

## X-ray Binaries: a potential dominant contributor to the cosmic ray spectral knee structure

HUA YUE,<sup>1,2</sup> JIANLI ZHANG<sup>†,3</sup>, YUHAI GE<sup>†,1</sup>, LIN NIE<sup>†,4,1</sup>, PEIPEI ZHANG,<sup>5,6,1</sup> WEI LIU,<sup>1</sup> YIQING GUO,<sup>1,2</sup> AND HONGBO HU<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Key Laboratory of Particle Astrophysics, Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 100049 Beijing, China

<sup>2</sup>School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, No.19(A) Yuquan Road, Beijing, China

<sup>3</sup>National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 100101 Beijing, China

<sup>4</sup>School of Physical Science and Technology, Southwest Jiaotong University, Chengdu, 610031, China

<sup>5</sup>Key Laboratory of Dark Matter and Space Astronomy, Purple Mountain Observatory, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 210023 Nanjing, China

<sup>6</sup>School of Astronomy and Space Science, University of Science and Technology of China, 230026 Hefei, Anhui, China

### ABSTRACT

”PeVatrons” refer to astrophysical sources capable of accelerating particles to energies  $\sim$ PeV and higher, potentially contributing to the cosmic ray spectrum in the knee region. Recently, HAWC and LHAASO have discovered a new type PeVatrons – X-ray binaries, allowing us to investigate in greater depth the contributions of these sources to cosmic rays around the knee region. There are hundreds of X-ray binaries in our galaxy observed, which are potential PeVatrons. In this work, we derive the radial distribution of X-ray binaries in the Galaxy. Then we use the DRAGON package to simulate this distribution, and calculate energy spectrum, anisotropy of cosmic rays as well as the resulting diffuse gamma ray emissions, after considering them as factories of cosmic rays in the knee energy bands. our findings show that the contribution from the X-ray binaries, especially microquasars, may be dominant. More microquasar PeVatrons can be observed by LHAASO and HAWC in the future, and will confirm the contribution of microquasars to high energy cosmic rays.

*Keywords:* Gamma-ray astronomy (628) — Ultra high energy cosmic radiation(1733)

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The origin of high energy cosmic rays(CRs) is a long standing unresolved issue in particle astrophysics. The energy spectrum of CRs can be described by a power-law spectrum of  $E^{-2.7}$ , extending up to the knee region at around 3 PeV(Cao et al. 2024a), beyond which the spectrum softens(Kulikov & Khristiansen 1961). CRs below the knee region are believed to be produced and accelerated within the Milky Way(Ginzburg & Syrovatsky 1961), indicating the presence of PeV acceleration sources within our galaxy, known as PeVatrons(Cao

et al. 2021, 2024b). So uncovering the galactic acceleration limit and identifying CR sources is the key to investigate of the knee region in CR spectra.

Currently, it is widely believed that supernova remnants(SNRs) are the primary acceleration sources of Galactic CRs. This is attributed to the nonlinear diffusive shock acceleration mechanisms that may occur in SNRs, which can accelerate CR particles to the PeV energy range (Ptuskin et al. 2010; Zirakashvili & Aharonian 2010; Nie et al. 2023). Additionally, the radiation they produce successfully explains the multi-wavelength non-thermal emission observed from SNRs (Zirakashvili & Ptuskin 2012). Furthermore, the so-called ”standard propagation model” of CRs considers SNRs as the primary sources of Galactic CRs, which partially explains the observed CR and diffuse gamma-ray emissions from the Milky Way. However, other celestial objects, such as pulsars, pulsar wind nebulae(PWNe), ”super-bubbles”, the Galactic center, and microquasars, are also commonly considered as can-

Corresponding author: Jianli Zhang  
jlzhang@bao.ac.cn

Corresponding author: Yuhai Ge  
geyh@ihep.ac.cn

Corresponding author: Lin Nie  
nielin@ihep.ac.cn

didate sources of Galactic CRs. In recent years, the research in the origin of very high energy CRs has changed significantly due to the large detection area and long duty cycle of the LHAASO (Large High Altitude Air Shower Observatory)(Cao 2010), which has identified 12 ultra high energy(UHE) gamma-ray sources, with the highest energy reaching 1.4 PeV(Cao et al. 2021). Recently, LHAASO released its first catalog, discovering dozens of PeVatrons throughout the Milky Way, including but not limited to PWNe, Binaries, and Star forming regions(Cao et al. 2024b). Especially, HAWC discovers the Ultra-high-energy gamma-ray bubble around microquasar V4641 Sgr(Alfaro et al. 2024), and LHAASO discovers Ultrahigh-Energy Gamma-ray Emission from several X-ray binaries with Black Hole Jet system(LHAASO Collaboration 2024a). These findings from LHAASO provide a rich and crucial set of candidate samples for exploring the origins of PeV CRs within our galaxy. It seems to be that the CRs around the knee may be contributed by the X-ray Binaries.

It is worth noting that, on the other hand, only a few SNRs have been observed to provide evidence for CR particle acceleration to sub-PeV energies. For instance, the energy spectra of the SNRs IC443 and W44, observed by the Fermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope, exhibit the characteristic "bump" in the 70 MeV energy range, indicative of  $\pi_0$  meson decay (Giuliani et al. 2011; Ackermann et al. 2013). Meanwhile, with the ongoing accumulation of observational data from high-energy instruments like LHAASO, more and more binary systems have been detected emitting electromagnetic radiation beyond the PeV energy range. For example, among the 12 microquasars recently reported by LHAASO, 7 have been observed to emit radiation above 100 TeV(Abeysekara et al. 2018; LHAASO Collaboration 2024b). In the case of SS433, extended radiation observed in the central region is spatially correlated with the surrounding gas cloud, suggesting hadronic origins for the radiation.

