

# GENERALIZATION OF 3D – NSE GLOBAL WEAK SOLUTION WITH DAMPING

MUSTAPHA AMARA\*, CHAALA KATAR, AND MAROUA LTIFI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove the global existence, uniqueness and the continuity in  $L^2$  of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with damping  $f(|u|)u$ , where  $f$  is an increasing, convex and differentiable function on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , null at zero.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the Cauchy problem of three-dimensional (3D) incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with the additional nonlinear term  $f(|u|)u$  introduced in the following equation

$$(NSD) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u - \nu \Delta u + u \cdot \nabla u + f(|u|)u = -\nabla \pi & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \operatorname{div}(u) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ u(0, x) = u^0(x) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases}$$

where  $u = u(t, x) = (u_1(t, x), u_2(t, x), u_3(t, x))$  and  $\pi = \pi(t, x)$  represent the unknown velocity vector and the unknown pressure scalar of the fluid at the point  $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3$ , respectively, and  $\nu > 0$  denotes the fluid's viscosity. The term  $f(|u|)u$  serves as the damping term, with  $f$  being a positive function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . This term models various physical phenomena, including flow through porous media, drag or friction effects, and certain dissipative mechanisms (see [9, 10] and reference therein).

The nonlinear term  $(u \cdot \nabla u) := u_1 \partial_1 u + u_2 \partial_2 u + u_3 \partial_3 u$ , the fact that  $\operatorname{div}(u) = 0$ , can be written in the following form:

$$(u \cdot \nabla u) = \operatorname{div}(u \otimes u) = (\operatorname{div}(u_1 u), \operatorname{div}(u_2 u), \operatorname{div}(u_3 u)).$$

While  $u^0 = u^0(x) = (u_1^0(x), u_2^0(x), u_3^0(x))$  is an initial given velocity.

The global existence of weak solutions for the initial value problem of the classical incompressible Navier-Stokes equations (the case where  $f$  is identically zero) was established long ago by Leray and Hopf (see [8, 11]). However, the uniqueness of these weak solutions remains an open question in dimensions  $d \geq 3$ .

The damping term, in the mathematical framework, is added to control the nonlinear term to solve the uniqueness problem. The equation (NSD) is a generalization of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with polynomial damping, when  $f(x) = \alpha x^{\beta-1}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\beta \geq 1$ , which is studied in [6] by Cia and Jui, where they proved the global existence of the weak solution in

$$L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^{\beta+1}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3). \quad (1.1)$$

The uniqueness and continuity of this weak solution have recently been proved in [3] by Blel and Benameur when  $\beta > 3$  and  $\alpha > 0$ .

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35-XX, 35Q30, 76D05, 76N10.

*Key words and phrases.* Navier-Stokes equations, Friedrich method, global weak solution.

\*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

In 2022, Benameur in [2] introduced a new damping term when  $f(x) = \alpha(e^{\beta x^2} - 1)$ , and established the global existence of weak solutions when  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ , in

$$L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3) \cap \mathcal{E}_\beta, \quad (1.2)$$

for all  $p \geq 4$ , where  $\mathcal{E}_\beta = \left\{ u : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable and } (e^{\beta|u|^2} - 1)|u|^2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3) \right\}$ .

For the uniqueness and continuity of the weak solution, Blel and Benameur in [4] indicate that for the damping term when  $f(x) = \alpha(e^{\beta x^r} - 1)$ ,  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  and  $r \geq 1$ , by adapting the same proof of result in [2], we have the existence of the global weak solution in

$$C_b(\mathbb{R}^+, L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap \mathcal{E}_\beta^r, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_\beta^r = \left\{ u : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable and } (e^{\beta|u|^r} - 1)|u|^2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3) \right\}$ . Moreover, in the same paper, they show that for the term damping when  $f(x) = \alpha(e^{\beta x^4} - 1)$ ,  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ , we have the uniqueness and the continuity in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ .

The purpose of this paper is to study the well-posedness of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with a damping term, where  $f$  is an increasing, convex and differentiable function on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , null at zero. We will prove the existence of global weak solutions for the Cauchy problem (*NSD*) which verified the following estimates:

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|\nabla u(z)\|_{L^2}^2 dz + 2 \int_0^t \|f(|u(z)|)|u(z)|^2\|_{L^1} dz \leq \|u^0\|_{L^2}^2,$$

to guarantee that solution belong to

$$L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap \mathcal{H},$$

where

$$\mathcal{H} := \{u : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ measurable and } f(|u|)|u|^2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)\}.$$

Furthermore, we will show that if there exists  $c > 0$  and  $p > 2$  such that

$$f(x) \geq cx^p, \quad \forall x \geq 0, \quad (1.4)$$

then the weak solution is unique and belong to

$$C_b(\mathbb{R}^+, L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^{p+2}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3).$$

Before dealing with global existence, we give the definition of the weak solution of (*NSD*) system

**Definition 1.1.** *The couple of functions  $(u, \pi)$  is called a weak solution of problem (*NSD*) if, for all  $T > 0$ , the following conditions are satisfied:*

1.  $u \in L^\infty([0, T], L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2([0, T], \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$  and  $f(|u|)|u|^2 \in L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ .
2. The couple  $(u, \pi)$  satisfies

$$\partial_t u - \nu \Delta u + u \cdot \nabla u + f(|u|)u = -\nabla \pi, \quad \text{in } D'([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3). \quad (1.5)$$

*In other words, for any  $\phi \in D([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)$  such that  $\text{div}(\phi)(t, x) = 0$ , for all  $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3$ , and  $\phi(T) = 0$ , we have*

$$\int_0^T (u, \partial_t \phi)_{L^2} = -(u^0, \phi(0))_{L^2} + \nu \int_0^T (\nabla u, \nabla \phi)_{L^2} + \int_0^T ((u \cdot \nabla)u, \phi)_{L^2} + \int_0^T (f(|u|)u, \phi)_{L^2}. \quad (1.6)$$

3.  $\text{div}(u)(x, t) = 0$  for a.e  $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $f$  be an increasing convex differentiable function on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $f(0) = 0$ . If  $u^0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  such that  $\text{div}(u^0) = 0$ , then, the system (*NSD*) have a global weak solution  $(u, \pi)$  such that*

$$u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^+, H^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap \mathcal{H}, \quad (1.7)$$

satisfied, for all  $t > 0$ ,

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|\nabla u(z)\|_{L^2}^2 dz + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(|u(z,x)|)|u(z,x)|^2 dx dz \leq \|u^0\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (1.8)$$

Moreover, if there exist  $c > 0$  and  $p > 2$  such that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$

$$f(x) \geq cx^p, \quad \forall x \geq 0, \quad (1.9)$$

then the solution  $u$  is unique and

$$u \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^+, L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^{p+2}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3). \quad (1.10)$$

### Remarks 1.

1. If  $(u, \pi)$  is a solution from Theorem 1.2, then  $f(|u|)|u| \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+, L^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$ , moreover, formally,

$$\pi = (-\Delta)^{-1} [\operatorname{div}(u \cdot \nabla u) + \operatorname{div}(f(|u|)u)] \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+, H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad \forall s > \frac{3}{2}.$$

Indeed: For  $T > 0$ , consider the subset  $\mathcal{A}_T = \{(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3; |u(t, x)| \leq 1\}$ , then

$$\int_{[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3} f(|u|)|u| = \int_{\mathcal{A}_T} f(|u|)|u| + \int_{\mathcal{A}_T^c} f(|u|)|u|.$$

Almost for everything  $(t, x) \in \mathcal{A}_T$  we have  $|u(t, x)| \in [0, 1]$  and the fact that  $f$  is differentiable and convex function, according to the Lemma 2.4, we get

$$f(|u(t, x)|) \leq f'(1)|u(t, x)|.$$

Almost for everything  $(t, x) \in \mathcal{A}_T^c$  we have  $|u(t, x)| \geq 1 \Rightarrow |u(t, x)| \leq |u(t, x)|^2$ .

