

Wick theorem and matrix Capelli identity for quantum differential operators on Reflection Equation Algebras

Dimitry Gurevich*

Pavel Saponov†

*National Research University Higher School of Economics,
20 Myasnitskaya Ulitsa, Moscow 101000, Russian Federation*

and

*Institute for High Energy Physics, NRC "Kurchatov Institute"
Protvino 142281, Russian Federation*

Mikhail Zaitsev‡

*National Research University Higher School of Economics,
20 Myasnitskaya Ulitsa, Moscow 101000, Russian Federation*

December 19, 2024

Abstract

Quantum differential operators on Reflection Equation Algebras, corresponding to Hecke symmetries R were introduced in previous publications. In the present paper we are mainly interested in quantum analogs of the Laplace and Casimir operators, which are invariant with respect to the action of the Quantum Groups $U_q(sl(N))$, provided R is Drinfeld-Jimbo. We prove that any such operator maps the characteristic subalgebra (which conjecturally coincides with the center) of a Reflection Equation algebra into itself. Also, we define the notion of normal ordering for the quantum differential operators and prove an analog of the Wick theorem. As an important corollary we find a universal matrix Capelli identity generalizing the results of [Ok2] and [JLM].

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification, 2020: 17B37, 81R50

Keywords: Quantum doubles, quantum differential operators, normal ordering, Wick theorem, matrix Capelli identity.

1 Introduction

In this paper we introduce and study quantum analogs of differential operators defined on a class of quantum matrix algebras called Reflections Equation (RE) algebras. We use the term *quantum analog* in a large sense of the word, without restricting ourselves to objects, related to the quantum groups $U_q(sl(N))$, which are called below *standard*.

*gurevich@ihes.fr

†Pavel.Saponov@ihep.ru

‡mrzaytsev@edu.hse.ru

The starting point for constructing an RE algebra is a skew-invertible (see below) *Hecke symmetry*. Recall that by such a symmetry we mean a linear operator $R \in \text{End}(V^{\otimes 2})$, V being a complex vector space $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V = N$, which is subject to a *braid relation*

$$(R \otimes I)(I \otimes R)(R \otimes I) = (I \otimes R)(R \otimes I)(I \otimes R), \quad (1.1)$$

and satisfies the *Hecke condition*

$$(qI - R)(q^{-1}I + R) = 0, \quad q \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\pm 1, 0\}. \quad (1.2)$$

Hereafter, I stands for the identity operator or the unit matrix of an appropriate size. The numeric parameter q is assumed to be generic, i.e. $q^{2n} \neq 1$ for all positive integers $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Given such a Hecke symmetry R , the corresponding RE algebra is an associative algebra $\mathcal{M}(R)$ generated by the entries of the $N \times N$ matrix $M = \|m_i^j\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}$, subject to the relation:

$$R(M \otimes I)R(M \otimes I) - (M \otimes I)R(M \otimes I)R = 0. \quad (1.3)$$

Note that if $R = P$ is the usual flip, then $\mathcal{M}(P) = \text{Sym}(gl(N))$.

These algebras possess very remarkable properties. First, they admit definitions of analogs of the partial derivatives in the generators m_i^j and analogs of the Weyl-Heisenberg algebras. Note that the latter analogs are introduced in terms of so-called *quantum doubles*. By a quantum double (A, B) we mean a couple of associative unital algebras A and B equipped with a *permutation map* $\sigma : A \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes A$, compatible with their algebraic structures (see [GS] for detail). The map σ defines the so-called permutation relations. Namely, the map σ plays the role of the Leibniz rule for elements of the algebra A , treated as vector fields (in particular, quantum partial derivatives), which act on elements of the algebra B . Note that the classical Leibniz rule is universal and is valid for all vector fields, whereas in the quantum case the permutation map essentially depends on given algebras A and B . Observe that by contrast with the approach of the paper [IP], where the role of the algebra B is played by an RTT algebra, in our setting the quantum double consists of two RE algebras in different realizations.

In a quantum double, we are dealing with, the RE algebra $\mathcal{M}(R)$ plays the role of a function algebra B . The role of the algebra A is attributed to the RE algebra $\mathcal{D}(R^{-1})$, generated by a matrix $D = \|\partial_i^j\|$ subject to the relation

$$R^{-1}(D \otimes I)R^{-1}(D \otimes I) - (D \otimes I)R^{-1}(D \otimes I)R^{-1} = 0. \quad (1.4)$$

The permutation relations among elements of the algebras $\mathcal{D}(R^{-1})$ and $\mathcal{M}(R)$ is exhibited in (3.2).

The second remarkable property of the quantum double $(\mathcal{D}(R^{-1}), \mathcal{M}(R))$ is that the matrix $\hat{L} = MD$ generates a so-called modified RE algebra $\hat{\mathcal{L}}(R)$ defined by the following relation

$$R(\hat{L} \otimes I)R(\hat{L} \otimes I) - (\hat{L} \otimes I)R(\hat{L} \otimes I)R = R(\hat{L} \otimes I) - (\hat{L} \otimes I)R. \quad (1.5)$$

If $R = P$, the algebra $\hat{\mathcal{L}}(P)$ coincides with the universal enveloping algebra $U(gl(N))$. In this case the generators $\hat{l}_i^j = \sum_k m_i^k \partial_k^j$ of the algebra $U(gl(N))$ are represented by the Euler type vector fields, acting on the algebra $\text{Sym}(gl(N)) \simeq \mathbb{C}[m_i^j]$. For a general Hecke symmetry R we get the quantum analogs of these vector fields acting on the algebra $\mathcal{M}(R)$ and a new quantum Leibniz rule for them is given by the corresponding permutation map.

Observe that in the classical setting the elements $\text{Tr}D^k$ and $\text{Tr}\hat{L}^k$ are invariant under the action of the group $GL(N)$. The elements $\text{Tr}D^k$ are called Laplace operators, while $\text{Tr}\hat{L}^k$ are called Casimir ones. Also, the ordered Casimir operators

$$W^{(\mathbf{k})} = : \text{Tr}(\hat{L}^{k_1}) \dots \text{Tr}(\hat{L}^{k_p}) : \quad k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$$

are of interest, where the colons stands for the usual normal ordering : $\partial_k^s m_i^j := m_i^j \partial_k^s$. Being properly normalized, the operators $W^{(\mathbf{k})}$ are called cut-and-join ones. They play an important role in combinatorics and integrable system theory.

Below, we define the quantum analogs of the Laplace and Casimir operators in a way, analogous to the classical patterns. Emphasize, that the relation $\hat{L} = MD$ enables us to define the ordered Casimir operators in our setting. The peculiarity of the quantum case is that the usual trace Tr , entering the definitions of invariant elements, is replaced by its quantum analog Tr_R , which is well defined for any *skew-invertible* Hecke symmetry R . Note that in the standard case the quantum differential operators under consideration (Laplaces, Casimirs, ordered Casimirs) are invariant with respect to the action of the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}(N))$.

