arXiv:2412.13510v1 [cs.CV] 18 Dec 2024 arXiv:2412.13510v1 [cs.CV] 18 Dec 2024

Dynamic Adapter with Semantics Disentangling for Cross-lingual Cross-modal Retrieval

Rui Cai^{1,2}, Zhiyu Dong^{1,2}, Jianfeng Dong^{1,2*}, Xun Wang^{1,2}

¹ the College of Computer Science and Technology, Zhejiang Gongshang University, Hangzhou, China ² Zhejiang Key Laboratory of Big Data and Future E-Commerce Technology, Hangzhou, China cairuics@gmail.com, dongzhiyuuu@163.com, {djf, wx}@zjgsu.edu.cn

Abstract

Existing cross-modal retrieval methods typically rely on large-scale vision-language pair data. This makes it challenging to efficiently develop a cross-modal retrieval model for under-resourced languages of interest. Therefore, Crosslingual Cross-modal Retrieval (CCR), which aims to align vision and the low-resource language (the *target language*) without using any human-labeled target-language data, has gained increasing attention. As a general parameter-efficient way, a common solution is to utilize adapter modules to transfer the vision-language alignment ability of Vision-Language Pretraining (VLP) models from a source language to a target language. However, these adapters are usually *static* once learned, making it difficult to adapt to target-language captions with *varied* expressions. To alleviate it, we propose *Dynamic Adapter with Semantics Disentangling* (DASD), whose parameters are dynamically generated conditioned on the characteristics of the input captions. Considering that the semantics and expression styles of the input caption largely influence how to encode it, we propose a semantic disentangling module to extract the semantic-related and semanticagnostic features from the input, ensuring that generated adapters are well-suited to the characteristics of input caption. Extensive experiments on two image-text datasets and one video-text dataset demonstrate the effectiveness of our model for cross-lingual cross-modal retrieval, as well as its good compatibility with various VLP models.

Code — https://github.com/HuiGuanLab/DASD

Introduction

With the rapid emergence of images and videos on the Internet, there is a huge demand from users around the world for retrieving visual content of interest by natural language queries (*a.k.a.* cross-modal retrieval) (Li et al. 2021; Zhang et al. 2023; Chang et al. 2023). Recent neural-based crossmodal retrieval models (Bogolin et al. 2022; Lu et al. 2022; Sun et al. 2023) tend to require a large amount of humanlabeled text-image/video pair data for training which are available for only a handful of the world's languages. As a result, building a cross-modal retrieval system for users with different language backgrounds is extremely challenging,

(a) Captions with the same semantics but different expressions.

(b) A typical adapter for target-language encoding.

(c) Our proposed DASD framework for CCR.

Figure 1: Illustration of the variety of textual expressions and the difference between the traditional adapter and our DASD: (a) Captions of the same image are differently expressed in Chinese-specific ways. (b) Traditional adapters whose parameters are fixed once learned. (c) Our method extracts semantic-related and semantic-agnostic features from captions and thereby produces dynamic adapters (DA).

especially for low-resource languages (*e.g.,* Czech). With this regard, *cross-lingual* cross-modal retrieval (CCR) leverages visual-text pair data in the rich-resource language (the *source language*) to construct a retrieval model for a new language of interest (the *target language*), avoiding substantial manual annotations costs on the target language.

The perennial problem with building target-language retrieval models lies in the paucity of training data, since existing human-labeled resources for low-resource languages are rather limited, and it is extremely expensive and timeconsuming to manually annotate images/videos with descriptions in multiple languages. Due to limitations in data

^{*}The corresponding author.

Copyright © 2025, Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence (www.aaai.org). All rights reserved.

and computing resources, existing Vision-Language Pretraining (VLP) models, such as CLIP (Radford et al. 2021) and CCLM (Zeng et al. 2023), could support only one or a few languages, yet there are more than 6,900 languages worldwide (Zhou et al. 2021). Moreover, these VLP models cannot be flexibly extended to new languages, since additional training on target languages will cause performance degeneration of VLP models on the original languages due to the limited model capacity.

A straightforward and cheap solution is converting source-language labeled data into the target language utilizing Machine Translation (MT) tools (*e.g.,* Google Translate¹). With access to these MT-generated resources, existing works tend to transfer the vision-language alignment ability of VLP models to target languages through cross-lingual alignment (Wang et al. 2024a,b; Pfeiffer et al. 2020; Zhang, Hu, and Jin 2022). Among them, a prior work (Wang et al. 2022) tries to finetune the pre-trained layers in VLP models with cross-lingual alignment objectives, inevitably leading to a certain degree of knowledge forgetting. To alleviate this problem, some adapter-based methods (Pfeiffer et al. 2020; Zhang, Hu, and Jin 2022) have recently been proposed to perform cross-lingual transfer in a parameter-efficient way. These methods freeze VLP models and store cross-lingual knowledge in the light-weight adapters, whose parameters keep static for different inputs. However, during the crosslingual transfer, the language gaps (Ahmad et al. 2019), such as unique expressions in target languages, could bring complexity and increase the difficulty of extracting the accurate semantics of captions. As illustrated in Figure 1(a), targetlanguage (Chinese) captions describing the same event are expressed in quite different ways. As a result, existing *static* adapters, shown in Figure 1(b), struggle to adapt to targetlanguage captions with *varied* expressions.

To tackle the aforementioned challenge, we propose *Dynamic Adapter with Semantics Disentangling* (DASD), a novel paradigm that adaptively encodes target-language captions by making language adapters conditioned on each input caption rather than keeping them fixed after once learning. In order to obtain adapters that exactly match the input caption, we perform semantics disentangling to capture its distinct and complementary aspects. To be specific, we assume that each caption is entangled by two independent characteristics: semantic-related and semantic-agnostic features. Particularly, the former presents consistent semantic features shared by different modalities, while the latter reflects the characteristics with respect to the mean of expression yet unrelated to semantics, such as word order, sentence length, and other low-level information (shown in Figure 1(c)). Both semantic-related and semantic-agnostic features of input captions are learned by semantics disentangling, which explicitly decouples the two different features through semantic consistency learning and adversarial training. In this way, the disentangled features capture sufficient information needed for characterizing the input caption, which are then fed to the dynamic parameter generation module. Cross-lingual alignments are finally performed with dynamic parameters inserted to adapters, thus allowing the model to encode captions while explicitly accounting for the overall characteristics observed in the textual inputs.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first work that leverages disentangled semantics to generate dynamic adapters to improve the target-language text encoding in CCR. Our main contributions are summarized as follows:

- We identify the problem of performing accurate text encoding against challenges caused by the diversity in written expression of target-language training samples in CCR, and provide an effective solution based on datadependent semantics disentanglement.
- We propose a novel parameter-efficient diagram for CCR which dynamically generates parameters of input-aware adapters, enabling the CCR models to encode targetlanguage sentences adaptively.
- We achieve a new state-of-the-art performance on two image-text retrieval datasets and one video-text retrieval dataset. Besides, our model shows good compatibility with various VLP models.

Related Work

Cross-lingual Cross-modal Retrieval

Cross-lingual cross-modal retrieval (CCR) is a method for achieving visual and target language (V-T) alignment without using any manually-annotated visual-text data pairs. This approach can be seen as a specific case of transfer learning to new domains (Fang et al. 2024) with limited resources (Zhang et al. 2020a), helping to mitigate the scarcity of training data for low-resource languages in traditional cross-modal retrieval (Dong et al. 2022a,b; Fang et al. 2023; Zheng et al. 2023). Early works (Aggarwal and Kale 2020; Portaz et al. 2019) on CCR try to transfer the knowledge of English models to low-resource languages by directly finetuning the model on MT-generated parallel data. Recently, V+L pretraining models have become popular, aiming to further narrow the gap between different languages and modalities. Among them, $M^{3}P$ (Ni et al. 2021) learns universal representations that can map objects occurred in different modalities or texts expressed in different languages into a common semantic space. UC² (Zhou et al. 2021) translates source-language annotations into the target language automatically and proposes fine-grained pretraining objectives to encourage alignment between image regions and multilingual tokens. Following UC², MURAL (Jain et al. 2021) leverages 1.8 billion noisy image-text pairs to pre-train their dual encoder model. After that, CCLM (Zeng et al. 2023) proposes a cross-view language modeling framework, which considers both multi-modal data and multi-lingual data as pairs of two different views of the same object and propose a unified framework to fuse features in different views. Although CCLM successfully outperforms UC2 and MU-RAL on several benchmarks, it is very expensive to expand CCLM to support new low-resource languages since its pretraining stage require large-amount data and computing resources. Instead of pretraining V+L models from scratch, some recent works (Wang et al. 2022, 2024a,b; Cai et al.

¹ https://translate.google.com/

2024) try to finetune the upper layers of existing VLP models with machine-translated data, which inevitably leading to a certain degree of knowledge forgetting.

