

ON SOME NEW RICCI FLOW INVARIANT CURVATURE CONDITIONS

YIYAN XU

ABSTRACT. We would like to study new Ricci flow invariant curvature conditions. Specifically, we provide quantitative evidence for an unpublished conjecture of Böhm and Wilking (see Conjecture 1). As an application, we study the topology of manifolds with pinched curvature.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

One of the fundamental problems in Riemannian geometry is studying the interaction between curvature and topology of manifolds. The famous sphere theorem states that a complete, simply connected, $1/4$ -pinched manifold is homomorphic to a sphere. This result was proven by Berger [3] and Klingenberg [17] around 1960s using comparison techniques. More recently, Brendle and Schoen [9] showed that the homeomorphism can be sharpened to diffeomorphism by using Ricci flow method, and the theorem is referred as the Differentiable Sphere Theorem.

The Ricci flow was introduced by Hamilton [13] in 1982. In this fundamental paper [13], Hamilton showed that on three-dimensional closed manifolds, the normalized Ricci flow evolves the metrics with strictly positive Ricci curvature to constant curvature limit metrics. Consequently, the manifolds are diffeomorphic to spherical space forms. In the subsequent works of many authors, it turned out that the Ricci flow works for any dimension, provided that the initial curvature of the manifolds are pinched in certain sense. Now the Ricci flow has been found to be a powerful tool to study this kind of the Differentiable Sphere Theorem.

In [16], Huisken proved that n -dimensional closed manifolds are diffeomorphic to spherical space forms if their curvature do not deviate much from that of the spheres with constant sectional curvature, i.e., the norm of Weyl curvature and the traceless Ricci tensor are small compared to the scalar curvature at each point. In [14] Hamilton showed that 4-dimensional closed manifolds are diffeomorphic to spherical space forms provided that the manifolds admit metrics with positive curvature operators, which was later extended by Chen [10] to closed four-manifolds with two positive curvature operators. In a celebrated paper, Böhm and Wilking [5] further generalized Hamilton [14] and Chen's [10] results to manifolds with 2-positive curvature operators in all dimensions. Most importantly, Hamilton [14] introduced the maximum principle for tensors and pinching sets for Ricci flow, which serve as a mandatory convergence criterion for Ricci flow today. While Böhm and Wilking [5] provided a generally effective method for constructing curvature pinching sets by deforming curvature pinching families from known Ricci flow invariant curvature sets. Soon thereafter, many other Ricci flow invariant curvature conditions were

Date: December 19, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C20; 53E20.

Key words and phrases. Ricci flow; Curvature operator; Sphere theorem; Kähler manifold.

studied, such as PIC1 and PIC2 [9], PIC [8], etc. There is also a unified construction due to Wilking [30] which recovers most of the Ricci flow invariant curvature conditions.

According to the irreducible decomposition theory (2.5) for the space of Riemannian curvature operators, the curvature operators can be decomposed into three parts,

$$R = R_I + R_{\text{Ric}_0} + W,$$

where R_I , R_{Ric_0} , and W denote the scalar curvature part, the traceless Ricci part, and the Weyl curvature part respectively, which will be defined precisely in (2.6). In particular, $R = R_I$ corresponds to the curvature operator of the sphere S^n with constant sectional curvature. More precisely, Huisken [16] considered the following curvature cones:

$$\Theta(\delta) = \left\{ R \in S_B^2(\mathfrak{so}(n)) \mid \|W\|^2 + \|R_{\text{Ric}_0}\|^2 \leq \delta \|R_I\|^2, \text{scal}(R) > 0 \right\},$$

here $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm of curvature operators. Note that $\Theta(0) = \mathbb{R}^+I$, the cone of curvature operators with constant positive sectional curvature, and the cones $\Theta(\delta)$ are strictly convex. Huisken [16] showed that the curvature cones $\Theta(\delta)$ are preserved by Ricci flow for $\delta \leq \delta_n := 2/((n-2)(n+1))$ (in dimension 5, one need that $\delta_5 = 1/10$). Furthermore, on a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) with the curvature operator at each point is contained in the interior of $\Theta(\delta)$ for some $\delta \in (0, \delta_n]$, Huisken [16] showed that the normalized Ricci flow evolves g to a constant curvature limit metric (see also Margerin [23]). Therefore, M is diffeomorphic to a spherical space form. As pointed out by Huisken [16], the constant δ_n , $n \geq 6$, is the largest value of δ such that the interior of $\Theta(\delta)$ is contained in $\{R \in S_B^2(\mathfrak{so}(n)) \mid R > 0\}$, the cone of positive curvature operators. Therefore, Huisken's theorem in [16] was included in the latest theorems in [14] and [5].

An unpublished conjecture of Böhm and Wilking says that:

Conjecture 1. [2, Section 6.3.2] Let $n \geq 12$, for $a \in [0, n/4]$, the curvature cones

$$(1.1) \quad \Omega(a) = \left\{ R \in S_B^2(\mathfrak{so}(n)) \mid \left(\frac{n-2}{4} + a \right) \|R\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} |\text{Ric}|^2, \text{scal} > 0 \right\}.$$

are invariant under the Hamilton ODE (2.28) and preserved by Ricci flow (2.22).

With the decomposition of Riemannian curvature operators (2.5) again, the curvature cones $\Omega(a)$ in (1.1) are also characterized by

$$(1.2) \quad \Omega(a) = \left\{ R \in S_B^2(\mathfrak{so}(n)) \mid a \|R_{\text{Ric}_0}\|^2 + \frac{n-2+4a}{4} \|W\|^2 \leq \frac{n-4a}{4} \|R_I\|^2, \text{scal} > 0 \right\}.$$

Note that for $a > n/4$ the sets $\Omega(a)$ are empty. For $a = n/4$, $\Omega(n/4) = \mathbb{R}^+I$. Moreover, for $a \geq 0$ the cones $\Omega(a)$ are convex and in the case that $a > 0$ even strictly convex.

Beitz considered the curvature cones $\Omega(a)$ in her thesis [2] and derived some rigidity results for complete Einstein manifolds and complete shrinking gradient Ricci solitons based on the conjecture of Böhm and Wilking. Some evidence for the conjecture of Böhm and Wilking is the following [2, Lemma 6.3.16]: there exists some $\epsilon > 0$, but no estimate for the value of ϵ , such that $\overline{\Omega(a)} = \Omega(a) \cup \{0\}$ respectively $\Omega(a)$ are invariant under the Hamilton ODE (2.28) for $a \in [n/4 - \epsilon, n/4]$.

In this paper, we provide quantitative evidence for the conjecture of Böhm and Wilking by specifying an estimate for the value of ϵ which depends only on the dimension n of the manifolds.

Theorem 1.1. There exists

$$(1.3) \quad \epsilon_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n}, & \text{if } n \geq 11, \\ \frac{n^2(n-1)}{2(3n-2)(2n^2-4n+1)}, & \text{if } 4 \leq n \leq 10, \end{cases}$$

such that the curvature cones $\overline{\Omega(a)}$ respectively $\Omega(a)$ are invariant under the Hamilton ODE (2.28) and thus define Ricci flow invariant curvature conditions for $a \in [n/4 - \epsilon_n, n/4]$.

We would like to point out that Beitz's proof relies on a second derivative test which essentially says that the curvature operators with constant curvature are local attractors for the Hamilton ODE (2.28), while our proof relies on the quantitative estimate on algebraic curvature operators which track back to Huisken [16]. On the one hand, the curvature cones $\Omega(a)$ for $a \in [n/4 - \epsilon_n, n/4]$ in Theorem 1.1 are contained in the cone of curvature operators with positive Ricci curvature, see Corollary 2.11. On the other hand, it is easy to see that

$$(1.4) \quad \Omega(n/4 - \epsilon'_n) \subset \Theta(\delta_n) \subset \Omega(n/4 - \epsilon_n),$$

where $\epsilon'_n = 1/(2(n-1))$, $\delta_n = 2/((n-2)(n+1))$, and $\epsilon_n = 1/n$. In particular, when $n \geq 11$, the curvature cone $\Omega(n/4 - \epsilon_n)$ in our Theorem 1.1 is slightly larger than the largest curvature cone $\Theta(\delta_n)$ in Huisken's Theorem [16]. However, the exact inclusion relationships between the curvature cone $\Omega(n/4 - \epsilon_n)$ in our Theorem 1.1 and the cone of positive curvature operators are not clear to us.

Furthermore, with Hamilton [14] and Bohm-Wilking's [5] terminology, $\overline{\Omega(a)}_{a \in [n/4 - \epsilon_n, n/4]}$ is a pinching family in the sense of Definition 2.3. Therefore, with the convergence theorem 2.4 for Ricci flow, we may conclude the following the Differentiable Sphere Theorem.

Theorem 1.2. Let $n \geq 4$. Suppose that (M^n, g) is a compact Riemannian manifold such that the curvature operator of M at each point is contained in the interior of $\Omega(n/4 - \epsilon_n)$ (defined in (1.2)), where ϵ_n is defined in (1.3). Then the normalized Ricci flow evolves g to a constant curvature limit metric. Consequently, M is diffeomorphic to a spherical space form.

A Kählerian analogue of the Sphere Theorem would be that if M is a compact Kähler manifold with positive bisectional curvature, then M is biholomorphic to complex projective space \mathbb{P}^m ; it is known as Frankel conjecture, proved by Mori [24] and Siu-Yau [27]. There has been much interest in obtaining a proof of this using the Kähler Ricci flow. On the one hand, according to a result of Goldberg-Kobayashi [12, Theorem 5], this amounts to show the Kähler Ricci flow deforms the metric with positive bisectional curvature to a Kähler Einstein metric. By assuming the existence of Kähler Einstein metric (the solution of the Frankel conjecture), Chen-Tian [11] showed that the normalized Kähler Ricci flow evolves the metric with positive bisectional curvature to a metric with constant holomorphic sectional curvature (See also unpublished announcement of Perelman and Tian-Zhu [29]). On the other hand, although there is a decomposition of a Kähler curvature operators into $U(m)$ -irreducible subspaces, unlike the constant curvature operator of the round sphere, the Kähler curvature operator of the complex projective space with constant holomorphic sectional curvature is not a local attractor of the Hamilton ODE (2.28) restricted to the space of Kähler curvature operators (see [15, Section 10] or [5, Section 6]). Therefore, the above result can not be proved just carrying over the methods of real case to the Kähler case.

However, we can show that a Kähler manifold M of dimension m has the same homotopy group with the complex projective space \mathbb{P}^m , provide its curvature does not deviate much from that of \mathbb{P}^m with constant holomorphic sectional curvature. The idea can be traced back to Kobayashi [20]. We know that a sphere S^{2m+1} is a principal circle bundle over the complex projective space \mathbb{P}^m . By generalizing this situation, we can construct a principal circle bundle P over M such that the Riemannian curvature operator of P does not deviate much from that of sphere S^{2m+1} . This allows us to run Ricci flow on P and conclude that P is diffeomorphic with S^{2m+1} . The exact homotopy sequences of the fiber bundle $\pi : P \rightarrow M$ will provide the isomorphism $\pi_i(M) = \pi_i(\mathbb{P}^m)$ for $i \geq 2$.

In analogy to the Riemannian case, the space of Kähler curvature operators with $U(m)$ action can also be decomposed into three parts (see (2.14)) and

$$(1.5) \quad K = K_E + K_{\text{Ric}_0} + B,$$

where K_E, K_{Ric_0}, B denote the scalar curvature part, the traceless Ricci part and the Bochner-Weyl curvature part respectively, which will be defined precisely in (2.15). In particular, $K = K_E$ corresponds to the curvature operator of the complex projective space \mathbb{P}^m with constant holomorphic sectional curvature. Additionally, on Kähler manifold (M, g, J, ω) of complex dimension m , we denote by $\bar{\lambda} = \text{scal}/(2m)$, where scal denotes the Riemannian scalar curvature. The average of $\bar{\lambda}$ over M is given by

$$(1.6) \quad \bar{\lambda}_0 := \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(M)} \int_M \bar{\lambda} = \frac{1}{m} \frac{[c_1(M)] \wedge [\omega]^{n-1}}{[\omega]^n},$$

which is a constant depending on the Kähler class $[\omega]$ and the first Chern class $c_1(M)$.

Theorem 1.3. Let $m \geq 2$ and (M, g, J, ω) be a compact Kähler manifold of complex dimension m . Assume that the scalar curvature is positive, i.e., $\bar{\lambda} > 0$, and that the Kähler curvature K at each point of M satisfy the following pinching condition,

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{3m-4}{8} + a \right) \|K_{\text{Ric}_0}\|^2 + \left(\frac{2m-1}{4} + a \right) \|B\|^2 \\ & + \frac{m}{16(m+1)^2} \left((12m^2 - 13) + 4(6m+5)a + \frac{2m+1-4a}{2} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right) (\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\lambda}_0)^2 \\ & \leq \frac{2m+1-4a}{4} \frac{2m+1}{8(m+1)} \left(1 - \frac{2}{2m+1} \epsilon \right) \|K_E\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

for some $0 < \epsilon < (2m+1)/2$ and $(2m+1)/4 - \epsilon_{2m+1} < a \leq (2m+1)/4$, where ϵ_{2m+1} is defined in (1.3). Then $\pi_i(M) \simeq \pi_i(\mathbb{P}^m)$ for all i , where \mathbb{P}^m denotes the complex projective space of complex dimension m .

Remark 1.4. Note that when a is close to $(2m+1)/4$ (e.g., $a > (2m+1)/4 - \epsilon'_{2m+1} = (2m+1)/4 - 1/(4m)$, see (1.4)), the manifold M has positive bisectional curvature, which implies that M is biholomorphic to \mathbb{P}^m by Mori [24] and Siu-Yau's [27] solution of the Frankel conjecture.

