

Strong and weak symmetries and their spontaneous symmetry breaking in mixed states emerging from the quantum Ising model under multiple decoherence

Takahiro Orito,^{1,*} Yoshihito Kuno,^{2,*} and Ikuo Ichinose^{3,†}

¹*Institute for Solid State Physics, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba, 277-8581, Japan*

²*Graduate School of Engineering science, Akita University, Akita 010-8502, Japan*

³*Department of Applied Physics, Nagoya Institute of Technology, Nagoya, 466-8555, Japan*

(Dated: December 18, 2024)

Discovering and categorizing quantum orders in mixed many-body systems are currently one of the most important problems. Specific types of decoherence applied to typical quantum many-body states can induce a novel kind of mixed state accompanying characteristic symmetry orders, which has no counterparts in pure many-body states. We study phenomena generated by interplay between two types of decoherence applied to the one-dimensional transverse field Ising model (TFIM). We show that in the doubled Hilbert space formalism, the decoherence can be described by filtering operation applied to matrix product states (MPS) defined in the doubled Hilbert system. The filtering operation induces specific deformation of the MPS, which approximates the ground state of a certain parent Hamiltonian in the doubled Hilbert space. In the present case, such a parent Hamiltonian is the quantum Ashkin-Teller model, having a rich phase diagram with a critical lines and quantum phase transitions. By investigating the deformed MPS, we find various types of mixed states emergent from the ground states of the TFIM, and clarify phase transitions between them. In that study, strong and weak Z_2 symmetries play an important role, for which we introduce efficient order parameters, such as Rényi-2 correlators, entanglement entropy, etc., in the doubled Hilbert space.

I. INTRODUCTION

Noise and decoherence [1] in quantum systems are inevitable. For quantum computers and quantum memories, noise and decoherence from an environment generate undesired effects and they perturb quantum states of the system [2–5]. However, even under noises, intermediate scale quantum devices [6, 7] are expected to exhibit great ability beyond the classical ones [2]. Surprisingly enough, such effect of noise and decoherence can lead to rich non-trivial quantum states being never created in isolated quantum systems. That is, noise and decoherence applied to pure quantum states can be an essential ingredient to produce exotic mixed quantum states, which can play an important role in quantum devices.

Recently, the generation of non-trivial mixed states having no counterpart of pure states attracts lots of interest in condensed matter physics as well as quantum information communities. As an example, a topologically-ordered pure state [8, 9] tends to change to a mixed state with another type of topological order [10–16]. From this point of view, behavior of symmetry protected topological (SPT) states under decoherence has been studied [17, 18] to find that the SPT order survives in an ensemble level [19, 20]. In order to investigate these phenomena, we note that there are two types of symmetries; strong and weak symmetries in mixed states [21]. The notion of these symmetries can lead to some classification of mixed state orders. Then for mixed states, we have to re-examine notion of spontaneous symmetry breaking (SSB), that is, there are several types of SSBs in various systems, such as strong symmetry SSB, weak symmetry SSB, and strong to weak SSB (SWSSB), etc, [11, 12, 22–32] some of which

are to be carefully defined in this work. Getting deep understanding of relation between various SSBs and discovering and proposing concrete examples of SSB phenomena induced by decoherence are currently one of the most important problems. In general, exact theoretical treatment of mixed states is not easy, and some of previous studies employed Choi isomorphism technique and the doubled Hilbert state formalism [33, 34]. By using these techniques as well as effective field theory methods, symmetry properties of certain specific mixed states are discussed [17, 22].

Following this research trend, this work clarifies some aspect of decohered states by studying specific effects of tunable multiple-type decoherence on the evolution of the ground states of the one-dimensional (1D) transverse field Ising model (TFIM). Interplay of the symmetries of the ground state and decoherence respecting Z_2 strong symmetry induces a rich mixed-state phase diagram. In this study, we make use of the doubled Hilbert space formalism and investigate the interplay of two kinds of decoherence: nearest-neighbor ZZ and local X types. In this doubled Hilbert space formalism, a mixed state density matrix is mapped to a state vector that is not normalized generally. Here, we recognize decoherence applied to the mixed state vector as *local filtering operation*, which has been used in the analysis of pure states under perturbations, especially for matrix product states (MPS) in frustration-free models [35, 36].

Local filtering operation deforms an MPS describing the frustration-free toric code to another MPS, which is close to the ground state of the toric code in a magnetic field derived by perturbative calculation [37]. In this work, we suitably employ this strategy, that is, we first prepare the density matrix of the ground state of the 1D TFIM as an MPS in the doubled Hilbert formalism. For this MPS, the ZZ and X type multiple decoherence is applied by means of two types of local filtering operators. From the success of the previous works [35, 36], we expect that the deformed MPS by the filtering is at least

* These authors equally contributed to this work

† A professor emeritus

qualitatively close to the ground state of the quantum Ashkin-Teller (qAT) model [38] derived as an effective model, even though the starting TFIM is not frustration-free. By the above prescription, we numerically find that the deformed MPS exhibits the SWSSB phase, corresponding to the ‘‘partially ordered phase’’ in the qAT model [38]. Moreover, by fine-tuning the parameters of the decoherence (filtering) and choosing the starting ground state of the TFIM, we numerically investigate various MPS’s and phase transition between them. To this end, the viewpoint of strong and weak symmetry, as well as Rényi-2 correlation functions and entanglement entropy observing them, play an important role.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we show the setting of the system in this work; 1D TFIM and two types of decoherence. In Sec. III, we introduce the doubled Hilbert space formalism and show the interpretation of the decoherence in this formalism. In Sec. VI, we perform the systematic numerical calculations by using the MPS and the filtering to the MPS for various decoherence parameters. Here, we find that the emergent states can be understood with the help of the ground-state phase diagram of qAT model, and we analyze the phase transitions between the deformed MPS’s. In Sec. VII, we give a summary of our numerical findings from the viewpoint of the strong and weak symmetry SSB. Section VIII is devoted to summary and conclusion.

II. SET UP OF MODEL AND DECOHERENCE PROTOCOL

In this work, we study effects of multiple decoherence applied to the many-body ground states of the 1D TFIM, Hamiltonian of which is given by

$$H_0 = - \sum_{j=0}^{L-1} [JZ_j Z_{j+1} + hX_j],$$

where periodic boundary conditions are imposed and $J, h > 0$ are parameters. The system possesses Z_2 symmetry, the generator of which is a global spin flip $\prod_{j=0}^{L-1} X_j$. At $J = h$, a phase transition takes place and the ground state is critical with the Ising CFT criticality. For $J/h > 1$, the ground state is Z_2 SSB (ferromagnetic) and for $J/h < 1$, a paramagnetic state emerges. Hereafter, we denote the ground state of H_0 by $|\psi_0\rangle$, and its (pure) density matrix by $\rho_0 = |\psi_0\rangle\langle\psi_0|$, and \mathcal{H} is the Hilbert space of the spin-1/2 L -site system.

