

ESTIMATES ON THE DECAY OF THE LAPLACE-PÓLYA INTEGRAL

GERGELY AMBRUS AND BARNABÁS GÁRGYÁN

ABSTRACT. The Laplace-Pólya integral, defined by $J_n(r) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{sinc}^n t \cos(rt) dt$, appears in several areas of mathematics. We study this quantity by combinatorial methods; accordingly, our investigation focuses on the values at integer r 's. Our main result establishes a lower bound for the ratio $\frac{J_n(r+2)}{J_n(r)}$ which extends and generalises the previous estimates of Lesieur and Nicolas [20] obtained by involved analytic methods, and provides a natural counterpart to the upper estimate established in our previous work [2]. We derive the statement by purely combinatorial, elementary arguments. As a corollary, we deduce that no subdiagonal central sections of the unit cube are extremal, apart from the minimal, maximal, and the main diagonal sections. We also prove several consequences for Eulerian numbers.

1. INTRODUCTION

This article focuses on estimates of the *Laplace-Pólya integral*, defined by

$$(1.1) \quad J_n(r) := \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{sinc}^n t \cdot \cos(rt) dt$$

where $n \geq 1$ is an integer, $r \in \mathbb{R}$, and sinc is the unnormalised cardinal sine function, i.e.

$$(1.2) \quad \text{sinc } x := \begin{cases} \frac{\sin x}{x}, & \text{if } x \neq 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

As we illustrate in the next subsection, the function (1.1) appears in various areas of mathematics, and through linking seemingly distant notions and quantities, it leads to interesting results in convex geometry as well as new, alternative proofs for results in enumerative combinatorics.

1.1. A brief historical overview. The very first appearance of the function (1.1) is attributed to Laplace in the 19th century. In his prominent work on probability theory [19], he pointed out that the probability density function of the sum of n independent random variables which are uniformly distributed in $[-1, 1]$ is given by half of the Laplace-Pólya integral (1.1). Formally, if X_1, \dots, X_n are i.i.d. uniform random variables on $[-1, 1]$, and $f_X(\cdot)$ denotes the

Date: December 18, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 26D15, 52A38, 52A40, 05A20.

Key words and phrases. Laplace-Pólya integral, Eulerian numbers, diagonal central sections of the cube.

Research of G. A. was partially supported by the ERC Advanced Grant "GeoScape" no. 882971, by Hungarian National Research grants no. NKFIH K-147145 and NKFIH K-147544, and by project no. TKP2021-NVA-09, which has been implemented with the support provided by the Ministry of Innovation and Technology of Hungary from the National Research, Development and Innovation Fund, financed under the TKP2021-NVA funding scheme. This work was supported by the Ministry of Innovation and Technology NRDI Office within the framework of the Artificial Intelligence National Laboratory (RRF-2.3.1-21-2022-00004). Work of B. G. was supported by the ÚNKP-23-2-SZTE-584 New National Excellence Program of the Ministry for Culture and Innovation from the source of the National Research, Development and Innovation Fund and by the Chancellor's International Scholarship at the University of Warwick Doctoral College.

probability density function of a continuous random variable X , then for $n \geq 2$, or $n = 1$ and $r \neq \pm 1$,

$$(1.3) \quad f_{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}(r) = \frac{1}{2} J_n(r)$$

– nowadays, this is known as an Irwin-Hall distribution. Laplace [19, §42 in Chapter III] also proved that the probability density function above may also be expressed as a finite sum:

$$(1.4) \quad J_n(r) = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}(n-1)!} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n+r}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} (n+r-2i)^{n-1},$$

even though evaluating the formula above becomes computationally hard for large n 's. Since Laplace's time, justifying equation (1.4) has become a standard exercise; see, e.g. [6, Appendix III Example 22] and [31, Problem 1928]. It can also be found in several integral tables, such as [4, Formula 1.6 (11)] and [13, Formula 3.836.5].

Neither formula (1.1) nor (1.4) indicates that the Laplace-Pólya integral equals zero for $|r| \geq n$, yet this fact readily follows from (1.3). Also note that since the probability density function of the sum of random variables is given by the convolution of their probability density functions, the closed support of (1.3) is $[-n, n]$, and its values may be obtained by calculating the respective convolutions.

The story of the integral (1.1) continues in the early 20th century, with Pólya's work [26] related to statistical mechanics. Let $Q_n = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^n$ denote the centred n -dimensional cube, where $n \geq 2$. Take a hyperplane through the origin $\mathbf{0}_n$ of \mathbb{R}^n with a non-zero unit normal vector $\mathbf{u} \in S^{n-1}$, the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n – such hyperplanes are of the form \mathbf{u}^\perp , and will be called *central*. We introduce the *central section function* as the volume of the section of the cube cut by \mathbf{u}^\perp : for a *unit vector* $\mathbf{u} \in S^{n-1}$,

$$(1.5) \quad \sigma(\mathbf{u}) = \text{Vol}_{n-1}(Q_n \cap \mathbf{u}^\perp).$$

Pólya [26] showed that this quantity may be evaluated by the classical integral formula

$$(1.6) \quad \sigma(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^n \text{sinc}(u_i t) dt$$

where $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$.

Later in the 20th century, applications in telecommunication raised the need to calculate integrals in the vein of (1.1) more efficiently. One way to evaluate $J_n(0)$ is through its power series expansion. Determining asymptotic coefficients began with Silberstein's work [28] during WW2. Unfortunately, his paper contained several errors that had later been addressed in a series of articles [7, 14, 12, 23]. Finally, the correct coefficients up to order 10 were published by Medhurst and Roberts [21]. For central values (i.e. for $r = 0$), the asymptotic expansion up to order 3 reads as

$$J_n(0) = \sqrt{\frac{6}{\pi n}} \left(1 - \frac{3}{20n} - \frac{13}{1120n^2} + \frac{27}{3200n^3} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^4}\right) \right).$$

Finer estimates were established in [18, 22, 27]. The exact values of $J_n(0)$ were calculated by Harumi, Katsura and Wrench [16] up to $n = 30$, and by Medhurst and Roberts [21] up to $n = 100$ (see Table 1 for the initial segment of the sequence.) Later, Butler [8] and Fettis [11]¹

¹In [11, Formula (7)] the factor $\frac{2}{\pi}$ in the second row should be $\frac{2}{n}$ and should appear on the right hand side of the equality sign.

presented further methods based on Poisson's summation formula to evaluate the Laplace-Pólya integral efficiently.

