

Security and Privacy of Digital Twins for Advanced Manufacturing: A Survey

ALEXANDER D. ZEMSKOV, Case Western Reserve University, USA

YAO FU, Case Western Reserve University, USA

RUNCHAO LI, Case Western Reserve University, USA

XUFEI WANG, Case Western Reserve University, USA

VISPI KARKARIA, Northwestern University, USA

YING-KUAN TSAI, Northwestern University, USA

WEI CHEN, Northwestern University, USA

JIANJING ZHANG, Case Western Reserve University, USA

ROBERT GAO, Case Western Reserve University, USA

JIAN CAO, Northwestern University, USA

KENNETH A. LOPARO, Case Western Reserve University, USA

PAN LI, Case Western Reserve University, USA

In Industry 4.0, the digital twin is one of the emerging technologies, offering simulation abilities to predict, refine, and interpret conditions and operations, where it is crucial to emphasize a heightened concentration on the associated security and privacy risks. To be more specific, the adoption of digital twins in the manufacturing industry relies on integrating technologies like cyber-physical systems, the Industrial Internet of Things, virtualization, and advanced manufacturing. The interactions of these technologies give rise to numerous security and privacy vulnerabilities that remain inadequately explored. Towards that end, this paper analyzes the cybersecurity threats of digital twins for advanced manufacturing in the context of data collection, data sharing, machine learning and deep learning, and system-level security and privacy. We also provide several solutions to the threats in those four categories that can help establish more trust in digital twins.

CCS Concepts: • **Advanced Manufacturing** → **Digital Twin**; *Security*; *Privacy*.

Additional Key Words and Phrases: data collection, data sharing, machine learning, deep learning, system-level security and privacy

Authors' addresses: Alexander D. Zemskov, adz36@case.edu, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio, USA; Yao Fu, yxf484@case.edu, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio, USA; Runchao Li, rxl685@case.edu, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio, USA; Xufei Wang, xxw512@case.edu, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio, USA; Vispi Karkaria, vispikarkaria2026@u.northwestern.edu, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois, USA; Ying-Kuan Tsai, yingkuan.tsai@northwestern.edu, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois, USA; Wei Chen, weichen@northwestern.edu, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois, USA; Jianjing Zhang, jxz170heh@case.edu, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio, USA; Robert Gao, robert.gao@case.edu, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio, USA; Jian Cao, jcao@northwestern.edu, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois, USA; Kenneth A. Loparo, kal4@case.edu, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio, USA; Pan Li, lipan@ieee.org, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio, USA.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

© 2024 Association for Computing Machinery.

XXXX-XXXX/2024/12-ART \$15.00

<https://doi.org/XXXXXXXX.XXXXXXX>




Fig. 1. Key Components of Advanced Manufacturing

ACM Reference Format:

Alexander D. Zemskov, Yao Fu, Runchao Li, Xufei Wang, Vispi Karkaria, Ying-Kuan Tsai, Wei Chen, Jianjing Zhang, Robert Gao, Jian Cao, Kenneth A. Loparo, and Pan Li. 2024. Security and Privacy of Digital Twins for Advanced Manufacturing: A Survey. 1, 1 (December 2024), 35 pages. <https://doi.org/XXXXXXXX.XXXXXXX>

1 INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the global landscape of manufacturing has undergone a significant transformation, spurred by the integration of cutting-edge technologies and data-driven solutions. This paradigm shift, often referred to as advanced manufacturing, has revolutionized traditional industrial processes, offering a spectrum of opportunities for increased efficiency, productivity, and sustainability. As shown in Figure 1, manufacturing encompasses the seamless convergence of advanced technologies, including the Internet of Things (IoT), artificial intelligence (AI), sensing and control, edge and cloud computing, robotics, among others. Through the strategic integration of these components, manufacturers can optimize operations, streamline production processes, and deliver products of superior quality while responding swiftly to dynamic market demands.

Digital twins, virtual replicas of physical assets or systems, have emerged as powerful tools for enhancing operational efficiency, predictive maintenance, and overall decision-making processes [125, 178]. In advanced manufacturing, the concept of the digital twin has gained substantial momentum as a groundbreaking technological advancement, poised to reshape the landscape of manufacturing in both contemporary and future contexts. Acting as a mirror of the real world as shown in Figure 2, the digital twin for manufacturing offers a platform for simulating, predicting, and optimizing physical manufacturing systems and processes. By harnessing the power of the digital twin alongside intelligent algorithms, organizations can realize data-driven operational monitoring and optimization, foster innovation in products and services, and diversify their approaches to value creation and business models.

Digital twins offer a broad spectrum of applications across diverse industries and sectors, spanning healthcare, smart cities, manufacturing, supply chain, and so forth. In the realm of healthcare, digital




Fig. 2. Digital Twin for Advanced Manufacturing

twins are utilized for personalized medicine, the advancement of medical devices, and precision surgical planning. In the domain of smart cities, these digital counterparts contribute to the evolution of intelligent urban endeavors by crafting intricate models of urban infrastructure, transportation grids, and public services. The outcome is astute city planning and judicious allocation of resources.

However, the applications of digital twins, including manufacturing, are likely to confront a critical concern: privacy and security, which are both imperative in this rapidly evolving technological landscape. As the digital counterparts of real-world manufacturing systems become increasingly sophisticated and interconnected, they raise a big number of questions and challenges concerning the protection of sensitive data, the safeguarding of critical infrastructure, and the preservation of individual privacy in manufacturing processes. To create trustworthy digital twins in manufacturing, ensuring data integrity and cybersecurity is essential. Data integrity preserves the accuracy, consistency, and reliability of information as digital twins are updated and adapted. Furthermore, achieving trustworthiness in digital twins relies on robust uncertainty quantification methods that account for data variability and enhance decision-making accuracy by providing clear indicators of confidence in simulated outcomes [168]. Cybersecurity strategies are critical in preventing unauthorized access and ensuring safe model updates, which are especially important in interconnected systems like manufacturing lines. Enhancing trust in digital twins enables them to function as reliable and secure tools for decision-making in advanced manufacturing and resilient supply chain operations.

In addition to addressing the direct cybersecurity (i.e., security and privacy) challenges associated with digital twins in advanced manufacturing, it is equally important to consider the implications of model updates, decision-making processes, and uncertainty quantification within these systems. Ensuring that digital twins can be securely updated and that these updates adhere to privacy standards is crucial for maintaining the integrity and reliability of the manufacturing process. Furthermore, decision-making based on data from digital twins must account for cybersecurity considerations so that people should incorporate robust methods for quantifying uncertainties. This is essential for achieving a high degree of operational efficiency and resilience against potential cyber-threats. Exploring these aspects will further our understanding of how to safeguard the advanced capabilities of digital twins while ensuring their effective deployment in advanced manufacturing.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 addresses the security and privacy associated with data collection for digital twins in advanced manufacturing, emphasizing the imperative for the system to align with the requisites of performance, interoperability, and reliability. Section 3 addresses the security and privacy issues in data sharing, particularly within the framework




Fig. 3. Overview of Entities in Data Collection

of cultivating trust, establishing traceability, upholding data integrity, implementing access control, employing encryption, and delving into the intricacies of centralized versus decentralized data sharing solutions. Section 4 discusses the potential cybersecurity threats when applying machine learning or deep learning techniques. Cybersecurity issues within model update, decision making, and uncertainty quantification are also discussed. Section 5 addresses system-level security and privacy. Finally, section 6 demonstrates final remarks and future research goals.

2 DATA COLLECTION

Manufacturing systems are sophisticated physical entities that are characterized by intricate interconnections among their diverse components. Digital twins enable semi-physical simulation capabilities, effectively curbing the extensive time and costs associated with physical commissioning and reconfiguration. In advanced manufacturing, a physical entity within a factory is linked to the industrial Internet through conventional cyber gateways and is conceptualized as a digital twin in the virtual realm. Each digital twin in cyberspace serves as a representation of its real-world counterpart, mirroring its physical state. The virtual space acts as a repository for streamed data originating from the interconnected physical objects. These data are harnessed to model, simulate, and forecast the status of each tangible entity in the system, especially in the face of dynamic operational conditions.

The life cycle of a digital twin begins in the physical realm, where IoT devices capture dynamic data and formulate control instructions for physical assets. Embedded systems integrate computation, networking, and physical processes, creating a reciprocal influence between computational outcomes and physical events. This integration forms a closed-loop that connects sensors, controllers, and actuators. Controllers synchronously process real-world observations (measurements) and implement command and control instructions to modify real-world behaviors. This initial stage of the digital twin involves both Hardware Entities (HW) and Software Entities (SW). HW resides in the physical domain, while SW acts as a bridge between HW and the data-sharing layer.

As illustrated in Fig. 3, HW entities in the physical domain fall into three categories: 1) Sensing Hardware, such as IoT sensors, smart meters, and wearable devices, responsible for real-time data collection; 2) Control Hardware, consisting of actuators that execute actions based on feedback from the digital space; 3) Infrastructure Hardware, including grid, networking, and computing infrastructures.

2.1 Hardware and Software Security

Digital twin systems face a variety of security challenges at both hardware and software levels. Combining the discussions of hardware and software security emphasizes common challenges such as privilege escalation and insider attacks while summarizing examples of side-channel and privilege escalation threats to avoid redundancy.

2.1.1 Insider and Privilege Escalation Attacks. For all hardware devices, insider attacks represent a significant security vulnerability. These devices are often stored in areas accessible to employees, making it easier for insiders to compromise the functionality of sensors, actuators, or power lines. Individuals with full access to Operational Technology (OT) domains can undertake actions that present security threats. They possess the ability to deploy, replicate, or replace IT/OT devices and, in certain instances, maliciously modify software components. Such access enables them to corrupt the physical environment, thereby affecting the digital realm as well. For example, Murillo et al. [120] illustrated how manipulating the settings of a Programmable Logic Controller could force hydraulic system water pumps to remain off, leaving a tank empty. This scenario was identified using a digital twin named DHALSIM for detection purposes. Similarly, in [35], researchers altered the logic of a controller to highlight the need for robust online defense strategies.

Privilege escalation attacks often arise when adversaries exploit vulnerabilities in authentication and authorization mechanisms. For example, Triton [188], malware targeting Triconex controllers, exploited two zero-day vulnerabilities, enabling attackers to elevate privileges, gain memory access, and execute arbitrary code. Attackers with comprehensive access rights to industrial domains may modify configurations, generate false data, or manipulate network traffic [88]. These actions can cause significant deviations from standard operations and compromise anomaly detection systems within digital twins [193].

This threat can also originate from the hardware/software supply chain. Unethical manufacturers might introduce compromised components into Cyber-Physical Systems or Industrial IoT devices for various aims, such as creating information leaks, inducing system failures, or undermining asset integrity. These actions affect not only the system's regular operations and its associated digital twins but also potentially damage the organization's reputation.

2.1.2 Side-channel and Communication-based Attacks. Side-channel attacks exploit unintended information leakage from computing devices to deduce sensitive data. The concept, pioneered by Kocher [80], has evolved significantly with the advent of cloud computing. Modern variants, such as cache-timing [46] and DRAM row buffer [132] attacks, can be executed remotely in cloud environments. Recently, the spectrum of side-channel attacks has expanded to include acoustics, targeting vibrations of physical entities that inadvertently leak information. For instance, acoustic emissions from keyboards can be triangulated to identify specific keystrokes [136], while automated DNA synthesizers are vulnerable to acoustic side-channel attacks, risking the confidentiality of DNA sequences [41].

Hardware-based defenses alone are insufficient to mitigate these risks. Fell et al. [42] propose removing conditional branches with distinguishable execution times and replacing primitive instructions with non-deterministic ones to obfuscate timing leakage.

Man-in-the-Middle (MitM) attacks are another major concern in digital twins, particularly in wireless networks that enable synchronization between hardware and digital twins. In [88], researchers exploited mobile networks to cause significant delays in applications like remote surgery control. Insiders may also compromise communication channels by introducing rogue devices, launching routing attacks, or disrupting digital twin traffic [79].

2.1.3 Denial of Service Attacks. Denial of Service (DoS) attacks target resource depletion in Industrial IoT/Cyber-Physical Systems, hindering automation operations in the physical realm and disrupting simulation processes in the digital realm. These attacks can occur at multiple layers of the TCP/IP stack: jamming at the physical layer [156], malware injection at the application layer, or on-the-path attacks at the network layer [203]. In advanced manufacturing, where data is transmitted in large quantities, these attacks can result in operational interruptions, compromising the seamless functioning of digital twins [146]. Adversaries strategically deplete resources, curtailing automation and simulation activities, and exacerbating vulnerabilities within digital twin systems.

2.2 Countermeasures

The interconnection among digital twin models and elements introduces potential security vulnerabilities, often stemming from hardware and software susceptibilities, as detailed in [47]. These vulnerabilities may arise from either the absence of robust design practices (security-by-design) or insufficient validation, particularly when incorporating third-party resources. During the development of an advanced manufacturing system, diverse sets of equipment from various manufacturers are often integrated, necessitating a concurrent control design for multiple virtual machines. Traditional control programs, crafted based on engineers' individual experiences, pose challenges for comprehensibility of logical action flow and hinder the swift response to iterative optimization during the control debugging process. The implementation of automated control code generation is paramount, not only facilitating a more straightforward modification and upgrade process but also enhancing collaboration by making the logical flow of actions more accessible to others involved in the development.

Securing the infrastructures integral to the digital twin, including networks, servers, and virtualization systems, is of utmost importance. In this scenario, a comprehensive defense-in-depth strategy forms the fundamental approach for safeguarding digital twin systems. This approach necessitates the integration of robust security mechanisms to safeguard access to digital assets, establishing a layered defense that reinforces the protection of the entire digital twin ecosystem[13].

To establish the first layer of defense, effective measures such as isolation and segmentation can be employed to decouple simulation functions from unauthorized or external access, as suggested in [47]. Implementing this involves deploying a range of security elements, including firewalls, proxies, diode communication, secure interconnection devices within virtual networks, adherence to best practices, intrusion detection/prevention systems, and the integration of deception mechanisms. These components collectively form the primary defense mechanisms to fortify the security posture. It's crucial to use predefined access limits and determine the level of trust for every entity engaging with digital twin services. The effectiveness of these mechanisms hinges on their configuration, location, and the responsible entity for their management.

3 DATA SHARING

After data collection, it is essential in advanced manufacturing that the collected data is shareable without compromising security or privacy considerations. This demands establishing a foundation of trust through secure methods, tamper-proof logs to ensure data fidelity critical aspect of an advanced manufacturing ecosystem, a clear traceability mechanism, access control protocols, and maintaining data integrity to ensure accuracy. The seamless and secure sharing of data across various components of the manufacturing system, such as robots, sensors, and assembly lines, is pivotal for operational efficiency. It is also important to consider the optimal data storage approaches before sharing the data to support the swift and reliable exchange of information required for real-time decision-making in a smart factory. This involves implementing encryption measures to protect the content, employing a cost-effective database infrastructure, and conducting




Fig. 4. Data Sharing Challenges Areas: 1) Data Storage, 2) Data Access, 3) Data Provenance

a comprehensive analysis of both centralized and decentralized solutions to determine their merits and decide which one(s) to use.

