

A Generic Method for Integrating Lindblad Master Equations

Jiayin Gu^{*1} and Fan Zhang^{†2}

¹School of Physics and Technology, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210023, China

²School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

Abstract

The time evolution of Markovian open quantum systems is governed by Lindblad master equations, whose solution can be formally written as the Lindbladian exponential acting on the initial density matrix. By expanding this Lindbladian exponential into the Taylor series, we propose a generic method for integrating Lindblad master equations. In this method, the series is truncated, retaining a finite number of terms, and the iterative actions of Lindbladian on the density matrix follow the corresponding master equation. While mathematically equivalent to the widely-used vectorization method, our method offers significant improvements in the numerical efficiency, especially for systems with many degrees of freedom. Moreover, our proposed method can be integrated seamlessly with tensor networks. Two illustrative examples, a two-level system exhibiting damped Rabi oscillations and a driven dissipative Heisenberg chain, are used to demonstrate the validity and effectiveness of our method.

1 Introduction

Almost all realistic quantum systems are unavoidably open, constantly interacting with their environments in the form of decoherence and/or heat exchange [1, 2]. These interactions significantly alter the system's dynamics, leading to the emergence of unique features that are absent in closed systems. For example, energy dissipation generally drives the system toward a steady state that the growth of bipartite entanglement is inhibited [3]. Decoherence, traditionally viewed as a detrimental effect on coherent state manipulations, has also been leveraged and engineered for the preparation of highly non-trivial quantum states that are crucial for various applications in quantum computing and quantum simulation [4–9].

When the system-environment coupling is weak, the dynamics of an open quantum system is governed by the Lindblad master equation [10–12], $\dot{\rho} = \mathcal{L}(\rho)$, where ρ is the density matrix and \mathcal{L} , called the "Lindbladian", is a non-Hermitian superoperator acting linearly on ρ . While there are few cases where the Lindblad master equation can be exactly solved, such as the boundary-driven XXZ model [13] and Bose-Hubbard model [14], in most cases we have to resort to numerical methods. One widely adopted method is the vectorization method, which recasts the Lindblad master equation into the familiar matrix-vector form by concatenating the rows or columns of the density matrix into a single vector, and constructing the Lindbladian as a big matrix. As a result, the new state space is expanded. Alternatively, quantum jump method, also known as the Monte Carlo wave function (MCWF) method, unravels the ensemble-averaged evolution in terms of individual quantum trajectories [15–18]. In this method, we only need to propagate pure states which can be represented as vectors. The expense to pay is having to sample over many realizations.

As with their closed counterparts, exact numerical calculations for open many-body quantum systems are always hindered by the curse of dimensionality. Tensor networks have emerged as powerful tools for studying strongly correlated quantum many-body systems [19–23]. These techniques have also been extended to open systems using the vectorization method [24, 25]. However, the vectorization method

*gujiayin@njnu.edu.cn

†van314159@pku.edu.cn

enlarges the matrix representation of the Lindbladian, leading to substantial redundancy that contradicts the spirit of tensor networks. This results in significant computational overhead, especially for large Hilbert spaces. Here, we propose a generic method for integrating the Lindblad master equation that circumvents the dimension-inflation issue inherent in the vectorization method. In this method, the Lindbladian exponential is expanded into a truncated Taylor series. Each term involves iterative actions of the Lindbladian on the initial density matrix, following the corresponding master equation. Compared to the vectorization method, our method offers superior numerical efficiency, particularly in systems with many degrees of freedom. Furthermore, it integrates seamlessly with tensor networks. We demonstrate the effectiveness of our method through two examples: a two-level system exhibiting damped Rabi oscillations and a driven dissipative Heisenberg chain.

