap X \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \alpha_t^{-1}({}_sX \cap X_t). \end{aligned}$$

So condition (P1) holds. Since $\alpha_s = \beta_s|_{{}_sX}$, condition (P2) is easily verified.

It remains to prove (*) and (**). For the first one, if $x \in \beta_t^{-1}({}_sX \cap Y_t) \cap X \subseteq X \cap {}_tY$, then $\beta_t(x) \in {}_sX \cap Y_t \subseteq X$. So $x \in {}_tX$ by definition and, hence, $\beta_t(x) = \alpha_t(x) \in X_t$. Therefore,

$$x \in \alpha_t^{-1}(\beta_t(x)) \subseteq \alpha_t^{-1}({}_sX \cap X_t).$$

This shows the inclusion $\beta_t^{-1}({}_sX \cap Y_t) \cap X \subseteq \alpha_t^{-1}({}_sX \cap X_t)$. For the reverse inclusion, notice that $X_s \subseteq Y_s$. Since α_t is a restriction of β_t to a subset of X , we obtain

$$\alpha_t^{-1}({}_sX \cap X_t) \subseteq \beta_t^{-1}({}_sX \cap X_t) \cap X \subseteq \beta_t^{-1}({}_sX \cap Y_t) \cap X.$$

This proves (*). For the second identity, observe that

$$Y_{st} = \beta_{st}(stY) \stackrel{(P2)}{=} \beta_s(\beta_t(stY)) = \beta_s(\beta_t(\beta_t^{-1}(sY \cap Y_t))) = \beta_s(sY \cap Y_t) \subseteq Y_s.$$

Since $sY \cap Y_t \subseteq \beta_s^{-1}(\beta_s(sY \cap Y_t))$ and $\beta_s^{-1}(X \cap Y_s) \subseteq sY$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_s^{-1}(X \cap Y_{st}) \cap Y_t &= \beta_s^{-1}(X \cap Y_s) \cap \beta_s^{-1}(Y_{st}) \cap Y_t \\ &= \beta_s^{-1}(X \cap Y_s) \cap \beta_s^{-1}(\beta_s(sY \cap Y_t)) \cap Y_t \\ &= \beta_s^{-1}(X \cap Y_s) \cap \beta_s^{-1}(\beta_s(sY \cap Y_t)) \cap sY \cap Y_t \\ &= \beta_s^{-1}(X \cap Y_s) \cap sY \cap Y_t \\ &= \beta_s^{-1}(X \cap Y_s) \cap Y_t. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (**). □

Definition 2.15. The partial action α obtained from the global action β in Proposition 2.14 is called the **restriction** of β to the subset X .

We wish to define a *globalization* of a partial action α as any global action β such that α can be identified with a restriction of β . To develop this concept, we will recall the standard definitions for the category of partial actions. From now on, a partial action α of S on X will be denoted by (α, X) to emphasize the set on which the action takes place.

Definition 2.16. Let (α, X) and (β, Y) be partial actions of S and $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function.

- φ is called a **morphism of partial actions** if, for every $s \in S$, we have

$$\varphi(sX) \subseteq sY \quad \text{and} \quad (\varphi \circ \alpha_s)(x) = (\beta_s \circ \varphi)(x), \quad \forall x \in sX.$$

- φ is said to be an **embedding** if it is an injective morphism of partial actions such that

$$sX = \{x \in X : \varphi(x) \in sY, (\beta_s \circ \varphi)(x) \in \varphi(X)\}, \quad \forall s \in S.$$

Since φ is injective, this is equivalent to $\varphi(sX) = \beta_s^{-1}(\varphi(X) \cap Y_s) \cap \varphi(X)$, for every $s \in S$.

In many contexts, a partial action (α, X) is called a **S -act**, and a morphism of partial actions $(\alpha, X) \rightarrow (\beta, Y)$ is called a **S -function**. This is the convention, for instance, in [17], [21] and [8]. Here we chose to adopt the terminology presented by Abadie in [1] and his subsequential works.

It follows directly from the definition that if (α, X) is a partial action, then the identity map $id_X: X \rightarrow X$ is a morphism of partial actions. Furthermore, given two morphisms of partial actions, $\varphi: (\alpha, X) \rightarrow (\beta, Y)$ and $\psi: (\beta, Y) \rightarrow (\theta, Z)$, their composition $\psi \circ \varphi: (\alpha, X) \rightarrow (\theta, Z)$ is also a morphism of partial actions.

Definition 2.17. The **category of partial actions** of S , which we will denote by $\mathcal{A}_p(S)$, is the category whose objects are the partial actions (α, X) of S , and whose morphisms

are the morphisms of partial actions. The **category of global actions** of S is the *full subcategory*¹ $\mathcal{A}(S)$ of $\mathcal{A}_p(S)$, whose objects are the global actions (β, Y) .

Recall that, in a category \mathcal{C} , a morphism $f \in \mathcal{C}$ is said to be an *isomorphism* if there exists a morphism $g \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $gf = D(f)$ and $fg = R(f)$. The next result characterizes the isomorphisms in the category of partial actions of S , and allows us to properly define *globalization*.

Proposition 2.18. (i) *The isomorphisms of $\mathcal{A}_p(S)$ are precisely the bijective embeddings.*

(ii) *A partial action (α, X) is isomorphic to a restriction of a global action (β, Y) if and only if there exists an embedding $(\alpha, X) \rightarrow (\beta, Y)$.*

Proof. (i) Suppose that $\varphi: (\alpha, X) \rightarrow (\beta, Y)$ is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{A}_p(S)$. Then there exists a morphism of partial actions $\psi: (\beta, Y) \rightarrow (\alpha, X)$ such that $\varphi \circ \psi = id_{(\alpha, X)}$ and $\psi \circ \varphi = id_{(\beta, Y)}$. In particular, we have $\psi = \varphi^{-1}$ as a function, so φ is bijective. Now, given $s \in S$, we calculate

$$\varphi^{-1}(\beta_s^{-1}(\varphi(X) \cap Y_s) \cap \varphi(X)) = \varphi^{-1}(\beta_s^{-1}(Y \cap Y_s) \cap Y) = \psi({}_s Y) \subseteq {}_s X.$$

For the reverse inclusion, let $x \in {}_s X$. Then $\varphi(x) \in {}_s Y$ and $\beta_s(\varphi(x)) = \varphi(\alpha_s(x)) \in \varphi(X)$. So every isomorphism in $\mathcal{A}_p(S)$ is a bijective embedding.

For the converse, suppose that $\varphi: (\alpha, X) \rightarrow (\beta, Y)$ is a bijective embedding. Then there exists a function $\psi: Y \rightarrow X$ such that $\varphi \circ \psi = id_Y$ and $\psi \circ \varphi = id_X$. We aim to demonstrate that ψ is a morphism of partial actions. Since φ is an embedding, we have

$${}_s X = \varphi^{-1}(\beta_s^{-1}(\varphi(X) \cap Y_s) \cap \varphi(X)) = \varphi^{-1}(\beta_s^{-1}(Y \cap Y_s) \cap Y) = \psi({}_s Y).$$

Therefore, for each $y \in {}_s Y$, there exists $x \in {}_s X$ satisfying $x = \psi(y)$, or equivalently, $y = \varphi(x)$. Since $\beta_s \circ \varphi|_{{}_s X} = \varphi \circ \alpha_s$, it follows that

$$(\psi \circ \beta_s)(y) = (\psi \circ \beta_s \circ \varphi)(x) = (\psi \circ \varphi \circ \alpha_s)(x) = (\alpha_s \circ \psi)(y).$$

This shows that $\psi = \varphi^{-1}$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}_p(S)$. Hence, φ is an isomorphism.

(ii) Let (β, Y) be a global action and $Z \subseteq Y$. Denote by (θ, Z) the restriction of β to Z and suppose that $\varphi: (\alpha, X) \rightarrow (\theta, Z)$ is an isomorphism (hence, an embedding). It is known that the inclusion $i: Z \rightarrow Y$ is an embedding $(\theta, Z) \rightarrow (\beta, Y)$. We will demonstrate that $i \circ \varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ is an embedding. For this, observe that

$$\varphi^{-1}(\beta_s^{-1}(\varphi(X) \cap Y_s) \cap \varphi(X)) = \{x \in X: \varphi(x) \in {}_s Y, \beta_s(\varphi(x)) \in \varphi(X)\}.$$

Let $x \in X$ be such that $(i \circ \varphi)(x) \in {}_s Y$ and $\beta_s((i \circ \varphi)(x)) \in (i \circ \varphi)(X)$. Denote $z = \varphi(x)$. Then, the assumption reads $i(z) \in {}_s Y$ and $\beta_s(i(z)) \in (i \circ \varphi)(X) \subseteq i(Z)$. Since i is an embedding, it follows that $\varphi(x) \in {}_s Z$. Therefore, $(i \circ \theta_s)(\varphi(x)) = \beta_s((i \circ \varphi)(x)) \in (i \circ \varphi)(X)$.

¹A full subcategory is a subcategory H of the category G such that, if $X, Y \in Ob(H)$, then $Hom_H(X, Y) = Hom_G(X, Y)$.

Since i is injective, it follows that $\theta_s(\varphi(x)) \in \varphi(X)$. As φ is an embedding, we conclude that $x \in {}_sX$. Thus,

$$(i \circ \varphi)^{-1}(\beta_s^{-1}((i \circ \varphi)(X) \cap Y_s) \cap (i \circ \varphi)(X)) \subseteq {}_sX.$$

The reverse inclusion follows by the same reasoning as the first part of (i). Therefore the composition $i \circ \varphi: (\alpha, X) \rightarrow (\beta, Y)$ is an embedding.

For the converse, suppose that (β, Y) is a global action and $\varphi: (\alpha, X) \rightarrow (\beta, Y)$ is an embedding. Let $Z = \varphi(X) \subseteq Y$ and (θ, Z) be the restriction of β to Z . We will show that $\varphi: (\alpha, X) \rightarrow (\beta, Y)$ is an isomorphism. By definition, we have ${}_sZ = \{z \in Z \cap {}_sY : \beta_s(z) \in Z\}$. From $\varphi({}_sX) \subseteq {}_sY \cap Z$ and $(\beta_s \circ \varphi)({}_sX) = (\varphi \circ \alpha_s)({}_sX) \subseteq Z$, we obtain $\varphi({}_sX) \subseteq {}_sZ$. As $\theta_s = \beta_s|_{{}_sZ}$, it follows that

$$\theta_s \circ \varphi|_{{}_sX} = \beta_s \circ \varphi|_{{}_sX} = \varphi \circ \alpha_s.$$

So $\varphi: (\alpha, X) \rightarrow (\beta, Y)$ is a morphism of partial action. Furthermore, we have

$$\theta_s^{-1}(\varphi(X) \cap Z_s) = {}_sZ = \beta_s^{-1}(Z \cap Y_s) \cap Z = \beta_s^{-1}(\varphi(X) \cap Y_s) \cap \varphi(X),$$

and, as $\varphi: (\alpha, X) \rightarrow (\beta, Y)$ is an embedding, we conclude that

$$\varphi^{-1}(\theta_s^{-1}(\varphi(X) \cap Z_s) \cap \varphi(X)) = \varphi^{-1}(\beta_s^{-1}(\varphi(X) \cap Y_s) \cap \varphi(X)) = {}_sX.$$

Therefore, $\varphi: (\alpha, X) \rightarrow (\theta, Z)$ is a bijective embedding and, hence, an isomorphism. \square

Definition 2.19. Let (α, X) be a partial action of S . A **globalization** of (α, X) is a triple (δ, β, Y) consisting of a global action (β, Y) and an embedding $\delta: X \rightarrow Y$.