Binary systems have long been believed to be accelerators of Galactic CRs, converting gravitational potential energy from accreted material or the rotational energy of compact objects into the energy of CR particles, thereby accelerating them (Khangulyan et al. 2024; Sudoh et al. 2020; Aharonian & Atoyan 1998). This suggests that binary systems may be one of the major contributors to CRs near the PeV energy range.

With the deployment of new-generation (Cao et al. 2024c), higher-sensitivity observatories capable of probing higher energy ranges, the origins of the complex spectral structure in the CR PeV region are expected to be clarified. The "knee" structure of the CR spec-

trum, first observed 60 years ago in the PeV energy range, is characterized by a clear inflection point in the energy spectrum. The exact cause of the "knee" structure remains uncertain. Various hypotheses, including those regarding CR acceleration(Berezhko & Ksenofontov 1999; Ptuskin et al. 2010; Hörandel 2004, 2003) and propagation origins(Lagutin et al. 2001; Ogie & Kakimoto 2003), as well as nearby source origins(Erlykin & Machavariani 2021), have been proposed to explain this spectral feature, but no unified theoretical explanation has been established. To resolve the cause of the "knee" structure, high-energy and highly accurate observational data in the PeV range are crucial. Extremely high-energy electromagnetic radiation, which is a secondary product of CR acceleration and propagation, directly provides evidence of the presence of PeV CRs in the local environment.

This paper focuses on the recent continuous observations of PeV radiation from quasars by LHAASO, statistically analyzes the distribution of the observed microquasars within the Milky Way, and explores the role of microquasars as contributors to CRs by embedding them into the CR propagation model. The study aims to investigate the microquasar origin of the CR "knee" spectral structure. The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we will describe the XRBs and Sample processing methods. In Section 3, we will describe the model and the results. Finally, in Section 4, a concluding discussion and an outlook are given.

## 2. THE DISTRIBUTION OF X-RAY BINARIES

### 2.1. *The Observation of X-Ray binaries*

X-ray binaries (XRBs) emit bright light in the sky when observed in X-rays, making them one of the brightest objects in the electromagnetic spectrum.

XRBs, such as those studied by (Verbunt 1993) and (Casares et al. 2017), represent a class of binary stellar systems where a compact object, potentially a stellar-mass black hole or neutron star known as the accretor, orbits a common center of gravity with a companion star providing material. These binaries can be categorized into two main groups based on the mass of the companion star.

High-mass X-ray binaries (HMXBs) studied by (Reig et al. 2012), and (Kretschmar et al. 2019) feature massive companion stars which shed material through stellar winds in an ongoing manner. This material is then captured and heated by the central compact object, leading to the emission of non-thermal X-rays.

Now, there are 4 HMXBs have been detected at high energies by Fermi-Lat, LS5039, Cygnus X-1, Cygnus X-3, SS 433 and LS I+61 303. At least three are detected

in Ultrahigh-Energies by LHAASO, including SS 433, Cygnus X-3 and Cygnus X-1.

Relatively speaking, low-massive X-ray binary stars (LMXBs) have a small companion star and a black hole or neutron star undergoing accretion. These compact celestial bodies play a crucial role in the initiation of strong jets, which generate non thermal radiation across various wavelengths, (Chaty 2022).

To date, one LMXB has been conclusively detected at high energies(Martí-Devesa & Olivera-Nieto 2024). But in Ultrahigh-Energy Gamma-ray, three are confirmly detected by LHAASO and HAWC, V4641 Sgr, GRS 1915+105 and MAXI J1820+070, especially for V4641 Sgr, the energy extened to 1 PeV.

Many recent studies have suggested that stellar mass black holes (BHs; therefore also known as BHXBs) in X-ray binary stars (XRBs) are proposed as an additional candidates for CR sources ((Torres et al. 2005),(Cooper et al. 2020),(Kantzas et al. 2023)). In particular, stellar mass black holes in XRBs exhibit relativistic jets, accreting material from their companion stars ((Fender et al. 2004); (Remillard & McClintock 2006)). They are efficient particle accelerators to produce non-thermal emission up to PeV(Escobar et al. 2022). Other type of XRBs can also be CR sources(Fang et al. 2024).

The presence of X-ray binary systems (XRBs) within our galaxy holds significant importance. According to Cooper's analysis(Cooper et al. 2020), which is based on population synthesis findings from (Olejak et al. 2020), it is estimated that there are approximately 5531 XRBs in the Milky Way. Recent X-ray studies conducted at the Galactic center indicate the potential existence of hundreds to thousands of black hole X-ray binaries (BHXBs) in the parsec-scale vicinity of the Galactic center ((Hailey et al. 2018); (Mori et al. 2021)). Referring to these observations, Cooper (Cooper et al. 2020) provided estimation suggesting a few thousand XRBs are likely present in the galaxy. These XRBs could play a significant role in shaping the CR spectrum and potentially have a dominant influence slightly above the "knee" region before the transition to extragalactic sources.

Recently, (Neumann et al. 2023) and (Avakyan et al. 2023) present a new catalogue of LMXBs and HMXBs in the Galaxy. There are 172 HMXBs an 360 LMXBs in the catalogue. Based on this catalogue, we produce the distribution of the LMXBs and HMXBs in the galaxy.

LMXBs and HMXBs are projected onto the Galactic plane with different markers, as depicted in Fig 1. We adopt the traditional distance from the Sun to the Galactic Center as  $R_{\odot} = 8.5$  kpc, although more accurate observations (Brunthaler et al. 2011) suggest this

distance is  $R_{\odot} = 8.3 \pm 0.23$  kpc. Therefore, in our Cartesian coordinate system, the Galactic Center is located at the origin (0, 0) marked by a triangle in Fig 1, while the Sun is positioned at  $(-8.5, 0)$  marked by a pentagram.

## 2.2. Selection and Processing Methods

We outline the selection criteria and data processing techniques applied to the analysis of X-ray binary systems. To correct for distance-dependent selection effects, we utilize a gridding method similar to those previously employed in the literature (Yusifov & Küçük 2004; Xie et al. 2024), from which the pulsar distribution is derived.

