

Distinct amplitude mode dynamics upon resonant and off-resonant excitation across the charge density wave energy gap in LaTe_3 investigated by time- and angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy

Kecheng Liu¹, Takeshi Suzuki¹, Yigui Zhong¹, Teruto Kanai¹, Jiro Itatani¹, Linda Ye²,
Maya Martinez², Anisha Singh², Ian R. Fisher², Uwe Bovensiepen^{1,3*}, Kozo Okazaki^{1†}

¹ Institute for Solid State Physics, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba, 277-8581, Japan

² Geballe Laboratory for Advanced Materials and Department of Applied Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305-4045, USA

³ Faculty of Physics, University of Duisburg-Essen, 47048 Duisburg, Germany

Abstract

Non-equilibrium states generated by ultrafast laser pulses are characterized by specific phenomena that are not accessible in static measurements. Previous time- and angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) studies on rare-earth tritelluride materials have revealed the laser-driven melting of the charge density wave order as well as its collective amplitude mode excitation. Variation of the excess energy deposited by optical pumping in the material promises pathways to control the dynamic material response. To this end, we use an optical parametric amplifier to generate a tunable pump photon energy. Studying LaTe_3 we compare the dynamics driven by pumping resonantly across the charge density wave energy gap with the effect of pumping at a twice higher photon energy in a ARPES pump-probe experiment. We clearly identify a pump photon energy dependent behavior. At the larger pump photon energy, the excess electronic energy generates lattice heating mediated by e-ph coupling and softening of the amplitude mode frequency from 3 to 2 THz. Remarkably, the resonant pumping across the CDW gap results in a time-independent amplitude mode frequency. We conclude that the resonant excitation across the energy gap excites the amplitude mode selectively while additional electronic excess energy deposited at higher pump photon energy modifies the crystal properties transiently by incoherent dissipative processes.

* E-mail: uwe.bovensiepen@uni-due.de

† E-mail: okazaki@issp.u-tokyo.ac.jp

1. Introduction

The charge density wave (CDW) is a broken symmetry ground state in low-dimensional materials that emerges below a transition temperature T_{CDW} , where the electron density is spatially modulated with a periodicity distinct from the high temperature state. This phenomenon occurs due to the spontaneous lattice distortion and is linked to energy gain which results in opening of a gap in the Fermi surface¹. Although CDW formation can be described by effective mean field models in thermal equilibrium, it is challenging to distinguish the charge and lattice contributions due to the strong electron-phonon coupling. Pump-probe experiments using femtosecond laser pulses offer, in contrast to static measurements, opportunities to detect the electron and lattice subsystems separately by exciting the system into non-equilibrium states and probe the laser-pump induced dynamics in the time domain^{2,3,4}.

Rare-earth tritellurides ($R\text{Te}_3$, R is a rare-earth element) possess a layered and weakly orthorhombic structure and are known as a class of CDW materials⁵ in which the ultrafast dynamics have been studied widely^{3,6,7,8,9}. In the normal-state, the Fermi surface originates from the nearly square-shaped Te sheets. The previous results of angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) have revealed an imperfect Fermi surface nesting along the c axis, where the vector \mathbf{q}_{CDW} creates a CDW gap 2Δ , leading to an incomplete diamond-shaped Fermi surface. The contraction of rare-earth ions with increasing atomic number Z in $R\text{Te}$ layers induces increasing chemical pressure which results in a decreasing T_{CDW} and gap size¹⁰. Besides, $R\text{Te}_3$ materials with heavier R atoms (Tb-Tm) exhibit a second CDW transition at lower temperature along the a axis⁵.

Time- and angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (TARPES)^{4,11,12,13,14} is widely applied to probe the dynamics of electronic order of the CDW with femtosecond laser pulses, where, e.g., the suppression of 2Δ can be seen as a series of energy-momentum cuts with varying delay time. Such pump-probe spectroscopy studies have demonstrated the narrowing process in the CDW gap and the pump-fluence-dependent CDW amplitude mode (AM). Such studies revealed how the CDW gap evolves after the photoexcitation with a pump fluence dependent response of the CDW AM^{4,9,15}. These pump-probe experiments generated the non-equilibrium state by absorbing near-infrared laser pulses at a photon energy of 1.5 eV as the fundamental of Ti:sapphire laser sources. Several investigations have demonstrated that variation of the pump photon energy can change the dynamic phenomena and provide insights not accessible with pumping at 1.5 eV, see, for example, Refs. 16,17,18,19,20,21,22. It was discussed specifically for DyTe_3 that the electronic excess energy could influence the competing trends of pump-induced gap melting vs. increased Fermi surface nesting¹⁵.

