

MORPHISMS IN DERIVED ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY

CHANG-YEON CHOUGH

ABSTRACT. We give a characterization of morphisms in derived algebraic geometry in the more general context of morphisms in spectral algebraic geometry. Using this characterization, we prove two versions of Tannaka duality and the formal GAGA principle in the setting of derived algebraic geometry. We also show that quasi-compact and quasi-separated derived algebraic spaces satisfy v -descent.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Comparison of Simplicial Commutative Rings and \mathbb{E}_∞ -rings	4
3. Comparison of Derived and Spectral Deligne-Mumford Stacks	9
4. Application: Tannaka Duality in the Derived Setting	17
5. Application: Formal GAGA in the Derived Setting	20
6. Application: v -Descent in the Derived Setting	26
References	28

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. The basic building blocks of ordinary algebraic geometry are commutative rings. Replacing commutative rings by simplicial commutative rings (that is, commutative ring objects in the category of simplicial sets) and connective \mathbb{E}_∞ -rings (that is, commutative algebra objects in the symmetric monoidal ∞ -category of connective spectra; see [9, p.1201]), the theories of derived and spectral algebraic geometry have been developed, respectively. More informally, we can regard a simplicial commutative ring and a connective \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring as a topological space equipped with an addition and multiplication which satisfy the axioms of commutative rings on the nose and up to coherent homotopy, respectively. When working over an ordinary commutative ring R containing the field \mathbb{Q} of rational numbers, the forgetful functor $\Theta_R : \text{CAlg}_R^\Delta \rightarrow \text{CAlg}_R^{\text{cn}}$ from the ∞ -category of simplicial commutative R -algebras to the ∞ -category of connective \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebras over R is an equivalence; see [8, 25.1.2.2]. However, the functor Θ_R is generally neither fully faithful nor essentially surjective, so that the comparison of morphisms in the derived and spectral settings is somewhat unwieldy.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18N50, 55P43, 18N60, 14A30, 18F10.

Key words and phrases. derived Deligne-Mumford stacks, spectral Deligne-Mumford stacks, Tannaka duality, formal GAGA, v -topology.

1.2. The main goal of this paper is to characterize morphisms between derived algebro-geometric objects in terms of morphisms between the underlying spectral algebro-geometric objects, by studying maps in the ∞ -categories CAlg_R^Δ and $\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}$ via the functor Θ_R . To articulate this more precisely, let us fix a simplicial commutative ring R and let R° denote the underlying \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring of R (see 2.5). With an eye toward Deligne-Mumford stacks in the derived and spectral settings, we note that the forgetful functor $\Theta_R : \mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}$ of 2.6 from the ∞ -category of simplicial commutative R -algebras of 2.3 to the ∞ -category of connective \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebras over R° of [9, 7.1.3.8] determines a functor $\Xi : \infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}^{\mathrm{sHen}} \rightarrow \infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}^{\mathrm{sHen}}$, where $\infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}^{\mathrm{sHen}}$ denotes the ∞ -category whose objects are pairs $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X})$, where \mathcal{X} is an ∞ -topos and $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}$ is a strictly Henselian CAlg_R^Δ -valued sheaf on \mathcal{X} , and whose morphism from $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X})$ to $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{Y})$ is a pair (f_*, α) , where $f_* : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a geometric morphism of ∞ -topoi and $\alpha : \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X} \circ f^*$ is a local morphism of CAlg_R^Δ -valued sheaves on \mathcal{X} , and $\infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}^{\mathrm{sHen}}$ is defined similarly (see 3.19, and 3.18). Our main result describes the relationship between morphisms in the ∞ -categories $\infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}^{\mathrm{sHen}}$ and $\infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}^{\mathrm{sHen}}$, using the functor Ξ and its right adjoint Ξ^R (see 3.20):

Theorem 1.3. *Let R be a simplicial commutative ring. Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be objects of the ∞ -category $\infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}^{\mathrm{sHen}}$. Then the canonical map*

$$\eta : \mathrm{Map}_{\infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}^{\mathrm{sHen}}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}_{\infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}^{\mathrm{sHen}}}(\Xi(\mathcal{X}), \Xi(\mathcal{Y}))$$

induces a homotopy equivalence from the mapping space $\mathrm{Map}_{\infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}^{\mathrm{sHen}}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ to the summand of $\mathrm{Map}_{\infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}^{\mathrm{sHen}}}(\Xi(\mathcal{X}), \Xi(\mathcal{Y}))$ spanned by those morphisms f for which the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Xi(\mathcal{X}) & \xrightarrow{\Xi(u_\mathcal{X})} & \Xi(\Xi^R(\Xi(\mathcal{X}))) \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow \Xi(\Xi^R(f)) \\ \Xi(\mathcal{Y}) & \xrightarrow{\Xi(u_\mathcal{Y})} & \Xi(\Xi^R(\Xi(\mathcal{Y}))) \end{array}$$

commutes, where u is the unit map for the adjunction of 3.20.

Remark 1.4. The adjoint functors (Ξ, Ξ^R) of 3.20 restrict to a pair of adjoint functors $\mathrm{DerDM}_R \rightleftarrows \mathrm{SpDM}_{R^\circ}$ between the ∞ -category DerDM_R of derived Deligne-Mumford stacks over R and the ∞ -category SpDM_{R° of spectral Deligne-Mumford stacks over R° of 3.27; see 3.35. For a simplicial commutative R -algebra A and a connective \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra B over R° , we have canonical equivalences $\Xi(\mathrm{Spec} A) \simeq \mathrm{Spec} \Theta(A)$ and $\Xi(\mathrm{Spec} B) \simeq \mathrm{Spec}(\Theta^L(B))$, where Θ^L denotes a left adjoint to the functor $\Theta_R : \mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}$ of 2.6; see 2.12 and 3.32.

1.5. We now describe some applications of our main result of 1.3 to Tannaka duality, the formal GAGA theorem, and the v -descent in derived algebraic geometry.

1.6. Our first application is Tannaka duality in the derived setting. The theory of Tannaka duality in the spectral setting was established by Lurie in [8]. In some sense, quasi-coherent sheaves are more closely related to algebro-geometric objects in the spectral setting than in the derived setting. Concretely, if R is an \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring and Mod_R is the ∞ -category of R -module spectra of [9, 7.1.1.2], then we can reconstruct R as an endomorphism algebra object of R

in the stable ∞ -category Mod_R , in the sense of [9, 1.1.1.9]. More generally, [8, 9.6.0.1] guarantees that giving a morphism from a spectral Deligne-Mumford stack \mathbf{X} to a quasi-compact and quasi-separated spectral algebraic space \mathbf{Y} is equivalent to giving a colimit-preserving symmetric monoidal functor from the ∞ -category $\text{QCoh}(\mathbf{Y})$ of quasi-coherent sheaves on \mathbf{Y} to the ∞ -category $\text{QCoh}(\mathbf{X})$ of quasi-coherent sheaves on \mathbf{X} (see [8, 2.2.2.1]). However, the analogous statement in the setting of derived algebraic geometry is not true in general. Nevertheless, 1.3 supplies the following version of Tannaka duality in the setting of derived algebraic geometry:

Theorem 1.7. *Let R be a simplicial commutative ring. Let \mathbf{X} be a derived Deligne-Mumford stack over R and let \mathbf{Y} be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated derived algebraic space over R . Then the canonical map*

$$\eta : \text{Map}_{\text{DerDM}_R}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \rightarrow \text{LinFun}_{R^\circ}^{\otimes}(\text{QCoh}(\mathbf{Y}), \text{QCoh}(\mathbf{X}))$$

is a fully faithful embedding, where $\text{LinFun}_{R^\circ}^{\otimes}(\text{QCoh}(\mathbf{Y}), \text{QCoh}(\mathbf{X}))$ denotes the ∞ -category of R° -linear symmetric monoidal functors from $\text{QCoh}(\mathbf{Y})$ to $\text{QCoh}(\mathbf{X})$ (see [8, D.1.5.3]). Moreover, the essential image of the map η is spanned by those colimit-preserving R° -linear symmetric monoidal functors $F : \text{QCoh}(\mathbf{Y}) \rightarrow \text{QCoh}(\mathbf{X})$ for which the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{QCoh}(\Xi^R(\Xi(\mathbf{Y}))) & \xrightarrow{u_{\mathbf{Y}}^*} & \text{QCoh}(\mathbf{Y}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow F \\ \text{QCoh}(\Xi^R(\Xi(\mathbf{X}))) & \xrightarrow{u_{\mathbf{X}}^*} & \text{QCoh}(\mathbf{X}) \end{array}$$

commutes, where u is the unit map for the adjunction of 3.20 and the left vertical map is induced by a morphism $\Xi(\mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \Xi(\mathbf{Y})$ in SpDM_R determined by F (see 4.8).

Remark 1.8. If \mathbf{X} is a derived Deligne-Mumford stack over R and \mathbf{Y} is a quasi-compact, quasi-separated derived algebraic space over R , then 1.3 supplies a necessary and sufficient condition for a morphism $\Xi(\mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \Xi(\mathbf{Y})$ between the underlying spectral Deligne-Mumford stacks over R° to be lifted to a morphism $\mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ of derived Deligne-Mumford stacks over R . Our first version Tannaka duality for derived algebraic spaces of 1.7, which is an analogue of [8, 9.6.0.1] in the derived setting, provides an alternative formulation of this result.

Remark 1.9. Our second version of Tannaka duality of 4.13 shows that a similar statement holds, where we replace the ∞ -categories of quasi-coherent sheaves by the full subcategories spanned by those quasi-coherent sheaves which are dualizable (see 4.1).

1.10. A second application of our main result of 1.3 is the formal GAGA theorem in derived algebraic geometry. In [8], Lurie set up the theory of formal spectral algebraic geometry and proved many principal results such as the Grothendieck existence theorem and the formal GAGA theorem. Most of the definitions of the theory can be adapted to the setting of derived algebraic geometry without essential change. However, some results cannot be translated to the derived setting: for example, one of the main ingredients in the proof of the formal GAGA principle in the spectral setting of [8, 8.5.3.1] is Tannaka duality of [8, 9.6.4.2]. We address this issue by applying our second version of Tannaka duality of 4.13, and establish the formal GAGA theorem in the setting of derived algebraic geometry:

Theorem 1.11. *Let R be a complete adic simplicial commutative ring with a finitely generated ideal of definition $I \subseteq \pi_0 R$ (see 5.2). Let \mathbf{X} be a derived algebraic space which is proper and locally almost of finite presentation over R . Let \mathbf{Y} be a quasi-separated derived algebraic space. Then the restriction map*

$$\theta : \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{DerDM}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{fDerDM}}(\mathbf{X}_I^\wedge, \mathbf{Y}),$$

given by precomposition with the canonical map $\mathbf{X}_I^\wedge \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$, is a homotopy equivalence. Here \mathbf{X}_I^\wedge denotes the formal completion of \mathbf{X} along the vanishing locus of I (see 5.36).

Remark 1.12. In the special case where both R and \mathbf{X} are noetherian and \mathbf{Y} is locally noetherian, 1.11 follows from [4, 5.1.13] of Halpern-Leistner and Preygel.

1.13. Our last application is the v -descent in the setting of derived algebraic geometry. According to [3, 3.15], the functor represented by a quasi-compact and quasi-separated spectral algebraic space satisfies universal descent for a v -cover f of connective \mathbb{E}_∞ -rings such that $\pi_0 f$ is of finite presentation. Once again, the derived analogue of [3, 3.15] cannot be obtained by applying [3, 3.15] to the underlying spectral algebraic space of a quasi-compact, quasi-separated derived algebraic space, since the proof depends on Tannaka duality for spectral algebraic spaces of [8, 9.6.4.2]. Instead, we use the second version of Tannaka duality of 4.13 to obtain the following:

Theorem 1.14. *Let \mathbf{X} be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated derived algebraic space, and let $h_{\mathbf{X}} : \mathrm{CAlg}^\Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ denote the functor represented by \mathbf{X} , given by the formula $h_{\mathbf{X}}(A) = \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{DerDM}}(\mathrm{Spec} A, \mathbf{X})$, where DerDM denotes the ∞ -category of derived Deligne-Mumford stacks of 3.27. Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a v -cover of simplicial commutative rings (in the sense of 6.2) for which the underlying map $\pi_0 A \rightarrow \pi_0 B$ of commutative rings exhibits $\pi_0 B$ as a finitely presented algebra over $\pi_0 A$. Then X satisfies universal descent for the map f , in the sense of [5, 3.1.1].*

1.15. Conventions. We will make use of the theory of ∞ -categories and the theory of spectral algebraic geometry developed in [7], [9], and [8].

1.16. Acknowledgements. This work was supported by Samsung Science and Technology Foundation under Project Number SSTF-BA2302-01 and the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korean government (MSIT) (No. RS-2024-00441954).

2. COMPARISON OF SIMPLICIAL COMMUTATIVE RINGS AND \mathbb{E}_∞ -RINGS

2.1. Our goal in this section is to study the relationship between simplicial commutative rings and connective \mathbb{E}_∞ -rings. First, we recall some terminology from [8, 25.1.1.1].

2.2. Let $\mathrm{Poly}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ denote the ordinary category whose objects are polynomial rings $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ for $n \geq 0$, and whose morphisms are homomorphisms of \mathbb{Z} -algebras. We will regard $\mathrm{Poly}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ as an ∞ -category by taking its nerve; see [7, 1.1.2.6]. Let \mathcal{S} denote the ∞ -category of spaces of [7, 1.2.16.1]. We will denote the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Poly}_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{S})$ spanned by those functors which preserve finite products by CAlg^Δ and refer to it as the ∞ -category of simplicial commutative rings.

Notation 2.3. If R is a simplicial commutative ring, we let CAlg_R^Δ denote the undercategory $(\mathrm{CAlg}^\Delta)_{R/}$ and refer to its objects as *simplicial commutative R -algebras*. If R is a connective

\mathbb{E}_∞ -ring in the sense of [9, 7.1.0.1] (see also [9, p.1201]), we let $\mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\mathrm{cn}}$ denote the ∞ -category of connective \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebras over R ; see [9, 7.1.3.8].

2.4. Let R be a simplicial commutative ring. Then the ∞ -category CAlg_R^Δ can be characterized as in [8, 25.1.1.2]. For this, let $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ denote the tensor product $R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ in the ∞ -category CAlg^Δ and let Poly_R denote the full subcategory of CAlg_R^Δ spanned by the polynomial rings $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, where $n \geq 0$. Since $\mathrm{Poly}_R \subseteq \mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta$ is closed under finite coproducts, it follows from [7, 5.5.8.25] that the canonical map $\mathcal{P}_\Sigma(\mathrm{Poly}_R) \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta$ is an equivalence of ∞ -categories, where $\mathcal{P}_\Sigma(\mathrm{Poly}_R)$ denotes the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Poly}_R^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{S})$ spanned by those functors which preserve finite products. Applying [7, 5.5.8.10], we conclude that CAlg_R^Δ is a compactly generated ∞ -category in the sense of [7, 5.5.7.1]. Note that the collection of polynomial algebras $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ forms a set of compact projective generators for CAlg_R^Δ in the sense of [7, 5.5.8.23].

2.5. Let us regard \mathbb{Z} as a discrete \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring; see [9, 7.1.0.3]. Then [8, 25.1.2.1] supplies an essentially unique functor $\Theta_{\mathbb{Z}} : \mathrm{CAlg}^\Delta \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathrm{cn}}$ which preserves small sifted colimits and is the identity on $\mathrm{Poly}_{\mathbb{Z}}$. For each object $A \in \mathrm{CAlg}^\Delta$, we will denote the image of A under the functor $\Theta_{\mathbb{Z}}$ by A° and refer to it as the *underlying connective \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra of A over \mathbb{Z}* .