The third remarkable property of the RE algebras is that numerous aspects of classical theory can be generalized on them. One of the objectives of the current paper is to generalize on these algebras the Wick formula, relating differential operators and their normally ordered forms. This formula entails a new form of the Capelli identity, generalizing this from [Ok2] and that from [JLM]. Thus, the main ingredients of the paper [Ok2] — the symmetric groups and the enveloping algebras $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$ — are replaced by their quantum analogs — the Hecke algebra and RE algebras. As for the matrix Capelli identity, in the recent paper [JLM] it is proved in a particular case of the standard Hecke symmetry. Besides, our version of the Capelli identity by contrast with the result of [JLM] is in a sense universal since it is not attached to a concrete Young diagram. Also, note that quantum analogs of immanants from [Ok1] can be defined not only in the standard case as was done in [JLM] but for any RE algebra (see [Z]).

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we remind some basic constructions related to the Hecke symmetries and the corresponding RE algebras. In Section 3 we introduce the quantum derivatives and define the (generalized) quantum Laplacians on these algebras. We prove that the action of generalized Laplace operators maps the central characteristic subalgebra into itself. In Section 4 we introduce the generalized Casimir operators by means of the “quantum Euler-type vector fields” and define the normal ordering for quantum differential operators. Also, we prove the quantum version of the Wick theorem and deduce from it the universal matrix Capelli identity.

2 Preliminaries

Observe that any Hecke symmetry R defines the so-called R -matrix representation ρ_R of the Hecke algebra $H_k(q)$ in the space $V^{\otimes k}$:

$$\rho_R : H_k(q) \rightarrow \text{End}(V^{\otimes k}), \quad \forall k \geq 2.$$

Recall that the Hecke algebra $H_k(q)$ is a unital associative algebra over the complex field \mathbb{C} generated by *Artin’s generators* $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{k-1}$ subject to the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_i \tau_{i+1} \tau_i &= \tau_{i+1} \tau_i \tau_{i+1}, & 1 \leq i \leq k-2 \\ \tau_i \tau_j &= \tau_j \tau_i, & |i-j| \geq 2 \\ (q-1-\tau_i)(q^{-1}-1+\tau_i) &= 0, & q \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\pm 1, 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

where 1 stands for the unit element of the algebra. As is known, the Hecke algebra $H_k(q)$ is finite dimensional and for a generic q is isomorphic to the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[S_k]$ of the symmetric group S_k .

Below we need the so-called Jucys-Murphy elements j_r , $1 \leq r \leq k$, which generate a maximal commutative subalgebra in the algebra $H_k(q)$:

$$j_1 = 1, \quad j_r = \tau_{r-1} j_{r-1} \tau_{r-1}, \quad 2 \leq r \leq k. \tag{2.1}$$

The R -matrix representation ρ_R is completely defined by the images of generators τ_i :

$$\rho_R(\tau_i) = R_i := R_{i i+1} = I^{\otimes(i-1)} \otimes R \otimes I^{\otimes(k-i-1)} \in \text{End}(V^{\otimes k}), \quad 1 \leq i \leq k-1. \quad (2.2)$$

Also, we denote $J_i := \rho_R(j_i)$.

From now on, we assume any Hecke symmetry R to be *skew-invertible*. This means that there exists an operator $\Psi : V^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow V^{\otimes 2}$ such that

$$\text{Tr}_2 R_{12} \Psi_{23} = \text{Tr}_2 \Psi_{12} R_{23} = P_{13}. \quad (2.3)$$

Recall that P stands for the usual flip.

Let us fix a basis $\{x_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ in the space V and the corresponding bases $\{x_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{i_k}\}$ in the spaces $V^{\otimes k}$. Then the operator Ψ can be identified with its $N^2 \times N^2$ matrix $\|\Psi_{ij}^{rs}\|$ in the tensor basis of the space $V^{\otimes 2}$. Consider the $N \times N$ matrix C with the following matrix elements:

$$C_i^j = \sum_{k=1}^N \Psi_{ik}^{jk},$$

The matrix C allows one to define the R -trace of any $N \times N$ matrix X :

$$\text{Tr}_R X = \text{Tr}(CX). \quad (2.4)$$

Now we describe a way of constructing some central elements of the RE algebra $\mathcal{M}(R)$ (1.3) which belong to its *characteristic subalgebra*. Besides, we recall the definition of the quantum Schur functions (polynomials) and power sums as a particular examples of these elements. For this purpose it is convenient to introduce the following matrix ‘‘copies’’ of the generating matrix M :

$$M_{\overline{1}} = M_1, \quad M_{\overline{r+1}} = R_r M_{\overline{r}} R_r^{-1}, \quad r \geq 1. \quad (2.5)$$

Here $M_1 = M \otimes I^{\otimes(k-1)}$ and R_r defined in (2.2) are embeddings of the corresponding matrices into the larger matrix space $(\text{Mat}_N)^{\otimes k}$. Below we do not fix the integer k in this embedding by a somewhat specific value just assuming it to be sufficiently large in order all matrix formulae make sense.

The following important proposition was proved in [IOP].

Proposition 1 *Let $z \in H_n(q)$ be an arbitrary element. Then the n -th order homogeneous polynomial in generators m_i^j*

$$ch_n(z) = \text{Tr}_{R(1\dots n)} (\rho_R(z) M_{\overline{1 \rightarrow n}}) = \text{Tr}_{R(1\dots n)} (M_{\overline{1 \rightarrow n}} \rho_R(z)) \quad (2.6)$$

is central in the RE algebra $\mathcal{M}(R)$. Here $M_{\overline{1 \rightarrow n}} := M_{\overline{1}} M_{\overline{2}} \dots M_{\overline{n}}$.

In [IOP] the map

$$ch_n : H_n(q) \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{M}(R)), \quad z \mapsto ch_n(z),$$

where $Z(A)$ stands for the center of the algebra A , was named *characteristic map* and, respectively, the central subalgebra in $\mathcal{M}(R)$ generated by images of maps ch_n for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ is referred to as the characteristic (or Bethe) subalgebra.

Remark 2 For a general skew-invertible Hecke symmetry R the characteristic subalgebra is at least a subset of the center of RE algebra $\mathcal{M}(R)$. For the Drinfeld-Jimbo R -matrix the characteristic subalgebra coincides with the center. Moreover, the center of the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}(N))$ coincides with the characteristic subalgebra of the RE algebra $\mathcal{M}(R)$ which can be embedded into $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}(N))$ as a subalgebra (see [FRT]).

Consider now some specific elements of the characteristic subalgebra which we need in what follows. For a given integer k we chose the so-called Coxeter element $\tau_{k-1}\tau_{k-2}\dots\tau_1 \in H_k(q)$. Its image under the characteristic map ch_k reads:

$$p_k(M) := \text{Tr}_{R(1\dots k)} (\rho_R(\tau_{k-1}\tau_{k-2}\dots\tau_1)M_{\overline{1\rightarrow k}}) = \text{Tr}_{R(1\dots k)} (R_{k-1}R_{k-2}\dots R_1 M_{\overline{1\rightarrow k}}). \quad (2.7)$$

The polynomials $p_k(M)$, $k \geq 1$ are called (quantum) *power sums*. Note that the power sums (2.7) can be reduced to $p_k(M) = \text{Tr}_R M^k$. In this form they are similar to the classical ones, corresponding to the case $R = P$. The only difference is in the use of the R -trace instead of the usual one.