In this study, we construct a quasi-regular grid on the Galactic plane by drawing concentric circles with equal radial spacing around both the Sun and the Galactic Center (GC). The radii of these circles, denoted as  $r_i$  for the Sun and  $R_j$  for the GC, are chosen such that the radial distance between adjacent circles is  $\Delta R = R/5 = 1.7$  kpc, ensuring a sufficient number of intervals along the Sun-GC axis. The intersections of these circles define a grid, with each grid cell corresponding to a circular region centered at the intersection points.

To account for the decrease in binary density with increasing distance from the Sun, caused by selection effects, the radius of each grid cell increases with distance. Specifically, the radius  $R_{ci}$  of each grid cell is given by the following relation:


$$R_{ci} = 0.85 \times (1.2 \times (i - 1)/11 + 1),$$

where  $i$  ranges from 1 to 10, corresponding to different radial layers extending up to the maximum considered distance of 18.7 kpc. Larger grid cell radii at greater distances help mitigate density fluctuations due to small-number statistics and selection effects. The apparent pulsar densities, in units of pulsars per square kiloparsec (binaries  $\text{kpc}^{-2}$ ), for each grid cell are presented in Table 1.

We model the selection effect as a simple distance-dependent function, defined by:

$$\rho(r, R) = K(r)\rho_o(r, R), \quad (1)$$

where  $r$  and  $R$  are the distances from the Sun and the GC, respectively;  $K(r)$  represents the distance-dependent selection factor; and  $\rho_o(r, R)$  and  $\rho(r, R)$  denote the true and observed surface densities of binaries on the Galactic plane. The apparent densities  $\rho(r, R)$  for each grid cell are summarized in Table 1. Similar to pulsar studies (Yusifov & Küçük 2004; Xie et al. 2024), binary detection is affected by factors such as broadening, scattering, and scintillation. The combined effect



**Figure 1.** Projection of the sample pulsars on to the Galactic plane. High-mass X-ray binaries (HMXB) and low-mass X-ray binaries are marked as red dots and blue pluses, respectively. The GC is the origin of the coordinate system and the coordinates of the Sun are  $(-8.5, 0.0)$ . Other lines and symbols are described in the text.

of these factors, leading to reduced detection rates of binaries at greater distances from the Sun, is modeled as:

$$K(r) = e^{-cr}. \quad (2)$$

The parameter  $c$  is estimated empirically as follows. Assuming a symmetric surface density (SD) of binaries around the GC and considering a galactocentric circle with radius  $R_\odot$ , we obtain from Eq. 1:

$$\rho(r, R_\odot) = K(r)\rho_o(r, R_\odot), \quad (3)$$

where the apparent densities  $\rho(r, R_\odot)$  correspond to the  $R = 8.5$  kpc (6th) column in Table 1. Under the assumption that  $\rho_o(r, R_\odot)$  is constant, we fit the data using a simple exponential function by the least mean squares (LMS) method to derive  $K(r)$  and  $\rho_o(r, R_\odot)$ :

$$\ln(\rho_o K(r)) = \ln \rho_o - cr, \quad (4)$$

where  $\ln \rho_o = 4.74 \pm 0.16$  and  $c = 0.280 \pm 0.018$ . The corrected apparent densities ( $\rho_{ij}$ ) are presented in Table 1, and the corrected surface densities SD ( $\rho_{ijc}$ ) of pulsars are given in Table 2.

### 2.3. Distribution

We map out the spatial distribution of X-ray binaries within the Milky Way galaxy by averaging the corrected surface density (SD) of pulsars in Table 2.

The surface density of pulsars as a function of radial distance can be derived by averaging the rows in Table 2. The uncertainty of the pulsar surface density can be calculated as follows (Yusifov & Küçük 2004; Xie et al. 2024):

$$\frac{\sigma_{\rho_{ijc}}^2}{\rho_{ijc}^2} = \left( \frac{\sqrt{N_{ij}}}{N_{ij}} \right)^2 + (r\sigma_c)^2, \quad (5)$$

where  $\sigma_{\rho_{ijc}}$  is the error of  $\rho_{ijc}$ , and  $\sigma_c = 0.018$  is the standard deviation calculated using Equation 4. We can obtain the radial distribution of pulsars in the Galaxy by averaging the corrected pulsar surface density in Table 2.

In Figure 2, the solid line corresponds to the fitted radial distribution (Equation 7). The surface densities for each row in Table 2 are averaged with the weight of each grid based on its uncertainty and calculated as follows:

$$\rho(R_i) = \frac{\sum_j \frac{\rho_{ijc}}{\sigma_{ijc}^2}}{\sum_j \frac{1}{\sigma_{ijc}^2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^2(R_i) = \left( \sum_j (\sigma_{ijc}^{-2}) \right)^{-1} \quad (6)$$

where  $i$  and  $j$  are the serial numbers of the row and column;  $R_i$  is the distance from the GC corresponding to the first column in Tables 1 and 2.

$R(\text{kpc})$	$r$ (kpc)										
	0	1.7	3.4	5.1	6.8	8.5	10.2	11.9	13.6	15.3	
0	10.68										
1.7					9.45	3.70	2.82				
3.4				6.71	3.07	2.18	0.99	0.37			
5.1			4.63	2.71	1.80	0.75	0.33	0.27	0.27		
6.8		6.21	3.10	1.66	1.08	0.58	0.38	0.14	0.23	0.29	
8.5	6.06	2.93	1.76	1.02	0.88	0.54	0.27	0.15	0.09	0.14	
10.2		2.15	0.96	0.51	0.64	0.41	0.24	0.10	0.06	0.08	
11.9			0.48	0.50	0.52	0.26	0.15	0.11	0.02	0.02	
13.6				0.22	0.30	0.24	0.07	0.03	0.01	0	
15.3					0.03	0.06	0.11	0.05	0.01	0	

**Table 1.** The average observed surface density of binaries across the Galactic plane ( $\text{kpc}^{-2}$ ).  $R$  is the distance from the Galactic Center and  $r$  is the distance from the Sun.

