

A CODIMENSION TWO APPROACH TO THE \mathbb{S}^1 -STABILITY CONJECTURE

STEVEN ROSENBERG AND JIE XU

ABSTRACT. J. Rosenberg's \mathbb{S}^1 -stability conjecture states that a closed oriented manifold X admits a positive scalar curvature metric iff $X \times \mathbb{S}^1$ admits a positive scalar curvature metric h . As pointed out by J. Rosenberg and others, there are known counterexamples in dimension four. We prove this conjecture whenever h satisfies a geometric bound, depending only on the dimension of X , which measures the discrepancy between $\partial_\theta \in T\mathbb{S}^1$ and the normal vector field to $X \times \{P\}$, for a fixed $P \in \mathbb{S}^1$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [7, Conj. 1.24], J. Rosenberg proposed the \mathbb{S}^1 -stability conjecture for metrics of positive scalar curvature (PSC).

\mathbb{S}^1 -Stability Conjecture. Let X be a closed oriented manifold. Then X admits a PSC metric if and only if $X \times \mathbb{S}^1$ admits a PSC metric.

In [7, Rmk. 1.25], Rosenberg gave a counterexample in dimension four of an odd degree hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3$, based on Seiberg-Witten theory. Other counterexamples in dimension four are in [5, Rmk. 5]. The conjecture has been verified in dimensions 2, 3, 5, 6 and for a large class of spin manifolds [9, Cor. 1.5], using a combination of minimal surface methods and band-width estimates. (See *e.g.*, [2, §1.1] for the ingredients for dimension 2, [4, Cor. 7.34] for dimension 3, and [6, Rmk. 2.26] for dimensions 5, 6.)

The nontrivial direction of the conjecture states that if $(X \times \mathbb{S}^1, h)$ is a PSC metric, then X admits a PSC metric. We introduce a PDE approach to solve the \mathbb{S}^1 -stability conjecture in all dimensions under a restriction on h . To state the main theorem, let ∂_θ be the usual tangent vector field to \mathbb{S}^1 , and let μ be the unit normal vector field to the slice $X \times \{P\}$ for a fixed $P \in \mathbb{S}^1$, where μ is chosen so that $h(\mu, \partial_\theta) > 0$. Define the h -angle $\angle_h(\mu, \partial_\theta)$ by $h(\mu, \partial_\theta) = \|\mu\|_h \|\partial_\theta\|_h \cos(\angle_h(\mu, \partial_\theta)) = \|\partial_\theta\|_h \cos(\angle_h(\mu, \partial_\theta))$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be an oriented closed manifold with $\dim X = n - 1 \geq 2$. If $X \times \mathbb{S}^1$ admits a PSC metric h such that for some $P \in \mathbb{S}^1$, we have*

$$(1) \quad \angle_h(\mu, \partial_\theta) < \cos^{-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{2n-1}} \right)$$

on $X \times \{P\}$, then X admits a PSC metric.

In the proof, we will use a scale invariant bound equivalent to (1):

$$\frac{h(\partial_\theta, \partial_\theta)}{h(\mu, \partial_\theta)^2} < \frac{2n-1}{n-1}.$$

Since

$$\cos^{-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{2n-1}} \right) \downarrow \frac{\pi}{4} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

the bound on $\angle_h(\mu, \partial_\theta)$ becomes more restrictive as n grows. In particular, replacing (1) with $\angle_h(\mu, \partial_\theta) < \pi/4$ works in all dimensions. This can be interpreted as follows: let V be the h -orthogonal projection of ∂_θ to $T_{(x,P)}(X \times \{P\})$. Take the h -unit square in $T_{(x,P)}(X \times \mathbb{S}^1)$ spanned by μ and $V/\|V\|_h$, and let d be the diagonal vector of this square. If ∂_θ lies in the closed sector between μ and d in this plane on all of $X \times \{P\}$, then $\angle_h(\mu, \partial_\theta) \leq \pi/4$, so (1) is satisfied.