For any partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k)$, where λ_i are non-negative integers, we introduce the corresponding symmetric function (polynomial) $p_\lambda(M)$

$$p_\lambda(M) = p_{\lambda_1}(M) \dots p_{\lambda_k}(M). \quad (2.8)$$

Since all factors in this product are central in the algebra $\mathcal{M}(R)$, their order does not matter. We call the symmetric function $p_\lambda(M)$ the power sum, corresponding to the partition λ . Thus, the power sums $p_k(M)$, $k \geq 1$ correspond to one-row partitions $\lambda = (k)$.

Another important set of central elements is formed by the *quantum Schur polynomials* $s_\lambda(M)$, associated with partitions $\lambda \vdash n$, $n \geq 1$. The polynomials s_λ were defined in [GPS1] for more general class of quantum matrix algebras than RE algebras. In the particular case of RE algebra $\mathcal{M}(R)$ the quantum Schur polynomial $s_\lambda(M)$ is defined by the formula:

$$s_\lambda(M) = \text{Tr}_{R(1\dots n)} (\rho_R(e_{ii}^\lambda)M_{\overline{1\rightarrow n}}), \quad \lambda \vdash n. \quad (2.9)$$

Here $e_{ii}^\lambda \in H_n(q)$ is a primitive idempotent of the Hecke algebra, the index i runs over the set of all standard Young tables corresponding to the partition λ (see, for example, the review [OP] for more detail). As was shown in [GPS1], the right hand side of (2.9) does not depend on the index i . Besides, the polynomials (2.9) satisfy the Littlewood-Richardson rule

$$s_\lambda(M)s_\mu(M) = \sum_\nu C_{\lambda\mu}^\nu s_\nu(M),$$

with classical coefficients $C_{\lambda\mu}^\nu$ [GPS1]. This property justifies the term ‘‘Schur polynomials’’ for elements (2.9).

Remark 3 The connection of quantum polynomials $p_\lambda(M)$ and $s_\lambda(M)$ with power sums and Schur functions of the classical theory of symmetric functions becomes more clear after a parameterization of the quantum polynomials by the ‘‘eigenvalues’’ of the generating matrix M . The spectrum of M and the corresponding parameterization were introduced and studied in [GPS1, GPS2, GPS4]. In the present paper we do not need the spectral parameterization.

3 The quantum derivatives and generalized Laplace operators

Let us briefly remind the construction of a quantum double from [GS] since it plays the central role in the subsequent considerations.

By a quantum double we mean a couple (A, B) of two unital associative algebras A and B , equipped with a *permutation map*

$$\sigma : A \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes A,$$

which preserves the algebraic structures of A and B . If these algebras are defined via relations on their generators, this property means that the ideals, generated by the relations, are preserved by the map σ (see [GS] for detail). Also, we assume a braiding (different from a (super)flip) to be involved in the definition of a quantum double.

Often it is more convenient to use the so-called *permutation relations*

$$a \otimes b = \sigma(a \otimes b), \quad a \in A, \quad b \in B.$$

If the algebra A admits a one-dimensional representation $\varepsilon : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, then it is possible to define an action $\triangleright : A \otimes B \rightarrow B$ of the algebra A on B by setting:

$$a \triangleright b := (\text{Id} \otimes \varepsilon)\sigma(a \otimes b), \quad \forall a \in A, \quad b \in B. \quad (3.1)$$

Here, as usual we identify the elements b and $b \otimes 1$. Due to the properties of σ , such an action will be a representation of A in the algebra B .

Our basic example of the quantum double is the pair of the RE algebras $A = \mathcal{D}(R^{-1})$ and $B = \mathcal{M}(R)$ defined by the relations (1.4) and (1.3). Let us introduce the permutation relation as follows [GPS5]:

$$D_1 R_1 M_1 R_1 = R_1 M_1 R_1^{-1} D_1 + R_1 1_B 1_A. \quad (3.2)$$

The entries of the matrix $D = \|\partial_i^j\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}$ acquire the meaning of operators if we take the trivial one-dimensional representation of the RE algebra $A = \mathcal{D}(R^{-1})$:

$$\varepsilon(1_A) = 1, \quad \varepsilon(\partial_i^j) = 0, \quad \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq N.$$

Using the general formula (3.1) with this map ε we get:

$$D_1 \triangleright R_1 M_1 R_1 = R_1 M_1 R_1^{-1} \varepsilon(D_1) + R_1 1_B \varepsilon(1_A) = R_1 1_B. \quad (3.3)$$

Below we shall omit the symbols of the unit elements 1_A and 1_B if it does not lead to misunderstanding.

Remark 4 Note, that the above formula for action of D contains the summation over matrix indices, that is it actually describes the actions of some linear combinations of ∂_i^j on some other linear combinations of m_k^s . Nevertheless, due to the invertibility and the skew-invertibility of R , the action (3.3) can be transformed to the following equivalent form:

$$D_1 \triangleright M_2 = \text{Tr}_0(\Psi_{02})P_{12} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \partial_i^j \triangleright m_k^s = \delta_i^s B_k^j, \quad B_i^j = \sum_{k=1}^N \Psi_{ki}^{kj}.$$

So, formula (3.3) allows one to find the action of any given generator ∂_i^j on any given m_k^s .

Note that if $R = P$, the algebras $\mathcal{M}(R)$ and $\mathcal{D}(R^{-1})$ become commutative and the action (3.3) takes the form:

$$D_1 \triangleright P_{12} M_1 P_{12} = D_1 \triangleright M_2 = P_{12} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \partial_i^j \triangleright m_k^s = \delta_i^s \delta_k^j.$$

So, we consider the generators of the component $A = \mathcal{D}(R^{-1})$ as quantum analogs of the usual partial derivatives $\partial_i^j = \partial/\partial m_j^i$. Also, we treat the quantum double $(\mathcal{D}(R^{-1}), \mathcal{M}(R))$ as a quantum counterpart of the usual Weyl-Heisenberg algebra of $gl(N)$ type.

Consider the power sums:

$$p_k(D) = \text{Tr}_{R(1 \dots k)} (R_{k-1} \dots R_1 D_{\overline{1 \rightarrow k}}) = \text{Tr}_R D^k, \quad k \geq 1, \quad (3.4)$$

which are central in the algebra $\mathcal{D}(R^{-1})$. Strictly speaking, we should use the $\text{Tr}_{R^{-1}}$ operation in the RE algebra $\mathcal{D}(R^{-1})$. But if R^{-1} is also skew-invertible (we always suppose this to be true) then $C_{R^{-1}}$ differs from C_R by a scalar nonzero multiplier and we can use the matrix C_R for construction of central elements in the RE algebra $\mathcal{D}(R^{-1})$ [Og].