2 Lindblad Master Equation

Under the Born-Markov approximation, the dynamics of an open quantum system is described by the Lindblad master equation, reading

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = \mathcal{L}\rho = \frac{i}{\hbar}[\rho, H] + \sum_i \left(L_i \rho L_i^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \{L_i^\dagger L_i, \rho\} \right), \quad (1)$$

where $[A, B] = AB - BA$ and $\{A, B\} = AB + BA$ are respectively the commutator and anticommutator of two operators, H is the system Hamiltonian describing the unitary aspect of the dynamics, and $\{L_i\}$ are a set of Lindblad operators describing the nonunitary/dissipative part of the dynamics. The index $i = 1, 2, \dots$ identifies type of quantum jump, e.g., the removal/injection of particles from/into the open system. The formal solution of the Lindblad master equation (1) is given by

$$\rho(t) = e^{\mathcal{L}t} \rho(0), \quad (2)$$

whose structure is similar to that of Schrödinger equation or classical master equation.

3 Vectorization Method

The mathematical trick rooted in the vectorization method is the so-called "Choi isomorphism". It states that the coefficients of a matrix can be rewritten as those of a vector. Specifically, it consists of stacking the columns of $\rho = \sum_{m,n} \rho_{mn} |m\rangle\langle n|$ into a single column vector $|\rho\rangle\rangle$ (column-wise vectorization with the first column on the top and last column on the bottom)¹. Correspondingly, the Lindbladian \mathcal{L} in the matrix form is constructed as²

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} (I \otimes H - H^T \otimes I) + \sum_i \left[L_i^* \otimes L_i - \frac{1}{2} \left(I \otimes L_i^\dagger L_i + (L_i^\dagger L_i)^T \otimes I \right) \right], \quad (3)$$

where I represents the identity matrix matching the dimension of H , L_i^* denotes the complex conjugate of L_i , and \otimes stands for the tensor product. Similar procedure exists for row-wise vectorization. Because ρ is a $d \times d$ matrix, then $|\rho\rangle\rangle$ is a d^2 -dimensional vector, and \mathcal{L} becomes a $d^2 \times d^2$ matrix. After computing $e^{\mathcal{L}t} |\rho\rangle\rangle$, the resulting vector can be converted back into matrix form to obtain the density matrix $\rho(t)$ at time t .

4 Matrix Exponential

Let's now delve into the details of how the matrix exponential is computed. We refer to Ref. [26], where nineteen dubious ways to compute the exponential of a matrix are compiled, including methods through,

¹This map is a linear isometry between $d \times d$ Liouville space of ρ and the d^2 Hilbert space $|\rho\rangle\rangle$. It preverse norms with the correspondence between trace norm and L^2 norm, $\sqrt{\text{Tr}(\rho^\dagger \rho)} = \sqrt{\langle\langle \rho | \rho \rangle\rangle}$.

²For any matrices A , B , and X , we have $|AXB\rangle\rangle = (I \otimes A)|XB\rangle\rangle = (I \otimes A)(B^T \otimes I)|X\rangle\rangle = (B^T \otimes A)|X\rangle\rangle$ for the column-wise vectorization.

e.g., approximation theory, differential equations, matrix eigenvalues. Here, we focus on two methods based on approximation theory. The first one to mention is that by definition. For each complex matrix M , the exponential function is defined by the following Taylor series expansion,

$$e^M = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{M^k}{k!} = 1 + M + \frac{M^2}{2!} + \frac{M^3}{3!} + \dots, \quad (4)$$

which converges for all complex matrices of any finite dimension. The function `gsl_linalg_exponential_ss` offered in GNU Scientific Library (GSL) implements the matrix exponential in this way [27]. The second and also more widely adopted one is by representing the matrix exponential as Padé approximants [28],

$$e^M \approx R_{m,n}(M) = \frac{P_m(M)}{Q_n(M)}, \quad (5)$$

where $P_m(M)$ and $Q_n(M)$ are polynomials of degrees m and n , respectively. The Padé approximants can be thought of as generalizations of the Taylor series; when the denominator takes $Q_0(M) = 1$, the Padé approximants reduce to Taylor series. For numerical calculations in practice, the diagonal Padé approximants ($m = n$) are more favored than off-diagonal ones ($m \neq n$), and in this case the polynomials are explicitly given by