When the scalar curvature is constant, i.e., $\bar{\lambda} \equiv \bar{\lambda}_0$, the pinching condition (1.7) will be reduced to be a cleaner from (see the proof of Proposition 5.1),

$$\left(\frac{3m-4}{8} + a \right) \|K_{\text{Ric}_0}\|^2 + \left(\frac{2m-1}{4} + a \right) \|B\|^2 \leq \frac{2m+1-4a}{4} \frac{2m+1}{8(m+1)} \|K_E\|^2.$$

Furthermore, if the scalar curvature of M is constant, i.e., $\bar{\lambda} \equiv \bar{\lambda}_0$, the Ricci 2-form is harmonic. If M has positive bisectional curvature, then $H^2(M; \mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathbb{R}$ [12]. Thus the Ricci 2-form is proportional to the Kähler form of M , which means that M is Einstein.

Therefore, M is isometric to \mathbb{P}^m with the Fubini-Study metric by a result of Goldberg-Kobayashi [12, Theorem 5].

Outline of the paper: We now give an outline of the paper. In Section 2, we review some relevant properties of algebraic curvature operators. In Section 3, we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 by applying Hamilton's maximal principle for Ricci flow. The key ingredient is determining the value of a such that $Q(R)$ points to the tangent cone of $\Omega(a)$ at $R \in \partial\Omega(a)$. This requires careful analysis and estimate of the algebraic curvature operators. In Section 4, we discuss the principal circle bundles over Kähler manifolds. In Section 5, we study the topology of Kähler manifolds with pinched curvature operators by studying the principal circle bundles, and complete the proof of Theorems 1.3.

2. SOME PROPERTIES FOR ALGEBRAIC CURVATURE OPERATORS

2.1. Algebraic curvature operators. We will first introduce the space of algebraic curvature operators. Throughout the paper, we will adopt the notation from [5] and [30].

On a Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) , the Riemannian curvature tensor is denoted by

$$R(x, y, z, w) = (R(x, y)z, w), \quad x, y, z, w \in T_p M,$$

here $R(x, y) = -\nabla_x \nabla_y + \nabla_y \nabla_x + \nabla_{[x, y]}$.

Then, for each $p \in M$, one can choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of $T_p M$ to build an isomorphism between $T_p M$ and \mathbb{R}^n , and also isomorphism between $\Lambda^2 T_p M$ and $\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^n$. We will identify $\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^n$ with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(n) = \{X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : X + X^T = 0\}$ by mapping the unit vector $e_i \wedge e_j$ onto the linear map $L(e_i \wedge e_j)$ of rank two which is a rotation with angle $\pi/2$ in the plane spanned by e_i and e_j . We will identify $\alpha \wedge \beta$ with $L(\alpha \wedge \beta)$ in the following. Notice that under this identification, we have

$$(2.1) \quad \langle \alpha \wedge \beta, \gamma \wedge \delta \rangle_{\Lambda^2 V} = \langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle \langle \beta, \delta \rangle - \langle \alpha, \delta \rangle \langle \beta, \gamma \rangle = \langle \alpha \wedge \beta, \gamma \wedge \delta \rangle_{\mathfrak{so}(n)},$$

thus $\Lambda^2 V$ is isometric to $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ with the scalar product $\langle A, B \rangle = -1/2 \operatorname{tr}(AB)$.

Hereafter, the Riemann curvature operator

$$(2.2) \quad R(e_i \wedge e_j) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k, l=1}^n R_{ijkl} e_k \wedge e_l,$$

where $R_{ijkl} = R(e_i, e_j, e_k, e_l)$, is viewed as a symmetric endomorphism of $\mathfrak{so}(n)$. We denote by $S_B^2(\mathfrak{so}(n))$ the vector space of algebraic curvature operators, that is the vector space of self-adjoint endomorphisms of $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ satisfying the Bianchi identity. Moreover, if $R \in S_B^2(\mathfrak{so}(n))$, we denote the curvature operator norm by $\|R\| = \|R\|_{S_B^2(\mathfrak{so}(n))} = \langle R, R \rangle_{S_B^2(\mathfrak{so}(n))}^{1/2}$, where

$$(2.3) \quad \langle R, R \rangle_{S_B^2(\mathfrak{so}(n))} := \sum_{i < j} \langle R(e_i \wedge e_j), R(e_i \wedge e_j) \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^n |R_{ijkl}|^2.$$

The action of $O(n)$ on \mathbb{R}^n induces the adjoint action of $O(n)$ on Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ and also the action of $O(n)$ on $S_B^2(\mathfrak{so}(n))$, i.e., for $\sigma \in O(n)$,

$$(2.4) \quad (\sigma R)(x \wedge y, z \wedge w) = R(\operatorname{Ad}_\sigma(x \wedge y), \operatorname{Ad}_\sigma(z \wedge w)) = R((\sigma x) \wedge (\sigma y), (\sigma z) \wedge (\sigma w)).$$

For $n \geq 4$, the space of curvature operators $S_B^2(\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^n)$ has the following orthogonal decomposition into irreducible $O(n)$ -invariant subspaces (e.g., see [4, Theorem 1.114]):

$$(2.5) \quad S_B^2(\mathfrak{so}(n)) = \langle I \rangle \oplus \langle \operatorname{Ric}_0 \rangle \oplus \langle W \rangle.$$

Given $R \in S_B^2(\mathfrak{so}(n))$, we let R_I , R_{Ric_0} and R_W , denote the projections onto $\langle I \rangle$, $\langle \text{Ric}_0 \rangle$ and $\langle W \rangle$, respectively. Moreover, let Ric denote the Ricci tensor of R , $\text{scal} = \text{tr}(\text{Ric})$ the scalar curvature and W the Weyl tensor of R . Then

$$(2.6) \quad R_I = \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{n-1} \text{id} \wedge \text{id}, \quad R_{\text{Ric}_0} = \frac{2}{n-2} \text{Ric}_0 \wedge \text{id}, \quad R_W = W,$$

where $\bar{\lambda} = \text{scal}/n$, $\text{Ric}_0 = \text{Ric} - \bar{\lambda}g$ is the traceless Ricci tensor, and the wedge product of two symmetric endomorphism $A, B : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined by (see [5])

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} A \wedge B &: \Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^n; \\ x \wedge y &\mapsto \frac{1}{2} (A(x) \wedge B(y) + B(x) \wedge A(y)). \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$(2.8) \quad (A \wedge B)_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2} (a_{ik}b_{jl} + b_{ik}a_{jl} - a_{il}b_{jk} - a_{jk}b_{il}).$$

It is easy to see that $A \wedge B = B \wedge A$ and $A \wedge B$ is a curvature operator, while $I = \text{id} \wedge \text{id}$ corresponds to the curvature operator of sphere S^n scaled such that the sectional curvature is constant 1.

Moreover, we have (e.g., compare with [16, Lemma 2.3])

$$(2.9) \quad |\text{Ric}|^2 = |\text{Ric}_0|^2 + n\bar{\lambda}^2,$$

$$(2.10) \quad \|R_I\|^2 = \left\| \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{n-1} \text{id} \wedge \text{id} \right\|^2 = \frac{n}{2(n-1)} \bar{\lambda}^2,$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad \|R_{\text{Ric}_0}\|^2 = \left\| \frac{2}{n-2} \text{Ric}_0 \wedge \text{id} \right\|^2 = \frac{1}{n-2} |\text{Ric}_0|^2.$$

Similarly, let (M^m, g, J, ω) be a Kähler manifold of complex dimension m . For each $p \in M$, choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2m}\}$ of $T_p M$ of type $e_{m+i} = J e_i$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, set

$$E_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_i - \sqrt{-1}J e_i), \quad E_{\bar{i}} = \bar{E}_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_i + \sqrt{-1}J e_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

then $\{E_i\}_{i=1, \dots, m}$ is an unitary basis of $T^{1,0}M$. We can identify $T_p M$ with \mathbb{R}^n , and \mathbb{C}^m , $n = 2m$. The action of complex structure J on $\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^n$ gives the decomposition

$$\Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^n = \Lambda^{1,1} \oplus \Lambda^{2,0} \oplus \Lambda^{0,2}.$$

If we identify $\Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\mathfrak{so}(n)$, then $\mathfrak{so}(n) \cap \Lambda^{1,1} \simeq \mathfrak{u}(m)$. Note that the Kähler curvature operators K satisfy $K(x, y) \circ J = J \circ K(x, y)$, then the vector space of all Kähler curvature operators is then defined as the space of elements of $S_B(\mathfrak{so}(n))$ which act trivially on the factor $\mathfrak{u}(m)^\perp$, can be identified with $S_B^2(\mathfrak{u}(m))$. For $K \in S_B^2(\mathfrak{u}(m))$, we denote by $\text{Ric}(K)$ the Ricci curvature of K , and $K_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = K(E_i, E_{\bar{j}}, E_k, E_{\bar{l}})$ and $K_{i\bar{j}} = \text{Ric}(K)(E_i, E_{\bar{j}})$.

Given two hermitian endomorphisms $A = (a_{i\bar{j}}), B = (b_{i\bar{j}}) : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$, we let $A \star B : \Lambda^{1,1} \rightarrow \Lambda^{1,1}$ denote the self-adjoint endomorphism of $\Lambda^{1,1}$ defined by (see [30][26])

$$(2.12) \quad A \star B_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = -\frac{1}{2} (a_{i\bar{j}}b_{k\bar{l}} + a_{k\bar{l}}b_{i\bar{j}} + a_{i\bar{l}}b_{k\bar{j}} + a_{k\bar{j}}b_{i\bar{l}}), \quad 1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq m,$$

then $A \star B$ is a Kähler curvature operator. In particular, $E = \text{id} \star \text{id}$ with (e.g., see [12])

$$(2.13) \quad E_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk} + J_{ik}J_{jl} - J_{il}J_{jk} + 2J_{ij}J_{kl}), \quad 1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq 2m,$$

corresponds to the curvature operator of the complex projective space \mathbb{P}^m scaled such that the sectional curvature lies in the interval $[1/2, 2]$. E has the eigenvalue $m + 1$ with multiplicity 1, the eigenvalue 1 with multiplicity $m^2 - 1$ and the eigenvalue 0 on $\mathfrak{u}(m)^\perp$ with multiplicity $m(m - 1)$ (e.g., see section 5.2 in [7]).

As the analogue of the Riemannian case, the space of Kähler curvature operators $S_B^2(\mathfrak{u}(m))$ has the following orthogonal decomposition into irreducible $U(m)$ -invariant subspaces ([1][26, Theorem 5.1]),

$$(2.14) \quad S_B^2(\mathfrak{u}(m)) = \langle E \rangle \oplus \langle \text{Ric}_0 \rangle \oplus \langle B \rangle.$$

Given a Kähler curvature operator $K \in S_B^2(\mathfrak{u}(m))$, we let K_E , K_{Ric_0} and K_B , denote the projections onto $\langle E \rangle$, $\langle \text{Ric}_0 \rangle$ and $\langle B \rangle$, respectively. Note that we denote the projection of K onto $\langle \text{Ric}_0 \rangle$ in Kähler decomposition (2.14) by K_{Ric_0} when it is unambiguous, through it is not same as the projection of K onto $\langle \text{Ric}_0 \rangle$ in Riemannian decomposition (2.5). Then

$$(2.15) \quad K_E = \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{m+1} \text{id} \star \text{id}, \quad K_{\text{Ric}_0} = \frac{2}{m+2} \text{Ric}(K)_0 \star \text{id}, \quad K_B = B,$$

here $\bar{\lambda} = \frac{1}{2m} \text{scal}$, scal denotes the Riemannian scalar curvature, $\text{Ric}(K)_0 = \text{Ric}(K) - \bar{\lambda}g$ denotes the traceless Ricci curvature, B denotes the Bochner-Weyl curvature.

