

Revisiting Curzon-Ahlborn heat engine: Extending the Yvon engine

Xiu-Hua Zhao¹ and Yu-Han Ma^{1,2,*}

¹*School of Physics and Astronomy, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, 100875, China*

²*Graduate School of China Academy of Engineering Physics,
No. 10 Xibeiwang East Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100193, China*

(Dated: December 19, 2024)

One famous paper in this field [Am. J. Phys. 43, 22 (1975)] unveiled the efficiency at maximum power (EMP) of the endo-reversible Carnot heat engine, now known as the Curzon-Ahlborn (CA) engine, making a pioneering contribution to the genesis of finite-time thermodynamics. Despite its significance, similar findings have surfaced throughout history; for instance, the Yvon engine proposed by J. Yvon in 1955 shares the exact same EMP as the CA engine. This study extends Yvon's original approach to reanalyze the finite-time optimization of the CA engine. Our investigation not only bridges the gap between the Yvon engine and the CA engine but also serves as a suitable example for teaching undergraduate thermodynamics and engineering thermodynamics, given its concise and easy-to-understand derivation.

I. INTRODUCTION

The seminal work by Curzon and Ahlborn [1] published in 1975 is widely regarded as the foundation of finite-time thermodynamics. By analyzing an endoreversible Carnot engine with finite temperature differences between the working substance and the reservoirs, the authors optimized the power output of the engine and obtained the efficiency at maximum power (EMP), which is a more practical efficiency bound than the Carnot efficiency. The EMP formula they derived,

$$\eta_{CA} = 1 - \sqrt{T_c/T_h} \quad (1)$$

with $T_c(T_h)$ the temperature of the cold (hot) reservoir, is commonly referred to as Curzon-Ahlborn (CA) efficiency. This result has become a cornerstone in the study of finite-time heat engines [2].

In fact, Curzon and Ahlborn's work was not the first to explore the performance of finite-time engines or derive the CA efficiency [3–5]. As early as 1955, Yvon presented the same formula as Eq. (1) while investigating the optimization of actual power plants modeled as endoreversible engines [6]. Two years later, Chambadal [7] and Novikov [8] presented discussions quite similar to that of Yvon and reached the same conclusion. Yvon's approach, though yielding the same EMP expression, differed from Curzon and Ahlborn's in two key aspects: i) a finite temperature difference was assumed only between the working substance and the hot reservoir, with no temperature difference on the cold side, and ii) cycle time was not explicitly considered; instead, finite heat and work fluxes were used to characterize the finite-time nature of practical engines. These distinctions render the relationship between the Yvon and CA engines less straightforward, obfuscating the grounds for their having the same EMP.

The simplicity of Yvon's optimization inspires us to extend his model to encompass more general finite-time engines. The extended Yvon engine presented in this work will provide a unified and straightforward framework for studying endoreversible Carnot engines, bridging the gap between

Yvon's original analysis and the broader scope of Curzon and Ahlborn's work. Specifically, we relax the same-temperature assumption on the cold side in the Yvon engine, and optimize the work flux (power) with respect to the endoreversible temperatures of the working substance under the endoreversible condition. The derived EMP matches the CA efficiency, yet the optimization process is significantly more concise than that of the CA engine. Moreover, our approach naturally reproduces the trade-off relation between power and efficiency beyond the maximum-power point [9], a topic of considerable interest in contemporary thermodynamic research [10–12].

II. YVON ENGINE

We start with reviewing Yvon's pioneering treatment on the finite-time Carnot heat engine [4, 6]. Yvon's report [6] at the 1955 United Nations Conference on the Peaceful Uses of Atomic Energy offered a simple solution on maximizing the output power of the steam engine in nuclear reactors. Specifically, the fluid, at temperature T_h from the reactor core, serves as the hot reservoir transferring heat to the working substance in the engine, which maintains its maximum temperature $T_m < T_h$ during heat absorption. The engine generates power through the turbine shafts and isothermally releases heat to the condenser while the working substance and the condenser are at the same lowest temperature $T_c < T_m$. The heat flux from the fluid to the working substance obeys Newton's law of cooling,

$$\dot{Q} = \Gamma(T_h - T_m), \quad (2)$$

where Γ is a constant that depends on the thermal conductivity and area of the wall separating the working substance from the hot fluid. With the assumption that the working substance undergoes a reversible transformation between T_m and T_c , the efficiency of the engine reads

$$\eta^{(Y)} = 1 - T_c/T_m, \quad (3)$$

which is now known as the endoreversible assumption [13]. According to the definition of heat engine efficiency, $\eta \equiv$