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3} f(|u|)|u| &\leq \int_{\mathcal{A}_T} f'(1)|u|^2 + \int_{\mathcal{A}_T^c} f(|u|)|u|^2 \\ &\leq f'(1)T \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, L^2)}^2 + \|f(|u|)|u|^2\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

In the other hand, if  $s > \frac{3}{2}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(-\Delta)^{-1}(\operatorname{div}(u \cdot \nabla u)(t))\|_{H^{-s}} &\leq \|(u \otimes u)(t)\|_{H^{-s}} \\ &\leq C_s \|\mathcal{F}(u \otimes u)(t)\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C_s \|(u \otimes u)(t)\|_{L^1} \\ &\leq C_s \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+), \end{aligned} \quad C_s = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{d\xi}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^s} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|(-\Delta)^{-1}(\operatorname{div}(f(|u|)u)(t))\|_{H^{-s}} &\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|\xi|^2(1 + |\xi|^2)^s} |\mathcal{F}(f(|u|)u)(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \tilde{C}_s \|\mathcal{F}(f(|u|)u)(t)\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq \tilde{C}_s \|f(|u(t)|)u(t)\|_{L^1} \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+). \end{aligned} \quad \tilde{C}_s = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|^2(1 + |\xi|^2)^s} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Which implies that  $\pi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+, H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ ,  $\forall s > \frac{3}{2}$ .

2. The fact that  $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^+, H^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^3))$  implies that  $u \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^+, H^{-r}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ , for all  $r > 0$ .
3. If  $f$  satisfies (1.9), then, for all  $x \geq 0$ ,

$$f(x) \geq cx^p \implies f(x)x^2 \geq cx^{p+2}.$$

Thus,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3} |u|^{p+2} \leq \frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3} f(|u|)|u|^2 < \infty,$$

which implies that  $u \in L^{p+2}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ .

4. If  $f(x) = \alpha x^{\beta-1}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\beta \geq 2$ , then  $f$  is increasing convex differentiable function on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  and  $f(0) = 0$ . Moreover, if  $\beta > 3$  then  $f$  verifies the condition (1.9) ( $p = \beta - 1$  and  $c = \alpha$ ), which means that there exists a unique weak global solution

$$u \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^+, L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^{\beta+1}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3).$$

5. If  $f(x) = \alpha(e^{\beta x^r} - 1)$ ,  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  and  $r \geq 1$ , then  $f$  is increasing convex differentiable function on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  and  $f(0) = 0$ . Moreover  $f$  verifies the condition (1.9) ( $p = r + 1$  and  $c = \frac{\alpha \beta r^{\frac{r}{r+1}}}{r+1}$ ), which means that there exists a unique weak global solution

$$u \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^+, L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^{r+3}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3).$$

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the notations, definitions, and preliminary results. Section 3 is dedicated to the proof of our main result: the global existence, uniqueness, and continuity of the solution to the Cauchy problem (NSD) in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ .

## 2. Preliminary result

Let us present the elementary information that is used in this paper:

1.  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$  is the space of tempered distributions.
2. The Fourier transform and its inverse are defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx,$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}(g)(x) := (2\pi)^{-3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi} g(\xi) d\xi,$$

respectively.

3. The convolution product of a suitable pair of function  $f$  and  $g$  on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is given by

$$(f * g)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(y)g(x - y)dy.$$

4. The tensor product is given by

$$f \otimes g := (g_1 f, g_2 f, g_3 f),$$

where  $f = (f_1, f_2, f_3)$  and  $g = (g_1, g_2, g_3) \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . Moreover

$$\operatorname{div}(f \otimes g) := (\operatorname{div}(g_1 f), \operatorname{div}(g_2 f), \operatorname{div}(g_3 f)),$$

and if  $\operatorname{div}(g) = 0$  we get

$$\operatorname{div}(f \otimes g) := g_1 \partial_1 f + g_2 \partial_2 f + g_3 \partial_3 f := (g \cdot \nabla) f.$$

5. Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  be a normed space. We define, for all  $T > 0$

$$L^\infty([0, T], X) = \{u : [0, T] \rightarrow X \text{ measurable and } \| \|u(t)\|_X \|_{L^\infty([0, T])} < \infty\},$$

and

$$L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, X) = \{u : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow X \text{ measurable and } \| \|u(t)\|_X \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)} < \infty\}.$$

The  $L^\infty([0, T], X)$ -norm (resp.  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, X)$ -norm) is given by

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty([0, T], X)} := \| \|u(t)\|_X \|_{L^\infty([0, T])}, \quad (\text{resp. } \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, X)} := \| \|u(t)\|_X \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)}).$$

6. Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  be a normed space. We define, for all  $T > 0$  and  $p \geq 1$

$$L^p([0, T], X) = \left\{ u : [0, T] \rightarrow X \text{ measurable and } \int_{(0, T)} \|u(t)\|_X^p dt < \infty \right\},$$

and

$$L^p(\mathbb{R}^+, X) = \left\{ u : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow X \text{ measurable and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \|u(t)\|_X^p < \infty \right\}.$$

The  $L^p([0, T], X)$ –norm (resp.  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+, X)$ – norm ) is given by

$$\|u\|_{L^p([0, T], X)} := \left( \int_{(0, T)} \|u(t)\|_X^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \left( \text{resp. } \|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^+, X)} := \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \|u(t)\|_X^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right).$$

7. Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define the non-homogeneous Sobolev space by

$$H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) = \{u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3) : \widehat{u} \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3) \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + |\xi|^2)^s |\widehat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi < \infty\},$$

where  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$  is endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{H^s} := \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + |\xi|^2)^s |\widehat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

and, moreover,  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$  is endowed with the inner product

$$(u, v)_{H^s} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + |\xi|^2)^s \widehat{u}(\xi) \overline{\widehat{v}(\xi)} d\xi, \quad \forall u, v \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

8. Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define the homogeneous Sobolev space by

$$\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^3) = \{u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3) : \widehat{u} \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3) \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi|^{2s} |\widehat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi < \infty\},$$

where  $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$  is endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\dot{H}^s} := \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi|^{2s} |\widehat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall u \in \dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

and, moreover,  $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$  is endowed with the inner product

$$(u, v)_{\dot{H}^s} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi|^{2s} \widehat{u}(\xi) \overline{\widehat{v}(\xi)} d\xi, \quad \forall u, v \in \dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

9. Let  $R > 0$ . Define the Friedrich operator  $J_R$  by

$$J_R(u) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left( \xi \mapsto \mathcal{X}_{B(0, R)}(\xi) \mathcal{F}(u)(\xi) \right),$$

where  $B(0, R)$  is the ball in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  of centre 0 and radius  $R$ .

