

# EQUIVARIANT AND INVARIANT PARAMETRIZED TOPOLOGICAL COMPLEXITY

RAMANDEEP SINGH ARORA AND NAVNATH DAUNDKAR

**ABSTRACT.** For a  $G$ -equivariant fibration  $p: E \rightarrow B$ , we introduce and study the invariant analogue of Cohen, Farber and Weinberger's parametrized topological complexity, called the invariant parametrized topological complexity. This notion generalizes the invariant topological complexity introduced by Labawski and Marzantowicz's. We establish the fibrewise homotopy invariance of this notion and derive several bounds, including a cohomological lower bound and a dimensional upper bound. Additionally, we compare invariant parametrized topological complexity with other well-known invariants. When  $G$  acts freely on both  $E$  and  $B$ , we show that the invariant parametrized topological complexity of the  $G$ -fibration  $p: E \rightarrow B$  coincides with the parametrized topological complexity of the orbit fibration  $\bar{p}: \bar{E} \rightarrow \bar{B}$ . Finally, we provide sharp estimates for the invariant parametrized topological complexity of equivariant Fadell-Neuwirth fibrations.

Apart from this, we establish a cohomological lower bound and an equivariant dimension-connectivity upper bound for the equivariant sectional category and explore several of its properties. Additionally, we prove that the equivariant parametrized topological complexity of a  $G$ -fibration  $p: E \rightarrow B$  coincides with the equivariant  $\Delta(E)$ -LS category of the fibre product  $E \times_B E$  and establish several product inequalities.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	4
2.1. Equivariant sectional category	4
2.2. Equivariant LS-category	7
2.3. Equivariant and invariant topological complexity	8
2.4. Clapp-Puppe invariant of Lusternik-Schnirelmann type	9
3. Equivariant parametrized topological complexity	9
4. Invariant Parametrized Topological Complexity	12
4.1. Properties and Bounds	16
4.2. The technical Result	21
4.3. Invariance Theorem	21
5. Invariant topological complexity of equivariant Fadell-Neuwirth fibrations	23
6. Acknowledgement	25
References	25

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The *topological complexity* of a space  $X$ , denoted by  $\text{TC}(X)$ , is defined as the smallest positive integer  $k$  such that the product space  $X \times X$  can be covered by open sets  $\{U_1, \dots, U_k\}$ ,

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 55M30, 55R91, 55S40.

where each  $U_i$  admits a continuous section of the free path space fibration

$$\pi: PX \rightarrow X \times X \quad \text{defined by} \quad \pi(\gamma) = (\gamma(0), \gamma(1)), \quad (1)$$

where  $PX$  denotes the free path space of  $X$  equipped with the compact-open topology. The concept of topological complexity was introduced by Farber in [10] to analyze the computational challenges associated with motion planning algorithms for the configuration space  $X$  of a mechanical system. Farber further demonstrated that  $\text{TC}(X)$  is a homotopy invariant of  $X$ . Over the past two decades, this invariant has attracted significant attention and has been a subject of extensive research.

Recently, a novel parametrized approach to the theory of motion planning algorithms was introduced in [4, 5]. This approach provides enhanced universality and flexibility, allowing motion planning algorithms to operate effectively in diverse scenarios by incorporating external conditions. These external conditions are treated as parameters and form an integral part of the algorithm's input. A parametrized motion planning algorithm takes as input a pair of configurations subject to the same external conditions and produces a continuous motion of the system that remains consistent with these external conditions.

We now briefly define the concept of parametrized topological complexity. For a fibration  $p: E \rightarrow B$ , let  $E \times_B E$  denote the fibre product, which is the space of all pair of points in  $E$  that lie in a common fibre of  $p$ . Let  $E_B^I$  denote the space of all paths in  $E$  whose images are contained within in a single fibre. Define the *parametrized endpoint map*

$$\Pi: E_B^I \rightarrow E \times_B E \quad \text{by} \quad \Pi(\gamma) = (\gamma(0), \gamma(1)). \quad (2)$$

In [4], it is shown that  $\Pi$  is a fibration. The *parametrized topological complexity* of a fibration  $p: E \rightarrow B$ , denoted by  $\text{TC}[p: E \rightarrow B]$ , is the smallest positive integer  $k$  such that there is an open cover  $\{U_1, \dots, U_k\}$  of  $E \times_B E$ , where each  $U_i$  admits a continuous section of  $\Pi$ . For further details and interesting computational results for parametrized topological complexity, see [4], [5], [11] and [21]. Additionally, the concept has been extended to fibrewise spaces by García-Calines in [12]. On the other hand, Crabb [7] established some computational results in the fibrewise setting.

A few invariants closely related to topological complexity are the Lusternik–Schnirelmann (LS) category, introduced by Lusternik and Schnirelmann in [18], and the sectional category (or Schwarz genus), introduced by Schwarz in [24]. Colman and Grant [14] generalized the concept of sectional category by introducing its equivariant analogue, the *equivariant sectional category* of a  $G$ -map  $p: E \rightarrow B$  between  $G$ -spaces, denoted by  $\text{secat}_G(p)$  (see Definition 2.1). They extended several classical results from [24] to the equivariant setting. It can be observed that the free path space fibration (1) is a  $G$ -fibration. For a  $G$ -space  $X$ , the *equivariant topological complexity* of  $X$ , denoted by  $\text{TC}_G(X)$ , is defined as  $\text{secat}_G(\pi)$ .

On the other hand, Lubawski and Marzantowicz [17] introduced the notion of *invariant topological complexity*. The *invariant topological complexity* of a  $G$ -space  $X$ , denoted by  $\text{TC}^G(X)$ , is defined as the smallest positive integer  $k$  such that the product space  $X \times X$  can be covered by  $G \times G$ -invariant open sets  $\{U_1, \dots, U_k\}$ , where each  $U_i$  is  $G \times G$ -compressible (see Definition 2.21) into the saturated diagonal  $\nabla(X) := (G \times G) \cdot \Delta(X)$ . They demonstrated that, in certain contexts, it is more suitable than the equivariant topological complexity. For instance, for a free  $G$ -space  $X$ , the equality  $\text{TC}^G(X) = \text{TC}(X/G)$  holds (see [17, Theorem 3.10]).

Observe that the fibration defined in (2) is a  $G$ -map. The second author proved that  $\Pi$  is a  $G$ -fibration and introduced the notion of *equivariant parametrized topological complexity* in [8]. This concept, denoted by  $\text{TC}_G[p: E \rightarrow B]$ , is defined as  $\text{secat}_G(\Pi)$ . When  $B$  is a point,

$\mathrm{TC}_G[p: E \rightarrow B]$  coincides with Colman and Grant's equivariant topological complexity,  $\mathrm{TC}_G(E)$ . The second author also established equivariant counterparts for most of the results presented in the work on parametrized topological complexity by Cohen, Farber, and Weinberger. Furthermore, the author computed the equivariant parametrized topological complexity of equivariant Fadell-Neuwirth fibrations under a suitable symmetric group action on the configuration spaces of Euclidean spaces (see [8, Theorem 5.4]).

The aim of this paper is twofold. First, we examine various properties of the equivariant sectional category and equivariant parametrized topological complexity, using these properties to develop and analyze the new concept of invariant parametrized topological complexity, which we introduce in Section 4.

In Theorem 2.2, we establish the cohomological lower bound on the equivariant sectional category. This result generalizes the previously known cohomological lower bound for the equivariant topological complexity due to Colman and Grant. We also establish the equivariant homotopy dimension connectivity upper bound in Theorem 2.7.

In Section 3, we explore various properties of the equivariant parametrized topological complexity of equivariant (Hurewicz) fibrations  $p: E \rightarrow B$ . Our main result Theorem 3.2, characterizes the elements of parametrized motion planning cover as the  $G$ -compressible subsets of the fibre product  $E \times_B E$  into the diagonal  $\Delta(E)$ . Furthermore, we establish the product inequality in Theorem 3.5.

In Section 4, we introduce an invariant analogue of parametrized topological complexity, which generalizes the concept of invariant topological complexity. For a  $G$ -fibration, we define an invariant version of the parametrized endpoint map (see Equation (4)). We show that this  $(G \times G)$ -map is, in fact, a  $(G \times G)$ -fibration (see Proposition 4.1), which allow us to define the invariant parametrized topological complexity (see Definition 4.2). This invariant is denoted by  $\mathrm{TC}^G[p: E \rightarrow B]$ . We establish the fibrewise  $G$ -homotopy invariance of this notion and show that it generalizes the invariant topological complexity (see Theorem 4.3). For a trivial  $G$ -fibration, we show that the invariant parametrized topological complexity of a  $G$ -fibration with trivial action on its fibre coincides with the topological complexity of the fibre (see Proposition 4.5).

For a  $G$ -fibration  $p: E \rightarrow B$ , in Theorem 4.7, we show that the elements of invariant parametrized motion planning cover can be characterized as the  $G \times G$ -compressible subsets of the fibre product  $E \times_{B/G} E$  into the saturated diagonal  $\Upsilon(E)$ . As a consequence to this characterization, Corollary 4.8 establishes that,  $\mathrm{TC}^G[p: E \rightarrow B]$  can be expressed as the equivariant  $\Upsilon(E)$ -LS category of  $E \times_{B/G} E$ . In Section 4.1, we investigate various properties and bounds for  $\mathrm{TC}^G[p: E \rightarrow B]$ . For example, we establish dimensional upper bound (see Proposition 4.10), derive cohomological lower bound (see Theorem 4.20), and prove product inequality (see Theorem 4.15). Finally, we prove one of our main result, Theorem 4.26, which shows that the  $\mathrm{TC}^G[p: E \rightarrow B]$  coincides with the parametrized topological complexity of the corresponding orbit fibration, when  $G$  acts freely on  $E$  and  $B$ .

In Section 5, we provide sharp estimates for the invariant parametrized topological complexity of the equivariant Fadell-Neuwirth fibrations. Specifically, in Theorem 5.5, we show that for configuration spaces of odd-dimensional Euclidean spaces, under certain conditions, the upper bound and lower bound of invariant parametrized topological complexity of corresponding Fadell-Neuwirth fibrations differ by 1. In contrast, for the configuration spaces of even-dimensional Euclidean spaces, the upper bound and lower bound differ by either 1 or 2.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we systematically introduce various numerical invariants: equivariant sectional category, equivariant LS-category, equivariant topological complexity,  $A$ -Lusternik-Schnirelmann  $G$ -category, and invariant topological complexity. In Section 2.1, we give a cohomological lower bound on equivariant sectional category of a  $G$ -fibration using Borel cohomology. We then define the notion of  $G$ -homotopy dimension for  $G$ -spaces and establish the equivariant dimension connectivity upper bound on the equivariant sectional category. Additionally, we prove some properties of the equivariant sectional category. As a consequence to Theorem 2.7, we obtain the equivariant dimension connectivity upper bound on the equivariant LS category in Section 2.2. Later in Section 2.3 we define the invariant topological complexity and recall the basic information related to Clapp-Puppe invariant of LS type in Section 2.4.

### 2.1. Equivariant sectional category.

The notion of equivariant sectional category was introduced by Colman and Grant in [14].

**Definition 2.1** ([14, Definition 4.1]). *Let  $p: E \rightarrow B$  be a  $G$ -map. The equivariant sectional category of  $p$ , denoted by  $\text{secat}_G(p)$ , is the least positive integer  $k$  such that there is a  $G$ -invariant open cover  $\{U_1, \dots, U_k\}$  of  $B$  and  $G$ -maps  $s_i: U_i \rightarrow E$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , such that  $p \circ s_i \simeq_G i_{U_i}$ , where  $i_{U_i}: U_i \hookrightarrow B$  is the inclusion map.*

First we establish the cohomological lower bound on the equivariant sectional category. To the best of our knowledge, such a bound has not been documented in the literature. We believe that this result must already be known to experts in the field. Nevertheless, we provide a thorough proof of this result here.

Suppose  $EG \rightarrow BG$  is a universal principal  $G$ -bundle. For a  $G$ -space  $X$ , let  $X_G^h$  be the homotopy orbit space of  $X$  defined as

$$X_G^h := EG \times_G X,$$

and the Borel  $G$ -equivariant cohomology  $H_G^*(X; R)$  of  $X$  with coefficients in a commutative ring  $R$  is defined as  $H_G^*(X; R) := H^*(X_G^h; R)$ . We note that for a  $G$ -map  $p: E \rightarrow B$ , there is an induced map  $p_G^h: E_G^h \rightarrow B_G^h$ .

