

A CHARACTERIZATION OF BOREL MEASURES WHICH INDUCE LIPSCHITZ-FREE SPACE ELEMENTS

LUCAS MACIEL RAAD

ABSTRACT. We will solve a problem by Aliaga about Lipschitz-Free spaces (denoted by $\mathcal{F}(M)$):

Does every Borel measure μ on a complete metric space M such that $\int d(m, 0)d|\mu|(m) < \infty$ induce a weak* continuous functional $\mathcal{L}\mu \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ by the mapping $\mathcal{L}\mu(f) = \int fd\mu$?

In particular, we will show a characterization of the measures such that $\mathcal{L}\mu \in \mathcal{F}(M)$, which indeed implies inner-regularity for complete metric spaces, and we will prove that every Borel measure on M induces an element of $\mathcal{F}(M)$ if and only if the weight of M is strictly less than the least real-valued measurable cardinal, and thus the existence of a metric space on which there is a measure μ such that $\mathcal{L}\mu \in \mathcal{F}(M)^{**} \setminus \mathcal{F}(M)$ cannot be proven in ZFC.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Lipschitz free space over a metric space M , denoted by $\mathcal{F}(M)$, is a Banach space that encodes in its linear structure the metric structure of M . It is related to the set of Borel measures on M by its construction ([4]), through its relation to the mass transfer problem ([7] Chapter 3.3), and through the main topic of this article ([1] Chapter 4).

From [1] Proposition 4.2.1¹ we know that if μ is a Borel measure on a pointed complete metric space M , then the mapping $\mathcal{L}\mu$ defined by $\mathcal{L}\mu(f) = \int fd\mu$ is an element of $\mathcal{F}(M)^{**}$ if and only if $\int d(m, 0)d|\mu|(m) < \infty$; and from [1] Proposition 4.2.2 we know that if μ is also inner-regular then $\mathcal{L}\mu \in \mathcal{F}(M)$. Problem 4.2 in [1] asks if the hypothesis that μ is inner-regular is indeed necessary for $\mathcal{L}\mu$ to be an element of $\mathcal{F}(M)$.

We will show a sufficient and necessary condition for a measure to induce an element of $\mathcal{F}(M)$, which indeed implies inner-regularity for complete metric spaces. And we will also prove that the existence of a metric space M on which there is a Borel measure μ such that $\int d(m, 0)d|\mu|(m) < \infty$ but $\mathcal{L}\mu \notin \mathcal{F}(M)$ implies the existence of a large cardinal, more specifically a real-valued measurable cardinal, and therefore the existence of such a metric space cannot be proven in ZFC.

The results on this article also provide a partial solution to another problem on [1], as shown on appendix A.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46B26, 46B10, 46E27. Secondary 03E55.

Key words and phrases. Lipschitz-free spaces, Borel measures.

¹The original proposition refers to the more general case of measures on a compactification of M named the uniform compactification, we will not need this level of generality.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. **Notation.** This subsection introduces some basic notation.

- We will denote by $w(M)$ the weight of a topological space M , which for metrizable spaces coincides with its density character.
- We will denote the distance function on a metric space by d .
- We will denote the fact that two metric spaces M and N are isometric by $M \cong N$.
- The measures in this article are assumed to be non non necessarily finite signed measures unless otherwise stated (see for example [3] for the necessary theorems).
- If μ is a measure and f is a μ -integrable function we will denote by $f d\mu$ the measure given by $f d\mu(E) = \int_E f d\mu$.

2.2. **Lipschitz Free Spaces.** This subsection lists the basic definitions and theorems about Lipschitz free spaces. All results in this subsection can be found on [7].

We begin by generalizing the concept of the origin of a Banach space to an arbitrary metric space:

Definition 2.1

A pointed metric space is a pair $(M, 0)$, where M is a metric space and $0 \in M$. We will denote $\rho = d(\cdot, 0)$.

Observation 2.2

The base point of every pointed metric space will be denoted by 0 unless otherwise stated.

We define the morphisms between pointed metric spaces in the following way:

Definition 2.3

Let M and N be a pointed metric spaces and let $f \in N^M$, the Lipschitz constant of f is defined as:

$$\|f\|_L = \sup_{\substack{x, y \in M \\ x \neq y}} \frac{\|f(x) - f(y)\|}{d(x, y)},$$

if M has at least two points, and 0 otherwise.

The set of morphisms between a pointed metric space and a Banach space is in fact a Banach space.