The operators (3.4) are called *the quantum Laplace operators (Laplacians)*. Also, we consider more general central elements of the algebra $\mathcal{D}(R^{-1})$

$$D_Q = \text{Tr}_{R(1\dots m)} (Q(R_1, \dots, R_{m-1}) D_{\overline{1 \rightarrow m}}) = \text{Tr}_{R(1\dots m)} (D_{\overline{1 \rightarrow m}} Q(R_1, \dots, R_{m-1})), \quad (3.5)$$

where $Q(R_1, \dots, R_{m-1})$ is an arbitrary polynomial in R_i , $1 \leq i \leq m-1$. We call the operators, corresponding to the elements (3.5) *the generalized quantum Laplacians* on the RE algebra $\mathcal{M}(R)$.

The following theorem holds true.

Theorem 5 *The action of any generalized quantum Laplacian D_Q maps the characteristic subalgebra into itself.*

Proof. To prove the proposition we show that the action of any generalized Laplace operator D_Q on an arbitrary element of the characteristic subalgebra gives rise to an element of the characteristic subalgebra. To do so we need the formula for action of an arbitrary m -th order monomial in D on an arbitrary k -th order monomial in M , that is we have to find the result of action

$$D_1 D_{\overline{2}} \dots D_{\overline{m}} \triangleright M_{\overline{m+1}} M_{\overline{m+2}} \dots M_{\overline{m+k}}$$

for any given pair of positive integers $m \leq k$.

It is convenient to introduce a shorthand notation:

$$R_{i \rightarrow j}^{\pm 1} = \begin{cases} R_i^{\pm 1} R_{i+1}^{\pm 1} \dots R_j^{\pm 1} & j \geq i \\ R_i^{\pm 1} R_{i-1}^{\pm 1} \dots R_j^{\pm 1} & j < i \end{cases}.$$

Upon multiplying the relation (3.2) by R_1^{-2} from the right, we get

$$D_1 M_{\overline{2}} = M_{\overline{2}} D_1 R_1^{-2} + R_1^{-1}. \quad (3.6)$$

Then, taking into account the definition (2.5) of $M_{\overline{r}}$ one generalizes (3.6) to the form:

$$D_1 M_{\overline{r}} = M_{\overline{r}} D_1 R_{r-1 \rightarrow 2} R_1^{-2} R_{2 \rightarrow r-1}^{-1} + R_{r-1 \rightarrow 2} R_{1 \rightarrow r-1}^{-1}.$$

With a simple recursion this allows us to come to the permutation relation of D with an arbitrary monomial in generators M :

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 M_{\overline{2}} \dots M_{\overline{k+1}} &= M_{\overline{2}} \dots M_{\overline{k+1}} D_1 R_{1 \rightarrow k-1}^{-1} R_k^{-2} R_{k-1 \rightarrow 1}^{-1} \\ &\quad + \sum_{s=2}^{k+1} M_{\overline{2}} \dots \hat{M}_{\overline{s}} \dots M_{\overline{k+1}} R_1^{-1} \dots R_{s-1}^{-1} \dots R_1^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the symbol $\hat{M}_{\overline{s}}$ means that the multiplier $M_{\overline{s}}$ is absent.

Taking into consideration that $D_1 \triangleright 1 = 0$ we get the formula of action of the operator D_1 :

$$D_1 \triangleright M_{\overline{2}} \dots M_{\overline{k+1}} = \sum_{s=2}^{k+1} M_{\overline{2}} \dots \hat{M}_{\overline{s}} \dots M_{\overline{k+1}} R_1^{-1} \dots R_{s-1}^{-1} \dots R_1^{-1}, \quad \forall k \geq 1. \quad (3.7)$$

Example 6 A few first examples of (3.7) are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 \triangleright M_{\bar{2}} &= R_1^{-1} \\ D_1 \triangleright M_{\bar{2}} M_{\bar{3}} &= M_{\bar{3}} R_1^{-1} + M_{\bar{2}} R_1^{-1} R_2^{-1} R_1^{-1} \\ D_1 \triangleright M_{\bar{2}} M_{\bar{3}} M_{\bar{4}} &= M_{\bar{3}} M_{\bar{4}} R_1^{-1} + M_{\bar{2}} M_{\bar{4}} R_1^{-1} R_2^{-1} R_1^{-1} + M_{\bar{2}} M_{\bar{3}} R_1^{-1} R_2^{-1} R_3^{-1} R_2^{-1} R_1^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Below, we use the following formulas¹ [IOP]:

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\overline{k+1}} \dots M_{\overline{k+s}} &= R_{k \rightarrow k+s-1} M_{\overline{k}} \dots M_{\overline{k+s-1}} R_{k+s-1 \rightarrow k}^{-1}, \\ R_i M_{\overline{k}} &= M_{\overline{k}} R_i, \quad \forall i \neq k-1, k. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

which enable us to “fill a hole” $\hat{M}_{\bar{s}}$ in the right hand side of (3.7) for any $2 \leq s \leq k$:

$$M_{\bar{2}} \dots \hat{M}_{\bar{s}} \dots M_{\overline{k+1}} = M_{\bar{2}} \dots M_{\overline{s-1}} M_{\overline{s+1}} \dots M_{\overline{k+1}} = R_{s \rightarrow k} M_{\bar{2}} \dots M_{\overline{k}} R_{k \rightarrow s}^{-1}.$$

So, the action (3.7) can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 \triangleright M_{\bar{2}} \dots M_{\overline{k+1}} &= \sum_{s=2}^k R_{s \rightarrow k} M_{\bar{2}} \dots M_{\overline{k}} R_{k \rightarrow s}^{-1} (R_1^{-1} \dots R_{s-1}^{-1} \dots R_1^{-1}) \\ &\quad + M_{\bar{2}} \dots M_{\overline{k}} R_1^{-1} \dots R_k^{-1} \dots R_1^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Then, applying the shift formula (3.8) step by step $(m-1)$ times we generalize (3.9) to the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\overline{m}} \triangleright M_{\overline{m+1}} \dots M_{\overline{m+k}} &= \\ &\sum_{s=m+1}^{m+k-1} R_{s \rightarrow m+k-1} M_{\overline{m+1}} \dots M_{\overline{m+k-1}} R_{m+k-1 \rightarrow s}^{-1} (R_m^{-1} \dots R_{s-1}^{-1} \dots R_m^{-1}) \\ &\quad + M_{\overline{m+1}} \dots M_{\overline{m+k-1}} (R_m^{-1} \dots R_{m+k-2}^{-1} \dots R_m^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

At last, we make one more shift

$$M_{\overline{m+1}} \dots M_{\overline{m+k-1}} = R_{m \rightarrow m+k-2} M_{\overline{m}} \dots M_{\overline{m+k-2}} R_{m+k-2 \rightarrow m}^{-1}$$

and present (3.10) in the form convenient for the subsequent application of $D_{\overline{m-1}}$:

$$D_{\overline{m}} \triangleright M_{\overline{m+1}} \dots M_{\overline{m+k}} = \sum_{i=1}^k F_i(R) M_{\overline{m}} \dots M_{\overline{m+k-1}} G_i(R)$$

where $F_i(R)$ and $G_i(R)$ are some chains of R -matrices R_r with numbers $r \geq m$ and consequently commuting with $D_{\overline{p}}$ if $p \leq m-1$ (analogously to the second line of (3.8)).