The easiest way to calculate exact values of $J_n(r)$ is based on its recursive properties. Medhurst and Roberts [21] proved 'vertical' recurrence relations for even and odd values of r , separately. Our work is based on the recursion proved by Thompson [30]:

$$(1.7) \quad J_n(r) = \frac{n+r}{2(n-1)}J_{n-1}(r+1) + \frac{n-r}{2(n-1)}J_{n-1}(r-1)$$

for $n \geq 3$. Although this formula is valid for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$, we will use it only for integer values throughout this work. Since $J_n(r)$ is even in r , we specifically derive that

$$(1.8) \quad J_n(0) = \frac{n}{n-1}J_{n-1}(1)$$

for $n \geq 3$. Combined with (1.7) this provides a simple way to calculate the central values $J_n(0)$ for small n 's; see Table 1.

n	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$J_n(0)$	1	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{115}{192}$	$\frac{11}{20}$	$\frac{5887}{11520}$	$\frac{151}{315}$

TABLE 1. Central values $J_n(0)$ for $n = 2, \dots, 8$.

1.2. New results. The study of cube sections necessitates to the analysis of the decay of the sequence $(J_n(0))_{n=1}^{\infty}$, see [2]. By the recurrence relations (1.7) and (1.8), this is equivalent to the study of the value of the Laplace-Pólya integral at integers. In our previous work [2], we estimate the decay of the two-step ratio of the Laplace-Pólya integral from above.

Theorem 1.1 ([2], Theorem 1.4). *Let $n \geq 4$ and r be integers satisfying $-1 \leq r \leq n-2$. Then*

$$(1.9) \quad \frac{J_n(r+2)}{J_n(r)} \leq d_{n,r}$$

where

$$(1.10) \quad d_{n,r} = \frac{(n-r)}{(n+r+2)} \cdot \frac{(n-r-2)(n-r+2)}{(n+r)(n+r+4)}.$$

In the present article, we complement the above result with a corresponding lower bound.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $n \geq 4$ and r be integers satisfying $-1 \leq r \leq n-2$. Then*

$$(1.11) \quad c_{n,r} \leq \frac{J_n(r+2)}{J_n(r)}$$

where

$$(1.12) \quad c_{n,r} = \frac{(n-r)^2}{(n+r+2)^2} \cdot \frac{(4n-7r-8)(4n+3r+6)}{(4n+7r+6)(4n-3r)}.$$

Note that although Theorem 1.2 is valid on the whole interval $-1 \leq r \leq n-2$, the bound (1.12) is non-negative only in the subinterval $-1 \leq r \leq \lfloor \frac{4n-8}{7} \rfloor$, while the estimate is trivial for larger values of r .

In particular, for $r = 0$, we obtain the following bounds.

Corollary 1.3. *For $n \geq 4$ we have*

$$(1.13) \quad \frac{n(n-2)}{(n+2)^2} \leq \frac{J_n(2)}{J_n(0)} \leq \frac{n-2}{n+4}.$$

Based on (1.7), this transforms into the following estimate for central values: for $n \geq 4$,

$$(1.14) \quad \frac{n}{n+1} \leq \frac{J_{n+2}(0)}{J_n(0)} \leq \frac{(n+2)(n^2+2n-2)}{n(n+1)(n+4)}.$$

We present two significant applications of Theorem 1.2. The first concerns the volume of central sections of the cube Q_n , see (1.5).

A unit vector in \mathbb{R}^n is said to be a k -diagonal direction if it is parallel to the main diagonal of a k -dimensional face of Q_n . Let $\mathbf{1}_n$ denote the n -dimensional vector $(1, \dots, 1)$. The standard k -diagonal direction is given by

$$(1.15) \quad \mathbf{d}_{n,k} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}(\mathbf{1}_k, \mathbf{0}_{n-k})$$

for $k = 1, \dots, n$. Up to permuting the coordinates and flipping their signs, all k -diagonal directions are of the above form. Such normal vectors and the corresponding orthogonal central sections of Q_n will simply be called *diagonal*; $\mathbf{d}_{n,n}$ is the *main diagonal direction*, while $\mathbf{d}_{n,k}$ is *subdiagonal* for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Based on (1.6), the volume of diagonal sections can be expressed by the central values of the Laplace-Pólya integral: for all $k = 1, \dots, n$,

$$(1.16) \quad \sigma(\mathbf{d}_{n,k}) = \sqrt{k}J_k(0).$$

Hadwiger [15] and later Hensley [17] showed that the central section function $\sigma(\mathbf{u})$ (cf. (1.5)) is globally minimal over S^{n-1} when $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{d}_{n,1}$. Ball [3] proved that $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{d}_{n,2}$ constitutes a global maximum. Pournin [25, Theorem 1.1.] claimed to have shown that sections of Q_n orthogonal to $\mathbf{d}_{n,k}$, where $k = 4, \dots, n$, are strictly locally maximal with respect to the volume function, whenever $n \geq 4$. In our previous work [2], we gave an alternative proof for this statement for the main diagonal sections based on Theorem 1.1. In Section 3, using the estimates from Corollary 1.3, we point out that Pournin's argument is unfortunately incorrect² by demonstrating that the subdiagonal sections are *not* locally extremal for any $3 \leq k \leq n-1$:

Theorem 1.4. *For any $n \geq 4$, the subdiagonal sections of Q_n of order $3 \leq k \leq n-1$ do not have locally extremal volumes among the central sections of Q_n .*

In the last section, we describe the connection between Eulerian numbers and the Laplace-Pólya integral (see also [2, Section 3]), which leads to estimates of the ratio of subsequent Eulerian numbers; see Corollary 4.1. This provides a purely combinatorial proof for a bound regarding maxima of Eulerian numbers proven earlier by Lesieur and Nicolas [20] by involved analytic methods: in the context of the present article, they showed that for even values of n ,

$$(1.17) \quad \frac{n}{n+1} < \frac{J_{n+2}(0)}{J_n(0)} < \frac{n+1}{n+2}.$$

In Section 4, we demonstrate that Corollary 1.3 leads to an alternative combinatorial proof for the weak lower bound, and for an upper bound that is slightly larger than the one above. We believe that the upper estimate of (1.17) should also be proveable by combinatorial methods. This would amount to verifying the following inequality for all values of n .

²The mistake in [25] is on p.570, where a summand of $2\lambda = -\sqrt{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_d^2} \frac{V}{\|a\|}$ is missing from the right side of the last equation on the page

Conjecture 1.5. For every $n \geq 4$,

$$(1.18) \quad \frac{J_n(2)}{J_n(0)} \leq \frac{n(n^2-2)}{(n+2)^3}.$$

For even values of n , the statement above follows from the results in [20]. For numerical evidence supporting Conjecture 1.5, see Figure 1.




FIGURE 1. Difference between the central ratio and its estimates.