* yhma@bnu.edu.cn

P/\dot{Q} , which is the ratio of the power delivered by the engine to the heat flux absorbed by the working substance, the power of the Yvon engine follows as

$$P^{(Y)} = \eta^{(Y)} \dot{Q} = \Gamma \left(T_h + T_c - T_m - \frac{T_h T_c}{T_m} \right) \leq \Gamma \left(\sqrt{T_h} - \sqrt{T_c} \right)^2 \quad (4)$$

where the AM-GM inequality, $(a+b)/2 \geq \sqrt{ab}$, for non-negative a and b has been applied. Associated with the third equality in the above equation, the maximum power is achieved when

$$T_m = T_m^* \equiv \sqrt{T_h T_c}, \quad (5)$$

and the corresponding efficiency at maximum power $\eta^{(Y)}|_{T_m=T_m^*} = \eta_{CA}$ is easily checked.

III. YVON'S APPROACH TO CA ENGINE

In the Yvon engine, heat flux is considered only when the working substance absorbs heat from the hot reservoir. In this section, we extend the model to include heat flux on the cold side, resulting from the temperature difference between the working substance and the cold reservoir. Figure 1 illustrates the schematic $S-T$ (Entropy-Temperature) diagrams of the Yvon engine cycle and its extended version. This extended model is consistent with the CA engine, though the representation method used here is different, based on energy fluxes rather than examining each branch of the thermodynamic cycle.

The heat flux from the hot reservoir at temperature T_h to the working substance at temperature $\theta_h < T_h$ follows

$$\dot{Q}_h = \Gamma_h (T_h - \theta_h), \quad (6)$$

where the constant Γ_h characterizes the heat conductivity during heat absorption, and the overdot notation stands for rates. Similarly, the heat flux from the working substance, whose temperature decreases to $\theta_c < \theta_h$, to the cold reservoir at temperature $T_c < \theta_c$ is

$$\dot{Q}_c = \Gamma_c (\theta_c - T_c), \quad (7)$$

with Γ_c being a constant during heat release. As a result of the energy conservation law, the engine's output power is

$$P = \dot{Q}_h - \dot{Q}_c = \eta \dot{Q}_h. \quad (8)$$

Furthermore, the endoreversible assumption reads

$$\frac{\dot{Q}_h}{\theta_h} = \frac{\dot{Q}_c}{\theta_c}, \quad (9)$$

which results the vanishing entropy variation of the working substance in a cycle for cyclic engines, as shown in Appendix A, or the stationary entropy for steady-state engines




FIG. 1. Entropy (S)-Temperature (T) diagrams of the endoreversible Carnot engine cycles. (a) In the original Yvon engine cycle, there is a temperature difference between the working substance and the heat reservoir only at the high-temperature end. (b) The extended Yvon engine cycle incorporates temperature differences between the working substance and both the hot and cold reservoirs.

operating between two reservoirs. The corresponding endoreversible efficiency is $\eta = 1 - \theta_c/\theta_h$.

Combining Eqs. (6,7,9), we find that the high endoreversible temperature θ_h can be expressed with the temperature ratio θ_h/θ_c as

$$\theta_h = \frac{\Gamma_h T_h}{\Gamma_h + \Gamma_c} + \frac{\Gamma_c T_c}{\Gamma_h + \Gamma_c} \frac{\theta_h}{\theta_c}, \quad (10)$$

substituting which into Eq. (8), we find

$$P = \frac{\Gamma_h \Gamma_c T_h}{\Gamma_h + \Gamma_c} \left(1 + \frac{T_c}{T_h} - \frac{\theta_c}{\theta_h} - \frac{T_c}{T_h} \frac{\theta_h}{\theta_c} \right). \quad (11)$$

