

SPECTRAL COMPARISON RESULTS FOR THE N -BAKRY-EMERY RICCI TENSOR

JIANCHUN CHU AND ZIHANG HAO

ABSTRACT. We establish the diameter and global weighted volume comparison when the N -Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor has a positive lower bound in the spectrum sense.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M, g) be a complete n -dimensional Riemannian manifold and $d\text{vol}_g$ be the volume element of (M, g) . For $f \in C^\infty(M)$, the triple $(M, g, e^{-f} d\text{vol}_g)$ is called a weighted manifold (or manifold with density), which was introduced by Lichnerowicz [10, 11]. As a natural generalization of the Laplacian operator, the f -Laplacian operator is defined by

$$\Delta_f u := e^f \text{div}(e^{-f} \nabla u) = \Delta u - \langle \nabla u, \nabla f \rangle.$$

It is clear that Δ_f is self-adjoint with respect to the weighted measure $e^{-f} d\text{vol}_g$. As a natural generalization of the Ricci tensor, Lichnerowicz [10] and Bakry-Emery [4] introduced the tensor

$$\text{Ric}_f := \text{Ric} + \text{Hess}f,$$

and extensively investigated the connection between Ric_f and properties of the underlying weighted manifold. This tensor is usually referred to as the Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor in the literature. More generally, the N -Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor is defined by

$$\text{Ric}_f^N := \text{Ric} + \text{Hess}f - \frac{1}{N} df \otimes df.$$

We will discuss some comparison results for the N -Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor below. For more related works, we refer the reader to [5, 17, 13, 9, 16] and the references therein.

In the comparison geometry of Ricci curvature, the Bochner formula is very important, which gives the relationship between the Δ and Ric :

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \Delta |\nabla u|^2 &= |\text{Hess}u|^2 + \langle \nabla u, \nabla \Delta u \rangle + \text{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u) \\ &\geq \frac{(\Delta u)^2}{n} + \langle \nabla u, \nabla \Delta u \rangle + \text{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u). \end{aligned}$$

For the N -Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor, there is a counterpart:

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\Delta_f|\nabla u|^2 &= |\text{Hess}u|^2 + \langle \nabla u, \nabla \Delta_f u \rangle + \text{Ric}_f(\nabla u, \nabla u) \\ &\geq \frac{(\Delta_f u)^2}{N+n} + \langle \nabla u, \nabla \Delta_f u \rangle + \text{Ric}_f^N(\nabla u, \nabla u). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing (1.1) and (1.2), one may regard the Bochner formula for Ric_f^N of n -dimensional manifold as that for Ric of $(N+n)$ -dimensional manifold.

When $\text{Ric} \geq (n-1)\lambda > 0$, the Bonnet-Myers theorem shows that

$$\text{diam}(M, g) \leq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}},$$

while the Bishop-Gromov volume comparison states that for $p \in M$, the volume ratio function

$$r \mapsto \frac{\text{Vol}(B(p, r))}{\text{Vol}_\lambda^n(r)} \text{ is decreasing on } r \in (0, \pi/\sqrt{\lambda}],$$

where $\text{Vol}_\lambda^n(\cdot)$ denotes the volume of geodesic ball in the n -dimensional sphere with radius $\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. In particular, the above implies the global volume comparison

$$(1.3) \quad \text{Vol}(M, g) \leq \text{Vol}_\lambda^n(\pi/\sqrt{\lambda}) \cdot \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{Vol}(B(p, r))}{\text{Vol}_\lambda^n(r)} = \lambda^{-\frac{n}{2}} \text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^n).$$

There has been great interest in extending the above comparison results to N -Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor setting. As in the above discussion of Bochner formula, when N is finite, the n -dimensional weighted manifold with positive N -Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor looks like the $(N+n)$ -dimensional manifold with positive Ricci tensor. However, when $N = \infty$, the situation becomes subtle. When f is unbounded, the condition $\text{Ric}_f \geq (n-1)\lambda$ does not even guarantee the compactness of M (see [20, Example 2.1 and 2.2]). These examples show that the boundedness assumption of f (i.e. $F := \|f\|_{L^\infty(M)} < \infty$) is necessary when $N = \infty$.

When $\text{Ric}_f^N \geq (n-1)\lambda > 0$ and $N \in (0, \infty)$, Qian [18] showed that

$$\text{diam}(M, g) \leq \sqrt{\frac{N+n-1}{n-1}} \cdot \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}.$$

When $N = \infty$, diameter comparison results are listed below:

- Wei-Wylie [20]: $\text{diam}(M, g) \leq \left(1 + \frac{4}{(n-1)\pi} F\right) \cdot \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$;
- Limoncu [12]: $\text{diam}(M) \leq \sqrt{1 + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{n-1} F} \cdot \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$;
- Tadano [19]: $\text{diam}(M) \leq \sqrt{1 + \frac{8}{(n-1)\pi} F} \cdot \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$.

On the other hand, Bakry-Qian [6] proved that when $\text{Ric}_f^N \geq (n-1)\lambda > 0$, then for $p \in M$, the weighted volume ratio function

$$(1.4) \quad r \mapsto \frac{\text{Vol}_f(B(p, r))}{\text{Vol}_\lambda^{N+n}(r)} \text{ is decreasing on } r \in \left(0, \sqrt{\frac{N+n-1}{n-1}} \cdot \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right].$$

When $N = \infty$, Wei-Wylie [20] proved that for $p \in M$,

$$(1.5) \quad r \mapsto \frac{\text{Vol}_f(B(p, r))}{\text{Vol}_\lambda^{n+4F}(r)} \text{ is decreasing on } r \in (0, \pi/4\sqrt{\lambda}].$$

Note that one does not obtain the global weighted volume comparison (i.e. the weighted version of (1.3)) since the limit in (1.4) or (1.5) blows up when $r \rightarrow 0$. To the best of our knowledge, such global weighted volume comparison is still lacking.