**Theorem 2.2** (Cohomological lower bound). *Suppose  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is a  $G$ -map. If there are cohomology classes  $u_1, \dots, u_k \in \widetilde{H}_G^*(B; R)$  (for any commutative ring  $R$ ) with*

$$(p_G^h)^*(u_1) = \dots = (p_G^h)^*(u_k) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u_1 \cup \dots \cup u_k \neq 0,$$

*then  $\text{secat}_G(p) > k$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\text{secat}_G(p) \leq k$ . Then there exists a  $G$ -invariant open cover  $\{U_1, \dots, U_k\}$  of  $B$  such that each  $U_i$  admits a  $G$ -equivariant homotopy section  $s_i$  of  $p$ . Suppose there are cohomology classes  $u_1, \dots, u_k \in \widetilde{H}_G^*(B; R)$  such that  $(p_G^h)^*(u_1) = \dots = (p_G^h)^*(u_k) = 0$ . Let  $j_i: U_i \hookrightarrow B$  be the inclusion map. Then

$$((j_i)_G^h)^*(u_i) = ((s_i)_G^h)^* \left( (p_G^h)^*(u_i) \right) = 0$$

since  $p \circ s_i \simeq_G j_i$  implies  $((j_i)_G^h)^* = ((s_i)_G^h)^* \circ (p_G^h)^*$ . Hence, the long exact sequence in cohomology associated to the pair  $(B_G^h, (U_i)_G^h)$  gives an element  $v_i \in H^*(B_G^h, (U_i)_G^h; R)$  such that  $((q_i)_G^h)^*(v_i) = u_i$ , where  $q_i: B \hookrightarrow (B, U_i)$  is the inclusion map. Hence,

$$v_1 \cup \dots \cup v_k \in H^*(B_G^h, \cup_{i=1}^k (U_i)_G^h; R) = H^*(B_G^h, B_G^h; R) = 0.$$

Moreover, by the naturality of cup products, we have  $(q_G^h)^*(v_1 \cup \cdots \cup v_k) = u_1 \cup \cdots \cup u_k$ , where  $q: B \hookrightarrow (B, B)$  is the inclusion map. Hence,  $u_1 \cup \cdots \cup u_k = 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.3.**

- (1) Observe that if  $G$  acts trivially on  $X$ , then the lower bound in Theorem 2.2 recovers the cohomological lower bound given Schwarz in [24, Theorem 4].
- (2) Note the following commutative diagram of  $G$ -maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{h} & PX \\ & \searrow \Delta & \swarrow \pi \\ & X \times X & \end{array},$$

where  $h$  is a  $G$ -homotopy equivalence. Therefore, the lower bound in Theorem 2.2 recovers the bound [14, Theorem 5.15] on  $\text{TC}_G(X)$ , obtained by Colman and Grant. More generally, it also recovers the bound [8, Theorem 4.25] on the equivariant parametrized topological complexity, obtained by the second author.

The following proposition states some basic properties of the equivariant sectional category. Proofs are left to the reader. For analogous results concerning the non-equivariant sectional category, refer to [16, Lemma 2.1].

**Proposition 2.4.** Suppose  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is a  $G$ -map.

- (1) If  $p': E \rightarrow B$  is  $G$ -homotopic to  $p$ , then  $\text{secat}_G(p') = \text{secat}_G(p)$ .
- (2) If  $h: E' \rightarrow E$  is  $G$ -homotopy equivalence, then  $\text{secat}_G(p \circ h) = \text{secat}_G(p)$ .
- (3) If  $f: B \rightarrow B'$  is a  $G$ -homotopy equivalence, then  $\text{secat}_G(f \circ p) = \text{secat}_G(p)$ .

**Corollary 2.5.** Suppose  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is a  $G$ -fibration. If  $g: B' \rightarrow B$  is a  $G$ -homotopy equivalence and  $p': E' \rightarrow B'$  is the pullback of  $p$  along  $g$ , then

$$\text{secat}_G(p') = \text{secat}_G(p).$$

*Proof.* Suppose the following diagram is a pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E' & \xrightarrow{h} & E \\ p' \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ B' & \xrightarrow{g} & B \end{array}.$$

Since  $g$  is a homotopy equivalence and  $p$  is a fibration, it follows that  $h$  is also a homotopy equivalence. Hence, we get

$$\text{secat}_G(p') = \text{secat}_G(g \circ p') = \text{secat}_G(p \circ h) = \text{secat}_G(p).$$

by Proposition 2.4.  $\square$

Suppose  $X$  is a  $G$ -space. For a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ , define the  $H$ -invariant subspace of  $X$  as

$$X^H := \{x \in X \mid h \cdot x = x \text{ for all } h \in H\}.$$

Generalizing Schwarz’s dimension-connectivity upper bound on the sectional category, Grant established the corresponding equivariant analogue for the equivariant sectional category in [15, Theorem 3.5]. We extend this approach to derive the equivariant version of the homotopy dimension-connectivity upper bound for the equivariant sectional category. To achieve this, we first introduce the notion of  $G$ -homotopy dimension for  $G$ -spaces.

**Definition 2.6.** Suppose  $X$  is a  $G$ -CW complex. The  $G$ -homotopy dimension of  $X$ , denoted  $\text{hdim}_G(X)$ , is defined to be

$$\text{hdim}_G(X) := \min\{\dim(X') \mid X' \text{ is a } G\text{-CW complex which is } G\text{-homotopy equivalent to } X\}.$$

We are now ready to state the equivariant homotopy dimension connectivity upper bound.

**Theorem 2.7.** Suppose  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is a Serre  $G$ -fibration with fibre  $F$ , whose base  $B$  is a  $G$ -CW complex of dimension atleast 2. If there exists  $s \geq 0$  such that  $F^H$  is  $(s-1)$ -connected for all subgroups  $H$  of  $G$ , then

$$\text{secat}_G(p) < \frac{\text{hdim}_G(B) + 1}{s + 1} + 1.$$

*Proof.* It is enough to show that for any  $G$ -CW complex  $B'$  which is  $G$ -homotopy equivalent to  $B$ , we have

$$\text{secat}_G(p) < \frac{\dim(B') + 1}{s + 1} + 1.$$

Suppose  $f: B' \rightarrow B$  is a  $G$ -homotopy between  $G$ -CW complexes  $B'$  and  $B$ , and  $p': E' \rightarrow B'$  is the pullback of  $p$  along  $f$ . Then, by Corollary 2.5, we have  $\text{secat}_G(p') = \text{secat}_G(p)$ . Since the fibre of  $p'$  is also  $F$ , we get

$$\text{secat}_G(p') < \frac{\dim(B') + 1}{s + 1} + 1.$$

by [15, Theorem 3.5]. □

**Proposition 2.8** (Subgroup inequality). Suppose  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is a  $G$ -fibration. If  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$  such that  $p$  is also a  $H$ -fibration, then

$$\text{secat}_H(p) \leq \text{secat}_G(p).$$

In particular, if  $G$  is a compact Hausdorff topological group, then

$$\text{secat}(p) \leq \text{secat}_H(p) \leq \text{secat}_G(p)$$

for all closed subgroups  $H$  of  $G$ .

*Proof.* As any  $G$ -equivariant section of  $p$  is also  $H$ -equivariant, it follows that  $\text{secat}_H(p) \leq \text{secat}_G(p)$ . The second part of the theorem follows from [13, Theorem 3]. □

**Definition 2.9.**

- (1) A topological space  $X$  is called completely normal if, for any two subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$  with  $\overline{A} \cap B = A \cap \overline{B} = \emptyset$ , there exist disjoint open subsets of  $X$  containing  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively.
- (2) A  $G$ -space  $X$  is called  $G$ -completely normal if, for any two  $G$ -invariant subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$  with  $\overline{A} \cap B = A \cap \overline{B} = \emptyset$ , there exist disjoint  $G$ -invariant open subsets of  $X$  containing  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively.

**Lemma 2.10** ([6, Lemma 3.12]). Suppose that  $G$  is a compact Hausdorff topological group acting continuously on a Hausdorff topological space  $X$ . If  $X$  is completely normal, then  $X$  is  $G$ -completely normal.

**Proposition 2.11.** Suppose  $p_i: E_i \rightarrow B_i$  is a  $G$ -fibration for  $i = 1, 2$ . If  $G$  is a compact Hausdorff, then  $p_1 \times p_2: E_1 \times E_2 \rightarrow B_1 \times B_2$  is a  $G$ -fibration, where  $G$  acts on  $E_1 \times E_2$  and  $B_1 \times B_2$  diagonally. Furthermore, if  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are Hausdorff, and  $B_1 \times B_2$  is completely normal, then

$$\text{secat}_G(p_1 \times p_2) \leq \text{secat}_G(p_1) + \text{secat}_G(p_2) - 1.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $G$  is compact Hausdorff. Then  $G$ , identified with the diagonal of  $G \times G$ , is a closed subgroup of  $G \times G$ . Hence, by [13, Theorem 3], it follows that  $p_1 \times p_2: E_1 \times E_2 \rightarrow B \times B$  is a  $G$ -fibration, where  $G$  acts diagonally on the spaces  $E_1 \times E_2$  and  $B \times B$ .

If  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are Hausdorff, and  $B_1 \times B_2$  is completely normal, then Lemma 2.10 implies  $B_1 \times B_2$  is  $(G \times G)$ -completely normal. Hence, the desired inequality

$$\text{secat}_G(p_1 \times p_2) \leq \text{secat}_{G \times G}(p_1 \times p_2) \leq \text{secat}_G(p_1) + \text{secat}_G(p_2) - 1$$

follows from Proposition 2.8 and [1, Proposition 2.9].  $\square$

**Corollary 2.12.** *Suppose  $p_i: E_i \rightarrow B$  is a  $G$ -fibration for  $i = 1, 2$ . Let  $E_1 \times_B E_2 = \{(e_1, e_2) \in E_1 \times E_2 \mid p_1(e_1) = p_2(e_2)\}$  and let  $p: E_1 \times_B E_2 \rightarrow B$  be the  $G$ -map given by  $p(e_1, e_2) = p_1(e_1) = p_2(e_2)$ , where  $G$  acts on  $E_1 \times_B E_2$  diagonally. If  $G$  is compact Hausdorff, then  $p$  is a  $G$ -fibration. Furthermore, if  $B$  is Hausdorff and  $B \times B$  is completely normal, then*

$$\text{secat}_G(p) \leq \text{secat}_G(p_1) + \text{secat}_G(p_2) - 1.$$

*Proof.* Note that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_1 \times_B E_2 & \hookrightarrow & E_1 \times E_2 \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow p_1 \times p_2 \\ B & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & B \times B \end{array}$$

is a pullback in the category of  $G$ -spaces, where  $\Delta: B \rightarrow B \times B$  is the diagonal map. In Proposition 2.11, we showed that  $p_1 \times p_2$  is a  $G$ -fibration if  $G$  is compact Hausdorff. Hence,  $p$  is a  $G$ -fibration. Thus, the desired inequality

$$\text{secat}_G(p) \leq \text{secat}_G(p_1 \times p_2) \leq \text{secat}_G(p_1) + \text{secat}_G(p_2) - 1$$

follows from [6, Proposition 4.3] and Proposition 2.11.  $\square$

## 2.2. Equivariant LS-category.

**Definition 2.13.** *A  $G$ -invariant subset  $U$  of a  $G$ -space  $X$  is said to be  $G$ -categorical if the inclusion map  $i_U: U \rightarrow X$  is  $G$ -homotopy equivalent to a map which takes values in a single orbit.*

**Definition 2.14.** *The equivariant LS-category of a  $G$ -space  $X$ , denoted by  $\text{cat}_G(X)$ , is the least positive integer  $k$  such that there exists a  $G$ -categorical open cover  $\{U_1, \dots, U_k\}$  of  $X$ .*

**Definition 2.15.** *A  $G$ -space  $X$  is said to be  $G$ -connected if  $X^H$  is path-connected for every closed subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ .*

Let  $X$  be a  $G$ -space, and  $x_0 \in X$ . Define the path space of  $(X, x_0)$  as

$$P_{x_0}X = \{\alpha: I \rightarrow X \mid \alpha(0) = x_0\}.$$

Then the map  $e_X: P_{x_0}X \rightarrow X$ , given by  $e_X(\alpha) = \alpha(1)$ , is a fibration.

Moreover, if the point  $x_0$  is fixed under the  $G$ -action, then  $e_X$  is a  $G$ -fibration, where  $P_{x_0}X$  admits a  $G$ -action via  $(g \cdot \alpha)(t) := g \cdot \alpha(t)$ . We note that the fibre of  $e_X$  is the loop space  $\Omega X = (e_X)^{-1}(x_0)$  of  $X$ , and the  $G$ -action on  $P_{x_0}X$  restricts to a  $G$ -action on  $\Omega X$ .

**Lemma 2.16** ([6, Corollary 4.7]). *If  $X$  is a  $G$ -space such that  $X$  is  $G$ -connected and  $x_0 \in X^G$ , then*

$$\text{cat}_G(X) = \text{secat}_G(e_X).$$

Now as a consequence to Theorem 2.7 we obtain the equivariant dimension connectivity upper bound on the equivariant LS category.

**Theorem 2.17.** *Suppose  $X$  is a  $G$ -CW complex of dimension atleast 2 such that  $X^G \neq \emptyset$ . If there exists  $s \geq 0$  such that  $X^H$  is  $s$ -connected for all subgroups  $H$  of  $G$ , then*

$$\text{cat}_G(X) < \frac{\text{hdim}_G(X) + 1}{s + 1} + 1.$$

*Proof.* If  $x_0 \in X^G$ , then  $e_X: P_{x_0}X \rightarrow X$  is a  $G$ -fibration with fibre  $\Omega X$ . Note that  $(\Omega X)^H = \Omega(X^H)$ . Since  $X^H$  is  $s$ -connected, the loop space  $\Omega(X^H)$  is  $(s - 1)$ -connected. Hence, by Theorem 2.7, we get

$$\text{secat}_G(e_X) < \frac{\text{hdim}_G(X) + 1}{s + 1} + 1.$$

As  $X^H$  is  $s$ -connected, it follows that  $X^H$  is path-connected. Hence,  $X$  is  $G$ -connected, and the theorem follows by Lemma 2.16.  $\square$

### 2.3. Equivariant and invariant topological complexity.

We recall the concept of equivariant topological complexity introduced by Colman and Grant in [14]. Let  $X$  be a  $G$ -space. Observe that the free path space  $PX$  admits a  $G$ -action via  $(g \cdot \alpha)(t) := g \cdot \alpha(t)$ . Similarly, the product space  $X \times X$  is a  $G$ -space with the diagonal action. The fibration

$$\pi: PX \rightarrow X \times X, \quad \alpha \mapsto (\alpha(0), \alpha(1))$$

is a  $G$ -fibration.

