Dense halves in balanced bipartitions of K_4 -free graphs

Yue Xu and Xiao-Dong Zhang^{*}

School of Mathematical Sciences, MOE-LSC, SHL-MAC, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, 800 Dongchuan Road, Shanghai, 200240, P.R. China

September 2024

Abstract

Let G be a simple graph on even vertices. Denote $D_{2,\infty}^b(G)$ by the minimal value of max $\{e(A), e(A^c)\}$ over all 2-balanced partition $V(G) = A \cup A^c$ where $|A| = |A^c|$. In this paper we first provide a lower bound on $D_{2,\infty}^b(G)$ by examining the graph 2n-blow-up of $I_7 \vee C_5$, where I_7 represents a 7-vertex independent set and C_5 is a 5-cycle, which disproves Conjecture 2.6 in [2]. Furthermore, we present two upper bounds on $D_{2,\infty}^b(G)$ for a K_4 -free graph and a join of independent set and triangle-free graph.

1 Introduction

Let G be a simple graph with vertex set V(G) and edge set E(G). We denote the number of vertices by |G| = |V(G)| and the number of edges by e(G) = |E(G)|. Given vertex-subset A, we denote e(G[A]) the number of edges in G with both endpoints from A. If A and B are two disjoint vertex subsets, we denote e(G[A], G[B]) the number of edges in G connecting vertices from A to vertices from B. When G is clear from context, we also write e(A) and e(A, B). A vertex 2-partition of a graph G is a partition $V(G) = A \cup A^c$ of its vertex subset into 2 classes. And we denote $D_2(G) := \min_A(e(A) + e(A^c))$ where the minimum is taken over all 2-partitions. By definition, $D_2(G)$ also represents the minimum number of edges which have to be removed to make G bipartite. Furthermore, 2-partition is balanced if $|A| = |A^c|$. And we denote

$$D_2^b(G) := \min_A(e(A) + e(A^c)); D_{2,\infty}^b(G) := \min_A(\max\{e(A), e(A^c)\})$$

where the minimum is taken over all 2-balanced-partitions $V(G) = A \cup A^c$. For a graph H, we define a graph G as H-free if G does not contain H as a subgraph.

^{*}Corresponding author: xiaodong@sjtu.edu.cn

Given two graphs G_1 and G_2 , the join of G_1 and G_2 , denoted by $G_1 \vee G_2$, is defined as a graph whose vertex set is $V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$, and whose edge set is $E(G_1) \cup E(G_2) \cup$ $(v_1, v_2) \mid v_1 \in V(G_1), v_2 \in V(G_2)$. For a graph G and an integer n, the n-blow-up of G, denoted by G^n , is defined as a graph obtained by replacing every vertex v of G with n vertices, where a copy of u is adjacent to a copy of v in the blow-up graph if and only if u is adjacent to v in G.

Erdős once offered a \$250 reward to the solution of the sparse half conjecture:

Conjecture 1.1. [5] Let G be a triangle-free graph on n vertices. If n is even, then there exists a a balanced 2-partition $V(G) = A \cup A^c$ such that

$$\min\{e(A), e(A^c)\} \le \frac{n^2}{50}.$$

The most recent progress by Razborov[10] demonstrates that every triangle-free graph n has a subset of vertices of size n/2 spanning at most $(27/1024)n^2$ edges. Another related conjecture of Erdős stated that:

Conjecture 1.2. [4] Let G be a triangle-free graph on n vertices.

$$D_2(G) \le \frac{n^2}{25}.$$

The most recent progress by Balogh, Clemen and Lidický[1] demonstrates that every triangle-free graph G on n vertices can be made bipartite by deleting at most $n^2/23.5$ edges. Several years later, they also raised and solved 2 related problems: for every triangle-free graph on n vertices, where n is sufficiently large, $D_2^b(G) \leq n^2/16$ and $D_{2,\infty}^b(G) \leq n^2/18$. In addition, [3],[12],[8] investigate the related 2-partition problem concerning a fixed number of graph edges and solve some of these problems.

For K_4 -free graphs, significant work has been done on related problems. Sudakov [13] proved that every K_4 -free graph G on n vertices can be made bipartite by deleting at most $n^2/9$ edges. Recently, Reiher [11], building on the work of Liu and Ma [9], proved that every K_4 -free graph contains a set of size n/2 spanning at most $n^2/18$, thereby solving the conjecture proposed in [6]. Additionally, Balogh, Clemen and Lidický[2] recently inquired about the upper bounds of $D_2^b(G)$ and $D_{2,\infty}^b(G)$ for K_4 -free graph, and proposed the following conjectures:

Conjecture 1.3. Let G be a K_4 -free graph on n vertices. If n is even, then

$$D_2^b(G) \le \frac{n^2}{9}.$$

Conjecture 1.4. Let G be a K_4 -free graph on n vertices. If n is even, then

$$D_{2,\infty}^b(G) \le \frac{n^2}{16}.$$

Reiher's work[11] mentioned in the previous paragraph implies that Conjectures 1.3 and 1.4 holds for regular graphs. Furthermore, Balogh, Clemen and Lidický[2] demonstrated that Conjectures 1.3 and 1.4 holds for 3-partite graph.

Theorem 1.5. Let G be a 3-partite graph on n vertices. If n is even,

$$D^b_{2,\infty}(G) \le \frac{n^2}{16}.$$

The equality holds when G is a complete 3-partite graph with class sizes n/2, n/4 and n/4.

The main result of this paper is to provide a family of counterexamples to Conjecture 1.4, as well as to establish an upper bound on $D_{2,\infty}^b(G)$ for K_4 -free graphs. Additionally, we present an upper bound on $D_{2,\infty}^b(G)$ for the join of an independent set and a triangle-free graph.

Theorem 1.6. Let $H = I_7 \lor C_5$, where I_7 is a 7-vertex independent set and C_5 is a 5-cycle.

$$D_{2,\infty}^b(H^{2n}) = \frac{37}{24^2} |H^{2n}|^2 > \frac{|H^{2n}|^2}{16}.$$
 (1)

where H^{2n} denotes the 2n-blow-up of H.

Remark 1.7. Theorem 1.6 disproves Conjecture 1.4.