Definition 2.6. Let R be a simplicial commutative ring. We let $\Theta_R : \mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\mathrm{cn}}$ denote the functor determined by $\Theta_{\mathbb{Z}}$ (see 2.3). The functor Θ_R carries a simplicial commutative R -algebra A to $\Theta_{\mathbb{Z}}(A) = A^\circ$, which we will refer to as the *underlying connective \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra over R°* .

Notation 2.7. Throughout the rest of this paper, we fix a simplicial commutative ring R , hence the underlying connective \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra R° over \mathbb{Z} , and simply denote the functor Θ_R by Θ . Unless otherwise specified, the notations R and R° will indicate the simplicial commutative ring R and the underlying connective \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring R° , respectively.

2.8. Before stating our first result, we recall a bit of terminology.

2.9. Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -category. Let us regard the ∞ -category $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$ as a monoidal ∞ -category endowed with the composition monoidal structure of [9, p.656]. Using the evaluation map $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}) \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, we can regard \mathcal{C} as left-tensored over $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$, in the sense of [9, 4.2.1.19]. According to [9, 4.7.0.1], we refer to an algebra object of $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$ (in the sense of [9, 4.1.1.6]) as a *monad on \mathcal{C}* . For each monad T on \mathcal{C} , we let $\mathrm{LMod}_T(\mathcal{C})$ denote the ∞ -category of left T -module objects of \mathcal{C} ; see [9, 4.2.1.13].

2.10. Let $g : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a functor between ∞ -categories. If g admits a left adjoint f , then the composite functor $T = g \circ f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ can be regarded as a monad on \mathcal{C} by virtue of [9, 4.7.3.3]. According to [9, 4.7.3.4], the functor $g : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is said to be *monadic* if it admits a right adjoint and the induced functor $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{LMod}_T(\mathcal{C})$ is an equivalence of ∞ -categories under which g corresponds to the forgetful functor $\mathrm{LMod}_T(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. The functor g is said to be *comonadic* if the associated functor $g^{\mathrm{op}} : \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{op}}$ is monadic.

2.11. According to [8, 25.1.2.4], the functor $\Theta_{\mathbb{Z}} : \mathrm{CAlg}^\Delta \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathrm{cn}}$ of 2.5 admits both left and right adjoints, and is both monadic and comonadic. We have the following generalization of [8, 25.1.2.4]:

Proposition 2.12. *The functor $\Theta : \mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\mathrm{cn}}$ of 2.6 is conservative and admits both a left adjoint Θ^L and a right adjoint Θ^R . Moreover, Θ is monadic and comonadic (see 2.10).*

Proof. We first note that the ∞ -category CAlg_R^Δ is presentable in the sense of [7, 5.5.0.1] (see 2.4) and that the functor Θ is conservative (because the functor $\Theta_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of 2.5 is conservative by virtue of [8, 25.1.2.2]). Since the functor $\Theta_{\mathbb{Z}}$ admits left and right adjoints (see [8, 25.1.2.4]), it follows from [7, 5.2.5.1] that Θ also admits left and right adjoints. In particular, Θ commutes with small colimits and limits. Applying the Barr–Beck theorem of [9, 4.7.0.3], we deduce that the functor Θ is both monadic and comonadic. \square

Remark 2.13. The functor $\Theta^L : \mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}} \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta$ carries a connective \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra A over R° to the simplicial commutative R -algebra $\Theta_{\mathbb{Z}}^L(A) \otimes_{\Theta_{\mathbb{Z}}^L(R^\circ)} R$, which is the tensor product in the ∞ -category CAlg^Δ . In the special case where R is an ordinary commutative ring, the pairs of adjoint functors (Θ^L, Θ) and (Θ, Θ^R) recover those of [8, 25.1.2.4].

Notation 2.14. Let Mod_{R° denote the ∞ -category of R° -module spectra in the sense of [9, 7.1.1.2]. We will regard Mod_{R° as equipped with the symmetric monoidal structure of [9, 4.5.2.1]; see also [9, 4.8.2.19]. We will often abuse terminology by referring to R° -module spectra as R° -modules. We let $\mathrm{Mod}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}$ denote the full subcategory of Mod_{R° spanned by those R° -modules M such that $\pi_n M \simeq 0$ for every integer $n < 0$; see [9, 7.1.1.10]. We will refer to the objects of Mod_{R° as *connective R° -modules*.

Notation 2.15. We let $\mathrm{Sym}_{R^\circ}^*$ and $\mathrm{LSym}_{R^\circ}^*$ denote left adjoints to the forgetful functor $G : \mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}$ and the composite functor $\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta \xrightarrow{\Theta} \mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}} \xrightarrow{G} \mathrm{Mod}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}$, respectively (see [9, 3.1.3.14] and [8, 25.2.2.6]). If M is a connective R° -module, we will refer to $\mathrm{Sym}_{R^\circ}^* M$ and $\mathrm{LSym}_{R^\circ}^* M$ as the *free \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra over R° generated by M* and the *derived symmetric R -algebra on M* , respectively.

Remark 2.16. By virtue of 2.12, we can identify $\mathrm{LSym}_{R^\circ}^*$ with the composite functor $\Theta^L \circ \mathrm{Sym}_{R^\circ}^*$. Using this identification, the counit map $\mathrm{Sym}_{R^\circ}^* \circ G \rightarrow \mathrm{id}$ and the unit map $\mathrm{id} \rightarrow \Theta \circ \Theta^L$ determine a canonical map $\alpha_A : \Theta(\mathrm{LSym}_{R^\circ}^*(G(A))) \otimes_{\mathrm{Sym}_{R^\circ}^*(G(A))} A \rightarrow \Theta(\Theta^L(A))$ in $\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}$ for every connective \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra A over R° .

2.17. According to 2.12, we can regard CAlg_R^Δ as the ∞ -category of left modules over the monad $\Theta \circ \Theta^L$ on $\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}$. We now analyze the monad $\Theta \circ \Theta^L$, which will play an essential role when we study the relationship between CAlg_R^Δ and $\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}$.

Theorem 2.18. *Let A be a connective \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra over R° . Then the canonical map $\alpha_A : \Theta(\mathrm{LSym}_{R^\circ}^*(G(A))) \otimes_{\mathrm{Sym}_{R^\circ}^*(G(A))} A \rightarrow \Theta(\Theta^L(A))$ of 2.16 is an equivalence of \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebras over R° .*

Proof. Since the forgetful functor $G : \mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}$ is conservative (see [9, 3.2.2.6]), it will suffice to show that the map $G(\alpha_A)$ is an equivalence of R° -modules. We first note that the functors G and Θ are conservative and preserve geometric realizations of simplicial objects (see [9, 3.2.3.2] and 2.12). It follows from the Barr–Beck theorem of [9, 4.7.0.3] that we can identify $\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}$ and CAlg_R^Δ with the ∞ -categories of left module objects over the monads $(G \circ \Theta) \circ \mathrm{LSym}_{R^\circ}^*$ and $G \circ \mathrm{Sym}_{R^\circ}^*$ on $\mathrm{Mod}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}$, respectively. Under these identifications, the functor θ corresponds to the forgetful functor $F : \mathrm{LMod}_{G \circ \Theta \circ \Theta^L \circ \mathrm{Sym}_{R^\circ}^*}(\mathrm{Mod}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{LMod}_{G \circ \mathrm{Sym}_{R^\circ}^*}(\mathrm{Mod}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}})$ associated to the map of monads $G \circ \mathrm{Sym}_{R^\circ}^* \rightarrow G \circ \Theta \circ \Theta^L \circ \mathrm{Sym}_{R^\circ}^*$ which is determined by the unit map $\mathrm{id} \rightarrow \Theta \circ \Theta^L$; in particular, we can identify the functor Θ^L with the extension of scalars along the map of monads $G \circ \mathrm{Sym}_{R^\circ}^* \rightarrow G \circ \Theta \circ \Theta^L \circ \mathrm{Sym}_{R^\circ}^*$ on $\mathrm{Mod}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}$. Combining

this with the fact that the forgetful functor G preserves tensor products (see [9, 3.2.4.4]), we conclude that $G(\alpha_A)$ is an equivalence in $\text{Mod}_{R^\circ}^{\text{cn}}$ as desired. \square

Corollary 2.19. *Let A be a connective \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra over R° . Then the unit map $A \rightarrow \Theta(\Theta^L(A))$ for the adjunction between Θ^L and Θ of 2.12 induces an isomorphism $\pi_0 A \rightarrow \pi_0(\Theta(\Theta^L(A)))$.*

Proof. Using 2.18, we see that $\pi_0 \Theta(\Theta^L(A))$ can be identified with the usual tensor product of $\pi_0 \Theta(\text{LSym}_R^*(G(A)))$ and $\pi_0 A$ over $\pi_0 \text{Sym}_{R^\circ}^*(G(A))$. The desired result follows from the observation that both $\pi_0 \Theta(\text{LSym}_R^*(G(A)))$ and $\pi_0 \text{Sym}_{R^\circ}^*(G(A))$ are equivalent to the usual symmetric algebra on $\pi_0 A$, which we regard as a $\pi_0 R$ -module. \square

Corollary 2.20. *For every simplicial commutative R -algebra A , the counit map $\Theta(\Theta^R(A)) \rightarrow A$ for the adjunction between Θ and Θ^R of 2.12 is injective on connected components.*

Proof. Applying 2.18 to R° , we obtain a pullback square of spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} * & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & * \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_{R^\circ}}(R^\circ, G(\Theta(\Theta^R(A)))) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_{R^\circ}}(R^\circ, G(A)), \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps correspond to the zero maps. The desired result follows immediately from the long exact sequence of homotopy groups, since we can identify the bottom horizontal map with the map between the underlying spaces of $\Theta(\Theta^R(A))$ and A . \square

2.21. The remainder of this section is devoted to comparing morphisms in CAlg_R^Δ with morphisms in $\text{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\text{cn}}$. We work in a more general setting of ∞ -categories which are left-tensored over a monoidal ∞ -category.

2.22. Let \mathcal{C} be a monoidal ∞ -category and let \mathcal{M} be an ∞ -category which is left-tensored over \mathcal{C} (see [9, 4.1.1.10] and [9, 4.2.1.19]). If A is an algebra object of \mathcal{C} in the sense of [9, 4.1.1.6], we let $\text{LMod}_A(\mathcal{M})$ denote the ∞ -category of A -module objects of \mathcal{M} ; see [9, 4.2.1.13].

Remark 2.23. The forgetful functor $G : \text{LMod}_A(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ admits a left adjoint F ; see [9, 4.2.4.4]. By virtue of [9, 4.2.4.2], the functor F can be identified with the *free A -module functor*: that is, for every object $M \in \text{LMod}_A(\mathcal{M})$, we can identify $F(M)$ with the *free A -module $A \otimes G(M)$ generated by $G(M)$* in the sense of [9, 4.2.4.1].

2.24. Let M and N be A -module objects of \mathcal{M} . Our main goal in this section is to compare the mapping space $\text{Map}_{\text{LMod}_A(\mathcal{M})}(M, N)$ with the mapping space $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{M}}(G(M), G(N))$. The following assertion allows us to identify the former with a subspace of the latter:

Lemma 2.25. *Let \mathcal{C} be a monoidal ∞ -category and \mathcal{M} be an ∞ -category which is left-tensored over \mathcal{C} . Let A be an algebra object of \mathcal{C} . Suppose that the ∞ -category $\text{LMod}_A(\mathcal{M})$ admits geometric realizations of simplicial objects and that the forgetful functor $G : \text{LMod}_A(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ preserves geometric realizations of simplicial objects. Let M and N be objects of $\text{LMod}_A(\mathcal{M})$. Then the canonical map $\text{Map}_{\text{LMod}_A(\mathcal{M})}(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathcal{M}}(G(M), G(N))$ is (-1) -truncated.*

Proof. We first note that the forgetful functor G is conservative; see [9, 4.2.3.2]. Using this together with our assumption that $\text{LMod}_A(\mathcal{M})$ admits geometric realizations which are

preserved by the forgetful functor G , we deduce from [9, 4.7.3.14] that M can be written as a geometric realization of free left A -modules in the sense of [9, 4.2.4.1]. Consequently, we may assume that M is a free left A -module object of \mathcal{M} . Let $\lambda : M_0 \rightarrow G(M)$ be a morphism in \mathcal{M} which exhibits M as a free left A -module generated by M_0 . Then the composite map $\text{Map}_{\text{LMod}_A(\mathcal{M})}(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathcal{M}}(G(M), G(N)) \xrightarrow{\circ\lambda} \text{Map}_{\mathcal{M}}(M_0, G(N))$ is an equivalence, from which it follows that the first map is (-1) -truncated, as desired. \square

2.26. We now give a characterization of the mapping space $\text{Map}_{\text{LMod}_A(\mathcal{M})}(M, N)$ as a subspace of $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{M}}(G(M), G(N))$:

Theorem 2.27. *Let \mathcal{C} be a monoidal ∞ -category, and let \mathcal{M} be an ∞ -category which is left-tensored over \mathcal{C} . Let A be an algebra object of \mathcal{C} . Assume that $\text{LMod}_A(\mathcal{M})$ admits geometric realizations of simplicial objects and that the forgetful functor $G : \text{LMod}_A(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ preserves geometric realizations of simplicial objects. Let M and N be A -module objects of \mathcal{M} and suppose we are given a morphism $\phi : G(M) \rightarrow G(N)$ in \mathcal{M} . Then ϕ can be lifted to a morphism $M \rightarrow N$ in $\text{LMod}_A(\mathcal{M})$ if and only if there is an equivalence $\phi \circ G(v_M) \simeq G(v_N) \circ G(F(\phi))$, where $v : F \circ G \rightarrow \text{id}$ is the counit map for the adjunction $F : \mathcal{M} \rightleftarrows \text{LMod}_A(\mathcal{M}) : G$ of 2.23.*

Proof. The ‘‘only if’’ direction is immediate from the functoriality of the counit map $v : F \circ G \rightarrow \text{id}$. To prove the converse, assume that there is an equivalence $\epsilon : \phi \circ G(v_M) \simeq G(v_N) \circ G(F(\phi))$. Arguing as in the proof of 2.25, we can write M as a geometric realization of free left A -modules. Since G preserves geometric realizations of simplicial objects and the map $\text{Map}_{\text{LMod}_A(\mathcal{M})}(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathcal{M}}(G(M), G(N))$ is (-1) -truncated by virtue of 2.25, we may suppose that M is a free left A -module. Let $\lambda : M_0 \rightarrow G(M)$ be a morphism in \mathcal{M} which exhibits M as the free A -module generated by M_0 , so that the composition $g = v_M \circ F(\lambda)$ is an equivalence in $\text{LMod}_A(\mathcal{M})$. We now define f to be the composite map $M \xrightarrow{g^{-1}} F(M_0) \xrightarrow{F(\lambda)} F(G(M)) \xrightarrow{F(\phi)} F(G(N)) \xrightarrow{v_N} N$ in $\text{LMod}_A(\mathcal{M})$. Using the equivalence $\epsilon : \phi \circ G(v_M) \simeq G(v_N) \circ G(F(\phi))$, we conclude that $\phi \simeq G(f)$ as desired. \square

Corollary 2.28. *Let A and B simplicial commutative R -algebras. Then the canonical map $\text{Map}_{\text{CAlg}_R^\Delta}(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\text{CAlg}_R^{\text{cn}}(\Theta(A), \Theta(B))}$ induces a homotopy equivalence from the mapping space $\text{Map}_{\text{CAlg}_R^\Delta}(A, B)$ to the summand of $\text{Map}_{\text{CAlg}_R^{\text{cn}}(\Theta(A), \Theta(B))}$ spanned by those maps $f : \Theta(A) \rightarrow \Theta(B)$ for which the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Theta(\Theta^L(\Theta(A))) & \xrightarrow{\Theta(v_A)} & \Theta(A) \\ \downarrow \Theta(\Theta^L(f)) & & \downarrow f \\ \Theta(\Theta^L(\Theta(B))) & \xrightarrow{\Theta(v_B)} & \Theta(B) \end{array}$$

commutes, where $v : \Theta^L \circ \Theta \rightarrow \text{id}$ is the counit map for the adjunction between Θ^L and Θ of 2.12.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of 2.27, since the ∞ -category CAlg_R^Δ is presentable and the functor Θ preserves small colimits; see 2.4 and 2.12. \square

Remark 2.29. Combining 2.28 with 2.18, the commutativity of the diagram appearing in 2.28 is equivalent to the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Theta(\mathrm{LSym}_R^* G(\Theta(A))) \otimes_{\mathrm{Sym}_{R^\circ}^* G(\Theta(A))} \Theta(A) & \longrightarrow & \Theta(A) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \Theta(\mathrm{LSym}_R^* G(\Theta(B))) \otimes_{\mathrm{Sym}_{R^\circ}^* G(\Theta(B))} \Theta(B) & \longrightarrow & \Theta(B). \end{array}$$

3. COMPARISON OF DERIVED AND SPECTRAL DELIGNE-MUMFORD STACKS

3.1. The main goal of this section is to compare the theories of derived and spectral Deligne-Mumford stacks. We begin by studying sheaves on an ∞ -topos taking values in the ∞ -categories CAlg_R^Δ and $\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}$.