If $K \in S_B^2(\mathfrak{u}(m))$, set

$$\langle K, K \rangle_{S_B^2(\mathfrak{u}(m))} := \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^m K_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} \overline{K_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}},$$

then a straightforward computation shows that (e.g., see [21, Proposition 3.4])

$$(2.16) \quad \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^m K_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} \overline{K_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{2m} K_{ijkl}^2,$$

i.e., $\|K\|_{S_B^2(\mathfrak{u}(m))} = \|K\|_{S_B^2(\mathfrak{so}(2m))}$. Thus, we will still denote the (Kähler) curvature operator norm by $\|K\|$ as in the real case. Moreover, we have

$$(2.17) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^m K_{i\bar{j}} \overline{K_{i\bar{j}}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} \text{Ric}(K)_{ij}^2.$$

We denote by $|\text{Ric}(K)|_K := \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^m K_{i\bar{j}} \overline{K_{i\bar{j}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, then we have $|\text{Ric}(K)|_K = 1/\sqrt{2} |\text{Ric}(K)|$

and

$$(2.18) \quad |\text{Ric}|^2 = |\text{Ric}_0|^2 + 2m\bar{\lambda}^2, \quad |\text{Ric}|_K^2 = |\text{Ric}_0|_K^2 + m\bar{\lambda}^2.$$

Equipped with the above notation, we have that

$$(2.19) \quad \|K_E\|^2 = \left\| \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{m+1} \text{id} \star \text{id} \right\|^2 = \frac{2m}{m+1} \bar{\lambda}^2,$$

and

$$(2.20) \quad \|K_{\text{Ric}_0}\|^2 = \left\| \frac{2}{m+2} \text{Ric}(K)_0 \star \text{id} \right\|^2 = \frac{4}{m+2} |\text{Ric}(K)_0|_K^2.$$

2.2. Curvature pinching along Ricci flow. On a Riemannian manifold (M, g_0) , the Ricci flow is the geometric evolution equation

$$(2.21) \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} = -2\text{Ric}(g),$$

starting at g_0 . Using moving frames, the evolution equation of curvature operators $R = R_{g(t)}$ of $(M, g(t))$ along the Ricci flow (2.21) is ([14])

$$(2.22) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} R = \Delta R + 2Q(R),$$

where Q is the quadratic vector field on $S_B^2(\mathfrak{so}(n))$ defined by

$$Q(R) = R^2 + R^\sharp.$$

Here, R^2 is the square of $R \in S^2(\mathfrak{so}(n))$ seen as an endomorphism of $\mathfrak{so}(n)$, while $R^\sharp = R\sharp R$ is defined in the following way,

$$\begin{aligned} \sharp : S^2(\mathfrak{so}(n)) \times S^2(\mathfrak{so}(n)) &\rightarrow S^2(\mathfrak{so}(n)) \\ (R, S) &\mapsto R\sharp S = \text{ad} \circ (R \wedge S) \circ \text{ad}^*, \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{ad} : \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{so}(n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(n)$, $u \wedge v \mapsto [u, v]$ denotes the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ and ad^* is its dual. We would like to mention that $R\sharp S = S\sharp R$, and

$$(2.23) \quad \langle R\sharp S(\varphi), \varphi \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\text{ad}_\varphi \circ R \circ \text{ad}_\varphi \circ S).$$

In particular, with respect to normal frame, we have

$$(2.24) \quad R_{ijkl}^2 = (R \circ R)_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2} R_{ijpq} R_{klpq},$$

$$(2.25) \quad R_{ijkl}^\sharp = R\sharp R_{ijkl} = R_{ipkq} R_{jplq} - R_{iplq} R_{jpkq}.$$

Moreover, we have (see [5, (6)])

$$(2.26) \quad \text{Ric}(Q(R))_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n (R^2 + R^\sharp)_{ikjk} = \sum_{p,q=1}^n R_{ipjq} R_{pq} = \mathring{R}(\text{Ric})_{ij},$$

and

$$(2.27) \quad \langle Q(R), R \rangle = \langle R^2 + R^\sharp, R \rangle = \frac{1}{8} (R_{ijpq} R_{klpq} R_{ijkl} + 4R_{ipkq} R_{jplq} R_{ijkl}).$$

Now we turn to Hamilton's maximum principle for Ricci flow. We consider the closed convex curvature set $C \subset S_B^2(\mathfrak{so}(n))$ which is invariant under the action $O(n)$ defined in (2.4). Thanks to the $O(n)$ -invariance of C , we can say the curvature operator of a manifold (M, g) at each point p is contained in C under the isomorphism between $T_p M$ and \mathbb{R}^n that we build at the beginning of Section 2.1, which is independent of the basis of $T_p M$.

Proposition 2.1 ([14]). A closed convex $O(n)$ -invariant subset $C \subset S_B^2(\mathfrak{so}(n))$ which is invariant under the ordinary differential equation

$$(2.28) \quad \frac{d}{dt} R = Q(R),$$

that is, the solutions of ODE (2.28) which start inside C stay in C , defines a Ricci flow invariant curvature condition, that is, the Ricci flow evolves metrics whose curvature operator at each point is contained in C into metrics with the same property.

The following property is somewhat a criterion for a Ricci flow invariant curvature set in terms of its tangent cone.

Proposition 2.2. A closed convex $O(n)$ -invariant subset $C \subset S_B^2(\mathfrak{so}(n))$ is invariant under the Hamilton ODE (2.28) if and only if for all $R \in \partial C$ we have $Q(R) \in T_R C$, the tangent cone of C at R .

Hamilton [14] established a general convergence criterion for Ricci flow, in which the mandatory ingredients are the construction of a so-called curvature pinching sets. Böhm and Wilking [5] provided an effective method for constructing curvature pinching sets from curvature pinching families. The idea can be tracked back to Hamilton [13], although it was not really stressed. The following definition of pinching family comes from Böhm and Wilking [5].

Definition 2.3 (Pinching family [5]). We call a family of curvature cones $C(s)_{s \in [0,1]} \subset S_B^2(\mathfrak{so}(n))$ a pinching family, if

- (1) $C(s)$ is closed convex $O(n)$ -invariant cones of full dimension for all $s \in [0,1)$,
- (2) $s \mapsto C(s)$ is continuous;
- (3) each $R \in C(s) \setminus \{0\}$ has positive scalar curvature,
- (4) $C(s)$ converges in the pointed Hausdorff topology to the one-dimensional cone $\mathbb{R}^+ I$ as $s \rightarrow 1$.
- (5) $Q(R) = R^2 + R^\sharp$ is contained in the interior of the tangent cone of $C(s)$ at R for all $R \in C(s) \setminus \{0\}$ and all $s \in (0,1)$.

Theorem 2.4. [5, Theorem 5.1] Let $C(s)_{s \in [0,1]} \subset S_B^2(\mathfrak{so}(n))$ be a pinching family of closed convex cones, $n \geq 3$. Suppose that (M, g) is a compact Riemannian manifold such that the curvature operator of M at each point is contained in the interior of $C(0)$. Then the normalized Ricci flow evolves g to a constant curvature limit metric.

2.3. Some algebraic identities for curvature operators.

Lemma 2.5. [5, Lemma 2.1] Let $R \in S_B^2(\mathfrak{so}(n))$, then

$$(2.29) \quad R + R^\sharp I = \text{Ric}(R) \wedge \text{id}.$$

We say that a curvature operator R is of Ricci type, if $R = R_I + R_{\text{Ric}_0}$, i.e. $R_W = 0$.

Lemma 2.6. [5, Lemma 2.2] Let $R \in S_B^2(\mathfrak{so}(n))$ be a curvature operator of Ricci type, then

$$(2.30) \quad \begin{aligned} R^2 + R^\sharp &= \frac{1}{n-2} \text{Ric}_0 \wedge \text{Ric}_0 + \frac{2\bar{\lambda}}{n-1} \text{Ric}_0 \wedge \text{id} - \frac{2}{(n-2)^2} (\text{Ric}_0^2)_0 \wedge \text{id} \\ &+ \frac{\bar{\lambda}^2}{n-1} I + \frac{|\text{Ric}_0|^2}{n(n-2)} I. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$(2.31) \quad (R^2 + R^\sharp)_W = \frac{1}{n-2} (\text{Ric}_0 \wedge \text{Ric}_0)_W,$$

$$(2.32) \quad \text{Ric}(R^2 + R^\sharp) = -\frac{2}{n-2} (\text{Ric}_0^2)_0 + \frac{n-2}{n-1} \bar{\lambda} \text{Ric}_0 + \bar{\lambda}^2 \text{id} + \frac{|\text{Ric}_0|^2}{n} \text{id}.$$

We will denote the bilinear map by the same notation Q :

$$Q(R, S) := \frac{1}{2} (Q(R+S) - Q(R) - Q(S)) = \frac{1}{2} (RS + SR) + R^\sharp S, \quad R, S \in S^2(\mathfrak{so}(n)).$$

Recall that the trilinear map (see [5, (5)]),

$$(2.33) \quad \text{tri}(R, S, T) = \text{tr}(RS + SR + 2R\sharp S)T = 2\langle Q(R, S), T \rangle, \quad R, S, T \in S^2(\mathfrak{so}(n)),$$

is symmetric in all three variables.

The following lemma was essentially proved in [5] (see also [25, Lemma 4.3]).

Proposition 2.7. The evaluation of bilinear map Q on different parts of the decomposition of algebraic curvature operators have the following properties:

- (1) If $R, S \in \langle I \rangle$, then $Q(R, S) \in \langle I \rangle$;
- (2) If $R \in \langle I \rangle$, $S \in \langle \text{Ric}_0 \rangle$, then $Q(R, S) \in \langle \text{Ric}_0 \rangle$;
- (3) If $R \in \langle I \rangle$, $S \in \langle W \rangle$, then $Q(R, S) = 0$;
- (4) If $R, S \in \langle W \rangle$, then $Q(R, S) \in \langle W \rangle$;
- (5) If $R \in \langle \text{Ric}_0 \rangle$, $S \in \langle W \rangle$, then $Q(R, S) \in \langle \text{Ric}_0 \rangle$.

Proof. By (2.29), if $R, S \in \langle I \rangle$, then $Q(R, S) \in \langle I \rangle$; If $R \in \langle I \rangle$, $S \in \langle \text{Ric}_0 \rangle$, then $Q(R, S) \in \langle \text{Ric}_0 \rangle$; If $R \in \langle I \rangle$, $S \in \langle W \rangle$, then $Q(R, S) = 0$. The conclusions (1), (2), (3) are checked.

If $R \in \langle W \rangle$, by (2.26), we have $\text{Ric}(Q(R)) = \mathring{R}(\text{Ric}) = 0$, $\text{scal}(Q(R)) = |\text{Ric}|^2 = 0$, thus $Q(R) = R^2 + R\sharp \in \langle W \rangle$; Consequently, If $R, S \in \langle W \rangle$, then $Q(R, S) = \frac{1}{2}(Q(R+S, R+S) - Q(R) - Q(S)) \in \langle W \rangle$, which proves (4).

If $R \in \langle \text{Ric}_0 \rangle$, $S \in \langle W \rangle$, by applying then the symmetric property of the trilinear map tri , we obtain from (1), (2), (3), (4) that

$$\langle Q(R, S), I \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\text{tri}(R, S, I) = \langle Q(R, I), S \rangle = 0,$$

and

$$\langle Q(R, S), T \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\text{tri}(R, S, T) = \langle Q(S, T), R \rangle = 0, \forall T \in \langle W \rangle,$$

therefore $Q(R, S) \in \langle \text{Ric}_0 \rangle$, and conclusion (5) is verified. \square

In our paper, we will use the following identity, which was derived by Huisken in his proof of the Theorem 3.3 in [16]. Since this identity was not written down as an independent lemma in [16], for readers' convenience, we include calculations here.

Lemma 2.8. For $R \in S^2(\mathfrak{so}(n))$, we have

$$(2.34) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle Q(R), R \rangle &= \frac{n}{2(n-1)}\bar{\lambda}^3 + \frac{3}{2(n-1)}\bar{\lambda}|\text{Ric}_0|^2 + \frac{3}{n-2}\langle \text{Ric}_0 \wedge \text{Ric}_0, W \rangle \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{(n-2)^2}\langle (\text{Ric}_0^2)_0, \text{Ric}_0 \rangle + \langle Q(W), W \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First, by applying the symmetric property of the trilinear map tri and also (2.5), we have

$$(2.35) \quad \langle Q(R), R_I \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\text{tri}(R, R_I, R) = \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{n-1}\langle R + R\sharp I, R \rangle = \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{n-1}\langle \text{Ric}(R) \wedge I, R \rangle.$$

According to the curvature decomposition (2.6), we derive from (2.35) to have

$$(2.36) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle Q(R), R_I \rangle &= \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{n-1}\langle \bar{\lambda}\text{id} \wedge \text{id} + \text{Ric}_0 \wedge I, \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{n-1}\text{id} \wedge \text{id} + \frac{2}{n-2}\text{Ric}_0 \wedge \text{id} + W \rangle \\ &= \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{2(n-1)}(|\text{Ric}_0|^2 + n\bar{\lambda}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Next, with Lemma 2.7, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle Q(R), R_{\text{Ric}_0} \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tri}(R, R, R_{\text{Ric}_0}) \\
(2.37) \quad &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tri}(R_I, R_{\text{Ric}_0}, R) + \frac{1}{2} \text{tri}(R_{\text{Ric}_0}, R_{\text{Ric}_0}, R) + \frac{1}{2} \text{tri}(W, R_{\text{Ric}_0}, R) \\
&= \text{tri}(R_I, R_{\text{Ric}_0}, R_{\text{Ric}_0}) + \text{tri}(R_{\text{Ric}_0}, R_{\text{Ric}_0}, W) + \frac{1}{2} \text{tri}(R_{\text{Ric}_0}, R_{\text{Ric}_0}, R_{\text{Ric}_0}) \\
&= 2\langle Q(R_{\text{Ric}_0}), R_I \rangle + 2\langle Q(R_{\text{Ric}_0}), W \rangle + \langle Q(R_{\text{Ric}_0}), R_{\text{Ric}_0} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

By applying (2.30) and (2.32) in Lemma 2.6 to R_{Ric_0} respectively, we have

$$Q(R_{\text{Ric}_0}) = \frac{1}{n-2} \text{Ric}_0 \wedge \text{Ric}_0 - \frac{2}{(n-2)^2} (\text{Ric}_0^2)_0 \wedge \text{id} + \frac{|\text{Ric}_0|^2}{n(n-2)} I,$$

and

$$\text{Ric}(Q(R_{\text{Ric}_0})) = -\frac{2}{n-2} (\text{Ric}_0^2)_0 + \frac{|\text{Ric}_0|^2}{n} \text{id}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$(2.38) \quad \langle Q(R_{\text{Ric}_0}), R_I \rangle = \left\langle \frac{|\text{Ric}_0|^2}{n(n-1)} I, \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{n-1} I \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \bar{\lambda} |\text{Ric}_0|^2,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle Q(R_{\text{Ric}_0}), R_{\text{Ric}_0} \rangle &= \left\langle \frac{2}{n-2} \text{Ric}_0 (R_{\text{Ric}_0}^2 + R_{\text{Ric}_0}^\sharp) \wedge I, \frac{2}{n-2} \text{Ric}_0 \wedge I \right\rangle \\
(2.39) \quad &= \left(\frac{2}{n-2} \right)^2 \frac{n-2}{4} \langle \text{Ric}_0 (R_{\text{Ric}_0}^2 + R_{\text{Ric}_0}^\sharp), \text{Ric}_0 \rangle \\
&= -\frac{2}{(n-2)^2} \langle (\text{Ric}_0^2)_0, \text{Ric}_0 \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by simply applying (2.31) in Lemma 2.6, we obtain

$$(2.40) \quad \langle Q(R_{\text{Ric}_0}), W \rangle = \frac{1}{n-2} \langle \text{Ric}_0 \wedge \text{Ric}_0, W \rangle.$$

