

QUASI-PROJECTIVE VARIETIES ARE GRASSMANNIANS FOR FULLY EXACT SUBCATEGORIES OF QUIVER REPRESENTATIONS

ALEXANDER PÜTZ AND JULIA SAUTER

ABSTRACT. Reineke and independent other authors proved that every projective variety arises as a quiver Grassmannian. We prove the claim in the title by restricting Reineke's isomorphism to Grassmannians for a fully exact subcategory.

1. INTRODUCTION

Quiver Grassmannians are varieties parameterizing subrepresentations of a fixed dimension vector for a given quiver representation. Projective varieties arise as quiver Grassmannians in several ways, as shown by Reineke [Re13] with a precursor by Hille [Hi96] and further variants by Ringel [Ri17, Ri18].

In Section 2 we introduce open subcategories in the category of modules over a basic finite-dimensional algebra (inspired by Drozd and Greul [DG92]). In Corollary 2.4 we show that open subcategories are always extension-closed. We consider them with the restricted exact structure as a fully exact subcategory. For example, the full subcategory of all modules which are right Hom-orthogonal to a module is open (Example 2.8). In Section 3, we introduce Grassmannians for the above open fully exact subcategories. By construction they are open subschemes in the Grassmannian of the algebra, cf. Lemma 3.3. In Section 4, we restrict Reineke's isomorphism to prove the following:

Theorem 1.1. *(cf. Thm 4.3) Every quasi-projective variety is a Grassmannian for an open fully exact subcategory in the category of quiver representations.*

More precisely, we show that every quasi-projective variety is isomorphic to a Grassmannian of an exact category (denoted by $\text{Gr}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{d}, V)$), where

- (*) Q is an acyclic quiver on three vertices,
- (*) \mathcal{E} consists of Q -representations right Hom-orthogonal to a brick,
- (*) V is a brick,
- (*) \mathbf{d} is a thin dimension vector (i.e. $d_i \leq 1$ for all vertices i of Q).

Acknowledgement. The first author was fully and the second author partially funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – Project-ID 491392403 – TRR 358.

2. OPEN FULLY EXACT SUBCATEGORIES

Let Λ be a basic finite-dimensional algebra and let $\Lambda\text{-mod}$ denote the category of finite-dimensional left Λ -modules over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{K} (see [ASS06, Chapter I] for more detail). For an exposition on exact categories see [Bu10].

Let Q be the quiver associated to Λ with admissible ideal $I \subseteq \mathbb{K}Q$ so that $\Lambda \cong \mathbb{K}Q/I$ (see [ASS06, Theorem II.3.7]). Q_0 denotes the vertices of Q and Q_1 denotes the set of oriented

Date: December 19, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 18G80 14A10.

Key words and phrases. Quasi-projective variety, quiver Grassmannian, exact category.

edges $(\alpha : i \rightarrow j)$. For $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}_0^{Q_0}$, $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{d}}(Q)$ is the variety of \mathbf{d} -dimensional Q -representations:

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{d}}(Q) = \bigoplus_{(\alpha:i \rightarrow j) \in Q_1} \mathbb{K}^{d_j \times d_i}.$$

The group $G_{\mathbf{d}} := \prod_{i \in Q_0} \mathrm{GL}_{d_i}(\mathbb{K})$ acts on $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{d}}(Q)$ via conjugation:

$$g.M := (g_j M_{\alpha} g_i^{-1})_{(\alpha:i \rightarrow j) \in Q_1},$$

for $g \in G_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $M \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{d}}(Q)$. It contains the closed $G_{\mathbf{d}}$ -stable subvariety $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{d}}(\Lambda)$ of \mathbf{d} -dimensional Λ -modules.

Definition 2.1. For an additively closed subcategory $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \Lambda\text{-mod}$, Q the quiver of Λ and $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}_0^{Q_0}$, define $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{X}) := \{M \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{d}}(\Lambda) : M \in \mathcal{X}\}$. Then \mathcal{X} is called

- (i) **open** if for every dimension vector $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}_0^{Q_0}$, the subset $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{X})$ is open in $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{d}}(\Lambda)$ in the Zariski topology. In this case, $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{X})$ is a quasi-affine scheme together with an operation of the reductive group $G_{\mathbf{d}}$.
- (ii) **degeneration-open** if for every dimension vector $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}_0^{Q_0}$, $N \in \overline{G_{\mathbf{d}}.M}$ and $N \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{X})$ imply $M \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{X})$.