Let us consider effects of decoherence on the ground state of the 1D TFIM. To this end, we introduce two types of the tunable decoherence channel applied globally to the ground state and are given as [39]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{ZZ}[\rho] &= \prod_{j=0}^{L-1} \left[(1 - p_{zz})\rho + p_{zz}Z_j Z_{j+1}\rho Z_{j+1}Z_j \right], \\ \mathcal{E}_X[\rho] &= \prod_{j=0}^{L-1} \left[(1 - p_x)\rho + p_x X_j \rho X_j \right], \end{aligned}$$




FIG. 1. (a) 1D quantum TFIM under two types of decoherence. The state of the system is on the single Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . (b) The system of the 1D TFIM under decoherence is mapped to doubled Hilbert space, $\mathcal{H}_u \otimes \mathcal{H}_\ell$, by using the Choi isomorphism, and that system is related to the quantum Ashkin-Teller model. Multiple decoherence induces multi-body interactions in the quantum Ashkin-Teller model.

where the strength of the decoherence is tuned by $p_{zz(x)}$, and $0 \leq p_{zz(x)} \leq 1/2$. For $p_{zz(x)} = 1/2$, these channels correspond to projective measurements of $Z_j Z_{j+1}$ and X_j without monitoring and are called maximal decoherence. The image of the local application of the decoherence on the 1D spin chain is shown in Fig. 1 (a). Throughout this work, we study the following decohered mixed state, ρ_D , by the multiple decoherences

$$\rho_D \equiv \mathcal{E}_{ZZ} \circ \mathcal{E}_X[\rho_0].$$

Note that the order in application of the above local channels is irrelevant as long as we consider decoherence channels using Pauli operators such as $\mathcal{E}_{g_j}[\rho] = (1 - p)\rho + g_j \rho g_j^\dagger$, where g_j is an element of Pauli group with a finite length support. Then, general two channels are commutative, $\mathcal{E}_{g_j} \circ \mathcal{E}_{g_\ell}[\rho] = \mathcal{E}_{g_\ell} \circ \mathcal{E}_{g_j}[\rho]$ for either $[g_j, g_\ell] = 0$ or $\{g_j, g_\ell\} = 0$. We investigate a mixed state, ρ_D , emerging through decoherence channel from the input density matrix (the ground state of the 1D TFIM) for various values of J/h . In the channel, p_{zz} and p_x (the strength of decoherence) are parameters that determine the ‘phase diagram’ of ρ_D .

III. DOUBLED HILBERT SPACE FORMALISM

For the analysis of the decohered state $\rho_D \in \mathcal{H}$, we use the doubled Hilbert space formalism, in which the target Hilbert space is doubled as $\mathcal{H}_u \otimes \mathcal{H}_\ell$, where the subscripts u and ℓ denote the upper and lower Hilbert spaces corresponding to ket and bra states of mixed state density matrix, respectively. In this doubled Hilbert space formalism (Choi-Jamiołkowski isomorphism) [33, 34], density matrix ρ is vectorized, $\rho \rightarrow |\rho\rangle\rangle$ as $|\rho\rangle\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\dim[\rho]}} \sum_k |k\rangle \otimes \rho|k\rangle$, where $\{|k\rangle\}$ is an orthonormal set of bases in the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . The state $|\rho\rangle\rangle$ is in the doubled Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_u \otimes \mathcal{H}_\ell$. Then,

we map the density matrix ρ_0 of the 1D TFIM ground state to the initial state vector in the doubled Hilbert space denoted by $|\rho_0\rangle\rangle \equiv |\psi_0^*\rangle|\psi_0\rangle$, where for the pure state, $|\rho_0\rangle\rangle$ is simply two copies of the ground state of the 1D TFIM $|\psi_0\rangle$, whereas the asterisk denotes the complex conjugation.

In this formalism, a general decoherence channel \mathcal{E} is mapped to a (linear) operator $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ acting on the state vector $|\rho\rangle\rangle$ in the doubled Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_u \otimes \mathcal{H}_\ell$ [17, 22] and denoted as $\hat{\mathcal{E}}|\rho\rangle\rangle$.

In general, quantum decoherence is expressed in terms of Kraus operators; $\mathcal{E}[\rho] = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{M-1} K_\alpha \rho K_\alpha^\dagger$, where K_α 's are Kraus operators satisfying $\sum_{\alpha=0}^{M-1} K_\alpha K_\alpha^\dagger = I$. In this study, we consider the case that K_α 's are Pauli operators without imaginary factor. In the Choi isomorphism, the channel operator is transformed as $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{E}} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{M-1} K_{\alpha,u}^* \otimes K_{\alpha,\ell}$. Then, the two kinds of decoherence channels in the present study are given as the follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{ZZ}(p_{zz}) &= \prod_{j=0}^{L-1} \left[(1-p_{zz}) \hat{I}_{j,u}^* \otimes \hat{I}_{j,\ell} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + p_{zz} Z_{j,u}^* Z_{j+1,u}^* \otimes Z_{j,\ell} Z_{j+1,\ell} \right] \\ &= \prod_{j=0}^{L-1} (1-2p_{zz})^{1/2} e^{\tau_{zz} Z_{j,u} Z_{j+1,u} \otimes Z_{j,\ell} Z_{j+1,\ell}}, \\ \hat{\mathcal{E}}_X(p_x) &= \prod_{j=0}^{L-1} \left[(1-p_x) \hat{I}_{j,u}^* \otimes \hat{I}_{j,\ell} + p_x X_{j,u}^* \otimes X_{j,\ell} \right] \\ &= \prod_{j=0}^{L-1} (1-2p_x)^{1/2} e^{\tau_x X_{j,u} \otimes X_{j,\ell}}, \end{aligned}$$

where, $\hat{I}_{j,u(\ell)}$ is an identity operator for site- j vector space in $\mathcal{H}_{u(\ell)}$, $Z(X)_{j,u(\ell)}$ is Pauli- $Z(X)$ operator at site j and $\tau_{zz(x)} = \tanh^{-1}[p_{zz(x)}/(1-p_{zz(x)})]$. Here, we note that the channel operator $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ is not a unitary map in general cases although the channel is a CPTP map [17, 22]. Thus, the application of the channel operator generally changes the norm of the state vector.

Please note that the initial doubled state $|\rho_0\rangle\rangle$ generated by the copy of the ground state of the 1D TFIM can be regarded as the ground state of the two decoupled TFIM on a *two-leg spin-1/2 ladder* shown in Fig. 1(b), where the original physical Hilbert space is doubled. Then the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_u describes the Hilbert space of the upper spin chain and the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_ℓ describes the lower chain. That is, the doubled Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_u \otimes \mathcal{H}_\ell$ corresponds to the Hilbert space of the two leg-ladder spin-1/2 system.

In the doubled Hilbert space, by using the above decoherence channel operators, the decohered state $|\rho_D\rangle\rangle$ is given by applying $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{ZZ}(p_{zz})$ and $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_X(p_x)$ to the initial state $|\rho_0\rangle\rangle$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\rho_D\rangle\rangle &\equiv \hat{\mathcal{E}}_{ZZ} \hat{\mathcal{E}}_X |\rho_0\rangle\rangle \\ &= C(p_{zz}, p_x, L) \prod_{j=0}^{L-1} \left[e^{\tau_{zz} \hat{h}_{j,j+1}^{zz}} e^{\tau_x \hat{h}_j^x} \right] |\rho_0\rangle\rangle. \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{h}_{j,j+1}^{zz} = Z_{j,u} Z_{j+1,u} \otimes Z_{j,\ell} Z_{j+1,\ell}$, $\hat{h}_j^x = X_{j,u} \otimes X_{j,\ell}$ and $C(p_{zz}, p_x, L) \equiv (1-2p_{zz})^{L/2} (1-2p_x)^{L/2}$. Note that the state $|\rho_D\rangle\rangle$ is not generally normalized, i.e., the norm $\langle\langle \rho_D | \rho_D \rangle\rangle$ corresponds to the purity $\text{Tr}[\rho_D^2]$ (> 0), and the norm exhibits an exponential decay with the system size L due to the factor $C(p_{zz}, p_x, L)$. This fact requires renormalization of the state vector in the calculation of some physical quantities as shown later on.

