

RoboMIND: Benchmark on Multi-embodiment Intelligence Normative Data for Robot Manipulation

Kun Wu^{1,*}, Chengkai Hou^{2,3,*}, Jiaming Liu^{2,3,*}, Zhengping Che^{1,*}, Xiaozhu Ju^{1,*},
 Zhuqin Yang¹, Meng Li¹, Yinuo Zhao¹, Zhiyuan Xu¹, Guang Yang¹, Zhen Zhao¹, Guangyu Li¹,
 Zhao Jin¹, Lecheng Wang¹, Jilei Mao¹, Xinhua Wang¹, Shichao Fan¹, Ning Liu¹, Pei Ren¹, Qiang Zhang¹,
 Yaoxu Lyu², Mengzhen Liu^{2,3}, Jingyang He^{2,3}, Yulin Luo^{2,3}, Zeyu Gao³, Chenxuan Li², Chenyang Gu^{2,3},
 Yankai Fu², Di Wu², Xingyu Wang², Sixiang Chen^{2,3}, Zhenyu Wang², Pengju An^{2,3}, Siyuan Qian^{2,3},
 Shanghang Zhang^{2,3,✉}, Jian Tang^{1,✉}

¹Beijing Innovation Center of Humanoid Robotics

²State Key Laboratory of Multimedia Information Processing, School of Computer Science, Peking University

³Beijing Academy of Artificial Intelligence




Fig. 1: Overview of RoboMIND. We introduce RoboMIND (Multi-embodiment Intelligence Normative Data for Robot Manipulation), comprising 55k real-world demonstration trajectories across 279 diverse tasks involving 61 distinct object classes. To ensure consistency and reliability during policy learning, RoboMIND is gathered through human teleoperation and structured around a unified data collection standard. The four pie charts represent: (a) total trajectories categorized by embodiments, (b) trajectory lengths by embodiments, (c) total trajectories grouped by task categories, and (d) total trajectories based on object usage scenarios.

Abstract—Developing robust and general-purpose robotic manipulation policies is a key goal in the field of robotics. To achieve effective generalization, it is essential to construct comprehensive

datasets that encompass a large number of demonstration trajectories and diverse tasks. Unlike vision or language data that can be collected from the Internet, robotic datasets require

detailed observations and manipulation actions, necessitating significant investment in hardware-software infrastructure and human labor. While existing works have focused on assembling various individual robot datasets, there remains a lack of a unified data collection standard and insufficient diversity in tasks, scenarios, and robot types. In this paper, we introduce RoboMIND (Multi-embodiment Intelligence Normative Data for Robot manipulation), featuring 55k real-world demonstration trajectories across 279 diverse tasks involving 61 different object classes. RoboMIND is collected through human teleoperation and encompasses comprehensive robotic-related information, including multi-view RGB-D images, proprioceptive robot state information, end effector details, and linguistic task descriptions. To ensure dataset consistency and reliability during policy learning, RoboMIND is built on a unified data collection platform and standardized protocol, covering four distinct robotic embodiments: the Franka Emika Panda, the UR-5e, the AgileX dual-arm robot, and the Tien Kung humanoid robot with dual dexterous hands. In addition, we create a digital twin environment in the Isaac Sim simulator, featuring the same tasks and assets as our real-world dataset. This simulation environment not only facilitates the low-cost collection of additional training data but also enables efficient evaluation. We provide a thorough quantitative and qualitative analysis of RoboMIND across multiple dimensions, offering detailed insights into the diversity of our datasets. In our experiments, we conduct extensive real-world testing with four state-of-the-art imitation learning methods, demonstrating that training with RoboMIND data results in a high manipulation success rate and strong generalization. In addition, investigations of failure reasons reveal promising directions for improvement. Our project is at <https://x-humanoid-robomind.github.io/>.

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the aspirations of any professional in the field of robotics is to develop a versatile, general-purpose robotic model capable of performing a broad spectrum of real-world tasks. Specifically, such models should be generalizable in order to execute the intended manipulation tasks under varying conditions, such as a new robot, unfamiliar environments, or different objects [42, 43, 64, 54, 53, 11]. To achieve this level of generalization, researchers have drawn inspiration from the training of large models in computer vision and natural language processing, where rich and diverse datasets have proven essential [1, 51, 78, 82, 26, 47]. They concluded that for training generalizable robotic models, one of the most critical elements is access to rich and diverse training data that encompass varied scenes, tasks, and robot types. Such diversity ensures that models learn to perform reliably under different conditions and environments [11, 25, 58, 79, 64, 74]. Therefore, in this work, we aim to *construct comprehensive datasets that capture a broad spectrum of robotic interactions and experiences to facilitate training models capable of mastering various manipulation policies*.

However, the curation of large-scale datasets for training general-purpose robotic models poses significant challenges. In contrast to the acquisition of vision or language data, which can often be sourced through web-based collection methods [26, 47], collecting robotic data is difficult because such data cannot be easily obtained via conventional internet scraping methodologies, as it requires controlled environments where the joints and end-effector information of robotic systems are meticulously recorded. Moreover, scaling up data collection efforts necessitates considerable investment in both hardware and software infrastructure and human labor for oversight, particularly when it comes to acquiring and curating high-quality demonstration data [83, 42, 64]. Consequently, even the most versatile robotic manipulation policies currently in use are predominantly trained on datasets gathered within constrained conditions that offer limited diversity in scenarios, tasks, and embodiments [64].

Our dataset, called RoboMIND (Multi-embodiment Intelligence Normative Data for Robot manipulation), is an extensive dataset that encompasses a broad range of robotic interactions and experiences. RoboMIND features 55k demonstration trajectories amounting to 308.6 hours of interaction data of 4 kinds of robotic embodiments including Franka Emika Panda [28], X-Humanoid Tien Kung [9], AgileX Cobot Magic V2.0 [69], and UR-5e [70]. Unlike DROID and Open X-Embodiment, which were compiled from various laboratories with differing data collection standards and diverse combinations of robotic platforms, RoboMIND is gathered within the same standardized setting, adhering to a standardized data collection protocol to ensure consistency and reliability. By maintaining uniform data collection standards, all data points are captured under similar conditions, reducing variability and noise, which is crucial for training models that can generalize well across different tasks and environments. The standardized procedures also enhance the reliability of the dataset, making it easier to validate and reproduce experimental results, thereby building trust in the trained models and ensuring their consistent performance in real-world applications.

Moreover, RoboMIND covers a wide range of robot environments and spans 279 diverse tasks involving 61 various object classes. Additionally, we provide a dataset from our real-world tasks simulated in the Nvidia Isaac Sim. RoboMIND incorporates data from various robot types, including 19,222 motion trajectories from Franka Emika Panda single-arm robots, 9,686 from the Tien Kung humanoid robots, 8,030 from the AgileX Cobot Magic V2.0 dual-arm robots, 6,911 from UR-5e single-arm robots, and 11,783 from simulation. At the same time, we not only publish the successful trajectory of 55k shipments but also document the trajectory of real-world failure cases for 5k. The robot model can explore the causes of failures by learning from these failure case trajectories, thereby improving its performance through such learning experiences. This technique is representative of Reinforcement Learning from Human Feedback (RLHF) [65, 14], where human oversight and feedback direct the learning process of

¹ Beijing Innovation Center of Humanoid Robotics, Beijing, China {Gongda.Wu, z.che, jason.ju, jian.tang}@x-humanoid.com

² State Key Laboratory of Multimedia Information Processing, School of Computer Science, Peking University, Beijing, China shanghang@pku.edu.cn

* Co-first Authors: Kun Wu, Chengkai Hou, Jiaming Liu, Zhengping Che, and Xiaozhu Ju

† Project Leaders: Zhengping Che and Xiaozhu Ju

✉ Corresponding Authors: Shanghang Zhang and Jian Tang

Dataset	Trajectory	Task	Skill Type	Arm Type	Dexterous Hand	Language	Embodiments	Public Robot	Collection
RoboNet [19]	162k	n/a	n/a	Single	✗	✗	7	✓	Scripted
BridgeData [22]	7.2k	71	4	Single	✗	✓	1	✓	Human Teleoperation
BC-Z [37]	26k	100	3	Single	✗	✓	1	✗	Human Teleoperation
RT-1 [7]	130k	700	8	Single	✗	✓	1	✗	Human Teleoperation
RH20T [25]	13k	140	33	Single	✗	✓	4	✓	Human Teleoperation
RoboSet [6]	98.5k	38	6	Single	✗	✓	1	✓	30% Human / 70% Scripted
BridgeData V2 [81]	60.1k	n/a	13	Single	✗	✓	1	✓	85% Human / 15% Scripted
DROID [42]	76k	n/a	86	Single	✗	✓	1	✓	Human Teleoperation
BRMData [93]	0.5k	10	7	Dual	✗	✓	1	✓	Human Teleoperation
DobbE [74]*	5.6k	109	6	Single	✗	✓	1	(✓)	Human Tool-based
Open X-Embodiment [64]†	1.4M	160k	217	Single+Dual	✗	(✓)	22	(✓)	Dataset Aggregation
RoboMIND	55k	279	36	Single+Dual	✓	✓	4	✓	Human Teleoperation

TABLE I: Comparison to existing real-world datasets for robot manipulation. All data is drawn from the original paper or from [42]. We highlight advantages of RoboMIND in orange. *non-robot, tool-based data collection, †not a dataset in itself, but aggregation of existing datasets.

models, leading them to produce more desirable and accurate outcomes.