Finally, with Lemma 2.7, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle Q(R), W \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tri}(W, R, R) \\
(2.41) \quad &= \langle Q(W, R_I), R \rangle + \langle Q(W, R_{\text{Ric}_0}), R \rangle + \langle Q(W, W), R \rangle \\
&= \langle Q(W, R_{\text{Ric}_0}), R_{\text{Ric}_0} \rangle + \langle Q(W, W), W \rangle \\
&= \langle Q(R_{\text{Ric}_0}), W \rangle + \langle Q(W), W \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the summation of (2.36), (2.37) and (2.41), plugging (2.38) (2.39) and (2.40) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle Q(R), R \rangle &= \langle Q(R), R_I \rangle + \langle Q(R), R_{\text{Ric}_0} \rangle + \langle Q(R), W \rangle \\
&= \langle Q(R), R_I \rangle + 2\langle Q(R_{\text{Ric}_0}), R_I \rangle + 3\langle Q(R_{\text{Ric}_0}), W \rangle \\
&\quad + \langle Q(R_{\text{Ric}_0}), R_{\text{Ric}_0} \rangle + \langle Q(W), W \rangle \\
(2.42) \quad &= \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{2(n-1)}(|\text{Ric}_0|^2 + n\bar{\lambda}^2) + \frac{1}{n-1}\bar{\lambda}|\text{Ric}_0|^2 + \frac{3}{n-2}\langle \text{Ric}_0 \wedge \text{Ric}_0, W \rangle \\
&\quad - \frac{2}{(n-2)^2}\langle (\text{Ric}_0^2)_0, \text{Ric}_0 \rangle + \langle Q(W), W \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is finished. \square

Lemma 2.9. For $R \in S_B^2(\mathfrak{so}(n))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.43) \quad \langle \text{Ric}(R), \text{Ric}(Q(R)) \rangle &= 2\langle R, \text{Ric} \wedge \text{Ric} \rangle \\
&= n\bar{\lambda}^3 + \frac{2n-3}{n-1}\bar{\lambda}|\text{Ric}_0|^2 - \frac{2}{n-2}\langle \text{Ric}_0, (\text{Ric}_0^2)_0 \rangle \\
&\quad + 2\langle W, \text{Ric}_0 \wedge \text{Ric}_0 \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first equality follows from (2.26) and

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle R, \text{Ric} \wedge \text{Ric} \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \langle R(e_i \wedge e_j), \text{Ric} \wedge \text{Ric}(e_i \wedge e_j) \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j,k,l} (R_{ijkl}R_{ik}R_{jl} - R_{ijkl}R_{il}R_{jk}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \langle \text{Ric}, \mathring{R}(\text{Ric}) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, with (2.6), we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle R, \text{Ric}_0 \wedge \text{Ric}_0 \rangle &= \left\langle \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{n-1} \text{id} \wedge \text{id} + \frac{2}{n-2} \text{Ric}_0 \wedge \text{id} + W, \text{Ric}_0 \wedge \text{Ric}_0 \right\rangle \\
&= -\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{2(n-1)}|\text{Ric}_0|^2 - \frac{1}{n-2}\langle \text{Ric}_0, (\text{Ric}_0^2)_0 \rangle + \langle W, \text{Ric}_0 \wedge \text{Ric}_0 \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

We proceed as above by using (2.6) once again leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle R, \text{Ric} \wedge \text{Ric} \rangle &= \langle R, (\bar{\lambda} \text{id} + \text{Ric}_0) \wedge (\bar{\lambda} \text{id} + \text{Ric}_0) \rangle \\
&= \bar{\lambda}^2 \langle R_I, I \rangle + 2\bar{\lambda} \langle R_{\text{Ric}_0}, \text{Ric}_0 \wedge \text{id} \rangle + \langle R, \text{Ric}_0 \wedge \text{Ric}_0 \rangle \\
&= \frac{n}{2}\bar{\lambda}^3 + \frac{2n-3}{2(n-1)}\bar{\lambda}|\text{Ric}_0|^2 - \frac{1}{n-2}\langle \text{Ric}_0, (\text{Ric}_0^2)_0 \rangle + \langle W, \text{Ric}_0 \wedge \text{Ric}_0 \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is finished. \square

2.4. Some algebraic inequalities for curvature operators. Now we collect some algebraic inequalities for curvature operators which will be used in our proof.

First, we need the following estimates for symmetric tensors.

Lemma 2.10. [16, Lemma 2.4] Let $T = (T_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq m}$ be a symmetric trace-free operator with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = 0, \quad |T|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^2.$$

Then we have

$$(2.44) \quad \lambda_i^2 \leq \frac{m-1}{m} \|T\|^2, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m,$$

and

$$(2.45) \quad |\operatorname{tr}(T^3)| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^3 \right| \leq \frac{m-2}{\sqrt{m(m-1)}} \|T\|^3.$$

The equality in (2.45) holds only if either

$$\lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_m = 0,$$

or (up to permutation and sign)

$$\lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_{m-1} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{m(m-1)}} \|T\|, \quad \lambda_m = \sqrt{\frac{m-1}{m}} \|T\|.$$

Apply the lemma 2.10 to Ric_0 , we immediately obtain from (2.44) that

Corollary 2.11. If $a > \frac{n}{4} - \frac{1}{2}$, then we have

$$\Omega(a) \subset \left\{ R \in S_B^2(\mathfrak{so}(n)) \mid \operatorname{Ric}(R) > 0 \right\}.$$

Proof. If $R \in \Omega(a)$, see (1.2), we have

$$a \|R_{\operatorname{Ric}_0}\|^2 \leq \frac{n-4a}{4} \|R_I\|^2,$$

it is rewritten equivalently by (2.10) (2.11) as

$$a \frac{1}{n-2} |\operatorname{Ric}_0|^2 \leq \frac{n-4a}{4} \frac{n}{2(n-1)} \bar{\lambda}^2.$$

Hence, we conclude from (2.44) that

$$\lambda_i^2(\operatorname{Ric}_0) \leq \frac{n-1}{n} |\operatorname{Ric}_0|^2 \leq \frac{n-4a}{4a} \frac{n-2}{2} \bar{\lambda}^2, \quad \forall i.$$

Therefore, if $\frac{n-4a}{4a} \frac{n-2}{2} < 1$, i.e., $a > \frac{n}{4} - \frac{1}{2}$, we have $\operatorname{Ric}(R) > 0$. \square

Apply the lemma 2.10 to Ric_0 again, we immediately obtain from (2.45) that

Proposition 2.12. [16, in proof of Theorem 3.3]

$$(2.46) \quad \left| \langle (\operatorname{Ric}_0^2)_0, \operatorname{Ric}_0 \rangle \right| \leq \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} |\operatorname{Ric}_0|^3.$$

The tensor $\operatorname{Ric}_0 \wedge \operatorname{Ric}_0$ has the same symmetries as the algebraic Riemannian tensor, therefore by dissociating the ‘Weyl’ parts from the scalar and traceless Ricci part, we have

Lemma 2.13. [16, Lemma 3.4]

$$(2.47) \quad \left| \langle \operatorname{Ric}_0 \wedge \operatorname{Ric}_0, W \rangle \right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{n-2}{2(n-1)}} \|W\| |\operatorname{Ric}_0|^2.$$

The following estimate was derived by Huisken in his proof of the Theorem 3.3 in [16].

Lemma 2.14. For $n \geq 4$, we have [16, in proof of Theorem 3.3]

$$(2.48) \quad |\langle Q(W), W \rangle| \leq \sqrt{\frac{(n^2 - 1)(n - 2)}{n}} \|W\|^3.$$

There is an improvement in dimension 4 (see [Lemma 3.5][16]). With the Lie algebraic decomposition $\mathfrak{so}(4) = \mathfrak{so}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(3)$, the Weyl tensor may be split into two parts, and thus:

$$(2.49) \quad |\langle Q(W), W \rangle| \leq \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} \|W\|^3.$$

Proof. In case of $n = 4$, the estimate (2.49) follows from [Lemma 3.5][16]. In case of $n \geq 5$, since the estimate (2.48) was not written down as an independent lemma in [16], we include the calculations here for readers' convenience.

Using an idea of Tachibana [28], for each fixed i, j, k, l , we define a local skew-symmetric 2-tensor field $\xi^{ijkl} = (\xi_{ijkl}^{pq})$ by

$$\xi_{ijkl} = e_i \wedge W(e_k, e_l, e_j) + W(e_k, e_l, e_i) \wedge e_j + e_k \wedge W(e_i, e_j, e_l) + W(e_i, e_j, e_k) \wedge e_l.$$

A straightforward computation then gives

$$(2.50) \quad \langle W(\xi_{ijkl}), \xi_{ijkl} \rangle = 4W_{pjkl}W_{qjkl}W_{piqi} - 4W_{ipkl}W_{qjkl}W_{iqpj} - 8W_{ijpl}W_{qjkl}W_{iqpk}.$$

Using then the Bainchi identities,

$$W_{ipkl}W_{qjkl}W_{iqpj} = W_{ipkl}W_{qjkl}W_{ipqj} - W_{ipkl}W_{jqkl}W_{ijpq},$$

thus

$$W_{ipkl}W_{qjkl}W_{iqpj} = \frac{1}{2}W_{ipkl}W_{klqj}W_{qjip},$$

we obtain from (2.50) that

$$(2.51) \quad \langle W(\xi_{ijkl}), \xi_{ijkl} \rangle = -2(W_{ipkl}W_{klqj}W_{qjip} + 4W_{ijpl}W_{qjkl}W_{iqpk}).$$

On the other hand, with (2.24) and (2.25), we have

$$(2.52) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle Q(W), W \rangle &= \langle W^2 + W^\sharp, W \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i,j,k,l} \left(\sum_{p,q=1}^n W_{ijpq}W_{klpq}W_{ijkl} + 4 \sum_{p,q=1}^n W_{ipkq}W_{jplq}W_{ijkl} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By comparing (2.51) with (2.52), we conclude that

$$(2.53) \quad \sum_{p,q,r,s} \langle W(\xi_{pqrs}), \xi_{pqrs} \rangle = -16 \langle Q(W), W \rangle.$$

Moreover, a direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi_{ijkl}, \xi_{ijkl} \rangle &= \langle W_{pjkl}e_p \wedge e_i + W_{ipkl}e_p \wedge e_j + W_{ijpl}e_p \wedge e_k + W_{ijkp}e_p \wedge e_l, \\ &\quad W_{qjkl}e_q \wedge e_i + W_{iqkl}e_q \wedge e_j + W_{ijql}e_q \wedge e_k + W_{ijkq}e_q \wedge e_l \rangle \\ &= 4(n-1)W_{ijkl}W_{ijkl} - 4W_{ipkl}W_{ipkl} - 8W_{ijpl}W_{iljp}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that by Bainchi identities again,

$$W_{ijpl}W_{iljp} = -W_{ijpl}(W_{ljip} + W_{jilp}),$$

we conclude that

$$W_{ijpl}W_{iljp} = -\frac{1}{2}W_{ijpl}W_{ijpl}.$$

Therefore

$$(2.54) \quad \sum_{p,q,r,s} \langle \xi^{pqrs}, \xi^{pqrs} \rangle = 4(n-1)|W|^2 = 16(n-1)\|W\|^2.$$

Since W is a symmetric trace-free operator on the space of skew-symmetric 2-tensor field, then we have the estimate from (2.44) in Lemma 2.10,

$$(2.55) \quad \left| \frac{\langle W(\xi^{pqrs}), \xi^{pqrs} \rangle}{\langle \xi^{pqrs}, \xi^{pqrs} \rangle} \right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{N-1}{N}} \|W\|, \quad N = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}.$$

Therefore, we conclude from (2.53), (2.54) and (2.55) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle Q(W), W \rangle| &\leq \frac{1}{16} \sum_{p,q,r,s} |\langle W(\xi^{pqrs}), \xi^{pqrs} \rangle| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{16} \sqrt{\frac{N-1}{N}} \|W\| \sum_{p,q,r,s} \langle \xi^{pqrs}, \xi^{pqrs} \rangle \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{(n^2-1)(n-2)}{n}} \|W\|^3. \end{aligned}$$

The conclusion of the lemma is proved. \square

3. RICCI FLOW INVARIANT CURVATURE CONDITIONS AND SPHERE THEOREM

Now, we begin to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. On the set $\text{scal}_+ = \{R \in S_B^2(\mathfrak{so}(n)) \mid \text{scal}(R) > 0\}$, we can define the $O(n)$ -invariant function

$$F : \text{scal}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad R \mapsto \frac{\|R\|^2}{|\text{Ric}(R)|^2}.$$

Since $\text{Ric}(R) \neq 0$, for all $R \in \text{scal}_+$, then the function F is well-defined. Restricted to the cone $\Omega(0) = \left\{ R \in S_B^2(\mathfrak{so}(n)) \mid \frac{n-2}{4} \|R\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} |\text{Ric}|^2 \text{ and } \text{scal}(R) > 0 \right\}$, F is bounded. More specifically,

$$\frac{1}{2(n-1)} = F(I) \leq F(R) \leq \frac{1}{n-2}, \quad R \in \Omega.$$

Moreover, F is smooth and for each $R \in \text{scal}_+$ and its differential is given by

$$(3.1) \quad dF_R(S) = \frac{2}{|\text{Ric}(R)|^2} \left(\langle R, S \rangle - F(R) \langle \text{Ric}(R), \text{Ric}(S) \rangle \right),$$

for all $S \in T_R \text{scal}_+ \cong S_B^2(\mathfrak{so}(n))$.