In [DG92], open subcategories are defined in the context of bocses.

Remark 2.2. (a) Observe that (i) implies (ii).

- (b) Recall that Λ (with quiver Q) is representation-finite if and only if there are only finitely many $G_{\mathbf{d}}$ -orbits in $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{d}}(\Lambda)$ for every $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}_0^{Q_0}$ (see [ASS06, Def. I.4.11]). If Λ is representation-finite, open and degeneration-open are equivalent, because every $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{X})$ is the complement of finitely many orbit closures.

Theorem 2.3. *Let Λ be a finite-dimensional basic algebra, and $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \Lambda\text{-mod}$ an additively closed subcategory. The following are equivalent*

- (1) \mathcal{X} is degeneration-open,
- (2) \mathcal{X} is extension-closed.

Proof. By [Zwa00, Theorem 1], $N \in \overline{G_{\mathbf{d}}.M}$ is equivalent to the existence of a short exact sequence $N \twoheadrightarrow M \oplus V \twoheadrightarrow V$ for some $V \in \Lambda\text{-mod}$. We assume N in \mathcal{E} . In the proof of [Zwa00, Theorem 1], Zwara constructs a family of modules N_i , $i \geq 1$, $N_1 = N$ with short exact sequences $N_1 \twoheadrightarrow N_{i+1} \twoheadrightarrow N_i$ for all $i \geq 1$ such that $V \cong N_i$ for some $i \gg 1$. Now, using these short exact sequences for $i = 1$, $N_1 \twoheadrightarrow N_2 \twoheadrightarrow N_1$ we conclude that N_2 lies in \mathcal{E} . For $i > 1$, inductively, if N_1, N_i are in \mathcal{E} , then also N_{i+1} is in \mathcal{E} . Therefore, V can be chosen as an object in \mathcal{E} . But this implies that $V \oplus M$ lies in \mathcal{E} . As \mathcal{E} is additively closed, it follows that M lies in \mathcal{E} . Hence, extension-closed implies degeneration-open. For the other direction consider a short exact sequence $U \twoheadrightarrow V \twoheadrightarrow W$ with $U, W \in \mathcal{E}$. This implies $U \oplus W \in \overline{G_{\mathbf{d}}.V}$ and hence $V \in \mathcal{E}$ if \mathcal{E} is degeneration-open. \square

Corollary 2.4. *Let Λ be a finite-dimensional basic algebra, and $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \Lambda\text{-mod}$ an additively closed subcategory. If \mathcal{X} is open then it is extension-closed.*

Corollary 2.5. *If Λ is representation-finite, and $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \Lambda\text{-mod}$ a full additively closed subcategory, the following are equivalent*

- (1) \mathcal{X} is open,
- (2) \mathcal{X} is extension-closed.

Definition 2.6. Let X be a \mathbb{K} -variety, i.e. the \mathbb{K} -valued points of a reduced, separated scheme of finite type over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{K})$. A map $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is **upper semi-continuous** if the subset $\{x \in X : f(x) \leq n\}$ is open (in the Zariski topology) for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. A map f is **lower semi-continuous** if and only if $-f$ is upper semi-continuous.

Lemma 2.7. *Let Λ be a finite-dimensional basic algebra with quiver Q , $X, Y \in \Lambda\text{-mod}$, $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}_0^{Q_0}$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the map*

$$\begin{aligned} [X, -]_{\Lambda}^i : \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{d}}(\Lambda) &\rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0 \\ Y &\mapsto [X, Y]_{\Lambda}^i := \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^i(X, Y) \end{aligned}$$

is upper semi-continuous. The same is true for $[-, Y]_{\Lambda}^i : X \mapsto \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^i(X, Y)$.

We include a proof of this statement, as we could not find a suitable reference.