In this TARPES work we compare the dynamics driven by a near-infrared (NIR) pump pulse at a photon energy $h\nu_{\text{pump}}^{\text{NIR}}=1.55$ eV used in previous TARPES studies of $R\text{Te}_3$ with the dynamics triggered by $h\nu_{\text{pump}}^{\text{MIR}}=2\Delta$ which is in the mid-infrared (MIR) spectral range. We observe a pronounced difference in the frequency at which the amplitude mode responds to the pump excitation. At NIR pumping the amplitude mode frequency softens from 3 to 2 THz after 1 ps. For pumping in the MIR, a single frequency amplitude mode which oscillates at a constant frequency of 3 THz is identified. This difference is associated with the excess energy deposited in the material by the higher pump photon energy $h\nu_{\text{pump}}^{\text{NIR}} > h\nu_{\text{pump}}^{\text{MIR}}$.




Figure 1: (a) Comparison of two pump photon energies in TARPES measurements of RTe_3 . Blue points sketch the general illustration of CDW band folding. Green and red arrows indicate the pump in resonance with the energy gap 2Δ employing the OPA output and the pumping at higher photon energy using the fundamental laser photon energy, respectively. (b) Fermi surface map of LaTe_3 taken by ARPES using a Helium discharge lamp ($h\nu = 21.2$ eV) at room temperature. The solid line corresponds to the first Brillouin zone and the dashed lines mark the continuous FS without CDW modulation. The red and green dashed lines show the momentum cut of the TARPES measurements for pumping at 1.55 eV and 0.73 eV photon energy, respectively. The region around the intersection of the two cuts is marked by the white circle. (c) E - k intensity maps of cut 1 and cut 2 shown in panel (b). The dashed area corresponds to the CDW gap region by the circle marked in (b). (d) EDCs before (colored) and after (black) the pump arrival, with the momentum integrated in the region between the dashed lines in (b). The insets present the EDCs for $E > E_F$ with the intensity multiplied by a factor of 10.

2. Experimental details

The real part of the optical conductivity σ_1 allows with $\sigma_1 \propto \omega \varepsilon_2$, ε_2 the imaginary part of the dielectric function and ω the optical frequency, an estimation of the resonant absorption across 2Δ ²³. For LaTe_3 which is the RTe_3 material with the largest in-plane lattice constant, largest energy gap, and largest gapped fraction of the Fermi surface ¹⁰, we estimate the optical absorption maximum to 0.7 eV ^{24,25}. As illustrated in Fig. 1a both pump photon energies, $h\nu^{\text{NIR}}_{\text{pump}}$ and $h\nu^{\text{MIR}}_{\text{pump}}$, are resonant excitations among the main and the backfolded fraction of the electronic CDW bands. The spectral weight of these bands is shown by the diameter of the blue circles in the tight-binding calculation following Brouet et al. ¹⁰. The

lower pump photon energy $h\nu_{\text{pump}}^{\text{MIR}} = 0.7 \text{ eV}$ matches 2Δ and the larger one $h\nu_{\text{pump}}^{\text{NIR}} = 1.5 \text{ eV}$ deposits additional electron energy at higher energies in the band, see Fig. 1(a). The incident pump fluence F was determined to $1.0 \pm 0.3 \text{ mJ/cm}^2$ by dividing the incoming energy per pulse by the illuminated surface area of the sample, which was adjusted in order to keep F constant for $h\nu_{\text{pump}}^{\text{NIR}}$ and $h\nu_{\text{pump}}^{\text{MIR}}$. For a comparison of the absorbed fluences at these two pump photon energies we estimate the ratio of the corresponding absorption coefficients $\alpha(0.7 \text{ eV}) / \alpha(1.5 \text{ eV})$. Since $\alpha = \omega \epsilon_2 / c$, c is the velocity of light in vacuum²⁶, $\alpha(0.7 \text{ eV}) / \alpha(1.5 \text{ eV}) = \sigma_1(0.7 \text{ eV}) / \sigma_1(1.5 \text{ eV})$. Taking data reported in Refs. 23, 24, 25 into account we find that $\alpha(0.7 \text{ eV}) / \alpha(1.5 \text{ eV})$ varies between 2 and 3 at temperatures far below T_{CDW} . Therefore, we conclude that the absorbed fluence at $h\nu_{\text{pump}}^{\text{MIR}}$ is by that factor larger than at $h\nu_{\text{pump}}^{\text{NIR}}$.