$R(\text{kpc})$	$r$ (kpc)										
	0	1.7	3.4	5.1	6.8	8.5	10.2	11.9	13.6	15.3	
0	102.85										
1.7					57.87	35.69	42.69				
3.4				26.13	18.80	21.03	15.04	8.86			
5.1			11.45	10.55	11.01	7.26	4.98	6.33	10.01		
6.8		9.77	7.68	6.45	6.59	5.63	5.69	3.38	8.64	17.37	
8.5	6.06	4.60	4.35	3.98	5.39	5.18	4.17	3.52	3.53	8.27	
10.2		3.38	2.39	1.99	3.92	3.92	3.66	2.39	2.36	4.96	
11.9			1.94	1.95	3.16	2.52	2.34	2.53	0.79	1.10	
13.6				0.85	1.85	2.30	1.02	0.70	0.39	0	
15.3					0.16	0.59	1.63	1.13	0.20	0	

**Table 2.** Corrected surface densities of binaries.


The radial distribution of the pulsar surface density shown in Figure 2 can be fitted by a simple function:

$$\rho(r) = A \left( \frac{r + R_1}{R_\odot + R_1} \right)^a \quad (7)$$

where  $R_\odot = 8.5 \text{ kpc}$  is the Sun-GC distance,  $A = 181.6 \text{ kpc}^{-2}$ ,  $a = -5.3$ , and  $R_1 = 9.5 \text{ kpc}$ .

### 3. MODEL AND METHODOLOGY

This paper considers x-ray binary star systems as the main contributors to the CR spectrum in the knee region in the whole Milky Way. However, the contribution in the low-energy range is still believed to primarily originate from SNRs. In our propagation framework, CR diffusion is spatially dependent, meaning the diffusion of CRs depends on the distribution of CR sources. In this paper, although we consider binary stars as the primary contributors to the CR spectrum in the knee region, we assume that the diffusion of CRs depends on the distribution of pulsar sources  $f(r,z)$ . High-energy CRs accelerated by binary star systems and CRs accelerated by SNRs propagate in such an environment. The diffusion



**Figure 2.** Radial distribution of pulsar surface density. The error bars are derived from Equation 6

coefficient is described as (Guo et al. 2016; Guo & Yuan

2018)

$$D_{xx}(r, z, \mathcal{R}) = D_0 F(r, z) \beta^n \left( \frac{\mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{R}_0} \right)^{\delta_0 F(r, z)} \quad (8)$$

where the function  $F(r, z)$  is defined as:

$$F(r, z) = \begin{cases} g(r, z) + [1 - g(r, z)] \left( \frac{z}{\xi z_0} \right)^n, & |z| \leq \xi z_0 \\ 1, & |z| > \xi z_0 \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

with  $g(r, z) = N_m / [1 + f(r, z)]$ . Here, The diffusion coefficient in the outer diffusive zone is in agreement with the conventional propagation model, whereas in the inner zone, it shows an anti-correlation with the source distribution  $f(r, z)$ , given by:

$$f(r, z) = \left( \frac{r}{r_\odot} \right)^{1.25} \exp \left[ -\frac{3.87 (r - r_\odot)}{r_\odot} \right] \exp \left( -\frac{|z|}{z_s} \right), \quad (10)$$

where  $r_\odot = 8.5 \text{ kpc}$  and  $z_s = 0.2 \text{ kpc}$ .

### 3.1. Nearby Source

The observed bubble structure in the CR proton spectrum between 200 GV and 14 TV, along with the anisotropic phase of CRs in this energy range pointing towards the anti-Galactic center, suggests the possible existence of a nearby source in the anti-Galactic center direction. To reproduce the observational data, we introduce a nearby source in this direction and consider its injection spectrum as an instantaneous injection, with the injection rate assumed to be,

$$Q(\mathcal{R}, t) = q_0 \delta(t - t_0) \left( \frac{\mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{R}_0} \right)^{-\gamma} \exp \left[ -\frac{\mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{R}_c} \right] \quad (11)$$


where  $R_c$  is the cutoff rigidity and  $t_0$  is the time of the supernova explosion. According to previous study, we assumed the local source located at (R.A. =  $4^{\text{h}}0^{\text{m}}$ ,  $\delta = 24^\circ 30'$ ) with a distance of  $\sim 0.3 \text{ kpc}$  an age of  $3 \times 10^5 \text{ yr}$  (Liu et al. 2019; Qiao et al. 2019). the normalization factor  $q_0$  is determined through fitting the energy spectra of CR protons.

## 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 4.1. B/C ratio

**Analysis of B/C Ratio and Cosmic Ray Composition:** Our study includes a comprehensive analysis of the B/C ratio, providing insights into the composition of CRs and shedding light on the relative abundance of different elements within the CR spectrum.

The B/C ratio can help us determine the diffusion coefficient. In the scenario of CRs propagating from their sources to the solar system through diffusion, the ratio



**Figure 3.** The ratio of boron-to-carbon. The data points are taken from the AMS-02 (Aguilar et al. 2018).


of secondary CR particles to primary CR particles gives us the detailed information of the diffusion process. As is shown in Figure 3, there is good consistency between the result of the B/C ratio calculated by our model (in red) and the experimental observations of AMS-02 (in green). And thus the rigidity-dependent diffusion coefficient parameterised by the equation 8 is completely obtained, where  $D_0 = 4.0 \times 10^{24} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$  and  $\delta_0 = 0.58$ .

### 4.2. The proton spectrum and CR anisotropy

Given the lack of evidence that almost no SNRs can accelerate CRs to the PeV energy range, microquasars as one of the "PeVatrons" should play an important role in the proton energy spectrum and CR anisotropy around the knee structure. In this scenario, both the total proton spectrum and CR anisotropy are contributed by the SNRs and the nearby source at low energies, and microquasars at high energies.

