#### **ON THE ORDER OF 4-DIMENSIONAL REGULAR POLYTOPE NUMBERS**

ANJI DONG, THE NGUYEN, ALEXANDRU ZAHARESCU

ABSTRACT. In light of Kim's conjecture on regular polytopes of dimension four, which is a generalization of Waring's problem, we establish asymptotic formulas for representing any sufficiently large integer as a sum of numbers in the form of those regular 4-polytopes. Moreover, we are able to obtain a more general result of the asymptotics for any degree-four polynomial f satisfying f(0) = 0 and f(1) = 1.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1770, Lagrange showed that every non-negative integer can be written as a sum of four squares. In the same year, Waring generalized the question to higher degrees, known as Waring's problem, which asks whether for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a natural number s such that every positive integer m is the sum of at most s natural numbers raised to the power k. In 1909, Hilbert [12] showed that such s exists for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define g(k) to be the least such number s having the above property. Wieferich [24] and Kempner [14] showed that g(3) = 9. Balasubramanian [1], Deshouillers and Dress [9] proved that g(4) = 19. Chen [5] confirmed that g(5) = 37 and Pillai [18] proved that g(6) = 73. Along this direction, results are generalized to regular polytopes of dimension k. We first introduce a theorem on classification of regular polytopes, see Coxeter [6].

**Theorem 1.1** (Schläfli). The only possible Schläfli symbols for a regular polytope in the Euclidean space in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  are given by the following list:

 $\begin{array}{l} d=2:\{n\}, \mbox{ where }n\mbox{ is an arbitrary integer;}\\ d=3:\{3,3\},\{3,4\},\{4,3\},\{3,5\},\{5,3\}\\ d=4:\{3,3,3\},\{3,3,4\},\{4,3,3\},\{3,4,3\},\{3,3,5\},\{5,3,3\}\\ d\geq5:\{3^{d-1}\},\{3^{d-2},4\},\{4,3^{d-2}\}. \end{array}$ 

Note that for any  $d \ge 3$ , a d-th power is  $\{4, 3^{d-2}\}$ .

Let  $\beta_f$  denote the Schläfli symbol corresponds to a regular polytope represented by the polynomial f. We extend the definition of g(k) by defining  $g(\beta_f)$  to be the least integer s such that every integer is a sum of at most s numbers represented by f. In the 1850s, Sir Frederick Pollock [19] made conjectures on regular polytopes of dimension three. More precisely, in the language of Schläfli symbols, he conjectured that  $g(\{3,3\}) = 5, g(\{3,4\}) = 7, g(\{4,3\}) = 9, g(\{3,5\}) = 13$ , and  $g(\{5,3\}) = 21$ . The cube case  $g(\{4,3\}) = 9$  was mentioned above, and more recently, Basak, Saettone, and two of the authors [2] corrected and proved the conjectures of and dodecahedral numbers, which correspond to  $g(\{3,5\})$  and  $g(\{5,3\})$ . They showed that  $g(\{3,5\}) = 15$  and  $g(\{5,3\}) = 22$ . For regular polytopes of higher dimensions, through numerical data, Kim [15] made conjectures on dimension four to seven. In particular, for dimension four, he conjectured that  $g(\{3,3,3\}) = 8, g(\{3,3,4\}) = 11, g(\{4,3,3\}) = 19, g(\{3,4,3\}) = 28, g(\{3,3,5\}) = 125$ , and  $g(\{5,3,3\}) = 606$ .

Yet another direction, people consider the minimal integer s such that a sufficiently large integer m can be written as at most s k-th powers of natural numbers. Denote such number s by G(k), called the order of k-th powers. Linnik [16] showed that  $G(3) \leq 7$ . Davenport [8]

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 11P05. Secondary: 11P55, 11L07, 11L15, 05A16.

Key words and phrases. regular 4-polytopes, exponential sums, Hardy-Littlewood method.

proved G(4) = 16, Vaughan and Wooley [22, 23] established that  $G(5) \leq 17$  and  $G(6) \leq 24$ . More recently, Wooley [25] confirmed that  $G(7) \leq 31$ ,  $G(8) \leq 39$ , and  $G(9) \leq 47$ . In 2023, Brüdern and Wooley [4] showed that for all natural numbers k,  $G(k) \leq \lceil k(\log k + 4.20032) \rceil$ . For any  $k, s \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mathcal{R}_{k,s}(m)$  denote the number of ways of writing m as a sum of s k-th powers. Hardy, Littlewood, and Ramanujan [10, 11] showed that for  $k \geq 3$  and  $s > 2^k$  and for sufficiently large m,

(1.1) 
$$\mathcal{R}_{k,s}(m) \asymp \frac{\Gamma(1+1/k)^s}{\Gamma(s/k)} \mathfrak{S}_{k,s}(m) m^{s/k-1},$$

where  $\mathfrak{S}_{k,s}(m) > 0$ .

We generalize the notion of G(k) by defining  $G(\beta_f)$  to be the least integer s such that every sufficiently large integer is a sum of at most s polytope numbers represented by f. For threedimensional polytopes, Brady [3] proved that all integers larger than  $e^{10^7}$  can be written as a sum of at most seven numbers represented by the Schläfli symbol  $\{3, 4\}$ , so  $G(\{3, 4\}) \leq 7$ . More recently, Basak, Saettone, and two of the authors [2] showed that  $G(\{3, 5\}) \leq 9$  and  $G(\{5, 3\}) \leq 9$ .

In this paper, we are interested in regular polytopes of dimension four, in particular, those three with Schläfli symbols  $\{3, 4, 3\}, \{3, 3, 5\}$  and  $\{5, 3, 3\}$ . To be precise, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the corresponding *n*-th polytope numbers are in order defined as

$$f_1(n) = n^2(3n^2 - 4n + 2), \quad f_2(n) = \frac{n}{6}(145n^3 - 280n^2 + 179n - 38),$$

and

(1.2) 
$$f_3(n) = \frac{n}{2}(261n^3 - 504n^2 + 283n - 38).$$

For i = 1, 2, 3, denote the set of numbers represented by  $f_i$  as  $\mathcal{F}_i$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{F}_i = \{ f_i(n) : n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \}.$$

Our goal is to obtain asymptotic formulas, similar to (1.1), by employing the Hardy–Littlewood method for the number of ways a sufficiently large positive integer m can be written as a sum of numbers from  $\mathcal{F}_i$ , with explicit power-saving error terms. Our main result is as follows.

**Theorem 1.2.** For  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and i = 1, 2, 3, let  $\mathcal{R}_{f_i, 17}(m)$  denote the number of ways to represent *m* as the sum of 17 numbers from the set  $\mathcal{F}_i$ . Then for any  $m > e^{e^{471}}$ , we have

(1.3) 
$$\left| \mathcal{R}_{f_{i},17}(m) - \left(\frac{24}{A_{i}}\right)^{17/4} \mathfrak{S}_{f_{i},17}(m) \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^{17} \Gamma\left(\frac{17}{4}\right)^{-1} m^{13/4} \right| \le 1.6 \times 10^{42} \cdot m^{\frac{13}{4} - \frac{7}{1488}},$$

where  $A_1 = 72$ ,  $A_2 = 580$ ,  $A_3 = 3132$ ,  $\Gamma$  is the Gamma function and all  $\mathfrak{S}_{f_i,17}(m)$  uniformly satisfy the inequality (5.14) with s = 17 below.

In Section 7, we establish a more general result by considering sums of s polytope numbers from each  $\mathcal{F}_i$  for any  $s \ge 17$ .

An immediate corollary on the order of  $f_i$  for i = 1, 2, 3 is the following.

**Corollary 1.3.**  $G(\{3,4,3\}), G(\{3,3,5\})$ , and  $G(\{5,3,3\})$  are at most 17.

In fact, we can establish a general result for any degree-four polynomial f satisfying f(0) = 0 and f(1) = 1. It's obvious that any such polynomial can be represented by

(1.4) 
$$f(n) = A\binom{n}{4} + B\binom{n}{3} + C\binom{n}{2} + n$$

for some  $A, B, C \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $A \ge 1$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We will assume that the coefficients are not too large. More precisely, the absolute values of the coefficients are less than  $e^{e^{10}}$ . Although

3

this assumption is not strictly necessary, we use it in order to obtain more effective bounds for Theorem 1.2. The result is as follows.

**Theorem 1.4.** For  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and a degree-four polynomial f represented by (1.4), with A, |B|,  $|C| \leq e^{e^{10}}$ , let  $\mathcal{R}_{f,17}(m)$  denote the number of ways to write m as the sum of 17 numbers represented by f. Then, there exists an explicitly computable integer  $m_f$  such that for any  $m \geq m_f$ , we have

(1.5) 
$$\left| \mathcal{R}_{f,17}(m) - \left(\frac{24}{A}\right)^{17/4} \mathfrak{S}_{f,17}(m) \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^{17} \Gamma\left(\frac{17}{4}\right)^{-1} m^{13/4} \right| \le 1.6 \times 10^{42} \cdot m^{\frac{13}{4} - \frac{7}{1488}},$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the Gamma function and  $\mathfrak{S}_{f,17}(m) > 0$ .

Thus, Theorem 1.2 is a special case of Theorem 1.4. In Section 7, we establish a more general result by considering sums of s polytope numbers represented by f for any  $s \ge 17$ . We also give explicit bounds for  $m_f$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_{f,17}(m)$  with respect to the degree-four polynomial f.

Structure of the Paper. The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 covers the initial setup needed for the Hardy–Littlewood circle method, which is used to obtain the asymptotic formulas for the number of representations using numbers represented by f, defined in Theorem 1.4. Sections 3 contains details of the minor arc estimates. Sections 4, 5 and 6 are devoted to the major arc estimates. Lastly, we present the proofs of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.4 in Section 7.

General Notation. We employ some standard notation that will be used throughout the article.

- Throughout the paper, the expressions f(X) = O(g(X)),  $f(X) \ll g(X)$ , and  $g(X) \gg f(X)$  are equivalent to the statement that  $|f(X)| \leq C|g(X)|$  for all sufficiently large X, where C > 0 is an absolute constant.
- We define by d(n), the divisor function defined on  $\mathbb{N}$  by  $d(n) = \sum_{d|n} 1$ .
- We write  $e(\theta)$  to denote the expression  $\exp(2\pi i\theta)$ .
- Given  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , the notation  $\|\alpha\|$  denotes the smallest distance of  $\alpha$  to an integer.
- The letter *p* always denotes a prime number.