The experimental setup used for this TARPES study was described recently²⁷. It is equipped with a gas phase high harmonic generation beamline providing femtosecond pulses at 21.6 eV probe photon energy combined with a tunable pump photon energy using an optical parametric amplifier, a He discharge lamp for static ARPES, and a vacuum chamber with a photoelectron spectrometer. The samples are cooled by liquid helium cryostat. TARPES experiments were conducted at 30 K sample temperature with the cleaved sample kept in ultrahigh vacuum. In the experiments we determined the Fermi energy E_F by a reference measurement on a gold surface.




Figure 2: (a, b) Temporal evolution of the differential EDCs in a color map at $k=0.17 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$, and $k=0.25 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$, integrated within the area indicated by the circle in Fig. 1(b), pumped by 1.55 eV (a) and 0.73 eV (b), respectively. The blue and black markers indicate the band maxima above and below the CDW gap. (c) Time-dependent change of the CDW gap for $h\nu_{\text{pump}}^{\text{NIR}}$ and $h\nu_{\text{pump}}^{\text{MIR}}$. (d, e) The time-dependent intensity integrated in the energy regions marked by the black dashed boxes in panels (a) and (b), labeled by "Region 1" and "Region 2". The intensities are normalized by the average intensity for $t < 0$ in "Region 2", for each pump energy. The red lines depict fits detailed in the main text.

3. Results

We carried out the static ARPES measurements to analyze the electronic structure in thermal equilibrium at $T = 300$ K, which is well below the CDW transition temperature of LaTe_3 estimated to 670 K²⁵. Figure 1(b) shows the Fermi surface (FS) map of LaTe_3 integrated from -10 meV to 10 meV across E_F , where the diamond-shaped FS sheet originates from the overlapping $5p_x$ and $5p_z$ orbitals in the planes of Te atoms. Absence of the intensity at E_F results from the opening of the CDW gap in regions of the Brillouin zone with a well nested FS. To study the CDW dynamics, we selected two different momentum cuts containing the CDW signature, shown as the green and red dashed lines in Fig. 1(b) for the TARPES measurements presented below. Cut 1 provides information along the presumed high temperature FS while cut 2 crosses the CDW-gapped area to a second branch of the FS. Figure 1(c) shows the momentum- and energy-dependent photoelectron intensity as static E - k maps which represents the electronic structure.

In the following, we investigate the nonequilibrium properties in the nested region of the FS by TARPES upon femtosecond laser excitation. Figure 1(d) shows the energy distribution curves (EDCs) before and after the excitation by the pump pulse, integrated in the region of the Brillouin zone indicated by the white circle in Fig. 1(b). From these EDCs we conclude that the band maxima indicating the low energy end of the CDW gap are at $E-E_F = -0.30$ eV and comparable along the two cuts in momentum space.

Figure 2(a,b) shows the temporal evolution of the differential EDCs as a function of pump-probe time delay t for $h\nu_{\text{pump}}^{\text{NIR}}$ and $h\nu_{\text{pump}}^{\text{MIR}}$. The red and blue colors indicate the pump-induced gain and loss in intensity, respectively, with respect to the EDC before pumping at $t < 0$. For the 1.55 eV pump, as shown in Fig. 2(a), the increasing intensity between $E-E_F = -0.4$ and -0.25 eV represents a shift of the band edge towards higher energy. In combination with the energy of the band edge 0.2 eV above E_F we conclude to observe a reduction of the gap size upon pumping. For the 0.73 eV pump, see Fig. 2(b), the intensity increases between $E-E_F = -0.2$ eV and E_F during the overlap of pump and probe. This effect is attributed to a replica band created by the laser-assisted photoelectric effect (LAPE)²⁸. The time this replica exists provides an estimate of the pump-probe cross correlation of 150 fs. At $t > 200$ fs periodic shifts in the band energies are recognized above and below the CDW gap. These oscillations are identified for several picoseconds and will be discussed in further detail below.

