

On the Gauss map assignment for minimal surfaces and the Osserman curvature estimate

Antonio Alarcón and Francisco J. López

Abstract The Gauss map of a conformal minimal immersion of an open Riemann surface M into \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$, is a holomorphic map $M \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}^{n-2} \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. Denote by $\text{CMI}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ the spaces of full conformal minimal immersions $M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and full holomorphic maps $M \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}$, respectively, endowed with the compact-open topology. In this paper we show that the Gauss map assignment $\mathcal{G} : \text{CMI}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$, taking a full conformal minimal immersion to its Gauss map, is an open map. This implies, in view of a result of Forstnerič and the authors, that \mathcal{G} is a quotient map. The same results hold for the map $(\mathcal{G}, \text{Flux}) : \text{CMI}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}) \times H^1(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\text{Flux} : \text{CMI}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H^1(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is the flux assignment. As application, we establish that the set of maps $G \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ such that the family $\mathcal{G}^{-1}(G)$ of all minimal surfaces in \mathbb{R}^n with the Gauss map G satisfies the classical Osserman curvature estimate, is meagre in the space of holomorphic maps $M \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}$.

Keywords Minimal surface, Riemann surface, Gauss map, curvature estimate, Baire category theorem.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020) 53C42, 53A05, 54E52.

1. Introduction and main results

Throughout the paper, $n \geq 3$ is an integer, M is an open Riemann surface, and $\text{CMI}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the space of all conformal minimal immersions $M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ endowed with the compact-open topology. If $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \text{CMI}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$, then the $(1, 0)$ -differential $\partial u = (\partial u_1, \dots, \partial u_n)$ of u is holomorphic and satisfies

$$(1.1) \quad \partial u \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^n (\partial u_j)^2 = 0 \quad \text{everywhere on } M.$$

It therefore determines the Kodaira-type holomorphic map $\mathcal{G}(u)$ from M to the hyperquadric

$$\mathbf{Q}^{n-2} = \{[z_1 : \dots : z_n] \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1} : z_1^2 + \dots + z_n^2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$$

given by

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{G}(u)(p) = [\partial u_1(p) : \dots : \partial u_n(p)], \quad p \in M.$$

The map $\mathcal{G}(u) : M \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}$ is called the *generalized Gauss map*, or simply the *Gauss map*, of the conformal minimal immersion $u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. The Gauss map is of central interest in the classical theory of minimal surfaces. In particular, the fact that a conformal immersion $M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is minimal if and only if its Gauss map is holomorphic (see [10, 11] and [18, Theorem 1.1]) has enabled to exploit complex-analytic methods to study minimal surfaces in Euclidean space, leading to

many fundamental developments in the theory. We refer to [24, Chapter 12] and [5, Chapter 5] for historical background and further references.

Recall that the *flux map* $\text{Flux}(u) \in H^1(M, \mathbb{R}^n) \equiv \text{Hom}(H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{R}^n)$ of a conformal minimal immersion $u \in \text{CMI}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is the cohomology class given by

$$\text{Flux}(u) : H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \text{Flux}(u)([C]) = -2i \int_C \partial u.$$

We endow $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \prod_{\gamma \in \mathcal{B}} \mathbb{Z}[\gamma]$, where \mathcal{B} is a homology basis of M , with the product topology, and $H^1(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with the compact-open topology.

1.1. The Gauss map assignment. Denote by $\mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ the space of all holomorphic maps $M \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}$ endowed with the compact-open topology. By Cauchy estimates, it is easily seen that the *Gauss map assignment*

$$\mathcal{G} : \text{CMI}(M, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}),$$

sending an immersion $u \in \text{CMI}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ to its Gauss map $\mathcal{G}(u) \in \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ given in (1.2), is a continuous map. And it has been proved only recently that \mathcal{G} is surjective; that is, every holomorphic map $M \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}$ is the Gauss map of a conformal minimal immersion $M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ [4, Theorem 1.1]. It is thus reasonable to refer to the elements of $\mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ as *Gauss maps* (of conformal minimal immersions $M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$), and we will do so in this paper. The *flux assignment*

$$\text{Flux} : \text{CMI}(M, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H^1(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$$

is easily seen to be continuous as well by the same arguments.

A holomorphic map $M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is *full* if its image is not contained in any hyperplane. We denote by $\mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}) \subset \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ the subspace of full maps. It is clear that $\mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ is open in $\mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$; it is also a dense subset since \mathbf{Q}^{n-2} is an Oka manifold (see [2] or [14, Example 5.6.2]). Likewise, an immersion $u \in \text{CMI}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is said to be *full* if its Gauss map $\mathcal{G}(u)$ is full; and we denote by $\text{CMI}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n) \subset \text{CMI}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ the open subspace of full immersions. It turns out that $\text{CMI}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $\text{CMI}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ by [3, Theorem 5.3] (note that in [3] full conformal minimal immersions are called nondegenerate); see also [5, Proposition 3.3.2 and Theorem 3.6.1]. The restriction

$$(1.3) \quad (\mathcal{G}, \text{Flux}) : \text{CMI}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}) \times H^1(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$$

is continuous and surjective as well [4, Theorem 1.1]. And it has been recently proved that this map is in fact a Serre fibration [7, Theorem 1.1]; that is, it satisfies the homotopy lifting property with respect to all CW-complexes.

In this paper we delve into the study of the topological properties of the map $(\mathcal{G}, \text{Flux})$ in (1.3) by establishing its openness. In particular, we show that the Gauss map assignment for full immersions $\mathcal{G} : \text{CMI}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ is an open map as well. Here is our main result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be an open Riemann surface and $n \geq 3$ be an integer. Then the map*

$$(1.4) \quad (\mathcal{G}, \text{Flux}) : \text{CMI}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}) \times H^1(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$$

is an open quotient map. In particular, the same result holds true for the maps $\mathcal{G} : \text{CMI}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ and $\text{Flux} : \text{CMI}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H^1(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

In Section 1.2 we explain a rather direct application of Theorem 1.1 concerning the classical curvature estimate for minimal surfaces introduced by Osserman in [22, 23]. We expect that this so basic topological property of the Gauss map assignment will lead to further applications other than those in this paper.

Let us say a word about the proof of Theorem 1.1. Denote by

$$(1.5) \quad \mathbf{A}_*^{n-1} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}_*^n = \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\} : z_1^2 + \cdots + z_n^2 = 0\}$$

the punctured null quadric in \mathbb{C}^n , by $\mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{A}_*^{n-1})$ the space of holomorphic maps $M \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_*^{n-1}$ endowed with the compact-open topology, and by $\mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{A}_*^{n-1})$ the subspace of full maps, that is, with the image not contained in any hyperplane of \mathbb{C}^n . Also let $\pi : \mathbf{A}_*^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}$ be the restriction of the canonical projection $\pi : \mathbb{C}_*^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, and define $\pi_* : \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{A}_*^{n-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ by

$$(1.6) \quad \pi_*(f) = \pi \circ f = [f_1 : \cdots : f_n], \quad f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{A}_*^{n-1}).$$

Fix a holomorphic 1-form θ on M vanishing nowhere (see [17]), and recall that $\partial u / \theta \in \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{A}_*^{n-1})$ for all $u \in \text{CMI}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$; see (1.1) and (1.5). We can thus consider the map $\mathcal{D} : \text{CMI}(M, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{A}_*^{n-1})$ given by

$$(1.7) \quad \mathcal{D}(u) = \partial u / \theta, \quad u \in \text{CMI}(M, \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Then the Gauss map assignment for full immersions factorizes as

$$\mathcal{G} = \pi_* \circ \mathcal{D} : \text{CMI}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}),$$

where the domain and range of \mathcal{D} and π_* are restricted to the corresponding subspaces of full maps. We thus have the following diagram.