A solution must not only prevent unauthorized data redistribution but also possess the capability to trace any potential trust breaches. This approach ensures that data remains both accessible and protected throughout its journey, from collection to sharing, a journey that, in advanced manufacturing, is often complex due to the integration of various systems and technologies. Potential threats that need to be considered include unauthorized access by external parties, data interception, insider attacks, manipulation of data, instances of social engineering, and insufficient practices for the anonymization of data. The paper [19] also mentions that the data sharing should support high volume data sharing with low-latency in certain scenarios, such as when coordinating across an advanced manufacturing supply chain. In this section, we discuss the requirements for a good data sharing paradigm based on the awareness of security and privacy vulnerabilities, and potential solutions for advanced manufacturing environments, where the stakes are particularly high due to the potentially disruptive consequences of security breaches. Fig. 4 shows the areas that should be considered when data sharing: 1) how the data is stored, where the data is stored, and data safeguarding, 2) how the data is accessed by authorized persons, and 3) the integrity and provenance of the data. These will be discussed in the following sections.

3.1 Security and Privacy Challenges in Data Sharing

Data sharing, even in the absence of digital twins, presents an array of security and privacy challenges that are magnified in the context of advanced manufacturing.

First and foremost, ensuring an accurate historical lineage from the very genesis becomes important, as any modification at any point within the data's history could compromise both its accuracy and provenance. In an advanced manufacturing setting where decision-making often hinges on the integrity of historical data, this is particularly critical. Document updates have become more frequent [16], adding complexity to their storage and historical tracking, which is paramount in advanced manufacturing processes for quality control and compliance purposes.

Secondly, the chosen data storage approach—be it a centralized database like Google Drive or a decentralized one incorporating blockchain technology—introduces its distinct set of privacy and security challenges pertinent to the advanced manufacturing milieu.

Thirdly, safeguarding the data necessitates robust techniques like pseudonymization [126] and anonymization [151], which shield sensitive information from exposure—a critical concern in

competitive manufacturing sectors. Federated learning offers significant benefits for maintaining privacy while enabling collaboration. This method preserves data ownership by allowing data owners to contribute model parameters to a central server rather than sharing raw data, significantly mitigating the risk of data breaches. Federated learning, as explored by [202], enhances privacy and leverages distributed data sources to improve model robustness and accuracy without compromising privacy.

Lastly, it is imperative that only authorized personnel can access and share the stored data. Thus, proper confidentiality, authentication, and access control [201] mechanisms tailored for advanced manufacturing systems are crucial. As digital twins become increasingly integrated into advanced manufacturing, these challenges are further complicated, as additional layers for data leakage emerge during data collection and storage processes.

The rest of this section will discuss various secure data-sharing methods that handle the above-mentioned issues, focusing on their applications in advanced manufacturing. When exploring the types of attacks that can occur during data sharing, [92] discusses potential attacks such as Man-in-the-Middle attacks (MITM), Forgery attacks, and Injection attacks. One proposed solution is the use of blockchain technology.

3.2 Data Provenance

When engaging in data sharing, it is important to inspect the provenance of the data, which pertains to the origin and history of the data, ensuring its trail can be followed to ascertain authenticity and integrity. The objective is to establish a robust assurance that the data has not been tampered with and is accurate throughout its journey. Tracking digital twin data from its creation phase and preserving its integrity is critical in advanced manufacturing, ensuring the digital twin accurately reflects its physical counterpart throughout the process.

[56] A blockchain-based framework ensures secure traceability, accessibility, and immutability throughout the digital twin lifecycle, from design to delivery. Smart contracts govern transactions, restricting unauthorized interactions and aligning the twin's state with its lifecycle phase, such as preventing delivery during testing. This approach enhances synchronization, operational efficiency, and product quality, with potential extensions for continuous improvement cycles.

[66] proposes the concept of a product profile for tracking events throughout a product's lifecycle, including design, manufacture, usage, and maintenance. Stored on a blockchain, these profiles provide a secure and transparent history of products in advanced manufacturing, enhancing traceability and operational efficiency. Product profiles serve as an additional method for tracking digital twin history, fostering transparency and trust in manufacturing operations.

3.3 Data Storage

Data storage constitutes another pivotal dimension of data sharing, particularly in advanced manufacturing where the management of large-scale, complex data is crucial. Within this context, two distinct categories emerge: centralized and decentralized storage mechanisms. While solutions exist for both paradigms, the secure attributes intrinsic to decentralized systems, such as blockchains, position them as the preferred choice for storage, especially for advanced manufacturing applications where security, scalability, and reliability are paramount. Blockchain is known for its decentralized nature as a distributed and immutable ledger database. In the context of a decentralized network that is often the backbone of the advanced manufacturing data architecture, the transmission of data between untrusted digital twins can be authenticated and documented through the blockchain's consensus mechanism. This ensures the security and traceability of the data-sharing process, a critical aspect in intricate and interconnected advanced manufacturing systems. By utilizing smart contracts, digital twins in advanced manufacturing have the ability to collaboratively establish and

tailor suitable sharing protocols[92]. This is why most solutions use a decentralized method for storing data before sharing, reflecting the trend in advanced manufacturing towards decentralized data management for enhanced security and efficiency.

The authors of [66] implemented a way of putting all digital twin sensor data along with the product profile onto blockchain because of the hidden security benefits of peer-to-peer, or decentralized, data storage and sharing. Such methods are increasingly relevant in advanced manufacturing where secure, real-time data exchange is vital. Storing data on the blockchain has many benefits, such as cryptography that ensures only eligible participants can access the corresponding data, change-sensitive characteristics of blockchain that ensure data authenticity, and the use of smart contracts to execute certain actions automatically to increase data sharing efficiency, all of which are crucial in advanced manufacturing settings. Peer-to-peer networks are very convenient and quick to share data across eligible parties. Blockchain guarantees data authenticity and verification, providing a robust solution to data management, sharing, and access.

Storing all data on the blockchain might not be practical due to size constraints. A hybrid approach links on-chain metadata to off-chain sensor data using Distributed Hash Tables (DHTs) [138]. Another approach securely records sensor-to-digital twin data transfers in the distributed ledger for backup, with critical updates shared within the blockchain network [90]. [159] proposes a system using cloud computing for efficient data sharing and blockchain to verify and maintain data integrity. The cloud stores the data, blockchain records its hash and logs, and users verify data integrity via the blockchain. Similarly, [154] suggests a model where all data resides in the cloud, and its hash and transactions are stored on the blockchain, though this approach relies on a centralized cloud authority.

In [204], a model training engine for multi-party data sharing combines blockchain and federated learning. This engine has practical applications in advanced manufacturing and other contexts, enabling secure multi-party data sharing while safeguarding privacy. The encryption scheme proposed is more comprehensive and efficient than conventional mechanisms, making it valuable for handling shared information securely. This study also combines on-chain and off-chain data storage.

3.4 Safeguarding and Access Control

Achieving an absolute guarantee of zero data leaks in perpetuity is an impractical aspiration, particularly in the context of advanced manufacturing where the data ecosystem is vast and multifaceted. Therefore, it is prudent to employ safeguarding techniques to fortify data before sharing it with others, a practice that is especially critical in advanced manufacturing due to the sensitive nature of manufacturing data. These techniques include encryption, rigorous access controls, anonymization, pseudonymization, and the integration of blockchain technology. By adopting these safeguards, an additional layer of protection ensures the security and integrity of manufacturing data.

[58] discusses using anonymization as a safeguarding technique with the advantage that privacy is maintained, which is particularly important in advanced manufacturing where proprietary processes and customer data are involved; however, loss of information and linking attacks are possible. Other privacy-preserving techniques discussed include federated learning and secure multiparty computing (SMPC), both of which are gaining traction in advanced manufacturing due to their effectiveness in maintaining data privacy while enabling collaborative innovation.

Blockchain technology provides significant access control capabilities through smart contracts, which can ensure secure and authorized data access. [138] and [56] demonstrate how smart contracts serve as governing mechanisms for authentication, a crucial requirement in advanced manufacturing involving numerous stakeholders. [48] further explores access control for sharing

data with external digital twins or third parties, emphasizing the importance of single-point access control for maintaining tight data security. Standard frameworks like the Extensible Access Control Markup Language (XACML) and tokens such as SAML or OAuth can define and enforce advanced security policies, making them highly relevant for complex manufacturing environments.

Various methods exist for data sharing, each with unique advantages and challenges. One effective method is the publish-subscribe pattern for data sharing, as suggested in [139]. This messaging architecture facilitates timely and efficient communication in software systems. In advanced manufacturing, publishers disseminate messages to a central broker, and subscribers—components of the manufacturing process, including digital twins—express interest in specific topics. The message broker ensures messages reach all relevant subscribers, enabling flexible and scalable data sharing. This decoupling of publishers and subscribers supports responsive, loosely coupled, and event-driven systems, where components can independently react to data changes in a timely manner, critical for advanced manufacturing operations.

4 MACHINE LEARNING AND DEEP LEARNING

Machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL) are often considered synonymous in recent years, but there are indeed distinctions. ML is a comprehensive artificial intelligence (AI) approach that utilizes diverse algorithms for learning patterns and making predictions. The ML process typically involves manual feature engineering. In contrast, DL, a subset of ML, utilizes neural networks (NNs) with multiple layers, which automatically learns hierarchical features from raw data, eliminating the necessity for extensive manual feature engineering. DL plays a crucial role in advancing AI across various fields, including computer vision (CV) [181], natural language processing (NLP) [170], speech recognition [74], and medical image analysis [39], which is primarily due to the growing capability of gathering, storing, and processing a vast amount of data [100].

Advanced manufacturing is increasingly integrating ML and DL into the fabric of digital twins, which is evident in several innovative research efforts. Zhang et al. [199] introduce a DL-enabled framework for intelligent process planning in their study. This framework is designed to transform raw materials into final products as specified by product designers through drawings or 3D CAD models. The process is orchestrated within a Digital Twin Manufacturing Cell (DTMC), enhancing both precision and efficiency. Furthermore, Zhou et al. [207] develop a comprehensive framework for a Knowledge-Driven Digital Twin Manufacturing Cell (KDTMC). Their approach supports intelligent manufacturing by enabling autonomous operations through sophisticated strategies for perception, simulation, understanding, prediction, optimization, and control. Min et al. [114] explore the application of ML within the petrochemical industry. They propose a method for constructing digital twins that leverages industrial IoT technologies. This method facilitates a continuous loop of information exchange between the physical factory and its virtual counterpart, optimizing production control. Finally, Xia et al. [189] adopt a data-driven strategy using deep reinforcement learning to promote digital transformation in advanced manufacturing systems. Their approach utilizes digital twins to represent manufacturing cells, which helps in simulating system behaviors, predicting process faults, and adaptively controlling variables to improve system responsiveness and reliability.

To the best of our knowledge, there are two survey articles related to digital twins for advanced manufacturing by using ML or DL [142, 155]. The primary aim of the survey paper by Sheuly et al. [155] is to provide a comprehensive review of digital twins in the manufacturing sector, which highlights ML's significant contributions to this field and the incorporation of advanced ML technologies in the design of digital twins, particularly NNs tailored for specific applications. It addresses the lack of systematic literature reviews on various aspects of ML-based digital twins in manufacturing, evaluating this topic from both bibliometric and evolutionary perspectives. In

comparison, the study by Mazhar et al. [142] encompasses ML and big data in the context of digital twins, covering various application domains such as manufacturing, medical, transportation, and energy sectors, while the paper [155] specifically targets the manufacturing sector and focuses exclusively on ML-based digital twins.

An important contention is that the application of ML or DL models in digital twins for manufacturing will inevitably raise security and privacy concerns, as similarly explored in other studies across domains such as CV or NLP. Generally, privacy-related concerns encompass the illicit acquisition or reverse engineering of DL models, the deduction of sensitive training data, and the restoration of identifiable facial images of individuals. Regarding security, DL models are susceptible to adversarial attacks that involve slight, imperceptible perturbations introducing the potential for erroneous predictions with significant confidence. This vulnerability represents a critical challenge to investigate when deploying DL models in digital twins for manufacturing.

Therefore, this section gathers relevant literature discussing these concerns, which could be applied to the context of digital twins in advanced manufacturing. Moreover, we introduce cybersecurity in Model Update, and Uncertainty Quantification within digital twins, which are highly related to ML. The remainder of this section will discuss different attacks on privacy and security and how to defend against these attacks as outlined in Fig. 5.




Fig. 5. The overview of attacks and defenses in ML or DL inspired from the paper [103]. We comprehensively review the existing privacy and security issues based on the DL life cycle. In addition, we also analyzed the defense methods. The arrows have the following meanings: Data Poisoning and Backdoor Attacks target at the training phase of the lifecycle, where we could apply different defence techniques (Poisoning Defense, Improving Models' Robustness, and Differential Privacy) to the Preprocessing part or the Training part, respectively. Additionally, Model Extraction, Model Inversion, Membership Inference and Adversarial Attacks target at the testing phase of the lifecycle, where we could apply different defence techniques (Homomorphic Encryption, Secure Multi-party Computation, Trusted Execution Environment and Malware Detection) to the Applying part.

4.1 Privacy in ML/DL

There are usually two privacy threats of DL: 1) model extraction attack; 2) membership inference attack. Meanwhile, four mainstream defenses are proposed: 1) differential privacy (training phase); 2) homomorphic encryption (training and testing phase); 3) secure multi-party computation (training and testing phase); 4) trusted execution environment (training and testing phase). See Table 1 for an overview of privacy threats and their defense types.

Table 1. Overview of Privacy Threats and Defense Types.

Privacy Threats	Model Extraction Attack	[63, 173, 177, 183, 185]
	Membership Inference Attack	[57, 62, 105, 113, 123, 158]
Defense Types	Differential Privacy	[2, 128, 130, 133–135, 174, 191]
	Homomorphic Encryption	[11, 20, 49, 61, 149]
	Secure Multi-Party Computation	[5, 73, 98, 115, 144, 182]
	Trust Execution Environment	[25, 53, 55, 68, 69, 127]

4.1.1 Privacy Threats.

Privacy threats in ML and DL typically manifest through sophisticated attacks aimed at exploiting model vulnerabilities.

Model Extraction Attack: Introduced by Tramèr et al. [173], model extraction attacks seek to replicate the parameters of ML models used in cloud-based ML services. Following this, Wang et al. [183] explored hyperparameter stealing, which targets the theft of hyperparameters critical to the model’s performance. Further research by Wang et al. [185] demonstrated that watermarking techniques could inadvertently increase the variance in a model’s weight distribution, which could potentially be exploited. Additionally, Hitaj et al. [63] described how adversaries could use stolen models to offer unauthorized Machine Learning as a Service (MLaaS), effectively bypassing detection mechanisms intended to protect legitimate model owners.

Membership Inference Attack: The concept of Membership Inference Attack (MIA) was first put forward by Shokri et al. [158], highlighting a technique where adversaries train a model to infer whether data samples were used in training another model. This attack is particularly effective against models that have not been adequately generalized. Long et al. [105] extended this idea into a Generalized Membership Inference Attack (GMIA) applicable to well-generalized models. Moreover, Hitaj et al. [62] and Melis et al. [113] adapted this attack for collaborative DL environments, where they demonstrated that even models developed in a collaborative setting are vulnerable to such privacy breaches. Hayes et al. [57] expanded the scope of MIAs to generative models using Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) to analyze discrepancies in data distribution. Nasr et al. [123] further refined this approach for a DL setting, offering insights into the robustness of privacy-preserving mechanisms in complex models.

