

# Open Superclusters

## II. Discovery of an Inactive Supercluster Including a New Open Cluster in the Solar Neighborhood

Juan Casado<sup>1</sup>, Yasser Hendy<sup>2</sup>, Nikola Faltova<sup>3</sup> and Dana Kovaleva<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Facultat de Ciències, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (UAB), 08193 Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain

<sup>2</sup> Astronomy Department, National Research Institute of Astronomy and Geophysics (NRIAG), 11421 Helwan, Cairo, Egypt

<sup>3</sup> Department of Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics, Masaryk University, Kotlářská 2, 611 37 Brno, Czechia<sup>4</sup> Institute of Astronomy, Russian Academy of Sciences, 48 Pyatnitskaya Str., 119017 Moscow, Russian Federation

### Abstract

We investigate the existence and properties of open superclusters within 500 pc of the Sun, with a particular focus on a newly identified OSC, designated HC8. Utilizing Gaia-derived advanced astrometric data and star cluster catalogs, we identify and analyze the member stars of various open clusters (OCs), deriving key parameters such as ages, distances, extinctions, and kinematic properties. Our findings reveal that HC8, a previously unrecognized OSC, encompasses several young clusters, including the newly discovered OC Duvia 1. We provide a detailed examination of HC8's star formation history and its spatial and kinematic characteristics. This study contributes to the growing body of evidence supporting the existence of primordial groups and enhances our understanding of the formation and evolution of star clusters in the Galaxy.

### Keywords

Open star clusters; Open superclusters; Star Formation; Galactic disk kinematics; Gaia DR3

### 1. Introduction

It is widely accepted that most stars, especially the heavier ones, form in clusters, notably as part of embedded star clusters within the cores of giant molecular clouds (GMCs) (e.g., Lada & Lada 2003). These embedded clusters result from star formation processes in hierarchically structured gas clouds and often form in groups (e.g., Piskunov et al. 2006, de la Fuente Marcos & de la Fuente Marcos 2009, Elmegreen 2009, Camargo et al. 2016, Conrad et al. 2017). These

groupings can be preserved until they emerge from their dense birth clouds, at which point they can be studied in the optical band (e.g., Casado 2021, Casado & HENDY 2023, Casado & HENDY 2024). After this stage, these groupings are often referred to as "cluster complexes" or "primordial groups". We can tentatively define primordial groups as groupings of OCs that are in spatial proximity and share a common origin from the same GMC. These groups are characterized by their youth, with ages typically ranging from a few million years (Myr) to several tens of Myr, and are predominantly located in galactic spiral arms (e.g., Gusev & Efremov 2013, Camargo et al. 2016). Aside from stars in cluster cores, they usually contain large populations in cluster coronae (e.g., Lada & Lada 2003). The Primordial Group hypothesis suggests that only young enough OCs are associated, while older OCs are isolated (Casado 2022).

Until recently, studies of OC groupings were limited due to insufficient accuracy and volume of astrometric data, as well as a lack of data on OCs' radial velocities (RVs). Conrad et al. (2017) searched for groups of OCs in the Galaxy using RAVE (Steinmetz et al. 2006) data combined with COCD (Kharchenko et al. 2005) data. They found 14 pairs, 4 groups with 3-5 members, and only one complex with 15 members.

Substantial and compelling evidence for the existence of primordial groups (Cantat-Gaudin et al. 2019, Casado 2022, Casado & HENDY 2024, Swiggum et al. 2024) has been gathered by the Gaia mission, which provides optical astrometry with unprecedented accuracy and scope (Gaia Collaboration et al., 2016, 2023; Lindegren et al., 2021). The number of known star clusters, associations, and moving groups in the vicinity of the Sun has significantly increased due to the use of Gaia data. Swiggum et al. (2024) have shown that 57% of young clusters within 1 kpc of the Sun belong to just three cluster "families" that arise from three star-forming regions (SFRs).

In this series of articles, open superclusters (OSCs) are defined as primordial groups of six or more clusters (Casado & HENDY 2024). In the first article, we identified 17 OSCs in the third Galactic quadrant, encompassing at least 190 clusters. In this article, we focus on the OSCs less than 500 pc from the Sun, particularly a new OSC called HC8, which is studied in detail.

The paper is structured as follows: In Sect. 2, we describe the input OC catalogs, the selection of OC member stars, and how the OC parameters, such as ages, distances, extinctions, and kinematic properties, are derived. In Sect. 3, we present the properties of the OSC, with particular attention given to the new OC Duvia 1 and the star formation history within the OSC. Finally, we summarize our results and provide conclusions in Sect. 4.

## 2. Methods

We primarily utilized the Gaia-derived cluster catalogs of Hunt & Reffert (2024; 7167 OCs), He et al. (2022; 541 OCs), and Cantat-Gaudin et al. (2020; 2017 OCs). These catalogs include all five astrometric Gaia measurements (position, proper motion (PM), and parallax) along with ages. To identify the member OCs, we used the five average astrometric parameters of the objects in these catalogs to detect local overdensities, as illustrated in Fig. 1. It is noteworthy that we examined all OCs, both young and old, for these overdensities. This analysis employed a method similar to the manual search for open clusters conducted using Gaia data, as detailed in previous studies (Casado 2021; Casado & Hendy 2023; Casado & Hendy 2024).