Proof. By definition (see [ASS06, p.426]), $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^i(X, Y) = \text{Ker } h_{i+1}^* / \text{Im } h_i^*$ where

$$\cdots \longrightarrow P_{i+1} \xrightarrow{h_{i+1}^*} P_i \xrightarrow{h_i^*} P_{i-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{h_1^*} P_0 \xrightarrow{h_0^*} X \longrightarrow 0$$

is a projective resolution of X , and $h_{i+1}^* : \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(P_i, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(P_{i+1}, Y)$ denote the maps in the induced cochain complex. Hence, $[X, Y]_{\Lambda}^i = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(P_i, Y) - \text{rank } h_{i+1}^* - \text{rank } h_i^*$ is upper semi-continuous, because rank functions on matrices are lower semi-continuous, and each h_i^* is a linear map between vector spaces. For $[-, Y]_{\Lambda}^i$, the same arguments apply to the definition of extensions via injective resolutions of Y . \square

Lemma 2.7 provides many examples of open subcategories.

Example 2.8. (a) Fix an object M of $\Lambda\text{-mod}$. The subcategory

$${}^{\perp}M := \{X \in \Lambda\text{-mod} : [X, M]_{\Lambda}^0 = 0\}$$

is open and dually $M^{\perp} = \{X : [M, X]_{\Lambda}^0 = 0\}$ is open. Observe that finite intersections of open subcategories are open. Therefore, for any finite subset $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$

$${}^{\perp I}M := \{X \in \Lambda\text{-mod} : [X, M]_{\Lambda}^i = 0 \forall i \in I\}$$

is open (and resp. $M^{\perp I}$ is open, too).

(b) For every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the subcategory $\mathcal{P}^{\leq n}(\Lambda) := \{X \in \Lambda\text{-mod} : \text{pd}_{\Lambda} X \leq n\}$ is open. To see this: A Λ -module X has projective dimension $\leq n$ if and only if $[X, S]_{\Lambda}^{n+1} = 0$ for all simple Λ -modules S . Let S_1, \dots, S_r be all simple Λ -modules. Then $\mathcal{P}^{\leq n}(\Lambda) = \bigcap_{i=1}^r \{X : [X, S_i]_{\Lambda}^{n+1} = 0\}$ is a finite intersection of opens, therefore open. Analogously, $\mathcal{I}^{\leq n}(\Lambda) := \{X \in \Lambda\text{-mod} : \text{id}_{\Lambda} X \leq n\}$ is open.

Furthermore, as a corollary of [CS02, Thm 1.3 (iii)], we have the following construction rule: For a class of objects \mathcal{X} in $\Lambda\text{-mod}$ we denote by $\text{Filt}(\mathcal{X})$ the full subcategory of $\Lambda\text{-mod}$ given by modules X which possess a sequence of submodules

$$0 = X_0 \subseteq X_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq X_r = X$$

for some $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that X_i/X_{i-1} is in \mathcal{X} for $1 \leq i \leq r$. For any full subcategory \mathcal{Y} of $\Lambda\text{-mod}$, we denote by $\text{add}(\mathcal{Y})$ its closure under direct summands of finite direct sums.

Proposition 2.9. *Assume that $\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2, \dots, \mathcal{X}_r$ are open subcategories and $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^1(X_j, X_i) = 0$ for all (X_i, X_j) in $\mathcal{X}_i \times \mathcal{X}_j$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq r$. Then $\text{add}(\text{Filt}(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_r))$ is also open.*

Proof. Since $\text{Filt}(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_r) = \text{Filt}(\mathcal{X}_r, \text{Filt}(\mathcal{X}_2, \dots, \mathcal{X}_{r-1}))$, by induction it is enough to show the case $r = 2$. Following [CS02] we define for a subset $S \subseteq \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{d}}(\Lambda) \times \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{e}}(\Lambda)$

$$\mathcal{E}(S) := \{M \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{d}+\mathbf{e}}(\Lambda) : \exists \text{ short exact sequence } M_2 \twoheadrightarrow M \twoheadrightarrow M_1 \text{ with } (M_1, M_2) \in S\}$$

By [CS02, Thm 1.3 (iii)], $\mathcal{E}(S)$ is open if $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^1(M_2, M_1) = 0$ for all $(M_1, M_2) \in S$, and if $S = S_1 \times S_2$ we also have $\mathcal{E}(S_2 \times S_1) = \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{d}+\mathbf{e}}(S_2 \oplus S_1) \subset \mathcal{E}(S)$.