4.1.2 Mainstream Defences.

As the landscape of privacy threats in ML/DL has evolved, defensive strategies have been designed to protect against these threats. These defenses are primarily structured around ensuring data privacy and integrity throughout the ML life-cycle.

Differential Privacy

Differential Privacy (DP) has emerged as a cornerstone technique for safeguarding training data against privacy attacks. Abadi et al. [1] pioneered this approach with the introduction of Differentially Private Stochastic Gradient Descent (DPSGD), enabling the training of Deep Neural Networks (DNNs) with enhanced privacy guarantees. Building on this foundation, Xie et al. [191]

developed a Differentially Private Generative Adversarial Network (DPGAN), which introduces noise into the discriminator's gradient to maintain privacy. Similarly, Acs et al. [2] proposed a model combining multiple generative networks, such as Restricted Boltzmann Machines and Variational Autoencoders, to further enhance privacy through a novel method called Differentially Private Generative Model (DPGM).

Expanding the scope of DP, various researchers have focused on objective perturbation techniques. Phan et al. [133] introduced the Deep Private Autoencoder (DPA), which perturbs the objective functions to enforce differential privacy. This method was later extended to more complex networks, such as the Private Convolutional Deep Belief Network (PCDBN) [134], which employs polynomial approximations for non-linear objective functions. Furthermore, Phan et al. [135] developed the Adaptive Laplace Mechanism (AdLM), optimizing differential privacy for DNNs through adaptive noise generation.

Label perturbation also plays a crucial role in DP. Papernot et al. [128] introduced Private Aggregation of Teacher Ensembles (PATE), which transfers knowledge from multiple teacher models to a student model without compromising data privacy. This technique has been scaled to larger networks and more complex tasks, as demonstrated in subsequent studies [130, 174], which extend PATE's applications to GANs.

Homomorphic Encryption

Another pivotal defense mechanism that allows for computations on encrypted data, thus enabling privacy-preserving model inference. This concept was theoretically demonstrated by Xie [192] and practically implemented in CryptoNets by Gilad-Bachrach et al. [49], which performed inference on encrypted data using a NN. The methodology has been continuously refined, with enhancements like polynomial approximation of activation functions by Chabanne et al. [20] and improvements in computational efficiency in frameworks such as FHE-DiNN [11] and TAPAS [149], which focus on accelerating encrypted computations within NNs. FHE-DiNN leverages the bootstrapping technique introduced by [27] to achieve strictly linear complexity concerning the depth of the NN.

Secure Multi-Party Computation

Secure Multi-Party Computation (SMC) within DL serves critical functions in scenarios where data privacy is paramount. The first scenario involves data holders who wish to participate in model training or inference without centralizing their sensitive data. Instead, they distribute the data across multiple servers, where each server processes part of the data independently, ensuring that no single server has access to all the information. The second scenario extends this concept to collaborative environments, where multiple stakeholders train a shared model while maintaining the privacy of their individual datasets. Shokri et al. [157] pioneered this approach by developing a collaborative DL system where participants could learn from each other's data without directly sharing it. They achieved this by training local models individually and then sharing only selected gradients, which minimizes data exposure but raises concerns about potential data leakage from these shared components. Aono et al. [5] addressed this issue by introducing an additive homomorphic encryption technique to safeguard against even the slightest data inference from gradient sharing, though at the cost of increased communication overhead.

Building on these foundations, Mohassel and Zhang [115] introduce SecureML, which further refines privacy-preserving techniques in ML. SecureML utilizes a combination of Oblivious Transfer (OT), secret sharing, and Yao's Garbled Circuit (GC) protocol to train NNs securely in a multi-party computational setting. While effective, the original SecureML protocol was limited to simpler NN architectures that did not include convolutional layers. This limitation was overcome by Liu et al. [98] with the development of MiniONN, a framework that adapts existing NNs for secure

computation by transforming them into 'oblivious' networks. This adaptation allows for privacy-preserving predictions with improved efficiency by approximating non-linear activation functions using GC techniques.

Further advancements in SMC were made by Rouhani et al. [144] through the introduction of DeepSecure, a scalable framework designed to enable privacy-preserving DL inference across distributed systems. DeepSecure leverages both GC and OT protocols to protect client data during computations, effectively balancing security with computational overhead. However, GC's high communication cost remains a challenge. This led to enhancements by Juvekar et al. [73] and Wagh et al. [182], who worked on optimizing the balance between homomorphic encryption for linear functions and garbled circuits for non-linear functions. Juvekar et al. improved computational and communication efficiencies by employing a minimal-sized circuit design specifically for non-linear functions, whereas Wagh et al. introduced SecureNN, a more communication-efficient multi-party protocol that dramatically reduces execution times in practical applications.

Trusted Execution Environment

Trusted Execution Environments (TEEs) offer a secure computational space that is isolated from the main processor, specifically designed to protect the execution of sensitive applications. This isolation helps in maintaining the confidentiality and integrity of data and code during execution, making TEEs crucial for addressing privacy and security challenges in DL. Liu et al. [101] highlight the TEE's role in securely managing resource access for trusted applications.

One of the foundational implementations of TEEs in ML is by Ohrimenko et al. [127], who developed a multi-party ML system on untrusted platforms using Software Guard Extensions (SGX) [111]. SGX provides robust memory encryption that creates protected areas called enclaves. These enclaves safeguard any data and computations within them from external access, ensuring that even high-privilege processes cannot view or alter their contents. In this system, participants can securely upload and process encrypted models and data, with SGX ensuring that the execution remains confidential and tamper-proof.

Building upon the capabilities of TEEs, Hunt et al. [68] created Chiron, a system for collaborative ML training under a privacy-preserving framework known as MLaaS (Machine Learning as a Service). Chiron uses multiple SGX enclaves to handle different subsets of data, optimizing training efficiency while ensuring data privacy. However, it limits the interaction with the trained model post-training, which can restrict its applicability for service providers who need broader access to the trained models.

Further extending TEE's utility in DL, Hynes et al. [69] developed Myelin, a framework that employs the TVM compiler [25] to generate a secure runtime environment for both the training and testing phases of DL models. Myelin utilizes SGX to provide a secure enclave for model training, ensuring that all operations are protected from external threats. Additionally, Gu et al. [53] introduced Deepenclave, which cleverly splits DNN processing into two segments: FrontNet and BackNet. FrontNet operates within a secure enclave, while BackNet runs in a less secure environment, thus balancing security with computational efficiency.

The architecture of DNNs and their layer-specific functionalities also benefit from TEEs. Zeiler et al. [198] pointed out that while early DNN layers handle low-level feature detection and can be securely processed within TEEs, the deeper layers that manage more abstract information might not require such stringent security measures. This selective security application helps in optimizing performance without compromising the overall integrity of the system. To further enhance execution efficiency within TEEs, Tramèr et al. [171] proposed Slalom, a technique that offloads certain computations to untrusted but faster computing devices while ensuring the security of critical operations within the TEE using cryptographic techniques such as Freivalds's algorithm [45].

Moreover, for secure offline execution of ML algorithms on client devices, Hanzlik et al. [55] proposed MLCapsule. This innovative approach ensures that data remains local and secure, employing TEEs to execute ML models within a controlled environment, thereby safeguarding the data throughout the processing stages. This encapsulation ensures that even if data must be processed or stored outside secure facilities, the integrity and privacy of the computations are maintained.

In summary, these defenses collectively embody the ongoing innovation and adaptation in the field of protecting ML privacy, reflecting the dynamic interplay between emerging threats and the development of robust protective measures.

4.2 Security in ML/DL

With the privacy concerns outlined, it is essential to also consider the security implications of ML and DL. We will now examine the types of security threats these technologies face and discuss effective strategies to secure ML models against such vulnerabilities. Table 2 presents a comprehensive categorization of security threats and defense mechanisms pertinent to ML and DL. It highlights both the adversarial and poisoning landscapes, emphasizing their diversity and the multi-faceted approaches required for robust defense. In this subsection, we will discuss these different attacks and corresponding defenses.

Table 2. Overview of Security Threats and Defense Types.

Adversarial Attacks	White Box [6, 18, 51, 54, 87, 109, 116, 117, 129, 166]
	Black Box [7, 12, 14, 23, 162, 176]
Adversarial Defences	Pre-processing [9, 30, 36, 54, 96, 122, 140, 148, 184]
	Model Robustness Improving [21, 64, 75, 87, 97, 163, 190]
	Malware Detection [24, 169]
Poisoning Attacks	Accuracy Drop Attack [118, 119, 196]
	Targeted Misclassification Attack [81, 152, 208]
	Backdoor Attack [26, 52, 93, 104]
Poisoning Defences	Against Accuracy Drop Attack [131, 161]
	Against Targeted Misclassification Attack [131, 161]
	Against Backdoor Attack [22, 99]

4.2.1 Adversarial Attacks.

White-Box Attacks:

- **L-BFGS:** The susceptibility of NNs to adversarial examples—crafted by introducing minute perturbations to benign inputs—was first demonstrated by [51]. These perturbations remain imperceptible to the human visual system, yet they can substantially mislead the model’s predictions with high certainty.
- **Fast Gradient Sign Method (FGSM):** [166] attributed the existence of adversarial samples to the nonlinearity and overfitting tendencies of NNs. However, [51] illustrated that even basic linear models are vulnerable, and introduced FGSM as an untargeted attack algorithm.
- **Basic Iterative Method/Projected Gradient Descent:** Building upon FGSM, [87] extended FGSM through multiple incremental iterations, creating the Basic Iterative Method (BIM). [109] employed Projected Gradient Descent (PGD) iteratively to approximate the p-norm ball around an input and proposed robust adversarial training.
- **Jacobian Based Saliency Map Attack (JSMA):** Focusing on the L_0 norm, [129] introduced JSMA that manipulates a select few pixels within an image to mislead the model.

- **CW Attack:** An optimization-centered adversarial attack known as the CW attack was introduced by [18], which minimizes the visibility of perturbations by constraining their norms.
- **Deepfool Attack:** [117] developed Deepfool, a classifier-based linearized iterative adversarial technique that generates minimal adversarial perturbations.
- **Universal Adversarial Perturbations:** To affect multiple samples, [116] proposed the Universal Adversarial Perturbation attack (UAP), identifying a universal perturbation applicable to all training samples.
- **Obfuscated Gradient Attack:** Numerous defenses use obfuscated gradients to hinder attackers [54]. However, [6] showed how these defenses can be circumvented, describing three types of obfuscated gradients: shattered, stochastic, and vanish/explode gradients.

Black-Box Attacks:

- **One Pixel Attack:** Demonstrating the vulnerability of NNs, the one pixel attack, introduced by [162], proves that altering just a single pixel can mislead a network model into making incorrect classifications. This highlights the sensitivity of models to minimal input changes.
- **Expectation Over Transformation (EOT) Attack:** To counter the loss of adversarial properties under real-world transformations such as rotations and shifts, [7] developed the EOT attack algorithm. This method ensures that adversarial examples maintain their effectiveness even when the input undergoes various transformations [51, 54, 106, 108].
- **Zeroth Order Optimization (ZOO):** Inspired by the CW attack [18], the ZOO technique by [23] enables black-box adversarial attacks by estimating the gradients based on numerous model output queries, thereby sidestepping the need for direct access to the internal details of the model.
- **Autoencoder-Based Zeroth Order Optimization Method (AutoZOOM):** Enhancing efficiency within black-box settings, [176] proposed AutoZOOM, a method that uses autoencoder principles to generate adversarial examples more query-efficient.
- **Boundary Attack:** Addressing scenarios where obtaining model information is challenging, [12] introduced the boundary attack that relies solely on decision outputs (class labels) rather than detailed model data or confidence scores, emphasizing its applicability in more restrictive real-world scenarios.
- **Biased Boundary Attack:** Improving upon the boundary attack, [14] developed a biased sampling technique that more effectively targets robust models by drawing perturbation candidates from a biased multidimensional distribution, thereby enhancing the efficiency and effectiveness of the attack.

4.2.2 Adversarial Defences.

Several defenses against adversarial attacks were proposed in recent studies, which grew along three main directions: pre-processing, improving the model robustness, and malware detection.

Pre-processing Defenses:

- **Randomization:** Introduced by [184], this innovative defense strategy randomly neutralizes features within samples. Complementing this, [137] utilizes pixel deflection as a defense technique, involving the redistribution of pixel values and incorporates wavelet-based denoising.
- **Image Transformation:** The efficacy of JPEG compression in mitigating adversarial effects was initially explored by [34], highlighting its potential in reducing classification errors caused by FGSM [51] generated examples. Further research by [30] noted the ability of JPEG compression to eliminate high-frequency signal components, akin to selective blurring. This led to the proposal of a preprocessing module involving JPEG compression to fortify

networks against various adversarial attacks. However, [54] found that techniques like total variance minimization [147] and image quilting [36] can outperform traditional methods like JPEG compression [34], bit depth reduction [195], and non-local means [15]. To build a more robust defense, [140] combined several simple defenses, including bit depth reduction [195], JPEG compression [34], wavelet denoising [4], mean filtering [67], and non-local mean [15], considering the challenge of obfuscated gradients [6].

- **Denoising Network:** Traditional denoising encoders [180] are commonly used for removing noise but are less effective against sophisticated adversarial perturbations. Addressing this gap, [96] devised the High-level representation Guided Denoiser (HGD) using the U-net architecture [143] to enhance adversarial defense capabilities.
- **GAN-Based Defense:** Utilizing the principles of Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) [50], [148] introduced a defensive framework that trains an adversarial generative network to recreate clean images closely resembling the original while removing adversarial perturbations. Building on this, [9] proposed the Featured Bidirectional Generative Adversarial Network (FBGAN) that focuses on learning latent semantic image features that remain consistent even after perturbations.
- **Image Super-Resolution:** Exploring the potential of image super-resolution, [122] theorized and then demonstrated that a well-trained image super-resolution model could effectively map adversarial samples back onto the manifold of natural images, offering a novel defense mechanism against a range of adversarial attacks.

Improving Model Robustness:

- **Adversarial Training:** Initially proposed by [51], adversarial training seeks to enhance model robustness by exposing the network to adversarial examples during training. [87] further refined this concept by integrating batch normalization [70], applying it effectively to the Inception-v3 model [165] and the ImageNet dataset [84]. Although successful against single-step attacks, it struggles with iterative attacks [109]. To overcome this, [21] developed a dual adversarial samples-based training method effective against both attack types. Additionally, adversarial training strategies by [51], [65], and [153] tend to be specialized against certain attack types. In the realm of adversarial training, [109] introduced the PGD attack, mainly tested on MNIST [31] and CIFAR-10 [83]. [75] extended these methods to ImageNet [84], incorporating output similarity of paired samples into the loss function, demonstrating enhanced robustness and outperforming previous methods like the integrated adversarial training by [172].
- **Regulation:** To enhance feature understanding, [97] introduced a model that prioritizes robust features through non-linear attention modules and L^2 feature regularization, helping to improve decision-making against minor image alterations.
- **Feature Denoising:** Noting the amplification of adversarial perturbations across network layers, [190] advocated for feature denoising to reduce noise accumulation in the network's feature maps.
- **Convolutional Sparse Coding:** Inspired by [59] and [28], [163] introduced a defense that projects adversarial examples into a low-dimensional, quasi-natural image space, aiding in the restoration of natural image properties.
- **Blocking the Transferability:** To counteract the transferability of adversarial samples, [64] developed the "empty label" method. This strategy is effective in black-box scenarios, preventing adversarial samples designed for one model from deceiving others with different architectures or trained on dissimilar datasets.