The list of candidate members was refined by discarding outliers using the following procedure: We applied criteria of a maximum radius of 150 pc and a maximum tangential velocity deviation from the mean of 10 km/s for all candidate clusters in HC8, using Eq (1) and Eq (2), respectively.

$$\Delta R = \sqrt{\left((l - \bar{l})^2 + (b - \bar{b})^2\right)} \left(\frac{\pi 1000}{180 plx}\right) \quad (1)$$

$$\Delta v_{tan} = \sqrt{\left((\mu - \bar{\mu}_\alpha)^2 + (\mu - \bar{\mu}_\delta)^2\right)} \left(\frac{4.7404}{plx}\right) \quad (2)$$

The  $\bar{l}$ ,  $\bar{b}$ ,  $\bar{\mu}_\alpha$ ,  $\bar{\mu}_\delta$ , and  $\bar{plx}$  are the means of galactic longitude, galactic latitude, proper motion, in  $\alpha$ ,  $\delta$ , and parallax, respectively. 4.7404 is the transformation factor from mas/yr at 1 kpc to km/s.

The likely members in HC8 have uncertainty intervals of less than  $2\sigma$  from the mean of the 5 dimensions. We applied enough iterations to obtain convergence with the same number of OCs from the last iteration. Next, we checked that the selected candidates have RV spanning less than 20 km/s. The rest of the clusters in the raw list that did not pass this filtration process are also listed below as dubious candidates.

The threshold of 300 pc in size was chosen considering the typical size of the aggregates found in previous literature (Efremov & Sitnik 1988; Piskunov et al. 2006; Elmegreen et al. 2014; Conrad et al. 2017). The maximum span in PMs (20 km/s) was selected because the usual difference between sibling clusters is less than 10 km/s (Conrad et al. 2017; Casado 2021), while the RV difference between two open clusters within the same complex is approximately 20 km/s (Fellhauer & Kroupa 2005).

For RSG 7 and the new cluster Duvia 1, we selected the data from Gaia DR3 of stars with  $G < 18$ , as the plx and PM errors increase exponentially with magnitude. We calculated age, distance, and extinction using the ASTECA algorithm (Perren, Vázquez, and Piatti 2015), using the PARSEC v1.2S (Bressan et al. 2012) theoretical isochrones to fit the CMDs. We downloaded a Z range around Solar metallicity (0.01 to 0.03), with a step of 0.005. The used log age(t) range was 6.0 to 10.15, with a step of 0.05. We applied the FASTMP algorithm (Perren et al. 2023) to identify probable members of each OC, selecting those with a membership probability greater than 0.88.

To obtain the mass of every probable member star, we used the high-degree polynomial equation between the absolute magnitudes and the masses of the main sequence stars from the isochrones of the same age and metallicity (Casado and Hendy 2023). The total mass is the summation of masses of each probable member star.

We obtained the mean components of the spatial velocity of the supercluster ( $U_0, V_0, W_0$ ) as the mean of ( $U_i, V_i, W_i$ ) velocities of its components, open clusters and moving groups. We analyzed the internal kinematics of the OSC by looking at residual spatial velocities of included clusters and groups in respect to the mean spatial velocity of the supercluster. This procedure is realized as follows.

For the clusters included in HR24, we utilized coordinates, plx, and PMs of the clusters to calculate their individual ( $U_i, V_i, W_i$ ) velocities. As for the RVs, we obtained median  $RV_i$  values based on RV of probable members from HR24 instead of using mean values provided by HR24. The reason for the preference of median  $RV_i$  is to decrease the contribution of outliers.

To analyze the internal kinematics of star clusters in the supercluster, we primarily relied on the dispersion of transverse velocities, given the significant uncertainties in RVs from individual stars as provided by Gaia (Kovaleva 2023). We applied a modification of the convergent point method (Roeser et al. 2011, Ischenko et al. 2024) to calculate the expected transverse velocities for their coordinates, assuming they share the spatial velocity of a star cluster. This approach allowed us to capture the relative internal kinematics of the stars based on their residual transverse velocities.

The PM expected for the  $n^{\text{th}}$ -star with coordinates ( $l^n, b^n$ ) if it shares spatial velocity of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  star cluster ( $U_i, V_i, W_i$ ) is determined as

$$\mu_l^{\text{exp}_n, i} = \frac{(-\sin l^n \cdot U_i + \cos l^n \cdot V_i)}{\kappa / \text{plx}^n}; \quad (3)$$

$$\mu_b^{\text{exp}_n, i} = \frac{(-\cos l^n \sin b^n \cdot U_i - \sin l^n \sin b^n \cdot V_i + \cos b^n \cdot W_i)}{\kappa / \text{plx}^n}, \quad (4)$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} U^i \\ V^i \\ W^i \end{bmatrix} = RV^i \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \cos l^i \cos b^i \\ \sin l^i \cos b^i \\ \sin b^i \end{bmatrix} + \frac{\kappa \mu_l^i}{\text{plx}^i} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} -\sin l^i \\ \cos l^i \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{\kappa \mu_b^i}{\text{plx}^i} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} -\cos l^i \sin b^i \\ -\sin l^i \sin b^i \\ \cos b^i \end{bmatrix}, \quad (5)$$

$\kappa=4.7404$ , indices  $i$  vary from cluster to cluster, and values for coordinates, plx, PM and RV are as described above.

