

A PERTURBATIVE APPROACH TO THE MACROSCOPIC FLUCTUATION THEORY

THIERRY BODINEAU[†] AND BERNARD DERRIDA[♣]

December 19, 2024

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the stationary states of diffusive dynamics driven out of equilibrium by reservoirs. For a small forcing, the system remains close to equilibrium and the large deviation functional of the density can be computed perturbatively by using the macroscopic fluctuation theory. This applies to general domains in \mathbb{R}^d and diffusive dynamics with arbitrary transport coefficients. As a consequence, one can analyse the correlations at the first non trivial order in the forcing and show that, in general, all the long range correlation functions are not equal to 0, in contrast to the exactly solvable models previously known.

1. INTRODUCTION

The steady state of systems in contact with two reservoirs of particles at different densities or two heat baths at different temperatures is one of the most basic situations one can consider in the study of non-equilibrium systems. When the two reservoirs are at the same density or the two heat baths are at the same temperature, the steady state is nothing but the equilibrium for which a number of properties can be determined from the knowledge of the free energy. For macroscopic systems in contact with two or more reservoirs of particles at different densities, one quantity which generalizes the concept of free energy is the large deviation functional \mathcal{S} of the density profiles. Over the last 25 years trying to determine this functional has motivated considerable efforts. We refer to [6, 7, 9, 24] for some reviews on the topic.

For a one dimensional macroscopic system of length L in contact, at its two ends, with two reservoirs of particles at densities ρ_{left} and ρ_{right} , this large deviation functional $\mathcal{S}(\{\varphi(x)\})$ is defined by

$$\text{Pro}\{\{\varphi(x)\}\} \sim \exp(-L\mathcal{S}(\{\varphi(x)\})) \quad \text{for large } L, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\text{Pro}\{\{\varphi(x)\}\}$ is the probability of observing a density profile $\varphi(x)$ near position Lx with $0 < x < 1$. One way of implementing this definition consists in slicing the macroscopic system of size L into a large number $1/\delta$ of large subsystems of size $L\delta$. Then $\text{Pro}(\{\varphi(x)\})$ is simply the probability of finding $\varphi(x) \times L\delta$ particles in the interval $(Lx, L(x + \delta))$. In general the large deviation functional \mathcal{S} depends both on the density profile $\varphi(x)$ and on the densities ρ_{left} and ρ_{right} of the reservoirs.

The generalization to higher dimensions can be done by considering a lattice gas described at the macroscopic level by its density $\varphi(x)$ at position x in a bounded domain $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ in dimension $d \geq 1$ and assuming that each point $y \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ at the boundary of the domain is connected to a reservoir at density $\rho_b(y)$. Then for a system of large size L (one can think of L as being the inverse of the lattice spacing), one expects the probability of observing a density profile $\varphi = \{\varphi(x)\}$ in the bulk to be given as in (1.1) by

$$\text{Pro}[\varphi] \sim \exp(-L^d\mathcal{S}(\varphi)). \quad (1.2)$$

As in the one dimensional case, the large deviation functional \mathcal{S} depends on the profile φ and on the densities $\{\rho_b(y), y \in \partial\mathbb{D}\}$ of all the reservoirs.

To determine the large deviation functional \mathcal{S} in the non equilibrium case, two approaches have been followed so far. One is based on the exact knowledge of the steady state measure, i.e. on the computation of explicit expressions for the weights of all the microscopic configurations of the system. This has been initially implemented for the symmetric [26, 27, 46, 25, 43] and asymmetric exclusion processes [28, 29, 32], then extended to other classes of microscopic models [13, 18, 19, 20, 37]. The other approach is at the heart of the macroscopic fluctuation theory initiated in [3, 4] and generalises the Freidlin-Wentzell theory [36] by relating the steady state large deviation functional \mathcal{S} to the dynamical large deviations of the macroscopic density profiles. This provides a robust framework to describe non-equilibrium large deviations for general diffusive dynamics beyond the exactly solvable models. In particular, it relies only on the knowledge of the macroscopic transport coefficients (the diffusion D and the conductivity σ , see Appendix A) and not the detailed structure of the microscopic dynamics. Note that the singularities of the large deviation functional can be interpreted as phase transitions [8, 2, 16, 17]. The mathematical theory of pathwise large deviations [39, 38] has been extended to justify rigorously this macroscopic fluctuation theory [5, 10, 12, 15, 14, 23, 34, 35, 40], at least for some microscopic dynamics.

At the macroscopic scale, a general diffusive microscopic dynamics is well approximated by a non-linear diffusion equation with a small noise. Building on this coarse grained description, the macroscopic fluctuation theory identifies the large deviation functional $\mathcal{S}(\varphi)$ defined in (1.2) as the optimal cost of a dynamical fluctuation to reach the density profile $\varphi = \{\varphi(x)\}$ starting from the steady state profile $\bar{\rho} = \{\bar{\rho}(x)\}$. This provides a (dynamical) variational formula to determine the stationary large deviation functional $\mathcal{S}(\varphi)$, known as the quasi-potential [36]. This strategy is recalled in Section 2. Therefore calculating \mathcal{S} boils down to analyzing non-linear equations (see (2.10),(2.11)) to determine the most likely path associated with the quasi-potential (2.7). Although these non-linear equations are in principle valid for arbitrary diffusive systems, one does not know in general how to solve them, except again for some particular diffusive systems [11]. It is striking to note that the only closed formula for \mathcal{S} , with long range correlations, was obtained for one-dimensional processes with constant diffusion coefficient D and a conductivity coefficient σ which is a polynomial of order 2. These coefficients fall into the class of exactly solvable models [27, 20] for which the functional can be computed explicitly. Out of equilibrium, \mathcal{S} is also explicit for dynamics related to the Zero-Range process [22], but in this model, there is no long range correlations out of equilibrium.

The macroscopic fluctuation theory is a generalisation to non-equilibrium systems of the Onsager-Machlup theory [42]. At equilibrium, i.e. when all the reservoirs in contact with the system are at the same density $\rho_b(y) = \rho_*$ for all $y \in \partial\mathbb{D}$, the optimal path (we will call it the excitation path) followed by the system to reach any specified density profile φ starting from the steady state profile $\bar{\rho}$ is exactly the same as the relaxation path followed by the system when it relaxes from φ to $\bar{\rho}$. From this reversibility property, the dynamical cost of the optimal path can be computed and the Onsager-Machlup theory yields a dynamical interpretation of the large deviation functional for equilibrium systems. For systems with short range interactions and in absence of phase transitions, (the only cases we are discussing here), the large deviation functional \mathcal{S}^{eq} of the density is known: it is related to the free energy prescribed by Gibbs theory (see [24, Section 4, Eq. (18)] and also [41, 33])

$$\mathcal{S}^{eq}(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx S_{\rho_*}(\varphi(x)) \quad \text{with} \quad S_{\rho_*}(\rho) = f(\rho) - f(\rho_*) - (\rho - \rho_*)f'(\rho_*), \quad (1.3)$$

where $f(\rho)$ is the free energy per unit volume of the lattice gas at density ρ , i.e.

$$f(\rho) = - \lim_{V \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log Z_V(V\rho)}{V} \quad (1.4)$$

with $Z_V(N)$ is the partition function of a system of N particles in a volume V . It is easy to check that \mathcal{S} vanishes for the constant equilibrium profile $\varphi(x) = \rho_*$ and that $\mathcal{S} > 0$ for all other profiles (this is a consequence of the fact that f is strictly convex as we exclude the case of phase transition).

From the analogy between the free energy and the large deviation functional for equilibrium systems, it is natural to consider the non-equilibrium large deviation functional \mathcal{S} as a substitute for the free energy defined by the Gibbs theory. Even though, there is (so far) no explicit solution for the large deviation functional, the dynamical variational principle can be studied in some perturbative regimes. In particular for density profiles φ close to the stationary profile $\bar{\rho}$, the excitation path can be analysed by perturbation theory and the correlations for the stationary measure can be recovered by expanding \mathcal{S} close to $\bar{\rho}$ [4]. A different perturbative approach has been implemented in [47] in the case of weakly interacting systems.

In this paper, we consider another perturbative regime and study the large deviation functional \mathcal{S} associated with a small variation of the reservoir densities, i.e. $|\rho_b(y) - \rho_b(z)| \ll 1$ for all y and $z \in \partial\mathbb{D}$. In this case, the system should remain close to equilibrium and one expects that, in agreement with Onsager-Machlup theory, the excitation and the relaxation paths are close to each other. Based on this idea, the goal of the present work is to develop a perturbative method to calculate the large deviation functional \mathcal{S} by using the macroscopic fluctuation theory. The main result of the present paper is an expression of the large deviation functional (see Claim 3.1) for the leading corrections to (1.3) for systems near equilibrium. As expected for generic non-equilibrium systems, this functional is in general non local due to the occurrence of long range correlations [31, 45].

2. OPTIMAL PATH TO GENERATE A DEVIATION OF THE DENSITY

In this section, we recall the main features of the macroscopic fluctuation theory [3, 4] and in particular the Euler-Lagrange equations (2.10, 2.11, 2.9) to be solved to determine the quasi-potential, namely the non-equilibrium large deviation functional \mathcal{S} introduced in (1.2).