The next example shows that a partial action may have many non-isomorphic globalizations.

Example 2.20. Let $\mathcal{S} = \{0, 1\}$ be the semigroup with composition $1 \cdot x = x = x \cdot 1$, for any $x \in \mathcal{S}$, and $0 \cdot 0 = 0$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$X^n = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} = {}_0X^n = {}_1X^n, \quad \alpha_0^n(x_i) = x_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_1^n(x_i) = x_i, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then each pair (α^n, X^n) is a global action of \mathcal{S} , and each inclusion $i^n: X^1 \rightarrow X^n$ is an embedding. Hence, each triple (α^n, X^n, i^n) is a globalization of (α^1, X^1) . However, there is no bijection $X^i \rightarrow X^j$ when $i \neq j$. Therefore (α^1, X^1) has infinitely many non-isomorphic globalizations.

Our interest is to define a class of globalizations in a way that, for each partial action, there is at most one globalization (up to isomorphism) in that class. However, there are multiple ways to define such class. For instance, in the context of semigroups, [17, Theorem 3.5] and [17, Theorem 4.7] present non-isomorphic globalizations that are unique in their respective class. Here we consider the class of *universal globalizations*, which appear in [1, Definition 2.24] as *enveloping actions*.

Definition 2.21. Let (α, X) be a partial action. A **universal globalization** of (α, X) is a globalization (δ, β, Y) of (α, X) such that, for every morphism $\varphi: (\alpha, X) \rightarrow (\theta, Z)$, where (θ, Z) is a global action, there is a unique morphism $\Phi: (\beta, Y) \rightarrow (\theta, Z)$ such that $\Phi \circ \delta = \varphi$. That is, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(\alpha, X) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & (\beta, Y) \\
& \searrow \varphi & \vdots \Phi \\
& & (\theta, Z)
\end{array}$$

The next proposition shows that, with the above definition, a partial action admits at most one (up to isomorphism) universal globalization.

Proposition 2.22. *Let (α, X) be a partial action of S and suppose that (β, Y, δ) and (θ, Z, γ) are universal globalizations of (α, X) . Then there is an isomorphism of partial actions $(\beta, Y) \rightarrow (\theta, Z)$.*

Proof. Since (β, Y, δ) is a universal globalization of (α, X) , the function $\delta: X \rightarrow Y$ is, in particular, a morphism of partial actions. As (β, Y) is a global action and (θ, Z, γ) is a universal globalization, there must be a morphism of partial actions $f: (\theta, Z) \rightarrow (\beta, Y)$ such that $\delta = f \circ \gamma$.

Interchanging the roles of (β, Y, δ) and (θ, Z, γ) above, we obtain a morphism of partial action $g: (\beta, Y) \rightarrow (\theta, Z)$ such that $\gamma = g \circ \delta$. Now, notice that $f \circ g: (\beta, Y) \rightarrow (\beta, Y)$ satisfies

$$(f \circ g) \circ \delta = f \circ \gamma = \delta = id_Y \circ \delta.$$

Since (β, Y, δ) is a universal globalization, the morphism $F: (\beta, Y) \rightarrow (\beta, Y)$ such that $F \circ \delta = \delta$ must be unique. Therefore $f \circ g = id_Y$. Analogously, the composition $g \circ f: (\theta, Z) \rightarrow (\theta, Z)$ satisfies

$$(g \circ f) \circ \gamma = g \circ \delta = \gamma = id_Z \circ \gamma.$$

Since (θ, Z, γ) is a universal globalization, it must be $g \circ f = id_Z$. Therefore $f = g^{-1}$. By definition, both f and g are morphisms of partial actions. Thus, $g: (\beta, Y) \rightarrow (\theta, Z)$ is an isomorphism. \square

It is easy to see that, if (α, X) is a global action, then (α, X, id_X) is the universal globalization of (α, X) . In this case, given a morphism $\varphi: (\alpha, X) \rightarrow (\beta, Y)$, we can chose $\Phi = \varphi$. Therefore, in Example 2.20, (α^1, X^1, i^1) is the unique universal globalization of (α^1, X^1) .

Before we present the construction of a universal globalization, we want to point it out that, if universal globalizations do exist, then they can be characterized as the initial objects of a suitable category. For it, let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be categories. Recall that a functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a pair of functions $F_0: \mathcal{C}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_0$ and $F_1: \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_1$ such that:

- If $f \in \mathcal{C}_1$, then $D(F_1(f)) = F_0(D(f))$ and $R(F_1(f)) = F_0(D(f))$.
- If $f \circ g$ is defined in \mathcal{C} , then $F_1(f \circ g) = F_1(f) \circ F_1(g)$.

Fix a functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $X \in \mathcal{D}_0$. We define a category $(X \rightarrow F)$ in the following way:

- $(X \rightarrow F)_0$ is the family of morphisms $f \in \mathcal{D}_1$ such that $D(f) = X$ and $R(f) \in F_0(\mathcal{C}_0)$. That is, the objects of $(X \rightarrow F)$ are the morphisms $f: X \rightarrow F_0(Y)$ for some $Y \in \mathcal{C}_0$.

- Given $f: X \rightarrow F_0(Y)$ and $g: X \rightarrow F_0(Z)$ in $(X \rightarrow F)_0$, define $(X \rightarrow F)(f, g)$ as the family of morphisms $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_1(F_0(Y), F_0(Z))$ such that $\varphi \circ f = g$. Now, the family of morphisms $(X \rightarrow F)_1$ is the union $\bigcup_{f, g \in (X \rightarrow F)_0} (X \rightarrow F)(f, g)$.
- The composition in $(X \rightarrow F)$ is defined as the composition in \mathcal{D}_1 , according to the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & F_0(Y_1) & \\
 & \uparrow f & \\
 X & \xrightarrow{g} & F_0(Y_2) \\
 & \downarrow \psi & \\
 & F_0(Y_3) & \\
 & \uparrow h & \\
 & &
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{l}
 \varphi \circ f = g, \\
 \psi \circ g = h, \\
 (\psi \circ \varphi) \circ f = \psi \circ g = h.
 \end{array}$$

Then $(X \rightarrow F) = ((X \rightarrow F)_0, (X \rightarrow F)_1, D, R, \circ)$ is a category, where D and R are domain and range functions of the category \mathcal{D} . In particular, if we fix $(\alpha, X) \in \mathcal{A}_p(S)$ a partial action and $I: \mathcal{A}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_p(S)$ the inclusion functor, given by $I_0(\beta, Y) = (\beta, Y)$ for every global action (β, Y) of S , and $I_1(f) = f$ for every morphism between global actions, then $((\alpha, X) \rightarrow I)$ is a category.

We recall that an **initial object** in a category \mathcal{C} is an object $X_0 \in \mathcal{C}_0$ such that, for each object $X \in \mathcal{C}_0$, there exists a unique morphism $f: X_0 \rightarrow X$. In particular, the unique morphism $X_0 \rightarrow X_0$ is the identity 1_{X_0} . Consequently, any two initial objects of \mathcal{C} are isomorphic.

Proposition 2.23. *Let (α, X) be a partial action of S . Then:*

- If (β, Y, δ) is a universal globalization for (α, X) , then δ is an initial object in $((\alpha, X) \rightarrow I)$.
- Suppose that (α, X) admits a universal globalization. If $\gamma: (\alpha, X) \rightarrow (\theta, Z)$ is an initial object in $((\alpha, X) \rightarrow I)$, then (θ, Z, γ) is a universal globalization for (α, X) .

Therefore, if (α, X) has a universal globalization, then the family of universal globalizations of (α, X) is precisely the family of initial objects in the category $((\alpha, X) \rightarrow I)$.

Proof. (i) This is straightforward from the definition. An initial object in $((\alpha, X) \rightarrow I)$ is a morphism of partial actions $\delta: (\alpha, X) \rightarrow I(\beta, Y)$ such that, for each morphism $\varphi: (\alpha, X) \rightarrow I(\theta, Z)$, there is a unique morphism $\Phi: (\beta, Y) \rightarrow (\theta, Z)$ such that $\Phi \circ \delta = \varphi$. Hence, if (β, Y, δ) is a universal globalization, then δ is an initial object in $((\alpha, X) \rightarrow I)$.

(ii) If $\gamma: (\alpha, X) \rightarrow I(\theta, Z)$ is an initial object in $((\alpha, X) \rightarrow I)$, then for each morphism of partial actions $\varphi: (\alpha, X) \rightarrow I(\theta', Z')$, there is a unique morphism $\Phi: (\theta, Z) \rightarrow (\theta', Z')$ such that $\Phi \circ \gamma = \varphi$. Therefore, for (θ, Z, γ) to be a universal globalization, it remains to show that (θ, Z, γ) is, in fact, a globalization for (α, X) .

For it, suppose that (β, Y, δ) is a universal globalization of (α, X) . From (i) we know that δ is an initial object in $((\alpha, X) \rightarrow I)$. Since initial objects are unique up to isomorphism,

there is an isomorphism $\Psi: \delta \rightarrow \gamma$. That is, $\Psi: (\beta, Y) \rightarrow (\theta, Z)$ is a bijective embedding such that $\Psi \circ \delta = \gamma$. Since (β, Y, δ) is a globalization, the morphism δ is an embedding. Since the composition of embeddings is again an embedding, it follows that $\Psi \circ \delta = \gamma: (\alpha, X) \rightarrow (\theta, Z)$ is an embedding. Therefore, (θ, Z, γ) is a globalization of (α, X) and, hence, a universal globalization. \square

In the next section, we will prove that any partial action of S admits universal globalization. Then, we obtain from Proposition 2.23 that universal globalizations of a partial action (α, X) are, in fact, the initial objects of the category $((\alpha, X) \rightarrow I)$. This is a generalization of [17, Corollary 3.6] for semigroups and the first part of [16, Theorem 3.4] for groups. Notice that, in the latter, it is stated that a universal globalization is an initial object in the category **Global**, which is the full subcategory of $((\alpha, X) \rightarrow I)$ whose objects are the embeddings $(\alpha, X) \rightarrow I(\beta, Y)$.