Now let us go back to the n -dimensional Riemannian manifolds with $\text{Ric} \geq (n-1)\lambda > 0$. Following the isoperimetric profile method from Bray [2], Bray-Gui-Liu-Zhang [3] gave an alternative proof of the global volume comparison $\text{Vol}(M, g) \leq \lambda^{-n/2} \text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^n)$. Following the ideas developed in [2, 3] and μ -bubble method from Gromov [8], Antonelli-Xu [1] proved the global volume comparison and diameter comparison in the spectrum sense (see also [21, 7]).

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 1 and Lemma 1 of [1]). *Let (M, g) be a complete n -dimensional Riemannian manifold with $n \geq 3$, and γ be a constant such that*

$$0 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{n-1}{n-2}.$$

Suppose that there exists a positive function $u \in C^\infty(M)$ such that

$$u \text{Ric}(x) - \gamma \Delta u \geq (n-1)\lambda u$$

for some $\lambda > 0$. Here

$$\text{Ric}(x) := \inf_{v \in T_x M, |v|=1} \text{Ric}(v, v)$$

denotes the smallest eigenvalue of the Ricci tensor at x . If

$$0 < \inf_M u \leq \sup_M u < \infty,$$

then the following comparison results hold:

(1) *Diameter comparison:*

$$\text{diam}(M, g) \leq \left(\frac{\sup_M u}{\inf_M u} \right)^{\frac{n-3}{n-1}\gamma} \cdot \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}.$$

(2) *Global volume comparison:*

$$\text{Vol}(M, g) \leq \lambda^{-n/2} \text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^n).$$

Motivated by Theorem 1.1, we aim to establish analogous results for the N -Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor by adapting the approach of Antonelli-Xu [1].

Theorem 1.2. *Let (M, g) be a complete n -dimensional Riemannian manifold with $n \geq 3$, and N, γ be two constants such that*

$$N \in (-\infty, -(n-1)) \cup (0, +\infty), \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{N+n-1}{N+n-2}.$$

Suppose that there exists a positive function $u \in C^\infty(M)$ such that

$$u \operatorname{Ric}_f^N(x) - \gamma \Delta_f u \geq (n-1)\lambda u$$

for some $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $\lambda > 0$. Here

$$\operatorname{Ric}_f^N(x) := \inf_{v \in T_x M, |v|=1} \operatorname{Ric}_f^N(v, v)$$

denotes the smallest eigenvalue of the N -Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor at x . If

$$0 < \inf_M u \leq \sup_M u < \infty, \quad F = \|f\|_{C^0(M)} < \infty,$$

then the following comparison results hold:

(1) *Diameter comparison:*

$$\operatorname{diam}(M, g) \leq \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\sup_M u}{\inf_M u} \right)^{\frac{N+n-3}{N+n-1}\gamma} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{N+n-1}{n-1}} \cdot \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}} & \text{when } N > 0, \\ \left(\frac{\sup_M u}{\inf_M u} \right)^{\frac{n-3}{n-1}\gamma} \cdot e^{\frac{2}{n-1}F} \cdot \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}} & \text{when } N < -(n-1). \end{cases}$$

(2) *Global weighted volume comparison:*

$$\operatorname{Vol}_f(M, g) \leq e^{\frac{(n+1)(3n-1)}{n(n-1)}F} \cdot \lambda^{-\frac{n}{2}} \operatorname{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^n).$$

Remark 1.3. *To the best of our knowledge, even when $u \equiv 1$, (2) of Theorem 1.2 seems to be new, which gives a global weighted volume comparison for $(M, g, e^{-f} \operatorname{dvol}_g)$ with $\operatorname{Ric}_f^N \geq (n-1)\lambda > 0$.*

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Kai Xu, Jintian Zhu and Shihang He for useful discussions. The first-named author was partially supported by National Key R&D Program of China 2023YFA1009900 and NSFC grant 12271008. The second-named author was partially supported by National Key R&D Program of China 2023YFA1009900.

2. PROOF OF DIAMETER COMPARISON

Suppose that $\Omega_- \subset \Omega_+ \subset M$ be two domains such that $\partial\Omega_- \neq \emptyset$, $\partial\Omega_+ \neq \emptyset$ and $\overline{\Omega_+} \setminus \Omega_-$ is compact. Let $h \in C^\infty(\Omega_+ \setminus \overline{\Omega_-})$ be a function satisfying

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \partial\Omega_-} h(x) = +\infty, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \partial\Omega_+} h(x) = -\infty \text{ uniformly.}$$

Fix a constant k and a domain Ω_0 such that $\Omega_- \Subset \Omega_0 \Subset \Omega_+$. For a set Ω with finite perimeter, we consider the functional

$$E(\Omega) = \int_{\partial^* \Omega} u^\gamma e^{-f} - \int (\chi_\Omega - \chi_{\Omega_0}) h u^\alpha e^{-(k+1)f},$$

where $\partial^*\Omega$ denotes the reduced boundary of Ω . By the similar argument of [22, Proposition 2.1], the functional E must have a minimizer Ω such that $\Omega_- \Subset \Omega \Subset \Omega_+$. The classical geometric measure theory (see e.g. [14, Theorem 27.5, Theorem 28.1]) shows that $\partial\Omega$ is smooth when $n \leq 7$ while the singular part of $\partial\Omega$ has Hausdorff dimension no more than $n - 8$.

2.1. The case $3 \leq n \leq 7$. For any $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$, let $\{\Omega_t\}_{t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)}$ be a smooth family of sets satisfying

- $\Omega_0 = \Omega$;
- $\frac{\partial\Omega_t}{\partial t} = \varphi\nu$, where ν denotes the outer unit normal of $\partial\Omega_t$.