Notation 3.2. Let \mathcal{X} be an ∞ -topos (see [7, 6.1.0.4]). If \mathcal{C} is an ∞ -category, we let $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{X})$ denote the ∞ -category of \mathcal{C} -valued sheaves on \mathcal{X} : that is, the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{C})$ spanned by those functors which preserve small limits; see [8, 1.3.1.4].

3.3. The adjunction $\Theta^L : \mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta : \Theta$ of 2.12 determines a pair of adjoint functors $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^L : \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}(\mathcal{X}) \rightleftarrows \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}(\mathcal{X}) : \Psi_{\mathcal{X}}$, where the right adjoint $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}$ is given by postcomposition with Θ . To describe the left adjoint, we note that the ∞ -category $\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}$ is presentable in the sense of [7, 5.5.0.1], so that the inclusion functor $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta)$ admits a left adjoint $L_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta) \rightarrow \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}(\mathcal{X})$, which we refer to as the *sheafification functor*; see [8, 1.3.4.3]. The functor $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^L$ carries each sheaf $\mathcal{O} : \mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}$ to $L_{\mathcal{X}}(\Theta^L \circ \mathcal{O})$.

Remark 3.4. The functor $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}$ is conservative, since the functor Θ is conservative (see 2.12).

3.5. Let \mathcal{C} be a compactly generated ∞ -category, in the sense of [7, 5.5.7.1]. Let $f^* : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a *geometric morphism* of ∞ -topoi: that is, it preserves small colimits and finite limits. Then precomposition with f^* determines a functor $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{Y})$ which admits a left adjoint by virtue of [8, 21.2.2.4]. Applying this observation to the compactly generated ∞ -categories CAlg_R^Δ and $\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}$ (see 2.4 and [9, 7.2.4.27]), we obtain pairs of adjoint functors $f_{\Delta}^* : \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}(\mathcal{Y}) \rightleftarrows \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}(\mathcal{X}) : f_{\Delta}^*$ and $f_{\mathbb{E}^\infty}^* : \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}(\mathcal{Y}) \rightleftarrows \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}(\mathcal{X}) : f_{\mathbb{E}^\infty}^*$. Note that we have an equivalence of functors $\Psi_{\mathcal{Y}} \circ f_{\Delta}^* \simeq f_{\mathbb{E}^\infty}^* \circ \Psi_{\mathcal{X}}$.

3.6. For later use, we record the following:

Lemma 3.7. *Let $f^* : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a geometric morphism of ∞ -topoi. Then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}(\mathcal{X}) & \xrightarrow{f_{\Delta}^*} & \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}(\mathcal{Y}) \\ \downarrow \Psi_{\mathcal{X}} & & \downarrow \Psi_{\mathcal{Y}} \\ \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}(\mathcal{X}) & \xrightarrow{f_{\mathbb{E}^\infty}^*} & \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}(\mathcal{Y}) \end{array}$$

is left adjointable: that is, the canonical map $f_{\mathbb{E}^\infty}^ \circ \Psi_{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow \Psi_{\mathcal{X}} \circ f_{\Delta}^*$ is an equivalence of functors from $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}(\mathcal{Y})$ to $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}(\mathcal{X})$.*

Proof. We wish to show that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}(\mathcal{Y}) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{\mathcal{Y}}} & \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}(\mathcal{Y}) \\ \downarrow f_\Delta^* & & \downarrow f_{E_\infty}^* \\ \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}(\mathcal{X}) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}} & \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}(\mathcal{X}) \end{array}$$

commutes. Let $\mathrm{Fun}^\omega(\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta, \mathcal{S}) \subseteq \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta, \mathcal{S})$ and $\mathrm{Fun}^\omega(\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}, \mathcal{S}) \subseteq \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}, \mathcal{S})$ denote the full subcategories spanned by those functors which preserve small filtered colimits. Let $\mathrm{Fun}^*(\mathrm{Fun}^\omega(\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta, \mathcal{S}), \mathcal{Y})$ and $\mathrm{Fun}^*(\mathrm{Fun}^\omega(\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}, \mathcal{S}), \mathcal{Y})$ denote the ∞ -categories of geometric morphisms from $\mathrm{Fun}^\omega(\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta, \mathcal{S})$ and $\mathrm{Fun}^\omega(\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}, \mathcal{S})$ to \mathcal{Y} , respectively (see [8, 21.1.1.2]). Let $T_0 : \mathrm{Fun}^\omega(\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}^\omega(\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta, \mathcal{S})$ denote the functor given by composition with Θ . According to [8, 21.1.4.3], $\mathrm{Fun}^\omega(\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta, \mathcal{S})$ and $\mathrm{Fun}^\omega(\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}, \mathcal{S})$ are classifying ∞ -topoi for CAlg_R^Δ -valued and $\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}$ -valued sheaves in the sense of [8, 21.1.0.1], respectively. Combining this with [8, 21.1.3.3] and [8, 21.1.4.2], we see that the above diagram can be identified with the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Fun}^*(\mathrm{Fun}^\omega(\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta, \mathcal{S}), \mathcal{Y}) & \xrightarrow{\circ T_0} & \mathrm{Fun}^*(\mathrm{Fun}^\omega(\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}, \mathcal{S}), \mathcal{Y}) \\ \downarrow f^{\circ} & & \downarrow f^{\circ} \\ \mathrm{Fun}^*(\mathrm{Fun}^\omega(\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta, \mathcal{S}), \mathcal{X}) & \xrightarrow{\circ T_0} & \mathrm{Fun}^*(\mathrm{Fun}^\omega(\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}, \mathcal{S}), \mathcal{X}), \end{array}$$

where the horizontal maps are given by composition with T_0 and the vertical maps are given by composition with f^* , thereby completing the proof. \square

3.8. In order to extend the adjunction between the pair of adjoint functors (Θ^L, Θ) of 2.12 to an adjunction between the ∞ -category of derived Deligne-Mumford stacks over R and the ∞ -category of spectral Deligne-Mumford stacks over R° , we establish some preliminaries.

Notation 3.9. Let $\infty\mathcal{Top}$ denote the ∞ -category whose objects are ∞ -topoi \mathcal{X} and whose morphisms are geometric morphisms $f_* : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ (that is, functors which admit a left exact left adjoint); see [7, 6.3.1.5]. If \mathcal{C} is an ∞ -category, we let $\infty\mathcal{Top}_{\mathcal{C}}$ denote the ∞ -category whose objects are pairs $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$, where \mathcal{X} is an ∞ -topos and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is a \mathcal{C} -valued sheaf on \mathcal{X} , and whose morphism from $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ to $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}})$ is a pair (f_*, α) , where $f_* : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a geometric morphism of ∞ -topoi and $\alpha : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \circ f^*$ is a morphism in $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{Y})$; see [8, 21.4.1.1]. Let $\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O})$ be an object of $\infty\mathcal{Top}_{\mathcal{C}}$ and let $U \in \mathcal{X}$ be an object. Let $\mathcal{O}|_U$ denote the composite of \mathcal{O} with the forgetful functor $(\mathcal{X}/_U)^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{op}}$, where $\mathcal{X}/_U$ denotes the ∞ -topos of [7, 6.3.5.1]. We let \mathcal{X}_U denote the object $(\mathcal{X}/_U, \mathcal{O}|_U) \in \infty\mathcal{Top}_{\mathcal{C}}$.

3.10. The pair of adjoint functors $\Theta^L : \mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta : \Theta$ of 2.12 determines a pair of adjoint functors $\Xi : \infty\mathcal{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta} \rightleftarrows \infty\mathcal{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}} : \Xi^R$. We note that the left adjoint Ξ carries an object $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O} : \mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta) \in \infty\mathcal{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}$ to the pair $(\mathcal{X}, \Psi_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{O}))$ and the right adjoint Ξ^R carries an object $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O} : \mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}) \in \infty\mathcal{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}$ to the pair $(\mathcal{X}, \Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^L(\mathcal{O}))$; see 3.3.

Notation 3.11. We will denote the functors Ξ and Ξ^R of 3.10 by Ξ_R and Ξ_R^R , respectively, if we wish to emphasize the dependence on R .

Remark 3.12. The functor $\Xi : \infty\mathcal{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta} \rightarrow \infty\mathcal{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}$ is conservative by virtue of 3.4.

3.13. We define étale morphisms in $\infty\mathcal{T}\mathrm{op}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}$ as in the spectral setting of [8, 1.4.10.1]:

Definition 3.14. Let $f : (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{Y})$ be a morphism in $\infty\mathcal{T}\mathrm{op}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}$. We will say that f is *étale* if the underlying geometric morphism of ∞ -topoi $f_* : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is étale in the sense of [7, p.617] and the induced map $f_\Delta^* \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}$ is an equivalence in $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}(\mathcal{X})$, where $f_\Delta^* : \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}(\mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}(\mathcal{X})$ is the pullback functor of 3.5.

Remark 3.15. Let $\mathbf{X} = (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}) \in \infty\mathcal{T}\mathrm{op}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}$ and $\mathbf{Y} = (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{Y}) \in \infty\mathcal{T}\mathrm{op}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^0}^{\mathrm{cn}}}$ be objects. If $U \in \mathcal{X}$ and $V \in \mathcal{Y}$ are objects, then we have canonical equivalences $(\Xi(\mathbf{X}))_U \simeq \Xi(\mathbf{X}_U)$ and $(\Xi^R(\mathbf{Y}))_V \simeq \Xi^R(\mathbf{Y}_V)$; see 3.9. It follows from the description of Ξ and Ξ^R supplied by 3.10 that both the functors Ξ and Ξ^R preserve étale morphisms.

3.16. We recall from [8, 1.4.2.1] the notions of a *local morphism* and a *strictly Henselian object* in the ∞ -category of sheaves on an ∞ -topos taking values in $\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^0}^{\mathrm{cn}}$, and define the notions in the derived setting.

3.17. Let \mathcal{X} be an ∞ -topos and $\mathcal{O} \in \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^0}^{\mathrm{cn}}}(\mathcal{X})$ be an object. Let Sp denote the *∞ -category of spectra* in the sense of [9, 1.4.3.1]. Let $\Omega^\infty : \mathrm{CAlg}_{R^0}^{\mathrm{cn}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ be the composition of the forgetful functor $\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^0}^{\mathrm{cn}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}$ with the underlying space functor $\mathrm{Sp} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ of [9, 1.4.2.20]. Since the functor Ω^∞ preserves small limits, $\Omega^\infty \circ \mathcal{O}$ is an object of $\mathrm{Shv}_\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X}) \simeq \mathcal{X}$. We let $\pi_0 \mathcal{O} \in \tau_{\leq 0} \mathcal{X}$ denote the 0-truncation of this object, which we will regard as an object of the ordinary topos $\tau_{\leq 0} \mathcal{X}$.

3.18. Let \mathcal{X} be an ∞ -topos. According to [8, 1.4.2.1], an object $\mathcal{O} \in \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^0}^{\mathrm{cn}}}(\mathcal{X})$ is said to be *strictly Henselian* if $\pi_0 \mathcal{O}$ is strictly Henselian (when regarded as a commutative ring object of the ordinary topos $\tau_{\leq 0} \mathcal{X}$) in the sense of [8, 1.2.2.5]. A morphism $\mathcal{O}' \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ in $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^0}^{\mathrm{cn}}}(\mathcal{X})$ is said to be *local* if the induced morphism $\pi_0 \mathcal{O}' \rightarrow \pi_0 \mathcal{O}$ of commutative ring objects of the ordinary topos $\tau_{\leq 0} \mathcal{X}$ is local in the sense of [8, 1.2.1.4]. We will denote by $\infty\mathcal{T}\mathrm{op}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^0}^{\mathrm{cn}}}^{\mathrm{sHen}}$ the subcategory of $\infty\mathcal{T}\mathrm{op}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^0}^{\mathrm{cn}}}$ whose objects are pairs $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X})$ such that $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}$ is strictly Henselian, and whose morphisms are maps $f : (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{Y})$ for which the induced map $f_{\mathbb{E}^\infty}^* \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}$ is local.

Definition 3.19. Let \mathcal{X} be an ∞ -topos. Let $\mathcal{O} \in \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}(\mathcal{X})$ be an object. We will say that \mathcal{O} is *strictly Henselian* if $\Psi_\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}) \in \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^0}^{\mathrm{cn}}}(\mathcal{X})$ is strictly Henselian in the sense of 3.18 (see 3.3). We will say that a morphism $\mathcal{O}' \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ in $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}(\mathcal{X})$ is *local* if the induced morphism $\Psi_\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}') \rightarrow \Psi_\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O})$ in $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^0}^{\mathrm{cn}}}(\mathcal{X})$ is local in the sense of 3.18. We will denote by $\infty\mathcal{T}\mathrm{op}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}^{\mathrm{sHen}}$ the subcategory of $\infty\mathcal{T}\mathrm{op}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}$ whose objects are pairs $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X})$ for which $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}$ is strictly Henselian, and whose morphisms are maps $f : (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{Y})$ such that the induced map $f_\Delta^* \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}$ is local.

