

# CRM: Retrieval Model with Controllable Condition

Chi Liu  
Kuaishou Technology, Beijing, China  
liuchi05@kuaishou.com

Jiangxia Cao  
Kuaishou Technology, Beijing, China  
caojiangxia@kuaishou.com

Rui Huang  
Kuaishou Technology, Beijing, China  
huangrui06@kuaishou.com

Kuo Cai  
Kuaishou Technology, Beijing, China  
caikuo@kuaishou.com

Weifeng Ding  
Kuaishou Technology, Beijing, China  
dingweifeng@kuaishou.com

Qiang Luo  
Kuaishou Technology, Beijing, China  
luoqiang@kuaishou.com

Kun Gai  
Unaffiliated  
gai.kun@qq.com

Guorui Zhou  
Kuaishou Technology, Beijing, China  
zhouguorui@kuaishou.com

## ABSTRACT

Recommendation systems (RecSys) are designed to connect users with relevant items from a vast pool of candidates while aligning with the business goals of the platform. A typical industrial RecSys is composed of two main stages, retrieval and ranking: (1) the retrieval stage aims at searching hundreds of item candidates satisfied user interests; (2) based on the retrieved items, the ranking stage aims at selecting the best dozen items by multiple targets estimation for each item candidate, including classification and regression targets. Compared with ranking model, the retrieval model absence of item candidate information during inference, therefore retrieval models are often trained by classification target only (e.g., click-through rate), but failed to incorporate regression target (e.g., the expected watch-time), which limit the effectiveness of retrieval.

In this paper, we propose the Controllable Retrieval Model (CRM), which integrates regression information as conditional features into the two-tower retrieval paradigm. This modification enables the retrieval stage could fulfill the target gap with ranking model, enhancing the retrieval model ability to search item candidates satisfied the user interests and condition effectively. We validate the effectiveness of CRM through real-world A/B testing and demonstrate its successful deployment in Kuaishou's short-video recommendation system, which serves over 400 million users.

## CCS CONCEPTS

• Information systems → Recommender systems.

## KEYWORDS

Short-Video Recommendation; Reinforcement Learning;

## ACM Reference Format:

Chi Liu, Jiangxia Cao, Rui Huang, Kuo Cai, Weifeng Ding, Qiang Luo, Kun Gai, and Guorui Zhou. 2024. CRM: Retrieval Model with Controllable

Condition. In *Proceedings of ACM Conference (Conference'17)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 5 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn>

## 1 INTRODUCTION


Recommendation systems play a pivotal role across many platforms, including short-video sharing platforms such as Kuaishou [11], Douyin [21], and Google [13], benefiting billions of users worldwide. These systems aim to efficiently match users with the right items while aligning with platform goals, thereby achieving a positive environment for users, creators, and the platform. To capture user interests precisely, the industrial RecSys always optimized by multiple targets [15, 16], such as classification target (e.g., click, comment) and regression target (e.g., watch-time). By considering these diverse targets, RecSys could select the most related items from a pool of tens of millions of item pool for users.

The typical recommendation architecture is typically divided into two stages, retrieval [6] and ranking [4]: (1) the retrieval stage, where hundreds of candidates are selected from a corpus of millions of items, (2) the ranking stage, where the retrieved hundreds items are multi-target scored one by one to determined the best dozens to users (as shown in Figure 1(a) and (b)). Compared with ranking model, the retrieval stage often uses the two-tower training paradigm [7, 17], where user/item features are independently encoded by user/item tower, and next utilizing a classification task to optimize model. On the one hand, benefit by the two-tower architecture, we could achieve a efficient retrieval in inference since only the user tower needed re-computation for each recommendation request. On the other hand, the two-tower architecture also seriously limits the retrieval model's ability to support multi-targets training, especially the regression targets. To fulfill the multiple-targets gap in classification targets [5], a common strategies is introducing multi-route models in retrieval stage, where each route model focuses on a specific target, and then aggregated these results for latter ranking stage.

Despite these advances, an important problem still unresolved: how to introduce the regression target into retrieval model? Actually, the regression targets (e.g., expected watch time) should require knowledge of the corresponding item to be determined. This is because the expected watch time lacks a common consensus of 'good' or 'bad', it depends on the video's quality and the user's watch habits at same time, making it challenging to infer solely from user-side information only. Such phenomenon leading

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from [permissions@acm.org](mailto:permissions@acm.org).  
*Conference'17, July 2017, Washington, DC, USA*

© 2024 Association for Computing Machinery.  
ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-xxxx-x/YY/MM...\$15.00  
<https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn>



**Figure 1: Illustration of RecSys chain: (a) Standard two-tower retrieval model with classification task only; (b) the classification and regression targets based ranking model; (c) our controllable retrieval paradigm involves the condition.**

a consistency problem between retrieval and ranking stages and constraining the performance of RecSys chain.