Hence the components of residual PM are calculated as  $\Delta\mu_l = \mu_l - \mu_l^{\text{exp-}n,i}$ ,  $\Delta\mu_b = \mu_b - \mu_b^{\text{exp-}n,i}$ . To obtain residual transverse velocity, one needs to multiply PM to  $\kappa/plx^n$ .

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Overview of the OSCs near the sun.

Identifying OSCs can be relatively straightforward by plotting young OCs from the HR24 catalog on the Galactic plane (Figure 1). The overdensities of OCs in this plot reveal both known and new OSCs. The Gould Belt is observable from Ori OB1 to Upper Sco, through Sco-Cen (Casado & Hendy 2024). All clusters in this region originate from a single SFR (Swiggum et al. 2024). Recent studies have identified several groupings of OCs with common locations in 6D space near the Sun, such as the Vela-Puppis SFR, including Vel OB2 (Cantat-Gaudin et al. 2019, Beccari et al. 2020, Pang et al. 2021); the Orion SFR, including Ori OB1 (Swiggum et al. 2021, Vereshchagin & Chupina 2023, Casado & Hendy 2024, Sánchez-Sanjuán et al. 2024); Upper Sco (Luhman 2022, Miret-Roig et al. 2022, Briceño-Morales & Chanamé 2023); and the Perseus SFR (e.g., Pavlidou et al. 2021).




Figure 1. Overdensities of open clusters  $\leq 25$  Myr old and less than 500 pc from the Sun in the HR24 catalog.

The new OSC HC9 (Fig. 1) also appears to be related to the Gould Belt. It presents an elongated structure and contains as likely members: CWNu 1129, HSC 1318, HSC 1340, HSC 1481, Theia 7, Theia 54, Theia 66, Theia 71, and Theia 93 (HR24), and OCSN 51 and OCSN 274 (Qin et al. 2023). However, this study focuses on the OSC HC8.

### 3.2 The new OSC HC8

We present a newly discovered OSC within 500 pc from the Sun, named HC8 (Fig. 1), following the series of previously identified OSCs (Casado & Hendy 2024). It is located in the 2nd Galactic quadrant, in the southern hemisphere, and within the Galactic disk (at galactic latitudes from  $1^\circ$  to  $-11^\circ$ ).

HC8 includes ten previously known OCs and moving groups, eight of which are listed as separate stellar aggregates in HR24, along with one newly discovered cluster. The characteristics of these clusters are provided in Table 1. Figure 2 illustrates the positions of the member stars of these 11 clusters. For OCSN 40, RSG 7, CWNu 523, and Duvia 1, the parameters were calculated based on lists of probable members that we compiled. For the other clusters, parameters were obtained from HR24. It is noteworthy that OCSN 40 is listed in HR24, but its membership there is different, including many sources of CWNu 523 (He et al. 2022).

Piecka & Paunzen (2021) identified the pair RSG 7 and RSG 8 in our studied region due to the presence of common star members in both clusters. Pang et al. (2022) added ASCC 127 and Stock 12 to their Alessi 20 group, attributing a filamentary structure to the system, but did not find any significant interaction between RSG 7 and RSG 8. Finally, Qin et al. (2023) identified a group of three interacting clusters in the region: OCSN 40, OCSN 41, and CWNu 523.

The original list of stars for RSG 7 (Cantat-Gaudin et al. 2020) also included stars from RSG 8 and NGC 7429. Therefore, we manually 'cleaned' this OC and obtained 44 likely members with  $G < 18$  in two scattered cores (Figure 2). The mean parallax is 2.31 mas, which is in good agreement with the Gaia literature (2.311 to 2.348 mas). The mean RV (Table 1) encompasses the three most precise measurements (out of eight in Gaia DR3) and agrees with 4 out of 5 reported RVs in Simbad for the entire cluster.

Of the eight OCs of HC8 found in HR24, only three (Stock 12, Alessi 20, and RSG 8) are considered gravitationally bound. The rest are classified as mere moving groups. Three of the clusters in Figure 2 (RSG 8, Stock 12, and Theia 391) display more or less developed tidal tails stretching along the Galactic disc.




Figure 2. Positions of the member stars of the 11 clusters identified as likely members of HC8. The members of each cluster are displayed in a different color.

Figure 3 provides a 3D representation of how the supercluster’s members are generally moving away from each other. The new cluster Duvia 1 (see below) shares this trend.