2. LOWER BOUND ON THE TWO-STEP RATIO

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose that r is an integer that satisfies $r \geq -1$. We will proceed by induction on n , with $n = 4$ being the base case.

The values of $J_4(r)$ for $r = -1, \dots, 4$ can be calculated directly using the formula (1.4). By substituting these, the $n = 4$ case of (1.11) is easy to check, see Table 2.

r	-1	0	1	2
$\frac{J_4(r+2)}{J_4(r)}$	1	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{23}$	0
$c_{4,r}$	1	$\frac{2}{9}$	$\frac{225}{18473}$	$-\frac{7}{240}$

TABLE 2. Two sides of the inequality (1.11) for $n = 4$ and $r = -1, \dots, 2$.

For later reference, note that (1.12) shows that in the interval $-1 \leq r \leq n-2$, the bound $c_{n,r}$ is positive iff $r < \frac{4n-8}{7}$.

Suppose now that for some $n \geq 4$, (1.11) holds for each $-1 \leq r \leq n-2$. We need to show that

$$(2.1) \quad c_{n+1,r} J_{n+1}(r) \leq J_{n+1}(r+2)$$

for each r with $-1 \leq r \leq n-1$.

For $r = -1$, equality holds above, because $c_{n,-1} = \frac{J_n(1)}{J_n(-1)} = 1$ for each $n \geq 4$. Also, if $r \geq \frac{4n-4}{7}$, then $c_{n+1,r} \leq 0$, hence (1.11) is trivially satisfied.

Assume that $0 \leq r < \frac{4n-4}{7}$. Then $c_{n,r-1} > 0$, as noted before. By (1.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_{n+1}(r+2) &= \frac{n+r+3}{2n} J_n(r+3) + \frac{n-r-1}{2n} J_n(r+1), \\ J_{n+1}(r) &= \frac{n+r+1}{2n} J_n(r+1) + \frac{n-r+1}{2n} J_n(r-1). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the induction hypothesis for the pairs $(n, r+1)$ and $(n, r-1)$ shows that

$$\begin{aligned} c_{n,r+1} J_n(r+1) &\leq J_n(r+3) \\ J_n(r-1) &\leq \frac{1}{c_{n,r-1}} J_n(r+1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (2.1) follows from the inequality

$$c_{n+1,r} \left(\frac{n+r+1}{2n} + \frac{n-r+1}{2n} \cdot \frac{1}{c_{n,r-1}} \right) \leq \frac{n+r+3}{2n} c_{n,r+1} + \frac{n-r-1}{2n},$$

which is equivalent to

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \leq (n+r+3)c_{n,r+1} + (n-r-1) - c_{n+1,r} \left((n+r+1) + (n-r+1) \frac{1}{c_{n,r-1}} \right).$$

Using (1.12), the right-hand side can be combined to a single fraction with the numerator

$$(2.3) \quad 8(r+1) \left(128n^6 + 128n^5(41r^2 + 82r + 36) + 8n^4(1807r^2 + 3614r + 1585) - \right. \\ \left. - 4n^3(1018r^4 + 4072r^3 + 5441r^2 + 2738r + 45) - 4n^2(958r^4 + 3832r^3 + 9243r^2 + 10822r + 3697) + \right. \\ \left. + 3n(r+1)^2(455r^4 + 1820r^3 + 2299r^2 + 958r - 1476) - 9(r+1)^2(91r^4 + 364r^3 - 17r^2 - 762r - 220) \right),$$

and denominator

$$(2.4) \quad (4n-7r-1)(4n-3r-3)(4n-3r+4)(n+r+3)^2(4n+3r+3)(4n+7r+10)(4n+7r+13).$$

Clearly, (2.4) is positive as $r < \frac{4n-1}{7}$.

Thus, it suffices to prove the non-negativity of (2.3). To that end, introduce the bivariate polynomial

$$p_n(s) = \alpha_n s^3 + \beta_n s^2 + \gamma_n s + \delta_n,$$

whose coefficients are

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n &= 1365n - 819, \\ \beta_n &= -4072n^3 - 3832n^2 - 1293n + 5067, \\ \gamma_n &= 5248n^5 + 14456n^4 + 2668n^3 - 13980n^2 - 4500n - 2268, \\ \delta_n &= 128n^6 - 640n^5 - 1776n^4 + 1224n^3 + 3024n^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since (2.3) simplifies to

$$8(r+1)p_n((r+1)^2),$$

our goal is to show that $p_n(s) \geq 0$ for any $1 \leq s < \left(\frac{4n+3}{7}\right)^2$. By direct substitution, we have

$$(2.5) \quad p_n(1) = 128n^6 + 4608n^5 + 12680n^4 - 180n^3 - 14788n^2 - 4428n + 1980 \geq 0$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Furthermore,

$$p'_n(s) = 3\alpha_n s^2 + 2\beta_n s + \gamma_n$$

whose leading coefficient $3\alpha_n$ is strictly positive for all $n \geq 1$. The discriminant of the above quadratic polynomial is given by

$$4\beta_n^2 - 12\alpha_n\gamma_n = -19637504n^6 - 60380704n^5 + 199229392n^4 + 129789120n^3 - 21331996n^2 - 59489208n + 80408052,$$

which is strictly negative for $n \geq 4$. Thus, $p'_n(s) > 0$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $s \geq 1$. Combining with (2.5), we derive that $p_n(s) > 0$ for all $n \geq 4$ and $s \geq 1$, which completes the proof. \square

3. LOCAL MAXIMALITY OF DIAGONAL SECTIONS

Before turning to the proof of Theorem 1.4, we briefly summarize a few technical details. Recall that we may evaluate the central section function $\sigma(\mathbf{u})$ (cf. (1.5)) for *unit vectors* $\mathbf{u} \in S^{n-1}$ via the integral (1.6). Note that this integral formula allows us to extend the support of $\sigma(\cdot)$ to the whole \mathbb{R}^n – yet, for $\mathbf{v} \notin S^{n-1}$, the quantity $\sigma(\mathbf{v})$ does not express the volume of the central section of Q_n anymore: for $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}_n\}$,

$$\sigma(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^n \text{sinc}(v_i t) = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{v}|} \text{Vol}_{n-1}(Q_n \cap \mathbf{v}^\perp),$$

see [2, Section 2].

It can be shown that the function $\sigma(\mathbf{v})$ defined above is differentiable at points $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with at least 3 non-zero coordinates (see Pournin's works [24], [25, Corollary 2.3]), and for such $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial v_j} \sigma(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{i \neq j} \text{sinc}(v_i t) \cdot \frac{\cos(v_j t) - \text{sinc}(v_j t)}{v_j} dt$$

for any $j = 1, \dots, n$ (cf. formula (2.11) in [2]). Furthermore, if \mathbf{v} has at least 4 non-zero coordinates, then $\sigma(\mathbf{v})$ is twice differentiable by the Newton-Leibniz rule applied to (3.1), cf. [25, Corollary 2.4].