Lemma 3.20. *The pair of functors Ξ and Ξ^R of 3.10 restricts to a pair of adjoint functors $\infty\mathcal{T}\mathrm{op}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}^{\mathrm{sHen}} \rightleftarrows \infty\mathcal{T}\mathrm{op}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^0}^{\mathrm{cn}}}^{\mathrm{sHen}}$ between the subcategories spanned by strictly Henselian objects and local morphisms between them.*

Proof. According to 3.7, the functor Ξ restricts to $\infty\mathcal{T}\mathrm{op}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}^{\mathrm{sHen}} \rightarrow \infty\mathcal{T}\mathrm{op}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^0}^{\mathrm{cn}}}^{\mathrm{sHen}}$. To prove that Ξ^R restricts to $\infty\mathcal{T}\mathrm{op}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^0}^{\mathrm{cn}}}^{\mathrm{sHen}} \rightarrow \infty\mathcal{T}\mathrm{op}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}^{\mathrm{sHen}}$, it will suffice to show that if \mathcal{X} is an ∞ -topos and $\mathcal{O} \in \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^0}^{\mathrm{cn}}}(\mathcal{X})$ is an object, then $\pi_0 \Psi_\mathcal{X}(\Psi_\mathcal{X}^L(\mathcal{O}))$ can be identified with $\pi_0 \mathcal{O}$. This follows from 2.19. \square

3.21. We define an *étale spectrum* of a simplicial commutative R -algebra as in the spectral setting of [8, 1.4.2.5]. Let A be a simplicial commutative R -algebra and let $\mathrm{CAlg}_A^{\Delta, \acute{e}t} \subseteq \mathrm{CAlg}_A^{\Delta}$ denote the full subcategory spanned by the étale A -algebras. We will regard $(\mathrm{CAlg}_A^{\Delta, \acute{e}t})^{\mathrm{op}}$ as equipped with the *étale topology*: that is, if B is an étale A -algebra, then a sieve $\mathcal{C} \subseteq (\mathrm{CAlg}_A^{\Delta, \acute{e}t})^{\mathrm{op}}_{/B} \simeq (\mathrm{CAlg}_B^{\Delta, \acute{e}t})^{\mathrm{op}}$ is a covering if and only if it contains a finite collection of maps $\{B \rightarrow B_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ for which the induced map $B \rightarrow \prod B_i$ is faithfully flat. Note that the forgetful functor $\mathrm{CAlg}_A^{\Delta, \acute{e}t} \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta}$ determines a CAlg_R^{Δ} -valued sheaf on the ∞ -category $\mathrm{Shv}((\mathrm{CAlg}_A^{\Delta, \acute{e}t})^{\mathrm{op}})$ of sheaves on $(\mathrm{CAlg}_A^{\Delta, \acute{e}t})^{\mathrm{op}}$; see [8, 1.3.1.7]. Let us denote this sheaf by \mathcal{O}_A . Then the pair $(\mathrm{Shv}((\mathrm{CAlg}_A^{\Delta, \acute{e}t})^{\mathrm{op}}), \mathcal{O}_A) \in \infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta}}^{\mathrm{sHen}}$ belongs to the subcategory $\infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta}}^{\mathrm{sHen}}$ by virtue of [6, 4.3.12].

Definition 3.22. Let A be a simplicial commutative R -algebra. We will denote the object $(\mathrm{Shv}((\mathrm{CAlg}_A^{\Delta, \acute{e}t})^{\mathrm{op}}), \mathcal{O}_A) \in \infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta}}^{\mathrm{sHen}}$ of 3.21 by $\mathrm{Spec} A$ and refer to it as the *étale spectrum of A over R* .

Remark 3.23. The global section functor $\Gamma : \infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta}}^{\mathrm{sHen}} \rightarrow (\mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta})^{\mathrm{op}}$ which carries an object $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O})$ to $\mathcal{O}(\ast)$, where $\ast \in \mathcal{X}$ is a final object, admits a right adjoint. Concretely, it is given by the construction $A \mapsto \mathrm{Spec} A$; see [6, 4.1.16] and [6, 4.3.12].

3.24. If A is a connective \mathbb{E}_{∞} -ring, we let $\mathrm{CAlg}_A^{\acute{e}t}$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathrm{CAlg}_A^{\mathrm{cn}}$ spanned by the \mathbb{E}_{∞} -rings which are étale over A . According to [6, 3.4.13] and [9, 7.5.4.2], the truncation functors $\mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta, \acute{e}t} \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}_{\mathfrak{S}\pi_0 R}^{\acute{e}t} \leftarrow \mathrm{CAlg}_{R^{\circ}}^{\acute{e}t}$ are equivalences of ∞ -categories, so that the functor $\Theta : \mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta} \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}_{R^{\circ}}^{\mathrm{cn}}$ of 2.6 restricts to an equivalence of ∞ -categories $\mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta, \acute{e}t} \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}_{R^{\circ}}^{\acute{e}t}$. In particular, we have an equivalence of ∞ -topoi $\mathrm{Shv}((\mathrm{CAlg}_A^{\Delta, \acute{e}t})^{\mathrm{op}}) \simeq \mathrm{Shv}((\mathrm{CAlg}_{A^{\circ}}^{\acute{e}t})^{\mathrm{op}})$, where we regard $(\mathrm{CAlg}_{A^{\circ}}^{\acute{e}t})^{\mathrm{op}}$ as equipped with the étale topology of [8, B.6.2.2].

3.25. As in the case of (affine) spectral Deligne-Mumford stacks (see [8, 1.4.4.2] and [8, 1.4.7]), we define (*affine*) *derived Deligne-Mumford stacks* as follows:

Definition 3.26. Let \mathcal{X} be an object of $\infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta}}^{\mathrm{sHen}}$. We will say that \mathcal{X} is an *affine derived Deligne-Mumford stack over R* if there exists an equivalence $\mathcal{X} \simeq \mathrm{Spec} A$ for some simplicial commutative R -algebra A ; see 3.22. We will say that \mathcal{X} is a *derived Deligne-Mumford stack over R* if there exists a collection of objects $\{U_{\alpha}\}$ of \mathcal{X} for which the map $\prod U_{\alpha} \rightarrow \ast$ is an effective epimorphism in \mathcal{X} , where $\ast \in \mathcal{X}$ is a final object, and each $\mathcal{X}_{U_{\alpha}}$ is an affine derived Deligne-Mumford stack over R ; see 3.9.

Notation 3.27. We let DerDM_R denote the full subcategory of $\infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta}}^{\mathrm{sHen}}$ spanned by the derived Deligne-Mumford stacks over R , and we let $\mathrm{SpDM}_{R^{\circ}}$ denote the *∞ -category of spectral Deligne-Mumford stacks over R°* (see [8, 1.4.4.2]). In the special case where $R = \mathbb{Z}$, we will denote DerDM_R simply by DerDM and refer to it as the *∞ -category of derived Deligne-Mumford stacks*.

Remark 3.28. We have canonical equivalences of ∞ -categories $\mathrm{DerDM}_R \simeq \mathrm{DerDM}_{/\mathrm{Spec} R}$ and $\mathrm{SpDM}_{R^{\circ}} \simeq (\mathrm{SpDM}_{\mathbb{Z}})_{/\mathrm{Spec} R^{\circ}}$.

3.29. The following definition is a derived analogue of the notion of *spectral Deligne-Mumford n -stacks* of [8, 1.6.8.1]:

Definition 3.30. Let $n \geq 0$ be an integer. Let X be a derived Deligne-Mumford stack. We will say that X is a *derived Deligne-Mumford n -stack* if the space $\mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{DerDM}}(\mathrm{Spec} A, \mathsf{X})$ is n -truncated for each ordinary commutative ring A . We will refer to derived Deligne-Mumford 0-stacks as *derived algebraic spaces*.

3.31. We now show that the adjunction $\Xi : \infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta}}^{\mathrm{sHen}} \rightleftarrows \infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}^{\mathrm{sHen}} : \Xi^R$ of 3.20 restricts to the full subcategories DerDM_R and SpDM_{R° .

Lemma 3.32. *Let A be a simplicial commutative R -algebra and B be a connective \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring over R° . Then the images of $\mathrm{Spec} A \in \mathrm{DerDM}_R$ and $\mathrm{Spec} B \in \mathrm{SpDM}_{R^\circ}$ under the functors $\Xi : \infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta}}^{\mathrm{sHen}} \rightarrow \infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}^{\mathrm{sHen}}$ and $\Xi^R : \infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}^{\mathrm{sHen}} \rightarrow \infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta}}^{\mathrm{sHen}}$ of 3.20 are equivalent to the affine spectral and derived Deligne-Mumford stacks $\mathrm{Spec} \Theta(A)$ and $\mathrm{Spec} \Theta^L(B)$ over R° and R , respectively.*

Proof. The first assertion follows immediately from the description of Ξ (see 3.10) and the equivalence of ∞ -categories $\mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta, \acute{e}t} \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\acute{e}t}$ of 3.24. To prove the second, let $Y' \in \infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}^{\mathrm{sHen}}$ be an object. Let $\Gamma : \infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}^{\mathrm{sHen}} \rightarrow (\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}})^{\mathrm{op}}$ denote the global sections functor of [8, 1.4.2.3], so that we have an adjunction $\mathrm{Spec} : (\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}})^{\mathrm{op}} \rightleftarrows \infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}^{\mathrm{sHen}} : \Gamma$. To avoid confusion, let us temporarily denote by Γ^Δ the global sections functor $\Gamma : \infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta}}^{\mathrm{sHen}} \rightarrow (\mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta})^{\mathrm{op}}$ of 3.23. It follows from the description of Ξ supplied by 3.10 that there is a canonical equivalence of functors $\Gamma \circ \Xi \simeq \Theta \circ \Gamma^\Delta$ from $\infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta}}^{\mathrm{sHen}}$ to $(\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}})^{\mathrm{op}}$. Using this observation, we can identify the mapping space $\mathrm{Map}_{\infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}^{\mathrm{sHen}}}(\Xi(Y'), \mathrm{Spec} B)$ with $\mathrm{Map}_{\infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta}}^{\mathrm{sHen}}}(Y', \mathrm{Spec} \Theta^L(B))$, so that $\Xi^R(\mathrm{Spec} B) \simeq \mathrm{Spec} \Theta^L(B)$ as desired. \square

Proposition 3.33. *Let X and Y be derived and spectral Deligne-Mumford stacks over R and R° , respectively. Then the images of X and Y under the functors $\Xi : \infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta}}^{\mathrm{sHen}} \rightarrow \infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}^{\mathrm{sHen}}$ and $\Xi^R : \infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}^{\mathrm{sHen}} \rightarrow \infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta}}^{\mathrm{sHen}}$ of 3.20 are spectral and derived Deligne-Mumford stacks over R° and R , respectively.*

Proof. Let $\mathsf{Y} = (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}})$. We will prove that $\Xi^R(\mathsf{Y})$ is a derived Deligne-Mumford stack over R ; the proof that $\Xi(\mathsf{X}) \in \mathrm{SpDM}_{R^\circ}$ is similar. Let $* \in \mathcal{Y}$ denote a final object, and choose an effective epimorphism $\coprod U_\alpha \rightarrow *$ in \mathcal{Y} for which Y_{U_α} is an affine spectral Deligne-Mumford stack over R° for every α (see 3.9). Since we have an equivalence $\Xi^R(\mathsf{Y}_{U_\alpha}) \simeq (\Xi^R(\mathsf{Y}))_{U_\alpha}$ for each α by virtue of 3.15, the desired result follows immediately from 3.32. \square

Remark 3.34. The proof of 3.33 guarantees that if Y is a spectral Deligne-Mumford stack over R° and $\{\mathrm{Spec} B_\alpha \rightarrow \mathsf{Y}\}$ is a collection of étale morphisms for which the induced map $\coprod \mathrm{Spec} B_\alpha \rightarrow \mathsf{Y}$ is surjective (see [8, 3.5.5.5]), then $\Xi^R(\mathsf{Y})$ is a colimit of the diagram $\{\mathrm{Spec} \Theta^L(B_\alpha)\}$ in DerDM_R .

Remark 3.35. According to 3.33, the adjunction $\Xi : \infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta}}^{\mathrm{sHen}} \rightleftarrows \infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}^{\mathrm{sHen}} : \Xi^R$ of 3.20 restricts to adjoint functors $\mathrm{DerDM}_R \rightleftarrows \mathrm{SpDM}_{R^\circ}$.

Definition 3.36. Let X be a derived Deligne-Mumford stack over R . We will refer to the spectral Deligne-Mumford stack $\Xi(\mathsf{X})$ as the *underlying spectral Deligne-Mumford stack of X over R°* .

Remark 3.37. Under the equivalences of 3.28, we can identify the functor $\Xi : \text{DerDM}_R \rightarrow \text{SpDM}_{R^\circ}$ with the functor induced by $\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}} : \text{DerDM} \rightarrow \text{SpDM}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ (see 3.11). Moreover, if \mathbf{X} is a spectral Deligne-Mumford stack over R° , then the canonical map $\Xi^R(\mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}^R(\mathbf{X}) \times_{\text{Spec } \Theta_{\mathbb{Z}}^L(\Theta_{\mathbb{Z}}(R))} \text{Spec } R$ is an equivalence in DerDM_R .

3.38. Let $n \geq 0$ be an integer. According to [9, 7.1.3.14], a connective \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra A over R° is n -truncated as an object of $\text{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\text{cn}}$ (in the sense of [7, 5.5.6.1]) if and only if $\pi_m A \simeq 0$ for every $m > n$ (see [9, p.1200]). Arguing as in the proof of [9, 7.1.3.14], we see that a simplicial commutative R -algebra A is n -truncated as an object of CAlg_R^Δ if and only if $\pi_m A \simeq 0$ for every $m > n$; here $\pi_m A$ denotes the m th homotopy group of the underlying \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra A° over R° . We let $\tau_{\leq n} \text{CAlg}_R^\Delta \subseteq \text{CAlg}_R^\Delta$ and $\tau_{\leq n} \text{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\text{cn}} \subseteq \text{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\text{cn}}$ denote the full subcategories spanned by the n -truncated objects.

3.39. Let $n \geq 0$ be an integer. Then the functor $\Theta : \text{CAlg}_R^\Delta \rightarrow \text{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\text{cn}}$ restricts to a functor $\Theta_{\tau_{\leq n}} : \tau_{\leq n} \text{CAlg}_R^\Delta \rightarrow \tau_{\leq n} \text{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\text{cn}}$. In the special case where $n = 0$, the functor $\Theta_{\tau_{\leq 0}}$ is an equivalence of ∞ -categories, because we can identify both the ∞ -categories $\tau_{\leq 0} \text{CAlg}_R^\Delta$ and $\tau_{\leq 0} \text{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\text{cn}}$ with the (nerve of the) ordinary category of commutative algebras over $\pi_0 R$.

Remark 3.40. Using 3.39, we can identify the *underlying Deligne-Mumford stack* $(\tau_{\leq 0} \mathcal{X}, \pi_0 \mathcal{O})$ of a derived Deligne-Mumford stack $\mathbf{X} = (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ over R with the *underlying Deligne-Mumford stack* of the spectral Deligne-Mumford stack $\Xi(\mathbf{X})$ over R° ; see [8, 1.4.8.2].

Definition 3.41. Let $n \geq 0$ be an integer. According to [8, 1.4.6.1], a spectral Deligne-Mumford stack $\mathbf{X} = (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ over R° is said to be *n -truncated* if $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is n -truncated in the sense of [8, 1.3.5.5]. We will say that a derived Deligne-Mumford stack over R is *n -truncated* if the underlying spectral Deligne-Mumford stack over R° in the sense of 3.36 is n -truncated.

Remark 3.42. It follows from 3.39 that there is an equivalence of ∞ -categories between the full subcategory of DerDM_R spanned by those derived Deligne-Mumford stacks which are 0-truncated and the full subcategory of SpDM_{R° spanned by those spectral Deligne-Mumford stacks which are 0-truncated.

3.43. We now establish some basic properties preserved by the functor Ξ . First, we note that the ∞ -categories DerDM_R and SpDM_{R° admit finite limits (see [8, 1.4.11.1]).