Finally, the successive application of the operators $D_{\overline{p}}$ with $p = m-1, \dots, 1$ leads us to the following claim.

Lemma 7 For any pair of positive integers $k \geq m$ the following relation takes place:

$$D_1 D_{\bar{2}} \dots D_{\overline{m}} \triangleright M_{\overline{m+1}} \dots M_{\overline{m+k}} = \sum_j S_j(R) M_{\bar{1}} \dots M_{\overline{k-m}} T_j(R),$$

where $S_j(R)$ and $T_j(R)$ are some polynomials depending on R_r , $1 \leq r \leq m+k-1$. If $k < m$, the result of this action vanishes.

¹In [IOP] these formulas were derived in more wide class of quantum matrix algebras, parameterized by a couple of compatible braidings (R, F) . The results for RE algebra are obtained by setting $F = R$.

Let us now fix an arbitrary element $z = Z(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{k-1}) \in H_k(q)$ for some $k \geq 1$ and consider its image $ch_k(z)$ (see definition (2.6)) in the characteristic subalgebra of the RE algebra $\mathcal{M}(R)$. The following matrix formula was proved in [IOP]:

$$I_{1\dots m} ch_k(z) = \text{Tr}_{R(m+1\dots m+k)} \left(Z(R_{m+1}, \dots, R_{m+k-1}) M_{\overline{m+1}} \dots M_{\overline{m+k}} \right). \quad (3.11)$$

With the use of this formula and relation in Lemma 7 we find the action of an arbitrary generalized Laplacian operator D_Q (3.5) on $ch_k(z)$:

$$D_Q \triangleright ch_k(z) = \text{Tr}_{R(1\dots m+k)} \left(\sum_j Q(R) S_j(R) M_1 \dots M_{\overline{k-m}} T_j(R) Z(R) \right).$$

Therefore, the result of action $D_Q \triangleright ch_k(z)$ belongs to the characteristic subalgebra of the RE algebra $\mathcal{M}(R)$. To complete the proof it is suffice to note that any element of the characteristic subalgebra of the RE algebra $\mathcal{M}(R)$ is a finite linear combination of homogeneous elements $ch_{k_i}(z)$ for some finite set of integers k_i . ■

4 Quantum normal ordering and Wick theorem

In this section we consider in detail the subalgebra $\hat{\mathcal{L}}(R)$ of the quantum double $(\mathcal{D}(R^{-1}), \mathcal{M}(R))$ generated by the linear differential operators

$$\hat{l}_i^j = \sum_{k=1}^N m_i^k \partial_k^j,$$

the corresponding generating matrix is $\hat{L} = MD$.

Proposition 8 *The following claims hold true.*

1. *The matrix \hat{L} meets the quadratic-linear relation (1.5).*
2. *The permutation relation between the matrices \hat{L} and M is as follows:*

$$R_1 \hat{L}_1 R_1 M_1 = M_1 R_1 \hat{L}_1 R_1^{-1} + R_1 M_1. \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. Both claims of the proposition are straightforward consequences of the defining relations (1.3), (1.4) and (3.2). Let us rewrite (3.2) in the equivalent form:

$$D_1 R_1 M_1 = R_1 M_1 R_1^{-1} D_1 R_1^{-1} + I.$$

Then relation (4.1) can be obtained as follows:

$$R_1 \hat{L}_1 R_1 M_1 = R_1 M_1 \underline{D_1 R_1 M_1} = \underline{R_1 M_1 R_1 M_1} R_1^{-1} D_1 R_1^{-1} + R_1 M_1 = M_1 R_1 \hat{L}_1 R_1^{-1} + R_1 M_1,$$

where at the last step of transformations we used the relation (1.3) for $R_1 M_1 R_1 M_1$.

The first claim can be proved in analogous way. ■

By definition, a generalized quantum Casimir operator $C_Q^{(k)}(\hat{L})$ acting on the algebra $\mathcal{M}(R)$ corresponds to the element

$$C_Q^{(k)}(\hat{L}) = \text{Tr}_{R(1\dots k)} \left(Q^{(k)}(R) \hat{L}_1 \dots \hat{L}_k \right), \quad k \geq 1,$$

where $Q^{(k)}(R) = \text{Pol}(R_1, \dots, R_{k-1})$ is a polynomial in R_i , $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. For $Q^{(k)}(R) = R_{k-1} \dots R_1$, we get the quantum power sum $p_k(\hat{L})$ which can be rewritten in the form:

$$p_k(\hat{L}) = \text{Tr}_R \hat{L}^k.$$

The corresponding operator is called the quantum Casimir operator. The set of all generalized quantum Casimir operators $C_Q^{(k)}(\hat{L})$ for $\forall k \geq 1$ and arbitrary $Q^{(k)}(R)$ forms a central characteristic subalgebra in $\hat{\mathcal{L}}(R)$.

Our next aim is to investigate the action of the quantum differential operators \hat{L} on the RE algebra $\mathcal{M}(R)$. In particular, we prove that the characteristic subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}(R)$ is mapped into itself by the action of any generalized Casimir operator $C_Q^{(k)}(\hat{L})$.

From technical point of view it is more convenient to work with another set of $\hat{\mathcal{L}}(R)$ generators $\hat{K} = \|\hat{k}_i^j\|$, the corresponding generating matrices are connected by a linear shift of the form:

$$\hat{K} = I - \lambda \hat{L}, \quad \lambda = q - q^{-1}.$$

For $q \neq \pm 1$ this transformation is an automorphism of the RE algebra $\hat{\mathcal{L}}(R)$. Due to the relation (1.5) on matrix \hat{L} and the Hecke condition (1.2) on a Hecke symmetry R the matrix \hat{K} satisfies the homogeneous quadratic relation (1.3).

Let us introduce another type of matrix copies by the rule:

$$\hat{K}_{\underline{1}} = \hat{K}_1, \quad \hat{K}_{\underline{r+1}} = R_r^{-1} \hat{K}_{\underline{r}} R_r \quad \forall r \geq 1, \quad \hat{K}_{\underline{r \rightarrow s}} = \hat{K}_{\underline{r}} \hat{K}_{\underline{r-1}} \dots \hat{K}_{\underline{s+1}} \hat{K}_{\underline{s}} \quad r > s. \quad (4.2)$$

In [GPS6] it was proved that the action of \hat{K} on an arbitrary monomial of $\mathcal{M}(R)$ can be written as follows²:

$$\hat{K}_{\underline{n+1}} \triangleright M_1 M_{\underline{2}} \dots M_{\underline{n}} = J_{n+1}^{-1} M_1 M_{\underline{2}} \dots M_{\underline{n}}, \quad (4.3)$$

where $J_{n+1}^{-1} = R_{n \rightarrow 2}^{-1} R_1^{-2} R_{2 \rightarrow n}^{-1}$ is the image of the inverse Jucys-Murphy element under the R -matrix representation of the Hecke algebra $H_{n+1}(q)$. Note, that the action (4.3) defines a representation of the RE algebra $\hat{\mathcal{L}}(R)$ in the algebra $\mathcal{M}(R)$.