RoboMIND is collected through teleoperation, capturing natural human motion patterns and problem-solving strategies. These trajectories are valuable for training robotic models to perform complex manipulation tasks. Each dataset collects trajectories that encompass RGB-D data from distinct viewpoints, detailed proprioceptive state information of the robot body, specific information regarding the robot’s end effector, and a linguistic description of the task at hand. We annotate a comprehensive 10k robot trajectory from our dataset, encompassing a wide range of robot models and tasks, utilizing the Gemini annotation tool. To ensure accuracy and reliability, each annotation has undergone verification and correction by multiple reviewers. Precise language prompts help robot models understand their interaction environments more accurately. In our experiments, RoboMIND is utilized to comprehensively evaluate the performance of different approaches. Specifically, we test the task success rates using single-task imitation learning methods like ACT [94], BAKU [33] and also assess the generalization capabilities and task success rates of Vision-Language-Action (VLA) large models such as OpenVLA [64], RDT-1B [54] and designed for robotics. The experiment results show RoboMIND boosts the models’ performance and generalization on the real-world tasks.

II. RELATED WORK

Robotic Manipulation. Traditional manipulation policies typically rely on state-based reinforcement learning [3, 38, 90]. In contrast, recent works [23, 61, 24] incorporate visual observations as input to predict action poses. Imitation learning policies, in particular, enable robots to acquire stable manipulation skills by imitating an expert through demonstration [20, 85, 91]. Driven by advancements in diffusion-based generative models [34, 71, 77], diffusion policy [12] and subsequent works [66, 68, 86] focus on transforming random Gaussian noise into coherent action sequences, with methods such as DP3 [92] and 3D Diffuser Actor [41] further enhancing this process in 3D space. On the other hand, some multimodal large language models (LLMs)[2, 21, 36] enable robots to comprehend natural language and visual scenes, automatically generating task plans. Meanwhile, vision-language-

action (VLA) models [95, 50, 49, 53, 43] empower LLMs to predict low-level SE(3) poses, demonstrating interpretability and generalization in diverse scenarios. Given the critical role of 3D spatial information in complex manipulation tasks, several works [94, 29, 76, 27] explore the encoding of point cloud data or multi-view images for 3D imitation learning. However, most existing methods are trained on simulation datasets or self-collected real-world datasets, and the robotics community still lacks a unified large-scale dataset.

Robotic Learning Datasets. Interacting with spatial configurations in real-world environments is vital for robots. However, collecting data with a real robotic arm often incurs substantial costs [42, 64]. General-purpose simulators [63, 55, 15, 44] replicate the physical world and provide virtual environments for training policy models, significantly reducing the costs and time associated with data collection. To meet the training demands of complex and long-horizon tasks, simulators based on real-world environments are developed [45, 10, 89, 72], featuring photorealistic 3D assets and scenes built with game engines. However, the Sim-to-Real gap significantly impacts the manipulation accuracy of imitation learning policies. As a result, some research shifts towards directly collecting real-world data, including datasets gathered through automated scripts or expert agents [8, 18, 32, 39, 46, 67], as well as those obtained via human teleoperation [6, 7, 22, 25, 37, 58, 75, 81]. As shown in Table I, we compare RoboMIND with existing real-world datasets for robot manipulation. RoboSet [6] and BridgeData V2 [81] include over 50k trajectories, but are limited to 6 and 13 skill types, respectively. In contrast, RH20T [25] covers 33 tasks, encompassing both general tabletop and contact-rich manipulations, while its data scale is relatively small compared to the others. Recently, the Open X-Embodiment dataset (OXE) [64] make a large effort to unify existing robot datasets into a standardized format, incorporating data from diverse robots collected through collaboration among 21 institutions. Following this, ARIO [83] further integrates real-world and simulated data into a standard format, aiming to bridge the gaps in existing data resources. DROID [42] collects 76k demonstration trajectories via human teleoperation, covering 564 diverse manipulation scenes. Although previous large-

scale datasets offer diverse scenarios, most focus on a single embodiment type—the two-finger gripper—and lack dexterous hands, limiting task variety. In contrast, our proposed RoboMIND features four distinct embodiments, including both two-finger grippers and dexterous hands, expanding the task types to 279. Additionally, we design long-horizon dual-arm tasks for complex skill training. Most importantly, the RoboMIND dataset is collected in a standardized setting, ensuring consistency and minimizing variability.

Large-scale Policy Learning. Learning robotic policies from large and diverse datasets has become a major research focus in the field of robotics. One series of works leverages egocentric human videos [17, 16, 31, 30] to assist in robot action learning, as these videos capture both the temporal context and motion information of human-object interactions. Leveraging large-scale human videos, previous works investigate learning robotic representations [62, 5], manipulation priors [40, 57], and dexterous hand control [56, 88]. Another prominent approach, VLA models, leverages multimodal instruction datasets [52, 59, 35] and robot data [73, 7, 60, 84] for co-training or pretraining, enhancing the model’s reasoning and generalization abilities. Specifically, RT-2 [95] innovatively incorporates large-scale internet data and low-level action data for co-finetuning, RoboFlamingo [50] directly loads the pretrained parameters from OpenFlamingo [4] for visual instruction tuning, and RoboMamba [53] utilizes high-level common sense and robotics-related reasoning data for co-training. Finally, a series of works [54, 43, 48] leverage large assembler datasets, such as OXE and ARIO, for pretraining, followed by fine-tuning with self-collected imitation learning data from experimental scenarios. The large-scale pretraining significantly enhances the fine-tuning efficiency and generalization capability of policy models. Our proposed real-world dataset and digital twin simulator provide a large-scale pretraining dataset and a high-quality fine-tuning dataset for policy learning in real-world applications.

III. DATASET SETUP

In this work, we introduce the RoboMIND dataset. This data set comprises data from four different robotic embodiments, totaling 55k trajectories on 279 tasks, 61 different object classes, and 36 operational skills. To support the development of such a large-scale dataset, we established a comprehensive data collection process, which we continuously refine. Currently, this data collection process involves three core components: 1) A teleoperation system enabling operators to control robotic arms in real time. 2) An internally developed intelligent data platform for efficient data collection, management, processing, and analysis. 3) A quality assurance process to filter high-quality data for downstream applications.

Teleoperation System. The data are collected through teleoperation. Unlike the mechanical motion data typically gathered via scripted collection, our data is more natural, coherent, and smooth, closely mirroring human behavior and cognition. For Franka, UR-5e, and Simulation robots, we followed the

setup described in Gello [87], creating corresponding homologous teleoperation devices and control systems. For AgeliX robots, we utilized the built-in dual-arm teleoperation system. For Tien Kung humanoid robots, we collected data using both Xsens motion capture suits and Gello-style teleoperation devices. All data gathering occurs in designated internal areas, with minimal personnel rotation. This consistency helps maintain a harmonious collection rhythm and adheres to internal standards, thereby enhancing the quality of the dataset.

Intelligent Data Platform. As the volume of collected data grows, efficient recording, transmission, management, and analysis become significant challenges. We developed an intelligent data platform to support the design and development of embodied intelligent systems. This platform uses a cloud-native architecture and distributed computing to handle large-scale data processing, offering four main functionalities and their corresponding modules: 1) Data collection (real-time data transfer, collection device management). 2) Data storage (petabyte-scale storage, structured and unstructured support). 3) Data management (cleaning, quality assessment, version control). 4) Data processing and analysis.

Data Quality Assurance. Since all data stems from operators controlling the system in real time, errors may arise due to physical limitations, such as fatigue, habits, distractions, or external disruptions. To mitigate this, we employ a rotation system for operators and strive to provide a comfortable environment to keep them focused. Additionally, we perform quality checks on all collected data to ensure its reliability. We define quality assurance criteria, such as unnecessary contacts and repeated grabbing. The quality assurance process comprises three steps: 1) Initial Inspection: Quickly review videos to ensure no obvious technical issues, e.g., frame loss and freezing. 2) Detailed Inspection: Frame-by-frame or slow-motion analysis to verify compliance with the criteria. 3) Data Filtering and Issue Logging: Document specific timestamps and descriptions for non-compliant data and categorize it for further processing or improvement.