Now, let $\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2$ be open and $\mathcal{X} = \text{Filt}(\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2)$ with $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(X_2, X_1) = 0$ for all $(X_1, X_2) \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X}_1) \times \text{Ob}(\mathcal{X}_2)$. Then

$$\mathbf{R}_d(\mathcal{X}) = \bigcup_{\mathbf{d}=\mathbf{e}+\mathbf{f}} \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}_e(\mathcal{X}_1) \times \mathbf{R}_f(\mathcal{X}_2))$$

is a finite union of open subsets (using that $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}_f(\mathcal{X}_2) \times \mathbf{R}_e(\mathcal{X}_1)) \subset \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{R}_e(\mathcal{X}_1) \times \mathbf{R}_f(\mathcal{X}_2))$ by the Ext-vanishing assumption). Therefore, \mathcal{X} is open. The rest of the claim follows from the next lemma. \square

Lemma 2.10. *Let \mathcal{Y} be a full additive subcategory of $\Lambda\text{-mod}$ such that $\mathbf{R}_d(\mathcal{Y})$ is open for all dimension vectors $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}_0^{Q_0}$. Then $\mathcal{X} := \text{add}(\mathcal{Y})$ is open.*

Proof. We consider the closed immersion $i_{\mathbf{d} \oplus \mathbf{e}}: \mathbf{R}_d(\Lambda) \times \mathbf{R}_e(\Lambda) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{d}+\mathbf{e}}(\Lambda)$ given by $(X, Y) \mapsto X \oplus Y$ and the projection $p_1: \mathbf{R}_d(\Lambda) \times \mathbf{R}_e(\Lambda) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_d(\Lambda)$, $(X, Y) \mapsto X$. Hence, $U_{\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e}} := p_1(i_{\mathbf{d} \oplus \mathbf{e}}^{-1}(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{d}+\mathbf{e}}(\mathcal{Y})))$ is an open subset in $\mathbf{R}_d(\Lambda)$, as p_1 is an open map. By definition

$$\mathbf{R}_d(\mathcal{X}) = \bigcup_{\mathbf{e}} U_{\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e}}$$

is a union of open subsets and therefore is also open. \square

Example 2.11. (a) Given M_1, \dots, M_r in $\Lambda\text{-mod}$ with $\text{Ext}^1(M_j, M_i) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq j \leq r$, then $\text{add}(\text{Filt}(M_1, \dots, M_r))$ is open (cf. [CS02, Corollary 1.5]). For example, $\text{add}(\text{Filt}(I, P))$ is open for every projective P and injective I .

An explicit construction of such a collection is found as follows: Fix a numbering S_1, \dots, S_n of the simple Λ -modules, let P_1, \dots, P_n be their respective projective cover. The i -th standard module is the maximal quotient of P_i with composition factors S_j , $j \leq i$. Modules filtered by standard modules is an open subcategory (this does not require any further assumptions such as quasi-heredity), cf. e.g. [Xi02].

(b) Let M_1, \dots, M_r in $\Lambda\text{-mod}$ as in (a) with $\text{Ext}^1(M_j, M_i) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq j \leq r$ and let $M = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq r} M_i$. Then we have

$$\text{add}(\text{Filt}(M_1, \dots, M_r, M^{\perp 1})) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{add}(\text{Filt}({}^{\perp 1}M, M_1, \dots, M_r))$$

are open. For example, for every open subcategory \mathcal{X} and every projective P and injective I we have $\text{add}(\text{Filt}(P, I, \mathcal{X}))$ is also open.

3. THE GRASSMANNIAN OF AN OPEN FULLY EXACT SUBCATEGORY

From now on assume that \mathcal{E} is an open fully exact subcategory of $\Lambda\text{-mod}$.