Although these works have achieved improvements on CCR, their methods still require full-model training, which is quite time-consuming and demands significant computational power, making them impractical for researchers with limited hardware resources.

Parameter-Efficient FineTuning for CCR

The pretraining and finetuning paradigms have been proven to be highly effective in different language and vision tasks. Compared to full fine-tuning, Parameter-Efficient FineTuning (PEFT) is more suitable for cases with limited hardware resources, as it freezes the majority of the parameters of the pretrained model while still being able to demonstrate comparable performance in downstream tasks. Various PEFT techniques have been explored, including prompt tuning (Li and Liang 2021; Liu et al. 2024; Wu, Jiang, and Lian 2024; Zhou et al. 2022), Low-Rank Adaptation (LoRA) (Hedegaard et al. 2024; Mao et al. 2024), and adapters (Zhang, Hu, and Jin 2022). In which, the core idea of adapters is to insert light-weight adaptation modules into each layer of the pretrained transformer (Vaswani et al. 2017), and they have been extended across numerous domains. For example, MAD-X (Pfeiffer et al. 2020) extends multilingual pretraining models to support low-resource languages through adapters. Following MAD-X, MAD-G (Ansell et al. 2021) is proposed to generate language adapters based on type characteristics in language representations.

Recently, MLA (Zhang, Hu, and Jin 2022) designs a light-weight language acquisition encoder that supports lowresource languages through language-specific adapters. This approach is somewhat similar to our idea but has some core differences: MLA overlook the diversity of written expression and the noise in translated training samples during the cross-lingual transfer. In contrast, our DASD learns disentangled characteristics of input captions to help the model understand sentences in different styles of expression.

Semantics Disentangling

Recently, learning disentangled representations has been widely applied to a wide spectrum of applications ranging from domain adaption (Cai et al. 2019; Zhang et al. 2024) to text-to-image generation (Yin et al. 2019) and zeroshot learning (Chen et al. 2021; Ye et al. 2021). The core idea behind these work is to factorize input features into semantic-related and semantic-unrelated representations, so that the disentangled semantic-related features could be adapted across domains, modalities or tasks. For example, the prior work (Yin et al. 2019) focusing on text-to-image generation distills semantic commons from the linguistic descriptions, based on which the generated images can keep generation consistency under expression variants. Different from these previous approaches, in this paper, semanticunrelated representations also play an important role during the transfer across languages, which are utilized for the dynamic adapter generation to improve the semantics extraction of target-language captions.

The Proposed Method

In this paper, we propose a dynamic adapter generation framework with semantics disentangling for CCR. As shown in Figure 2, our framework consists of three key components: 1) a pretrained VLP model as the backbone of our framework whose parameters stay frozen; 2) an input-aware parameter generator which analyzes the characteristics of the target-language input and produces a parameter matrix of adapters accordingly; 3) dynamic adapters inserted to each layer of the frozen VLP model to adaptively empower it with the cross-lingual ability.

Task Definition

We first formally define the setting of CCR, which involves two kinds of languages, namely the source language and the target languages. For the source language S , we have a collection of human-labeled training data \mathcal{D}^S = $\{d_1, d_2, ..., d_n\}$, where each instance d_i consists of a caption S_i^S paired with an image or video V_i . As for the target language T , due to the scarcity of human-labeled data, we assume there are no extra labeled data of text-image/video pairs. The core task of CCR is to obtain a model applicable in the target language T , without using any manually annotated target-language data.

Pretrained VLP Model

Following MLA (Zhang, Hu, and Jin 2022), we choose CLIP (Radford et al. 2021) as the VLP model used in our DASD. It is worth noting that other VLP models can also be applied to our method.

Source-language Text Encoding. Given a sentence S^S in the source language, the corresponding sentence representation $r^S = \Phi^S(S^S; \theta^S)$ is generated through the pretrained text encoder Φ^S , which contains a embedding block and L transformer layers. To preserve the cross-model knowledge of VLP, θ^S keeps fixed during training. Concretely, the input sentence S^S is tokenized and processed into word embeddings $E^S = [e_{0=[SOS]},...,e_{M=[EOS]}]$ through the embedding block, where [SOS] and [EOS] are special tokens denoting the boundary of the input sentence. The word embeddings are then fed to the parameter-frozen CLIP's text encoder. The final representation r^S is obtained by performing a linear projection on the last hidden state of the [EOS] token.

Visual Encoding. The Vision Transformer (ViT) (Dosovitskiy et al. 2020; Zhang et al. 2020b) is used as a kind of CLIP image encoder, which takes image patches as input and generates the final feature through a Transformerbased model. For image encoding, given an image V , it is divided into patches $V' = [v_1, ..., v_N']$ following ViT. Then, they are linearly projected into patch embeddings $E_p = [e_{\texttt{[CLASS]}}, W_p v_1, ..., W_p v_N']$, where $e_{\texttt{[CLASS]}}$ is a special embedding for the whole image and W_p is the linear projection. The hidden states calculation is similar with the text encoder, and the final visual representation r^V is obtained by performing a linear projection on the last hidden state of the $e_{\text{[CLASS]}}$ token: $r^V = W^b h_0^l$. As for video encoding, following the prior work (Luo et al. 2022), we uniformly

Figure 2: The illustration of our proposed Dynamic Adapter with Semantics Disentangling (DASD). To make dynamic adapters in the target-language branch Φ^T exactly match its input S^T , semantics disentangling is performed to extract semantic-related and semantic-agnostic features (f^{sr} and f^{sa}) from S^T and then generate input-conditional parameters (shown in the leftmost branch). The source-language branch Φ^S and visual branch Φ^V are provided by the frozen VLP model.

sample 12 frames from the video and then perform average pooling over these frame representations to obtain the final video representation.

Input-aware Dynamic Adapters

Given a target-language caption S^T as the input, shown in the leftmost branch in Figure 2, our method generates an input-conditional parameter matrix, which plays a key role in S^T encoding. To generate parameters exactly match S^T , we propose semantics disentangling to extract semanticrelated and semantic-agnostic features from S^T .

Semantics Disentangling. In the case of cross-lingual transfer, semantic-agnostic characteristics (such as the word order and the way of expression) in different languages tend to vary greatly, which can hardly be captured by static adapters. As a result, in our framework, we employ a semantics disentangling module to obtain semantic-agnostic features of captions in different target languages. Semantics disentangling performs feature extraction in a parameterefficient way, whose backbone is only the first frozen layer of Φ^S equipped with two trainable lightweight adapters. Taking S^T as the input, semantic-related and semanticagnostic features are extracted through semantic consistency learning and adversarial training, respectively.

Semantic-Related Features Extraction. As shown in Figure 2, the extraction of semantic-related features is performed by the module Φ^{sr} , whose input is the targetlanguage sentence S^T which has been tokenized and processed into word embeddings $E^T = [u_{0=[SOS]},...,u_{M=[EOS]}].$ These embeddings are then fed to the first layer of parameter-frozen CLIP's text encoder equipped with the semantic-related adapter A^{sr}:

$$
X_0^{sr} = [W_e^{sr}u_0, W_e^{sr}u_1, ..., W_e^{sr}u_M] + E_{pos}
$$
 (1)

$$
H_1^{sr} = \text{TransformerLayer}(X_0^{sr}) \tag{2}
$$

$$
X_1^{sr} = \mathbf{A}^{sr}(H_1^{sr}; \theta_1^{sr}) + H_1^{sr}
$$
\n⁽³⁾

where X_1^{sr} is the hidden state of the pretrained transformer layer and W_e^{sr} is a linear projection to keep dimension consistency with source-language embeddings. The semanticrelated adapter A^{sr} in Equation 3 is implemented as a bottleneck MLP with residual connection:

$$
\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{sr}}(X) = W_{upper}^{sr} \text{ReLU}(W_{down}^{sr} X) \tag{4}
$$

Similar with Φ^S , the last hidden state of the [EOS] token in the pretrained layer is linearly projected into the semanticrelated feature f^{sr} :

$$
f^{sr} = W_p^{sr} x_{1, \text{[EOS]}}^{sr} \tag{5}
$$

Considering the fact that S^T shares the same semantics with its source-language counterpart S^S , we propose semantic consistency learning to explicitly transfer the semantic information gathered by Φ^S from S^S to f^{sr} . As shown in Equation 6, the semantic consistency loss \mathcal{L}_{sc} is defined as the L1 distance between r^S and f^{sr} :

$$
\mathcal{L}_{sc} = ||r^S - f^{sr}|| \tag{6}
$$

Semantic-Agnostic Features Extraction. The semanticagnostic module Φ^{sa} shares the same backbone with Φ^{sr} , equipped with the semantic-agnostic adapter A^{sa} which works in the similar way with A^{sr} . Different from Φ^{sr} , Φ^{sa} produces the semantic-agnostic feature f^{sa} by performing average-pooling over all hidden states in the pretrained transformer layer.