Definition 2.4

Let M be a pointed metric space and let X be a Banach space. Define $\text{Lip}_0(M, X)$ to be the set of the functions $f \in X^M$ such that $f(0) = 0$ and $\|f\|_L < \infty$. It is a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|_L$. If $X = \mathbb{R}$ we will write $\text{Lip}_0(M)$ instead of $\text{Lip}_0(M, \mathbb{R})$.

The Lipschitz-Free space over M is the canonical predual of $\text{Lip}_0(M)$. One of the ways of constructing it is to first embed M into $\text{Lip}_0(M)$ in the following way:

Definition 2.5

Let M be a pointed metric space. We can define an isometry $\delta : M \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(M)^*$ by doing $\langle f, \delta(m) \rangle = f(m)$ for all $m \in M$. We have that $\delta[M \setminus \{0\}]$ is linearly independent.

We now need to simply take the closed span of $\delta[M]$:

Definition 2.6

Let M be a pointed metric space. The Lipschitz-Free space over M is the Banach space defined by $\mathcal{F}(M) = \overline{\text{span}}(\delta[M])$.

We will use the following properties of Lipschitz-Free spaces:

Theorem 2.7

Let M be a pointed metric space. Then $\mathcal{F}(M)$ has the following properties:

- (a) $\mathcal{F}(M)^* \cong \text{Lip}_0(M)$. We will identify $\mathcal{F}(M)^*$ with $\text{Lip}_0(M)$.
- (b) The weak* topology it induces coincides with the topology of pointwise convergence on bounded sets.
- (c) For every Banach space X and every $f \in \text{Lip}_0(M, X)$ there is a $F \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}(M), X)$ such that $f = F \circ \delta$ and $\|F\| = \|f\|_L$, that is the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ \delta \downarrow & \nearrow F & \\ \mathcal{F}(M) & & \end{array}$$

If $X = \mathbb{R}$ we will write $\langle x, f \rangle$ instead of $\langle x, F \rangle$.

2.3. Real-valued measurable cardinals. We begin this section with a procedure to extend a measure defined on a subset of a large space by adding null measure sets:

Definition 2.8

Let (M, Σ) be a measure space, let $N \subset M$ and let μ be a measure on the measurable space

$$(N, \{E \cap N : E \in \Sigma\}).$$

Define $\mu \downarrow^M(E) = \mu(E \cap N)$ for all $E \in \Sigma$, notice that $\mu \downarrow^M$ is a measure on (M, Σ) and is concentrated on N .

We can now introduce one of the main subjects of this article:

Definition 2.9

Let κ be an infinite cardinal. A nontrivial measure μ on κ is a probability measure μ on $(\kappa, \mathcal{P}(\kappa))$ such that $\mu(\{\alpha\}) = 0$ for all $\alpha < \kappa$.

By the extension procedure in Definition 2.8, if κ is the least cardinal which can have a nontrivial measure on it, then a cardinal α can have a nontrivial measure on it if and only if $\alpha \geq \kappa$. κ also must have a stronger measurability property:

Definition 2.10

Let κ and α be infinite cardinals.

- (a) A α -additive measure on κ is a measure μ on $(\kappa, \mathcal{P}(\kappa))$ such that if $\beta < \alpha$ and $(E_\gamma)_{\gamma < \beta}$ is family of pairwise disjoint subsets of κ then:

$$\mu \left(\bigcup_{\gamma < \beta} E_\gamma \right) = \sum_{\gamma < \beta} \mu(E_\gamma).$$

²The notation $\mathcal{F}(M)$ does not reference the base point of M because on the construction of $\mathcal{F}(M)$ we prove that different choices of base points lead to isometric spaces.

³We will use the terminology in [5] for measures on cardinals.

- (b) κ is real-valued measurable if there is a κ -additive nontrivial measure on κ .

Theorem 2.11 ([5] Corollary 10.07)

Let κ be the smallest cardinal such that there is a nontrivial measure on κ . Then κ is real-valued measurable.

From real-valued measurability we get the following property:

Theorem 2.12 ([5] Corollary 10.15)

Let κ be real-valued measurable. Then:

- (a) If there is no cardinal α such that κ is the smallest cardinal greater than α .
 (b) κ is regular. That is, if $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ is a family of sets such that $|I| < \kappa$ and

$$|A_i| < \kappa \text{ for all } i \in I, \text{ then } \left| \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \right| < \kappa.$$

As noted on [5] page 33, the existence of a cardinal with properties (a) and (b) cannot be proven in ZFC, therefore the existence of a cardinal that admits a nontrivial measure cannot be proven in ZFC.