Theorem 1.8. Let G be a K_4 -free graph on n vertices. If n is even and greater than 10^5 ,

$$D_{2,\infty}^b(G) < 0.074n^2.$$
⁽²⁾

Theorem 1.9. Let G be the join of an independent set and a triangle-free set, where |G| = n. If n is even and large enough,

$$D^{b}_{2,\infty}(G) \le \frac{5}{72}n^2.$$
(3)

The organization of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we prove Theorem 1.6. In section 3, we present some preliminaries for Theorem 1.8 and Theorem 1.9. In section 4 we complete the proof of Theorem 1.8, by considering two cases regrading the independent number. In section 5, we prove Theorem 1.9.



Figure 1: $H: I \vee C_5$

2 The lower bound for the maximum value of $D^b_{2,\infty}(G)$

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.6 and provide a lower bound on the maximum value of $D_{2,\infty}^b(G)$ for K_4 -free G.

Proposition 2.1. Let $H = I_7 \vee C_5$, where I_7 is a 7-vertex independent set and C_5 is a 5-cycle.

$$D_{2,\infty}^b(H) = \frac{5}{72}|H|^2.$$

Proof. Let $V(H) = A \cup A^c$ be the 2-balanced partition of H. Without loss of generality, let A be the vertex set that contains at least half of the vertices in C_5 . According to the table below, $e(A) \ge 10 = 5/72 \cdot |H|^2$.

vertices from C_5	min edges from original C_5	edges from original $e(K, C_5)$	$\min e(A)$
3	1	$3 \times 3 = 9$	10
4	3	$4 \times 2 = 8$	11
5	5	$5 \times 3 = 5$	10

When A contains $V(C_5)$, $\max\{e(A), e(A^c)\} = \max(10, 0) = 10$. Hence, $D_{2,\infty}^b(H) = 10 = 5|H|^2/72$.

We can apply the similar method to determine the value of $D^b_{2,\infty}(H^{2n})$, where H^{2n} represents the 2*n*-blow-up of H.

Lemma 2.2. Let C_5^n be a graph with vertex set $V(C_5^n) = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3 \cup A_4 \cup A_5$ and edges $xy \in C_5^n$ iff $x \in A_i$ and $y \in A_{i+1}$ for some $i \in [5]$, where $A_6 := A_1$ and $|A_i| = n$. Let G_0 be a subgraph of C_5^n with $|V(G_0) \cap A_i| = a_i$ for $i \in [5]$ and we denote the values b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5 as the non-increasing rearrangement of a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5 .

1. If $T(b) = b_1b_4 + b_4b_3 + b_3b_2 + b_2b_5 + b_5b_1$, then $e(G_0) = a_1a_2 + a_2a_3 + a_3a_4 + a_4a_5 + a_5a_1 \ge T(b)$.

2. If
$$|V(G_0)| = pn + q$$
, where p and q are non-negative integers with $p < 5$ and $q < n$,

then
$$e(G) \ge f(n, p, q) = \begin{cases} 0 & p \ge 1 \\ qn & p = 2 \\ n^2 + 2qn & p = 3 \\ 3n^2 + 2qn & p = 4 \end{cases}$$
. Equality holds if $b_i = \begin{cases} n & i \le p \\ q & i = p + 1 \\ 0 & other \ cases \end{cases}$



Figure 2: C_5^n and its subgraph G_0

Proof. Without loss of generality, let a_1 denote the largest element among $\{a_n\}$, and assume that $a_2 \ge a_5$. Based on the arrangements of these elements in accordance with their magnitude, we obtain a total of $\frac{4!}{2} = 12$ distinct permutations. Let $S_a = a_1a_2 + a_1a_5 + a_2a_3 + a_3a_4 + a_4a_5$ and $T(b) = b_1b_4 + b_1b_5 + b_2b_3 + b_2b_5 + b_3b_4$ where $b = (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5)$.

Note that $(x_1y_1 + x_2y_2) - (x_1y_2 + x_2y_1) = (x_1 - x_2)(y_1 - y_2)$. Therefore, the following claim holds.

Claim 2.1 If $x_1 \ge x_2$ and $y_1 \ge y_2$, then $x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 \ge x_1y_2 + x_2y_1$.

Next, we will show that $e(G_0) = S_a \ge T(b)$ always holds.

Case 1: $b_2 = a_2$, $b_3 = a_5$. By Claim 2.1, $S_a \ge b_1b_2 + b_1b_3 + b_2b_5 + b_4b_5 + b_3b_4 = T(b) + (b_3 - b_5)(b_1 - b_2) + (b_1 - b_5)(b_2 - b_4) \ge T(b)$.

Case 2: $b_2 = a_2$, $b_4 = a_5$. By Claim 2.1, $S_a \ge b_1b_2 + b_1b_4 + b_2b_5 + b_3b_5 + b_3b_4 = T(b) + (b_2 - b_5)(b_1 - b_3) \ge T(b)$.

Case 3: $b_2 = a_2, b_5 = a_5$. By Claim 2.1, $S_a \ge b_1b_2 + b_1b_5 + b_2b_4 + b_3b_4 + b_3b_5 = T(b) + (b_1 - b_2)(b_3 - b_4) + (b_1 - b_5)(b_2 - b_3) \ge T(b)$.

Case 4: $b_3 = a_2, b_4 = a_5$. By Claim 2.1, $S_a \ge b_1b_3 + b_1b_4 + b_3b_5 + b_2b_5 + b_2b_4 = T(b) + (b_1 - b_3)(b_3 - b_5) + (b_4 - b_5)(b_2 - b_3) \ge T(b)$.

Case 5: $b_3 = a_2, b_5 = a_5$. By Claim 2.1, $S_a \ge b_1b_3 + b_1b_5 + b_3b_4 + b_2b_4 + b_2b_5 = T(b) + (b_1 - b_2)(b_3 - b_4) \ge T(b)$.

Case 6: $b_4 = a_2, b_5 = a_5$. By Claim 2.1, $S_a \ge T(b)$. Equality holds if $(b_2, b_3) = (a_3, a_2)$. Case 1 to 6 together show that $S_a \ge T(b)$ under different permutations. (2)

If
$$i < j$$
, let $b^{ij} = (b_1^{ij}, b_2^{ij}, b_3^{ij}, b_4^{ij}, b_5^{ij})$, where $b_k^{ij} = \begin{cases} b_k + 1 & k = i \\ b_k - 1 & k = j \\ b_k & \text{other cases} \end{cases}$. Since $b_i \ge b_j$

for i < j, $T(b^{ij}) - T(b) \le 0$ for i < j, according to the table given below.