Similar to Eq. (4), by utilizing the AM-GM inequality, it is straightforward to find that the maximum power P_{\max} for given $\Gamma_{h,c}$ and $T_{h,c}$ is

$$P \leq \frac{\Gamma_h \Gamma_c T_h}{\Gamma_h + \Gamma_c} \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{T_c}{T_h}} \right)^2 \equiv P_{\max}, \quad (12)$$

where the optimal endoreversible temperatures θ_h^* and θ_c^* for maximizing power satisfy

$$\frac{\theta_c^*}{\theta_h^*} = \sqrt{\frac{T_c}{T_h}}. \quad (13)$$

Therefore the EMP of the engine $\eta_{MP} = 1 - \theta_c^*/\theta_h^* = \eta^{(Y)}|_{T_m=T_m^*} = \eta_{CA}$. This is the central finding in Ref. [1] that inspires subsequent research on finite-time engines. A detailed comparison between our work and Ref. [1] is provided in Appendix A.

Herein, we would like to elucidate why the optimization of our extended Yvon engine exhibits greater succinctness than that of the original CA engine. In the optimization process from Eq. (11) to Eq. (12), the ratio of θ_c/θ_h emerges as a unified quantity, decoupling its role in maximizing P from the heat transfer coefficients $\Gamma_{h,c}$. By contrast, in Ref. [1], the authors individually calculated the derivatives of P with respect to θ_c and θ_h , subsequently determining θ_c^* and θ_h^* via $\partial P/\partial\theta_c = \partial P/\partial\theta_h = 0$. Eventually, Curzon and Ahlborn arrived at Eq. (13) and ascertained its independence from $\Gamma_{h,c}$. Essentially, our derivation is more intuitive and effectively highlights the significant universality that the EMP of the endoreversible Carnot engine is invariant to the heat transfer coefficients. Nevertheless, $\Gamma_{h,c}$ impact the specific values of θ_c^* and θ_h^* via Eqs. (10) and (13). As $\Gamma_h/\Gamma_c \rightarrow 0$, we have $\theta_h^* = \sqrt{T_h T_c} = T_m^*$ and $\theta_c^* = T_c$, thus recovering the original Yvon engine.

Furthermore, in Eq. (11), replacing the temperature ratios with efficiencies as $T_c/T_h = 1 - \eta_C$ and $\theta_c/\theta_h = 1 - \eta$ yields

$$\frac{P}{P_{\max}} = \frac{\eta(\eta_C - \eta)}{(1 - \eta)\eta_{CA}^2}, \quad (14)$$

which is illustrated in Fig. 2 with different η_C . This relation was first obtained in Ref. [9] by solving $(\partial P/\partial\theta_h)_\eta = 0$, determining the efficiency at arbitrary power or the power at arbitrary efficiency of the endoreversible Carnot engine. The explicit dependence of power on efficiency, which provides a comprehensive optimization regime for thermal machines, is now referred to as the trade-off or constraint relation between power and efficiency [14–18], and constitutes one of the key focuses within the realm of finite-time thermodynamics [19]. For more details and related progress on this issue, please refer to Ref. [12] along with the references encompassed therein.




FIG. 2. Trade-off relations between power and efficiency for the CA engine with $\eta_C = 0.9$ (solid curve), $\eta_C = 0.7$ (dashed curve), and $\eta_C = 0.1$ (dash-dotted curve). The triangles and circle mark the maximum power and maximum efficiency, respectively.

IV. CONCLUSION

This work extends the original optimization approach used in the Yvon engine with one-sided heat flux to the general endoreversible Carnot engine with two-sided heat fluxes, namely, the Curzon-Ahlborn engine. Our study reveals why the efficiency at maximum power of the CA engine is independent of the heat transfer coefficients on both sides, emphasizing the importance of the temperature ratio over specific temperature values in the optimization of finite-time engines.