For a large diffusive system ($L \gg 1$), the macroscopic density $\rho(t, x)$ is linked to the particle current $q(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ by the conservation law

$$x \in \mathbb{D}, \quad \partial_t \rho(t, x) = -\nabla \cdot q(t, x), \quad (2.1)$$

which itself evolves according to the following fluctuating hydrodynamic equation

$$q(t, x) = -D(\rho(t, x)) \nabla \rho(t, x) + \frac{1}{L^{d/2}} \sqrt{\sigma(\rho(t, x))} \eta(t, x), \quad (2.2)$$

where $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a space-time white noise (see [44, Chapter 2.9, Eq. (2.117)]). Furthermore at the boundary $\partial\mathbb{D}$ the density is fixed by the densities of the reservoirs $\rho(t, y) = \rho_b(y)$ for $y \in \partial\mathbb{D}$. The dynamics (2.2) is characterised by the diffusion coefficient $D(\rho)$ and the conductivity $\sigma(\rho)$ which are functions depending only on the density ρ . We assume that the dynamics is isotropic so that D, σ are scalar. We refer to Appendix A for a discussion on the transport coefficients D, σ and for a derivation of the Einstein relation : for any density ρ , the two coefficients are related by

$$f''(\rho) \sigma(\rho) = 2D(\rho), \quad (2.3)$$

where f is the equilibrium free energy introduced in (1.4). In the literature [24, Eq. (27)], $1/f''(\rho)$ is usually called the compressibility. The stationary profile $\bar{\rho}(x)$ is solution of

$$x \in \mathbb{D}, \quad \nabla \cdot \left(D(\bar{\rho}(x)) \nabla \bar{\rho}(x) \right) = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \bar{\rho}(y) = \rho_b(y) \quad \text{for} \quad y \in \partial\mathbb{D}. \quad (2.4)$$

In this paper, we consider systems such that $D(\rho) \geq c > 0$ is uniformly bounded from below.

Using the fluctuation of the noise in (2.2), the probability of observing an atypical density and a current trajectory $(\rho(t), q(t)) = \{\rho(t, x), q(t, x)\}_{x \in \mathbb{D}}$ during any macroscopic time interval $[\tau_1, \tau_2]$ and starting at τ_1 from the initial profile $\rho(\tau_1)$ is given by

$$\mathbb{P}_{[\tau_1, \tau_2]}(\text{observing } (\rho(t), q(t)) \text{ for } \tau_1 \leq t \leq \tau_2 \mid \rho(\tau_1)) \sim \exp\left(-L^d \mathcal{F}_{[\tau_1, \tau_2]}(\rho, q)\right), \quad (2.5)$$

under the constraint of the conservation law (2.1) and with

$$\mathcal{F}_{[\tau_1, \tau_2]}(\rho, q) = \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} dt \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx \frac{(q(t, x) + D(\rho(t, x)) \nabla \rho(t, x))^2}{2\sigma(\rho(t, x))}. \quad (2.6)$$




FIGURE 1. On the figure, an optimal trajectory $(\rho(t))_{t \leq 0}$ solution of (2.10) is depicted. It interpolates between $\bar{\rho}$ at time $-\infty$ and φ at time 0. This can be viewed as a relaxation path of the density profile from φ to $\bar{\rho}$ by reversing time $s = -t$ (see the dashed arrow on the figure and (3.6)).

The large deviation functional \mathcal{S} is given by the quasi-potential (see [4, 36]), i.e. the smallest cost of a dynamical fluctuation :

$$\mathcal{S}(\varphi) = \inf \{ \mathcal{F}_{[-\infty, 0]}(\rho, q); \quad \rho(0, x) = \varphi(x) \}, \quad (2.7)$$

where the time interval is now infinite $]-\infty, 0]$ and the infimum is taken over all the trajectories with density $\varphi(x)$ at the final time $t = 0$ and such that $\rho(t) \rightarrow \bar{\rho}$ as $t \rightarrow -\infty$.

According to the macroscopic fluctuation theory [4] (and see Appendix C for a derivation), the current which minimizes (2.7) can be written as

$$q(t, x) = -D(\rho) \nabla \rho + \sigma(\rho) \nabla H \quad (2.8)$$

with $H(t, y) = 0$ for $y \in \partial \mathbb{D}$. This leads to (see (2.6), (2.7))

$$\mathcal{S}(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^0 dt \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx \sigma(\rho(t, x)) (\nabla H(t, x))^2. \quad (2.9)$$

From (2.8) and the conservation law (2.1), the density evolves as

$$\partial_t \rho = \nabla \cdot (D(\rho) \nabla \rho) - \nabla \cdot (\sigma(\rho) \nabla H) \quad \text{with } \rho(t, y) = \rho_b(y) \quad \text{for } y \in \partial \mathbb{D}. \quad (2.10)$$

As $\sigma(\rho) > 0$, note that once $\rho(t)$ is given, (2.10) fully determines the function $H(t)$ under the constraint that $H(t, y) = 0$ for $y \in \partial \mathbb{D}$. In Appendix C, it is also recalled that the evolution of H for a path which minimizes the cost (2.9) is given by

$$\partial_t H = -D(\rho) \Delta H - \frac{1}{2} \sigma'(\rho) (\nabla H)^2. \quad (2.11)$$

The optimal evolution equations (2.10) and (2.11) are standard in the macroscopic fluctuation theory [4]. Note that this system is constrained by the conditions on the density at time 0 and $-\infty$. At time $t = 0$, one also has (see (C.4))

$$x \in \mathbb{D}, \quad H(0, x) = \frac{\delta \mathcal{S}}{\delta \varphi(x)}(\varphi), \quad (2.12)$$

where the derivative is wrt the final density φ . By the optimality of the path (2.10), this relation remains true at any time $t \leq 0$ so that in (2.10)

$$x \in \mathbb{D}, \quad H(t, x) = \frac{\delta \mathcal{S}}{\delta \varphi(x)}(\rho(t)). \quad (2.13)$$

We conclude this section by recovering the optimal excitation path at equilibrium from the previous framework. The equilibrium large deviation functional is explicit (1.3) so that (2.13) implies the following relation along the optimal path (2.10)

$$H(t) = \frac{\delta \mathcal{S}^{eq}}{\delta \varphi}(\rho(t)) = f'(\rho(t)) - f'(\rho_*). \quad (2.14)$$

Plugging (2.13) in the optimal density fluctuation (2.10) and using Einstein relation (2.3), we see that the optimal path is just the time reversal of the relaxation trajectory

$$\partial_t \rho = -\nabla \cdot (D(\rho) \nabla \rho) \quad \text{for } t \leq 0, \quad (2.15)$$

in agreement with Onsager-Machlup theory [42].

3. PERTURBATIVE NON-EQUILIBRIUM LARGE DEVIATIONS

3.1. Statement of the large deviation functional.

We consider now small variations of the density ρ_b at the reservoirs. In this case, the stationary density $\bar{\rho}$ defined in (2.4) is weakly space dependent so that the stationary current

$$x \in \mathbb{D}, \quad \bar{J}(x) = -D(\bar{\rho}(x)) \nabla \bar{\rho}(x) \quad (3.1)$$

is assumed to be small

$$\mathbf{J} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{D}} |\bar{J}(x)| \ll 1. \quad (3.2)$$

We are now going to use the dynamical approach described in Section 2 to compute perturbatively in $\mathbf{J} \ll 1$ the large deviation functional \mathcal{S} defined in (2.7).

As the system remains close to equilibrium, the dominant part of the large deviation functional will be a perturbation of \mathcal{S}^{eq} defined in (1.3). Thus we set

$$\mathcal{S}^{local}(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx S_{\bar{\rho}(x)}(\varphi(x)) \quad \text{with} \quad S_{\bar{\rho}}(\varphi) = f(\varphi) - f(\bar{\rho}) - (\varphi - \bar{\rho})f'(\bar{\rho}), \quad (3.3)$$

which can be rephrased in terms of the transport coefficients by the Einstein relation (2.3)

$$S'_{\bar{\rho}}(\varphi) = \int_{\bar{\rho}}^{\varphi} dr \frac{2D(r)}{\sigma(r)}. \quad (3.4)$$

The main result of this paper is an expansion of the non-equilibrium large deviation functional \mathcal{S} at order \mathbf{J}^3 . This encodes the long range correlations in the steady state. For this, we consider the function $\Gamma : \mathbb{R}^+ \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Gamma'(\rho) = D(\rho)$ and we define the functional

$$\mathcal{V}(\varphi) = -2 \int_0^\infty ds \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx \frac{(\bar{J})^2}{\sigma(\bar{\rho})^2} \left(\sigma(\rho(s)) - \sigma(\bar{\rho}) - \frac{\Gamma(\rho(s)) - \Gamma(\bar{\rho})}{D(\bar{\rho})} \sigma'(\bar{\rho}) \right), \quad (3.5)$$

where $(\rho(s))_{s \geq 0}$ is the solution of

$$s \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{D}, \quad \partial_s \rho(s, x) = \nabla \cdot (D(\rho(s, x)) \nabla \rho(s, x)) + 2\bar{J}(x) \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{\sigma(\rho(s, x))}{\sigma(\bar{\rho}(x))} \right) \quad (3.6)$$

starting from $\rho(0, x) = \varphi(x)$ (see Figure 1). Note from (2.4) that the stationary density $\bar{\rho}$ is a time independent solution of (3.6) so that $\mathcal{V}(\bar{\rho}) = 0$.