Remark 9 The action (4.3) was obtained in [GPS6] by means of the representation theory of the RE algebra. Alternatively it can be restored from (3.9) by a rather straightforward calculation.

Taking into account that $\hat{K}_{\underline{n}} J_p^{-1} = J_p^{-1} \hat{K}_{\underline{n}} \forall p < n$ we can subsequently apply the formula (4.3) to find the general result:

$$\hat{K}_{\underline{n+p \rightarrow n+1}} \triangleright M_1 M_{\underline{2}} \dots M_{\underline{n}} = \prod_{i=1}^p J_{n+i}^{-1} \prod_{s=2}^p J_s^{\uparrow m} M_1 M_{\underline{2}} \dots M_{\underline{n}}. \quad (4.4)$$

Here $J_s^{\uparrow m} = R_{m+s-1 \rightarrow m+2} R_{m+1}^2 R_{m+2 \rightarrow m+s-1}$ is the image of the Jucys-Murphy element j_s (2.1) under the R -matrix representation $\rho_R^{\uparrow m}$ "shifted" by m positions in the tensor product of spaces V (compare with (2.2)):

$$\rho_R^{\uparrow m}(\tau_i) = R_{m+i}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \rho_R^{\uparrow m}(j_s) = J_s^{\uparrow m} = R_{m+s-1 \rightarrow m+2} R_{m+1}^2 R_{m+2 \rightarrow m+s-1}.$$

Now the following proposition is a direct consequence of the formula (4.4).

²See Proposition 10 of the cited paper.

Proposition 10 *The action of any generalized quantum Casimir operator*

$$C_Q^{(n)}(\hat{K}) = \text{Tr}_{R(1\dots n)} \left(Q^{(n)}(R) \hat{K}_{\underline{n \rightarrow 1}} \right)$$

maps the characteristic subalgebra of the RE algebra $\mathcal{M}(R)$ into itself.

Remark 11 The form of $C_Q^{(n)}(\hat{K})$ in the claim of the Proposition fits well for our subsequent calculations. Actually it is identical to the previous definition since for the generating matrix of the RE algebra (1.3) the following identity holds true [IP]:

$$\hat{K}_{\underline{n \rightarrow 1}} = \hat{K}_{\underline{1 \rightarrow n}} \quad \forall n \geq 2.$$

This identity can be easily proved by induction in n .

Proof. Choose an arbitrary homogeneous n -th order polynomial $ch_n(z)$ of the characteristic subalgebra of the RE algebra $\mathcal{M}(R)$

$$ch_n(z) = \text{Tr}_{R(1\dots n)} \left(Z(R_1, \dots, R_{n-1}) M_{\underline{1 \rightarrow n}} \right)$$

and prove that the action of an arbitrary generalized quantum Casimir operator $C_Q^{(p)}(\hat{K})$ maps $ch_n(z)$ to an element of the characteristic subalgebra. To do so we need the formula for action (4.4) and the shift formula (3.11) which we apply for the generalized Casimir operator:

$$I_{1\dots n} C_Q^{(p)}(\hat{K}) = \text{Tr}_{R(n+1\dots n+p)} \left(Q^{(p)}(R_{n+1}, \dots, R_{n+p-1}) \hat{K}_{\underline{n+p \rightarrow n+1}} \right).$$

With the use of this formula, we present the action of the Casimir operator in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} C_Q^{(p)}(\hat{K}) \triangleright ch_n(z) &= \text{Tr}_{R(1\dots n)} \left(Z(R_1, \dots, R_{n-1}) C_Q^{(p)}(\hat{K}) \triangleright M_{\underline{1 \rightarrow n}} \right) \\ &= \text{Tr}_{R(1\dots n+p)} \left(Z(R_1, \dots, R_{n-1}) Q(R_{n+1}, \dots, R_{n+p-1}) \hat{K}_{\underline{n+p \rightarrow n+1}} \triangleright M_{\underline{1 \rightarrow n}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

On taking into account the action (4.4) we get the following answer:

$$C_Q^{(p)}(\hat{K}) \triangleright ch_n(z) = \text{Tr}_{R(1\dots n+p)} \left(F(R_1, \dots, R_{n+p-1}) M_{\underline{1 \rightarrow n}} \right) \quad (4.5)$$

where the polynomial F reads:

$$F(R_1, \dots, R_{n+p-1}) = Z(R_1, \dots, R_{n-1}) Q(R_{n+1}, \dots, R_{n+p-1}) \prod_{i=1}^p J_{n+i}^{-1} \prod_{s=2}^p J_s^{\uparrow m}.$$

Using the permutation relations among the generators τ_i of the Hecke algebra $H_{n+1}(q)$ and the Hecke condition on τ_i one can show that any polynomial $P(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$ can be presented in the form

$$P(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) = Q_1(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1}) \tau_n Q_2(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1}) + Q_3((\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1})) \quad (4.6)$$

where the polynomials Q_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$, do *not* depend on τ_n . So, in (4.5) we can consecutively transform the polynomials under the R -trace as in (4.6) and calculate the R -traces in spaces with numbers from $n + p - 1$ till $n + 1$ using the property $\text{Tr}_{R(k+1)}(R_k) = I_{1\dots k}$. At last, we come to the final result:

$$C_Q^{(p)}(\hat{K}) \triangleright ch_n(z) = \text{Tr}_{R(1\dots n)} \left(\tilde{F}(R_1, \dots, R_{n-1}) M_{\underline{1 \rightarrow n}} \right).$$

By definition, the right hand side lies in the characteristic subalgebra of the RE algebra $\mathcal{M}(R)$ and, moreover, has the same degree in M as the initial polynomial $ch_n(z)$. \blacksquare

Now, we are going to introduce a quantum analog of normal ordering for differential operators composed from quantum Euler-type vector fields. In analogy with the classical (or super-)case, the quantum normal ordering leads to operators, in which all quantum partial derivatives ∂_i^j are placed to the right of “coordinates” m_r^s . By definition, such a transformation is performed by the following basic permutation relation³:

$$D_1 R_1 M_1 R_1 = R_1 M_1 R_1^{-1} D_1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad D_1 R_1 M_1 = R_1 M_1 R_1^{-1} D_1 R_1^{-1}. \quad (4.7)$$

Let us consider an example of reducing a quantum differential operator composed of $\hat{L} = MD$ to the normal form:

$$: \hat{L}_1 \hat{L}_2 := M_1 \underline{D_1 R_1 M_1 D_1} R_1^{-1} = M_1 R_1 M_1 R_1^{-1} D_1 R_1^{-1} D_1 R_1^{-1} = M_1 M_{\bar{2}} D_1 R_1^{-1} D_1 R_1^{-1}.$$

Since in the algebra $\mathcal{D}(R^{-1})$ the following relation is valid:

$$D_1 R_1^{-1} D_1 = R_1 D_1 R_1^{-1} D_1 R_1^{-1} = D_{\bar{2}} D_1 R_1^{-1},$$

we get the convenient formula for the normal ordered form:

$$: \hat{L}_1 \hat{L}_2 := M_1 M_{\bar{2}} D_{\bar{2}} D_1 R_1^{-2} = M_1 M_{\bar{2}} D_{\bar{2}} D_1 J_2^{-1}.$$

With the use of permutation relation (3.2) we can express the product of two differential operators in terms of ordered ones:

$$\hat{L}_1 \hat{L}_2 =: \hat{L}_1 \hat{L}_2 : + \hat{L}_1 R_1^{-1}.$$

Now, we prove the main theorem about the ordered form of a monomial in \hat{L} .