$T(b^{ij}) - T(b)$	i = 1	i=2	i = 3	i = 4
j = 2	$b_4 - b_3$			
j = 3	$b_5 - b_2$	$b_5 - b_4 + b_3 - b_2 - 1$		
j = 4	$b_5 - b_3 + b_4 - b_1 - 1$	$b_5 - b_1$	$b_2 - b_1 + b_4 - b_3 - 1$	
j = 5	$b_5 - b_2 + b_4 - b_1 - 1$	$b_5 - b_1 + b_3 - b_2 - 1$	$b_4 - b_1$	$b_3 - b_2$

Table 1: $T(b^{ij}) - T(b)$

Let
$$b^* = (b_1^*, b_2^*, b_3^*, b_4^*, b_5^*)$$
, where $b_i^* = \begin{cases} n & i \le p \\ q & i = p+1 \\ 0 & \text{other cases} \end{cases}$. For any *b* satisfying $b_i \ge b_j$

for i > j and $\sum_{i=1}^{5} b_i = pn + q$, we can apply the following algorithm to get a new value $\min(T(b)) = T(b^*)$ not less than T(b).

Algorithm 1 Algorithm of $\min(T(b))$

Input: b, b* Output: min(T(b)) 1: declare T as function defined in Lemma 2.2 2: while $b \neq b^*$ do 3: $x = \min(i)$ such that $b_i < n, y = \max(j)$ such that $b_j > 0$ 4: if x < y then 5: $b_x = b_x + 1$; $b_y = b_y - 1$; $\min(T(b)) = T(b)$; 6: end if 7: end while 8: return $\min(T(b))$

Note that each operation in the while loop guarantees $b_i \ge b_j$ for i > j and $\sum_{i=1}^5 b_i = pn + q$. In this case, according to Table 1, T(b) does not increase after each iteration. In addition, $||b - b^*||$ decreases by 2 in each iteration, where $|| \cdot ||$ represents the sum of the absolute values of each component of the vector. This implies that after $||b - b^*||/2$ iterations, the while loop will terminate. At this time, $\min(T(b)) = T(b^*)$.

Therefore, $e(G_0) \ge T(b^*) = f(n, p, q)$. Equality holds if $a_1 \ge a_4 \ge a_3 \ge a_2 \ge a_5$, and $\{a_n\}$ contains p elements equal to n, 1 element equal to q, and the rest are equal to 0.

Remark 2.3. Actually, if $e(G_0) = T(b) = f(n, p, q)$, T(b) should not decrease after each iteration. This implies if $b \neq b^*$, b can only be $(b_1, b_2, 0, 0, 0)$ and (n, n, n, b_4, b_5) according to Table 1. Hence, if pn + q = 2n + q, the equality holds if and only if b = (n, n, q, 0, 0).

Next, we will show $D_{2,\infty}^b(H^{2n}) = 37n^2$ to prove Theorem 1.6.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Let $V(H^{2n}) = A \cup A^c$ be the 2-balanced partition of H^{2n} such that $\max\{e(A), e(A^c)\} = D^b_{2,\infty}(H^{2n})$. Here, $|A| = 12n \ge |C_5^{2n}|$. If $A \supset V(C_5^{2n})$, $e(A) = 40n^2 > 37n^2$. Otherwise, let $|A \cap V(C_5^{2n})| = p \cdot 2n + q$, where p and q are non-negative integers with p < 5 and q < 2n. Without loss of generality, let $|A \cap V(C_5^{2n})| \ge |V(C_5^{2n})|/2 = 5n$. If this condition does not hold, we can let $A = A^c$, where $V(H^{2n}) = A^c \cup (A^c)^c$ forms the same 2-balanced partition. Hence, $p \ge 2$, and when p = 2, q > n. Therefore, by Lemma 2.7

$$\begin{split} e(A) &= (|A| - |A \cap V(C_5^{2n})|) |A \cap V(C_5^{2n})| + e(A \cap V(C_5^{2n})) \\ &\geq (2pn + q)(12n - 2pn - q) + f(2n, p, q) \\ &= \begin{cases} 32n^2 + q(6n - q) & p = 2, n \leq q < 2n \\ 40n^2 + 4nq - q^2 & p = 3, 0 \leq q < 2n \\ 44n^2 - q^2 & p = 4, 0 \leq q < 2n \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Hence, $e(A) \geq 37n^2$. Equality holds if and only if $|A \cap V(C_5^{2n})|$ and e(A) = (2pn + q)(12n - 2pn - q) + f(n, p, q). By Remark 2.3, this implies A contains all vertices in A_1 , A_4 and half vertices in A_3 in Figure 2, then $e(A^c) = (|A^c| - |A^c \cap V(C_5^{2n})|)|A \cap V(C_5^{2n})| + e(A^c \cap V(C_5^{2n})) = 5n \cdot 7n + 2n^2 = 37n^2$.

Therefore, $D_{2,\infty}^b(G) \ge e(A) \ge 37n^2$. Additionally, $D_{2,\infty}^b(G) = 37n^2$ if and only if A contains 7n vertices from $|G[A] \cap C_5^{2n}| = K_{n,2n} \cup I_{2n}$, where $K_{n,2n}$ represents a complete bipartite with class sizes 2n and n, and I_{2n} represents a 2n-vertex independents.

3 Some technical lemmas

In this section, we will introduce the notations and lemmas that will be used later in this paper. Let $\alpha(G)$ be the independent number of G. For $v \in V(G)$, let $N_G(v)$ be the set of neighbors of v in G and $d_G(v) = |N_G(v)|$. If G is clear context, we also write N(v)and d(v). We also omit floors and ceiling when they are not essential in our proofs.

The following 3 lemmas will be applied in this paper to estimate the upper bound. For completeness we include their proofs here.

Lemma 3.1. Let G be a K_4 -free graph on n vertices. 1. If Z is a maximal triangle-free induced graph of G, then

$$e(G) \le \min\left\{\frac{|Z||G|}{2}, |Z|(|G| - \frac{3}{4}|Z|)\right\}.$$
 (4)

2. If Z_0 is a triangle-free induced graph of G satisfying $|Z_0| \geq \frac{2}{3}|G|$,

$$e(G) \le |Z_0|(|G| - \frac{3}{4}|Z_0|).$$
(5)

Proof. For any vertex $v \in G$, where G is K_4 -free, its neighbor N(v) is triangle-free, so $d(v) \leq |Z|$, which implies $e(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} d(v) \leq \frac{|Z||G|}{2}$. In addition, by Turán's Theorem $e(Z) \leq \frac{|Z|^2}{4}$. Hence, $e(G) \leq e(Z) + e(Z, G \setminus Z) + 2e(G \setminus Z) \leq \frac{|Z|^2}{4} + \sum_{v \in V(G \setminus Z)} d(v) \leq |Z|(|G| - \frac{3}{4}|Z|).$

Clearly, the function $f(x) := x(|G| - \frac{3}{4}x)$ decreases with respect to $x \ge \frac{2}{3}|G|$. Hence, $|Z_0| \ge \frac{2}{3}|G|$ implies inequality (5).