Claim 3.1. *The large deviation cost $\mathcal{S}(\varphi)$ for observing a density profile φ in the stationary state is of the form*

$$\mathcal{S}(\varphi) = \mathcal{S}^{local}(\varphi) + \mathcal{V}(\varphi) + O(\mathbf{J}^4), \quad (3.7)$$

where \mathcal{V} is defined in (3.5).

This claim is derived in Section 3.2.

Note that for the Zero-Range process (see [38]), the transport coefficients satisfy the relation $\sigma'(\rho) = 2D(\rho)$ so that $\Gamma(\rho) = \sigma(\rho)/2$. For this choice, the non-local contribution \mathcal{V} (3.5) to the large deviation \mathcal{S} vanishes in agreement with the absence of long range correlations in this model [22].

3.2. Derivation of Claim 3.1.

We are now going to check Claim 3.1 by computing the optimal trajectories in order to provide a solution of the variational problem (2.7).

We recall the optimal equations (2.10) and (2.11) associated with the variational problem (2.7) on the time interval $]-\infty, 0]$:

$$t \leq 0, \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \rho = \nabla \cdot (D(\rho) \nabla \rho) - \nabla \cdot (\sigma(\rho) \nabla H), \\ \partial_t H = -D(\rho) \Delta H - \frac{1}{2} \sigma'(\rho) (\nabla H)^2, \end{cases} \quad (3.8)$$

with fixed density $\rho(t) = \rho_b$ on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ imposed by the reservoirs and Dirichlet boundary conditions $H(t) = 0$. The main difficulty is that only the density is prescribed initially (for time $t \rightarrow -\infty$) and at the final time ($t = 0$):

$$x \in \mathbb{D}, \quad \rho(t, x) \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow -\infty]{} \bar{\rho}(x), \quad \rho(0, x) = \varphi(x). \quad (3.9)$$

The control H at time $t = 0$ has to be determined and from this, the functional \mathcal{S} will then be obtained thanks to the relation (C.4)

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{S}}{\delta \varphi}(\varphi) = H(t = 0). \quad (3.10)$$

To solve (3.8), we use that at equilibrium H is explicit (see (2.14,3.4)) and we look for an ansatz of the following form to take into account the new stationary profile $\bar{\rho}(x)$

$$x \in \mathbb{D}, t \leq 0, \quad H(t, x) = \int_{\bar{\rho}(x)}^{\rho(t, x)} du \frac{2D(u)}{\sigma(u)} + h(t, x), \quad (3.11)$$

where h is a correction vanishing with \mathbf{J} and $h(t, x) = 0$ for $x \in \partial\mathbb{D}$.

Claim 3.2. *The optimal evolution equations (3.8) can be rewritten in terms of h as*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho = -\nabla \cdot (D(\rho) \nabla \rho) - 2\bar{J} \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{\sigma(\rho)}{\sigma(\bar{\rho})} \right) - \nabla \cdot (\sigma(\rho) \nabla h), \\ \partial_t h = D(\rho) \Delta h - 2 \frac{\sigma'(\rho)}{\sigma(\bar{\rho})} \bar{J} \cdot \nabla h - \frac{2(\bar{J})^2}{\sigma(\bar{\rho})^2} \left(\sigma'(\rho) - \frac{D(\rho)}{D(\bar{\rho})} \sigma'(\bar{\rho}) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma'(\rho) (\nabla h)^2, \end{cases} \quad (3.12)$$

with boundary conditions on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ given by ρ_b for the density and 0 for h . We stress that in the equation above the stationary density $\bar{\rho}$ and current \bar{J} depend on $x \in \mathbb{D}$.

We state now some consequences of Claim 3.2 and refer to Appendix D for its derivation. Equations (3.12) encodes the optimal path for $t \in]-\infty, 0]$. It is convenient to assume that the functions $\rho(0, x) = \varphi(x)$, $h(0, x)$ are prescribed at time 0 and to consider instead the time reversed equation with $s \in [0, +\infty[$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_s \rho = \nabla(D(\rho)\nabla\rho) + 2\bar{J} \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{\sigma(\rho)}{\sigma(\bar{\rho})} \right) + \nabla(\sigma(\rho)\nabla h), \\ \partial_s h = -D(\rho)\Delta h + 2\frac{\sigma'(\rho)}{\sigma(\bar{\rho})}\bar{J} \cdot \nabla h + \frac{2(\bar{J})^2}{\sigma(\bar{\rho})^2} \left(\sigma'(\rho) - \frac{D(\rho)}{D(\bar{\rho})}\sigma'(\bar{\rho}) \right) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma'(\rho)(\nabla h)^2. \end{cases} \quad (3.13)$$

In the following s stands for the time reversed parameter (see Figure 1).

If h was of order \mathbf{J} , then its evolution at order \mathbf{J} would be $\partial_s h = -D(\rho)\Delta h$ which is unstable. Thus $h(0) = 0$ at order \mathbf{J} and we will look for higher order corrections. Rewriting the evolution (3.13) of h up to order \mathbf{J}^3 gives :

$$\partial_s h = -D(\rho)\Delta h + 2\frac{\sigma'(\rho)}{\sigma(\bar{\rho})}\bar{J} \cdot \nabla h + \frac{2(\bar{J})^2}{\sigma(\bar{\rho})^2} \left(\sigma'(\rho) - \frac{D(\rho)}{D(\bar{\rho})}\sigma'(\bar{\rho}) \right), \quad (3.14)$$

where ρ satisfies the autonomous equation (3.6) recalled below

$$\forall s \geq 0, \quad \partial_s \rho = \nabla(D(\rho)\nabla\rho) + 2\bar{J} \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{\sigma(\rho)}{\sigma(\bar{\rho})} \right) \quad (3.15)$$

with $\rho(0) = \varphi$. Indeed, it is enough to keep the corrections of ρ at order \mathbf{J} to take into account all the terms up to order \mathbf{J}^3 in (3.14).

Due to the negative sign in front of the diffusion term in (3.14), the function h obeys an unstable equation with a source term (of order \mathbf{J}^2) determined by $(\rho(s))_{s \geq 0}$, the solution of (3.15). We are going to show that this instability fully determines the initial data $h(0)$. Given the solution $(\rho(s))_{s \geq 0}$ of (3.15), let us introduce for $s' \geq s$ the Green function $G(s', y|s, x)$ solution of

$$\frac{dG}{ds'} = \Delta_y \left(D(\rho(s', y))G \right) + 2\bar{J}(y) \cdot \nabla_y \left(\frac{\sigma'(\rho(s', y))}{\sigma(\bar{\rho}(y))} G \right) \quad \text{with} \quad G(s, x|s, y) = \delta(x - y). \quad (3.16)$$

This semigroup satisfies also

$$\frac{dG}{ds} = -D(\rho(s, x))\Delta_x G + 2\bar{J}(x) \cdot \left(\frac{\sigma'(\rho(s, x))}{\sigma(\bar{\rho}(x))} \right) \nabla_x G \quad \text{with} \quad G(s, y|s, x) = \delta(x - y).$$

Thus the solution of (3.14) is given by

$$h(s, x) = -2 \int_s^\infty ds' \int_{\mathbb{D}} dy G(s', y|s, x) \left(\frac{(\bar{J}(y))^2}{\sigma(\bar{\rho}(y))^2} \left(\sigma'(\rho(s', y)) - \frac{D(\rho(s', y))}{D(\bar{\rho}(y))}\sigma'(\bar{\rho}(y)) \right) \right). \quad (3.17)$$

In particular, this determines the value of $h(0, x)$.