10. The Leray projector  $\mathbb{P} : (L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))^3 \rightarrow L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{P}(u))(\xi) = \widehat{u}(\xi) - (\widehat{u}(\xi), \xi)_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\xi}{|\xi|^2} = M(\xi) \widehat{u}(\xi),$$

where  $L^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3) = \{f \in (L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))^3 : \text{div}(f) = 0\}$  and  $M(\xi)$  is the matrix  $\left( \delta_{i, j} - \frac{\xi_i \xi_j}{|\xi|^2} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3}$ .

Define also the operator  $A_R(D)$  on  $(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))^3$  by

$$A_R(D)u := J_R(\mathbb{P}(u)) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left( \xi \mapsto M(\xi) \mathcal{X}_{B(0, R)}(\xi) \mathcal{F}(u)(\xi) \right).$$

Now, we need to establish some results that are key points in the development of the techniques applied in this work. The reader is referred to [1, 5, 7] for more details of the proofs.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space.*

(1) If  $(x_n)_n$  is a bounded sequence of elements in  $H$ , then there is a sub-sequence  $(x_{\varphi(n)})_n$  such that

$$(x_{\varphi(n)}|y) \rightarrow (x|y), \quad \forall y \in H.$$

(2) If  $x \in H$  and  $(x_n)_n$  is a bounded sequence of elements in  $H$  such that

$$(x_n|y) \rightarrow (x|y), \quad \forall y \in H.$$

Then  $\|x\| \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\|$ .

(3) If  $x \in H$  and  $(x_n)_n$  is a bounded sequence of elements in  $H$  such that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| \leq \|x\|$  and

$$(x_n|y) \rightarrow (x|y), \quad \forall y \in H,$$

then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $s_1, s_2$  be two real numbers such that  $s_1 + s_2 > 0$ . If  $s_1 < 3/2$ , then, there exists a constant  $C = C(s_1, s_2, d) > 0$ , such that: for all  $u, v \in \dot{H}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap \dot{H}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , we have  $uv \in \dot{H}^{s_1+s_2-\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  and

$$\|uv\|_{\dot{H}^{s_1+s_2-\frac{3}{2}}} \leq C(\|u\|_{\dot{H}^{s_1}} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{s_2}} + \|u\|_{\dot{H}^{s_2}} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{s_1}}).$$

Moreover, if also  $s_2 < 3/2$ , then, for all  $u \in \dot{H}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  and  $v \in \dot{H}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , then  $uv \in \dot{H}^{s_1+s_2-\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  and

$$\|fg\|_{\dot{H}^{s_1+s_2-\frac{3}{2}}} \leq C\|f\|_{\dot{H}^{s_1}} \|g\|_{\dot{H}^{s_2}}.$$

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $a < b$  and let  $g, h : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  two continuous functions and  $C > 0$  such that

$$\forall t \in [a, b], \quad g(t) \leq C + \int_a^t h(z)g(z)dz.$$

Then

$$\forall t \in [a, b], \quad g(t) \leq C \exp \left[ \int_a^t h(z)dz \right].$$

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $R > 0$ , and let  $f$  be a convex and differentiable function on  $[0, R]$ , such that  $f(0) = 0$ . Then, for any  $x, y \in [0, R]$ ,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq f'(R)|x - y|.$$

In particular, for any  $x \in [0, R]$ ,

$$f(x) \leq f'(R)x.$$

**Proof.** All we need to do is to apply the finite growth theorem to  $f$  on  $[0, R]$  and use the fact that  $f'$  is increasing on  $[0, R]$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  a function and let  $c > 0$  and  $p > 0$  such that

$$f(x) \geq cx^p, \quad x \geq 0, \tag{2.1}$$

then, for all  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , we have

$$(f(|u|)u - f(|v|)v, u - v)_{\mathbb{R}^3} \geq \frac{c}{4} (|u|^p + |v|^p) |u - v|^2. \tag{2.2}$$

**Proof.** Let  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (f(|u|)u - f(|v|)v, u - v)_{\mathbb{R}^3} &= f(|u|)|u|^2 + f(|v|)|v|^2 - (f(|u|) + f(|v|))(u, v)_{\mathbb{R}^3} \\ &= f(|u|)|u|^2 + f(|v|)|v|^2 - \frac{1}{4}(f(|u|) + f(|v|)) (|u + v|^2 - |u - v|^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(f(|u|) + f(|v|))|u - v|^2 + f(|u|)|u|^2 + f(|v|)|v|^2 - \frac{1}{4}(f(|u|) + f(|v|))|u + v|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We now need to prove that

$$I = f(|u|)|u|^2 + f(|v|)|v|^2 - \frac{1}{4}(f(|u|) + f(|v|))|u + v|^2 \geq 0,$$

Indeed, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4}(f(|u|) + f(|v|))|u + v|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{2}(f(|u|) + f(|v|))(|u|^2 + |v|^2) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}f(|u|)|u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}f(|u|)|v|^2 + \frac{1}{2}f(|v|)|u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}f(|v|)|v|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} I &\geq f(|u|)|u|^2 + f(|v|)|v|^2 - \frac{1}{2}f(|u|)|u|^2 - \frac{1}{2}f(|u|)|v|^2 - \frac{1}{2}f(|v|)|u|^2 - \frac{1}{2}f(|v|)|v|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}f(|u|)|u|^2 + \frac{1}{2}f(|v|)|v|^2 - \frac{1}{2}f(|u|)|v|^2 - \frac{1}{2}f(|v|)|u|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}f(|u|)(|u|^2 - |v|^2) - \frac{1}{2}f(|v|)(|u|^2 - |v|^2) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}(f(|u|) - f(|v|))(|u|^2 - |v|^2) \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that  $f$  is increasing function.

Therefore,

$$(f(|u|)u - f(|v|)v, u - v)_{\mathbb{R}^3} \geq \frac{1}{4}(f(|u|) + f(|v|))|u - v|^2$$

Using the fact that

$$f(x) \geq cx^p, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^+,$$

we get

$$(f(|u|)u - f(|v|)v, u - v)_{\mathbb{R}^3} \geq \frac{c}{4}(|u|^p + |v|^p)|u - v|^2.$$

Hence the result. ■

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

#### 3.1. Existence.

##### Step 1: Approximate the system.

We consider the following approximate system

$$(NSD_n) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta J_n(u) + J_n(J_n(u) \cdot \nabla J_n(u)) + J_n(f(|J_n(u)|)J_n(u)) = -\nabla \pi_n & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \pi_n = J_n(-\Delta)^{-1} \left[ \operatorname{div}(J_n(u) \cdot \nabla J_n(u)) + \operatorname{div}(f(|J_n(u)|)J_n(u)) \right] \\ \operatorname{div}(u) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ u(0, x) = J_n(u^0(x)) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases}$$

Cauchy-Lipschitz theorem gives a unique solution  $u_n$  in the space  $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^+, L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$ . Moreover  $J_n u_n = u_n$ , then

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u_n - \Delta u_n + A_n(D)(u_n \cdot \nabla u_n) + A_n(D)(f(|u_n|)u_n) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \operatorname{div}(u_n) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ u_n(0, x) = J_n(u^0(x)) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, for all  $t > 0$

$$\|u_n(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|\nabla u_n(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds + 2 \int_0^t \|f(|u_n(s)|)|u_n(s)|^2\|_{L^1} ds \leq \|u^0\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (3.2)$$

##### Step 2: Passage to the limit.

- The sequence  $(u_n)_n$  is equicontinuity in  $C_b(\mathbb{R}^+, H^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ .

Indeed, for all  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $t_1 \leq t_2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n(t_2) - u_n(t_1)\|_{H^{-2}} &\leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|\Delta u_n\|_{H^{-2}} + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|A_n(D)(u_n \cdot \nabla u_n)\|_{H^{-2}} + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|A_n(D)(f(|u_n|)u_n)\|_{H^{-2}} \\ &\leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|u_n\|_{L^2} + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|u_n \cdot \nabla u_n\|_{H^{-2}} + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|f(|u_n|)u_n\|_{H^{-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

We need to control the terms to the right of the inequality term by term, starting with the first term, we have

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|u_n\|_{L^2} \leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|u^0\|_{L^2} \leq \|u^0\|_{L^2}(t_2 - t_1). \quad (3.3)$$

For the second term, using Lemma 2.2 for  $s_1 = 0$  and  $s_2 = 1$  ( $s_1 + s_2 - \frac{3}{2} = -\frac{1}{2}$ ) and using the fact that  $\operatorname{div}(u_n) = 0$ , we obtain

$$\|u_n \cdot \nabla u_n\|_{H^{-2}} = \|\operatorname{div}(u_n \otimes u_n)\|_{H^{-2}} \leq \|u_n \otimes u_n\|_{H^{-1}} \leq \|u_n \otimes u_n\|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C\|u_n\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|u_n \cdot \nabla u_n\|_{H^{-2}} &\leq C \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|u_n\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\|u^0\|_{L^2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\|u^0\|_{L^2} \sqrt{t_2 - t_1} \left( \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C\|u^0\|_{L^2}^2 \sqrt{t_2 - t_1}. \end{aligned}$$

For the last term, for all  $R > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(|u_n|)u_n\|_{H^{-2}} &\leq C\|f(|u_n|)u_n\|_{L^1} = C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(|u_n|)|u_n| dx \\ &= C \int_{A_{n,R,t}} f(|u_n|)|u_n| dx + C \int_{A_{n,R,t}^c} f(|u_n|)|u_n| dx. \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_{n,R,t} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3; |u_n(t, x)| \leq R\}.$$

Using Lemma 2.4, we get for all  $x \in A_{n,R,t}$

$$f(|u_n|) \leq f'(R)|u_n| \Rightarrow f(|u_n|)|u_n| \leq f'(R)|u_n|^2.$$

Moreover, for all  $x \in A_{n,R,t}^c$

$$f(|u_n|)|u_n| \leq \frac{1}{R}f(|u_n|)|u_n|^2.$$

Thus

$$\|f(|u_n|)u_n\|_{H^{-2}} \leq C\|f(|u_n|)u_n\|_{L^1} \leq C f'(R)\|u_n\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{C}{R}\|f(|u_n|)|u_n|^2\|_{L^1}.$$

As a result

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|f(|u_n|)u_n\|_{H^{-2}} &\leq C f'(R) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|u_n\|_{L^2}^2 dx + \frac{C}{R} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|f(|u_n|)|u_n|^2\|_{L^1} \\ &\leq C f'(R)\|u^0\|_{L^2}^2(t_2 - t_1) + \frac{C}{R}\|u^0\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and take

$$R > \frac{4C\|u^0\|_{L^2}^2}{\varepsilon}.$$

We assume

$$0 < \delta \leq \frac{1}{4} \min \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon}{\|u^0\|_{L^2} + 1}, \frac{\varepsilon^2}{C^2(\|u^0\|_{L^2} + 1)^2}, \frac{\varepsilon}{C f'(R)(\|u^0\|_{L^2} + 1)} \right\},$$



then for any  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $|t_2 - t_1| \leq \delta$  and for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  we have

$$\|u_n(t_2) - u_n(t_1)\|_{H^{-2}} \leq \|u^0\|_{L^2} |t_2 - t_1| + C \|u^0\|_{L^2}^2 \sqrt{|t_2 - t_1|} + C f'(R) \|u^0\|_{L^2}^2 |t_2 - t_1| + \frac{C}{R} \|u^0\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \varepsilon.$$

Which implies that  $\{u_n, n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$  is equicontinuous in  $C_b(\mathbb{R}^+, H^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ .

• Let  $(T_q)_{q \in \mathbb{N}^*} \subset \mathbb{R}^{+,*}$  be a sequence such that  $T_q < T_{q+1}$  and  $T_q \rightarrow \infty$  as  $q \rightarrow \infty$ , and for any  $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , take  $\theta_q \in D(\mathbb{R}^3)$  a test function defined by:

$$\begin{cases} \theta_q(x) = 1, & \forall x \in B(0, q + \frac{5}{4}) \\ \theta_q(x) = 0, & \forall x \in B(0, q + 2)^c \\ 0 \leq \theta_q \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Using the fact that  $\{u_n, n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$  is equicontinuous in  $C_b(\mathbb{R}^+, H^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ , and by applying a classical argument combining Ascoli's theorem with the Cantor diagonal process, we obtain a non-decreasing sequence  $\varphi : \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*$  and a function  $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^+, H^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ , such that for all  $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , we have:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\theta_q(u_{\varphi(n)} - u)\|_{L^\infty([0, T_q], H^{-2})} = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

**Step 3: Prove the inequality (1.8).**

Let us now show that  $u$  verifies inequality (1.8). Let  $t > 0$  and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $R > 0$  and  $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that  $t \in [0, T_q]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|J_R(\theta_q u)(t)\|_{L^2} &\leq \|J_R(\theta_q(u_{\varphi(n)} - u))(t)\|_{L^2} + \|J_R(\theta_q u_{\varphi(n)})(t)\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq (2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}}(1 + R^2) \|\theta_q(u_{\varphi(n)} - u)(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\theta_q u_{\varphi(n)}(t)\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq (2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}}(1 + R^2) \|\theta_q(u_{\varphi(n)} - u)\|_{L^\infty([0, T_q], H^{-2})} + \|u_{\varphi(n)}(t)\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By passing to the lim inf when  $n$  tends to infinity, we get for all  $R > 0$  and  $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$

$$\|J_R(\theta_q u)(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{\varphi(n)}(t)\|_{L^2}.$$

Due to the monotonic convergence theorem, when  $R$  tends to infinity, we obtain for all  $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$

$$\|\theta_q u(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{\varphi(n)}(t)\|_{L^2}.$$

We applied the same theorem, when  $q$  tends to infinity, we obtain

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{\varphi(n)}(t)\|_{L^2}. \quad (3.5)$$

In the other hand, we have the sequence  $(u_{\varphi(n)})_n$  is bounded in the Hilbert space  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$ , then

$$u_{\varphi(n)} \rightarrow u \text{ weakly in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)). \quad (3.6)$$