Lemma 3.2. Let G be a triangle-free graph on n vertices. If I_0 is an independent set of G with $|I_0| \geq \frac{|G|}{2}$, then $e(G) \leq |I_0|(|G| - |I_0|)$.

Proof. Let I be a maximal independent set of triangle-free graph G. For any vertex $v \in G$, its neighbor N(v) is an independent set, so $d(v) \leq |I|$, which implies $e(G) \leq e(I) + 2e(I, G \setminus I) = \sum_{v \in V(G \setminus I)} d(v) \leq (|G| - |I|)|I|$.

Clearly, the function f(x) := x(|G| - x) is decreasing with respect to $x \ge \frac{n}{2}$. Hence, $|I_0| \ge |G|/2$ implies $e(G) \le |I_0|(|G| - |I_0|)$.

Moreover, if a K_4 -free graph G contains a large independent set, we can provide an upper bound by Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.3. Let G be a K_4 -free graph on n vertices and I be an independent set of G. If Z is a largest triangle-free induced graph of $G \setminus I$, then

$$e(G) \le \min\left\{\frac{|Z|(|G|+|I|)}{2}, |Z|(|G|-\frac{3}{4}|Z|)\right\}.$$
(6)

Moreover, if $|I| > \frac{|G|}{3}$, then

$$e(G) \le \frac{1}{4}(|G| - |I|)(|G| + 3|I|) \tag{7}$$

Proof. For any vertex $v \in I$, its neighbor N(v) is triangle-free and $N(v) \subset G \setminus I$. Hence, $d(v) = |N(v)| \leq |Z|$, which implies $e(V(I), V(G \setminus I)) \leq \sum_{v \in V(I)} d(v) \leq |Z||I|$. By Lemma 2.1, $e(G \setminus I) \leq \min\left\{\frac{|Z|(|G|-|I|)}{2}, |Z|(|G|-|I|-\frac{3}{4}|Z|\right\}$. Therefore, $e(G) = e(I) + e(G \setminus I) + e(G \setminus I) \leq \min\left\{\frac{|Z|(|G|+|I|)}{2}, |Z|(|G|-\frac{3}{4}|Z|)\right\}$.

Clearly, the function $f(x) := x(|G| - \frac{3}{4}x)$ is decreasing with respect to $x \ge \frac{2}{3}|G|$. Hence, $|Z| \le |G \setminus I| < \frac{2}{3}|G|$ implies $e(G) \le |Z|(|G| - \frac{3}{4}|Z|) \le (|G| - |I|)(\frac{1}{4}|G| + \frac{3}{4}(I))$. \Box

The following conclusions can help us find a proper balanced 2-partition.

Proposition 3.4. Let G be a graph on n vertices and $V(G) = B \cup C$. If $p \in \{0, 1, ..., |V(B)|\}$, there exists a vertex subset Y (where $Y \subset B$) of size p such that

$$e(Y,C) \le \frac{p}{|B|}e(B,C)$$

This proposition can be found in the literature (e.g., [7]).

Theorem 3.5. [14] Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. If n is even, then G admits a balanced 2-partition $V(G) = A \cup A^c$ such that

$$\max\{e(A), e(A^c)\} \le \frac{m + \Delta(G) - \delta(G)}{4}$$

where $\Delta(G)$ and $\delta(G)$ denote the maximum and minimum degree of the graph G.

Corollary 3.6. Let G be an n-vertex graph where n is an even number. If $|E(G)| \leq (4m_0 - \epsilon)n^2$ with $\epsilon > 0$ and $n > 1/\epsilon$, then there exists a balanced 2-partition $A \cup A^c$ such that $\max\{e(A), e(A^c)\} < m_0 n^2$.

In this paper, we set $m_0 = 0.074, \epsilon = 10^{-5}$ in Section 4, and $m_0 = \frac{5}{72}, \epsilon = 0.001$ in Section 5.

We also need the following theorems to further deal with the triangle-free graphs.

Theorem 3.7. [1] Let H be a triangle-free graph on n vertices. If $|E(H)| \ge 0.3197 \binom{n}{2}$ with n large enough,

$$D_2(H) \le \frac{n^2}{25}.$$

Theorem 3.8. [5] For a K₃-free graph with n vertices and m edges, $D_2(G) \leq m - \frac{4m^2}{n^2}$.

4 A new upper bound for $D^b_{2,\infty}(G)$

In this section we assume that the order of G is even and large enough. We will prove Theorem 1.8 by considering two cases based on the independent number of G.

Lemma 4.1. Let G be a K_4 -free graph on n vertices, where $n > 10^5$. If G contains an independent set I of size 0.28n, then

$$D^b_{2,\infty}(G) < 0.074n^2.$$

Proof. Let Z be a maximal triangle-free induced subgraph in $G \setminus I$ and |Z| = zn.

Case 1.1: $|Z| \le 0.45n$. By (6) of Lemma 3.3,

$$e(G) \le \frac{|Z|(|G| + |I|)}{2}$$

= (0.45n \cdot 0.64n) = 0.288n²

Therefore, by Corollary 3.6, $D_{2,\infty}^b(G) < 0.074n^2$.

Case 1.2: |Z| > 0.45n. Now, there exists a triangle-free induced graph $Z_0 \in G \setminus I$ of size 0.45n. Choose a vertex subset A of size n/2 such that $A \supset I$ and $A^c \supset Z_0$.

Since $0.28n > \frac{|A|}{3}$, by (7) of Lemma 3.3, $e(A) \le \frac{1}{4}(|A| - |I|)(|A| + 3|I|) = 0.0737n^2 < 0.074n^2$. On the other hand, since the triangle-free graph $Z_0 \subset A^c$ and $|Z_0| \ge \frac{2}{3}|A^c|$, by ,

$$e(A^c) \le |Z_0|(|A^c| - \frac{3}{4}|Z_0|) = (0.45n \cdot (0.45n - \frac{3}{4} \cdot 0.45n)) = 0.073125n^2.$$

Therefore, $\max\{e(A), e(A^c)\} < 0.074n^2$.