Theorem 12 *The normal ordered form of the product $\hat{L}_1 \dots \hat{L}_{\bar{k}}$ reads as follows:*

$$: \hat{L}_{\overline{1 \rightarrow k}} := M_{\overline{1 \rightarrow k}} D_{\overline{k \rightarrow 1}} \prod_{s=1}^k J_s^{-1} = \prod_{s=1}^k J_s^{-1} M_{\overline{1 \rightarrow k}} D_{\overline{k \rightarrow 1}}. \quad (4.8)$$

Remark 13 Observe that the matrix $J_s^{-1} = R_{s-1}^{-1} \dots R_1^{-2} \dots R_{s-1}^{-1}$ commutes with $M_1 \dots M_{\bar{k}}$ and $D_{\bar{k}} \dots D_1$ for $2 \leq s \leq k$ in virtue of the relations

$$R_s M_{\bar{s}} M_{\overline{s+1}} = M_{\bar{s}} M_{\overline{s+1}} R_s, \quad R_s D_{\overline{s+1}} D_{\bar{s}} = D_{\overline{s+1}} D_{\bar{s}} R_s.$$

This is the reason why the factor J_s^{-1} can be placed at any side in formula (4.8).

Our next aim is a quantum analog of the Wick theorem about the product of ordered and non-ordered operators. To prove the theorem we need the lemma below.

Lemma 14 *The following matrix identity takes place for $\forall k \geq 1$:*

$$D_{\overline{k \rightarrow 1}} \hat{L}_{\overline{k+1}} = \hat{L}_{\overline{k+1}} D_{\overline{k \rightarrow 1}} J_{k+1}^{-1} + D_{\overline{k \rightarrow 1}} \frac{I - J_{k+1}^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}. \quad (4.9)$$

³Note that this permutation relation can be obtained from (3.2) by omitting the constant term which presents the action of derivatives on coordinates.

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction in k . The induction base for $k = 1$ is an immediate consequence of (3.2) and (1.4). Indeed, multiplying (3.2) by $R_1^{-1}D_1R_1$ from the right and applying (1.4) for $R_1^{-1}D_1R_1^{-1}D_1$ we obtain

$$D_1\hat{L}_{\bar{2}} = \hat{L}_{\bar{2}}D_1R_1^{-2} + D_1R_1^{-1}, \quad (4.10)$$

which is precisely formula (4.9) written for $k = 1$ if we take into account the definition of the Jucys-Murphy element

$$J_2^{-1} = R_1^{-2} = I - (q - q^{-1})R_1^{-1}.$$

On applying relation⁴ (3.8) successively k times to (4.10) we extend it to the higher matrix copies:

$$D_{\bar{k}}\hat{L}_{\overline{k+1}} = \hat{L}_{\overline{k+1}}D_{\bar{k}}R_k^{-2} + D_{\bar{k}}R_k^{-1}. \quad (4.11)$$

Now, assume that formula (4.9) is valid up to some integer $k - 1 \geq 1$. We must prove that then it is fulfilled for k too. We have the chain of transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\overline{k \rightarrow 1}}\hat{L}_{\overline{k+1}} &= D_{\overline{k \rightarrow 1}}R_k\hat{L}_{\bar{k}}R_k^{-1} = D_{\bar{k}}R_k\underline{D_{\overline{k-1 \rightarrow 1}}\hat{L}_{\bar{k}}R_k^{-1}} \\ &= D_{\bar{k}}R_k\hat{L}_{\bar{k}}D_{\overline{k-1 \rightarrow 1}}J_k^{-1}R_k^{-1} + D_{\overline{k \rightarrow 1}}R_k\frac{I - J_k^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}R_k^{-1} \\ &= D_{\bar{k}}\hat{L}_{\overline{k+1}}D_{\overline{k-1 \rightarrow 1}}R_kJ_k^{-1}R_k^{-1} + D_{\overline{k \rightarrow 1}}\frac{I - R_kJ_k^{-1}R_k^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the underlined term is transformed in accordance with the induction assumption.

Next, we use (4.11) and continue the last line of the above transformations as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\overline{k \rightarrow 1}}\hat{L}_{\overline{k+1}} &= \hat{L}_{\overline{k+1}}D_{\overline{k \rightarrow 1}}R_k^{-1}J_k^{-1}R_k^{-1} + D_{\overline{k \rightarrow 1}}\left(J_k^{-1}R_k^{-1} + \frac{I - R_kJ_k^{-1}R_k^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}\right) \\ &= \hat{L}_{\overline{k+1}}D_{\overline{k \rightarrow 1}}J_{k+1}^{-1} + D_{\overline{k \rightarrow 1}}\frac{I - J_{k+1}^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the lemma is completed. ■

Now we are able to prove a quantum analog of the Wick theorem.

Theorem 15 *The following quantum Wick formula holds true:*

$$:\hat{L}_{\overline{1 \rightarrow k}} : \hat{L}_{\overline{k+1}} = :\hat{L}_{\overline{1 \rightarrow k+1}} : + :\hat{L}_{\overline{1 \rightarrow k}} : \frac{I - J_{k+1}^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}. \quad (4.12)$$

Proof. In accordance with the Theorem 12 we have:

$$:\hat{L}_{\overline{1 \rightarrow k}} : \hat{L}_{\overline{k+1}} = \prod_{s=1}^k J_s^{-1} M_{\overline{1 \rightarrow k}} D_{\overline{k \rightarrow 1}} \hat{L}_{\overline{k+1}}.$$

⁴Relation (3.8) is valid for the product of ordered copies of any matrix objects of the same size (for example $A_{\bar{k}}B_{\overline{k+1}}\dots C_{\overline{k+p}}$) because it follows entirely from the braid relation for R only and does not depend on the algebraic properties of matrices A, B, \dots, C .