Here, we remark an important viewpoint concerning to Eq. (1). Besides the factor $C(p_{zz}, p_x, L)$, Eq. (1) shows that the state $|\rho_0\rangle\rangle$ is locally-filtered by the two different kinds of local operations $e^{\tau_{zz} \hat{h}_{j,j+1}^{zz}}$ and $e^{\tau_x \hat{h}_j^x}$ and as a result, the state $|\rho_D\rangle\rangle$ emerges [35, 37, 40, 41]. Filtering prescription similar to Eq. (1) has been used to construct a state approximating a perturbed state deformed by perturbations added to a parent Hamiltonian that is typically frustration-free such as the toric code model [15, 35–37]. That is, *in the doubled Hilbert space formalism, decoherence channel can be regarded as local filtering operation acting on density-matrix state vectors defined in the doubled Hilbert space*. Furthermore, since the local filtering operations commute with each other, the order of their operation to the state $|\rho_0\rangle\rangle$ is irrelevant to obtain the final decohered state $|\rho_D\rangle\rangle$.

IV. FILTERING OPERATION AND QUALITATIVE PARENT HAMILTONIAN

In the previous section, we explained that the doubled-Hilbert space system can be regarded as a spin-1/2 ladder system, the Hilbert space of which is given by $\mathcal{H}_u \otimes \mathcal{H}_\ell$. Based on this picture and the previous studies of the filtering scheme [15, 35–37], the form of the channels $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{ZZ}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_X$ in Eq. (1) suggests that $\hat{h}_{j,j+1}^{zz}$ and \hat{h}_j^x can be regarded as perturbative terms to the doubled TFIM Hamiltonian of the ladder system as shown in Fig. 1(b). The strengths of the effective terms are proportional to τ_{zz} and τ_x tuned by p_{zz} and p_x . Then, we expect that our target decohered state $|\rho_D\rangle\rangle$ is closely related to the ground states of the quantum Ashkin-Teller model [38], the Hamiltonian of which is given on the ladder as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{qAT} &= -J \sum_{j=0}^{L-1} [Z_{j,u} Z_{j+1,u} + Z_{j,\ell} Z_{j+1,\ell}] \\ &\quad + \lambda_{zz} Z_{j,u} Z_{j,\ell} Z_{j+1,u} Z_{j+1,\ell} \\ &\quad - h \sum_{j=0}^{L-1} [X_{j,u} + X_{j,\ell} + \lambda_x X_{j,u} X_{j,\ell}]. \end{aligned}$$

The above Hamiltonian is derived from a highly-anisotropic version of 2D classical Ashkin-Teller model [42, 43] by the time-continuum-limit formalism [44], and then the Hamiltonian H_{qAT} has $Z_2 \times Z_2$ symmetry with generators $\prod X_{j,u}$ and $\prod X_{j,\ell}$. There also exists the obvious vertical inversion symmetry between the upper and the lower chain, $u \longleftrightarrow \ell$, thus, the system is D_4 symmetric [45]. Furthermore, we expect parameter relations such as $J\lambda_{zz} \longleftrightarrow \tau_{zz}(p_{zz})$ and $h\lambda_x \longleftrightarrow \tau_x(p_x)$, which are expected to qualitatively hold.




FIG. 2. Schematic phase diagram of the doubled system and parameter sweeps used in numerical calculations for filtering MPS. We expect that the global phase diagram of the doubled system is closely related to that of the quantum Ashkin-Teller model [38]. The right blue shaded line represents a critical line separating region I (‘paramagnetic phase’) and region III (‘ferromagnetic phase’). The green, red and blue solid arrows represent the target λ parameter sweep lines with $J/h = 1.2, 1$ and 0.8 , respectively. In our numerical calculation, this sweep of λ is performed by parameter control of $p_{zz}(p_x)$.

The global ground state phase diagram of H_{qAT} has been investigated in detail [38, 45, 46]. In particular, the phase transition criticality on the line $J/h = 1$ was numerically investigated in detail [46]. In the region $\lambda_{zz} = \lambda_x \equiv \lambda > 0$ (since $\tau_{zz(x)} > 0$), there are three ground-state phases [38, 47], (I) Double chain spontaneous Z_2 symmetry broken phase (regime III), (II) Double paramagnetic phase (regime I) and (III) Diagonal Z_2 symmetric phase (regime II) (called ‘partially-ordered phase’ [38]). The image of the diagram is shown in Fig. 2. In particular on the critical line between $-1/\sqrt{2} \leq \lambda \leq 1$ for $J/h = 1$, the system exhibits the critical behavior described by the bosonic CFT [48].

In the previous works, this filtering method constructing perturbed states has succeeded in obtaining states close to the true ground states in various perturbed Hamiltonians [35, 37, 40, 41]. Thus, we expect that the decohered state $|\rho_D\rangle\rangle$ resultant to decoherence exhibits physical properties (orders, entanglement structure, presence of phase transition, etc.) similar to those of the ground state of the qAT model H_{qAT} . That is, even though the qAT model is not frustration-free, we expect that the phase diagram of the qAT model sheds light on ‘phase diagram’ of the decohered state $|\rho_D\rangle\rangle$ and is helpful for understanding physical properties of $|\rho_D\rangle\rangle$. This expectation will be verified by the numerical study given later on.

V. ANALYSIS OF DECOHERED STATE VECTORS IN MPS FORMALISM

In the rest of the work, we numerically study the detailed physical properties of the decohered state $|\rho_D\rangle\rangle$ by using the MPS formalism to analyze large ladder systems and clarify entanglement properties of the decohered state vector $|\rho_D\rangle\rangle$. To this end, we employ the TeNPy library [49, 50].

We first prepare an initial state $|\rho_0\rangle\rangle$ by using the DMRG

searching for the ground state of the two decoupled upper and lower TFIM’s on the ladder system shown in Fig. 1(b) for various values of J with fixing $h = 1$. For the obtained MPS $|\rho_0\rangle\rangle$, we apply the filtering operations \hat{E}_{ZZ} and \hat{E}_X to the state $|\rho_0\rangle\rangle$ as varying p_x and p_{zz} and obtain MPS’s of $|\rho_D\rangle\rangle$.

For the practical numerical calculation, we search for the condition on the probabilities (p_{zz}, p_x) to realize the decoherence corresponding to H_{qAT} with $\lambda_{zz} = \lambda_x (= \lambda)$ for various values of J/h . From the parameter correspondence discussed in the previous section, we expect $J\lambda_{zz} = c\tau_{zz}(p_{zz})$ and $h\lambda_x = c\tau_x(p_x)$, where c is a positive constant and we set $h = 1$, hereafter. After some algebra, we find that the conditions $\lambda_{zz} = \lambda_x$ and $(1/J)\tau_{zz}(p_{zz}) = \tau_x(p_x)$ are satisfied with $p_x = 1/2 - (1/2)(1 - 2p_{zz})^{1/J}$, which is a decreasing function of p_{zz} for $J > 0$. In the practical protocol, we vary the value of p_{zz} and fix the corresponding value of p_x using the above equation, and then apply the channel operations $\hat{E}_{ZZ}(p_{zz})$ and $\hat{E}_X(p_x)$. It is obvious that this procedure preserves the condition $\lambda_{zz} = \lambda_x$ in the corresponding qAT model. Increase of p_{zz} with the relation $p_x = 1/2 - (1/2)(1 - 2p_{zz})^{1/J}$ corresponds to an increase of λ in the qAT model.