In this paper, we propose the Controllable Retrieval Model (CRM), a novel retrieval paradigm that incorporates regression information as an additional condition to guide the model. This approach enables the simultaneous utilization of both classification and regression signals, as illustrated in Figure 1(c). Specifically, during training, regression condition is incorporated as features in the user tower to generate directional user representations. During online inference, condition is strategically set to guide the retrieval process to align with our platform goals. In our implementation, we give two simple versions: the first is naive CRM and the second is decision transformer CRM from reinforce learning (RL) perspective [3].

Our contributions are as follows:

- We propose a new paradigm for designing retrieval models by incorporating regression information as a condition, enhancing the consistency between the retrieval and ranking stages, and inspiring advancements in recommendation systems.
- We introduce two simple yet effective methods for implementing CRM: one involves improving the two-tower architecture, and the other incorporates sequence modeling, providing a reference for others adopting CRM.
- We present a novel and effective strategy for selecting conditions, validated in Kuaishou’s largest short video recommendation scenario.

## 2 PRELIMINARY

This section briefly review the: (1) the two-tower retrieval workflow; (2) the RL-based decision transformer for sequence modeling.

### 2.1 Two-Tower Retrieval Workflow

Generally, the retrieval task aims utilizing the user/item feature to model user preference and item attributes to predict the next video that user are likely to interact with. For example,  $(x_1, w_1), \dots, (x_n, w_n)$  represents the IDs and watch times of videos recently viewed by a user, passed to the user tower as part of UserFea, while  $x_{n+1}$  represents the ID of the next video viewed as part of ItemFea. The two-tower backbone first employ the user/item side information to generate their representations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &= \text{User\_Tower}(\text{UserFea}), \\ \mathbf{v} &= \text{Item\_Tower}(\text{ItemFea}), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where User\_Tower and Item\_Tower can be any arbitrary networks, e.g., multi-layer MLPs, and the UserFea/ItemFea are user/item side features. In training, we could maximize the following likelihood to optimize user and item representations as follows (here we take the long-view prediction as example):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= -\log(\hat{y}^{lvtr}), \\ \text{where } \hat{y}^{lvtr} &= \text{In-batch-Softmax}(\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{u}), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where  $\hat{y}^{lvtr} \in \mathbb{R}$  means the estimated classification score.

Benefit from two-tower setting, in inference, we could cache all item representations as  $\mathbf{V}$ , then calculate the User\_Tower to obtain real-time user representation  $\mathbf{u}$  only for a fast retrieval:

$$\{\hat{x}_{n+1}^1, \hat{x}_{n+1}^2, \hat{x}_{n+1}^3, \dots\} = \text{ANN}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{V}) \quad (3)$$


where ANN denotes approximate nearest neighbor (ANN) search operator [8], the  $\hat{x}_{n+1}^i$  means the retrieved small group item candidates.

### 2.2 Decision Transformer in RL

RL aims to control an agent to conduct actions to maximum cumulative reward in an given state and environment [9]. Actually, the RL idea is closely related with our CRM: based on observed **interaction sequence (state)**, to find next **item (action)** under the **regression condition (reward)** for each **user (environment)**. In offline RL filed (does not considering the environment factor), the Decision Transformer (DT [3]) is the pioneer work for sequence modeling, which aims to make action decision based on past reward and state sequence directly. as shown in Figure 2(a), the DT constructs a sequence with three elements: (1) reward-to-go  $R_i$ , (2) state representation  $\mathbf{s}_i$ , (3) action  $a_i$ , for sequence modeling:

$$a_{n+1} = \text{DT}(R_1, \mathbf{s}_1, a_1, R_2, \mathbf{s}_2, a_2, \dots, R_{n+1}, \mathbf{s}_{n+1}), \quad (4)$$

where the DT is a multi-layer Transformers with casual mask mechanism, the  $a_{n+1}$  is the predicted next action, the  $R_i = \sum_{j=i}^{n+1} r_j$  denotes multi-step cumulative rewards, and the  $r_i$  is the single-step reward from action  $a_i$ . It is worth noting that the special reward-to-go token  $R_i$  is crucial for DT to encourage the model to simulate a RL Q-learning function [12].