Lemma 3.44. *The functor $\Xi : \text{DerDM}_R \rightarrow \text{SpDM}_{R^\circ}$ preserves finite limits.*

Proof. Since Ξ carries $\text{Spec } R$ to $\text{Spec } R^\circ$ by virtue of 3.32, it will suffice to show that the functor Ξ preserves fiber products. Suppose we are given a diagram $\mathbf{Y} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{X} \xleftarrow{g} \mathbf{Z}$ of derived Deligne-Mumford stacks over R . Set $\mathbf{X} = (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$. Let us say that an object $U \in \mathcal{X}$ is *good* if the canonical map $\delta_U : \Xi(\mathbf{Y}_{f^*U} \times_{\mathcal{X}_U} \mathbf{Z}_{g^*U}) \rightarrow \Xi(\mathbf{Y}_{f^*U}) \times_{\Xi(\mathcal{X}_U)} \Xi(\mathbf{Z}_{g^*U})$ is an equivalence of spectral Deligne-Mumford stacks over R° ; see 3.9. We first show that the collection of good objects of \mathcal{X} is closed under small colimits. To this end, suppose we are given a diagram of good objects $\{U_\alpha\}$ having a colimit $U \in \mathcal{X}$; we wish to show that U is good. We claim that $\mathbf{Y}_{f^*U} \times_{\mathcal{X}_U} \mathbf{Z}_{g^*U}$ is a colimit of the diagram $\{\mathbf{Y}_{f^*U_\alpha} \times_{\mathcal{X}_{U_\alpha}} \mathbf{Z}_{g^*U_\alpha}\}$ in DerDM_R . Since the transition maps $\mathbf{Y}_{f^*U_\alpha} \times_{\mathcal{X}_{U_\alpha}} \mathbf{Z}_{g^*U_\alpha} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}_{f^*U_\beta} \times_{\mathcal{X}_{U_\beta}} \mathbf{Z}_{g^*U_\beta}$ are étale, the diagram has a colimit in the ∞ -category DerDM_R (see [8, 21.4.6.4]). Using this observation, the desired result follows from the fact that colimits are universal in the ∞ -category of étale sheaves on $(\text{CAlg}_R^\Delta)^{\text{op}}$; see [2, 2.17] and [7, 6.1.0.6]. Similarly, using 3.15, we see that $\Xi(\mathbf{Y}_{f^*U}) \times_{\Xi(\mathcal{X}_U)} \Xi(\mathbf{Z}_{g^*U})$ is a colimit

of the diagram $\{\Xi(\mathbf{Y}_{f^*U_\alpha}) \times_{\Xi(\mathbf{X}_{U_\alpha})} \Xi(\mathbf{Z}_{g^*U_\alpha})\}$ in SpDM_{R° . Combining these observations with the fact that the functor Ξ preserves a colimit of a diagram with étale transition maps in the ∞ -category DerDM_R (see 3.35), we have equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi(\mathbf{Y}_{f^*U} \times_{\mathbf{X}_U} \mathbf{Z}_{g^*U}) &\simeq \Xi(\mathrm{colim}(\mathbf{Y}_{f^*U_\alpha} \times_{\mathbf{X}_{U_\alpha}} \mathbf{Z}_{g^*U_\alpha})) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{colim} \Xi(\mathbf{Y}_{f^*U_\alpha} \times_{\mathbf{X}_{U_\alpha}} \mathbf{Z}_{g^*U_\alpha}) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{colim} \Xi(\mathbf{Y}_{f^*U_\alpha}) \times_{\Xi(\mathbf{X}_{U_\alpha})} \Xi(\mathbf{Z}_{g^*U_\alpha}) \\ &\simeq \Xi(\mathbf{Y}_{f^*U}) \times_{\Xi(\mathbf{X}_U)} \Xi(\mathbf{Z}_{g^*U}); \end{aligned}$$

here the third equivalence follows from the assumption that each U_α is good. This completes the proof that U is good. According to the derived analogue of [8, 1.4.7.9], it will suffice to show that every affine object U of \mathcal{X} (that is, an object $U \in \mathcal{X}$ for which \mathbf{X}_U is an affine derived Deligne-Mumford stack over R) is good. Replacing \mathbf{X} by \mathbf{X}_U , we may assume that $\mathbf{X} \simeq \mathrm{Spec} A$ is affine. Arguing as above, we can reduce to the case where $\mathbf{Y} \simeq \mathrm{Spec} B$ and $\mathbf{Z} \simeq \mathrm{Spec} C$ are also affine. Using 3.32, it suffices to show that the canonical map $\Theta(B) \otimes_{\Theta(A)} \Theta(C) \rightarrow \Theta(B \otimes_A C)$ is an equivalence in $\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}$, which follows from the fact that Θ preserves small colimits (see 2.12). \square

3.45. In what follows, we consider properties of morphisms of derived and spectral Deligne-Mumford stacks. We refer to [8] for those properties in the spectral setting, which can be defined similarly in the derived setting.

Lemma 3.46. *Let $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a morphism between derived Deligne-Mumford stacks over R . Let $n \geq 0$ be an integer. Then:*

- (1) *The morphism f is étale if and only if $\Xi(f)$ is étale (see [8, 1.4.10.1]).*
- (2) *The morphism f is quasi-compact if and only if $\Xi(f)$ is quasi-compact (see [8, 2.3.2.2]).*
- (3) *The morphism f is a closed immersion if and only if $\Xi(f)$ is a closed immersion (see [8, 3.1.0.1]).*
- (4) *The morphism f is quasi-separated if and only if $\Xi(f)$ is quasi-separated (see [8, 3.4.0.1]).*
- (5) *The morphism f is separated if and only if $\Xi(f)$ is separated (see [8, 3.2.0.1]).*
- (6) *The morphism f is locally of finite type if and only if $\Xi(f)$ is locally of finite type (see [8, 4.2.0.1]).*
- (7) *The morphism f is a relative derived Deligne-Mumford n -stack if and only if $\Xi(f)$ is a relative spectral Deligne-Mumford n -stack (see [8, 6.3.1.11]).*
- (8) *The morphism f is proper if and only if $\Xi(f)$ is proper (see [8, 5.1.2.1]).*

Proof. We note that f and $\Xi(f)$ have the same underlying morphisms of ∞ -topoi. Using this together with 3.7, we obtain the assertions (1) through (3). By virtue of 3.44, assertions (4) and (5) follow from (2) and (3), respectively. Using 3.40, assertion (6) follows immediately from [8, 4.2.0.2] and its derived analogue. To prove assertions (7) and (8), note that both the property of being a relative n -stack morphism and the property of being a proper morphism are local for the étale topology; see [8, 6.3.3.6]. Combining this observation with (1), we may assume that \mathbf{Y} is affine. We now prove (7). Using 3.37, we can reduce to the case where $R = \mathbb{Z}$. By virtue of [8, 6.3.1.14] and its derived analogue, it will suffice to show that \mathbf{X} is a derived Deligne-Mumford n -stack if and only if $\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{X})$ is a spectral Deligne-Mumford n -stack (see 3.30). Using [8, 1.6.8.3] and its derived analogue, we may suppose that \mathbf{X} and $\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{X})$ are

0-truncated (see 3.41). In this case, the desired result follows from 3.42. To prove (8), we may also assume that f and $\Xi(f)$ are separated by virtue of (5). Then \mathbf{X} and $\Xi(\mathbf{X})$ are derived and spectral algebraic spaces over R and R° , respectively (see [8, 3.2.1.1]). The desired result now follows from [8, 5.1.2.2] and its derived analogue. \square

3.47. The rest of this section is devoted to comparing morphisms of derived and spectral Deligne-Mumford stacks. We begin by generalizing the monadicity of the functor $\Theta : \mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}$ (see 2.12) to the functor between the ∞ -categories of CAlg_R^Δ -valued and $\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}$ -valued sheaves on an ∞ -topos:

Lemma 3.48. *Let \mathcal{X} be an ∞ -topos. Then the functor $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}(\mathcal{X})$ of 3.3 exhibits $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}(\mathcal{X})$ as monadic over $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}(\mathcal{X})$ in the sense of [9, 4.7.3.4].*

Proof. We first note that the functor $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}$ is conservative (see 3.4) and that the ∞ -category $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}(\mathcal{X})$ is presentable (see [8, 1.3.1.6]). By virtue of the Barr–Beck theorem of [9, 4.7.0.3], it will suffice to show that $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}$ preserves geometric realizations of simplicial objects. Let $\widehat{\Psi}_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}})$ be the functor given by composition with $\Theta : \mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}$. Let $i^\Delta : \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta)$ and $i : \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}})$ denote the inclusion functors, and let L^Δ and L denote their left adjoints, respectively. Note that Θ preserves small limits (see 2.12), so that $\widehat{\Psi}_{\mathcal{X}} \circ i^\Delta \simeq i \circ \Psi_{\mathcal{X}}$. Since $\Theta : \mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}$ is a colimit-preserving functor between compactly generated ∞ -categories, it follows from [8, 1.3.4.4] that the canonical map $L \circ \widehat{\Psi}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow L \circ \widehat{\Psi}_{\mathcal{X}} \circ i^\Delta \circ L^\Delta$ is an equivalence of functors. Consequently, we obtain an equivalence of functors $L \circ \widehat{\Psi}_{\mathcal{X}} \simeq \Psi_{\mathcal{X}} \circ L^\Delta$. We are therefore reduced to proving that the functor $\widehat{\Psi}_{\mathcal{X}}$ preserves geometric realizations of simplicial objects, which follows from the observation that Θ preserves small colimits. \square

3.49. Let us regard the ∞ -category $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}(\mathcal{X}), \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}(\mathcal{X}))$ as equipped with the composition monoidal structure, so that we can view $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}} \circ \Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^L$ as an algebra object of $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}(\mathcal{X}), \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}(\mathcal{X}))$; see 2.9. By virtue of 3.48, we can identify $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}(\mathcal{X})$ with the ∞ -category $\mathrm{LMod}_{\Psi_{\mathcal{X}} \circ \Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^L}(\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}(\mathcal{X}))$ of left $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}} \circ \Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^L$ -modules in $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}(\mathcal{X})$, where we regard $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}(\mathcal{X})$ as left-tensored over $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}(\mathcal{X}), \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}(\mathcal{X}))$.

3.50. We are now ready to give the proof of 1.3, which generalizes 2.28.

Proof of 1.3. It follows from the definitions of $\infty\mathcal{T}\mathrm{op}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}^{\mathrm{sHen}}$ and $\infty\mathcal{T}\mathrm{op}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}^{\mathrm{sHen}}$ of 3.19 that we have a pullback square of spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Map}_{\infty\mathcal{T}\mathrm{op}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}^{\mathrm{sHen}}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \mathrm{Map}_{\infty\mathcal{T}\mathrm{op}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}^{\mathrm{sHen}}}(\Xi(\mathbf{X}), \Xi(\mathbf{Y})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Map}_{\infty\mathcal{T}\mathrm{op}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\eta}} & \mathrm{Map}_{\infty\mathcal{T}\mathrm{op}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}}(\Xi(\mathbf{X}), \Xi(\mathbf{Y})). \end{array}$$

Set $\mathbf{X} = (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ and $\mathbf{Y} = (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}})$. Then the map $\bar{\eta}$ fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Map}_{\infty\mathcal{T}\mathrm{op}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\eta}} & \mathrm{Map}_{\infty\mathcal{T}\mathrm{op}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}}(\Xi(\mathbf{X}), \Xi(\mathbf{Y})) \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \mathrm{Map}_{\infty\mathcal{T}\mathrm{op}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}). & \end{array}$$

Consequently, to prove that the map η is (-1) -truncated, it will suffice to show that $\bar{\eta}$ induces a (-1) -truncated map after passing to the fibers over a geometric morphism $f_* : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$. Unwinding the definitions of $\infty\mathcal{T}\mathrm{op}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}$ and $\infty\mathcal{T}\mathrm{op}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}$ (see 3.9), we are reduced to proving that the canonical map

$$\eta^\flat : \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}(\mathcal{X})}(f_\Delta^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}(\mathcal{X})}(\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}(f_\Delta^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}), \Psi_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}))$$

is (-1) -truncated; see 3.7. This follows by applying 2.25 to $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}} : \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}(\mathcal{X})$ (see 3.48 and 3.49), since the proof of 3.48 guarantees that $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}$ preserves geometric realizations of simplicial objects. Now let $f = (\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{f_*} \mathcal{Y}, \Psi_{\mathcal{X}}(f_\Delta^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}) \xrightarrow{f^\flat} \Psi_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}))$ be a morphism $\Xi(\mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \Xi(\mathbf{Y})$ in $\infty\mathcal{T}\mathrm{op}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}^{\mathrm{shen}}$. We wish to show that f lies in the image of η if and only if there exists an equivalence $\Xi(\Xi^R(f)) \circ \Xi(u_{\mathcal{X}}) \simeq \Xi(u_{\mathcal{Y}}) \circ f$. Note that f lies in the image of η if and only if it lies in the image of $\bar{\eta}$ when regarded as an element of $\mathrm{Map}_{\infty\mathcal{T}\mathrm{op}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}}(\Xi(\mathbf{X}), \Xi(\mathbf{Y}))$, which is equivalent to the requirement that f^\flat lies in the image of η^\flat . Applying 2.27 to $\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}$, the last condition holds if and only if the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Psi_{\mathcal{X}}(\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^L(\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}(f_\Delta^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}))) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}(v_{f_\Delta^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}})} & \Psi_{\mathcal{X}}(f_\Delta^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}) \\ \downarrow \Psi_{\mathcal{X}}(\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^L(f^\flat)) & & \downarrow f^\flat \\ \Psi_{\mathcal{X}}(\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}^L(\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}))) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{\mathcal{X}}(v_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}})} & \Psi_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) \end{array}$$

commutes, where v is the counit map for the adjunction of 3.3. The desired result now follows from the observation that the commutativity of the above diagram is equivalent to the existence of an equivalence $\Xi(\Xi^R(f)) \circ \Xi(u_{\mathcal{X}}) \simeq \Xi(u_{\mathcal{Y}}) \circ f$. \square

4. APPLICATION: TANNAKA DUALITY IN THE DERIVED SETTING

4.1. Let $X : \mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ be a functor. We can associate to X an ∞ -category $\mathrm{QCoh}(X)$ which we refer to as the ∞ -category of quasi-coherent sheaves on X ; see [8, 6.2.2.1]. An object $F \in \mathrm{QCoh}(X)$ can be described informally as follows: it is a rule which assigns to each pair $(A \in \mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}, \eta \in X(A))$ an A -module F_η , depending functorially on A in the following sense: if $A \rightarrow A'$ is a map in $\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}$ carrying η to $\eta' \in X(A')$, then we have a canonical equivalence of A' -modules $F_{\eta'} \simeq F_\eta \otimes_A A'$ (see [8, 6.2.2.7]). We will regard $\mathrm{QCoh}(X)$ as equipped with the symmetric monoidal structure of [8, 6.2.6], which can be described more informally as follows: $F \otimes G$ assigns the tensor product of A -modules $F_\eta \otimes_A G_{\eta'}$ to each $\eta \in X(A)$.

Notation 4.2. Let \mathbf{X} be a spectral Deligne-Mumford stack over R° . We will let $\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbf{X})$ denote the ∞ -category of quasi-coherent sheaves on the functor $X : \mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ represented

by \mathbf{X} (given by the formula $X(A) = \text{Map}_{\text{SpDM}_R}(\text{Spec } A, \mathbf{X})$) and refer to it as the ∞ -category of quasi-coherent sheaves on \mathbf{X} .

Definition 4.3. Let $X : \text{CAlg}_R^\Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ be a functor. We let $\text{QCoh}(X)$ denote the ∞ -category of quasi-coherent sheaves on the left Kan extension of X along $\Theta : \text{CAlg}_R^\Delta \rightarrow \text{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\text{cn}}$ in the sense of [7, 4.3.3.2]; see 4.1. We will refer to $\text{QCoh}(X)$ as the ∞ -category of quasi-coherent sheaves on X . Let \mathbf{X} be a derived Deligne-Mumford stack over R and let $X : \text{CAlg}_R^\Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ be the functor represented by \mathbf{X} , given by the formula $X(A) = \text{Map}_{\text{DerDM}_R}(\text{Spec } A, \mathbf{X})$. We let $\text{QCoh}(\mathbf{X})$ denote the ∞ -category of quasi-coherent sheaves on \mathbf{X} and refer to it as the ∞ -category of quasi-coherent sheaves on \mathbf{X} .

Remark 4.4. Let \mathbf{X} be a derived Deligne-Mumford stack over R . Arguing as in [2, 5.4], we see that the canonical map $\text{QCoh}(\Xi(\mathbf{X})) \rightarrow \text{QCoh}(\mathbf{X})$ is an equivalence of ∞ -categories. In particular, if $\mathbf{X} = \text{Spec } A$ is an affine derived Deligne-Mumford stack over R , then $\text{QCoh}(\mathbf{X})$ can be identified with the ∞ -category Mod_{A° of A° -module spectra (see 2.14).