To achieve perfect semantics disentangling, the semanticagnostic feature f^{sa} extracted from S^T should exclude any semantic information about S^T . Motivated by this, we enforce f^{sa} to be useless to identify its corresponding semantic representations through adversarial training. Specifically, for adversarial training, we construct two feature pairs: (f^{sa}, r^S) and (f^{sa}, r^{S-}). The former is regarded as the positive sample since r^S is the semantic representation of S^S which shares the same semantics with S^T . The latter is the negative sample and r^{S-} is the semantic representation of a randomlyselected source-language caption. We employ a classifier F to act as the discriminator which is adopted to distinguish the positive and negative samples. The classifier is consisted of a multi-layer feed-forward neural networks, and the discrimination loss in adversarial training is defined as:

$$
\mathcal{L}_d = -logF(f^{sa}, r^S) - log(1 - F(f^{sa}, r^{S-}) \quad (7)
$$

The parameters of Φ^{sa} are updated to confuse the discriminator by minimizing the loss $\mathcal{L}_{adv} = -\mathcal{L}_d$.

Input-conditional Parameter Generation. After obtaining f^{sr} and f^{sa} , we adopt a multilayer perceptron to extract the global information z of S^T , i.e.,

$$
z = MLP(f^{sr} \circ f^{sa})
$$
 (8)

where \circ means the concatenation operation. Then, the dynamic parameter-matrix W_i^z of layer i are obtained using a single layer linear down-projection:

$$
W_i^z = reshape(W_i^{down}z)
$$
 (9)

where $W_i^{down} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_u^2 \times d_z}$, $W_i^z \in \mathbb{R}^{d_u \times d_u}$, and the operation reshape refers to reshaping the vectors produced by the MLP into a matrix form. Down projecting to a dimension $d_u \ll d_z$ prevents W_i^{down} from being impractically large, keeping our model parameter-efficient. In this way, W^z is dynamically generated conditioned on the target-language input S^T , which are then inserted to adapters in the targetlanguage branch Φ^T .

CCR with Dynamic Adapters

The core of CCR is to align the target-language sentence S^T with its source-language counterpart S^S as well as its visual counterpart V . However, due to the scarcity of humanlabeled S^T - \bar{V} pairs, MT tools are employed to translate S^S into the target language. With access to these paired data from different sources, cross-lingual and cross-modal alignments are performed accordingly.

Target-Language Text Encoding. As shown in Figure 2, the representation of S^T is calculated by the target-language branch Φ^T , which taking word embeddings E^T as the input and extract semantics of S^T at each layer with the help of the dynamic adapter DA:

$$
X_0^T = [W_e^T u_{0=[\text{SOS}]}, ..., W_e^T u_{M=[\text{EOS}]}] + E_{pos}
$$
 (10)

$$
H_i^T = \text{TransformerLayer}(X_{i-1}^T) \tag{11}
$$

$$
X_i^T = \text{DA}(H_i^T; \theta_i^{\text{DA}}) + H_i^T \tag{12}
$$

where θ_i^{DA} refers to the parameter of DA in *i*-th layer and works as follows:

$$
DA(X) = W_{upper}^d
$$
ReLU $(W^z W_{down}^d X) + X$ (13)

Here, $\{W_{upper}^d, W_{down}^d, W^z\} \in \theta^{\texttt{DA}}$. Finally, the last hidden state of the [EOS] token is linearly projected into the semantic representation of S^T : $r^T = \tilde{W_p} x_{\text{[EOS]}}^T$. The linear projection W_p is shared with CLIP's text encoder and keeps frozen during the training.

Training Strategy. Considering the the scarcity of targetlanguage resources, following MLA (Zhang, Hu, and Jin 2022), the cross-lingual alignment and the cross-modal alignment are performed independently in our framework. The motivation behind the separate training is to ensure that cross-lingual transfer can always proceed smoothly in case data in a certain modality is missing or of poor quality. The objective in the cross-lingual alignment is minimizing the Mean Square Error (MSE) between the native representation r^S and the non-native representation r^T :

$$
\mathcal{L}_{CL} = ||r^S - r^T||^2 \tag{14}
$$

As for the cross-modal alignment, it is achieved by performing contrastive learning between target languages and images. The training objective is minimizing the NCE loss (Gutmann and Hyvärinen 2010) defined as follows:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{CM} = -\log \frac{\exp(\operatorname{sim}(r^T, r^V))}{\sum_{j=1}^B \exp(\operatorname{sim}(r_j^T, r^V))}
$$

$$
-\log \frac{\exp(\operatorname{sim}(r^T, r^V))}{\sum_{j=1}^B \exp(\operatorname{sim}(r^T, r_j^V))}
$$
(15)

where B is the batch size, $sim(\cdot)$ denotes the similarity function (i.e., cosine similarity) and τ is the temperature coefficient. Our model is trained by minimizing the combination of the above losses. Finally, the total loss function is defined as:

$$
\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{CL} + \mathcal{L}_{CM} + \lambda_1 \mathcal{L}_{adv} + \lambda_2 \mathcal{L}_{sc}
$$
 (16)

where λ_1 and λ_2 are hyper-parameters to balance the importance of disentangling losses.

Experiments

Experimental Settings

Datasets. Evaluations are performed on two image-text retrieval datasets (Multi30K (Elliott et al. 2016) and MSCOCO (Chen et al. 2015)) and a video-text retrieval dataset (MSRVTT (Xu et al. 2016)), referred as *downstream task datasets (DTD)* in this paper. Target-language captions are obtained by automatically translating the English captions in DTD with Google Translate. Besides, the webscraped image-caption dataset CC3M (Sharma et al. 2018) with machine-translated captions is also used for training, from which 300k image-captions pairs are randomly selected and known as CC300K (Zhang, Hu, and Jin 2022).

Retrieval Settings. We conduct experiments under two CCR settings: (1) *Cross-lingual Finetune*: we first train models using English data in DTD and then further finetune models with target-language data produced by MT tools. Finally, models are tested on DTD target-language datasets. (2) *Zero-shot*: models are trained on commonlyused datasets (e.g., CC300K) and then directly evaluated on DTD without any DTD finetuning.

Evaluation Metrics. For image-text retrieval, following (Zhang, Hu, and Jin 2022), we report the mean Average Recall (mAR) for image-text retrieval. For video-text retrieval, we follow (Rouditchenko et al. 2023) and use text→video Recall@1 score to evaluate the performance.

Evaluation on Cross-lingual Image-Text Retrieval

Under the *Cross-lingual Finetune* setting, image-caption pairs for target languages are obtained in two separate ways: (1) we directly leverage the target-language data in CC300K (following MLA (Zhang, Hu, and Jin 2022)). (2) English captions in Multi30K and MSCOCO are converted into target languages utilizing Google Translate (following CL2CM (Wang et al. 2024a)). In both cases, it can be seen in Table 1 that DASD outperforms all the comparison methods, demonstrating the effectiveness of dynamic adapters. Please note that the SOTA model CL2CM relies on the fullmodel training, besides, its cross-lingual alignments is carefully designed where token-level alignments are involved to improve the final performance, all of which consumes a large amount of computing budgets. Although DCOT and CL2CM are not open-sourced, they all expand the crossattention module in NRCCR and therefore are expected to have more trainable parameters than NRCCR. Under the *Zero-shot* setting, we observe that the performances of NR-CCR, DCOT and CL2CM drop severely due the absence of downstream datasets, which are surpassed by the parameterefficient model MLA. Among them, DCOT (Wang et al. 2024b) tries to learn noisy correspondence in CCR by quantifying the confidence of the sample pair correlation with optimal transport theory from both the cross-lingual and crossmodal views. Compared with PEFT models, DCOT relies on full-model training, rendering their method much more time and computing consuming. Besides, DCOT only focus on reducing the impact of obvious errors brought by MT, neglecting other factors (e.g., language gaps) which could also hurt the cross-lingual alignment and result in degraded performance. Our performance still achieves the best performance when downstream task data is not available, showing strong zero-shot cross-lingual transfer ability.

Evaluation on Cross-lingual Video-Text Retrieval

For cross-lingual video-text retrieval, experiments are conducted on MSRVTT (Xu et al. 2016) under the same settings with cross-lingual image-text retrieval, where the model searches for the most semantically relevant videos given a text query in a low-resource language. We report the text→video Recall@1 score in Table 2. Under both the *Zero-shot* and *Cross-lingual Finetune* settings, we simply adopt the same hyperparameter values and training strategy

used for the cross-lingual image-text retrieval. As shown in Table 2, for both settings, our model consistently outperforms MLA on all eight target languages, demonstrating a strong cross-lingual ability for text-video retrieval.