In this work, we numerically calculate the following three observables. The first one is the (reduced) susceptibility of Rényi-2 correlator,

$$\chi_{ZZ}^{\text{II}} = \frac{2}{L} \sum_{r=1}^{L/2} C_{ZZ}^{\text{II}}(0, r),$$

with

$$C_{ZZ}^{\text{II}}(i, j) \equiv \frac{\langle\langle \rho_D | Z_{i,u} Z_{j,u} Z_{i,\ell} Z_{j,\ell} | \rho_D \rangle\rangle}{\langle\langle \rho_D | \rho_D \rangle\rangle},$$

where $|\rho_D\rangle\rangle$ is an unnormalized filtered MPS. In the original physical 1D system perspective, $C_{ZZ}^{\text{II}}(i, j)$ corresponds to the Rényi-2 correlator calculated with the density matrix ρ_D ;

$$C_{Z_i Z_j}^{\text{II}} \equiv \frac{\text{Tr}[Z_i Z_j \rho_D Z_i Z_j \rho_D]}{\text{Tr}[(\rho_D)^2]}.$$

This observable is an order parameter that detects SSB of strong symmetry but *not* that of the weak symmetry [22–24]. In fact, the behavior such as, $C_{ZZ}^{\text{II}}(i, j) \neq 0, C_{Z_i Z_j}^{\text{II}} \neq 0$ for $|i - j| \rightarrow \infty$, indicates the emergence of a genuine SSB state, $\tilde{\rho}_{\text{SSB}}$, with a non-vanishing one-point function $\text{Tr}[Z_i \tilde{\rho}_{\text{SSB}} Z_i \tilde{\rho}_{\text{SSB}}] \neq 0$ for the thermodynamic limit. Brief explanation of strong and weak symmetries considered in this work is given in Appendix A.

The second observable is a correlator to characterize the Z_2 -SSB in the doubled Hilbert space formalism, given by

$$C_{Z, st}^I(i, j) = \frac{\langle\langle \mathbf{1} | Z_{i,u} Z_{j,u} | \rho_D \rangle\rangle}{\langle\langle \mathbf{1} | \rho_D \rangle\rangle},$$

where $|\mathbf{1}\rangle\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{2^{3L/2}} \prod_{j=0}^{L-1} |t\rangle_j$ with $|t\rangle_j = |\uparrow_u \uparrow_\ell\rangle_j + |\downarrow_u \downarrow_\ell\rangle_j$ and the corresponding quantity in the original physical




FIG. 3. p_{zz} -dependence of the sums of correlation, χ_{ZZ}^{II} , $\chi_{Z,st}^I$ and χ_u^I for $J/h = 0.8$ [(a)], 1 [(b)] and 1.2[(c)]. Here, the value of p_{zz} is related to the strength of λ in the qAT model. The system size is $L = 28$.

Hilbert space is $\text{Tr}[\rho_D Z_i Z_j]$. This relation between the above two quantities comes from the Choi isomorphism [33], and $C_{Z,st}^I(i, j)$ can be regarded as a strange correlator [17]. Further explanation of this point is given in Appendix B.

Numerically, we focus on the sum of $C_{Z,st}^I(i, j)$ defined by

$$\chi_{Z,st}^I = \frac{2}{L} \sum_{r=1}^{L/2} C_{Z,st}^I(0, r).$$

This quantity $\chi_{Z,st}^I$ is used as an order parameter of the weak-symmetry SSB [23]. Then, the combination of χ_{ZZ}^{II} and $\chi_{Z,st}^I$ can detect the SWSSB, which is recently proposed in Refs. [22–24] for strong symmetric systems [51]. In the doubled Hilbert space picture, a state with $\chi_{ZZ}^{II} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ and $\chi_{Z,st}^I \sim 0$ exhibits SSB of the off-diagonal (i.e., strong) symmetry and also the restoration of the diagonal (i.e., weak) symmetry [22].

The third observable is the (reduced) susceptibility of the ZZ -correlator of the upper chain,

$$\chi_u^I = \frac{2}{L} \sum_{r=1}^{L/2} C_{ZZ}^u(0, r).$$

Here

$$C_{ZZ}^u(i, j) \equiv \frac{\langle\langle \rho_D | Z_{i,u} Z_{j,u} | \rho_D \rangle\rangle}{\langle\langle \rho_D | \rho_D \rangle\rangle}.$$

For the original spin chain system, the above correlator $C_{ZZ}^u(i, j)$ corresponds to $\text{Tr}[\rho_D Z_i Z_j \rho_D] / \text{Tr}[\rho_D^2] = \text{Tr}[\rho_D^2 Z_i Z_j] / \text{Tr}[\rho_D^2]$. Although this quantity is slightly different from the canonical correlator $\text{Tr}[\rho_D Z_i Z_j]$, the finite value of $\chi_u^I \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ is expected to imply the emergence of the long-range order, i.e., Z_2 SSB, in the original physical 1D spin system. This expectation will be verified by the numerical calculation.

We also observe entanglement entropy (EE) for a subsystem (subsystem A) to study entanglement property of the decohered state $|\rho_D\rangle\rangle$,

$$S_A = -\text{Tr}_A[\tilde{\rho}_{D,A} \ln \tilde{\rho}_{D,A}],$$

where $\tilde{\rho}_{D,A} = \text{Tr}_A \tilde{\rho}_D$ with $\tilde{\rho}_D = |\tilde{\rho}_D\rangle\rangle\langle\langle \tilde{\rho}_D|$ where $|\tilde{\rho}_D\rangle\rangle$ is the normalized state of $|\rho_D\rangle\rangle$, $|\tilde{\rho}_D\rangle\rangle = |\rho_D\rangle\rangle / \sqrt{\langle\langle \rho_D | \rho_D \rangle\rangle}$. In this calculation of EE, we employ the combination of diagonal cut and vertical cut in the periodic ladder system, each two subsystems A and \bar{A} include $(L+1)$ -sites and $(L-1)$ -sites. A concrete example of $L=8$ ladder system is shown in the upper-left panel in Fig. 4.

VI. NUMERICAL RESULTS BY USING MPS

We investigate the decohered (filtered) state in Eq. (1) as MPS by efficiently employing TeNPy package [49, 50]. In Eq. (1), the initial state $|\rho_0\rangle\rangle$, which is the ground state of the decoupled 1D TFIM in Fig. 1(b), is prepared by the DMRG. The filtering operation in Eq. (1) can be also efficiently carried out by the TeNPy package. We then obtain the state $|\rho_D\rangle\rangle$ for each probability parameters, p_{zz} and p_x . The code reliability employed in this work is examined in Appendix C.