Definition 3.1. For $\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}_0^{Q_0}$ and $M \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{e}+\mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{E})$, the \mathcal{E} -**Grassmannian** $\text{Gr}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{e}, M)$ is the set

$$\left\{ U = (U_i)_{i \in Q_0} \in \prod_{i \in Q_0} \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{K}}(e_i, M_i) : M|_U \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{e}}(\mathcal{E}) \text{ and } M/U \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{E}) \right\}.$$

Our next goal is to equip this set-theoretic Grassmannian with the structure of an algebraic variety. We follow the approach of [CR08, Section 4]. Let $\text{SES}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{d})$ denote the subset of

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{e}}(\mathcal{E}) \times \prod_{i \in Q_0} \mathbb{K}^{(e_i+d_i) \times e_i} \times \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{e}+\mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{E}) \times \prod_{i \in Q_0} \mathbb{K}^{d_i \times (e_i+d_i)} \times \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{E})$$

consisting of tuples (U, f, M, g, N) such that each $f_i: \mathbb{K}^{e_i} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^{e_i+d_i}$ is injective, each $g_i: \mathbb{K}^{e_i+d_i} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^{d_i}$ is surjective, $\text{Ker } g_i = \text{Im } f_i$, and $M_\alpha f_i = f_j U_\alpha$ and $N_\alpha g_i = f_j M_\alpha$ for all $(\alpha: i \rightarrow j) \in Q_1$. The variety structure on $\text{SES}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{d})$ is obtained from the variety structure on $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{E})$ in combination with the equations arising from the above conditions. For a similar construction, see [CS02, Section 3]. Here, it is crucial to work with 5-tuples

instead of the middle triples to ensure that all three representations in the short exact sequences are in \mathcal{E} and not only in $\Lambda\text{-mod}$. Consider the projections

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & (U, f, M, g, N) & & \\
 & \swarrow & \uparrow \cap & \nwarrow & \\
 & & \text{SES}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{d}) & & \\
 & \swarrow \pi_{\text{infl}} & \downarrow \pi_{\mathbf{e}+\mathbf{d}} & \searrow \pi_{\text{defl}} & \\
 (U, f, M) & & \text{R}_{\mathbf{e}+\mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{E}) & & (M, g, N) \\
 \text{R}_{\mathbf{e}}(\mathcal{E}) \times \prod_{i \in Q_0} \mathbb{K}^{(e_i+d_i) \times e_i} \times \text{R}_{\mathbf{e}+\mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{E}) & & & & \text{R}_{\mathbf{e}+\mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{E}) \times \prod_{i \in Q_0} \mathbb{K}^{d_i \times (e_i+d_i)} \times \text{R}_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathcal{E})
 \end{array}$$

Lemma 3.2. *The maps π_{infl} and π_{defl} are open.*

We add an index \mathcal{E} to emphasize the dependence on the exact category and an index Λ if $\mathcal{E} = \Lambda\text{-mod}$.

Proof. For $\mathcal{E} = \Lambda\text{-mod}$, one can stratify $\text{SES}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{d})$ into locally closed subsets, by fixing $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(M, N)$. Hence, the restricted map is open by [Bo96, Lem.2.1]. This implies the claim for $\mathcal{E} = \Lambda\text{-mod}$. For an open subcategory $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \Lambda\text{-mod}$, $\text{SES}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{d})$ is open in $\text{SES}_{\Lambda}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{d})$ and therefore the claim follows. \square

This implies that the image of $\pi_{\text{infl}_{\mathcal{E}}}$, denoted by $\text{Infl}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{e})$ is an open subset of $\text{Infl}_{\Lambda}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{e})$. In particular $\text{Infl}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{e}, M) = \pi_{\text{infl}_{\mathcal{E}}}(\pi_{\mathbf{e}+\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(M))$ is open and $G_{\mathbf{e}}$ -invariant in $\text{Infl}_{\Lambda}(\mathbf{e}, M) = \pi_{\text{infl}_{\Lambda}}(\pi_{\mathbf{e}+\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(M))$. Here, $G_{\mathbf{e}}$ acts freely on $\text{Infl}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{e}, M)$ via

$$g \cdot (U, f) := ((g_j U_{\alpha} g_i^{-1})_{(\alpha:i \rightarrow j) \in Q_1}, (f_i g_i^{-1})_{i \in Q_0}).$$

The following result generalizes [CR08, Lemma 2]. For more detail also see [Re08, Section 3.2].