We adopted a task-centric data collection protocol, where each task serves as the fundamental unit of the dataset. In RoboMIND, a task is comprehensively defined by four key components: (1) the specific robotic embodiment utilized, (2) the manipulation skill being executed, (3) the objects involved in the task, and (4) detailed scene descriptions, including object positions, spatial relationships, and environmental constraints or interfering elements. This structured task-based framework ensures systematic data collection and enables fine-grained analysis of robotic manipulation capabilities across different scenarios and tasks.

IV. DATASET ANALYSIS

Based on a standardized procedure, we collected a large-scale, multi-embodiment normative dataset named RoboMIND. RoboMIND includes 55k high-quality trajectories, featuring 4 embodiments, 279 tasks, 61 object classes, and 36 skills. This ready-to-use dataset aims to enhance the learning of generalizable manipulation policies for single-task,




Fig. 2: Distribution of objects in RoboMIND, categorized as deformable, rigid, irregular, articulated, and dexterous. The y-axis uses a logarithmic scale for counts above 500, with exact numbers shown for values exceeding it.

multi-task, long-horizon, and continuous learning settings. In this section, we provide a comprehensive quantitative and qualitative analysis of RoboMIND across various dimensions. We believe this analysis can offer valuable insights into RoboMIND and identify opportunities for further improvement and investigation.

A. Quantitative Analysis

Heterogeneous Embodiments. Figure 1(a) shows the distribution of trajectories for each embodiment in our dataset, which includes four distinct types: Franka, AgileX, Tien Kung, and UR. Franka constitutes 55.7% of the total trajectories, with over 11,000 simulation-based and 19,000 real-world trajectories collected via human teleoperation. The other three embodiments include real-world demonstrations only. The heterogeneous set of embodiments enables the design and execution of a wide range of tasks, including both single-arm and dual-arm manipulations. Notably, Tien Kung accounts for 17.4% of the trajectories. These dual-arm data enhance the dataset’s diversity and complexity, supporting the training of coordination skills or more complex long-horizon tasks.

Task Classification. Unlike other datasets that categorize tasks based on verbs or skills [42], we categorize tasks using the context of natural language descriptions, considering various axes such as skills, objects, and trajectory horizons. Each trajectory may belong to multiple task types, and only the major type for each trajectory is counted.


As shown in Figure 1(c), all tasks are categorized into six types: **1) Articulated Manipulations (Artic. M.):** Involve opening, closing, turning on, or turning off objects with articulated joints. **2) Coordination Manipulations (Coord. M.):** Require coordination between robot arms. **3) Basic Manipulations (Basic M.):** Include basic skills such as grasping, holding, and placing. **4) Object Interactions (Obj. Int.):** Involve interacting with multiple objects, for example, pushing one cube across another. **5) Precision Manipulations (Precision M.):** Necessary when objects are difficult

to grasp or target areas are limited, such as pouring liquid into a cup or inserting a battery. **6) Scene Understanding (Scene U.):** Major challenges related to understanding the scene, like closing the upper drawer from the right side or placing four large blocks of different colors into corresponding colored boxes. Using fine-grained classification, we show that RoboMIND enhances task diversity by introducing various task types beyond basic manipulations. This challenges the generalization of manipulation policies from multiple aspects, such as scene understanding and precision manipulation.


Diverse Objects. RoboMIND includes over 60 object types from five usage scenarios, as shown in Figure 1(d), covering most daily life settings: domestic, industrial, kitchen, office, and retail. To provide a detailed overview, we summarize trajectories for all objects categorized by usage scenario in Figure 2. In the kitchen, the dataset includes common foods such as strawberries, eggs, bananas, and pears, as well as complex articulated objects like oven doors and bread machines. Domestic scenarios feature both rigid objects like tennis balls and deformable objects like toys. Office and industrial scenarios include small objects that require precise control, such as batteries and gears. This diverse range of objects enhances the dataset’s complexity and supports the training of versatile manipulation policies across various environments.

Long Horizon. We calculated the average task horizon (i.e., the number of time steps in one trajectory) for each embodiment, as shown in Figure 1(b). Tasks collected by Franka and UR have shorter trajectories (under 200 time steps), making them suitable for training primitive skills. In contrast, tasks from Tien Kung and AgileX have longer trajectories (over 500 time steps), which are better suited for long-horizon task training and skill composition.

Figure 3a displays a histogram of skill counts across tasks for the four embodiments. AgileX tasks typically involve two or three combined skills, extending the task horizon. Meanwhile, Tien Kung tasks vary in length, with some comprising up to five skills per task. Additionally, we selected an AgileX



(a) A histogram of skill counts across tasks for four embodiments.



● Pick ● Place ● Hand-over

(b) We visualize the AX-PutCarrot task with the AgileX Cobot Magic V2.0, which involves three different skills. The arms first perform the pick skill sequentially, followed by the left arm placing the carrot and then the right arm handing it to the black plate. The entire process requires high-level coordinated manipulation.

Fig. 3: Analysis and visualization of skill distribution across different robotic embodiments.

task with three skills and visualized its dual-arm trajectory in Figure 3b. First, both arms perform the pick skill sequentially. Then, the left arm places the carrot, and the right arm hands it to the black plate. The entire process requires high-level coordinated manipulation.

B. Qualitative Analysis

Compared to Other Datasets. RoboMIND features standardized settings to form a large-scale real-world manipulation dataset. As shown in Figure 4, we compare our dataset with Open X-Embodiments (OXE), another large-scale robotic learning dataset. Although OXE contains a vast amount of data, the significantly different settings make it difficult to learn efficient manipulation policies across the entire dataset. In contrast, RoboMIND is collected through a carefully designed standardized procedure, making it ready-to-use for other roboticists. Meanwhile, its heterogeneous embodiments, diverse tasks, and various skills are suitable for training generalizable policies, whether for primitive skills or long-horizon manipulations.

Language Description Annotation. In RoboMIND, we



Fig. 4: Comparison between Open X-Embodiment and RoboMIND. Our dataset features heterogeneous embodiments with diverse tasks and skills while also providing ease of use due to standardized settings.

provide refined linguistic annotations for 10,000 successful robot motion trajectories. The annotation process involves two primary steps. First, we use Gemini [78] to segment each video based on the sequence of operations and generate detailed text descriptions for each segment. These descriptions accurately capture the operational steps and relevant context. Second, we manually refine Gemini’s annotations regarding the following key aspects:

- Identifying key manipulated objects.
- Detecting and describing all critical actions in the video.
- Ensuring accurate description of operational details.
- Applying reasonable granularity in temporal segmentation.
- Maintaining consistent temporal logic.

This thorough process enhances the precision and reliability of the language annotations for the collected trajectories. We annotate the video of a Franka Emika Panda arm picking the apple and placing it in the drawer using the above standard procedure, as shown in Figure 5. The results show that our annotation scheme can accurately segment the key actions in the video and provide precise language descriptions of these key actions. More detailed examples of our annotation are provided in the supplementary materials.

Failure Case Demonstrations. We also release 5k trajectories of some robot motion failure cases. The failure cases documented include scenarios where different types of humane operators failed to complete their assigned tasks, as well as instances where robots encountered failures during the execution of operational tasks.

We present the visualization examples of these failure cases in Figure 6. Specifically, we present two failure cases from the Franka and AgileX robots. For the FR-PlacePlateInPlateRack task performed by Franka, a successful execution shows the robotic arm accurately placing a plate into the plate rack.




Fig. 5: Example of language description annotation: The video of the robotic arm placing the apple in the drawer is divided into six segments using Gemini. The language descriptions provided for each segment were initially generated by Gemini and subsequently refined through manual revision.




Fig. 6: Visualization of failed data collection cases. We present two examples of failure from Franka and AgileX. In the FR-PlacePlateInPlateRack task (second row), the Franka arm fails to align with the slot, causing the plate to slip out of the rack - likely due to visual occlusion or interference from the operator. For the AX-PutCarrot task performed by AgileX, successful execution demonstrates the robot’s collaborative manipulation to place a carrot onto the plate. In the failure case, the robot’s gripper unexpectedly opens, causing the carrot to drop prematurely and resulting in task failure - presumably due to accidental gripper activation by the operator. During the data quality inspection process, these failed trajectories are identified, categorized, and documented, further enhancing the overall quality of the dataset.

In the failure case, the arm fails to locate the correct slot position, causing the plate to slip out of the rack - likely due to visual occlusion or interference from the operator. For the AX-PutCarrot task performed by AgileX, successful execution demonstrates the robot’s collaborative manipulation to place a carrot onto the plate. In the failure case, the robot’s gripper unexpectedly opens, causing the carrot to drop prematurely and resulting in task failure - presumably due to accidental gripper activation by the operator. During the data quality inspection process, these failed trajectories are identified, categorized, and documented, further enhancing the overall quality of the dataset.