According to Corollary 3.6, we only need to consider the graph whose edges are more than $0.02959n^2$. Hence, we introduce the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. There exists an edge v_1v_2 such that

$$d(v_1) + d(v_2) \ge \frac{4m}{n}.$$
 (8)

If G is K₄-free and $m \ge \frac{n^2}{4}$, the independent number of G satisfies $\alpha(G) \ge \frac{4m}{n} - n$.

Proof. By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\sum_{ab \text{ is an edge}} (d(a) + d(b)) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} d(v)^2 \ge \frac{\left(\sum_{v \in V(G)} d(v)\right)^2}{n} = \frac{4m^2}{n}.$$

Therefore, there exists an edge v_1v_2 such that $d(v_1) + d(v_2) \ge \frac{\sum_{ab \text{ is an edge}} (d(a) + d(b))}{e(G)} = \frac{4m}{n}$.

Furthermore, if G is K_4 -free, $N(v_1) \cap N(v_2)$ is an independent set. Therefore, $|N(v_1) \cap N(v_2)| \ge |N(v_1)| + |N(v_2)| - |V(G)|$, which implies $\alpha(G) \ge \frac{4m}{n} - n$.

By Lemma 4.2, $e(G) \geq 0.02959n^2$ implies $\alpha(G) \geq \frac{4m}{n} - n \geq 0.1836n$ when G is $K_4\text{-free.}$

Lemma 4.3. Let G be a K₄-free graph on n vertices, where $n > 10^5$. If the independent number of G satisfies $\alpha(G) < 0.28n$ and $e(G) \ge 0.02959n^2$, then

$$D^b_{2,\infty}(G) < 0.074n^2.$$

Proof. When $e(G) > 0.02959n^2$, Lemma 4.2 ensures that $\alpha(G) > 0.18n$ and there exists an edge v_1v_2 such that $d(v_1) + d(v_2) \ge 1.18n$. We donate $N_1 = N(v_1), N_2 = N(v_2)$. Let $|N_1 \setminus N_2| = a_1n, |N_2 \setminus N_1| = a_2n$ and $|N_1 \cap N_2| = cn$, then

$$\begin{cases} a_1 + a_2 + 2c \ge 1.18\\ a_1 + a_2 + c \le 1\\ 0.18 < c < 0.28 \end{cases}$$
(9)

Without loss of generality, let $a_1 \ge a_2$ which implies $a_1 + c \ge \frac{1+0.18}{2} = 0.59$ and $d(v_1) \ge d(v_2)$. In addition, since N_1 is triangle-free, for any $v \in N_1$, $N_{N_1}(v)$ is an independent set. Hence, $d_{N_1}(v) < 0.28n$. Similarly, $d_{N_2}(v) < 0.28n$. **Case 3.1:** $a_2 + c \le 0.39$.

This implies $a_1 + c \ge 0.79$. Note that $e(N_1) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in N_1} d_{N_1}(v) \le 0.14n \cdot |N_1|$. In addition, by Turán's theorem, the K_4 -free graph $G - N_1$ contains at most $\frac{1}{3} |V(G) \setminus N_1|^2$ edges. Therefore,

$$e(G) \le e(N_1) + e(N_1, V(G) \setminus N_1)) + e(G \setminus N_1)$$

$$\le n^2 (0.14(a_1 + c) + (a_1 + c)(1 - a_1 - c) + \frac{1}{3}(1 - a_1 - c)^2)$$

$$= n^2 \left(-\frac{2}{3}(a_1 + c)^2 + (\frac{1}{3} + 0.14)(a_1 + c) + \frac{1}{3} \right)$$

$$\le n^2 \left((-\frac{2}{3}) \cdot 0.79^2 + (\frac{1}{3} + 0.14) \cdot 0.79 + \frac{1}{3} \right) = 0.2912n^2.$$

This implies $D_{2,\infty}^b(G) \leq 0.074n^2$ by Corollary 3.6. The last inequality holds because the derivative is below 0 when $d(v_1) \geq 0.79n$. **Case 3.2:** $a_2 + c \geq 0.45$.

By (9), $a_1 + a_2 + c \ge 0.9$. In addition, $a_1 + c \ge a_2 + c \ge 0.45$, so we can choose a vertex subset A of size n/2 such that $|A \cap N_1| = 0.45n$ and $|A^c \cap N_1| = 0.45n$. Therefore, G[A] and $G[A^c]$ contains a triangle-free 0.45n-vertex induced graph. By (5) of Lemma 3.1, $\max\{e(A), e(A^c)\} \le (0.45n \cdot (0.5n - 3/4 \cdot 0.45n)) < 0.074n^2$. Case 3.3: $0.39 < a_2 + c < 0.45$.

Case 3.3.1: $0.18 < c \le 0.23$. Here, $a_1 \ge 1.18 - a_2 - 2c > 0.5$.

Since $d_{N_1}(x) \leq 0.28n, d_{N_2}(x) \leq 0.28n, \ e(N_1 \cap N_2, N_1 \setminus N_2) = \sum_{v \in V(N_1 \cup N_2)} d(v) \leq 0.28cn^2$. Since $n/2 - |N_2| = (0.5 - a_2 - c)n \in (0.05n, 0.11n) \subset (0, a_1]$, by Proposition 3.4 (let $B = N_1 \setminus N_2, \ C = N_1 \cap N_2$ and $p = n/2 - |N_2|$), there exists a vertex subset Y of size $(n/2 - |N_2|)$ such that $N(v_2) \subset A \subset N(v_1) \cup N(v_2)$ and

$$e(Y, N_1 \cap N_2) \le \frac{\frac{1}{2} - a_2 - c}{a_1} 0.28cn^2.$$

Let $A = Y \cup (N_2 \setminus N_1)$. Since N_1 and N_2 are triangle-free, by Turán's Theorem,

$$\begin{split} e(A) &\leq e(N_1 \cap N_2, Y) + e(N_2 \setminus N_1, Y) + e(A \setminus N(v_2)) + e(N(v_2)) \\ &\leq n^2 \left(0.28c \frac{\frac{1}{2} - a_2 - c}{a_1} + a_2(\frac{1}{2} - a_2 - c) + \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} - a_2 - c\right)^2}{4} + \frac{(a_2 + c)^2}{4} \right) \\ {}^{t=\underline{a_2} + c} n^2 \left(\frac{0.28}{a_1} c(\frac{1}{2} - t) + (t - c)(\frac{1}{2} - t) + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{2} - t\right)^2 + \frac{1}{4} t^2 \right) \\ &= n^2 \left(\left(\frac{0.28}{a_1} - 1 \right) c \left(\frac{1}{2} - t\right) - \frac{1}{2} t^2 + \frac{1}{4} t + \frac{1}{16} \right) \end{split}$$