3. THE UNIVERSAL GLOBALIZATION

In this section, we prove that every partial semigroupoid action on a set admits a universal globalization, and characterize when the universal globalization of a partial semigroupoid action is non-degenerate.

Definition 3.1. Let $s, t \in S$. Then $s \sim t$ if and only if one of the following holds:

- (R1) $s = t$;
- (R2) $S^s = S^t \neq \emptyset$;
- (R3) There exists $u \in {}^tS$ such that $s = ut$;

The relation \sim is reflexive and transitive. Reflexivity follows from (R1). To see that $s \sim t$ and $t \sim r$ imply $s \sim r$, it is enough to consider that the relations are given by (R2) or (R3). If both relations are given by (R2), then $S^s = S^t = S^r \neq \emptyset$. Hence $s \sim r$ by (R2). If $s \sim t$ is given by (R2) and $t \sim r$ is given by (R3), then $t = ur$ for some $u \in S$. Therefore, $S^r = S^{ur} = S^t = S^s \neq \emptyset$, so $s \sim r$ by (R2). Analogously, if $s \sim t$ is given by (R3) and $t \sim r$ is given by (R2), then $s = ut$ for some $u \in S$ and $S^s = S^{ut} = S^t = S^r \neq \emptyset$. Finally, if both relations are given by (R3), then there exist $u, v \in S$ such that $s = ut$ and $t = vr$, concluding that $s = (uv)r$ and $s \sim r$ by (R3).

The relation \sim defined above may not be symmetric. For example, consider the semigroupoid $S = \{s, t, st\}$ given in Example 2.7. Then, $st \sim t$ by (R3). However, $t \not\sim st$ because $t \neq st$, $S^t = \emptyset$, and ${}^{st}S = \emptyset$.

Denote by R the smallest equivalence relation on S that contains \sim . In this case, since \sim is reflexive, the relation R is given by sRt if and only if there are $r_1, \dots, r_n \in S$ such that $s = r_1$, $r_n = t$ and $r_i \sim r_{i+1}$ or $r_{i+1} \sim r_i$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. In this case, we say that there is a chain of length n connecting s to t .

Lemma 3.2. *With the previous notation, we have:*

- (i) *If $(s, t) \in S^{(2)}$, then $stRt$.*
- (ii) *If sRt , then $S^s = S^t$.*
- (iii) *If (α, X) is a global action and sRt , then ${}_sX = {}_tX$.*

Proof. (i) Let $(s, t) \in S^{(2)}$. Then $s \in {}^tS$ and $st = s \cdot t$, so condition (R3) implies $stRt$.

(iii) We proceed by induction on the length of the chain connecting s to t . If $s = t$, the result is trivial. If $s \neq t$ and $n = 2$, then sRt means that $s \sim t$ or $t \sim s$. If the relation is given by (R2), then (G1) implies that ${}_sX = {}_tX$. If the relation is given by (R3), then there is $u \in S$ such that $s = ut$ or $t = us$. In any case, (G2) implies that ${}_sX = {}_{ut}X = {}_tX$ or ${}_tX = {}_{us}X = {}_sX$.

Now, assume that s and t are connected by a chain of length $n > 2$ and suppose that, whenever $u, v \in S$ are connected by a chain of length $m < n$, then ${}_uX = {}_vX$. Let $r_1, \dots, r_n \in S$ be the chain connecting s to t . Then, by the proof of $n = 2$, we have ${}_sX = {}_{r_2}X$. Since $r_2, \dots, r_n \in S$ is a chain of length $n - 1$ connecting r_2 to t , it follows from the induction hypothesis that ${}_{r_2}X = {}_tX$. Therefore, ${}_sX = {}_{r_2}X = {}_tX$.

(ii) Is a consequence of (iii) and Example 2.12. \square

When S is a category, the equivalence class of an element s under R corresponds precisely to the set of all elements $t \in S$ such that $d(t) = d(s)$. When S is a semigroup, the equivalence class of any element s becomes the entire set S (consider semigroups as graphed semigroupoids with only one vertex). Thus, the relation R attempts to emulate the concept of a *domain*, even when the semigroupoid lacks a compatible graph structure.

We denote the equivalence class of s under R by ρ_s . Given a partial action (α, X) of S , let

$$\rho_s X = \bigcup \left(\{ {}_tX : tRs \} \cup \{ X_t : t \in S^s \} \right).$$

By Lemma 3.2(ii), the definition of $\rho_s X$ is independent of the choice of representative. From now on, fix (α, X) as a partial action of S and define $S^\delta = S \cup \{\delta\}$, where δ is a symbol. Let

$$D = \{ (s, x) \in S \times X : x \in \rho_s X \} \cup \{ (\delta, x) : x \in X \} \subseteq S^\delta \times X.$$

Whenever we write $(a, x) \in D$ with $a = s, t, r$, it is assumed that $a \in S$, otherwise it may be $a \in S^\delta$.

Definition 3.3. Consider \approx as the smallest equivalence relation on D that contains the relations:

- (1) $(\delta, y) \sim (s, x)$, if $x \in {}_sX$ and $\alpha_s(x) = y$;
- (2) $(s, x) \sim (t, y)$, if there is $u \in S^t$ such that $s = tu$, $x \in {}_uX$, and $\alpha_u(x) = y$.

More precisely, $(a, x) \approx (b, y)$ if and only if $(a, x) = (b, y)$ or there exist $(c_1, z_1), \dots, (c_n, z_n) \in D$ such that $(a, x) = (c_1, z_1)$, $(c_n, z_n) = (b, y)$ and, for each $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, either $(c_i, z_i) \sim (c_{i+1}, z_{i+1})$ or $(c_{i+1}, z_{i+1}) \sim (c_i, z_i)$. In this case, we say that there is a chain of length n connecting (a, x) to (b, y) .

Lemma 3.4. *With the above notation, the following properties hold:*

- (i) *If $(t, y) \in D$ and $(s, t) \in S^{(2)}$, then $(st, y) \in D$.*
- (ii) *If $(s, x) \approx (t, y)$, then $x \in {}_sX$ if and only if $y \in {}_tX$. In this case $\alpha_s(x) = \alpha_t(y)$.*
- (iii) *If $(s, x) \approx (t, y)$ and $(p, s), (p, t) \in S^{(2)}$, then $(ps, x) \approx (pt, y)$.*

- (iv) If $(\delta, x) \approx (t, y)$ and $(p, t) \in S^{(2)}$, then $(p, x) \approx (pt, y)$.
(v) If $(\delta, x) \approx (\delta, y)$, then $x = y$.

Proof. (i) By Lemma 3.2(i), $(s, t) \in S^{(2)}$ implies $\rho_{st} = \rho_t$. If $(t, y) \in D$ then $x \in {}_{\rho_t}X = {}_{\rho_{st}}X$. Therefore, $(st, x) \in D$.

(ii) If $(s, x) = (t, y)$ there is nothing to prove. Hence, we can assume there is a chain of length $n \geq 2$ connecting (s, x) to (t, y) . We proceed by induction, the base cases being $n = 2, 3$.

If $n = 2$, then $(s, x) \approx (t, y)$ is given by condition (2). Suppose there exists $u \in S^t$ such that $s = tu$, $x \in {}_uX$, and $\alpha_u(x) = y$. If $x \in {}_sX = {}_{tu}X$ then, from (P1), we obtain that $x \in {}_{tu}X \cap {}_uX = \alpha_u^{-1}({}_tX \cap X_u)$. Hence, $y = \alpha_u(x) \in {}_tX$. On the other hand, if $y \in {}_tX$, then again from (P1), we have $\alpha_u(x) \in {}_tX \cap X_u$, which implies that $x \in \alpha_u^{-1}({}_tX \cap X_u) \subseteq {}_sX$. In both cases, $\alpha_t(y) = (\alpha_t \circ \alpha_u)(x) = \alpha_s(x)$. The case $t = su$ and $\alpha_u(y) = x$ is analogous.

If $n = 3$, then $(s, x) \approx (t, y)$ is given either by (2,2) as $(s, x) \sim (r, z) \sim (t, y)$, where $r \in S$, or by (1,1) as $(s, x) \sim (\delta, z) \sim (t, y)$. The first case is obtained applying the argument for $n = 2$ twice. In the second case the result is immediate, since $(s, x) \sim (\delta, z)$ and $(\delta, z) \sim (t, y)$ imply that $x \in {}_sX$, $y \in {}_tX$, and $\alpha_s(x) = z = \alpha_t(y)$.

Now, assume $n > 3$ and that (ii) is valid whenever the chain connecting (s, x) to (t, y) has length $m < n$. If the chain starts with relation (2), we apply the case $n = 2$ for the first relation and use the induction hypothesis on the remaining chain, which starts with an element (r, z) with $r \in S$ and has length $n - 1$. If the chain starts with (1,1), apply the argument on $n = 3$ for the first two relation and the induction hypothesis for the remaining chain, of length $n - 2$. If the chain starts with (1,=) it can be shortened and, hence, the result follows from the induction hypothesis.

(iii) If $(s, x) = (t, y)$ there is nothing to prove, hence, we assume there is a chain of length $n \geq 2$ connecting (s, x) to (t, y) . We proceed by induction, the base cases being $n = 2, 3$.

If $n = 2$, then $(s, x) \sim (t, y)$ is given by (2). If $s = tu$, then $ps = (pt)u$, therefore, condition (2) implies $(ps, x) \sim (pt, y)$. The case $t = su$ is analogous. If $n = 3$ then $(s, x) \approx (t, y)$ is given by (2,2) or (1,1). In the first case we have $(s, x) \sim (r, z) \sim (t, y)$ for some $r \in S$. Since both $s = ru$ and $r = sv$ implies ${}^sS = {}^rS$, we have $(p, s) \in S^{(2)}$ if and only if $(p, r) \in S^{(2)}$. Thus, the result follows applying the case $n = 2$ twice. For the second case, note that $(p, s) \in S^{(2)}$ and $x \in {}_sX$ implies $\alpha_s(x) \in {}_{\rho_p}X$, hence, $(p, \alpha_s(x)) \in D$ and $(ps, x) \sim (p, \alpha_s(x))$ by (2). Now, if $(s, x) \sim (\delta, z) \sim (t, y)$, it must be $\alpha_s(x) = z = \alpha_t(y)$. Therefore

$$(ps, x) \stackrel{(2)}{\sim} (p, \alpha_s(x)) = (p, \alpha_t(y)) \stackrel{(2)}{\sim} (pt, y).$$

Now, let $n > 3$ and assume that (iii) is valid whenever the chain connecting (s, x) to (t, y) has length $m < n$. If the chain starts with relation (2), then $c_2 \in S$ and the argument for (2,2) shows that $(p, c_2) \in S^{(2)}$, thus, we apply the case $n = 2$ for $(s, x) \sim (c_2, z_2)$ and the induction hypothesis for the remaining chain. If the chain starts with relation (1), then $x \in {}_sX$ and, from (ii), we obtain $y \in {}_tX$ and $\alpha_s(x) = \alpha_t(y)$. Therefore

$(ps, x) \sim (p, \alpha_s(x)) = (p, \alpha_t(y)) \sim (pt, y)$ as in $n = 3$.