V. ANALYZING ROBOT LEARNING WITH ROBOMIND

Following the detailed description of RoboMIND collection process and an in-depth analysis of its characteristics, we conduct a series of comprehensive experiments employing the different imitation learning methods. RoboMIND serves as a benchmark to evaluate the performance and limitations




Fig. 7: Robotic real-world setup. For the Franka Emika Panda robots, we use cameras positioned at the top, left, and right viewpoints to record the visual information of the task trajectories. For the AgileX/Tien Kung robots, we use their built-in cameras to record visual information. For the UR robots, we use an external top camera.

of these methods. In the following experiment, we test the performance of the single-task imitation learning model, such as ACT [94] and the VLA large-parameter model (RDT-1B [54], OpenVLA [43]), which can generalize to multiple tasks, on the RoboMIND dataset.

A. Experiment Setup

Robotic Real-world Setup. Our real-world robotic setup is shown in Figure 7. The robotic platforms used in this study are equipped as follows: (1) **The Franka Emika Panda** [28] features three Intel RealSense D435i cameras (left, top, and right) with resolutions of 480×640 , 720×1280 , and 480×640 pixels, respectively, and a Robotiq gripper. (2) **The Tien Kung** [9] robot utilizes two Inspire-Robots RH56BFX dexterous hands and Orbbec Gemini 335 cameras on the head and chest, both at 480×640 resolution. (3) **The AgileX Cobot Magic V2.0** [69] is fitted with two hand-eye Orbbec Astra cameras and one front-facing camera, all at 480×640 resolution. (4) **The UR-5e** [70] is paired with a top-mounted Intel RealSense D435i camera at 480×640 resolution and also employs a Robotiq gripper.

Training Setup. We evaluate the model’s performance on each task using its success rate. Each model is evaluated for




Fig. 8: Diverse task examples across 4 robotic embodiments in RoboMIND. We have selected 6 representative task scenarios for each robotic embodiment. The dataset features tasks performed by four distinct robotic embodiments: Franka (first row), Tien Kung (second row), AgileX (third row), and UR (fourth row).

10 trials, with testers recording both the success or failure of each trial and the reasons for any failures. For ACT [94], BAKU [33], and RDT-1B [54], the inputs to the models consist of RGB images and the robot’s proprioceptive states, while the outputs are the corresponding proprioceptive states at N future moments, utilizing the action chunking technique. For OpenVLA [43], it takes a task RGB image and a task language instruction as input and outputs a sequence of 7-dimensional vectors representing closed-loop robot control policy, including changes in x , y , z coordinates, and changes in orientation, along with gripper control signals.

Task Selection. RoboMIND encompasses a diverse collection of 279 distinct manipulation tasks collected across four different robot embodiments. Representative examples of these tasks are illustrated in Figure 8. For the single-task imitation learning methods, we evaluate across a diverse array of tasks. Below, we provide a representative task for each robot to elucidate the nomenclature and functionality associated with these operations.

- **FR-SlideCloseDrawer.** This task requires the Franka robotic arm to locate the outer edge of a cabinet door accurately. The robot needs to make contact with the door edge and push it along a curved path. The goal is to completely close the cabinet door into an appropriate waste receptacle.
- **TK-UprightCup.** In this task, the Tien Kung robot needs to grasp a cup that is lying on its side. The robot must then execute a 90-degree rotation movement to bring

the cup to an upright position. Finally, it needs to place the upright cup on the table surface gently.

- **AX-TakeCorn.** For this task, the AgileX robot must first use its left hand to locate and open the pot lid. The robot then extends its right hand into the pot to grip the corn. Finally, it needs to carefully lift the corn out of the pot and place it onto a plate.
- **UR-CloseTopWhiteDrawer.** This task is performed by the UR-5e, wherein the robot is required to close the uppermost drawer of a set of stacked white drawers.

For the VLA large-parameter model, we chose the most recently collected tasks to train the model, ensuring that the experimental environment (such as light conditions) is consistent with the conditions at the time of data collection. Unlike the simpler pick-and-place tasks selected in the single-task imitation approaches, we also choose some long-horizon and complex tasks, such as opening a pot lid, removing a potato in the pot, and placing it on a plate, to test the VLA large model, as the VLA large model demonstrates good robustness and generalization.

For RDT-1B [54], which is the 1.2B parameters VLA model for robotic manipulation on the AgileX robot, we test four real-world scenario tasks on the AgileX to justify the performance of models, and these tasks are: placing an apple on a blue plate (**AX-AppleBluePlate**), picking a potato from the pot and placing it (**AX-TakePotato**), packing different bowls (**AX-PackBowl**), and cleaning a plate (**AX-CleanPlate**). For OpenVLA [43], which in-




Fig. 9: Success rates of ACT and BAKU on the RoboMIND. We show the performance of ACT and BAKU across a range of tasks on the Franka, UR5, Tien Kung, and AgileX robots.

involves fine-tuning the Llama 2 model [80] using a large robotic dataset, adapting it for use with the 7-degree-of-freedom (7-DoF) VLA model, we select five real-world tasks on the Franka robot to evaluate the OpenVLA’s performance. These six tasks performed on the Franka robot include: placing bread on a plate (FR-PlaceBreadPlate1), picking up a strawberry from a bowl (FR-PickStrawberryBowl), opening a cap lid (FR-OpenCapLid), opening a drawer by pulling it (FR-SlideOpenDrawer), closing a drawer by pushing it (FR-SlideCloseDrawer).

B. Experiment Results on Single-task Imitation Learning Models

For single-task imitation learning model, such as ACT, which is specifically designed for single-task learning, we train it from scratch using the RobotMind dataset and directly deploy it on the corresponding real-world tasks. Specifically, we employ ACT [94] and BAKU [33] algorithms, adhering to the default model settings recommended in their original publications. These experiments are carried out on 45 tasks, distributed as follows: 15 tasks with the Franka robot, 15 tasks with AgileX, 10 tasks with Tien Kung, and 5 tasks with UR-5e, which are already explained in detail in the Section V-A.

Figure 9 presents the performance of ACT and BAKU across 45 tasks using four types of robots, evaluated in terms of the success rate. In Figure 9, we find that ACT achieve an average success rate of 55.3% across 15 tasks on AgileX, outperforming Franka (30.7%), UR-5e (38.0%), and Tien Kung (34.0%). The experiment results indicate that the ACT algorithm achieves at least one successful task completion on the majority of tasks, which demonstrates the effectiveness of the approach, as well as the accuracy of the visual perception and robotic joint information provided by the RoboMIND. Additionally, ACT also shows promising results on several Tien Kung tasks, including a 60% success rate on TK-CloseDrawerLowerCabinet. These results not only illustrate that ACT shows robust performance in complex dexterous hand manipulation tasks but also underscore the high quality of data gathered in RobotMind. Therefore, we believe that the single-arm, dual-arm, and dexterous hand datasets in the RoboMIND can serve as high-quality training sets to

improve the performance of single-task imitation learning, thereby advancing the development of the entire VLA imitation learning field.

On the other hand, BAKU exhibit lower success rates across most tasks. This discrepancy could be attributed to the use of hyper-parameter settings from the original BAKU paper, which is primarily optimized for simulation environments rather than real-world robotic platforms. The significant gap between simulation and real-world environments underscores the challenges in directly transferring models from simulated settings to physical robots. It highlights the necessity for researchers to carefully fine-tune hyper-parameters and potentially adjust the network architecture to achieve optimal performance in real-world applications. Experiments with other popular algorithms, such as DP (Diffusion Policy) [13], are in progress and the results will be released soon.

C. Experiment Results on Large VLA Models

This section seeks to examine the performance of VLA large-parameter robot model when applied to RoboMIND. We evaluate the performance of two model (RDT-1B [54], OpenVLA [43]) fine-tuned by the demonstrations from RoboMIND in completing various real-world tasks.

Table II shows that the success rate of RDT-1B on the four real-world tasks. We can find that RDT-1B fine-tuned on task-specific expert data from RoboMIND performs markedly better than those attempting direct zero-shot generalization to unseen real-world tasks. Especially, for simple tasks, such as placing an apple on a blue plate, the fine-tuning RDT-1B model results in a significantly high experimental success rate. Meanwhile, we demonstrate the success rates of fine-tuning OpenVLA with expert demonstrations across five real-world tasks in Table III. The results shows that demonstrations from RoboMIND can improve the success rate of OpenVLA across diverse real-world tasks with various actions. Thus, we can conclude that the high-quality demonstrations from RoboMIND can be effectively used to train VLA robot models, significantly improving their performance in real-world tasks.

RDT-1B	Real-world Task			
	AX-AppleBluePlate	AX-TakePotato	AX-PackBowl	AX-CleanPlate
Zero-shot	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10
Fine-tune	8/10	4/10	2/10	2/10

TABLE II: Success rate of four real-world tasks using RDT-1B in Zero-shot and Fine-tune settings.