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{CMI}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n) & \xrightarrow{(\mathcal{D}, \text{Flux})} & \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{A}_*^{n-1}) \times H^1(M, \mathbb{R}^n) \\ & \searrow_{(\mathcal{G}, \text{Flux})} & \downarrow \pi_* \times \text{Id} \\ & & \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}) \times H^1(M, \mathbb{R}^n). \end{array}$$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 then consists of two steps. In the first one we prove that the map π_* is open; see Corollary 2.4 and the more precise result in Proposition 2.1. However, the map \mathcal{D} is clearly not, except in the case when M is simply connected, due to the period problem; hence the same holds for $(\mathcal{D}, \text{Flux})$. In the second step of the proof we overcome this difficulty by showing that for any open set $U \subset \text{CMI}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and any immersion $u \in U$ there exist neighborhoods V of

$\mathcal{D}(u)$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{A}_*^{n-1})$ and W of $\text{Flux}(u)$ in $H^1(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\pi_*(V) \times W \subset (\mathcal{G}, \text{Flux})(U)$; see Proposition 3.1. These two facts together trivially lead to the openness of $(\mathcal{G}, \text{Flux})$.

Since $\mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ is an open subset of $\mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$, Theorem 1.1 ensures that the map $(\mathcal{G}, \text{Flux}) : \text{CMI}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}) \times H^1(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is open as well. However, Theorem 1.1 does not seem to imply that the unrestricted map $(\mathcal{G}, \text{Flux}) : \text{CMI}(M, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}) \times H^1(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is an open map, which remains an open question. The same holds for the component maps $\mathcal{G} : \text{CMI}(M, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ and $\text{Flux} : \text{CMI}(M, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow H^1(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Indeed, due to technical difficulties, our approach does not adapt to the situation when the given conformal minimal immersion $u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ in the second step of the proof is not full.

1.2. The Osserman curvature estimate. For an immersed (connected) surface $\varphi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and a point $p \in \Sigma$, we denote by K_φ the Gauss curvature function of Σ and by $d_\varphi(p)$ the geodesic distance from p to the ideal boundary of Σ , that is, the infimum of the intrinsic lengths of divergent paths in Σ leaving from p . Note that φ is complete if and only if $d_\varphi(p) = +\infty$ for some (hence for all) $p \in \Sigma$.

A family \mathcal{F} of nonflat immersed minimal surfaces in \mathbb{R}^n satisfies the *Osserman curvature estimate* (see [22, 23]) if there is a constant $C = C(\mathcal{F}) > 0$ such that

$$(1.9) \quad |K_\varphi(p)| d_\varphi(p)^2 \leq C$$

for every $\varphi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ in \mathcal{F} and every point $p \in \Sigma$. Note that if \mathcal{F} satisfies the Osserman curvature estimate then it contains no complete surface. Since the expression in the left hand side of (1.9) is invariant under composition of φ with homotheties and translations, it is natural to assume that the family \mathcal{F} is closed under these transformations. If this is the case, then the family \mathcal{F} satisfies the Osserman curvature estimate if and only if (1.9) holds for every $\varphi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ in \mathcal{F} and every point $p \in \Sigma$ with $K_\varphi(p) = -1$. This curvature estimate plays a crucial role in some fundamental results in the classical theory of minimal surfaces which depend only on the Gauss map. For instance, Fujimoto [15, 16] and Osserman and Ru [25] showed that the family of nonflat minimal surfaces in \mathbb{R}^n whose Gauss maps omit a given set of $k > n(n+1)/2$ hyperplanes in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ located in general position satisfies the Osserman curvature estimate. This shows that the only complete minimal surfaces in \mathbb{R}^n with the Gauss map omitting k such hyperplanes are the planes (see [22, 23] for previous partial results). Likewise, Schoen [28] established that the family of nonflat stable minimal surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 satisfies the Osserman curvature estimate, which implies that the planes are the only complete stable minimal surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 (see [13, 26, 12] for alternative proofs of this and recall that stability depends only on the Gauss map of the minimal surface). We refer to Ros [27] for further discussion on the Osserman curvature estimate and its relation with the Gauss map.

It is therefore natural to wonder when a set of Gauss maps $\emptyset \neq Y \subset \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ has the property that the family $\mathcal{G}^{-1}(Y) \subset \text{CMI}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ of all minimal surfaces in

\mathbb{R}^n with the Gauss map in Y satisfies the Osserman curvature estimate. Recall that $\mathcal{G}^{-1}(Y)$ is always nonempty [4, Theorem 1.1], and it is clearly closed under scalings and translations. In this paper we focus in the most relevant case when Y is unitary.

Definition 1.2. Let M be an open Riemann surface, $n \geq 3$ be an integer, and $G \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ be a full Gauss map.

(a) We say that G satisfies the *Osserman curvature estimate at $p \in M$* if

- p is a critical point of G and $\mathcal{G}^{-1}(G)$ contains no complete immersion, or
- p is a noncritical point of G and there is a constant $C = C(G, p) > 0$ with

$$(1.10) \quad |K_u(p)| d_u(p)^2 \leq C \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{G}^{-1}(G).$$

We denote by $\mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^{K,p}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ the set of Gauss maps in $\mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ satisfying the Osserman curvature estimate at the point p , and $\mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^{-K,p}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}) = \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}) \setminus \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^{K,p}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$.

(b) We say that G satisfies the *Osserman curvature estimate* if the family of full immersed minimal surfaces $\mathcal{G}^{-1}(G)$ does, that is, there is a constant $C = C(G) > 0$ such that (1.10) holds for every conformal minimal immersion $u \in \mathcal{G}^{-1}(G)$ and every point $p \in M$. We denote by $\mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^K(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ the set of Gauss maps in $\mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ satisfying the Osserman curvature estimate, and $\mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^{-K}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}) = \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}) \setminus \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^K(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$.

It is clear that

$$(1.11) \quad \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^K(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}) \subset \bigcap_{p \in M} \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^{K,p}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}),$$

and so, passing to complements,

$$(1.12) \quad \bigcup_{p \in M} \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^{-K,p}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^{-K}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}).$$

In Section 4.2, we give an example (with $M = \mathbb{D}$ and $n = 3$) which shows that the inclusion (1.11) is not an equality in general. Recall that an immersion $u \in \text{CMI}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies $K_u(p) = 0$ if and only if p is a critical point of $\mathcal{G}(u)$ (see [18, Eq. (3.8)]). Condition (1.10) in Definition 1.2 (a) ensures that if $G \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^{K,p}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ and p is a noncritical point of G then $\mathcal{G}^{-1}(G)$ contains no complete immersion. An interesting question is to understand how $\mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^{K,p}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ depends on the point $p \in M$, even locally. Roughly speaking, a map $G \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ satisfies the Osserman curvature estimate if it is far from being the Gauss map of a complete conformal minimal surface $M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$.

Note that $\mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ is completely metrizable and so a Baire space by the Baire Category Theorem. Our second main result shows that the set of Gauss maps in $\mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ which satisfy the Osserman curvature estimate (Definition 1.2) is meagre, that is, *thin* or *negligible* in Baire category sense.