Fig. 3 Residual velocities of clusters in HC8 relative to its mean velocity. Arrows represent direction and magnitude of the residual tangential velocities; color represents direction and respective magnitude of the residual RVs. The scale for tangential velocities is 2 km/s per arrow of  $1^\circ$ .

From the range of  $plx$  values obtained for HC8 (Table 1), we estimate its radial size to be approximately 0.11 kpc. The radial size derived from photometric distances ( $d_{\text{phot}}$ ) would be 0.15 kpc. The radial size of 0.11 kpc is very similar to our estimations of transversal size (0.10 kpc). Accordingly, neither filamentary nor other obvious spatial structures are observed in HC8 (Figure 2; see, however, Pang et al. 2022). The span in RV of 14 km/s also matches our estimated span in tangential velocity (16 km/s) obtained from the PMs of the clusters (Figure 4). All these values are typical of OSCs (Casado & Hendy 2024) and fit within the constraints detailed in Section 2.






Figure 4. The PMs of the star members in the supercluster HC8.

Figure 5 illustrates the dispersion of individual stars in OCSN 40 and CWNU 523 (clump below). The mean residual motion of CWNU 523 differs from that of the stars in OCSN 40. However, it aligns with the general trend of cluster members drifting apart from the center. This trend is not unique to this case but is also observed in Alessi 20 and OCSN 41 (not shown). The varied plx and the eccentric core of OCSN 40 suggest the presence of diverse subclusters, a pattern also noted in RSG 7 and Alessi 20.

The estimate of the specific energy of the pair OCSN 40 and CWNU 523:

$$E = \frac{\Delta v^2}{2} - \frac{GM}{s}, \quad (6)$$

where  $\Delta v$  is the difference in velocities of the clusters,  $M$  is their total mass and  $s$  is the distance between their centers, is definitely positive. We estimate  $\Delta v$  to be  $\approx 0.7 \text{ km/s} \pm 0.2 \text{ km/s}$ ,  $s$  to be  $\approx 17.5 \pm 0.8 \text{ pc}$ , and  $M$  to be  $\approx 100 M_\odot$ . The mass of OCSN 40 in HR24, which is  $98 \pm 20 M_\odot$ , matches our estimate.

The negative component of energy is smaller than the positive one by up to an order of magnitude. Although the model of two material dots is rough, given that the linear scale of OCSN 40 is comparable to the distance to CWNU 523, the conclusion that CWNU 523 is not gravitationally bound to OCSN 40 aligns with the kinematics of its star members.

If the system is indeed unbound, this would support the notion that OCSN 40 and CWNU 523 are distinct clusters. Moreover, HR24 classifies OCSN 40 as a moving group, which reinforces the hypothesis of distinct subclusters within OCSN 40.




Fig. 5 Residual tangential velocities relative to the tangential velocity of OCSN 40 according to HR24. Arrows mark direction of movement and magnitude of velocity, color marks  $p_{lx}$  according to the auxiliary axis to the right. The scale for velocities is 1 km/s per  $1^\circ$ .

Less likely members could include ASCC 123, ASCC 127, LISC 3534, Theia 322, Theia 446, Theia 1232, OCSN 28, OCSN 36, HSC 873, HSC 895, and HSC 931 (HR24). Consequently, the total number of siblings in HC8 could increase to 23.

### 3.3 A new cluster in HC8: Duvia 1

We have discovered the OC Duvia 1 in the region of the OSC HC8. The mean coordinates (J2016) are RA=348.81° and Dec=57.64°. The vector point diagram of Duvia 1 is shown in Figure 6.




Figure 6. Vector point diagram of the likely members of the Duvia 1; another moving group lies on the below right part of the graph.

There is at least one additional moving group (located below right in Figure 6), consisting of a dispersed clump of only 12 stars ( $G < 18$ ), but with a coherent CMD (not shown). The constraints that define the likely members of this subgroup are summarized in Table 1 with uncertainties encompassing the 12 members. The plx distance is practically the same as that of Duvia 1 ( $437 \pm 11$  pc, see below).

The isochrone fitting of Duvia 1, which includes 52 likely members, is presented in Figure 7. From this fitting, an age of  $17 \pm 6$  Myr is determined, aligning with some of the HC8 members. From the 27 likely stars of Duvia 1 within the StarHorse catalogue (Anders et al. 2022), we

found a metallicity of  $Z = 0.012 \pm 0.003$ . Thus, the metallicity from the StarHorse catalogue is very close to our findings (Figure 7) and to the Solar metallicity.

The distance modulus  $(m-M)_0$  is 8.14 mag, corresponding to a photometric distance ( $d_{phot}$ ) of 425 pc. Fifty likely member stars in this cluster are recorded in the Bailer-Jones et al. (2021) catalog. The median photogeometric Bayesian distance to these stars is  $429 \pm 9$  pc. The mean distance of the 27 likely members cross-matched with the StarHorse catalogue is  $430 \pm 10$  pc. From the corrected median  $plx$  of 45 likely members with  $<10\%$  relative uncertainty ( $plx_{error}/plx$ ) and  $RUWE < 1.4$ , a distance of  $434 \pm 8$  pc is derived. Thus, the four distances are consistent, and it is safe to state that Duvia 1 is at a heliocentric distance of 0.43 kpc, within the range of its siblings in HC8. The maximum cluster member's distance to the mean position ( $r_{max}$ ) of Duvia 1 is 18 pc. Regarding the RV, there are seven likely members with reliable measurements in Gaia DR3. The median value of these measurements is  $-9.4 \pm 9$  km/s, which is in good agreement with the rest of the HC8 members. The main fundamental parameters are summarized in Table 1.