If we take $S = Q(R) = R^2 + R^\sharp$ in (3.1), we obtain that

$$(3.2) \quad dF_R(Q(R)) = \frac{2}{|\text{Ric}(R)|^2} \left(\langle R, Q(R) \rangle - F(R) \langle \text{Ric}(R), \text{Ric}(Q(R)) \rangle \right).$$

By our construction, note that $\partial\Omega(a)$ is the level set $F^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n-2+4a}\right)$. With Proposition 2.1 and 2.2, to prove the main Theorem 1.1, we only need to verify that

$$(3.3) \quad dF_R(Q(R)) \leq 0, \quad \forall R \in \partial\Omega(a).$$

Hence, the main task is to look for all the possible $a \in [0, n/4]$ such that (see (3.2)),

$$(3.4) \quad \langle R, Q(R) \rangle - F(R) \langle \text{Ric}(R), \text{Ric}(Q(R)) \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall R \in \partial\Omega(a).$$

Now, by (2.34) and (2.43), we have

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle R, Q(R) \rangle - F(R) \langle \text{Ric}(R), \text{Ric}(Q(R)) \rangle \\ &= \frac{n\bar{\lambda}^3}{2(n-1)} + \frac{3}{2(n-1)}\bar{\lambda}|\text{Ric}_0|^2 + \frac{3}{n-2} \langle \text{Ric}_0 \wedge \text{Ric}_0, W \rangle \\ & \quad - \frac{2}{(n-2)^2} (\langle (\text{Ric}_0^2)_0, \text{Ric}_0 \rangle + \langle Q(W), W \rangle) \\ & \quad - F(R) \left(n\bar{\lambda}^3 + \frac{2n-3}{n-1}\bar{\lambda}|\text{Ric}_0|^2 - \frac{2}{n-2} \langle \text{Ric}_0, (\text{Ric}_0^2)_0 \rangle + 2 \langle W, \text{Ric}_0 \wedge \text{Ric}_0 \rangle \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2(n-1)} - F(R) \right) n\bar{\lambda}^3 + \left(\frac{3}{2(2n-3)} - F(R) \right) \frac{2n-3}{n-1} \bar{\lambda} |\text{Ric}_0|^2 \\ & \quad - \left(\frac{1}{n-2} - F(R) \right) \frac{2}{n-2} \langle \text{Ric}_0, (\text{Ric}_0^2)_0 \rangle \\ & \quad + 2 \left(\frac{3}{2(n-2)} - F(R) \right) \langle \text{Ric}_0 \wedge \text{Ric}_0, W \rangle + \langle Q(W), W \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Fix $a > 0$, at $R \in \partial\Omega(a) = F^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n-2+4a}\right)$, a direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2(n-1)} - F(R) &= \frac{1}{2(n-1)} - \frac{1}{n-2+4a} = \frac{4a-n}{2(n-1)(n-2+4a)} \leq 0, \\ \frac{3}{2(2n-3)} - F(R) &= \frac{3}{2(2n-3)} - \frac{1}{n-2+4a} = \frac{12a-n}{2(2n-3)(n-2+4a)}, \\ \frac{1}{n-2} - F(R) &= \frac{1}{n-2} - \frac{1}{n-2+4a} = \frac{4a}{(n-2)(n-2+4a)} \geq 0, \\ \frac{3}{2(n-2)} - F(R) &= \frac{3}{2(n-2)} - \frac{1}{n-2+4a} = \frac{12a+n-2}{2(n-2)(n-2+4a)} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the coefficient of the first term in (3.5) have favorite sign, and we will see this negative term will dominate all the other terms when a is close to $n/4$. Moreover, the coefficients of the third and fourth terms in (3.5) have fixed sign, therefore, from (3.5), we have

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle R, Q(R) \rangle - F(R) \langle \text{Ric}(R), \text{Ric}(Q(R)) \rangle \\ & \leq \frac{4a-n}{2(n-1)(n-2+4a)} n\bar{\lambda}^3 + \frac{12a-n}{2(n-1)(n-2+4a)} \bar{\lambda} |\text{Ric}_0|^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{8a}{(n-2)^2(n-2+4a)} |\langle \text{Ric}_0, (\text{Ric}_0^2)_0 \rangle| \\ & \quad + \frac{12a+n-2}{(n-2)(n-2+4a)} |\langle \text{Ric}_0 \wedge \text{Ric}_0, W \rangle| + |\langle Q(W), W \rangle|. \end{aligned}$$

Next, plug the estimates (2.46), (2.47) and (2.48) into (3.6), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.7) \quad & \langle R, Q(R) \rangle - F(R) \langle \text{Ric}(R), \mathring{R}(\text{Ric}) \rangle \\
& \leq \frac{4a-n}{2(n-1)(n-2+4a)} n \bar{\lambda}^3 + \frac{12a-n}{2(n-1)(n-2+4a)} \bar{\lambda} |\text{Ric}_0|^2 \\
& \quad + \frac{8a}{(n-2)(n-2+4a)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} |\text{Ric}_0|^3 \\
& \quad + \frac{12a+n-2}{(n-2)(n-2+4a)} \frac{\sqrt{(n-2)}}{\sqrt{2(n-1)}} \|W\| |\text{Ric}_0|^2 \\
& \quad + \sqrt{\frac{(n^2-1)(n-2)}{n}} \|W\|^3.
\end{aligned}$$

At $R \in \partial\Omega(a) = F^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n-2+4a}\right)$, from (1.2), we have

$$a \|R_{\text{Ric}_0}\|^2 + \left(\frac{n-2}{4} + a\right) \|W\|^2 = \frac{n-4a}{4} \|R_I\|^2,$$

or equivalently,

$$\frac{a}{n-2} |\text{Ric}_0|^2 + \left(\frac{n-2}{4} + a\right) \|W\|^2 = \frac{n-4a}{4} \frac{n}{2(n-1)} \bar{\lambda}^2.$$

We may set

$$|\text{Ric}_0|^2 = \frac{n-2}{a} \frac{n-4a}{4} \frac{n}{2(n-1)} \bar{\lambda}^2 \cos^2 \theta = \frac{n(n-2)(n-4a)}{8a(n-1)} \bar{\lambda}^2 \cos^2 \theta,$$

and

$$\|W\|^2 = \frac{4}{n-2+4a} \frac{n-4a}{4} \frac{n}{2(n-1)} \bar{\lambda}^2 \sin^2 \theta = \frac{n(n-4a)}{2(n-1)(n-2+4a)} \bar{\lambda}^2 \sin^2 \theta,$$

then the inequality (3.7) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.8) \quad & \langle R, Q(R) \rangle - F(R) \langle \text{Ric}(R), \mathring{R}(\text{Ric}) \rangle \\
& \leq \frac{n(4a-n)}{2(n-1)(n-2+4a)} \bar{\lambda}^3 + \frac{n(n-2)}{16(n-1)^2} \left(8 + \frac{4a-n}{a}\right) \frac{n-4a}{n-2+4a} \cos^2 \theta \bar{\lambda}^3 \\
& \quad + \frac{n\sqrt{n-2}}{2\sqrt{2}(n-1)^2} \frac{n-4a}{(n-2+4a)} \frac{\sqrt{n-4a}}{\sqrt{a}} |\cos \theta|^3 \bar{\lambda}^3 \\
& \quad + \frac{12a+n-2}{16a} \frac{n\sqrt{n(n-2)}}{(n-1)^2} \frac{n-4a}{n-2+4a} \frac{\sqrt{n-4a}}{\sqrt{n-2+4a}} \cos^2 \theta |\sin \theta| \bar{\lambda}^3 \\
& \quad + \frac{n\sqrt{(n+1)(n-2)}}{2\sqrt{2}(n-1)} \frac{n-4a}{n-2+4a} \frac{\sqrt{n-4a}}{\sqrt{n-2+4a}} |\sin \theta|^3 \bar{\lambda}^3 \\
& = \frac{(4a-n)\bar{\lambda}^3}{n-2+4a} \frac{n}{2(n-1)} \left(\sin^2 \theta + \frac{1}{n-1} \cos^2 \theta + \frac{n-2}{8(n-1)} \frac{n-4a}{a} \cos^2 \theta \right. \\
& \quad - \frac{\sqrt{n-2}}{\sqrt{2}(n-1)} \frac{\sqrt{n-4a}}{\sqrt{a}} |\cos \theta|^3 - \frac{\sqrt{n(n-2)}}{n-1} \frac{12a+n-2}{8a} \frac{\sqrt{n-4a}}{\sqrt{n-2+4a}} \cos^2 \theta |\sin \theta| \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{\sqrt{(n+1)(n-2)}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\sqrt{n-4a}}{\sqrt{n-2+4a}} |\sin \theta|^3 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Using then the Hölder inequality,

$$|\sin \theta| \leq \epsilon + \frac{1}{4\epsilon} \sin^2 \theta = \epsilon + \frac{1}{4\epsilon} (1 - \cos^2 \theta),$$

$$|\cos \theta| \leq \eta + \frac{1}{4\eta} \cos^2 \theta = \eta + \frac{1}{4\eta} (1 - \sin^2 \theta),$$

we obtain from (3.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle R, Q(R) \rangle - F(R) \langle \text{Ric}(R), \dot{R}(\text{Ric}) \rangle \\ & \leq \frac{(4a-n)\bar{\lambda}^3}{n-2+4a} \frac{n}{2(n-1)} \left(\sin^2 \theta + \frac{1}{n-1} \cos^2 \theta + \frac{n-2}{8(n-1)} \frac{n-4a}{a} \cos^2 \theta \right. \\ & \quad - \frac{\sqrt{n-2}}{\sqrt{2}(n-1)} \frac{\sqrt{n-4a}}{\sqrt{a}} \cos^2 \theta \left(\eta + \frac{1}{4\eta} (1 - \sin^2 \theta) \right) \\ & \quad - \frac{\sqrt{n(n-2)}}{n-1} \frac{12a+n-2}{8a} \frac{\sqrt{n-4a}}{\sqrt{n-2+4a}} \cos^2 \theta \left(\epsilon_1 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon_1} \sin^2 \theta \right) \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{\sqrt{(n+1)(n-2)}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\sqrt{n-4a}}{\sqrt{n-2+4a}} \sin^2 \theta \left(\epsilon_2 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon_2} (1 - \cos^2 \theta) \right) \right) \\ & = \frac{(4a-n)\bar{\lambda}^3}{n-2+4a} \frac{n}{2(n-1)} \left(\sin^2 \theta \left[1 - \frac{\sqrt{(n+1)(n-2)}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\sqrt{n-4a}}{\sqrt{n-2+4a}} \left(\epsilon_2 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon_2} \right) \right] \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \cos^2 \theta \frac{1}{n-1} \left[1 + \frac{n-2}{8} \frac{n-4a}{a} - \frac{\sqrt{n-2}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\sqrt{n-4a}}{\sqrt{a}} \left(\eta + \frac{1}{4\eta} \right) \right. \right. \\ (3.9) \quad & \quad \left. \left. - \frac{\sqrt{n(n-2)}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{12a+n-2}{8a} \frac{\sqrt{n-4a}}{\sqrt{n-2+4a}} \epsilon_1 \right] \right), \\ & \quad \left. + \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta \frac{\sqrt{n-2}}{4\sqrt{2}(n-1)} \frac{\sqrt{n-4a}}{\sqrt{n-2+4a}} \theta \left[\frac{\sqrt{n-2+4a}}{\sqrt{a}} \frac{1}{\eta} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - \sqrt{2n} \frac{12a+n-2}{8a} \frac{1}{\epsilon_1} + (n-1) \sqrt{n+1} \frac{1}{\epsilon_2} \right] \right). \end{aligned}$$

To have (3.4) holds, by (3.9), we are now looking for the smallest $a \in (0, n/4]$ with appropriate choice of η , ϵ_1 , ϵ_2 to guarantee the following three inequalities hold simultaneously

$$(3.10) \quad 1 - \frac{\sqrt{(n+1)(n-2)}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\sqrt{n-4a}}{\sqrt{n-2+4a}} \left(\epsilon_2 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon_2} \right) \geq 0,$$

$$(3.11) \quad 1 + \frac{n-2}{8} \frac{n-4a}{a} - \frac{\sqrt{n-2}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\sqrt{n-4a}}{\sqrt{a}} \left(\eta + \frac{1}{4\eta} \right) - \frac{\sqrt{n(n-2)}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{12a+n-2}{8a} \frac{\sqrt{n-4a}}{\sqrt{n-2+4a}} \epsilon_1 \geq 0,$$

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{\sqrt{n-2+4a}}{\sqrt{a}} \frac{1}{\eta} - \sqrt{2n} \frac{12a+n-2}{8a} \frac{1}{\epsilon_1} + (n-1) \sqrt{n+1} \frac{1}{\epsilon_2} \geq 0.$$

First, the inequality (3.10) forces

$$(3.13) \quad \epsilon_2 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon_2} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{n-2+4a}}{\sqrt{(n+1)(n-2)}\sqrt{n-4a}};$$

If

$$(3.14) \quad \frac{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{n-2+4a}}{\sqrt{(n+1)(n-2)}\sqrt{n-4a}} \geq 1,$$

i.e.,

$$(3.15) \quad a \geq \frac{n^2-4}{4n} = \frac{n}{4} - \frac{1}{n},$$

it is possible to choose

$$(3.16) \quad \epsilon_2 = \frac{\sqrt{(n+1)(n-2)}\sqrt{n-4a}}{2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{n-2+4a}} \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

such that (3.13) holds, and then (3.10) follows immediately from (3.13).