**Definition 2.18.** *The equivariant topological complexity of a  $G$ -space  $X$  is defined as*

$$\text{TC}_G(X) := \text{secat}_G(\pi).$$

It is important to note that the equivariant topological complexity of  $G$ -spaces does not necessarily relate to the topological complexity of their orbit spaces. However, Lubawski and Marzantowicz provided an alternative definition of equivariant topological complexity, designed to facilitate such a comparison. We now present their definition and recall the corresponding result.

Suppose  $X$  is a  $G$ -space. Let  $\pi_X: X \rightarrow X/G$  denote the orbit map.

$$PX \times_{X/G} PX := \{(\gamma, \delta) \in PX \times PX \mid G \cdot \gamma(1) = G \cdot \delta(0)\}$$

That is the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} PX \times_{X/G} PX & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & PX \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_X \circ e_0 \\ PX & \xrightarrow{\pi_X \circ e_1} & X/G \end{array}$$

is a pullback.

Define the map

$$\mathfrak{p}: PX \times_{X/G} PX \rightarrow X \times X, \quad (\gamma, \delta) \mapsto (\gamma(0), \delta(1)).$$

It was shown in [17, Proposition 3.7] that the map  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a  $(G \times G)$ -fibration.

**Definition 2.19.** *Let  $X$  be a  $G$ -space. The invariant topological complexity of  $X$  denoted by  $\text{TC}^G(X)$ , is defined as*

$$\text{TC}^G(X) := \text{secat}_{G \times G}(\mathfrak{p}).$$

The following theorem relates the invariant topological complexity of a free  $G$ -space  $X$  with that of the topological complexity of its corresponding orbit space.



**Theorem 2.20** ([17, Theorem 3.9 and 3.10]). *Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group and  $X$  be a compact  $G$ -ANR. Then*

$$\mathrm{TC}(X/G) \leq \mathrm{TC}^G(X).$$

*Moreover, if  $X$  has one orbit type, then*

$$\mathrm{TC}^G(X) = \mathrm{TC}^G(X/G).$$

#### 2.4. Clapp-Puppe invariant of Lusternik-Schnirelmann type.

**Definition 2.21.** *Let  $A$  be a  $G$ -invariant closed subset of a  $G$ -space  $X$ . A  $G$ -invariant open subset of  $X$  is said to be  $G$ -compressible into  $A$  if the inclusion map  $i_U: U \rightarrow X$  is  $G$ -homotopic to a  $G$ -map  $c: U \rightarrow X$  which takes values in  $A$ .*

**Definition 2.22.** *Let  $A$  be a  $G$ -invariant closed subset of a  $G$ -space  $X$ . The  $A$ -Lusternik-Schnirelmann  $G$ -category of  $X$ , denoted  ${}_A\mathrm{cat}_G(X)$ , is the least positive integer  $k$  such that there exists a  $G$ -invariant open cover  $\{U_1, \dots, U_k\}$  of  $X$  such that each  $U_i$  is  $G$ -compressible into  $A$ .*

Colman and Grant in [14, Lemma 5.14] showed that for a  $G$ -invariant open subset  $U$  of  $X \times X$  the following are equivalent:

- (1) there exists a  $G$ -equivariant section of  $e_X: PX \rightarrow X \times X$  over  $U$ ,
- (2)  $U$  is  $G$ -compressible into the diagonal  $\Delta(X) \subset X \times X$ .

In particular,

$$\mathrm{TC}_G(X) = {}_{\Delta(X)}\mathrm{cat}_G(X \times X).$$

Later, Lubawski and Marzantowicz in [17, Lemma 3.8] showed a similar result for invariant topological complexity. More precisely, for a  $(G \times G)$ -invariant open subset of  $U$  of  $X \times X$  the following are equivalent:

- (1) there exists a  $(G \times G)$ -equivariant section of  $\mathfrak{p}: PX \times_{X/G} X \rightarrow X \times X$  over  $U$ ,
- (2)  $U$  is  $(G \times G)$ -compressible into the saturation of the diagonal  $\mathfrak{v}(X) := (G \times G) \cdot \Delta(X) \subset X \times X$ .

In particular,

$$\mathrm{TC}^G(X) = {}_{\mathfrak{v}(X)}\mathrm{cat}_{G \times G}(X \times X).$$

In Section 3 and Section 4, we give analogous results for equivariant parametrized topological complexity and invariant parametrized topological complexity, respectively. We use these results to prove Theorem 4.26.

### 3. EQUIVARIANT PARAMETRIZED TOPOLOGICAL COMPLEXITY

In this section, we define the equivariant parametrized topological complexity of  $G$ -fibrations  $P: E \rightarrow B$  and show that it can be expressed as the equivariant  $\Delta(E)$ -LS category of the fibre product  $E \times_B E$ . Additionally, we establish the product inequality for the equivariant parametrized topological complexity.

For a  $G$ -fibration  $p: E \rightarrow B$ , consider the subspace  $E_B^I$  of the free path space  $E^I$  of  $E$  defined by

$$E_B^I := \{\gamma \in E^I \mid \gamma(t) \in p^{-1}(b) \text{ for some } b \in B \text{ and for all } t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

Consider the pullback corresponding to the fibration  $p: E \rightarrow B$  defined by

$$E \times_B E := \{(e_1, e_2) \in E \times E \mid p(e_1) = p(e_2)\}.$$

It is clear that the  $G$ -action on  $E^I$  given by

$$(g \cdot \gamma)(t) := g \cdot \gamma(t) \quad \text{for all } g \in G, \gamma \in E^I, t \in I;$$

and the diagonal action of  $G$  on  $E \times E$  restricts to  $E_B^I$  and  $E \times_B E$ , respectively. Then the map

$$\Pi: E_B^I \rightarrow E \times_B E, \quad \Pi(\gamma) = (\gamma(0), \gamma(1)) \quad (3)$$

is a  $G$ -fibration, see [8, Corollary 4.3].

**Definition 3.1.** *The equivariant parametrized topological complexity of a  $G$ -fibration  $p: E \rightarrow B$ , denoted by  $\mathrm{TC}_G[p: E \rightarrow B]$ , is defined as*

$$\mathrm{TC}_G[p: E \rightarrow B] := \mathrm{secat}_G(\Pi).$$

Suppose  $\Delta: E \rightarrow E \times E$  is the diagonal map. It is clear that the image  $\Delta(E)$  is a  $G$ -invariant subset of  $E \times_B E$ . In the next theorem, we give equivariant parametrized topological complexity analogue of [6, Lemma 5.14].

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $p: E \rightarrow B$  be a  $G$ -fibration. For a  $G$ -invariant (not necessarily open) subset  $U$  of  $E \times_B E$  the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *there exists a  $G$ -equivariant section of  $\Pi: E_B^I \rightarrow E \times_B E$  over  $U$ .*
- (2) *there exists a  $G$ -homotopy between the inclusion map  $i_U: U \rightarrow E \times_B E$  and a  $G$ -map  $f: U \rightarrow E \times_B E$  which takes values in  $\Delta(E)$ .*

*Proof.* (1)  $\implies$  (2). Suppose  $s: U \rightarrow E_B^I$  is a  $G$ -equivariant section of  $\Pi$ . Let  $H: E_B^I \times I \rightarrow E_B^I$  be given by

$$H(\gamma, t)(s) = \gamma(s(1-t)), \quad \text{for } \gamma \in E_B^I \text{ and } s, t \in I.$$

It is clear that  $H(\gamma, t) \in E_B^I$  for all  $\gamma \in E_B^I$  and  $t \in I$ . Hence,  $H$  is well-defined. Clearly,  $H$  is  $G$ -equivariant such that  $H(\gamma, 0) = \gamma$  and  $H(\gamma, 1) = c_{\gamma(0)}$ , where  $c_e$  is the constant path in  $E$  taking the value  $e \in E$ . Then

$$F := \Pi \circ H \circ (s \times \mathrm{id}_I): U \times I \rightarrow E \times_B E$$

is a  $G$ -homotopy such that  $F_0 = \Pi \circ \mathrm{id}_{E_B^I} \circ s = i_U$  and  $F_1(u) = \Pi(H_1(s(u))) = \Pi(c_{s(u)(0)}) = (s(u)(0), s(u)(0)) \in \Delta(E)$ . Hence,  $F_1$  is the desired map.

(2)  $\implies$  (1). Suppose  $H: U \times I \rightarrow E \times_B E$  is a  $G$ -homotopy between  $f$  and  $i_U$ . Let  $s: U \rightarrow E_B^I$  be the  $G$ -map given by  $s(u) = c_{\pi_1(f(u))} = c_{\pi_2(f(u))}$ , where  $\pi_i: E \times E \rightarrow E$  is the projection map onto the  $i$ -th factor. By homotopy lifting property of  $\Pi$ , there exists a  $G$ -homotopy  $\tilde{H}: U \times I \rightarrow E_B^I$  such that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U \times \{0\} & \xrightarrow{s} & E_B^I \\ \downarrow & \searrow \tilde{H} & \downarrow \Pi \\ U \times I & \xrightarrow{H} & E \times_B E \end{array}$$

commutes. Then  $\Pi \circ \tilde{H}_1 = H_1 = i_U$  implies  $\tilde{H}_1$  is a  $G$ -equivariant section of  $\Pi$  over  $U$ .  $\square$

As a consequence to the previous theorem we can now express the equivariant parametrized topological complexity as the equivariant  $\Delta(E)$ -LS category of the fibre product.

**Corollary 3.3.** *For a  $G$ -fibration  $p: E \rightarrow B$ , we have*

$$\mathrm{TC}_G[p: E \rightarrow B] = {}_{\Delta(E)}\mathrm{cat}_G(E \times_B E).$$

**Proposition 3.4** (Subgroup inequality). *Suppose  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is a  $G$ -fibration. If  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$  such that  $p$  is also a  $H$ -fibration, then*

$$\mathrm{TC}_H[p: E \rightarrow B] \leq \mathrm{TC}_G[p: E \rightarrow B].$$

In particular, if  $G$  is a compact Hausdorff topological group, then

$$\mathrm{TC}[p: E \rightarrow B] \leq \mathrm{TC}_H[p: E \rightarrow B] \leq \mathrm{TC}_G[p: E \rightarrow B].$$

for all closed subgroups  $H$  of  $G$ .

*Proof.* Note that if  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$  such that  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is a  $H$ -fibration, then  $\Pi: E_B^I \rightarrow E \times_B E$  is a  $H$ -fibration. Hence, the result follows by applying Proposition 2.8 to the  $G$ -fibration  $\Pi: E_B^I \rightarrow E \times_B E$  since  $\mathrm{TC}_H[p: E \rightarrow B] = \mathrm{secat}_H(\Pi: E_B^I \rightarrow E \times_B E)$ .  $\square$

The product inequality for parametrized topological complexity was proved in [4, Proposition 6.1]. We now establish the corresponding equivariant analogue.

**Theorem 3.5** (Product inequality). *Let  $p_1: E_1 \rightarrow B_1$  be a  $G_1$ -fibration and  $p_2: E_2 \rightarrow B_2$  be a  $G_2$ -fibration. If  $(E_1 \times E_1) \times (E_2 \times E_2)$  is  $(G_1 \times G_2)$ -completely normal, then*

$$\mathrm{TC}_{G_1 \times G_2}[p_1 \times p_2: E_1 \times E_2 \rightarrow B_1 \times B_2] \leq \mathrm{TC}_{G_1}[p_1: E_1 \rightarrow B_1] + \mathrm{TC}_{G_2}[p_2: E_2 \rightarrow B_2] - 1,$$

where  $G_i$  acts on  $E_i \times E_i$  diagonally for  $i = 1, 2$ ; and  $G_1 \times G_2$  acts on  $(E_1 \times E_1) \times (E_2 \times E_2)$  componentwise.