We compute the first variation:

$$0 = \frac{d}{dt}E(\Omega_t)\Big|_{t=0} = \int_{\partial\Omega} (H + \gamma u^{-1}u_\nu - f_\nu - hu^{\alpha-\gamma}e^{-kf})u^\gamma e^{-f}\varphi.$$

Since φ is arbitrary, then we obtain

$$(2.1) \quad H = f_\nu + hu^{\alpha-\gamma}e^{-kf} - \gamma u^{-1}u_\nu.$$

We next compute the second variation:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{d^2}{dt^2}E(\Omega_t)\Big|_{t=0} \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(-\Delta_{\partial\Omega}\varphi - |\text{II}|^2\varphi - \text{Ric}(\nu, \nu)\varphi - \gamma u^{-2}u_\nu^2\varphi \right. \\ &\quad + \gamma u^{-1}\varphi(\Delta u - \Delta_{\partial\Omega}u - Hu_\nu) - \gamma u^{-1}\langle \nabla_{\partial\Omega}u, \nabla_{\partial\Omega}\varphi \rangle \\ &\quad - \text{Hess}f(\nu, \nu)\varphi + \langle \nabla_{\partial\Omega}f, \nabla_{\partial\Omega}\varphi \rangle - h_\nu u^{\alpha-\gamma}e^{-kf}\varphi \\ &\quad \left. - (\alpha - \gamma)hu^{\alpha-\gamma-1}u_\nu e^{-kf}\varphi + khu^{\alpha-\gamma}e^{-kf}f_\nu\varphi \right) u^\gamma e^{-f}\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of Δ_f and Ric_f^N ,

$$\begin{aligned} & - \text{Ric}(\nu, \nu)\varphi + \gamma u^{-1}\varphi\Delta u - \text{Hess}f(\nu, \nu)\varphi \\ (2.2) \quad &= -(\text{Ric}_f^N(\nu, \nu) + \frac{1}{N}f_\nu^2)\varphi + \gamma u^{-1}\varphi(\Delta_f u + \langle \nabla u, \nabla f \rangle) \\ &= (\gamma\Delta_f u - u\text{Ric}_f^N(\nu, \nu))u^{-1}\varphi - \frac{1}{N}f_\nu^2\varphi + \gamma u^{-1}\varphi\langle \nabla u, \nabla f \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(-\Delta_{\partial\Omega}\varphi - |\text{II}|^2\varphi + (\gamma\Delta_f u - u\text{Ric}_f^N(\nu, \nu))u^{-1}\varphi - \frac{1}{N}f_\nu^2\varphi \right. \\ &\quad + \gamma u^{-1}\varphi\langle \nabla u, \nabla f \rangle - \gamma u^{-2}u_\nu^2\varphi + \gamma u^{-1}\varphi(-\Delta_{\partial\Omega}u - Hu_\nu) \\ &\quad - \gamma u^{-1}\langle \nabla_{\partial\Omega}u, \nabla_{\partial\Omega}\varphi \rangle + \langle \nabla_{\partial\Omega}f, \nabla_{\partial\Omega}\varphi \rangle - h_\nu u^{\alpha-\gamma}e^{-kf}\varphi \\ &\quad \left. - (\alpha - \gamma)hu^{\alpha-\gamma-1}u_\nu e^{-kf}\varphi + khu^{\alpha-\gamma}e^{-kf}f_\nu\varphi \right) u^\gamma e^{-f}\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1. *When $\varphi = u^{-\gamma}$ and $\alpha = \frac{kN+2}{N+n-1}\gamma$, we have*

$$0 \leq \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(-\frac{(4k - (n-1)k^2)N + 4}{4(N+n-1)} u^{2\alpha-2\gamma} e^{-kf} h^2 + |\nabla h| u^{\alpha-\gamma} - (n-1)\lambda e^{kf} \right) u^{-\gamma} e^{-(k+1)f}.$$

Proof. By $\varphi = u^{-\gamma}$ and $\gamma \geq 0$, we see that

$$(2.3) \quad -\Delta_{\partial\Omega}\varphi - \gamma u^{-1}\varphi\Delta_{\partial\Omega}u - \gamma u^{-1}\langle\nabla_{\partial\Omega}u, \nabla_{\partial\Omega}\varphi\rangle = -\gamma u^{-\gamma-2}|\nabla_{\partial\Omega}u|^2 \leq 0$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \gamma u^{-1}\varphi\langle\nabla u, \nabla f\rangle + \langle\nabla_{\partial\Omega}f, \nabla_{\partial\Omega}\varphi\rangle \\ &= \gamma u^{-\gamma-1}(\langle\nabla u, \nabla f\rangle - \langle\nabla_{\partial\Omega}u, \nabla_{\partial\Omega}f\rangle) = \gamma u^{-\gamma-1}u_{\nu}f_{\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above with $|\mathbb{II}|^2 \geq \frac{H^2}{n-1}$ and $\gamma\Delta_f u - u\text{Ric}_f^N \leq -(n-1)\lambda u$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(-\frac{H^2}{n-1}u^{-\gamma} - (n-1)\lambda u^{-\gamma} - \frac{1}{N}f_{\nu}^2 u^{-\gamma} + \gamma u^{-\gamma-1}u_{\nu}f_{\nu} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \gamma u^{-\gamma-2}u_{\nu}^2 - \gamma u^{-\gamma-1}Hu_{\nu} - hu^{\alpha-\gamma}e^{-kf}u^{-\gamma} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (\alpha - \gamma)hu^{\alpha-\gamma-1}u_{\nu}e^{-kf}u^{-\gamma} + khu^{\alpha-\gamma}e^{-kf}f_{\nu}u^{-\gamma} \right) e^{-f} \\ &\leq \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(-\frac{H^2}{n-1} - (n-1)\lambda - \frac{1}{N}f_{\nu}^2 + \gamma u^{-1}u_{\nu}f_{\nu} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \gamma u^{-2}u_{\nu}^2 - \gamma u^{-1}Hu_{\nu} + |\nabla h|u^{\alpha-\gamma}e^{-kf} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (\alpha - \gamma)hu^{\alpha-\gamma-1}u_{\nu}e^{-kf} + khu^{\alpha-\gamma}e^{-kf}f_{\nu} \right) u^{-\gamma}e^{-f}. \end{aligned}$$