To outline the proof of the main theorem, we refer to the diagram (2). We take a PSC metric on $X \times \mathbb{S}^1$ and extend it to a product metric g on $M = W \times \mathbb{S}^1 := X \times [0, 1] \times \mathbb{S}^1$. Note that X has codimension two in M . This induces a metric σ^*g on W , which we use to find a positive smooth solution u_W to an elliptic PDE (5) on W . The choice of the function F on the right hand side of (5) is crucial. We pull back u_W to u_Y on M , and use u_Y to define a conformal transformation of g to $\tilde{g} = u^{\frac{4}{n-2}}g$. Restricting \tilde{g} to $X \times \mathbb{S}^1$ and then to X gives a PSC metric $\tau^*\iota^*\tilde{g}$ on X .

It is natural to ask why the extra dimension $[0, 1]$ is introduced. If we take a conformal transformation $\tilde{h} = u^{\frac{4}{n-2}}h$ on $X \times \mathbb{S}^1$ and use the Gauss-Codazzi equation to try to prove that the scalar curvature of $X \times \{P\}$ is positive, we find that u must satisfy a non-elliptic PDE. In particular, we cannot guarantee the existence of a smooth solution with the necessary small $\mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}$ estimate (see Proposition 2.1). By adding in the extra dimension, we produce and solve an elliptic PDE on W for a function u_W , provided the condition in the main theorem holds. This is discussed before Lemma 2.2.

In §2 we prove a series of technical results. In particular, the appropriate elliptic PDE on W is given in Lemma 2.2, which we prove has a smooth solution u_W with $\|u_W\|_{\mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}}$ arbitrarily small, for an appropriate choice of inhomogeneous term F concentrated near $X = X \times \{0\}$. We prove several lemmas comparing the Laplacians and gradients on M, W , and $X \times \mathbb{S}^1$, which appear in the Gauss-Codazzi formulas and the transformation rules for conformal changes.

In §3 we prove the main theorem, by essentially using Gauss-Codazzi on \tilde{g} twice to move from M back to $X \times \mathbb{S}^1$ and then back to X .

We thank B. Hanke, D. Ruberman, T. Schick and B. Sen for corrections to an earlier version of this paper, and we thank Y. Maeda for simplifying the proof of Lemma 2.5.

2. TECHNICAL PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we prove preliminary results that are used in the proof of Theorem 3.1 in §3.

We introduce the setup and notation. X is an oriented closed manifold such that $X \times \mathbb{S}^1$ has a PSC metric h . We always assume $\dim(M) = n - 1 \geq 2$. Set

$$Y = X \times \mathbb{S}^1, \quad W = X \times [0, 1], \quad M = W \times \mathbb{S}^1 = Y \times [0, 1].$$

$X \times \mathbb{S}^1 = X \times \{0\} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ is a boundary component of M .

Put the product metric $g = h \oplus dt^2$ on M , for $t \in [0, 1]$. For a fixed $P \in \mathbb{S}^1$, define $\sigma : W \rightarrow W \times \mathbb{S}^1$ by $\sigma(w) = (w, P)$, and $\tau : X \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{S}^1$, $\tau(x) = (x, P)$. For the inclusion maps $\iota = \iota_0 : X \rightarrow W$ and $\iota = \iota_0 : X \times \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow M$, where $\iota_0(x) = (x, 0)$ and $\iota_0(x, P) = (x, 0, P)$, we have induced metrics σ^*g on W and $\tau^*\iota^*g = \tau^*h$ on X . The following diagram summarizes the setup.

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (X \times \mathbb{S}^1, h = \iota^*g) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & (M = W \times \mathbb{S}^1, g) \\ \tau \uparrow & & \sigma \uparrow \\ (X, \tau^*\iota^*g = \tau^*h) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & (W = X \times [0, 1], \sigma^*g) \end{array}$$

Note that $\dim X \geq 2$, so $\dim M \geq 4$.