*Proof.* Let  $\Pi_1: (E_1)_{B_1}^I \rightarrow E_1 \times_{B_1} E_1$  and  $\Pi_2: (E_2)_{B_2}^I \rightarrow E_2 \times_{B_2} E_2$  be the equivariant parametrized fibrations corresponding to  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ , respectively. If  $E := E_1 \times E_2$ ,  $B := B_1 \times B_2$  and  $p := p_1 \times p_2$  is the product  $(G_1 \times G_2)$ -fibration, then it easily checked that

$$E_B^I = (E_1)_{B_1}^I \times (E_2)_{B_2}^I \quad \text{and} \quad E \times_B E = (E_1 \times_{B_1} E_1) \times (E_2 \times_{B_2} E_2)$$

and the  $(G_1 \times G_2)$ -equivariant parametrized fibration  $\Pi: E_B^I \rightarrow E \times_B E$  corresponding to  $p$  is equivalent to the product  $(G_1 \times G_2)$ -fibration

$$\Pi_1 \times \Pi_2: (E_1)_{B_1}^I \times (E_2)_{B_2}^I \rightarrow (E_1 \times_{B_1} E_1) \times (E_2 \times_{B_2} E_2).$$

As a subspace of  $(G_1 \times G_2)$ -completely normal space is  $(G_1 \times G_2)$ -completely normal, it follows that  $(E_1 \times_{B_1} E_1) \times (E_2 \times_{B_2} E_2)$  is  $(G_1 \times G_2)$ -completely normal. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{TC}_{G_1 \times G_2}[p_1 \times p_2: E_1 \times E_2 \rightarrow B_1 \times B_2] &= \mathrm{secat}_{G_1 \times G_2}(\Pi_1 \times \Pi_2) \\ &\leq \mathrm{secat}_{G_1}(\Pi_1) + \mathrm{secat}_{G_2}(\Pi_2) - 1 \\ &= \mathrm{TC}_{G_1}[p_1: E_1 \rightarrow B_1] + \mathrm{TC}_{G_2}[p_2: E_2 \rightarrow B_2] - 1, \end{aligned}$$

by [1, Proposition 2.9].  $\square$

**Corollary 3.6.** *Suppose  $p_i: E_i \rightarrow B_i$  is a  $G$ -fibration for  $i = 1, 2$ . If  $G$  is compact Hausdorff, then  $p_1 \times p_2: E_1 \times E_2 \rightarrow B_1 \times B_2$  is a  $G$ -fibration, where  $G$  acts diagonally on the spaces  $E_1 \times E_2$  and  $B_1 \times B_2$ . Furthermore, if  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are Hausdorff, and  $E_1 \times E_1 \times E_2 \times E_2$  is completely normal, then*

$$\mathrm{TC}_G[p_1 \times p_2: E_1 \times E_2 \rightarrow B_1 \times B_2] \leq \mathrm{TC}_G[p_1: E_1 \rightarrow B_1] + \mathrm{TC}_G[p_2: E_2 \rightarrow B_2] - 1.$$

*Proof.* In Proposition 2.11, we showed that  $p_1 \times p_2: E_2 \times E_2 \rightarrow B_1 \times B_2$  is a  $G$ -fibration if  $G$  is compact Hausdorff.

If  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are Hausdorff, and  $(E_1 \times E_1) \times (E_2 \times E_2)$  is completely normal, then Lemma 2.10 implies that  $(E_1 \times E_1) \times (E_2 \times E_2)$  is  $(G \times G)$ -completely normal. Hence, the desired inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{TC}_G[p_1 \times p_2: E_1 \times E_2 \rightarrow B_1 \times B_2] &\leq \mathrm{TC}_{G \times G}[p_1 \times p_2: E_1 \times E_2 \rightarrow B_1 \times B_2] \\ &\leq \mathrm{TC}_G[p_1: E_1 \rightarrow B_1] + \mathrm{TC}_G[p_2: E_2 \rightarrow B_2] - 1 \end{aligned}$$

follows from Proposition 3.4 and Theorem 3.5.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.7.** *Suppose  $p_i: E_i \rightarrow B$  is a  $G$ -fibration for  $i = 1, 2$ . Let  $E_1 \times_B E_2 = \{(e_1, e_2) \in E_1 \times E_2 \mid p_1(e_1) = p_2(e_2)\}$  and let  $p: E_1 \times_B E_2 \rightarrow B$  be the  $G$ -map given by  $p(e_1, e_2) = p_1(e_1) = p_2(e_2)$ , where  $G$  acts on  $E_1 \times_B E_2$  diagonally. If  $G$  is compact Hausdorff, then  $p$  is a  $G$ -fibration. Furthermore, if  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are Hausdorff, and  $E_1 \times E_1 \times E_2 \times E_2$  is completely normal, then*

$$\mathrm{TC}_G[p: E_1 \times_B E_2 \rightarrow B] \leq \mathrm{TC}_G[p_1: E_1 \rightarrow B] + \mathrm{TC}_G[p_2: E_2 \rightarrow B] - 1,$$

where  $G$  acts on  $E_i \times E_i$  diagonally for  $i = 1, 2$ ; and  $G \times G$  acts on  $(E_1 \times E_1) \times (E_2 \times E_2)$  componentwise.

*Proof.* Note the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_1 \times_B E_2 & \hookrightarrow & E_1 \times E_2 \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow p_1 \times p_2 \\ B \simeq \Delta(B) & \hookrightarrow & B \times B \end{array}$$

is a pullback in the category of  $G$ -spaces, where  $\Delta: B \rightarrow B \times B$  is the diagonal map. In Corollary 2.12, we showed that  $p$  is a  $G$ -fibration. Hence, the desired inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{TC}_G[p: E_1 \times_B E_2 \rightarrow B] &\leq \mathrm{TC}_G[p_1 \times p_2: E_1 \times E_2 \rightarrow B \times B] \\ &\leq \mathrm{TC}_G[p_1: E_1 \rightarrow B] + \mathrm{TC}_G[p_2: E_2 \rightarrow B] - 1 \end{aligned}$$

follows from [8, Proposition 4.6] and Corollary 3.6.  $\square$

#### 4. INVARIANT PARAMETRIZED TOPOLOGICAL COMPLEXITY

In this section, introduce the main object of our study, the invariant parametrized topological complexity.

Suppose  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is a  $G$ -fibration.

$$E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I := \{(\gamma, \delta) \in E_B^I \times E_B^I \mid G \cdot \gamma(1) = G \cdot \delta(0)\}.$$

That is the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & E_B^I \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_E \circ e_0 \\ E_B^I & \xrightarrow{\pi_E \circ e_1} & E/G \end{array}$$

is a pullback. For each path  $\alpha \in E_B^I$ , let  $b_\alpha$  denote the element in  $B$  such that  $\alpha$  take values in the fibre  $p^{-1}(b_\alpha)$ . Define the map

$$\Pi: E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I \rightarrow E \times_{B/G} E, \quad \text{by } \Pi(\gamma, \delta) = (\gamma(0), \delta(1)). \quad (4)$$

The map  $\Pi$  is well-defined as  $\gamma(1) = g \cdot \delta(0)$  for some  $g \in G$  and  $\gamma, \delta \in E_B^I$  implies that  $b_\gamma = g \cdot b_\delta$ . Hence,  $p(\gamma(0)) = b_\gamma = g \cdot b_\delta = g \cdot p(\delta(1))$  implies  $(\gamma(0), \delta(1)) \in E \times_{B/G} E$ .

As  $E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I$  and  $E \times_{B/G} E$  are  $(G \times G)$ -invariant subsets of  $E_B^I \times E_B^I$  and  $E \times E$  respectively, we get  $(G \times G)$ -action on  $E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I$  and  $E \times_{B/G} E$ , and  $\Pi$  becomes a  $(G \times G)$ -equivariant map.

**Proposition 4.1.** *If  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is a  $G$ -fibration, then the map  $\Pi: E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I \rightarrow E \times_{B/G} E$  is a  $(G \times G)$ -fibration.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $E_B^I \rightarrow E \times_B E$  is the equivariant parametrized fibration corresponding to  $p$ . Suppose  $\widehat{p}: E_B^I \times E_B^I \rightarrow (E \times_B E) \times (E \times_B E)$  is the product  $(G \times G)$ -fibration. Suppose

$$S := \{ (e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) \in (E \times_B E) \times (E \times_B E) \mid (\gamma(1), \delta(0)) \in E \times_{E/G} E \}.$$

It is readily checked that  $(\gamma, \delta) \in E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I$  if and only if  $(\gamma, \delta) \in (\widehat{p})^{-1}(S)$ . As  $S$  is  $(G \times G)$ -invariant, it follows

$$\widehat{p}|_{E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I} : E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I \rightarrow S$$

is a  $(G \times G)$ -fibration.

Now consider the pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E \times_B E & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & E \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ E & \xrightarrow{p} & B. \end{array}$$

As  $p$  is a  $G$ -fibration, it follows that  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are  $G$ -fibrations. Hence, the projection map  $\pi_{1,4} := \pi_1 \times \pi_4: (E \times_B E) \times (E \times_B E) \rightarrow E \times E$ , given by  $(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) \mapsto (e_1, e_4)$ , is a  $(G \times G)$ -fibration. It is readily checked that  $(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) \in S$  if and only if  $(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) \in (\pi_{1,4})^{-1}(E \times_{B/G} E)$ . As  $E \times_{B/G} E$  is  $(G \times G)$ -invariant, it follows

$$\pi_{1,4}|_S : S \rightarrow E \times_{B/G} E$$

is a  $(G \times G)$ -fibration. Hence,  $\Pi = \pi_{1,4}|_S \circ \widehat{p}|_{E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I}$  is a  $(G \times G)$ -fibration.  $\square$

We now introduce the main object of our study, which is a parametrized analogue of invariant topological complexity introduced by Lubawski and Marzantowicz in [17].

**Definition 4.2.** Suppose  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is a  $G$ -fibration. The invariant parametrized topological complexity, denoted by  $\text{TC}^G[p: E \rightarrow B]$  is defined as

$$\text{TC}^G[p: E \rightarrow B] := \text{secat}_{G \times G}(\Pi).$$

The  $G$ -homotopy equivalence of the invariant topological complexity was established by Lubawski and Marzantowicz in [17, Proposition 2.4 and Lemma 3.8]. We now prove the corresponding parametrized version.

**Theorem 4.3.** If  $p: E \rightarrow B$  and  $p': E' \rightarrow B$  are  $G$ -fibrations which are fibrewise  $G$ -homotopy equivalent, then

$$\text{TC}^G[p: E \rightarrow B] = \text{TC}^G[p': E' \rightarrow B].$$

*Proof.* Suppose we have a fibrewise  $G$ -homotopy equivalence given by the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xleftarrow{f'} \end{array} & E' \\ & \begin{array}{c} \searrow p \\ \swarrow p' \end{array} & \downarrow \\ & & B \end{array}.$$

Suppose  $\tilde{f} = f \times f$ ,  $\tilde{f}^I(\gamma, \delta) = (f \circ \gamma, f \circ \delta)$  and  $\tilde{f}'$ ,  $\tilde{f}'^I$  are defined similarly. Note that  $\tilde{f}$  and  $\tilde{f}'$  are  $G \times G$ -maps. Then we have the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}^I} & E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}'^I} & E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I \\ \Pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \Pi' & & \downarrow \Pi \\ E \times_{B/G} E & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & E' \times_{B/G} E' & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}'} & E \times_{B/G} E. \end{array}$$

Note that the maps  $f' \circ f$  and  $\text{id}_E$  are fibrewise  $G$ -homotopic equivalent implies the maps  $\tilde{f}' \circ \tilde{f}$  and  $\text{id}_{E \times_{B/G} E}$  are  $(G \times G)$ -homotopy equivalent. Then, using [8, Lemma 4.10(2)], we obtain the inequality

$$\text{TC}^G[p: E \rightarrow B] = \text{secat}_{G \times G}(\Pi) \leq \text{secat}_{G \times G}(\Pi') = \text{TC}^G[p': E' \rightarrow B].$$

Similarly, we can derive the reverse inequality. This completes the proof.  $\square$

The next proposition shows that the invariant parametrized topological complexity of a  $G$ -fibration is a generalization of both the parametrized topological complexity of a fibration [4] and the invariant topological complexity of a  $G$ -space [17].

**Proposition 4.4.** *Suppose  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is a  $G$ -fibration.*

- (1) *If  $G$  acts trivially on  $E$  and  $B$ , then  $\text{TC}^G[p: E \rightarrow B] = \text{TC}[p: E \rightarrow B]$ .*
- (2) *If  $B = \{*\}$ , then  $\text{TC}^G[p: E \rightarrow \{*\}] = \text{TC}^G(E)$ .*

*Proof.*

- (1) If  $G$  acts trivially on  $E$ , then  $\pi_E: E \rightarrow E/G$  is the identity map. Hence,  $E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I = E_B^I \times_E E_B^I$  which is homeomorphic to  $E_B^I$  via the map  $(\gamma, \delta) \mapsto \gamma * \delta$ , where  $\gamma * \delta$  is the concatenation of paths  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$ . The inverse of this homeomorphism is given by  $\alpha \mapsto \left( \alpha|_{[0, \frac{1}{2}]}, \alpha|_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1]} \right)$  for  $\alpha \in E_B^I$ . If  $G$  acts trivially on  $B$ , then  $\pi_B: B \rightarrow B/G$  is the identity map. Hence,  $E \times_{B/G} E = E \times_B E$ . Therefore, the fibration  $\Pi$  is given by

$$\Pi: E_B^I \rightarrow E \times_B E, \quad \Pi(\alpha) = (\alpha(0), \alpha(1)).$$

Hence, we get  $\text{TC}^G[p: E \rightarrow B] = \text{TC}[p: E \rightarrow B]$ .