Theorem 1.3. *Let M be an open Riemann surface and $n \geq 3$. Then the set $\mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^{-K,p}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ is residual in $\mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ for every $p \in M$. In particular, a generic Gauss map in $\mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ is full and does not satisfy the Osserman curvature estimate.*

Recall that a set in a Baire space is *residual* if it contains a dense set that can be expressed as a countable intersection of open sets, and is *meagre* (or *of first category*) if its complement is residual. It is customary to say that a property of elements in a Baire space is *generic*, or that a *generic* element satisfies the property, if the property holds on a residual set. In other words, generic properties are those enjoyed by *almost all* elements in Baire category sense; see, e.g., [29, Sec. 25]. Using this terminology, Theorem 1.3 (see Theorem 4.1 for a more precise statement) ensures that if we are given a point $p \in M$ then a generic Gauss map $G \in \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ satisfies that $dG_p \neq 0$ and

$$\sup\{d_u(p) : u \in \mathcal{G}^{-1}(G), K_u(p) = -1\} = +\infty.$$

The final assertion in the theorem is then guaranteed by (1.12). So, roughly speaking, almost all maps in $\mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ are the Gauss map of a full conformal minimal surface $M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ which is, under curvature normalization at a given point, as close as desired of being complete. It is a long-standing open question to recognize which holomorphic maps are the Gauss map of a complete minimal surface; we refer to [5, Chapter 5] for background and references and to [7] for recent progresses from a different viewpoint. Denote by $\mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^c(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ the set of maps in $\mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ which are the Gauss map of a complete full conformal minimal immersion $M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. By Definition 1.2, we have

$$(1.13) \quad \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^c(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}) \subset \bigcap_{p \in M} \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^{-K,p}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}).$$

In Section 4.3 we give an example that shows that the inclusion (1.13) is not an equality in general; note that every such has no critical points. The set $\mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^c(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ is dense in $\mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ by [3, Theorem 5.6] and [4, Theorem 1.1]. And the inclusion $\mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^c(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ is a weak homotopy equivalence, and a homotopy equivalence if M is of finite topology, by [7, Theorem 1.2]. Moreover, $\mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^{-K,p}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ is a residual set in $\mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ for every $p \in M$ by Theorem 1.3, while a generic minimal surface in the completely metrizable space $\text{CMI}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is full and complete by [8, Theorem 1.2]. However, the question whether a generic map in $\mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ is the Gauss map of a complete full conformal minimal immersion in $\text{CMI}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ remains open.

Organization of the paper. We explain the first step of the proof of Theorem 1.1 in Section 2. We then continue with the second step and complete the proof of the theorem in Section 3. Section 4 is dedicated to the results concerning the Osserman curvature estimate. We prove Theorem 1.3 in Section 4.1, and show by examples that the inclusions (1.11) and (1.13) are in general proper in Sections 4.2 and 4.3.

Finally, we point out in Section 4.4 that the inclusions

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^{-K,p}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^{-K}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}), \quad p \in M,$$

are weak homotopy equivalences, thereby determining the rough shape of the first two spaces.

2. Openness of $\pi_*: \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{A}_*^{n-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$

In this section we explain the first step in the proof of Theorem 1.1. It is provided by Corollary 2.4. We begin with the following result of independent interest. Recall that $\pi: \mathbb{C}_*^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ denotes the canonical projection given by $\pi(z_1, \dots, z_n) = [z_1 : \dots : z_n]$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let M be an open Riemann surface and $n \geq 3$ be an integer. Then the map*

$$\pi_*: \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbb{C}_*^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}), \quad \pi_*(f) = \pi \circ f,$$

is continuous, open, and surjective, hence a quotient map.

We start with some preparations. Throughout the paper, we shall denote by $|\cdot|$, $\text{dist}(\cdot, \cdot)$, and $\text{length}(\cdot)$ the standard Euclidean norm, distance, and length in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \in \mathbb{N}$. If X is a topological space, then for a continuous map $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ and a compact set $L \subset X$, we denote by $\|f\|_L = \sup_{x \in L} |f(x)|$ the maximum norm of f on L . We shall use the standard multiplicative notation for divisors; in particular, (f) denotes the divisor of a nonzero meromorphic function f on a subset of an open Riemann surface. Recall that for a topological space X , the space $\text{Div}_m(X)$ of integral divisors of order $m \geq 0$ with support in X is the quotient of X^m under the natural action of the group of permutations of $\{1, \dots, m\}$.

We expect the following to have been observed before, but we do not know a reference for it.

Claim 2.2. *Let M be an open Riemann surface, $L \subset M$ be a smoothly bounded compact domain, $m \geq 0$, and $E_0 \in \text{Div}_m(\mathring{L})$ be a divisor. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is a neighborhood W of E_0 in $\text{Div}_m(\mathring{L})$ satisfying that for any $E \in W$ there is a meromorphic function Ψ_E on L with divisor $(\Psi_E) = EE_0^{-1}$ such that $\|\Psi_E - 1\|_{bL} < \epsilon$.*

Since $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ is an Oka manifold, if L is Runge in M then the Runge approximation theorem with jet interpolation enables us to assume that Ψ_E is holomorphic and nowhere vanishing on $M \setminus \text{supp}(EE_0^{-1})$, hence its divisor on M still equals EE_0^{-1} .

Proof. If $m = 0$ then $\text{Div}_m(\mathring{L}) = \{1\}$ and the conclusion of the claim is trivial. Assume that $m > 0$ and write $E_0 = \prod_{j=1}^k p_j^{\nu_j}$, where $p_i \neq p_j$ if $i \neq j$, and $\nu_j > 0$ for all j , and $\sum_{j=1}^k \nu_j = m$. For each $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ we choose a holomorphic function h_j on L having a zero of order 1 at p_j and vanishing nowhere on $L \setminus \{p_j\}$. We can then take a smoothly bounded closed disc $D_j \subset \mathring{L}$ such that $p_j \in \mathring{D}_j$, $h_j: D_j \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is

injective, and $h_j^{-1}(h_j(D_j)) = D_j$. Make sure that D_1, \dots, D_k are pairwise disjoint. For each $q \in \mathring{D}_j$ let $f_j[q]$ denote the meromorphic function on L defined by

$$f_j[q] = \frac{h_j - h_j(q)}{h_j},$$

and observe that $(f_j[q]) = qp_j^{-1}$. Let W be the natural neighborhood of E_0 in $\text{Div}_m(\mathring{L})$ given by the projection of $\mathring{D}_1^{\nu_1} \times \dots \times \mathring{D}_k^{\nu_k}$. For each $E \in W$ write $E = E_1 \cdots E_k$, where $E_j = \prod_{i=1}^{\nu_j} q_{i,j} \in \text{Div}_{\nu_j}(\mathring{D}_j)$, $j = 1, \dots, k$, and denote by Ψ_E the meromorphic function on L given by

$$\Psi_E = \prod_{j=1}^k \prod_{i=1}^{\nu_j} f_j[q_{i,j}].$$

It is clear that $(\Psi_E) = EE_0^{-1}$. Finally, if the discs D_j , $j = 1, \dots, k$, are chosen sufficiently small then $\|\Psi_E - 1\|_{bL} < \epsilon$ for all $E \in W$. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.1. It is clear that π_* is continuous, and it is known to be surjective; see, e.g., [5, Proposition 5.4.4]. Let us see that π_* is open. Fix the Fubini-Study metric on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ and recall that its induced distance function is given by the expression

$$(2.1) \quad \text{dist}(z, w) = \arccos \left(\frac{|z \cdot \bar{w}|}{|z||w|} \right) \quad \text{for all } z, w \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1},$$

where $z \cdot w = \sum_{j=1}^n z_j w_j$ for all $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Note that this metric induces the standard topology in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. Let $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbb{C}_*^n)$, let $L \subset M$ be a connected, smoothly bounded compact domain, and fix $\epsilon > 0$. Set

$$(2.2) \quad U = \{h \in \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbb{C}_*^n) : \|h - f\|_L < \epsilon\}.$$

To complete the proof it suffices to check that the set $\pi_*(U)$ is a neighborhood of $\pi_*(f)$ in $\mathcal{O}(M, \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$, which is seen by finding a number $\delta > 0$ such that the set

$$(2.3) \quad V_\delta = \{G \in \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}) : \sup_L \text{dist}(G, \pi_*(f)) < \delta\}$$

is contained in $\pi_*(U)$; recall that $\mathcal{O}(M, \mathbb{C}_*^n)$ and $\mathcal{O}(M, \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ are both endowed with the compact-open topology. We may assume that $f_1 : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is not identically zero (otherwise the role of f_1 in the proof would be played by a different component function of f), L is Runge in M , and $bL \cap f_1^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$ (otherwise we replace L by a larger domain satisfying these properties; recall that f_1 is holomorphic). Since π_* is surjective and $\pi_*(\varphi g) = \pi_*(g)$ holds for every holomorphic function $\varphi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and every $g \in \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbb{C}_*^n)$, it then suffices to prove the following.