Since $1 - c \ge a_1 \ge 1.18 - t - c$, $\left(\frac{0.28}{a_1} - 1\right)c \ge \left(\frac{0.28}{1.18 - t - c} - 1\right)c \stackrel{def}{=} g_t(c)$. When $t \in (0.39, 0.45]$ is fixed, $\frac{dg_t(c)}{dc} = \frac{0.28(1.18 - t) - (1.18 - t - c)^2}{(1.18 - t - c)^2} < 0$. Hence, the function reaches the maximum when c = 0.18, which implies:

$$\frac{e(A)}{n^2} \le 0.18 \left(\frac{0.28}{1-t} - 1\right) \left(\frac{1}{2} - t\right) - \frac{1}{2}t^2 + \frac{1}{4}t + \frac{1}{16}.$$

Notice that $f(t) := 0.18 \left(\frac{0.28}{1-t} - 1\right) \left(\frac{1}{2} - t\right) - \frac{1}{2}t^2 + \frac{1}{4}t + \frac{1}{16}$ is decreasing with respect to $t \in [0.39, 0.45]$, because $f'(t) = 0.43 - t - \frac{0.0252}{(t-1)^2} < 0$ when $t \in [0.39, 0.45]$. Hence, $e(A) \le n^2 f(0.39) < 0.0733n^2$. On the other hand, $|A^c \cap N_1| \ge (a_1 + a_2 + c - 0.5)n \ge (0.68 - c)n \ge 0.45n$, so by (5) of Lemma 3.1, $e(A^c) < 0.074n^2$. Therefore, $D_{2,\infty}^b(G) \le 0.074n^2$.

Case 3.3.2: $0.23 < c \le 0.28$. To be precise, we are considering the following five cases: $c \in (0.22 + 0.01i, 0.23 + 0.01i]$ for $i \in [5]$.

Since $a_2 + c \ge 0.45$ and $a_1 + a_2 + c \ge 1.18 - c$, $0.5 - 0.01i - a_2 - c \in [0, a_1]$. Hence, similarly to **Case 3.3.1**, by Proposition 3.4 there exists a vertex subset $Y_1 \subset (N_1 \setminus N_2)$ with $|Y_1| = (0.5 - 0.01i - a_2 - c)n$ such that

$$e(Y_1, N_1 \cap N_2) \le \frac{0.5 - 0.01i - a_2 - c}{a_1} e(N_1 \setminus N_2, N_1 \cap N_2) \le n^2 \frac{0.5 - 0.01i - a_2 - c}{a_1} 0.28cn^2.$$

Now, we can choose a vertex subset A of size n/2 such that $A \supset (N_2 \cup Y_1)$ and $|A^c \cap (N_1 \setminus N_2)| \ge 0.45$. This holds because, for each i, $a_1 + a_2 + c \ge 1.18 - c \ge 0.95 - 0.01i$ when $c \in (0.22 + 0.01i, 0.23 + 0.01i]$. Here, the condition $|A^c \cap (N_1 \setminus N_2)| \ge 0.45n$ ensures that $e(A^c) < 0.074n^2$ by (5) of Lemma 3.1. On the other hand,

$$e(A) = e(Y_1, N_1 \cap N_2) + e(Y_1, N_2 \setminus N_1) + e(Y_1) + e(N_2) + e(Y_1 \cup N_2, A \setminus (Y_1 \cup N_2)) + e(A \setminus (Y_1 \cup N_2))$$

Let $t = a_2 + c$. Now, $\frac{e(Y_1, N_1 \cap N_2)}{n^2} \leq 0.28c \cdot \frac{0.5 - 0.01i - a_2 - c}{a_1} \leq 0.28c \cdot \frac{0.5 - 0.01i - t}{1.18 - t - c}$. Moreover, $G(I_1)$ is triangle-free, so $e(I_1) \leq \frac{1}{4}(0.5 - 0.01i - t)^2$; while $G(N_2)$ is triangle-free graph and contains an independent set of size $c > \frac{t}{2}$, so $e(I_2) \leq (t - c)c$ by Lemma 3.2. Therefore, we let

$$\frac{e(A)}{n^2} \le 0.28c \frac{0.5 - 0.01i - t}{1.18 - t - c} + (t - c)(0.5 - 0.01i - t) + (t - c)c + \frac{1}{4}(0.5 - 0.01i - t)^2 + (0.5 - 0.01i) \cdot 0.01i + \frac{1}{3}(0.01i)^2 \stackrel{def}{=} F_i(c, t)$$

where 0.39 < t < 0.45, $c \in (0.22 + 0.01i, 0.23 + 0.01i]$ and $i \in [5]$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}F_i(c,t)}{\mathrm{d}c} &= (0.5 - 0.01i - t) \left(\frac{0.28}{1.18 - t - c} + \frac{0.28c}{(1.18 - t - c)^2} - 1 \right) + t - 2c \\ &< (0.5 - 0.01i - t) \left(\frac{0.28}{0.5 - 0.01i} + \frac{0.28(0.23 + 0.01i)}{(0.5 - 0.01i)^2} - 1 \right) + 0.45 - 0.44 - 0.02i \\ &\leq (0.5 - 0.01i - t) \left(\frac{0.28}{0.45} + \frac{0.28 \cdot 0.28}{0.45^2} - 1 \right) - 0.01 \\ &\leq 0.1 \cdot 0.01 - 0.01 < 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $F_i(c,t) \leq F_i(0.22+i,t)$. Actually,

$$F_{i}(0.22+i,t) = \begin{cases} -\frac{3t^{2}}{4} + \frac{141t}{200} - \frac{12077}{12000} + \frac{\frac{161t}{2500} - \frac{7889}{25000}}{t - \frac{19}{25000}} & i = 1\\ -\frac{3t^{2}}{4} + \frac{18t}{25} - \frac{791}{7500} + \frac{\frac{42t}{625} - \frac{504}{15625}}{t - \frac{47}{500}} & i = 2\\ -\frac{3t^{2}}{4} + \frac{147t}{200} - \frac{883}{8000} + \frac{\frac{7t}{100} - \frac{3090}{10000}}{t - \frac{90}{2000}} & i = 3\\ -\frac{3t^{2}}{4} + \frac{3t}{4} - \frac{3461}{30000} + \frac{\frac{91t}{2500} - \frac{2093}{62500}}{t - \frac{20}{2500}} & i = 4\\ -\frac{3t^{2}}{4} + \frac{153t}{200} - \frac{14453}{120000} + \frac{\frac{1895}{2500} - \frac{1701}{100}}{t - \frac{91}{100}} & i = 5 \end{cases}$$
(10)