The extinction of Duvia 1 is  $1.17 \pm 0.14$  mag. We also obtained values from the 3D dust sky maps: SKYMAPS, GALEXTIN, and STILISM for the new cluster. These values are  $1.25 \pm 0.1$  mag,  $1.29 \pm 0.1$  mag, and  $0.96 \pm 0.2$  mag, respectively. The cross-matched 27 likely members from the StarHorse catalogue have a median of  $0.91 \pm 0.3$  mag. Thus, our estimated value is close to those from SKYMAPS and GALEXTIN, and compatible with STILISM and the StarHorse catalogue.




Figure 7. CMD of the new cluster Duvia 1. The continuous line is the PARSEC isochrone fitted to our data, while dashed line represents the isochrone vertically shifted by  $-0.75$  mag (the locus of unresolved binaries of equal-mass components).

Table 1. Mean parameters of the likely members of the OSC HC8, including the new open cluster Duvia 1, and the overall ranges of them for the whole supercluster (last row).

Cluster/ OSC	$l$	$b$	plx	$\mu_{\alpha}$	$\mu_{\delta}$	$d_{\text{phot}}$	$r_{\text{max}}$	Log t	RV	Ref.
(N)	deg	deg	mas	mas/yr	mas/yr	kpc	deg	(yr)	km/s	
OCSN 41 (27)	116.02	-7.95	2.47	8.21	-4.16	0.40	1.00	6.84	-17	HR24
OCSN 40 (169)	115.22	-5.55	2.46	7.92	-3.13	0.40	3.47	6.97	-13	HR24
CWNU 523 (36)	113.13	-6.88	2.51	7.89	-3.31	0.33	-	7.2	-11 <sup>b</sup>	He et al. 2022
Alessi 20 (252)	117.64	-3.70	2.34	8.12	-2.43	0.42	1.84	6.83	-9.3	HR24
NGC 7429 (67)	108.97	0.20	2.37	4.77	-2.24	0.42	1.50	7.89	-12	HR24
Stock 12 (273)	111.41	-8.54	2.29	8.57	-1.92	0.43	4.78	8.09	-3.2	HR24
Theia 391 (112)	113.46	-6.47	2.21	5.20	-4.46	0.45	4.36	8.16	-13	HR24
HSC 899 (16)	112.77	-1.59	2.15	6.03	-1.56	0.46	1.13	6.84	-8.3	HR24
RSG 8 (151)	109.42	-0.46	2.06	5.66	-1.72	0.48	2.58	7.13	-9.7	HR24
RSG 7 (44)	108.80 $\pm 0.33$	-0.73 $\pm 0.61$	2.31 $\pm 0.08$	5.37 $\pm 0.15$	-1.01 $\pm 0.22$	0.41 $\pm 0.03$	1.55	7.2 $\pm 0.1$	-14 $\pm 4$	This work
Duvia 1 (52)	110.32 $\pm 0.82$	-2.85 $\pm 0.77$	2.28 $\pm 0.04$	6.18 $\pm 0.26$	-1.80 $\pm 0.18$	0.43 $\pm 0.04$	2.43	7.2 $\pm 0.2$	-9.4 $\pm 9$	This work

Clump (12)	110.4	-4.2	2.29± 0.06	8.21 ±0.33	-5.52 ±0.30	a	1.33	a	a	This work
OSC HC8 (1212)	106.71 18.4	-11.3 0.7	2.0 2.6	4.1 10.7	-5.2- 0.3	0.33 0.48	1.00 4.78	6.83 8.16	-3.2 -17	This work

<sup>a</sup>too few members

<sup>b</sup>UCC catalog (<https://ucc.ar/>)

Disregarding the member stars with  $G > 18$  and the unresolved binaries, the estimated mass of Duvia 1 is  $30 M_{\odot}$ . When plotting the total mass of clusters in HR24 against the number of members ( $N$ ), we observe the expected correlation: the mass is roughly proportional to  $N$  (Figure 8). It is crucial to select the relevant  $plx$  range because, likely due to a systematic error, the masses of OCs in HR24 increase significantly with their distance, which is unphysical. In our case, the average mass per member is nearly  $1 M_{\odot}$ . The eight OCs of HC8 are highlighted in red. If Duvia 1 follows the same trend, its total mass would be approximately  $50 M_{\odot}$ .