Let μ be the outward normal vector field to $X \times \{Q\}$ for all $Q \in \mathbb{S}^1$. μ is a global vector field on W . Locally on W , we can consider μ to be the tangent vector x^n , where (x^1, \dots, x^{n-1}) are local coordinates on $U \subset X$. In these coordinates, $h(\mu, \mu) = h_{nn} = g_{nn} := g_{\mu\mu}$, where the expressions in g are valid on $U \times [0, 1]$. Similarly, let θ be the usual coordinate on \mathbb{S}^1 with corresponding global

vector field ∂_θ on W and M . Below, we will drop some inclusion maps and write g_{nn} on both M and $X \times \{0\} \times \mathbb{S}^1$.

As an outline, in this section we solve a PDE involving σ^*g (5) on W (Proposition 2.1). This PDE is elliptic under the condition (1). We modify a solution u to a positive function u_W on W , pull back u_W to u_Y on M , and use u_Y to conformally change g to $\tilde{g} = u_Y^{\frac{4}{n-2}}g$. The main theorem in §3 proves that for a careful choice of F in (5), $\tau^*i^*\tilde{g}$ is PSC, provided (1) holds. To this end, the diagram indicates that we have to compare Laplacians Δ_g , Δ_{i^*g} and Δ_{σ^*g} (Lemma 2.3), the scalar curvatures of \tilde{g} and $i^*\tilde{g}$ (Lemma 2.5 for \tilde{g}), and the scalar curvatures of $i^*\tilde{g}$ and $\tau^*i^*\tilde{g}$ (proof of Theorem 3.1) via the Gauss-Codazzi equation.

To start, we need a function that is sufficiently large on the codimension two space $X \times \{0\} \times \{P\}$ in M , but with small \mathcal{L}^p -norm.

Lemma 2.1. *Fix $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $C \gg 1$, and a positive $\delta \ll 1$. Then there exists a positive smooth function $F : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $F|_{X \times \{0\}} = C + 1$ and $\|F\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(W, \sigma^*g)} < \delta$.*

Proof. Given p, C, δ , set $f = C + 1$ on X . Construct a positive smooth function $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $\phi(0) = 1$ and $\phi(t) = 0, \forall t \geq \epsilon$, for some positive number $\epsilon \ll 1$. Set $F = f \cdot \phi : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then $F = C + 1$ on X and $F > 0$ on W . Finally,

$$\|F\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(W, \sigma^*g)}^p = \int_{X \times [0, \epsilon]} |F|^p d\text{vol}_{\sigma^*g} < \delta,$$

for ϵ sufficiently small. □

The function u_Y defined above is independent of θ . However, comparing the scalar curvatures for induced metrics via Gauss-Codazzi requires the use of the normal vector μ . For the product metric on $X \times \mathbb{S}^1$, $\mu = \partial_\theta$, so in the non-product case we have to compare these vector fields. On $X \times \mathbb{S}^1$, set

$$\mu = a\partial_\theta + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b^i \partial_i,$$

for a local coordinate frame $\{\partial_i\}$ on X . Since μ, ∂_θ are globally defined vector fields, so is the vector field $V := \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b^i \partial_i$ on $X \times \{P\}$ for each $P \in \mathbb{S}^1$. Note that

$$1 = h(\mu, \mu) = h(a\partial_\theta, \mu) + b^i h(\partial_i, \mu) = ah(\partial_\theta, \mu) \Rightarrow a = h(\partial_\theta, \mu)^{-1},$$

where clearly $h(\partial_\theta, \mu) \neq 0$. Finally, μ, ∂_θ and hence V pull back to t -independent vector fields on M . Since $\sigma = \sigma_P$ identifies $X \times [0, 1] \times \{P\}$ with W , it is easy to check that $|V_{(x,P)}|_h^2 = |V_{(x,t)}|_{\sigma^*g}^2$ for all t .