Recalling identity (3.10) and the definition (3.11) of H , it is enough to identify the long range part \mathcal{V} of the large deviation functional \mathcal{S} . We are going to show that $h(0, x) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial \varphi(x)}(\varphi)$ where the functional \mathcal{V} (3.5) is recalled below

$$\mathcal{V}(\varphi) = -2 \int_0^\infty ds \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx \frac{(\bar{J}(x))^2}{\sigma(\bar{\rho}(x))^2} \left(\sigma(\rho(s, x)) - \sigma(\bar{\rho}(x)) - \frac{\Gamma(\rho(s, x)) - \Gamma(\bar{\rho}(x))}{D(\bar{\rho}(x))}\sigma'(\bar{\rho}(x)) \right), \quad (3.18)$$

with $(\rho(s))_{s \geq 0}$ the solution of (3.15). For a perturbation of the initial data from φ to $\varphi + \psi$, the solution of (3.15) has a correction evolving according to the linearised equation

$$\partial_s \phi = \Delta(D(\rho)\phi) + 2\bar{J} \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{\sigma'(\rho)}{\sigma(\bar{\rho})}\phi \right), \quad \phi(0) = \psi. \quad (3.19)$$

Thus from (3.16), we deduce that the perturbation of the density is determined by

$$s \geq 0, \quad \phi(s, y) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx G(s, y|0, x) \psi(x). \quad (3.20)$$

Plugging this in $\mathcal{V}(\varphi)$ (3.18) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varphi \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\delta} (\mathcal{V}(\varphi + \delta \psi) - \mathcal{V}(\varphi)) &= -2 \int_0^\infty ds \int_{\mathbb{D}} dy \frac{(\bar{J}(y))^2}{\sigma(\bar{\rho}(y))^2} \left(\sigma'(\rho(s, y)) - \frac{D(\rho(s, y))}{D(\bar{\rho}(y))} \sigma'(\bar{\rho}(y)) \right) \phi(s, y) \\ &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx \psi(x) \int_0^\infty ds \int_{\mathbb{D}} dy G(s, y|0, x) \frac{(\bar{J}(y))^2}{\sigma(\bar{\rho}(y))^2} \left(\sigma'(\rho(s, y)) - \frac{D(\rho(s, y))}{D(\bar{\rho}(y))} \sigma'(\bar{\rho}(y)) \right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx \psi(x) h(0, x), \end{aligned}$$

where we used (3.17) to identify h in the last equality. This implies $h(0, x) = \frac{\delta \mathcal{V}}{\delta \varphi(x)}(\varphi)$ in agreement with (3.10). This completes the identification of the large deviation functional \mathcal{S} at order \mathbf{J}^3 .

4. CUMULANTS

From (3.3), (3.4), (3.5) and (3.7), one can determine at order \mathbf{J}^3 all the correlations of the densities in the non-equilibrium steady state for arbitrary $D(\rho)$ and $\sigma(\rho)$. In this section, to avoid too complicated expressions, we will restrict the discussion to the order \mathbf{J}^2 and to the particular case where

$$D(\rho) = 1. \quad (4.1)$$

Taylor expanding f and σ in (3.7), we get that at order \mathbf{J}^2

$$\mathcal{S}(\varphi) = \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{1}{k!} \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx \left[f^{(k)}(\bar{\rho}(x)) (\varphi(x) - \bar{\rho}(x))^k - 2\sigma^{(k)}(\bar{\rho}) \frac{\bar{J}^2(x)}{\sigma(\bar{\rho})^2} I_k(\{\varphi(x)\}, x) \right], \quad (4.2)$$

where the coefficients are given by

$$I_k(\{\varphi(x)\}, x) = \int_0^\infty ds (\rho(s, x) - \bar{\rho}(x))^k \quad \text{with} \quad s \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{D}, \quad \partial_s \rho(s, x) = \Delta \rho(s, x), \quad \rho(0) = \varphi. \quad (4.3)$$

Above $\rho(s)$ follows equation (3.6) at leading order (indeed the drift at order \mathbf{J} contributes only to \mathcal{S} at order \mathbf{J}^3 so it can be forgotten). It is striking to note that (4.2) simplifies greatly for σ quadratic : in this case the long range part of the functional \mathcal{V} is quadratic in $\varphi - \bar{\rho}$ at order \mathbf{J}^2 (see (4.2) and (4.3)). The only explicit form of the large deviation functional \mathcal{S} with a genuine long range interaction known so far are for one-dimensional models with this choice of transport coefficients [27, 11], like the SSEP or the KMP model. A notable feature of these models is that only the pair correlations are non-zero at order \mathbf{J}^2 [30]. As we shall see, this property is related to the quadratic structure of \mathcal{V} when $D = 1$ and σ is quadratic. For general σ , the series (4.2) may be infinite and all the long range correlation functions are of order \mathbf{J}^2 .

In (4.2) all the non-local contributions to \mathcal{S} come from the integrals I_k which we are going to analyse now. The density profile in (4.3) has the form

$$s \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{D}, \quad \rho(s, x) = \bar{\rho}(x) + \int_{\mathbb{D}} dy G(s, x|0, y) (\varphi(y) - \bar{\rho}(y)),$$

where the Green function (already introduced in (3.16)) is given in the present case as the solution of

$$\frac{dG(s, x|\tau, y)}{ds} = \Delta G(s, x|\tau, y) \quad \text{with} \quad G(\tau, x|\tau, y) = \delta(x - y)$$

and $G(s, x|\tau, y)$ vanishes for $x \in \partial\mathbb{D}$. One then gets the following expressions of the integrals I_k

$$I_2(x) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx_1 r(x_1) \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx_2 r(x_2) \int_0^\infty ds G(s, x|0, x_1) G(s, x|0, x_2) \quad (4.4)$$

and more generally

$$I_k(x) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx_1 r(x_1) \cdots \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx_k r(x_k) \int_0^\infty ds G(s, x|0, x_1) \cdots G(s, x|0, x_k), \quad (4.5)$$

where

$$r(x) = \varphi(x) - \bar{\rho}(x).$$

Therefore expanding \mathcal{V} in powers, we get

$$\mathcal{V}(\varphi) = \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{1}{k!} \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx_1 r(x_1) \cdots \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx_k r(x_k) \mathcal{V}_k(x_1, \dots, x_k), \quad (4.6)$$

with leading order in \mathbf{J}^2

$$\mathcal{V}_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) = -2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx \sigma^{(k)}(\bar{\rho}) \frac{\bar{J}^2(x)}{\sigma(\bar{\rho})^2} \int_0^\infty ds G(s, x|0, x_1) \cdots G(s, x|0, x_k). \quad (4.7)$$

We stress that the series expansion (4.6) is not perturbative in $r = \varphi - \bar{\rho}$ provided the derivatives $\sigma^{(k)}(\bar{\rho})$ decay sufficiently fast so that the series converges. We will use now this expansion to recover the cumulants of the stationary measure. The link between the non-locality of \mathcal{S} and the long range correlations is discussed in Appendix B. From (B.9), (B.10) and (B.11), one can determine the long range part of the truncated correlations when x_1, \dots, x_k are all different

$$\langle \varphi(x_1) \varphi(x_2) \cdots \varphi(x_k) \rangle_c = -\frac{\sigma(\bar{\rho}(x_1)) \cdots \sigma(\bar{\rho}(x_k))}{2^k L^{(k-1)d}} \mathcal{V}_k(x_1 \dots x_k), \quad (4.8)$$

where we have used that $f''(\rho) = 2/\sigma(\rho)$ by (2.3) and the fact that $D(\rho) = 1$. Finally, setting

$$\mathbf{G}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx \bar{J}^2(x) \int_0^\infty ds G(s, x|0, x_1) \cdots G(s, x|0, x_k), \quad (4.9)$$

one gets

$$\langle \varphi(x_1) \varphi(x_2) \cdots \varphi(x_k) \rangle_c = \frac{\sigma(\bar{\rho})^{k-2} \sigma^{(k)}(\bar{\rho})}{2^{k-1} L^{(k-1)d}} \mathbf{G}(x_1, \dots, x_k), \quad (4.10)$$

since at order \mathbf{J}^2 the variations of $\sigma(\bar{\rho}(x))$ with space can be neglected. Defining $\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^k \Delta_{x_i}$ the Laplacian on the domain \mathbb{D}^k with Dirichlet boundary conditions, we deduce by the property of the Green function that

$$\Delta \mathbf{G}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = -\bar{J}^2(x_1) \delta_{x_1=x_2, \dots, x_k}. \quad (4.11)$$

For $k = 2$ and in dimension $d = 1$, the steady state current $\bar{J}^2(x) = \mathbf{J}^2$ is constant and the solution of (4.11) is

$$\mathbf{G}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{\mathbf{J}^2}{2} [x_1(1-x_2)1_{x_1 \leq x_2} + x_2(1-x_1)1_{x_1 > x_2}]$$

so that

$$\langle \varphi(x_1) \varphi(x_2) \rangle_c = \frac{\sigma''(\bar{\rho})}{4L} \mathbf{J}^2 x_1(1-x_2) \quad \text{for } x_1 < x_2. \quad (4.12)$$

This agrees with the exact computation for the SSEP [24, 45] as $\sigma(\rho) = 2\rho(1-\rho)$.

Notice that when $\sigma(\rho)$ is quadratic, as in the SSEP or the KMP model, then all long range correlations in (4.11) vanish, at order \mathbf{J}^2 , except pair correlations (4.12). For a similar reason, if $\sigma(\rho)$ is a polynomial of degree p (keeping $D(\rho) = 1$), all the long range correlations vanish if they involve more than p points.