Set $X = hu^{\alpha-\gamma}e^{-kf}$ and $Y = u^{-1}u_{\nu}$. By (2.1), we have $H = f_{\nu} + X - \gamma Y$. Direct calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_{\partial\Omega} \left[-\frac{(f_{\nu} + X - \gamma Y)^2}{n-1} - (n-1)\lambda - \frac{1}{N}f_{\nu}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \gamma Y f_{\nu} - \gamma Y^2 - \gamma Y(f_{\nu} + X - \gamma Y) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |\nabla h|u^{\alpha-\gamma}e^{-kf} + (\gamma - \alpha)XY + kXf_{\nu} \right] u^{-\gamma}e^{-f} \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \left[-\left(\frac{1}{n-1} + \frac{1}{N}\right)f_{\nu}^2 + \left(k - \frac{2}{n-1}\right)Xf_{\nu} + \frac{2\gamma}{n-1}Yf_{\nu} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{X^2}{n-1} + \left(\frac{2\gamma}{n-1} - \alpha\right)XY + \left(\frac{n-2}{n-1}\gamma^2 - \gamma\right)Y^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |\nabla h|u^{\alpha-\gamma}e^{-kf} - (n-1)\lambda \right] u^{-\gamma}e^{-f}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \left(\frac{1}{n-1} + \frac{1}{N} \right) f_\nu^2 + \left(k - \frac{2}{n-1} \right) X f_\nu + \frac{2\gamma}{n-1} Y f_\nu \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{n-1} + \frac{1}{N} \right)^{-1} \left[\left(k - \frac{2}{n-1} \right) X + \frac{2\gamma}{n-1} Y \right]^2 \\
& = \frac{N((n-1)k-2)^2}{4(N+n-1)(n-1)} X^2 + \frac{N((n-1)k-2)\gamma}{(N+n-1)(n-1)} XY \\
& \quad + \frac{N\gamma^2}{(N+n-1)(n-1)} Y^2
\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \leq \int_{\partial\Omega} & \left[\frac{((n-1)k^2 - 4k)N - 4}{4(N+n-1)} X^2 + \left(\frac{kN+2}{N+n-1} \gamma - \alpha \right) XY \right. \\
& \left. + \left(\frac{N+n-2}{N+n-1} \gamma^2 - \gamma \right) Y^2 + |\nabla h| u^{\alpha-\gamma} e^{-kf} - (n-1)\lambda \right] u^{-\gamma} e^{-f}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha = \frac{kN+2}{N+n-1} \gamma$ and $0 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{N+n-1}{N+n-2}$, then

$$0 \leq \int_{\partial\Omega} \left[- \frac{(4k - (n-1)k^2)N + 4}{4(N+n-1)} X^2 + |\nabla h| u^{\alpha-\gamma} e^{-kf} - (n-1)\lambda \right] u^{-\gamma} e^{-f}.$$

Recalling $X = hu^{\alpha-\gamma} e^{-kf}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(- \frac{(4k - (n-1)k^2)N + 4}{4(N+n-1)} u^{2\alpha-2\gamma} e^{-2kf} h^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + |\nabla h| u^{\alpha-\gamma} e^{-kf} - (n-1)\lambda \right) u^{-\gamma} e^{-f} \\
& = \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(- \frac{(4k - (n-1)k^2)N + 4}{4(N+n-1)} u^{2\alpha-2\gamma} e^{-kf} h^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + |\nabla h| u^{\alpha-\gamma} - (n-1)\lambda e^{kf} \right) u^{-\gamma} e^{-(k+1)f},
\end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let (M, g) be a complete Riemannian manifold and C, D be two positive constants. If $\text{diam}(M, g) > \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{CD}}$, then there exist two domains $\Omega_- \subset \Omega_+ \subset M$ and function $h \in C^\infty(\Omega_+ \setminus \overline{\Omega_-})$ such that*

- $\partial\Omega_- \neq \emptyset$, $\partial\Omega_+ \neq \emptyset$ and $\overline{\Omega_+} \setminus \Omega_-$ is compact;
- $\lim_{x \rightarrow \partial\Omega_-} h(x) = +\infty$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \partial\Omega_+} h(x) = -\infty$ uniformly;
- $|\nabla h| < Ch^2 + D$.

Proof. This lemma is proved in the argument of [1, Lemma 1]. \square

Now we are in a position to prove diameter comparison when $3 \leq n \leq 7$.

Proof of (1) in Theorem 1.2 when $3 \leq n \leq 7$. When the constant k satisfies

$$\frac{kN+2}{N+n-1} \leq 1 \text{ and } \frac{(4k-(n-1)k^2)N+4}{4(N+n-1)} > 0,$$

we have $\alpha = \frac{kN+2}{N+n-1}\gamma \leq \gamma$ and define two positive constants by

$$C = \frac{(4k-(n-1)k^2)N+4}{4(N+n-1)} \cdot \frac{(\sup_M u)^{2\alpha-2\gamma}}{(\inf_M u)^{\alpha-\gamma}} \cdot e^{-|k|F}$$

and

$$D = (n-1)\lambda \left(\inf_M u \right)^{\gamma-\alpha} e^{-|k|F}.$$

Then Lemma 2.1 shows

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(|\nabla h| u^{\alpha-\gamma} - C (\inf_M u)^{\alpha-\gamma} h^2 - D (\inf_M u)^{\alpha-\gamma} \right) u^{-\gamma} e^{-(k+1)f} \\ &\leq \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(|\nabla h| - Ch^2 - D \right) u^{-\alpha-2\gamma} e^{-(k+1)f}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\text{diam}(M, g) > \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{CD}}$, then we choose h as in Lemma 2.2 and obtain a contradiction. Thus $\text{diam}(M, g) \leq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{CD}}$ and so

$$\text{diam}(M, g) \leq \left(\frac{\sup_M u}{\inf_M u} \right)^{\gamma-\alpha} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{4(N+n-1)}{(4k-(n-1)k^2)N+4}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{e^{2|k|F}}{n-1}} \cdot \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}.$$