Particularly,  $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$  and for all  $t \geq 0$

$$\int_0^t \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \|\nabla u_{\varphi(n)}\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (3.7)$$

It now remains to prove that for all  $t > 0$

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(|u|)|u|^2 \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(|u_{\varphi(n)}|)|u_{\varphi(n)}|^2. \quad (3.8)$$

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta_q(u_{\varphi(n)} - u)\|_{L^2([0, T_q] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^2 &= \int_0^{T_q} \|\theta_q(u_{\varphi(n)}(t) - u(t))\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\ &\leq \int_0^{T_q} \|\theta_q(u_{\varphi(n)}(t) - u(t))\|_{H^{-1}} \|\theta_q(u_{\varphi(n)}(t) - u(t))\|_{H^1} dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left( \int_0^{T_q} \|\theta_q(u_{\varphi(n)}(t) - u(t))\|_{H^{-1}}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \left( \int_0^{T_q} \|\theta_q(u_{\varphi(n)}(t) - u(t))\|_{H^1}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \sqrt{T_q} \|\theta_q(u_{\varphi(n)} - u)\|_{L^\infty([0, T_q], H^{-1})} \times \left( \int_0^{T_q} \|\theta_q(u_{\varphi(n)} - u)\|_{H^1}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\theta_q(u_{\varphi(n)}(t) - u(t))\|_{H^1}^2 &= \|\theta_q(u_{\varphi(n)}(t) - u(t))\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla(\theta_q(u_{\varphi(n)}(t) - u(t)))\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq \|u_{\varphi(n)}(t) - u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|(\nabla\theta_q)(u_{\varphi(n)}(t) - u(t))\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\theta_q\nabla(u_{\varphi(n)}(t) - u(t))\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq 2\|u^0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\theta_q\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_{\varphi(n)}(t) - u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u_{\varphi(n)}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq 2(1 + \|\nabla\theta_q\|_{L^\infty}^2) \|u^0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u_{\varphi(n)}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{T_q} \|\theta_q(u_{\varphi(n)}(t) - u(t))\|_{H^1}^2 dt &\leq 2(1 + \|\nabla\theta_q\|_{L^\infty}^2) \int_0^{T_q} \|u^0\|_{L^2}^2 dt + \int_0^{T_q} \|\nabla u_{\varphi(n)}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 dt + \int_0^{T_q} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\
&\leq (2T_q(1 + \|\nabla\theta_q\|_{L^\infty}^2) + 2) \|u^0\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

By passing to the lim sup when  $n$  tends to infinity, we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\theta_q(u_{\varphi(n)} - u)\|_{L^2([0, T_q] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \leq \sqrt{T_q(2T_q(1 + \|\nabla\theta_q\|_{L^\infty}^2) + 2)} \|u^0\|_{L^2} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\theta_q(u_{\varphi(n)} - u)\|_{L^\infty([0, T_q], H^{-1})} = 0.$$

Therefore, for all  $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , we have

$$\theta_q u_{\varphi(n)} \rightarrow \theta_q u \quad \text{strongly in } L^2([0, T_q] \times \mathbb{R}^3). \quad (3.9)$$

For  $q = 1$ , there exist an increasing function  $\psi_1 : \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*$  such that

$$\theta_1 u_{\varphi \circ \psi_1(n)}(t, x) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \theta_1 u(t, x) \quad \text{a.e. } (t, x) \in [0, T_1] \times \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (3.10)$$

which implies that

$$u_{\varphi \circ \psi_1(n)}(t, x) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} u(t, x) \quad \text{a.e. } (t, x) \in [0, T_1] \times B(0, 2). \quad (3.11)$$

By recurrence, we construct a family of strictly increasing functions  $(\psi_q)_{q \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  from  $\mathbb{N}^*$  into  $\mathbb{N}^*$  such that for all  $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$

$$u_{\varphi \circ \psi_1 \circ \dots \circ \psi_q(n)}(t, x) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} u(t, x) \quad \text{a.e. } (t, x) \in [0, T_q] \times B(0, q+1). \quad (3.12)$$

According to Cantor's diagonal process, there exists a strictly increasing function  $\psi : \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*$  such that

$$u_{\varphi \circ \psi(n)}(t, x) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} u(t, x) \quad \text{a.e. } (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (3.13)$$

Since  $f$  is a continuous function, we have

$$f(|u_{\varphi \circ \psi(n)}(t, x)|) |u_{\varphi \circ \psi(n)}(t, x)|^2 \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} f(|u(t, x)|) |u(t, x)|^2 \quad \text{a.e. } (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (3.14)$$

Using Fatou's Lemma, we obtain for all  $t > 0$

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(|u(z, x)|) |u(z, x)|^2 dx dz \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(|u_{\varphi \circ \psi(n)}(z, x)|) |u_{\varphi \circ \psi(n)}(z, x)|^2 dx dz. \quad (3.15)$$

Let's note that  $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi \circ \psi$ , therefore

$$(3.5) \Rightarrow \|u(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}(t)\|_{L^2}, \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

$$(3.7) \Rightarrow \int_0^t \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \|\nabla u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}\|_{L^2}^2, \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

$$(3.15) \Rightarrow \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(|u|) |u|^2 \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(|u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}|) |u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}|^2, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Combining the above inequalities with (3.2), we obtain: for all  $t \geq 0$ :

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|\nabla u(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(|u(s, x)|) |u(s, x)|^2 dx ds \leq \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (3.16)$$

**Step 4: Show  $u$  as a weak solution.**

To show that  $u = (u^1, u^2, u^3)$  is a weak solution of the (NSD) equation, it is necessary to show that

$$\forall i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \quad J_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}(u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^i u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^j) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} u^i u^j, \text{ in } D'(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3), \quad (3.17)$$

and

$$J_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}(f(|u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}|) u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^i) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} f(|u|) u^i, \text{ in } D'(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3), \quad (3.18)$$

where  $u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)} = (u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^1, u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^2, u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^3)$ .

Let  $\phi$  in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ . There is an integer  $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that

$$\text{supp}(\phi) \subset [0, T_q] \times B(0, q),$$

and we have

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [J_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}(u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^i u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^j) - u^i u^j] \phi = I_n^1 + I_n^2 + I_n^3, \quad (3.19)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_n^1 &= - \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (Id - J_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}) [u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^i u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^j] \phi; \\ I_n^2 &= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^i - u^i) u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^j \phi; \\ I_n^3 &= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^j - u^j) u^i \phi. \end{aligned}$$

\* Estimate of term  $I_n^1$ : We have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_n^1| &= \left| \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (Id - J_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}) [u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^i u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^j] \phi \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^{+\infty} \| (Id - J_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}) u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^i u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^j \|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{3}{2}}} \|\phi\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ &\leq \left( \int_0^{+\infty} \| (Id - J_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}) u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^i u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^j \|_{\dot{H}^{-\frac{3}{2}}}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}})} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\tilde{\varphi}(n)} \left( \int_0^{+\infty} \| u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^i u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^j \|_{\dot{H}^{1-\frac{3}{2}}}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}})} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\tilde{\varphi}(n)} \left( \int_0^{+\infty} \| u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^i \|_{L^2}^2 \| \nabla u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^j \|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}})} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\tilde{\varphi}(n)} \left( \int_0^{+\infty} \| u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)} \|_{L^2}^2 \| \nabla u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)} \|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}})} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\tilde{\varphi}(n)} \|u^0\|_{L^2} \left( \int_0^{+\infty} \| \nabla u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)} \|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}})} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\tilde{\varphi}(n)} \|u^0\|_{L^2}^2 \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, \dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}})}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} I_n^1 = 0.$$