Critical points of $\sigma(\cdot)$ on S^{n-1} are called *critical directions* – note that these correspond to central sections which constitute critical points of the volume function. Based on the Lagrange multiplier method, the following characterisation was given in [1]:

Proposition 3.1 ([1], Formula (2.12)). *The unit vector $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in S^{n-1}$ with at least 3 non-zero coordinates is a critical direction with respect to the central section function $\sigma(\mathbf{u})$ if and only if*

$$(3.2) \quad \sigma(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{\pi(1 - u_j^2)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{i \neq j} \text{sinc}(u_i t) \cdot \cos(u_j t) dt$$

holds for each $j = 1, \dots, n$.

The argument also yields that at such critical directions $\mathbf{u} \in S^{n-1}$,

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j} \sigma(\mathbf{u}) = -\sigma(\mathbf{u}) \cdot u_j,$$

see [1, Proof of Theorem 1]. Accordingly, the Lagrange function

$$(3.4) \quad \Lambda(\mathbf{v}) = \sigma(\mathbf{v}) + \tilde{\lambda} \cdot (|\mathbf{v}|^2 - 1)$$

defined on \mathbb{R}^n has a stationary point at $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} \in S^{n-1}$ with the Lagrange multiplier

$$(3.5) \quad \tilde{\lambda} = \frac{\sigma(\mathbf{u})}{2}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.4. First, we assume that $k \geq 4$ – this will ensure the required differentiability properties. In the last part of the proof, we will handle the $k = 3$ case separately.

Consider now the subdiagonal directions $\mathbf{d}_{n,k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}(\mathbf{1}_k, \mathbf{0}_{n-k})$ for arbitrary $k = 4, \dots, n-1$. Since Proposition 3.1 and (1.8) imply that $\mathbf{d}_{n,k}$ is a critical direction, we may apply the formulae (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5). We will show that the function $\sigma(\mathbf{v})$ has no local extremum at $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{d}_{n,k}$ subject to the constraint $|\mathbf{v}| = 1$. This is a constrained local optimisation problem which can be solved by studying the bordered Hessian matrix, i.e. the Hessian of the Lagrange function $\Lambda(\mathbf{v})$ defined by (3.4).

According to (3.4) and (3.5), for general $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ where $\sigma(\cdot)$ is twice differentiable, the bordered Hessian matrix is given by

$$(3.6) \quad H(\Lambda(\mathbf{v})) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2v_1 & 2v_2 & \dots & 2v_n \\ 2v_1 & & & & \\ 2v_2 & \frac{\partial^2 \sigma}{\partial v_{j_1} \partial v_{j_2}}(\mathbf{v}) + \sigma(\mathbf{v}) \cdot \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } j_1 \neq j_2 \\ 1, & \text{if } j_1 = j_2 \end{cases} & & & \\ \vdots & & & & \\ 2v_n & & & & \end{bmatrix}$$

Based on (3.1) and the subsequent remark, the Newton-Leibniz rule implies that the entries of $H(\Lambda(\mathbf{v}))$ apart from the first row and column may be calculated by

$$(3.7) \quad \beta_j(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{i \neq j} \text{sinc}(v_i t) \cdot \left(\frac{2}{v_j^2} (\text{sinc}(v_j t) - \cos(v_j t)) - \frac{(v_j t)^2}{v_j^2} \text{sinc}(v_j t) + \text{sinc}(v_j t) \right) dt$$

along the diagonal $j = j_1 = j_2$, and

$$(3.8) \quad \gamma_{j_1, j_2}(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{i \neq j_1, j_2} \text{sinc}(v_i t) \cdot \frac{\cos(v_{j_1} t) - \text{sinc}(v_{j_1} t)}{v_{j_1}} \cdot \frac{\cos(v_{j_2} t) - \text{sinc}(v_{j_2} t)}{v_{j_2}} dt$$

for the off-diagonal entries, i.e. $j_1 \neq j_2$.

Substitute now $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{d}_{n,k}$. Let $H_{m,k}$ denote the principal upper left minor of $H(\Lambda(\mathbf{d}_{n,k}))$ of order m for³ $m = 3, \dots, n+1$. The second derivative test for constrained local extrema [29, Theorem 1] implies that if the sequence $(H_{m,k})_{m=3}^{n+1}$ contains two non-zero consecutive elements of the same sign as well as two non-zero consecutive elements with alternating sign, then $\sigma(\cdot)$ does not have a local extremum at $\mathbf{d}_{n,k}$ on the constraint set S^{n-1} . We will prove that this property holds for each $k = 4, \dots, n-1$, which shows that these cases do not represent local extrema. (Besides differentiability issues, an additional reason for treating the $k = 3$ case separately is that the second derivative test is inconclusive then.)

Integrating by substitution for $v_j t$ in (3.7) and (3.8), applying the identity $\sin^2 t = \frac{1 - \cos(2t)}{2}$ and employing (1.7) repeatedly results in the formulae

³The upper bound of m in [2, Section 4] should read as $n+1$, like in the present argument, instead of n .

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_j(\mathbf{d}_{n,k}) &= \frac{\sqrt{k}}{\pi} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\operatorname{sinc}^{k-1} t \right) \cdot \left(2k(\operatorname{sinc} t - \cos t) - kt^2 \operatorname{sinc} t + \operatorname{sinc} t \right) dt = \\
&= \sqrt{k} \cdot \left((2k+1)J_k(0) - 2kJ_{k-1}(1) - \frac{k}{2}J_{k-2}(0) + \frac{k}{2}J_{k-2}(2) \right) = \\
&= \sqrt{k} \cdot \left(\left(\frac{(2k+1)k}{2(k-1)} - k - \frac{k}{2} \right) \cdot J_{k-2}(0) + \left(\frac{(2k+1)k^2}{2(k-2)(k-1)} - \frac{k^2}{(k-2)} + \frac{k}{2} \right) \cdot J_{k-2}(2) \right) = \\
&= \frac{k^{\frac{3}{2}}}{2(k-1)} \cdot \left((4-k)J_{k-2}(0) + \frac{k^2+2}{k-2}J_{k-2}(2) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