When $N > 0$, we choose $k = 0$. Then $\alpha = \frac{2\gamma}{N+n-1}$ and

$$\text{diam}(M, g) \leq \left(\frac{\sup_M u}{\inf_M u} \right)^{\frac{N+n-3}{N+n-1}\gamma} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{N+n-1}{n-1}} \cdot \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}.$$

When $N < -(n-1)$, we choose $k = \frac{2}{n-1}$. Then $\alpha = \frac{2\gamma}{n-1}$ and

$$\text{diam}(M, g) \leq \left(\frac{\sup_M u}{\inf_M u} \right)^{\frac{n-3}{n-1}\gamma} \cdot e^{\frac{2}{n-1}F} \cdot \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}.$$

□

2.2. The case $n \geq 8$. In this case, $\partial\Omega$ may have singularities. The diameter comparison can be proved by modifying the argument (of the case $3 \leq n \leq 7$) verbatim as in [1, Proof of Lemma 1 ($n \geq 8$) in Appendix A].

3. GLOBAL WEIGHTED VOLUME COMPARISON

To establish the global weighted volume comparison, we first recall the following ODE comparison (see e.g. [1, Lemma 4]).

Lemma 3.1. *Let $V_1 \in (0, +\infty)$ be a constant and $J : [0, V_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function such that*

- (a) $J(0) = 0$ and $J(v) > 0$ for $v \in (0, V_1)$;
- (b) $\limsup_{v \rightarrow 0^+} v^{-\frac{n-1}{n}} J(v) \leq n \text{Vol}(\mathbb{B}^n)^{\frac{1}{n}}$.

- (c) $J''J \leq -\frac{(J')^2}{n-1} - (n-1)\Lambda$ in the viscosity sense on $(0, V_1)$ for some positive constant Λ ;

Then $V_1 \leq \Lambda^{-\frac{n}{2}} \text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^n)$.

We assume without loss of generality that $\inf_M u = 1$. Set

$$\alpha = \frac{2\gamma}{n-1}, \quad k = \frac{2}{n-1}.$$

By (1) of Theorem 1.2, M is compact and so $V_0 := \int_M u^\alpha e^{-(k+1)f}$ is finite. Define the weighted isoperimetric profile:

$$I(v) = \inf \left\{ \int_{\partial^* E} u^\gamma e^{-f} : E \Subset M \text{ has finite perimeter, and } \int_E u^\alpha e^{-(k+1)f} = v \right\}$$

for all $v \in [0, V_0)$, where $\partial^* E$ denotes the reduced boundary of E . It is clear that

$$(3.1) \quad I(0) = 0 \text{ and } I(v) > 0 \text{ for } v \in (0, V_0).$$

Using an argument similar to [7, Proposition 5.3], I is a continuous function on $[0, V_0)$.

Since $\inf_M u = 1$ and M is compact, then there exists a point $x_0 \in M$ such that $u(x_0) = 1$. When $r \ll 1$, we have the asymptotical expansion:

$$\int_{B_r(x_0)} e^{-(k+1)f} = \text{Vol}(\mathbb{B}^n) r^n e^{-(k+1)f(x_0)} + O(r^{n+1})$$

and

$$\int_{\partial B_r(x_0)} e^{-f} = n \text{Vol}(\mathbb{B}^n) r^{n-1} e^{-f(x_0)} + O(r^n).$$

By the definition of I , when $v \ll 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(v) &\leq n \text{Vol}(\mathbb{B}^n)^{\frac{1}{n}} v^{\frac{n-1}{n}} e^{\frac{(n-1)(k+1)-n}{n} f(x_0)} + o(v^{\frac{n-1}{n}}) \\ &= n \text{Vol}(\mathbb{B}^n)^{\frac{1}{n}} v^{\frac{n-1}{n}} e^{\frac{1}{n} f(x_0)} + o(v^{\frac{n-1}{n}}) \\ &\leq n \text{Vol}(\mathbb{B}^n)^{\frac{1}{n}} v^{\frac{n-1}{n}} e^{\frac{1}{n} F} + o(v^{\frac{n-1}{n}}), \end{aligned}$$

where we used $k = \frac{2}{n-1}$ in the second line. This shows

$$(3.2) \quad \limsup_{v \rightarrow 0^+} v^{-\frac{n-1}{n}} I(v) \leq n \text{Vol}(\mathbb{B}^n)^{\frac{1}{n}} e^{\frac{1}{n} F}.$$

For each $v_0 \in (0, V_0)$ and let E be the volume-constrained minimizer of v_0 , i.e.

$$\int_E u^\alpha e^{-(k+1)f} = v_0, \quad \int_{\partial^* E} u^\gamma e^{-f} = I(v_0).$$

The classical geometric measure theory (see e.g. [15, Section 3.10]) shows that ∂E is smooth when $n \leq 7$ while the singular part of ∂E has Hausdorff dimension no more than $n - 8$.

3.1. The case $3 \leq n \leq 7$.

Lemma 3.2. *The function I satisfies*

$$I''I \leq -\frac{(I')^2}{n-1} - (n-1)\lambda e^{-2kF} \text{ in the viscosity sense on } (0, V_0).$$

Proof. Fix $v_0 \in (0, V_0)$ and let E be the above volume-constrained minimizer of v_0 . For any $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$, let $\{E_t\}_{t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)}$ be a smooth family of sets satisfying

- $E_0 = E$;
- $\frac{\partial E_t}{\partial t} = \varphi \nu$, where ν denotes the outer unit normal of ∂E_t .