Generalizability Analysis

Since the adapter is a lightweight, plug-and-play module, we also investigate whether our proposed DASD is compatible with different VLP models. To this end, we substitute the pretrained CLIP with the recently-proposed M-VLP model CCLM (Zeng et al. 2023), which has been pretrained on the combination of image-caption pairs and parallel corpora. Specifically, since CCLM is a single-stream model and difficult to extend directly, we follow (Wang et al. 2024a) to modify CCLM into a dual-stream model and apply DASD to its text encoder. As reported in Table 3, the cross-lingual text-image retrieval performance of CCLM is further improved when equipped with our proposed dynamic adapters, outperforming the framework built upon CLIP. These results verify that our method is compatible with various VLP models and could achieve a higher performance when equipped with stronger VLP models.

Ablation Studies

To verify the effectiveness of each component in DASD, we conduct ablation studies under the cross-lingual finetune setting on Multi30K and MSCOCO.

The effectiveness of input-conditional parameters. We first investigate the contribution of the dynamic parameters by removing the input-conditional parameter matrix W^z out of DASD, turning it into the traditional adapter with only static parameters. As reported in Table 4, when using static adapters for cross-lingual transfer, we observe a severe performance degradation on all five target languages, demonstrating the importance of the dynamic parameters.

The effectiveness of semantic-related and semanticagnostic features. We then study the effectiveness of f^{sa} and f^{sr} to dynamic parameter generation. As summarized in Table 5, the inclusion of both kinds of features leads to a certain improvement in mAR on all five target languages. In the case where only one kind of features is employed, we observe that the semantic-related features having a slightly greater positive impact compared to the semanticagnostic ones. It not only demonstrates the effectiveness of both kinds of features, but also shows the complementary of the semantic-related and semantic-agnostic features.

The impact of semantics disentangling. To examine the necessity of performing semantics disentangling, we investigate the impact brought by different disentangling losses (\mathcal{L}_{adv} and \mathcal{L}_{sc}) and report results in Table 6. We observe that with the loss constraints added, the model performance increases on all five languages, validating the effectiveness of the adversarial training and semantic distillation. To our knowledge, no prior work has applied dynamic adapters or semantics disentangling to CCR, and our work fills this gap and thereby gains a clear improvement.

Table 1: Cross-lingual image-text retrieval results on Multi30K and MSCOCO. #TP: *the number of Trainable parameters*, DTD: *Down-stream Task Datasets* (i.e., Multi30K and MSCOCO). Despite being equipped the dynamic parameter generator, the number of trainable parameters in DASD remains comparable with MLA using the static adaptor, maintaining the parameter efficiency of the model while achieving significant improvements under both settings.

	Method	#TP		Training Data		Multi30K			MSCOCO	
			English	Target Languages	DE	FR	\mathbf{CS}	ZH	JA	
	UC^2 (Zhou et al. 2021)	478M	DTD	CC _{3M}	83.8	77.6	74.2	82.0	71.7	
	MURAL (Jain et al. 2021)	300M DTD		CC300K	76.5	76.7	70.1	$\overline{}$	74.6	
Finetune	MLA (Zhang, Hu, and Jin 2022)	108M	DTD	CC300K	86.4	87.3	79.5	$\overline{}$	80.4	
	DASD (ours)	134M	DTD	CC300K	87.4	88.6	83.4	88.5	84.8	
	NRCCR (Wang et al. 2022)	216M	DTD	MT(DTD)	80.1	80.4	77.9	85.4	84.5	
Cross-lingual	DCOT (Wang et al. 2024b)	$\overline{}$	DTD	MT(DTD)	82.5	82.6	80.3	86.9	85.9	
	CL2CM (Wang et al. 2024a)	$\overline{}$	DTD	MT(DTD)	83.0	83.3	80.9	87.0	86.0	
	DASD (ours)	134M	DTD	MT(DTD)	88.5	91.1	87.6	90.0	89.1	
	UC^2 (Zhou et al. 2021)	478M		CC _{3M}	62.5	60.4	55.1	$\overline{}$	62.3	
	MURAL (Jain et al. 2021)	300M	$\overline{}$	CC300K	62.7	60.8	57.5	\blacksquare	62.5	
	MLA (Zhang, Hu, and Jin 2022)	108M	$\overline{}$	CC300K	80.8	80.9	72.9	78.5	76.7	
shot	DASD (ours)	134M	$\overline{}$	CC300K	81.9	82.1	74.3	79.6	77.5	
Zero.	NRCCR (Wang et al. 2022)	216M	$\overline{}$	MT(MSCOCO)	74.8	72.3	68.5			
	DCOT (Wang et al. 2024b)	$\overline{}$		MT(MSCOCO)	76.5	74.2	70.7			
	CL2CM (Wang et al. 2024a)			MT(MSCOCO)	76.9	74.5	71.5			
	DASD (ours)	134M		MT(MSCOCO)		81.3	74.9			

Table 2: Cross-lingual video-text retrieval results on Multi-MSRVTT, CL-FT: *Cross-lingual Fine-tune*, ZS: *Zero-shot*. Our proposed DASD performs the best over baseline methods on all target languages.

Method	DE	FR	CS	zн	RU	VI	SW	ES	SUM
MMP (Huang et al. 2021)	21.1	21.8	20.7	20.0	20.5	10.9	14.4	21.9	151.3
C ₂ KD (Rouditchenko et al. 2023)	24.7	25.4	24.0	23.4	23.1	13.6	20.3	25.5	180.0
MLA (Zhang, Hu, and Jin 2022)	26.1	26.7	20.5	25.3	18.9	12.9	12.6	27.2	170.2
DASD (ours)	28.8	30.5	26.3	28.0	25.9	14.8	22.1	29.7	206.1
MMP (Huang et al. 2021)	19.4	20.7	19.3	18.2	19.1	8.2	8.4	20.4	133.7
MLA (Zhang, Hu, and Jin 2022)	20.1	22.0	15.7	18.3	14.4	8.2	10.7	20.2	129.6
DASD (ours)	23.7	23.9	21.4	22.4	21.7	11.2	15.3	23.1	162.7

Table 3: The performances of our method using different VLP models as the backbone. Our dynamic adapter could not only expand the monolingual VLP model (CLIP) to multiple target languages, but also exhibits a good compatibility with different VLP models.

Visualization Analysis

In Figure 3, we use t-SNE to visualize the semantic-agnostic representations of 200 Chinese sentences randomly selected from MSCOCO testset. As illustrated in Figure 3(a), the semantic-agnostic representations produced by DASD have been automatically clustered into 4 groups. Figure 3(b) lists some corresponding sentences of each group, and we observe that sentences in the same group are expressed in

Table 4: Effectiveness of the dynamic adapter for CCR on Multi30K and MSCOCO. Using input-conditional parameters (W^z) brings in substantial performance gain.

Method	Multi30K	$\frac{\text{MSCOCO}}{\text{ZH} - \text{JA}}$ SUM		
	FR DE CS			
Traditional Adapter		88.3 87.0 83.1 85.3 85.7 429.4		
Dynamic Adapter (ours) 91.1 88.5 87.6 90.0 89.1 446.3				

Table 5: Effectiveness of the semantic-related and semanticagnostics features (f^{sr} and f^{sa}).

a similar way. Concretely, sentences in group 1 start with a quantifier followed by the key object, and sentences in group 2 begin with the location of the key object. Com-

Table 6: Effectiveness of different disentangling losses.

	loss		Multi30K			MSCOCO SUM	
	\mathcal{L}_{sc} \mathcal{L}_{adv}	FR.	DE	CS	ZH JA		
			91.1 88.5 87.6		90.0		89.1 446.3
	\times		90.7 87.9 87.3				89.6 88.3 443.8
\times	\checkmark	90.6	88.1	86.9	89.5		88.5 443.6
\times	\times	90.1	87.4	86.5	89.1	88.0	441.1

(b) The corresponding Chinese sentences in each group.

Figure 3: Visualization of the semantic-agnostic features extracted from 200 randomly-selected Chinese sentences in MSCOCO testset.

pared to group 1, sentences in group 3 include an additional descriptive modifier before the key object. Group 4 contains long sentences that are divided into two parts. This visualization result confirms that our DASD effectively captures semantic-agnostic characteristics of captions through semantics disentangling.

Conclusion

This paper proposes dynamic adapters with semantics disentangling for CCR. By characterizing target-language captions from two distinct and complementary aspects, our DASD dynamically generates adapters for input captions in varied forms. Extensive experiments show the effectiveness of DASD and its new SOTA performance. Given DASD is simple and effective, we believe it can also be used as a new strong baseline for other cross-lingual transfer tasks.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Pioneer and Leading Goose R&D Program of Zhejiang (No. 2024C01110), National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 62306278), Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation (No. LZ23F020004 and No. LQ23F020008), Young Elite Scientists Sponsorship Program by China Association for Science and Technology (No. 2022QNRC001), Fundamental Research Funds for the Provincial Universities of Zhejiang (No. FR2402ZD).

References

Aggarwal, P.; and Kale, A. 2020. Towards zero-shot crosslingual image retrieval. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.05107*.