2. Setup

In this section, we outline the initial setup required to employ the Hardy–Littlewood circle method to prove Theorem 1.4. Let f be a polynomial satisfying (1.4). For convenience, we denote by  $f_n$  the value of f(n) for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For a sufficiently large positive integer m, let  $\mathcal{R}_{f,s}(m)$  denote the number of ways to write m as a sum of s numbers of form f(n) for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , that is,

(2.1) 
$$\mathcal{R}_{f,s}(m) = |\{(n_1, \dots, n_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s : m = f(n_1) + \dots + f(n_s)\}|.$$

Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $m \leq f_N$ . Then, define

(2.2) 
$$S_{f,N}(\alpha) := \sum_{i=1}^{N} e(\alpha f_n).$$

For convenience, we write  $S_f(\alpha) = S_{f,N}(\alpha)$ . It follows that

$$S_f(\alpha)^s = \sum_{n_1}^N \sum_{n_2=1}^N \cdots \sum_{n_s=1}^N e(\alpha(f_{n_1} + f_{n_2} + \dots + f_{n_2})) = \sum_{n=1}^{sf_N} \mathcal{R}_{f,s}(n)e(\alpha n).$$

Applying Cauchy's formula, we obtain

(2.3) 
$$\mathcal{R}_{f,s}(m) = \int_0^1 S_f(\alpha)^s e(-\alpha m) \, \mathrm{d}\alpha.$$

Next, we choose

(2.4) 
$$N = \left\lceil \left(\frac{24m}{A}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \right\rceil + 1,$$

and let  $P = N^{\delta}$  for some  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $N^{3\delta-4} < 1/2$ . We then define  $\mathfrak{I} = (N^{\delta-4}, 1 + N^{\delta-4}]$ . For integers  $1 \le a \le q \le P$  and (a, q) = 1, we define the major arcs  $\mathfrak{M}(q, a)$  as

$$\mathfrak{M}(q,a) = \{ \alpha : |\alpha - a/q| \le N^{\delta - 4} \}.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  denote the union of the  $\mathfrak{M}(q, a)$ 's, i.e.,

$$\mathfrak{M} = \bigcup_{\substack{1 \le a \le q \le P\\(a,q)=1}} \mathfrak{M}(q,a).$$

Observe that  $\mathfrak{M} \subset \mathfrak{I}$ , so we define the minor arcs as

$$\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{I} \setminus \mathfrak{M}.$$

For distinct pairs  $a/q \neq a'/q'$  with  $1 \leq q, q' \leq P$ , we have

$$\left|\frac{a}{q} - \frac{a'}{q'}\right| \ge \frac{1}{qq'} > N^{-2\delta} > 2N^{\delta-4}.$$

Thus, the  $\mathfrak{M}(q, a)$ 's are pairwise disjoint. Finally, using (2.3), we split  $\mathcal{R}_{f,s}(m)$  into integrals over the major and minor arcs:

(2.5) 
$$\mathcal{R}_{f,s}(m) = \int_{\mathfrak{M}} S_f(\alpha)^s e(-\alpha m) \, \mathrm{d}\alpha + \int_{\mathfrak{m}} S_f(\alpha)^s e(-\alpha m) \, \mathrm{d}\alpha$$

### 3. MINOR ARCS

In this section, we estimate the integral over the minor arcs. More precisely, we prove the following theorem, which shows that the integral over the minor arcs in (2.5) is *small*.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $S_f(\alpha)$  be defined in (2.2). Then for  $s \ge 17$  and  $N \ge e^3$ , we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathfrak{m}} S_f(\alpha)^s e(-m\alpha) \, \mathrm{d}\alpha \right| \le 10^6 \cdot 11^{s-16} A^{\frac{s-16}{8}} (\log N)^{\frac{s-16}{8}} N^{s-4-\frac{\delta(s-16)}{8} + \frac{s}{\log\log N}}$$

In order to prove Theorem 3.1, we first establish some preliminary lemmas that are necessary in our treatment.

**Lemma 3.2** ([17, Theorem 1]). Let d(n) denote the divisor function. Then for  $n \ge e^3$ , we have  $d(n) \le n^{\frac{1.0661}{\log \log n}}$ .

Let  $\psi(x)$  be a real-valued function of x. For  $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , define the j-th forward difference operator as

$$\Delta_0(\psi(x);h) = \psi(x)$$
  
$$\Delta_1(\psi(x);h) = \psi(x+h) - \psi(x),$$

and

$$\Delta_j(\psi(x);\mathbf{h}) = \Delta_j(\psi(x);h_1,\ldots,h_j) = \Delta_1(\Delta_{j-1}(\psi(x);h_1,\ldots,h_{j-1});h_j).$$

It's easy to see that when  $1 \le j \le k$ ,

$$\Delta_j\left(x^k;\mathbf{h}\right) = h_1 \dots h_j p_j\left(x;h_1,\dots,h_j\right)$$

where  $p_j$  is a polynomial in x of degree k - j with leading coefficient k!/(k - j) !. By the linearity of the operator  $\Delta_j$ , it follows that

$$\Delta_j \left( a_k x^k + \ldots + a_1 x; \mathbf{h} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \Delta_j \left( x^i; \mathbf{h} \right)$$

**Lemma 3.3** ([21, Lemma 2.3]). Let  $\psi(x)$  be a real-valued arithmetic function, and suppose

$$F(\psi) = \sum_{1 \le x \le X} e(\psi(x)).$$

*Then for each*  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ *,* 

$$|F(\psi)|^{2^{j}} \le (2X)^{2^{j}-j-1} \sum_{|h_{1}| < X} \cdots \sum_{|h_{j}| < X} \sum_{x \in T_{j}(\mathbf{h})} e\left(\Delta_{j}(\psi(x); \mathbf{h})\right),$$

where  $T_j(\mathbf{h})$  denotes the interval of integers defined by putting  $T_0(h) = [1, X]$ , and for  $j \ge 1$ , we recursively set

$$T_j(h_1,\ldots,h_j) = T_{j-1}(h_1,\ldots,h_{j-1}) \cap \{x \in [1,X] : x + h_j \in T_{j-1}(h_1,\ldots,h_{j-1})\}.$$

We also make use of the following lemmas, which can be found in [2].

**Lemma 3.4** ([2, Lemma 3.3]). Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let X and Y be real numbers with Y > 1. Then

$$\left| \sum_{X < x \le X + Y} e(\alpha x) \right| \le \min\left\{ Y + 1, \frac{1}{2} \|\alpha\|^{-1} \right\}.$$

**Lemma 3.5** ([2, Corollary 3.6]). Let  $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $X, Y \ge 1$ . Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  and suppose there exist  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  with (a, q) = 1, q > 100 and  $|\alpha - a/q| \le \eta q^{-2}$ , for some absolute constant  $\eta \ge 1$ . Then

$$\sum_{1 \le x \le X} \min\left\{Y, \|\alpha x + \beta\|^{-1}\right\} \le 8XY\eta \left(q^{-1} + Y^{-1} + X^{-1} + q(XY)^{-1}\right)\log q$$

For  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_4) \in \mathbb{R}^4$  and  $\psi(x) = \alpha_1 x + \dots + \alpha_4 x^4$ , let

$$F(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \sum_{1 \le x \le X} e(\psi(x)).$$

**Lemma 3.6.** Let  $\eta \ge 1$  be fixed and  $X \ge e^3$ . Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_4) \in \mathbb{R}^4$ . Suppose there exist  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  which satisfy q > 100 and  $|\alpha_4 - a/q| \le \eta q^{-2}$ . Then

(3.1) 
$$|F(\boldsymbol{\alpha})| \le 2X^{7/8} + 5\eta^{1/8}X^{1+\frac{3.1983}{4\log(3\log X)}} \left(q^{-1} + X^{-1} + qX^{-4}\right)^{1/8} (\log q)^{1/8}.$$

*Proof.* Clearly, the desired estimate is trivial when  $q \ge X^4$ , so we may assume  $q < X^4$ . Applying Lemma 3.3 with j = 3, we obtain

$$|F(\boldsymbol{\alpha})|^{8} \leq (2X)^{4} \sum_{|h_{1}| < X} \sum_{|h_{2}| < X} \sum_{|h_{3}| < X} \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{h}),$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{h}) = \sum_{x \in T_3(\mathbf{h})} e(\Delta_3(\psi(x); \mathbf{h}))$$

and  $T_3(\mathbf{h})$  is a suitable interval of integers contained in [1, X]. Note that  $\Delta_3(\psi(x); \mathbf{h}) = 24h_1h_2h_3x\alpha_4 + r$ , where  $r = r(\alpha, \mathbf{h})$  is independent of x. It follows from Lemma 3.4 that

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{h}) \le \min\{X+1, \|24h_1h_2h_3\alpha_4\|^{-1}\}.$$

Thus,

$$|F(\boldsymbol{\alpha})|^{8} \leq (2X)^{4} \left( \sum_{|h_{1}| < X} \sum_{|h_{2}| < X} \min\{X+1, \|24h_{1}h_{2}h_{3}\alpha_{4}\|^{-1} \right) \\ \leq 16X^{4} \left( 12X^{3} + 8\sum_{1 \leq n \leq 24X^{3}} \left( d\left(\frac{n}{24}\right) \right)^{2} \min\{X+1, \|n\alpha_{4}\|^{-1} \right),$$

where the last inequality is obtained by accounting for the summands where  $h_1h_2h_3 = 0$ . We now use Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.5 to obtain the bound

$$|F(\boldsymbol{\alpha})|^{8} \leq 192X^{7} + 2^{7}X^{4 + \frac{6.3966}{\log(3\log X)}} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq 24X^{3}} \min\{X+1, \|n\alpha_{4}\|^{-1}\}$$
$$\leq 192X^{7} + 10^{5}X^{8 + \frac{6.3966}{\log(3\log X)}} \eta\left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{X} + \frac{q}{X^{4}}\right) \log q.$$

This implies that

$$|F(\boldsymbol{\alpha})| \le 2X^{7/8} + 5\eta^{1/8} X^{1 + \frac{3.1983}{4\log(3\log X)}} \left(q^{-1} + X^{-1} + qX^{-4}\right)^{1/8} (\log q)^{1/8},$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 3.6.

**Lemma 3.7.** Let  $S_f(\alpha)$  be as defined in (2.2). Suppose  $1 \le j \le 4$ . Then for  $N \ge e^3$ ,

$$\int_0^1 |S_f(\alpha)|^{2^j} \, \mathrm{d}\alpha \le 10^6 N^{2^j - j + \frac{12.7932}{\log \log N}}$$

*Proof.* The proof for j = 1, 2, 3 is analogous to the proof of Lemma 4.2 in [2], with the only difference being that we need to count the number of solutions for a polynomial of degree 3 instead of a quadratic polynomial. Therefore, we will omit the proof and only state the results for the cases j = 1, 2, 3. Specifically, we have

$$\int_{0}^{1} |S_{f}(\alpha)|^{2} d\alpha \leq N,$$
  
$$\int_{0}^{1} |S_{f}(\alpha)|^{4} d\alpha \leq 13N^{2 + \frac{4.2644}{\log \log N}},$$
  
$$\int_{0}^{1} |S_{f}(\alpha)|^{8} d\alpha \leq 328N^{5 + \frac{8.5288}{\log \log N}}$$

Next, we consider the case when j = 4. It follows from Lemma 3.3 that

$$|S_f(\alpha)|^8 \le (2N)^4 \sum_{|h_1| < N} \sum_{|h_2| < N} \sum_{|h_3| < N} \sum_{n \in T_3(\mathbf{h})} e(\alpha \cdot \Delta_3(f_n; \mathbf{h})).$$

Therefore,

$$\int_0^1 |S_f(\alpha)|^{2^4} \, \mathrm{d}\alpha = \int_0^1 S_f(\alpha)^4 S_f(-\alpha)^4 |S_f(\alpha)|^8 \, \mathrm{d}\alpha \le (2N)^4 \cdot W,$$

where

$$W = \sum_{|h_1| < N} \sum_{|h_2| < N} \sum_{|h_3| < N} \sum_{n \in T_3(\mathbf{h})} \int_0^1 S_f(\alpha)^4 S_f(-\alpha)^4 e(\alpha \cdot \Delta_3(f_n; \mathbf{h})) \, \mathrm{d}\alpha,$$

and  $T_3(\mathbf{h})$  is a suitable subinterval of [1, N]. By orthogonality, the expression W is bounded above by the number of integral solutions to the equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{4} (f_{u_i} - f_{v_i}) = \Delta_3(f_n; \mathbf{h}),$$

where  $1 \le u_i, v_i \le N$  for all  $1 \le i \le 4, 1 \le n \le N$  and  $|h_i| < N$  for all  $1 \le j \le 3$ . We now bound W by dividing into two cases:  $\Delta_3(f_n; h) = 0$  and  $\Delta_3(f_n; h) \neq 0$ .