(iv) Any chain from (δ, x) to (t, y) can be written as $(\delta, x) \sim (r, z) \approx (t, y)$, for some $r \in S$. As $(\delta, x) \sim (r, z)$ implies $z \in {}_rX$ and $\alpha_r(z) = x$, from (ii), we obtain $y \in {}_tX$ and $x = \alpha_r(z) = \alpha_t(y)$. Therefore $(p, x) = (p, \alpha_t(y)) \sim (pt, y)$ by (2).

(v) If $x = y$ there is nothing to prove. Suppose that $x \neq y$ and $(\delta, x) \approx (\delta, y)$, then the chain connecting (δ, x) to (δ, y) must contain the relation (1). Therefore, it is enough to consider a chain of the form

$$(\delta, x) \stackrel{(1)}{\sim} (t, z) \approx (r, w) \stackrel{(1)}{\sim} (\delta, y).$$

In this case, from condition (1) and (ii), we obtain $x = \alpha_t(z) = \alpha_r(w) = y$, a contradiction. Hence, $(\delta, x) \approx (\delta, y)$ only occurs when $x = y$. \square

Definition 3.5. We will denote by E the quotient set D/\approx , and the equivalence class of $(a, x) \in D$ by $[a, x] \in E$. For each $s \in S$, let

$${}_sD = \{(a, x) \in D : (a, x) \approx (t, y), \text{ for some } t \in S^s\} \cup \{(\delta, x) \in D : x \in {}_{\rho_s}X\},$$

and denote by ${}_sE$ the quotient set ${}_sD/\approx$. Furthermore, for each $[a, x] \in {}_sE$, consider

$$\beta_s([a, x]) = \begin{cases} [st, y], & \text{if } (a, x) \approx (t, y) \in {}_sD. \\ [s, x], & \text{if } a = \delta. \end{cases}$$

It follows from Lemma 3.4(i), (iii) and (iv) that $\beta_s : {}_sE \rightarrow E$ is a well-defined function.

The next example illustrates the difference between sets $S^\delta \times X$, D , and E . We present the sets ${}_sE$ and show that E may not be equal to the union of the sets ${}_sE$ and $\beta_s({}_sE)$. That is, viewed as a partial action, the pair (β, E) can be degenerate.

Example 3.6. Let $S = \{s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2, 0\}$ be the semigroupoid of Example 2.8. Then:

$$\rho_{s_1} = \{s_1\}, \quad \rho_{s_2} = \{s_2\} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{t_1} = \{t_1, t_2, 0\} = \rho_{t_2} = \rho_0.$$

Furthermore, for $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and the partial action (α, X) defined in Example 2.13, we have:

$$\rho_{s_1}X = \{1, 2, 3\} = \rho_0X \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{s_2}X = \{1, 3\}.$$

Therefore $S^\delta \times X$ has 24 elements, while D has 18 elements. Namely,

$$\begin{aligned} &(\delta, n), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, 4, \\ &(s_1, n), (t_1, n), (t_2, n), (0, n), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \\ &(s_2, n), \quad n = 1, 3. \end{aligned}$$

The equivalence classes of D under \approx are:

$$\begin{aligned} [\delta, 1] &= [t_1, 2] = [t_2, 1], \\ [\delta, 2] &= [s_1, 1] = [s_2, 1] = [0, 1] = [0, 2], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\delta, 3] &= [s_1, 2] = [t_2, 3], \\ [s_1, 3] &= [0, 3] = [s_2, 3], \\ [t_1, 1], \quad [t_1, 3], \quad [t_2, 2], \quad [\delta, 4]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore E has 8 elements. The sets ${}_sE$ are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{s_1}E &= \{[\delta, 1], [\delta, 2], [\delta, 3], [t_1, 1], [t_1, 3], [t_2, 2], [t_2, 3]\}, \\ {}_{s_2}E &= \{[\delta, 1], [\delta, 3], [t_2, 2], [t_2, 3]\}, \\ {}_{t_1}E &= \{[\delta, 1], [\delta, 2], [\delta, 3]\} = {}_{t_2}E = {}_0E. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $[0, 3] = [s_1 t_1, 3] = \beta_{s_1}([t_1, 3]) \in E_{s_1}$. However, the class $[\delta, 4]$ does not belong to any ${}_sE$ or E_s . Therefore $\cup_{s \in S} ({}_sE \cup E_s) = E \setminus \{[\delta, 4]\}$.

Theorem 3.7. *Define $\delta: X \rightarrow E$ by $\delta(x) = [\delta, x]$. Then:*

- (i) *The pair (β, E) is a global action of S on E .*
- (ii) *The triple (δ, β, E) is a universal globalization for (α, X) .*

Proof. (i) We first verify (G1). If $s, t \in S$ are such that $S^s = S^t \neq \emptyset$ and $[a, x] \in {}_sE$, then $[a, x] = [r, y]$ for some $r \in S^s$, or $[a, x] = [\delta, y]$ for some $y \in \rho_s X$. In the first case we have $r \in S^s = S^t$ and, hence, $[a, x] \in {}_tE$. In the second, $S^s = S^t \neq \emptyset$ implies $\rho_s = \rho_t$, hence $y \in \rho_t X$. So $[a, x] = [\delta, y] \in {}_tE$. This shows ${}_sE \subseteq {}_tE$. Since $S^s = S^t \neq \emptyset$ is a symmetric condition, the same argument proves ${}_sE = {}_tE$. For the remaining of the proof for (i) we uses Lemma 2.10(ii). That is, for $(s, t) \in S^{(2)}$ we must show ${}_tE = {}_{st}E$, $\beta_t({}_tE) \subseteq {}_sE$ and $\beta_s \circ \beta_t = \beta_{st}$.

If $[a, x] \in {}_tE$, then $\beta_t([a, x]) = [tr, y]$ for some $r \in S^t$ or $\beta_t([a, x]) = [t, y]$. Since $t, tr \in S^s$, in both cases we have $\beta_t([a, x]) \in {}_sE$. So $E_t \subseteq {}_sE$. Since $(s, t) \in S^{(2)}$ implies $S^t = S^{st}$ and, by Lemma 3.2(i), $\rho_t = \rho_{st}$, we have

$${}_tE = \{(r, x) : r \in S^{st}\} \cup \{[\delta, x] : x \in \rho_{st} X\} = {}_{st}E.$$

Now, given $[a, x] \in {}_tE = {}_{st}E$, we calculate

$$(\beta_s \circ \beta_t)([a, x]) = \begin{cases} \beta_s([ta, x]), & \text{if } a \in S^t, \\ \beta_s([t, x]), & \text{if } a = \delta. \end{cases} = \begin{cases} [sta, x], & \text{if } a \in S^{st}, \\ [st, x], & \text{if } a = \delta. \end{cases} = \beta_{st}([a, x]).$$

Therefore (β, E) is a global action.

(ii) We first verify that (δ, β, E) is a globalization for (α, X) . Let $x \in {}_sX$ and notice that ${}_sX \subseteq \rho_s X$. Then $(\delta, x) \in {}_sD$ and, therefore, $\delta(x) = [\delta, x] \in {}_sE$. Furthermore, for such x we have

$$(\beta_s \circ \delta)(x) = \beta_s([\delta, x]) = [s, x] \stackrel{(1)}{=} [\delta, \alpha_s(x)] = (\delta \circ \alpha_s)(x).$$

So δ is a morphism of partial actions. It follows from Lemma 3.4(v) that δ is injective. Therefore, to δ to be an embedding, it remains to prove that ${}_sX = \{x \in X : \delta(x) \in {}_sE, \beta_s(\delta(x)) \in \delta(X)\}$. Suppose that $x \in X$ is such that $\delta(x) \in {}_sE$ and $\beta_s(\delta(x)) \in \delta(X)$. From $\delta(x) \in {}_sE$ we have two possible cases:

- $[\delta, x] = [\delta, y]$ for some $y \in {}_{\rho_s}X$. In this case, Lemma 3.4(v) implies $x = y$. Therefore $\beta_s(\delta(x)) = [s, x]$. Now, if $[s, x] \in \delta(X)$, then there is $z \in X$ such that $[s, x] = [\delta, z]$. As any chain from (δ, z) to (s, x) must use relation (1), it follows from Lemma 3.4(ii) that $x \in {}_sX$.
- $[\delta, x] = [t, y]$, for some $t \in S^s$. Similar to the previous case, we obtain from Lemma 3.4(ii) that $y \in {}_tX$ and $\alpha_t(y) = x$. Now, if $\beta_s(\delta(x)) = [st, y] \in \delta(X)$, then there is $z \in X$ such that $[st, y] = [\delta, z]$. Again, it must be $y \in {}_{st}X$. Therefore

$$x = \alpha_t(y) \in \alpha_t({}_tX \cap {}_{st}X) \stackrel{(P1)}{=} \alpha_t(\alpha_t^{-1}({}_sX \cap X_t)) = {}_sX \cap X_t.$$

This shows that $\{x \in X : \delta(x) \in {}_sE, \beta_s(\delta(x)) \in \delta(X)\} \subseteq {}_sX$. The reverse inclusion follows from δ being a morphism of partial actions. This concludes that (δ, β, E) is a globalization for (α, X) .

Now we verify that (δ, β, E) is a universal globalization. For it, let (θ, Y) be a global action and $\varphi: (\alpha, X) \rightarrow (\theta, Y)$ be a morphism. Define $\Phi: D \rightarrow Y$ as

$$\Phi(\delta, x) = \varphi(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(s, x) = (\theta_s \circ \varphi)(x).$$

For Φ to be well defined, we need to verify that $\varphi(x) \in {}_sY$ whenever $(s, x) \in D$. If $(s, x) \in D$, then $x \in {}_{\rho_s}X$ and, hence, we have two possible cases:

- $x \in {}_tX$ for some $t \in S$ such that sRt . In this case, since φ is a morphism of partial actions we have $\varphi(x) \in {}_tY$. As (θ, Y) is a global action, it follows from Lemma 3.2(iii) that $\varphi(x) \in {}_tY = {}_sY$.
- $x \in X_t$ for some $t \in S$ such that $(s, t) \in S^{(2)}$. In this case we have $x = \alpha_t(y)$, for some $y \in {}_tX$. Since φ is a morphism of partial actions we have $\varphi(x) = (\varphi \circ \alpha_t)(y) = (\theta_t \circ \varphi)(y) \in Y_t$. As (θ, Y) is a global action, it follows from Lemma 2.10(ii) that $\varphi(x) \in Y_t \subseteq {}_sY$.