Write

$$V(t) = \int_{E_t} u^\alpha e^{-(k+1)f}, \quad A(t) = \int_{\partial E_t} u^\gamma e^{-f}.$$

Direct calculation shows

$$V'(0) = \int_{\partial E} u^\alpha e^{-(k+1)f} \varphi,$$

$$V''(0) = \int_{\partial E} (H + \alpha u^{-1} u_\nu - (k+1)f_\nu) u^\alpha e^{-(k+1)f} \varphi^2 + u^\alpha e^{-(k+1)f} \varphi \varphi_\nu$$

and

$$A'(0) = \int_{\partial E} (H - f_\nu + \gamma u^{-1} u_\nu) u^\gamma e^{-f} \varphi,$$

$$\begin{aligned} A''(0) = \int_{\partial E} & \left(-\Delta_{\partial E} \varphi - \text{Ric}(\nu, \nu) \varphi - |\text{II}|^2 \varphi - \text{Hess} f(\nu, \nu) \varphi \right. \\ & + \langle \nabla_{\partial E} f, \nabla_{\partial E} \varphi \rangle + \gamma u^{-1} \varphi (\Delta u - \Delta_{\partial E} u - H u_\nu) \\ & \left. - \gamma u^{-2} u_\nu^2 \varphi - \gamma u^{-1} \langle \nabla_{\partial \Omega} u, \nabla_{\partial \Omega} \varphi \rangle \right) u^\gamma e^{-f} \varphi \\ & + (H - f_\nu + \gamma u^{-1} u_\nu) (\gamma u^{-1} u_\nu \varphi - f_\nu \varphi + \varphi_\nu + H \varphi) u^\gamma e^{-f} \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

For later use, we simplify the expression of $A''(0)$. By the same calculation of (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\text{Ric}(\nu, \nu) \varphi + \gamma u^{-1} \varphi \Delta u - \text{Hess} f(\nu, \nu) \varphi \\ & = (\gamma \Delta_f u - u \text{Ric}_f^N(\nu, \nu)) u^{-1} \varphi - \frac{1}{N} f_\nu^2 \varphi + \gamma u^{-1} \varphi \langle \nabla u, \nabla f \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} A''(0) = \int_{\partial E} & \left(-\Delta_{\partial E} \varphi - |\text{II}|^2 \varphi + (\gamma \Delta_f u - u \text{Ric}_f^N(\nu, \nu)) u^{-1} \varphi - \frac{1}{N} f_\nu^2 \varphi \right. \\ & + \gamma u^{-1} \varphi \langle \nabla u, \nabla f \rangle + \langle \nabla_{\partial E} f, \nabla_{\partial E} \varphi \rangle - \gamma u^{-2} u_\nu^2 \varphi \\ & + \gamma u^{-1} \varphi (-\Delta_{\partial E} u - H u_\nu) - \gamma u^{-1} \langle \nabla_{\partial \Omega} u, \nabla_{\partial \Omega} \varphi \rangle \\ & \left. + (H - f_\nu + \gamma u^{-1} u_\nu) (\gamma u^{-1} u_\nu \varphi - f_\nu \varphi + \varphi_\nu + H \varphi) \right) u^\gamma e^{-f} \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Since E is a volume-constrained minimizer, we know that if

$$V'(0) = \int_{\partial E} u^\alpha e^{-(k+1)f} \varphi = 0,$$

then

$$A'(0) = \int_{\partial E} (H - f_\nu + \gamma u^{-1} u_\nu) u^{\gamma-\alpha} e^{kf} \cdot u^\alpha e^{-(k+1)f} \varphi = 0.$$

This shows

$$(H - f_\nu + \gamma u^{-1} u_\nu) u^{\gamma-\alpha} e^{kf} = \text{constant on } E.$$

Next, we choose $\varphi = u^{-\gamma}$ and so

$$V'(0) = \int_{\partial E} u^{\alpha-\gamma} e^{-(k+1)f} > 0.$$

Then near 0, $V(t)$ has the inverse function and we define $G(v) = A(V^{-1}(v))$. Observe that

$$G(v_0) = I(v_0) \text{ and } G(v) \geq I(v) \text{ near } v_0.$$

Thus G is an upper barrier of I at v_0 . To prove Lemma 3.2, it then suffices to show

$$(3.3) \quad G(v_0)G''(v_0) \leq -\frac{G'^2(v_0)}{n-1} - (n-1)\lambda e^{-2kF}.$$

Using the chain rule and the formula for the derivative of inverse function, we compute

$$(3.4) \quad G'(v_0) = A'(0)(V^{-1})'(v_0) = \frac{A'(0)}{V'(0)} = (H - f_\nu + \gamma u^{-1} u_\nu) u^{\gamma-\alpha} e^{kf}$$

and

$$G''(v_0) = \frac{A''(0)}{V'^2(0)} - \frac{A'(0)V''(0)}{V'^3(0)} = \frac{A''(0) - G'(v_0)V''(0)}{V'^2(0)}.$$

We split the argument of (3.3) into two steps:

Step 1. Compute $V''(0)$ and $A''(0)$.

It is clear that

$$V''(0) = \int_{\partial E} \left(H - (k+1)f_\nu + (\alpha - \gamma)u^{-1}u_\nu \right) u^{\alpha-2\gamma} e^{-(k+1)f}.$$

For $A''(0)$, the same calculations of (2.3) and (2.4) show

$$-\Delta_{\partial E} \varphi - \gamma u^{-1} \varphi \Delta_{\partial E} u - \gamma u^{-1} \langle \nabla_{\partial E} u, \nabla_{\partial E} \varphi \rangle = -\gamma u^{-\gamma-2} |\nabla_{\partial E} u|^2 \leq 0$$

and

$$\gamma u^{-1} \varphi \langle \nabla u, \nabla f \rangle + \langle \nabla_{\partial E} f, \nabla_{\partial E} \varphi \rangle = \gamma u^{-\gamma-1} u_\nu f_\nu.$$

Combining the above with $|\text{II}|^2 \geq \frac{H^2}{n-1}$ and $\gamma\Delta_f u - u\text{Ric}_f^N \leq -(n-1)\lambda u$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A''(0) &\leq \int_{\partial E} \left(-\frac{H^2}{n-1}u^{-\gamma} - (n-1)\lambda u^{-\gamma} - \frac{1}{N}f_\nu^2 u^{-\gamma} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \gamma u^{-\gamma-1}u_\nu f_\nu - \gamma u^{-\gamma-2}u_\nu^2 - \gamma u^{-\gamma-1}H u_\nu \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (H - f_\nu + \gamma u^{-1}u_\nu)(-f_\nu u^{-\gamma} + H u^{-\gamma}) \right) e^{-f} \\ &= \int_{\partial E} \left(-\frac{H^2}{n-1} - (n-1)\lambda - \frac{1}{N}f_\nu^2 + \gamma u^{-1}u_\nu f_\nu - \gamma u^{-2}u_\nu^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \gamma u^{-1}H u_\nu + (H - f_\nu + \gamma u^{-1}u_\nu)(-f_\nu + H) \right) u^{-\gamma} e^{-f}. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2. Verify (3.3).