for any $j = 1, \dots, k$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{j_1, j_2}(\mathbf{d}_{n,k}) &= \frac{k^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\pi} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\operatorname{sinc}^{k-2} t \right) \cdot \left(\cos^2 t - 2 \operatorname{sinc} t \cdot \cos t + \operatorname{sinc}^2 t \right) dt = \\
&= k^{\frac{3}{2}} \cdot \left(J_k(0) - 2J_{k-1}(1) + \frac{1}{2}J_{k-2}(0) + \frac{1}{2}J_{k-2}(2) \right) = \\
&= k^{\frac{3}{2}} \cdot \left(\left(\frac{k^2}{2(k-2)(k-1)} - \frac{k}{k-2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) J_{k-2}(2) + \left(\frac{k}{2(k-1)} - 1 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \cdot J_{k-2}(0) \right) = \\
&= \frac{k^{\frac{3}{2}}}{2(k-1)} \cdot \left(J_{k-2}(0) - J_{k-2}(2) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

for $j_1 \neq j_2$, $1 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq k$. Moreover, based on the limits

$$\lim_{v_j \rightarrow 0} \frac{\cos(v_j t) - \operatorname{sinc}(v_j t)}{v_j} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{v_j \rightarrow 0} \frac{2}{v_j^2} \left(\operatorname{sinc}(v_j t) - \cos(v_j t) \right) = \frac{2t^2}{3},$$

for any $j = k+1, \dots, n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_j(\mathbf{d}_{n,k}) &= \frac{\sqrt{k}}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \operatorname{sinc}^k t \cdot \left(\frac{2kt^2}{3} - kt^2 + 1 \right) dt = \\
&= \sqrt{k} \left(J_k(0) - \frac{k}{6}J_{k-2}(0) + \frac{k}{6}J_{k-2}(2) \right) = \\
&= \sqrt{k} \left(\left(\frac{k^2}{2(k-2)(k-1)} - \frac{k}{6} \right) J_{k-2}(0) + \left(\frac{k}{2(k-1)} + \frac{k}{6} \right) J_{k-2}(2) \right) = \\
&= \frac{k^{\frac{3}{2}}}{6(k-1)} \left((4-k)J_{k-2}(0) + \frac{k^2+2}{k-2}J_{k-2}(2) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and $\gamma_{j_1, j_2}(\mathbf{d}_{n,k}) = 0$ for $j_1 \neq j_2$ and $j_1 \geq k+1$ or $j_2 \geq k+1$. In conclusion, (3.6) yields that $H(\Lambda(\mathbf{d}_{n,k}))$ is of the form

$$(3.9) \quad H(\Lambda(\mathbf{d}_{n,k})) = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccccccccc} & \xleftarrow{k} & & & \xrightarrow{n-k} & & & & \\ 0 & \alpha_k & \alpha_k & \cdots & \alpha_k & \alpha_k & \alpha_k & \cdots & \alpha_k \\ \alpha_k & \beta_k & \gamma_k & \cdots & \gamma_k & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \alpha_k & \gamma_k & \beta_k & \cdots & \gamma_k & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_k & \gamma_k & \gamma_k & \cdots & \beta_k & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \alpha_k & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{\beta_k}{3} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \alpha_k & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \frac{\beta_k}{3} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_k & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \frac{\beta_k}{3} \end{array} \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} \vdots \\ \vdots \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} k \\ n-k \\ (n+1) \times (n+1) \end{array} \end{array}$$

where⁴

$$(3.10) \quad \alpha_k = \frac{2}{\sqrt{k}}, \quad \beta_k = \frac{k^{\frac{3}{2}}}{2(k-1)} \cdot \left((4-k)J_{k-2}(0) + \frac{k^2+2}{k-2}J_{k-2}(2) \right),$$

$$\text{and } \gamma_k = \frac{k^{\frac{3}{2}}}{2(k-1)} \cdot \left(J_{k-2}(0) - J_{k-2}(2) \right)$$

are functions of k .

Note that $\beta_4 = 0$. Furthermore, the inequalities $\beta_k > 0$ for $k \geq 5$ and $\gamma_k > 0$ for $k \geq 4$ are implied by direct calculation based on Table 1 for $k = 4, 5$ and by Corollary 1.3 for $k \geq 6$.

Recall that $H_{m,k}$ denotes the m th principal minor of $H(\Lambda(\mathbf{d}_{n,k}))$. For $m = 3, \dots, k+1$, $H_{m,k}$ has the form

$$(3.11) \quad H_{m,k} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \alpha_k & \alpha_k & \cdots & \alpha_k \\ \alpha_k & \beta_k & \gamma_k & \cdots & \gamma_k \\ \alpha_k & \gamma_k & \beta_k & \cdots & \gamma_k \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_k & \gamma_k & \gamma_k & \cdots & \beta_k \end{vmatrix}_{m \times m}.$$

Subtracting the second row from the ones below, expanding the resulting determinant along the first column, and finally adding the sum of all but the first columns of the remaining matrix to the first one results in an upper triangular matrix:

$$(3.12) \quad H_{m,k} = (-\alpha_k) \cdot \begin{vmatrix} (m-1)\alpha_k & \alpha_k & \alpha_k & \cdots & \alpha_k \\ 0 & \beta_k - \gamma_k & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_k - \gamma_k & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \beta_k - \gamma_k \end{vmatrix}_{(m-1) \times (m-1)} = -(m-1)\alpha_k^2 \delta_k^{m-2}$$

where $\delta_k = \beta_k - \gamma_k$.

Based on (3.10) we derive that $\delta_4 = -\frac{4}{3}$ and $\delta_5 = -\frac{5\sqrt{5}}{32}$. For $k \geq 6$, note that according to Corollary 1.3,

$$J_{k-2}(2) \leq \frac{k-4}{k+2}J_{k-2}(0),$$

⁴There is a typo in [2, Formula (4.4)], in the definitions of the quantities similar to the ones defined below. The power of the first factor in β and γ should be 1.

hence, by (3.10),

$$\delta_k = \beta_k - \gamma_k = \frac{k^{\frac{3}{2}}}{2(k-1)} \cdot \left((3-k)J_{k-2}(0) + \frac{k(k+1)}{k-2}J_{k-2}(2) \right) \leq \frac{k^{\frac{3}{2}}}{2(k-1)} \cdot \left(-\frac{12}{k^2-4}J_{k-2}(0) \right) < 0.$$