So the function Φ is well defined. Furthermore, Φ is compatible with the relation \sim on D . For if $(s, x) \sim (\delta, y)$, then $x \in {}_sX$ and $y = \alpha_s(x)$. Therefore

$$\Phi(s, x) = (\theta_s \circ \varphi)(x) = (\varphi \circ \alpha_s)(x) = \Phi(\delta, \alpha_s(x)) = \Phi(\delta, y).$$

While if $(s, x) \sim (t, y)$, then there is $u \in S^t$ such that $s = tu$, $x \in {}_uX$ and $\alpha_u(x) = y$. Therefore

$$\Phi(s, x) = (\theta_s \circ \varphi)(x) = (\theta_t \circ \theta_u \circ \varphi)(x) = (\theta_t \circ \varphi)(\alpha_u(x)) = (\theta_t \circ \varphi)(y) = \Phi(t, y).$$

The case $t = su$ is analogous. As Φ is compatible with \sim and, consequently, with \approx , it induces a function $\Phi: E \rightarrow Y$, given by $\Phi([a, x]) = \Phi(a, x)$. The function Φ is a morphism of partial actions and satisfy $\Phi \circ \delta = \delta$. For if $[t, x] \in {}_sE$, where $t \in S^s$, then

$$(\Phi \circ \beta_s)([t, x]) = \Phi([st, x]) = (\theta_{st} \circ \varphi)(x) = (\theta_s \circ \theta_t \circ \varphi)(x) = (\theta_s \circ \Phi)([t, x]),$$

while if $[\delta, x] \in {}_sE$, then

$$(\Phi \circ \beta_s)([\rho_s, x]) = (\theta_s \circ \varphi)(x) = (\theta_s \circ \Phi)([\delta, x]).$$

So Φ is a morphism of partial action. For every $x \in X$ we have $(\Phi \circ \delta)(x) = \Phi([\delta, x]) = \phi(x)$. For the uniqueness of Φ , suppose that $\Psi: E \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism such that $\Psi \circ \delta = \varphi = \Phi \circ \delta$.

For each $[\delta, x] \in E$ we have $\Psi([\delta, x]) = (\Psi \circ \delta)(x) = (\Phi \circ \delta)(x) = \Phi([\delta, x])$. Now, for each $[s, x] \in E$ we have $x \in {}_{\rho_s}X$. Therefore $[s, x] = \beta_s([\delta, x])$ and, hence,

$$\Psi([s, x]) = (\Psi \circ \beta_s)([\delta, x]) = (\theta_s \circ \Psi)([\delta, x]) = (\theta_s \circ \Phi)([\delta, x]) = \Phi([s, x]).$$

That is, $\Psi \equiv \Phi$. Therefore (δ, β, E) is a universal globalization for (α, X) . \square

To end this section, we will prove that the universal globalization of a semigroupoid partial action is non-degenerate if and only if the partial action is non-degenerate. Let (α, X) be a partial semigroupoid action. We denote

$$X_1 = \cup_{s \in S} ({}_sX \cup X_s) \quad \text{and} \quad X_0 = X \setminus X_1.$$

That is, X_1 and X_0 are, respectively, the non-degenerate and the degenerate parts of X .

Proposition 3.8. *Let (α, X) be a semigroupoid partial action of S , and let (δ, β, E) be its universal globalization, as stated in Theorem 3.7. Then $\delta(X_0) = E_0$. Consequently, (α, X) is non-degenerate if and only if (β, E) is non-degenerate.*

Proof. First, we will prove the inclusion $\delta(X_0) \subseteq E_0$. Let $x \in X_0$ and $\delta(x) = [\delta, x] \in E$. Suppose that $\delta(x) \in {}_sE$, for some $s \in S$, and recall that ${}_sE = {}_sD / \approx$, where

$${}_sD = \{(a, x) \in D : (a, x) \approx (t, y), t \in S^s\} \cup \{(\delta, x) : x \in {}_{\rho_s}X\}.$$

Since $x \in X_0$, it follows that $x \notin {}_{\rho_s}X$. Therefore, $(\delta, x) \simeq (t, y)$ for some $t \in S^s$. This implies that there exists a chain of the form

$$(\delta, x) \stackrel{(1)}{\sim} (r, z) \approx (t, y),$$

for some $r \in S$. However, $(\delta, x) \sim (r, z)$ means that $x = \alpha_r(z) \in X_r \subseteq X_1$, a contradiction. Now, suppose that $\delta(x) \in E_s$, for some $s \in S$. Then, it must be

$$[\delta, x] = \beta_s([\delta, y]) = [s, y] \quad \text{or} \quad [\delta, x] = \beta_s([t, y]) = [st, y].$$

Both cases lead to $(\delta, x) \approx (r, y)$, for some $r \in S$, which, again, result in a contradiction. Therefore

$$\delta(x) \notin \cup_{s \in S} ({}_sE \cup E_s) = E_1 \implies \delta(x) \in E \setminus E_1 = E_0.$$

This concludes that $\delta(X_0) \subseteq E_0$.

To prove the inclusion $E_0 \subseteq \delta(X_0)$, we will first consider the case $[a, y] \in E_0 \cap \delta(X)$. That is, $[a, y] = [\delta, x]$, for some $x \in X$. Suppose that $x \in X_1$. Then there is $s \in S$ such that $x \in {}_sX \cup X_s$. Since $\delta: (\alpha, X) \rightarrow (\beta, E)$ is a morphism of partial actions, if $x \in {}_sX$, then

$$[a, y] = \delta(x) \in \delta({}_sX) \subseteq {}_sE.$$

On the other hand, if $x \in X_s$, then

$$[a, y] = \delta(x) = (\delta \circ \alpha_s)(z) = (\beta_s \circ \delta)(z) \in E_s.$$

In both cases, we have a contradiction with $[a, y] \in E_0$. Therefore, $E_0 \cap \delta(X) \subseteq \delta(X_0)$. Now, chose an element $[a, y] \in E \setminus \delta(X)$. Then, we can fix a representative $(s, x) \simeq (a, y)$ such that $s \in S$. Since $(s, x) \in D$ and $s \in S$, it follows from the definition of D that

$$x \in {}_{\rho_s}X = \bigcup \left(\{ {}_tX : tRs \} \cup \{ X_t : t \in S^s \} \right).$$

If $x \in {}_tX \subseteq {}_{\rho_s}X$ then, by the definition of ${}_sD$, it must be

$$[\delta, x] \in {}_sE \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_s([\delta, x]) = [s, x] \in E_s.$$

On the other hand, if $x \in X_t$, for some $t \in \mathcal{S}^s$, then there is $z \in X$ such that $x = \alpha_t(z)$. Therefore

$$[t, z] \in {}_sE \quad \text{and} \quad [a, y] = [s, x] = [s, \alpha_t(z)] \stackrel{(2)}{=} [st, z] = \beta_s([t, z]) \in E_s.$$

That is, $E \setminus \delta(X) \subseteq E_1$. Therefore $E_0 = E_0 \cap \delta(X) \subseteq \delta(X_0) \subseteq E_0$. Since a partial action (α, X) is non-degenerate if and only if $X_0 = \emptyset$, we conclude that that (α, X) is non-degenerate if and only if $\emptyset = \delta(X_0) = E_0$ if and only if (δ, β, E) is non-degenerate. \square

4. APPLICATION IN SEMIGROUPS AND CATEGORIES

4.1. Partial semigroup actions. In this subsection we compare our definition of partial action when restricted to a semigroup with the one presented in [17]. Furthermore, we show that the universal globalization constructed in Section 3 coincides with the tensor product globalization when the partial semigroup action is non-degenerate.

In this subsection, \mathcal{S} will denote a semigroup (that is, a semigroupoid such that $\mathcal{S}^{(2)} = \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}$).

Definition 4.1. [17, Definition 2.3] A **strong (left) partial semigroup action** of \mathcal{S} on X is a pair $(\{{}_sX\}_{s \in \mathcal{S}}, \{\alpha_s\}_{s \in \mathcal{S}})$ consisting of a collection $\{{}_sX\}_{s \in \mathcal{S}}$ of subsets of X and a collection $\{\alpha_s\}_{s \in \mathcal{S}}$ of functions $\alpha_s: {}_gX \rightarrow X$ such that:

$$(S) \quad \alpha_t^{-1}({}_sX \cap X_t) = {}_tX \cap {}_{st}X \quad \text{and, for } x \in {}_tX \cap {}_{st}X, \quad (\alpha_s \circ \alpha_t)(x) = \alpha_{st}(x).$$

Such action is called **global** if ${}_sX = X$, for every $s \in \mathcal{S}$, and called **unitary** if $X = \cup_{s \in \mathcal{S}} X_s$.

The strong partial actions described in [17] are the type of partial actions that eventually can be globalizable [17, Proposition 2.9]. In [17, Lemma 2.4] it has been shown that strong partial monoid actions (in the sense of [15, Definition 2.4]) are precisely the strong and unitary partial semigroup actions of a monoid. We also observe that global semigroup actions are always non-degenerate, whereas partial actions are not necessarily so.

Proposition 4.2. *With the above notation, it follows that:*

- (i) α is a strong partial semigroup action of \mathcal{S} on X (in the sense of Kudryavtseva and Laan) if and only if α is a partial semigroupoid action of \mathcal{S} on X .
- (ii) α is a strong global semigroup action of \mathcal{S} on X (in the sense of Kudryavtseva and Laan) if and only if α is a non-degenerate global semigroupoid action of \mathcal{S} on X .

Proof. Since $\mathcal{S}^{(2)} = \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}$, condition (S) is equivalent to (P1) and (P2), so (i) is proved.

For (ii), assume that α is a strong global semigroup action of \mathcal{S} on X . Then ${}_sX = X$, for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$. Thus, the action is non-degenerate, and $X = {}_sX = {}_tX = {}_{st}X$. Thus, conditions (G1) and (G2) are trivially satisfied. Now assume that α is a non-degenerate global semigroupoid action of \mathcal{S} on X . Since $\mathcal{S}^s = \mathcal{S}$, we obtain ${}_sX = {}_tX$, for all $s, t \in \mathcal{S}$. Define $X_1 = {}_sX$. From Lemma 2.10(ii) we obtain that $X_t \subseteq {}_sX$, for every $s, t \in \mathcal{S}$. Therefore

$$\cup_{s \in \mathcal{S}} ({}_sX \cup X_s) \subseteq \cup_{s \in \mathcal{S}} X_s = X_1.$$

Since the action is non-degenerate, we have $X_1 = X$, which concludes the proof. \square

Now we relate our definition of (universal) globalization to the one presented for semigroups.

The notion of globalization presented in [17, Definition 2.8] is analogous to ours. That is, a globalization of a partial semigroup action (α, X) is a triple (i, γ, Y) where (γ, Y) is a global semigroup action and $i: (\alpha, X) \rightarrow (\gamma, Y)$ is an embedding. On the other hand, the term *universal globalization* was not adopted in [17], as two different globalizations of a partial semigroup action were presented, each one being *universal* in some sense. The globalization we are interested in is called **tensor product globalization**. It is constructed as follows.