Remark 4.5. In the situation of 3.34, the canonical map $\text{QCoh}(\mathbf{Y}) \rightarrow \lim \text{Mod}_{\Theta(\Theta^L(B_\alpha))}$ is an equivalence of ∞ -categories, using the argument of [2, 5.4] again. Combining this observation with 2.18, we can identify $\text{QCoh}(\mathbf{Y})$ with the limit of the ∞ -categories of modules over the connective \mathbb{E}_∞ -rings $\Theta(\text{LSym}_R^*(G(B_\alpha))) \otimes_{\text{Sym}_{R^\circ}^*(G(B_\alpha))} B_\alpha$.

4.6. Let \mathbf{X} be a derived Deligne-Mumford stack over R . Note that the structure morphism $\mathbf{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$ induces a symmetric monoidal functor $\text{Mod}_{R^\circ} \simeq \text{QCoh}(\text{Spec } R) \rightarrow \text{QCoh}(\mathbf{X})$; see 4.3. Using this, we will regard the ∞ -category $\text{QCoh}(\mathbf{X})$ as a stable R° -linear ∞ -category in the sense of [8, D.1.5.1]; see also [8, 6.2.3.4].

4.7. Tannaka duality for spectral algebraic spaces of [8, 9.6.0.1] shows that a quasi-compact, quasi-separated spectral algebraic space \mathbf{X} can be recovered from the ∞ -category $\text{QCoh}(\mathbf{X})$ of quasi-coherent sheaves on \mathbf{X} , together with its symmetric monoidal structure (see 4.1). To articulate this more precisely in the context of underlying spectral Deligne-Mumford stacks of derived Deligne-Mumford stacks (see 3.36), let \mathbf{X} be a derived Deligne-Mumford stack over R and let \mathbf{Y} be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated derived algebraic space over R . Let $\text{LinFun}_{R^\circ}^\otimes(\text{QCoh}(\Xi(\mathbf{Y})), \text{QCoh}(\Xi(\mathbf{X})))$ denote the ∞ -category of R° -linear symmetric monoidal functors from $\text{QCoh}(\Xi(\mathbf{Y}))$ to $\text{QCoh}(\Xi(\mathbf{X}))$; see [8, D.1.5.3]. Then [8, 9.6.0.1] guarantees that the construction $(f : \Xi(\mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \Xi(\mathbf{Y})) \mapsto (f^* : \text{QCoh}(\Xi(\mathbf{Y})) \rightarrow \text{QCoh}(\Xi(\mathbf{X})))$ determines a fully faithful embedding

$$\text{Map}_{\text{SpDM}_{R^\circ}}(\Xi(\mathbf{X}), \Xi(\mathbf{Y})) \rightarrow \text{LinFun}_{R^\circ}^\otimes(\text{QCoh}(\Xi(\mathbf{Y})), \text{QCoh}(\Xi(\mathbf{X}))),$$

whose essential image is spanned by those R° -linear symmetric monoidal functors which preserve small colimits.

Remark 4.8. Using the equivalences $\text{QCoh}(\Xi(\mathbf{X})) \simeq \text{QCoh}(\mathbf{X})$ and $\text{QCoh}(\Xi(\mathbf{Y})) \simeq \text{QCoh}(\mathbf{Y})$ of 4.4, we deduce that giving a morphism $\Xi(\mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \Xi(\mathbf{Y})$ of spectral Deligne-Mumford stacks over R° is equivalent to giving a colimit-preserving R° -linear symmetric monoidal functor $\text{QCoh}(\mathbf{Y}) \rightarrow \text{QCoh}(\mathbf{X})$.

4.9. We are now ready to prove 1.7:

Proof of 1.7. Our assumption on \mathbf{Y} guarantees that $\Xi(\mathbf{Y})$ is a quasi-compact and quasi-separated spectral algebraic space over R° (see 3.46). Note that the property of being a

quasi-compact morphism depends only on the underlying map of ∞ -topoi in both the derived and spectral settings. Combining this observation with the fact that Ξ^R preserves finite limits, we see that $\Xi^R(\Xi(\mathcal{Y}))$ is a quasi-compact and quasi-separated derived Deligne-Mumford stack over R° . We claim that $\Xi^R(\Xi(\mathcal{Y}))$ is a derived algebraic space over R . To prove this, we may assume that $R = \mathbb{Z}$ by virtue of 3.37, in which case the desired assertion follows from the adjunction of 3.35. We conclude that $\Xi^R(\Xi(\mathcal{Y}))$ is a quasi-compact and quasi-separated derived algebraic space over R . The desired result now follows immediately from 1.3 and 4.7. \square

4.10. Let \mathcal{X} be a derived Deligne-Mumford stack over R . Let $\text{Perf}(\mathcal{X}) \subseteq \text{QCoh}(\mathcal{X})$ denote the full subcategory spanned by the dualizable objects of the symmetric monoidal ∞ -category $\text{QCoh}(\mathcal{X})$; see 4.1. Let $\text{Perf}(R^\circ) = \text{Perf}(\text{Spec } R)$. Note that the pullback functor $\text{Mod}_{R^\circ} \rightarrow \text{QCoh}(\mathcal{X})$ associated to the structure morphism $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$ restricts to a functor $\text{Perf}(R^\circ) \rightarrow \text{Perf}(\mathcal{X})$, so that we can regard $\text{Perf}(\mathcal{X})$ as tensored over $\text{Perf}(R^\circ)$; see [9, 4.2.1.19]. Let \mathcal{Y} be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated derived algebraic space over R . Let $\text{LinFun}_{\text{Perf}(R^\circ)}^\otimes(\text{Perf}(\Xi(\mathcal{Y})), \text{Perf}(\Xi(\mathcal{X})))$ denote the ∞ -category of $\text{Perf}(R^\circ)$ -linear symmetric monoidal functors from $\text{Perf}(\Xi(\mathcal{Y}))$ to $\text{Perf}(\Xi(\mathcal{X}))$. Then the second version of Tannaka duality for spectral algebraic spaces of [8, 9.6.4.2] shows that the construction $(f : \Xi(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \Xi(\mathcal{Y})) \mapsto (f^* : \text{Perf}(\Xi(\mathcal{Y})) \rightarrow \text{Perf}(\Xi(\mathcal{X})))$ determines a fully faithful embedding

$$\text{Map}_{\text{SpDM}_{R^\circ}}(\Xi(\mathcal{X}), \Xi(\mathcal{Y})) \rightarrow \text{LinFun}_{\text{Perf}(R^\circ)}^\otimes(\text{Perf}(\Xi(\mathcal{Y})), \text{Perf}(\Xi(\mathcal{X}))),$$

whose essential image is spanned by those $\text{Perf}(R^\circ)$ -linear symmetric monoidal functors which are exact.

Remark 4.11. Arguing as in 4.8 (using Perf in place of QCoh), we see that giving a morphism $\Xi(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \Xi(\mathcal{Y})$ of spectral Deligne-Mumford stacks over R° is equivalent to giving an exact $\text{Perf}(R^\circ)$ -linear symmetric monoidal functor $\text{Perf}(\mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \text{Perf}(\mathcal{X})$.

4.12. Our second version of Tannaka duality for derived algebraic spaces, which is an analogue of [8, 9.6.4.2] in the derived setting, can be proven in exactly the same way as 1.7 (using 4.10 in place of 4.7):

Theorem 4.13. *Let \mathcal{X} be a derived Deligne-Mumford stack over R and let \mathcal{Y} be a quasi-compact and quasi-separated derived algebraic space over R . Then the canonical map*

$$\text{Map}_{\text{DerDM}_R}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \text{LinFun}_{\text{Perf}(R^\circ)}^\otimes(\text{Perf}(\mathcal{Y}), \text{Perf}(\mathcal{X}))$$

is a fully faithful embedding, whose essential image is spanned by those exact $\text{Perf}(R^\circ)$ -linear symmetric monoidal functors $F : \text{Perf}(\mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \text{Perf}(\mathcal{X})$ for which the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Perf}(\Xi^R(\Xi(\mathcal{Y}))) & \xrightarrow{u_{\mathcal{Y}}^*} & \text{Perf}(\mathcal{Y}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow F \\ \text{Perf}(\Xi^R(\Xi(\mathcal{X}))) & \xrightarrow{u_{\mathcal{X}}^*} & \text{Perf}(\mathcal{X}) \end{array}$$

commutes, where u is the unit map for the adjunction of 3.20 and the left vertical map is induced by a morphism $\Xi(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \Xi(\mathcal{Y})$ in SpDM_R determined by F (see 4.11).

5. APPLICATION: FORMAL GAGA IN THE DERIVED SETTING

5.1. Our goal in this section is to establish the formal GAGA theorem of [8, 8.5.3.1] in the derived setting. To this end, we start by reviewing some terminology.

Definition 5.2. Let A be a simplicial commutative ring. We will say that A is an *adic simplicial commutative ring* if the underlying commutative ring $\pi_0 A$ is an adic ring, in the sense that $\pi_0 A$ is equipped with the I -adic topology for some finitely generated ideal $I \subseteq \pi_0 A$ (that is, the topology whose basis of open sets are given by the subsets of the form $a + I^n$, where $a \in A$ and $n \geq 0$ is an integer; see [8, 8.1.1.1]). We will say that $I \subseteq \pi_0 A$ is an *ideal of definition*.

Remark 5.3. Let A be an adic simplicial commutative R -algebra. Since $\pi_0 \Theta(A) \simeq \pi_0 A$, we can regard $\Theta(A)$ as an adic \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra over R° with the same ideal of definition as A , in the sense of [8, 8.1.1.5].

Notation 5.4. Let $I \subseteq \pi_0 R$ be a finitely generated ideal. We let $\text{Mod}_{R^\circ}^{\text{Cpl}(I)} \subseteq \text{Mod}_{R^\circ}$ denote the full subcategory spanned by the I -complete R° -modules in the sense of [8, 7.3.1.1]. We will regard $\text{Mod}_{R^\circ}^{\text{Cpl}(I)}$ as equipped with the symmetric monoidal structure of [8, 7.3.5.6]. We let $(\text{Mod}_{R^\circ}^{\text{Cpl}(I)})_{\geq 0}$ denote the ∞ -category $\text{Mod}_{R^\circ}^{\text{Cpl}(I)} \cap \text{Mod}_{R^\circ}^{\text{cn}}$; see 2.14.

5.5. By virtue of [8, 7.3.1.5], the inclusion functor $\text{Mod}_{R^\circ}^{\text{Cpl}(I)} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{R^\circ}$ admits a left adjoint. Note that this left adjoint functor carries $\text{Mod}_{R^\circ}^{\text{cn}}$ into $(\text{Mod}_{R^\circ}^{\text{Cpl}(I)})_{\geq 0}$ and is symmetric monoidal (see [8, 7.3.4.4] and [8, 7.3.5.6]). Consequently, $(\text{Mod}_{R^\circ}^{\text{Cpl}(I)})_{\geq 0}$ inherits a symmetric monoidal structure from $\text{Mod}_{R^\circ}^{\text{Cpl}(I)}$ and the functor $\text{Mod}_{R^\circ}^{\text{cn}} \rightarrow (\text{Mod}_{R^\circ}^{\text{Cpl}(I)})_{\geq 0}$ which is a left adjoint to the inclusion functor $(\text{Mod}_{R^\circ}^{\text{Cpl}(I)})_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{R^\circ}^{\text{cn}}$ is symmetric monoidal.

Definition 5.6. Let I be a finitely generated ideal of $\pi_0 R$. We let $\text{CAlg}_R^{\Delta, \text{Cpl}(I)} \subseteq \text{CAlg}_R^\Delta$ and $(\text{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\text{Cpl}(I)})_{\geq 0} \subseteq \text{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\text{cn}}$ denote the full subcategories spanned by those simplicial commutative R -algebras A and connective \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebras B over R° whose underlying R° -modules $G(\Theta(A))$ and $G(B)$ are I -complete in the sense of [8, 7.3.1.1], respectively, where $G : \text{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\text{cn}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{R^\circ}^{\text{cn}}$ denotes the forgetful functor. We will refer to the objects of $\text{CAlg}_R^{\Delta, \text{Cpl}(I)}$ as *I -complete simplicial commutative R -algebras*.

Notation 5.7. We let $(\text{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\text{Cpl}(I)})_{\geq 0}$ denote the ∞ -category $\text{CAlg}((\text{Mod}_{R^\circ}^{\text{Cpl}(I)})_{\geq 0})$ and refer to the objects of $(\text{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\text{Cpl}(I)})_{\geq 0}$ as *I -complete connective \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebras over R°* . Since the functor $\text{Mod}_{R^\circ}^{\text{cn}} \rightarrow (\text{Mod}_{R^\circ}^{\text{Cpl}(I)})_{\geq 0}$ of 5.5 is symmetric monoidal, it determines a functor $\text{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\text{cn}} \rightarrow (\text{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\text{Cpl}(I)})_{\geq 0}$ which is a left adjoint to the inclusion functor; we will refer to this left adjoint as the *I -completion functor*. We will denote the image of $A \in \text{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\text{cn}}$ under this left adjoint by A_I^\wedge .

5.8. The theory of completions in the derived setting, based on the theory of completions in the spectral setting of [8], appears in [4]. In what follows, we will give a functorial definition of completions of simplicial commutative rings and show that it coincides with the definition appearing in [4, 2.1.7]; see 5.16.

5.9. Let $\mathcal{P}\text{r}^{\text{R}}$ denote the ∞ -category whose objects are presentable ∞ -categories and whose morphisms are those functors which are accessible and preserve small limits; see [7, 5.5.3.1].

Let $I \subseteq \pi_0 R$ be a finitely generated ideal. According to [7, 5.5.3.18], the ∞ -category $\mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta, \mathrm{Cpl}(I)}$ fits into a pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta, \mathrm{Cpl}(I)} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow G \circ \Theta \\ (\mathrm{Mod}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{Cpl}(I)})_{\geq 0} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Mod}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}} \end{array}$$

in the ∞ -category $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{R}}$. It follows from the adjoint functor theorem of [7, 5.5.2.9] that the inclusion functor $\mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta, \mathrm{Cpl}(I)} \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta}$ admits a left adjoint.

Definition 5.10. Let $I \subseteq \pi_0 R$ be a finitely generated ideal. We will refer to the left adjoint to the inclusion functor $\mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta, \mathrm{Cpl}(I)} \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta}$ as the I -completion functor and denote the image of $A \in \mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta}$ under this left adjoint by A_I^\wedge .

5.11. The composition of the I -completion functor $\mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta, \mathrm{Cpl}(I)} \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta}$ with $\Theta : \mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta} \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}$ factors through the inclusion functor $\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}, \mathrm{Cpl}(I)} \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}$, so that we have a pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta, \mathrm{Cpl}(I)} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \Theta \\ \mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}, \mathrm{Cpl}(I)} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}} \end{array}$$

in the ∞ -category $\mathcal{P}\mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{R}}$.