Therefore, $\delta_k < 0$ for each $k \geq 4$, thus (3.12) implies that

$$(3.13) \quad (-1)^{m-1}H_{m,k} > 0 \text{ for every } m = 3, \dots, k+1.$$

Consider now the remaining minors of $H(\Lambda(\mathbf{d}_{n,k}))$, that is, $H_{m,k}$ with $k+2 \leq m \leq n+1$. According to (3.9), these are of the form

$$H_{m,k} = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccccccccc} & \xleftarrow{k} & & & \xleftarrow{m-k-1} & & & & \\ 0 & \alpha_k & \alpha_k & \cdots & \alpha_k & \alpha_k & \alpha_k & \cdots & \alpha_k \\ \alpha_k & \beta_k & \gamma_k & \cdots & \gamma_k & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \alpha_k & \gamma_k & \beta_k & \cdots & \gamma_k & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_k & \gamma_k & \gamma_k & \cdots & \beta_k & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \alpha_k & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{\beta_k}{3} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \alpha_k & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \frac{\beta_k}{3} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_k & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \frac{\beta_k}{3} \end{array} \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} \phantom{H_{m,k} =} \\ \phantom{H_{m,k} =} \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} k \\ m-k-1 \\ m \times m \end{array} \end{array}$$

For $k = 4$, we have $\beta_4 = 0$, thus $H_{m,4}$ can be calculated easily: $H_{4,4} = -3$, $H_{5,4} = 4$ and $H_{6,4} = 3$. This yields that $\mathbf{d}_{n,4}$ is not locally extremal.

From now on, assume that $k \geq 5$. Then, as we noted before, $\beta_k > 0$, $\gamma_k > 0$ and $\delta_k < 0$. Note that the last row of the determinant above contains only two non-zero elements: α_k and $\frac{\beta_k}{3}$. Moreover, deleting the last row and the first column results in a matrix whose last column contains only one non-zero entry, α_k , and expanding along the last column yields a matrix which is diagonal except for the upper right $k \times k$ block.

Accordingly, expanding the determinant along the last row yields that for $k+2 \leq m \leq n+1$

$$(3.14) \quad H_{m,k} = (-1)^{m+1} \alpha_k \cdot (-1)^m \alpha_k \cdot \left(\frac{\beta_k}{3} \right)^{m-k-2} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} \beta_k & \gamma_k & \cdots & \gamma_k \\ \gamma_k & \beta_k & \cdots & \gamma_k \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \gamma_k & \gamma_k & \cdots & \beta_k \end{vmatrix}_{k \times k} + \frac{\beta_k}{3} H_{m-1,k}.$$

The matrix determinant lemma [10, Lemma 1.1] implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{vmatrix} \beta_k & \gamma_k & \cdots & \gamma_k \\ \gamma_k & \beta_k & \cdots & \gamma_k \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \gamma_k & \gamma_k & \cdots & \beta_k \end{vmatrix}_{k \times k} &= |(\beta_k - \gamma_k)I_k + (\sqrt{\gamma_k} \mathbf{1}_k) \otimes (\sqrt{\gamma_k} \mathbf{1}_k)| \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta_k - \gamma_k} k \gamma_k\right) (\beta_k - \gamma_k)^k \\ &= (\beta_k + (k-1)\gamma_k) \delta_k^{k-1} \end{aligned}$$

whose sign is $(-1)^{k-1}$. Therefore, the first summand on the right hand side of (3.14) has sign $(-1)^k$. Since (3.13) implies that $(-1)^k H_{k+1,k} > 0$, induction on m shows that the sign of $H_{m,k}$

is $(-1)^k$ for every $m = k+1, \dots, n+1$. Along with (3.13) this shows that the sequence of minors is neither alternating nor of constant sign, hence $\mathbf{d}_{n,k}$ is not locally extremal.

Finally, we handle the $k = 3$ case. Clearly, it suffices to prove that $\mathbf{d}_{3,3}$ is not a locally extremal direction in S^2 – equivalently, main diagonal sections of Q_3 do not have locally extremal volume. We will show this by a direct, elementary geometric argument.




FIGURE 2. The main diagonal section of Q_3 is not locally extremal.

To simplify notation, we verify the statement for the cube $2Q_3 = [-1, 1]^3$. Let $H = \mathbf{d}_{3,3}^\perp$. Then the main diagonal section $2Q_3 \cap H$ is a regular hexagon with two opposite vertices at $p = (1, -1, 0)$ and $p' = (-1, 1, 0)$, see Figure 2. Let ℓ be the line through p and p' , and rotate H around the axis ℓ . This may be parameterised as follows: for $t \in [-1, 1]$, let $q(t) = (t, -1, 1)$, $q'(t) = (-1, t, 1)$ and denote by $H(t)$ the plane spanned by the points p, p' and $q(t)$ – note that $H = H(0)$. Then $2Q_3 \cap H(t)$ is a hexagon that decomposes to the union of two congruent trapezoids, one of which is $T(t) = pp'q(t)q\tilde{(t)}$. To calculate the area of $T(t)$, let $q\tilde{(t)}$ denote the orthogonal projection of $q(t)$ onto ℓ . Note that $|pp'| = 2\sqrt{2}$ and $|q(t)q'(t)| = \sqrt{2}(1+t)$, hence by symmetry, $|q\tilde{(t)}p| = \frac{1-t}{\sqrt{2}}$. Since $|pq(t)| = \sqrt{1+(1-t)^2}$, the Pythagorean theorem implies that

$$|q(t)q\tilde{(t)}| = \sqrt{1 + \frac{(1-t)^2}{2}}$$

and thus the area of $T(t)$ is

$$a(t) := \text{Vol}_2(T(t)) = \frac{3+t}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \sqrt{1 + \frac{(1-t)^2}{2}}.$$

Direct calculation shows that $a'(0) = a''(0) = 0$ while $a^{(3)}(0) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} > 0$. Accordingly, the function $a(t)$, and thus, the area of $2Q_3 \cap H(t)$, cannot have a local extremum at $t = 0$. \square

4. CONSEQUENCES FOR EULERIAN NUMBERS

A combinatorial interpretation of the Laplace-Pólya integral originates from the connection with Eulerian numbers of the first kind $A(m, l)$ (which will simply be referred to as Eulerian numbers). The classic definition of $A(m, l)$ is the number of permutations of the set $\{1, \dots, m\}$ in which exactly $l-1$ elements are greater than the previous element; see Table 3. This immediately implies the symmetry relation

$$(4.1) \quad A(m, l) = A(m, m-l+1),$$

see Table 3.

Alternatively, $A(m, l)$ may also be defined for integers $m, l \geq 0$ by the recursive relations

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} A(0, 0) &:= 1, \quad A(m, 0) := 0, \text{ if } m > 0, \quad A(m, l) := 0, \text{ if } l > m, \\ A(m, l) &= (m - l + 1)A(m - 1, l - 1) + lA(m - 1, l), \end{aligned}$$

see [9, pp. 240-243]. Moreover, they can be explicitly expressed by the formula

$$(4.3) \quad A(m, l) = \sum_{i=0}^l (-1)^i \binom{m+1}{i} (l-i)^m,$$

see [5, Theorem 1.11]. Further properties are presented in [5, Section 1.1] and [9, Section 6.5].