We can now prove a theorem extracted from the proof of [2] Proposition 7.2.10 which shows that if the weight of a metric space M allows a nontrivial measure then we can construct an analogous Borel measure on M .

Corollary 2.13

Let M be a metric space such that there is a nontrivial measure on the weight of M . Then there is a uniformly discrete $M' \subset M$ and a probability measure μ on $(M', \mathcal{P}(M'))$ such that $\mu(\{m\}) = 0$ for all $m \in M'$.

Proof.

Let κ be the smallest cardinal such that there is a nontrivial measure on κ . For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we can use Zorn's lemma to construct a maximal subset M_n such that $d(x, y) \geq n^{-1}$ for all distinct $x, y \in M_n$, that is $d(x, M_n) \leq n^{-1}$ for all $x \in M$. Define $M_d = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n$, we clearly have that M_d is dense. The set of balls centered on elements of M_d with rational radius is a topological basis of M with cardinality $|M_d|$. That is $|M_d| \geq w(M) \geq \kappa$, and therefore by Theorem 2.12(b), $|M_{n_0}| \geq \kappa$, for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Take $M' \subset M_{n_0}$ with $|M'| = \kappa$ and let $f : M' \rightarrow \kappa$ be bijective. We can define the desired measure on $(M', \mathcal{P}(M'))$ by taking μ' a nontrivial measure on κ and doing $\mu(E) = \mu'(f[E])$ for all $E \subset M'$.

□

We conclude this section with a theorem that implies that finite (or more generally σ -finite) measures on a metric space whose weight is smaller than the least real-valued measurable cardinal have strong regularity properties⁴.

Theorem 2.14 ([2] Proposition 7.2.10)

Let M be a metric space. Then the following are equivalent:

- (a) There is no nontrivial measure on the weight of M .

⁴By [2] Chapter 7 a finite measure on a complete metric space is Radon if and only if it is concentrated on a separable subset. We can easily generalize the theorems on this chapter to prove that a σ -finite measure on a complete metric space is inner-regular if and only if it is concentrated on a separable subset.

(b) Every finite Borel measure on M is concentrated on a separable subset⁵ of M .

Observation 2.15

By taking a countable partition of M into sets of finite measure we can generalize the condition (b) in Theorem 2.14 to σ -finite measures.

3. MEASURES AND FUNCTIONALS ON $\text{Lip}_0(M)$

On the construction of the space $\mathcal{F}(M)$ the functional $\delta(m)$ can be interpreted as integration with respect to the Dirac measure δ_m , therefore the space $\mathcal{F}(M)$ can be regarded as the completion of the space of Borel measures on M which take finitely many values. The following definition will allow us to induce elements of $\mathcal{F}(M)$ via Borel measures besides finitely valued ones:

Definition 3.1

Let M be a pointed metric space and μ be a Borel measure on M such that $\text{Lip}_0(M) \subset L^1(\mu)$. Define $\mathcal{L}\mu \in \text{Lip}_0(M)^\#$ by:

$$\mathcal{L}\mu(f) = \int f d\mu.$$

We can easily see that $\mathcal{L}\mu$ is well defined if and only if μ induces a linear functional:

Proposition 3.2

Let M be a pointed metric space and let μ be a Borel measure on M . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) $\text{Lip}_0(M) \subset L^1(\mu)$
- (b) $\int \rho d|\mu| < \infty$.
- (c) $\mathcal{L}\mu \in \mathcal{F}(M)^{**}$.

Proof.

(a) \implies (b) Trivial.

(b) \implies (c) We can compute an upper bound for $\|\mathcal{L}\mu\|$ by doing:

$$\|\mathcal{L}\mu\| = \sup_{f \in B_{\text{Lip}_0(M)}} \left| \int f d\mu \right| \leq \sup_{f \in B_{\text{Lip}_0(M)}} \int |f| d|\mu| \leq \int \rho d|\mu| < \infty.$$

(c) \implies (a) By hypothesis $\mathcal{L}\mu(f) = \int f d\mu$ must be well defined and finite for all $f \in \text{Lip}_0(M)$. □

If $\mathcal{L}\mu \in \mathcal{F}(M)^{**}$ we have the following consequence:

Corollary 3.3

Let M be a pointed metric space and let μ be a Borel measure on M such that $\mathcal{L}\mu \in \mathcal{F}(M)^{**}$. Then:

- (a) $|\mu|(K) < \infty$ for all closed $K \subset M \setminus \{0\}$.
- (b) μ is σ -finite.