These estimates allow us to address the question of the dynamical state of Duvia 1. For a stellar system in equilibrium, the virial theorem provides an approximate mass required for the system to be bound:

$$M \cong \frac{v^2 \times R}{G}, \quad (7)$$

where  $v$  is the dispersion of velocities in the system,  $R$  is a characteristic radius, and  $G$  is the gravitational constant. For Duvia 1, we estimate the dispersion of velocities to be  $0.58 \text{ km/s}$ , and the characteristic radius (based on the half-distance between Q1 and Q3 of the distribution of stars in space) to be  $9.2 \text{ pc}$ . This leads to an estimated bound mass  $M \approx 1.5 \times 10^{33} \text{ kg}$ , which exceeds our estimates for its actual mass ( $50 M_{\odot} \approx 10^{32} \text{ kg}$ ) by an order of magnitude. Thus, Duvia 1 is clearly an unbound cluster, i.e., a moving group.




Figure 8. The relation between the number of member stars and the total mass of the OCs in HR24 having  $p/lx$  2 to 3 mas. The red circles indicate eight probable members of HC8 (1: HSC 899, 2: OCSN 41, 3: NGC 7429, 4: OCSN 40, 5: Theia 391, 6: RSG 8, 7: Alessi 20, and 8: Stock 12).

### 3.4 Star formation history of the region

At least two subgroups of clusters can be identified in HC8. The age subgroups include NGC 7429, Stock 12, and Theia 391 with  $\log t \approx 8$ , and the remaining clusters with  $\log t \approx 7$  (Table 1). These subgroups suggest at least two distinct star formation events. It is noteworthy that all the likely members are young ( $< 150$  Myr), despite age not being a constraint in our selection criteria.



The relative proximity of Alessi 20, CWNU 523, OCSN 40, OCSN 41, HSC 899, and Duvia 1 in terms of position, PM, plx, and age (CMD) suggests that these clusters were formed in a single event. They appear to radiate from a common origin, with their tangential velocities seemingly proportional to their distance from it (Figure 3). HR24 provides median estimates of  $\log t$  between 6.8 and 6.9 (6 to 8 Myr) for most of these clusters. Orbital integration backward in time using the *galpy* package (Bovy 2015) for the centers of these clusters in the Milky Way potential demonstrates that they were much closer to each other at the time of their formation. Figure 9 shows how the volume of space containing the centers of the six clusters changes backward from now (Time = 0) to 20 Myr ago (Time = -20). Notably, there is a distinct minimum around -8 Myr, indicating that the dynamical age of the subgroup of young clusters aligns with their CMD ages in HR24.




Figure 9. The volume of space occupied by centers of star clusters Alessi 20, CWNU 523, OCSN 40, OCSN 41, HSC 899 and Duvia 1 at backward integration of their orbits in MW potential. Time=0 corresponds to present time.

Figure 10 represents the stars of OCs forming the supercluster, along with the isochrones for solar metallicity for approximately three age groups obtained by HR24 for these clusters. The observed "three CMDs" can be explained by three generations of clusters: 6-10 Myr, 13-17 Myr, and ~100 Myr. A somewhat similar situation is observed in the Vela region, where there are clusters from at least two different generations (~5-10 Myr and ~30-50 Myr) (see, e.g., Cantat-Gaudin et al. 2019).




Figure 10. The CMD of the eleven OCs in the supercluster HC8 and the fitting Padova isochrones (<http://stev.oapd.inaf.it/cgi-bin/cmd>) by Bressan et al. (2012) for  $Z=0.0152$ . To convert to absolute magnitudes and dereddened colors we use estimates of interstellar extinction for clusters by HR24. For Duvia 1, interstellar extinction is from this work.

To constrain the star formation rate in the vicinity of the supercluster, we searched for massive O and early B-type stars (earlier than  $\sim B1V$ ) within a distance of 20 pc from the centers of the constituent clusters. Such stars are indicators of recent star formation episodes, as their lifetimes are at most a couple of Myr. The catalog from Zari et al. (2021) indicates that there are only two instances of such stars within a 20-pc radius centered on two of the clusters, RSG 7 and Duvia 1. Both open clusters host the same early-type star (Gaia DR3 2013382713057701248) in their proximity. Extending the search radius to 50 pc does not

significantly change the overall picture. Only one more early-type star is recovered, which could be associated with any of the clusters studied in this work (Table 2).

When we searched the StarHorse catalog for the presence of stars with surface temperatures above 30,000 K within 20 pc of the studied clusters, we identified none. Therefore, the region of the supercluster appears to have been inactive for several Myr. This conclusion is also supported by the maps of the star formation rate.

Table 2. O and early-B stars within 50 pc of any of the clusters forming the supercluster HC8.

Gaia DR3 source_id	l (deg)	b (deg)	G (mag)	BP-RP (mag)	OCs within 50 pc
1993966437221117568	115.555	-6.364	4.983	-0.112	OCSN 41, OCSN 40, CWN 523
2013382713057701248	109.948	-0.783	4.792	-0.031	NGC 7429, HSC 899, RSG 8, RSG 7, Duvia 1

There are several HII regions in the studied field (e.g., IC 1470, LBN 108.96+01.70, LBN 111.14-00.72, SHSH 2-161), some of which are associated with OCs (e.g., SH2-142 with NGC 7380, GAL 112.24+00.23 with [BDS2003] 44). However, all these objects are much farther away than HC8. Therefore, there are no prominent remnants of the GMC that formed this OSC. Nonetheless, there are hints of recent star formation in the region. For instance, there is some remaining nebulosity apparently associated with Alessi 20 (Figure 11).