Malware Detection:

- **Stateful Detection:** Recognizing the unique nature of cloud-based ML services that primarily function through user queries, [24] introduced a stateful detection mechanism. This innovative black-box defense strategy effectively monitors the state of user interactions to detect anomalies that could indicate malicious activities, thereby enhancing the security of cloud-based ML systems.
- **Image Transformation:** [169] observed that adversarial samples are often more sensitive to transformations such as rotations and shifts compared to natural images, which typically withstand such alterations without loss of integrity. Leveraging this characteristic, they developed a method to detect adversarial examples by applying image transformations and assessing the stability of the output, thus providing a straightforward yet effective tool for identifying adversarial attacks.
- **Adaptive Denoising:** While traditional denoising techniques are effective against significant noise, they can blur images when applied to minor noise, potentially degrading the model's performance. To address this, [95] introduced adaptive denoising methods that include scalar quantization and smoothing spatial filters. These techniques are designed to refine the image processing in the presence of adversarial perturbations, particularly effective in scenarios with low-level noise, thereby preserving the clarity and accuracy of the classification process.

Beyond the direct attacks on machine learning models, there is also the risk of poisoning attacks that can corrupt the training process itself. The next section focuses on these attacks, detailing their mechanisms and presenting robust defenses against them.

4.2.3 *Poisoning Attacks.*

Poisoning attacks seriously compromise the integrity of ML models by injecting malicious samples into the training data, thereby reducing model performance or manipulating its predictions. These attacks are particularly dangerous as they subtly alter the learning process and are prevalent in DL. They can be classified into three main categories: accuracy drop attacks, targeted misclassification attacks, and backdoor attacks.

Accuracy Drop Attack: [118] introduced an innovative poisoning attack using gradient-based optimization that targets a broad range of ML algorithms, including DL architectures. This method calculates gradients through back propagation to modify learning parameters adversely, affecting the entire training process. Building on this, [196] developed a strategy using GANs to accelerate the generation of poisoned data. In their GAN framework, an autoencoder acts as the generator to produce corrupted data, while the target model serves as the discriminator, assessing the damage caused by the poisoned data and adjusting accordingly. Furthering this approach, [119] created a GAN-based method to produce poisoning samples that closely resemble genuine data points, thus subtly reducing classifier accuracy when included during training.

Targeted Misclassification Attack: Seeking to manipulate how a model interprets specific inputs, [81] utilized classical influence functions from robust statistics [29] to examine and influence predictions made by black-box models. Following this, [152] introduced the clean-label attack, which crafts poisoned samples through feature collisions. Expanding on this technique, [208] devised transferable clean-label poisoning attacks using a convex polytope approach, enhancing the success rate of attacks within black-box models compared to the feature collision method.

Backdoor Attack: Given the high costs associated with training models, many opt for outsourcing the training process to cloud servers or using pre-trained models customized for specific tasks. In this context, [52] introduced BadNet, a maliciously trained network that functions normally during training but, when triggered, fails during testing. This model includes backdoor triggers designed with specific pixels and colors, often in simple shapes like squares. [104] proposed a trojaning attack that modifies an existing model by generating a trojan trigger without needing the

original training data. Such attacks, including those by [26] and [93], typically operate under weak threat models where the adversary has limited knowledge about the model and can only insert a few samples, making the triggers nearly invisible to humans while still recognizable to NNs.

4.2.4 Poisoning Defenses.

The sophistication and variability of poisoning attacks necessitate robust defense mechanisms that can adapt to evolving threats rather than solely relying on past data. The defense strategies against these attacks are typically categorized based on the type of attack they are designed to counter, such as accuracy drop attacks, targeted misclassification attacks, and backdoor attacks.

Defense Against Accuracy Drop/Targeted Misclassification Attack: To combat the wide array of potential poisoning attacks, traditional methods based on past attack patterns are often inadequate. Recognizing this, [161] developed a proactive defense framework that enhances model resilience by identifying and excluding outlier data points that could potentially skew the model's learning process. This approach aims to safeguard the training set from being compromised. Complementing this, [131] introduced a pre-filtering strategy that employs outlier detection to assess and mitigate the impact of poisoning attacks. This method is particularly effective in diminishing the effects of optimal poisoning attacks, ensuring the integrity of the training data and the robustness of the resulting model.

Defense Against Backdoor Attacks: Backdoor attacks pose unique challenges as they are designed to activate only under specific conditions, making them difficult to detect using conventional methods. In response, [99] pioneered a method known as "fine-pruning." This technique combines pruning—the process of removing redundant or non-critical neurons from the network—to reduce potential vulnerabilities, with fine-tuning, which adjusts the remaining network parameters to maintain performance without the pruned elements. Furthermore, [22] explored the differences in activation patterns between normal and backdoor-triggered inputs within a network. They leveraged these differences to develop Activation Clustering (AC), an innovative approach that detects and isolates corrupted training samples by clustering based on activation patterns, effectively identifying and removing malicious data from the training set.

Having explored the various attacks and defenses in the context of machine learning and deep learning, it is crucial to understand how digital twins can be dynamically updated to respond to these threats effectively, ensuring continuous improvement and adaptation in manufacturing processes.

4.3 Model Update

Recent advancements in digital twin technology for manufacturing systems have highlighted various model update methodologies that enhance accuracy and real-time decision-making capabilities via utilizing ML, which might have cybersecurity issues discussed in subsection 4.1 and subsection 4.2. For instance, Tapas Tripura et al. [175] developed a framework for creating and updating digital twins of dynamical systems using sparse Bayesian machine learning. This method allows for precise updates of digital twin models by incorporating both input and output information from the dynamical system, thereby quantifying uncertainties associated with the updated models. Similarly, M. E. Biancolini and U. Cella [40] demonstrated the use of Radial Basis Functions (RBF) to update digital models in computer-aided engineering (CAE), effectively capturing actual manufactured geometries and incorporating them into the nominal design. These updates are crucial for maintaining the integrity and security of the digital twin, ensuring that any discrepancies or anomalies are addressed promptly to prevent potential security risks in manufacturing operations.

Paromita Nath and S. Mahadevan [124] proposed a probabilistic digital twin for the laser powder bed fusion (LPBF) process in additive manufacturing. Their methodology integrates Bayesian calibration to update uncertain parameters and model discrepancies, ensuring that the digital twin

remains tailored to the specific part being produced, thus enhancing both security and privacy by adapting to unique manufacturing scenarios. Jinsong Bao et al. [8] introduced a comprehensive approach for modeling and operations in manufacturing using the Automation Markup Language (AutomationML), which facilitates virtual-physical convergence and enhances production efficiency.

Automated generation and updating of digital twins, as explored by Giovanni Lugaresi and A. Matta [107], leverages data logs to automatically create accurate models of manufacturing systems, streamlining the process and enhancing system performance estimation. Michael G. Kapteyn et al. [76] advanced the field by employing component-based reduced-order models and interpretable ML to update digital twins of unmanned aerial vehicles, allowing for dynamic mission planning in response to structural damage, emphasizing the role of secure updates in operational security.

Henrik Ejersbo et al. [37] proposed a model-driven approach for the dynamic runtime integration of new models in digital twins, enabling seamless updates without interrupting ongoing operations. Wei Song et al. [160] introduced a real-time digital twin model updating method based on consistency measurement, employing techniques such as Latin Hypercube global searching and greedy local searching to quickly adjust and correct models, enhancing the security posture by rapidly addressing potential vulnerabilities. W. Birk et al. [10] reviewed the automatic generation and updating of industrial process digital twins, discussing both machine learning-based and automated equation-based modeling methods. Yiyun Cao et al. [17] described a multi-fidelity framework that uses a low-fidelity neural network metamodel and a high-fidelity simulation model for optimizing digital twins, ensuring real-time decision support while incorporating security layers to protect against malicious attacks and data breaches.

These diverse methodologies, focusing on updating either specific parameters or entire models, significantly enhance the fidelity, utility, security, and privacy of digital twins in manufacturing, thereby improving predictive capabilities, operational efficiency, and secure operations. With these advanced methodologies in model updating securing the integrity and responsiveness of digital twins, we now turn to explore how these updated models are utilized to drive decision-making processes, further enhancing both the security and efficiency of manufacturing operations.

4.4 Decision-Making

The integration of decision-making methodologies into digital twins has shown significant promise in enhancing manufacturing processes, with an added emphasis on applying ML or DL, which might have cybersecurity concerns discussed in subsection 4.1 and subsection 4.2. For example, Lugaresi and Matta [107] developed a method to automate the discovery of manufacturing systems and generate digital twins, providing accurate models to estimate system performance efficiently. Their approach incorporates security protocols to safeguard data integrity and prevent unauthorized system manipulations, which is critical for maintaining the confidentiality and accuracy of the digital twins. Similarly, Latif et al. [89] showcased the application of adaptive simulation-based digital twins using reinforcement learning to improve real-time production output and problem-solving on the manufacturing floor. These systems are designed with robust security features that ensure the protection of sensitive production data and mitigate risks associated with cyber-physical system vulnerabilities.

In the realm of dynamic scheduling, Villalonga et al. [179] proposed a framework leveraging decentralized decision-making through a fuzzy inference system for cyber-physical production systems. This method not only optimizes production schedules dynamically but also enhances security by distributing decision-making processes, thus reducing the potential impact of centralized security breaches. Helman [60] highlighted how digital twin-driven approaches can optimize manufacturing procedures by analyzing and simulating real-time production variants, showcasing the flexibility and adaptability of digital twins in modern manufacturing.

Actionable cognitive twins, as described by Rožanec et al. [145], use knowledge graph modeling to enhance decision-making in production planning, integrating artificial intelligence to provide insightful and actionable decisions, with advanced security measures to protect the underlying data and machine learning models from tampering or theft. Kunath and Winkler [86] explored integrating digital twins with decision support systems to improve order management processes, further demonstrating the versatility of digital twins in various manufacturing applications. Moreover, Kuehn [85] emphasized the role of digital twins in decision-making for complex production and logistic enterprises, highlighting the potential for multi-criteria decision-making approaches that include security as a key evaluation criterion. Jeong et al. [71] presented a design process for digital twins in production logistics, stressing the importance of data-driven decision-making in optimizing resources and operations, while ensuring that security protocols are adhered to throughout the process. Advancements in optimization techniques, such as Bayesian optimization, are being incorporated into digital twins to enhance their decision-making capabilities. Zhu and Ji [209] introduced a digital twin-based multi-objective optimization method for the process industries, leveraging Bayesian optimization to achieve better product quality and higher resource utilization, with integrated security measures to protect the optimization algorithms and resultant data. Nath and Mahadevan [124] discussed the use of probabilistic digital twins for additive manufacturing, incorporating Bayesian calibration to manage process variability and uncertainty, while ensuring that security concerns are addressed in the calibration process to maintain model integrity and privacy.

In the context of fault diagnostics, Zhang et al. [200] employed Bayesian network modeling within digital twins to improve the reliability and accuracy of maintenance services. This includes robust security features that safeguard the diagnostic processes from external threats. Ademujimi and Prabhu [3] proposed a co-simulation approach for training Bayesian networks, enhancing fault diagnostics in manufacturing systems using digital twins while ensuring that the co-simulation environments are secure against cyber threats.

Cao et al. [17] explored the use of multi-fidelity frameworks in digital twins for simulation optimization, demonstrating the efficiency of Bayesian optimization methods in real-time production planning and scheduling. These frameworks are designed with enhanced security protocols to ensure that optimization decisions are protected from unauthorized access and manipulation, thus preserving the integrity and confidentiality of the production processes.

As decision-making processes within digital twins become more refined and integrated, the next crucial step involves robustly addressing the uncertainties inherent in these systems. Uncertainty quantification emerges as an essential tool to help with the reliability and security of decisions made based on digital twin data, ensuring that these decisions are both informed and resilient against potential vulnerabilities.

4.5 Uncertainty Quantification

Uncertainty quantification (UQ) plays a pivotal role in enhancing digital twin technologies within the manufacturing sector, addressing both aleatoric and epistemic uncertainties to improve process reliability, accuracy, and decision-making. Aleatoric uncertainty, arising from inherent variability in manufacturing processes, and epistemic uncertainty, stemming from incomplete knowledge, are both critical aspects addressed by UQ methodologies. There is a trend that people utilize modern ML techniques to realize UQ, which might have cybersecurity issues discussed in subsection 4.1 and subsection 4.2

Studies have demonstrated the integration of UQ with dynamic models and adaptive forecasting frameworks to deliver trustworthy predictions in advanced manufacturing environments [121]. This integration is crucial for maintaining the integrity and confidentiality of data within digital

twins, as it enables the detection and mitigation of potential security threats arising from predictive inaccuracies. UQ has been utilized to enhance process design and quality control through comprehensive modeling, employing methods such as Bayesian inference, Monte Carlo simulations, Polynomial Chaos Expansion (PCE), and sensitivity analysis [168]. These techniques provide a robust statistical foundation for securely managing and predicting the behaviors of manufacturing processes under various scenarios.

In the context of Industry 4.0, UQ helps minimize skewed results and ensures robust implementation of optimization and safety enhancement processes using deep learning and surrogate models [141]. This not only improves the reliability of the digital twins but also safeguards against the manipulation of model outputs, thus protecting the systems from security breaches that could compromise operational integrity.

Robust lightweight design in manufacturing benefits from UQ by considering manufacturing quality variations and sustainability requirements, employing robust design methodologies to assess the impact of such variations on fatigue strength [82]. Enhanced performance of digital twin systems in manufacturing is achieved through multi-fidelity frameworks that incorporate Polynomial Correlated Function Expansion (PCFE) and Gaussian Process (GP) regression, which help in managing data fidelity [32, 72]. UQ also facilitates real-time monitoring of manufacturing systems by quantifying and characterizing deviation events using statistical analysis and what-if scenarios, ensuring safety and operational integrity [187]. This aspect is particularly important for maintaining continuous surveillance against unexpected operational malfunctions or security incidents, enabling timely interventions.

Edge-cloud digital twin systems benefit from UQ by optimizing server selection for digital twin applications, thus reducing delays and improving convergence rates while ensuring data security [197]. This optimization is vital for preventing security breaches that could arise from network vulnerabilities or server overloads. UQ also enhances digital twins for industrial applications, such as elevators, through uncertainty-aware transfer learning, ensuring robust model performance and data integrity [194]. Overall, the integration of UQ in digital twin technologies addresses both aleatoric and epistemic uncertainties, fostering more reliable, secure, and efficient manufacturing processes, thus reinforcing the overall resilience and security posture of digital twins in advanced manufacturing settings.

Uncertainty Quantification plays a pivotal role in enhancing the reliability, robustness, security, and privacy of digital twins in advanced manufacturing. Digital twins, when integrated with ML and DL, provide capabilities for real-time monitoring, predictive maintenance, and optimization. However, these systems must effectively manage various uncertainties to ensure their effectiveness, security, and privacy [77]. This enhanced focus on security and privacy, especially through the lens of ML and DL, ensures that digital twins not only function efficiently but also safeguard sensitive information and effectively resist malicious activities.