Claim 2.3. *There exists a number $\delta > 0$ satisfying that for any $g \in \pi_*^{-1}(V_\delta)$ there is a nowhere vanishing holomorphic function φ_g on M such that $\varphi_g g \in U$.*

In order to prove the claim, we distinguish cases.

Case 1. Assume that f_1 has some zeroes on L . Since f_1 vanishes nowhere on bL , we may write $(f_1|_L) = \prod_{j=1}^k p_j^{\nu_j} \in \text{Div}_m(\mathring{L})$, where $p_i \neq p_j$ if $i \neq j$, $\nu_j > 0$ for all j , and $m = \sum_{j=1}^k \nu_j$. Thus, $\text{supp}((f_1|_L)) = \{p_1, \dots, p_k\} \subset \mathring{L}$. Fix a number

$$(2.4) \quad 0 < \epsilon_0 < \min \left\{ 1, \frac{\epsilon}{3\|f\|_{bL}} \right\}.$$

Claim 2.2 furnishes us with a neighborhood W of $(f_1|_L)$ in $\text{Div}_m(\mathring{L})$ satisfying that for any divisor $E \in W$ there is a meromorphic function Ψ_E on L such that

$$(2.5) \quad (\Psi_E) = E(f_1|_L)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\Psi_E - 1\|_{bL} < \epsilon_0.$$

Let D_j be a smoothly bounded compact disc neighborhood of p_j in \mathring{L} , $j = 1, \dots, k$. Choose the discs D_1, \dots, D_k to be pairwise disjoint and so small that the set $D_1^{\nu_1} \times \dots \times D_k^{\nu_k} \subset (\mathring{L})^m$ projects to $\text{Div}_m(\mathring{L})$ into a subset of W . Call

$$(2.6) \quad D = \bigcup_{j=1}^k D_j \subset \mathring{L}.$$

Fix a number $0 < \delta < \pi/2$ to be specified later. By (2.1) and (2.3), we have that

$$\frac{|g \cdot \bar{f}|}{|g||f|} = \left\langle \frac{\bar{g} \cdot f}{\bar{g} \cdot f} \frac{g}{|g|}, \frac{f}{|f|} \right\rangle > \cos \delta > 0 \quad \text{everywhere on } L \text{ for all } g \in \pi_*^{-1}(V_\delta),$$

where $\langle z, w \rangle = \Re(z \cdot \bar{w})$ denotes the Euclidean scalar product in $\mathbb{C}^n \equiv \mathbb{R}^{2n}$; observe that $\frac{\bar{g} \cdot f}{\bar{g} \cdot f} \frac{g}{|g|} \cdot \frac{f}{|f|}$ is a positive real number. Since $\frac{\bar{g} \cdot f}{\bar{g} \cdot f} \frac{g}{|g|}$ and $\frac{f}{|f|}$ are unitary, the above inequality implies that

$$\left\| \frac{\bar{g} \cdot f}{\bar{g} \cdot f} \frac{g}{|g|} - \frac{f}{|f|} \right\|_L < 2 \sin(\delta/2),$$

and so

$$(2.7) \quad \left\| \frac{\bar{g} \cdot f}{\bar{g} \cdot f} \frac{|f|}{|g|} g - f \right\|_L < 2\|f\|_L \sin(\delta/2) \quad \text{for all } g \in \pi_*^{-1}(V_\delta).$$

Since f_1 vanishes nowhere on the compact set $L \setminus \mathring{D} = L \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^k \mathring{D}_j$ (see (2.6)), equation (2.7) enables us to choose $\delta > 0$ so small that

$$(2.8) \quad g_1 \text{ vanishes nowhere on } L \setminus \mathring{D} \text{ for all } g = (g_1, \dots, g_n) \in \pi_*^{-1}(V_\delta).$$

We can also assume in view of (2.7) and (2.8) that $\delta > 0$ is so small that the planar curves $\left(\frac{\bar{g} \cdot f}{\bar{g} \cdot f} \frac{|f|}{|g|} g_1\right) \circ \gamma$ and $f_1 \circ \gamma$ have the same winding number about the origin for every component $\gamma \in H_1(L \setminus \mathring{D}, \mathbb{Z})$ of ∂D and $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n) \in \pi_*^{-1}(V_\delta)$. Since $\frac{\bar{g} \cdot f}{\bar{g} \cdot f} \frac{|f|}{|g|}$ does not vanish on L and D is simply-connected, we have that $\left(\frac{\bar{g} \cdot f}{\bar{g} \cdot f} \frac{|f|}{|g|} g_1\right) \circ \gamma$ and $g_1 \circ \gamma$ have the same winding number about the origin as well. This implies that g_1 and f_1 have the same number of zeroes, counted with multiplicity, in each component of \mathring{D} for all $g \in \pi_*^{-1}(V_\delta)$. It follows that $(g_1|_L) \in W$, hence the meromorphic function $\phi_g = \Psi_{(g_1|_L)}$ on L satisfies

$$(2.9) \quad (\phi_g) = (g_1|_L)(f_1|_L)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\phi_g - 1\|_{bL} < \epsilon_0$$

for all $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n) \in \pi_*^{-1}(V_\delta)$; see (2.4) and (2.5). In particular, the function

$$(2.10) \quad \varphi_g = \phi_g \frac{f_1}{g_1}$$

is holomorphic and vanishes nowhere on L . By (2.7) and (2.8), we can also assume that $\delta > 0$ is so small that

$$(2.11) \quad \left\| \frac{f_1}{g_1} g - f \right\|_{L \setminus \mathring{D}} \leq \|f_1\|_{L \setminus \mathring{D}} \left\| \frac{g}{g_1} - \frac{f}{f_1} \right\|_{L \setminus \mathring{D}} < \frac{\epsilon}{3} \quad \text{for all } g \in \pi_*^{-1}(V_\delta).$$

It then follows from the maximum modulus principle, (2.4), (2.9), (2.10), and (2.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_g g - f\|_L &\leq \|\varphi_g g - f\|_{bL} \\ &\leq \|\phi_g - 1\|_{bL} \left(\left\| \frac{f_1}{g_1} g - f \right\|_{bL} + \|f\|_{bL} \right) + \left\| \frac{f_1}{g_1} g - f \right\|_{bL} \\ &< \epsilon_0 \left(\frac{\epsilon}{3} + \|f\|_{bL} \right) + \frac{\epsilon}{3} < \epsilon \quad \text{for all } g \in \pi_*^{-1}(V_\delta). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since \mathbb{C}_* is an Oka manifold and $L \subset M$ is a Runge compact subset, the Runge approximation theorem enables us to assume that the function φ_g is holomorphic and nowhere vanishing on M , and hence $\varphi_g g \in U$ for all $g \in \pi_*^{-1}(V_\delta)$; see (2.2) and (2.3). This shows Claim 2.3 in the case when f_1 has some zeroes in L .

Case 2. Assume that f_1 vanishes nowhere on L . A simplification of the arguments in Case 1 then provides a number $\delta > 0$ such that g_1 vanishes nowhere on L and $\left\| \frac{f_1}{g_1} g - f \right\|_L < \epsilon$ for all $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n) \in \pi_*^{-1}(V_\delta)$; use (2.7) and cf. (2.11). By Runge's theorem, we may approximate f_1/g_1 uniformly on L by a holomorphic function $\varphi_g : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_*$. If the approximation is close enough, then $\|\varphi_g g - f\|_L < \epsilon$ and hence $\varphi_g g \in U$ for all $g \in \pi_*^{-1}(V_\delta)$; see (2.2) and (2.3). This completes the proof of Claim 2.3, thereby proving Proposition 2.1. \square

Corollary 2.4. *Let M be an open Riemann surface and $n \geq 3$ be an integer. Then the map*

$$\pi_* : \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{A}_*^{n-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$$

given by (1.6) is continuous, open, and surjective, hence a quotient map.