Figure 11. The Alessi 20 field shows some residual nebulosity. The light green circle indicates the position of the cluster's center.

## 4. Concluding remarks

The scenario arising from our results suggests that a preceding GMC began star formation approximately 100 Myr ago and experienced successive starbursts until a few Myr ago. The original clouds and OB stars have almost disappeared due to residual gas expulsion and supernova explosions, but at least 12 clusters containing more than 1200 stars remain in the region, attesting to this history. Two of these star aggregates (Duvia 1 and a minor group) and the entire HC8 system have been identified and characterized for the first time (Table 1).

The CMD of all the stars shows at least three different main sequences, which can be explained by three generations of clusters: approximately 8, 15, and 100 Myr old. The photometric age of six of the members matches their dynamical age, indicating a common origin of this subgroup from a relatively small volume. We have demonstrated that the number of stars is a good proxy for mass estimation and that the mean mass of the stars in HC8 is close to solar.

The system, along with five other open superclusters (OSCs), including a new one, HC9, are less than 500 parsecs from the Sun. Some of them have associated SFR and/or OB associations, but this is not the case for HC8, confirming that OSCs can last a few hundred Myr, despite generally dispersing within 150 Myr (Casado & Hendy 2024). Although some of the clusters studied contain diverse subclusters and several stars have been attributed to two different clusters, no binary clusters have been found in this study. As with the other OSCs we studied (Casado & Hendy 2023; Casado & Hendy 2024), the entire system is dispersing, and only three bound OCs (Stock 12, Alessi 20, and RSG 8) are expected to persist after a few hundred Myr. This is unsurprising: if OSCs were stable, many more of them (and older ones) should have been detected.

## References

- Anders, F., Khalatyan, A., Queiroz, A. B. A., et al., "Photo-astrometric distances, extinctions, and astrophysical parameters for Gaia EDR3 stars brighter than  $G = 18.5$ ", 2022, *A&A*, 658, A9. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/202142369
- Bailer-Jones, C. A. L., Rybizki, J., Foesneau, M., Demleitner, M., and Andrae, R., "Estimating Distances from Parallaxes. V. Geometric and Photogeometric Distances to 1.47 Billion Stars in Gaia Early Data Release 3", 2021, *AJ*, 161, 147. doi:10.3847/1538-3881/abd806.
- Bovy, J., "galpy: A python Library for Galactic Dynamics", 2015, *ApJS*, 216, 29. doi: 10.1088/0067-0049/216/2/29
- Bressan, A., Marigo, P., Girardi, L., et al. 2012, "PARSEC: stellar tracks and isochrones with the PAdova and TRieste Stellar Evolution Code", 2012, *MNRAS*, 427, 127. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.21948.x
- Cantat-Gaudin, T., Jordi, C., Wright, N.J., Armstrong, J.J., Vallenari, A., Balaguer-Nuñez, L., et al., "Expanding associations in the Vela-Puppis region. 3D structure and kinematics of the young population", 2019, *A&A*, 626, A17. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201834957
- Cantat-Gaudin, T., Anders, F., Castro-Ginard, A. et al., "Painting a portrait of the Galactic disc with its stellar clusters", 2020, *A&A*, 640, A1. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/202038192

Camargo, D., Bica, E., & Bonatto, C., 2016, "New detections of embedded clusters in the Galactic halo", MNRAS, 455, 3126. doi:10.1093/mnras/stv2517

Casado, J., 2021, "The List of Possible Double and Multiple Open Clusters between Galactic Longitudes 240° and 270°", Astron. Rep., 65, 755. doi:10.1134/S1063772921350018

Casado, J., "The Effect of Age on the Grouping of Open Clusters: The Primordial Group Hypothesis", 2022, Universe, 8(2), 113, doi:10.3390/universe8020113

Casado, J., & Hendy, Y., "Discovery and description of two young open clusters in the primordial group of NGC 6871", 2023, MNRAS, 521, 1399, doi:10.1093/mnras/stad071

Casado, J. and Hendy, Y., "Open superclusters. I. The most populated primordial groups of open clusters in the third quadrant of the Galactic disk", 2024, A&A, 687. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/202347674

Conrad, C., Scholz, R. D., Kharchenko, N. V., et al. 2017, "A RAVE investigation on Galactic open clusters. II. Open cluster pairs, groups and complexes", 2017, A&A, 600, A106. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201630012

de la Fuente Marcos, R., & de la Fuente Marcos, C. "Hierarchical Star Formation in the Milky Way Disk", 2009, ApJ, 700, 436. doi:10.1088/0004-637X/700/1/436