We start by extending the semigroup \mathcal{S} to a monoid \mathcal{S}^1 , by attaching a new element 1 as a symbol outside of \mathcal{S} . The partial action of \mathcal{S} on X is then extended to an action of \mathcal{S}^1 on X by defining $1X = X$ and $\alpha_1 = id_X$. This extended action is both strong and unitary. Next, we define \approx as the equivalence relation on $\mathcal{S}^1 \times X$ generated by the relation

$$(*) \quad (s, x) \sim (t, y) \text{ if there is } u \in \mathcal{S}^1 \text{ such that } s = ut, x \in {}_u X \text{ and } \alpha_u(x) = y.$$

Let $\mathcal{S}^1 \otimes X$ be the quotient set $(\mathcal{S}^1 \times X) / \approx$ and define $\gamma_s(t \otimes x) = st \otimes x$, for every $t \otimes x \in \mathcal{S}^1 \otimes X$. This is a global action of \mathcal{S} on $\mathcal{S}^1 \otimes X$ and the function $i: X \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^1 \otimes X$, defined as $i(x) = 1 \otimes x$, is an embedding. This triple $(i, \gamma, \mathcal{S}^1 \otimes X)$ is the tensor product globalization of (α, X) .

It has been shown in [17, Theorem 3.5] that $(i, \gamma, \mathcal{S}^1 \otimes X)$ is an initial object in the *category of globalizations* of (α, X) , denoted by $\mathcal{G}(\alpha, X)$. The category $\mathcal{G}(\alpha, X)$ has as objects the embeddings $\delta: (\alpha, X) \rightarrow (\beta, Z)$, where (β, Z) is a global semigroup action, and the morphisms between the objects $\delta: (\alpha, X) \rightarrow (\beta, Z)$ and $\varphi: (\alpha, X) \rightarrow (\theta, W)$ are the morphisms $\Phi: (\beta, Z) \rightarrow (\theta, W)$ such that $\Phi \circ \delta = \varphi$.

Remark 4.3. Let (α, X) be a partial semigroup action of \mathcal{S} .

- (1) Let $\mathcal{A}^*(\mathcal{S})$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ whose objects are the non-degenerated global actions of \mathcal{S} , and $I^*: \mathcal{A}^*(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_p(\mathcal{S})$ be the inclusion functor. Then $((\alpha, X) \rightarrow I^*)$ is a proper full subcategory of $((\alpha, X) \rightarrow I)$ (recall the definition in Proposition 2.23).
- (2) The category of globalizations $\mathcal{G}(\alpha, X)$ is a proper full subcategory of $((\alpha, X) \rightarrow I^*)$. In the category $((\alpha, X) \rightarrow I^*)$ the objects consist of all morphisms of partial actions $(\alpha, X) \rightarrow (\beta, Y)$, whereas $\mathcal{G}(\alpha, X)$ restricts its objects to embeddings only.
- (3) The proof given in [17, Theorem 3.5] does not use the hypothesis that an object $\delta \in \mathcal{G}(\alpha, X)$ is an embedding. Thus, the tensor product globalization $(i, \gamma, \mathcal{S}^1 \otimes X)$ also provides an initial object for the category $((\alpha, X) \rightarrow I^*)$.

Due to Proposition 3.8, the universal globalization (δ, β, E) of a partial action (α, X) , given by Theorem 3.7, is non-degenerate if and only if (α, X) is non-degenerate. On the other hand, any global semigroup action (in the sense of Kudryavtseva and Laan) is non-degenerate. In particular, the tensor product globalization $(i, \gamma, \mathcal{S}^1 \otimes X)$ is non-degenerate. In the next result, we relate the globalizations (δ, β, E) and $(i, \gamma, \mathcal{S}^1 \otimes X)$.

Proposition 4.4. *Let (α, X) be a partial semigroupoid action of the semigroup \mathcal{S} . Then the tensor product globalization $(\beta, \mathcal{S}^1 \otimes X)$ and the universal globalization (β, E) are isomorphic in $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S})$ if and only if (α, X) is a non-degenerate partial action.*

Proof. Suppose that (α, X) is non-degenerate. From Proposition 3.8, it follows that (δ, β, Y) is non-degenerate and, hence, $(\delta, \beta, Y) \in ((\alpha, X) \rightarrow I^*)$. By Proposition 2.23(i), δ is an initial object in the category $((\alpha, X) \rightarrow I)$. Since $((\alpha, X) \rightarrow I^*)$ is a full subcategory of $((\alpha, X) \rightarrow I)$, it follows that δ is an initial object in the category $((\alpha, X) \rightarrow I^*)$.

On the other hand, $i: (\alpha, X) \rightarrow (\gamma, \mathcal{S}^1 \otimes X)$ is an initial object in the category $(\alpha(X) \rightarrow I^*)$. Since initial objects are unique, up to isomorphism, there is an isomorphism $\Phi: \delta \rightarrow i$. By definition, Φ is an isomorphism of partial actions $(\beta, E) \rightarrow (\gamma, \mathcal{S}^1 \otimes X)$.

To prove the converse, we will show that an isomorphism of partial actions preserve the non-degenerate part of the actions. In fact, let (α, X) and (β, Y) be partial semigroup actions and suppose that $\varphi: (\alpha, X) \rightarrow (\beta, Y)$ is an isomorphism of partial actions. Then $\varphi^{-1}: (\beta, Y) \rightarrow (\alpha, X)$ is an isomorphism of partial actions. Hence, for each $s \in \mathcal{S}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} {}_s Y &= (\varphi \circ \varphi^{-1})({}_s Y) \subseteq \varphi({}_s X) \subseteq {}_s Y \quad \therefore \quad \varphi({}_s X) = {}_s Y, \\ \varphi(X_s) &= (\varphi \circ \alpha_s)({}_s X) = (\beta_s \circ \varphi)({}_s X) = \beta_s({}_s Y) = Y_s. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\varphi(X_1) = \varphi(\cup_{s \in \mathcal{S}} ({}_s X \cup X_s)) = \cup_{s \in \mathcal{S}} (\varphi({}_s X) \cup \varphi(X_s)) = \cup_{s \in \mathcal{S}} ({}_s Y \cup Y_s) = Y_1.$$

Now, suppose that $\varphi: (\beta, E) \rightarrow (\gamma, \mathcal{S}^1 \otimes X)$ is an isomorphism of global actions. Then

$$E = \varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{S}^1 \otimes X) = \varphi^{-1}((\mathcal{S}^1 \otimes X)_1) = E_1.$$

That is, $E_0 = E \setminus E_1 = \emptyset$. Therefore (β, E) is non-degenerate and, from Proposition 3.8, it follows that (α, X) is non-degenerate. \square

The difference between the universal globalization and the tensor product globalization lies in how we deal with the degenerate part of (α, X) . Notice that, when \mathcal{S} is a semigroup, the relation R defined in Lemma 3.2 leads to sRt , for all $s, t \in \mathcal{S}$. That is, \mathcal{S}/R has only one equivalence class, which we will denote by ρ . Therefore $\rho X = \cup_{s \in \mathcal{S}} ({}_s X \cup X_s) = X_1$, and

$$D = (\{\delta\} \times X) \cup (\mathcal{S} \times X_1) = (\mathcal{S}^\delta \times X_1) \cup (\{\delta\} \times X_0).$$

Consequently, for each $s \in \mathcal{S}$, we have ${}_s E = E \setminus \delta(X_0)$. On the other hand, since $(i, \gamma, \mathcal{S}^1 \otimes X)$ is a globalization of (α, X) , there is a unique morphism of partial actions $\varphi: (\beta, E) \rightarrow (\gamma, \mathcal{S}^1 \otimes X)$ such that $\varphi \circ \delta = i$. This morphism is defined by

$$\varphi([\delta, x]) = i(x) = 1 \otimes x \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi([s, x]) = \gamma_s(i(x)) = s \otimes x.$$

Therefore, $\varphi: E \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^1 \otimes X$ is a bijection. However, the inverse function $\varphi^{-1}: \mathcal{S}^1 \otimes X \rightarrow E$ may not be a morphism of partial actions, since in $(\gamma, \mathcal{S}^1 \otimes X)$ every element acts on $i(X_0) = \varphi(\delta(X_0))$ as the identity function, while in (β, E) no element can act on $\delta(X_0)$.

We end this section noticing that the fact that any degenerate partial semigroup action admits a non-degenerate globalization that provides an initial object in the category

$((\alpha, X) \rightarrow I^*)$ is a convenient property of semigroups. Indeed, if (β, Y) is a non-degenerate global action of \mathcal{S} , then ${}_s Y = Y$ for every $s \in \mathcal{S}$. Therefore, in order to define a morphism $\Phi: (\beta, E) \rightarrow (\theta, Y)$, there is no need to verify that $\Phi({}_s E) \subseteq {}_s Y$. The next example illustrates, in the context of semigroupoids, how a degenerate partial action may fail to have such a non-degenerate globalization.

Example 4.5. Let $S = \{e, f\}$, $S^{(2)} = \{(e, e), (f, f)\}$ and $ee = e$, $ff = f$. Then S is a semigroupoid (furthermore, S is a groupoid, but this will not be relevant). Let $Y = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and define

$${}_e Y = \{0, 1, 2\}, \quad \beta_e(0, 1, 2) = (0, 1, 0), \quad {}_f Y = \{0, 1, 3\} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_f(0, 1, 3) = (0, 1, 0).$$

Then (β, Y) is a non-degenerate global action of G . Let $X = \{1, 2\}$ and α be the restriction of β to X , as in Proposition 2.14. Then

$${}_e X = \{1\}, \quad \beta_e(1) = (1), \quad {}_f X = \{1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_f(1) = (1).$$

In this case (α, X) is a degenerate global action of S . We know that $i: X \rightarrow Y$, given by $i(1, 2) = (1, 2)$, is an embedding. Notice that $j: X \rightarrow Y$, $j(1, 2) = (1, 3)$ is also an embedding.