5.12. To understand the I -completion functor $\mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta, \mathrm{Cpl}(I)} \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta}$ of 5.10, we will need the following analogue of [8, 8.1.2.2] in the derived setting, which can be proven in the same way as [8, 8.1.2.2] (using derived symmetric algebras in place of free \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebras; see 2.15):

Lemma 5.13. *Let R be an adic simplicial commutative ring with a finitely generated ideal of definition $I \subseteq \pi_0 R$. Then there exists a tower $\cdots R_3 \rightarrow R_2 \rightarrow R_1$ in CAlg_R^{Δ} satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) *Each of the induced maps $\pi_0 R_{i+1} \rightarrow \pi_0 R_i$ is a surjection with nilpotent kernel.*
- (2) *For every simplicial commutative ring A , the canonical map $\mathrm{colim} \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{CAlg}^\Delta}(R_n, A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{CAlg}^\Delta}(R, A)$ induces a homotopy equivalence from $\mathrm{colim} \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{CAlg}^\Delta}(R_n, A)$ to the summand of $\mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{CAlg}^\Delta}(R, A)$ spanned by those maps $R \rightarrow A$ which annihilate some power of the ideal I .*
- (3) *Each of the simplicial commutative rings R_n is almost perfect when viewed as an R° -module.*

Remark 5.14. Suppose we are given objects $A \in \mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta}$ and $B \in \mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}$. Then giving a map $f : \Theta(A) \rightarrow B$ in $\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}$ is equivalent to giving a map $g : A \rightarrow \Theta^R(B)$ in CAlg_R^{Δ} . Let $I \subseteq \pi_0 A$ be an ideal. Since the counit map $\Theta(\Theta^R(B)) \rightarrow B$ induces an injection on connected components by virtue of 2.20, it follows that f annihilates some power of the ideal I if and only if g annihilates some power of the ideal of I . Using this observation, we immediately deduce that in the situation of 5.13, the induced tower $\{\Theta(R_n)\}$ of connective \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebras over R° satisfies the requirements of [8, 8.1.2.2].

Lemma 5.15. *In the situation of 5.13, the functor $F : \mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta, \mathrm{Cpl}(I)} \rightarrow \lim \mathrm{CAlg}_{R_n}^{\Delta}$, given on objects by the formula $A \mapsto \{A \otimes_R R_n\}$, is an equivalence of ∞ -categories.*

Proof. Note that the functor F admits a right adjoint $G : \lim \mathrm{CAlg}_{R_n}^{\Delta} \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta, \mathrm{Cpl}(I)}$, given on objects by $\{A_n\} \mapsto \lim A_n$. It will suffice to show that F and G are mutually inverse equivalences. Since the forgetful functors $\mathrm{CAlg}_R^{\Delta, \mathrm{Cpl}(I)} \rightarrow (\mathrm{Mod}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{Cpl}(I)})_{\geq 0}$ and $\mathrm{CAlg}_{R_n}^{\Delta} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{R_n^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}$ are conservative and preserve small limits, we are reduced to proving that the adjoint functors $(\mathrm{Mod}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{Cpl}(I)})_{\geq 0} \rightleftarrows \lim \mathrm{Mod}_{R_n^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}$ are mutually inverse equivalences, where the left and right adjoints are given on objects by $M \mapsto \{M \otimes_{R^\circ} R_n^\circ\}$ and $\{M_n\} \mapsto \lim M_n$, respectively. This follows from the proof of [8, 8.3.4.4], since the tower $\{\Theta(R_n)\}$ in $\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}$ satisfies the requirements of [8, 8.1.2.2] (see 5.14). \square

Proposition 5.16. *The diagram appearing in 5.11 is left adjointable. In other words, for every simplicial commutative R -algebra A , the canonical map $(\Theta(A))_I^\wedge \rightarrow \Theta(A_I^\wedge)$ is an equivalence in $\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{Cpl}(I)}$.*

Proof. Let $\{R_n\}_{n>0}$ be a tower as in 5.13. It then follows from 5.15 that we can identify A_I^\wedge with $\lim A \otimes_R R_n$. According to 5.14, the induced tower $\{\Theta(R_n)\}$ in $\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}$ satisfies the requirements of [8, 8.1.2.2], so that we can identify $(\Theta(A))_I^\wedge$ with $\lim \Theta(A) \otimes_{R^\circ} R_n^\circ$; see [8, 8.1.2.3]. The desired result now follows from the fact that the functor Θ preserves small limits and tensor products; see 2.12. \square

Remark 5.17. Let A be an adic simplicial commutative R -algebra with a finitely generated ideal of definition $I \subseteq \pi_0 A$. It follows from 5.16 and 2.12 (which guarantees that Θ is conservative) that A is an I -complete simplicial commutative R -algebra if and only if $\Theta(A)$ is an I -complete connective \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra over R° (see 5.6 and 5.7). In particular, R is I -complete if and only if the underlying connective \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring R° is I -complete.

5.18. We now define the *formal spectrum* of a simplicial commutative ring by proceeding as in [8, 8.1.1.10].

Definition 5.19. Let A be an adic simplicial commutative ring and let $I \subseteq \pi_0 A$ be a finitely generated ideal of definition; see 5.2. Let $U : \mathrm{CAlg}_A^{\Delta, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ denote the sheaf with respect to the étale topology of 3.21 given by the formula

$$U(B) = \begin{cases} \Delta^0 & \text{if } B \otimes_A (\pi_0 A)/I \simeq 0 \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\mathrm{Shv}_A^{\Delta, \mathrm{ad}} \subseteq \mathrm{Shv}((\mathrm{CAlg}_A^{\Delta, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}})^{\mathrm{op}})$ denote the *closed subtopos complementary to U* in the sense of [7, 7.3.2.6]: that is, it is the full subcategory spanned by those objects $F \in \mathrm{Shv}((\mathrm{CAlg}_A^{\Delta, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}})^{\mathrm{op}})$ for which $F(B)$ is contractible whenever the image of I in $\pi_0 B$ generates the unit ideal. We let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spf} A}$ denote the composition of the forgetful functor $\mathrm{CAlg}_A^{\Delta, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}} \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}_A^{\Delta}$ with the I -completion functor $\mathrm{CAlg}_A^{\Delta} \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}_A^{\Delta, \mathrm{Cpl}(I)} \subseteq \mathrm{CAlg}_A^{\Delta}$ of 5.10.

5.20. Since the forgetful functor $\mathrm{CAlg}_A^{\Delta, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}} \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}_A^{\Delta}$ is a CAlg_A^{Δ} -valued sheaf with respect to the étale topology on $(\mathrm{CAlg}_A^{\Delta, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}})^{\mathrm{op}}$ and the I -completion functor of 5.10 preserves small limits, we can regard $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spf} A}$ as a CAlg_A^{Δ} -valued sheaf on the ∞ -topos $\mathrm{Shv}((\mathrm{CAlg}_A^{\Delta, \acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}})^{\mathrm{op}})$.

Moreover, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spf} A}$ can be regarded as a CAlg_A^Δ -valued sheaf on the closed subtopos $\mathrm{Shv}_A^{\Delta, \mathrm{ad}}$, since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spf} A}(B) = B_I^\wedge \simeq 0$ whenever $I(\pi_0 B) = \pi_0 B$

5.21. We will need the following analogue of [8, 8.1.1.13] in the derived setting:

Lemma 5.22. *Let A be an adic simplicial commutative ring with a finitely generated ideal of definition $I \subseteq \pi_0 A$. Then the CAlg_A^Δ -valued sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spf} A}$ on the ∞ -topos $\mathrm{Shv}_A^{\Delta, \mathrm{ad}}$ is strictly Henselian in the sense of 3.19.*

Proof. Let us regard A° as an adic \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring with the ideal of definition I (see 5.3). We first note that the closed subtopos $\mathrm{Shv}_A^{\Delta, \mathrm{ad}} \subseteq \mathrm{Shv}((\mathrm{CAlg}_A^{\Delta, \acute{e}t})^{\mathrm{op}})$ can be identified with the closed subtopos $\mathrm{Shv}_{A^\circ}^{\mathrm{ad}} \subseteq \mathrm{Shv}((\mathrm{CAlg}_{A^\circ}^{\acute{e}t})^{\mathrm{op}})$ of [8, 8.1.1.8] under the equivalence of ∞ -categories $\mathrm{Shv}((\mathrm{CAlg}_A^{\Delta, \acute{e}t})^{\mathrm{op}}) \simeq \mathrm{Shv}((\mathrm{CAlg}_{A^\circ}^{\acute{e}t})^{\mathrm{op}})$ of 3.24. Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spf} A^\circ}$ be the composition of the forgetful functor $\mathrm{CAlg}_A^{\acute{e}t} \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}_{A^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}$ with the I -completion functor $\mathrm{CAlg}_{A^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}} \rightarrow (\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{Cpl}(I)})_{\geq 0} \subseteq \mathrm{CAlg}_{A^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}$ of 5.7. Using [8, 8.1.1.10], we can regard $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spf} A^\circ}$ as a $\mathrm{CAlg}_{A^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}$ -valued sheaf on $\mathrm{Shv}_{A^\circ}^{\mathrm{ad}}$. Then [8, 8.1.1.13] guarantees that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spf} A^\circ}$ is strictly Henselian. Consequently, to prove that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spf} A}$ is strictly Henselian, it will suffice to show that $\Theta_A \circ \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spf} A}$ can be identified with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spf} A^\circ}$ under the equivalence of ∞ -topos $\mathrm{Shv}_A^{\Delta, \mathrm{ad}} \simeq \mathrm{Shv}_{A^\circ}^{\mathrm{ad}}$; see 3.18. Unwinding the definitions of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spf} A}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spf} A^\circ}$, we are reduced to proving that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{CAlg}_A^\Delta & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{CAlg}_A^{\Delta, \mathrm{Cpl}(I)} \\ \downarrow \Theta_A & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{CAlg}_{A^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{CAlg}_{A^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}, \mathrm{Cpl}(I)} \end{array}$$

commutes, where the horizontal maps are the I -completion functors and the right vertical map is the restriction of Θ_A appearing in 5.11. This follows from 5.16. \square

Notation 5.23. Let A be an adic simplicial commutative ring with a finitely generated ideal of definition $I \subseteq \pi_0 A$. It follows from 5.22 that we can regard the pair $(\mathrm{Shv}_A^{\Delta, \mathrm{ad}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spf} A})$ as an object of $\infty\mathcal{T}\mathrm{op}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_A^\Delta}^{\mathrm{sHen}}$. We will denote this object by $\mathrm{Spf} A$ and refer to it as the *formal spectrum of A* .

Remark 5.24. The inclusion of ∞ -topoi $\mathrm{Shv}_A^{\Delta, \mathrm{ad}} \subseteq \mathrm{Shv}((\mathrm{CAlg}_A^{\Delta, \acute{e}t})^{\mathrm{op}})$ and the I -completion functor of 5.10 determine a morphism $\mathrm{Spf} A \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} A$ in $\infty\mathcal{T}\mathrm{op}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_A^\Delta}^{\mathrm{sHen}}$.

Definition 5.25. Let $\mathfrak{X} = (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{X})$ be an object of the ∞ -category $\infty\mathcal{T}\mathrm{op}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_A^\Delta}$. We will say that \mathfrak{X} is an *affine formal derived Deligne-Mumford stack* if it is equivalent to $\mathrm{Spf} A$ for some adic simplicial commutative ring A . More generally, we will say that \mathfrak{X} is a *formal derived Deligne-Mumford stack* if there exists a collection of objects $U_\alpha \in \mathcal{X}$ for which the map $\coprod U_\alpha \rightarrow *$ is an effective epimorphism, where $*$ $\in \mathcal{X}$ is a final object, and each \mathfrak{X}_{U_α} is an affine formal derived Deligne-Mumford stack (see 3.9).

Notation 5.26. We let $\mathrm{fDerDM}_R \subseteq \infty\mathcal{T}\mathrm{op}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}^{\mathrm{sHen}}$ and $\mathrm{fSpDM}_{R^\circ} \subseteq \infty\mathcal{T}\mathrm{op}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}^{\mathrm{sHen}}$ denote the full subcategories spanned by the formal derived and spectral Deligne-Mumford stacks over $\mathrm{Spec} R$ and $\mathrm{Spec} R^\circ$, respectively (see [8, 8.1.3.1]).

Remark 5.27. Every derived Deligne-Mumford stack can be regarded as a formal derived Deligne-Mumford stack, since we can regard each simplicial commutative ring A as an adic simplicial commutative ring by taking the zero ideal of $\pi_0 A$ as an ideal of definition.

Proposition 5.28. *Let A be an adic simplicial commutative R -algebra with a finitely generated ideal of definition $I \subseteq \pi_0 A$. Then the canonical map $\Xi(\mathrm{Spf} A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spf} \Theta(A)$ is an equivalence in $\infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{sHen}}}$, where we regard $\Theta(A)$ as an adic \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra over R° with the ideal of definition I (see 5.3). Moreover, if \mathfrak{X} is a formal derived Deligne-Mumford stack over R , then $\Xi(\mathfrak{X})$ is a formal spectral Deligne-Mumford stack over R° .*

Proof. The first assertion is immediate from the proof of 5.22. The second follows from the first assertion, since the condition that $\Xi(\mathfrak{X})$ be a formal spectral Deligne-Mumford stack over R° is local on the underlying ∞ -topos of \mathfrak{X} by virtue of the derived analogue of [8, 8.1.3.3] (see also the proof 3.33). \square

Remark 5.29. It follows from 5.28 that the functor $\Xi : \infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}^{\mathrm{sHen}} \rightarrow \infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{cn}}}^{\mathrm{sHen}}$ of 3.20 restricts to a functor $\mathrm{fDerDM}_R \rightarrow \mathrm{fSpDM}_{R^\circ}$.

Remark 5.30. Let A be an adic simplicial commutative R -algebra with a finitely generated ideal of definition $I \subseteq \pi_0 A$. Let us regard A_I^\wedge as an adic simplicial commutative R -algebra with the ideal of definition $I(\pi_0 A_I^\wedge)$. Then the induced map $\mathrm{Spf} A_I^\wedge \rightarrow \mathrm{Spf} A$ is an equivalence in fDerDM_R by virtue of [8, 8.1.2.4] and 5.16 (see also 3.12 and 5.28).

Remark 5.31. Let A be an adic simplicial commutative R -algebra and let $I \subseteq \pi_0 A$ be a finitely generated ideal of definition. Let $\{A_n\}$ be a tower of simplicial commutative A -algebras which satisfies the requirements of 5.13, so that the tower $\{\Theta(A_n)\}$ of connective \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebras over R° satisfies the requirements of [8, 8.1.2.2] by virtue of 5.14. According to [8, 8.1.2.1], the canonical map $\mathrm{colim} \mathrm{Spec} \Theta(A_n) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spf} \Theta(A)$ is an equivalence in $\infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_{R^\circ}^{\mathrm{sHen}}}$. Since Ξ is conservative (see 3.12), it follows from 5.28 that the canonical map $\mathrm{colim} \mathrm{Spec} A_n \rightarrow \mathrm{Spf} A$ is an equivalence in $\infty\mathrm{Top}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_R^\Delta}^{\mathrm{sHen}}$.

Definition 5.32. Let \mathfrak{X} be a formal derived Deligne-Mumford stack over R . Then $\Xi(\mathfrak{X})$ is a formal spectral Deligne-Mumford stack over R° by virtue of 5.28. We will refer to $\Xi(\mathfrak{X})$ as the *underlying formal spectral Deligne-Mumford stack of \mathfrak{X} over R°* .