The first case is  $\sum_{i=1}^{4} (f_{u_i} - f_{v_i}) = 0$ , which implies  $\Delta_1(f_n; h) = 0$ . By orthogonality, the number of choices for  $u_i$  and  $v_i$   $(1 \le i \le 4)$  in this case is

$$\int_0^1 S_f(\alpha)^4 S_f(-\alpha)^4 \, \mathrm{d}\alpha = \int_0^1 |S_f(\alpha)|^8 \, \mathrm{d}\alpha \le 328N^{5 + \frac{8.5288}{\log \log N}}.$$

On the other hand, we have  $\Delta_3(f_n; \mathbf{h}) = h_1 h_2 h_3 L(n; h)$ , where L(n; h) is a linear polynomial in n, determined by the choices of  $h_1, h_2$  and  $h_3$ . So, either  $h_1h_2h_3 = 0$ , or n is a zero of L. Therefore, the total number of choices for n and **h** is at most  $20N^3$ . Hence, the contribution in this case to W is bounded above by

$$6560N^{8+\frac{1.0661}{\log\log N}}$$

For the second case, we write  $\sum_{i=1}^{4} (f_{u_i} - f_{v_i}) = k$  for some non-zero integer k with  $|k| \leq 4f_N$ . For each such choice of  $u_i$  and  $v_i$  (i = 1, ..., 4), we have  $h_1h_2h_3L(n; \mathbf{h}) = k$  and thus there are at most  $8d(k)^3$  choices for h. For each such choice of h, there is at most one choice for n. This shows that the total choices for n and h is bounded above by

$$8d(k)^3 \le 8N^{\frac{12.7932}{\log \log N}}$$

Since there are at most  $N^8$  choices for  $u_i$  and  $v_i$  with  $1 \le i \le 4$ , the contribution to W in this case is at most

$$8N^{8+\frac{12.7932}{\log \log N}}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\int_{0}^{1} |S_{f}(\alpha)|^{16} \, \mathrm{d}\alpha \le (2N)^{4} W \le 16N^{4} \left( 6560N^{8 + \frac{8.5288}{\log \log N}} + 8N^{8 + \frac{12.7932}{\log \log N}} \right) \le 10^{6} N^{12 + \frac{12.7932}{\log \log N}},$$
as desired

as desired.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 3.1.

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* We can write

$$\left|\int_{\mathfrak{m}} S_f(\alpha)^s e(-m\alpha) \, \mathrm{d}\alpha\right| \le \left(\sup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}} |S_f(\alpha)|\right)^{s-16} \int_0^1 |S_f(\alpha)|^{16} \, \mathrm{d}\alpha.$$

Consider an arbitrary point  $\alpha$  of m. By Dirichlet's Theorem (see [21, Lemma 2.1]), there exist a, q with (a, q) = 1 and  $q \leq N^{3-\delta}$  such that  $|\alpha - a/q| \leq q^{-1}N^{\delta-3}$ . Since  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m} \subset (N^{\delta-3}, 1 - N^{\delta-3})$  it follows that  $1 \leq a \leq q$ . Therefore,  $q > N^{\delta}$ , for otherwise  $\alpha$  would lie in  $\mathfrak{M}$ . We now apply Lemma 3.6 with  $\eta = 24A$ . Then we have

$$|S_f(\alpha)| \le 2N^{7/8} + 5 \cdot (24A)^{1/8} N^{1 + \frac{3.1983}{4 \log \log N}} (q^{-1} + N^{-1} + qN^{-3})^{1/8} (\log N)^{1/8}$$
  
$$\le 2N^{7/8} + 5 \cdot (72A)^{1/8} N^{1 - \frac{\delta}{8} + \frac{3.1983}{4 \log \log N}} (\log N)^{1/8}$$
  
$$\le 11A^{1/8} N^{1 - \frac{\delta}{8} + \frac{3.1983}{4 \log \log N}} (\log N)^{1/8}.$$

Additionally, by Lemma 3.7, we have

$$\int_0^1 |S_f(\alpha)|^{16} \, \mathrm{d}\alpha \le 10^6 N^{12 + \frac{12.7932}{\log \log N}}.$$

It follows that

$$\left| \int_{\mathfrak{m}} S_f(\alpha)^s e(-m\alpha) \, \mathrm{d}\alpha \right| \le 10^6 \left( 11A^{1/8} N^{1-\frac{\delta}{8} + \frac{3.1983}{4\log\log N}} \right)^{s-16} \left(\log N\right)^{\frac{s-16}{8}} N^{12 + \frac{12.7932}{\log\log N}} \\ \le 10^6 \cdot 11^{s-16} A^{\frac{s-16}{8}} (\log N)^{\frac{s-16}{8}} N^{s-4 - \frac{\delta(s-16)}{8} + \frac{s}{\log\log N}},$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

4. MAJOR ARCS

In this section, our primary objective is to effectively approximate  $S_f(\alpha)$  for  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}$ . Let  $\theta = \alpha - a/q$ . For convenience, we extend the definition in (1.4) by writing  $f_t$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . More precisely, we define

$$f_t = A \begin{pmatrix} t \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} + B \begin{pmatrix} t \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} + C \begin{pmatrix} t \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + t$$
$$= \frac{At^4}{24} - \frac{At^3}{4} + \frac{11At^2}{24} - \frac{At}{4} + \frac{Bt^3}{6} - \frac{Bt^2}{2} + \frac{Bt}{3} + \frac{Ct^2}{2} - \frac{Ct}{2} + t$$

and

$$f'_t = \frac{A(2t^3 - 9t^2 + 11t - 3)}{12} + \frac{B(3t^2 - 6t + 2)}{6} + \frac{C(2t - 1)}{2} + 1$$

for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define

(4.1)  

$$M(t) := \sum_{1 \le n \le t} e\left(\frac{a}{q}f_n\right),$$
(4.2)  
and  

$$V(q, a) := \sum_{1 \le n \le 24q} e\left(\frac{a}{q}f_n\right).$$

To this end, we aim to prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $N \ge 3A + 2|B|$ . Then

$$\left| \int_{\mathfrak{M}} S_f(\alpha)^s e(-\alpha m) \, \mathrm{d}\alpha - \int_{\mathfrak{M}} \left( \frac{V(q,a)}{24q} \int_1^N e\left(\frac{At^4\theta}{24}\right) \, \mathrm{d}t \right)^s e(-\alpha m) \, \mathrm{d}\alpha \right| \le 70(A+4|B|)\pi s N^{5\delta+s-5}$$

To begin with, since  $\mathfrak{M}(q, a)$  are pairwise disjoint, we have

(4.3) 
$$\int_{\mathfrak{M}} S_f(\alpha)^s e(-\alpha m) d\alpha = \sum_{q \le N^{\delta}} \sum_{\substack{a=1\\(a,q)=1}}^q \int_{\mathfrak{M}(q,a)} S_f(\alpha)^s e(-\alpha m) \, \mathrm{d}\alpha.$$

Applying partial summation, we obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}(q, a)$  and  $\theta = \alpha - a/q$ . Then

(4.4) 
$$S_f(\alpha) = M(N)e(\theta f_N) - 2\pi i\theta \int_1^N M(t)f'_t e(\theta f_t) dt.$$

**Lemma 4.3.** For any q,  $f_n \equiv f_{n+24q} \mod q$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows easily by noticing that the least common multiple of the denominators for  $f_n$  is 24.

**Lemma 4.4.** For all  $1 \le t \le N$ , with M(t) defined as in (4.1), we have

$$\left| M(t) - \frac{V(q,a)}{24q} t \right| \le 24q.$$

*Proof.* The arguments follows similarly to Lemma 5.3 in [2], with 2q replaced by 24q. **Lemma 4.5.** Let  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}(q, a)$  and  $\theta = \alpha - a/q$ . Then

$$\left| S_f(\alpha) - \frac{V(q,a)}{24q} \int_1^N e(\theta f_t) \, \mathrm{d}t \right| \le 24q + 1 + (2A + 8|B|)q\pi\theta N^4.$$

*Proof.* By applying Lemma 4.4, we obtain the bounds

$$\left| M(N)e(\theta f_N) - \frac{V(q,a)}{24q} Ne(\theta f_N) \right| \le 24q,$$

$$\left| 2\pi i\theta \int_1^N A(t) f'_t e(\theta f_t) \, \mathrm{d}t - 2\pi i\theta \int_1^N \frac{V(q,a)}{24q} t f'_t e(\theta f_t) \, \mathrm{d}t \right| \le 48\pi\theta \int_1^N q f'_t \, \mathrm{d}t \le (2A+8|B|)q\pi\theta N^4$$
The definition of the triangle is the set of the triangle is the triangle is the set of the triangle is the set of the triangle is the triangle i

Thus, by Lemma 4.2 and the triangle inequality, we have (4.5)

$$\left| S_f(\alpha) - \frac{V(q,a)}{24q} Ne(\theta f_N) + 2\pi i\theta \int_1^N \frac{V(q,a)}{24q} t f'_t e(\theta f_t) \, \mathrm{d}t \right| \le 24q + (2A + 8|B|)q\pi\theta N^4.$$

Applying integration by parts, we find

(4.6) 
$$\frac{V(q,a)}{24q} Ne(\theta f_N) - 2\pi i\theta \int_1^N \frac{V(q,a)}{24q} t f'_t e(\theta f_t) dt$$
$$= \frac{V(q,a)}{24q} e(\theta) + \frac{V(q,a)}{24q} \int_1^N e(\theta f_t) dt.$$

Finally, combining (4.5) and (4.6), and trivially bounding V(q, a) complete the proof. 

**Lemma 4.6.** Let  $N \ge 6A + 4|B|, \alpha \in \mathfrak{M}(q, a)$  and  $\theta = \alpha - a/q$ . Then

$$\left| S_f(\alpha) - \frac{V(q,a)}{24q} \int_1^N e\left(\frac{At^4\theta}{24}\right) \, \mathrm{d}t \right| \le 24q + 1 + (2A + 8|B|)q\pi\theta N^4 + \frac{3A + 2|B|}{3}\pi N^\delta.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.5, it suffices to show that

$$\left|\int_{1}^{N} e(\theta f_t) \, \mathrm{d}t - \int_{1}^{N} e\left(\frac{At^4\theta}{24}\right) \, \mathrm{d}t\right| \leq \frac{3A + 2|B|}{3} \pi N^{\delta}.$$

We write

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{1}^{N} e(\theta f_{t}) \, \mathrm{d}t - \int_{1}^{N} e\left(\frac{At^{4}\theta}{24}\right) \, \mathrm{d}t \right| &\leq \int_{1}^{N} \left| e\left(\theta\left(f_{t} - \frac{At^{4}\theta}{24}\right)\right) - 1 \right| \, \mathrm{d}t \\ \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.7)$$

$$= \int_{1}^{N} \left| e\left(\theta\left(-\frac{At^{3}}{4} + \frac{11At^{2}}{24} - \frac{At}{4} + \frac{t^{3}B}{6} - \frac{t^{2}B}{2} + \frac{tB}{3} + \frac{t^{2}C}{2} - \frac{tC}{2} + t\right) \right) - 1 \right| \, \mathrm{d}t. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|\theta| \leq N^{\delta-4}$  and  $1 \leq t \leq N$ , we have

$$\left|2\pi\theta\left(-\frac{At^3}{4} + \frac{11At^2}{24} - \frac{At}{4} + \frac{t^3B}{6} - \frac{t^2B}{2} + \frac{tB}{3} + \frac{t^2C}{2} - \frac{tC}{2} + t\right)\right| \le \frac{3A+2|B|}{6}\pi N^{\delta-1} \le 1.$$

Therefore, by the Taylor expansion of  $e(x) = \exp(2\pi i x)$ , we obtain (4.8)

$$\left| e\left(\theta\left(f_t - \frac{At^4\theta}{24}\right)\right) - 1 \right| \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|2\pi\theta\left(f_t - \frac{At^4\theta}{24}\right)\right|}{n!} \le \left|4\pi\theta\left(f_t - \frac{At^4\theta}{24}\right)\right| \le \frac{3A + 2|B|}{3}\pi N^{\delta - 1}.$$
  
Substituting (4.8) into (4.7) and integrating over *t*, we obtain the desired result.

Substituting (4.8) into (4.7) and integrating over t, we obtain the desired result.

Now we are ready to prove the main lemma in this section, which is Lemma 4.1.