The operator L' in the next lemma appears in the proof of the main theorem; see (26). This lemma uses the angle hypothesis in the form (1) and motivates the introduction of the extra dimension $[0, 1]$ in W ; if we work on $X \times \mathbb{S}^1$, the operator analogous to L' associated to a conformal change of h would be $\nabla_\mu \nabla_\mu - \Delta_h$, which is never elliptic.

Lemma 2.2. *Fix $P \in \mathbb{S}^1$, and identify $X \times [0, 1] \times \{P\}$ with W . If*

$$\frac{h(\partial_\theta, \partial_\theta)}{h(\mu, \partial_\theta)^2} < \frac{2n-1}{n-1},$$

then the operator

$$L' := \nabla_V \nabla_V - \frac{n}{n-1} \Delta_{\sigma^*g}$$

is elliptic on W .

We use the convention that $-\Delta_k$ is positive definite for any metric k .

Proof. In local coordinates,

$$\nabla_V \nabla_V = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} b^i(x) b^j(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} + G_1(x_0)$$

where $G_1(x)$ is a linear first order operator. In Riemannian normal coordinates (x^1, \dots, x^{n-1}) centered at a fixed $x_0 \in X$, we have

$$\Delta_{\sigma^*g}|_{x_0} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial (x^i)^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}.$$

The principal symbol of L' at x_0 is

$$\sigma_2(L')(x_0, \xi) = - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} b^i(x_0) b^j(x_0) \xi^i \xi^j + \frac{n}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\xi^i)^2 + \frac{n}{n-1} (\xi^n)^2.$$

Proving $\sigma_2(L)(x_0, \xi) > 0$ for $\xi \neq 0$ is equivalent to proving that

$$B := -\frac{n-1}{n} \begin{pmatrix} (b^1)^2 & b^1 b^2 & \dots & b^1 b^{n-1} \\ b^2 b^1 & (b^2)^2 & \dots & b^2 b^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b^{n-1} b^1 & b^{n-1} b^2 & \dots & (b^{n-1})^2 \end{pmatrix} + I_{n-1} := B' + I_{n-1}$$

is positive definite. Sylvester's criterion states the symmetric matrix B is positive definite iff all its $k \times k$ principal minors B_k have positive determinants.

We claim that

$$(3) \quad \det(B_k) = 1 + \text{Tr}(B'_k) = 1 - \frac{n-1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^k (b^i)^2, k = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

We show this for $B_{n-1} = B$, as the argument for the other B_k is identical. We first observe that if $b^i \neq 0, \forall i$, then all rows of B' are proportional to each other. It follows that the kernel of B' has dimension $n-2$, so 0 is an eigenvalue of B' of multiplicity $n-2$. Since the sum of the eigenvalues of B' is the trace $-\frac{n-1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (b^i)^2$, the nontrivial eigenvalue must be $\frac{n-1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (b^i)^2$. Thus the eigenvalues of B are

$$\lambda_1 = -\frac{n-1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (b^i)^2 + 1, \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_{n-1} = 1,$$

which proves (3). If some b^i vanish, then the claim reduces to a lower dimensional case by removing the corresponding rows and columns of all zeros.

Therefore, L' is elliptic if

$$(4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (b^i)^2 < \frac{n}{n-1}.$$

In normal coordinates,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (b^i)^2 = |V|_{\sigma^*g}^2 = |V|_h^2 = h(\mu - h(\mu, \partial_\theta)^{-1} \partial_\theta, \mu - h(\mu, \partial_\theta)^{-1} \partial_\theta) = 1 - 2 + \frac{h(\partial_\theta, \partial_\theta)}{h(\mu, \partial_\theta)^2}.$$

Thus (4) holds if

$$\frac{h(\partial_\theta, \partial_\theta)}{h(\mu, \partial_\theta)^2} < \frac{2n-1}{n-1}.$$

□

Using this lemma, we introduce an elliptic PDE with inhomogeneous term F whose solution has small $\mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}$ -norm. Let $\nu = \pm\partial_t$ be the unit outward normal vector field along ∂W , and let R_k be the scalar curvature for a metric k . Define the $\mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}$ norm of $u : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by choosing a cover $\{(U_i, (x_i^k))\}$ of W and setting