Ahmad, W. U.; Zhang, Z.; Ma, X.; Chang, K.-W.; and Peng, N. 2019. Cross-Lingual Dependency Parsing with Unlabeled Auxiliary Languages. In Bansal, M.; and Villavicencio, A., eds., *Proceedings of the 23rd Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning (CoNLL)*, 372–382. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Ansell, A.; Ponti, E. M.; Pfeiffer, J.; Ruder, S.; Glavaš, G.; Vulić, I.; and Korhonen, A. 2021. MAD-G: Multilingual adapter generation for efficient cross-lingual transfer. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2021*, 4762–4781.

Bogolin, S.-V.; Croitoru, I.; Jin, H.; Liu, Y.; and Albanie, S. 2022. Cross modal retrieval with querybank normalisation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 5194–5205.

Cai, R.; Dong, J.; Liang, T.; Liang, Y.; Wang, Y.; Yang, X.; Wang, X.; and Wang, M. 2024. Cross-Lingual Cross-Modal Retrieval with Noise-Robust Fine-Tuning. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*.

Cai, R.; Li, Z.; Wei, P.; Qiao, J.; Zhang, K.; and Hao, Z. 2019. Learning disentangled semantic representation for domain adaptation. In *IJCAI: proceedings of the conference*, volume 2019, 2060. NIH Public Access.

Chang, T.; Yang, X.; Luo, X.; Ji, W.; and Wang, M. 2023. Learning Style-Invariant Robust Representation for Generalizable Visual Instance Retrieval. In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, 6171–6180.

Chen, X.; Fang, H.; Lin, T.-Y.; Vedantam, R.; Gupta, S.; Dollár, P.; and Zitnick, C. L. 2015. Microsoft coco captions: Data collection and evaluation server. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1504.00325*.

Chen, Z.; Luo, Y.; Qiu, R.; Wang, S.; Huang, Z.; Li, J.; and Zhang, Z. 2021. Semantics disentangling for generalized zero-shot learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, 8712–8720.

Devlin, J.; Chang, M.-W.; Lee, K.; and Toutanova, K. 2018. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805*.

Dong, J.; Chen, X.; Zhang, M.; Yang, X.; Chen, S.; Li, X.; and Wang, X. 2022a. Partially relevant video retrieval. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, 246–257.

Dong, J.; Li, X.; Xu, C.; Yang, X.; Yang, G.; Wang, X.; and Wang, M. 2022b. Dual encoding for video retrieval by text. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 44(8): 4065–4080.

Dosovitskiy, A.; Beyer, L.; Kolesnikov, A.; Weissenborn, D.; Zhai, X.; Unterthiner, T.; Dehghani, M.; Minderer, M.; Heigold, G.; Gelly, S.; et al. 2020. An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. *International Conference on Leanring Representations*.

Elliott, D.; Frank, S.; Sima'an, K.; and Specia, L. 2016. Multi30k: Multilingual english-german image descriptions. In *Proceedings of the 5th Workshop on Vision and Language*, 70–74.

Fang, X.; Easwaran, A.; Genest, B.; and Suganthan, P. N. 2024. Your Data Is Not Perfect: Towards Cross-Domain Out-of-Distribution Detection in Class-Imbalanced Data. *ESWA*.

Fang, X.; Liu, D.; Zhou, P.; and Nan, G. 2023. You can ground earlier than see: An effective and efficient pipeline for temporal sentence grounding in compressed videos. In *CVPR*.

Gutmann, M.; and Hyvärinen, A. 2010. Noise-contrastive estimation: A new estimation principle for unnormalized statistical models. In *Proceedings of the thirteenth international conference on artificial intelligence and statistics*, 297–304. JMLR Workshop and Conference Proceedings.

Hedegaard, L.; Alok, A.; Jose, J.; and Iosifidis, A. 2024. Structured pruning adapters. *Pattern Recognition*, 156: 110724.

Huang, P.-Y.; Patrick, M.; Hu, J.; Neubig, G.; Metze, F.; and Hauptmann, A. G. 2021. Multilingual Multimodal Pretraining for Zero-Shot Cross-Lingual Transfer of Vision-Language Models. In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, 2443– 2459.

Jain, A.; Guo, M.; Srinivasan, K.; Chen, T.; Kudugunta, S.; Jia, C.; Yang, Y.; and Baldridge, J. 2021. MURAL: multimodal, multitask retrieval across languages. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2021*, 3449–3463.

Li, H.; Zhang, C.; Jia, X.; Gao, Y.; and Chen, C. 2021. Adaptive label correlation based asymmetric discrete hashing for cross-modal retrieval. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 35(2): 1185–1199.

Li, X.; Xu, C.; Wang, X.; Lan, W.; Jia, Z.; Yang, G.; and Xu, J. 2019. COCO-CN for cross-lingual image tagging, captioning, and retrieval. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, 21(9): 2347–2360.

Li, X. L.; and Liang, P. 2021. Prefix-tuning: Optimizing continuous prompts for generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.00190*.

Liu, X.; Zheng, Y.; Du, Z.; Ding, M.; Qian, Y.; Yang, Z.; and Tang, J. 2024. GPT understands, too. *AI Open*, 5: 208–215.

Lu, H.; Fei, N.; Huo, Y.; Gao, Y.; Lu, Z.; and Wen, J.-R. 2022. Cots: Collaborative two-stream vision-language pretraining model for cross-modal retrieval. In *Proceedings of* *the IEEE/CVF conference on computer Vision and pattern recognition*, 15692–15701.

Luo, H.; Ji, L.; Zhong, M.; Chen, Y.; Lei, W.; Duan, N.; and Li, T. 2022. Clip4clip: An empirical study of clip for end to end video clip retrieval and captioning. *Neurocomputing*, 508: 293–304.

Mao, Y.; Huang, K.; Guan, C.; Bao, G.; Mo, F.; and Xu, J. 2024. DoRA: Enhancing Parameter-Efficient Fine-Tuning with Dynamic Rank Distribution. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.17357*.

Ni, M.; Huang, H.; Su, L.; Cui, E.; Bharti, T.; Wang, L.; Zhang, D.; and Duan, N. 2021. M3p: Learning universal representations via multitask multilingual multimodal pretraining. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 3977–3986.

Pfeiffer, J.; Vulić, I.; Gurevych, I.; and Ruder, S. 2020. MAD-X: An Adapter-Based Framework for Multi-Task Cross-Lingual Transfer. *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, 7654–7673.

Portaz, M.; Randrianarivo, H.; Nivaggioli, A.; Maudet, E.; Servan, C.; and Peyronnet, S. 2019. Image search using multilingual texts: a cross-modal learning approach between image and text. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.11299*.

Radford, A.; Kim, J. W.; Hallacy, C.; Ramesh, A.; Goh, G.; Agarwal, S.; Sastry, G.; Askell, A.; Mishkin, P.; Clark, J.; et al. 2021. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, 8748–8763.

Rouditchenko, A.; Chuang, Y.-S.; Shvetsova, N.; Thomas, S.; Feris, R.; Kingsbury, B.; Karlinsky, L.; Harwath, D.; Kuehne, H.; and Glass, J. 2023. C2kd: Cross-lingual crossmodal knowledge distillation for multilingual text-video retrieval. In *ICASSP 2023-2023 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, $1-5$.

Sharma, P.; Ding, N.; Goodman, S.; and Soricut, R. 2018. Conceptual captions: A cleaned, hypernymed, image alt-text dataset for automatic image captioning. In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, 2556–2565.

Sun, Y.; Ren, Z.; Hu, P.; Peng, D.; and Wang, X. 2023. Hierarchical consensus hashing for cross-modal retrieval. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, 26: 824–836.

Vaswani, A.; Shazeer, N.; Parmar, N.; Uszkoreit, J.; Jones, L.; Gomez, A. N.; Kaiser, Ł.; and Polosukhin, I. 2017. Attention is all you need. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30.

Wang, Y.; Dong, J.; Liang, T.; Zhang, M.; Cai, R.; and Wang, X. 2022. Cross-lingual cross-modal retrieval with noiserobust learning. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, 422–433.

Wang, Y.; Wang, F.; Dong, J.; and Luo, H. 2024a. CL2CM: Improving Cross-Lingual Cross-Modal Retrieval via Cross-Lingual Knowledge Transfer. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 38(6): 5651–5659.

Wang, Y.; Wang, S.; Luo, H.; Dong, J.; Wang, F.; Han, M.; Wang, X.; and Wang, M. 2024b. Dual-view Curricular Optimal Transport for Cross-lingual Cross-modal Retrieval. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 33: 1522–1533.

Wu, C.; Jiang, G.; and Lian, D. 2024. Mitigate Negative Transfer with Similarity Heuristic Lifelong Prompt Tuning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.12251*.

Xu, J.; Mei, T.; Yao, T.; and Rui, Y. 2016. MSR-VTT: A Large Video Description Dataset for Bridging Video and Language. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 5288–5296.