5 SYSTEM-LEVEL SECURITY AND PRIVACY

An advanced manufacturing system, often synonymous with the Industry 4.0, embodies a fully integrated and autonomous ecosystem tailored to adapt to the dynamic requirements of manufacturing. This system proactively responds to the fluctuating landscapes of production, supply-chain logistics, and customer preferences in real-time [91]. Within this section, as illustrated in Fig. 6, we delineate two principal methodologies underpinning system security, supplemented by examples. Subsequently, we explore the synergy of these methodologies when integrated with digital twin technology. A comprehensive synopsis of the relevant literature is encapsulated in Table 3.




Fig. 6. Digital Twin System Security in Advanced Manufacturing

5.1 System Security in Advanced Manufacturing

In advanced manufacturing systems, interconnected processes make them vulnerable to cascading failures, or "chain effects," triggered by errors or attacks. Data dependencies and automated decision-making amplify these risks. A multi-layered defense strategy is essential, including network segmentation to isolate critical components, real-time anomaly detection, redundancy for operational continuity, and routine system audits. Robust authentication, personnel training, incident response plans, and AI-based tools further enhance resilience. Two proposed solutions include 1) anomaly detection across digital and physical systems, and 2) an integrated framework for monitoring system status and executing corrective actions.

5.1.1 Anomaly detection. In industrial systems, various research efforts have been made to enhance anomaly detection. Sen et al. [150] introduced an anomaly detection algorithm tailored for large-scale industrial systems, utilizing machine learning and stream processing for real-time data analysis. Erba et al. [38] analyzed the resilience of model-free process-based anomaly detection against concealment attacks, revealing their general susceptibility. Du et al. [33] proposed an unsupervised detection method using LSTM-Autoencoder and GAN for industrial control systems (ICS), while Zhao et al. [206] introduced a PSO-1DCNN-BiLSTM-based solution for ICS.

5.1.2 Framework design. On the framework design front, central to digital twin technology, the emphasis is on crafting architectures that mirror both digital and physical system facets, enabling real-time monitoring and action-taking. Sun et al. [164] suggested an entropy-based selection strategy for data modeling and a real-time monitoring framework for industrial units. Taibi et al. [167] developed a Bayesian Gaussian Mixture Models-based network monitoring framework, emphasizing passive measurements. Wilfling et al. [186] presented a data-driven model generation and co-simulation framework, leveraging Python, Dymola, and the FMI standard. Lastly, Makansi et al. [110] detailed a data-driven condition monitoring approach for industrial hydraulics using supervised learning and neural networks.

5.2 Digital Twin in Advanced Manufacturing System

Digital twin technology in advanced manufacturing refers to the creation of a virtual representation or model of a manufacturing process, product, or system, mirroring its real-world counterpart [78]. This digital replica captures the physical attributes, behaviors, and dynamics of the actual system, allowing manufacturers to monitor, simulate, and analyze operations in real-time. By leveraging data analytics, sensors, and advanced simulation tools, digital twins enable predictive maintenance, process optimization, and enhanced decision-making, bridging the gap between the digital and

Table 3. Summary of Research on System Security and Digital Twin in Advanced Manufacturing.

Study	Type	Digital Twin	Methods	Application
Sen et al. [150]	Anomaly Detection	No	Machine Learning, Stream Processing	Industrial Systems
Erba et al. [38]	Anomaly Detection	No	Process-Based Analysis	Resilience against Concealment Attacks
Du et al. [33]	Anomaly Detection	No	LSTM-Autoencoder, GAN	Industrial Control Systems
Zhao et al. [206]	Anomaly Detection	No	PSO-1DCNN-BiLSTM	-
Sun et al. [164]	System Framework	No	Entropy-Based Data Selection, Real-Time Monitoring	Industrial Units
Taibi et al. [167]	System Framework	No	Bayesian Gaussian Mixture Models	Network Monitoring
Wilfling et al. [186]	System Framework	No	Data-Driven Model, Co-Simulation	-
Makansi et al. [110]	System Framework	No	Supervised Learning, Neural Networks	Industrial Hydraulics
Feng et al. [44]	Anomaly Detection	Yes	Kalman Filter	Incubator Systems
Zhao et al. [205]	Anomaly Detection	Yes	Graph-Based, Attention Mechanism	Complex Systems Monitoring
Li et al. [94]	System Framework	Yes	Digital Twin Architecture	Forestry
Liu et al. [102]	System Framework	Yes	Digital Twin, Multi-Domain Modeling	Industrial Robotics
Fend et al. [43]	System Framework	Yes	CPSAML, Code Generation	Mobile CPS Systems
Mehlan et al. [112]	System Framework	Yes	Virtual Sensor, Data-Driven Approach	Wind Turbine Gearbox Bearings

physical realms of the manufacturing ecosystem. This integration fosters improved efficiency, reduced downtime, and a more agile response to market demands.

5.2.1 Anomaly Detection for Digital and Physical Systems with Digital Twin. Anomaly detection plays a pivotal role in maintaining the security and privacy of digital twin systems. It involves the identification of unusual patterns or behaviors that deviate from the norm, which could potentially indicate security threats or system failures. In the context of digital twin systems, anomaly detection can be applied to both the digital representation and the physical system it mirrors. This dual application is particularly relevant in manufacturing settings, where anomaly detection algorithms can monitor the performance of machinery and equipment, identifying any deviations from expected behavior that might indicate a malfunction or security breach.

The paper by Feng et al. [44] provides an insightful approach to anomaly detection using a Kalman Filter. The authors demonstrate the use of the Kalman Filter through an incubator system and show that it can successfully detect anomalies during monitoring. This approach is particularly effective in real-time monitoring scenarios, where the rapid detection of anomalies can prevent further system damage or data breaches.

Zhao et al. [205] introduce a novel approach to anomaly detection in their recent paper. They present a graph-based anomaly detection framework that utilizes an attention mechanism to develop a continuous graph representation of multivariate time series. This is achieved by dynamically inferring edges between time series. This method is especially effective in complex systems featuring numerous interconnected components, such as digital twin systems.

These methods provide a robust foundation for anomaly detection in digital twin systems. However, their application in real-world settings presents several challenges, including the need for large amounts of training data, the complexity of defining what constitutes an anomaly, and the difficulty of integrating these methods into existing systems. Future research in this area should focus on addressing these challenges and developing more efficient and effective anomaly detection methods for digital twin systems.

5.2.2 Framework Design for Modeling and Monitoring Digital-Physical Systems with Digital Twin. In their paper, Li et al. [94] propose a digital twin architecture tailored to a virtual poplar plantation forest system. The framework encompasses both the modeling of the virtual plantation and the analysis of its data. The authors conduct a theoretical analysis of the three primary entities— the physical world, the digital world, and researchers—and explore the mechanisms of their interactions. This research lays foundational groundwork for implementing digital twin technology in forestry.

Focus on industrial robotics, the paper by Liu et al. [102] presents a framework that applies a digital twin to industrial robots for real-time monitoring and performance optimization. The framework includes multi-domain modeling, behavioral matching, control optimization, and parameter updating. This approach demonstrates the potential of digital twin technology in enhancing the performance of industrial robots.

Fend et al. [43] introduce CPSAML, a language and code generation framework designed for model-driven development of mobile CPS systems. The framework includes a cockpit application that facilitates monitoring and interaction with these systems, showcasing how digital twin technology can be effectively utilized in managing mobile CPS systems. Mehlan et al. [112] detail the creation of a virtual sensor designed for online load monitoring and evaluation of the remaining useful life (RUL) of wind turbine gearbox bearings. A digital twin framework integrates data from condition monitoring (CMS), SCADA systems, and a physics-based gearbox model to enable virtual sensing. While these frameworks provide a strong foundation for modeling and monitoring digital-physical systems, real-world implementation faces challenges such as accurately representing systems, ensuring real-time monitoring, and integrating with existing infrastructure. Future research should address these challenges to develop more efficient and effective digital twin frameworks.

6 OPPORTUNITIES AND CHALLENGES

The adoption of digital twins in advanced manufacturing offers significant opportunities for enhanced efficiency, optimized production, and improved product quality. By enabling real-time insights, streamlined operations, and predictive maintenance, digital twins drive productivity while facilitating virtual simulations and rapid prototyping to accelerate innovation. Recent advancements, such as dynamic model updates and uncertainty quantification (UQ), further enhance their capabilities. Model updates ensure adaptability to changing conditions, improving accuracy and efficiency, while UQ addresses both inherent and epistemic uncertainties, bolstering the reliability of predictions and decisions. However, these opportunities come with challenges, particularly in security and privacy. The interconnected nature of digital twins and their reliance on sensitive data introduce vulnerabilities to cyberattacks, data breaches, and unauthorized access. Addressing these risks requires robust data governance, secure protocols for transmission and storage, and ethical data handling to safeguard both proprietary and personal information.

Balancing the utilization of digital twins to maximize operational efficiency while safeguarding against potential security breaches and privacy infringements demands a comprehensive understanding of the complex interplay between technology, regulations, and ethical considerations. Addressing these challenges effectively is critical to harnessing the full potential of digital twins in advanced manufacturing and fostering a secure and privacy-preserving environment conducive to sustainable innovation and industrial growth.

Looking ahead, the future of digital twins in advanced manufacturing holds the promise of continued innovation and transformative growth. Anticipated advancements in technology, including the integration of advanced encryption techniques, blockchain solutions, and decentralized data architectures, are expected to fortify the security and privacy infrastructure surrounding digital twins. The implementation of AI-driven security measures and anomaly detection systems will enable proactive threat identification and mitigation, ensuring a resilient defense against emerging cyber threats and unauthorized access. This strategic integration of model updates and decision-making, and uncertainty quantification ensures that digital twins not only optimize manufacturing processes but also adapt and respond to new challenges and opportunities.

7 CONCLUSION

This paper has provided a comprehensive exploration of the intricate landscape of security and privacy in the realm of digital twins within the advanced manufacturing sector. The emergence of digital twins as a pivotal technology in Industry 4.0 has brought forth significant opportunities for advancements in operational efficiency, predictive maintenance, and decision-making processes. However, these benefits are accompanied by considerable challenges, particularly in the domains of data security and privacy.

The interconnected nature of digital twins, along with their reliance on extensive data, introduces potential vulnerabilities that could be exploited by malicious entities. Ensuring robust security mechanisms for data transmission, storage, and access is crucial to prevent unauthorized breaches, cyber-attacks, and to safeguard sensitive information. Additionally, the preservation of privacy is paramount, necessitating stringent data governance, ethical data handling practices, and secure protocols for data sharing and access control.

The future of advanced manufacturing, in the context of digital twins, appears promising with anticipated advancements in technology. The integration of advanced encryption methods, blockchain solutions, and decentralized data architectures is expected to bolster the security and privacy infrastructure. AI-driven security measures and sophisticated anomaly detection systems are set to play a crucial role in proactive threat mitigation, establishing a defense against emerging cyber threats.

As we step into this future, it is imperative to maintain a balanced approach, leveraging the potential of digital twins to maximize operational efficiency while simultaneously safeguarding against security breaches and privacy infringements. The success of digital twins in advanced manufacturing will depend on our ability to navigate this complex interplay of technology, regulations, and ethical considerations, ensuring a secure, privacy-preserving, and sustainable environment for innovation and industrial growth.

REFERENCES

- [1] Martin Abadi, Andy Chu, Ian Goodfellow, H Brendan McMahan, Ilya Mironov, Kunal Talwar, and Li Zhang. 2016. Deep learning with differential privacy. In *Proceedings of the 2016 ACM SIGSAC conference on computer and communications security*. 308–318.
- [2] Gergely Acs, Luca Melis, Claude Castelluccia, and Emiliano De Cristofaro. 2018. Differentially private mixture of generative neural networks. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering* 31, 6 (2018), 1109–1121.
- [3] Toyosi Ademujimi and Vittaldas Prabhu. 2022. Digital twin for training bayesian networks for fault diagnostics of manufacturing systems. *Sensors* 22, 4 (2022), 1430.
- [4] Marc Antonini, Michel Barlaud, Pierre Mathieu, and Ingrid Daubechies. 1992. Image coding using wavelet transform. *IEEE Trans. Image Processing* 1 (1992), 20–5.
- [5] Yoshinori Aono, Takuya Hayashi, Lihua Wang, Shiho Moriai, et al. 2017. Privacy-preserving deep learning via additively homomorphic encryption. *IEEE transactions on information forensics and security* 13, 5 (2017), 1333–1345.
- [6] Anish Athalye, Nicholas Carlini, and David Wagner. 2018. Obfuscated gradients give a false sense of security: Circumventing defenses to adversarial examples. In *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR, 274–283.
- [7] Anish Athalye, Logan Engstrom, Andrew Ilyas, and Kevin Kwok. 2018. Synthesizing robust adversarial examples. In *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR, 284–293.
- [8] Jinsong Bao, Dongsheng Guo, Jie Li, and Jie Zhang. 2019. The modelling and operations for the digital twin in the context of manufacturing. *Enterprise Information Systems* 13, 4 (2019), 534–556.
- [9] Ruying Bao, Sihang Liang, and Qingcan Wang. 2018. Featurized bidirectional gan: Adversarial defense via adversarially learned semantic inference. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1805.07862* (2018).
- [10] Wolfgang Birk, Roland Hostettler, Maryam Razi, Khalid Atta, and Rasmus Tammia. 2022. Automatic generation and updating of process industrial digital twins for estimation and control-A review. *Frontiers in Control Engineering* 3 (2022), 954858.
- [11] Florian Bourse, Michele Minelli, Matthias Minihold, and Pascal Paillier. 2018. Fast homomorphic evaluation of deep discretized neural networks. In *Advances in Cryptology—CRYPTO 2018: 38th Annual International Cryptology Conference, Santa Barbara, CA, USA, August 19–23, 2018, Proceedings, Part III* 38. Springer, 483–512.
- [12] Wieland Brendel, Jonas Rauber, and Matthias Bethge. 2017. Decision-based adversarial attacks: Reliable attacks against black-box machine learning models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1712.04248* (2017).
- [13] Bernhard Brenner, Edgar Weippl, and Andreas Ekelhart. 2019. A Versatile Security Layer for AutomationML. In *2019 IEEE 17th International Conference on Industrial Informatics (INDIN)*, Vol. 1. 358–364. <https://doi.org/10.1109/INDIN41052.2019.8972288>
- [14] Thomas Brunner, Frederik Diehl, Michael Truong Le, and Alois Knoll. 2019. Guessing smart: Biased sampling for efficient black-box adversarial attacks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*. 4958–4966.
- [15] Antoni Buades, Bartomeu Coll, and J-M Morel. 2005. A non-local algorithm for image denoising. In *2005 IEEE computer society conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (CVPR'05)*, Vol. 2. Ieee, 60–65.
- [16] Peter Buneman, Sanjeev Khanna, and Wang-Chiew Tan. 2000. Data Provenance: Some Basic Issues. In *FST TCS 2000: Foundations of Software Technology and Theoretical Computer Science*, Sanjiv Kapoor and Sanjiva Prasad (Eds.). Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 87–93.
- [17] Yiyun Cao, Christine Currie, Bhakti Stephan Onggo, and Michael Higgins. 2021. Simulation optimization for a digital twin using a multi-fidelity framework. In *2021 Winter simulation conference (WSC)*. IEEE, 1–12.
- [18] Nicholas Carlini and David Wagner. 2017. Towards evaluating the robustness of neural networks. In *2017 IEEE symposium on security and privacy (sp)*. Ieee, 39–57.
- [19] Glen Cathey, James Benson, Maanak Gupta, and Ravi Sandhu. 2021. Edge Centric Secure Data Sharing with Digital Twins in Smart Ecosystems. 70–79. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPSISA52974.2021.00008>
- [20] Hervé Chabanne, Amaury De Wargny, Jonathan Milgram, Constance Morel, and Emmanuel Prouff. 2017. Privacy-preserving classification on deep neural network. *Cryptology ePrint Archive* (2017).
- [21] Ting-Jui Chang, Yukun He, and Peng Li. 2018. Efficient two-step adversarial defense for deep neural networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.03739* (2018).