Proof.

⁵The condition in the original theorem is that every μ Borel measure on M is concentrated on a specific separable subset called the *support* of μ .

- (a) Given a closed $K \subset M \setminus \{0\}$ we can take $r > 0$ such that $\rho|_K \geq r\chi_K$, that is:

$$\mu(K) \leq \int_K \frac{\rho}{r} d|\mu| < \infty.$$

- (b) Apply (a) with $K = \rho^{-1}[[2^{-n}, \infty]]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

□

To prove the next theorem we will need two facts about Bochner integrals:

Theorem 3.4 ([6] Lemma 11.37+Theorem 11.44 + Theorem 11.46)

Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a σ -finite measure space, X be a Banach space and $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$ be measurable. Then f is μ -Bochner-integrable if and only if $\int \|f(\zeta)\| d|\mu|(\zeta) < \infty$ and there is a $E \in \Sigma$ such that $f[\Omega \setminus E]$ is separable and $|\mu|(E) = 0$.

Proposition 3.5 ([6] Lemma 11.45)

Let (Ω, Σ) be a measurable space, let μ be a measure on (Ω, Σ) , let X and Y be Banach spaces, let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$, and let $\phi : \Omega \rightarrow X$ be a μ -Bochner-integrable function. Then $T \circ \phi$ is μ -Bochner-integrable and:

$$T \left(\int \phi d\mu \right) = \int T \circ \phi d\mu.$$

We can now show a sufficient and necessary condition for a Borel measure to induce an element of $\mathcal{F}(M)$:

Theorem 3.6

Let M be a pointed metric space and let μ be a Borel measure on M . Then $\mathcal{L}\mu \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ if and only if $\int \rho d|\mu| < \infty$ and μ is concentrated on a separable subset of M .

Proof.

By Proposition 3.2 we only need to show that if M is a pointed metric space and μ is a Borel measure on M such that $\int \rho d|\mu| < \infty$. Then $\mathcal{L}\mu \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ if and only if μ is concentrated on a separable subset of M .

- \implies) Notice that if $\chi_{M \setminus \{0\}} d\mu$ is concentrated on M' then μ is concentrated on $M' \cup \{0\}$, therefore we may assume without loss of generality that $\mu(\{0\}) = 0$.

By the hypothesis that $\mathcal{L}\mu \in \mathcal{F}(M)$, we can write $\mathcal{L}\mu$ in the form:

$$\mathcal{L}\mu = \lim_{n_1 \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n_2 \in \mathbb{N}} a_{(n_1, n_2)} \delta(m_{(n_1, n_2)}),$$

where $a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $m_n \in M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^2$.

Fix $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and define:

$$M_i = \rho^{-1}[[2^{-i}, \infty)),$$

$$M' = \overline{\{m_n : n \in \mathbb{N}^2\}} \cup \{0\}.$$

⁶The \Leftarrow direction can be found on [1], we include it here for convenience.

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ define $f_{i,k}^u, f_{i,k}^l, f_{i,k} \in \text{Lip}_0(M)$ by:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{i,k}^u(m) &= \max\left(0, (1 - k2^{i+1}d(m, M_i))\right) \\ f_k^l(m) &= kd(m, M') \\ f_{i,k}(m) &= \min(f_{i,k}^u(m), f_k^l(m)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $m \in M$. Notice that $f_{i,k} \upharpoonright_{M'} = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, doing $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have that $f_{i,k}$ converges pointwise to $\chi_{M_i \setminus M'}$, and for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $|f_{i,k}| \leq |f_{i,k}^u| \leq \chi_{M_{i+1}} \in L^1(\mu)$ by Corollary 3.3, therefore by the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem and the Radon-Nikodym Theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad \mu(M_i \setminus M') &= \int \chi_{M_i \setminus M'} d\mu = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mathcal{L}\mu, f_{i,k} \rangle \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle \lim_{n_1 \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n_2 \in \mathbb{N}} a_{(n_1, n_2)} \delta(m_{(n_1, n_2)}), f_{i,k} \right\rangle \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n_1 \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n_2 \in \mathbb{N}} a_{(n_1, n_2)} f_{i,k}(m_{(n_1, n_2)}) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We have that $(M_i \setminus M')_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ increases to $M \setminus M'$, therefore $\mu(M \setminus M') = 0$ by the continuity of the measure. We will conclude by proving that $|\mu|(M \setminus M') = 0$.