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}} = \|u\|_{\mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}} + \sup_{i,k} \|\partial_{x_i^k} u\|_{\mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}}.$$

Proposition 2.1. *Let (W, σ^*g) be as above. Assume that (1) holds. For any positive constant $\eta \ll 1$, there exist constants p, δ, C and an associated F , in the sense of Lemma 2.1, such that for fixed $P \in \mathbb{S}^1$,*

$$(5) \quad 4\nabla_V \nabla_V u - \frac{4n}{n-1} \Delta_{\sigma^*g} u + R_g|_{\sigma(W)} u = F \text{ in } W, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial W,$$

admits a smooth solution u with

$$(6) \quad \|u\|_{\mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}(W)} \leq \eta.$$

In the proof and the rest of the paper, norms of gradients like $|\nabla_{\sigma^*g} u|_g^2$ are just denoted by $|\nabla_{\sigma^*g} u|^2$.

Proof. Denote the \mathcal{L}^p Sobolev spaces by $\mathcal{W}^{k,p}$. Fix $p \gg 0$ so that the Sobolev embedding $\mathcal{W}^{2,p}(W, \sigma^*g) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}(W)$ is a compact inclusion. Define the elliptic operator

$$L := 4\nabla_V \nabla_V u - \frac{4n}{n-1} \Delta_{\sigma^*g} + R_g|_{\sigma(W)}$$

on W . The Neumann boundary condition allows us to rewrite (5) on W in the weak form

$$(7) \quad - \int_W 4\nabla_V u \cdot \nabla_V \phi \, d\text{vol}_{\sigma^*g} + \int_W \frac{4n}{n-1} \nabla_{\sigma^*g} u \cdot \nabla_{\sigma^*g} \phi \, d\text{vol}_{\sigma^*g} + \int_W R_g|_{\sigma(W)} u \phi \, d\text{vol}_{\sigma^*g} \\ = \int_W F \phi \, d\text{vol}_{\sigma^*g}, \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W).$$

Note that

$$(8) \quad R_h > 0 \text{ on } X \times \mathbb{S}^1 \Rightarrow R_g > 0 \text{ on } M.$$

By Lemma 2.2, the bilinear form defined by (7) is coercive provided (1) holds, and hence has a weak solution in $\mathcal{W}^{1,2}(W)$ by the Lax-Milgram theorem. A standard bootstrapping argument [3, Thm. 1] then implies u is smooth. By classical elliptic regularity theory on $(W, \partial W, \sigma^*g)$ with Neumann boundary condition on X [1], there exists a constant $C_1 = C_1(W, \sigma^*g, p)$ such that

$$(9) \quad \|u\|_{\mathcal{W}^{2,p}(W, \sigma^*g)} \leq C_1 (\|Lu\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(W, \sigma^*g)} + \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(W, \sigma^*g)}),$$

for $u \in \mathcal{L}^p(W, \sigma^*g) \cap \mathcal{W}^{1,2}(W, \sigma^*g)$. The operator L is injective: if $Lu = 0$, then in Riemannian normal coordinates

$$(10) \quad 0 = \int_W Lu \cdot u \, d\text{vol}_{\sigma^*g} = \int_W -4|\nabla_V u|^2 + \frac{4n}{n-1} |\nabla_{\sigma^*g} u|^2 + R_g|_{\sigma(W)} |u|^2 \, d\text{vol}_{\sigma^*g} \\ = \int_W -4 \sum_i b_i^2 (\partial_i u)^2 + \frac{4n}{n-1} \sum_{i,j} g^{ij} \partial_i u \partial_j u + R_g|_{\sigma(W)} |u|^2 \, d\text{vol}_{\sigma^*g} \\ \geq \int_W K_0 |\nabla_{\sigma^*g} u|^2 + R_g|_{\sigma(W)} |u|^2 \, d\text{vol}_{\sigma^*g},$$

where $\sigma_2(L')(x, \xi) \geq K_0 |\xi|^2$ for some $K_0 > 0$. Thus $u = 0$.