Let us show the numerical results obtained by the protocol explained in the previous section. Here, we focus on three parameter sweeps of p_{zz} , corresponding to increasing the value of $\lambda (= \lambda_{zz} = \lambda_x)$ from zero. The three parameter sweep lines are (I) $J/h = 0.8$, (II) $J/h = 1$ (on-critical initial state), (III) $J/h = 1.2$, respectively. The image of the parameter sweeps are shown in Fig. 2. The initial states for the tree sweeps (I)–(III) are a double paramagnetic state, double critical state, and double Z_2 -SSB state of the doubled TFIM on the ladder, respectively. From the parent qAT model picture, we expect that there exist three distinct regimes and “phase transition” between them take place. These phases and the possible phase transition can be captured by the physical observables introduced in the previous section.

We first show the behaviors of three observables χ_{ZZ}^{II} , $\chi_{Z,st}^I$ and χ_u^I for each sweep in Figs. 3(a)–3(c). The data (a) ($J/h = 0.8$ case) show that, for small p_{zz} , all values of χ_{ZZ}^{II} , $\chi_{Z,st}^I$ and χ_u^I are small reflecting the fact that we start from the trivial paramagnetic state, and therefore, that regime corresponds to the regime I of the qAT model. As increasing p_{zz} , we find that only χ_{ZZ}^{II} increases implying the emergence of SWSSB phase




FIG. 4. Entanglement entropy for $J/h = 0.8$ [(a)], $J/h = 1$ [(b)] and $J/h = 1.2$ cases [(c)]. Schematic entanglement cut for $L = 8$ system under periodic boundary conditions is shown in the upper-left panel, where the orange dotted lines represent the entanglement cuts. Each insets show the extrapolation of data to estimate the transition probability of p_{zz} , denoted by p_{zz}^c , at which S_A becomes maximum for $L \rightarrow \infty$. Here, to estimate locations of peaks of S_A , we employed a sixth-order polynomial function to estimate p_{zz}^c for each system size. Using the data of finite size systems and a first-order polynomial function, $a/L + p_{zz}^c$, where a and p_{zz}^c are fitting parameters, we estimated the phase transition point in the thermodynamic limit.

since the weak SSB order parameter $\chi_{Z,st}^I$ is almost zero for large p_{zz} . We expect this phase corresponds to the regime II in the qAT phase diagram. Here, also the $Z_2 \times Z_2$ SSB (corresponding to the independent LRO on each upper and lower chain) vanishes and Z_2 -diagonal-symmetry restoration takes place as suggested in [22].

For the data (b) ($J/h = 1$ case), for small p_{zz} , all observables χ_{ZZ}^{II} , $\chi_{Z,st}^I$ and χ_u^I have an intermediate values. We expect the state is on critical in the qAT picture. As increasing p_{zz} , we find that only χ_{ZZ}^{II} increases and, $\chi_{Z,st}^I$ and χ_u^I decrease implying the emergence of the regime II, that is, SWSSB phase. Thus, we observe the transition from the double critical phase to the SWSSB phase.

Finally for the data (c) ($J/h = 1.2$ case), for small p_{zz} , all observables χ_{ZZ}^{II} , $\chi_{Z,st}^I$ and χ_u^I are large. We expect that the state is in the regime III of the qAT phase diagram. From mixed state viewpoint, the state exhibits not only strong SSB with a large value of χ_{ZZ}^{II} and but also weak SSB with a large value of $\chi_{Z,st}^I$, indicating the emergence of the “strong-to-trivial SSB” phase. As increasing p_{zz} , we find that the state exhibits a transition into the regime II. Thus, we observe the phase transition from strong-to-trivial SSB phase to the SWSSB phase.

From the observation of the three quantities above, we find there are three states with distinct properties corresponding to the regimes I-III in the parent qAT model. Then, we examine whether the changes in the three observables stem from genuine phase transitions, that is, decoherence-induced mixed state phase transitions. To this end, we investigate the EE for various system sizes for each of the three parameter sweeps.

The numerical results of S_A are shown in Figs. 4(a)- 4(c). For the data (a) ($J/h = 0.8$ case), we find that data of S_A exhibit a peak around $p_{zz} \sim 0.36$, and the system-size dependence of S_A develops there, indicating the existence of a phase transition. For the locations of the observed peaks, we perform the linear extrapolation with respect to $1/L$ [See

the inset in Fig. 4(a)], and obtain an estimation of a transition point as $p_{zz}^c \sim 0.366$ for $L \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly for both the other data (b) and (c) ($J/h = 1$ and 1.2 case), S_A has a peak and the value of S_A at the peak increases as the system size is getting larger. Thus, we think that the two cases also exhibit a phase transition around $p_{zz} \sim 0.30$ for the case (b) and $p_{zz} \sim 0.39$ for the case (a), by using the linear extrapolation [See the insets in Figs. 4(b) and 4(c)], we estimate the transition point for $L \rightarrow \infty$ as $p_{zz}^c \sim 0.300$ for $J/h = 1$ case and $p_{zz}^c \sim 0.396$ for $J/h = 1.2$ case, respectively. The existence of the above phase transitions is good agreement with the phase diagram of the qAT model. As an additional interesting numerical result, we display the subsystem-size dependence of the EE in Appendix D. In particular, estimation of the central charge of a possible CFT is given there.

VII. SUMMARY OF MIXED STATE ORDER FROM NUMERICAL CALCULATION OF CORRELATORS

In this section, we shall summarize the properties of the three phases obtained by numerics in the previous section. We first take a look at how the strong and weak Z_2 -parity symmetries are supported in the channel and initial state.

The applied decoherence channel $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{ZZ} \circ \hat{\mathcal{E}}_X$ is strong symmetric for the Z_2 parity symmetry [See Appendix A]. Thus, we expect the filtering in the doubled Hilbert space formalism respects the strong symmetry. Also the parent Hamiltonian (qAT model) has the $Z_2^u \times Z_2^\ell$ symmetry indicating that the target decohered system is strong symmetric. Then, we can discuss a possible SSB of the symmetries for various parameter regimes.

Before going into summary of pattern of the SSB, we have to carefully examine how the Z_2 symmetry is realized in the initial state ρ_0 . For $J/h > 1$, the initial state ρ_0 obviously

	strong SSB ($C_{Z_i Z_j}^{\text{II}}$)	weak SSB ($C_{Z, \text{st}}^{\text{I}}$)	single chain LRO (C_{ZZ}^{u})	mixed state order type
Region I	0	0	0	paramagnetic trivial
Region II	$\mathcal{O}(1)$	0	0	strong-to-weak SSB and diagonal Z_2 symmetry restoration
Region III	$\mathcal{O}(1)$	$\mathcal{O}(1)$	$\mathcal{O}(1)$	strong-to-trivial SSB and $Z_2 \times Z_2$ SSB

TABLE I. Summary of symmetry properties of three kinds of mixed states observed in this work. Here, we show the values of the correlation functions for $|i - j| \rightarrow \infty$, and $\mathcal{O}(1)$ denotes a finite value.

has a long-range order for the Z_2 parity. By setting ρ_0 to the Z_2 parity $\prod_j X_j = +1$ cat state, the initial state ρ_0 can be regarded as a strong symmetric state. In the numerical calculation, we employed this prescription as the system is large but still finite. On the other hand for $J/h < 1$, the initial state ρ_0 is trivially strong symmetric under the Z_2 parity.

To clarify the symmetry properties of the system, we studied the filtered state in the doubled Hilbert space, and we found that the ‘phase diagram’ has the three regimes, by observing the spin correlators and entanglement entropy. As these phases are closely related to regimes I-III in the qAT model [38], we used the same terminology for the phases that we numerically found. As returning to the original physical Hilbert space, these filtered states correspond to three kinds of mixed states, and these mixed states can be characterized by their orders of the symmetry as summarized in Table I.