Next, we choose

$$(3.17) \quad \eta = \frac{\sqrt{n-2}\sqrt{n-4a}}{2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{a}},$$

then (3.12) can be rewritten as

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\sqrt{n-2+4a}}{\sqrt{a}} \frac{2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{a}}{\sqrt{n-2}\sqrt{n-4a}} - \sqrt{2n} \frac{12a+n-2}{8a} \frac{1}{\epsilon_1} \\ & + (n-1)\sqrt{n+1} \frac{2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{n-2+4a}}{\sqrt{(n+1)(n-2)}\sqrt{n-4a}} \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$-\frac{12a+n-2}{8a} \frac{1}{\epsilon_1} + 2\sqrt{n} \frac{\sqrt{n-2+4a}}{\sqrt{n-2}\sqrt{n-4a}} \geq 0.$$

Therefore, it is possible to choose

$$(3.19) \quad \epsilon_1 = \frac{12a+n-2}{16\sqrt{na}} \frac{\sqrt{n-2}\sqrt{n-4a}}{\sqrt{n-2+4a}},$$

such that (3.18), as well as (3.12) hold.

Choose ϵ_1, ϵ_2 and η as in (3.19), (3.16) and (3.17) respectively, we can rewrite the left hand side of (3.11) as following

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} & 1 + \frac{(n-2)(n-4a)}{8a} - \frac{\sqrt{n-2}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\sqrt{n-4a}}{\sqrt{a}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{n-2}\sqrt{n-4a}}{2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{a}} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\sqrt{a}}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{n-2}\sqrt{n-4a}} \right) - \frac{(12a+n-2)^2}{128a^2} \frac{(n-2)(n-4a)}{n-2+4a} \\ & = \frac{1}{2} - \left(\frac{1}{8} + \frac{(12a+n-2)^2}{128(n-2+4a)a} \right) \frac{(n-2)(n-4a)}{a}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that (3.20) is increasing with respect to $a > 0$ when $n \geq 4$. In fact, we have

$$(3.21) \quad \frac{d}{da} \frac{(12a+n-2)^2}{128(n-2+4a)a} = \frac{12a+n-2}{128(n-2+4a)^2 a^2} (n-2)(4a-n+2),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{da} \left[\frac{1}{2} - \left(\frac{1}{8} + \frac{(12a+n-2)^2}{128(n-2+4a)a} \right) \frac{(n-2)(n-4a)}{a} \right] \\
&= -\frac{12a+n-2}{128(n-2+4a)^2 a^2} (n-2)(4a-n+2) \frac{(n-2)(n-4a)}{a} \\
(3.22) \quad &+ \frac{16(n-2+4a)a + (12a+n-2)^2 n(n-2)}{128(n-2+4a)a} \frac{n(n-2)}{a^2} \\
&= \frac{n-2}{64(n-2+4a)^2 a^3} \left[64(8n-3)a^3 + 16(9n+2)(n-2)a^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 4(n-2)^2(6n+1)a + n(n-2)^3 \right] \\
&> 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if (3.15) holds, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.20) &\geq \frac{1}{2} - \left(\frac{1}{8} + \frac{(12a+n-2)^2}{128(n-2+4a)a} \right) \frac{(n-2)(n-4a)}{a} \Big|_{a=\frac{n}{4}-\frac{1}{n}} \\
(3.23) \quad &= \frac{(n+1)(n+2)(n-10) - 2}{2(n+1)(n+2)^2} \\
&> 0,
\end{aligned}$$

when $n \geq 11$. Consequently, when $n \geq 11$, (3.20) is positive and thus (3.11) holds provide $n/4 - \frac{1}{n} \leq a \leq n/4$.

When $4 \leq n \leq 10$, by the monotonicity of (3.20), we may estimate a lower bound of a by solving (3.20) = 0. Alternatively, we may give a rough estimate of a in the following way.

If $n/4 - 1/n \leq a \leq n/4$, from (3.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{da} \frac{(12a+n-2)^2}{128(n-2+4a)a} &= \frac{12a+n-2}{128(n-2+4a)^2 a^2} (n-2)(4a-n+2), \\
&\geq \frac{12a+n-2}{128(n-2+4a)^2 a^2} (n-2) \left(2 - \frac{4}{n}\right) \\
&> 0,
\end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\frac{(12a+n-2)^2}{128(n-2+4a)a} \leq \frac{(12a+n-2)^2}{128(n-2+4a)a} \Big|_{a=\frac{n}{4}} = \frac{(2n-1)^2}{16n(n-1)}.$$

Go back to (3.20), we conclude that

$$(3.24) \quad (3.20) \geq \frac{1}{2} - \left(\frac{1}{8} + \frac{(2n-1)^2}{16n(n-1)} \right) \frac{(n-2)(n-4a)}{a} \geq 0,$$

if

$$a \geq \frac{n(n-2)(6n^2-6n+1)}{4(3n-2)(2n^2-4n+1)} = \frac{n}{4} - \frac{n^2(n-1)}{2(3n-2)(2n^2-4n+1)}.$$

At this point, we would like point out that

$$\frac{n^2(n-1)}{2(3n-2)(2n^2-4n+1)} - \frac{1}{n} = \frac{(n-2)(n(n-1)(n-10) - 2)}{2n(3n-2)(2n^2-4n+1)} \begin{cases} > 0, & \text{if } n \geq 11, \\ < 0, & \text{if } 4 \leq n \leq 10. \end{cases}$$

In summary, by denoting

$$\epsilon_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n}, & \text{if } n \geq 11, \\ \frac{n^2(n-1)}{2(3n-2)(2n^2-4n+1)}, & \text{if } 4 \leq n \leq 10, \end{cases}$$

we conclude that (3.10), (3.11) and (3.12) holds simultaneously, and therefore (3.3) holds, provide $n/4 - \epsilon_n \leq a \leq n/4$. Since $\overline{\Omega(a)}$ is closed, convex and $O(n)$ -invariant, $\overline{\Omega(a)}$, for $n/4 - \epsilon_n \leq a \leq n/4$, is preserved by Hamilton ODE and further preserved Ricci flow by Proposition 2.2 and 2.1. This is also true for $\Omega(a)$ since positive scalar curvature is preserved by Hamilton ODE and Ricci flow. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. From the definition of $\Omega(a)$ in (1.2), it is easy to see that the family $\overline{\Omega(a)}_{a \in [n/4 - \epsilon_n, n/4]} \subset S_B^2(\mathfrak{so}(n))$, where ϵ_n is defined in (1.3), satisfies

- (1) $\overline{\Omega(a)}$ is closed convex $O(n)$ -invariant cones of full dimension,
- (2) $a \mapsto \overline{\Omega(a)}$ is continuous,
- (3) each $R \in \overline{\Omega(a)} \setminus \{0\} = \Omega(a)$ has positive scalar curvature,
- (4) $\overline{\Omega(a)}$ converges in the pointed Hausdorff topology to the one-dimensional cone $\mathbb{R}^+ I$ as $a \rightarrow n/4$.

Moreover, when $n/4 - \epsilon_n \leq a < n/4$, the strict inequalities in (3.23) and (3.24) hold, thus we have that the strict inequality in (3.11) holds. When $n/4 - \epsilon_n < a < n/4$, then the strict inequalities in (3.16) and (3.10) hold. Therefore, in case of $n/4 - \epsilon_n < a < n/4$, the strictly inequalities in (3.9) and (3.4) hold, i.e.,

$$(3.25) \quad dF_R(Q(R)) < 0, \quad \forall R \in \partial\Omega(a).$$

In other words, we have

- (5) $Q(R) = R^2 + R^\sharp$ is contained in the interior of the tangent cone of $\Omega(a)$ at R for all $R \in \overline{\Omega(a)} \setminus \{0\} = \Omega(a)$ and all $a \in (n/4 - \epsilon_n, n/4)$.

Therefore, the continuous family $\overline{\Omega(a)}_{a \in [n/4 - \epsilon_n, n/4]} \subset S_B^2(\mathfrak{so}(n))$ is a pinching family in the sense of Definition 2.3. By the general convergence Theorem 2.4, we conclude that on a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) with the curvature operator at each point is contained in the interior of $\Omega(n/4 - \epsilon_n)$, the normalized Ricci flow evolves g to a constant curvature limit metric. Therefore, M is diffeomorphic to a spherical space form. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.2. \square

4. KÄHLER MANIFOLDS AND PRINCIPAL CIRCLE BUNDLE

As discussed in the introduction, one can investigate the topology of Kähler manifolds through constructing and studying the principal circle bundle over the Kähler manifolds. This idea tracks back to Kobayashi [20].

4.1. Geometry of principle circle bundle. Let us assume the existence of principle circle bundle P over (M^n, g) with a connection γ for the moment. Following [20], for any $t > 0$, we define a metric $\tilde{g} = \pi^*g + t^2\gamma^2$ on P , and express the curvature of \tilde{g} in terms of those of g and γ . We shall also agree on that indices i, j, k run from 1 to n and indices α, β, λ and μ run from 0 to n .

Let U be a open set in M in which $g = \sum_{j=1}^n (\theta^j)^2$. Let (ω_{ij}) be a skew-symmetric matrix of 1-forms which defines the Riemannian connection of M on U so that we have the following Cartan's structure equations:

$$(4.1) \quad d\theta^i = - \sum_j \omega_{ij} \wedge \theta^j,$$

$$(4.2) \quad d\omega_{ij} = - \sum_k \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kj} + \Omega_{ij},$$

with

$$\Omega_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l} K_{ijkl} \theta^k \wedge \theta^l,$$

where K_{ijkl} are the components of the curvature tensor with respect to $\theta^1, \dots, \theta^n$.

Since the structure group S^1 of P is Abelian, the curvature of the connection γ is defined as

$$(4.3) \quad d\gamma = \pi^* A,$$

where $A = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} \theta^i \wedge \theta^j$, $A_{ij} = -A_{ji}$, is closed 2-form on M . The cohomology class

$[A] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ is independent of the choice of connections and is called the characteristic class of P .

The first covariant derivative of A_{ij} is defined by

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_k A_{ij,k} \theta^k = dA_{ij} - A_{kj} \omega_{ki} - A_{ik} \omega_{kj},$$

and the second Bianchi identity asserts that

$$A_{ij,k} + A_{jk,i} + A_{ki,j} = 0.$$

For $\tilde{g} = \pi^* g + t^2 \gamma^2$, set $\theta^0 = t\gamma$, then $\{\theta^\alpha\}_{\alpha=0}^n = \{t\gamma, \theta^1, \dots, \theta^n\}$ is an orthonormal frame of T^*P . The Levi-Civita connection 1-form $\tilde{\omega}_{\alpha\beta}$ is uniquely determined by

$$(4.5) \quad d\theta^\alpha = -\tilde{\omega}_{\alpha\beta} \wedge \theta^\beta, \quad \tilde{\omega}_{\alpha\beta} = -\tilde{\omega}_{\beta\alpha}.$$

Therefore, from (4.1), (4.3) and (4.5) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} - \sum_j \omega_{ij} \wedge \theta^j &= d\theta^i = -\tilde{\omega}_{ij} \wedge \theta^j - t\tilde{\omega}_{i0} \wedge \gamma, \\ t\left(\frac{1}{2} A_{ij} \theta^i \wedge \theta^j\right) &= d\theta^0 = -\tilde{\omega}_{0i} \wedge \theta^i, \end{aligned}$$

hence,

$$(4.6) \quad \tilde{\omega}_{ij} = \omega_{ij} - \frac{t^2}{2} A_{ij} \gamma, \quad \tilde{\omega}_{0i} = \frac{1}{2} t A_{ij} \theta^j.$$

The Riemannian curvatures can be computed using the equation

$$d\tilde{\omega}_{\alpha\beta} + \tilde{\omega}_{\alpha\mu} \wedge \tilde{\omega}_{\mu\beta} = \frac{1}{2} R_{\alpha\beta\mu\delta} \theta^\mu \wedge \theta^\delta.$$

Combine with (4.2), (4.4) and (4.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}R_{ij\alpha\beta}\theta^\alpha \wedge \theta^\beta &= \frac{1}{2}K_{ijkl}\theta^k \wedge \theta^l - \frac{t^2}{4}(A_{ij}A_{kl} + A_{ik}A_{jl})\theta^k \wedge \theta^l \\ &\quad - \frac{t}{2}A_{ij,k}\theta^k \wedge \theta^0, \\ \frac{1}{2}R_{i0\alpha\beta}\theta^\alpha \wedge \theta^\beta &= \frac{1}{4}(t^2A_{ik}A_{jk})\theta^j \wedge \theta^0 + \frac{1}{2}A_{ij,k}\theta^j \wedge \theta^k.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

Proposition 4.1. [20, Proposition 3] The curvature of \tilde{g} on P takes the form

$$(4.7) \quad R_{ijkl} = K_{ijkl} - \frac{t^2}{4}(2A_{ij}A_{kl} + A_{ik}A_{jl} - A_{il}A_{jk}),$$

$$(4.8) \quad R_{ijk0} = -\frac{t}{2}A_{ij,k},$$

$$(4.9) \quad R_{i0j0} = \frac{t^2}{4}A_{ik}A_{jk}.$$

4.2. Construction of principle circle bundle over a Kähler manifold. Now, we turn to the construction of principle circle bundle over a Kähler manifold (M^m, g, J, ω) .