As a result, the calculated proton energy spectrum by our model has shown good consistency with the observed proton energy spectra. As seen in Figure 4, the total proton energy spectrum (in red) is the sum of the contributions of the SNRs, with a cutoff rigidity at 150 TeV (in black), the nearby source (in blue), and microquasars, with a cutoff rigidity at 2.5 PeV (in green). Here the contributions of SNRs and microquasars with different spatial distributions are calculated by DRAGON using the same spatially-dependent propagation (namely SDP) model. The result is perfectly consistent with experimental observations.

On the other hand, the observed energy dependence of CR anisotropy is also described well by our model. The total amplitude (in red, top panel) and phase (in red, bottom panel) of anisotropy in Figure 5 calculated by our model fitting well with experimental observations have no extra features around the knee structure. How-




**Figure 4.** The calculated proton spectrum compared with observed data. The black solid lines is the fluxes from background SNRs, the green solid line is the contribution from the microquasars, and the blue dashed line is the contribution from the local SNR. The data points are taken from the AMS-02(green)(Aguilar et al. 2015), DAMPE(red)(An et al. 2019), GRAPES(Varisi et al. 2024), KASCADE(Maurin et al. 2014).

ever the calculated amplitude of anisotropy shows an interesting dip structure with energies from  $10^7$  GeV to  $10^8$  GeV, which is precisely the result of multi-party competition.

#### 4.3. Diffuse gamma ray emission


CR particles escape from their sources and diffuse into the interstellar medium, filling the entire space of the Milky Way galaxy. During their propagation, they interact with the surrounding gas through proton-proton process, generating diffuse gamma rays. Therefore, diffuse gamma rays can serve as probes to reflect the spatial distribution characteristics of CRs. The Tibet-AS $\gamma$  experiment and LHAASO have both detected diffuse gamma rays with energies above 100 TeV, which may result from CR particles, accelerated to PeV energies in certain PeVatrons, such as some microquasars and X-ray binaries, interacting with the interstellar medium and producing diffuse gamma radiation. In this work, we consider that the CR particles at the PeV energy range are primarily accelerated by microquasars. By reproducing the CR proton spectrum and anisotropy observational data as constraints, we calculate the diffuse gamma-ray radiation produced by this group of CR protons. As shown in Fig.6, we can seem to infer that although the expected flux from the model is lower than the observed data, microquasars may be the dominant contributor to the sub-PeV CRs.

## 5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION



**Figure 5.** The amplitude (top panel) and phase (bottom panel) of anisotropy of CRs. Here, the total amplitude of anisotropy (represented by the solid red line) is contributed by the SNRs, the X-ray binaries, and the nearby source, as is the case with the total phase of CR anisotropy. The data points are taken from Norikura (Sakakibara et al. 1973), Ottawa (Bercovitch & Agrawal 1981), London (Thambyahpillai 1983), Bolivia (Swinson & Nagashima 1985), Budapest (Swinson & Nagashima 1985), Hobart (Swinson & Nagashima 1985), London (Swinson & Nagashima 1985), Misato (Swinson & Nagashima 1985), Socorro (Swinson & Nagashima 1985), Yakutsk (Swinson & Nagashima 1985), Banksan (Andreyev et al. 1987), Hong Kong (Lee & Ng 1987), Sakashita (Ueno et al. 1990), Utah (Cutler & Groom 1991), Liapootah (Munakata et al. 1995), Matsushiro (Mori et al. 1995), Poatina (Fenton et al. 1995), Kamiokande (Munakata et al. 1997), Marco (Ambrosio et al. 2003), SuperKamiokande (Guillian et al. 2007), PeakMusala (Gombosi et al. 1975), Baksan (Alexeyenko et al. 1981), Norikura (Nagashima et al. 1989), EAS-TOP (Aglietta et al. 1995, 1996, 2009), Baksan (Alekseenko et al. 2009), Milagro (Abdo et al. 2009), KASCADE-Grande (Chiavassa et al. 2015), IceCube (Abbasi et al. 2010, 2012), Ice-Top (Aartsen et al. 2013), ARGO YBJ (Bartoli et al. 2015), Tibet (Amenomori et al. 2005, 2017, 2015), AUGER (Aab et al. 2020).

Although it is theoretically believed that CRs in the knee region and below originate from the Milky Way, the exact type of astrophysical sources that dominate



**Figure 6.** Diffuse gamma energy spectra in the Galactic disk. The top panel: with Galactic longitude between  $125^\circ$  to  $235^\circ$ ; the bottom panel: with Galactic longitude between  $15^\circ$  to  $125^\circ$ . The solid black line predicted by our model represents the total diffuse gamma, which is the sum of diffuse gamma contributed by SNRs (the solid blue line) and X-ray binaries (the solid red line). In addition, the absorb effect has already been taken into consideration in our model. The data points are taken from Tibet AS+MD (Amenomori et al. 2021) and LHAASO-KM2A (Cao et al. 2023).

the CRs near the knee region remains an unresolved issue. LHAASO, with its one-square-kilometer detection area and powerful background noise suppression capabilities, has observed PeV radiation from pulsars and microquasars, revealing their potential role as major contributors to CRs in the knee region.

In this work, we take the detection of PeV (Peta-electronvolt) radiation from micro-quasars by LHAASO

as our basis and consider micro-quasars as the primary contributors to the ‘knee’ region of CRs. By statistically analyzing the distribution of currently observed binary systems in the Galactic disk, we assume that they continuously inject CR protons into the Milky Way in a spectral form similar to the source SS443, and provide a non-negligible contribution to the CR distribution throughout the entire Galaxy.