Proof. We know that $\pi_* : \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbb{C}_*^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ is continuous, open, and surjective by Proposition 2.1. Since

$$\pi_*^{-1}(\mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})) = \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{A}_*^{n-1}) = \pi_*^{-1}(\pi_*(\mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{A}_*^{n-1}))),$$

it follows that the restricted map $\pi_* : \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{A}_*^{n-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ is continuous, open, and surjective as well. \square

3. Completion of the proof of Theorem 1.1

Fix a holomorphic 1-form θ on M vanishing nowhere. The Gauss map assignment $\mathcal{G} : \text{CMI}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ factorizes as $\mathcal{G} = \pi_* \circ \mathcal{D}$, where $\pi_* : \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{A}_*^{n-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ and $\mathcal{D} : \text{CMI}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{A}_*^{n-1})$ are

the restrictions of the maps in (1.6) and (1.7), respectively. We then have the diagram in (1.8). It trivially follows from Corollary 2.4 that $\pi_* \times \text{Id}$ is open. To complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 we establish the following result.

Proposition 3.1. *Let M be an open Riemann surface, θ be a holomorphic 1-form vanishing nowhere on M , and $n \geq 3$ be an integer. Then for any $u_0 \in \text{CMI}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and any neighborhood U of u_0 in $\text{CMI}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$, there exist neighborhoods V of $\mathcal{D}(u_0) = \partial u_0 / \theta$ in $\mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{A}_*^{n-1})$ and W of $\text{Flux}(u_0)$ in $H^1(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\pi_*(V) \times W \subset (\mathcal{G}, \text{Flux})(U)$.*

Proof. Let $u_0 \in U$ be as in the statement. Let $L \subset M$ be a connected smoothly bounded Runge compact domain and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad U_\delta := \{u \in \text{CMI}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n) : \|u - u_0\|_L < \delta\} \subset U.$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$, consider the neighborhood of $\mathcal{D}(u_0)$ given by

$$V_\epsilon = \{f \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{A}_*^{n-1}) : \|f - \mathcal{D}(u_0)\|_L < \epsilon\}.$$

Fix a basis $\mathcal{B} = \{C_1, \dots, C_l\}$ of the homology group $H_1(L, \mathbb{Z})$; it is well known that \mathcal{B} lies in a homology basis of M . For any $\sigma > 0$, consider the neighborhood of $\text{Flux}(u_0)$ given by

$$W_\sigma = \{F \in H^1(M, \mathbb{R}^n) : \|F - \text{Flux}(u_0)\|_{\mathcal{B}} < \sigma\}.$$

To complete the proof, it suffices to find $\epsilon, \sigma > 0$ so small that

$$(3.2) \quad \pi_*(V_\epsilon) \times W_\sigma \subset (\mathcal{G}, \text{Flux})(U_\delta).$$

Fix $p_0 \in \overset{\circ}{L}$. Choose $\mu > 0$ so small that if $f \in V_\mu$ and $\Re(f\theta)$ is exact on L then

$$(3.3) \quad \left| u_0(p) - u_0(p_0) - \Re \int_{p_0}^p f\theta \right| < \delta \quad \text{for all } p \in L.$$

For each $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{A}_*^{n-1})$ define the period map associated to (\mathcal{B}, f, θ) as the map

$$\mathcal{P}^f = (\mathcal{P}_1^f, \dots, \mathcal{P}_l^f) : \mathcal{O}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n, \quad \mathcal{P}_j^f(h) = \int_{C_j} h f \theta, \quad h \in \mathcal{O}(M), \quad j = 1, \dots, l,$$

where, as customary, $\mathcal{O}(M)$ is the space of holomorphic functions on M .

By the same ideas in [4, Lemma 3.2] or [5, Lemma 5.1.2], there exist finitely many holomorphic functions g_1, \dots, g_N , $N \geq nl$, on M such that the function $\Xi : \mathbb{C}^N \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_*$ given by

$$\Xi(\zeta, p) = \prod_{i=1}^N e^{\zeta_i g_i(p)}, \quad \zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N, \quad p \in M,$$

is a period dominating multiplier of $\mathcal{D}(u_0)$, meaning that the map

$$\mathbb{C}^N \ni \zeta \mapsto \mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{D}(u_0)}(\Xi(\zeta, \cdot)) \in (\mathbb{C}^n)^l$$

has maximal rank equal to ln at $\zeta = 0$. Since $\Xi(0, \cdot) = 1$, there is $\epsilon_1 > 0$ such that

$$\{\Xi(\zeta, \cdot)\mathcal{D}(u_0) : \zeta \in \epsilon_1 \overline{\mathbb{B}}_0^N\} \subset V_{\mu/2}.$$

Moreover, since Ξ is period dominating there is $\sigma > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{D}(u_0)}(1) + \sigma \overline{\mathbb{B}}_0^{nl} \subseteq \{\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{D}(u_0)}(\Xi(\zeta, \cdot)) : \zeta \in \epsilon_1 \mathbb{B}_0^N\}.$$

Since \mathcal{P}^f depends analytically on $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{A}_*^{n-1})$, there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that for each $f \in V_\epsilon$

- the map $\mathbb{C}^N \ni \zeta \mapsto \mathcal{P}^f(\Xi(\zeta, \cdot)) \in (\mathbb{C}^n)^l$ has rank equal to ln at $\zeta = 0$,
- $\{\Xi(\zeta, \cdot)f : \zeta \in \epsilon_1 \overline{\mathbb{B}}_0^N\} \subset V_\mu$, and
- $\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{D}(u_0)}(1) + \sigma \overline{\mathbb{B}}_0^{nl} \subseteq \{\mathcal{P}^f(\Xi(\zeta, \cdot)) : \zeta \in \epsilon_1 \mathbb{B}_0^N\}$.

Let $f \in V_\epsilon$ and $F \in W_\sigma$, and choose $\zeta_{f,F} \in \epsilon_1 \mathbb{B}_0^N$ such that

$$\mathcal{P}^f(\Xi(\zeta_{f,F}, \cdot)) = \mathbf{i}(F(C_1), \dots, F(C_l));$$

note that $\Re(\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{D}(u_0)}(1)) = 0$ since the real part of $\mathcal{D}(u_0)\theta = \partial u_0$ is exact on M . It turns out that $\hat{f} := \Xi(\zeta_{f,F}, \cdot)f \in V_\mu$ and $\int_{C_j} \hat{f}\theta = \mathbf{i}F(C_j)$, $j = 1 \dots, l$; in particular, $\Re(\hat{f}\theta)$ is exact on L . By [4, Theorem 4.1] there exists a nowhere vanishing $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}(M)$ such that

$$(3.4) \quad \int_C \varphi \hat{f}\theta = \mathbf{i}F(C) \quad \text{for every loop } C \text{ in } M$$

and φ is so close to 1 on L that $\varphi \hat{f} \in V_\mu$. In particular, $\Re(\varphi \hat{f}\theta)$ is exact on M . Let $\hat{u} \in \text{CMI}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ be given by

$$\hat{u}(p) = u_0(p_0) + \Re \int_{p_0}^p \varphi \hat{f}\theta.$$

By (3.3) we have that $\|\hat{u} - u_0\|_L < \delta$, and hence $\hat{u} \in U_\delta$. Since $\mathcal{G}(\hat{u}) = \pi_*(\mathcal{D}(\hat{u})) = \pi_*(\varphi \hat{f}) = \pi_*(\hat{f}) = \pi_*(f)$, we have that $\pi_*(f) \in \mathcal{G}(U_\delta)$. Moreover, (3.4) ensures that $\text{Flux}(\hat{u}) = F$, which implies (3.2) and completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $U \subset \text{CMI}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ be an open subset and fix $u \in U$. By Proposition 3.1 there exist neighborhoods V of $\mathcal{D}(u)$ in $\mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{A}_*^{n-1})$ and W of $\text{Flux}(u)$ in $H^1(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\pi_*(V) \times W \subset (\mathcal{G}, \text{Flux})(U)$. By Corollary 2.4, $\pi_*(V) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ is open, and hence $(\mathcal{G}, \text{Flux})(U)$ is a neighborhood of $(\mathcal{G}(u), \text{Flux}(u))$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}) \times H^1(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$. This shows that $(\mathcal{G}, \text{Flux})(U)$ is open in $\mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}) \times H^1(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$, and so the map in (1.4) is open. \square