Ye, Z.; Hu, F.; Lyu, F.; Li, L.; and Huang, K. 2021. Disentangling semantic-to-visual confusion for zero-shot learning. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, 24: 2828–2840.

Yin, G.; Liu, B.; Sheng, L.; Yu, N.; Wang, X.; and Shao, J. 2019. Semantics disentangling for text-to-image generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2327–2336.

Yoshikawa, Y.; Shigeto, Y.; and Takeuchi, A. 2017. STAIR captions: Constructing a large-scale Japanese image caption dataset. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.00823*.

Young, P.; Lai, A.; Hodosh, M.; and Hockenmaier, J. 2014. From image descriptions to visual denotations: New similarity metrics for semantic inference over event descriptions. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 2: 67–78.

Zeng, Y.; Zhou, W.; Luo, A.; Cheng, Z.; and Zhang, X. 2023. Cross-View Language Modeling: Towards Unified Cross-Lingual Cross-Modal Pre-training. In *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, 5731–5746.

Zhang, A.; Wang, H.; Wang, X.; and Chua, T.-S. 2024. Disentangling Masked Autoencoders for Unsupervised Domain Generalization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.07544*.

Zhang, D.; Zhang, H.; Tang, J.; Hua, X.-S.; and Sun, Q. 2020a. Causal intervention for weakly-supervised semantic segmentation. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33: 655–666.

Zhang, D.; Zhang, H.; Tang, J.; Wang, M.; Hua, X.; and Sun, Q. 2020b. Feature pyramid transformer. In *Computer Vision–ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part XXVIII 16*, 323– 339. Springer.

Zhang, L.; Hu, A.; and Jin, Q. 2022. Multi-Lingual Acquisition on Multimodal Pre-training for Cross-modal Retrieval. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35: 29691–29704.

Zhang, Z.; Luo, H.; Zhu, L.; Lu, G.; and Shen, H. T. 2023. Modality-Invariant Asymmetric Networks for Cross-Modal Hashing. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 35(5): 5091–5104.

Zheng, Q.; Dong, J.; Qu, X.; Yang, X.; Wang, Y.; Zhou, P.; Liu, B.; and Wang, X. 2023. Progressive localization networks for language-based moment localization. *ACM Transactions on Multimedia Computing, Communications and Applications*, 19(2): 1–21.

Zhou, K.; Yang, J.; Loy, C. C.; and Liu, Z. 2022. Conditional prompt learning for vision-language models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 16816–16825.

Zhou, M.; Zhou, L.; Wang, S.; Cheng, Y.; Li, L.; Yu, Z.; and Liu, J. 2021. Uc2: Universal cross-lingual cross-modal vision-and-language pre-training. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 4155–4165.

Supplementary Material

This supplementary material contains the following contents which are not included in the paper due to space limits:

- Detailed descriptions of the datasets used in our experiments.
- Implementation details including computation cost, model structure and training details.
- Additional ablation studies about the influence of SDM backbones and dynamic adapter size.
- The t-SNE visualization of learned semantic-related and semantic-agnostic representations.

Datasets

Evaluations are performed on two image-text retrieval datasets (Multi30K (Elliott et al. 2016) and MSCOCO (Chen et al. 2015)) and a video-text retrieval dataset (MSRVTT (Xu et al. 2016)), referred to as *Downstream Task Datasts* (DTD) in the main text. Target-language captions are obtained by automatically translating the English captions in DTD with Google Translate. Besides, the web-scraped image-caption dataset CC3M (Sharma et al. 2018) expanded with machinetranslated captions (Zhou et al. 2021) is also used for training, from which 300k image-captions pairs are randomly selected and known as CC300K (Zhang, Hu, and Jin 2022).

- Multi30K (Elliott et al. 2016): This dataset is built by extending Flickr30K (Young et al. 2014) from English to German, French and Czech. It consists of 31K images, each paired with 5 captions in English and German, and 1 caption in French and Czech. We split the dataset following (Young et al. 2014).
- MSCOCO (Chen et al. 2015): The original dataset consists of 123,287 images, and each image is annotated with 5 English captions. Previous works further add 5 Japanese captions for all images (Yoshikawa, Shigeto, and Takeuchi 2017) and 1 Chinese captions for 20,000 images (Li et al. 2019). We split the dataset following (Zhou et al. 2021).
- MSRVTT (Xu et al. 2016): The original dataset is a video-caption dataset containing 10,000 videos, each with 20 English captions. We use its multilingual version (Huang et al. 2021), in which the English captions are translated to 8 languages (German, French, Russian, Spanish, Czech, Swahili, Chinese and Vietnamese) with MT. We split the dataset following (Huang et al. 2021).
- CC3M&CC300K: CC3M (Sharma et al. 2018) dataset contains 3.3 million English image-text pairs scraped from the web, which is further expanded by UC^2 (Zhou et al. 2021) to 5 languages (German, French, Czech, Chinese and Japanese) with the aid of MT tools; The recent work MLA (Zhang, Hu, and Jin 2022) randomly selects 300K image-text pairs from CC3M and then converts them into target languages using MT tools, referred to as CC300K.

Implementation Details

In this section, we describe the implementation details. Our source code is anonymously released at https://github.com/

Table 7: Performance of our model using different semantic consistency loss function.

loss		Multi30K		MSCOCO		SUM
	FR.	DE	CS ⁻	\overline{ZH}	$J\mathbf{A}$	
$L1$ (ours)	91.1	88.5	-87.6	90.0	89.1	446.3
L2	89.5	88.2	-87.1	89.4	88.8	443.0
Smooth L1	91.0	88.2	87.3	89.6	88.7	444.8

HuiGuanLab/DASD so that readers of interest can have full access to every implementation detail.

Computation&Time Cost. We are conduct experiments using a single RTX 3090 GPU with the PyTorch 1.10.1 framework on a Linux system equipped with 128GB of memory. As for the cross-lingual transfer to all five target languages in Multi30K and MSCOCO, it takes no more than 4 hours and 9 hours to train the model under the zero-shot and cross-lingual finetune settings, respectively.

Model Structure. The backbone of our DASD is CLIP-ViT-B (Radford et al. 2021) whose parameters stay frozen during the cross-lingual transfer. The image encoder of the pretrained CLIP (Radford et al. 2021) has been aligned with the English text encoder through contrastive learning on 400M English image-text pairs. The base version of multilingual BERT (mBERT, (Devlin et al. 2018)) is utilized as the embedding block for all target languages. The trainable MLP in semantic-related and semantic-agnostic modules only have one hidden layer whose size is set to 256. In the target-language branch $\bar{\Phi}^T$, the hidden-layer size d_u in the dynamic adapters is set to 32.

Training Details. The training batch size is 128. The value of temperature coefficient in Equation 15 (in the main text) is set to 0.01. For loss coefficients in Equation 16 (in the main text), we set $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_2 = 0.1$. For cross-lingual alignment, 45,000 steps are performed with a learning rate of 2e-4. For cross-modal alignment, 6,000 steps are performed with a learning rate of 6e-6. For adversarial training, the discriminator F is trained simultaneously with other parts of DASD, whose parameters are updated with Adam optimizer using the learning rate 2e-4 during the cross-lingual alignment stage and the learning rate 6e-6 during the crossmodal alignment stage. During training, we use the Adam optimizer with a linear warm-up for the first 10% of steps. Under the cross-lingual finetune setting, we first optimize the English text encoder and the image encoder on the downstream task dataset for 5 epochs with a learning rate of 3e-6, and then proceed with cross-lingual and cross-modal alignment.

Additional Ablation Studies

The Influence of Semantic Consistency Loss We first investigate the influence caused by the use of different loss in semantic consistency. In the main text Equation 14, L1 distance is used to define the semantic consistency loss. Here we try other losses such as Smooth L1 and L2, and experimental results on Multi30k and MSCOCO are shown in Table 7, which verifies that the loss L1 works better than others.

Table 8: Model performance using training datasets of different sizes.

Datasets		Multi30K		MSCOCO		SUM
	FR.	DE	CS ⁻	\overline{ZH} JA		
CC300K		88.6 87.4 83.4		88.5	84.8	432.7
C C3M	88.7	87.6	84.3	88.7	85.3	-434.6

Table 9: Model performance with different numbers of clip layers in the target-language branch.

The Influence of Training Data Size Both CC300K and CC3M are used for the experiments in the main text, while the latter is ten times the size of the former. In Table 1 (in the main text), the proposed model only uses CC300K for training, here we investigate how much performance gain could be gained by using the larger training dataset (CC3M). Specifically, we train our model using CC300k and CC3M separately and then perform evaluations on Muli30K and MSCOCO. As shown in Table 8, the larger dataset brings slight improvements in all five target languages, demonstrating that our model can be further improved when more training data are used.