\* Estimate of term  $I_n^2$ : We have

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_n^2| &= \left| \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^i - u^i) u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^j \phi \right| \\
&= \left| \int_0^{T_q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \theta_q (u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^i - u^i) u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^j \phi \right| \\
&\leq \|\theta_q (u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^i - u^i)\|_{L^2([0, T_q] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \|u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^j\|_{L^2([0, T_q] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \\
&\leq \sqrt{T_q} \|u^0\|_{L^2} \|\theta_q (u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)} - u)\|_{L^2([0, T_q] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)}
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.9), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_n^2 = 0.$$

In a similar way, we show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_n^3 = 0.$$

Finally, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)} (u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^i u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^j) = u^i u^j \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3). \quad (3.20)$$

In the other hand, we have

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[ J_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)} (f(|u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}|) u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^i) - f(|u|) u^i \right] \phi = L_n^1 + L_n^2 + L_n^3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
L_n^1 &:= - \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (Id - J_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}) \left[ f(|u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}|) u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^i \right] \phi; \\
L_n^2 &:= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(|u|) \left[ u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^i - u^i \right] \phi; \\
L_n^3 &:= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^i \left[ f(|u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}|) - f(|u|) \right] \phi.
\end{aligned}$$

We will show that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a rank  $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that for all  $n \geq N$

$$|L_n^1| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \quad |L_n^2| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad |L_n^3| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

For this we fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and take  $R = R_\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\frac{1}{R} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{24(\|u^0\|_{L^2}^2 + 1) \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)}}.$$

\* **Estimate of the term  $L_n^1$** : We have

$$\begin{aligned}
|L_n^1| &= \left| \int_0^{T_q} \left( (Id - J_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}) \left[ f(|u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}|) u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^i \right], \phi \right)_{L^2} \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\tilde{\varphi}(n)} \|f(|u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}|) u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^i\|_{L^1([0, T_q], H^{-3})} \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, H^4)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f(|u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}|) u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^i\|_{L^1([0, T_q], H^{-3})} &\leq C \|f(|u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}|) u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}\|_{L^1([0, T_q] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathcal{A}_n} f(|u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}|) |u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}| dx + C \int_{\mathcal{A}_n^c} f(|u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}|) |u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}| dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_n := \{(t, x) \in [0, T_q] \times \mathbb{R}^3; |u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}(t, x)| < 1\}.$$

Using Lemma 2.4, for all  $(t, x) \in \mathcal{A}_n$ , we have

$$f(|u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}(t, x)|) |u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}(t, x)| \leq f'(1) |u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}(t, x)|^2,$$

which implies

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}_n} f(|u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}|) |u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}| dx \leq f'(1) \int_0^{T_q} \|u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}\|_{L^2}^2 \leq T_q f'(1) \|u^0\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Moreover, for all  $x \in \mathcal{A}_n^c$ , we have

$$f(|u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}(t, x)|) |u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}(t, x)| \leq f(|u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}(t, x)|) |u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}(t, x)|^2,$$

which implies

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}_n^c} f(|u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}|) |u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}| dx \leq \|f(|u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}|) |u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}|^2\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \|u^0\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Thus,

$$\|f(|u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}|) u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}^i\|_{L^1([0, T_q], H^{-3})} \leq C (T_q f'(1) + 1) \|u^0\|_{L^2}^2.$$

As a result,

$$|L_n^1| \leq \frac{C}{\tilde{\varphi}(n)} (T_q f'(1) + 1) \|u^0\|_{L^2}^2 \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, H^4)}.$$

This implies that there exists an integer  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that for all  $n \geq n_1$ ,

$$|L_n^1| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

\* **Estimate of the term  $L_n^2$ :** We have

$$|L_n^2| \leq \int_{\mathcal{A}} f(|u|) |u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)} - u| |\phi| + \int_{\mathcal{A}^c} f(|u|) |u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)} - u| |\phi|$$

where  $\mathcal{A} = \{(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3; |u(t, x)| \leq R\}$ .

Using the fact that  $f$  is an increasing function, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{A}} f(|u|) |u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)} - u| |\phi| &\leq f(R) \int_0^{T_q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\theta_q(u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)} - u)| |\phi| \\ &\leq f(R) \|\theta_q(u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)} - u)\|_{L^2([0, T_q] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.9), we deduce that there exists  $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that for all  $n \geq n_2$ ,

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}} f(|u|) |u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)} - u| |\phi| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{12}. \quad (3.21)$$

On the other hand, define

$$\mathcal{B}_n := \{(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3; |u(t, x)| \leq |u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}(t, x)|\}. \quad (3.22)$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{A}^c} f(|u|) |u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)} - u| |\phi| &\leq \int_{\mathcal{A}^c} f(|u|) |u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}| |\phi| + \int_{\mathcal{A}^c} f(|u|) |u| |\phi| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathcal{A}^c \cap \mathcal{B}_n} f(|u|) |u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}| |\phi| + 2 \int_{\mathcal{A}^c} f(|u|) |u| |\phi|. \end{aligned}$$

Use the fact that  $f$  is an increasing function, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{A}^c \cap \mathcal{B}_n} f(|u|) |u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}| |\phi| &\leq \int_{\mathcal{A}^c \cap \mathcal{B}_n} f(|u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}|) |u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}| |\phi| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{R} \int_{\mathcal{A}^c \cap \mathcal{B}_n} f(|u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}|) |u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}|^2 |\phi| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{R} \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \|f(|u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}|) |u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}|^2\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{R} \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \|u^0\|_{L^2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{12}.$$

Similarly,

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}^c} f(|u|) |u| |\phi| \leq \frac{1}{R} \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \|u^0\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{12}.$$

Combining the above results, we conclude that there exists  $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that for all  $n \geq n_2$ ,

$$|L_n^2| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

\* **Estimate of the term  $L_n^3$ :** We have

$$|L_n^3| \leq \int_{\mathcal{A}_{n,R}} |u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}| |f(|u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}) - f(|u|)| |\phi| + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{n,R}^c} |u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}| |f(|u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}) - f(|u|)| |\phi| := L_n^{3,1} + L_n^{3,2},$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_{n,R} := \{(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3; |u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}(t, x)| \leq R\}.$$

Now,

$$L_n^{3,1} = \int_{\mathcal{A}_{n,R} \cap \mathcal{B}_n} |u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}| |f(|u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}) - f(|u|)| |\phi| + \int_{\mathcal{A}_{n,R} \cap \mathcal{B}_n^c} |u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}| |f(|u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}) - f(|u|)| |\phi|,$$

where  $\mathcal{B}_n$  is defined in (3.22).