$$P_m(M) = \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{(2m-k)!m!}{(2m)!(m-k)!} \frac{M^k}{k!}, \quad (6)$$

$$Q_m(M) = P_m(-M). \quad (7)$$

The diagonal Padé approximants are believed to give more accurate result than with truncated Taylor series, and are thus widely implemented, e.g., in `expm` function of Scipy [29], or in `exp` function in Julia [30, 31]. The two methods are often combined with scaling and squaring technique to improve the accuracy. The technique scales the matrix by a power of 2 to reduce the norm, computes a truncated Taylor series or Padé approximants to the scaled matrix exponential, and then repeatedly squares to undo the effect of the scaling, $e^M = (e^{M/2^s})^{2^s}$. This technique efficiently balances the need for accuracy with computational feasibility, making it a popular choice for computing matrix exponentials in scientific computing. A catalog of software for matrix functions, including matrix exponential, is provided in Ref. [32]. For more comprehensive reference on matrix exponential, readers are directed to Ref. [33].

5 Proposed Method

With the above account about matrix exponential, we analyze the numerical complexity involved in integrating the Lindblad master equation (1). We are now aware that the underlying operation of matrix exponential is matrix-matrix product. If we define multiplication of two complex number as a unit of computation, then in the vectorization method the Lindbladian exponential $e^{\mathcal{L}t}$ has the numerical complexity of $O(d^6)$, which grows rapidly with the dimension of Hilbert space. To alleviate this issue, we propose a generic method to integrate the Lindblad master equation (1). We expand the Lindbladian exponential in Taylor series,

$$\rho(t) = e^{\mathcal{L}t} \rho(0) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} \mathcal{L}^k \rho(0), \quad (8)$$

indicating that we can iteratively apply \mathcal{L} on $\rho(0)$ according to the rule specified by the Lindblad master equation. In this way, each term can be evaluated, and the sum of them gives the desired result. It can be easily checked that the trace is preserved as $\text{Tr}[\mathcal{L}^k \rho] = 0$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Considering that the action of \mathcal{L} on ρ only involves the matrix multiplication of the Hamiltonian H and Lindblad operators $\{L_i\}$ on both sides, and all of these are $d \times d$ matrices, the numerical complexity in Eq. (8) scales as $O(d^3)$, which is largely reduced compared with that from vectorization method. This offers a huge advantage in numerical efficiency especially when dimension of Hilbert space is very large.

In practical calculations, the Taylor series (8) is truncated up to a finite number of terms. The time step is taken as a small quantity so that the truncated Taylor series represent a sufficiently good approximation to the matrix exponential. The number of terms of truncated Taylor series is a well




Figure 1: The time evolution of the density matrix of the dissipative two-level system initially in the excited state $|1\rangle$. The two diagonal elements are shown here. The solid lines are results calculated according to the proposed method, while the starred points according to the vectorization method. The parameter values adopted in numerical simulations are $E = \Omega = 1.0$ and $\Gamma = 0.5$. The time step is $dt = 0.1$. The Taylor series in the proposed method is truncated up to the 10-th order.

controlled parameter in practical calculations. This allows us to improve the accuracy as we want. Besides, the relatively smaller number of computations in Eq. (8) generally leads to smaller accumulated round-off error. Although the Padé approximants are believed to give more accurate result for matrix exponential, in the specific case of integrating Lindblad master equations the proposed method based on truncated Taylor series is at least not worse than the vectorization method in terms of the numerical accuracy. Last but not least, we should also point out that, although the Taylor series in the proposed method is truncated, the error thus introduced is unavoidable. As a matter of fact, all matrix exponential functions based on Padé approximants have this issue. Thus, the proposed method can be deemed as numerically exact.

6 Illustrative Examples

In order to demonstrate the validity of the proposed method, we consider two illustrative examples. The first one is the scenario for an one-body system whose density matrix is represented as a matrix of relatively small dimension. The Lindblad master equation is integrated with both the proposed method and the vectorization method. The second one is the scenario for a many-body system. In this case, all calculation are performed in tensor network formulations. The evolution of the density matrix is calculated with the proposed method and also from the unraveled quantum trajectories.