As shown in the table I, the regime I has no specific character called trivial paramagnetic mixed state. The region II has non-trivial properties indicated by the numerical observation, i.e., it corresponds to the Z_2 SWSSB phase in the mixed state picture, and in the doubled Hilbert space picture, that is the state with the diagonal Z_2 symmetry as observed by the correlator C_{ZZ}^{u} . The region III is also non-trivial, i.e., shown by the numerical observation, that regime corresponds to the strong-to-trivial Z_2 SSB phase in the mixed state picture since both strong and weak SSB order parameters are finite. In the doubled Hilbert space picture, the state in the regime III exhibits $Z_2 \times Z_2$ SSB, as the upper and lower chains have independent long-range order, which can be observed by the behavior of C_{ZZ}^{u} .

VIII. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

We claimed that the decoherence is regarded as local filtering applied to MPS’s in the doubled Hilbert space formalism. The filtering changes two decoupled states into a coupled state on the ladder spin system, the behavior of which is close to the ground state of the qAT model. In certain parameter region of the multiple decoherence, the phase characterized by SWSSB appears. This phase emerges through the mixed state phase transition, which is close to the phase transition in the qAT model [38]. We also showed that in $J/h < 0$ case, the same SWSSB mixed phase emerges by increasing the strength of multiple decoherence, as the global phase diagram of the qAT model indicates by duality [47].

As a future work, whether Z_2 -orbifold boson CFT [48] appears at mixed-state phase transitions is an interesting problem. For the ground state phase transition in the qAT model, this problem has already been investigated in detail

by MERA [46].

Another interesting issue is to study physical meaning of the EE of the mixed state vector in the doubled Hilbert space formalism. The present study showed that the EE is a good indicator of the phase transition. We hope that we will report on this issue in the near future.

In this work, utility and specific character of the multiple decoherence have been clarified. We expect that similar phenomena observed for the quantum Ising model will appear in other models under multiple decoherence, such as a 1D Z_2 gauge-Higgs model that attracts interest in the high-energy and condensed matter communities these days.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is supported by JSPS KAKENHI: JP23KJ0360(T.O.) and JP23K13026(Y.K.).

APPENDIX

Appendix A: Strong and weak Z_2 symmetries

We briefly explain two types of symmetries: strong and weak symmetries for density matrix [21], especially for Z_2 symmetry discussed in Refs. [22–24] and focused in this work.

In general, a density matrix (mixed state) can have two distinct symmetries. As a concrete example, we consider Z_2 symmetry, the generator of which is $\{\hat{1}, U_{Z_2}\}$ with $U_{Z_2}^2 = \hat{1}$, and in the main text, $U_{Z_2} = \prod_j X_j$. The first one is strong symmetry [21]

$$U_{Z_2} \rho = e^{i\theta} \rho,$$

where ρ is a symmetric mixed state and θ is a global phase factor, $\theta \in \{0, \pi\}$. As the second one, weak symmetric state is defined as

$$U_{Z_2} \rho U_{Z_2}^\dagger = \rho.$$

This condition is called the average or weak symmetry condition [20], where the symmetry is satisfied after taking the ensemble average in the density matrix in general.

Strong and weak symmetry conditions are further defined for quantum channel. The operator-sum representation of the channel is given as [39]

$$\mathcal{E}(\rho) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} K_\ell \rho K_\ell^\dagger,$$

where $\{K_\ell\}$ are a set of Kraus operators satisfying $\sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} K_\ell^\dagger K_\ell = \hat{I}$ with \hat{I} being the identity operation. The quantum channel \mathcal{E} induces changes in mixed states. Here, the strong Z_2 -symmetry condition on the channel is given as

$$K_\ell U_{Z_2} = e^{i\theta} U_{Z_2} K_\ell,$$

for any ℓ . On the other hand, weak symmetry condition on the channel is expressed as

$$U_{Z_2} \left[\sum_{\ell} K_\ell \rho K_\ell^\dagger \right] U_{Z_2}^\dagger = \mathcal{E}(\rho).$$

This condition does not require that each Kraus operator is commutative with non-trivial generator U_{Z_2} . As easily seen, a channel that satisfies the strong symmetry condition is automatically weak symmetric.

Appendix B: Canonical Z_2 correlator in the doubled Hilbert space

For the 1D TFIM under decoherence, the canonical Z_2 correlator is given by $\text{Tr}[\rho_D Z_i Z_j]$, employed as an order parameter characterizing the ordinary long-range order in statistical mechanics. As we explained in the main text, this observable detects SSB of both the strong and weak symmetries. It is useful to have an expression corresponding to this in the doubled Hilbert space formalism to analyze mixed states. By using the Choi isomorphism formula for density matrix ρ [22, 33],

$$|\rho\rangle\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\dim[\rho]}} \sum_k |k\rangle \otimes \rho|k\rangle,$$

where $\{|k\rangle\}$ is a basis set on the single \mathcal{H} . We note that the specific state $\rho = \hat{I}/2^L$ corresponds to an infinite temperature state in the physical system. The state $\rho = \hat{I}/2^L$ can be regarded as a product state of the superposed triplet state with equal weight as shown in the main text, where we take the set of basis $\{|k\rangle\}$ as the spin z-component bases. Then, the canonical correlator $\text{Tr}[\bar{\rho}_D Z_i Z_j]$ of decohered state $\bar{\rho}_D$ is expressed as follows as simple calculation shows,

$$\text{Tr}[\bar{\rho}_D Z_i Z_j] = \text{Tr}[\bar{\rho}_D Z_i Z_j \hat{I}] = C_{Z, st}^I,$$

where we have used $\langle\langle 1 | \bar{\rho}_D \rangle\rangle = 1/\sqrt{2^L \dim[\bar{\rho}_D]}$. Thus, the correlator $C_{Z, st}^I$ in the doubled Hilbert system corresponds to the canonical Z_2 correlator, and it gives an order parameter of the weak Z_2 -SSB [23, 24].

Appendix C: Code reliability

We examine the reliability of our numerical technique TeNPy library [49, 50] by comparing the exact diagonalization (ED) and QuSpin package [52, 53]. To this end, we consider a simpler case than that of the main text. In the doubled Hilbert space formalism, we only consider $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_{ZZ}(p_{zz})$ decoherence and apply it to the initial doubled system $|\rho_0\rangle\rangle =$




FIG. A1. Comparison MPS calculation with exact diagonalization by observing $\langle C_{Z_j Z_{j+1}}^{II} \rangle$ as increasing the ZZ-decoherence strength p_{zz} . $L = 8$ (total 16 sites). Two numerical methods give the same results indicating the reliability of the present numerical methods.




FIG. A2. Comparison MPS calculation with exact diagonalization by observing entanglement entropy as increasing the ZZ-decoherence strength p_{zz} . $L = 8$ (total 16 sites). Two numerical methods give the same results indicating the reliability of the present numerical methods.

$|\phi_0^*\rangle|\phi_0\rangle$, where $|\phi_0\rangle$ is the unique ground state for the 1D TFIM with $J = 0.1$ and $h = 1$. The state $|\rho_D^{ZZ}(p_{zz})\rangle\rangle$ is obtained by both QuSpin (ED) [52, 53] and TeNPy (MPS)[49, 50], and we observe $\langle C_{Z_j Z_{j+1}}^{II} \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{L} \sum_{j=0}^{L-1} C_{ZZ}^{II}(j, j+1)$. Figure A1 is the result comparing the ED and MPS for $L = 8$ ladder (total 16 sites), and we find the exact agreement on the results obtained by two algorithms.