By analysis of the population of the upper as well as of the lower CDW gap edges, c.f. Fig. 1(a), we determine the temporal evolution of the CDW gap quantitatively from the EDCs, see markers in Figs. 2(a,b). The energy of the state representing the upper limit of energy gap above E_F is marked by blue triangles. We fit the band edge below E_F with two Lorentzian functions and a linear background to represent the measured EDC. The obtained transient peak energies of the band edge below E_F are depicted by black circles shown in Fig. 1(a,b). The energy difference of the band edges below and above E_F as a function of pump-probe time delay provide the temporal evolution of 2Δ for both pump energies. This result is shown in Fig. 2(c). For $h\nu_{\text{pump}}^{\text{NIR}}$ and $h\nu_{\text{pump}}^{\text{MIR}}$ the energy gap is reduced by ≤ 100 meV and is not completely melted. Due to existence of the replica band in the photoemission spectra for pumping by MIR photons, the value of 2Δ observed initially appears to slightly differ from pumping with NIR photons. At $t > 0.5$ ps the reduction of the gap size is consistent for both pump photon energies. We note that the time-dependent 2Δ pumped by 0.73 eV exhibits clear oscillations that are not obtained upon pumping at 1.55 eV.




Figure 3: (a-d) The residual photoemission intensity as a function of delay time after subtraction of the non-oscillatory component. The colored solid lines are the fitting results of a cosine function multiplied with an exponential function. Panels (a,c) represent intensity changes obtained in the energy interval above E_f (Region 1 in Fig. 2), panels (b,d) show data obtained in the energy interval below E_f (Region 2 in Fig. 2). Panels (e-h) present the fast Fourier transformation of the data in panels (a-d). Panels (a,b,e,f) depict data for $h\nu_{\text{pump}}^{\text{NIR}}=1.55 \text{ eV}$ and panels (c,d,g,h) show data for $h\nu_{\text{pump}}^{\text{MIR}}=0.73 \text{ eV}$.

To gain more insight, we integrate the intensity in the energy intervals indicated by the black dashed boxes in Figs. 2(a,b), labeled “Region 1” and “Region 2”. The resulting integral intensities are shown in Figs. 2(d,e) as a function of t . The center of the energy interval is tuned to the band edges above and below the CDW gap to track the pump-induced oscillations in the photoemission intensity. For both, $h\nu_{\text{pump}}^{\text{NIR}}$ and $h\nu_{\text{pump}}^{\text{MIR}}$, the time-dependent intensities are normalized by the average intensity in the region 2 at $t < 0$. To determine the non-oscillatory component in the transient data we fitted the time-dependent integrated intensity with a double exponential function, as indicated by the red lines in Figs. 2(d,e). In the lower panel of Fig. 2(d), an increase of the intensity is noticed for pumping at

$h\nu_{\text{pump}}^{\text{NIR}} = 1.55$ eV pumping for $t > 1.5$ ps. Such an increase is not observed for pumping at $h\nu_{\text{pump}}^{\text{MIR}} = 0.73$ eV. We come back to this observation in the discussion section below. By subtracting the non-oscillatory component from the time-dependent integrated intensity, we obtain the oscillatory response detected in TARPES as shown in Figs. 3(a-d). We use an oscillatory function with a single frequency Ω to fit the residual intensity in certain time intervals as marked by the colored solid lines. In Fig. 3(a), for the 1.55 eV pump, the oscillation in the band above E_F becomes unrecognizable after 1.0 ps. For the band edge below E_F , the oscillation frequency is determined at early delays $t < 1.0$ ps to $\Omega = 3.2$ THz, see the red line. This frequency is identical to the one obtained above E_F . Once the oscillations above E_F have disappeared with increasing t , the frequency below E_F drops $t > 1.0$ ps to $\Omega = 2.3$ THz, see the green line. Due to this characteristic frequency which was already reported in previous studies^{4,9,15}, we attribute this coherent response excited by the pump pulse to the CDW amplitude mode (AM). In the case of the lower pump photon energy of 0.73 eV, the transient intensities at both band edges above and below E_F can be fitted with a single frequency $\Omega = 3.0$ THz for all time delays studied, see Fig. 3(b). To verify our fitting analysis, we perform fast Fourier transformation (FFT) of the oscillatory photoemission intensity variations. The corresponding results are shown in Figs. 3(e-h). All the peak positions of the FFT spectra agree well with the frequency obtained by the fitting procedure. Note that FFT was performed separately for the time intervals $t > 1.0$ ps and $t < 1.0$ ps and are plotted by the green and red lines in Fig. 3(f), respectively.