**Figure 2: A toy example of casual masked decision transformer input sequence construction, which includes three types of tokens: reward-to-go, state and actions. In recommendation, the state (interaction sequence) is consist by a series of actions (items), thus we only consider two types of input tokens: the watch-time-to-go and items.**

### 3 METHODOLOGY

In this section, we dive into CRM to show that how it works. Specifically, for better understanding, **we utilize the next video’s watch-time as condition information to express our CRM.**

#### 3.1 Offline Training Strategy

We have implemented two approaches for CRM: the first is a naive DNN-based two-tower paradigm, which is simple to implement and can be integrated into existing models with minimal modifications; the second is a transformer-based paradigm, which offers stronger sequence modeling capabilities.

**3.1.1 DNN-based Controllable Model.** Figure 1(c) describes the simple variant, which aims to enable the model to perceive the extra condition information to direct the user representation searching related short-videos. In the model training, we directly input the **observed next video’s watch time  $w_{n+1}$  as a condition** to the user tower, allowing the model to learn the joint distribution of watch time and the target video:

$$\mathbf{u}^{\text{Condition}} = \text{CRM\_User\_Tower}(\text{UserFea}_u, w_{n+1}), \quad (5)$$

where the  $\mathbf{u}^{\text{Condition}}$  denotes the user representation enhanced with watch-time condition information, and next, we can optimize our CRM model by Eq.(2) in the same manner as the two-tower model. The model-agnostic modification could equipped to the common two-tower method seamlessly.

**3.1.2 Transformer-based Controllable Model.** In recent years, the sequence modeling achieves great success at many research areas [1, 14], while our retrieval task can be naturally formed as a next-item prediction task that could benefit by the SOTA Transformer

---

#### Algorithm 1 Online Inference Condition Strategy.

---

**Input:** watch sequence  $\{\dots, (x_n, w_n)\}$ , length  $n$ , probability  $p$ .  
**Output:** Condition value.

```

1: SumValue = 0, MaxValue = 0
2: for  $i$  from 1  $\rightarrow$   $n$  do
3:   SumValue = SumValue +  $w_i$ 
4:   MaxValue = max(MaxValue,  $w_i$ )
5: end for
6: AvgValue = SumValue /  $n$ 
7: if RandomFloat <  $p$  then
8:   return MaxValue
9: end if
10: return AvgValue

```

---

sequence modeling ability. Inspired by the Decision Transformer [3], we form the user’s interaction sequence as RL-based sequence style in Figure 2(b). Specifically, in CRM, the **interaction sequence (state)** is consist by a series of **item (action)**, therefore CRM’s decision sequence only include with two elements: (1) watch-time-to-go  $W_i$ , (2) items  $x_i$ :

$$\mathbf{u}^{\text{Condition}} = \text{CRM\_User\_Tower}(\text{UserFea}_u, \text{CRM\_DT}(W_1, x_1, W_2, x_2, \dots, W_{n+1})), \quad (6)$$

where the CRM\_DT denotes a multi-layer Transformer with casual mask mechanism, and the  $W_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} w_j$  denotes multi-step cumulative watching-time. In our online production environment, we opted for the transformer-based CRM due to its superior performance.

#### 3.2 Online Inference Strategy


During offline training of CRM, the condition is known, such as the actual time a user watched a target video. However, during inference, the condition is unknown and must be defined based on user information and business requirements. Below, we outline our approach to condition setting during online inference in the short video recommendation scenario, where the expected video watch time is used as the condition.

**3.2.1 Maximum vs. Average Watch Time.** When determining the condition’s value, one approach is to calculate the average watch time of the last  $n$  videos the user has watched. This average reflects a user’s typical behavior and can approximate the time they generally spend watching videos. However, our goal is to maximize users’ overall watch time on the platform. Therefore, an alternative approach is to select the maximum watch time from the last  $n$  videos a user has watched, in order to maximize the total viewing time.

The value of  $n$  must be carefully chosen. A large  $n$  might cause the condition to be overly influenced by an outlier, leading to less dynamic recommendations. Conversely, a small  $n$  may fail to capture representative user behavior. In our real-world online deployment, We selected 32 as the value of  $n$ . As shown in Figure 3, both the max and avg strategies exhibit similar trends of variation over a day, though the max values are significantly higher than the avg values.

Applications	Video Watch Time	Total App Usage Time	Usage Time per User	Avg. Time per Video View	Video Views	Likes	Follows	Comments
Kuaishou Single Page	+0.372%	+0.175%	+0.144%	+0.323%	+0.048%	+0.029%	+0.447%	+0.330%
Kuaishou Lite Single Page	+0.457%	+0.196%	+0.122%	+0.133%	+0.324%	+0.137%	+0.828%	+0.697%

**Table 1: Online A/B testing results of Short-Video services at Kuaishou.**



**Figure 3: Daily Condition Variation: Max and Avg Strategies**

**3.2.2 Time-Division Multiplexing Strategy.** In our scenario, using the average watch time does not significantly improve the overall user watch time but can increase the number of videos watched and enhance interaction-related metrics, such as comments and likes. On the other hand, using the maximum watch time significantly boosts user watch time, but it tends to recommend longer videos, which may reduce the total number of videos watched and interaction metrics.