In the first example, a dissipative two-level system interacting with an electromagnetic field is considered. The Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = E |1\rangle\langle 1| + \Omega (|0\rangle\langle 1| + |1\rangle\langle 0|), \quad (9)$$

where we have fixed the energy of the ground state $|0\rangle$ as zero and E is the energy of the excited state $|1\rangle$. Here, Ω is the frequency of driving induced by the electromagnetic field. The system then coherently switches between both states, and the populations present Rabi oscillations. This system also decays from the excited state $|1\rangle$ to the ground state $|0\rangle$ by spontaneously emitting a photon. The relevant Lindblad operator is

$$L = \sqrt{\Gamma} |0\rangle\langle 1|, \quad (10)$$

where Γ is the decay rate due to the coupling with the environment. For this system, we calculate the evolution of the density matrix according to the Lindblad master equation with both the proposed method and the vectorization method. The results are shown in Figure 1. Initially, the system is in a pure state $|1\rangle$, i.e., $\rho_{1,1}(0) = \langle 1|\rho(0)|1\rangle = 1.0$. As time goes, the component $\rho_{0,0} = \langle 0|\rho|0\rangle$ emerges while the trace is preserved, $\rho_{0,0} + \rho_{1,1} = 1.0$. The striking agreement between the results strongly supports the validity of the proposed method.




Figure 2: Quantum trajectories of the matrix product state (MPS). The ensemble-averaged evolution of the system’s density matrix can be unraveled in terms of individual quantum trajectories, each consisting of abrupt jumps occurring at random times and non-Hermitian evolution in between them. The effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian is defined by $H_{\text{eff}} = H - \frac{i\hbar}{2} \sum_k L_k^\dagger L_k$. The non-Hermitian evolution operator $e^{-iH_{\text{eff}}dt/\hbar}$ is represented as a matrix product operator (MPO, circumbed by the dashed line) that is constructed from truncated Taylor series, in the same spirit as the proposed method. The quantum jump as sketched in the figure corresponds to a spin lowering operator acting on the rightmost site. The density matrix is represented by matrix product density operator (MPDO, or simply MPO), which can be constructed from individual quantum trajectories.

The second example is a boundary-driven dissipative Heisenberg chain with the Hamiltonian given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 H &= -J \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_{i+1} \\
 &= -J \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (S_i^x S_{i+1}^x + S_i^y S_{i+1}^y + S_i^z S_{i+1}^z),
 \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where $\mathbf{S}_i = (S_i^x, S_i^y, S_i^z)$ is the spin operator at the i -th site, and J the coupling constant. Through a Jordan-Wigner transformation this system can be mapped into a spinless fermion Hubbard model with nearest-neighboring interactions. It models dc transport of fermions in a quantum wire if the two edges are in contact with reservoirs embodying Markov channels. As such, two Lindblad operators

$$L_L = \sqrt{2\Gamma} S_1^+, \quad L_R = \sqrt{2\Gamma} S_N^-, \tag{12}$$

are introduced at the leftmost site (source) and rightmost site (drain), respectively. Here, $S_1^+ \equiv S_1^x + iS_1^y$ and $S_N^- \equiv S_N^x - iS_N^y$ are the spin raising and lowering operators.

In the following, we exploit the power of tensor networks to perform numerical calculations. The density matrix is represented as a matrix product density operator (MPDO) or simply matrix product operator (MPO) [34]. The Hamiltonian and Lindblad operators are also represented as MPOs [35]. The proposed method works very fine in tensor network formulations; we just need to intuitively understand MPOs as matrices. For convenience, the system is initially prepared in a pure state. Then, we use the proposed method to calculate the density matrix at subsequent times.