Suppose that (δ, θ, E) is a non-degenerate globalization of (α, X) . Since $S^s \neq \emptyset$ for every $s \in S$, we obtain from Lemma 2.10(ii) that $E_s \subseteq {}_t E$, for some $t \in S^s$. Therefore, $E \subseteq \cup_{s \in S} {}_s E$. Now, the embedding $\delta: X \rightarrow E$ must satisfy $\delta(2) \in {}_e E$ or $\delta(2) \in {}_f E$. Suppose further that (δ, θ, E) is initial object in the category $((\alpha, X) \rightarrow I^*)$. Then there must be two morphisms of partial actions, $i', j': (\theta, E) \rightarrow (\beta, Y)$, satisfying $i' \circ \delta = i$ and $j' \circ \delta = j$. Therefore

$$i'(\delta(2)) = i(2) = 2 \in {}_e Y \setminus {}_f Y \quad \text{and} \quad j'(\delta(2)) = j(2) = 3 \in {}_f Y \setminus {}_e Y.$$

Since i' is a morphism of partial actions, if $\delta(2) \in {}_f E$ then $i'(\delta(2)) \in {}_f Y$, a contradiction. So it must be $\delta(2) \in {}_e E$. But in this case, as j' is a morphism of partial actions, it must be $j'(\delta(2)) \in {}_e Y$, a contradiction. Therefore, the degenerate partial action (α, X) does not admit a non-degenerate globalization that provides an initial object for $((\alpha, X) \rightarrow I^*)$.

4.2. Partial category actions. In this subsection, we investigate the relation between partial category actions and partial semigroupoid actions of a category and show that our construction of universal globalization coincides with the one presented in [21, §3].

Throughout this subsection, \mathcal{C} will denote a category (recall our conventions in Definition 2.3). The following characterization of partial category actions was given in [21, Proposition 8].

Definition 4.6. A **partial action** of \mathcal{C} on a set X is a pair $(\{{}_g X\}_{g \in \mathcal{C}}, \{\alpha_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{C}})$ consisting of a collections $\{{}_g X\}_{g \in \mathcal{C}}$ of subsets of X and a collection $\{\alpha_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{C}}$ of functions $\alpha_g: {}_g X \rightarrow X$ such that:

- (c1) $X = \cup_{e \in \mathcal{C}_0} X_e$ and $\alpha_e = id_{X_e}$, for all $e \in \mathcal{C}_0$;
- (c2) If $g \in \mathcal{C}$, then ${}_g X \subseteq D(g)X$;

(c3) If gh exists, then $\alpha_h^{-1}(X_h \cap_g X) = {}_h X \cap_{gh} X$ and, for $x \in {}_h X \cap_{gh} X$, $(\alpha_g \circ \alpha_h)(x) = \alpha_{gh}(x)$.

Such action is called **global** if, furthermore, it satisfies:

(c4) ${}_g X = {}_{D(g)} X$, for every $g \in \mathcal{C}$.

Compared to the original characterization, we omit the collection of subsets $\{X_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{C}}$, since it is implicitly defined as the images of the collection of functions $\{\alpha_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{C}}$, and interchange the roles of X_g and ${}_g X$. This change aims the comparison with partial group actions, [11, Definition 1.2], where $\alpha_g: X_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow X_g$, or in our notation, $X_g = X_g$ and ${}_g X = X_{g^{-1}}$. It is straightforward from (c1) that any partial category action is non-degenerate.

Similarly to the relation between semigroups and monoids ([17, Lemma 2.4]), there is a particular class of partial semigroupoid actions that correspond to partial category actions. To describe it, we need the notion of an *identity element* in a semigroupoid.

Definition 4.7. Let S be a semigroupoid. An element $e \in S$ is called an **identity** if $(e, e) \in S^{(2)}$ and $se = s$ and $et = t$, whenever $(s, e), (e, t) \in S^{(2)}$. We denote by S_0 the set of identities of S .

Notice that S_0 may be the empty set. Furthermore, for each $s \in S$, there is at most one identity $e \in S^s$. For if $e, f \in S_0 \cap S^s$, then $s = se = (sf)e$. Therefore, fe is defined and, hence, $e = f$.

Lemma 4.8. Let S be a semigroupoid, (α, X) be a partial semigroupoid action, and $e \in S_0$. It holds that:

- (i) α_e is a projection; that is, $X_e \subseteq {}_e X$ and $\alpha_e(x) = x$, for every $x \in X_e$.
- (ii) If $(e, s) \in S^{(2)}$, then $X_s \subseteq X_e$.

Proof. Let us start with (ii). Suppose that $(e, s) \in S^{(2)}$, then $es = s$. From condition (P1) we have $\alpha_s^{-1}({}_e X \cap X_s) = {}_s X \cap_{es} X = {}_s X$. Applying α_s to this equality, we obtain ${}_e X \cap X_s = X_s$. Therefore $X_s \subseteq {}_e X$. Let $x \in {}_s X = {}_s X \cap_{es} X$. Then condition (P2) implies $(\alpha_e \circ \alpha_s)(x) = \alpha_{es}(x) = \alpha_s(x)$. That is, for every $y = \alpha_s(x) \in X_s$ we have $\alpha_e(y) = y \in X_e$. So $X_s \subseteq {}_e X \cap X_e$.

Applying the previous argument for $s = e$ we obtain $X_e \subseteq {}_e X \cap X_e$ and $(\alpha_e \circ \alpha_e)(x) = \alpha_e(x)$, for every $x \in {}_e X$. Therefore, $X_e \subseteq {}_e X$, from which we obtain $X_s \subseteq {}_e X \cap X_e = {}_e X$. This concludes (ii) and proves (i). \square

When $S = \mathcal{C}$ is a category, Lemma 4.8 implies that $X_g \subseteq {}_{R(g)} X$, for every $g \in \mathcal{C}$, and for each $e \in \mathcal{C}_0$ the function α_e is a projection. The next example shows that, for a partial semigroupoid action of a category, conditions (c1) and (c2) may not hold.

Example 4.9. Let \mathcal{C} be the category $\{R(g), g, D(g)\}$ consisting of two objects and one (non identity) morphism between them. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$ and consider the subsets

$${}_g X = \{0, 2\}, \quad {}_{D(g)} X = \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad {}_{R(g)} X = \{0, 1\},$$

and let $\alpha_h \equiv 0$, for every $h \in \mathcal{C}$. Then (α, X) is a partial semigroupoid action of \mathcal{C} . However, (α, X) is not a partial category action of \mathcal{C} since $\alpha_{R(g)} \neq id_{{}_{R(g)} X}$ and ${}_g X \not\subseteq {}_{D(g)} X$.

Definition 4.10. Let S be a semigroupoid and (α, X) be a partial action (Definition 2.9). We say that (α, X) is a **categorical** partial action of S if the following conditions hold:

- (C1) $X = \cup_{s \in S} X_s$.
- (C2) $\alpha_e = id_{eX}$, for every $e \in S_0$.
- (C3) If $e \in S_0$ and $(s, e) \in S^{(2)}$, then ${}_sX \subseteq {}_eX$.

Observe that in (C1), we ask for $X = \cup_{s \in S} X_s$ instead of $X = \cup_{e \in S_0} eX$. By doing so, the categorical partial actions generalize the unitary partial actions of a semigroup (Definition 4.1).

Proposition 4.11. Let \mathcal{C} be a category, X be a set, $\{gX\}_{g \in \mathcal{C}}$ be a family of subsets of X and $\{\alpha_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{C}}$ be a family of functions $\alpha_g: gX \rightarrow X$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) (α, X) is a partial (global) category action of \mathcal{C} (in the sense of Nystedt).
- (ii) (α, X) is a categorical partial (global) semigroupoid action of \mathcal{C} .

Proof. We will first prove the equivalence for partial actions. Notice that (P1) and (P2) are equivalent to (c3); (C2) is equivalent to the second part of (c1); and (C3) is equivalent to (c2).

Assume (i). To prove (ii) it remains to prove (C1). From the second part of (c1) we have $\alpha_e = id_{eX}$, for every $e \in \mathcal{C}_0$. That is, ${}_eX = X_e$. From the first part of (c1) we obtain

$$X = \cup_{e \in \mathcal{C}_0} eX = \cup_{e \in \mathcal{C}_0} X_e \subseteq \cup_{g \in \mathcal{C}} X_g \subseteq X.$$

Therefore $X = \cup_{g \in \mathcal{C}} X_g$. Conversely, assume (ii). It remains to show the first part of (c1), that is, $X = \cup_{e \in \mathcal{C}_0} eX$. From Lemma 4.8(ii) and (C2) we have $X_g \subseteq X_{R(g)} = {}_{R(g)}X$, for every $g \in \mathcal{C}$. From (C1) we obtain

$$X = \cup_{g \in \mathcal{C}} X_g \subseteq \cup_{g \in \mathcal{C}} X_{R(g)} = \cup_{e \in \mathcal{C}_0} eX \subseteq X.$$

Therefore $X = \cup_{e \in \mathcal{C}_0} eX$.

For the equivalence on global actions it remains to prove that (G1) and (G2) are equivalent to (c4). Moreover, we will show that (G2) implies (c4), which implies (G1). Since $D(g) \in \mathcal{C}^g$, for every $g \in \mathcal{C}$, we obtain from Lemma 2.11 that (G1) implies (G2). Therefore, over a category all three conditions are equivalent.

Suppose that (G2) holds, that is, ${}_hX = {}_{gh}X$, whenever the composition gh is defined. In particular, for $h = D(g)$, the composition $gD(g)$ exists and, hence, ${}_{D(g)}X = {}_{gD(g)}X = {}_gX$. So condition (c4) holds. Assume (c4) and notice that, for $g \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$\mathcal{C}^g = \{h \in \mathcal{C} : \exists gh\} = \{h \in \mathcal{C} : R(h) = D(g)\}.$$

Therefore, if $\mathcal{C}^g = \mathcal{C}^h$, then $D(g) = D(h)$. In this case ${}_gX = {}_{D(g)}X = {}_hX$. So (G1) holds. \square

Now we relate our notion of (universal) globalization to the one presented for categories.

The notion of globalization presented in [21, Definition 11] is more general than ours. The term *globalization* means any morphism of partial actions $\delta: (\alpha, X) \rightarrow (\beta, Y)$ where (β, Y) is a global action, while we require δ to be an embedding. On the other hand, *universal globalization* means a globalization (δ, β, Y) such that, for every morphism $\varphi: (\alpha, X) \rightarrow$

(θ, Z) where (θ, Z) is a global action, there is a unique morphism $\Phi: (\beta, Y) \rightarrow (\theta, Z)$ satisfying $\Phi \circ \delta = \phi$. Apart from the definition of globalization, the idea of *universal globalization* agree with ours.

Remark 4.12. Let (α, X) be a categorical partial action of the category \mathcal{C} .

- (1) In [21, Theorem 4] it has been proved that (α, X) admits a universal globalization (i, γ, Y) . Furthermore, [21, Remark 22] shows that i is, in fact, an embedding.
- (2) From (1) and Proposition 2.23(ii), it follows that, for any universal globalization (δ, β, Z) of (α, X) , δ must be an embedding. Therefore, a universal globalization of (α, X) is a globalization, in the sense of Definition 2.19.
- (3) Let $\mathcal{CA}(\mathcal{C})$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{A}_p(\mathcal{C})$ whose objects are the categorical global actions of \mathcal{C} , and $I_{\mathcal{C}}: \mathcal{CA}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_p(\mathcal{C})$ be the inclusion functor. Then the category $((\alpha, X) \rightarrow I_{\mathcal{C}})$ is a full subcategory of the category $((\alpha, X) \rightarrow I)$ (recall the definition in Proposition 2.23).
- (4) From [21, Theorem 4], (2) and Proposition 2.23(i), it follows that the universal globalization (i, γ, Y) is an initial object in the category $((\alpha, X) \rightarrow I_{\mathcal{C}})$.