To leverage the strengths of both strategies, we adopt a time-division multiplexing approach. For each request, we randomly select the maximum watch time strategy with probability  $p$ , and with probability  $1 - p$ , we select the average watch time strategy. This method allows both strategies to be effective at different times, while appearing to coexist for individual users, as shown in Algorithm 1. The parameters  $n$  and  $p$  are hyperparameters, and their optimal values were determined through online experiments.


## 4 EXPERIMENTS

We conduct online experiments at our short-video recommendation scenario, which is the largest recommendation scenario at Kuaishou including over 400 Million users and 50 Billion logs daily. In this section, we aim at answering the following research questions:

- **RQ1:** How does CRM bring improvement to the performance of online recommendation tasks?
- **RQ2:** How does CRM perform compared to other retrieval approaches?

### 4.1 Online A/B Test (RQ1)

The results, as shown in Table 1, highlight the improvements achieved by the CRM model across several key metrics. For instance, Video Watch Time increased by 0.372% for the Kuaishou Single Page and



**Figure 4: Average Time per Video View for Different Models**

by 0.457 % for the Kuaishou Lite Single Page, suggesting that CRM’s enhanced recommendation process leads to higher engagement with video content. Similarly, Total App Usage Time and Usage Time per User also saw noticeable improvements in both versions, with the Kuaishou Lite Single Page showing a 0.196% increase and the Kuaishou Single Page showing a 0.175% improvement.

In terms of user interaction, CRM outperformed the baseline model in Likes, Follows, and Comments. Notably, the Kuaishou Lite Single Page saw a substantial 0.828% increase in Follows, indicating that CRM may help in fostering long-term user engagement. Furthermore, Video Views demonstrated an increase in both platforms, with the Kuaishou Lite Single Page observing a 0.324% improvement.

These results provide strong evidence that CRM significantly enhances user engagement and interaction in the short-video recommendation task. The improvements across all metrics suggest that the controllable recall mechanism contributes to more personalized and relevant recommendations, thus improving the overall user experience in Kuaishou’s short-video services.

### 4.2 Ablation Study (RQ2)

In Kuaishou’s short video recommendation scenario, multiple retrieval models are employed simultaneously to maximize the diversity of content supply and meet user needs. We compared CRM with the following representative strong retrieval methods which are deployed at Kuaishou: (1) Item2Item based methods: Swing [18]; (2) Multi-interests based methods: ComiRec [2]; (3) Diffusion based methods: DimeRec [10]; (4) List-wise based methods: LTR [19]. (5) Ranking model based methods: TDM [20]; (6) Transformer based methods: Kuaiformer [11].

The key metric used to assess model effectiveness is Average Time per Video View, which reflects user engagement and satisfaction with the recommended content. Table 4 presents the results.

CRM achieves the highest Average Time per Video View at 42 seconds, significantly outperforming all other retrieval models.

This superior performance stems from CRM's innovative design, which tightly integrates retrieval and ranking objectives. By incorporating a watch time condition aligned with ranking goals, CRM ensures that retrieved items not only satisfy training objectives but also maximize user watch time effectively. This seamless integration minimizes mismatches between the retrieval and ranking stages, resulting in more personalized and engaging recommendations for users.

## 5 CONCLUSION

We introduced the Controllable Retrieval Model (CRM), a new approach to improving recommendation systems by integrating target information into the retrieval stage. This method bridges the gap between retrieval and ranking, enhancing the system's ability to deliver more personalized and relevant recommendations.