For convenience, we introduce $X = G'(v_0)u^{\alpha-\gamma}e^{-kf}$ and $Y = u^{-1}u_\nu$. Then (3.4) shows

$$H = f_\nu + X - \gamma Y.$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} G'(v_0)V''(0) &= \int_{\partial E} u^{\gamma-\alpha} e^{kf} X \cdot \left(X - kf_\nu + (\alpha - 2\gamma)Y \right) u^{\alpha-2\gamma} e^{-(k+1)f} \\ &= \int_{\partial E} \left(X^2 - kXf_\nu + (\alpha - 2\gamma)XY \right) u^{-\gamma} e^{-f} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A''(0) &\leq \int_{\partial E} \left[-\frac{(f_\nu + X - \gamma Y)^2}{n-1} - (n-1)\lambda - \frac{1}{N}f_\nu^2 + \gamma Y f_\nu \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \gamma Y^2 - \gamma Y(f_\nu + X - \gamma Y) + X(X - \gamma Y) \right] u^{-\gamma} e^{-f} \\ &= \int_{\partial E} \left[-(n-1)\lambda - \left(\frac{1}{n-1} + \frac{1}{N} \right) f_\nu^2 - \frac{2}{n-1} X f_\nu \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2\gamma}{n-1} Y f_\nu + \left(1 - \frac{1}{n-1} \right) X^2 + \left(\frac{2\gamma}{n-1} - 2\gamma \right) XY \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{n-2}{n-1} \gamma^2 - \gamma \right) Y^2 \right] u^{-\gamma} e^{-f}. \end{aligned}$$

It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &A''(0) - G'(v_0)V''(0) \\ &\leq \int_{\partial E} \left[-(n-1)\lambda - \frac{N+n-1}{N(n-1)} f_\nu^2 + \left(k - \frac{2}{n-1} \right) X f_\nu + \frac{2\gamma}{n-1} Y f_\nu \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{n-1} X^2 + \left(\frac{2\gamma}{n-1} - \alpha \right) XY + \left(\frac{n-2}{n-1} \gamma^2 - \gamma \right) Y^2 \right] u^{-\gamma} e^{-f}. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling $k = \frac{2}{n-1}$ and $\alpha = \frac{2\gamma}{n-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & A''(0) - G'(v_0)V''(0) \\ & \leq \int_{\partial E} \left[-(n-1)\lambda - \frac{1}{n-1}X^2 - \frac{N+n-1}{N(n-1)}f_\nu^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{2\gamma}{n-1}Yf_\nu + \left(\frac{n-2}{n-1}\gamma^2 - \gamma\right)Y^2 \right] u^{-\gamma}e^{-f}. \end{aligned}$$

Using

$$-\frac{N+n-1}{N(n-1)}f_\nu^2 + \frac{2\gamma}{n-1}Yf_\nu \leq \frac{N\gamma^2}{(N+n-1)(n-1)}Y^2$$

and $0 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{N+n-1}{N+n-2}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & A''(0) - G'(v_0)V''(0) \\ & \leq \int_{\partial E} \left[-(n-1)\lambda - \frac{1}{n-1}X^2 + \left(\frac{N+n-2}{N+n-1}\gamma^2 - \gamma\right)Y^2 \right] u^{-\gamma}e^{-f} \\ & \leq \int_{\partial E} \left(-(n-1)\lambda - \frac{1}{n-1}X^2 \right) u^{-\gamma}e^{-f}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with $V'(0) = \int_{\partial E} u^{\alpha-\gamma}e^{-(k+1)f}$ and $X = G'(v_0)u^{\alpha-\gamma}e^{-kf}$,

$$\begin{aligned} G''(v_0) &= \frac{A''(0) - G'(v_0)V''(0)}{V'^2(0)} \\ &\leq -(n-1)\lambda \cdot \frac{\int_{\partial E} u^{-\gamma}e^{-f}}{\left(\int_{\partial E} u^{\alpha-\gamma}e^{-(k+1)f}\right)^2} - \frac{G'^2(v_0)}{n-1} \cdot \frac{\int_{\partial E} u^{2\alpha-3\gamma}e^{-(2k+1)f}}{\left(\int_{\partial E} u^{\alpha-\gamma}e^{-(k+1)f}\right)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling $\inf_M u = 1$ and $\gamma \geq \alpha$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial E} u^{-\gamma}e^{-f} &= \int_{\partial E} u^{2\gamma-2\alpha}e^{2kf} \cdot u^{2\alpha-3\gamma}e^{-(2k+1)f} \\ &\geq e^{-2kF} \int_{\partial E} u^{2\alpha-3\gamma}e^{-(2k+1)f}. \end{aligned}$$

It then follows that

$$G''(v_0) \leq \left(-(n-1)\lambda e^{-2kF} - \frac{G'^2(v_0)}{n-1} \right) \cdot \frac{\int_{\partial E} u^{2\alpha-3\gamma}e^{-(2k+1)f}}{\left(\int_{\partial E} u^{\alpha-\gamma}e^{-(k+1)f}\right)^2}.$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\partial E} u^{\alpha-\gamma}e^{-(k+1)f} \right)^2 &= \left(\int_{\partial E} u^{\alpha-\frac{3}{2}\gamma}e^{-k-\frac{1}{2}f} \cdot u^{\frac{1}{2}\gamma}e^{-\frac{1}{2}f} \right)^2 \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\partial E} u^{2\alpha-3\gamma}e^{-(2k+1)f} \right) \left(\int_{\partial E} u^\gamma e^{-f} \right), \end{aligned}$$

we see that

$$G''(v_0) \leq \left(-(n-1)\lambda e^{-2kF} - \frac{G'^2(v_0)}{n-1} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{\int_{\partial E} u^\gamma e^{-f}}.$$