$m \backslash l$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	1									
2	1	1								
3	1	4	1							
4	1	11	11	1						
5	1	26	66	26	1					
6	1	57	302	302	57	1				
7	1	120	1191	2416	1191	120	1			
8	1	247	4293	15619	15619	4293	247	1		
9	1	502	14608	88234	156190	88234	14608	502	1	
10	1	1013	47840	455192	1310354	1310354	455192	47840	1013	1

TABLE 3. Eulerian numbers up to $m = 10$.

The comparison of (4.3) and (1.4) implies that

$$(4.4) \quad (m-1)!J_m(l) = A\left(m-1, \frac{m+l}{2}\right)$$

provided that $m+l$ is even. Hence, our results for the Laplace-Pólya integral translate to estimates for Eulerian numbers via the connection

$$(4.5) \quad A(m, l) = m!J_{m+1}(2l-m-1)$$

which immediately implies that

$$\frac{A(m, l+1)}{A(m, l)} = \frac{J_{m+1}(2l-m+1)}{J_{m+1}(2l-m-1)}.$$

This allows us to derive lower and upper bounds on the ratio of consecutive Eulerian numbers from Theorems 1.1 and 1.2:

Corollary 4.1. For integers $m \geq 3$ and $\frac{m}{2} \leq l \leq m-1$,

$$(4.6) \quad c_{m+1, 2l-m-1} \leq \frac{A(m, l+1)}{A(m, l)} \leq d_{m+1, 2l-m-1},$$

where $c_{n,r}$ and $d_{n,r}$ are defined by formulae (1.12) and (1.10) respectively.

Note that the lower bound becomes negative and thus trivial for $\frac{1}{2} \lfloor \frac{11m+3}{7} \rfloor < l \leq m-1$. Furthermore, due to the symmetry relation (4.1), analogous estimates hold for $0 \leq l < \frac{m}{2}$.

Eulerian numbers were studied extensively by Lesieur and Nicolas [20]. Following their work, we introduce the notation

$$(4.7) \quad M_m := \max_{l=1, \dots, m} A(m, l) = A\left(m, \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor + 1\right)$$

for the central Eulerian numbers in a row, see Table 3.

According to (4.5),

$$(4.8) \quad M_m = m! J_{m+1}\left(2 \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor - m + 1\right) = \begin{cases} m! J_{m+1}(1), & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ m! J_{m+1}(0), & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

As in [20, Subsection 3.5], we define the function $f(m)$ for $m \geq 1$ by

$$(4.9) \quad f(m) := \frac{M_m}{m!} = \begin{cases} J_{m+1}(1), & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ J_{m+1}(0), & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

The initial values of the sequence $f(m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ are listed in Table 4.

m	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
$f(m)$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{23}{48}$	$\frac{115}{192}$	$\frac{841}{1920}$	$\frac{5887}{11520}$

TABLE 4. Values of $f(m)$ for $m = 1, \dots, 7$.

Using rather technical analytic arguments involving estimates of coefficients of power series, Lesieur and Nicolas proved the following core result of [20].

Theorem 4.2 ([20], Theorem 2). *For all $p \geq 1$,*

$$(4.10) \quad \frac{2p}{2p+1} < \frac{f(2p+1)}{f(2p-1)} < \frac{2p+1}{2p+2}.$$

This shows that $f(2p+1)$ is decreasing, which also implies that $f(2p)$ is decreasing as well; see [20, Theorem 3 (i) and (ii)]. Note that via (4.9), inequality (1.14) translates to the following statement.

Corollary 4.3. *For every $p \geq 2$, we have*

$$(4.11) \quad \frac{2p}{2p+1} \leq \frac{f(2p+1)}{f(2p-1)} \leq \frac{(p+1)(2p^2+2p-1)}{p(p+2)(2p+1)}$$

Hence we arrive at an alternative, entirely combinatorial proof for the (weak) lower bound of Theorem 4.2 as well as for a slightly larger estimate from above. Note that the upper bound in (4.10) would follow from the estimate of Conjecture 1.5.

The above estimates can simply be extended to even indices via the identity

$$f(2p) = \frac{2p+1}{2p+2} f(2p+1)$$

for any $p \geq 1$ that is implied by (4.9) and (1.8). Thus, Corollary 4.3 leads to

$$(4.12) \quad \frac{2p^2}{2p^2 + p - 1} \leq \frac{f(2p)}{f(2p-2)} \leq \frac{2p^2 + 2p - 1}{(p+2)(2p-1)},$$

which is slightly weaker than

$$\frac{2p^2}{2p^2 + p - 1} < \frac{f(2p)}{f(2p-2)} < \frac{p(2p+1)^2}{2(p+1)^2(2p-1)},$$

implied by Theorem 4.2.




FIGURE 3. The sequence $f(m)$ and its logarithm up to $m = 20$, marked with different colors for odd and even values of m .

We conclude this section by establishing convexity properties of the sequence $(f(m))_{m=1}^{\infty}$, see Figure 3.

Proposition 4.4. *The sequence $(f(2p+1))_{p=0}^{\infty}$ is decreasing, convex and logarithmically convex.*

Proposition 4.5. *The sequence $(f(2p))_{p=2}^{\infty}$ is decreasing and convex.*

Proof of Proposition 4.4. For the initial segment of sequence $(f(2p+1))_{p=0}^3$ the statement is verified by direct calculation based on Table 4.

For $p \geq 2$, the decreasing property follows immediately from (4.11). Proving convexity and log-convexity amounts to showing that

$$\frac{f(2p+3)}{f(2p+1)} + \frac{f(2p-1)}{f(2p+1)} \geq 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{f(2p+3)}{f(2p+1)} \cdot \frac{f(2p-1)}{f(2p+1)} \geq 1,$$

respectively. Applying the bounds in (4.11) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{f(2p+3)}{f(2p+1)} + \frac{f(2p-1)}{f(2p+1)} \right) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2p+2}{2p+3} + \frac{p(p+2)(2p+1)}{(p+1)(2p^2+2p-1)} \right) = \\ &= \frac{8p^4 + 28p^3 + 29p^2 + 6p - 2}{8p^4 + 28p^3 + 28p^2 + 2p - 6} > 1, \end{aligned}$$

furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(2p+3)}{f(2p+1)} \cdot \frac{f(2p-1)}{f(2p+1)} &\geq \frac{2p+2}{2p+3} \cdot \frac{p(p+2)(2p+1)}{(p+1)(2p^2+2p-1)} = \\ &= \frac{4p^3 + 10p^2 + 4p}{4p^3 + 10p^2 + 4p - 3} > 1. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Proof of Proposition 4.5. Monotonicity follows from the upper bound of (4.12), whereas convexity is implied by applying both the lower and upper estimates of (4.12):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{f(2p+2)}{f(2p)} + \frac{f(2p-2)}{f(2p)} \right) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2p^2+4p+2}{2p^2+5p+2} + \frac{(p+2)(2p-1)}{2p^2+2p-1} \right) = \\ &= \frac{8p^4+28p^3+25p^2-4p-6}{8p^4+28p^3+24p^2-2p-4} > 1. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