Proof of Lemma 4.1. By the Binomial theorem and Lemma 4.6, we see that

$$\left| S_{f}(\alpha)^{s} - \left( \frac{V(q,a)}{24q} \int_{1}^{N} e\left( \frac{At^{4}\theta}{24} \right) dt \right)^{s} \right| \leq sN^{s-1} \left( 24q + 1 + (2A + 8|B|)q\pi\theta N^{4} + \frac{3A + 2|B|}{3}\pi N^{\delta} \right).$$

Since the set of  $\mathfrak{M}(q, a)$  are disjoint, it follows that

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{\mathfrak{M}} S_{f}(\alpha)^{s} e(-\alpha m) \, \mathrm{d}\alpha - \int_{\mathfrak{M}} \left( \frac{V(q,a)}{24q} \int_{1}^{N} e\left(\frac{At^{4}\theta}{24}\right) \, \mathrm{d}t \right)^{s} e(-\alpha m) \, \mathrm{d}\alpha \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{q \leq N^{\delta}} \sum_{\substack{a=1\\(a,q)=1}}^{q} \int_{-N^{\delta-4}}^{N^{\delta-4}} s\left( (24q+1)N^{s-1} + (2A+8|B|)q\pi\theta N^{s+3} + \frac{3A+2|B|}{3}\pi N^{\delta+s-1} \right) \, \mathrm{d}\theta \\ &\leq 2s \sum_{q \leq N^{\delta}} \sum_{\substack{a=1\\(a,q)=1}}^{q} \left( \left(1+24N^{\delta}\right)N^{s+\delta-5} + (A+4|B|)\pi N^{s+3\delta-5} + (A+|B|)\pi N^{s+2\delta-5} \right) \\ &\leq 70(A+4|B|)\pi s N^{5\delta+s-5}, \end{split}$$

which completes the proof.

With Lemma 4.1 established, we now turn our attention to estimating the integral in the lemma. Suppose  $s \ge 17$ . Let  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}(q, a)$ ,  $\theta = \alpha - a/q$ . Define

$$\mathcal{R}_{f,s}^*(m) := \int_{\mathfrak{M}} \left( \frac{V(q,a)}{24q} \int_1^N e\left(\frac{At^4\theta}{24}\right) \, \mathrm{d}t \right)^s e(-\alpha m) \, \mathrm{d}\alpha$$

We will approximate our major-arc integral by  $\mathcal{R}^*_{f,s}(m)$ . To achieve this, we define the following.

(4.9) 
$$\mathfrak{S}_{f,s}(m,Q) := \sum_{q \le Q} \sum_{\substack{a=1\\(a,q)=1}}^{q} \left(\frac{V(q,a)}{24q}\right)^s e\left(-\frac{am}{q}\right),$$

(4.10) 
$$v(\theta) := \int_{1}^{N} e\left(\frac{At^{4}\theta}{24}\right) \,\mathrm{d}t,$$

(4.11) and 
$$J^*(m) := \int_{-N^{\delta-4}}^{N^{\delta-4}} \left( \left(\frac{24}{A}\right)^{1/4} v(\theta) \right)^s e(-\theta m) \,\mathrm{d}\theta$$

Using these definitions, we then have

$$\mathcal{R}_{f,s}^{*}(m) = \sum_{q \leq N^{\delta}} \sum_{\substack{a=1\\(a,q)=1}}^{q} \left(\frac{V(q,a)}{24q}\right)^{s} e\left(-\frac{am}{q}\right) \int_{-N^{\delta-4}}^{N^{\delta-4}} v(\theta)^{s} e(-\theta m) \, \mathrm{d}\theta$$

$$(4.12) \qquad \qquad = \left(\frac{24}{A}\right)^{s/4} \mathfrak{S}_{f,s}(m, N^{\delta}) J^{*}(m).$$

Thus, to approximate  $\mathcal{R}_{f,s}^*(m)$ , it suffices to estimate  $\mathfrak{S}_{f,s}(m, N^{\delta})$  and  $J^*(m)$  separately. This will be carried out in the following two sections.

### 5. MAJOR ARCS : THE SINGULAR SERIES

In this section, our goal is to show that the singular series  $\mathfrak{S}_{f,s}(m, N^{\delta}) > 0$ . Our strategy is as follows. We first extend the sum  $\mathfrak{S}_{f,s}(m, N^{\delta})$  to infinity. By demonstrating that each summand V(q) for  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  is multiplicative, we decompose the completed series into an Euler product. Next, we relate the Euler product to the counting of solutions to an equation over finite fields. Finally, using the generalized Hensel's Lemma, we show that for any finite field, there exists a solution that can be lifted to any larger field of the same characteristic. This leads us to our desired result.

5.1. Singular Series Completion. In this subsection, we complete the series  $\mathfrak{S}_{f,s}(m, N^{\delta})$ . Define

(5.1) 
$$\mathfrak{S}_{f,s}(m) := \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} V(q), \quad \text{where}$$

(5.2) 
$$V(q) := \sum_{\substack{a=1\\(a,q)=1}}^{q} \left(\frac{V(q,a)}{24q}\right)^{s} e\left(-\frac{am}{q}\right),$$

and V(q, a) is given by (4.2). We begin by showing that V(q) is multiplicative.

**Lemma 5.1.** Suppose 
$$(a,q) = (b,r) = (q,r) = 1$$
. Then  $V(qr, ar + bq) = \frac{1}{24}V(q,a)V(r,b)$ .

*Proof.* We will focus on the case where (24, q) = 1. Proofs for other cases follow similar arguments and thus are omitted. By Lemma 4.3, we have

$$V(qr, ar + bq) = 24 \sum_{n=1}^{qr} e\left(\frac{ar + bq}{qr}f_n\right).$$

Using Euclid's algorithm, we know that every residue class m modulo qr can be uniquely expressed as tr + uq with  $1 \le t \le q$  and  $1 \le u \le r$ . Therefore, we can rewrite the sum as

$$V(qr, ar + bq) = 24 \sum_{t=1}^{q} \sum_{u=1}^{r} e\left(\frac{ar + bq}{qr}f_{tr+uq}\right)$$
$$= 24 \sum_{t=1}^{q} \sum_{u=1}^{r} e\left(\frac{ar + bq}{qr} \cdot (f_{tr} + f_{uq})\right)$$
$$= 24 \sum_{t=1}^{q} e\left(\frac{a}{q}f_{tr}\right) \sum_{u=1}^{r} e\left(\frac{b}{r}f_{uq}\right).$$

Since tr and uq range over complete residue classes modulo q and r respectively, we conclude that

$$V(qr, ar + bq) = 24 \sum_{t=1}^{q} e\left(\frac{a}{q}f_t\right) \sum_{u=1}^{r} e\left(\frac{b}{r}f_u\right) = \frac{1}{24}V(q, a)V(r, b),$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 4.3.

**Lemma 5.2.** The function V(q), as defined in (5.2), is multiplicative.

*Proof.* Note that V(1) = 1. Assume (q, r) = 1. Then, by Lemma 5.1,

$$V(qr) = \sum_{\substack{a=1\\(a,qr)=1}}^{qr} \left(\frac{V(qr,a)}{24qr}\right)^{s} e\left(-\frac{am}{qr}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{a=1\\(a,q)=1}}^{q} \sum_{\substack{b=1\\(b,r)=1}}^{r} \left(\frac{V(qr,ar+bq)}{24qr}\right)^{s} e\left(-\frac{ar+bq}{qr}m\right)$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{a=1\\(a,q)=1}}^{q} \sum_{\substack{b=1\\(b,r)=1}}^{r} \left(\frac{V(q,a)V(r,b)}{576qr}\right)^{s} e\left(-\frac{am}{q}\right) e\left(-\frac{bm}{r}\right)$$
$$= V(q)V(r),$$

which completes the proof.

**Lemma 5.3.** Let  $s \ge 17$  and  $N^{\delta} \ge e^{e^{467}}$ . Then,  $|\mathfrak{S}_{f,s}(m)| \le e^{e^{468}}$ . Moreover, we have

$$|\mathfrak{S}_{f,s}(m) - \mathfrak{S}_{f,s}(m, N^{\delta})| \le \frac{(52A^{1/4})^s}{\left(\frac{9s}{73} - 2\right)N^{\left(\frac{9s}{73} - 2\right)\delta}}$$

*Proof.* We begin by evaluating V(q, a) using Lemma 3.6. To address both cases where (Aa, 24q) = 1 and  $(Aa, 24q) \neq 1$ , we choose  $\eta = A^2$  in Lemma 3.6. This gives us the bound

$$\begin{aligned} |V(q,a)| &\leq 2(24q)^{7/8} + 5A^{1/4}(24q)^{1 + \frac{3.1983}{4\log\log(24q)}} \left(q^{-1} + (24q)^{-1} + q(24q)^{-4}\right)^{1/8} (\log q)^{1/8} \\ &\leq 33q^{7/8} + 1200A^{1/4}q^{7/8 + \frac{3.1983}{4\log\log(24q)} + \frac{\log\log q}{8\log q}}. \end{aligned}$$

When  $q \ge e^{e^{467}}$ , we have

$$\frac{3.1983}{4\log\log(24q)} + \frac{\log\log q}{8\log q} \le \frac{1}{584},$$

which implies

$$|V(q,a)| \le 33q^{7/8} + 1200A^{1/4}q^{64/73} \le 1233A^{1/4}q^{64/73}.$$

Therefore, we conclude that  $|V(q)| \leq (52A^{1/4})^s q^{1-\frac{9s}{73}}$ , where  $s \geq 17$ . As a result,  $\mathfrak{S}_{f,s}(m)$  converges absolutely and uniformly with respect to m. We now have the following bound:

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathfrak{S}_{f,s}(m)| &\leq \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} |V(q)| \leq \sum_{q=1}^{\lfloor e^{e^{467}} \rfloor} |V(q)| + (52A^{1/4})^s \int_{e^{e^{467}}}^{\infty} x^{1-\frac{9s}{73}} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \sum_{q=1}^{\lfloor e^{e^{467}} \rfloor} q + \frac{(52A^{1/4})^s}{\frac{9s}{73} - 2} \left(e^{e^{467}}\right)^{2-\frac{9s}{73}} \leq e^{e^{468}} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, when  $N^{\delta} \geq e^{e^{467}},$  we deduce that

$$|\mathfrak{S}_{f,s}(m) - \mathfrak{S}_{f,s}(m, N^{\delta})| \le (52A^{1/4})^s \int_{N^{\delta}}^{\infty} x^{1 - \frac{9s}{73}} \, \mathrm{d}x \le \frac{(52A^{1/4})^s}{\left(\frac{9s}{73} - 2\right)N^{\left(\frac{9s}{73} - 2\right)\delta}}.$$

This completes the proof.

5.2. Counting Solution over Finite Fields. Since V(q) is multiplicative by Lemma 5.2 and  $\mathfrak{S}_{f,s}(m)$  converges absolutely by Lemma 5.3, we obtain the Euler product representation

$$\mathfrak{S}_{f,s}(m) = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} V(p^k) = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} (1 + V(p) + V(p^2) + \cdots).$$

Let  $1 \le n_i \le t$ . Define  $\mathcal{M}_m(t,q)$  as the number of solutions to the congruence equation

(5.3) 
$$f(n_1) + f(n_2) + \dots + f(n_s) \equiv m \mod q,$$

where  $f(x) = A\binom{t}{4} + B\binom{t}{3} + C\binom{t}{2} + t$ . To simplify the notation, we write  $\mathcal{M}_m(q) = \mathcal{M}_m(q,q)$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** *For*  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ *, we have* 

$$\sum_{d \mid q} V(d) = q^{1-s} 24^{-s} \mathcal{M}_m(24q, q).$$

*Proof.* The proof is analogous to Lemma 6.4 in [2] by replacing 2q with 24q. Hence, we omit the details here.

It follows from Lemma 5.4 by choosing  $q = p^k$  that

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{S}_{f,s}(m) &= \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} V(p^k) = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \lim_{k \to \infty} 24^{-s} p^{k(1-s)} \mathcal{M}_m(24p^k, p^k) \\ &= \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \lim_{k \to \infty} p^{k(1-s)} \mathcal{M}_m(p^k), \end{split}$$

where the third equality follows from  $\mathcal{M}_m(24q,q) = 24^s \mathcal{M}_m(q)$ . Define

$$T_m(p) := \lim_{k \to \infty} p^{k(1-s)} \mathcal{M}_m(p^k).$$

Then  $\mathfrak{S}_{f,s}(m) = \prod_p T_m(p)$ . The following lemma gives us a bound for  $T_m(p)$ , which leads to an estimation for  $\mathfrak{S}_{f,s}(m)$ .