4. Discussion

The observation of different oscillatory response to pumping by $h\nu_{\text{pump}}^{\text{NIR}}$ and $h\nu_{\text{pump}}^{\text{MIR}}$ requires further attention. The time-dependent 2Δ , as shown in Fig. 2(c), is dominated by the transient energy of the CDW band edge above E_F since the energy of the band edge below the E_F (black markers) varies weakly for both pump photon energies. For the 0.73 eV pump, which is resonant to 2Δ , the position of the CDW band edge above E_F exhibits a well observed oscillatory behavior as a function of t that is absent for pumping at 1.55 eV. This is the reason for the observed oscillations in 2Δ upon pumping at $h\nu_{\text{pump}}^{\text{MIR}}$, see Fig. 2(c). Besides the transient gap size variations, the time-dependent photoemission intensity also exhibits a dependence on the pump photon energy. For the case of 1.55 eV pump photon energy, a continuous increase of the band edge intensity below the CDW gap is recognized for $t > 1.5$ ps in region 2, see Fig. 2(d). For this MIR pumping at 0.73 eV photon energy, electrons are excited to final states just above the CDW energy gap into the CDW band minimum while electrons excited by the NIR pump at 1.55 eV occupy final states higher up in the band, as illustrated by Fig. 1(a). The corresponding excess energy for the latter excitation has to be dissipated by secondary excitations of the material mediated by e-e and e-ph scattering. Due to large CDW energy gap and the large gapped fraction of the Fermi surface, see Fig. 1(b) and Ref. 10, e-e scattering is likely less important due to phase space constraints²⁹ than e-ph coupling which may lead to lattice heating.

The dynamics of the coherent phonon oscillations upon NIR pumping at 1.55 eV changes after $t = 1.0$ ps. This time delay is close to the one at the which photoemission intensity starts to increase again after the early intensity drop, see Fig. 2(d). Prior to 1 ps, the 1.55 eV pump, similarly to the 0.73 eV case, excites an oscillation with a frequency of 3.2 THz at both band edges, above and below E_F , as shown in Fig. 3(b). Since the static sample temperature and the incident pump fluence are identical for experiments using both pump photon energies, excitation of a similar dynamics by the two different pump pulses would not be surprising. In fact, the absorbed fluence at $h\nu_{\text{pump}}^{\text{MIR}}$ is larger the one at $h\nu_{\text{pump}}^{\text{NIR}}$ due to a larger absorption coefficient due to the across gap resonance, see Sec. 2. However, in case of pumping

at 1.55 eV, the oscillation frequency changes from 3.2 to 2.3 THz at $t = 1.0$ ps, as monitored below E_F , see Fig. 3(b). As an explanation we provide the following scenario. The NIR pumping, which deposits excess energy in the electronic system, induces a change in the crystal lattice due to dissipation of this excess energy. The time delay of 1 ps, at which the frequency change is found, is in agreement with typical lattice response times of metals³⁰. Moreover, this time delay matches those concluded from simulated order-parameter dynamics and three temperature model calculations to represent phonon and lattice excitations⁹. In such a transiently modified crystal lattice the frequency of the amplitude mode is likely to be different compared to a cold lattice at the equilibrium temperature. This scenario is based on the observation that almost simultaneously with the emergence of the 2.3 THz mode, the oscillation in the band edge above E_F disappears, and the increase in intensity which we attribute to heating of the crystal lattice starts at this time delay for electronic states below E_F . The observed absence of the frequency change upon the resonant optical pumping across the gap at a photon energy $h\nu^{\text{MIR}}_{\text{pump}}$ is in good agreement with this explanation. Such resonant pumping avoids deposition of excess energy in the electronic system and limits energy dissipation into the crystal lattice although the absorption coefficient is due to the resonance larger than at the higher photon energy. We infer that in a cold lattice the amplitude mode frequency remains at the initially excited value.