Fix A^+, A^- a Hahn decomposition associated to μ and $\epsilon > 0$, also denote $B^\pm = A^\pm \cap (M \setminus M')$. Notice that $\mu(B^+) = \mu(B^-)$ and therefore $|\mu|(M \setminus M') < \infty$.

Let $\nu = \chi_{M \setminus M'} d\mu$, we have that ν is finite, therefore because ν is also outer-regular (see for example [2, Theorem 7.1.7]) we can take closed sets $F^\pm \subset B^\pm$ and open sets $V^\pm \supset B^\pm$ such that $|\nu|(V^\pm \setminus F^\pm) < \epsilon$. Also, because $B^\pm \subset M \setminus M'$, which is open, we can assume $V^\pm \subset M \setminus M'$, and thus:

$$|\mu|(V^\pm \setminus F^\pm) = |\nu|(V^\pm \setminus F^\pm) < \epsilon.$$

We have that F^+, F^- and $K = M \setminus (V^+ \cup V^-) \cup \{0\}$ are closed and pairwise disjoint, therefore we can take $g \in \text{Lip}_0(M)$ such that $F^+ \subset g^{-1}[1]$, $F^- \subset g^{-1}[-1]$, $K \subset g^{-1}[0]$, and $|g(m)| \leq 1$ for all $m \in M$. Thus we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu|(M \setminus M') &= |\mu|(B^+) + |\mu|(B^-) \\ &\leq 2\epsilon + |\mu|(F^+) + |\mu|(F^-) \\ &= 2\epsilon + \int g d\mu - \int_{(V^+ \setminus F^+) \cup (V^- \setminus F^-)} g d\mu \\ &\leq 4\epsilon + \int g d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ define $h_k \in \text{Lip}_0(M)$ by:

$$h_k(m) = \min(1, kd(m, M'))$$

for each $m \in M$. Notice that $h_k \upharpoonright_{M'} = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and that h_k increases pointwise to $\chi_{M \setminus M'}$. Therefore, because $V^\pm \subset M \setminus M'$ and $g \upharpoonright_{M \setminus (V^+ \cup V^-)} = 0$, we have that gh_k converges pointwise to g and that $|gh_k| \leq \chi_{M \setminus M'} \in L^1(\mu)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. That is, by the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem and the Radon-Nikodym Theorem:

$$\int g d\mu = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int h_k g d\mu = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mathcal{L}\mu, h_k g \rangle = 0,$$

thus, we get the desired result by doing $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

\Leftarrow) We will prove that δ is Bochner integrable with respect to μ and that $\mathcal{L}\mu = \int \delta d\mu$, and the result will follow from the fact that $\mathcal{L}\mu$ is a limit of elements in $\mathcal{F}(M)$. Because $\delta(0) = 0$ we can assume without loss of generality that $\mu(\{0\}) = 0$.

Indeed, by hypothesis we can take a $E \in \mathbb{B}_M$ such that $|\mu|(E) = 0$ and $M \setminus E$ is separable. We clearly have that $\delta[M \setminus E]$ is separable, and:

$$\int \|\delta(m)\| d|\mu|(m) = \int \rho d|\mu| < \infty,$$

therefore δ is μ -Bochner-integrable by Theorem 3.4. Also by Proposition 3.5 for all $f \in \text{Lip}_0(M)$ we have that:

$$\left\langle \left(\int \delta d\mu \right), f \right\rangle = \int \langle \delta(x), f \rangle d\mu(x) = \int f d\mu = \mathcal{L}\mu(f).$$

Concluding the proof. □

We will finally answer Problem 4.2 of [1] by presenting a sufficient condition on M for the property that $\mathcal{L}\mu \in \mathcal{F}(M)$.

Corollary 3.7

Let M be a pointed metric space. Then the following are equivalent:

- (a) *There is no nontrivial measure on the weight of M .*
- (b) *Every σ -finite Borel measure on M is concentrated on a separable subset of M .*
- (c) *For every Borel measure μ on M such that $|\mu(\{0\})| < \infty$ we have that $\mathcal{L}\mu \in \mathcal{F}(M)$.*

Proof.

We know that (a) and (b) are equivalent from Theorem 2.14, also, (b) implies (c) by Theorem 3.6. It only remains to be proven that (c) implies (a), we will do it by contrapositive.