Firstly, it was well-known that the set $P(M, S^1)$ of principle circle bundles over M forms an additive group, and the mapping which sends the principle circle bundles P to its characteristic class is an isomorphism of $P(M, S^1)$ onto $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ (e.g., see [18]). If (M, g) has positive bisectional curvature, then $H^2(M; \mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathbb{R}$ ([12, Theorem 4]). In this case, the group $P(M, S^1)$ of all principal circle bundle is isomorphic to the additive group of integers \mathbb{Z} . In particular, for any $q \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $[q\omega] \in H^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{C}) \cap H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$, there exists a principal circle bundle $\pi : P \rightarrow M$ and a connection γ on P whose curvature form is $\pi^*(q\omega)$ (see [18], and also [6]). In the language of Kähler geometry, $(M, q\omega)$ is a Hodge manifold and automatically projective algebraic, the Kähler class $q\omega$ defines an ample line bundle L over M such that the cone $P \times \mathbb{R}$ is identified with the complement of the zero section in L^{-1} , and the total space of the unit circle bundle in line bundle L^{-1} is P (see [22]). For example, on $(\mathbb{P}^m, \omega_{\text{FS}})$ (see [18, Section 7]), we have $P(M, S^1) \simeq H^2(\mathbb{P}^m; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$. The total space of the unit circle bundle in line bundle $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ over \mathbb{P}^m is $P = S^{2m+1}$, which has the characteristic class represented by (curvature) $-\omega_{\text{FS}}$; while the total space of the unit circle bundle in the canonical line bundle $\mathcal{O}(-(m+1))$ is $P = S^{2m+1}/\mathbb{Z}_{m+1}$.

Let τ be any fixed positive number, consider the metric $\tilde{g} = \pi^*g + \left(\frac{\tau}{q}\right)^2\gamma^2$ on P . In this case, denote R to be the Riemannian curvature operator of \tilde{g} as in Proposition 4.1 with $A = q\omega$, i.e., $A_{ij} = J_{ij}$, then R have the following relative simple from:

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned}R_{ijkl} &= K_{ijkl} - \frac{\tau^2}{4}(2J_{ij}J_{kl} + J_{ik}J_{jl} - J_{il}J_{jk}), \\ R_{ijk0} &= 0, \\ R_{i0j0} &= \frac{\tau^2}{4}\delta_{ij}.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by (2.13), we may rewrite (4.10) in the following form,

$$(4.11) \quad R = \frac{\tau^2}{4}I + (K - \frac{\tau^2}{2}E),$$

where we extend the Kähler curvature K on P such that it is evaluated to be zero whenever at least one of the vectors is tangent to the fiber, i.e., $K_{ijk0} = E_{ijk0} = 0$, $K_{i0j0} = E_{i0j0} = 0$.

In this case, the Ricci curvature of R in (4.10) is given by

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} R_{ij} &= K_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}\tau^2\delta_{ij}, \\ R_{i0} &= 0, \\ R_{00} &= \frac{m}{2}\tau^2, \end{aligned}$$

here $K_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{2m} K_{ikjk}$ is the Ricci curvature of K .

The scalar curvature is given by

$$(4.13) \quad \text{scal}(R) = \text{scal}(K) - \frac{m}{2}\tau^2, \quad \bar{\lambda}(R) = \frac{2m}{2m+1}\left(\bar{\lambda} - \frac{1}{4}\tau^2\right),$$

here $\bar{\lambda} = \text{scal}(K)/(2m)$.

In general, if there does not exist $q \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $[q\omega] \in H^{1,1}(M, \mathbb{C}) \cap H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$, one can approximate the Kähler class by rational classes. In fact, since $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ form a basis in $H^2(M; \mathbb{R})$, and the set $\{bA; A \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}), b \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is dense in $H^2(M; \mathbb{R})$, one can approximate $q\omega$ by some integral class A for some $q (= 1/b)$. Then there exists principle circle bundle P and a connection γ such that the curvature of γ is π^*A , and the curvature of $\tilde{g} = \pi^*g + (\tau/q)^2\gamma^2$ on P is arbitrarily close to R that is defined in (4.10). This is made precise in the following proposition.

Proposition 4.2. [20, Proposition 7-9] On a Kähler manifold (M, g, J, ω) , let τ be any fixed positive number, for any positive number ϵ , there exists a positive number q , and a principle circle bundle P over M with a connection form γ , such that

- (1) the curvature of the connection γ can be written as $d\gamma = \pi^*A$, where $A = \frac{1}{2}A_{ij}\theta^i \wedge \theta^j$ is a harmonic form representing an element of $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ and satisfies

$$\text{maximum of } \left(\sum_{i,j} |A_{ij} - qJ_{ij}|^2 + \sum_{i,j,k} |A_{ij,k}|^2 \right) < \frac{1}{q^2}\epsilon,$$

- (2) the curvature R' of $\tilde{g} = \pi^*g + (\frac{\tau}{q})^2\gamma^2$ on P satisfies

$$\text{maximum of } \|R' - R\| < \epsilon.$$

4.3. Algebraic propositions of the curvature norm. Throughout this subsection, let R be the Riemannian curvature operator which is defined in (4.10). Moreover, set $n = 2m + 1$. Now we collect some formulas that express the norm of R in terms of the norm of K .

Proposition 4.3. The norm of the Ricci tensor of R is

$$(4.14) \quad |\text{Ric}(R)|^2 = |\text{Ric}(K)|^2 - 2m\tau^2\bar{\lambda} + \frac{m^2 + 2m}{4}\tau^4.$$

Proof. From (4.12) we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} |\operatorname{Ric}(R)|^2 &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta} R_{\alpha\beta}^2 = \sum_{i,j} R_{ij}^2 + R_{00}^2 + 2 \sum_i R_{i0}^2 \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \left(K_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} \tau^2 \delta_{ij} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{m}{2} \tau^2 \right)^2 \\ &= |\operatorname{Ric}(K)|^2 - 2m\tau^2 \bar{\lambda} + \frac{m^2 + 2m}{4} \tau^4. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 4.4. The norm of the trace-free Ricci tensor is

$$(4.15) \quad |\operatorname{Ric}(R)_0|^2 = |\operatorname{Ric}(K)_0|^2 + \frac{2m}{2m+1} \left(\bar{\lambda} - \frac{m+1}{2} \tau^2 \right)^2.$$

Proof. Plug (2.9) and (2.18) into (4.14), we obtain (4.15) from (4.13). □

Proposition 4.5. The norm of the scalar part of curvature tensor R is

$$(4.16) \quad \|R_I\|^2 = \frac{m}{2m+1} \left(\bar{\lambda} - \frac{1}{4} \tau^2 \right)^2.$$

Proof. It is easy to see from (2.10) and (4.13). □

Proposition 4.6. The norm of the trace-free Ricci part of the curvature R is

$$(4.17) \quad \|R_{\operatorname{Ric}_0}\|^2 = \frac{1}{2m-1} \left(\frac{m+2}{2} \|K_{\operatorname{Ric}_0}\|^2 + \frac{2m}{2m+1} \left(\bar{\lambda} - \frac{m+1}{2} \tau^2 \right) \right).$$

Proof. By (2.11), (2.17) and (4.15), we have

$$\|R_{\operatorname{Ric}_0}\|^2 = \frac{1}{2m-1} |\operatorname{Ric}(R)_0|^2 = \frac{1}{2m-1} \left(2|\operatorname{Ric}(K)_0|_K^2 + \frac{2m}{2m+1} \left(\bar{\lambda} - \frac{m+1}{2} \tau^2 \right) \right),$$

then we obtain (4.17) from (2.20). □

Proposition 4.7. The norm of the curvature tensor R is

$$(4.18) \quad \|R\|^2 = \|K\|^2 - \frac{3}{2} m \tau^2 \bar{\lambda} + \frac{6m^2 + 5m}{16} \tau^4.$$

Proof. From (2.3) we calculate

$$(4.19) \quad \|R\|^2 = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta=0}^{2m} R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left(4 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{2m} R_{ijk0}^2 + 4 \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} R_{0i0j}^2 + \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{2m} R_{ijkl}^2 \right).$$

From (4.10), we have

$$(4.20) \quad \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{2m} R_{ijk0}^2 = 0,$$

$$(4.21) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} R_{0i0j}^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} \left(\frac{\tau^2}{4} \delta_{ij} \right)^2 = \frac{m\tau^4}{8},$$

$$\sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{2m} R_{ijkl}^2 = \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{2m} \left(K_{ijkl} - \frac{\tau^2}{4} (2J_{ij}J_{kl} + J_{ik}J_{jl} - J_{il}J_{jk}) \right)^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{2m} K_{ijkl}^2 - \tau^2 \left(\sum_{i,k=1}^{2m} K(e_i, Je_i, e_k, Je_k) + \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} K(e_i, e_j, e_i, e_j) \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{6m^2 + 3m}{4} \tau^4 \\
(4.22) \quad &= 4\|K\|^2 - 3\tau^2 \text{scal}(K) + \frac{6m^2 + 3m}{4} \tau^4,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the following identity in the last equality (4.22),

$$\sum_{i,k=1}^{2m} K(e_i, Je_i, e_k, Je_k) = 4 \sum_{i,k=1}^m K(e_i, Je_i, e_k, Je_k) = 2 \text{scal}(K).$$

Plugging (4.20), (4.21) and (4.22) into (4.19), we obtain (4.18). \square

Proposition 4.8. The norm of the scalar flat part of curvature tensor R is

$$(4.23) \quad \|R_{\text{Ric}_0}\|^2 + \|W\|^2 = \|K_{\text{Ric}_0}\|^2 + \|B\|^2 + \frac{m(3m+1)}{(m+1)(2m+1)} \left(\bar{\lambda} - \frac{m+1}{2} \tau^2 \right)^2.$$

Proof. With (4.16) and (2.19), we derive from (4.18) that

$$(\|R\|^2 - \|R_I\|^2) - (\|K\|^2 - \|K_E\|^2) = \frac{m(3m+1)}{(m+1)(2m+1)} \left(\bar{\lambda} - \frac{m+1}{2} \tau^2 \right)^2.$$

Thus we conclude (4.23). \square

Proposition 4.9. The norm of the Weyl curvature part of curvature tensor R is

$$(4.24) \quad \|W\|^2 = \|B\|^2 + \frac{3m-4}{2(2m-1)} \|K_{\text{Ric}_0}\|^2 + \frac{3m(m-1)}{(m+1)(2m-1)} \left(\bar{\lambda} - \frac{m+1}{2} \tau^2 \right)^2.$$

Proof. From (4.23) and (4.17), we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\|W\|^2 &= \|K_{\text{Ric}_0}\|^2 + \|B\|^2 - \|R_{\text{Ric}_0}\|^2 \\
&= \|K_{\text{Ric}_0}\|^2 + \|B\|^2 + \frac{m(3m+1)}{(m+1)(2m+1)} \left(\bar{\lambda} - \frac{m+1}{2} \tau^2 \right)^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2m-1} \left(\frac{m+2}{2} \|K_{\text{Ric}_0}\|^2 + \frac{2m}{2m+1} \left(\bar{\lambda} - \frac{m+1}{2} \tau^2 \right)^2 \right) \\
&= \|B\|^2 + \frac{3m-4}{2(2m-1)} \|K_{\text{Ric}_0}\|^2 + \frac{3m(m-1)}{(m+1)(2m-1)} \left(\bar{\lambda} - \frac{m+1}{2} \tau^2 \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is finished. \square

5. KÄHLER MANIFOLDS WITH PINCHED CURVATURE OPERATORS

To make the norm of the scalar flat part, $\|R_{\text{Ric}_0}\|^2 + \|W\|^2$, of curvature tensor R to be relatively small, according to the formula (4.23), we may choose τ such that

$$(5.1) \quad \frac{m+1}{2} \tau^2 = \bar{\lambda}_0 := \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(M)} \int_M \bar{\lambda} = \frac{1}{2m \text{Vol}(M)} \int_M \text{scal}(K).$$

In this case, from (4.13), we have

$$(5.2) \quad \bar{\lambda}(R) = \frac{2m}{2m+1} \left(\bar{\lambda} - \frac{1}{2(m+1)} \bar{\lambda}_0 \right).$$

Furthermore, from (4.16), we have

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \|R_I\|^2 &= \frac{m}{2m+1} \left(\bar{\lambda} - \frac{1}{2(m+1)} \bar{\lambda}_0 \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{m(2m+1)}{4(m+1)^2} \left(\bar{\lambda}^2 + \frac{2}{2m+1} \bar{\lambda}(\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\lambda}_0) + \frac{1}{(2m+1)^2} (\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\lambda}_0)^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

From (4.17), we have

$$(5.4) \quad \|R_{\text{Ric}_0}\|^2 = \frac{1}{2m-1} \left(\frac{m+2}{2} \|K_{\text{Ric}_0}\|^2 + \frac{2m}{2m+1} (\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\lambda}_0)^2 \right).$$

From (4.24), we have

$$(5.5) \quad \|W\|^2 = \|B\|^2 + \frac{3m-4}{2(2m-1)} \|K_{\text{Ric}_0}\|^2 + \frac{3m(m-1)}{(m+1)(2m-1)} (\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\lambda}_0)^2.$$

Proposition 5.1. Assume $0 < a < (2m+1)/4$. Let K be an algebraic Kähler curvature operator, and let R be the associated algebraic Riemannian curvature operator defined in (4.10). If we choose τ as specified in (5.1), then R is contained in the curvature cone $\Omega(a)$ (see (1.2)) only if K has positive scalar curvature and satisfies the pinching condition (1.7) for some $0 < \epsilon < (2m+1)/2$.