As another comparison between the two methods, we calculate an entanglement entropy, where the subsystem A includes only four site (one plaquette) $A = \{(0, u), (1, u), (0, \ell), (1, \ell)\}$ in the $L = 8$ system. As a slightly different set up, we consider an initial doubled state $|\rho_0'\rangle\rangle = |\phi_0^*\rangle|\phi_0'\rangle$, where $|\phi_0'\rangle$ is the unique ground state for the 1D TFIM with $J = 0.01$ and $h = 1$. The other conditions are the same with the above case.

Figure A2 is the result for the entanglement entropy. We again see an exact agreement on the results obtained by two numerical algorithms. Thus, we conclude that our numerical methods employed in the main text are sufficiently reliable.




FIG. A3. Scaling of S_A for various p_{zz} . x is the number of rung in subsystem (see schematic). $J = 1$, $h = 1$, and $L = 20$ (40 sites).

Appendix D: Subsystem size dependence of entanglement entropy for renormalized doubled state vectors

It is widely recognized that the scaling of S_A in on-critical states obeys the logarithmic scaling [54]. Based on this, we report how the scaling of S_A of a critical system is affected by the decoherence. To examine whether the scaling of S_A

obeys the logarithmic scaling, we employ the following fitting function [54],

$$S_A = \frac{c_{\text{eff}}}{3} \log(2L \sin(\pi x/L)) + B, \quad (\text{A1})$$

where c_{eff} and B are fitting parameters, and x is the length of the subsystem. Here, c_{eff} corresponds to the effective central charge.

Figure A3 shows the subsystem-size dependence of S_A . Here, x refers to the number of rung in subsystem (see schematic of Fig. A3). That is, we use the two vertical entanglement cuts in the periodic system [55]. Here, we particularly focus on the critical regime, $J/h = 1$.

For $p_{zz} = 0$, $S_A(x/L)$ is well-fitted by the fitting function with $c_{\text{eff}} = 1$. This result is in agreement with the scaling behavior of the critical Ising system, which obeys the logarithmic scaling with $c = 1/2$ [56]. This result is plausible because the upper and lower critical Ising chains are totally decoupled in the case of $p_{zz} = 0$, and the corresponding central charge is doubled, that is, the sum of the effective central charges of individual chains. For $p_{zz} = 0.3$, $S_A(x/L)$ is also well-fitted by the fitting function. However, the obtained c_{eff} slightly differs from 1. While this discrepancy may come from a finite-size effect, it could also imply the emergence of a new critical state or phase transition induced by decoherence. In either case, further numerical and analytical calculations will be an interesting direction for future research. For $p_{zz} = 0.5$, $S_A(x/L)$ shows no dependence on x/L (area-law), which indicates the quantum state is no longer critical and belongs to the regime II (phase II) instead, as suggested by the observ-

-
- [1] C. W. Gardiner and P. Zoller, *Quantum Noise*, 2nd ed., edited by H. Haken (Springer, 2000).
- [2] J. Preskill, Quantum computing in the nisq era and beyond, *Quantum* **2**, 79 (2018).
- [3] E. Dennis, A. Kitaev, A. Landahl, and J. Preskill, Topological quantum memory, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **43**, 4452–4505 (2002).
- [4] C. Wang, J. Harrington, and J. Preskill, Confinement-higgs transition in a disordered gauge theory and the accuracy threshold for quantum memory, *Annals of Physics* **303**, 31–58 (2003).
- [5] T. Ohno, G. Arakawa, I. Ichinose, and T. Matsui, Phase structure of the random-plaquette z_2 gauge model: accuracy threshold for a toric quantum memory, *Nuclear Physics B* **697**, 462 (2004).
- [6] S. Ebadi, T. T. Wang, H. Levine, A. Keesling, G. Semeghini, A. Omran, D. Bluvstein, R. Samajdar, H. Pichler, W. W. Ho, S. Choi, S. Sachdev, M. Greiner, V. Vladan, and M. D. Lukin, Quantum phases of matter on a 256-atom programmable quantum simulator, *Nature* **595**, 227 (2021).
- [7] D. Bluvstein, S. J. Evered, A. A. Geim, S. H. Li, H. Zhou, T. Manovitz, S. Ebadi, M. Cain, M. Kalinowski, D. Hangleiter, J. P. Bonilla Ataides, N. Maskara, I. Cong, X. Gao, P. Sales Rodriguez, T. Karolyshyn, G. Semeghini, M. J. Gullans, M. Greiner, V. Vladan, and M. D. Lukin, Logical quantum processor based on reconfigurable atom arrays, *Nature* **626**, 58 (2024).
- [8] B. Zeng, X. Chen, D.-L. Zhou, and X.-G. Wen, Quantum information meets quantum matter – from quantum entanglement to topological phase in many-body systems (2018), arXiv:1508.02595 [cond-mat.str-el].
- [9] X. Wen, Quantum field theory of many-body systems: From the origin of sound to an origin of light and electrons (2004).
- [10] Y. Bao, R. Fan, A. Vishwanath, and E. Altman, Mixed-state topological order and the errorfield double formulation of decoherence-induced transitions (2023), arXiv:2301.05687 [quant-ph].
- [11] Z. Wang, Z. Wu, and Z. Wang, Intrinsic mixed-state quantum topological order (2024), arXiv:2307.13758 [quant-ph].
- [12] R. Sohal and A. Prem, A noisy approach to intrinsically mixed-state topological order (2024), arXiv:2403.13879 [cond-mat.str-el].
- [13] C. Zhang, Y. Xu, J.-H. Zhang, C. Xu, Z. Bi, and Z.-X. Luo, Strong-to-weak spontaneous breaking of 1-form symmetry and intrinsically mixed topological order (2024), arXiv:2409.17530 [quant-ph].
- [14] S. Sang, Y. Zou, and T. H. Hsieh, Mixed-state quantum phases: Renormalization and quantum error correction, *Phys. Rev. X* **14**, 031044 (2024).
- [15] Y.-H. Chen and T. Grover, Unconventional topological mixed-state transition and critical phase induced by self-dual coherent