Let  $(t, x) \in \mathcal{A}_{n,R} \cap \mathcal{B}_n$ . According to Lemma 2.4, we have

$$|u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}(t, x)| |f(|u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}(t, x)|) - f(|u(t, x)|)| \leq Rf'(R) |u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}(t, x) - u(t, x)|.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{B}_n \cap \mathcal{A}_{n,R}} |u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}| |f(|u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}) - f(|u|)| |\phi| &\leq Rf'(R) \int_0^{T_q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\theta_q(u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)} - u)| |\phi| \\ &\leq Rf'(R) \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R})} \|\theta_q(u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)} - u)\|_{L^2([0, T_q] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that there exists an integer  $n_3 \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that, for all  $n \geq n_3$ ,

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}_{n,R} \cap \mathcal{B}_n} |u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}| |f(|u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}) - f(|u|)| |\phi| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{12}.$$

On the other hand, for  $(t, x) \in \mathcal{A}_{n,R} \cap \mathcal{B}_n^c$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}(t, x)| |f(|u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}(t, x)|) - f(|u(t, x)|)| &\leq |u(t, x)| |f(|u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}(t, x)|) - f(|u(t, x)|)| \\ &\leq \begin{cases} Rf'(R) |u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}(t, x) - u(t, x)| & \text{if } (t, x) \in \mathcal{A}_R \\ \frac{1}{R} f(|u(t, x)|) |u(t, x)|^2 & \text{if } (t, x) \in \mathcal{A}_R^c \end{cases} \\ &\leq Rf'(R) |u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}(t, x) - u(t, x)| + \frac{1}{R} f(|u(t, x)|) |u(t, x)|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_R := \{(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3; |u(t, x)| \leq R\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathcal{A}_{n,R} \cap \mathcal{B}_n^c} |u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}| |f(|u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)}) - f(|u|)| |\phi| \\ &\leq Rf'(R) \int_0^{T_q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\theta_q(u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)} - u)| |\phi| + \frac{1}{R} \int_0^{T_q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(|u|) |u|^2 |\phi| \\ &\leq Rf'(R) \|\theta_q(u_{\tilde{\varphi}(n)} - u)\|_{L^2([0, T_q] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R})} + \frac{1}{R} \|u^0\|_{L^2}^2 \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R})} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq Rf'(R)\|\theta_q(u_{\bar{\varphi}(n)} - u)\|_{L^2([0, T_q] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}\|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{12}.$$

This implies that there exists an integer  $n_4 \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that, for all  $n \geq n_4$ ,

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}_{n,R} \cap \mathcal{B}_n^c} |u_{\bar{\varphi}(n)}| |f(|u_{\bar{\varphi}(n)}|) - f(|u|)| |\phi| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{6}.$$

Let  $n_5 = n_3 + n_4$ . For all  $n \geq n_5$ ,

$$|L_n^{3,1}| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

Finally, for  $L_n^{3,2}$ , using the fact that  $f$  is an increasing function, we have, for  $(t, x) \in \mathcal{A}_{n,R}$ ,

$$|u_{\bar{\varphi}(n)}(t, x)| |f(|u_{\bar{\varphi}(n)}(t, x)|) - f(|u(t, x)|)| \leq \begin{cases} f(|u_{\bar{\varphi}(n)}(t, x)|) |u_{\bar{\varphi}(n)}(t, x)| & \text{if } (t, x) \in \mathcal{B}_n \\ f(|u(t, x)|) |u(t, x)| & \text{if } (t, x) \in \mathcal{B}_n^c \end{cases} \\ \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{R} f(|u_{\bar{\varphi}(n)}(t, x)|) |u_{\bar{\varphi}(n)}(t, x)|^2 & \text{if } (t, x) \in \mathcal{B}_n \\ \frac{1}{R} f(|u(t, x)|) |u(t, x)|^2 & \text{if } (t, x) \in \mathcal{B}_n^c. \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$|u_{\bar{\varphi}(n)}| |f(|u_{\bar{\varphi}(n)}|) - f(|u|)| \leq \frac{1}{R} \left( f(|u_{\bar{\varphi}(n)}|) |u_{\bar{\varphi}(n)}|^2 + f(|u|) |u|^2 \right). \quad (3.23)$$

Therefore

$$|L_n^{3,2}| \leq \frac{1}{R} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3} f(|u_{\bar{\varphi}(n)}|) |u_{\bar{\varphi}(n)}|^2 |\phi| + \int_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3} f(|u|) |u|^2 |\phi| \right] \\ \leq \frac{2}{R} \|u^0\|_{L^2}^2 \|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \\ \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{12}.$$

Finally, there exists  $n_5 \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that, for all  $n \geq n_5$ ,

$$|L_n^3| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

As a result, we assume  $N = n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + n_4$ , then for any  $n \geq N$  we have

$$\left| \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [J_{\bar{\varphi}(n)}(f(|u_{\bar{\varphi}(n)}|) u_{\bar{\varphi}(n)}) - f(|u|) u] \phi \right| \leq |L_n^1| + |L_n^2| + |L_n^3| < \varepsilon.$$

**3.2. Uniqueness of Solutions.** Let  $(u, \pi_u)$  and  $(v, \pi_v)$  be two weak solutions of (NSD). Then,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \nu \Delta u + u \cdot \nabla u + f(|u|)u & = -\nabla \pi_u \\ \partial_t v - \nu \Delta v + v \cdot \nabla v + f(|v|)v & = -\nabla \pi_v \end{cases} \quad (3.24)$$

Define  $\omega = u - v$ . Then  $\omega$  satisfies the following equation:

$$\partial_t \omega - \nu \Delta \omega + \omega \cdot \nabla u + v \cdot \nabla \omega + (f(|u|)u - f(|v|)v) = -\nabla(\pi_u - \pi_v) \quad (3.25)$$

Taking the  $L^2$ -inner product of (3.25) with  $\omega$  and using the fact that  $\operatorname{div}(u) = \operatorname{div}(v) = 0$ , we obtain:

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\omega\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2 + (f(|u|)u - f(|v|)v, \omega)_{L^2} = (\operatorname{div}(\omega \otimes u), \omega)_{L^2} \\ \leq \|\omega u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2} \\ \leq \frac{1}{4\nu} \|\omega u\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu \|\nabla \omega\|_{L^2}^2$$

This implies:

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\omega\|_{L^2}^2 + (f(|u|)u - f(|v|)v, \omega)_{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{4\nu} \|\omega u\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Using Lemma 2.5, for all  $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (f(|u|)u - f(|v|)v, w)_{\mathbb{R}^3} &= (f(|u|)u - f(|v|)v, u - v)_{\mathbb{R}^3} \\ &\geq \frac{c}{4} (|u|^p + |v|^p) |u - v|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{c}{4} |u|^p |\omega|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} (f(|u|)u - f(|v|)v, w)_{L^2} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (f(|u|)u - f(|v|)v, w)_{\mathbb{R}^3} \\ &\geq \frac{c}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^p |\omega|^2 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using the fact that  $p > 1$ , we have:

$$\frac{1}{4\nu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\omega|^2 |u|^2 \leq c_{\nu,p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\omega|^2 + \frac{c}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^p |\omega|^2.$$

This implies:

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|w\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{c}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^p |\omega|^2 \leq c_{\nu,p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\omega|^2 + \frac{c}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^p |\omega|^2.$$

Simplifying, we get:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|w(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq c_{\nu,p} \|w(t)\|_{L^2}^2$$

Since  $\omega(0) = 0$ , applying Grönwall's Lemma yields  $\omega(t, x) = 0$ , for all  $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3$ .