Remark 3.2. A consequence of Theorem 1.1 is that, for any fixed $F \in H^1(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$, the Gauss map assignment $\mathcal{G} : \text{CMI}_{\text{full}}^F(M, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$, restricted to the subspace $\text{CMI}_{\text{full}}^F(M, \mathbb{R}^n) \subset \text{CMI}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ of conformal minimal immersions with the flux map F , is still an open quotient map. In particular, the Gauss map assignment for full holomorphic null curves $\text{NC}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$, sending each $x \in \text{NC}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbb{C}^n)$ to $[dx]$, is an open quotient map. (See [5, Definition 2.3.3 and Section 3.1] for notation and the notion of a null curve.)

4. On the Osserman curvature estimate

4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.3. We obtain Theorem 1.3 as an immediate consequence of the following slightly more precise result. Recall that a set in a topological space is a G_δ if it is a countable intersection of open sets. So, a set in a Baire space is residual if and only if it contains a dense G_δ set.

Theorem 4.1. *If M is an open Riemann surface, $n \geq 3$ is an integer, and $p_0 \in M$, then $\{G \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^{-K,p_0}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}) : dG_{p_0} \neq 0\}$ is a dense G_δ set in $\mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$.*

Proof. Recall that a point $p \in M$ satisfies $K_u(p) = 0$ for some $u \in \text{CMI}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if p is a critical point of $\mathcal{G}(u)$; see [18, Eq. (3.8)] or, e.g., [5, Eq. (2.87)].

Define $X = \{u \in \text{CMI}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n) : K_u(p_0) \neq 0\}$, and note that X is open in $\text{CMI}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Since the Gauss map assignment \mathcal{G} in (1.3) is surjective by [4, Theorem 1.1], it turns out that

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{G}(X) = \{G \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}) : dG_{p_0} \neq 0\},$$

which is easily seen to be open and dense in $\mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$. Choose an exhaustion

$$(4.2) \quad L_1 \Subset L_2 \Subset \cdots \subset \bigcup_{j \geq 1} L_j = M$$

of M by smoothly bounded Runge compact domains such that $p_0 \in \mathring{L}_1$. For each $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$\Omega_{i,j} = \{u \in X : |K_u(p_0)| \text{dist}_u(p_0, \partial L_j)^2 > i\},$$

where dist_u denotes the intrinsic Riemannian distance induced on M by the Euclidean metric in \mathbb{R}^n via u . Note that $\Omega_{i,j}$ is open in X for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ by the Cauchy estimates. The set

$$\Omega_i = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_{i,j} = \{u \in X : |K_u(p_0)| d_u(p_0)^2 > i\}$$

is therefore open as well, and we have in view of (4.1) that

$$(4.3) \quad \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{G}(\Omega_i) = \{G \in \mathcal{G}(X) : \sup_{u \in \mathcal{G}^{-1}(G)} |K_u(p_0)| d_u(p_0)^2 = +\infty\} \\ = \{G \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^{-K,p_0}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}) : dG_{p_0} \neq 0\}.$$

We claim that

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{G}(\Omega_i) \text{ is open and dense in } \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}) \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Indeed, Theorem 1.1 guarantees that $\mathcal{G}(\Omega_i)$ is open in $\mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$, hence in $\mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. For the density, since \mathcal{G} is continuous and $\mathcal{G}(X)$ is dense in $\mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$, it suffices to see that each Ω_i is dense in X . For this, fix $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and choose $u \in X$, a compact set $L \subset M$, and a number $\epsilon > 0$. In particular, $K_u(p_0) \neq 0$. We may assume that $p_0 \in \mathring{L}$. By [1, Theorem 1.2] (see also [5, Theorem 3.9.1]), there is a complete full conformal minimal immersion $\tilde{u} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $|\tilde{u} - u| < \epsilon$ on L and $K_{\tilde{u}}(p_0) = K_u(p_0) \neq 0$ (we actually need not interpolate, it is

enough with ensuring that $K_{\tilde{u}}$ is so close to K_u on L that $K_{\tilde{u}}(p_0) \neq 0$, which holds by Cauchy estimates provided $\epsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small). So, $\tilde{u} \in X$. By (4.2) and completeness of \tilde{u} , there exists $j \in \mathbb{N}$ so large that $\text{dist}_{\tilde{u}}(p_0, bL_j)^2 > i/|K_{\tilde{u}}(p_0)|$, and hence $\tilde{u} \in \Omega_{i,j} \subset \Omega_i$. This shows that Ω_i is dense in X for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, thereby proving (4.4). Finally, since $\mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ is a completely metrizable space (see [9] or, e.g., [21, p. 100]), it follows from (4.4) and the Baire Category Theorem (see, e.g., [29, Definition 25.1 and Corollary 25.4]) that $\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{G}(\Omega_i)$ is a dense G_δ set in $\mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$, which completes the proof in view of (4.3). \square

Remark 4.2. The same proof of Theorem 4.1 shows the following more general statement. If M , n , and p_0 are as in the theorem, then for any given $F \in H^1(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ the set of maps $G \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ such that $dG_{p_0} \neq 0$ and there is a sequence of conformal minimal immersions $\{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{G}^{-1}(G)$ such that $\text{Flux}(u_j) = F$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |K_{u_j}(p_0)|d_{u_j}(p_0)^2 = +\infty$, is a dense G_δ set in $\mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$.

In particular, the set of maps $G \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ such that $dG_{p_0} \neq 0$ and there is a sequence of full holomorphic null curves $x_j : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $[dx_j] = G$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |K_{x_j}(p_0)|d_{x_j}(p_0)^2 = +\infty$, is a dense G_δ set in $\mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$.

4.2. The inclusion (1.11) is in general proper. Indeed, let

$$M = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : \Im(\zeta) > |\Re(\zeta)|\}$$

and consider the holomorphic map $g : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \equiv \mathbf{Q}^1$ given by

$$g(\zeta) = e^\zeta, \quad \zeta \in M.$$

Note that g has no critical points. Every immersion $u \in \mathcal{G}^{-1}(g)$ with $4\partial u = (\mathbf{i}(e^\zeta - e^{-\zeta}), e^\zeta + e^{-\zeta}, 2\mathbf{i})d\zeta$ (a piece of a helicoid) satisfies $K_u(it) = -1$ for all $t > 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} d_u(it) = +\infty$. This shows that $g \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^{\neg K}(M, \mathbf{Q}^1)$.