In general, one cannot get simpler expressions of the long range correlations (4.10). Nevertheless using the identity (4.11), one can control the divergence of the cumulants when x_1, \dots, x_k get closer. Consider for simplicity the one dimensional case $\mathbb{D} = [0, 1]$ so that Δ is a Laplacian on $[0, 1]^k$ and the function $\bar{J}^2(x) = \mathbf{J}^2$ is constant. Then for x_1, \dots, x_k away from the boundaries, the Green function \mathbf{G} (4.11) behaves as in \mathbb{R}^k and we expect that for $k \geq 3$, it has a singularity of the form

$$\int_0^1 dx \frac{1}{(\sum_{i=1}^k (x - x_i)^2)^{(k-2)/2}} = \int_0^1 dx \frac{1}{(k(x - m)^2 + ka)^{(k-2)/2}}$$

writing

$$m = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k x_i, \quad a = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k x_i^2 - m^2 \geq 0,$$

where the parameter a measures the distance between all the particles. Thus when $a \rightarrow 0$, the singularity (see Figure 2) of the cumulants (4.10) should diverge as

$$\langle \varphi(x_1) \varphi(x_2) \cdots \varphi(x_k) \rangle_c \propto \frac{\sigma^{(k)}(\bar{\rho})}{L^{(k-1)}} \times \begin{cases} \log(a), & \text{if } k = 3 \\ 1/a^{(k-3)/2}, & \text{if } k \geq 4 \end{cases} \quad (4.13)$$

The sign of the correlation is determined by the macroscopic quantity $\sigma^{(k)}(\bar{\rho})$. The prefactor depending on L has been kept in (4.13) to recall that the cumulant vanishes when $L \rightarrow \infty$ and that the parameter a is constrained by the mesh size of the lattice so that the asymptotics (4.13) can be valid only for $a \gg 1/L^2$.




FIGURE 2. In dimension 1 and for $k = 3$, the solution $G(x, y, z)$ of (4.11) for $\bar{J} = 1$ as a function of x for $y = .4, z = .6$ (bottom curve), $y = .45, z = .55$ (middle curve) and $y = .475, z = .525$ (top curve). This indicates a singularity as the distance between the 3 points goes to zero, as expected in (4.13).

5. CONCLUSION

For a diffusive system maintained out of equilibrium by reservoirs at the boundary of a domain $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, we have considered here a regime close to equilibrium, i.e. a small drive from the reservoirs so that the flux flowing through the system in the stationary state is of order $\mathbf{J} \ll 1$. Our main result (3.7), (3.5) is a perturbative analysis in \mathbf{J} of the large deviation functional for the stationary state. So far the only expressions of the large deviation functional had been computed for microscopic dynamics with specific transport coefficients. As stated in Claim 3.1, the large deviation functional for observing a macroscopic density profile φ in the stationary state is of the form $\mathcal{S}(\varphi) = \mathcal{S}^{local}(\varphi) + \mathcal{V}(\varphi) + O(\mathbf{J}^4)$ (see (3.7)). The leading order \mathcal{S}^{local} has the same structure as the equilibrium large deviation function and in particular, it is local. The functional \mathcal{V} , defined in (3.5), is non local : it encodes the non-equilibrium contributions at orders \mathbf{J}^2 and \mathbf{J}^3 . The analysis relies on the macroscopic fluctuation theory, which is expected to capture accurately features of diffusive microscopic dynamics at the macroscopic level. In particular, the long range correlations between k sites at order \mathbf{J}^2 are predicted in (4.10). It is remarkable that these correlations diverge near the diagonal as in (4.13).

Our perturbative analysis is limited to the first orders of the expansion in the flux \mathbf{J} . It would be interesting to try to extend it to higher orders. We expect that a similar perturbative approach can be also achieved for diffusive dynamics driven away from equilibrium by a small varying external field in the bulk. Due to the non-local structure emerging in non-equilibrium systems, the large deviation functional is not additive. For the SSEP, an additivity property was shown to hold in [27] and it is natural to ask whether a similar structure could be derived for models with more general transport coefficients, at least in perturbative regimes.

APPENDIX A. TRANSPORT COEFFICIENTS

In the fluctuating hydrodynamic equation (2.2), the macroscopic transport coefficients D, σ quantify the behavior of the macroscopic current. At the microscopic level, they can be interpreted as follows. To fix a simple framework, consider a one dimensional lattice gas model on $\{1, \dots, L\}$ such that at each site i , the number of particles is an integer η_i . These particles move according to a stochastic dynamics and the configuration at time t is given by $(\eta_i(t), 1 \leq i \leq L)$. In this work, we have in mind a system with a conservative bulk dynamics coupled to a creation-annihilation dynamics at the boundary acting as reservoirs imposing some prescribed densities. On $\{1, \dots, L\}$, this means that the density at sites 1 (resp. L) is ρ_{left} (resp. ρ_{right}). In particular, particles may enter into the system or be killed at sites 1, L . Let Q_t be the integrated current up to time t , i.e. the number of particles which go through the system during the time interval $[0, t]$ (note that given L , the current can be measured anywhere in the bulk, and its asymptotic behavior for t large will be the same). Suppose that the densities imposed by the reservoirs are $\rho_{left} = \rho + \Delta\rho$ and $\rho_{right} = \rho$ with $\Delta\rho$ small, then this induces a flux of particles through the system and according to Fick's law, the current in the steady state is given at first order in $\Delta\rho$ by

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}[Q_t]}{t} = \frac{1}{L} D(\rho) \Delta\rho. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

This defines the diffusion coefficient $D(\rho)$ at density ρ . Suppose that for $\rho_{left} = \rho_{right} = \rho$, then on average there is no flux through the system $\mathbb{E}[Q_t] = 0$, nevertheless, the microscopic current fluctuates and for large t , its variance is given asymptotically by

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}[Q_t^2]}{t} \simeq \frac{1}{L} \sigma(\rho). \quad (\text{A.2})$$

This defines the conductivity coefficient $\sigma(\rho)$ at density ρ . We refer to [44] for an in-depth discussion on these transport coefficients. For generic stochastic dynamics, there is no simple expression for the transport coefficients D, σ as they are usually obtained implicitly in terms of a variational formula (see [38, Chapter 7] and [1]). For some specific microscopic dynamics, known as gradient models [38], the transport coefficients can be computed explicitly. This is for example the case of the symmetric exclusion process ($D(\rho) = 1$ and $\sigma(\rho) = 2\rho(1 - \rho)$) or of the Zero-Range process ($D(\rho) = \sigma'(\rho)/2$).

We conclude this appendix by deriving the Einstein relation (2.3) (see [44, Part II, Eq. (2.72)] or [24, Section 11] for alternative derivations). Recall that the large deviation functional \mathcal{S} should satisfy the Hamilton Jacobi equation (see Claim C.2 in Appendix C which was originally derived in [4]),

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} dx \sigma(\varphi) \left(\nabla \frac{\delta \mathcal{S}}{\delta \varphi} \right)^2 - \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx (2D(\varphi) \nabla \varphi) \cdot \nabla \frac{\delta \mathcal{S}}{\delta \varphi} = 0. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

At equilibrium, the large deviation functional is explicit (1.3) and its functional derivative is given by

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{S}}{\delta \varphi}(\varphi) = f'(\varphi) - f'(\rho_*). \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Thus (A.3) becomes for any profile φ

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} dx \sigma(\varphi) f''(\varphi)^2 (\nabla \varphi)^2 - \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx (2D(\varphi) f''(\varphi) (\nabla \varphi)^2) = 0. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

This implies that the Einstein relation (2.3) should hold:

$$f''(\rho) \sigma(\rho) = 2D(\rho). \quad (\text{A.6})$$

APPENDIX B. NON-LOCALITY OF \mathcal{S} AND LONG RANGE CORRELATIONS

In this appendix, we compute the correlations of the density in the steady state from the knowledge of the functional \mathcal{S} (1.2). To do so, let us introduce \mathcal{G} the generating function of the density φ defined in the large L limit by

$$\mathcal{G}(\{\lambda(x)\}) = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{L^d} \log \left\langle \exp \left[L^d \int \lambda(x) \varphi(x) dx \right] \right\rangle. \quad (\text{B.1})$$

All the correlation functions can be obtained by taking successive derivatives of \mathcal{G} (assuming that these derivatives exist in the large L limit)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi(x) \rangle &= \bar{\rho}(x) = \left. \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{\delta \lambda(x)} \right|_{\lambda=0}, \\ \langle \varphi(x) \varphi(y) \rangle_c &= \frac{1}{L^d} \left. \frac{\delta^2 \mathcal{G}}{\delta \lambda(x) \delta \lambda(y)} \right|_{\lambda=0}, \\ \langle \varphi(x) \varphi(y) \varphi(z) \rangle_c &= \frac{1}{L^{2d}} \left. \frac{\delta^3 \mathcal{G}}{\delta \lambda(x) \delta \lambda(y) \delta \lambda(z)} \right|_{\lambda=0}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

The generating function \mathcal{G} is related to the large deviation functional \mathcal{S} by Varadhan's Lemma [21, Chap 4.3]

$$\mathcal{G}(\lambda) = \max_{\{\varphi(x)\}} \left[-\mathcal{S}(\varphi) + \int \lambda(x) \varphi(x) dx \right]. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

Our goal is to recover the cumulants (B.2) in terms of the functional \mathcal{S} . To study the derivatives (B.2) at $\lambda = 0$, it is enough to consider perturbations of \mathcal{S} around the steady state profile $\bar{\rho}$. Let r be the fluctuation

$$r(x) = \varphi(x) - \bar{\rho}(x)$$

and assume that \mathcal{S} expands in powers of r , near the steady state profile

$$\mathcal{S}(\varphi) - \mathcal{S}(\bar{\rho}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx \int_{\mathbb{D}} dy \mathcal{S}_2(x, y) r(x) r(y) + \frac{1}{6} \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx \int_{\mathbb{D}} dy \int_{\mathbb{D}} dz \mathcal{S}_3(x, y, z) r(x) r(y) r(z) + O(r^4) \quad (\text{B.4})$$