- (2) If  $B = \{*\}$ , then  $E_B^I = E^I$  and  $E \times_{B/G} E = E \times E$ . Hence, the fibration  $\Pi$  is given by

$$\Pi: E^I \times_{E/G} E^I \rightarrow E \times E, \quad \Pi(\gamma, \delta) = (\gamma(0), \delta(1)).$$

Therefore,  $\text{TC}^G[p: E \rightarrow \{*\}] = \text{TC}^G(E)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $p: B \times F \rightarrow B$  be the trivial  $G$ -fibration with  $G$  acting trivially on  $F$ . Then*

$$\text{TC}^G[p: B \times F \rightarrow B] = \text{TC}(F).$$

*Proof.* Let  $E = B \times F$ . Then note that  $E_B^I = B \times F^I$  and  $E \times_{B/G} E = (B \times_{B/G} B) \times (F \times F)$ . As  $E/G = (B \times F)/G = (B/G) \times F$ , we have

$$E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I = (B \times_{B/G} B) \times (F^I \times_F F^I) \cong_G (B \times_{B/G} B) \times F^I,$$

where the last homeomorphism is induced by  $(\gamma, \delta) \in F^I \times_F F^I \mapsto \gamma * \delta \in F^I$ . Then it follows that the invariant parametrized fibration  $\Pi$  corresponding to  $p$  is given by  $\Pi =$

$\text{id}_{B \times_{B/G} B} \times e_F$ , where  $e_F: F^I \rightarrow F \times F$  is the free path space fibration corresponding to  $F$ . Thus, we obtain

$$\text{TC}^G[p: E \rightarrow B] = \text{secat}_{G \times G}(\Pi) = \text{secat}_{G \times G}(\text{id} \times e_F) = \text{secat}(e_F) = \text{TC}(F),$$

since  $G$  acts trivially on  $F$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.6.** In general, if  $G$  acts non-trivially on  $F$ , then the equality

$$\text{TC}^G[p: B \times F \rightarrow B] = \text{TC}^G(F)$$

may not hold. For example, let  $E = S^1 \times S^1$  and  $B = S^1$ . If  $G = S^1$  acts on  $B$  by left multiplication and diagonally on  $E$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TC}^{S^1}[p: S^1 \times S^1 \rightarrow S^1] &= \text{TC}[p/S^1: (S^1 \times S^1)/S^1 \rightarrow S^1/S^1] && \text{by Theorem 4.26} \\ &= \text{TC}(S^1 \rightarrow \{*\}) \\ &= \text{TC}(S^1) && \text{by Proposition 4.4} \\ &= 2. \end{aligned}$$

But  $\text{TC}^{S^1}(S^1) = \text{TC}(\{*\}) = 1$  by [17, Theorem 3.10].

Suppose  $\mathfrak{T}(E)$  is the saturation of the diagonal  $\Delta(E)$  with respect to the  $(G \times G)$ -action on  $E \times E$ , i.e.,

$$\mathfrak{T}(E) := (G \times G) \cdot \Delta(E) \subseteq E \times E.$$

If  $E \times_{E/G} E$  is the pullback corresponding to  $\pi_E: E \rightarrow E/G$ , i.e.,

$$E \times_{E/G} E := \{(e_1, e_2) \in E \times E \mid \pi_E(e_1) = \pi_E(e_2)\},$$

then it is readily checked that  $\mathfrak{T}(E) = E \times_{E/G} E \subseteq E \times_{B/G} E$ . Hence, we will use the notation  $\mathfrak{T}(E)$  and  $E \times_{E/G} E$  interchangeably.

In the next theorem, we give the invariant parametrized topological complexity analogue of [17, Lemma 3.8].

**Theorem 4.7.** Suppose  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is a  $G$ -fibration. For a  $(G \times G)$ -invariant (not necessarily open) subset  $U$  of  $E \times_{B/G} E$  the following are equivalent:

- (1) there exists a  $(G \times G)$ -equivariant section of  $\Pi: E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I \rightarrow E \times_{B/G} E$  over  $U$ .
- (2) there exists a  $(G \times G)$ -homotopy between the inclusion map  $i_U: U \rightarrow E \times_{B/G} E$  and a  $(G \times G)$ -map  $f: U \rightarrow E \times_{B/G} E$  which takes values in  $E \times_{E/G} E$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\implies$  (2). Suppose  $s = (s_1, s_2): U \rightarrow E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I$  is a  $(G \times G)$ -equivariant section of  $\Pi$ . Let  $H: (E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I) \times I \rightarrow E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I$  be given by

$$H(\gamma, \delta, t) = (\gamma'_t, \delta'_t), \quad \text{for } (\gamma, \delta) \in E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I, \text{ and } t \in I,$$

where  $\gamma'_t(s) = \gamma(s + t(1 - s))$  and  $\delta'_t(s) = \delta(s(1 - t))$ . It is clear that  $\gamma'_t, \delta'_t \in E_B^I$ , and  $\gamma'_t(1) = \gamma(1)$  and  $\delta'_t(0) = \delta(0)$  for all  $(\gamma, \delta) \in E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I$  and for all  $t \in I$ . Hence,  $H$  is well-defined. Clearly,  $H$  is  $(G \times G)$ -equivariant such that  $H(\gamma, \delta, 0) = (\gamma, \delta)$  and  $H(\gamma, \delta, 1) = (c_{\gamma(1)}, c_{\delta(0)})$ , where  $c_e$  is the constant path in  $E$  taking the value  $e \in E$ . Then

$$F := \Pi \circ H \circ (s \times \text{id}_I): U \times I \rightarrow E \times_{B/G} E$$

is a  $(G \times G)$ -homotopy such that  $F_0 = \Pi \circ \text{id}_{E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I} \circ s = i_U$  and  $F_1(u) = \Pi(H_1(s(u))) = ((s_1(u))(1), (s_2(u))(0))$ . As  $s(u) = (s_1(u), s_2(u)) \in E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I$  for all  $u \in U$ , it follows  $F_1(u) = ((s_1(u))(1), (s_2(u))(0)) \in E \times_{E/G} E$ . Hence,  $F_1$  is the desired map.

(2)  $\implies$  (1). Suppose  $H: U \times I \rightarrow E \times_{B/G} E$  is a  $(G \times G)$ -homotopy between  $f$  and  $i_U$ . Let  $s: U \rightarrow E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I$  be the  $(G \times G)$ -map given by  $s(u) = (c_{\pi_1(f(u))}, c_{\pi_2(f(u))})$ ,

where  $\pi_i: E \times E \rightarrow E$  is the projection map onto the  $i$ -th factor. The map  $s$  is well-defined since  $f$  takes values in  $E \times_{E/G} E$ . By homotopy lifting property of  $\Pi$ , there exists a  $(G \times G)$ -homotopy  $\widetilde{H}: U \times I \rightarrow E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I$  such that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U \times \{0\} & \xrightarrow{s} & E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \widetilde{H} & \downarrow \Pi \\ U \times I & \xrightarrow{H} & E \times_{B/G} E \end{array}$$

commutes. Then  $\Pi \circ \widetilde{H}_1 = H_1 = i_U$  implies  $\widetilde{H}_1$  is a  $(G \times G)$ -equivariant section of  $\Pi$  over  $U$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.8.** *For a  $G$ -fibration  $p: E \rightarrow B$ , we have*

$$\mathrm{TC}^G[p: E \rightarrow B] = \neg_{(E)} \mathrm{cat}_{G \times G}(E \times_{B/G} E).$$

#### 4.1. Properties and Bounds.

**Proposition 4.9.** *Suppose  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is a  $G$ -fibration and  $B'$  is a  $G$ -invariant subset of  $B$ . If  $E' = p^{-1}(B')$  and  $p': E' \rightarrow B'$  is the  $G$ -fibration obtained by restriction of  $p$ , then*

$$\mathrm{TC}^G[p': E' \rightarrow B'] \leq \mathrm{TC}^G[p: E \rightarrow B].$$

*In particular, if  $b \in B^G$ , then the fibre  $F = p^{-1}(b)$  is a  $G$ -space and*

$$\mathrm{TC}^G(F) \leq \mathrm{TC}^G[p: E \rightarrow B].$$

*Proof.* Note that we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (E')_{B'}^I \times_{E'/G} (E')_{B'}^I & \hookrightarrow & E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I \\ \Pi' \downarrow & & \downarrow \Pi \\ E' \times_{B'/G} E' & \hookrightarrow & E \times_{B/G} E, \end{array}$$

where  $\Pi'$  and  $\Pi$  are invariant parametrized fibrations corresponding to  $p'$  and  $p$ , respectively. We will now show that this diagram is a pullback.

Suppose  $Z$  is a topological space with  $(G \times G)$ -maps  $k = (k_1, k_2): Z \rightarrow E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I$  and  $h = (h_1, h_2): Z \rightarrow E' \times_{B'/G} E'$  such that  $\Pi \circ k = h$ . As  $\Pi \circ k = h$ , we have

$$k_1(z)(0) = h_1(z) \in E' \quad \text{and} \quad k_2(z)(1) = h_2(z) \in E'.$$

As  $k(z) = (k_1(z), k_2(z)) \in E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I$ , we have

$$p(k_1(z)(t)) = b_{k_1(z)}, \quad p(k_2(z)(t)) = b_{k_2(z)} \quad \text{and} \quad k_1(z)(1) = g_{k(z)} \cdot k_2(z)(0)$$

for some  $b_{k_1(z)}, b_{k_2(z)} \in B$ , some  $g_{k(z)} \in G$  and all  $t \in I$ .

Note that  $b_{k_1(z)} = p(k_1(z)(t)) = p(k_1(z)(0)) = p(h_1(z))$  implies  $b_{k_1(z)} \in B'$  since  $h_1(z) \in E' = p^{-1}(B')$ . Hence,  $k_1(z) \in (E')_{B'}^I$ , since  $k_1(z)(t) \in p^{-1}(b_{k_1(z)}) \subset p^{-1}(B') = E'$  for all  $t \in I$ . Similarly,  $b_{k_2(z)} \in B'$  and  $k_2(z) \in (E')_{B'}^I$ . Hence,  $k_1(z)(1) = g_{k(z)} \cdot k_2(z)(0)$  implies  $\mathrm{Im}(k) \subseteq (E')_{B'}^I \times_{E'/G} (E')_{B'}^I$ . Hence, the diagram above is a pullback. Then the required inequality

$$\mathrm{TC}^G[p': E' \rightarrow B'] = \mathrm{secat}_{G \times G}(\Pi') \leq \mathrm{secat}_{G \times G}(\Pi) = \mathrm{TC}^G[p: E \rightarrow B].$$

follows from [6, Proposition 4.3].  $\square$



**Proposition 4.10.** *Let  $p: E \rightarrow B$  be a  $G$ -fibration. If  $e \in E^G$ , then the fibre  $F = p^{-1}(p(e))$  is a  $G$ -space and*

$$\text{cat}_G(F) \leq \text{TC}^G(F) \leq \text{TC}^G[p: E \rightarrow B].$$

Furthermore,

(1) *if  $E \times_{B/G} E$  is  $(G \times G)$ -connected, then*

$$\text{TC}^G[p: E \rightarrow B] \leq \text{cat}_{G \times G}(E \times_{B/G} E).$$

(2) *if  $E \times_{B/G} E$  is a connected  $(G \times G)$ -CW complex, then*

$$\text{cat}_{G \times G}(E \times_{B/G} E) \leq \dim \left( \frac{E \times_{B/G} E}{G \times G} \right) + 1.$$

Consequently, if  $E \times_{B/G} E$  is  $(G \times G)$ -connected  $(G \times G)$ -CW complex, then

$$\text{TC}^G[p: E \rightarrow B] \leq \dim \left( \frac{E \times_{B/G} E}{G \times G} \right) + 1.$$

*Proof.* If  $e \in E^G$ , then  $b = p(e) \in B^G$ . Hence, by Proposition 4.9,  $F := p^{-1}(b)$  admits a  $G$ -action and  $\text{TC}^G(F) \leq \text{TC}^G[p: E \rightarrow B]$ . Observe that  $e \in F^G$ . Therefore, the inequality  $\text{cat}_G(F) \leq \text{TC}^G(F)$  follows from [2, Proposition 2.7].

(1) Note that if  $c_e$  is the constant path in  $E$  which takes the value  $e$ , then  $(c_e, c_e) \in (E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I)^{(G \times G)}$ . Moreover, since  $E \times_{B/G} E$  is  $(G \times G)$ -connected, it follows that

$$\text{TC}^G[p: E \rightarrow B] = \text{secat}_{G \times G}(\Pi) \leq \text{cat}_{G \times G}(E \times_{B/G} E).$$

by [6, Proposition 4.4].

(2) Since  $E \times_{B/G} E$  is connected and  $(e, e) \in (E \times_{B/G} E)^{(G \times G)}$ , it follows that

$$\text{cat}_{G \times G}(E \times_{B/G} E) \leq \dim \left( \frac{E \times_B E}{G \times G} \right) + 1$$

by [19, Corollary 1.12]. Now the second desired inequality of (2) follows from (1).  $\square$

**Corollary 4.11.** *Let  $p: E \rightarrow B$  be a  $G$ -fibration such that  $\text{TC}^G[p: E \rightarrow B] = 1$ . If  $e \in E^G$ , then the fibre  $F = p^{-1}(p(e))$  is a  $G$ -contractible space.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 4.10, we have  $\text{cat}_G(F) = 1$ , i.e.,  $F$  is  $G$ -contractible.  $\square$

Now we state the converse of Corollary 4.11.