Using this injectivity, we claim that for all $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,2}(W) \cap \mathcal{L}^p(W)$ solving (7) weakly, there exists $C'_1 > 0$ such that

$$(11) \quad \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(W, \sigma^*g)} \leq C'_1 \|Lu\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(W, \sigma^*g)}.$$

If not, there exists a sequence $\{u_n\}$ solving (7) weakly such that

$$\|u_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(W, \sigma^*g)} = 1, \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ weakly}, \|Lu_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(W, \sigma^*g)} \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

It follows that

$$\|u_n\|_{\mathcal{W}^{2,p}(W, \sigma^*g)} \leq C_1 (\|Lu_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(W, \sigma^*g)} + \|u_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(W, \sigma^*g)}) \leq 2C_1.$$

Hence there exists a function $u \in \mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}(W)$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in the $\mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}$ -sense. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(W, \sigma^*g)} &= 1, \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ weakly on } \partial W, \\ - \int_W 4\nabla_V u \cdot \nabla_V \phi \, d\text{vol}_{\sigma^*g} &+ \int_W \frac{4n}{n-1} \nabla_{\sigma^*g} u \cdot \nabla_{\sigma^*g} \phi \, d\text{vol}_{\sigma^*g} \\ &+ \int_W R_g|_{\sigma(W)} u \phi \, d\text{vol}_{\sigma^*g} = 0, \forall \phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $Lu = 0$ weakly, and so as above $u = 0$. This contradicts $\|u_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(W, \sigma^*g)} = 1$. By (9), (11),

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{W}^{2,p}(W, \sigma^*g)} \leq C_1(1 + C'_1) \|Lu\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(W, \sigma^*g)}.$$

Since u is smooth, the Sobolev embedding gives

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}(W)} \leq C_2 \|u\|_{\mathcal{W}^{2,p}(W, \sigma^*g)} \leq C_1 C_2 (1 + C'_1) \|F\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(W, \sigma^*g)},$$

with $C_2 = C_2(W, \sigma^*g, L)$. Given $\eta > 0$, choose δ such that $C_1 C_2 (1 + C'_1) \delta \leq \eta$. For an arbitrary $C \gg 0$, we can construct F in Lemma 2.1 such that $\|F\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(W, \sigma^*g)} < \delta$. \square

Remark 2.1. (i) The only place we use the existence of a PSC metric on $X \times \mathbb{S}^1$ (*i.e.*, $R_h > 0$) is (8). The only place we use that X and hence W are oriented is doing the integration by parts/Stokes' Theorem in (10).

(ii) The solution u of (5) on W may not be positive. However, $u_W := u + 1$ is positive, provided $\eta \ll 1$. Let u_M be the pullback of u_W to M for the projection $M \rightarrow W$, and let u_Y be the restriction of u_M to $Y = X \times \{0\} \times \mathbb{S}^1 = X \times S^1$. Note that u_W satisfies

$$(12) \quad 4\nabla_V \nabla_V u_W - \frac{4n}{n-1} \Delta_{i^*g} u_W + R_g|_{\sigma(W)} u_W = F + R_g|_{\sigma(W)} \text{ in } W, \frac{\partial u_W}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } Y.$$

(iii) We use $e^{2\phi_M}$ as the conformal factor on M , where

$$e^{2\phi_M} := u_M^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \text{ on } M.$$

We set $e^{2\phi_Y} := u_Y^{\frac{4}{n-2}}$ as the conformal factors on $X \times \{0\} \times \mathbb{S}^1 = X \times \mathbb{S}^1$. (The exponent of u_M is not the usual one. For $X \times \mathbb{S}^1$, the exponent is standard since $n = \dim(X \times \mathbb{S}^1)$.) Similarly, set $e^{2\phi_W} := (u_W)^{\frac{4}{n-2}}$ on W . As pullbacks, ϕ_M and u_M are constant in the \mathbb{S}^1 -direction:

$$\frac{\partial \phi_M}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial u_M}{\partial \theta} = 0.$$