5. Conclusion

The dynamics driven by femtosecond laser pulse are investigated by time- and angle-resolved photoelectron emission spectroscopy in the charge density wave material LaTe_3 for two different pump photon energies. One is the widely applied fundamental emission of the Ti:sapphire laser at 1.55 eV in the near infrared spectral region. The second one is the resonant absorption across the charge density wave gap at 0.7 eV in the mid infrared spectral region. We find peculiar differences in the dynamics of the coherently excited amplitude mode. Upon near infrared pumping the amplitude mode frequency softens from 3 to 2 THz at a time delay of 1 ps at which the crystal lattice is excited by e-ph coupling due to energy dissipation of the excess electronic energy deposited at the larger pump photon energy. In the case of the mid infrared pumping across the energy gap such electronic excess energy is minimized and the 3 THz amplitude mode frequency remains unchanged with increasing time delay. These experiments demonstrate the potential for controlling microscopic dynamic processes by the chosen pump photon energy and are expected to motivate further investigation in the future.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (KAKENHI) (Grant Nos. JP19H01818, JP19H00659, JP19H00651, JP24K01375, JP24K00565, and JP24KF0021) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS), by JSPS KAKENHI on Innovative Areas “Quantum Liquid Crystals” (Grant No. JP19H05826), and the Quantum Leap Flagship Program (Q-LEAP) (Grant No. JPMXS0118068681) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology, Japan (MEXT). Crystal growth and characterization at Stanford was supported by the Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under contract DE-AC02-76SF00515. Funding by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) within Project ID No. 278162697-SFB 1242 and through Project No. BO1823/12-FOR 5249 (QUAST) is gratefully acknowledged. U.B. is grateful for the hospitality of the Institute for Solid State Physics, University of Tokyo, and acknowledges support through the visiting professor program of the institute.