OpenVLA	Real-world Task				
	FR-PlaceBreadPlate1	FR-PickStrawberryBowl	FR-OpenCapLid	FR-SlideOpenDrawer	FR-SlideCloseDrawer
Zero-shot	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10
Fine-tune	3/10	3/10	2/10	2/10	2/10

TABLE III: Success rate of five real-world tasks using OpenVLA in Zero-shot and Fine-tune settings.

D. Generalization of Large VLA Models

We primarily investigate whether RoboMIND can assist the VLA models in generalizing to a broader range of tasks. To assess the generalization of VLA models, we employ an aggregated dataset sourced from multitask demonstrations for fine-tuning the VLA models. Subsequently, we rigorously evaluate their performance on each individual task to determine the extent of generalization achieved. Especially, for the RDT-1B model, we amalgamate the datasets from four distinct tasks derived from Query 1 to fine-tune the RDT-1B model. Subsequently, we conduct an individual assessment of the model’s success rate on each of the four tasks. Table IV shows that except for the long horizon manipulation task like the AX-CleanPlate task, the RDT-1B model fine-tuned using the multi-task demonstration demonstrate performance that was not inferior to that of the RDT-1B model fine-tuned solely on a individual task.

RDT-1B	Real-world Task			
	AX-AppleBluePlate	AX-TakePotato	AX-PackBowl	AX-CleanPlate
	8/10	4/10	2/10	1/10

TABLE IV: Success rate of four real-world tasks using RDT-1B fine-tuned by the entire four real-world tasks.

Additionally, like RDT-1B model, we employ the same approach to fine-tune the OpenVLA model using five different real-world tasks. Table V shows that despite OpenVLA’s generalization performance being inferior to that of RDT-1B, it nonetheless achieves a comparable task success rate to models fine-tuned on individual datasets for straightforward tasks like FR-PlaceBreadPlate1 and FR-SlideCloseDrawer. In order to further substantiate that our dataset can augment the models’ generalization capabilities, we conduct additional experiments in the supplementary material. These experiments involve fine-tuning both the RDT-1B and OpenVLA models with a broader set of task datasets and subsequently assessing their performance in real-world tasks.

OpenVLA	Real-world Task				
	FR-PlaceBreadPlate1	FR-PickStrawberryBowl	FR-OpenCapLid	FR-SlideOpenDrawer	FR-SlideCloseDrawer
	3/10	2/10	1/10	1/10	2/10

TABLE V: Success rates of five real-world tasks using OpenVLA fine-tuned with the full set of five real-world tasks.

E. Failure Case Analysis on Real-world Experiments

During testing, we record the completion status of each task and categorize failures based on twelve predefined fail-




Fig. 10: Top five failure reasons for each embodiment in the ACT algorithm. The x-axis denotes the proportion for each failure among all unsuccessful test cases. The y-axis denotes different embodiments.

ure modes. We visualize the top five reasons for the ACT algorithm in Figure 10. Our findings are as follows: First, “Inaccurate Positioning” (F1) is the most frequent failure reason across all embodiments, particularly for dual-arm AgileX tasks, where it accounts for 55.6% of failures. This underscores the need to improve position accuracy in complex tasks that require high-level coordination skills. Second, “Object Detachment” (F7) is a primary failure mode for Tien Kung, AgileX, and UR, highlighting the challenges in achieving precise control with ACT-learned policies. Third, failure reasons for single-skill tasks like those in UR are more diverse, whereas long-horizon tasks like those in AgileX predominantly fail due to F1. This suggests that researchers should focus differently on tasks depending on their horizon.

We also attribute the reasons for the VLA large model’s task failures to nine categories. In Figure 11 and Figure 12, we separately present the statistics on the failure reasons for the VLA large model’s single-task performance. Through the statistical results, we can observe that, similar to single-task imitation learning, the primary cause of failure in large model tests of robotic arm tasks is ‘Inaccurate Positioning’ (F1). This also demonstrates that even models with a vast number of parameters can produce inaccurate robotic arm trajectories in real-world settings, resulting in task failure. In RoboMIND, we provide multi-perspective images and depth information that can effectively assist the robot model in learning robot trajectories.

From a data perspective, which is often overlooked by researchers and developers, the reasons for failure provide insights into improving data quality. The collected data frequently fall short of the task designer’s expectations due to




Fig. 11: Failure reasons for OpenVLA in single-task experiment.




Fig. 12: Failure reasons for RDT-1B in single-task experiment.

various factors such as hardware limitations, physical state, external interference, and communication issues. For instance, inaccurate localization may stem from non-random placement of objects in the dataset, despite instructions for random placement. To address this, we can collect additional data from previously neglected locations to better represent the task environment and improve the success rate. Similarly, gripper non-closure is likely due to the data collector moving too quickly when closing the jaws, resulting in insufficient frames being captured. This makes training more challenging. To mitigate this, we can instruct collectors to slow down during jaw closure to ensure adequate data capture. By refining data collection practices, we can enhance the robustness and reliability of the imitation learning algorithms, ultimately leading to better performance in real-world applications.

VI. DISCUSSION AND FUTURE WORK

In this work, we introduce RoboMIND, a large-scale, multi-embodiment dataset for robot manipulation. RoboMIND includes four distinct embodiments, 55k high-quality demonstrations across 279 tasks, 61 objects, and 36 unique skills, all collected through an intelligent data platform with a carefully designed quality assurance process.

We present quantitative analyses of RoboMIND, highlighting its heterogeneous embodiments, diverse episode lengths, broad task coverage, and a wide range of objects drawn from five common scenarios: domestic, industrial, kitchen, office, and retail. We also compare RoboMIND qualitatively with the Open X-Embodiments dataset, considering factors such as uniform settings, multiple viewpoints, and embodiment diversity. These analyses underscore the richness of RoboMIND and its potential to advance research in robot manipulation.

We conduct experiments on four popular imitation learning robot models, assessing their pre-training performance and generalization capabilities on RoboMIND. Our results indicate an urgent need to enhance accurate positioning and precise control in current algorithms, especially for long-horizon tasks. For potential investigations, we suggest that the high-quality, diverse data of RoboMIND is especially suited—but not limited—to fostering cross-embodiment generalization, adapting imitation learning models to downstream tasks, and exploring data augmentation strategies for improved visual and task-level generalization.

Looking ahead, RoboMIND can be further enriched by adding demonstrations of mobile manipulations and format high-level planning annotations. As an ongoing research project, we continue to expand RoboMIND using standardized collection and quality assurance procedures. Therefore, we believe RoboMIND can serve as a ready-to-use dataset and consistently boost progress in embodied AI research.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This dataset and benchmark for robotic arm manipulation tasks represent a complex system engineering effort that required extensive collaboration among numerous researchers across multiple domains. The development of this work would not have been possible without the dedication and expertise of many individuals who contributed their time and knowledge throughout various stages of the project.

We would like to extend our deepest gratitude to the following individuals for their invaluable help in this work: Connor Han, Devin Zhao, Dylan Wang, Emily Chen, Eva Cui, Gasin Wei, Gehrmann Niu, Hailey Huang, Houser Hao, James Zhang, Jack Guo, Jeff Zhang, Jianwei Guo, Jieyu Zhang, Kai Yang, Kora Shu, Leofly Liao, Magian Wang, Prince Guo, Sheljia Xiao, Shuyi Zhang, Yaowen Xu, Yingjuan Tang, Yizhang Liu, Yoan Zhang, and Zehui Liu. We also sincerely appreciate the dedication and effort of numerous contributors who assisted with data collection, quality assurance, annotation, and testing procedures.

Their collective efforts and expertise have been instrumental in making this research possible. We sincerely appreciate their commitment to advancing the field of robotic manipulation through this collaborative endeavor.