**Lemma 5.5.** For any  $s \ge 17$  and any prime p, we have

$$|T_m(p) - 1| \le e^{se^{932}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \frac{p^{1 - \frac{9s}{73}}}{1 - p^{1 - \frac{9s}{73}}}$$

*Proof.* We consider the following cases.

**Case 1.** p > 3. In this case, (24, p) = 1, so any solution  $(n_1, \ldots, n_s)$  of the equation

(5.4) 
$$\tilde{f}(n_1) + \dots + \tilde{f}(n_s) \equiv 24m \mod p^k$$

where  $\tilde{f}(t) := 24f(t)$ , is also a solution of (5.3) and vice versa. Therefore

$$\mathcal{M}_{m}(p^{k}) = \frac{1}{p^{k}} \sum_{t=1}^{p^{k}} \sum_{n_{1}=1}^{p^{k}} \sum_{n_{2}=1}^{p^{k}} \cdots \sum_{n_{s}=1}^{p^{k}} e(t(\tilde{f}(n_{1}) + \tilde{f}(n_{2}) + \dots + \tilde{f}(n_{s}) - 24m)/p^{k})$$
  
(5.5) 
$$= p^{(s-1)k} + \frac{1}{p^{k}} \sum_{t=1}^{p^{k}-1} e\left(-\frac{24mt}{p^{k}}\right) \left(\sum_{x=1}^{p^{k}} e\left(\frac{t\tilde{f}(x)}{p^{k}}\right)\right)^{s}.$$

Each integer  $1 \le t \le p^k - 1$  can be uniquely expressed as  $t = bp^{k-r}$ , where (b, p) = 1,  $1 \le r \le k$ , and  $1 \le b \le p^r$ . Therefore, the second term in the right-hand side of equation (5.5) can be rewritten as

(5.6) 
$$\frac{1}{p^{k}} \sum_{r=1}^{k} \sum_{\substack{b=1\\(b,p)=1}}^{p^{r}} e\left(\frac{-24mb}{p^{r}}\right) \left(\sum_{x=1}^{p^{k}} e\left(\frac{b\tilde{f}(x)}{p^{r}}\right)\right)^{s} \\ = \frac{1}{p^{k}} \sum_{r=1}^{k} \sum_{\substack{b=1\\(b,p)=1}}^{p^{r}} e\left(\frac{-24mb}{p^{r}}\right) \left(p^{k-r} \sum_{x=1}^{p^{r}} e\left(\frac{b\tilde{f}(x)}{p^{r}}\right)\right)^{s} \\ = p^{(s-1)k} \sum_{r=1}^{k} p^{-rs} \sum_{\substack{b=1\\(b,p)=1}}^{p^{r}} e\left(\frac{-24mb}{p^{r}}\right) \left(\sum_{x=1}^{p^{r}} e\left(\frac{b\tilde{f}(x)}{p^{r}}\right)\right)^{s}.$$

By combining (5.5) and (5.6), we obtain

$$\left|\mathcal{M}_{m}(p^{k}) - p^{(s-1)k}\right| \le p^{(s-1)k} \sum_{r=1}^{k} p^{-rs} \sum_{\substack{b=1\\(b,p)=1}}^{p^{r}} \left|\sum_{x=1}^{p^{r}} e\left(\frac{b\tilde{f}(x)}{p^{r}}\right)\right|^{s}.$$

Applying Lemma 3.6 with  $X = q = p^r$  and  $\eta = 1$ , we have

$$\left|\sum_{x=1}^{p^{r}} e\left(\frac{b\tilde{f}(x)}{p^{r}}\right)\right| \leq 2p^{7r/8} + 5p^{r+\frac{3.1983r}{4\log(3r\log p)}} \left(2p^{-r} + p^{-3r}\right)^{1/8} (r\log p)^{1/8}$$
$$\leq 2p^{7r/8} + 5(3p^{-r})^{1/8} p^{r+\frac{3.1983r}{4\log(r\log p)}} p^{\frac{\log(3r\log p)}{8\log p}}$$
$$\leq 2p^{7r/8} + 6p^{7r/8 + \frac{3.1983r}{4\log(3r\log p)} + \frac{\log(r\log p)}{8\log p}}.$$

When  $r \ge 1$  and  $p \ge e^{e^{467}}$ , we have

(5.7) 
$$\frac{3.1983r}{4\log(3r\log p)} + \frac{\log(r\log p)}{8\log p} \le \frac{r}{584},$$

It is also straight forward to check that the above inequality also holds for all p > 3 and  $r \ge e^{467}$ . Furthermore, for  $3 and <math>1 \le r \le e^{467}$ , trivially we have

$$\left|\sum_{x=1}^{p^r} e\left(\frac{b\tilde{f}(x)}{p^r}\right)\right| \le p^r \le e^{e^{932}} p^{\frac{64r}{73}}$$

Combining two cases, we see that for any prime p > 3 and any  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

(5.8) 
$$\left| \sum_{x=1}^{p^r} e\left(\frac{b\tilde{f}(x)}{p^r}\right) \right| \le e^{e^{932}} p^{\frac{64r}{73}}.$$

**Case 2.** p = 2. In this case, the equation (5.3) is equivalent to

$$3f(n_1) + \dots + 3f(n_s) \equiv 3m \mod 2^k$$

Therefore, we can write

(5.9) 
$$\mathcal{M}_{m}(2^{k}) = \frac{1}{2^{k}} \sum_{t=1}^{p^{k}} \sum_{n_{1}=1}^{2^{k}} \cdots \sum_{n_{s}=1}^{2^{k}} e(t(3\tilde{f}(n_{1}) + \dots + 3\tilde{f}(n_{s}) - 3m)/2^{k})$$
$$= 2^{(s-1)k} + \frac{1}{2^{k}} \sum_{t=1}^{2^{k}-1} e\left(-\frac{3mt}{2^{k}}\right) \left(\sum_{x=1}^{2^{k}} e\left(\frac{t\tilde{f}(x)}{2^{k+3}}\right)\right)^{s},$$

Similar with Case 1, it follows that

$$\left|\mathcal{M}_{m}(2^{k}) - 2^{(s-1)k}\right| \leq 2^{(s-1)k} \sum_{r=1}^{k} 2^{-rs} \sum_{\substack{b=1\\(b,2)=1}}^{2^{r}} \left|\sum_{x=1}^{2^{r}} e\left(\frac{t\tilde{f}(x)}{2^{r+3}}\right)\right|^{s}$$

for any  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Applying Lemma 3.6 with  $\eta = 1, X = 2^r$ , and  $q = 2^{r+3}$ , we have

$$\left|\sum_{x=1}^{2^{r}} e\left(\frac{b\tilde{f}(x)}{2^{r+3}}\right)\right| \le 2 \cdot 2^{7r/8} + 5 \cdot 2^{r + \frac{3.1983r}{4\log(3r\log 2)}} (2^{-r-2} + 2^{-r} + 2^{2-3r})^{1/8} \left(\log(2^{r+3})\right)^{1/8}$$
$$= 2 \cdot 2^{7r/8} + 6 \cdot 2^{7r/8 + \frac{3.1983r}{\log(3r\log 2)} + \frac{\log((r+3)\log 2)}{8\log 2}} \le 2^{e^{467}} \cdot 2^{\frac{64r}{73}}.$$

**Case 3.** p = 3. This case is similar with Case 2, in which we use the equivalency between (5.3) and

$$8f(n_1) + \dots + 8f(n_s) \equiv 8m \mod 3^k$$

In particular, we obtain

$$\left|\mathcal{M}_{m}(3^{k}) - 3^{(s-1)k}\right| \le 3^{(s-1)k} \sum_{r=1}^{k} 3^{-rs} \sum_{\substack{b=1\\(b,3)=1}}^{3^{r}} \left|\sum_{x=1}^{3^{r}} e\left(\frac{b\tilde{f}(x)}{3^{r+1}}\right)\right|$$

Again, by applying Lemma 3.1 with  $\eta = 1, X = 3^r$ , and  $q = 3^{r+1}$ , we have for any  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\left|\sum_{x=1}^{3^{r}} e\left(\frac{b\tilde{f}(x)}{3^{r+1}}\right)\right| \le e^{e^{467}} 3^{\frac{64r}{73}}.$$

Combing all three cases, we obtain that for  $s \ge 17$  and for any prime p,

$$|T_m(p) - 1| \le \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} p^{-rs} \sum_{\substack{b=1\\(b,p)=1}}^{p^r} e^{se^{932}} p^{\frac{64sr}{73}} = e^{se^{932}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \frac{p^{1 - \frac{9s}{73}}}{1 - p^{1 - \frac{9s}{73}}}.$$

5.3. Hensel Lifting. In this subsection, we aim to provide a lower bound for  $\mathcal{M}_m(p^k)$  for any prime p and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . First, we consider the case when k = 1. In this case,  $\mathcal{M}_m(p)$  is the number of solutions to the equation

(5.10) 
$$f(n_1) + f(n_2) + \dots + f(n_s) \equiv m \mod p,$$

where  $p \ge 7$  and  $1 \le n_i \le p$  for i = 1, 2, ..., s. Let  $\mathcal{M}_m^*(p)$  be the number of solutions to (5.10) such that  $(f(n_1), p) = (f'(n_1), p) = 1$ . The following lemma states that there is indeed at least one such solution.

**Lemma 5.6.** For  $p \ge 11$  and  $s \ge 17$ , we have  $\mathcal{M}_m^*(p) \ge 1$ .

To prove Lemma 5.6, we use the following well-known Cauchy-Davenport inequality in additive combinatorics, see [7].

**Theorem 5.7** (Cauchy-Davenport inequality). Suppose that A is a set of r residue classes modulo q, and that B is a set of s such classes. Suppose further that  $0 \in B$ , and that whenever  $b \in B$  and  $q \nmid b$ , one has (b, q) = 1. Then

$$|\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}| \ge \min\{q, |\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}| - 1\}.$$

Proof of Lemma 5.6. Let

$$\mathcal{A} = \{ f(n) \bmod p : 1 \le n \le p, (f(n), p) = (f'(n), p) = 1 \}$$

and  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \cup \{0\}$ . Since there are at most 7 possibilities of n such that (f(n), p) = p or (f'(n), p) = p, we have  $|\mathcal{A}| \ge \left\lceil \frac{p-7}{4} \right\rceil$  and  $|\mathcal{B}| = |\mathcal{A}| + 1$ . By applying Cauchy-Davenport inequality and induction on s, we obtain

$$|\mathcal{A} + (s-1)\mathcal{B}| \ge \min\left\{p, s\left\lceil\frac{p-7}{4}\right\rceil\right\} = p$$

when  $p \ge 11$  and  $s \ge 17$ . Hence, there exist  $a \in A$  and  $b_2, \ldots, b_s \in \mathcal{B}$  such that

$$f(a) + f(b_2) + \dots + f(b_s) \equiv m \pmod{p}.$$

By definition of  $\mathcal{A}$ , we have (f(a), p) = (f'(a), p) = 1. Thus  $\mathcal{M}_m^*(p) \ge 1$ .

Using Lemma 5.6 together with Hensel's lemma, we immediately obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 5.8.** For any prime  $p \ge 11$ , any integers  $k \ge 2$  and  $s \ge 17$ , we have  $\mathcal{M}_m(p^k) > p^{(k-1)(s-1)}$ .

Now we are left to discuss lower bounds for  $T_m(p)$  for  $p \leq 7$ . To this end, we rely on the generalized Hensel's Lemma.