Recalling $G(v_0) = I(v_0) = \int_{\partial E} u^\gamma e^{-f}$, we obtain (3.3). \square

Proof of (2) in Theorem 1.2 when $3 \leq n \leq 7$. Combining Lemma 3.1, (3.1), (3.2) and Lemma 3.2, the function $J := e^{-\frac{F}{n}} I$ is a continuous function on $[0, V_1)$ satisfies the assumptions (a)-(c) in Lemma 3.1 with $V_1 = e^{-\frac{F}{n}} V_0$ and $\Lambda = \lambda e^{-(2k+\frac{2}{n})F}$. Then

$$e^{-\frac{F}{n}} V_0 \leq (\lambda e^{-(2k+\frac{2}{n})F})^{-\frac{n}{2}} \text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^n)$$

and so

$$V_0 \leq e^{(kn+1+\frac{1}{n})F} \lambda^{-\frac{n}{2}} \text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^n).$$

By $\inf_M u = 1$ and $\alpha \geq 0$, we have

$$V_0 = \int_M u^\alpha e^{-(k+1)f} \geq e^{-kF} \int_M e^{-f} = e^{-kF} \text{Vol}_f(M, g).$$

Combining the above and recalling $k = \frac{2}{n-1}$,

$$\text{Vol}_f(M, g) \leq e^{\frac{(n+1)(3n-1)}{n(n-1)}F} \cdot \lambda^{-\frac{n}{2}} \text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^n).$$

\square

3.2. The case $n \geq 8$. In this case, ∂E may have singularities. The global volume comparison can be proved by modifying the argument (of the case $3 \leq n \leq 7$) verbatim as in [1, Proof of Lemma 2 ($n \geq 8$) in Appendix A].

REFERENCES

- [1] Antonelli, G.; Xu, K.; *New spectral Bishop-Gromov and Bonnet-Myers theorems and applications to isoperimetry*, preprint, arXiv:2405.08918.
- [2] Bray, H., *The Penrose inequality in general relativity and volume comparison theorems involving scalar curvature*, Thesis (Ph.D.)—Stanford University ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1997. 103 pp.
- [3] Bray, H.; Gui, F.; Liu, Z.; Zhang, Y., *Proof of Bishop's volume comparison theorem using singular soap bubbles*, preprint, arXiv:1903.12317.
- [4] Bakry, D.; Émery, M., *Diffusions hypercontractives*, Séminaire de probabilités, XIX, 1983/84, 177–206. Lecture Notes in Math., 1123, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [5] Bakry, D.; Qian, Z., *Some new results on eigenvectors via dimension, diameter, and Ricci curvature*, Adv. Math. **155** (2000), no. 1, 98–153.
- [6] Bakry, D.; Qian, Z., *Volume comparison theorems without Jacobi fields*, Current trends in potential theory, 115–122. Theta Ser. Adv. Math., 4 Theta, Bucharest, 2005.
- [7] Chodosh, O.; Li, C.; Minter, P.; Stryker, D., *Stable minimal hypersurfaces in \mathbf{R}^5* , preprint, arXiv:2401.01492.
- [8] Gromov, M., *Four Lectures on Scalar Curvature*, preprint, arXiv:1908.10612.
- [9] Li, X.-D., *Liouville theorems for symmetric diffusion operators on complete Riemannian manifolds*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **84** (2005), no. 10, 1295–1361.
- [10] Lichnerowicz, A., *Variétés riemanniennes à tenseur C non négatif*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **271** (1970), A650–A653.
- [11] Lichnerowicz, A., *Variétés kählériennes à première classe de Chern non négative et variétés riemanniennes à courbure de Ricci généralisée non négative*, J. Differential Geometry **6** (1971/72), 47–94.

- [12] Limoncu, M., *The Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor and its applications to some compactness theorems*, Math. Z. **271** (2012), no. 3–4, 715–722.
- [13] Lott, J., *Some geometric properties of the Bakry-Émery-Ricci tensor*, Comment. Math. Helv. **78** (2003), no. 4, 865–883.
- [14] Maggi, F. *Sets of finite perimeter and geometric variational problems*, An introduction to geometric measure theory, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., 135, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012. xx+454 pp.
- [15] Morgan, F., *Regularity of isoperimetric hypersurfaces in Riemannian manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355** (2003), no. 12, 5041–5052.
- [16] Morgan, F., *Geometric measure theory. A beginner's guide*, Fourth edition, Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2009. viii+249 pp.
- [17] Perelman, G., *The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications*, preprint, arXiv:math/0211159.
- [18] Qian, Z., *Estimates for weighted volumes and applications*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **48** (1997), no. 190, 235–242.
- [19] Tadano, H., *Remark on a diameter bound for complete Riemannian manifolds with positive Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature*, Differential Geom. Appl. **44** (2016), 136–143.
- [20] Wei, G.; Wylie, W., *Comparison geometry for the Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor*, J. Differential Geom. **83** (2009), no. 2, 377–405.
- [21] Xu, K., *Dimension constraints in some problems involving intermediate curvature*, preprint, arXiv:2301.02730, to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [22] Zhu, J., *Width estimate and doubly warped product*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **374** (2021), no. 2, 1497–1511.

(Jianchun Chu) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, PEKING UNIVERSITY, YIHEYUAN ROAD 5, BEIJING 100871, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
Email address: `jianchunchu@math.pku.edu.cn`

(Zihang Hao) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, PEKING UNIVERSITY, YIHEYUAN ROAD 5, BEIJING 100871, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
Email address: `2301110014@pku.edu.cn`