- [22] Bryant Chen, Wilka Carvalho, Nathalie Baracaldo, Heiko Ludwig, Benjamin Edwards, Taesung Lee, Ian Molloy, and Biplav Srivastava. 2018. Detecting backdoor attacks on deep neural networks by activation clustering. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1811.03728* (2018).
- [23] Pin-Yu Chen, Huan Zhang, Yash Sharma, Jinfeng Yi, and Cho-Jui Hsieh. 2017. Zoo: Zeroth order optimization based black-box attacks to deep neural networks without training substitute models. In *Proceedings of the 10th ACM workshop on artificial intelligence and security*. 15–26.
- [24] Steven Chen, Nicholas Carlini, and David Wagner. 2020. Stateful detection of black-box adversarial attacks. In *Proceedings of the 1st ACM Workshop on Security and Privacy on Artificial Intelligence*. 30–39.
- [25] Tianqi Chen, Thierry Moreau, Ziheng Jiang, Lianmin Zheng, Eddie Yan, Haichen Shen, Meghan Cowan, Leyuan Wang, Yuwei Hu, Luis Ceze, et al. 2018. {TVM}: An automated {End-to-End} optimizing compiler for deep learning. In *13th USENIX Symposium on Operating Systems Design and Implementation (OSDI 18)*. 578–594.
- [26] Xinyun Chen, Chang Liu, Bo Li, Kimberly Lu, and Dawn Song. 2017. Targeted backdoor attacks on deep learning systems using data poisoning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1712.05526* (2017).
- [27] Ilaria Chillotti, Nicolas Gama, Mariya Georgieva, and Malika Izabachene. 2016. Faster fully homomorphic encryption: Bootstrapping in less than 0.1 seconds. In *Advances in Cryptology—ASIACRYPT 2016: 22nd International Conference on the Theory and Application of Cryptology and Information Security, Hanoi, Vietnam, December 4–8, 2016, Proceedings, Part I 22*. Springer, 3–33.
- [28] Biswarup Choudhury, Robin Swanson, Felix Heide, Gordon Wetzstein, and Wolfgang Heidrich. 2017. Consensus convolutional sparse coding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*. 4280–4288.
- [29] R Dennis Cook and Sanford Weisberg. 1980. Characterizations of an empirical influence function for detecting influential cases in regression. *Technometrics* 22, 4 (1980), 495–508.
- [30] Nilaksh Das, Madhuri Shanbhogue, Shang-Tse Chen, Fred Hohman, Li Chen, Michael E Kounavis, and Duen Horng Chau. 2017. Keeping the bad guys out: Protecting and vaccinating deep learning with jpeg compression. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.02900* (2017).
- [31] Li Deng. 2012. The mnist database of handwritten digit images for machine learning research [best of the web]. *IEEE signal processing magazine* 29, 6 (2012), 141–142.
- [32] Aarya Sheetal Desai, N Navaneeth, Sondipon Adhikari, and Souvik Chakraborty. 2023. Enhanced multi-fidelity modeling for digital twin and uncertainty quantification. *Probabilistic Engineering Mechanics* 74 (2023), 103525.
- [33] Y. Du, Y. Huang, G. Wan, and P. He. 2023. Deep Learning-Based Cyber-Physical Feature Fusion for Anomaly Detection in Industrial Control Systems. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics* (2023).
- [34] Gintare Karolina Dziugaite, Zoubin Ghahramani, and Daniel M Roy. 2016. A study of the effect of jpg compression on adversarial images. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1608.00853* (2016).
- [35] Matthias Eckhart and Andreas Ekelhart. 2018. A Specification-based State Replication Approach for Digital Twins. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Workshop on Cyber-Physical Systems Security and Privacy (CPS-SPC '18)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 36–47. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3264888.3264892>
- [36] Alexei A Efros and William T Freeman. 2023. Image quilting for texture synthesis and transfer. In *Seminal Graphics Papers: Pushing the Boundaries, Volume 2*. 571–576.
- [37] Henrik Ejersbo, Kenneth Lausdahl, Mirgita Frasher, and Lukas Esterle. 2023. Dynamic Runtime Integration of New Models in Digital Twins. In *2023 IEEE/ACM 18th Symposium on Software Engineering for Adaptive and Self-Managing Systems (SEAMS)*. IEEE, 44–55.
- [38] A. Erba and N. Tippenhauer. 2023. Assessing Model-free Anomaly Detection in Industrial Control Systems Against Generic Concealment Attacks. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics* (2023).
- [39] Andre Esteva, Katherine Chou, Serena Yeung, Nikhil Naik, Ali Madani, Ali Mottaghi, Yun Liu, Eric Topol, Jeff Dean, and Richard Socher. 2021. Deep learning-enabled medical computer vision. *NPJ digital medicine* 4, 1 (2021), 5.
- [40] Marco Evangelos Biancolini and Ubaldo Cella. 2019. Radial basis functions update of digital models on actual manufactured shapes. *Journal of Computational and Nonlinear Dynamics* 14, 2 (2019), 021013.
- [41] Sina Faezi, Sujit Rokka Chhetri, Arnav Vaibhav Malawade, John Charles Chaput, William Grover, Philip Brisk, and Mohammad Abdullah Al Faruque. 2019. Oligo-snoop: a non-invasive side channel attack against DNA synthesis machines. In *Network and Distributed Systems Security (NDSS) Symposium 2019*.
- [42] Alexander Fell, Hung Thinh Pham, and Siew-Kei Lam. 2019. TAD: time side-channel attack defense of obfuscated source code. In *Proceedings of the 24th Asia and South Pacific Design Automation Conference*. 58–63.
- [43] A. Fend and D. Bork. 2022. CPSAML: a language and code generation framework for digital twin based monitoring of mobile cyber-physical systems. In *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Computer and Communications Security*.
- [44] H. Feng, C. Gomes, and P. G. Larsen. 2023. Model-Based Monitoring and State Estimation for Digital Twins: The Kalman Filter. *Applied Sciences* 13, 2 (2023), 1021.
- [45] Rusins Freivalds. 1977. Probabilistic Machines Can Use Less Running Time.. In *IFIP congress*, Vol. 839. 842.

- [46] Qian Ge, Yuval Yarom, David Cock, and Gernot Heiser. 2018. A survey of microarchitectural timing attacks and countermeasures on contemporary hardware. *Journal of Cryptographic Engineering* 8 (2018), 1–27.
- [47] Christian Gehrmann and Martin Gunnarsson. 2020. A Digital Twin Based Industrial Automation and Control System Security Architecture. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics* 16, 1 (2020), 669–680. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TII.2019.2938885>
- [48] Christian Gehrmann and Martin Gunnarsson. 2020. A Digital Twin Based Industrial Automation and Control System Security Architecture. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics* 16, 1 (2020), 669–680. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TII.2019.2938885>
- [49] Ran Gilad-Bachrach, Nathan Dowlin, Kim Laine, Kristin Lauter, Michael Naehrig, and John Wernsing. 2016. Cryptonets: Applying neural networks to encrypted data with high throughput and accuracy. In *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR, 201–210.
- [50] Ian Goodfellow, Jean Pouget-Abadie, Mehdi Mirza, Bing Xu, David Warde-Farley, Sherjil Ozair, Aaron Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. 2014. Generative adversarial nets. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 27 (2014).
- [51] Ian J Goodfellow, Jonathon Shlens, and Christian Szegedy. 2014. Explaining and harnessing adversarial examples. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6572* (2014).
- [52] Tianyu Gu, Brendan Dolan-Gavitt, and Siddharth Garg. 2017. Badnets: Identifying vulnerabilities in the machine learning model supply chain. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1708.06733* (2017).
- [53] Zhongshu Gu, Heqing Huang, Jialong Zhang, Dong Su, Ankita Lamba, Dimitrios Pendarakis, and Ian Molloy. 2018. Securing input data of deep learning inference systems via partitioned enclave execution. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.00969* (2018), 1–14.
- [54] Chuan Guo, Mayank Rana, Moustapha Cisse, and Laurens Van Der Maaten. 2017. Countering adversarial images using input transformations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.00117* (2017).
- [55] Lucjan Hanzlik, Yang Zhang, Kathrin Grosse, Ahmed Salem, Maximilian Augustin, Michael Backes, and Mario Fritz. 2021. Mlcapsule: Guarded offline deployment of machine learning as a service. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 3300–3309.
- [56] Haya R. Hasan, Khaled Salah, Raja Jayaraman, Mohammed Omar, Ibrar Yaqoob, Saša Pestic, Todd Taylor, and Dragan Boscosvic. 2020. A Blockchain-Based Approach for the Creation of Digital Twins. *IEEE Access* 8 (2020), 34113–34126. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2974810>
- [57] Jamie Hayes, Luca Melis, George Danezis, and Emiliano De Cristofaro. 2017. Logan: Membership inference attacks against generative models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.07663* (2017).
- [58] Chao He, Tom Hao Luan, Rongxing Lu, Zhou Su, and Mianxiong Dong. 2022. Security and Privacy in Vehicular Digital Twin Networks: Challenges and Solutions. *IEEE Wireless Communications PP* (01 2022), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MWC.002.2200015>
- [59] Felix Heide, Wolfgang Heidrich, and Gordon Wetzstein. 2015. Fast and flexible convolutional sparse coding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. 5135–5143.
- [60] Joanna Helman. 2022. Digital Twin-driven approach towards manufacturing processes support. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, Vol. 2198. IOP Publishing, 012007.
- [61] Ehsan Hesamifard, Hassan Takabi, and Mehdi Ghasemi. 2017. Cryptodl: Deep neural networks over encrypted data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.05189* (2017).
- [62] Briland Hitaj, Giuseppe Ateniese, and Fernando Perez-Cruz. 2017. Deep models under the GAN: information leakage from collaborative deep learning. In *Proceedings of the 2017 ACM SIGSAC conference on computer and communications security*. 603–618.
- [63] Dorjan Hitaj and Luigi V Mancini. 2018. Have you stolen my model? evasion attacks against deep neural network watermarking techniques. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.00615* (2018).
- [64] Hossein Hosseini, Yize Chen, Sreeram Kannan, Baosen Zhang, and Radha Poovendran. 2017. Blocking transferability of adversarial examples in black-box learning systems. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1703.04318* (2017).
- [65] Ruitong Huang, Bing Xu, Dale Schuurmans, and Csaba Szepesvári. 2015. Learning with a strong adversary. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.03034* (2015).
- [66] Sihan Huang, Guoxin Wang, Yan Yan, and Xiongbing Fang. 2020. Blockchain-based data management for digital twin of product. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems* 54 (2020), 361–371. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2020.01.009>
- [67] Thomas Huang, GJTG Yang, and Greory Tang. 1979. A fast two-dimensional median filtering algorithm. *IEEE transactions on acoustics, speech, and signal processing* 27, 1 (1979), 13–18.
- [68] Tyler Hunt, Congzheng Song, Reza Shokri, Vitaly Shmatikov, and Emmett Witchel. 2018. Chiron: Privacy-preserving machine learning as a service. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.05961* (2018).
- [69] Nick Hynes, Raymond Cheng, and Dawn Song. 2018. Efficient deep learning on multi-source private data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.06689* (2018).

- [70] Sergey Ioffe and Christian Szegedy. 2015. Batch normalization: Accelerating deep network training by reducing internal covariate shift. In *International conference on machine learning*. pmlr, 448–456.
- [71] Yongkuk Jeong, Erik Flores-García, and Magnus Wiktorsson. 2020. A design of digital twins for supporting decision-making in production logistics. In *2020 Winter Simulation Conference (WSC)*. IEEE, 2683–2694.
- [72] Deepu Jha, Vispi Nevile Karkaria, PB Karandikar, and RS Desai. 2022. Statistical modeling of hybrid supercapacitor. *Journal of Energy storage* 46 (2022), 103869.
- [73] Chiraag Juvekar, Vinod Vaikuntanathan, and Anantha Chandrakasan. 2018. {GAZELLE}: A low latency framework for secure neural network inference. In *27th USENIX Security Symposium (USENIX Security 18)*. 1651–1669.
- [74] Uday Kamath, John Liu, and James Whitaker. 2019. *Deep learning for NLP and speech recognition*. Vol. 84. Springer.
- [75] Harini Kannan, Alexey Kurakin, and Ian Goodfellow. 2018. Adversarial logit pairing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.06373* (2018).
- [76] Michael G Kapteyn, David J Knezevic, and Karen Willcox. 2020. Toward predictive digital twins via component-based reduced-order models and interpretable machine learning. In *AIAA scitech 2020 forum*. 0418.
- [77] Vispi Karkaria, Jie Chen, Chase Siuta, Damien Lim, Robert Radulescu, and Wei Chen. 2024. A Machine Learning–Based Tire Life Prediction Framework for Increasing Life of Commercial Vehicle Tires. *Journal of Mechanical Design* 146, 2 (2024).
- [78] Vispi Karkaria, Anthony Goeckner, Rujing Zha, Jie Chen, Jianjing Zhang, Qi Zhu, Jian Cao, Robert X Gao, and Wei Chen. 2024. Towards a digital twin framework in additive manufacturing: Machine learning and bayesian optimization for time series process optimization. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems* (2024).
- [79] Hakan Kayan, Matthew Nunes, Omer Rana, Pete Burnap, and Charith Perera. 2022. Cybersecurity of industrial cyber-physical systems: a review. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)* 54, 11s (2022), 1–35.
- [80] Paul C Kocher. 1996. Timing attacks on implementations of Diffie-Hellman, RSA, DSS, and other systems. In *Advances in Cryptology—CRYPTO’96: 16th Annual International Cryptology Conference Santa Barbara, California, USA August 18–22, 1996 Proceedings* 16. Springer, 104–113.
- [81] Pang Wei Koh and Percy Liang. 2017. Understanding black-box predictions via influence functions. In *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR, 1885–1894.
- [82] Petteri Kokkonen, Björn Hemming, Eeva Mikkola, Linus Teir, Ville Lämsä, and Jukka Junntila. 2022. Robust lightweight design and digital twins considering manufacturing quality variation and sustainability requirements. *Rakenteiden Mekaniikka* 55, 3 (2022), 66–80.
- [83] Alex Krizhevsky, Geoffrey Hinton, et al. 2009. Learning multiple layers of features from tiny images. (2009).
- [84] Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey E Hinton. 2012. Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 25 (2012).
- [85] Wolfgang Kuehn. 2018. Digital twins for decision making in complex production and logistic enterprises. *International Journal of Design & Nature and Ecodynamics* 13, 3 (2018), 260–271.
- [86] Martin Kunath and Herwig Winkler. 2018. Integrating the Digital Twin of the manufacturing system into a decision support system for improving the order management process. *Procedia Cirp* 72 (2018), 225–231.
- [87] Alexey Kurakin, Ian Goodfellow, and Samy Bengio. 2016. Adversarial machine learning at scale. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1611.01236* (2016).
- [88] Heikki Laaki, Yoan Miche, and Kari Tammi. 2019. Prototyping a digital twin for real time remote control over mobile networks: Application of remote surgery. *Ieee Access* 7 (2019), 20325–20336.
- [89] Hasan Latif, Guodong Shao, and Binil Starly. 2020. A case study of digital twin for a manufacturing process involving human interactions. In *2020 Winter Simulation Conference (WSC)*. IEEE, 2659–2670.
- [90] Dongmin Lee, Sang Hyun Lee, Neda Masoud, M.S. Krishnan, and Victor C. Li. 2021. Integrated digital twin and blockchain framework to support accountable information sharing in construction projects. *Automation in Construction* 127 (2021), 103688. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2021.103688>
- [91] Chunquan Li, Yaqiong Chen, and Yuling Shang. 2022. A review of industrial big data for decision making in intelligent manufacturing. *Engineering Science and Technology, an International Journal* 29 (2022), 101021.
- [92] Guanjie Li, Tom H. Luan, Xinghao Li, Jinkai Zheng, Chengzhe Lai, Zhou Su, and Kuan Zhang. 2023. Breaking Down Data Sharing Barrier of Smart City: A Digital Twin Approach. *IEEE Network* (2023), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MNET.140.2200512>
- [93] Shaofeng Li, Minhui Xue, Benjamin Zi Hao Zhao, Haojin Zhu, and Xinpeng Zhang. 2020. Invisible backdoor attacks on deep neural networks via steganography and regularization. *IEEE Transactions on Dependable and Secure Computing* 18, 5 (2020), 2088–2105.
- [94] W. Li, M. Yang, B. Xi, and Q. Huang. 2023. Framework of Virtual Plantation Forest Modeling and Data Analysis for Digital Twin. *Forests* 14, 4 (2023), 683.
- [95] Bin Liang, Hongcheng Li, Miaoqiang Su, Xirong Li, Wenchang Shi, and Xiaofeng Wang. 2018. Detecting adversarial image examples in deep neural networks with adaptive noise reduction. *IEEE Transactions on Dependable and Secure*