(one can always choose $\mathcal{S}_2, \mathcal{S}_3$ to be symmetric functions). Let \mathcal{R}_2 be the inverse of the operator \mathcal{S}_2

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} dz \mathcal{S}_2(x, z) \mathcal{R}_2(z, y) = \delta(x - y).$$

Then for λ small, the optimal value of r in (B.3) satisfies

$$\lambda(x) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} dy \mathcal{S}_2(x, y) r(y) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}} dy \int_{\mathbb{D}} dz \mathcal{S}_3(x, y, z) r(y) r(z) + O(r^3), \quad (\text{B.5})$$

so that at second order in λ

$$\begin{aligned} r(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} du_1 \mathcal{R}_2(x, u_1) \lambda(u_1) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}} dy \int_{\mathbb{D}} dz \int_{\mathbb{D}} du_1 \mathcal{R}_2(x, u_1) \mathcal{S}_3(u_1, y, z) \int_{\mathbb{D}} du_2 \mathcal{R}_2(y, u_2) \lambda(u_2) \int_{\mathbb{D}} du_3 \mathcal{R}_2(z, u_3) \lambda(u_3). \end{aligned}$$

From (B.3), we know that $\frac{\partial \mathcal{G}}{\partial \lambda(x)} = r(x) + \bar{\rho}(x)$ and we can deduce from the previous expression of r an expansion of \mathcal{G} at the 3rd order in λ . The cumulants are then obtained as the coefficients of this expansion

$$\langle \varphi(x) \varphi(y) \rangle_c = \frac{1}{L^d} \mathcal{R}_2(x, y), \quad (\text{B.6})$$

$$\langle \varphi(x) \varphi(y) \varphi(z) \rangle_c = -\frac{1}{L^{2d}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} du_1 \int_{\mathbb{D}} du_2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} du_3 \mathcal{R}_2(x, u_1) \mathcal{R}_2(y, u_2) \mathcal{R}_2(z, u_3) \mathcal{S}_3(u_1, u_2, u_3). \quad (\text{B.7})$$

More generally, denoting by \mathcal{S}_k the operator associated with the order $k \geq 3$ in (B.4), then one can show that when $x_1 \dots x_k$ are all different

$$\langle \varphi(x_1) \dots \varphi(x_k) \rangle_c = -\frac{1}{L^{(k-1)d}} \int_{\mathbb{D}^k} du_1 \dots du_k \mathcal{S}_k(u_1, \dots, u_k) \prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{R}_2(x_i, u_i). \quad (\text{B.8})$$

In general, this leads to rather complicated formulas for the correlations because one needs to invert the operator \mathcal{S}_2 . In the perturbative regime $\mathbf{J} \ll 1$, the main contribution of the functional \mathcal{S} (4.2) has a local structure and the non local part occurs only at order \mathbf{J}^2 (see (4.2)) :

$$\mathcal{S}_2(x, y) = f''(\bar{\rho}(x)) \delta(x - y) + \mathcal{V}_2(x, y),$$

with \mathcal{V}_2 as in (4.6). As \mathcal{S}_2 is almost diagonal, one has at order \mathbf{J}^2

$$\mathcal{R}_2(x, y) = \frac{\delta(x - y)}{f''(\bar{\rho}(x))} - \frac{\mathcal{V}_2(x, y)}{f''(\bar{\rho}(x)) f''(\bar{\rho}(y))},$$

so that (B.6) becomes

$$\langle \varphi(x) \varphi(y) \rangle_c = \frac{1}{L^d} \left[\frac{\delta(x - y)}{f''(\bar{\rho}(x))} - \frac{\mathcal{V}_2(x, y)}{f''(\bar{\rho}(x)) f''(\bar{\rho}(y))} \right]. \quad (\text{B.9})$$

Recalling notation (4.2), (4.6), the 3rd order reads

$$\mathcal{S}_3(x, y, z) = f'''(\bar{\rho}(x))\delta(x-y)\delta(x-z) + \mathcal{V}_3(x, y, z)$$

and at order \mathbf{J}^2 , (B.7) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi(x)\varphi(y)\varphi(z) \rangle_c = & -\frac{1}{L^{2d}} \left[\frac{f'''(\bar{\rho}(x))\delta(x-y)\delta(x-z)}{f''(\bar{\rho}(x))^3} - \frac{f'''(\bar{\rho}(y))\mathcal{V}_2(x, y)\delta(y-z)}{f''(\bar{\rho}(x))f''(\bar{\rho}(y))^3} \right. \\ & - \frac{f'''(\bar{\rho}(z))\mathcal{V}_2(y, z)\delta(z-x)}{f''(\bar{\rho}(y))f''(\bar{\rho}(z))^3} - \frac{f'''(\bar{\rho}(x))\mathcal{V}_2(z, x)\delta(x-y)}{f''(\bar{\rho}(z))f''(\bar{\rho}(x))^3} \\ & \left. + \frac{\mathcal{V}_3(x, y, z)}{f''(\bar{\rho}(x))f''(\bar{\rho}(y))f''(\bar{\rho}(z))} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.10})$$

More generally by using formula (B.8), higher order cumulants can be obtained from the knowledge of the expansion (4.6) of \mathcal{V}

$$x_1 \neq \dots \neq x_k, \quad \langle \varphi(x_1)\varphi(x_2) \dots \varphi(x_k) \rangle_c = -\frac{1}{L^{(k-1)d}} \frac{\mathcal{V}_k(x_1 \dots x_k)}{f''(\bar{\rho}(x_1)) \dots f''(\bar{\rho}(x_k))}. \quad (\text{B.11})$$

APPENDIX C. EQUATIONS FOR THE OPTIMAL PROFILES

In this appendix, we recall one way of obtaining the basic equations (2.7), (2.10), (2.11), as well as the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (A.3) which are standard in the macroscopic fluctuation theory.

Let us first show that the optimal current has to be of the form (2.8): for any current $q(t, x)$ and density $\rho(t, x)$, one can define a scalar function $H(x, t)$ as the unique solution (assuming $\sigma(\rho) > 0$) of

$$\nabla \cdot (\sigma(\rho)\nabla H) = \nabla \cdot (q + D(\rho)\nabla \rho) \quad \text{with} \quad H(t, y) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad y \in \partial\mathbb{D}.$$

Then one can write the current as

$$q = -D(\rho)\nabla \rho + \sigma(\rho)\nabla H + A$$

where the vector $A(t, x)$ satisfies

$$\nabla \cdot A = 0.$$

Inserting this expression for q into (2.6), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{[\tau_1, \tau_2]}(\rho) &= \inf_q \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} dt \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx \frac{(q(t, x) + D(\rho(t, x))\nabla \rho(t, x))^2}{2\sigma(\rho(t, x))} \\ &= \inf_A \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} dt \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx \frac{(\sigma(\rho)\nabla H + A)^2}{2\sigma(\rho)} \\ &= \inf_A \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} dt \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx \left[\frac{\sigma(\rho)(\nabla H)^2}{2} + \nabla H \cdot A + \frac{(A)^2}{2\sigma(\rho)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that the cross term vanishes (using, after an integration by parts, that $H(t, x)$ vanishes at the boundary of \mathbb{D} and the fact that $\nabla \cdot A = 0$). It is then clear that the minimum is achieved for $A = 0$. This justifies the choice of the decomposition (2.8) and the form of the dynamical cost (2.9).

Given a density profile ρ_{τ_1} at time τ_1 , we look for the optimal cost for observing the density φ at time τ_2

$$\mathcal{F}_{[\tau_1, \tau_2]}(\varphi) = \inf_H \frac{1}{2} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} dt \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx \sigma(\rho(t, x))(\nabla H(t, x))^2, \quad (\text{C.1})$$

where ρ follows an equation (see (2.1,2.8)) depending on the control function H

$$t \in [\tau_1, \tau_2], \quad \partial_t \rho = \nabla \cdot (D(\rho) \nabla \rho) - \nabla \cdot (\sigma(\rho) \nabla H), \quad (\text{C.2})$$

starting at $\rho(\tau_1)$ at time τ_1 and at the boundary $\partial \mathbb{D}$, the density $\rho(t)$ is fixed equal to ρ_b and $H(t)$ is equal to 0. The control function H is chosen such that $\rho(\tau_2) = \varphi$.