We have determined the distribution of sources contributing to CRs. Based on this distribution, we have effectively modeled the knee region. We used the model with DRAGON code (Evoli et al. 2008) to calculate the contribution of X-ray binaries to the knee of the high energy CRs. Our calculations show that micro-quasars can make a significant contribution to the CRs in the ‘knee’ region. On the other hand, considering micro-quasars as contributors to the Galactic CRs also provides a good fit to the observed anisotropy of CRs and the diffuse gamma-ray emission from the Galactic disk observed by LHAASO. Theoretically, although considering SNRs as acceleration sites for ultra-high-energy CRs, and using a space-dependent model, can also reproduce the CR energy spectrum, anisotropy (Qiao et al. 2023; Yao et al. 2024; Nie et al. 2024), and diffuse gamma-ray emission (He et al. 2024; Guo & Yuan 2018) from the Galactic disk in the PeV energy range, there is, to date, almost no observational evidence that SNRs can accelerate CRs to the PeV energy range. In contrast, more and more micro-quasars observed by LHAASO were found to emit photons with energies exceeding 100 TeV. This is almost identical to our results, which suggest that micro-quasars are likely the main contributors to the Galactic ‘knee’ CRs.

In conclusion, the combination of observational data and theoretical modeling has provided significant insights into the complex mechanisms governing CR propagation, and further discoveries in the PeV energy range will continue to enhance our understanding of this phenomenon.

This work is supported by Natural Sciences Foundation of China (No. 12375108 and 12275279).

## REFERENCES

- Aab, A., Abreu, P., Aglietta, M., et al. 2020, The Astrophysical Journal, 891, 142, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab7236](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab7236)
- Aartsen, M. G., Abbasi, R., Abdou, Y., et al. 2013, ApJ, 765, 55, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/765/1/55](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/765/1/55)
- Abbasi, R., Abdou, Y., Abu-Zayyad, T., et al. 2010, ApJL, 718, L194, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/718/2/L194](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/718/2/L194)
- . 2012, ApJ, 746, 33, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/746/1/33](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/746/1/33)
- Abdo, A. A., Allen, B. T., Aune, T., et al. 2009, ApJ, 698, 2121, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/698/2/2121](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/698/2/2121)



- Abeyssekara, A. U., Albert, A., Alfaro, R., et al. 2018, *Nature*, 562, 82, doi: [10.1038/s41586-018-0565-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0565-5)
- Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., Allafort, A., et al. 2013, *Science*, 339, 807, doi: [10.1126/science.1231160](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1231160)
- Aglietta, M., Alessandro, B., Antonioli, P., et al. 1995, in *International Cosmic Ray Conference*, Vol. 2, International Cosmic Ray Conference, 800
- Aglietta, M., Alessandro, B., Antonioli, P., et al. 1996, *ApJ*, 470, 501, doi: [10.1086/177881](https://doi.org/10.1086/177881)
- Aglietta, M., Alekseenko, V. V., Alessandro, B., et al. 2009, *ApJL*, 692, L130, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/692/2/L130](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/692/2/L130)
- Aguilar, M., Aisa, D., Alpat, B., et al. 2015, *PhRvL*, 114, 171103, doi: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.171103](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.171103)
- Aguilar, M., Ali Cavazonza, L., Ambrosi, G., et al. 2018, *PhRvL*, 120, 021101, doi: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.021101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.021101)
- Aharonian, F. A., & Atoyan, A. M. 1998, *NewAR*, 42, 579, doi: [10.1016/S1387-6473\(98\)00075-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1387-6473(98)00075-X)
- Alekseenko, V. V., Cherniaev, A. B., Djappuev, D. D., et al. 2009, *Nuclear Physics B Proceedings Supplements*, 196, 179, doi: [10.1016/j.nuclphysbps.2009.09.032](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysbps.2009.09.032)
- Alexeyenko, V. V., Chudakov, A. E., Gulieva, E. N., & Sborschikov, V. G. 1981, in *International Cosmic Ray Conference*, Vol. 2, International Cosmic Ray Conference, 146
- Alfaro, R., Alvarez, C., Arteaga-Velázquez, J. C., et al. 2024, *Nature*, 634, 557, doi: [10.1038/s41586-024-07995-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07995-9)
- Ambrosio, M., Antolini, R., Baldini, A., et al. 2003, *PhRvD*, 67, 042002, doi: [10.1103/PhysRevD.67.042002](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.67.042002)
- Amenomori, M., Ayabe, S., Cui, S. W., et al. 2005, *ApJL*, 626, L29, doi: [10.1086/431582](https://doi.org/10.1086/431582)