- Computing* 18, 1 (2018), 72–85.
- [96] Fangzhou Liao, Ming Liang, Yinpeng Dong, Tianyu Pang, Xiaolin Hu, and Jun Zhu. 2018. Defense against adversarial attacks using high-level representation guided denoiser. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 1778–1787.
- [97] Chihuang Liu and Joseph Jaja. 2018. Feature prioritization and regularization improve standard accuracy and adversarial robustness. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.02424* (2018).
- [98] Jian Liu, Mika Juuti, Yao Lu, and Nadarajah Asokan. 2017. Oblivious neural network predictions via minionn transformations. In *Proceedings of the 2017 ACM SIGSAC conference on computer and communications security*. 619–631.
- [99] Kang Liu, Brendan Dolan-Gavitt, and Siddharth Garg. 2018. Fine-pruning: Defending against backdooring attacks on deep neural networks. In *International symposium on research in attacks, intrusions, and defenses*. Springer, 273–294.
- [100] Weibo Liu, Zidong Wang, Xiaohui Liu, Nianyin Zeng, Yurong Liu, and Fuad E Alsaadi. 2017. A survey of deep neural network architectures and their applications. *Neurocomputing* 234 (2017), 11–26.
- [101] Ximeng Liu, Robert H Deng, Pengfei Wu, and Yang Yang. 2020. Lightning-fast and privacy-preserving outsourced computation in the cloud. *Cybersecurity* 3 (2020), 1–21.
- [102] X. Liu, H. Gan, Y. Luo, Y. Chen, and L. Gao. 2023. Digital-Twin-Based Real-Time Optimization for A Fractional Order Controller for Industrial Robots. *Fractal and Fractional* 7, 2 (2023), 167.
- [103] Ximeng Liu, Lehui Xie, Yaopeng Wang, Jian Zou, Jinbo Xiong, Zuobin Ying, and Athanasios V. Vasilakos. 2021. Privacy and Security Issues in Deep Learning: A Survey. *IEEE Access* 9 (2021), 4566–4593. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3045078>
- [104] Yingqi Liu, Shiqing Ma, Yousra Aafer, Wen-Chuan Lee, Juan Zhai, Weihang Wang, and Xiangyu Zhang. 2018. Trojaning attack on neural networks. In *25th Annual Network And Distributed System Security Symposium (NDSS 2018)*. Internet Soc.
- [105] Yunhui Long, Vincent Bindschaedler, Lei Wang, Diyue Bu, Xiaofeng Wang, Haixu Tang, Carl A Gunter, and Kai Chen. 2018. Understanding membership inferences on well-generalized learning models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.04889* (2018).
- [106] Jiajun Lu, Hussein Sibai, Evan Fabry, and David Forsyth. 2017. No need to worry about adversarial examples in object detection in autonomous vehicles. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1707.03501* (2017).
- [107] Giovanni Lugaresi and Andrea Matta. 2021. Automated manufacturing system discovery and digital twin generation. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems* 59 (2021), 51–66.
- [108] Yan Luo, Xavier Boix, Gemma Roig, Tomaso Poggio, and Qi Zhao. 2015. Foveation-based mechanisms alleviate adversarial examples. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.06292* (2015).
- [109] Aleksander Madry, Aleksandar Makelov, Ludwig Schmidt, Dimitris Tsipras, and Adrian Vladu. 2017. Towards deep learning models resistant to adversarial attacks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.06083* (2017).
- [110] F. Makansi and K. Schmitz. 2022. Data-Driven Condition Monitoring of a Hydraulic Press Using Supervised Learning and Neural Networks. *Energies* 15, 17 (2022), 6217.
- [111] Frank McKeen, Ilya Alexandrovich, Alex Berenzon, Carlos V Rozas, Hisham Shafi, Vedvyas Shanbhogue, and Uday R Savagaonkar. 2013. Innovative instructions and software model for isolated execution. *Hasp@ isca* 10, 1 (2013).
- [112] F. C. Mehlan, A. Nejad, and Z. Gao. 2022. Digital twin based virtual sensor for online fatigue damage monitoring in offshore wind turbine drivetrains. *Journal of Offshore Mechanics and Arctic Engineering* 144, 6 (2022).
- [113] Luca Melis, Congzheng Song, Emiliano De Cristofaro, and Vitaly Shmatikov. 2019. Exploiting unintended feature leakage in collaborative learning. In *2019 IEEE symposium on security and privacy (SP)*. IEEE, 691–706.
- [114] Qingfei Min, Yangguang Lu, Zhiyong Liu, Chao Su, and Bo Wang. 2019. Machine learning based digital twin framework for production optimization in petrochemical industry. *International Journal of Information Management* 49 (2019), 502–519.
- [115] Payman Mohassel and Yupeng Zhang. 2017. Secureml: A system for scalable privacy-preserving machine learning. In *2017 IEEE symposium on security and privacy (SP)*. IEEE, 19–38.
- [116] Seyed-Mohsen Moosavi-Dezfooli, Alhussein Fawzi, Omar Fawzi, and Pascal Frossard. 2017. Universal adversarial perturbations. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 1765–1773.
- [117] Seyed-Mohsen Moosavi-Dezfooli, Alhussein Fawzi, and Pascal Frossard. 2016. Deepfool: a simple and accurate method to fool deep neural networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 2574–2582.
- [118] Luis Muñoz-González, Battista Biggio, Ambra Demontis, Andrea Paudice, Vasin Wongrassamee, Emil C Lupu, and Fabio Roli. 2017. Towards poisoning of deep learning algorithms with back-gradient optimization. In *Proceedings of the 10th ACM workshop on artificial intelligence and security*. 27–38.
- [119] Luis Muñoz-González, Bjarne Pfizner, Matteo Russo, Javier Carnerero-Cano, and Emil C Lupu. 2019. Poisoning attacks with generative adversarial nets. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.07773* (2019).

- [120] Andres Murillo, Riccardo Taormina, Nils Tippenhauer, and Stefano Galelli. 2021. Co-Simulating Physical Processes and Network Data for High-Fidelity Cyber-Security Experiments. In *Sixth Annual Industrial Control System Security (ICSS) Workshop* (Austin, TX, USA) (ICSS 2020). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 13–20. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3442144.3442147>
- [121] Jack Murray, Brandon Chamberlain, Nicholas Hemleben, Daniel Ospina-Acero, Indranil Nayak, Andrew VanFossen, Frank Zahiri, and Mrinal Kumar. 2023. Reconceptualizing the Prognostics Digital Twin for Smart Manufacturing with Data-Driven Evolutionary Models and Adaptive Uncertainty Quantification. In *Annual Conference of the PHM Society*, Vol. 15.
- [122] Aamir Mustafa, Salman H Khan, Munawar Hayat, Jianbing Shen, and Ling Shao. 2019. Image super-resolution as a defense against adversarial attacks. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing* 29 (2019), 1711–1724.
- [123] Milad Nasr, Reza Shokri, and Amir Houmansadr. 2019. Comprehensive privacy analysis of deep learning: Passive and active white-box inference attacks against centralized and federated learning. In *2019 IEEE symposium on security and privacy (SP)*. IEEE, 739–753.
- [124] Paromita Nath and Sankaran Mahadevan. 2022. Probabilistic digital twin for additive manufacturing process design and control. *Journal of Mechanical Design* 144, 9 (2022), 091704.
- [125] Engineering National Academies of Sciences, Medicine, et al. 2023. *Foundational research gaps and future directions for digital twins*.
- [126] Robert Norvill, Cyril Cassanges, Wazen Shbair, Jean Hilger, Andrea Cullen, and Radu State. 2020. A Security and Privacy Focused KYC Data Sharing Platform. In *Proceedings of the 2nd ACM International Symposium on Blockchain and Secure Critical Infrastructure* (Taipei, Taiwan) (BSCI '20). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 151–160. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3384943.3409431>
- [127] Olga Ohrimenko, Felix Schuster, Cédric Fournet, Aastha Mehta, Sebastian Nowozin, Kapil Vaswani, and Manuel Costa. 2016. Oblivious {Multi-Party} machine learning on trusted processors. In *25th USENIX Security Symposium (USENIX Security 16)*. 619–636.
- [128] Nicolas Papernot, Martín Abadi, Ulfar Erlingsson, Ian Goodfellow, and Kunal Talwar. 2016. Semi-supervised knowledge transfer for deep learning from private training data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.05755* (2016).
- [129] Nicolas Papernot, Patrick McDaniel, Somesh Jha, Matt Fredrikson, Z Berkay Celik, and Ananthram Swami. 2016. The limitations of deep learning in adversarial settings. In *2016 IEEE European symposium on security and privacy (EuroS&P)*. IEEE, 372–387.
- [130] Nicolas Papernot, Shuang Song, Ilya Mironov, Ananth Raghunathan, Kunal Talwar, and Úlfar Erlingsson. 2018. Scalable private learning with pate. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.08908* (2018).
- [131] Andrea Paudice, Luis Muñoz-González, Andras Gyorgy, and Emil C Lupu. 2018. Detection of adversarial training examples in poisoning attacks through anomaly detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.03041* (2018).
- [132] Peter Pessl, Daniel Gruss, Clémentine Maurice, Michael Schwarz, and Stefan Mangard. 2016. {DRAMA}: Exploiting {DRAM} Addressing for {Cross-CPU} Attacks. In *25th USENIX security symposium (USENIX security 16)*. 565–581.
- [133] NhatHai Phan, Yue Wang, Xintao Wu, and Dejing Dou. 2016. Differential privacy preservation for deep auto-encoders: an application of human behavior prediction. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 30.
- [134] NhatHai Phan, Xintao Wu, and Dejing Dou. 2017. Preserving differential privacy in convolutional deep belief networks. *Machine learning* 106, 9-10 (2017), 1681–1704.
- [135] NhatHai Phan, Xintao Wu, Han Hu, and Dejing Dou. 2017. Adaptive laplace mechanism: Differential privacy preservation in deep learning. In *2017 IEEE international conference on data mining (ICDM)*. IEEE, 385–394.
- [136] Kanthakumar Pongaliur, Zubin Abraham, Alex X Liu, Li Xiao, and Leo Kempel. 2008. Securing sensor nodes against side channel attacks. In *2008 11th IEEE High Assurance Systems Engineering Symposium*. IEEE, 353–361.
- [137] Aaditya Prakash, Nick Moran, Solomon Garber, Antonella DiLillo, and James Storer. 2018. Deflecting adversarial attacks with pixel deflection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 8571–8580.
- [138] Benedikt Putz, Marietheres Dietz, Philip Empl, and Günther Pernul. 2021. EtherTwin: Blockchain-based Secure Digital Twin Information Management. *Information Processing & Management* 58, 1 (2021), 102425. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ipm.2020.102425>
- [139] Yassine Qamsane, Chien-Ying Chen, Efe C. Balta, Bin-Chou Kao, Sibin Mohan, James Moyne, Dawn Tilbury, and Kira Barton. 2019. A Unified Digital Twin Framework for Real-time Monitoring and Evaluation of Smart Manufacturing Systems. In *2019 IEEE 15th International Conference on Automation Science and Engineering (CASE)*. 1394–1401. <https://doi.org/10.1109/COASE.2019.8843269>
- [140] Edward Raff, Jared Sylvester, Steven Forsyth, and Mark McLean. 2019. Barrage of random transforms for adversarially robust defense. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. 6528–6537.
- [141] M Rahman, Abid Khan, Sayeed Anowar, Md Al-Imran, Richa Verma, Dinesh Kumar, Kazuma Kobayashi, and Syed Alam. 2022. Leveraging Industry 4.0: Deep Learning, Surrogate Model, and Transfer Learning with Uncertainty