Fix a point $p \in M$ and let us see that $g \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^{K,p}(M, \mathbf{Q}^1)$. Reason by contradiction and assume that there is a sequence $u_j \in \mathcal{G}^{-1}(g)$ such that

$$(4.5) \quad K_{u_j}(p) = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad d_{u_j}(p)^2 > j \quad \text{for all } j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Write $|\partial u_j|^2 = |f_j|^2(1 + |g|^2)^2|d\zeta|^2$ for some holomorphic $f_j : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ (see, e.g., [5, Eq. (2.85)]). Defining $h : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_*$ by $h(\zeta) = e^{-i\zeta}$, we have that

$$(1 + |g|^2)^2 = (1 + e^{2\Re(\zeta)})^2 < (1 + e^{2\Im(\zeta)})^2 \leq 4e^{4\Im(\zeta)} = 4|h|^4,$$

hence $|\partial u_j|^2 \leq \chi_j := 4|f_j h^2|^2|d\zeta|^2$. Note that χ_j is a flat metric on M and, by (4.5), the geodesic distance from p to the boundary of (M, χ_j) satisfies

$$(4.6) \quad d_{\chi_j}(p) > j \quad \text{for all } j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $F_j : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be any holomorphic (noncritical) function such that $F_j(p) = 0$ and $|F_j'| = 2|f_j h^2|$. By (4.5) and [5, Eq. (2.93)], we have that

$$\frac{4|gg'|}{|f_j|(1 + |g|^2)^2} = 1,$$

and hence

$$(4.7) \quad |F'_j(p)| = \frac{8|gg'h^2|}{(1+|g|^2)^2}(p) \quad \text{for all } j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By (4.6) and reasoning as in Osserman [24, Proof of Theorem 8.2], for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist a domain $\Omega_j \subset M$, with $p \in \Omega_j$, and a round disc $D_j \subset \mathbb{C}$, centered at the origin and of radius larger than j , such that $F_j : \Omega_j \rightarrow D_j$ is a biholomorphism. The sequence of holomorphic functions $F_j^{-1} : D_j \rightarrow M \subset \mathbb{C}$ is normal ($\mathbb{C} \setminus M$ is infinite), so there is a limit holomorphic function

$$\varphi = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} F_j^{-1} : \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} D_j = \mathbb{C} \rightarrow M \cong \mathbb{D}.$$

Finally, (4.7) implies that $|(F_j^{-1})'(0)| \neq 0$ does not depend on j , and hence φ is not constant, a contradiction. This shows that $g \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^{K,p}(M, \mathbf{Q}^1)$ for all $p \in M$.

4.3. The inclusion (1.13) is in general proper. An example of this has to be a map without critical points. We shall use the following.

Lemma 4.3. *There exists a nowhere vanishing holomorphic function $g : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_*$ without critical points such that $(|g| + 1/|g|)^2 |d\zeta|^2$ is a complete metric on \mathbb{D} .*

In particular, there are complete minimal surfaces contained in a slab of \mathbb{R}^3 , without flat points, and with Gauss map omitting two points of the sphere.

Proof. We adapt the argument in [20]. Take a sequence $\{c_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of (connected) circle arcs in \mathbb{D} centered at the origin and a sequence of positive real numbers $\{\epsilon_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \searrow 0$ such that:

- (a) The sequence $\{r_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset]0, 1[$, where r_j is the radius of c_j for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, is strictly increasing and converging to 1.
- (b) $c_j \cap]0, 1[\neq \emptyset$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and every divergent path in \mathbb{D} disjoint from $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} c_j$ has infinite Euclidean length.
- (c) The compact sets $C_j := \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : \text{dist}(\zeta, c_j) \leq \epsilon_j\}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, are pairwise disjoint discs contained in \mathbb{D} .

Consider the closed subset $F = (\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} C_j) \cup]0, 1[$ in \mathbb{D} , and observe that $\mathbb{D} \setminus F$ is connected. Choose a continuous function $f : F \rightarrow]0, +\infty[$ such that

$$f|_{C_j} = \epsilon_j^j \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{r_j + \epsilon_j}^{r_{j+1} - \epsilon_{j+1}} f(t) dt > 1/\epsilon_{j+1} \quad \text{for all } j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For any continuous positive function $\delta : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, a standard recursive application of the classical Runge-Mergelyan theorem gives a nowhere vanishing holomorphic function $h : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_*$ satisfying $|h - f| < \delta$ on F (recall that \mathbb{C}_* is an Oka manifold). Consider the nowhere vanishing holomorphic function

$$g : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_*, \quad g(\zeta) = e^{\int_0^\zeta h(u) du},$$

and note that g has no critical points since h has no zeroes. If the function δ is suitably chosen then $|g| > e^{1/\epsilon_j}$ on C_j for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large. In view of (b) and (c), and reasoning as in [20], the metric $(|g| + 1/|g|)^2 |d\zeta|^2$ is complete.

For the final assertion of the lemma, consider any conformal minimal immersion $\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ with Weierstrass data $(g, \phi_3 = d\zeta)$. \square

Fix $R > 1$ and call $D = \{|\zeta| < R\} \subset \mathbb{C}$. Let g be given by Lemma 4.3, and set

$$g_0: D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_* \subset \mathbb{CP}^1 \equiv \mathbf{Q}^1, \quad g_0(\zeta) = g(\zeta^2 R^{-2}).$$

It is clear that g_0 is noncritical on $D_* = D \setminus \{0\}$,

$$(4.8) \quad g_0(-\zeta) = g_0(\zeta) \text{ for all } \zeta \in D,$$

and

$$(4.9) \quad (|g_0| + 1/|g_0|)^2 |d\zeta|^2 \text{ is a complete metric on } D.$$

Set

$$M = \{1/R < |\zeta| < R\} \subset D \quad \text{and} \quad G = g_0|_M \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{Q}^1).$$

We claim that $G \notin \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^c(M, \mathbf{Q}^1)$. Indeed, assume that $\mathcal{G}^{-1}(G)$ contains a complete immersion $u: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$. Since $g_0(\{|\zeta| \leq 1\}) \subseteq \mathbb{CP}^1 \equiv \mathbb{S}^2$ has finite spherical area (counting multiplicities) and $G(\{1/R < |\zeta| \leq 1\}) \subseteq g_0(\{|\zeta| \leq 1\})$, the complete conformal minimal immersion $u: \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C}: 1/R < |\zeta| \leq 1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ has finite total curvature. This implies, by Huber's theorem [19], that the annular end $\{1/R < |\zeta| \leq 1\}$ is parabolic, a contradiction.

To finish, let us show that $G \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^{-K, \zeta_0}(M, \mathbf{Q}^1)$ for all $\zeta_0 \in M$. Indeed, fix $\zeta_0 \in M$ and recall that G is noncritical at ζ_0 . For each even $j \in \mathbb{N}$ set $f_j: D_* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_*$, $f_j(\zeta) = \zeta^{-j}$, and note that (4.8) and (4.9) ensure that

$$f_j(g_0, 1/g_0, 1)d\zeta \text{ is exact in } D_*.$$

Thus, there is an immersion $u_j \in \mathcal{G}^{-1}(G) \subset \text{CMI}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbb{R}^3)$ with

$$4\partial u_j = (G(\zeta) - 1/G(\zeta), i(G(\zeta) + 1/G(\zeta)), 2) f_j(\zeta) d\zeta.$$

Recall that

$$(4.10) \quad |K_{u_j}(\zeta_0)| = A|\zeta_0|^{2j} \quad \text{for} \quad A = \frac{4|G(\zeta_0)G'(\zeta_0)|^2}{(1 + |G(\zeta_0)|^2)^4} \neq 0;$$

see [5, Eq. (2.93)]. The expression

$$4|\partial u_j|^2 = |f_j|^2 (|G| + 1/|G|)^2 |d\zeta|^2 = (|G| + 1/|G|)^2 |\zeta|^{-2j} |d\zeta|^2$$

ensures that $4|\partial u_j|^2 \geq R^{-2j} (|G| + 1/|G|)^2 |d\zeta|^2$ on M , proving by (4.9) that

$$(4.11) \quad |\partial u_j|^2 \text{ is complete on } \{1 \leq |\zeta| < R\}.$$

Moreover, the inequality

$$(4.12) \quad |\partial u_j|^2 \geq |\zeta|^{-2j} |d\zeta|^2 \quad \text{on } M$$

and (4.11) give that

$$(4.13) \quad d_{u_j}(\zeta_0) \geq \int_{1/R}^{|\zeta_0|} t^{-j} dt = \frac{1}{j-1} (R^{j-1} - |\zeta_0|^{1-j}).$$

By (4.10), (4.13), and the fact that $R|\zeta_0| > 1$ it turns out that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |K_{u_j}(\zeta_0)| d_{u_j}(\zeta_0)^2 \geq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A|\zeta_0|^2}{(j-1)^2} ((R|\zeta_0|)^{j-1} - 1)^2 = +\infty,$$

proving that $G \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^{-K, \zeta_0}(M, \mathbf{Q}^1)$ as claimed.