Lemma 3.3. *Let \mathcal{E} be an open fully exact subcategory of $\Lambda\text{-mod}$. The \mathcal{E} -Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{e}, M)$ is isomorphic to the geometric quotient $\text{Infl}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{e}, M)/G_{\mathbf{e}}$. In particular, it is an open subvariety of $\text{Gr}_{\Lambda}(\mathbf{e}, M)$.*

Proof. Since $\phi_{\Lambda} : \text{Infl}_{\Lambda}(\mathbf{e}, M) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{\Lambda}(\mathbf{e}, M)$ sending (U, f) to $\bigoplus_{i \in Q_0} f_i(\mathbb{K}^{e_i})$ is a geometric quotient, the same holds for its restriction to an open $G_{\mathbf{e}}$ -invariant subscheme. \square

Remark 3.4. Based on deflations, there is a dual construction of the \mathcal{E} -Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_{\mathcal{E}}(M, \mathbf{d}) = \text{Defl}_{\mathcal{E}}(M, \mathbf{d})/G_{\mathbf{d}}$. In particular, $\text{Gr}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{e}, M) \cong \text{Gr}_{\mathcal{E}}(M, \mathbf{d})$ via $U \mapsto M/U$.

4. QUASI-PROJECTIVE VARIETIES AND GRASSMANNIANS FOR EXACT CATEGORIES

We start by recalling Reineke's isomorphism (from [Re13]). We consider $X = \text{Proj}(R)$ for $R = \mathbb{K}[T_0, \dots, T_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_k)$, with homogeneous polynomials f_i of degree $d(f_i) > 0$, for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Let h_1, \dots, h_s be further homogeneous polynomials such that $\overline{h_i} \in R$ has a positive degree $d(h_j) > 0$. In particular, h_j is not a scalar multiple of any f_i , for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then the open subset $\bigcup_{j=1}^s D_+(\overline{h_j}) \subset X$ equals

$$\{x \in \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{K}) : f_i(x) = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq k \text{ and there exists } 1 \leq j \leq s : h_j(x) \neq 0\}.$$

Assume $d := d(f_i) = d(h_j)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ and all $1 \leq j \leq s$. Otherwise, replace f_i by $f_i^{t_i}$ and h_j by $h_j^{s_j}$ where $\ell = \text{lcm}(d(f_1), \dots, d(f_k), d(h_1), \dots, d(h_s))$, $t_i = \ell/d(f_i)$ and $s_j = \ell/d(h_j)$. This does not change the variety or the open subset. Furthermore, by allowing repetitions in f_1, \dots, f_k and h_1, \dots, h_s respectively, we can assume that $s = k$.

To assign a quiver representation to the equations of the variety X , we use the d -uple embedding of \mathbb{P}^n : This embedding linearizes the describing equations of the variety. Let

$$M_{n,d} := \{(m_0, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n+1} : \sum_{j=0}^n m_j = d\},$$

and let $N := |M_{n,d}|$ denote its cardinality. Define

$$\nu: \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N-1}, \quad [x_0: \dots: x_n] \mapsto [\dots: x_{\mathbf{m}}: \dots]_{\mathbf{m} \in M_{n,d}},$$

where $x_{\mathbf{m}} := x_0^{m_0} \dots x_n^{m_n}$, for $\mathbf{m} = (m_0, \dots, m_n) \in M_{n,d}$

This is a closed embedding called the **d -th Veronese embedding** (or d -uple embedding).