The vectorization method can also be employed to study the mixed-state dynamics of one-dimensional lattice system with tensor networks [36]. The basic idea is to turn the MPDO into the matrix product state (MPS). In the language of tensor network diagrams, it can be regarded as reshaping one of the legs and gluing it to the other. Then, the time-evolving block decimation (TEBD) [37, 38] or the time-dependent variational principle (TDVP) [39, 40] can be used to simulate the real time Markovian dynamics if the Lindbladian decomposes into terms of nearest-neighbor couplings. In this way of simulation, however, the positivity of the density matrix is not guaranteed and checking the positivity is known to be an issue [41]. A possible workaround is the algorithm provided in Ref. [42], where at every stage ρ is kept in its locally purified $\rho = XX^\dagger$, thereby ensuring positivity at all times during the evolution. In order to




Figure 3: The time evolution of the density matrix of the dissipative Heisenberg chain which consists of 5 spins. The system starts its evolution from a pure product state $|\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$. Two diagonal elements $\rho_{1,1} \equiv \langle\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow|\rho|\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$ and $\rho_{2,2} \equiv \langle\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow|\rho|\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$ are shown as representatives. The solid lines are results calculated according to the proposed method, while the starred points according to the quantum jump method. The parameter values $J = \Gamma = 1.0$ are adopted in numerical simulations. The time step $dt = 0.1$ is taken, and 1000 stochastic trajectories are generated to give the average evolution behavior. In the proposed method, terms up to order 10 are retained.

simplify the numerical implementation, we choose to benchmark the proposed method with the quantum jump method, which makes use of a stochastic unraveling of the master equation and then employs pure state techniques. Moreover, this method can work along with the tensor networks, i.e., generating quantum trajectories of pure states represented as the MPSs [43]. In the numerical simulation, 5 spins are specified for the system, and $N = 1000$ quantum trajectories $\{|\psi_i(t)\rangle\}_{i=1}^N$ are sampled starting from the same initial pure product state $|\psi(0)\rangle \equiv |\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$. Then the density matrix at subsequent times can be constructed as follows

$$\rho(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N |\psi_i(t)\rangle\langle\psi_i(t)|. \quad (13)$$

The density matrix constructed in this way is positive and trace-preserving. Since the trajectories are independent from each other, the statistical error associated with the constructed density matrix is estimated as $\Delta\rho \sim 1/\sqrt{N} = 1/\sqrt{1000}$, which is down to a few percents. Figure 2 shows the generality of the quantum jump method. The non-Hermitian evolution $e^{-iH_{\text{eff}}dt/\hbar}$ is calculated with the proposed method. This evolution can also be realized with TEBD or TDVP, which actually gives the same result as that of the proposed method. This indicates that the proposed method can also be used to simulate the dynamics of pure states. In Figure 3, two diagonal elements of the density matrix calculated from quantum trajectories are compared with the results obtained from the proposed method. The clear agreement is found, and this again supports the validity of the proposed method.

The computer program for two illustrative examples is coded in Julia, and the ITensor library [44] is used additionally for the tensor-network calculations in simulating the dissipative dynamics of Heisenberg chain. Interested readers are also referred to Ref. [45] for a comprehensive and up-to-date snapshot of software for tensor computations.

7 Conclusion

In this work, we have proposed a generic method for integrating Lindblad master equations. This method is simple yet powerful, exploiting the definition of Lindbladian exponential expressed as the Taylor series expansion. The action of the Lindbladian directly transforms into the multiplications of the Hamiltonian and Lindblad operators on both sides of the density matrix. Compared with the vectorization method where the density matrix is turned into a vector and the Lindbladian into an enlarged matrix, the proposed method is much more numerically efficient. Thus, it allows to access open quantum systems whose underlying Hilbert space is much larger. We have discussed two benchmark cases, with the second one in the formulation of tensor networks. The validity of the proposed method is strongly supported.

To conclude, the proposed method is more advantageous, and we expect that it facilitates further research into the nonequilibrium dynamics of open quantum systems.