**Proposition 4.12.** *Suppose  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is a  $G$ -fibration such that  $E \times_{B/G} E$  is a  $G$ -CW complex. Let  $e \in E^G$ . If the fibre  $F = p^{-1}(p(e))$  satisfies either*

- *$F$  is  $G$ -connected,  $G$ -contractible and  $F^G = \{e\}$ , or*
- *$F$   $G$ -deformation retracts to the point  $e$ ,*

*then  $\text{TC}^G[p: E \rightarrow B] = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Note that

$$\Pi^{-1}(e, e) = \{(\alpha, \beta) \in E_B^I \times E_B^I \mid \alpha(0) = \beta(1) = e, \alpha(0) = g \cdot \beta(1) \text{ for some } g \in G\}$$

Since  $\alpha(0) = \beta(1) = e$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in E_B^I$ , it follows that the fibre  $\Pi^{-1}(e_1, e_2)$  is  $(G \times G)$ -homeomorphic to

$$\mathcal{F} = \{\gamma \in F^I \mid \gamma(1/2) = e, \gamma(0) = g \cdot \gamma(1)\},$$

where  $(G \times G)$ -action on  $\mathcal{F}$  is given by

$$((g_1, g_2) \cdot \gamma)(t) = \begin{cases} g_1 \cdot \gamma(t) & 0 \leq t \leq 1/2, \\ g_2 \cdot \gamma(t) & 1/2 \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

This action is well-defined since  $\gamma(1/2) = e \in E^G$ .

Suppose  $F$  is  $G$ -connected,  $G$ -contractible and  $F^G = \{e\}$ . Since  $F$  is  $G$ -connected, we have  $_{\{e\}}\text{cat}_G(F) = \text{cat}_G(F)$ , see [17, Remark 2.3] and [6, Lemma 3.14]. Hence,  $_{\{e\}}\text{cat}_G(F) = 1$  since  $F$  is  $G$ -contractible. Thus, there exists a  $G$ -homotopy  $H: F \times I \rightarrow F$  such that  $H(f, 0) = f$  and  $H(f, 1) = e$  for all  $f \in F$ . Let  $K: F^I \times I \rightarrow F^I$  be the homotopy given by  $G(\delta, t)(s) = H(\delta(s), t)$  for all  $s, t \in I$  and  $\delta \in F^I$ . Note that  $K$  is a  $G$ -homotopy. If  $\gamma \in \mathcal{F}$ , then

$$g \cdot G(\gamma, t)(1/2) = g \cdot H(\gamma(1/2), t) = g \cdot H(e, t) = H(g \cdot e, t) = H(e, t)$$

for all  $g \in G$ , i.e.,  $G(\gamma, t)(1/2) \in F^G$ . Since  $F^G = \{e\}$ , we get  $G(\gamma, t)(1/2) = e$  for all  $t \in I$ .

Suppose  $F$   $G$ -deformation retracts to the point  $e$ , then there exists a  $G$ -homotopy  $H: F \times I \rightarrow F$  such that  $H(f, 0) = f$  and  $H(f, 1) = e$  and  $H(e, t) = e$  for all  $f \in F$  and  $t \in I$ . Then the homotopy  $G$  defined on  $F^I$  like above satisfies  $G(\gamma, t)(1/2) = e$  due to the condition  $H(e, t) = e$  for all  $t \in I$ .

Moreover,

$$G(\gamma, t)(0) = H(\gamma(0), t) = H(g \cdot \gamma(1), t) = g \cdot H(\gamma(1), t) = g \cdot G(\gamma, t)(1)$$

where  $\gamma(0) = g \cdot \gamma(1)$ . Hence, if  $\gamma \in \mathcal{F}$ , we have  $G(\gamma, t) \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Hence, in both cases,  $K$  restricts to a  $(G \times G)$ -homotopy on  $K: \mathcal{F} \times I \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  such that  $G(\gamma, 0) = \gamma$  and  $G(\gamma, 1) = c_e$ , where  $c_e$  is the constant path in  $E$  taking the value  $e$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $(G \times G)$ -contractible. Hence, by obstruction theory,  $\Pi$  admits a  $G \times G$ -section.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.13.** *Suppose  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is a  $G$ -fibration such that  $G$  acts freely on  $B$ . If  $K$  is a subgroup of  $G$  such that  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is also a  $K$ -fibration, then*

$$\text{TC}^K[p: E \rightarrow B] \leq \text{TC}^G[p: E \rightarrow B].$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $\Pi_K: E_B^I \times_{E/K} E_B^I \rightarrow E \times_{B/K} E$  is the invariant parametrized fibration corresponding to  $K$ -fibration  $p$ . Then the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_B^I \times_{E/K} E_B^I & \hookrightarrow & E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I \\ \Pi_K \downarrow & & \downarrow \Pi \\ E \times_{B/K} E & \hookrightarrow & E \times_{B/G} E \end{array}$$

is commutative. Suppose  $U$  is a  $(G \times G)$ -invariant open subset of  $E \times_{B/G} E$  with a  $(G \times G)$ -equivariant section  $s: U \rightarrow E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I$  of  $\Pi$ .

Let  $V := U \cap (E \times_{B/K} E)$ . Then  $V$  is  $(K \times K)$ -invariant open subset of  $E \times_{B/K} E$ . Suppose  $(e_1, e_2) \in V$  and  $s(e_1, e_2) = (\gamma, \delta) \in E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I$ . We claim that  $s(e_1, e_2) = (\gamma, \delta)$  lies in  $E_B^I \times_{E/K} E_B^I$ . Note that  $p(e_1) = k \cdot p(e_2)$  for some  $k \in K$ , as  $(e_1, e_2) \in E \times_{B/K} E$ . Since  $s$  is a section of  $\Pi$ , we have

$$b_\gamma = p(\gamma(0)) = p(e_1) = k \cdot p(e_2) = k \cdot p(\delta(1)) = k \cdot b_\delta,$$

where  $\gamma(t) \in p^{-1}(b_\gamma)$  and  $\delta(t) \in p^{-1}(b_\delta)$  for some  $b_\gamma, b_\delta \in B$  and for all  $t \in I$ . Since  $(\gamma, \delta) \in E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I$ , we have  $\gamma(1) = g \cdot \delta(0)$  for some  $g \in G$ . Hence,

$$b_\gamma = p(\gamma(1)) = p(g \cdot \delta(0)) = g \cdot p(\delta(0)) = g \cdot b_\delta.$$

Thus, we get  $g \cdot b_\delta = k \cdot b_\delta$ . It follows that  $g = k$  since  $G$  acts freely on  $B$ . Thus,  $\gamma(1) = k \cdot \delta(0)$  implies  $(\gamma, \delta) \in E_B^I \times_{E/K} E_B^I$ . Hence, the restriction  $s|_V : V \rightarrow E_B^I \times_{E/K} E_B^I$  is a  $(K \times K)$ -equivariant section of  $\Pi_K$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.14.** *Suppose  $G$  is a compact Hausdorff topological group. If  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is a  $G$ -fibration such that  $G$  acts freely on  $B$ , then*

$$\mathrm{TC}^K[p: E \rightarrow B] \leq \mathrm{TC}^G[p: E \rightarrow B]$$

for all closed subgroups  $K$  of  $G$ . In particular,

$$\mathrm{TC}[p: E \rightarrow B] \leq \mathrm{TC}^G[p: E \rightarrow B].$$

*Proof.* Note that, by [13, Theorem 3], the map  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is a  $K$ -fibration. Hence, the result follows from Proposition 4.13.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.15** (Product inequality). *Let  $p_1: E_1 \rightarrow B_1$  be a  $G_1$ -fibration and  $p_2: E_2 \rightarrow B_2$  be a  $G_2$ -fibration. If  $E_1 \times E_1 \times E_2 \times E_2$  is  $(G_1 \times G_1 \times G_2 \times G_2)$ -completely normal, then*

$$\mathrm{TC}^{G_1 \times G_2}[p_1 \times p_2: E_1 \times E_2 \rightarrow B_1 \times B_2] \leq \mathrm{TC}^{G_1}[p_1: E_1 \rightarrow B_1] + \mathrm{TC}^{G_2}[p_2: E_2 \rightarrow B_2] - 1.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\Pi_1: (E_1)_{B_1}^I \times_{E_1/G_1} (E_1)_{B_1}^I \rightarrow E_1 \times_{B_1/G_1} E_1$  and  $\Pi_2: (E_2)_{B_2}^I \times_{E_2/G_2} (E_2)_{B_2}^I \rightarrow E_2 \times_{B_2/G_2} E_2$  be the invariant parametrized fibrations corresponding to  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ , respectively. If  $E := E_1 \times E_2$ ,  $B := B_1 \times B_2$ ,  $G := G_1 \times G_2$ , and  $p := p_1 \times p_2$  is the product  $G$ -fibration, then it easily checked that

$$E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I = \left( (E_1)_{B_1}^I \times_{E_1/G_1} (E_1)_{B_1}^I \right) \times \left( (E_2)_{B_2}^I \times_{E_2/G_2} (E_2)_{B_2}^I \right),$$

and

$$E \times_{B/G} E = \left( E_1 \times_{B_1/G_1} E_1 \right) \times \left( E_2 \times_{B_2/G_2} E_2 \right),$$

and the invariant parametrized fibration  $\Pi: E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I \rightarrow E \times_{B/G} E$  corresponding to  $p$  is equivalent to the product fibration  $\Pi_1 \times \Pi_2$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{TC}^{G_1 \times G_2}[p_1 \times p_2: E_1 \times E_2 \rightarrow B_1 \times B_2] &= \mathrm{secat}_{(G_1 \times G_2) \times (G_1 \times G_2)}(\Pi) \\ &= \mathrm{secat}_{(G_1 \times G_1) \times (G_2 \times G_2)}(\Pi_1 \times \Pi_2) \\ &\leq \mathrm{secat}_{G_1 \times G_1}(\Pi_1) + \mathrm{secat}_{G_2 \times G_2}(\Pi_2) - 1 \\ &= \mathrm{TC}^{G_1}[p_1: E_1 \rightarrow B_1] + \mathrm{TC}^{G_2}[p_2: E_2 \rightarrow B_2] - 1, \end{aligned}$$

by [1, Proposition 2.9].  $\square$

The product inequality for invariant topological complexity was proved in [17, Theorem 3.18]. In the following corollary, we show that the cofibration hypothesis assumed in [17, Theorem 3.18] can be removed by using Theorem 4.15.

**Corollary 4.16** (Product inequality). *Suppose  $X$  is a  $G$ -space and  $Y$  is a  $H$ -space. If  $X \times X \times Y \times Y$  is  $(G \times G \times H \times H)$ -completely normal, then*

$$\mathrm{TC}^{G \times H}(X \times Y) \leq \mathrm{TC}^G(X) + \mathrm{TC}^H(Y) - 1.$$

*Proof.* Note that  $X \rightarrow \{*_1\}$  is a  $G$ -fibration and  $Y \rightarrow \{*_2\}$  is a  $H$ -fibration. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{TC}^{G \times H}(X \times Y) &= \mathrm{TC}^{G \times H}[X \times Y \rightarrow \{*_1\} \times \{*_2\}] \\ &\leq \mathrm{TC}^G[X \rightarrow \{*_1\}] + \mathrm{TC}^H[Y \rightarrow \{*_2\}] - 1 \\ &= \mathrm{TC}^G(X) + \mathrm{TC}^H(Y) - 1 \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 4.4 and Theorem 4.15 since  $\{*_1\} \times \{*_2\}$  is  $(G \times H)$ -completely normal.  $\square$

The proof of the following corollary is similar to Corollary 3.6 and can be shown using Corollary 4.14 and Theorem 4.15.

**Corollary 4.17.** *Suppose  $p_i: E_i \rightarrow B_i$  is a  $G$ -fibration such that  $G$  acts on  $B_i$  freely for  $i = 1, 2$ . If  $G$  is compact Hausdorff, then  $p_1 \times p_2: E_1 \times E_2 \rightarrow B_1 \times B_2$  is a  $G$ -fibration, where  $G$  acts diagonally on the spaces  $E_1 \times E_2$  and  $B_1 \times B_2$ . Furthermore, if  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are Hausdorff, and  $E_1 \times E_1 \times E_2 \times E_2$  is completely normal, then*

$$\mathrm{TC}^G[p_1 \times p_2: E_1 \times E_2 \rightarrow B_1 \times B_2] \leq \mathrm{TC}^G[p_1: E_1 \rightarrow B_1] + \mathrm{TC}^G[p_2: E_2 \rightarrow B_2] - 1.$$

The proof of the following corollary is similar to that of Corollary 3.7 and follows from Proposition 4.9 and Corollary 4.17.