- Amenomori, M., Bi, X. J., Chen, D., et al. 2015, in *International Cosmic Ray Conference*, Vol. 34, 34th International Cosmic Ray Conference (ICRC2015), 355, doi: [10.22323/1.236.0355](https://doi.org/10.22323/1.236.0355)
- Amenomori, M., Bi, X. J., Chen, D., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, 836, 153, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/836/2/153](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/836/2/153)
- Amenomori, M., Bao, Y. W., Bi, X. J., et al. 2021, *PhRvL*, 126, 141101, doi: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.141101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.141101)
- An, Q., Asfandiyarov, R., Azzarello, P., et al. 2019, *Science Advances*, 5, eaax3793, doi: [10.1126/sciadv.aax3793](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aax3793)
- Andreyev, Y. M., Chudakov, A. E., Kozyarivsky, V. A., et al. 1987, in *International Cosmic Ray Conference*, Vol. 2, International Cosmic Ray Conference, 22
- Avakyan, A., Neumann, M., Zainab, A., et al. 2023, *A&A*, 675, A199, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/202346522](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202346522)
- Bartoli, B., Bernardini, P., Bi, X. J., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, 809, 90, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/809/1/90](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/809/1/90)
- Bercovitch, M., & Agrawal, S. P. 1981, in *International Cosmic Ray Conference*, Vol. 10, International Cosmic Ray Conference, 246–249
- Berezhko, E. G., & Ksenofontov, L. T. 1999, *Soviet Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics*, 89, 391, doi: [10.1134/1.558996](https://doi.org/10.1134/1.558996)
- Brunthaler, A., Reid, M. J., Menten, K. M., et al. 2011, *Astronomische Nachrichten*, 332, 461
- Cao, Z. 2010, *Chinese Physics C*, 34, 249, doi: [10.1088/1674-1137/34/2/018](https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/34/2/018)
- Cao, Z., Aharonian, F. A., An, Q., et al. 2021, *Nature*, 594, 33, doi: [10.1038/s41586-021-03498-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03498-z)
- Cao, Z., Aharonian, F., An, Q., et al. 2023, *PhRvL*, 131, 151001, doi: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.151001](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.151001)
- Cao, Z., Aharonian, F., Axikegu, et al. 2024a, *PhRvL*, 132, 131002, doi: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.132.131002](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.132.131002)
- Cao, Z., Aharonian, F., An, Q., et al. 2024b, *ApJS*, 271, 25, doi: [10.3847/1538-4365/acfd29](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/acfd29)
- . 2024c, *Chinese Physics C*, 48, 065001, doi: [10.1088/1674-1137/ad2e82](https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/ad2e82)
- Casares, J., Jonker, P. G., & Israelian, G. 2017, in *Handbook of Supernovae*, ed. A. W. Alsabti & P. Murdin, 1499, doi: [10.1007/978-3-319-21846-5\\_111](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-21846-5_111)
- Chaty, S. 2022, *Accreting Binaries; Nature, formation, and evolution*, doi: [10.1088/2514-3433/ac595f](https://doi.org/10.1088/2514-3433/ac595f)
- Chiavassa, A., Apel, W. D., Arteaga-Velázquez, J. C., et al. 2015, in *International Cosmic Ray Conference*, Vol. 34, 34th International Cosmic Ray Conference (ICRC2015), 281, doi: [10.22323/1.236.0281](https://doi.org/10.22323/1.236.0281)
- Cooper, A. J., Gaggero, D., Markoff, S., & Zhang, S. 2020, *MNRAS*, 493, 3212, doi: [10.1093/mnras/staa373](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa373)
- Cutler, D. J., & Groom, D. E. 1991, *ApJ*, 376, 322, doi: [10.1086/170282](https://doi.org/10.1086/170282)
- Erlykin, A. D., & Machavariani, S. K. 2021, *Bulletin of the Lebedev Physics Institute*, 48, 31, doi: [10.3103/S1068335621020032](https://doi.org/10.3103/S1068335621020032)
- Escobar, G. J., Pellizza, L. J., & Romero, G. E. 2022, *A&A*, 665, A145, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/202142753](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142753)
- Evoli, C., Gaggero, D., Grasso, D., & Maccione, L. 2008, *JCAP*, 2008, 018, doi: [10.1088/1475-7516/2008/10/018](https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2008/10/018)
- Fang, K., Halzen, F., Heinz, S., & Gallagher, J. S. 2024, *ApJL*, 975, L35, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/ad887b](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ad887b)
- Fender, R. P., Belloni, T. M., & Gallo, E. 2004, *MNRAS*, 355, 1105, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2004.08384.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2004.08384.x)
- Fenton, K. B., Fenton, A. G., & Humble, J. E. 1995, in *International Cosmic Ray Conference*, Vol. 4, International Cosmic Ray Conference, 635
- Ginzburg, V. L., & Syrovatsky, S. I. 1961, *Progress of Theoretical Physics Supplement*, 20, 1, doi: [10.1143/PTPS.20.1](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTPS.20.1)