We conjecture that the sequence $(f(2p))_{p=2}^{\infty}$ is log-convex as well, although (4.12) leads only to the slightly weaker inequality

$$\frac{f(2p+2)}{f(2p)} \cdot \frac{f(2p-2)}{f(2p)} \geq \frac{4p^3+6p^2-2}{4p^3+6p^2-1}.$$

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to Oscar Ortega Moreno for valuable discussions and for suggesting the study of local extremality of diagonal cube sections, and to Lionel Pournin for his support and cooperation.

REFERENCES

1. G. Ambrus, *Critical central sections of the cube*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **150** (2022), no. 10, 4463–4474.
2. G. Ambrus and B. Gárgyán, *Non-diagonal critical central sections of the cube*, Adv. Math. **441** (2024), 109524.
3. K. Ball, *Cube Slicing in \mathbb{R}^n* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **97** (1986), no. 3, 465–473.
4. H. Bateman, A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, and F. Oberhettinger, *Tables of integral transforms*, vol. 1, McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York, 1954, Based, in part, on notes left by Harry Bateman and compiled by the Staff of the Bateman Manuscript Project.
5. M. Bóna, *Combinatorics of permutations*, Discrete Mathematics and its Applications (Boca Raton), Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, Florida, 2004, With a foreword by Richard Stanley.
6. T. J. I’A. Bromwich, *An introduction to the theory of infinite series*, 2 ed., Macmillan and Co., St. Martin’s Street, London, 1926, Second edition revised with the assistance of T. M. MacRobert.
7. R. E. Burgess, *Comments on Dr. Silberstein’s Paper in Phil. Mag., June 1944*, The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science **36** (1945), no. 253, 143–143.
8. R. Butler, *On the evaluation of $\int_0^{\infty} (\sin^m t)/t^m dt$ by the trapezoidal rule*, Amer. Math. Monthly **67** (1960), no. 6, 566–569.
9. L. Comtet, *Advanced Combinatorics*, D. Reidel Publishing Company, 1974.
10. J. Ding and A. Zhou, *Eigenvalues of rank-one updated matrices with some applications*, Applied Mathematics Letters **20** (2007), no. 12, 1223–1226.
11. H. E. Fettis, *More on the calculation of the integral $I_n(b) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} (\frac{\sin x}{x}) \cos bx dx$* , Math. Comp. **21** (1967), no. 100, 727–730.
12. L. S. Goddard, *The accumulation of chance effects and the Gaussian frequency distribution*, The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science **36** (1945), no. 257, 428–433.
13. I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*, 7 ed., Academic Press, 2007.
14. A. H. R. Grimsey, *On the accumulation of chance effects and the Gaussian frequency distribution: to the Editors of the Philosophical Magazine*, The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science **36** (1945), no. 255, 294–295.
15. H. Hadwiger, *Gitterperiodische Punktmengen und Isoperimetrie*, Monatsh. Math. **76** (1972), no. 5, 410–418.
16. K. Harumi, S. Katsura, and J. W. Wrench, *Values of $(2/\pi) \int_0^{\infty} (\sin t/t)^n dt$* , Math. Comp. **14** (1960), no. 72, 379–379.
17. D. Hensley, *Slicing the Cube in \mathbb{R}^n and Probability (Bounds for the Measure of a Central Cube Slice in \mathbb{R}^n by Probability Methods)*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1979), no. 1, 95–100.
18. R. Kerman, R. Ol’hava, and S. Spektor, *An asymptotically sharp form of Ball’s integral inequality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **143** (2015), no. 9, 3839–3846. MR 3359575
19. P. S. Laplace, *Théorie analytique des probabilités*, vol. 7, Courcier, 1812.

20. L. Lesieur and J.-L. Nicolas, *On the Eulerian Numbers $M_n = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} A(n, k)$* , European J. Combin. **13** (1992), no. 5, 379–399.
21. R. G. Medhurst and J. H. Roberts, *Evaluation of $I_n(b) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\sin x}{x}\right)^n \cos(bx) dx$* , Math. Comp. **19** (1965), no. 89, 113–117.
22. R. B. Paris, *Asymptotics of some generalised sine-integrals*, arXiv:2011.05156, 2021.
23. J. B. Parker, *The accumulation of chance effects and the Gaussian frequency distribution: To the editors of the Philosophical Magazine*, The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science **38** (1947), no. 284, 681–682.
24. L. Pournin, *Shallow sections of the hypercube*, Israel J. Math. **255** (2023), no. 2, 685–704.
25. ———, *Local extrema for hypercube sections*, J. Anal. Math. **152** (2024), no. 2, 557–594. MR 4757013
26. G. Pólya, *Berechnung eines bestimmten Integrals*, Math. Ann. **74** (1913), no. 2, 204–212.
27. J.-C. Schlage-Puchta, *Asymptotic evaluation of $\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\sin x}{x}\right)^n dx$* , Commun. Korean Math. Soc. **35** (2020), no. 4, 1193–1202.
28. L. Silberstein, *The accumulation of chance effects and the Gaussian frequency distribution*, The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science **35** (1944), no. 245, 395–404.
29. D. Spring, *On the second derivative test for constrained local extrema*, Am. Math. Mon. **92** (1985), no. 9, 631–643.
30. R. Thompson, *Evaluation of $I_n(b) = 2\pi^{-1} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\sin x}{x}\right)^n \cos(bx) dx$ and of Similar Integrals*, Math. Comp. **20** (1966), no. 94, 330–332.
31. J. Wolstenholme, *Mathematical Problems on the First and Second Divisions of the Schedule of Subjects for the Cambridge Mathematical Tripos Examination*, 2 ed., Macmillan and Co., 1878.

GERGELY AMBRUS

Bolyai Institute, University of Szeged, Hungary,
and HUN-REN Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Budapest, Hungary
 e-mail address: ambrus@renyi.hu

BARNABÁS GÁRGYÁN

Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom,
and Bolyai Institute, University of Szeged, Hungary
 e-mail address: Barnabas.Gargyan@warwick.ac.uk,
 Barnabas.Gargyan@stud.u-szeged.hu