**Corollary 4.18.** *Suppose  $p_i: E_i \rightarrow B$  is a  $G$ -fibration, for  $i = 1, 2$ , such that  $G$  acts on  $B$  freely. Let  $E_1 \times_B E_2 = \{(e_1, e_2) \in E_1 \times E_2 \mid p_1(e_1) = p_2(e_2)\}$  and let  $p: E_1 \times_B E_2 \rightarrow B$  be the  $G$ -map given by  $p(e_1, e_2) = p_1(e_1) = p_2(e_2)$ , where  $G$  acts on  $E_1 \times_B E_2$  diagonally. If  $G$  is compact Hausdorff, then  $p$  is a  $G$ -fibration. Furthermore, if  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are Hausdorff, and  $E_1 \times E_1 \times E_2 \times E_2$  is completely normal, then*

$$\mathrm{TC}^G[p: E_1 \times_B E_2 \rightarrow B] \leq \mathrm{TC}^G[p_1: E_1 \rightarrow B] + \mathrm{TC}^G[p_2: E_2 \rightarrow B] - 1.$$

#### 4.1.1. Cohomological Lower Bound.

**Lemma 4.19.** *Suppose  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is a  $G$ -fibration. Then the map  $c: E \times_{E/G} E \rightarrow E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I$ , given by  $c(e_1, e_2) = (c_{e_1}, c_{e_2})$  where  $c_{e_i}$  is the constant path in  $E$  taking the value  $e_i \in E$ , is a  $(G \times G)$ -homotopy equivalence.*

*Proof.* Let  $f: E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I \rightarrow E \times_{E/G} E$  be the map given by  $f(\gamma, \delta) = (\gamma(1), \delta(0))$ . Then  $f$  is  $(G \times G)$ -equivariant such that  $(c \circ f)(\gamma, \delta) = (c_{\gamma(1)}, c_{\delta(0)})$  and  $f \circ c$  is the identity map of  $E \times_{E/G} E$ . Let  $H: (E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I) \times I \rightarrow E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I$  be the homotopy given by

$$H(\gamma, \delta, t) = (\gamma'_t, \delta'_t),$$

where  $\gamma'_t(s) = \gamma(s + t(1 - s))$  and  $\delta'_t(s) = \delta(s(1 - t))$ . Then following the argument of Theorem 4.7, we see that  $H$  is well-defined,  $(G \times G)$ -equivariant,  $H(\gamma, \delta, 0) = (\gamma, \delta)$ , and  $H(\gamma, \delta, 1) = (c_{\gamma(1)}, c_{\delta(0)})$ . Hence,  $c \circ f$  is  $(G \times G)$ -homotopic to the identity map of  $E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I$ .  $\square$

Note that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E \times_{E/G} E & \xrightarrow{c} & E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I \\ & \searrow i & \swarrow \Pi \\ & E \times_{B/G} E & \end{array}$$

is commutative, where  $i: E \times_{E/G} E \hookrightarrow E \times_{B/G} E$  is the inclusion map. In other words,  $\Pi$  is a  $(G \times G)$ -fibrational substitute for the  $(G \times G)$ -map  $i$ .

For the ease of notation for the next theorem, let  $G^2$  denote the product  $G \times G$ .

**Theorem 4.20.** *Suppose  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is a  $G$ -fibration. Suppose there exists cohomology classes  $u_1, \dots, u_k \in \widetilde{H}_{G^2}^*(E \times_{B/G} E; R)$  (for any commutative ring  $R$ ) such that*

$$(i_{G^2}^h)^*(u_1) = \dots = (i_{G^2}^h)^*(u_k) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u_1 \cup \dots \cup u_k \neq 0,$$

*then  $\mathrm{TC}^G[p: E \rightarrow B] > k$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $\Pi \circ c = i$  implies  $(c_{G^2}^h)^* \circ (\Pi_{G^2}^h)^* = (i_{G^2}^h)^*$ . Since  $c$  is a  $(G \times G)$ -homotopy equivalence (see Lemma 4.19), it follows  $c_{G^2}^h$  is a homotopy equivalence. Hence,  $(c_{G^2}^h)^*$  is an isomorphism. Thus, the result follows from Theorem 2.2.  $\square$

**Remark 4.21.** We note that any  $G$ -map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  that is a non-equivariant homotopy equivalence induces an isomorphism on the level of Borel cohomology, see [20]. Hence, for Theorem 4.20, we don't need  $c$  is a  $(G \times G)$ -homotopy equivalence, we only require  $c$  to be a  $(G \times G)$ -map and a non-equivariant homotopy equivalence.

**4.2. The technical Result.** In this subsection, we establish a technical result which is crucial for comparing the invariant parametrized topological complexity of an equivariant fibration with the parametrized topological complexity of corresponding orbit fibration.

**Definition 4.22** ([13, Section 5]). Suppose  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is a  $G$ -map and  $F$  is a  $G$ -space. We say that  $p$  is a locally trivial  $G$ -fibration with fibre  $F$  if for each point  $b \in B$  there exists a  $G$ -invariant open subset  $U$  containing  $b$  and a  $G$ -equivariant homeomorphism  $\phi: p^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times F$  such that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p^{-1}(U) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & U \times F \\ & \searrow p & \swarrow \pi_1 \\ & U & \end{array}$$

commutes, where  $G$  acts on  $U \times F$  diagonally. The map  $\phi$  is called a  $G$ -trivialization of  $p$ .

**Proposition 4.23.** Suppose  $p$  is locally trivial  $G$ -fibration with fibre  $F$ . If  $G$  acts trivially on  $F$ , then the induced map  $\bar{p}: \bar{E} \rightarrow \bar{B}$  is a locally trivial with fibre  $F$ . Furthermore, if  $G$  is a compact Lie group and  $B$  is paracompact Hausdorff, then  $\bar{p}$  is a fibration.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\phi: p^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times F$  is a  $G$ -trivialization of  $p$  over  $U$ . As the quotient map  $\pi_B: B \rightarrow \bar{B}$  is open, it follows  $\bar{U} := \pi_B(U)$  is an open subset of  $\bar{B}$ . Further,  $U$  is  $G$ -invariant implies  $U$  is saturated with respect to  $\pi_B$ . Hence, the restriction  $\pi_B|_U: U \rightarrow \bar{U}$  is an open quotient map and so is the product map  $(\pi_E|_U) \times \text{id}_F: U \times F \rightarrow \bar{U} \times F$ . Hence, the induced natural map  $(U \times F)/G \rightarrow \bar{U} \times F$  is a homeomorphism. If  $(\overline{p^{-1}(U)}) := \pi_E(p^{-1}(U))$ , then  $(\overline{p^{-1}(U)}) = (\bar{p})^{-1}(\bar{U})$  since  $U$  is  $G$ -invariant. Similarly,  $\pi_E|_{p^{-1}(U)}: p^{-1}(U) \rightarrow (\bar{p})^{-1}(\bar{U})$  is an open quotient map, and the induced natural map  $p^{-1}(U)/G \rightarrow (\bar{p})^{-1}(\bar{U})$  is a homeomorphism. Hence, the homeomorphism  $\phi/G: p^{-1}(U)/G \rightarrow (U \times F)/G$  induced by  $\phi$  gives a trivialization

$$\bar{\phi}: (\bar{p})^{-1}(\bar{U}) \rightarrow \bar{U} \times F$$

for  $\bar{p}$  over  $\bar{U}$ . As  $p$  is surjective, it follows  $\bar{p}$  is locally trivial with fibre  $F$ .

If  $G$  is a compact Lie group and  $B$  is a paracompact Hausdorff space, by [23, Proposition 1.4] and [22, Section 31, Exercise 8], it follows  $\bar{B}$  is paracompact Hausdorff. Thus,  $\bar{p}$  is a fibration.  $\square$

We note that equivariant Fadell-Neuwirth fibrations, defined in [8], satisfy the hypothesis of Proposition 4.23. We will introduce them and calculate their invariant parametrized topological complexity in Section 5.

### 4.3. Invariance Theorem.

Suppose  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is a  $G$ -fibration. Let  $\overline{E} = E/G$ ,  $\overline{B} = B/G$  and  $\overline{p} = p/G$ . Suppose  $\overline{p}: \overline{E} \rightarrow \overline{B}$  is a fibration. If  $\overline{\Pi}: (\overline{E})_B^I \rightarrow \overline{E} \times_{\overline{B}} \overline{E}$  is the parametrized fibration induced by  $\overline{p}: \overline{E} \rightarrow \overline{B}$ , then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_B^I \times_{E/G} E_B^I & \xrightarrow{\Pi} & E \times_{B/G} E \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_E \times \pi_E \\ (\overline{E})_B^I & \xrightarrow{\overline{\Pi}} & \overline{E} \times_{\overline{B}} \overline{E}, \end{array}$$

where  $f(\gamma, \delta) = \overline{\gamma} * \overline{\delta}$ , where  $\overline{\gamma} = \pi_E \circ \gamma$ .

**Lemma 4.24.** *The restriction  $\pi_E \times \pi_E: E \times_{B/G} E \rightarrow \overline{E} \times_{\overline{B}} \overline{E}$  is an open quotient map.*

*Proof.* As  $\pi_E: E \rightarrow \overline{E}$  is an open quotient map, it follows  $\pi_E \times \pi_E: E \times E \rightarrow \overline{E} \times \overline{E}$  is also an open quotient map. The subset  $E \times_{B/G} E$  of  $E \times E$  is saturated with respect to  $\pi_E \times \pi_E$ , since  $E \times_{B/G} E$  is  $(G \times G)$ -invariant. Thus,  $\pi_E \times \pi_E: E \times_{B/G} E \rightarrow (\pi_E \times \pi_E)(E \times_{B/G} E)$  is an open quotient map. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} (\overline{e}_1, \overline{e}_2) \in \overline{E} \times_{\overline{B}} \overline{E} &\iff \overline{p}(\overline{e}_1) = \overline{p}(\overline{e}_2) \in \overline{B} \\ &\iff \overline{p}(e_1) = \overline{p}(e_2) \in \overline{B} \\ &\iff p(e_1) = g \cdot p(e_2) \text{ for some } g \in G \\ &\iff (e_1, e_2) \in E \times_{B/G} E. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the result follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.25.** *Suppose  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is a  $G$ -fibration such that  $\overline{p}: \overline{E} \rightarrow \overline{B}$  is a fibration. Then*

$$\mathrm{TC}[\overline{p}: \overline{E} \rightarrow \overline{B}] \leq \mathrm{TC}^G[p: E \rightarrow B].$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $U$  is a  $(G \times G)$ -invariant open subset of  $E \times_{B/G} E$  with a  $(G \times G)$ -invariant section  $s$  of  $\Pi$  over  $U$ . Then  $\overline{U} := (\pi_E \times \pi_E)(U)$  is an open subset of  $\overline{E} \times_{\overline{B}} \overline{E}$ , by Lemma 4.24. As  $U$  is  $(G \times G)$ -invariant, it follows  $U$  is saturated with respect to  $\pi_E \times \pi_E$ . Hence,  $\pi_E \times \pi_E: U \rightarrow \overline{U}$  is a quotient map. Then, by universal property of quotient maps, there exists a unique continuous map  $\overline{s}: \overline{U} \rightarrow E_B^I$  such that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{f \circ s} & E_B^I \\ \pi_E \times \pi_E \downarrow & \nearrow \overline{s} & \\ \overline{U} & & \end{array}$$

commutes. Then

$$\overline{\Pi}(\overline{s}(\overline{e}_1, \overline{e}_2)) = \overline{\Pi}(f(s(e_1, e_2))) = (\pi_E \times \pi_E)(\Pi(s(e_1, e_2))) = (\pi_E \times \pi_E)(e_1, e_2) = (\overline{e}_1, \overline{e}_2)$$

implies  $\overline{s}$  is a section of  $\overline{\Pi}$  over  $\overline{U}$ . Thus, the result follows since  $\pi_E \times \pi_E: E \times_{B/G} E \rightarrow \overline{E} \times_{\overline{B}} \overline{E}$  is surjective.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.26.** *Suppose  $G$  is a compact Lie group and  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is a  $G$ -fibration such that  $\overline{p}: \overline{E} \rightarrow \overline{B}$  is a fibration. If the  $G$ -action on  $E$  is free and  $\overline{E}$  is hereditary paracompact, then*

$$\mathrm{TC}[\overline{p}: \overline{E} \rightarrow \overline{B}] = \mathrm{TC}^G[p: E \rightarrow B].$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $\bar{U}$  is an open subset of  $\bar{E} \times_{\bar{B}} \bar{E}$  with section  $\bar{s}$  of  $\bar{\Pi}$  over  $\bar{U}$ . Then, by Theorem 3.2 for the trivial group action, there exists a homotopy  $\bar{H}: \bar{U} \times I \rightarrow \bar{E} \times_{\bar{B}} \bar{E}$  such that  $\bar{H}_0$  is the inclusion map of  $i_{\bar{U}}: \bar{U} \hookrightarrow \bar{E} \times_{\bar{B}} \bar{E}$  and  $\bar{H}_1$  takes value in  $\Delta(\bar{E})$ .

Let  $U = (\pi_E \times \pi_E)^{-1}(\bar{U})$ . Then  $U$  is  $(G \times G)$ -invariant and the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U \times \{0\} & \hookrightarrow & E \times_{B/G} E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_E \times \pi_E \\ U \times I & \xrightarrow{(\pi_E \times \pi_E) \times \text{id}_I} & \bar{U} \times I \xrightarrow{\bar{H}} \bar{E} \times_{\bar{B}} \bar{E} \end{array}$$

commutes. As the  $G$ -action on  $E$  is free, it follows the action of  $G \times G$  on  $E \times_{E/G} E$  is free. Hence, by the Covering Homotopy Theorem of Palais [3, Theorem II.7.3] and Lemma 4.24, it follows there exists a  $(G \times G)$ -homotopy  $H: U \times I \rightarrow E \times_{B/G} E$  such that  $H_0 = i_U: U \hookrightarrow E \times_{B/G} E$  and  $(\pi_E \times \pi_E) \circ H = \bar{H} \circ ((\pi_E \times \pi_E) \times \text{id}_I)$ . As  $\bar{H}_1$  takes value in  $\Delta(\bar{E})$ , it follows  $H_1$  takes values in  $E \times_{E/G} E$ . Hence, by Theorem 4.7, we get a  $(G \times G)$ -invariant section of  $\Pi$  over  $U$ . Thus,  $\text{TC}^G[p: E \rightarrow B] \leq \text{TC}[\bar{p}: \bar{E} \rightarrow \bar{B}]$ .  $\square$

## 5. INVARIANT TOPOLOGICAL COMPLEXITY OF EQUIVARIANT FADELL-NEUWIRTH FIBRATIONS

In this section, we provide estimates for the invariant parametrized topological complexity of equivariant Fadell-Neuwirth fibrations. We begin by defining the configuration spaces and the associated Fadell-Neuwirth fibrations, along with introducing an appropriate symmetric group action on the configuration spaces to ensure they possess an equivariant fibration structure.