By Corollary 2.13 we can take a $M' \subset M$ such that there is a $r > 0$ be for which $d(x, y) \geq 3r$ for all $x, y \in M'$ and there is a probability measure μ' on $(M', \mathcal{P}(M'))$ for which $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$ for all $x \in M'$. We can assume without loss of generality that $d(0, M') > r$. Define $f \in \text{Lip}_0(M)$ by:

$$f = r - \min(d(m, M'), r),$$

for all $m \in M$ and, given $N \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(M)$, define $f_N \in \text{Lip}_0(M)$ by:

$$f_N = r - \min(d(m, N), r),$$

for all $m \in M$. Clearly f_N converges pointwise and boundedly to f , therefore $f_N \xrightarrow{\omega^*} f$.

Finally, define $\mu = \frac{1}{\rho} d(\mu' \lfloor^M)$ and for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ define $C_n = \rho^{-1}[[2^n, 2^{n+1})]$. Clearly $\int \rho d|\mu| < \infty$ and $\mu' \lfloor^M(C_i) > 0$ for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, therefore:

$$\lim_{N \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(M)} \int f_N d\mu = 0 < \int_{C_i} 2^{-(i+1)} \chi_{M'} d\mu' \lfloor^M \leq \int \frac{f}{\rho} d\mu' \lfloor^M = \int f d\mu.$$

That is, $\mathcal{L}\mu$ is not ω^* -continuous; which contradicts the hypothesis that $\mathcal{L}\mu \in \mathcal{F}(M)$. \square

APPENDIX A. NORMAL FUNCTIONALS ON $\text{Lip}_0(M)$

The mapping \mathcal{L} is related to the concept of normal functionals on $\text{Lip}_0(M)$:

Definition A.1

Let M be a pointed metric space a functional $\phi \in \mathcal{F}(M)^{**}$ is normal if given $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ a norm bounded net on $\text{Lip}_0(M)$ that converges pointwise and monotonically to some $f \in \text{Lip}_0(M)$ we have that $\langle f_i, \phi \rangle \rightarrow \langle f, \phi \rangle$.

ϕ is sequentially normal if given $(f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ a norm bounded sequence on $\text{Lip}_0(M)$ that converges pointwise and monotonically to some $f \in \text{Lip}_0(M)$ we have that $\langle f_i, \phi \rangle \rightarrow \langle f, \phi \rangle$.

The concept of normality can be used as a characterization of weak* continuity:

Theorem A.2 ([1] Theorem 3.2.5)

Let M be a pointed metric space and let $\phi \in \mathcal{F}(M)^{**}$. Then ϕ is normal if and only if it is weak* continuous.

Corollary 3.7 provides a partial answer to a question about normality of functionals on $\text{Lip}_0(M)$:

[1] Problem 3.2

Is sequential normality equivalent to normality, and hence to weak* continuity?

Namely, if $\phi = \mathcal{L}\mu$ for some Borel measure $\mathcal{L}\mu$ it is sequentially normal by the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem and the Radon-Nikodym Theorem, but if the weight of M is greater than the least real-valued measurable cardinal then there is a Borel measure μ on M such that $\mathcal{L}\mu \in \mathcal{F}(M)^{**} \setminus \mathcal{F}(M)$. That is, given the existence of a real-valued measurable cardinal we can construct a counterexample for [1] Problem 3.2.

A question that naturally arises is that if the existence of a real-valued measurable cardinal is necessary to construct such a counterexample, or more generally:

Problem 1

Can the existence of a metric space M such that there is a sequentially normal functional $\phi \in \mathcal{F}(M)^{**} \setminus \mathcal{F}(M)$ be proven in ZFC?

REFERENCES

- [1] Ramón Aliaga. *Geometry and structure of Lipschitz-free spaces and their biduals*. PhD thesis, 12 2020.
- [2] Vladimir I. Bogachev. *Measure Theory (Two-Volume Set)*. Springer, 1 edition, 2007.
- [3] Gerald B. Folland. *Real analysis: modern techniques and their applications*. PAM. Wiley, 2 edition, 1999.

- [4] Gilles Godefroy and Nigel J. Kalton. Lipschitz-free banach spaces. *Studia Mathematica*, 159:121–141, 2003.
- [5] Thomas Jech. *Set Theory: The Third Millennium Edition, revised and expanded*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, 3, corrected 4th printing 2006 edition, 2006, 2003.
- [6] Professor Kim C. Border (auth.) Professor Charalambos D. Aliprantis. *Infinite Dimensional Analysis: A Hitchhiker's Guide*. Springer, 3 edition, 2006.
- [7] Nik Weaver. *Lipschitz algebras*. World Scientific, second edition edition, 2018.

LUCAS MACIEL RAAD UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SÃO PAULO
Email address: **raad.lucas@unifesp.br**