This work highlights the potential of incorporating target information into retrieval models and sets a foundation for further advancements in recommendation systems. Future efforts will explore additional targets that can be used as conditions, more effective strategies for specifying conditions, and extending the approach to domains beyond recommendation systems.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Josh Achiam, Steven Adler, Sandhini Agarwal, Lama Ahmad, Ilge Akkaya, Florencia Leoni Aleman, Diogo Almeida, Janko Altschmidt, Sam Altman, Shyamal Anadkat, et al. 2023. Gpt-4 technical report. *arXiv* (2023).
- [2] Yukuo Cen, Jianwei Zhang, Xu Zou, Chang Zhou, Hongxia Yang, and Jie Tang. 2020. Controllable multi-interest framework for recommendation. In *ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining (KDD)*. 2942–2951.
- [3] Lili Chen, Kevin Lu, Aravind Rajeswaran, Kimin Lee, Aditya Grover, Misha Laskin, Pieter Abbeel, Aravind Srinivas, and Igor Mordatch. 2021. Decision transformer: Reinforcement learning via sequence modeling.
- [4] Paul Covington, Jay Adams, and Emre Sargin. 2016. Deep neural networks for youtube recommendations. In *ACM Conference on Recommender Systems (RecSys)*.
- [5] Junjie Huang, Jizheng Chen, Jianghao Lin, Jiarui Qin, Ziming Feng, Weinan Zhang, and Yong Yu. 2024. A Comprehensive Survey on Retrieval Methods in Recommender Systems. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21022* (2024).
- [6] Jui-Ting Huang, Ashish Sharma, Shuying Sun, Li Xia, David Zhang, Philip Pronin, Janani Padmanabhan, Giuseppe Ottaviano, and Linjun Yang. 2020. Embedding-based retrieval in facebook search. In *ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining (KDD)*.
- [7] Po-Sen Huang, Xiaodong He, Jianfeng Gao, Li Deng, Alex Acero, and Larry Heck. 2013. Learning deep structured semantic models for web search using clickthrough data. In *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM international conference on Information & Knowledge Management*.
- [8] Jeff Johnson, Matthijs Douze, and Hervé Jégou. 2019. Billion-scale similarity search with GPUs. In *IEEE Transactions on Big Data*.
- [9] Sergey Levine, Aviral Kumar, George Tucker, and Justin Fu. 2020. Offline reinforcement learning: Tutorial, review, and perspectives on open problems. (2020).
- [10] Wuchao Li, Rui Huang, Haijun Zhao, Chi Liu, Kai Zheng, Qi Liu, Na Mou, Guorui Zhou, Defu Lian, Yang Song, et al. 2024. DimeRec: A Unified Framework for Enhanced Sequential Recommendation via Generative Diffusion Models. In *arXiv*.
- [11] Chi Liu, Jiangxia Cao, Rui Huang, Kai Zheng, Qiang Luo, Kun Gai, and Guorui Zhou. 2024. KuaiFormer: Transformer-Based Retrieval at Kuaishou. (2024).
- [12] Volodymyr Mnih. 2013. Playing atari with deep reinforcement learning. *arXiv* (2013).
- [13] Shashank Rajput, Nikhil Mehta, Anima Singh, Raghunandan Hulikal Keshavan, Trung Vu, Lukasz Heldt, Lichan Hong, Yi Tay, Vinh Tran, Jonah Samost, et al. 2023. Recommender systems with generative retrieval.
- [14] I Sutskever. 2014. Sequence to Sequence Learning with Neural Networks. *arXiv* (2014).
- [15] Hongyan Tang, Junning Liu, Ming Zhao, and Xudong Gong. 2020. Progressive Layered Extraction (PLE): A Novel Multi-Task Learning (MTL) Model for Personalized Recommendations. In *ACM Conference on Recommender Systems (RecSys)*.
- [16] Xu Wang, Jiangxia Cao, Zhiyi Fu, Kun Gai, and Guorui Zhou. 2024. HoME: Hierarchy of Multi-Gate Experts for Multi-Task Learning at Kuaishou. (2024).
- [17] Ji Yang, Xinyang Yi, Derek Zhiyuan Cheng, Lichan Hong, Yang Li, Simon Xiaoming Wang, Taibai Xu, and Ed H Chi. 2020. Mixed negative sampling for learning two-tower neural networks in recommendations. In *Companion proceedings of The Web Conference* (2020).
- [18] Xiaoyong Yang, Yadong Zhu, Yi Zhang, Xiaobo Wang, and Quan Yuan. 2020. Large scale product graph construction for recommendation in e-commerce. *arXiv* (2020).
- [19] Kai Zheng, Haijun Zhao, Rui Huang, Beichuan Zhang, Na Mou, Yanan Niu, Yang Song, Hongning Wang, and Kun Gai. 2024. Full Stage Learning to Rank: A Unified Framework for Multi-Stage Systems. In *Proceedings of the ACM on Web Conference*.
- [20] Han Zhu, Daqing Chang, Ziru Xu, Pengye Zhang, Xiang Li, Jie He, Han Li, Jian Xu, and Kun Gai. 2019. Joint optimization of tree-based index and deep model for recommender systems.
- [21] Yongchun Zhu, Jingwu Chen, Ling Chen, Yitan Li, Feng Zhang, and Zuotao Liu. 2024. Interest clock: Time perception in real-time streaming recommendation system. In *International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval (SIGIR)*.