Claim C.1. *Fix $\rho(\tau_1)$ and $\rho(\tau_2) = \varphi$ then the optimal profile equations satisfy*

$$t \in [\tau_1, \tau_2], \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \rho = \nabla \cdot (D(\rho) \nabla \rho) - \nabla \cdot (\sigma(\rho) \nabla H), \\ \partial_t H = -D(\rho) \Delta H - \frac{1}{2} \sigma'(\rho) (\nabla H)^2, \end{cases} \quad (\text{C.3})$$

with boundary terms $\rho(t, y) = \rho_b(y)$ and $H(t, y) = 0$ for $y \in \partial \mathbb{D}$. Furthermore

$$H(\tau_2) = \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_{[\tau_1, \tau_2]}}{\delta \varphi}(\varphi). \quad (\text{C.4})$$

Proof. Suppose that H is an optimal control associated with the density ρ by (C.2), then we consider a perturbation of the form $H + h$ which leads to a perturbation of the trajectory $\rho + \psi$. Using (C.2), this means that ψ is given by

$$\partial_t \psi = \Delta(D(\rho) \psi) - \nabla \cdot (\sigma'(\rho) \psi \nabla H) - \nabla \cdot (\sigma(\rho) \nabla h). \quad (\text{C.5})$$

This perturbation modifies the dynamical large deviation function in (C.1) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} dt \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx \left(\sigma(\rho) \nabla H \cdot \nabla h + \frac{1}{2} \sigma'(\rho) \psi (\nabla H)^2 \right) \\ &= \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} dt \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx \left(-H \nabla \cdot (\sigma(\rho) \nabla h) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma'(\rho) \psi (\nabla H)^2 \right) \\ &= \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} dt \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx \left(\left[\partial_t \psi - \Delta(D(\rho) \psi) + \nabla \cdot (\sigma'(\rho) \psi \nabla H) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \sigma'(\rho) \psi (\nabla H)^2 \right) \\ &= \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} dt \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx \psi \left(-\partial_t H - D(\rho) \Delta H - \frac{1}{2} \sigma'(\rho) (\nabla H)^2 \right) + \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx H(\tau_2) \psi(\tau_2) - \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx H(\tau_1) \psi(\tau_1), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.6})$$

where we used (C.5) in the 3rd line and integrations by parts in the last equality.

As the density ρ is fixed at times τ_1 and τ_2 , one has $\psi(\tau_1) = \psi(\tau_2) = 0$. Since (C.6) should be true for all ψ , we have recovered the optimal equations (C.3).

We turn now to the proof of (C.4) and consider a variation at the final time $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi + \delta\varphi$. Then (C.6) gives at first order in $\delta\varphi$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F}_{[\tau_1, \tau_2]}(\varphi + \delta\varphi) - \mathcal{F}_{[\tau_1, \tau_2]}(\varphi) \\ &= \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx \psi \left(-\partial_s H - D(\rho) \Delta H - \frac{1}{2} \sigma'(\rho) (\nabla H)^2 \right) + \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx H(\tau_2) \psi(\tau_2) - \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx H(\tau_1) \psi(\tau_1) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx H(\tau_2) \delta\varphi, \end{aligned}$$

where we used that $\psi(\tau_2) = \delta\varphi$, $\psi(\tau_1) = 0$ and that H is solution of (C.3). By definition of the functional derivative, we get also at first order in $\delta\varphi$

$$\mathcal{F}_{[\tau_1, \tau_2]}(\varphi + \delta\varphi) - \mathcal{F}_{[\tau_1, \tau_2]}(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}_{[\tau_1, \tau_2]}}{\delta \varphi}(\varphi) \delta\varphi.$$

Thus (C.4) is proved by combining both identities since $\delta\varphi$ is arbitrary. \square

The following result was derived in [4, Eq. (2.12)].

Claim C.2. For $\tau_1 = -\infty$ and $\rho_{-\infty} = \bar{\rho}$, then $\mathcal{S}(\varphi) := \mathcal{F}_{]-\infty, 0]}(\varphi)$ is time independent and satisfies the following Hamilton-Jacobi equation : for any density profile φ

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} dx \sigma(\varphi) \left(\nabla \frac{\delta \mathcal{S}}{\delta \varphi} \right)^2 - \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx (2D(\varphi) \nabla \varphi) \nabla \frac{\delta \mathcal{S}}{\delta \varphi} = 0. \quad (\text{C.7})$$

To establish (C.7), let $\rho(t, x)$ be the trajectory which minimizes (2.7), let $\varphi - \delta\varphi = \rho(-\delta t, x)$ where δt and $\delta\varphi$ are both small and let $j(x) = q(0, x)$. Then one has

$$\mathcal{S}(\varphi) = \inf_{\delta\varphi} \left[S(\varphi - \delta\varphi) + \delta t \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx \frac{(j + D(\varphi) \nabla \varphi)^2}{2\sigma(\varphi)} \right].$$

As $\delta\varphi = -\delta t \nabla \cdot j$ one has

$$0 = \delta t \inf_{\delta\varphi} \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx \left[\frac{\delta S}{\delta \varphi} \nabla \cdot j + \frac{(j + D(\varphi) \nabla \varphi)^2}{2\sigma(\varphi)} \right] = \delta t \inf_{\delta\varphi} \int_{\mathbb{D}} dx \left[-j \cdot \nabla \frac{\delta S}{\delta \varphi} + \frac{(j + D(\varphi) \nabla \varphi)^2}{2\sigma(\varphi)} \right],$$

where the last equality results from an integration by part and the fact (see (C.4)) that $\frac{\delta S}{\delta \varphi} = 0$ on the boundary $\partial \mathbb{D}$. Then optimizing over j (which is the same as optimizing over $\delta\varphi$) leads to (C.7).

APPENDIX D. CHECK OF CLAIM 3.2

We check now Claim 3.2. The gradient of the function H defined in (3.11) is given by

$$x \in \mathbb{D}, \quad \nabla H(t, x) = \frac{2D(\rho(t, x))}{\sigma(\rho(t, x))} \nabla \rho(t, x) + \frac{2\bar{J}(x)}{\sigma(\bar{\rho}(x))} + \nabla h(t, x), \quad (\text{D.1})$$

where we used that the stationary current is $\bar{J}(x) = -D(\bar{\rho}(x)) \nabla \bar{\rho}(x)$. Thus the evolution (3.8) of ρ reads

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \rho &= -\nabla \cdot (D(\rho) \nabla \rho) - 2\nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\sigma(\rho)}{\sigma(\bar{\rho}(x))} \bar{J}(x) \right) - \nabla \cdot (\sigma(\rho) \nabla h) \\ &= -\nabla \cdot (D(\rho) \nabla \rho) - 2\bar{J}(x) \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{\sigma(\rho)}{\sigma(\bar{\rho}(x))} \right) - \nabla \cdot (\sigma(\rho) \nabla h), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.2})$$

as the stationary current satisfies $\nabla \cdot \bar{J}(x) = 0$. This shows the first equation in (3.12).

We turn now to the derivation of the second equation in (3.12) on the evolution of h . Using (D.2), the time derivative of H is

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t H &= \frac{2D(\rho)}{\sigma(\rho)} \partial_t \rho + \partial_t h = \frac{2D(\rho)}{\sigma(\rho)} \left(-\nabla \cdot (D(\rho) \nabla \rho) - 2\bar{J}(x) \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{\sigma(\rho)}{\sigma(\bar{\rho}(x))} \right) - \nabla \cdot (\sigma(\rho) \nabla h) \right) + \partial_t h \\ &= -\frac{2D(\rho)}{\sigma(\rho)} \nabla \cdot (D(\rho) \nabla \rho) - 4\bar{J}(x) \frac{D(\rho)}{\sigma(\rho)} \frac{\sigma'(\rho)}{\sigma(\bar{\rho})} \nabla \rho + 4\bar{J}(x) D(\rho) \frac{\sigma'(\bar{\rho})}{\sigma(\bar{\rho})^2} \nabla \bar{\rho} \\ &\quad - \frac{2D(\rho)}{\sigma(\rho)} \sigma'(\rho) \nabla \rho \nabla h - 2D(\rho) \Delta h + \partial_t h. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.3})$$

As H is given by (D.1) and that $\nabla \cdot \bar{J}(x) = 0$, we get after tedious computations

$$\begin{aligned} &-D(\rho) \Delta H - \frac{1}{2} \sigma'(\rho) (\nabla H)^2 \\ &= -\frac{2D(\rho)}{\sigma(\rho)} \nabla \cdot (D(\rho) \nabla \rho) - D(\rho) \Delta h - \frac{2D(\rho) \sigma'(\rho)}{\sigma(\rho)} \nabla \rho \nabla h - \frac{1}{2} \sigma'(\rho) (\nabla h)^2 \\ &\quad + 2D(\rho) \frac{\sigma'(\bar{\rho})}{\sigma(\bar{\rho})^2} \bar{J}(x) \cdot \nabla \bar{\rho} - 4 \frac{\sigma'(\rho)}{\sigma(\bar{\rho})} \frac{D(\rho)}{\sigma(\rho)} \bar{J}(x) \cdot \nabla \rho - 2 \frac{\sigma'(\rho)}{\sigma(\bar{\rho})^2} (\bar{J}(x))^2 - 2 \frac{\sigma'(\rho)}{\sigma(\bar{\rho})} \bar{J}(x) \cdot \nabla h. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this equation with (D.3), we recover the second equation in (3.12)

$$\partial_t h = D(\rho)\Delta h - \frac{1}{2}\sigma'(\rho)(\nabla h)^2 - 2\frac{\sigma'(\rho)}{\sigma(\bar{\rho})}\bar{J}(x) \cdot \nabla h - \frac{2(\bar{J}(x))^2}{\sigma(\bar{\rho})^2} \left(\sigma'(\rho) - \frac{D(\rho)}{D(\bar{\rho})}\sigma'(\bar{\rho}) \right).$$

This completes the Claim 3.2.