Now, we consider the quiver $Q = (Q_0, Q_1)$ with three vertices 1, 2, 3 and $n+1$ arrows from 2 to 3 and k arrows from 2 to 1. We define the following Q -representations: Let $\{v_{\mathbf{m}} : \mathbf{m} \in M_{n,d}\}$ be a vector space basis of $\mathbb{K}^{M_{n,d}}$. This is the set of all linear maps $M_{n,d} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, given a vector space structure with point-wise addition and scalar multiplication. We denote by φ_i (resp. ψ_i) the linear maps $\mathbb{K}^{M_{n,d}} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ sending $v_{\mathbf{m}} \mapsto a_{\mathbf{m}}^{(i)}$ (resp. $b_{\mathbf{m}}^{(j)}$), corresponding to $f_i = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in M_{n,d}} a_{\mathbf{m}}^{(i)} T^{\mathbf{m}}$, and $h_i = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in M_{n,d}} b_{\mathbf{m}}^{(i)} T^{\mathbf{m}}$ respectively.

Let V be the Q -representation with vector spaces $V_1 = \mathbb{K}$, $V_2 = \mathbb{K}^{M_{n,d}}$, $V_3 = \mathbb{K}^{M_{n,d-1}}$ and linear maps $\varphi_i: V_2 \rightarrow V_1$, for $1 \leq i \leq k$, and $g_j: V_2 \rightarrow V_3$, for $0 \leq j \leq n$ defined by $g_j(v_{\mathbf{m}}) := v_{\mathbf{m}-\mathbf{e}_j}$ if $m_j > 0$ and $g_j(v_{\mathbf{m}}) := 0$ if $m_j = 0$. Here, \mathbf{e}_j denotes the tuple with entry 1 in j -th position. We define the Q -representation W with the same underlying vector spaces $W_i = V_i$, $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $g_j: W_2 \rightarrow W_3$, $0 \leq j \leq n$ defined as in V , but linear maps $\psi_i: W_2 \rightarrow W_1$, for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Theorem 4.1. (Reineke [Re13]) *The map $\text{Gr}_Q(\mathbf{d}, V) \rightarrow \nu(X) \cong X$, $(i: U \rightarrow V) \mapsto \text{Im } i_2$ is an isomorphism, for $\mathbf{d} = (0, 1, 1)$.*

For every $x = (x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{K}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$ we define a representation U_x of Q :

$$0 \begin{array}{c} \longleftarrow \\ \vdots \\ \longleftarrow \end{array} \mathbb{K} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{x_0} \\ \vdots \\ \xrightarrow{x_n} \end{array} \mathbb{K}$$

Two monomorphisms $U_x \rightarrow V$, $U_{x'} \rightarrow V$ have the same image (i.e. are the same point in the Grassmannian) if and only if $[x] = [x'] \in \mathbb{P}^n$. We write $U_{[x]}$ for the image (i.e. the submodule of V), which is as Q -representation isomorphic to U_x .

Lemma 4.2. (i) $\text{Hom}_Q(V, W) = 0 = \text{Hom}_Q(W, V)$ and $\text{End}_Q(W) = \mathbb{K} = \text{End}_Q(V)$.
(ii) If $U_{[x]} \in \text{Gr}_Q(\mathbf{d}, V)$ such that $i: U_x \rightarrow V$ corresponds to $[x] \in X \subset \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{K})$ (i.e. $\nu([x]) = \text{Im } i_2$), then: $\text{Hom}_Q(U_x, W) = 0$ if and only if there exists $1 \leq j \leq k$ such that $h_j(x) \neq 0$.

Proof. (i): Let Q' be the full subquiver on the vertices $\{2, 3\}$. The restricted representations onto this subquiver coincide, i.e. $V'|_{Q'} = W|_{Q'}$. In the last paragraph of [Re13] it is shown that $\text{End}_{Q'}(V') = \mathbb{K}$. More precisely, every endomorphism consists of a pair of linear maps $\Psi_2: V_2 \rightarrow V_2$, $\Psi_3: V_3 \rightarrow V_3$ with $\Psi_2 = C \text{id}_{V_2}$ for $C \in \mathbb{K}$ and Ψ_3 is determined by Ψ_2 . Now, since no h_j is a scalar multiple of the f_i 's, the rest of the claim is clear from the definitions.