Taking into account (4.9) we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{s=1}^k J_s^{-1} M_{1 \rightarrow k} \overleftarrow{D}_{k \rightarrow 1} \hat{L}_{k+1} &= \prod_{s=1}^k J_s^{-1} M_{1 \rightarrow k} \hat{L}_{k+1} \overleftarrow{D}_{k \rightarrow 1} J_{k+1}^{-1} \\
&+ \prod_{s=1}^k J_s^{-1} M_{1 \rightarrow k} \overleftarrow{D}_{k \rightarrow 1} \frac{I - J_{k+1}^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} \\
&= \prod_{s=1}^k J_s^{-1} M_{1 \rightarrow k} M_{k+1} \overleftarrow{D}_{k+1} \overleftarrow{D}_{k \rightarrow 1} J_{k+1}^{-1} + : \hat{L}_{1 \rightarrow k} : \frac{I - J_{k+1}^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} \\
&= : \hat{L}_{1 \rightarrow k+1} : + : \hat{L}_{1 \rightarrow k} : \frac{I - J_{k+1}^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, in the third line we use the fact that J_{k+1}^{-1} commutes with $M_{1 \rightarrow k} M_{k+1}$ and $\overleftarrow{D}_{k+1} \overleftarrow{D}_{k \rightarrow 1}$. The proof is completed. \blacksquare

A simple corollary of the Theorem 15 is the set of matrix Capelly identities of very general form. Let us introduce the following shorthand matrix notation:

$$\mathcal{P}_1 = I, \quad \mathcal{P}_{k+1} = \frac{I - J_{k+1}^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Note that \mathcal{P}_{k+1} is a polynomial in R_i , $i \leq k$. Namely,

$$\mathcal{P}_{k+1} = R_k^{-1} + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} R_{k \rightarrow s+1}^{-1} R_s^{-1} R_{s+1 \rightarrow k}^{-1}.$$

Note that if the Hecke symmetry R is a deformation of the flip $R \rightarrow P$ at $q \rightarrow 1$, the polynomial \mathcal{P}_{k+1} at the limit $q \rightarrow 1$ pass to the sum of specific transpositions $(i, k+1)$ in the tensor representation of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[S_{k+1}]$ in the spave $V^{\otimes(k+1)}$:

$$\mathcal{P}_{k+1} \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^k P_{ik+1}.$$

Corollary 16 *For the quantum differential operators $\hat{L} = MD$ the following matrix Capelli identities take place for $\forall k \geq 2$:*

$$\hat{L}_1(\hat{L}_2 - \mathcal{P}_2) \dots (\hat{L}_k - \mathcal{P}_k) = M_{1 \rightarrow k} \overleftarrow{D}_{k \rightarrow 1} \prod_{s=1}^k J_s^{-1}. \quad (4.13)$$

Proof. To prove (4.13) it suffices to rewrite 15 in the form:

$$: \hat{L}_{1 \rightarrow k-1} : \left(\hat{L}_k - \mathcal{P}_k \right) = : \hat{L}_{1 \rightarrow k} :$$

and then apply the same formula for $: \hat{L}_{1 \rightarrow k-1} :$ etc. For $: \hat{L}_{1 \rightarrow k+1} :$ in the right hand side we use (4.8). \blacksquare

Observe that by assuming a given Hecke symmetry R to be a deformation of the usual flip P and by passing to the limit $q = 1$, we get the corresponding matrix Capelli identity from [Ok1, Ok2].

If we multiply (4.13) from any side by the image $E(T)$ of the primitive idempotent T of the Hecke algebra $H_k(q)$ in the R -matrix representation we find the identity obtained in [JLM], theorem 4.1. This fact is a direct consequence of the formula (see, for example, [OP]):

$$J_s^{-1}E(T) = q^{-2c_s(T)}E_{ii}^\lambda(R),$$

where $c_s(T)$ is the content of the box with the integer s in the standard Young tableau corresponding to the idempotent T . Note also, that the matrix Capelli identity (4.13) is valid for any skew-invertible Hecke symmetry R .

References

- [FRT] Faddeev L.D., Reshetikhin N.Yu and Takhtajan L.A., Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras, Leningrad Math. J. 1 (1990), pp 193–226.
- [G] Gurevich D., Algebraic aspects of the Yang-Baxter equation, Leningrad Math. J. 2 (1991) 801 – 828.
- [GPS1] Gurevich D., Pyatov P., Saponov P., Cayley-Hamilton theorem for quantum matrix algebras of $GL(m|n)$ type, St Petersburg Math Journal 17, N 1 (2005), 157–179
- [GPS2] Gurevich D., Pyatov P., Saponov P., The $GL(m|n)$ type quantum matrix algebras II: the structure of the characteristic subalgebra and its spectral parametrization, Theor. Math. Phys. 147 (2006) 14–46.
- [GPS3] Gurevich D., Pyatov P., Saponov P., Representation theory of (modified) Reflection Equation Algebra of the $GL(m|n)$ type, Algebra and Analysis 20 (2008) 70–133 (Translated from St Petersburg Math. J. 20 (2009) 213–253).
- [GPS4] Gurevich D., Pyatov P., Saponov P., Spectral parametrization for power sums of quantum supermatrices, Theor. and Math. Physics 159 (2009) 587–597
- [GPS5] Dimitri Gurevich, Pavel Pyatov, Pavel Saponov, Braided Weyl algebras and differential calculus on $U(\mathfrak{u}(2))$, J. Geom. Phys. 62 (2012) 1175 – 1188.
- [GPS6] Dimitri Gurevich, Pavel Pyatov, Pavel Saponov, Braided differential operators on quantum algebras, J. Geom. Phys. 61 (2011) 1485 – 1501.
- [GPoS] Gurevich D., Petrova V., Saponov P., Matrix Capelli identity related to Reflection Equation algebra, J. Geom. Phys. 179 (2022) 104606.
- [GS] Gurevich D., Saponov P., Doubles of associative algebras and their applications, Phys. of Particles and Nuclei Letters 17 N5 (2020) 774–778.
- [IOP] Isaev A.P., Ogievetsky O.V., Pyatov P.N., On quantum matrix algebras satisfying the Cayley-Hamilton-Newton identities, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 32 (1999) L115 – L121.
- [IP] Isaev A., Pyatov P., Spectral Extension of the Quantum Group Cotangent Bundle, Comm. Math. Phys. 288 (2009) 1137 – 1179.
- [JLM] Naihuan Jing, Ming Liu, Alexander Molev, The q -immanants and higher quantum Capelli identities, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.09855>.

- [Og] Ogievetsky O., Uses of quantum spaces, In Proc. of School ‘Quantum symmetries in theoretical physics and mathematics’ (Bariloche, 2000), 161–232, Contemp. Math. 294 (2002), pp. 161–232.
- [Ok1] Okounkov A., Quantum immanants and higher Capelli identity, Transformation Groups, Vol. 1, 1996, pp. 99–126.
- [Ok2] Okounkov A., Young Basis; Wick Formula; and Higher Capelli Identities, International Mathematics Research Notices 1996, No. 17, pp 817–839.
- [OP] Ogievetsky O., Pyatov P., Lecture on Hecke algebras, preprint CPT-000/P.4076 (2004).
- [Z] Mikhail Zaitsev, Universal matrix Capelli identity, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2411.13178>