REFERENCES

- [1] Arita C., Krapivsky, P. L., Mallick K., (2017). Variational calculation of transport coefficients in diffusive lattice gases, *Phys. Rev. E* 95, 032121
- [2] Baek, Y., Kafri, Y. (2015). Singularities in large deviation functions. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, 2015(8), P08026.
- [3] Bertini, L., De Sole, A., Gabrielli, D., Jona-Lasinio, G., Landim, C. (2001). Fluctuations in stationary nonequilibrium states of irreversible processes. *Physical Review Letters*, 87(4), 040601.
- [4] L. Bertini, A. De Sole, D. Gabrielli, G. Jona-Lasinio, C. Landim, *Macroscopic fluctuation theory for stationary non equilibrium states*, *J. Stat. Phys.* **107**, 635-675 (2002)
- [5] Bertini, L., De Sole, A., Gabrielli, D., Jona-Lasinio, G., Landim, C. (2001). Large deviations for the boundary driven symmetric simple exclusion process *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.* 6, no. 3, 231–267.
- [6] Bertini, L., De Sole, A., Gabrielli, D., Jona-Lasinio, G., Landim, C. (2006). Large deviation approach to non equilibrium processes in stochastic lattice gases. *Bulletin of the Brazilian Mathematical Society*, 37, 611-643.
- [7] L. Bertini, A. De Sole, D. Gabrielli, G. Jona Lasinio, C. Landim, *Stochastic interacting particle systems out of equilibrium*, *J. Stat. Mech.* P07014. (2007)
- [8] Bertini, L., De Sole, A., Gabrielli, D., Jona-Lasinio, G., Landim, C. (2010). Lagrangian phase transitions in nonequilibrium thermodynamic systems. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, 2010(11), L11001.
- [9] Bertini, L., De Sole, A., Gabrielli, D., Jona-Lasinio, G., Landim, C. (2015). Macroscopic fluctuation theory. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 87(2), 593-636.
- [10] Bertini, L., Gabrielli, D., Landim, C. (2009). Strong asymmetric limit of the quasi-potential of the boundary driven weakly asymmetric exclusion process, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 289, n 1, 311-334.
- [11] Bertini, L., Gabrielli, D., Lebowitz J.L. (2005). Large deviations for a stochastic model of heat flow, *Journal of statistical physics*, **121** (5), 843-885.
- [12] Bertini, L., Landim, C., Mourragui, M. (2009). Dynamical large deviations for the boundary driven weakly asymmetric exclusion process, *Ann. Probab.* **37**, N. 6.
- [13] Blythe, R. A., Evans, M. R. (2007). Nonequilibrium steady states of matrix-product form: a solver's guide. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 40(46), R333.
- [14] Bodineau, T., Giacomin, G. (2004). From dynamic to static large deviations in boundary driven exclusion particle systems. *Stochastic processes and their applications*, 110(1), 67-81.
- [15] Bouley, A., Erignoux, C., Landim, C. (2021). Steady state large deviations for one-dimensional, symmetric exclusion processes in weak contact with reservoirs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.06606*.
- [16] Bunin, G., Kafri, Y., Podolsky, D. (2012). Non-differentiable large-deviation functionals in boundary-driven diffusive systems. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, 2012(10), L10001.
- [17] Bunin, G., Kafri, Y., Podolsky, D. (2013). Cusp singularities in boundary-driven diffusive systems. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 152, 112-135.
- [18] Capanna, M., Gabrielli, D., Tsagkarogiannis, D. (2024). On a class of solvable stationary non equilibrium states for mass exchange models. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 191(2), 25.
- [19] Carinci, G., Giardinà, C., Giberti, C., Redig, F. (2013). Duality for stochastic models of transport. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 152, 657-697.
- [20] Carinci, G., Franceschini, C., Gabrielli, D., Giardinà, C., Tsagkarogiannis, D. (2024). Solvable stationary non equilibrium states. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 191(1), 10.
- [21] Dembo, A., Zeitouni, O., (2010). *Large Deviations Techniques and Applications*. Springer
- [22] De Masi, A., Ferrari, P. (1984). A remark on the hydrodynamics of the zero-range processes. *J Stat Phys* 36, 81–87
- [23] De Masi, A., Ferrari P., Gabrielli, D. (2023). Hidden temperature in the KMP model *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.01672*
- [24] B. Derrida, *Non-equilibrium steady states: fluctuations and large deviations of the density and of the current*, *J. Stat. Mech.* P07023 (2007)

- [25] Derrida, B., Hirschberg, O., Sadhu, T. (2021). Large deviations in the symmetric simple exclusion process with slow boundaries. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 182, 1-13.
- [26] Derrida, B., Lebowitz, J. L., Speer, E. R. (2001). Free energy functional for nonequilibrium systems: an exactly solvable case. *Physical Review Letters*, 87(15), 150601.
- [27] B. Derrida, J. L. Lebowitz, E. Speer, Large deviation of the density profile in the steady state of the open symmetric simple exclusion process. *Journal of statistical physics*, 107(3), 599-634 (2002).
- [28] Derrida, B., Lebowitz, J. L., Speer, E. R. (2002). Exact free energy functional for a driven diffusive open stationary nonequilibrium system. *Physical review letters*, 89(3), 030601.
- [29] Derrida, B., Lebowitz, J. L., Speer, E. (2003). Exact large deviation functional of a stationary open driven diffusive system: the asymmetric exclusion process. *Journal of statistical physics*, 110(3), 775-810.
- [30] Derrida, B., Lebowitz, J. L., Speer, E. (2007). Entropy of open lattice systems. *Journal of Statistical Physics* 126 : 1083-1108.
- [31] J.R. Dorfman, T.R. Kirkpatrick, J.V. Sengers, *Generic long-range correlations in molecular fluids*, Annual Review of Physical Chemistry **45** 213-239 (1994)
- [32] Enaud, C., Derrida, B. (2004). Large deviation functional of the weakly asymmetric exclusion process. *Journal of statistical physics*, 114, 537-562.
- [33] Ellis, Richard, *Entropy, large deviations, and statistical mechanics*. Springer, 2007.
- [34] Farfan, J., Static large deviations of boundary driven exclusion processes. Preprint, arXiv:0908.1798 (2009).
- [35] Farfan, J., Landim, C., Tsunoda, K. (2019). Static large deviations for a reaction-diffusion model. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 174, 49-101.
- [36] M. Freidlin, A. Wentzell, *Random perturbations of dynamical systems*. Springer-Verlag, (1998)
- [37] Giardinà, C., Kurchan, J., Redig, F. (2007). Duality and exact correlations for a model of heat conduction. *Journal of mathematical physics*, 48(3).
- [38] C. Kipnis, C. Landim, *Scaling limits of interacting particle systems*, **320** Springer (2013).
- [39] C. Kipnis, S. Olla, S. Varadhan, *Hydrodynamics and large deviations for simple exclusion processes*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **42**, 115-137 (1989)
- [40] Landim, C., Velasco, S. (2023). Dynamic and static large deviations of a one dimensional SSEP in weak contact with reservoirs. arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.10895.
- [41] O. Lanford, *Entropy and equilibrium states in classical statistical mechanics*, Statistical Mechanics and Mathematical Problems", 1-113, Springer, 1973.
- [42] L. Onsager, S. Machlup, Fluctuations and irreversible processes. *Physical Rev.* 91 (1953), 1505-1512; *Physical Rev.* 91 (1953), 1512-1515.
- [43] Saha, S., Sadhu, T. (2024). Large deviations in the symmetric simple exclusion process with slow boundaries: A hydrodynamic perspective. *SciPost Physics*, 17(2), 033.
- [44] H. Spohn, *Large scale dynamics of interacting particles*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1991)
- [45] H. Spohn, *Long range correlations for stochastic lattice gases in a non-equilibrium steady state*, *J. Phys. A* **16** 4275-4291 (1983)
- [46] Tailleur, J., Kurchan, J., Lecomte, V. (2008). Mapping out-of-equilibrium into equilibrium in one-dimensional transport models. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 41(50), 505001.
- [47] Van Wijland, F., Rácz, Z. (2005). Large deviations in weakly interacting boundary driven lattice gases. *Journal of statistical physics*, 118, 27-54.

(†) I.H.E.S., 35 ROUTE DE CHARTRES, 91440 BURES-SUR-YVETTE, FRANCE

(♣) COLLÈGE DE FRANCE, 11 PLACE MARCELIN BERTHELOT, 75005 PARIS, FRANCE

(♣) LABORATOIRE DE PHYSIQUE DE L'ECOLE NORMALE SUPÉRIEURE, ENS, UNIVERSITÉ PSL, CNRS, SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ, UNIVERSITÉ DE PARIS, F-75005 PARIS, FRANCE