(iv) The PDE (5) is related to the conformal change

$$(13) \quad \tilde{g} = u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g,$$

for a positive, smooth function u and a metric g on any manifold. For ϕ defined by

$$(14) \quad e^{2\phi} = u^{\frac{4}{n-2}},$$

it is straightforward to check by differentiating (14) that the Laplacians of these two conformal factors are related by

$$u^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \left(-\frac{4}{n-2} \Delta_g u \right) = e^{-2\phi} \left(-2\Delta_g \phi - (n-2)|\nabla_g \phi|^2 \right), n \geq 3,$$

and their gradients are related by

$$e^{-2\phi}\nabla_g\phi = \frac{2}{n-2}u^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}}\nabla_g u, \quad e^{-2\phi}|\nabla_g\phi|^2 = \left(\frac{2}{n-2}\right)^2 u^{-\frac{2n}{n-2}}|\nabla_g u|^2.$$

Finally, we will use the following relation between u_Y and ϕ_Y , again given by differentiating (14):

$$e^{-2\phi_Y} (2(n-2)\nabla_V\nabla_V\phi_Y + (n-2)^2\nabla_V\phi_Y\nabla_V\phi_Y) = 4u_Y^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}}\nabla_V\nabla_V u_Y.$$

(v) We will use

$$\begin{aligned} u_Y(x, 0, P) &= u_Y(x, P) = u_M(x, 0, P) = u_W(x, 0), \\ \phi_Y(x, 0, P) &= \phi_Y(x, P) = \phi_M(x, 0, P) = \phi_W(x, 0). \end{aligned}$$

As mentioned above, we need to compare the Laplacians on (M, g) , (W, σ^*g) , and $(X \times \mathbb{S}^1, h)$.

Lemma 2.3. *In the notation of (2) and Remark 2.1(iii), we have*

$$(15) \quad \Delta_g\phi_M(w, P) - \Delta_{\sigma^*g}\phi_W(w) = A_1(\phi_W, g)(w),$$

for $w \in W$ and fixed $P \in \mathbb{S}^1$. Here $A_1(\phi_W, g)(w)$ is a globally defined first order operator on $\phi_W \in C^\infty(W)$; in particular, the left hand side of (15) has no derivatives in the \mathbb{S}^1 -direction. Similarly, we have

$$(16) \quad \Delta_g\phi_M(y, 0) - \Delta_{i^*g}\phi_Y(y) = \frac{\partial^2\phi_Y(y)}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial^2\phi_M(y, 0)}{\partial t^2},$$

for $y \in X \times \mathbb{S}^1$.

Proof. Take a chart (x^1, \dots, x^n, t) in M containing a chart (x^1, \dots, x^{n-1}, t) in W containing P , with $\theta = x^n$ the standard coordinate on \mathbb{S}^1 and $t := x^{n+1}$ is the coordinate on $[0, 1]$. Then

$$\Delta_g\phi_M = g^{ij}\frac{\partial^2 u_M}{\partial x^i\partial x^j} - g^{ij}\Gamma_{ij,g}^k\frac{\partial\phi_M}{\partial x^k}.$$

Here we sum over $i, j = 1, \dots, n+1$. Since $\partial\phi_M/\partial x^n = 0$,

$$g^{ij}\frac{\partial^2\phi_M}{\partial x^i\partial x^j} = (\sigma^*g)^{ij}\frac{\partial^2\phi_W}{\partial x^i\partial x^j},$$

where the sum is now over $i, j = 1, \dots, n-1, n+1$, so the second order terms in $\Delta_g\phi_M$ and $\Delta_{\sigma^*g}\phi_W$ agree. The Christoffel symbols $\Gamma_{ij,g}^k$ and Γ_{ij,σ^*g}^k may differ, but $\partial\phi_M/\partial x^k = \partial\phi_W/\partial x^k$. Thus the left hand side of (15) is a first order operator in ϕ_W , so (15) follows.