The universal globalization presented in [21] is constructed as follows. Given a partial category action (α, X) of \mathcal{C} , let $\overline{X} = \{(g, x) : x \in {}_D(g)X\}$. For $(g, x), (h, y) \in \overline{X}$, denote $(g, x) - (h, y)$ if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (*1) There is $h \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $x'h$ is defined, $x'h = x$, $x \in {}_hX$ and $\alpha_h(x) = x'$.
- (*2) $x = x'$ and $g, g' \in \mathcal{C}_0$ (and in this case $x \in {}_gX \cap {}_{g'}X$).

Let \simeq be the smallest equivalence relation on \overline{X} that contains the relation $-$ and denote $Y = \overline{X} / \simeq$. For each $g \in \mathcal{C}$, let $(h, x) \in {}_g\overline{X}$ if and only if $(h, x) \simeq (h', x')$ for some $h' \in \mathcal{C}$ such that gh' is defined. Denote ${}_gY = {}_g\overline{X} / \simeq$ and define $\gamma_g: {}_gY \rightarrow Y$ by $\gamma_g([h, x]) = [gh', x']$. Then (γ, Y) is a global action. From condition (c1), for every $x \in X$ there is $e \in \mathcal{C}_0$ such that $x \in {}_eX$. Define $i: X \rightarrow Y$ by $i(x) = [e, x]$. The triple (i, γ, Y) is the desired universal globalization for (α, X) .

To prove that our universal globalization (δ, β, E) and the one described above are isomorphic, we will use Remark 4.12(4) and the uniqueness, up to isomorphism, of initial objects.

Proposition 4.13. *Let (α, X) be a categorical partial action of \mathcal{C} . Then the universal globalization (δ, β, E) of Theorem 3.7 is a categorical action of \mathcal{C} .*

Proof. We start by summarizing the construction of (β, E, δ) when \mathcal{C} is a category and (α, X) is a categorical partial action of \mathcal{C} . The relation R defined in Lemma 3.2 leads to gRh if and only if $D(g) = D(h)$. Denote by $\rho_g = \rho_{D(g)}$ the equivalence class of g . Then, for each $e \in \mathcal{C}_0$, we have

$$\rho_e = \bigcup (\{{}_hX : D(h) = e\} \cup \{X_h : R(h) = e\}).$$

Notice that, if $R(h) = e$, then eh is defined. Therefore, it follows from lemma 4.8(ii) and (C2) that $X_h \subseteq X_e = {}_eX$. On the other hand, if $D(h) = e$, then (C3) implies ${}_hX \subseteq {}_eX$.

Therefore ${}_{\rho_e}X \subseteq {}_eX$. The reverse inclusion is trivial, since $D(e) = e$. Consequently, the set D is defined as

$$D = (\{\delta\} \times X) \cup \{(g, x) : x \in {}_{D(g)}X\}.$$

Let $E = D / \simeq$, where \simeq is the equivalence relation given in Definition 3.3. For an element $(a, x) \in D$ we will denote its equivalence class by $[a, x] \in E$. Now we prove conditions (C1), (C2) and (C3).

First, let $(g, x) \in D$ with $g \in \mathcal{C}$. Since the composition $R(g)g$ is defined, we have $[g, x] \in {}_{R(g)}E$ by definition. Now, let $(\delta, x) \in D$. Since (α, X) is a categorical partial action, it follows from (C1) that $x \in X_g$ for some $g \in \mathcal{C}$. Since $R(g)g = g$, Lemma 4.8(ii) implies $X_g \subseteq X_{R(g)}$ and, from (C2), we obtain $X_{R(g)} = {}_{R(g)}X$. Therefore $(R(g), x) \in D$ and $(\delta, x) \sim (R(g), x)$ by (1). From the first case, we have $[\delta, x] = [R(g), x] \in {}_{R(g)}E$. Therefore $E = \cup_{e \in \mathcal{C}_0} {}_eE$.

Let $[a, x] \in {}_eE$ for some $e \in \mathcal{C}_0$. Then either $[a, x] = [t, y]$ for some $t \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $R(t) = e$; or $a = \delta$ and $x \in {}_eX$. In the first case we have

$$\beta_e([a, x]) = [et, y] = [t, y] = [a, x],$$

while in the second, the previous argument shows that

$$\beta_e([\delta, x]) = [e, x] = [\delta, x].$$

Therefore $\beta_e = id_{{}_eE}$. This proves (C2). Furthermore, we obtain that ${}_eE = E_e$ and, hence, $E = \cup_{e \in \mathcal{C}_0} {}_eE = \cup_{e \in \mathcal{C}_0} E_e$. This proves (C1). Condition (C3) follows from (β, E) being a global action. In fact, for each $g \in \mathcal{C}$, the unique $e \in \mathcal{C}_0$ such that $(g, e) \in \mathcal{C}^{(2)}$ is $e = D(g)$. Therefore

$${}_gE = {}_{gD(g)}E \stackrel{(G2)}{=} {}_{D(g)}E.$$

This concludes that (β, E) is a categorical action of \mathcal{C} . □

Corollary 4.14. *Let (α, X) be a categorical partial action of \mathcal{C} , (δ, β, E) be the universal globalization of Theorem 3.7, and (i, γ, Y) be the universal globalization of [21, Theorem 4]. Then (β, E) and (γ, Y) are isomorphic in the category $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$.*

Proof. We know that (δ, β, E) is an initial object in the category $((\alpha, X) \rightarrow I)$. Since (α, X) is a categorical partial action, it follows from Proposition 4.13 that (β, E) is a categorical global action. Therefore $(\delta, \beta, E) \in C\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$ and, hence, (δ, β, E) is an initial object in the category $((\alpha, X) \rightarrow I_C)$.

On the other hand, we obtain from Remark 4.12 that (i, γ, Y) is an initial object in the category $((\alpha, X) \rightarrow I_C)$. Since initial objects are unique, up to isomorphism, there is an isomorphism $\varphi: \delta \rightarrow i$ in the category $((\alpha, X) \rightarrow I_C)$. By definition, φ is an isomorphism of partial actions $\varphi: (\beta, E) \rightarrow (\gamma, Y)$ such that $\varphi \circ \delta = i$. In particular $(\beta, E) \simeq (\gamma, Y)$ in $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$. □

REFERENCES

- [1] Abadie, F. (1999). Sobre ações parciais, fibrados de Fell, e grupóides. Tese (Doutorado) – Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo.

- [2] Alves, M., Velasco, W. (2024). Partial actions of inverse categories and their algebras. Preprint, [arXiv:2405.13212v1].
- [3] Bagio, D., Paques, A. (2012). Partial Groupoid Actions: Globalization, Morita Theory, and Galois Theory. *Commin. Algebra*, 40 (10), 3658–3678. DOI 10.1080/00927872.2011.592889.
- [4] Bagio, D., Sant’Ana, A., Tamusiunas, T. (2022). Galois correspondence for group-type partial actions of groupoids. *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin*. 28. DOI 10.36045/j.bbms.210807.
- [5] Batista, E. (2017). Partial actions: what they are and why we care. *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin*. 24. DOI 10.36045/bbms/148988814.
- [6] Cordeiro, L.G. (2023). Étale inverse semigroupoids: elementary properties, universal constructions and duality. *Semigroup Forum* 106, 67–127. DOI 10.1007/s00233-022-10329-8.
- [7] Cuntz, J., Krieger, W. (1980). A class of C^* -algebras and topological Markov chains. *Invent. Math.* 56, 251–268. DOI 10.1007/BF01390048.
- [8] Demeneghi, P., Tasca, F. A. (2024). Globalization of partial inverse semigroupoid actions on sets. *Commun. Algebra*, 1–17. DOI 10.1080/00927872.2024.2426039.
- [9] Dokuchaev, M. (2011). Partial actions: a survey. *C. P. Millies. Contemp. Math. Am. Math. Soc.* 537. 173-184. ISBN 0821852396, 9780821852392.
- [10] Dokuchaev, M. (2019). Recent developments around partial actions. *São Paulo J. Math. Sci.* 13, 195–247. DOI s40863-018-0087-y.
- [11] Exel, R. (1998). Partial actions of groups and actions of inverse semigroups. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 126, 3481-3484. DOI 10.1090/S0002-9939-98-04575-4.
- [12] Exel, R. (2011). Semigroupoid C^* -algebras. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 377 (1), 303-318. DOI 10.1016/j.jmaa.2010.10.061.
- [13] Exel, R. (2017). Partial dynamical systems, Fell bundles and applications. *Am. Math. Soc.* ISBN 1470437856, 9781470437855.
- [14] Gilbert, N, D. (2005). Actions and expansions of ordered groupoids. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 198 (1-3), 175-195. DOI 10.1016/j.jpaa.2004.11.006.
- [15] Hollings, C. (2007). Partial Actions of Monoids. *Semigroup Forum* 75, 293–316. DOI 10.1007/s00233-006-0665-7.
- [16] Kellendonk, J., Lawson, M. (2004). Partial actions of groups. *Int. J. Algebra Comput.* 14 (1), 87-114. DOI 10.1142/S0218196704001657.
- [17] Kudryavtseva, G., Laan, V. (2023). Globalization of partial actions of semigroups. *Semigroup Forum* 107, 200–217. DOI 10.1007/s00233-023-10364-z.
- [18] Kumjian, A., Pask, D. (2000). Higher rank graph C^* -algebras. *N. Y. J. Math.* 6, 1-20. [electronic only] <<http://eudml.org/doc/120544>>.
- [19] Lautenschlaeger, W., Tamusiunas, T. (2024). Globalization of Partial Actions of Ordered Groupoids on Rings. Preprint, [arXiv:2402.16758].
- [20] Megrelishvili, M., Schöder, L. (2004). Globalization of confluent partial actions on topological and metric spaces. *Topol. Its Appl.* 145 (1-3), 119-145. DOI 10.1016/j.topol.2004.06.006.
- [21] Nystedt, P. (2018). Partial category actions on sets and topological spaces. *Commun. Algebra* 46 (2), 671–683. DOI 10.1080/00927872.2017.1327057.
- [22] Tilson, B. (1987). Categories as algebra: an essential ingredient in the theory of monoids. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 48 (1-2), 83-198. DOI 10.1016/0022-4049(87)90108-3.

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO RIO GRANDE DO SUL, AV. BENTO GONÇALVES, 9500, 91.509-900, PORTO ALEGRE, BRAZIL

Email address: rafaelpetasny@gmail.com

Email address: thaisa.tamusiunas@gmail.com