**Lemma 5.9** (generalized Hensel's Lemma, [13]). Let f(x) be a polynomial with integral coefficients. Suppose that there exist  $j, \tau \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f'(a) \equiv 0 \mod p^j$ ,  $p^{\tau}||f'(a)$  and that  $j \geq 2\tau + 1$ . If  $b \equiv a \mod p^{j-\tau}$ , then  $f(b) \equiv f(a) \mod p^j$  and  $p^{\tau}||f'(b)$ . Moreover, there is a unique  $a_0 \mod p$  such that  $f(a + a_0p^{j-\tau}) \equiv 0 \mod p^{j+1}$ .

**Lemma 5.10.** For  $s \ge 17$  and any f satisfying (1.4), we have

$$T_m(p) > p^{1-s},$$

for  $p \ge 11$ . For p < 11, define

(5.11) 
$$\tau = \max_{p < 11} \min\{\tau \in \mathbb{N} : f'(y) \neq 0 \bmod p^{\tau} \text{ for some integer } y\}$$

Then,

$$T_m(p) > p^{(2\tau+1)(1-s)}.$$

In particular, when f is one of the target polynomials  $f_1, f_2, f_3$ , defined in (1.2), we have

 $T_m(p) > p^{1-s},$ 

for  $p \geq 3$ , and

$$T_m(2) > 2^{5(1-s)}.$$

**Remark 5.11.** Since f' is a degree-three polynomial, the integer y in (5.11) always exists for infinitely many  $\tau \in \mathbb{N}$  by the property of the ring of p-adic integers.

*Proof.* When  $p \ge 11$ , by Corollary 5.8, we have

$$T_m(p) = \lim_{k \to \infty} p^{k(1-s)} \mathcal{M}_m(p^k) \ge p^{1-s} > 0$$

for any  $p \ge 11$  and  $s \ge 17$ . Now assume p < 11. We will focus on p = 2, as the other small p cases follow a similar argument.

Fix  $y_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f'(y_1) \neq 0 \mod 2^{\tau}$  for some  $\tau \geq 1$ . If  $\tau = 1$ , then by using Hensel's lemma, we obtain  $T_m(2) > 2^{1-s}$ . Otherwise, define

$$\mathcal{A} = \{ f(n) \bmod 2^{2\tau+1} : 1 \le n \le 2^{2\tau+1}, (f(n), 2) = 1 \}$$

and  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \cup \{0\}$ . Then, using similar arguments as in the proof of Lemma 5.6, and since  $s \ge 17$ , we obtain

$$|\mathcal{A} + (s-2)\mathcal{B}| \ge \min\left\{2^{2\tau+1}, (s-2)\left\lceil\frac{2^{2\tau+1}-4}{4}\right\rceil\right\} = 2^{2\tau+1}.$$

Therefore, there always exist  $y_2, \ldots, y_s$  such that

$$f(y_2) + \dots + f(y_s) \equiv m - f(y_1) \mod 2^{2\tau+1}.$$

Now apply generalized Hensel's Lemma, for any  $k \ge 2\tau + 1$ ,  $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_s)$  can be lifted to  $2^{(k-2\tau-1)(s-1)}$  solutions to

(5.12) 
$$f(n_1) + f(n_2) + \dots + f(n_s) \equiv m \mod p^k.$$

Thus, the first part of the lemma is proved.

To prove the remaining statement, it suffices to find  $\tau$  for each  $f_i$  and p < 11. We will present  $f = f_1$  here, as the other two polynomials follow similar arguments. Assume p = 2. Recall that f(0) = 0 and f(1) = 1. Fix  $n_1 = 1$ . Note that f'(1) = 4, which is congruent to 0 modulo 4 but not congruent to 0 modulo 8. Then, by the argument above,  $(1, y_1, \dots, y_s)$  can be lifted to  $2^{(k-5)(s-1)}$  solutions to (5.12). Thus,

$$T_m(2) > 2^{5(1-s)}.$$

Finally, for  $3 \le p \le 7$ , note that f'(1) = 4 is not congruent to 0 modulo p, so

$$T_m(p) \ge p^{1-s}.$$

5.4. A lower bound for  $\mathfrak{S}_{f,s}(m)$ . We are ready to establish a lower bound for  $\mathfrak{S}_{f,s}(m)$ .

**Lemma 5.12.** For any polynomial f in the form of (1.4), let  $\tau$  be as defined in (5.11). We then have  $\mathfrak{S}_{f,s}(m) > 0$ . More precisely,

(5.13) 
$$\mathfrak{S}_{f,s}(m) \ge 2^{\frac{z^2}{146-9s}} \exp(((12\tau + 1.03z)(1-s))) > 0$$

uniformly, where  $z = (2e^{se^{932}} + 1)^{\frac{73}{9s-21}}$ . In particular, for the three target polynomials  $f_1, f_2$ , and  $f_3$ , we have

(5.14) 
$$\mathfrak{S}_{f,s}(m) \ge 2^{\frac{z^2}{146-9s}} \exp(1.03z(1-s)) > 0$$

*Proof.* Define  $\theta_p := T_m(p) - 1$  for prime p and let  $w = \prod_{p>z} T_m(p)^{-1}$ . From Lemma 5.5, it follows that for p > z,  $|\theta_p| \le \frac{1}{2}$ . By the Taylor expansion, we write

(5.15) 
$$\log w = -\sum_{p>z} \log(1+\theta_p) = \sum_{p>z} \left(\theta_p + \frac{\theta_p^2}{2} + \frac{\theta_p^3}{3} + \cdots\right).$$

Thus, by Lemma 5.5 and (5.15), we have

$$\log w \le 4\log 2 \cdot e^{se^{932}} \sum_{p>z} p^{1-\frac{9s}{73}} \le 4\log 2 \cdot e^{se^{932}} \int_{z}^{\infty} x^{1-\frac{9s}{73}} \, \mathrm{d}x = \frac{146z^{125/73}\log 2}{9s - 146}.$$

Exponentiating both sides of the above inequality, we obtain

$$w \le 2^{\frac{146z^{125/73}}{9s-146}},$$

which implies that

(5.16) 
$$\prod_{p>z} T_m(p) \ge 2^{\frac{146z^{125/73}}{146-9s}} \ge 2^{\frac{z^2}{146-9s}}.$$

For  $p \leq z$ , Lemma 5.10 shows that

(5.17)  

$$\prod_{p \le z} T_m(p) \ge 210^{(2\tau+1)(1-s)} \prod_{11 \le p \le z} p^{1-s}$$

$$\ge 210^{2\tau(1-s)} \exp\left(\left(1-s\right) \left(0.0242334\frac{z}{\log z}+z\right)\right)$$

$$\ge \exp((1.03z+12\tau)(1-s)),$$

where the second inequality follows from Rosser and Schoenfeld's work [20] on upper bounds for  $\theta(x)$ . For  $f_1, f_2$ , and  $f_3$ , we have

$$\prod_{p \le z} T_m(p) \ge \exp(1.03z(1-s)).$$

Combining inequalities (5.16) and (5.18), we have for a general f satisfying (1.4),

$$\mathfrak{S}_{f,s}(m) \ge 2^{\frac{z^2}{146-9s}} \exp(((1.03z+12\tau)(1-s))) > 0.$$

For the target polynomials  $f_1, f_2, f_3$ , we have

$$\mathfrak{S}_{f_i,s}(m) \ge 2^{\frac{z^2}{146-9s}} \exp(1.03z(1-s)) > 0,$$

which completes the proof.

## 6. MAJOR ARCS : THE SINGULAR INTEGRAL

In this section, we estimate the singular integral  $J^*(m)$  given by (4.11). In light of the discussion in Lemma 5.3, we assume  $N^{\delta} \ge e^{e^{467}}$ . We proceed as follows: First, we estimate the integral  $v(\theta)$  in the integrand of  $J^*(m)$  by the finite sum  $v_1(\theta)$ , defined in (6.1) below. By replacing  $v(\theta)$  in  $J^*(m)$  with  $v_1(\theta)$ , we may extend the range of this new integral to a unit interval, and then approximate it using properties of Gamma functions.

6.1. Approximation of  $v(\theta)$ . Let  $N_0 = \frac{A}{24}N^4$  where N is given by (2.4). Define

(6.1) 
$$v_1(\theta) := \frac{1}{4} \sum_{1 \le n \le N_0} n^{-3/4} e(\theta n) \text{ and } v_2(\theta) := \int_0^{N_0^{1/4}} e(\theta t^4) dt$$

**Lemma 6.1.** Let  $v(\theta)$  and  $v_1(\theta)$  be as defined in (4.10) and (6.1) respectively. Then

$$\left|v_1(\theta) - \left(\frac{A}{24}\right)^{1/4} v(\theta)\right| \le AN^{\delta}.$$

Proof. We have

(6.2) 
$$\left| v_2(\theta) - \left(\frac{A}{24}\right)^{1/4} v(\theta) \right| \le \int_0^{4\sqrt{\frac{A}{24}}} \left| e(\theta t^4) \right| \, \mathrm{d}t = \left(\frac{A}{24}\right)^{1/4}$$

Since  $f(n) = n^{-3/4}$  is a decreasing function, we have

$$\int_{1}^{N_{0}+1} t^{-3/4} \, \mathrm{d}t \le \sum_{1 \le n \le N_{0}} n^{-3/4} \le \int_{0}^{N_{0}} t^{-3/4} \, \mathrm{d}t.$$

Therefore, we get

(6.3) 
$$\left| \frac{1}{4} \sum_{1 \le n \le N_0} n^{-3/4} - \frac{1}{4} \int_1^{N_0} t^{-3/4} \, \mathrm{d}t \right| \le \frac{1}{2} \left| \int_0^1 t^{-3/4} \, \mathrm{d}t \right| = 2.$$

Since  $\frac{1}{4} \int_{1}^{N_0} t^{-3/4} dt = N_0^{1/4} - 1$ , we obtain

(6.4) 
$$\left|\frac{1}{4}\sum_{1\le n\le N_0} n^{-3/4} - N_0^{1/4}\right| \le 3.$$

Using partial summation, we have

(6.5) 
$$v_1(\theta) = e(N_0\theta) \left(\frac{1}{4} \sum_{1 \le n \le N_0} n^{-3/4}\right) - 2\pi i \theta \int_1^{N_0} \left(\frac{1}{4} \sum_{1 \le n \le t} n^{-3/4}\right) e(\theta t) \, \mathrm{d}t.$$

On the other hand, using integration by parts and a change of variables, we obtain

(6.6) 
$$v_2(\theta) = e(N_0\theta)N_0^{1/4} - 2\pi i\theta \int_0^{N_0} t^{1/4}e(\theta t) dt$$

Combining (6.3), (6.4), (6.5) and (6.6), we deduce that

$$|v_1(\theta) - v_2(\theta)| \le \left| \frac{1}{4} \sum_{1 \le n \le N_0} n^{-3/4} - N_0^{1/4} \right| + 2\pi |\theta| \left( 1 + \int_1^{N_0} \left| t^{1/4} - \left( \frac{1}{4} \sum_{1 \le n \le t} n^{-3/4} \right) \right| \, \mathrm{d}t \right)$$
  
(6.7) 
$$\le 3 + 2\pi |\theta| (3N_0 - 2).$$

Recall that  $|\theta| < N^{\delta-4}$  and  $N^{\delta} \ge e^{e^{467}}$ . Putting together (6.2) and (6.7), we have

$$\left| v_1(\theta) - \left(\frac{A}{24}\right)^{1/4} v(\theta) \right| \le 3 + \pi (6N_0 - 4)N^{\delta - 4} + \sqrt[4]{A/24} \le AN^{\delta},$$

which completes the proof.

**Lemma 6.2.** Let  $|\theta| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $v_1(\theta)$  be as in (6.1). Then  $|v_1(\theta)| \leq \min\{2m^{1/4}, 2|\theta|^{-1/4}\}$ .