References

- [1] H.-P. Breuer and F. Petruccione. *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems*. Oxford University Press, 2002.
- [2] Ángel Rivas and Susana F. Huelga. *Open Quantum Systems: An Introduction*. Springer, 2012.
- [3] Leandro Aolita, Fernando de Melo, and Luiz Davidovich. “Open-System Dynamics of Entanglement: a Key Issues Review”. In: *Reports on Progress in Physics* 78, 042001 (2015).
- [4] S. Diehl, A. Micheli, A. Kantian, et al. “Quantum States and Phases in Driven Open Quantum Systems with Cold Atoms”. In: *Nature Physics* 4 (2008), pp. 878–883.
- [5] Frank Verstraete, Michael M. Wolf, and J. Ignacio Cirac. “Quantum Computation and Quantum-State Engineering Driven by Dissipation”. In: *Nature Physics* 5 (2009), pp. 633–636.
- [6] Hendrik Weimer, Markus Müller, Igor Lesanovsky, et al. “A Rydberg Quantum Simulator”. In: *Nature Physics* 6 (2010), pp. 382–388.
- [7] I. M. Georgescu, S. Ashhab, and Franco Nori. “Quantum Simulation”. In: *Reviews of Modern Physics* 86 (2014), pp. 153–185.
- [8] Yoshiro Takahashi. “Quantum Simulation of Quantum Many-Body Systems with Ultracold Two-Electron Atoms in an Optical Lattice”. In: *Proceedings of the Japan Academy, Series B* 98 (2022), pp. 141–160.
- [9] Andrew J. Daley. “Twenty-Five Years of Analogue Quantum Simulation”. In: *Nature Reviews Physics* 5 (2023), pp. 702–703.
- [10] G. Lindblad. “On the Generators of Quantum Dynamical Semigroups”. In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 48 (1976), pp. 119–130.
- [11] Vittorio Gorini, Andrzej Kossakowski, and E. C. G. Sudarshan. “Completely Positive Dynamical Semigroups of N-Level Systems”. In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 17 (1976), pp. 821–825.
- [12] Daniel Manzano. “A Short Introduction to the Lindblad Master Equation”. In: *AIP Advances* 10, 025106 (2020).
- [13] Tomaž Prosen. “Exact Nonequilibrium Steady State of a Strongly Driven Open XXZ Chain”. In: *Physical Review Letters* 107, 137201 (2011).
- [14] Masaya Nakagawa, Norio Kawakami, and Masahito Ueda. “Exact Liouvillian Spectrum of a One-Dimensional Dissipative Hubbard Model”. In: *Physical Review Letters* 126, 110404 (2021).
- [15] Howard Carmichael. *An Open Systems Approach to Quantum Optics*. Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [16] Howard M. Wiseman and Gerard J. Milburn. *Quantum Measurement and Control*. Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- [17] Andrew J. Daley. “Quantum Trajectories and Open Many-Body Quantum Systems”. In: *Advances in Physics* 63 (2014), pp. 77–149.
- [18] M. B. Plenio and P. L. Knight. “The Quantum-Jump Approach to Dissipative Dynamics in Quantum Optics”. In: *Reviews of Modern Physics* 70 (1998), pp. 101–144.
- [19] F. Verstraete, V. Murg, and J. I. Cirac. “Matrix Product States, Projected Entangled Pair States, and Variational Renormalization Group Methods for Quantum Spin Systems”. In: *Advances in Physics* 57 (2008), pp. 143–224.
- [20] Román Orús. “Tensor Network for Complex Quantum Systems”. In: *Nature Reviews Physics* 1 (2019), pp. 538–550.
- [21] J. Ignacio Cirac, David Pérez-García, Norbert Schuch, et al. “Matrix Product States and Projected Entangled Pair States: Concepts, Symmetries, Theorems”. In: *Reviews of Modern Physics* 93, 045003 (2021).
- [22] Mari Carmen Bañuls. “Tensor Network Algorithms: A Route Map”. In: *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics* 14, 173–191 (2023).