This work was in part supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (62476011).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

- **Project Leaders:** Zhengping Che and Xiaozhu Ju

- **Project Coordinators:** Kun Wu, Zhuqin Yang, Chengkai Hou, and Jiaming Liu
- **Data Collection and Processing:** Zhiyuan Xu, Guang Yang, Zhen Zhao, Guangyu Li, Zhao Jin, Lecheng Wang, Kun Wu, Meng Li, and Pei Ren
- **Dataset Annotation:** Yulin Luo, Zeyu Gao, Zhenyu Wang, and Sixiang Qian
- **Algorithm Development:**
 - ACT: Kun Wu
 - BAKU: Meng Li
 - Diffusion Policy: Jilei Mao
 - OpenVLA: Yaoxu Lyu, Xingyu Wang, Chenxuan Li, Chenyang Gu, and Yankai Fu
 - RDT-1B: Di Wu, Jingyang He, Sixiang Chen, and Zeyu Gao
- **Initial Drafting:** Kun Wu, Chengkai Hou, Jiaming Liu, Meng Li, YINUO Zhao, and Mengzhen Liu
- **Project Support:** Zhuqin Yang, Kun Wu, Chengkai Hou, Jiaming Liu, YINUO Zhao, Ning Liu, Xinhua Wang, Shichao Fan, Pei Ren, Mengzhen Liu, and Pengju An
- **Project Advisors:** Jian Tang and Shanghang Zhang

REFERENCES

- [1] Josh Achiam, Steven Adler, Sandhini Agarwal, Lama Ahmad, Ilge Akkaya, Florencia Leoni Aleman, Diogo Almeida, Janko Altenschmidt, Sam Altman, Shyamal Anadkat, et al. Gpt-4 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08774*, 2023.
- [2] Michael Ahn, Anthony Brohan, Noah Brown, Yevgen Chebotar, Omar Cortes, Byron David, Chelsea Finn, Chuyuan Fu, Keerthana Gopalakrishnan, Karol Hausman, et al. Do as i can, not as i say: Grounding language in robotic affordances. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.01691*, 2022.
- [3] OpenAI: Marcin Andrychowicz, Bowen Baker, Maciek Chociej, Rafal Jozefowicz, Bob McGrew, Jakub Pachocki, Arthur Petron, Matthias Plappert, Glenn Powell, Alex Ray, et al. Learning dexterous in-hand manipulation. *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, 39(1):3–20, 2020.
- [4] Anas Awadalla, Irena Gao, Josh Gardner, Jack Hessel, Yusuf Hanafy, Wanrong Zhu, Kalyani Marathe, Yonatan Bitton, Samir Gadre, Shiori Sagawa, et al. Openflamingo: An open-source framework for training large autoregressive vision-language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.01390*, 2023.
- [5] Shikhar Bahl, Russell Mendonca, Lili Chen, Unnat Jain, and Deepak Pathak. Affordances from human videos as a versatile representation for robotics. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 13778–13790, 2023.
- [6] Homanga Bharadhwaj, Jay Vakil, Mohit Sharma, Abhinav Gupta, Shubham Tulsiani, and Vikash Kumar. Roboagent: Generalization and efficiency in robot manipulation via semantic augmentations and action chunking. In *2024 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 4788–4795. IEEE, 2024.
- [7] Anthony Brohan, Noah Brown, Justice Carbajal, Yevgen Chebotar, Joseph Dabis, Chelsea Finn, Keerthana Gopalakrishnan, Karol Hausman, Alex Herzog, Jasmine Hsu, et al. Rt-1: Robotics transformer for real-world control at scale. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.06817*, 2022.
- [8] Serkan Cabi, Sergio Gómez Colmenarejo, Alexander Novikov, Ksenia Konyushkova, Scott Reed, Rae Jeong, Konrad Zolna, Yusuf Aytar, David Budden, Mel Vecerik, et al. Scaling data-driven robotics with reward sketching and batch reinforcement learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.12200*, 2019.
- [9] The Beijing Humanoid Robot Innovation Center. X-humanoid tien kung, 2024. URL <https://x-humanoid.com/>.
- [10] Angel Chang, Angela Dai, Thomas Funkhouser, Maciej Halber, Matthias Niessner, Manolis Savva, Shuran Song, Andy Zeng, and Yinda Zhang. Matterport3d: Learning from rgb-d data in indoor environments. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1709.06158*, 2017.
- [11] Chi-Lam Cheang, Guangzeng Chen, Ya Jing, Tao Kong, Hang Li, Yifeng Li, Yuxiao Liu, Hongtao Wu, Jiafeng Xu, Yichu Yang, et al. Gr-2: A generative video-language-action model with web-scale knowledge for robot manipulation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.06158*, 2024.
- [12] Cheng Chi, Siyuan Feng, Yilun Du, Zhenjia Xu, Eric Cousineau, Benjamin Burchfiel, and Shuran Song. Diffusion policy: Visuomotor policy learning via action diffusion. *RSS*, 2023.
- [13] Cheng Chi, Zhenjia Xu, Siyuan Feng, Eric Cousineau, Yilun Du, Benjamin Burchfiel, Russ Tedrake, and Shuran Song. Diffusion policy: Visuomotor policy learning via action diffusion. *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, page 02783649241273668, 2023.
- [14] Paul F Christiano, Jan Leike, Tom Brown, Miljan Martic, Shane Legg, and Dario Amodei. Deep reinforcement learning from human preferences. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30, 2017.
- [15] Erwin Coumans and Yunfei Bai. Pybullet, a python module for physics simulation for games, robotics and machine learning. 2016.
- [16] Dima Damen, Hazel Doughty, Giovanni Maria Farinella, Sanja Fidler, Antonino Furnari, Evangelos Kazakos, Davide Moltisanti, Jonathan Munro, Toby Perrett, Will Price, et al. Scaling egocentric vision: The epic-kitchens dataset. In *Proceedings of the European conference on computer vision (ECCV)*, pages 720–736, 2018.
- [17] Dima Damen, Hazel Doughty, Giovanni Maria Farinella, Antonino Furnari, Evangelos Kazakos, Jian Ma, Davide Moltisanti, Jonathan Munro, Toby Perrett, Will Price, et al. Rescaling egocentric vision: Collection, pipeline and challenges for epic-kitchens-100. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, pages 1–23, 2022.
- [18] Sudeep Dasari, Frederik Ebert, Stephen Tian, Suraj Nair, Bernadette Bucher, Karl Schmeckpeper, Siddharth Singh, Sergey Levine, and Chelsea Finn. Robonet: Large-scale multi-robot learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.11215*, 2019.
- [19] Sudeep Dasari, Frederik Ebert, Stephen Tian, Suraj Nair, Bernadette Bucher, Karl Schmeckpeper, Siddharth Singh, Sergey Levine, and Chelsea Finn. Robonet: Large-scale multi-robot learning. In *Conference on Robot Learning*, pages 885–897. PMLR, 2020.
- [20] Mingdi Deng, Zhijun Li, Yu Kang, CL Philip Chen, and Xiaoli Chu. A learning-based hierarchical control scheme for an exoskeleton robot in human-robot cooperative manipulation. *IEEE transactions on cybernetics*, 50(1): 112–125, 2018.
- [21] Danny Driess, Fei Xia, Mehdi SM Sajjadi, Corey Lynch, Aakanksha Chowdhery, Brian Ichter, Ayzaan Wahid, Jonathan Tompson, Quan Vuong, Tianhe Yu, et al. Palm-e: An embodied multimodal language model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.03378*, 2023.
- [22] Frederik Ebert, Yanlai Yang, Karl Schmeckpeper, Bernadette Bucher, Georgios Georgakis, Kostas Daniilidis, Chelsea Finn, and Sergey Levine. Bridge data:

- Boosting generalization of robotic skills with cross-domain datasets. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.13396*, 2021.
- [23] Ben Eisner, Harry Zhang, and David Held. Flowbot3d: Learning 3d articulation flow to manipulate articulated objects. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.04382*, 2022.
- [24] Hao-Shu Fang, Chenxi Wang, Hongjie Fang, Minghao Gou, Jirong Liu, Hengxu Yan, Wenhai Liu, Yichen Xie, and Cewu Lu. Anygrasp: Robust and efficient grasp perception in spatial and temporal domains. *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, 2023.
- [25] Hao-Shu Fang, Hongjie Fang, Zhenyu Tang, Jirong Liu, Chenxi Wang, Junbo Wang, Haoyi Zhu, and Cewu Lu. Rh20t: A comprehensive robotic dataset for learning diverse skills in one-shot. In *2024 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 653–660. IEEE, 2024.
- [26] Samir Yitzhak Gadre, Gabriel Ilharco, Alex Fang, Jonathan Hayase, Georgios Smyrnis, Thao Nguyen, Ryan Marten, Mitchell Wortsman, Dhruva Ghosh, Jieyu Zhang, et al. Datacomp: In search of the next generation of multimodal datasets. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024.
- [27] Theophile Gervet, Zhou Xian, Nikolaos Gkanatsios, and Katerina Fragkiadaki. Act3d: 3d feature field transformers for multi-task robotic manipulation. In *7th Annual Conference on Robot Learning*, 2023.
- [28] Franka Robotics GmbH. Franka robotics, 2024. URL <https://franka.de/>.
- [29] Ankit Goyal, Jie Xu, Yijie Guo, Valts Blukis, Yu-Wei Chao, and Dieter Fox. Rvt: Robotic view transformer for 3d object manipulation. In *Conference on Robot Learning*, pages 694–710. PMLR, 2023.
- [30] Raghav Goyal, Samira Ebrahimi Kahou, Vincent Michalski, Joanna Materzynska, Susanne Westphal, Heuna Kim, Valentin Haenel, Ingo Fruend, Peter Yianilos, Moritz Mueller-Freitag, et al. The” something something” video database for learning and evaluating visual common sense. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 5842–5850, 2017.
- [31] Kristen Grauman, Andrew Westbury, Eugene Byrne, Zachary Chavis, Antonino Furnari, Rohit Girdhar, Jackson Hamburger, Hao Jiang, Miao Liu, Xingyu Liu, et al. Ego4d: Around the world in 3,000 hours of egocentric video. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 18995–19012, 2022.
- [32] Abhinav Gupta, Adithyavairavan Murali, Dhiraj Prakashchand Gandhi, and Lerrel Pinto. Robot learning in homes: Improving generalization and reducing dataset bias. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 31, 2018.
- [33] Siddhant Haldar, Zhuoran Peng, and Lerrel Pinto. Baku: An efficient transformer for multi-task policy learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.07539*, 2024.
- [34] Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. *NeurIPS*, 2020.
- [35] <https://sharegpt.com/>. Sharegpt. 2023. URL <https://sharegpt.com/>.
- [36] Wenlong Huang, Chen Wang, Ruohan Zhang, Yunzhu Li, Jiajun Wu, and Li Fei-Fei. Voxposer: Composable 3d value maps for robotic manipulation with language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.05973*, 2023.
- [37] Eric Jang, Alex Irpan, Mohi Khansari, Daniel Kappler, Frederik Ebert, Corey Lynch, Sergey Levine, and Chelsea Finn. Bc-z: Zero-shot task generalization with robotic imitation learning. In *Conference on Robot Learning*, pages 991–1002. PMLR, 2022.
- [38] Shirin Joshi, Sulabh Kumra, and Ferat Sahin. Robotic grasping using deep reinforcement learning. In *2020 IEEE 16th International Conference on Automation Science and Engineering (CASE)*, pages 1461–1466. IEEE, 2020.
- [39] Dmitry Kalashnikov, Jacob Varley, Yevgen Chebotar, Benjamin Swanson, Rico Jonschkowski, Chelsea Finn, Sergey Levine, and Karol Hausman. Mt-opt: Continuous multi-task robotic reinforcement learning at scale. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.08212*, 2021.
- [40] Aditya Kannan, Kenneth Shaw, Shikhar Bahl, Pragna Mannam, and Deepak Pathak. Deft: Dexterous fine-tuning for real-world hand policies. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.19797*, 2023.
- [41] Tsung-Wei Ke, Nikolaos Gkanatsios, and Katerina Fragkiadaki. 3d diffuser actor: Policy diffusion with 3d scene representations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.10885*, 2024.
- [42] Alexander Khazatsky, Karl Pertsch, Suraj Nair, Ashwin Balakrishna, Sudeep Dasari, Siddharth Karamcheti, Soroush Nasiriany, Mohan Kumar Srirama, Lawrence Yunliang Chen, Kirsty Ellis, et al. Droid: A large-scale in-the-wild robot manipulation dataset. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.12945*, 2024.
- [43] Moo Jin Kim, Karl Pertsch, Siddharth Karamcheti, Ted Xiao, Ashwin Balakrishna, Suraj Nair, Rafael Rafailov, Ethan Foster, Grace Lam, Pannag Sanketi, et al. Openvla: An open-source vision-language-action model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.09246*, 2024.
- [44] Nathan Koenig and Andrew Howard. Design and use paradigms for gazebo, an open-source multi-robot simulator. In *IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, volume 3, pages 2149–2154, 2004.
- [45] Eric Kolve, Roozbeh Mottaghi, Daniel Gordon, Yuke Zhu, Abhinav Gupta, and Ali Farhadi. Ai2-thor: An interactive 3d environment for visual ai. *arXiv: Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, arXiv: Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, Dec 2017.
- [46] Sergey Levine, Peter Pastor, Alex Krizhevsky, Julian Ibarz, and Deirdre Quillen. Learning hand-eye coordination for robotic grasping with deep learning and large-scale data collection. *The International journal of robotics research*, 37(4-5):421–436, 2018.
- [47] Jeffrey Li, Alex Fang, Georgios Smyrnis, Maor Ivgi,

- Matt Jordan, Samir Gadre, Hritik Bansal, Etash Guha, Sedrick Keh, Kushal Arora, Saurabh Garg, Rui Xin, Niklas Muennighoff, Reinhard Heckel, Jean Mercat, Mayee Chen, Suchin Gururangan, Mitchell Wortsman, Alon Albalak, Yonatan Bitton, Marianna Nezhurina, Amro Abbas, Cheng-Yu Hsieh, Dhruva Ghosh, Josh Gardner, Maciej Kilian, Hanlin Zhang, Rulin Shao, Sarah Pratt, Sunny Sanyal, Gabriel Ilharco, Giannis Daras, Kalyani Marathe, Aaron Gokaslan, Jieyu Zhang, Khyathi Chandu, Thao Nguyen, Igor Vasiljevic, Sham Kakade, Shuran Song, Sujay Sanghavi, Fartash Faghri, Sewoong Oh, Luke Zettlemoyer, Kyle Lo, Alaaeldin El-Nouby, Hadi Pouransari, Alexander Toshev, Stephanie Wang, Dirk Groeneveld, Luca Soldaini, Pang Wei Koh, Jenia Jitsev, Thomas Kollar, Alexandros G. Dimakis, Yair Carmon, Achal Dave, Ludwig Schmidt, and Vaishaal Shankar. Datacomp-lm: In search of the next generation of training sets for language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.11794*, 2024.
- [48] Qixiu Li, Yaobo Liang, Zeyu Wang, Lin Luo, Xi Chen, Mozheng Liao, Fangyun Wei, Yu Deng, Sicheng Xu, Yizhong Zhang, et al. Cogact: A foundational vision-language-action model for synergizing cognition and action in robotic manipulation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.19650*, 2024.
- [49] Xiaoqi Li, Mingxu Zhang, Yiran Geng, Haoran Geng, Yuxing Long, Yan Shen, Renrui Zhang, Jiaming Liu, and Hao Dong. Manipllm: Embodied multimodal large language model for object-centric robotic manipulation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.16217*, 2023.
- [50] Xinghang Li, Minghuan Liu, Hanbo Zhang, Cunjun Yu, Jie Xu, Hongtao Wu, Chilam Cheang, Ya Jing, Weinan Zhang, Huaping Liu, et al. Vision-language foundation models as effective robot imitators. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.01378*, 2023.
- [51] Bin Lin, Yang Ye, Bin Zhu, Jiayi Cui, Munan Ning, Peng Jin, and Li Yuan. Video-llava: Learning united visual representation by alignment before projection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.10122*, 2023.
- [52] Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Qingyang Wu, and Yong Jae Lee. Visual instruction tuning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.08485*, 2023.
- [53] Jiaming Liu, Mengzhen Liu, Zhenyu Wang, Lily Lee, Kaichen Zhou, Pengju An, Senqiao Yang, Renrui Zhang, Yandong Guo, and Shanghang Zhang. Robomamba: Multimodal state space model for efficient robot reasoning and manipulation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.04339*, 2024.
- [54] Songming Liu, Lingxuan Wu, Bangguo Li, Hengkai Tan, Huayu Chen, Zhengyi Wang, Ke Xu, Hang Su, and Jun Zhu. Rdt-1b: a diffusion foundation model for bimanual manipulation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.07864*, 2024.
- [55] Viktor Makoviychuk, Lukasz Wawrzyniak, Yunrong Guo, Michelle Lu, Kier Storey, Miles Macklin, David Hoeller, Nikita Rudin, Arthur Allshire, Ankur Handa, et al. Isaac gym: High performance gpu-based physics simulation for robot learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.10470*, 2021.
- [56] Priyanka Mandikal and Kristen Grauman. Learning dexterous grasping with object-centric visual affordances. In *2021 IEEE international conference on robotics and automation (ICRA)*, pages 6169–6176. IEEE, 2021.
- [57] Priyanka Mandikal and Kristen Grauman. Dexvip: Learning dexterous grasping with human hand pose priors from video. In *Conference on Robot Learning*, pages 651–661. PMLR, 2022.
- [58] Ajay Mandlekar, Yuke Zhu, Animesh Garg, Jonathan Booher, Max Spero, Albert Tung, Julian Gao, John Emmons, Anchit Gupta, Emre Orbay, et al. Roboturk: A crowdsourcing platform for robotic skill learning through imitation. In *Conference on Robot Learning*, pages 879–893. PMLR, 2018.
- [59] Junhua Mao, Jonathan Huang, Alexander Toshev, Oana Camburu, Alan L Yuille, and Kevin Murphy. Generation and comprehension of unambiguous object descriptions. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 11–20, 2016.
- [60] Oier Mees, Lukas Hermann, Erick Rosete-Beas, and Wolfram Burgard. Calvin: A benchmark for language-conditioned policy learning for long-horizon robot manipulation tasks. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 7(3):7327–7334, 2022.
- [61] Kaichun Mo, Leonidas J Guibas, Mustafa Mukadam, Abhinav Gupta, and Shubham Tulsiani. Where2act: From pixels to actions for articulated 3d objects. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 6813–6823, 2021.
- [62] Suraj Nair, Aravind Rajeswaran, Vikash Kumar, Chelsea Finn, and Abhinav Gupta. R3m: A universal visual representation for robot manipulation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.12601*, 2022.
- [63] NVIDIA. Nvidia isaac sim: Robotics simulation and synthetic data, 2023. URL <https://developer.nvidia.com/isaac-sim>.
- [64] Abby O’Neill, Abdul Rehman, Abhinav Gupta, Abhiram Maddukuri, Abhishek Gupta, Abhishek Padalkar, Abraham Lee, Acorn Pooley, Agrim Gupta, Ajay Mandlekar, et al. Open x-embodiment: Robotic learning datasets and rt-x models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.08864*, 2023.
- [65] Long Ouyang, Jeffrey Wu, Xu Jiang, Diogo Almeida, Carroll Wainwright, Pamela Mishkin, Chong Zhang, Sandhini Agarwal, Katarina Slama, Alex Ray, et al. Training language models to follow instructions with human feedback. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 35:27730–27744, 2022.
- [66] Tim Pearce, Tabish Rashid, Anssi Kanervisto, Dave Bignell, Mingfei Sun, Raluca Georgescu, Sergio Valcarcel Macua, Shan Zheng Tan, Ida Momennejad, Katja Hofmann, et al. Imitating human behaviour with diffusion models. *ICLR*, 2023.
- [67] Lerrel Pinto and Abhinav Gupta. Supersizing self-supervision: Learning to grasp from 50k tries and 700