*Proof.* If  $|\theta| \leq m^{-1}$ , the trivial bound suffices. Assume  $|\theta| > m^{-1}$  and let  $M = [|\theta|^{-1}]$ . Then, the contribution to  $v_1(\theta)$  from the terms  $n \le M$  is bounded by  $M^{1/4} \le |\theta|^{-1/4}$ . When n > M, define  $S_n = \sum_{1 \le r \le n} e(\theta r)$  and  $c_n = \frac{1}{4}n^{-3/4}$ . We can express the sum as

$$\frac{1}{4}\sum_{n=M+1}^{N_0} n^{-3/4} e(\theta n) = c_{N_0+1} S_{N_0} - c_{M+1} S_M + \sum_{n=M+1}^{N_0} (c_n - c_{n+1}) S_n$$

By Lemma 3.4, we know that  $|S_n| \leq \frac{1}{2|\theta|}$ . Since  $c_n$  is strictly decreasing, we have

$$\frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=M+1}^{N_0} n^{-3/4} e(\theta n) = -c_{M+1} S_M + \sum_{n=M+1}^{N_0 - 1} (c_n - c_{n+1}) S_n + c_{N_0} S_{N_0}$$
$$\leq c_{M+1} S_M + \sum_{n=M+1}^{N_0 - 1} (c_n - c_{n+1}) \frac{1}{2|\theta|} + c_{N_0} \frac{1}{2|\theta|}$$
$$\leq 2c_{M+1} \frac{1}{2|\theta|} \leq |\theta|^{-1/4}.$$

Adding the contributions from the two parts, we obtain the desired bound  $|v_1(\theta)| \leq 2|\theta|^{-1/4}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$ 

Next, we introduce another useful lemma from [2] with a slight modification.

**Lemma 6.3** (Lemma 7.3, [2]). Suppose  $\alpha, \beta$  are real numbers with  $\alpha \ge \beta > 0, \beta < 1$ . Then

$$\left|\sum_{n=1}^{m-1} n^{\beta-1} (m-n)^{\alpha-1} - m^{\beta+\alpha-1} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\beta+\alpha)}\right)\right| \le \frac{2}{\beta} m^{\alpha-1} {}_2F_1\left(\beta, 1-\alpha, 1+\beta, \frac{1}{m}\right),$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the Gamma function and  $_2F_1$  is the hypergeometric function. When  $\beta = \frac{1}{4}$ ,

$$\left|\sum_{n=1}^{m-1} n^{\beta-1} (m-n)^{\alpha-1} - m^{\beta+\alpha-1} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\beta+\alpha)}\right)\right| \le 12m^{\alpha-1}$$

*Proof.* When  $\beta = \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $_2F_1\left(\beta, 1-\alpha, 1+\beta, \frac{1}{m}\right) < 2$ , so the proof follows exactly as in [2].  $\Box$ 

# 6.2. Approximating the Singular Integral. First, let's consider the integral

(6.8) 
$$J_1^*(m) := \int_{-N^{\delta-3}}^{N^{\delta-3}} v_1(\theta)^s e(-\theta m) \, \mathrm{d}\theta$$

Therefore, by Lemma 6.1,

(6.9)  
$$|J_{1}^{*}(m) - J^{*}(m)| \leq \int_{-N^{\delta-4}}^{N^{\delta-4}} \left| v_{1}(\theta)^{s} - \left( \left( \frac{A}{24} \right)^{1/4} v(\theta) \right)^{s} \right| d\theta \\\leq AN^{\delta} \int_{-N^{\delta-4}}^{N^{\delta-4}} \sum_{j=1}^{s} |v_{1}(\theta)|^{s-j} \cdot \left| \left( \frac{A}{24} \right)^{1/4} v(\theta) \right|^{j-1} d\theta \\\leq 2AsN^{\delta} N_{0}^{(s-1)/4} N^{\delta-4} \leq 2As \left( \frac{A}{24} \right)^{s/4} N^{s-5+2\delta}.$$

Now, we extend the integral  $J_1^*(m)$  to an integral over a unit interval. We define

(6.10) 
$$J_1(m) := \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} v_1(\theta)^s e(-\theta m) \, \mathrm{d}\theta.$$

We aim to approximate  $J^*(m)$  with  $J_1(m)$ . By Lemma 6.2 and the fact that  $N^{\delta-4} < \frac{1}{2}$ , we have

(6.11)  
$$\begin{aligned} |J_1(m) - J_1^*(m)| &\leq \left| \int_{-1/2}^{-N^{\delta-4}} v_1(\theta)^s e(-\theta m) \, \mathrm{d}\theta \right| + \left| \int_{N^{\delta-4}}^{1/2} v_1(\theta)^s e(-\theta m) \, \mathrm{d}\theta \right| \\ &\leq 2 \int_{N^{\delta-4}}^{1/2} \left( \min\left\{ 2m^{1/4}, 2|\theta|^{-1/4} \right\} \right)^s \, \mathrm{d}\theta \\ &\leq 2^{s+1} \int_{N^{\delta-4}}^{1/2} \theta^{-s/4} \, \mathrm{d}\theta \leq \frac{2^{s+3}}{s-4} N^{\frac{4\delta-s\delta+4s-16}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (6.9) with (6.11), it follows that

(6.12) 
$$|J_1(m) - J^*(m)| \le 2As \left(\frac{A}{24}\right)^{s/4} N^{s-5+2\delta} + \frac{2^{s+3}}{s-4} N^{\frac{4\delta-s\delta+4s-16}{4}}.$$

**Lemma 6.4.** Let  $s \ge 2$ . Then

$$\left| J_1(m,s) - \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^s \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{4}\right)^{-1} m^{s/4-1} \right| \le m^{(s-1)/4-1}.$$

Proof. We write

$$J_{1}(m) = J_{1}(m, s) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} v_{1}(\theta)^{s} e(-\theta m) d\theta$$
  
=  $4^{-s} \sum_{n_{1}=1}^{N_{0}} \cdots \sum_{n_{s}=1}^{N_{0}} (n_{1}n_{2} \cdots n_{s})^{-3/4} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} e(n_{1} + \dots + n_{s} - m) d\theta$   
=  $4^{-s} \sum_{\substack{n_{1}=1\\n_{1}+\dots+n_{s}=m}}^{N_{0}} \cdots \sum_{\substack{n_{s}=1\\n_{1}+\dots+n_{s}=m}}^{N_{0}} (n_{1}n_{2} \cdots n_{s})^{-3/4}.$ 

When s = 2,

$$J_1(m,2) = 4^{-2} \sum_{\substack{n_1=1\\n_1+n_2=m}}^{m-1} \sum_{\substack{n_2=1\\n_1+n_2=m}}^{m-1} (n_1 n_2)^{-3/4} = 4^{-2} \sum_{\substack{n_1=1\\n_1=1}}^{m-1} n_1^{-3/4} (m-n_1)^{-3/4}.$$

Applying Lemma 6.3 with  $\alpha = \beta = \frac{1}{4}$ , we deduce that

$$\left| J_1(m,2) - 4^{-2} m^{-1/4} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4}) \Gamma(\frac{1}{4})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \right| \le \frac{3}{4} m^{-3/4}$$

Rewriting the above, we obtain

$$\left| J_1(m,2) - \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^2 \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-1} m^{-1/4} \right| \le \frac{3}{4} m^{-3/4},$$

and thus the lemma holds for s = 2. Now, suppose the lemma holds for some  $s \ge 2$ . Note that

$$J_1(m, s+1) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} n^{-3/4} J_1(m-n, s).$$

By assumption,

(6.13) 
$$\left| J_1(m-n,s) - \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^s \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{4}\right)^{-1} (m-n)^{s/4-1} \right| \le (m-n)^{(s-1)/4-1}.$$

Moreover, by Lemma 6.3 with  $\beta = 1/4$  and  $\alpha = s/4$ , and the fact that  $\Gamma(5/4) = 4\Gamma(1/4)$ ,

$$\left|\frac{1}{4}\sum_{n=1}^{m-1} n^{-3/4} \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^s \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{4}\right)^{-1} (m-n)^{s/4-1} - \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^{s+1} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{4}\right)^{-1} m^{(s+1)/4-1}\right| \le 3 \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^s \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{4}\right)^{-1} m^{s/4-1}.$$
(6.14)

Therefore combining (6.13) and (6.14), and applying Lemma 6.3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| J_1(m,s+1) - \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^{s+1} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{4}\right)^{-1} m^{(s+1)/4-1} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} n^{-3/4} (m-n)^{(s-1)/4-1} + 3 \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^s \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{4}\right)^{-1} m^{s/4-1} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} m^{s/4-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s-1}{4}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{4}\right)^{-1} + 3m^{(s-1)/4-1} \\ &+ 3 \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^s \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{4}\right)^{-1} m^{s/4-1} \le m^{s/4-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By induction, the proof follows.

# 7. Asymptotic Results for Representations as Sums of numbers in Target POLYNOMIAL FORMS

Our goal in this section is to prove Theorem 1.4. Our first intermediate result is as follows.

**Theorem 7.1.** For  $m, s \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mathcal{R}_{f,s}(m)$  denote the number of ways of representations of m as the sum of s numbers represented by the polynomial f defined in (1.4). Then for any  $s \ge 17$ ,  $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{5}$ , and  $m > \frac{A}{24} (e^{e^{468}})^{4/\delta}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathcal{R}_{f,s}(m) - \left(\frac{24}{A}\right)^{s/4} \mathfrak{S}_{f,s}(m) \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^{s} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{4}\right)^{-1} m^{s/4-1} \right| \\ &\leq 70(A+4|B|) \cdot \pi s \left(\frac{81m}{A}\right)^{\frac{5\delta+s-5}{4}} + \frac{230^{s} \cdot 730}{9s-146} A^{\frac{9\delta s-146\delta}{292}} m^{\frac{73s-292-9\delta s+146\delta}{292}} \\ &+ 2Ase^{e^{468}} \left(\frac{81m}{A}\right)^{\frac{s-5+2\delta}{4}} + \left(\frac{24}{A}\right)^{s/4} \frac{2^{s+3}}{s-4} e^{e^{468}} \left(\frac{81m}{A}\right)^{\frac{4\delta-s\delta+4s-16}{16}} \end{aligned}$$
(7.1)

(7.1)

$$+\left(\frac{24}{A}\right)^{s/4} \left(e^{e^{468}} \cdot m^{\frac{s-5}{4}}\right) + 10^6 \cdot 11^{s-16} (\log m)^{\frac{s-16}{8}} \left(\frac{81m}{A}\right)^{\frac{s-4}{4} - \frac{\delta(s-16)}{32} + \frac{s}{4\log\log(m/A) - 8}}$$

where  $\mathfrak{S}_{f,s}(m)$  is defined in (5.1) and satisfies (5.13).