Proof. To ensure that R is contained in the curvature cone $\Omega(a)$, by (1.2), it is necessary for R to have positive scalar curvature and satisfy the following inequality,

$$(5.6) \quad a \|R_{\text{Ric}_0}\|^2 + \left(\frac{2m-1}{4} + a \right) \|W\|^2 \leq \frac{2m+1-4a}{4} \|R_I\|^2.$$

Using the Hölder inequality,

$$|\bar{\lambda}(\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\lambda}_0)| \leq \frac{1}{4\epsilon} (\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\lambda}_0)^2 + \epsilon \bar{\lambda}^2, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0,$$

we conclude from (5.3) that

$$(5.7) \quad \|R_I\|^2 \geq \frac{m(2m+1)}{4(m+1)^2} \left(\left(1 - \frac{2}{2m+1}\epsilon\right) \bar{\lambda}^2 + \frac{1}{(2m+1)^2} \left(1 - \frac{2m+1}{2}\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) (\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\lambda}_0)^2 \right).$$

Therefore, combine with (5.4) and (5.5), to ensure the inequality (5.6) holds, it suffices to have the following inequality holds for some $0 < \epsilon < (2m+1)/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & a \frac{1}{2m-1} \left(\frac{m+2}{2} \|K_{\text{Ric}_0}\|^2 + \frac{2m}{2m+1} (\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\lambda}_0)^2 \right) \\ & + \left(\frac{2m-1}{4} + a \right) \left(\|B\|^2 + \frac{3m-4}{2(2m-1)} \|K_{\text{Ric}_0}\|^2 + \frac{3m(m-1)}{(m+1)(2m-1)} (\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\lambda}_0)^2 \right) \\ & \leq \frac{2m+1-4a}{4} \frac{m(2m+1)}{4(m+1)^2} \left(\left(1 - \frac{2}{2m+1}\epsilon\right) \bar{\lambda}^2 + \frac{1}{(2m+1)^2} \left(1 - \frac{2m+1}{2}\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) (\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\lambda}_0)^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

i.e., K satisfies the following inequality

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{3m-4}{8} + a \right) \|K_{\text{Ric}_0}\|^2 + \left(\frac{2m-1}{4} + a \right) \|B\|^2 \\ & + \frac{m}{16(m+1)^2} \left((12m^2 - 13) + 4(6m+5)a + \frac{2m+1-4a}{2}\frac{1}{\epsilon} \right) (\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\lambda}_0)^2 \\ & \leq \frac{2m+1-4a}{4} \frac{2m+1}{8(m+1)} \left(1 - \frac{2}{2m+1}\epsilon\right) \|K_E\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which is exactly the pinching condition (1.7).

Furthermore, if K satisfies (5.8), we have

$$(5.9) \quad \left((12m^2 - 13) + 4(6m + 5)a \right) (\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\lambda}_0)^2 \leq (2m + 1 - 4a)(2m + 1)\bar{\lambda}^2,$$

which implies that

$$(5.10) \quad \frac{1}{(2m + 1)^2} (\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\lambda}_0)^2 \leq \frac{2m + 1 - 4a}{(2m + 1)((12m^2 - 13) + 4(6m + 5)a)} \bar{\lambda}^2 < \bar{\lambda}^2.$$

Additionally, the equation (5.2) can be rewritten equivalently as

$$(5.11) \quad \bar{\lambda}(R) = \frac{m}{m + 1} \left(\bar{\lambda} + \frac{1}{2m + 1} (\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\lambda}_0) \right).$$

Consequently, if K has positive scalar curvature, i.e., $\bar{\lambda} > 0$, we conclude that R has positive scalar curvature from (5.10) and (5.11), i.e., $\bar{\lambda}(R) > 0$. Therefore, if K has positive scalar curvature and satisfies the pinching condition (1.7) for some $0 < \epsilon < (2m + 1)/2$, then R is contained in the curvature cone $\Omega(a)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Now let (M, g, J, ω) be a compact Kähler manifold of complex dimension m . Assume that the scalar curvature is positive, i.e., $\bar{\lambda} > 0$, and the Kähler curvature $K(p)$ at each point $p \in M$ satisfies the pinching condition (1.7) for some $0 < \epsilon < (2m + 1)/2$ and $(2m + 1)/4 - \epsilon_{2m+1} < a \leq (2m + 1)/4$, where ϵ_{2m+1} is defined in (1.3). Let R be the associated algebraic Riemannian curvature operator which is defined in (4.10). Since R depends only on $p \in M$ not on $\pi^{-1}(p) \subset P$, we denote R by $R(p)$. If we choose τ as in (5.1), by Proposition 5.1, we conclude that $R(p)$ at each point $p \in M$ is contained in the curvature cone $\Omega(a)$ for $(2m + 1)/4 - \epsilon_{2m+1} < a \leq (2m + 1)/4$.

Furthermore, since M is compact, and $(2m + 1)/4 - \epsilon_{2m+1} < a \leq (2m + 1)/4$, there is a some positive number ϵ such that the ϵ -neighbourhood of the curvature set

$$\{R(p), \text{ the algebraic curvature operator defined in (4.10), } p \in M\},$$

is contained in the interior of the curvature cone $\Omega((2m + 1)/4 - \epsilon_{2m+1})$.

For such $\epsilon > 0$ and τ chosen in (5.1), by Proposition 4.2, there exists a positive number q , and a principle circle bundle P over M with a connection form γ , such that the curvature R' of $\tilde{g} = \pi^*g + (\frac{\tau}{q})^2\gamma^2$ on P satisfy

$$\max \|R' - R\| < \epsilon.$$

In particular, R' is contained in the interior curvature cone $\Omega((2m + 1)/4 - \epsilon_{2m+1})$. By the Differential Sphere Theorem 1.2, we conclude that the principle circle bundle P is diffeomorphic to a spherical space form. In particular, the universal covering space of P is diffeomorphic with S^{2m+1} . As in Kobayashi [20], the exact homotopy sequences of the fiber bundle $S^1 \rightarrow S^{2m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$ and $S^1 \rightarrow P \rightarrow M$ give an isomorphism $\pi_i(M) = \pi_i(\mathbb{P}^m)$ for $i \geq 2$. Moreover, in case of $(2m + 1)/4 - \epsilon_{2m+1} < a \leq (2m + 1)/4$, from Corollary 2.11, we know that $\Omega(a)$ is contained in the curvature cone with positive Ricci curvature. Furthermore, for X, Y horizontal in the submersion $\pi : P \rightarrow M$, we see from (4.12) that

$$\text{Ric}(K)(X, Y) = \text{Ric}(R)(X, Y) + \frac{1}{2}\tau^2g(X, Y) \geq \frac{1}{2}\tau^2g(X, Y).$$

In particular, M has positive Ricci curvature and thus is simply connected by a theorem of Kobayashi [19]. Since \mathbb{P}^m is also simply connected, we conclude that $\pi_i(M) \simeq \pi_i(\mathbb{P}^m)$ for all i . The proof of Theorem 1.3 is finished. \square

Acknowledgments. Part of this work was supported by NSFC grants No.11501285 and No.11871265. The author would like to thank Professor Yalong Shi for the useful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. V. Alekseevskii. Riemannian spaces with exceptional holonomy groups. *Functional Analysis and Its Applications*, 2(2):97–105, 1968. doi: 10.1007/BF01075943. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01075943>.
- [2] S. F. Beitz. *Bianchi-convexity and applications to Ricci flow*. PhD thesis, Universität Münster, 2018.
- [3] M. Berger. Les variétés Riemanniennes (1/4)-pincées. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (3)*, 14:161–170, 1960. ISSN 0391-173X.
- [4] A. L. Besse. *Einstein manifolds*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008. ISBN 978-3-540-74120-6. Reprint of the 1987 edition.
- [5] C. Böhm and B. Wilking. Manifolds with positive curvature operators are space forms. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 167(3):1079–1097, 2008. ISSN 0003-486X,1939-8980. doi: 10.4007/annals.2008.167.1079. URL <https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2008.167.1079>.
- [6] W. M. Boothby and H. C. Wang. On contact manifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 68:721–734, 1958. ISSN 0003-486X. doi: 10.2307/1970165. URL <https://doi.org/10.2307/1970165>.
- [7] J.-P. Bourguignon and H. Karcher. Curvature operators: pinching estimates and geometric examples. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 11(1):71–92, 1978. ISSN 0012-9593. URL http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASENS_1978_4_11_1_71_0.
- [8] S. Brendle. Ricci flow with surgery on manifolds with positive isotropic curvature. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 190(2):465–559, 2019. ISSN 0003-486X,1939-8980. doi: 10.4007/annals.2019.190.2.2. URL <https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2019.190.2.2>.
- [9] S. Brendle and R. Schoen. Manifolds with 1/4-pinched curvature are space forms. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 22(1):287–307, 2009. ISSN 0894-0347,1088-6834. doi: 10.1090/S0894-0347-08-00613-9. URL <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0894-0347-08-00613-9>.
- [10] H. Chen. Pointwise $\frac{1}{4}$ -pinched 4-manifolds. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 9(2):161–176, 1991. ISSN 0232-704X. doi: 10.1007/BF00776854. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00776854>.
- [11] X. X. Chen and G. Tian. Ricci flow on Kähler-Einstein manifolds. *Duke Math. J.*, 131(1):17–73, 2006. ISSN 0012-7094,1547-7398. doi: 10.1215/S0012-7094-05-13112-X. URL <https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-05-13112-X>.
- [12] S. I. Goldberg and S. Kobayashi. Holomorphic bisectional curvature. *J. Differential Geometry*, 1:225–233, 1967. ISSN 0022-040X,1945-743X. URL <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.jdg/1214428090>.
- [13] R. S. Hamilton. Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature. *J. Differential Geometry*, 17(2):255–306, 1982. ISSN 0022-040X,1945-743X. URL <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.jdg/1214436922>.
- [14] R. S. Hamilton. Four-manifolds with positive curvature operator. *J. Differential Geom.*, 24(2):153–179, 1986. ISSN 0022-040X,1945-743X. URL <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.jdg/1214440433>.

- [15] R. S. Hamilton. The formation of singularities in the Ricci flow. In *Surveys in differential geometry, Vol. II (Cambridge, MA, 1993)*, pages 7–136. Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1995. ISBN 1-57146-027-6.
- [16] G. Huisken. Ricci deformation of the metric on a Riemannian manifold. *J. Differential Geom.*, 21(1):47–62, 1985. ISSN 0022-040X,1945-743X. URL <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.jdg/1214439463>.
- [17] W. Klingenberg. Über Riemannsche Mannigfaltigkeiten mit positiver Krümmung. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 35:47–54, 1961. ISSN 0010-2571,1420-8946. doi: 10.1007/BF02567004. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02567004>.
- [18] S. Kobayashi. Principal fibre bundles with the 1-dimensional toroidal group. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)*, 8:29–45, 1956. ISSN 0040-8735,2186-585X. doi: 10.2748/tmj/1178245006. URL <https://doi.org/10.2748/tmj/1178245006>.
- [19] S. Kobayashi. On compact Kähler manifolds with positive definite Ricci tensor. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 74:570–574, 1961. ISSN 0003-486X. doi: 10.2307/1970298. URL <https://doi.org/10.2307/1970298>.
- [20] S. Kobayashi. Topology of positively pinched Kaehler manifolds. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)*, 15:121–139, 1963. ISSN 0040-8735,2186-585X. doi: 10.2748/tmj/1178243839. URL <https://doi.org/10.2748/tmj/1178243839>.
- [21] P. Li. The spectral rigidity of complex projective spaces, revisited. *Math. Z.*, 290(3-4):1115–1143, 2018. ISSN 0025-5874,1432-1823. doi: 10.1007/s00209-018-2055-8. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00209-018-2055-8>.
- [22] A. Loi, G. Placini, and M. Zedda. Immersions into Sasakian space forms. *Math. Z.*, 307(3):Paper No. 60, 20, 2024. ISSN 0025-5874,1432-1823. doi: 10.1007/s00209-024-03529-1. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00209-024-03529-1>.
- [23] C. Margerin. Pointwise pinched manifolds are space forms. In *Geometric measure theory and the calculus of variations (Arcata, Calif., 1984)*, volume 44 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 307–328. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986. ISBN 0-8218-1470-2. doi: 10.1090/pspum/044/840282. URL <https://doi.org/10.1090/pspum/044/840282>.
- [24] S. Mori. Projective manifolds with ample tangent bundles. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 110(3):593–606, 1979. ISSN 0003-486X. doi: 10.2307/1971241. URL <https://doi.org/10.2307/1971241>.
- [25] L. Ni and N. Wallach. On a classification of gradient shrinking solitons. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 15(5):941–955, 2008. ISSN 1073-2780. doi: 10.4310/MRL.2008.v15.n5.a9. URL <https://doi.org/10.4310/MRL.2008.v15.n5.a9>.
- [26] M. Sitaramayya. Curvature tensors in Kaehler manifolds. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 183:341–353, 1973. ISSN 0002-9947,1088-6850. doi: 10.2307/1996473. URL <https://doi.org/10.2307/1996473>.
- [27] Y. T. Siu and S. T. Yau. Compact Kähler manifolds of positive bisectional curvature. *Invent. Math.*, 59(2):189–204, 1980. ISSN 0020-9910,1432-1297. doi: 10.1007/BF01390043. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01390043>.
- [28] S.-I. Tachibana. A theorem on Riemannian manifolds of positive curvature operator. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, 50:301–302, 1974. ISSN 0021-4280. URL <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.pja/1195518988>.
- [29] G. Tian and X. Zhu. Convergence of Kähler-Ricci flow. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 20(3):675–699, 2007. ISSN 0894-0347,1088-6834. doi: 10.1090/S0894-0347-06-00552-2. URL <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0894-0347-06-00552-2>.

- [30] B. Wilking. A Lie algebraic approach to Ricci flow invariant curvature conditions and Harnack inequalities. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 679:223–247, 2013. ISSN 0075-4102,1435-5345. doi: 10.1515/crelle.2012.018. URL <https://doi.org/10.1515/crelle.2012.018>.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING, CHINA, 210093
Email address: xuyiyan@nju.edu.cn