- Giuliani, A., Cardillo, M., Tavani, M., et al. 2011, *ApJL*, 742, L30, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/742/2/L30](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/742/2/L30)
- Gombosi, T., Kóta, J., Somogyi, A. J., et al. 1975, in *International Cosmic Ray Conference*, Vol. 2, International Cosmic Ray Conference, 586–591
- Guillian, G., Hosaka, J., Ishihara, K., et al. 2007, *PhRvD*, 75, 062003, doi: [10.1103/PhysRevD.75.062003](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.062003)
- Guo, Y.-Q., Tian, Z., & Jin, C. 2016, *ApJ*, 819, 54, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/819/1/54](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/819/1/54)
- Guo, Y.-Q., & Yuan, Q. 2018, *PhRvD*, 97, 063008, doi: [10.1103/PhysRevD.97.063008](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.063008)
- Hailey, C. J., Mori, K., Bauer, F. E., et al. 2018, *Nature*, 556, 70, doi: [10.1038/nature25029](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25029)
- He, X.-Y., Zhang, P.-P., Yuan, Q., & Guo, Y.-Q. 2024, *ApJ*, 964, 28, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ad2a4e](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ad2a4e)
- Hörandel, J. R. 2003, *Astroparticle Physics*, 19, 193, doi: [10.1016/S0927-6505\(02\)00198-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-6505(02)00198-6)
- . 2004, *Astroparticle Physics*, 21, 241, doi: [10.1016/j.astropartphys.2004.01.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.astropartphys.2004.01.004)
- Kantzas, D., Markoff, S., Cooper, A. J., et al. 2023, *MNRAS*, 524, 1326, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stad1909](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad1909)
- Khangulyan, D., Bosch-Ramon, V., & Hadasch, D. 2024, *Journal of High Energy Astrophysics*, 43, 93, doi: [10.1016/j.jheap.2024.06.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jheap.2024.06.006)
- Kretschmar, P., Fürst, F., Sidoli, L., et al. 2019, *NewAR*, 86, 101546, doi: [10.1016/j.newar.2020.101546](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.newar.2020.101546)
- Kulikov, G. V., & Khristiansen, G. B. 1961, *Sov. Phys. JETP*, 587, A61. <http://www.jetp.ras.ru/cgi-bin/e/index/r/35/3/p635?a=list>
- Lagutin, A. A., Nikulin, Y. A., & Uchaikin, V. V. 2001, *Nuclear Physics B Proceedings Supplements*, 97, 267, doi: [10.1016/S0920-5632\(01\)01280-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0920-5632(01)01280-4)
- Lee, Y. W., & Ng, L. K. 1987, in *International Cosmic Ray Conference*, Vol. 2, International Cosmic Ray Conference, 18
- LHAASO Collaboration. 2024a, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2410.08988, doi: [10.48550/arXiv.2410.08988](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2410.08988)
- . 2024b, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2410.08988, doi: [10.48550/arXiv.2410.08988](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2410.08988)
- Liu, W., Guo, Y.-Q., & Yuan, Q. 2019, *JCAP*, 2019, 010, doi: [10.1088/1475-7516/2019/10/010](https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2019/10/010)
- Martí-Devesa, G., & Olivera-Nieto, L. 2024, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2410.10396, doi: [10.48550/arXiv.2410.10396](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2410.10396)
- Maurin, D., Melot, F., & Taillet, R. 2014, *A&A*, 569, A32, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201321344](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201321344)
- Mori, K., Hailey, C. J., Schutt, T. Y. E., et al. 2021, *ApJ*, 921, 148, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ac1da5](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac1da5)
- Mori, S., Yasue, S., Munakata, K., et al. 1995, in *International Cosmic Ray Conference*, Vol. 4, International Cosmic Ray Conference, 648
- Munakata, K., Yasue, S., Mori, S., et al. 1995, in *International Cosmic Ray Conference*, Vol. 4, International Cosmic Ray Conference, 639
- Munakata, K., Kiuchi, T., Yasue, S., et al. 1997, *PhRvD*, 56, 23, doi: [10.1103/PhysRevD.56.23](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.56.23)
- Nagashima, K., Fujimoto, K., Sakakibara, S., et al. 1989, *Nuovo Cimento C Geophysics Space Physics C*, 12, 695, doi: [10.1007/BF02511970](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02511970)
- Neumann, M., Avakyan, A., Doroshenko, V., & Santangelo, A. 2023, *A&A*, 677, A134, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/202245728](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202245728)
- Nie, L., Liu, Y., & Jiang, Z. 2023, *ApJ*, 952, 100, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/acda29](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/acda29)
- Nie, L., Qian, X.-L., Guo, Y.-Q., & Liu, S.-M. 2024, *ApJ*, 974, 276, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ad7eab](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ad7eab)
- Ogjo, S., & Kakimoto, F. 2003, in *International Cosmic Ray Conference*, Vol. 1, International Cosmic Ray Conference, 315
- Olejak, A., Belczynski, K., Bulik, T., & Sobolewska, M. 2020, *A&A*, 638, A94, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201936557](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201936557)
- Ptuskin, V., Zirakashvili, V., & Seo, E.-S. 2010, *ApJ*, 718, 31, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/718/1/31](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/718/1/31)
- Qiao, B.-Q., Guo, Y.-Q., Liu, W., & Bi, X.-J. 2023, *ApJ*, 956, 75, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/acf453](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/acf453)
- Qiao, B.-Q., Liu, W., Guo, Y.-Q., & Yuan, Q. 2019, *JCAP*, 2019, 007, doi: [10.1088/1475-7516/2019/12/007](https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2019/12/007)
- Reig, P., Torrejón, J. M., & Blay, P. 2012, *MNRAS*, 425, 595, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.21509.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.21509.x)
- Remillard, R. A., & McClintock, J. E. 2006, *ARA&A*, 44, 49, doi: [10.1146/annurev.astro.44.051905.092532](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.44.051905.092532)
- Sakakibara, S., Ueno, H., Fujimoto, K., Kondo, I., & Nagashima, K. 1973, in *International Cosmic Ray Conference*, Vol. 2, International Cosmic Ray Conference, 1058
- Sudoh, T., Inoue, Y., & Khangulyan, D. 2020, *ApJ*, 889, 146, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab6442](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab6442)
- Swinson, D. B., & Nagashima, K. 1985, *Planet. Space Sci.*, 33, 1069, doi: [10.1016/0032-0633\(85\)90025-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0032-0633(85)90025-X)
- Thambyahpillai, T. 1983, in *International Cosmic Ray Conference*, Vol. 3, International Cosmic Ray Conference, 383
- Torres, D. F., Romero, G. E., & Mirabel, F. 2005, *Chinese Journal of Astronomy and Astrophysics Supplement*, 5, 183, doi: [10.1088/1009-9271/5/S1/133](https://doi.org/10.1088/1009-9271/5/S1/133)
- Ueno, H., Fujii, Z., & Yamada, T. 1990, in *International Cosmic Ray Conference*, Vol. 6, 21st International Cosmic Ray Conference (ICRC21), 361
- Varsi, F., Ahmad, S., Chakraborty, M., et al. 2024, *PhRvL*, 132, 051002, doi: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.132.051002](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.132.051002)

- Verbunt, F. 1993, In: Annual review of astronomy and astrophysics. Vol. 31 (A94-12726 02-90), p. 93-127., 31, 93
- Xie, J., Wang, J., Wang, N., Manchester, R., & Hobbs, G. 2024, The Astrophysical Journal Letters, 963, L39
- Yao, Y.-H., Dong, X.-L., Guo, Y.-Q., & Yuan, Q. 2024, PhRvD, 109, 063001, doi: [10.1103/PhysRevD.109.063001](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.109.063001)
- Yusifov, I., & Küçük, I. 2004, Astronomy & Astrophysics, 422, 545
- Zirakashvili, V. N., & Aharonian, F. A. 2010, ApJ, 708, 965, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/708/2/965](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/708/2/965)
- Zirakashvili, V. N., & Ptuskin, V. S. 2012, Astroparticle Physics, 39, 12, doi: [10.1016/j.astropartphys.2011.09.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.astropartphys.2011.09.003)