The Influence of CLIP Layers For semantic disentanglement, only the first layer of CLIP is utilized to encode captions, with no performance degradation compared to using 12 layers. In order to examine whether all 12 layers in the target-language branch are necessary, we conduct experiments with less CLIP layers on Multi30k and MSCOCO. As shown in Table 9, when using only the bottom layer of CLIP, the performance of our model drops severely on all five target languages. With more CLIP layers employed, the model performance increases steady and reaches its best when using 12 layers, verifying the necessity of our current model scale.

The Influence of Dynamic Adapters In our DASD, the dynamic adapters are distributed at 12 CLIP layers, so it is necessary to investigate whether these dynamic adapters contribute equally at each layer. Specifically, we investigate the contribution of dynamic adapters in each layer by separately removing them out of DASD. As shown in Table 10, the performance of our model degrades when DA of a certain layer are removed, verifying the necessity of all DA in our model. We also observe that removing DA of the top layer leads to a more severe performance drops than other layers, suggesting that top-layer DA play a more important role than others.

Table 10: Model performance without dynamic adapters distributed at a certain CLIP layer.

#Clip Layer		Multi30K		MSCOCO		SUM	
	FR	DE	\mathbf{CS}	ZH	JA.		
	91.1	88.5	87.6	90.0	89.1	446.8	
12th	90.4	87.3	86.8	89.4	88.6	442.5	
11th	90.7	88.1	87.4	89.9	88.9	445.0	
10 _{th}	90.9	88.5	87.6	90.0	89.0	446.0	
9th	91.1	88.3	86.9	90.0	88.6	444.9	
8th	90.8	88.5	87.5	89.7	88.7	445.2	
7th	90.7	88.3	87.2	89.9	88.9	445.0	
6th	91.0	87.6	87.4	89.5	88.4	443.9	
5th	90.9	87.7	87.4	88.9	88.9	443.8	
4th	91.0	87.6	87.3	89.7	89.1	444.7	
3rd	90.6	88.2	87.2	89.9	89.0	444.4	
2nd	91.1	87.8	87.4	89.9	88.7	444.9	
1st	90.9	87.7	87.3	89.6	88.8	444.3	

Figure 4: Performance of our model varies with the number of pretrained layers employed for semantic disentangling.

The Influence of SDM Backbones Recall that the backbone of our Semantic Disentangling Module (SDM) is only the first pretrained layer of CLIP whose parameters are frozen. Figure 4 shows how the mAR of our model varies with the number of transformer layers employed in SDM. When layer number is 0, no pretrained transformer layer is used in SDM, instead, we employ two trainable MLP modules to extract semantic-related and semantic agnostic features, respectively. Interestingly, we observe a large jump in performance when only one pretrained layer is employed. With more pretrained layers stacked, the mAR score stays above 89.0 with slight fluctuations, indicating that using only one pretrained transformer as the backbone is an optimal choice for SDM.

The Influence of Dynamic Adapter Capacity The keep the parameter efficiency of DASD, the hidden-layer dimension (d_u) in dynamic adapters is much smaller than the prior work (Zhang, Hu, and Jin 2022). In this experiment, we investigate the influence brought by the capacity of dynamic adapters. Table 11 reports the performance of our model with various hidden-layer sizes d_u . When d_u increases from 16 to 32, we observe a clear performance gain on all five

Figure 5: Visualization of the semantic-related features extracted from 200 randomly-selected Chinese sentences in MSCOCO testset.

target languages. However, the improvement becomes insignificant when d_u is further increased to 64, verifying that using 32-dimensional hidden layer is sufficient for dynamic adapters in DASD.

Visualization of Representations

Semantic-related Features Visualization Similar to the visualization of the semantic-agnostic features in the main text, we also perform a t-SNE visualization of the semanticrelated features. As shown in Figure 5(a), features of 200

sentences are clearly clustered into seven groups, and some corresponding sentences of each group are listed in Figure 5(b). It is obvious that sentences clustered into the same group share a common semantic topic. For example, group 1 contains sentences describing kittens, while sentences in group 2 are all about furniture such as tables and chairs. The result of visualization demonstrates that the semanticrelated features learned by DASD are highly related to the semantics of their corresponding captions, verifying the effectiveness of semantic consistency learning.

Semantic-agnostic Features Visualization The details of the semantic-agnostic feature visualization have been introduced in the main text. Due to space limitations, only three samples in each of the four groups are displayed in the main text Figure 3(b). Here we supplement more samples in these groups for readers, shown in Figure 6 and Figure 7. We observe that most sentences conform to the clustering characteristics of each groups described in the main text, further verifying the effectiveness of adversarial training in semantic disentangling.

Table 11: Performance of our model using dynamic adapters with various hidden-layer dimensions.

一个人拿着手机在拍舞台和人群。(**A** person holding a mobile phone is filming the stage and the crowd.) 一只猫有站在两只毛绒动物旁边。(**A** cat stands next to two stuffed animals.) 一个女孩走下台阶。(**A** girl walked down the stairs.) 一个男孩在网球场打网球。(**A** boy is playing tennis on the tennis court.) 一个男人正在场地上骑马。(**A** man is on the field riding a horse.) 一架飞机正飞在天空中。(**A** plane is flying in the sky.) 一只长颈鹿站在几辆汽车旁。(**A** giraffe is standing next to several cars.) 一只大象在干枯的森林里行走。(**An** elephant is in a dry forest walking.) 一只长颈鹿在低头吃草。(**A** giraffe is bowing its head to eat grass.) 一个人在雪坡上滑雪。(**A** person is skiing on a snowy slope.) 一个女孩用手拿着一个控制器。(**A** girl holding a controller in her hand.) 两只大象在河流边饮水。(**Two** elephants are by the river drinking water.) NO.33: 一辆卡车上面到处都是涂鸦。(**A** truck with graffiti all over it.) 两名男人在厕所维修马桶。(**Two** men are in the restroom repairing toilets.) NO.41: **一群人**拿着冲浪板站在海滩上。(**A group of** holding surfboards standing on the beach.) 三个人牵着一只穿着外衣踩着滑板的狗。(**Three** people are holding a dog wearing a coat and riding a skateboard.) 两只小男孩正在一个苹果园里散步。(**Two** little boys in an apple orchard are taking a walk.) NO.52: 一只猫正坐在地上看电视。(**A** cat is sitting on the ground watching TV.) 一个人在雪地上滑雪。(**A** person is on the snow skiing.) 一群大象在绿色的草地上行走。(**A group of** elephants are walking on the green grass.) NO.85: <u>几只</u>鹦鹉和<u>几只兔</u>子被放在布满干草的箱子里。(<u>Several parrots</u> and rabbits were placed in a box filled with hay.) NO.86: <u>一辆</u>摩托车停在路边。(<u>A</u> motorcycle is parked on the roadside.) 一个女孩在等待地铁。(**A** girl is waiting for the subway.) 一只猫看向镜子中的自己。(**A** cat looks at itself in the mirror.) NO.108: 有<u>两只斑马</u>站在草地上。(There are <u>two</u> zebras standing on the grass.) NO.109:<mark>两个小男孩</mark>在海滩上放风筝。(<u>Two</u> little boys are flying kites on the beach.) NO.114:<u>一群斑</u>马在野外游荡。(<u>A group of zebras</u> are wandering in the wilderness.) <u>+辆拖拉机</u>在日落下工作。(<u>A</u> tractor is working at sunset.) NO.128:<u>一群人</u>坐在海边的长椅上。(<u>A group of people</u> are sitting on benches by the seaside.) NO.131: <u>一架飞机</u>飞过海边的楼房。(<u>A</u> plane flew over a building by the seaside.) NO.136:<u>一只</u>黑熊在树林中穿行。(<u>A black bear</u> is walking through the forest.) 一个小男孩拿着棒球棒站在家门口做挥舞状。(**A** little boy is standing at the doorstep waving a baseball bat.) NO.149: NO.150:<u>一个</u>男人在网球场上打网球。(<u>A man</u> is playing tennis on the tennis court.) NO.151: <u>一个人</u>背着一个冲浪板。(<u>A</u> person carrying a surfboard on their back.) NO.154: <u>一个</u>人拿着手机在拍舞台和人群。(<u>A</u> person holding a mobile phone is filming the stage and the crowd.) NO.155:<u>一只猫</u>坐在烤箱里面。(<u>A</u> cat is sitting in the oven.) 一辆长途汽车停在路边。(**A** long-distance bus is parked on the roadside.) NO.169: 一辆公交车在路上行驶。(**A** bus is running on the road.) NO.174:有<u>一座</u>桥横跨在一条河上。(There is <u>a</u> bridge spanning a river.) NO.185: <u>两个</u>人正在海上冲浪。(<u>Two people</u> are surfing on the sea.) NO.186: ந<u>��</u>女人站在电视机前玩游戏。(<u>Two</u> women are standing in front of the TV playing games.) NO.197: 一个摩托车手在马路上骑摩托车。(<u>A motorcycle rider</u> is riding a motorcycle on the road.) Group1: Quantifier + Object + … NO.0: NO.1 $NO.2$: NO.3: NO.7: NO.8: NO.10: NO.14: NO.17: NO.21: NO.27: NO.40: NO.46: NO.49: NO.75: NO.78: NO.82: NO.91: -NO.93: $NO.123: =$ NO.172: 盘子里有一块面包和沙拉。(Bread and salad **on plate**.) 院子里摆放着火炉和椅子。(**The yard** has a stove and chairs.) 一个金属架子上有几个牙刷。(**A metal rack** holds several toothbrushes.) 教堂里有很多排长椅。(There are many rows of benches **in the church**.) 一座大楼的顶端悬挂着钟表。(A clock hangs from **the top of a building**.) 桌子上有三个高脚杯。(There are three tall cups **on the table**.) NO.64: <u>红色的盒子上</u>摆着一架精致的飞机模型。(A delicate airplane model is <u>placed on the red box</u>.) 餐馆里有一群人在用餐。(There is a group of people dining **in the restaurant**.) 一座摩天大楼下立着一座钟楼。(There is a clock tower standing **under a skyscraper**.) **客厅里电视旁边的沙发上**有一只小猫。(There is a little cat on the sofa next to the TV in the living room.) 桌子上面摆放了一台笔记本电脑,键盘和鼠标。(There is a laptop, keyboard, and mouse **placed on the table**.) **街道旁**一个倾斜的标识杆。(A tilted signpost next to the street.) 三个塑料饭盒中装着面条,蔬菜和水果。(**Three plastic lunch boxes** containing noodles, vegetables, and fruits.) 餐桌上放着一盘比萨饼。(There is a plate of pizza **on the dining table**.) 厕所的墙上装着三个小便器。(There are three urinals installed **on the wall of the toilet**.) NO.124: NO.146: <u> 藍天下</u>立着一个钟楼。(There is a clock tower standing under the blue sky.) NO.147: 空旷的街道上有几个红绿灯。(Several traffic lights are there <u>on the open street</u>.) NO.160: <u>盘子里</u>米饭和青菜拌在一起。(Mix rice and vegetables together <u>on the plate</u>.) NO.199: <u>玻璃花瓶中</u>有一束黄色的花。(There is a bundle of yellow flowers <u>in the glass vase</u>.) Group2: NO.11: NO.13: NO.14: NO.36: NO.44: NO.45: NO.70: NO.83: NO.87: NO.88: NO.90: NO.98: NO.99: Location + Object