Below, we will show that $G_i(t) := F_i(0.22 + i, t)(0.96 - 0.01i - t) - 0.0739(0.96 - 0.01i - t)$

t) < 0 which implies $e(A) < 0.074n^2$.

$$G_{i}(t) = \begin{cases} \left(-\frac{3}{4}t^{2} + \frac{141}{200}t - \frac{12077}{120000}\right)\left(\frac{19}{20} - t\right) - \frac{161}{2500}t + \frac{7889}{250000} - 0.0739\left(t - \frac{19}{20}\right) & i = 1\\ \left(-\frac{3}{4}t^{2} + \frac{18}{25}t - \frac{791}{7500}\right)\left(\frac{47}{50} - t\right) - \frac{42}{625}t + \frac{504}{15625} - 0.0739\left(t - \frac{47}{50}\right) & i = 2\\ \left(-\frac{3}{4}t^{2} + \frac{147}{200}t - \frac{883}{8000}\right)\left(\frac{93}{100} - t\right) - \frac{7}{100}t + \frac{329}{10000} - 0.0739\left(t - \frac{93}{100}\right) & i = 3\\ \left(-\frac{3}{4}t^{2} + \frac{3}{4}t - \frac{3461}{30000}\right)\left(\frac{23}{25} - t\right) - \frac{91}{1250}t + \frac{2093}{62500} - 0.0739\left(t - \frac{23}{25}\right) & i = 4\\ \left(-\frac{3}{4}t^{2} + \frac{153}{200}t - \frac{14453}{120000}\right)\left(\frac{91}{100} - t\right) - \frac{189}{2500}t + \frac{1701}{50000} - 0.0739\left(t - \frac{91}{100}\right) & i = 5 \end{cases}$$

$$< \begin{cases} 0.75t^{3} - 1.4175t^{2} + 0.77990t - 0.13416 & i = 1\\ 0.75t^{3} - 1.425t^{2} + 0.79888t - 0.13625 & i = 2\\ 0.75t^{3} - 1.4325t^{2} + 0.79783t - 0.13838 & i = 3\\ 0.75t^{3} - 1.4475t^{2} + 0.80648t - 0.14054 & i = 4\\ 0.75t^{3} - 1.4475t^{2} + 0.81490t - 0.14273 & i = 5 \end{cases}$$

$$(12)$$

The figure of functions in (12) is given below.



Figure 3: Graph of (12)

Therefore, the A we choose ensures $\max\{e(A), e(A^c)\} \le 0.074n^2$.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textit{Proof of Theorem 1.8. If } e(G) \leq 0.02959n^2 \text{, then by Corollary 3.6, } D^b_{2,\infty}(G) < 0.074n^2 \text{. If } e(G) > 0.02959n^2 \text{, by Lemmas 4.1 and 4.3, } D^b_{2,\infty}(G) < 0.074n^2 \text{.} \end{array} \qquad \Box$

5 An upper bound for $I \lor H$

Proof of Theorem 1.9. Let $G = H \lor I$, where I is an independent set and H is a trianglefree graph H. We will prove the Theorem 1.9 by considering the four cases regrading the order of the independents set.

Case 1: |I| > 0.62n. Now, there exists 2 disjoint vertex-subsets I_1 and I_2 with $|I_1| = |I_2| = 0.31n$. Choose a vertex subset A of size n/2 such that $A \supset I_1$ and $A^c \supset I_2$. Since $|I_1| = |I_2| \ge \frac{1}{3}|A| = \frac{1}{3}|A^c|$, by (7) of Lemma 3.3

$$\max\{e(A), e(A^c)\} \le \frac{1}{4}(0.5n - 0.31n)(0.5n + 3 \cdot 0.31n) = 0.067925n^2 < \frac{5}{72}n^2$$

Case 2 $|I| \in (\frac{7}{12}n, 0.62n]$. If $e(H) \leq 0.16|H|^2$, then $e(G) < 0.271n^2$, which implies $D_{2,\infty}^b(G) < \frac{5}{72}n^2$ by Corollary 2.6.

If $e(H) > 0.16|H|^2$, we can delete $\frac{|H|^2}{25}$ edges to make H bipartite by Theorem 3.7. This implies G can be made tripartite by deleting $\frac{|H|^2}{25}$ edges. Moreover, $|H| = n - |I| < \frac{5n}{12}$. Therefore, by Theorem 1.4,

$$D_{2,\infty}(G) \le \frac{|H|^2}{25} + \frac{n^2}{16} < \frac{5}{72}n^2.$$

Case 3 $|I| \in [0.5n, \frac{7}{12}n]$. Let $|I| = \alpha n$. Here, $\alpha \in [0.5, 7/12]$. If $\frac{e(H)}{n^2} < \frac{5}{72} - (1-\alpha)(\alpha - \frac{1}{2})$, then choose a vertex subset A of size $\frac{n}{2}$ such that $A^c \supset V(H)$. Then, $\max\{e(A), e(A^c)\} < \frac{5}{72}n^2$.

If $\frac{e(H)}{n^2} \geq \frac{5}{72} - (1 - \alpha)(\alpha - \frac{1}{2})$, then by Theorem 3.8, we can delete $\left(e(H) - \frac{4e(H)^2}{|H|^2}\right)$ edges to make H bipartite. This implies G can be made tripartite by deleting $e(H) - \frac{4e(H)^2}{|H|^2}$ edges. Let $e_0 = e(H)/n^2$. By Theorem 1.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{D_{2,\infty}^b(G)}{n^2} &\leq \frac{1}{16} + \frac{e(H)}{n^2} - \frac{4e(H)^2}{|H|^2 n^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{16} + e_0 - \frac{4e_0^2}{(1-\alpha)^2} \stackrel{def}{=} g_\alpha(e_0) \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha \in [0.5, 7/12]$ and $e_0 \geq \frac{5}{72} - (1 - \alpha)(\alpha - \frac{1}{2})$. Actually, for a fixed α , the function $g_{\alpha}(e_0)$ is decreasing with respect to $[\frac{(1-\alpha)^2}{8}, +\infty)$. Moreover, when $\alpha \in [0.5, 7/12], \frac{5}{72} - (1-\alpha)(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}) - \frac{(1-\alpha)^2}{8} = \frac{1}{72}(21\alpha - 16)(3\alpha - 2) > 0$. Hence, $g_{\alpha}(e_0) \leq g_{\alpha}(\frac{5}{72} - (1 - \alpha)(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}))$. Let $f(\alpha) := g_{\alpha}(\frac{5}{72} - (1 - \alpha)(\alpha - \frac{1}{2})) - \frac{1}{16} = \frac{5}{72} + (\alpha - 1)(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}) - \frac{25}{1296(1-\alpha)^2} - \frac{5}{9} \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha}{1 - \alpha} - 4(\alpha - \frac{1}{2})^2$. Note that when $\alpha \in [0.5, 7/12]$,