*Proof.* For s > 3,  $|\theta| \le \frac{1}{2}$ , we have  $|(\frac{A}{24})^{1/4}v(\theta)| \le \min\{(\frac{A}{24})^{1/4}N, 2|\theta|^{-1/4}\}$  following a similar computation as in Lemma 6.2. Therefore, we obtain

(7.2) 
$$|J^*(m)| = \left| \int_{-N^{\delta-4}}^{N^{\delta-4}} \left( \frac{A}{24} \right)^{s/4} v^s(\theta) e(-\theta m) \, \mathrm{d}\theta \right| \le \left( \frac{2^{s+3}}{s-4} + \frac{2}{5^s} \right) m^{s/4-1}$$

Now, we show that in (4.12), one may replace  $J^*(m)$  by  $J_1(m)$  while allowing a small error. Indeed, combining Lemma 5.3, (6.12) and (7.2), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathcal{R}_{f,s}^{*}(m) - \left(\frac{24}{A}\right)^{s/4} \mathfrak{S}_{f,s}(m) J_{1}(m) \right| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{24}{A}\right)^{s/4} \left( |J^{*}(m)| \cdot |\mathfrak{S}_{f,s}(m) - \mathfrak{S}_{f,s}(m, N^{\delta})| + |\mathfrak{S}_{f,s}(m)| |J_{1}(m) - J^{*}(m)| \right) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{24}{A}\right)^{s/4} \left( \left(\frac{2^{s+3}}{s-4} + \frac{2}{5^{s}}\right) \frac{(52A^{1/4})^{s}}{\left(\frac{9s}{73} - 2\right)N^{\left(\frac{9s}{73} - 2\right)\delta}} m^{\frac{s}{4} - 1} + 2e^{e^{468}} As \left(\frac{A}{24}\right)^{s/4} N^{s-5+2\delta} \\ &+ \frac{2^{s+3}}{s-4} e^{e^{468}} N^{\frac{4\delta-s\delta+4s-16}{4}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the inequality

$$\left(\frac{24m}{A}\right)^{1/4} \le N \le 3\left(\frac{m}{A}\right)^{1/4},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathcal{R}_{f,s}^{*}(m) - \left(\frac{24}{A}\right)^{s/4} \mathfrak{S}_{f,s}(m) J_{1}(m) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{230^{s} \cdot 730}{9s - 146} A^{\frac{9\delta s - 146\delta}{292}} m^{\frac{73s - 292 - 9\delta s + 146\delta}{292}} + 2Ase^{e^{468}} \left(\frac{81m}{A}\right)^{\frac{s - 5 + 2\delta}{4}} \\ &+ \left(\frac{24}{A}\right)^{s/4} \frac{2^{s+3}}{s - 4} e^{e^{468}} \left(\frac{81m}{A}\right)^{\frac{4\delta - s\delta + 4s - 16}{16}}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining Lemma 4.1 and (7.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathfrak{M}} S_{f}(\alpha)^{s} e(-\alpha m) \, \mathrm{d}\alpha - \left(\frac{24}{A}\right)^{s/4} \mathfrak{S}_{f,s}(m) J_{1}(m) \right| \\ &\leq 70(A+4|B|) \pi s \left(\frac{81m}{A}\right)^{\frac{5\delta+s-5}{4}} + \frac{230^{s} \cdot 730}{9s-146} A^{\frac{9\delta s-146\delta}{292}} m^{\frac{73s-292-9\delta s+146\delta}{292}} \\ &+ 2As e^{e^{468}} \left(\frac{81m}{A}\right)^{\frac{s-5+2\delta}{4}} + \left(\frac{24}{A}\right)^{s/4} \frac{2^{s+3}}{s-4} e^{e^{468}} \left(\frac{81m}{A}\right)^{\frac{4\delta-s\delta+4s-16}{16}}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, putting together Lemma 6.4 and (7.4), for  $s \ge 17$  and  $m > \frac{A}{24} (e^{e^{407}})^{4/\delta}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathfrak{M}} S_{f}(\alpha)^{s} e(-\alpha m) \, \mathrm{d}\alpha - \left(\frac{24}{A}\right)^{\frac{s}{4}} \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^{s} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{4}\right)^{-1} \mathfrak{S}_{f,s}(m) m^{s/4-1} \right| \\ &\leq 70(A+4|B|) \pi s \left(\frac{81m}{A}\right)^{\frac{5\delta+s-5}{4}} + \frac{230^{s} \cdot 730}{9s-146} A^{\frac{9\delta s-146\delta}{292}} m^{\frac{73s-292-9\delta s+146\delta}{292}} \\ &+ 2As e^{e^{468}} \left(\frac{81m}{A}\right)^{\frac{s-5+2\delta}{4}} + \left(\frac{24}{A}\right)^{s/4} \frac{2^{s+3}}{s-4} e^{e^{468}} \left(\frac{81m}{A}\right)^{\frac{4\delta-s\delta+4s-16}{16}} \\ &+ \left(\frac{24}{A}\right)^{s/4} e^{e^{468}} m^{\frac{s-5}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$
(7.5)

The proof now follows immediately from Theorem 3.1 and (7.5).

In Theorem 7.1, we can choose a suitable  $\delta$  in terms of s to minimize the exponents of m in the right hand side of (7.1). By examining the first and second terms in the right hand side, we see that  $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{5}$  is necessary for the main term to dominate the error term. In fact, any  $\delta$  within this range satisfies the initial requirement in (2.4). We now proceed to optimize our choice of  $\delta$ .

**Lemma 7.2.** One may choose  $\delta = \frac{73}{219+9s}$  in the statement of Theorem 7.1.

*Proof.* Extract the exponents depending on  $\delta$  in the right hand side of (7.1). Consider

$$G(\delta) = \max\left\{5\delta - 1, \left(2 - \frac{9s}{73}\right)\delta, 2\delta - 1, \delta\left(1 - \frac{s}{4}\right), -\delta\left(\frac{s}{8} - 2\right)\right\}.$$

Let  $\delta_0$  be such that  $G(\delta_0) = \inf \{ G(\delta) : \delta \in (0, \frac{1}{5}) \}$ . When  $s \ge 9$ , we have

$$G(\delta) = \begin{cases} \delta(1 - \frac{s}{4}), \text{ if } \delta < 0\\ \left(2 - \frac{9s}{73}\right)\delta, \text{ if } \delta \in [0, \delta_0]\\ 5\delta - 1, \text{ if } \delta > \delta_0. \end{cases}$$

Thus  $\delta_0$  occurs at the intersection of  $\left(2 - \frac{9s}{73}\right)\delta$  and  $5\delta - 1$ , which implies  $\delta_0 = \frac{73}{219+9s}$ .

With Theorem 7.1 and Lemma 7.2, we can derive the general versions of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.4. By substituting  $\delta = \frac{73}{219+9s}$  into Theorem 7.1, we obtain the general version of Theorem 1.4. For Theorem 1.2, the general version is as follows.

**Theorem 7.3.** For  $m, s \in \mathbb{N}$  and i = 1, 2, 3, let  $\mathcal{R}_{f_i,s}(m)$  denote the number of representations of m as the sum of s numbers, as given by the target polynomial  $f_i$  defined in (1.2). Then, for any  $s \ge 17$  and  $m > 131 \exp\left(\frac{(876+36s)}{73}e^{467}\right)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathcal{R}_{f_{i},s}(m) - \left(\frac{24}{A_{i}}\right)^{s/4} \mathfrak{S}_{f,s}(m) \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^{s} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{4}\right)^{-1} m^{s/4-1} \right| \\ &\leq 3.5 \times 10^{6} s \left(\frac{9m}{8}\right)^{\frac{9s^{2} + 174s - 730}{876 + 36s}} + \frac{230^{s} \cdot 730}{9s - 146} \cdot 3132^{\frac{9s - 146}{36s + 876}} m^{\frac{9s^{2} + 174s - 730}{36s + 876}} \\ &+ 7000 s e^{e^{468}} \left(\frac{9m}{8}\right)^{\frac{9s^{2} + 174s - 949}{876 + 36s}} + \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{s/4} \frac{2^{s+3}}{s - 4} e^{e^{468}} \left(\frac{9m}{8}\right)^{\frac{36s^{2} + 659s - 3212}{3504 + 144s}} \end{aligned}$$

(7.6)

$$+\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{s/4}e^{e^{468}}m^{\frac{s-5}{4}} + 10^6 \cdot 11^{s-16}(\log m)^{\frac{s-16}{8}}\left(\frac{9m}{8}\right)^{\frac{s-4}{4} - \frac{73s-1168}{7008+288s} + \frac{s}{4\log\log(m/3132)-8}}$$

where  $A_1 = 72, A_2 = 580, A_3 = 3132$ , and  $\mathfrak{S}_{f,s}(m)$  uniformly satisfy (5.14).

*Proof.* For i = 1, 2, 3, by writing  $f_i$  in the form of (1.4), we obtain the following values: for  $f_1, A = 72$  and B = 84; for  $f_2, A = 580$  and B = 590; for  $f_3, A = 3132$  and B = 3186. Thus,

(7.7) 
$$\min_{i=1,2,3} A = 72, \max_{i=1,2,3} A = 3132, \text{ and } \max_{i=1,2,3} B = 3186.$$

Substituting (7.7) into Theorem 7.1 and using Lemma 5.12, we obtain the desired result.  $\Box$ 

### REFERENCES

- [1] R. Balasubramanian. On waring's problem:  $g(4) \leq 20$ . Hardy-Ramanujan, 1985.
- and A. [2] D. Basak, Dong, Κ. Saettone, Zaharescu. Pollock's A. conjectures on dodecahedral icosahedral numbers. Preprint, 2024. Displayed electronically and at https://drive.google.com/file/d/1170h36TjDeo23d9QrLk19XlfonhslYb/view.
- [3] Z. E. Brady. Sums of seven octahedral numbers. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 93(1):244–272, 2015.
- [4] J. Brüdern and T. D. Wooley. On waring's problem for larger powers. *Journal f
  ür die reine und angewandte* Mathematik, 2023(805):115–142, 2023.
- [5] J. Chen. Waring's problem for g(5) = 37. Scientia Sinica, pages 1547–1568, 1964.
- [6] H. S. M. Coxeter. Regular Polytopes. Dover Publications Inc., New York, 1973.
- [7] H. Davenport. On the addition of residue classes. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 1(1):30–32, 1935.
- [8] H. Davenport. On waring's problem for fourth powers. Annals of Mathematics, pages 731–747, 1939.
- [9] J. M. Deshouillers and F. Dress. Sums of 19 biquadrates: On the representation of large integers. Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, pages 113–153, 1992.
- [10] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood. Some problems of "partitio numerorum": I. a new solution of waring's problem. *Göttingen Nachrichten*, pages 33–54, 1920.
- [11] G. H. Hardy and S. Ramanujan. Asymptotic formulae in combinatory analysis. Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, pages 75–115, 1918.
- [12] D. Hilbert. Beweis f
  ür darstellbarkeit der ganzen zahlen durch eine feste anzahl nter potenzen (waringsche problem). *Mathematische Annalen*, pages 281–300, 1909.
- [13] H. L. M. I. Niven, H. S. Zuckerman. An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers. Wiley, 1991.
- [14] A. J. Kempner. Bemerkungen zum waringschen problem. Mathematische Annalen, 72:387–399, 1912.
- [15] H. K. Kim. On regular polytope numbers. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, pages 65–75, 2002.

- [16] Y. V. Linnik. On the representation of large numbers as sums of seven cubes. *Recueil Mathématique (Nou-velle série)*, pages 218–224, 1943.
- [17] J.-L. Nicolas and G. Robin. Majorations explicites pour le nombre de diviseurs de n. Canadian Mathematical Bulletin, 26(4):485–492, 1983.
- [18] S. S. Pillai. On waring's problem: g(6) = 73. Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences, pages 30–40, 1940.
- [19] S. F. Pollock. On the extension of the principle of fermat's theorem of the polygonal numbers to the higher orders of series whose ultimate differences are constant. *Proceedings of Royal Society of London*, 5:922–924, 1850.
- [20] J. B. Rosser and L. Schoenfeld. Sharper bounds for the chebyshev functions  $\theta(x)$  and  $\psi(x)$ . *Mathematical Components*, pages 243–269, 1975.
- [21] R. C. Vaughan. The Hardy-Littlewood method. Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [22] R. C. Vaughan and T. D. Wooley. Further improvements in waring's problem, ii: sixth powers. Duke Mathematical Journal, pages 683–710, 1994.
- [23] R. C. Vaughan and T. D. Wooley. Further improvements in waring's problem. *Acta Mathematica*, pages 147–240, 1995.
- [24] A. Wieferich. Beweis des satzes, daß sich eine jede ganze zahl als summe von höchstens neun positiven kuben darstellen läßt. *Mathematische Annalen*, 66:95–101, 1908.
- [25] T. D. Wooley. On waring's problem for intermediate powers. Acta Arithmetica, pages 241–247, 2016.

ANJI DONG: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS URBANA-CHAMPAIGN, ALT-GELD HALL, 1409 W. GREEN STREET, URBANA, IL, 61801, USA *Email address*: anjid2@illinois.edu

THE NGUYEN: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS URBANA-CHAMPAIGN, ALT-GELD HALL, 1409 W. GREEN STREET, URBANA, IL, 61801, USA

Email address: thevn2@illinois.edu

ALEXANDRU ZAHARESCU: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS URBANA-CHAMPAIGN, ALTGELD HALL, 1409 W. GREEN STREET, URBANA, IL, 61801, USA AND SIMION STOILOW INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE ROMANIAN ACADEMY, P. O. BOX 1-764, RO-014700 BUCHAREST, ROMANIA

Email address: zaharesc@illinois.edu