4.4. Two remarks on homotopy theory. Assume that \widetilde{M} is an open Riemann surface and $\mathbf{p}: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ is a holomorphic covering map, and consider the map $\mathbf{p}_*: \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\widetilde{M}, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ given by $\mathbf{p}_*(G) = G \circ \mathbf{p}$ for $G \in \mathcal{O}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$. A first simple observation is that if $\tilde{p} \in \widetilde{M}$ and $p = \mathbf{p}(\tilde{p})$, then

$$\mathbf{p}_*(\mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^{-K, p}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^{-K, \tilde{p}}(\widetilde{M}, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}).$$

The proof is an easy exercise and we leave the details to the interested reader.

A second observation is that the spaces $\mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^{-K, p}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$, $p \in M$, and $\mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^{-K}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ have the same homotopy type as the space $\mathcal{C}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ of continuous maps $M \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}$ endowed with the compact-open topology. Indeed, by [7, Theorem 1.2] we have that the inclusions

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^{\mathcal{C}}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$$

are weak homotopy equivalences (and are homotopy equivalences if M is of finite topological type). Taking into account (1.13) and following the argument in [7, Proof of Theorem 1.2(a)], it turns out that [7, Theorem 3.1] implies that the inclusions

$$(4.14) \quad \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^{\mathcal{C}}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^{-K, p}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^{-K}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$$

are weak homotopy equivalences for every $p \in M$ as well (cf. [6, Remark 1.3]). Therefore, the inclusions in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^{-K, p}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}) & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \\ \mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^{-K}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{C}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2}). \end{array}$$

are weak homotopy equivalences for every point $p \in M$. Recall that a continuous map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between topological spaces is a *weak homotopy equivalence* if it induces a bijection of path components of the two spaces as well as an isomorphism $\pi_k(f): \pi_k(X) \rightarrow \pi_k(Y)$ of their homotopy groups for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and arbitrary base points. In particular, the three spaces in the above diagram have the same rough topological shape. This reduces the determination of the homotopy type of $\mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^{-K, p}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\text{full}}^{-K}(M, \mathbf{Q}^{n-2})$ to a purely topological problem.

Acknowledgements. This research was partially supported by the State Research Agency (AEI) via the grants no. PID2020-117868GB-I00 and PID2023-150727NB-I00, and the “Maria de Maeztu” Unit of Excellence IMAG, reference CEX2020-001105-M, funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033/, Spain.

References

- [1] A. Alarcón and I. Castro-Infantes. Interpolation by conformal minimal surfaces and directed holomorphic curves. *Anal. PDE*, 12(2):561–604, 2019.
- [2] A. Alarcón and F. Forstnerič. Null curves and directed immersions of open Riemann surfaces. *Invent. Math.*, 196(3):733–771, 2014.
- [3] A. Alarcón, F. Forstnerič, and F. J. López. Embedded minimal surfaces in \mathbb{R}^n . *Math. Z.*, 283(1-2):1–24, 2016.
- [4] A. Alarcón, F. Forstnerič, and F. J. López. Every meromorphic function is the Gauss map of a conformal minimal surface. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 29(4):3011–3038, 2019.
- [5] A. Alarcón, F. Forstnerič, and F. J. López. *Minimal surfaces from a complex analytic viewpoint*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Cham, [2021] ©2021.
- [6] A. Alarcón and F. Lárusson. A strong parametric h-principle for complete minimal surfaces. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 35(2):42, 2024.
- [7] A. Alarcón and F. Lárusson. The space of Gauss maps of complete minimal surfaces. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, XXIV(2):669–688, 2024.
- [8] A. Alarcón and F. J. López. Generic properties of minimal surfaces. Preprint arXiv:2412.11563, 2024.
- [9] R. F. Arens. A topology for spaces of transformations. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 47:480–495, 1946.
- [10] O. Bonnet. Mémoire sur l’emploi d’un nouveau système de variables dans l’étude des surfaces courbes. *J. Math. Pure Appl.*, 2:153–266, 1860.
- [11] E. B. Christoffel. Ueber einige allgemeine Eigenschaften der Minimumsflächen. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 67:218–228, 1867.
- [12] M. do Carmo and C. K. Peng. Stable complete minimal surfaces in \mathbf{R}^3 are planes. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 1(6):903–906, 1979.
- [13] D. Fischer-Colbrie and R. Schoen. The structure of complete stable minimal surfaces in 3-manifolds of nonnegative scalar curvature. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 33(2):199–211, 1980.
- [14] F. Forstnerič. *Stein manifolds and holomorphic mappings (The homotopy principle in complex analysis)*, volume 56 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge*. Springer, Cham, second edition, 2017.
- [15] H. Fujimoto. On the number of exceptional values of the Gauss maps of minimal surfaces. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 40(2):235–247, 1988.
- [16] H. Fujimoto. On the Gauss curvature of minimal surfaces. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 44(3):427–439, 1992.
- [17] R. C. Gunning and R. Narasimhan. Immersion of open Riemann surfaces. *Math. Ann.*, 174:103–108, 1967.
- [18] D. A. Hoffman and R. Osserman. The geometry of the generalized Gauss map. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 28(236):iii+105, 1980.
- [19] A. Huber. On subharmonic functions and differential geometry in the large. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 32:13–72, 1957.
- [20] L. P. d. M. Jorge and F. Xavier. A complete minimal surface in \mathbb{R}^3 between two parallel planes. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 112(1):203–206, 1980.
- [21] R. A. McCoy and I. Ntantu. *Topological properties of spaces of continuous functions*, volume 1315 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.

- [22] R. Osserman. On the Gauss curvature of minimal surfaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 96:115–128, 1960.
- [23] R. Osserman. Global properties of minimal surfaces in E^3 and E^n . *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 80:340–364, 1964.
- [24] R. Osserman. *A survey of minimal surfaces*. Dover Publications Inc., New York, second edition, 1986.
- [25] R. Osserman and M. Ru. An estimate for the Gauss curvature of minimal surfaces in \mathbf{R}^m whose Gauss map omits a set of hyperplanes. *J. Differential Geom.*, 46(3):578–593, 1997.
- [26] A. V. Pogorelov. On the stability of minimal surfaces. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 260(2):293–295, 1981.
- [27] A. Ros. The Gauss map of minimal surfaces. In *Differential geometry, Valencia, 2001*, pages 235–252. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2002.
- [28] R. Schoen. Estimates for stable minimal surfaces in three-dimensional manifolds. In *Seminar on minimal submanifolds*, volume 103 of *Ann. of Math. Stud.*, pages 111–126. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1983.
- [29] S. Willard. *General topology*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, Ont., 1970.

Antonio Alarcón, Francisco J. López

Departamento de Geometría y Topología e Instituto de Matemáticas (IMAG),
Universidad de Granada, Campus de Fuentenueva s/n, E-18071 Granada, Spain.

e-mail: alarcon@ugr.es, fjlopez@ugr.es