$$f'(\alpha) = \frac{5}{18} \cdot \frac{1}{(\alpha - 1)^2} \left(1 - \frac{5}{36(1 - \alpha)} \right) - 6\alpha + \frac{5}{2}$$
(13)

$$\leq \frac{5}{18} \cdot \frac{1}{(\alpha - 1)^2} \cdot \frac{2}{3} - 6\alpha + \frac{5}{2} \tag{14}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(\alpha - 1)^2} \left(\frac{5}{27} - (6\alpha - \frac{5}{2})(\alpha - 1)^2 \right) < 0$$
(15)

(15) holds because the function $f_0(\alpha) = (6\alpha - 2.5)(\alpha - 1)^2$ is increasing with respect to $(-\infty, 11/18]$, which implies $(6\alpha - \frac{5}{2})(\alpha - 1)^2 - \frac{5}{27} \le (6 \cdot 7/12 - 5/2)(7/12 - 1)^2 < 0$. Hence, if $\alpha \in [0.5, 7/12]$, $f(\alpha) \le f(7/12) = 1/144$.

Therefore, $\frac{D_{2,\infty}^b(G)}{n^2} \leq \frac{1}{16} + f(\frac{7}{12}) \leq 5/72.$ **Case 4** $\alpha(G) < 0.5n$. If $e(H) \leq (\frac{5}{18} - 0.001)n^2 - |H|(n - |H|)$ (which implies $e(G) \leq (\frac{5}{18} - 0.001)n^2$), then $\frac{D_{2,\infty}^b(G)}{|G|^2} < \frac{5}{72}$ by Corollary 3.6.

If $e(H) > (\frac{5}{18} - 0.001)n^2 - |H|(n - |H|)$, then there exists a vertex $v \in V(H)$ satisfying $d_H(v) \ge \frac{2e(H)}{|H|} \ge \frac{0.553n^2}{|H|} + 2|H| - 2n$. Since H is triangle-free, $N_H(v)$ is an independent set with $|N_H(v)| > 0.553n^2|H| + 2|H| - 2n$. Hence, we can let I_0 be the independent set of H with $|I_0| = \frac{0.553n^2}{|H|} + 2|H| - 2n$. By AM-GM inequality, $|V(I \cup I_0)| \ge \frac{0.553n^2}{|H|} + |H| - n \ge (2\sqrt{0.553} - 1)n^2 \ge 0.486n$.

If $|V(I \cup I_0)| > \frac{n}{2}$, we can choose a vertex subset A of size $\frac{n}{2}$ such that $I \subset A \subset I \cup I_0$. Now, A is triangle-free, and A^c which is a subgraph of H is also triangle-free. Therefore, by Mantel's theorem, $\frac{D_{2,\infty}^b(G)}{n^2} \leq \frac{1}{16}n^2 < \frac{5}{72}n^2$.

If $|V(I \cup I_0)| < \frac{n}{2}$, we can choose a vertex subset A of size $\frac{n}{2}$ such that $A \supset I \cup I_0$. Note that $I \cup I_0$ is a triangle-free graph with $|I \cup I_0| \ge 0.486n$, so by (2) of Lemma 3.1 $e(A) \le |I \cup I_0|(|A| - \frac{3}{4}|I \cup I_0|) = 0.065853n^2 < \frac{5}{72}n^2$. On the other hand, since A^c is triangle-free, $e(A) \le \frac{(n/2)^2}{4} < \frac{5}{72}n^2$. Therefore, $D_{2,\infty}^b(G) < \frac{5}{72}n^2$ in this case.

Acknowledgement

This work is partly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos.12371354, 12161141003) and Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (No. 22JC1403600), National Key R&D Program of China under Grant No. 2022YFA1006400.

References

- J. Balogh, F. C. Clemen, and B. Lidicky. Max cuts in triangle-free graphs. Trends Math. (Extended Abstracts EuroComb 2021), 14:509–514, 2021.
- [2] J. Balogh, F. C. Clemen, and B. Lidicky. 10 problems for partitions of triangle-free graphs. *Eur. J. Comb.*, page 103841, 2023.
- [3] B. Bollobás and A. D. Scott. Exact bounds for judicious partitions of graphs. Combinatorica, 19(4):473-486, 1999.
- [4] P. Erdös. Some old and new problems in various branches of combinatorics. Discrete Math., 165(166):227–231, 1997.
- [5] P. Erdös, R. J. Faudree, J. Pach, and J. H. Spencer. How to make a graph bipartite. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 45(1):86–98, 1988.
- [6] P. Erdős, R. J. Faudree, C. C. Rousseau, and R. H. Schelp. A local density condition for triangles. *Discrete Math.*, 127(1-3):153–161, 1994.
- [7] M. Krivelevich. On the edge distribution in triangle-free graphs. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 63(2):245-260, 1995.
- [8] C. Lee, P.-S. Loh, and B. Sudakov. Bisections of graphs. J. Combin. Theory Ser.B, 103(5):599–629, 2013.
- [9] X. Liu and J. Ma. Sparse halves in K_4 -free graphs. J. Graph Theory, 99(1):5–25, 2022.
- [10] A. A. Razborov. More about sparse halves in triangle-free graphs. Mat. Sb., 213(1):109, jan 2022.
- [11] C. Reiher. K₄-free graphs have sparse halves. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc., 55:1178–1195, 12 2022.
- [12] A. Scott. Judicious partitions and related problems. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 327:95–117, 2005.
- [13] B. Sudakov. Making a K_4 -free graph bipartite. Combinatorica, 27(4):509–518, 2007.
- [14] B. Xu, J. Yan, and X. Yu. A note on balanced bipartitions. Discrete Math., 310(20):2613–2617, 2010.