(ii): Following the last paragraph of [Re13], $\text{Hom}_Q(U_x, W) \neq 0$ is equivalent to the existence of a monomorphism $U_x \rightarrow W$. By construction of U_x and W , this is equivalent to $h_j(x) = 0$ for all j . \square

Let $\mathcal{E} = {}^\perp W \subset \mathbb{K}Q\text{-mod}$ be the full subcategory consisting of all modules X with $\text{Hom}_Q(X, W) = 0$. This is an open subcategory, cf. Example 2.8, and we consider it equipped with the fully exact structure. Given a monomorphism $U_x \rightarrow V$, then $V \in \mathcal{E}$ and $V/U_x \in \mathcal{E}$ are always fulfilled (by Lemma 4.2 (i) and as \mathcal{E} is closed under factor modules). Therefore, $U_x \rightarrow V \rightarrow V/U_x$ is a short exact sequence in \mathcal{E} if and only if $U_x \in \mathcal{E}$, i.e. $\text{Hom}_Q(U_x, W) = 0$. The previous lemma directly implies:

Theorem 4.3. *Reineke's isomorphism $\text{Gr}_Q(\mathbf{d}, V) \rightarrow \nu(X) \cong X$, $(i: U \rightarrow V) \mapsto \text{Im } i_2$, restricts to an isomorphism of open subvarieties $\text{Gr}_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{d}, V) \rightarrow \bigcup_{j=1}^k D_+(\bar{h}_j)$ where \mathcal{E} is the open fully exact category ${}^\perp W$ as before.*

As our field is algebraically closed, every brick is a Schurian representation and vice versa (i.e. a representation with endomorphism ring isomorphic to \mathbb{K}). Then V, W are Hom-orthogonal bricks by Lemma 4.2.

Remark 4.4. The map is algebraic for the same reasons as in Reineke's setting.

REFERENCES

- [ASS06] I. Assem, A. Skowronski, and D. Simson, *Elements of the Representation Theory of Associative Algebras: Techniques of Representation Theory*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [Bo96] K. Bongartz, *On degenerations and extensions of finite-dimensional modules*, Adv. Math., Volume **121** (1996), 245–287.
- [Bu10] T. Bühler, *Exact categories*, Expositiones Mathematicae, Volume **28** (2010), 1–69.
- [CR08] P. Caldero and M. Reineke, *On the quiver Grassmannian in the acyclic case*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, Volume **212** (2008), no. 11, 2369–2380.
- [CS02] W. Crawley-Boevey and J. Schröer, *Irreducible components of varieties of modules*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, Volume **553** (2002), 201–220.
- [DG92] Y. A. Drozd and G.-M. Greul, *Tame-wild dichotomy for Cohen-Macaulay modules*, Mathematische Annalen, **294** (1992), 387–394.
- [Hi96] L. Hille, *Tilting line bundles and moduli of thin sincere representations of quivers*, pp. 76–82. Representation theory of groups, algebras, and orders (Constanța, 1995).
- [Re08] M. Reineke, *Framed quiver moduli, cohomology, and quantum groups*, Journal of Algebra, **320** (2008), 94–115.
- [Re13] M. Reineke, *Every projective variety is a quiver Grassmannian*, Algebras and Representation Theory, **16** (2013), 1313–1314.
- [Ri17] C. M. Ringel, *The eigenvector variety of a matrix pencil*, Linear Algebra and its Applications, **531** (2017), no. 5, 447–458.
- [Ri18] C. M. Ringel, *Quiver grassmannians for wild acyclic quivers*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, **146** (2018), no. 5, 1873–1877.
- [Xi02] C. Xi, *Standardly stratified algebras and cellular algebras*, Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, **133** (2002), 37–53.
- [Zwa00] G. Zvara, *Degenerations of Finite-Dimensional Modules are Given by Extensions*, Compositio Mathematica, **121** (2000), 205–218.

A. PÜTZ:

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY PADERBORN, WARBURGER STR. 100,
D-33098 PADERBORN, GERMANY

Email address: alexander.puetz@math.uni-paderborn.de

J. SAUTER:

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, BIELEFELD UNIVERSITY, PO BOX 100 131,
D-33501 BIELEFELD, GERMANY

Email address: jsauter@math.uni-bielefeld.de