It is worth mentioning that, in Ref. [20], Salamon and Nitzan demonstrated that the entropy flow serves as the sole degree of freedom for endoreversible heat engines, which is consistent with our finding that the endoreversible temperature ratio θ_c/θ_h serves as a unified quantity in determining P (see Eq. (11)). Besides, Bejan [3] noticed the fact that different irreversible heat engine models, namely Chambadal's [7], Novikov's [8] and Curzon and Ahlborn's [1] share the same EMP, and he explained this with the theory of entropy generation minimization [21]. Nevertheless, the analyses presented in Refs. [3, 20] did not clarify the strict correspondence between the optimization of cyclic heat engines, which incorporated the process durations as per Curzon and Ahlborn's methodology, and that of steady-state heat engines characterized by energy fluxes [6–8]. We provide such a strict correspondence in Appendix A. The optimization approach presented in the current work, based on energy fluxes, is intuitive and straightforward. It provides pedagogical value for constructing a clear physical picture and may also inspire research on optimizing both cyclic and steady-state engines.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant No. 12305037 and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities under grant No. 2023NTST017.

Appendix A: Detailed comparison between the extended Yvon engine and the CA engine

As stated in the main text, both the Yvon engine and its extended version are steady-state heat engines, while the CA heat engine takes into account the durations of each thermodynamic cycle process. Although the main text derivations have shown the consistency of the EMP obtained by the two models, their strict correspondence requires further clarification. In this appendix, we will demonstrate the strict correspondence between the parameters of the two models.

In Curzon and Ahlborn's original derivation [1], engine power is expressed not through energy fluxes but as the total work $W = Q_h - Q_c$ divided by the duration of an endoreversible Carnot cycle, namely,

$$P^{(CA)} = \frac{Q_h - Q_c}{\xi(t_h + t_c)}. \quad (A1)$$

Here, t_h and t_c represent the durations of the heat absorption and release processes, respectively, while the time taken to complete the adiabatic transitions, $(\xi - 1)(t_h + t_c)$, is assumed to be proportional to the duration of isothermal processes with $\xi > 1$. The heat exchanged in the isothermal processes are

$$Q_h = \gamma_h(T_h - \theta_h)t_h, \quad Q_c = \gamma_c(\theta_c - T_c)t_c, \quad (\text{A2})$$

where $T_{h,c}$ and $\theta_{h,c}$ have the same meanings as in the main text. However, the heat transfer coefficients $\gamma_{h,c}$ are different from $\Gamma_{h,c}$, as will be discussed later. As the result of the endoreversible assumption and the cyclic condition, the entropy variation of the working substance in a cycle satisfies

$$\Delta S = \frac{Q_h}{\theta_h} - \frac{Q_c}{\theta_c} = 0. \quad (\text{A3})$$

Consequently, the engine's efficiency, $\eta \equiv (Q_h - Q_c)/Q_h = 1 - \theta_c/\theta_h$. Comparing the first equality in Eq. (8) with Eq. (A1) and Eq. (9) with Eq. (A3), we obtain the relation between $\gamma_{h,c}$ and $\Gamma_{h,c}$ as

$$\Gamma_{h(c)} = \frac{\gamma_{h(c)}t_{h(c)}}{\xi(t_h + t_c)}. \quad (\text{A4})$$

The above equation shows that the heat transfer coefficient in the steady-state engine differs from that in the cyclic engine by a time-proportional factor, which is determined by the ratio of the corresponding process duration to the total cycle duration.

Therefore, the overall coefficient appearing in the upper bound of Eq. (12) satisfies

$$\frac{\Gamma_h \Gamma_c}{\Gamma_h + \Gamma_c} = \frac{\gamma_h \gamma_c}{\xi(1 + t_c/t_h)(\gamma_h t_h/t_c + \gamma_c)} \leq \frac{\gamma_h \gamma_c}{\xi(\sqrt{\gamma_h} + \sqrt{\gamma_c})^2}, \quad (\text{A5})$$

where $\gamma_h t_h/t_c + \gamma_c t_c/t_h \geq 2\sqrt{\gamma_h \gamma_c}$ has been used, and the equality is saturated at $\gamma_h t_h/t_c = \gamma_c t_c/t_h$. Hence, to adapt to the engine representation explicitly incorporating time, the optimal time ratio

$$t_h^*/t_c^* = \sqrt{\gamma_c/\gamma_h} \quad (\text{A6})$$

is determined by further maximizing the coefficient in Eq. (12). Substituting Eq. (A5) into Eq. (12), the maximum power in Curzon and Ahlborn's original work is recovered as

$$P_{\max}^{(\text{CA})} = \frac{\gamma_h \gamma_c T_h}{\xi(\sqrt{\gamma_h} + \sqrt{\gamma_c})^2} \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{T_c}{T_h}}\right)^2. \quad (\text{A7})$$