Figure 6: Supplementary samples within the scope of group 1 and group 2 in the main text Figure 3(a).

盘子上放着一些紫菜包饭,旁边的碟子上是一些蔬菜。 (There are some seaweed rice dumplings on the plate, and some vegetables on the adjacent plate.) 一群海鸥站在沙滩上,中间有一把蓝色的椅子。 (A group of seagulls are standing on the beach, with a blue chair in the middle.) 三个足球运动员正在争抢足球,其中一个运动员摔倒在地上。 (Three football players were competing for a soccer ball, and one of them fell to the ground.) 餐桌的一个盘子上放着大螃蟹,旁边的碗里乘满了米饭。 (There is a large crab on a plate at the dining table, and a bowl next to it is filled with rice.) 两辆双层公共汽车行驶在城市马路上,街道两边有行人和建筑物。 (Two double decker buses are driving on the city road, with pedestrians and buildings on both sides of the street.) 一个金发小男孩坐在桌前,手里拿着一根烤肠正在吃早餐。 (A blond little boy is sitting at the table, holding a grilled sausage and eating breakfast.) 这是一幅画,里面一个男孩和一个女孩坐在海滩上的一把椅子上。 (This is a painting, in which a boy and a girl are sitting on a chair on the beach.) 浴室墙上挂着一面镜子,下面有白色的洗漱池。 (There is a mirror hanging on the bathroom wall, and a white washbasin underneath.) 网球场上一名运动员正在打比赛,旁边有裁判和许多观众。 (A player is playing a game on the tennis court, with referees and many spectators nearby.) NO.100: 桌子上摆放着一个榨汁机和一些果盘,一个小孩在伸手。 (There is a juicer and some fruit trays on the table, and a child is reaching out.) NO.101: 近处的盘子里摆着一份三明治,一位女士坐在对面吃蔬菜沙拉。 (There is a sandwich on a nearby plate, and a lady sitting across from her is eating a vegetable salad.) 一个人站在冲浪板上,在海上冲浪。 (A person standing on a surfboard, surfing on the sea) NO.107: 桌子上白色餐盘里盛着几种小食品,旁边的碗里盛着汤。 (There are several small foods in the white plate on the table, and soup in the bowl next to it.) NO.121: 草地上放着一个小象玩偶,一个玩具小人戴着编织的辫子。 (There is a little elephant doll on the grass, and a toy figure wearing a braided braid.) 一个人在空地上练习滑板,另一个人在一旁看着。 (One person is practicing skateboarding on the open ground, while the other is watching from the side.) NO.134: 草地上盒子里放着面包,旁边有个装着食物的小碟子。 (There is bread in a box on the grass, and there is a small dish next to it containing food.) NO.152: 夜晚的街道是空的, 在街道的拐角处有灯装饰的过街天桥。 (The streets at night are empty, and there are pedestrian overpasses decorated with lights at the corners of the streets.) Group4: NO.5 : NO.6: NO.15: NO.47: NO.47: NO.57: NO.61: NO.73: NO.80: NO.102: NO.128: 一群穿着制服的男子骑着马。(**A group of** men in uniforms riding horses.) 一只棕色的熊站在一条小溪旁。(**A** brown bear is standing by a small stream.) 一个撑着一把伞的女人走在一条小路上。(**A** woman holding an umbrella walks on a path.) 一辆老式的绿色货车在街道上行驶。(**An** old-fashioned green truck is driving on the street.) 一位穿着绿色上衣的网球女运动员。(**A** female tennis player wearing a green top.) 一列红色火车正在铁轨上行驶。(**A** red train is running on the tracks.) **三个**饥饿的男孩用一块面包摆姿势。(Three hungry boys pose with a piece of bread.) 一只黑色的小狗趴在一只鞋子旁边。(**A** black little dog is lying next to a shoe.) 一张包含建筑物和街道的老照片。(**An** old photo containing buildings and streets.) 一只可爱的猫躺在微波炉上。(**A** cute cat is lying on the microwave.) 几个年轻的女人坐在一起吃饭喝酒。(**Several** young women sitting together eating and drinking.) 一只毛茸茸的小狗张着嘴窝在沙发里。(**A** furry little dog is lying on the sofa with its mouth open.) **一只**白色的狗在车里睡着了。(A white dog fell asleep in the car.) 一只黑色的猫坐在一台打开的笔记本电脑后面。(**A** black cat is sitting behind an open laptop.) 一个按钮很少的遥控器。(**A** remote control with few buttons.) NO.105: <u>一辆</u>红色的火车停在站台。(<u>A</u> red train is parked on the platform.) 一块点缀着奶油的披萨饼。(**A** pizza with cream on top.) NO.106: 一个专业的网球运动员在比赛中打了一个球。(**A** professional tennis player played a ball during the match.) NO.119: NO.131: 一个顶部有钟表的建筑物。(A building with a clock on top.) 一个女人穿着一身蜜蜂形象的演出服。(**A** woman wearing a performance costume in the image of a bee.) NO.132: NO.135: <u>一只</u>高瘦的长脖子鸟站在水里。(<u>A</u> tall and slender bird with a long neck is standing in the water.) 一个戴着眼睛穿着红色衣服的女孩正在打电话。(**A** girl wearing red clothes with eyes is making a phone call.) NO.140: 一个黑色的平底锅上的一个烤好的的比萨饼。(**A** grilled pizza on a black pan.) NO.144: <u>一个</u>时钟安装在一个红色环中。(<u>A</u> clock is installed in a red ring.) 一个戴着领带的男人在街上走着。(**A** man wearing a tie is walking on the street.) NO.170: 一个蓝眼睛的小婴儿在啃手机。(**A** little baby with blue eyes is gnawing on a phone.) 一只黄色的小狗卧在沙滩上。(**A** yellow little dog is lying on the beach.) 一个穿着西装的男人的旧黑白照片。(**An** old black and white photo of a man wearing a suit.) NO.190: 一位身穿牛仔裤的男子用脚将滑板翘起。(**A** man in jeans lifts his skateboard with his foot.) Group3: NO.9: NO.22: NO.24: NO.25: NO.35: NO.38: NO.50: NO.51: NO.53: NO.56: NO.62: NO.68: NO.69: NO.71: NO.96: NO.142: NO.178: NO.179: $NO.193:$ Quantifier + Descriptive Modifier + Object + … Event + Comma + Supplementary Information

Figure 7: Supplementary samples within the scope of group 3 and group 4 in the main text Figure 3(a).