- [23] Tao Xiang. *Density Matrix and Tensor Network Renormalization*. Cambridge University Press, 2023.
- [24] Hayate Nakano, Tatsuhiko Shirai, and Takashi Mori. “Tensor Network Approach to Thermalization in Open Quantum Many-Body Systems”. In: *Physical Review E* 103, L040102 (2021).
- [25] Hendrik Weimer, Augustine Kshetrimayum, and Román Orús. “Simulation Methods for Open Quantum Many-Body Systems”. In: *Reviews of Modern Physics* 93, 015008 (2021).
- [26] Cleve Moler and Charles van Loan. “Nineteen Dubious Ways to Compute the Exponential of a Matrix, Twenty-Five Years Later”. In: *SIAM Review* 45 (2003), pp. 3–49.
- [27] Mark Galassi, Jim Davies, James Theiler, et al. *GNU Scientific Library, Release 2.7*. 2021.
- [28] Jr. George A. Baker. *Essentials of Padé Approximants*. Academic Press, 1975.
- [29] SciPy Community. *SciPy Reference Guide, Release 1.8.1*. 2022.
- [30] The Julia Project. *The Julia Language*. 2024.
- [31] Jeff Bezanson, Alan Edelman, Stefan Karpinski, et al. “Julia: A Fresh Approach to Numerical Computing”. In: *SIAM Review* 59 (2017), pp. 65–98.
- [32] Nicholas J. Higham and Edvin Deadman. “A Catalogue of Software for Matrix Functions, Version 3.0”. 2020.
- [33] Nicholas J. Higham. *Functions of Matrices: Theory and Computation*. SIAM, 2008.
- [34] F. Verstraete, J. J. García-Ripoll, and J. I. Cirac. “Matrix Product Density Operators: Simulation of Finite-Temperature and Dissipative Systems”. In: *Physical Review Letters* 93, 207204 (2004).
- [35] B. Pirvu, V. Murg, J. I. Cirac, et al. “Matrix Product Operator Representations”. In: *New Journal of Physics* 12, 025012 (2010).
- [36] Michael Zwolak and Guifré Vidal. “Mixed-State Dynamics in One-Dimensional Quantum Lattice Systems: A Time-Dependent Superoperator Renormalization Algorithm”. In: *Physical Review Letters* 93, 207205 (2004).
- [37] Guifré Vidal. “Efficient Classical Simulation of Slightly Entangled Quantum Computations”. In: *Physical Review Letters* 91, 147902 (2003).
- [38] Guifré Vidal. “Efficient Simulation of One-Dimensional Quantum Many-Body Systems”. In: *Physical Review Letters* 93, 040502 (2004).
- [39] Jutho Haegeman, J. Ignacio Cirac, Tobias J. Osborne, et al. “Time-Dependent Variational Principle for Quantum Lattices”. In: *Physical Review Letters* 107, 070601 (2011).
- [40] Jutho Haegeman, Christian Lubich, Ivan Oseledets, et al. “Unifying Time Evolution and Optimization with Matrix Product States”. In: *Physical Review B* 94, 165116 (2016).
- [41] M. Kliesch, D. Gross, and J. Eisert. “Matrix-Product Operators and States: NP-Hardness and Undecidability”. In: *Physical Review Letters* 113, 160503 (2014).
- [42] A. H. Werner, D. Jaschke, P. Silvi, et al. “Positive Tensor Network Approach for Simulating Open Quantum Many-Body Systems”. In: *Physical Review Letters* 116, 237201 (2016).
- [43] Guillermo Preisser. “Numerical Studies of Entanglement Dynamics in Open Spin Systems”. PhD thesis. Université de Strasbourg, 2023.
- [44] Matthew Fishman, Steven R. White, and E. Miles Stoudenmire. “The ITensor Software Library for Tensor Network Calculations”. In: *SciPost Physics Codebases*, 4 (2020).
- [45] Christos Psarras, Lars Karlsson, Jiajia Li, et al. “The Landscape of Software for Tensor Computations”. In: (2022). arXiv: [2103.13756v3](https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.13756v3).