-
- [1] F. L. Curzon and B. Ahlborn, Efficiency of a carnot engine at maximum power output, *Am. J. Phys.* **43**, 22 (1975).
- [2] H. S. Leff, Reversible and irreversible heat engine and refrigerator cycles, *Am. J. Phys.* **86**, 344 (2018).
- [3] A. Bejan, Models of power plants that generate minimum entropy while operating at maximum power, *Am. J. Phys.* **64**, 1054 (1996).
- [4] M. Moreau and Y. Pomeau, Carnot principle and its generalizations: A very short story of a long journey, *Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top.* **224**, 769 (2015).
- [5] H. Ouerdane, Y. Apertet, C. Goupil, and Ph. Lecoeur, Continuity and boundary conditions in thermodynamics: From carnot's efficiency to efficiencies at maximum power, *Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top.* **224**, 839 (2015).
- [6] J. Yvon, *Saclay Reactor: Acquired Knowledge by Two Years Experience in Heat Transfer Using Compressed Gas*, Tech. Rep. (CEA Saclay, France, 1955).
- [7] P. Chambadal, *Les centrales nucléaires* (Armand Colin, Paris, 1957).
- [8] I. I. Novikov, Efficiency of an atomic power generating installation, *The Soviet Journal of Atomic Energy* **3**, 1269 (1957).
- [9] L. Chen and Z. Yan, The effect of heat-transfer law on performance of a two-heat-source endoreversible cycle, *J. Chem. Phys.* **90**, 3740 (1989).
- [10] Z.-C. Tu, Abstract models for heat engines, *Front. Phys.* **16**, 33202 (2021).
- [11] R. S. Berry, P. Salamon, and B. Andresen, eds., *Finite-Time Thermodynamics* (MDPI - Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, 2022).
- [12] Y.-H. Ma and X.-H. Zhao, Finite-time thermodynamics: A journey beginning with optimizing heat engines, arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.03853 [10.48550/arXiv.2411.03853](https://arxiv.org/abs/2411.03853) (2024).
- [13] M. H. Rubin, Optimal configuration of a class of irreversible heat engines. i, *Phys. Rev. A* **19**, 1272 (1979).
- [14] V. Holubec and A. Ryabov, Efficiency at and near maximum power of low-dissipation heat engines, *Phys. Rev. E* **92**, 052125 (2015).
- [15] N. Shiraishi, K. Saito, and H. Tasaki, Universal trade-off relation between power and efficiency for heat engines, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 190601 (2016).
- [16] Y.-H. Ma, D. Xu, H. Dong, and C.-P. Sun, Universal constraint for efficiency and power of a low-dissipation heat engine, *Phys. Rev. E* **98**, 042112 (2018).
- [17] H. Yuan, Y.-H. Ma, and C. P. Sun, Optimizing thermodynamic cycles with two finite-sized reservoirs, *Phys. Rev. E* **105**, L022101 (2022).
- [18] R.-X. Zhai, F.-M. Cui, Y.-H. Ma, C. P. Sun, and H. Dong, Experimental test of power-efficiency trade-off in a finite-time Carnot cycle, *Phys. Rev. E* **107**, L042101 (2023).
- [19] Y.-H. Ma and C. Fu, Unified approach to power-efficiency trade-off of generic thermal machines, arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.03849 [10.48550/arXiv.2411.03849](https://arxiv.org/abs/2411.03849) (2024).
- [20] P. Salamon and A. Nitzan, Finite time optimizations of a newton's law carnot cycle, *J. Chem. Phys.* **74**, 3546 (1981).
- [21] A. Bejan, *Entropy Generation Minimization* (CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fla., 1996).