The *ordered configuration space* of  $s$  points on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , denoted by  $F(\mathbb{R}^d, s)$ , is defined as

$$F(\mathbb{R}^d, s) := \{(x_1, \dots, x_s) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^s \mid x_i \neq x_j \text{ for } i \neq j\}.$$

**Definition 5.1** ([9]). *The maps*

$$p: F(\mathbb{R}^d, s+t) \rightarrow F(\mathbb{R}^d, s) \quad \text{defined by} \quad p(x_1, \dots, x_{s+t}) = (x_1, \dots, x_s)$$

*are called Fadell-Neuwirth fibrations.*

We now define an action of the permutation group  $\Sigma_s$  on  $F(\mathbb{R}^d, s+t)$ . For  $\sigma \in \Sigma_s$ , define

$$\sigma \cdot (x_1, \dots, x_s, y_1, \dots, y_t) = (x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(s)}, y_1, \dots, y_t).$$

Similarly,  $\Sigma_s$  acts on  $F(\mathbb{R}^d, s)$  by permuting the coordinates. Notice that the map  $p$  in Definition 5.1 is  $\Sigma_s$ -equivariant. In fact, in [8], it was demonstrated that this map is a  $\Sigma_s$ -fibration.

The parametrized topological complexity of these fibrations were computed in [4]. In particular, they proved the following result:

**Theorem 5.2** ([4, Theorem 9.1] and [5, Theorem 4.1]). *Suppose  $s \geq 2, t \geq 1$ . Then*

$$\text{TC}[p: F(\mathbb{R}^d, s+t) \rightarrow F(\mathbb{R}^d, s)] = \begin{cases} 2t + s, & \text{if } d \text{ is odd,} \\ 2t + s - 1 & \text{if } d \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

We will now demonstrate that the invariant parametrized topological complexity of the Fadell-Neuwirth fibrations coincides with that of the corresponding orbit fibrations. Furthermore, it is bounded below by the parametrized topological complexity of the Fadell-Neuwirth fibrations.

**Theorem 5.3.** *The induced map  $\bar{p}: \overline{F(\mathbb{R}^d, s+t)} \rightarrow \overline{F(\mathbb{R}^d, s)}$  is locally trivial fibration with fibre  $F$ . Moreover,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{TC}[\bar{p}: \overline{F(\mathbb{R}^d, s+t)} \rightarrow \overline{F(\mathbb{R}^d, s)}] &= \mathrm{TC}^{\Sigma_s}[p: F(\mathbb{R}^d, s+t) \rightarrow F(\mathbb{R}^d, s)] \\ &\geq \mathrm{TC}[p: F(\mathbb{R}^d, s+t) \rightarrow F(\mathbb{R}^d, s)]. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We note that the equivariant Fadell-Neuwirth fibrations are locally  $\Sigma_s$ -trivial with  $\Sigma_s$  acting trivially on the fibre  $F$ , see [8, Section 5.1]. Since  $F(\mathbb{R}^d, s)$  is a manifold, it is paracompact Hausdorff. Hence, by Proposition 4.23, it follows that the induced map

$$\bar{p}: \overline{F(\mathbb{R}^d, s+t)} \rightarrow \overline{F(\mathbb{R}^d, s)}$$

is a locally trivial fibration with fibre  $F$ .

As the action of  $\Sigma_s$  on  $F(\mathbb{R}^d, s+t)$  is free and  $F(\mathbb{R}^d, s+t)$  is a manifold, it follows that  $\overline{F(\mathbb{R}^d, s+t)}$  is a manifold and hence hereditary paracompact. Thus, by Theorem 4.26, we get

$$\mathrm{TC}[\bar{p}: \overline{F(\mathbb{R}^d, s+t)} \rightarrow \overline{F(\mathbb{R}^d, s)}] = \mathrm{TC}^{\Sigma_s}[p: F(\mathbb{R}^d, s+t) \rightarrow F(\mathbb{R}^d, s)].$$

Since  $\Sigma_s$  acts freely on  $F(\mathbb{R}^d, s)$ , it follows that

$$\mathrm{TC}[p: F(\mathbb{R}^d, s+t) \rightarrow F(\mathbb{R}^d, s)] \leq \mathrm{TC}^{\Sigma_s}[p: F(\mathbb{R}^d, s+t) \rightarrow F(\mathbb{R}^d, s)]$$

by Corollary 4.14. □

**Proposition 5.4.** *Suppose  $p: E \rightarrow B$  denotes the equivariant Fadell-Neuwirth fibration with fibre  $F$ . Then*

- (1) *the space  $E \times_{B/G} E$  is  $(d-2)$ -connected, and*
- (2)  *$\dim(\overline{E} \times_{\overline{B}} \overline{E}) = 2 \dim(F) + \dim(B) = 2dt + ds$ .*

*Proof.*

- (1) Suppose  $p: E \rightarrow B$  corresponds to the equivariant Fadell-Neuwirth fibration with fibre  $F$ . Since  $\Sigma_s$  is a finite group acting freely on a Hausdorff space  $B$ , it follows  $\pi_B: B \rightarrow B/G$  is a covering map. Hence,  $\pi_B$  is a fibration. Thus,  $\pi_1: E \times_{B/G} E \rightarrow E$  is a fibration with fibre  $\coprod_{g \in G} F$  since the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E \times_{B/G} E & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & E \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_B \circ p \\ E & \xrightarrow{\pi_B \circ p} & B/G \end{array}$$

is a pullback. As  $E$  and  $F$  are  $(d-2)$ -connected (see discussion after the statement of Theorem 4.1 in [5]), it follows that the space  $E \times_{B/G} E$  is  $(d-2)$ -connected.

- (2) As  $\bar{p}: \overline{E} \rightarrow \overline{B}$  is a locally trivial fibration with fibre  $F$ , it follows that  $\overline{E} \times_{\overline{B}} \overline{E} \rightarrow \overline{B}$  is a locally trivial fibration with fibre  $F \times F$ . Hence,

$$\dim(\overline{E} \times_{\overline{B}} \overline{E}) = \dim(F \times F) + \dim(\overline{B}) = 2 \dim(F) + \dim(B).$$

Since  $\Sigma_s$ -action on the manifold  $B$  is free, we get that  $\overline{B}$  is a manifold such that  $\dim(\overline{B}) = \dim(B)$ . □

We are now ready to present our estimates for the invariant parametrized topological complexity of the Fadell-Neuwirth fibrations.



**Theorem 5.5.** *Suppose  $s \geq 2, t \geq 1$ . Then*

$$\mathrm{TC}^G[p: F(\mathbb{R}^d, s+t) \rightarrow F(\mathbb{R}^d, s)] < 2t + s + 1 + \frac{2t + s + 1}{d - 1}.$$

*Additionally, we have*

$$\mathrm{TC}^{\Sigma_s}[p: F(\mathbb{R}^d, s+t) \rightarrow F(\mathbb{R}^d, s)] \geq \begin{cases} 2t + s, & \text{if } d \geq 3 \text{ is odd,} \\ 2t + s - 1 & \text{if } d \geq 2 \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

*In particular, if  $d - 1 > 2t + s + 1$ , then*

$$\mathrm{TC}^{\Sigma_s}[p: F(\mathbb{R}^d, s+t) \rightarrow F(\mathbb{R}^d, s)] \in \begin{cases} \{2t + s, 2t + s + 1\}, & \text{if } d \text{ is odd,} \\ \{2t + s - 1, 2t + s, 2t + s + 1\} & \text{if } d \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

*Proof.* Using Theorem 4.26 we have the equality

$$\mathrm{TC}^G[p: F(\mathbb{R}^d, s+t) \rightarrow F(\mathbb{R}^d, s)] = \mathrm{TC}[\bar{p}: \bar{E} \rightarrow \bar{B}].$$

Then, using part 2 of Proposition 5.4 and [4, Proposition 7.2], we obtain the following desired expression:

$$\mathrm{TC}^G[p: F(\mathbb{R}^d, s+t) \rightarrow F(\mathbb{R}^d, s)] < \frac{2dt + ds + 1}{d - 1} + 1 = 2t + s + 1 + \frac{2t + s + 1}{d - 1}.$$

Now using Theorem 5.3 we have

$$\mathrm{TC}^G[p: F(\mathbb{R}^d, s+t) \rightarrow F(\mathbb{R}^d, s)] \geq \mathrm{TC}[p: F(\mathbb{R}^d, s+t) \rightarrow F(\mathbb{R}^d, s)].$$

Thus, the inequalities of (5) follows using Theorem 5.2. Now the (6) follows straightforwardly.  $\square$

## 6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The first author would like to acknowledge IISER Pune - IDEaS Scholarship and Siemens-IISER Ph.D. fellowship for economical support. The second author acknowledges the support of NBHM through grant 0204/10/(16)/2023/R&D-II/2789.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Ramandeep Singh Arora, Navnath Daundkar, and Soumen Sarkar. Sectional category with respect to group actions and sequential topological complexity of fibre bundles. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.00139v2*, 2024.
- [2] Zbigniew Błaszczyk and Marek Kaluba. On equivariant and invariant topological complexity of smooth  $\mathbb{Z}/p$ -spheres. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 145(9):4075–4086, 2017.
- [3] Glen E. Bredon. *Introduction to compact transformation groups*, volume Vol. 46 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press, New York-London, 1972.
- [4] Daniel C. Cohen, Michael Farber, and Shmuel Weinberger. Topology of parametrized motion planning algorithms. *SIAM J. Appl. Algebra Geom.*, 5(2):229–249, 2021.
- [5] Daniel C. Cohen, Michael Farber, and Shmuel Weinberger. Parametrized topological complexity of collision-free motion planning in the plane. *Ann. Math. Artif. Intell.*, 90(10):999–1015, 2022.
- [6] Hellen Colman and Mark Grant. Equivariant topological complexity. *Algebraic & Geometric Topology*, 12(4):2299–2316, 2013.
- [7] MC Crabb. Fibrewise topological complexity of sphere and projective bundles. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.12836*, 2023.
- [8] Navnath Daundkar. Equivariant parametrized topological complexity. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Section A Mathematics*, page 1–24, 2024.
- [9] Edward Fadell and Lee Neuwirth. Configuration spaces. *Math. Scand.*, 10:111–118, 1962.

- [10] Michael Farber. Topological complexity of motion planning. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 29(2):211–221, 2003.
- [11] Michael Farber and Shmuel Weinberger. Parametrized topological complexity of sphere bundles. *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.*, 61(1):161–177, 2023.
- [12] J. M. García-Calines. Formal aspects of parametrized topological complexity and its pointed version. *J. Topol. Anal.*, 15(4):1129–1148, 2023.
- [13] PS Gevorgyan. Equivariant fibrations. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 276(4):490–497, 2023.
- [14] Jesús González, Mark Grant, Enrique Torres-Giese, and Miguel Xicoténcatl. Topological complexity of motion planning in projective product spaces. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 13(2):1027–1047, 2013.
- [15] Mark Grant. Symmetrized topological complexity. *Journal of Topology and Analysis*, 11(02):387–403, 2019.
- [16] Mark Grant. Parametrised topological complexity of group epimorphisms. *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.*, 60(1):287–303, 2022.
- [17] Wojciech Lubawski and Waław Marzantowicz. Invariant topological complexity. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 47(1):101–117, 2015.
- [18] Lazar Lyusternik and Levi Šnirelmann. Méthodes topologiques dans les problèmes variationnels. *Actualités scientifiques et industrielles, vol. 188, Exposés sur l'analyse mathématique et ses applications, vol.3*, Hermann, Paris, 42, 1934.
- [19] Waław Marzantowicz. A  $G$ -Lusternik-Schnirelman category of space with an action of a compact Lie group. *Topology*, 28(4):403–412, 1989.
- [20] Jon Peter May. Characteristic classes in borel cohomology. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 44(1–3):287–289, 1987.
- [21] Yuki Minowa. Parametrized topological complexity of spherical fibrations over spheres. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.17227*, 2024.
- [22] James R. Munkres. *Topology*. Prentice Hall, Inc., Upper Saddle River, NJ, second edition, 2000.
- [23] Mitutaka Murayama. On  $G$ -ANRs and their  $G$ -homotopy types. *Osaka J. Math.*, 20(3):479–512, 1983.
- [24] Albert S. Švarc. The genus of a fiber space. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.)*, 119:219–222, 1958.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH PUNE, INDIA  
 Email address: ramandeepsingh.arora@students.iiserpune.ac.in  
 Email address: ramandsa@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH PUNE, INDIA.  
 Email address: navnath.daundkar@acads.iiserpune.ac.in