5.33. According to [8, 8.1.7.1] and its derived analogue, both the ∞ -categories fDerDM_R and fSpDM_{R° admit finite limits. Moreover, we have the following result:

Lemma 5.34. *The functor $\Xi : \mathrm{fDerDM}_R \rightarrow \mathrm{fSpDM}_{R^\circ}$ preserves finite limits.*

Proof. The functor Ξ carries the final object $\mathrm{Spec} R \in \mathrm{fDerDM}_R$ to the final object $\mathrm{Spec} R^\circ \in \mathrm{fSpDM}_{R^\circ}$; see 5.27. It will therefore suffice to show that Ξ preserves fiber products. Arguing as in the proof of 3.44, we are reduced to proving that the canonical map $\delta : \Xi(\mathrm{Spf} A_2 \times_{\mathrm{Spf} A_1} \mathrm{Spf} A_3) \rightarrow \Xi(\mathrm{Spf} A_2) \times_{\Xi(\mathrm{Spf} A_1)} \Xi(\mathrm{Spf} A_3)$ is an equivalence, where each A_i is an adic simplicial commutative R -algebra with a finitely generated ideal of definition $I_i \subseteq \pi_0 A_i$. Using 5.30, we can replace A_2 and A_3 by their completions and thereby reduce to the case where A_2 and A_3 are complete. In this case, the analogue of [8, 8.1.5.4] in the derived setting guarantees that for each $i \in \{2, 3\}$, the morphism $\mathrm{Spf} A_i \rightarrow \mathrm{Spf} A_1$ is induced by a map of adic simplicial commutative R -algebras $A_1 \rightarrow A_i$ (that is, a map of simplicial commutative R -algebras which annihilates some power of the ideal I_1). Let us regard $A_2 \otimes_{A_1} A_3$ as an adic simplicial commutative R -algebra by equipping $\pi_0(A_2 \otimes_{A_1} A_3)$ with the I -adic topology, where I is the ideal of $\pi_0(A_2 \otimes_{A_1} A_3)$ generated by the images of I_2 and I_3 . It then follows from [8, 8.1.7.3] and its derived analogue that the domain and codomain of δ can be identified with

$\Xi(\mathrm{Spf} A_2 \otimes_{A_1} A_3)$ and $\mathrm{Spf} \Theta(A_2) \otimes_{\Theta(A_1)} \Theta(A_3)$, respectively. The desired result now follows from 5.28 and 2.12 (which guarantees that Θ preserves tensor products). \square

5.35. We now study the formal GAGA theorem in the setting of derived algebraic geometry. We begin by introducing the notion of *formal completions* in the derived setting:

Definition 5.36. Let R be an adic simplicial commutative ring with a finitely generated ideal of definition $I \subseteq \pi_0 R$. Let \mathbf{X} be a derived Deligne-Mumford stack over R . We will denote by \mathbf{X}_I^\wedge the fiber product $\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathrm{Spf} R} \mathrm{Spf} R$, formed in the ∞ -category fDerDM (see 5.27). We will refer to \mathbf{X}_I^\wedge as the *formal completion of \mathbf{X} along the vanishing locus of I* .

Remark 5.37. According to [8, 8.1.6.1], the *formal completion $\Xi(\mathbf{X})_I^\wedge$ of the spectral Deligne-Mumford stack $\Xi(\mathbf{X})$ along the vanishing locus of I* (regarded as an ideal of $\pi_0 R^\circ$) is defined to be the fiber product $\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathrm{Spf} R^\circ} \mathrm{Spf} R^\circ$ formed in the ∞ -category fSpDM ; here we regard R° as an adic \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring with the ideal of definition I by virtue of 5.3. Note that 5.34 supplies a canonical equivalence $\Xi(\mathbf{X}_I^\wedge) \rightarrow \Xi(\mathbf{X})_I^\wedge$ in fSpDM .

5.38. Let us recall the formal GAGA in the context of underlying formal spectral Deligne-Mumford stacks of formal derived Deligne-Mumford stacks (see 5.32). To this end, let R be a complete adic simplicial commutative ring with a finitely generated ideal of definition $I \subseteq \pi_0 R$. Let \mathbf{X} be a derived algebraic space which is proper and locally almost of finite presentation over R and let \mathbf{X}_I^\wedge denote the formal completion of \mathbf{X} along the vanishing locus of I (see 5.36). Let \mathbf{Y} be a quasi-separated derived algebraic space. Note that $\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{X})$ is a spectral algebraic space which is proper and locally almost of finite presentation over R° and $\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{Y})$ is a quasi-separated spectral algebraic space over \mathbb{Z} ; see 3.46 and [8, p.1683]. Moreover, we can regard R° as a complete adic \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring with the ideal of definition I (regarded as an ideal of $\pi_0 R^\circ$) by virtue of 5.17. According to [8, 8.5.3.1], the restriction map

$$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{SpDM}_{\mathbb{Z}}}(\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{X}), \Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{Y})) \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{fSpDM}_{\mathbb{Z}}}(\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{X})_I^\wedge, \Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{Y}))$$

is a homotopy equivalence.

5.39. To prove our main result of this section, we will need the following:

Definition 5.40. Let \mathfrak{X} be a formal derived Deligne-Mumford stack. Let $\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X})$ denote the ∞ -category of quasi-coherent sheaves on the underlying formal spectral Deligne-Mumford stack $\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{X})$ of 5.32, in the sense of [8, 8.2.4.7]. We let $\mathrm{Perf}(\mathfrak{X}) \subseteq \mathrm{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X})$ denote the full subcategory spanned by those quasi-coherent sheaves which are perfect in the sense of [8, 8.3.5.1].

5.41. We are now ready to give a proof of 1.11:

Proof of 1.11. Let us write \mathbf{Y} as a filtered colimit of quasi-compact open substacks \mathbf{Y}_α . Then we can identify θ with the filtered colimit of the maps $\mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{DerDM}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}_\alpha) \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{fDerDM}}(\mathbf{X}_I^\wedge, \mathbf{Y}_\alpha)$, since \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{X}_I^\wedge are quasi-compact (that is, their underlying ∞ -topoi are quasi-compact in the sense of [8, A.2.0.12]). We may therefore suppose that \mathbf{Y} is quasi-compact. We have a commutative diagram of spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{DerDM}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{fDerDM}}(\mathbf{X}_I^\wedge, \mathbf{Y}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{SpDM}_{\mathbb{Z}}}(\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{X}), \Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{Y})) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{fSpDM}_{\mathbb{Z}}}(\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{X})_I^\wedge, \Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{Y})), \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are (-1) -truncated by virtue of 1.3. Since $\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{X}_I^\wedge)$ can be identified with $\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{X})_I^\wedge$ (see 5.37), it follows from 5.38 that the bottom horizontal map is an equivalence, so that the map θ is (-1) -truncated. To complete the proof, it will suffice to show that θ is surjective on connected components. To this end, suppose we are given a morphism $F : \mathbf{X}_I^\wedge \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ of formal derived Deligne-Mumford stacks. Then 5.38 supplies a morphism $f : \Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{Y})$ of spectral Deligne-Mumford stacks over \mathbb{Z} whose composition with the canonical map $\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{X}_I^\wedge) \rightarrow \Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{X})$ is equivalent to $\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(F)$. Since the right vertical map in the above diagram is (-1) -truncated, it will suffice to show that f can be lifted to a morphism $\mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ of derived Deligne-Mumford stacks. By virtue of 4.13 (note that \mathbf{Y} is quasi-compact), we are reduced to proving that the diagram of $\text{Perf}(\mathbb{Z})$ -linear symmetric monoidal functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Perf}(\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}^R(\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{Y}))) & \xrightarrow{u_{\mathbf{Y}}^*} & \text{Perf}(\mathbf{Y}) \\ \downarrow \Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}^R(f)^* & & \downarrow f^* \\ \text{Perf}(\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}^R(\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{X}))) & \xrightarrow{u_{\mathbf{X}}^*} & \text{Perf}(\mathbf{X}) \end{array}$$

commutes. Using the equivalence $\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{X}_I^\wedge) \rightarrow \Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{X})_I^\wedge$ of 5.37 again, [8, 8.5.0.5] supplies a canonical equivalence $\text{Perf}(\mathbf{X}) \simeq \text{Perf}(\mathbf{X}_I^\wedge)$. We are therefore reduced to showing that the diagram of $\text{Perf}(\mathbb{Z})$ -linear symmetric monoidal functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Perf}(\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}^R(\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{Y}))) & \xrightarrow{u_{\mathbf{Y}}^*} & \text{Perf}(\mathbf{Y}) \\ \downarrow (\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}^R(\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(F)))^* & & \downarrow F^* \\ \text{Perf}(\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}^R(\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{X}_I^\wedge))) & \xrightarrow{u_{\mathbf{X}_I^\wedge}^*} & \text{Perf}(\mathbf{X}_I^\wedge) \end{array}$$

commutes, which follows immediately from the observation that the vertical maps are induced by the morphism $F : \mathbf{X}_I^\wedge \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ in fDerDM . \square

5.42. We have the following immediate consequences of 1.11, which are analogues of [8, 8.5.3.3] and [8, 8.5.3.4] in the derived setting:

Corollary 5.43. *Let R be a complete adic simplicial commutative ring with a finitely generated ideal of definition $I \subseteq \pi_0 R$. Let \mathbf{X} be a derived algebraic space which is proper and locally almost of finite presentation over R . Let \mathbf{Y} be a quasi-separated derived algebraic space over R . Then the canonical map $\text{Map}_{\text{DerDM}_R}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\text{fDerDM}/\text{Spf } R}(\mathbf{X}_I^\wedge, \mathbf{Y}_I^\wedge)$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Corollary 5.44. *Let R be a complete simplicial commutative ring with a finitely generated ideal of definition $I \subseteq \pi_0 R$. Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \text{DerDM}_R$ be the full subcategory spanned by those derived algebraic spaces which are proper and locally almost of finite presentation over R . Then the construction $\mathbf{X} \mapsto \mathbf{X} \times_{\text{Spec } R} \text{Spf } R$ determines a fully faithful functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{fDerDM}/\text{Spf } R$.*

6. APPLICATION: v -DESCENT IN THE DERIVED SETTING

6.1. The goal of this section is to give a proof of 1.14. Recall that 1.14 is a derived analogue of [3, 3.15], which asserts that if \mathbf{X} is a quasi-compact and quasi-separated spectral algebraic space, then the functor $X : \text{CAlg}^{\text{cn}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ represented by \mathbf{X} (given by the formula $X(A) =$

$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{SpDM}}(\mathrm{Spec} A, \mathbf{X})$) satisfies universal descent for a v -cover $f : A \rightarrow B$ of connective \mathbb{E}_∞ -rings for which the induced map $\pi_0 A \rightarrow \pi_0 B$ is of finite presentation.

Definition 6.2. Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a morphism of simplicial commutative rings. We will say that f is a v -cover if for each valuation ring V and each map $A \rightarrow V$ in CAlg^Δ , there exists an extension of valuation rings $V \rightarrow W$ and a map $B \rightarrow W$ in CAlg^Δ fitting into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & V \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & W. \end{array}$$

Remark 6.3. A map $f : A \rightarrow B$ of simplicial commutative rings is a v -cover in the sense of 6.2 if and only if the induced morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(\pi_0 B) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(\pi_0 A)$ of ordinary affine schemes is a v -cover in the usual sense of [1, 2.1].

Proof of 1.14. Since the property of being a v -cover and a finite presentation on π_0 between simplicial commutative rings is stable under pushouts, it will suffice to show that the functor $h_{\mathbf{X}}$ satisfies descent for f . Let B^\bullet denote Čech nerve of the map f formed in the opposite of the ∞ -category CAlg^Δ (so that $B^n = B \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A B$ is the $(n+1)$ -fold tensor product of B over A). We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{DerDM}}(\mathrm{Spec} A, \mathbf{X}) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \lim_{n \in \Delta^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{DerDM}}(\mathrm{Spec} B^n, \mathbf{X}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{SpDM}_{\mathbb{Z}}}(\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{Spec} A), \Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{X})) & \xrightarrow{\theta'} & \lim_{n \in \Delta^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{SpDM}_{\mathbb{Z}}}(\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{Spec} B^n), \Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{X})), \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are (-1) -truncated by virtue of 1.3. We note that $\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{X})$ is a quasi-compact and quasi-separated spectral algebraic space; see 3.46. Moreover, the underlying map $\Theta_{\mathbb{Z}}(f)$ of connective \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebras over \mathbb{Z} is a v -cover in the sense of [3, 2.2], because $\pi_0 \Theta_{\mathbb{Z}}(f)$ can be identified with $\pi_0(f)$. Since $\Theta_{\mathbb{Z}}$ preserves tensor products by virtue of 2.12, we can identify $\Theta_{\mathbb{Z}}(B^\bullet)$ with the Čech nerve of the map $\Theta_{\mathbb{Z}}(f)$, formed in the opposite of the ∞ -category $\mathrm{CAlg}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathrm{cn}}$. It then follows from [3, 3.15] that the bottom horizontal map θ' is an equivalence. Consequently, the map θ is (-1) -truncated. We will complete the proof by showing that θ is surjective on connected components. Choose a compatible family of morphisms $\{b_n : \mathrm{Spec} B^n \rightarrow \mathbf{X}\}$ in DerDM . Then [3, 3.15] (which guarantees that θ' is a homotopy equivalence) supplies a morphism $f : \Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{Spec} A) \rightarrow \Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{X})$ of spectral algebraic spaces over \mathbb{Z} whose image under θ' is equivalent to the family $\{\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(b_n)\}$ in $\mathrm{SpDM}_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Since the right vertical map in the above diagram is (-1) -truncated, it will suffice to show that the morphism f can be lifted to a morphism of derived Deligne-Mumford stacks $\mathrm{Spec} A \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$. Using 4.13, we are reduced to proving that the diagram of $\mathrm{Perf}(\mathbb{Z})$ -linear symmetric monoidal functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Perf}(\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}^R(\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{X}))) & \xrightarrow{u_{\mathbf{X}}^*} & \mathrm{Perf}(\mathbf{X}) \\ \Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}^R(f)^* \downarrow & & \downarrow f^* \\ \mathrm{Perf}(\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}^R(\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{Spec} A))) & \xrightarrow{u_{\mathrm{Spec} A}^*} & \mathrm{Perf}(\mathrm{Spec} A) \end{array}$$

commutes. According to [3, 3.9] (note that $\Theta_{\mathbb{Z}}(f)$ is a v -cover), the canonical $\mathrm{Perf}(\mathbb{Z})$ -linear functor $\mathrm{Perf}(\mathrm{Spec} A) \rightarrow \lim \mathrm{Perf}(\mathrm{Spec} B^n)$ is an equivalence. Consequently, it will suffice to show that for every integer $n \geq 0$, the diagram of $\mathrm{Perf}(\mathbb{Z})$ -linear symmetric monoidal functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Perf}(\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}^R(\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{X}))) & \xrightarrow{u_{\mathcal{X}}^*} & \mathrm{Perf}(\mathcal{X}) \\ ((\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}^R \circ \Xi_{\mathbb{Z}})(b_n))^* \downarrow & & \downarrow b_n^* \\ \mathrm{Perf}(\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}^R(\Xi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{Spec} B^n))) & \xrightarrow{u_{\mathrm{Spec} B^n}^*} & \mathrm{Perf}(\mathrm{Spec} B^n) \end{array}$$

commutes. This follows from the fact that the vertical maps are induced by the morphism $b_n : \mathrm{Spec} B^n \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ of derived Deligne-Mumford stacks. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Bhargav Bhatt and Peter Scholze, *Projectivity of the Witt vector affine Grassmannian*, Invent. Math. **209** (2017), no. 2, 329–423. MR 3674218
- [2] Chang-Yeon Chough, *Brauer spaces of spectral algebraic stacks*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **107** (2023), no. 6, 2218–2251. MR 4598184
- [3] ———, *Spectral excision and descent for almost perfect complexes*, 2023, Preprint.
- [4] Daniel Halpern-Leistner and Anatoly Preygel, *Mapping stacks and categorical notions of properness*, Compos. Math. **159** (2023), no. 3, 530–589. MR 4560539
- [5] Yifeng Liu and Weizhe Zheng, *Enhanced six operations and base change theorem for higher artin stacks*, 2012, Preprint.
- [6] Jacob Lurie, *Derived algebraic geometry*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2004, Thesis (Ph.D.)–Massachusetts Institute of Technology. MR 2717174
- [7] ———, *Higher topos theory*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 170, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009. MR 2522659
- [8] ———, *Spectral algebraic geometry*, Last update: Feb 2018, Preprint.
- [9] ———, *Higher algebra*, Last update: Sep 2017, Preprint.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOGANG UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 04107, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

CENTER FOR NANO MATERIALS, SOGANG UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 04107, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Email address: chough@sogang.ac.kr