- robot hours. In *2016 IEEE international conference on robotics and automation (ICRA)*, pages 3406–3413. IEEE, 2016.
- [68] Moritz Reuss, Maximilian Li, Xiaogang Jia, and Rudolf Lioutikov. Goal-conditioned imitation learning using score-based diffusion policies. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.02532*, 2023.
- [69] AgileX Robotics. Agilex cobot magic, 2024. URL <https://global.agilex.ai/products/cobot-magic>.
- [70] Universal Robots. Universal robots ur-5e, 2024. URL <https://www.universal-robots.com/products/ur5e/>.
- [71] Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2022.
- [72] Manolis Savva, Abhishek Kadian, Oleksandr Maksymets, Yili Zhao, Erik Wijmans, Bhavana Jain, Julian Straub, Jia Liu, Vladlen Koltun, Jitendra Malik, Devi Parikh, and Dhruv Batra. Habitat: A platform for embodied ai research. In *IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, Oct 2019.
- [73] Pierre Sermanet, Tianli Ding, Jeffrey Zhao, Fei Xia, Debidatta Dwibedi, Keerthana Gopalakrishnan, Christine Chan, Gabriel Dulac-Arnold, Sharath Maddineni, Nikhil J Joshi, et al. Robovqa: Multimodal long-horizon reasoning for robotics. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.00899*, 2023.
- [74] Nur Muhammad Mahi Shafiullah, Anant Rai, Haritheja Etukuru, Yiqian Liu, Ishan Misra, Soumith Chintala, and Lerrel Pinto. On bringing robots home. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.16098*, 2023.
- [75] Pratyusha Sharma, Lekha Mohan, Lerrel Pinto, and Abhinav Gupta. Multiple interactions made easy (mime): Large scale demonstrations data for imitation. In *Conference on robot learning*, pages 906–915. PMLR, 2018.
- [76] Mohit Shridhar, Lucas Manuelli, and Dieter Fox. Perceiver-actor: A multi-task transformer for robotic manipulation. In *Conference on Robot Learning*, pages 785–799. PMLR, 2023.
- [77] Jiaming Song, Chenlin Meng, and Stefano Ermon. Denoising diffusion implicit models. *ICLR*, 2021.
- [78] Gemini Team, Rohan Anil, Sebastian Borgeaud, Jean-Baptiste Alayrac, Jiahui Yu, Radu Soricut, Johan Schalkwyk, Andrew M Dai, Anja Hauth, Katie Millican, et al. Gemini: a family of highly capable multimodal models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.11805*, 2023.
- [79] Octo Model Team, Dibya Ghosh, Homer Walke, Karl Pertsch, Kevin Black, Oier Mees, Sudeep Dasari, Joey Hejna, Tobias Kreiman, Charles Xu, et al. Octo: An open-source generalist robot policy. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.12213*, 2024.
- [80] Hugo Touvron, Louis Martin, Kevin Stone, Peter Albert, Amjad Almahairi, Yasmine Babaei, Nikolay Bashlykov, Soumya Batra, Prajjwal Bhargava, Shrutu Bhosale, et al. Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.09288*, 2023.
- [81] Homer Rich Walke, Kevin Black, Tony Z Zhao, Quan Vuong, Chongyi Zheng, Philippe Hansen-Estruch, Andre Wang He, Vivek Myers, Moo Jin Kim, Max Du, et al. Bridgedata v2: A dataset for robot learning at scale. In *Conference on Robot Learning*, pages 1723–1736. PMLR, 2023.
- [82] Weihang Wang, Qingsong Lv, Wenmeng Yu, Wenyi Hong, Ji Qi, Yan Wang, Junhui Ji, Zhuoyi Yang, Lei Zhao, Xixuan Song, et al. Cogvlm: Visual expert for pretrained language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.03079*, 2023.
- [83] Zhiqiang Wang, Hao Zheng, Yunshuang Nie, Wenjun Xu, Qingwei Wang, Hua Ye, Zhe Li, Kaidong Zhang, Xuewen Cheng, Wanxi Dong, et al. All robots in one: A new standard and unified dataset for versatile, general-purpose embodied agents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.10899*, 2024.
- [84] Junjie Wen, Yichen Zhu, Jinming Li, Minjie Zhu, Kun Wu, Zhiyuan Xu, Ning Liu, Ran Cheng, Chaomin Shen, Yaxin Peng, et al. Tinyvla: Towards fast, data-efficient vision-language-action models for robotic manipulation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.12514*, 2024.
- [85] Kun Wu, Ning Liu, Zhen Zhao, Di Qiu, Jinming Li, Zhengping Che, Zhiyuan Xu, Qinru Qiu, and Jian Tang. Swbt: Similarity weighted behavior transformer with the imperfect demonstration for robotic manipulation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.08957*, 2024.
- [86] Kun Wu, Yichen Zhu, Jinming Li, Junjie Wen, Ning Liu, Zhiyuan Xu, Qinru Qiu, and Jian Tang. Discrete policy: Learning disentangled action space for multi-task robotic manipulation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.18707*, 2024.
- [87] Philipp Wu, Yide Shentu, Zhongke Yi, Xingyu Lin, and Pieter Abbeel. Gello: A general, low-cost, and intuitive teleoperation framework for robot manipulators. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.13037*, 2023.
- [88] Yueh-Hua Wu, Jiashun Wang, and Xiaolong Wang. Learning generalizable dexterous manipulation from human grasp affordance. In *Conference on Robot Learning*, pages 618–629. PMLR, 2023.
- [89] Fanbo Xiang, Yuzhe Qin, Kaichun Mo, Yikuan Xia, Hao Zhu, Fangchen Liu, Minghua Liu, Hanxiao Jiang, Yifu Yuan, He Wang, Li Yi, Angel X. Chang, Leonidas J. Guibas, and Hao Su. Sapien: A simulated part-based interactive environment. In *IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, Jun 2020.
- [90] Denis Yarats, Rob Fergus, Alessandro Lazaric, and Lerrel Pinto. Mastering visual continuous control: Improved data-augmented reinforcement learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.09645*, 2021.
- [91] Maryam Zare, Parham M Kebria, Abbas Khosravi, and Saeid Nahavandi. A survey of imitation learning: Algorithms, recent developments, and challenges. *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, 2024.
- [92] Yanjie Ze, Gu Zhang, Kangning Zhang, Chenyuan Hu,

Muhan Wang, and Huazhe Xu. 3d diffusion policy: Generalizable visuomotor policy learning via simple 3d representations. In *ICRA 2024 Workshop on 3D Visual Representations for Robot Manipulation*, 2024.

- [93] Tianle Zhang, Dongjiang Li, Yihang Li, Zecui Zeng, Lin Zhao, Lei Sun, Yue Chen, Xuelong Wei, Yibing Zhan, Lusong Li, et al. Empowering embodied manipulation: A bimanual-mobile robot manipulation dataset for household tasks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.18860*, 2024.
- [94] Tony Z Zhao, Vikash Kumar, Sergey Levine, and Chelsea Finn. Learning fine-grained bimanual manipulation with low-cost hardware. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.13705*, 2023.
- [95] Brianna Zitkovich, Tianhe Yu, Sichun Xu, Peng Xu, Ted Xiao, Fei Xia, Jialin Wu, Paul Wohlhart, Stefan Welker, Ayzaan Wahid, et al. Rt-2: Vision-language-action models transfer web knowledge to robotic control. In *7th Annual Conference on Robot Learning*, 2023.