- Quantification Incorporated into Digital Twin for Nuclear System. In *Handbook of Smart Energy Systems*. Springer, 1–20.
- [142] M Mazhar Rathore, Syed Attique Shah, Dharendra Shukla, Elmahdi Bentafat, and Spiridon Bakiras. 2021. The role of ai, machine learning, and big data in digital twinning: A systematic literature review, challenges, and opportunities. *IEEE Access* 9 (2021), 32030–32052.
- [143] Olaf Ronneberger, Philipp Fischer, and Thomas Brox. 2015. U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation. In *Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention—MICCAI 2015: 18th International Conference, Munich, Germany, October 5–9, 2015, Proceedings, Part III* 18. Springer, 234–241.
- [144] Bitar Darvish Rouhani, M Sadegh Riazi, and Farinaz Koushanfar. 2018. Deepsecure: Scalable provably-secure deep learning. In *Proceedings of the 55th annual design automation conference*. 1–6.
- [145] Jože M Rožanec, Jinzhi Lu, Jan Rupnik, Maja Škrjanc, Dunja Mladenčić, Blaž Fortuna, Xiaochen Zheng, and Dimitris Kiritsis. 2022. Actionable cognitive twins for decision making in manufacturing. *International Journal of Production Research* 60, 2 (2022), 452–478.
- [146] Juan Enrique Rubio, Cristina Alcaraz, Rodrigo Roman, and Javier Lopez. 2019. Current cyber-defense trends in industrial control systems. *Computers & Security* 87 (2019), 101561. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cose.2019.06.015>
- [147] Leonid I Rudin, Stanley Osher, and Emad Fatemi. 1992. Nonlinear total variation based noise removal algorithms. *Physica D: nonlinear phenomena* 60, 1–4 (1992), 259–268.
- [148] Pouya Samangouei, Maya Kabkab, and Rama Chellappa. 2018. Defense-gan: Protecting classifiers against adversarial attacks using generative models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1805.06605* (2018).
- [149] Amartya Sanyal, Matt Kusner, Adria Gascon, and Varun Kanade. 2018. TAPAS: Tricks to accelerate (encrypted) prediction as a service. In *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR, 4490–4499.
- [150] R. K. Sen. 2023. Detailed Process for Developing an Efficient Anomaly Detection Algorithm for Real-Time Streaming Data in Large-Scale Industrial Systems. *Processes* 11, 1 (2023), 101.
- [151] Oscar Serrano, Luc Dandurand, and Sarah Brown. 2014. On the Design of a Cyber Security Data Sharing System. In *Proceedings of the 2014 ACM Workshop on Information Sharing & Collaborative Security* (Scottsdale, Arizona, USA) (WISCS '14). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 61–69. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2663876.2663882>
- [152] Ali Shafahi, W Ronny Huang, Mahyar Najibi, Octavian Suci, Christoph Studer, Tudor Dumitras, and Tom Goldstein. 2018. Poison frogs! targeted clean-label poisoning attacks on neural networks. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 31 (2018).
- [153] Uri Shaham, Yutaro Yamada, and Sahand Negahban. 2018. Understanding adversarial training: Increasing local stability of supervised models through robust optimization. *Neurocomputing* 307 (2018), 195–204.
- [154] Weidong Shen, Tianliang Hu, Chengrui Zhang, and Songhua Ma. 2021. Secure sharing of big digital twin data for smart manufacturing based on blockchain. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems* 61 (2021), 338–350. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2021.09.014>
- [155] Sharmin Sultana Sheuly, Mobyen Uddin Ahmed, and Shahina Begum. 2022. Machine-learning-based digital twin in manufacturing: a bibliometric analysis and evolutionary overview. *Applied Sciences* 12, 13 (2022), 6512.
- [156] Lei Shi, Xiao Chen, Sheng Wen, and Yang Xiang. 2019. Main Enabling Technologies in Industry 4.0 and Cybersecurity Threats. In *Cyberspace Safety and Security*, Jaideep Vaidya, Xiao Zhang, and Jin Li (Eds.). Springer International Publishing, Cham, 588–597.
- [157] Reza Shokri and Vitaly Shmatikov. 2015. Privacy-preserving deep learning. In *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGSAC conference on computer and communications security*. 1310–1321.
- [158] Reza Shokri, Marco Stronati, Congzheng Song, and Vitaly Shmatikov. 2017. Membership inference attacks against machine learning models. In *2017 IEEE symposium on security and privacy (SP)*. IEEE, 3–18.
- [159] Seunghwan Son, Deokkyu Kwon, Joonyoung Lee, Sungjin Yu, Nam-Su Jho, and Youngho Park. 2022. On the Design of a Privacy-Preserving Communication Scheme for Cloud-Based Digital Twin Environments Using Blockchain. *IEEE Access* 10 (2022), 75365–75375. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3191414>
- [160] Wei-ning Song, Tan Li, Jun-hua Zhou, and Lin Xu. 2021. A Real-Time Digital Twin Model Dynamically Modifying Method Based on Consistency Measurement Model. In *2021 IEEE 4th International Conference on Electronics Technology (ICET)*. IEEE, 406–410.
- [161] Jacob Steinhardt, Pang Wei W Koh, and Percy S Liang. 2017. Certified defenses for data poisoning attacks. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 30 (2017).
- [162] Jiawei Su, Danilo Vasconcellos Vargas, and Kouichi Sakurai. 2019. One pixel attack for fooling deep neural networks. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation* 23, 5 (2019), 828–841.
- [163] Bo Sun, Nian-hsuan Tsai, Fangchen Liu, Ronald Yu, and Hao Su. 2019. Adversarial defense by stratified convolutional sparse coding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 11447–11456.

- [164] W. Sun, Z. Zhou, F. Ma, J. Wang, and C. Ji. 2023. Industrial Application of Data-Driven Process Monitoring with an Automatic Selection Strategy for Modeling Data. *Processes* 11, 2 (2023), 402.
- [165] Christian Szegedy, Vincent Vanhoucke, Sergey Ioffe, Jon Shlens, and Zbigniew Wojna. 2016. Rethinking the inception architecture for computer vision. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 2818–2826.
- [166] Christian Szegedy, Wojciech Zaremba, Ilya Sutskever, Joan Bruna, Dumitru Erhan, Ian Goodfellow, and Rob Fergus. 2013. Intriguing properties of neural networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6199* (2013).
- [167] I. Taibi, Y. H. Aoul, and C. Barakat. 2022. Leveraging Web browsing performance data for network monitoring: a data-driven approach. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Global Communications Conference (GLOBECOM)*.
- [168] Adam Thelen, Xiaoge Zhang, Olga Fink, Yan Lu, Sayan Ghosh, Byeng D Youn, Michael D Todd, Sankaran Mahadevan, Chao Hu, and Zhen Hu. 2023. A comprehensive review of digital twin—part 2: roles of uncertainty quantification and optimization, a battery digital twin, and perspectives. *Structural and multidisciplinary optimization* 66, 1 (2023), 1.
- [169] Shixin Tian, Guolei Yang, and Ying Cai. 2018. Detecting adversarial examples through image transformation. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 32.
- [170] Amirsina Torfi, Rouzbeh A Shirvani, Yaser Keneshloo, Nader Tavaf, and Edward A Fox. 2020. Natural language processing advancements by deep learning: A survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.01200* (2020).
- [171] Florian Tramèr and Dan Boneh. 2018. Slalom: Fast, verifiable and private execution of neural networks in trusted hardware. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.03287* (2018).
- [172] Florian Tramèr, Alexey Kurakin, Nicolas Papernot, Ian Goodfellow, Dan Boneh, and Patrick McDaniel. 2017. Ensemble adversarial training: Attacks and defenses. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.07204* (2017).
- [173] Florian Tramèr, Fan Zhang, Ari Juels, Michael K Reiter, and Thomas Ristenpart. 2016. Stealing machine learning models via prediction {APIs}. In *25th USENIX security symposium (USENIX Security 16)*. 601–618.
- [174] Aleksei Triastcyn and Boi Faltings. 2018. Generating differentially private datasets using gans. (2018).
- [175] Tapas Tripura, Aarya Sheetal Desai, Sondipon Adhikari, and Souvik Chakraborty. 2023. Probabilistic machine learning based predictive and interpretable digital twin for dynamical systems. *Computers & Structures* 281 (2023), 107008.
- [176] Chun-Chen Tu, Paishun Ting, Pin-Yu Chen, Sijia Liu, Huan Zhang, Jinfeng Yi, Cho-Jui Hsieh, and Shin-Ming Cheng. 2019. Autozoom: Autoencoder-based zeroth order optimization method for attacking black-box neural networks. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 33. 742–749.
- [177] Yusuke Uchida, Yuki Nagai, Shigeyuki Sakazawa, and Shin'ichi Satoh. 2017. Embedding watermarks into deep neural networks. In *Proceedings of the 2017 ACM on international conference on multimedia retrieval*. 269–277.
- [178] Anton van Beek, Vispi Nevile Karkaria, and Wei Chen. 2023. Digital twins for the designs of systems: a perspective. *Structural and Multidisciplinary Optimization* 66, 3 (2023), 49.
- [179] Alberto Villalonga, Elisa Negri, Giacomo Biscardo, Fernando Castano, Rodolfo E Haber, Luca Fumagalli, and Marco Macchi. 2021. A decision-making framework for dynamic scheduling of cyber-physical production systems based on digital twins. *Annual Reviews in Control* 51 (2021), 357–373.
- [180] Pascal Vincent, Hugo Larochelle, Yoshua Bengio, and Pierre-Antoine Manzagol. 2008. Extracting and composing robust features with denoising autoencoders. In *Proceedings of the 25th international conference on Machine learning*. 1096–1103.
- [181] Athanasios Voulodimos, Nikolaos Doulamis, Anastasios Doulamis, Eftychios Protopapadakis, et al. 2018. Deep learning for computer vision: A brief review. *Computational intelligence and neuroscience* 2018 (2018).
- [182] Sameer Wagh, Divya Gupta, and Nishanth Chandran. 2018. Securen: Efficient and private neural network training. *Cryptology ePrint Archive* (2018).
- [183] Binghui Wang and Neil Zhenqiang Gong. 2018. Stealing hyperparameters in machine learning. In *2018 IEEE symposium on security and privacy (SP)*. IEEE, 36–52.
- [184] Qinglong Wang, Wenbo Guo, Kaixuan Zhang, Alexander G Ororbia, Xinyu Xing, Xue Liu, and C Lee Giles. 2017. Adversary resistant deep neural networks with an application to malware detection. In *Proceedings of the 23rd ACM sigkdd international conference on knowledge discovery and data mining*. 1145–1153.
- [185] Tianhao Wang and Florian Kerschbaum. 2019. Attacks on digital watermarks for deep neural networks. In *ICASSP 2019-2019 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2622–2626.
- [186] S. Wilfling, B. Falay, Q. Alfalouji, and G. Schweiger. 2022. A Dymola-Python framework for data-driven model creation and Co-simulation. In *Proceedings of the 13th International Modelica Conference*.
- [187] Jim Woodcock, Cláudio Gomes, Hugo Daniel Macedo, and Peter Gorm Larsen. 2021. Uncertainty quantification and runtime monitoring using environment-aware digital twins. In *Leveraging Applications of Formal Methods, Verification and Validation: Tools and Trends: 9th International Symposium on Leveraging Applications of Formal Methods, ISoLA 2020, Rhodes, Greece, October 20–30, 2020, Proceedings, Part IV* 9. Springer, 72–87.
- [188] Christos Xenofontos, Ioannis Zografopoulos, Charalambos Konstantinou, Alireza Jolfaei, Muhammad Khurram Khan, and Kim-Kwang Raymond Choo. 2021. Consumer, commercial, and industrial iot (in) security: Attack taxonomy and

- case studies. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal* 9, 1 (2021), 199–221.
- [189] Kaishu Xia, Christopher Sacco, Max Kirkpatrick, Clint Saidy, Lam Nguyen, Anil Kircaliali, and Ramy Harik. 2021. A digital twin to train deep reinforcement learning agent for smart manufacturing plants: Environment, interfaces and intelligence. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems* 58 (2021), 210–230.
- [190] Cihang Xie, Yuxin Wu, Laurens van der Maaten, Alan L Yuille, and Kaiming He. 2019. Feature denoising for improving adversarial robustness. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 501–509.
- [191] Liyang Xie, Kaixiang Lin, Shu Wang, Fei Wang, and Jiayu Zhou. 2018. Differentially private generative adversarial network. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.06739* (2018).
- [192] Pengtao Xie, Misha Bilenko, Tom Finley, Ran Gilad-Bachrach, Kristin Lauter, and Michael Naehrig. 2014. Crypto-nets: Neural networks over encrypted data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6181* (2014).
- [193] Qinghua Xu, Shaukat Ali, and Tao Yue. 2021. Digital twin-based anomaly detection in cyber-physical systems. In *2021 14th IEEE Conference on Software Testing, Verification and Validation (ICST)*. IEEE, 205–216.
- [194] Qinghua Xu, Shaukat Ali, Tao Yue, and Maite Arratibel. 2022. Uncertainty-aware transfer learning to evolve digital twins for industrial elevators. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM Joint European Software Engineering Conference and Symposium on the Foundations of Software Engineering*. 1257–1268.
- [195] Weilin Xu, David Evans, and Yanjun Qi. 2017. Feature squeezing mitigates and detects carlini/wagner adversarial examples. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.10686* (2017).
- [196] Chaofei Yang, Qing Wu, Hai Li, and Yiran Chen. 2017. Generative poisoning attack method against neural networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1703.01340* (2017).
- [197] Fan Yang, Tao Feng, Fangmin Xu, Huiwen Jiang, and Chenglin Zhao. 2022. Collaborative clustering parallel reinforcement learning for edge-cloud digital twins manufacturing system. *China Communications* 19, 8 (2022), 138–148.
- [198] Matthew D Zeiler and Rob Fergus. 2014. Visualizing and understanding convolutional networks. In *Computer Vision—ECCV 2014: 13th European Conference, Zurich, Switzerland, September 6–12, 2014, Proceedings, Part I 13*. Springer, 818–833.
- [199] Chao Zhang, Guanghui Zhou, Junsheng Hu, and Jing Li. 2020. Deep learning-enabled intelligent process planning for digital twin manufacturing cell. *Knowledge-Based Systems* 191 (2020), 105247.
- [200] Ding Zhang, Qiang Liu, Hong Yan, and Min Xie. 2021. A matrix analytic approach for Bayesian network modeling and inference of a manufacturing system. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems* 60 (2021), 202–213.
- [201] Jiale Zhang, Bing Chen, Yanchao Zhao, Xiang Cheng, and Feng Hu. 2018. Data Security and Privacy-Preserving in Edge Computing Paradigm: Survey and Open Issues. *IEEE Access* 6 (2018), 18209–18237. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2820162>
- [202] Jianjing Zhang, Clayton Cooper, and Robert X. Gao. 2023. Federated Learning for Privacy-Preserving Collaboration in Smart Manufacturing. In *Proceedings of the GCISM 2022*. Springer, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106, USA, 845–853. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-28839-5_94
- [203] Shunliang Zhang, Yongming Wang, and Weihua Zhou. 2019. Towards secure 5G networks: A Survey. *Computer Networks* 162 (2019), 106871. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comnet.2019.106871>
- [204] Yu Zhang, Lili Yang, Wenxi Long, and Jun Han. 2022. Research on Data Sharing Model Based on Multi-Party Secure Computing. In *Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Big Data Research (Harbin, China) (ICBDR '22)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 16–20. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3564665.3564669>
- [205] M. Zhao and O. Fink. 2023. Dynamic Graph Attention for Anomaly Detection in Heterogeneous Sensor Networks. *Sensors* 23, 1 (2023), 231.
- [206] X. Zhao, L. Zhang, Y. Cao, K. Jin, and Y. Hou. 2023. Anomaly Detection Approach in Industrial Control Systems Based on Measurement Data. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics* (2023).
- [207] Guanghui Zhou, Chao Zhang, Zhi Li, Kai Ding, and Chuang Wang. 2020. Knowledge-driven digital twin manufacturing cell towards intelligent manufacturing. *International Journal of Production Research* 58, 4 (2020), 1034–1051.
- [208] Chen Zhu, W Ronny Huang, Hengduo Li, Gavin Taylor, Christoph Studer, and Tom Goldstein. 2019. Transferable clean-label poisoning attacks on deep neural nets. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 7614–7623.
- [209] Xiaoyang Zhu and Yangjian Ji. 2023. A digital twin-based multi-objective optimization method for technical schemes in process industry. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing* 36, 3 (2023), 443–468.