Efremov, Y. N., & Sitnik, T. G. "Young Galactic Star - Gas Complexes", 1988, Soviet Astron. Lett., 14, 347. <https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1988SvAL...14..347E/abstract>

Elmegreen, B. G., "A pressure dependence for bound and unbound clusters", 2009, Ap&SS, 324, 83. doi:10.1007/s10509-009-0131-6

Elmegreen, D. M., Elmegreen, B. G., Adamo, A., et al., 2014, "Hierarchical Star Formation in Nearby LEGUS Galaxies", ApJ, 787, L15, doi:10.1088/2041-8205/787/1/L15

Fellhauer, M., & Kroupa, P. "Star Cluster Survival in Star Cluster Complexes under Extreme Residual Gas Expulsion", 2005, ApJ, 630, 879, doi:10.1086/432110

Gaia Collaboration, Prusti, T., de Bruijne, J.H.J., et al. "The Gaia mission", 2016, A&A, 595, A1. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201629272

Gaia Collaboration, Vallenari, A., Brown, A.G.A., et al., "Gaia Data Release 3. Summary of the content and survey properties", 2023, A&A, 674, A1. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/202243940

Gusev, A.S. & Efremov, Y.N. "Regular chains of star formation complexes in spiral arms of NGC 628", 2013, MNRAS, 434, 313. doi:10.1093/mnras/stt1019

He, Zhihong, Li, Chunyan, Zhong, Jing, et al., "New Open-cluster Candidates Found in the Galactic Disk Using Gaia DR2/EDR3 Data", 2022, ApJS, 260, Issue 1, id.8, 10 pp., doi:10.3847/1538-4365/ac5cbb

Hunt, E. L. and Reffert, S., "Improving the open cluster census. III. Using cluster masses, radii, and dynamics to create a cleaned open cluster catalogue", 2024, A&A, 686, Art. no. A42. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/202348662.

Ishchenko, M., Kovaleva, D.A., Berczik, P., Kharchenko, N.V., Piskunov, A.E., Polyachenko, E., Postnikova, E., et al., "Star-by-star dynamical evolution of the physical pair of the Collinder 135 and UBC 7 open clusters", 2024, A&A, 686, 225. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/202348978.

Kovaleva, D. "Calibration of Uncertainties of the Gaia DR3 Catalog Based on Data on Wide Binary Stars of the Galaxy Field", 2023, Astron. Rep., 67, 938, doi: 10.1134/S106377292309007X.

- Lada, C.J. & Lada, E.A., "Embedded Clusters in Molecular Clouds", 2003, *ARAAP*, 41, 57. doi:10.1146/annurev.astro.41.011802.094844.
- Lindegren, L., Klioner, S.A., Hernández, J., et al., "Gaia Early Data Release 3. The astrometric solution", 2021, *A&A*, 649, A2. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/202039709
- Pang, X., Tang, S.-Y., Li, Y., Yu, Z., Wang, L., Li, J., Li, Y., et al, "3D Morphology of Open Clusters in the Solar Neighborhood with Gaia EDR 3. II. Hierarchical Star Formation Revealed by Spatial and Kinematic Substructures", 2022, *ApJ*, 931, 156. doi 10.3847/1538-4357/ac674e
- Pavlidou, T., Scholz, A., Teixeira, P. S., "The substructure of the Perseus star-forming region: a survey with Gaia DR2", 2021, *MNRAS*, 503, 3232, doi : 10.1093/mnras/stab352
- Perren, G. I., Vázquez, R. A., & Piatti, A. E. 2015, *A&A*, "ASteCA: Automated Stellar Cluster Analysis ", 576, A6, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201424946
- Perren, G. I., Pera, M. S., Navone, H. D., and Vázquez, R. A., "The Unified Cluster Catalogue: towards a comprehensive and homogeneous data base of stellar clusters", 2023, *MNRAS*, 526, 4107. doi:10.1093/mnras/stad2826.
- M. Piecka and E. Paurzen, "Aggregates of clusters in the Gaia data", 2021, *A&A*, 649, A54. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/202040139
- Piskunov, A. E., Kharchenko, N. V., Röser, S., Schilbach, E., & Scholz, R. D., 2006, "Revisiting the population of Galactic open clusters", *A&A*, 445, 545, doi:10.1051/0004-6361:20053764
- Qin S. et al., "Hunting for Neighboring Open Clusters with Gaia DR3: 101 New Open Clusters within 500 pc", 2023, *ApJS*, 265, 12. doi: 10.3847/1538-4365/acadd6
- Röser, S.; Schilbach, E.-; Piskunov, A. E.; Kharchenko, N. V.; Scholz, R. -D., "A deep all-sky census of the Hyades", 2011, *A&A*, 531, A92. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201116948
- Swiggum, C., Alves, J., Benjamin, R. et al. "Most nearby young star clusters formed in three massive complexes", 2024, *Nature*, 631, 49. doi:10.1038/s41586-024-07496-9
- Zari, E., Rix, H.-W., Frankel, N., et al., "Mapping luminous hot stars in the Galaxy", 2021, *A&A*, 650, A112. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/202039726