- errors, *Phys. Rev. B* **110**, 125152 (2024).
- [16] Y. Kuno, T. Orito, and I. Ichinose, *Intrinsic mixed state topological order in a stabilizer system under stochastic decoherence* (2024), arXiv:2410.14258 [quant-ph].
- [17] J. Y. Lee, Y.-Z. You, and C. Xu, *Symmetry protected topological phases under decoherence* (2024), arXiv:2210.16323 [cond-mat.str-el].
- [18] B. Min, Y. Zhang, Y. Guo, D. Segal, and Y. Ashida, *Mixed-state phase transitions in spin-holstein models* (2024), arXiv:2412.02733 [cond-mat.str-el].
- [19] R. Ma and C. Wang, *Average symmetry-protected topological phases*, *Phys. Rev. X* **13**, 031016 (2023).
- [20] R. Ma, J.-H. Zhang, Z. Bi, M. Cheng, and C. Wang, *Topological phases with average symmetries: the decohered, the disordered, and the intrinsic* (2024), arXiv:2305.16399 [cond-mat.str-el].
- [21] C. de Groot, A. Turzillo, and N. Schuch, *Symmetry protected topological order in open quantum systems*, *Quantum* **6**, 856 (2022).
- [22] J. Y. Lee, C.-M. Jian, and C. Xu, *Quantum criticality under decoherence or weak measurement*, *PRX Quantum* **4**, 030317 (2023).
- [23] L. A. Lessa, R. Ma, J.-H. Zhang, Z. Bi, M. Cheng, and C. Wang, *Strong-to-weak spontaneous symmetry breaking in mixed quantum states* (2024), arXiv:2405.03639 [quant-ph].
- [24] P. Sala, S. Gopalakrishnan, M. Oshikawa, and Y. You, *Spontaneous strong symmetry breaking in open systems: Purification perspective*, *Phys. Rev. B* **110**, 155150 (2024).
- [25] Y. Kuno, T. Orito, and I. Ichinose, *Strong-to-weak symmetry breaking states in stochastic dephasing stabilizer circuits*, *Phys. Rev. B* **110**, 094106 (2024).
- [26] Z. Liu, L. Chen, Y. Zhang, S. Zhou, and P. Zhang, *Diagnosing strong-to-weak symmetry breaking via wightman correlators* (2024), arXiv:2410.09327 [quant-ph].
- [27] Y. Guo and S. Yang, *Strong-to-weak spontaneous symmetry breaking meets average symmetry-protected topological order* (2024), arXiv:2410.13734 [cond-mat.str-el].
- [28] J. Shah, C. Feichsin, Y.-X. Wang, J. T. Iosue, J. D. Watson, Y.-Q. Wang, B. Ware, A. V. Gorshkov, and C.-J. Lin, *Instability of steady-state mixed-state symmetry-protected topological order to strong-to-weak spontaneous symmetry breaking* (2024), arXiv:2410.12900 [quant-ph].
- [29] Z. Weinstein, *Efficient detection of strong-to-weak spontaneous symmetry breaking via the rényi-1 correlator* (2024), arXiv:2410.23512 [quant-ph].
- [30] T. Ando, S. Ryu, and M. Watanabe, *Gauge theory and mixed state criticality* (2024), arXiv:2411.04360 [cond-mat.str-el].
- [31] L. Chen, N. Sun, and P. Zhang, *Strong-to-weak symmetry breaking and entanglement transitions* (2024), arXiv:2411.05364 [quant-ph].
- [32] D. T. Stephen, R. Nandkishore, and J.-H. Zhang, *Many-body quantum catalysts for transforming between phases of matter* (2024), arXiv:2410.23354 [quant-ph].
- [33] M.-D. Choi, *Completely positive linear maps on complex matrices*, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **10**, 285 (1975).
- [34] A. Jamiolkowski, *Linear transformations which preserve trace and positive semidefiniteness of operators*, *Reports on Mathematical Physics* **3**, 275 (1972).
- [35] J. Haegeman, K. Van Acoleyen, N. Schuch, J. I. Cirac, and F. Verstraete, *Gauging quantum states: From global to local symmetries in many-body systems*, *Phys. Rev. X* **5**, 011024 (2015).
- [36] G.-Y. Zhu and G.-M. Zhang, *Gapless coulomb state emerging from a self-dual topological tensor-network state*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 176401 (2019).
- [37] C. Castelnovo and C. Chamon, *Quantum topological phase transition at the microscopic level*, *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 054433 (2008).
- [38] M. Kohmoto, M. den Nijs, and L. P. Kadanoff, *Hamiltonian studies of the $d = 2$ ashkin-teller model*, *Phys. Rev. B* **24**, 5229 (1981).
- [39] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*, 10th ed. (Cambridge University Press, USA, 2011).
- [40] E. Ardonne, P. Fendley, and E. Fradkin, *Topological order and conformal quantum critical points*, *Annals of Physics* **310**, 493 (2004).
- [41] C. Castelnovo, C. Chamon, C. Mudry, and P. Pujol, *From quantum mechanics to classical statistical physics: Generalized rokhsar–kivelson hamiltonians and the “stochastic matrix form” decomposition*, *Annals of Physics* **318**, 316–344 (2005).
- [42] J. Ashkin and E. Teller, *Statistics of two-dimensional lattices with four components*, *Phys. Rev.* **64**, 178 (1943).
- [43] J. Sólyom, *Duality of the block transformation and decimation for quantum spin systems*, *Phys. Rev. B* **24**, 230 (1981).
- [44] J. B. Kogut, *An introduction to lattice gauge theory and spin systems*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **51**, 659 (1979).
- [45] A. O’Brien, S. D. Bartlett, A. C. Doherty, and S. T. Flammia, *Symmetry-respecting real-space renormalization for the quantum ashkin-teller model*, *Phys. Rev. E* **92**, 042163 (2015).
- [46] J. C. Bridgeman, A. O’Brien, S. D. Bartlett, and A. C. Doherty, *Multiscale entanglement renormalization ansatz for spin chains with continuously varying criticality*, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 165129 (2015).
- [47] M. Yamanaka, Y. Hatsugai, and M. Kohmoto, *Phase diagram of the ashkin-teller quantum spin chain*, *Phys. Rev. B* **50**, 559 (1994).
- [48] P. Di Francesco, P. Mathieu, and D. Sénéchal, *Conformal field theory*, Graduate Texts in Contemporary Physics (Springer, Germany, 1997).
- [49] J. Hauschild and F. Pollmann, *Efficient numerical simulations with Tensor Networks: Tensor Network Python (TeNPy)*, *SciPost Phys. Lect. Notes* , 5 (2018).
- [50] J. Hauschild, J. Unfried, S. Anand, B. Andrews, M. Bintz, U. Berla, S. Divic, M. Drescher, J. Geiger, M. Hefel, K. Hémyry, W. Kadow, J. Kemp, N. Kirchner, V. S. Liu, G. Möller, D. Parker, M. Rader, A. Romen, S. Scalet, L. Schoonderwoerd, M. Schulz, T. Soejima, P. Thoma, Y. Wu, P. Zechmann, L. Zweng, R. S. K. Mong, M. P. Zaletel, and F. Pollmann, *Tensor network python (tenpy) version 1* (2024), arXiv:2408.02010 [cond-mat.str-el].
- [51] Strictly, to define the SWSSB, we require that the initial state, target, decoherence channel, and final decohered state satisfy to be strongly-symmetric for a target on-site symmetry [23, 24].
- [52] P. Weinberg and M. Bukov, *QuSpin: a Python package for dynamics and exact diagonalisation of quantum many body systems part I: spin chains*, *SciPost Phys.* **2**, 003 (2017).
- [53] P. Weinberg and M. Bukov, *QuSpin: a Python package for dynamics and exact diagonalisation of quantum many body systems. Part II: bosons, fermions and higher spins*, *SciPost Phys.* **7**, 020 (2019).
- [54] P. Calabrese and J. Cardy, *Entanglement entropy and quantum field theory*, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2004**, P06002 (2004).
- [55] Technically speaking, Eq. (A1) is employed to estimate entanglement scaling for one-dimensional systems. Although we numerically deal with the ladder system, the quantum state can be regarded as a one-dimensional chain system from the point of view of density matrix formalism. From this viewpoint, the

definition of the subsystem is consistent with Eq. (A1).

[56] G. Vidal, J. I. Latorre, E. Rico, and A. Kitaev, Entanglement in quantum critical phenomena, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 227902

(2003).