Thus  $u = v$ , proving the uniqueness of the solution.

**3.3. Continuity.** The proof of the continuity of the weak solution  $u$  is inspired by the proof given by Blel and Benameur in [4]. We apply the upper limit in inequality (3.16) as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , obtaining

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \|u(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \|u^0\|_{L^2}. \quad (3.26)$$

Using the fact that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (u(t) - u^0, v)_{L^2} = 0, \quad \forall v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad (3.27)$$

and according to Proposition 2.1, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|u(t) - u^0\|_{L^2} = 0, \quad (3.28)$$

which implies that  $u$  is continuous at 0 in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ .

Let  $t > 0$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, t)$ , and define the functions  $v_\varepsilon = u(t \pm \varepsilon)$  and  $\pi_\varepsilon = \pi(t \pm \varepsilon)$ . Then,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \nu \Delta u + u \cdot \nabla u + f(|u|)u = -\nabla \pi, \\ \partial_t v_\varepsilon - \nu \Delta v_\varepsilon + v_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla v_\varepsilon + f(|v_\varepsilon|)v_\varepsilon = -\nabla \pi_\varepsilon. \end{cases} \quad (3.29)$$

As a result, if  $\omega_\varepsilon = v_\varepsilon - u$ , then

$$\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon - \nu \Delta \omega_\varepsilon + v_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \omega_\varepsilon + \omega_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla u + f(|v_\varepsilon|)v_\varepsilon - f(|u|)u = -\nabla(\pi_\varepsilon - \pi). \quad (3.30)$$

We apply the scalar product with  $\omega_\varepsilon$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  and use the fact that  $\operatorname{div}(\omega_\varepsilon) = 0$ , obtaining

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\omega_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu \|\nabla \omega_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + (f(|v_\varepsilon|)v_\varepsilon - f(|u|)u, \omega_\varepsilon)_{L^2} = -(\omega_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla u, \omega_\varepsilon)_{L^2}.$$

Using the same technique as in the uniqueness proof, we obtain

$$(f(|v_\varepsilon|)v_\varepsilon - f(|u|)u, \omega_\varepsilon)_{L^2} \geq \frac{c}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^p |\omega_\varepsilon|^2,$$



and

$$|(\omega_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla u, \omega_\varepsilon)_{L^2}| \leq \nu \|\nabla \omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{c}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^p |\omega_\varepsilon|^2 + c_{\nu,p} \|\omega_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2.$$

This implies that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\omega_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 2c_{\nu,p} \|\omega_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Applying integration over  $(\varepsilon, t)$ , we obtain

$$\|\omega_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|\omega_\varepsilon(\varepsilon)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_\varepsilon^t 2c_{\nu,p} \|\omega_\varepsilon(z)\|_{L^2}^2 dz.$$

Gronwall's Lemma then gives

$$\|\omega_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|\omega_\varepsilon(\varepsilon)\|_{L^2}^2 e^{2c_{\nu,p}(t-\varepsilon)},$$

which implies

$$\|v_\varepsilon(t) - u(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \|v_\varepsilon(\varepsilon) - u(\varepsilon)\|_{L^2} e^{c_{\nu,p}t}.$$

There are two cases to consider for  $v_\varepsilon = u(t + \varepsilon)$  and  $v_\varepsilon = u(t - \varepsilon)$ :

- If  $v_\varepsilon = u(t + \varepsilon)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t + \varepsilon) - u(t)\|_{L^2} &\leq \|u(2\varepsilon) - u(\varepsilon)\|_{L^2} e^{c_{\nu,p}t} \\ &\leq (\|u(2\varepsilon) - u^0\|_{L^2} + \|u(\varepsilon) - u^0\|_{L^2}) e^{c_{\nu,p}t}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+}$ , we obtain

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \|u(t + \varepsilon) - u(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \left( \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \|u(2\varepsilon) - u^0\|_{L^2} + \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \|u(\varepsilon) - u^0\|_{L^2} \right) e^{c_{\nu,p}t} = 0.$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \|u(t + \varepsilon) - u(t)\|_{L^2} = 0, \quad (3.31)$$

which implies that  $u$  is right-continuous at every point in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .

- If  $v_\varepsilon = u(t - \varepsilon)$ , then

$$\|u(t - \varepsilon) - u(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \|u(0) - u(\varepsilon)\|_{L^2} e^{c_{\nu,p}t} = \|u(\varepsilon) - u^0\|_{L^2} e^{c_{\nu,p}t}.$$

Taking  $\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+}$ , we obtain

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \|u(t - \varepsilon) - u(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \|u(\varepsilon) - u^0\|_{L^2} e^{c_{\nu,p}t} = 0.$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \|u(t - \varepsilon) - u(t)\|_{L^2} = 0, \quad (3.32)$$

which implies that  $u$  is left-continuous at every point in  $\mathbb{R}^{+,*}$ .

Therefore,  $u \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^+, L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$ . ■

**Data availability** The data are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

### Declarations

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest

### REFERENCES

- [1] BAHOURI, H. Fourier Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 2011, vol. 343.

- [2] BENAMEUR, J. Global weak solution of 3D-NSE with exponential damping. *Open Mathematics*, 2022, vol. 20, no 1, p. 590-607.
- [3] BLEL, M. and BENAMEUR, J. Asymptotic analysis of Leray solution for the incompressible NSE with damping. *Demonstratio Mathematica*, 2024, vol. 57, no 1, p. 20240042.
- [4] BLEL, M. and BENAMEUR, J. Long-time decay of leray solution of 3d-nse with exponential damping. *Fractals*, 2022, vol. 30, no 10, p. 2240236.
- [5] BREZIS, H. *Analyse fonctionnelle Theorie et application*, 3e tirage ed. 1992.
- [6] CAI, X and JIU, Q. Weak and strong solutions for the incompressible Navier–Stokes equations with damping. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 2008, vol. 343, no 2, p. 799-809.
- [7] CHEMIN, J-Y. DESJARDINS, Benoît, GALLAGHER, Isabelle, et al. *Fluids with anisotropic viscosity*. *ESAIM: Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Analysis*, 2000, vol. 34, no 2, p. 315-335.
- [8] HOPF, E. Über die Anfangswertaufgabe für die hydrodynamischen Grundgleichungen. Erhard Schmidt zu seinem 75. Geburtstag gewidmet. *Mathematische Nachrichten*, 1950, vol. 4, no 1-6, p. 213-231.
- [9] HSIAO, L. *Quasilinear hyperbolic systems and dissipative mechanisms*. World Scientific, 1997.
- [10] HUANG, F. and PAN, R. Convergence rate for compressible Euler equations with damping and vacuum. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 2003, vol. 166, p. 359-376.
- [11] LERAY, J. Sur le mouvement d’un liquide visqueux emplissant l’espace. *Acta mathematica*, 1934, vol. 63, p. 193-248.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE OF GABÈS, RESEARCH LABORATORY MATHEMATICS AND APPLICATIONS LR17ES11; TUNISIA

*Email address:* Mostafa.Amara@fsg.u-gabes.tn

*Email address:* katarchaala123@gmail.com

*Email address:* widaltifi@gmail.com