References

- ¹ G. Grüner, *Density waves in solids*, Frontiers in Physics vol. 89, CRC Press, Taylor & Francis, Boca Raton, FL, USA, 1994.
- ² C. Giannetti, M. Capone, D. Fausti, M. Fabrizio, F. Parmigiani, D. Mihailovic, *Adv. Phys.* **65**, 58 (2016).
- ³ J. Demsar, K. Biljaković, D. Mihailovic, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 800 (1999).
- ⁴ F. Schmitt, P. S. Kirchmann, U. Bovensiepen, R. G. Moore, L. Rettig, M. Krenz, J.-H. Chu, N. Ru, L. Perfetti, D. H. Lu, M. Wolf, I. R. Fisher, Z.-X. Shen, *Science* **321**, 1649 (2008).
- ⁵ N. Ru, C. L. Condrón, G. Y. Margulis, K. Y. Shin, J. Laverock, S. B. Dugdale, M. F. Toney, I. R. Fisher, *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 035114 (2008).
- ⁶ A. Zong, P. E. Dolgirev, A. Kogar, Y. Su, X. Shen, J. A. W. Straquadine, X. Wang, D. Luo, M. E. Kozina, A. H. Reid, R. Li, J. Yang, S. P. Weathersby, S. Park, E. J. Sie, P. Jarillo-Herrero, I. R. Fisher, X.J. Wang, E. Demler, N. Gedik, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 227401 (2021).
- ⁷ R. Yusupov, T. Mertelj, V. V. Kabanov, S. Brazovskii, P. Kusar, J.-H. Chu, I. R. Fisher, D. Mihailovic, *Nat. Phys.* **6**, 681 (2010).
- ⁸ T.-R. T. Han, Z. Tao, S. D. Mahanti, K. Chang, C.-Y. Ruan, C. D. Malliakas, M. G. Kanatzidis, *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 075145 (2012).
- ⁹ J. Maklar, M. Schüler, Y. W. Windsor, C. W. Nicholson, M. Puppín, P. Walmsley, I. R. Fisher, M. Wolf, R. Ernstorfer, M. A. Sentef, L. Rettig, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 026406 (2022).
- ¹⁰ V. Brouet, W. L. Yang, X. J. Zhou, Z. Hussain, R. G. Moore, R. He, D. H. Lu, Z. X. Shen, J. Laverock, S. B. Dugdale, N. Ru, I. R. Fisher, *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 2351045 (2008).
- ¹¹ R. Haight, *Surf. Sci. Rep.* **21**, 275 (1995).
- ¹² U. Bovensiepen and P. S. Kirchmann, *Laser Photonics Rev.* **6**, 589 (2012).
- ¹³ F. Boschini, M. Zonno, A. Damascelli, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **96**, 015003 (2024).
- ¹⁴ M. Aeschlimann, J. P. Bange, M. Bauer, U. Bovensiepen, H.-J. Elmers, T. Fauster, L. Gierster, U. Höfer, R. Huber, A. Li, X. Li, S. Mathias, K. Morgenstern, H. Petek, M. Reutzler, K. Rossnagel, G. Schönhense, M. Scholz, B. Stadtmüller, J. Stähler, M. Weinelt, *Surf. Sci.* **753**, 122631 (2025).
- ¹⁵ L. Rettig, R. Cortés, J.-H. Chu, I.R. Fisher, F. Schmitt, R.G. Moore, Z.-X. Shen, P.S. Kirchmann, M. Wolf, U. Bovensiepen, *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 10459 (2016).
- ¹⁶ T. Popmintchev, M.-C. Chen, D. Popmintchev, P. Arpin, S. Brown, S. Ališauskas, G. Andriukaitis, T. Balčiūnas, O. D. Mücke, A. Pugžlys, A. Baltuška, B. Shim, S. E. Schrauth, A. Gaeta, C. Hernández-García, L. Plaja, A. Becker, A. Jaron-Becker, M. M. Murnane, H. C. Kapteyn, *Science* **336**, 1287 (2012).
- ¹⁷ M. Först, C. Manzoni, S. Kaiser, Y. Tomioka, Y. Tokura, R. Merlin, A. Cavalleri, *Nat. Phys.* **7**, 854, (2011).
- ¹⁸ J. Weisshaupt, V. Juvé, M. Holtz, S. Ku, M. Woerner, T. Elsaesser, S. Ališauskas, A. Pugžlys, A. Baltuška, *Nat. Phot.* **8**, 927, (2014).
- ¹⁹ F. Mahmood, C.-K. Chan, Z. Alpichshev, D. Gardner, Y. Lee, P. A. Lee, N. Gedik, *Nat. Phys.* **12**, 306 (2016).
- ²⁰ L. M. Carneiro, S. K. Cushing, C. Liu, Y. Su, P. Yang, A. P. Alivisatos, S. R. Leone, *Nat. Mat.* **16**, 819 (2017).
- ²¹ M. Chávez-Cervantes, G. E. Topp, S. Aeschlimann, R. Krause, S. A. Sato, M. A. Sentef, I. Gierz, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 036405 (2019).
- ²² A. Koç, I. Gonzalez-Vallejo, M. Runge, A. Ghalgauoui, K. Reimann, L. Kremeyer, F. Thiemann, M. Horn-von Hoegen, K. Sokolowski-Tinten, M. Woerner, T. Elsaesser, *Phys. Rev. B* **107**, L180303 (2023).

-
- ²³ F. Pfuner, P. Lerch, J.-H. Chu, H.-H. Kuo, I. R. Fisher, L. Degiorgi, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 195110 (2010).
- ²⁴ F. Pfuner, L. Degiorgi, J.-H. Ch, N. Ru, K.Y. Shin, I.R. Fisher, Physica B **404**, 533 (2009).
- ²⁵ B. F. Hu, B. Cheng, R. H. Yuan, T. Dong, N. L. Wang, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 085105 (2014).
- ²⁶ M. Born and E. Wolf, *Principles of Optics*, 7th edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1999
- ²⁷ T. Suzuki, Y. Zhong, K. Liu, T. Kanai, J. Itatani, K. Okazaki, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **95**, 073001 (2024).
- ²⁸ L. Miaja-Avila, C. Lei, M. Aeschlimann, J. L. Gland, M. M. Murnane, H. C. Kapteyn, G. Saathoff, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 113604 (2006).
- ²⁹ R. Cortés, L. Rettig, Y. Yoshida, H. Eisaki, M. Wolf, U. Bovensiepen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 097002 (2011).
- ³⁰ M. Ligges, I. Rajkovic, P. Zhou, O. Posth, C. Hassel, G. Dumpich, D. von der Linde, Appl. Phys. Lett. **94**, 101910 (2009).