(16) follows from the fact that $g = i^*g \oplus dt^2$ with $t = x^{n+1}$, and hence all Christoffel symbols involving the x^{n+1} direction vanish. \square

Remark 2.2. For u_W defined in Remark 2.1(ii), Lemma 2.3 easily implies that

$$e^{-2\phi_W} = u_W^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \text{ on } W \Rightarrow e^{-2\phi_W} A_1(\phi_W, g) = u_Y^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} B_1(u_W, g),$$

where $B_1(u_W, g)$ is also a first order operator in u_W .

Lemma 2.4. *For fixed η in Proposition 2.1, for fixed $P \in \mathbb{S}^1$ and u_W as in Remark 2.1(ii), there exists a constant $K_1 = K_1(\eta) > 0$ such that*

$$(17) \quad \frac{4n}{(n-1)}|B_1(u_W, g)(w, P)| < K_1,$$

for all $w \in W$. We have $K_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $\eta \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. B_1 is a first order operator in u with smooth coefficients, and which does not contain derivatives of u in the normal or \mathbb{S}^1 directions. Since the coefficients of B_1 are bounded on W and we have $\|u\|_{C^{1,\alpha}} < \eta$, the result follows. \square

We include the following result about totally geodesic hypersurfaces for completeness.

Lemma 2.5. *Let (Z, k) be a Riemannian manifold, $\dim Z \geq 3$, and let V be a totally geodesic hypersurface in Z . Let ν be a locally defined unit normal vector to V . Then (i) the sectional curvature of any two-plane spanned by ν and a tangent vector to V vanishes; (ii) $R_k|_V = R_{\iota^*k}$ for the inclusion $\iota : V \hookrightarrow Z$.*

Proof. (i) By the definition of the second fundamental form A_k , we have

$$\nabla_{\iota_*X}^Z \iota_*Y = \iota_*(\nabla_X^V Y) + A_k(X, Y),$$

for $X, Y \in TV$. Define the shape operator $S_\nu : TV \rightarrow TV$ by $A_k(X, Y) = k^V(S_\nu X, Y) = k^Z(\iota_*(S_\nu X), \iota_*Y)$. Then easily $\nabla_{\iota_*X}^Z \nu \in TV$, so

$$A_k(X, Y) = k^Z(\nabla_{\iota_*X}^Z \iota_*Y, \nu) = -k^Z(\iota_*Y, \nabla_{\iota_*X}^Z \nu)$$

implies $\iota_*(S_\nu X) = -\nabla_{\iota_*X}^Z \nu$. We can extend ν to a locally defined vector field, also called ν , on a locally defined collar of V , and then extend X locally to the collar by $\phi_{t,*}(X|_V)$, where ϕ_t is the flow of ν . Then $[\nu, \iota_*X] = 0$, so

$$\nabla_\nu^Z \iota_*X = -\nabla_{\iota_*X}^Z \nu + [\iota_*X, \nu] = \iota_*(S_\nu X)$$

for all $X \in TV$.

Since V is totally geodesic, $A_k(X, Y) = 0, S_\nu X = 0$. Thus for $X, Y \in TV$,

$$\nabla_{\iota_*X}^Z \iota_*Y = \iota_*(\nabla_X^V Y), \quad \nabla_{\iota_*X}^Z \nu = \nabla_\nu^Z \iota_*X = 0.$$

For R^Z the curvature tensor of Z , the sectional curvature of a plane spanned by ι_*X and ν is then

$$-k^Z(R^Z(\iota_*X, \nu)\iota_*X, \nu) = -k^Z(\nabla_{\iota_*X}^Z \nabla_\nu^Z \iota_*X - \nabla_\nu^Z \nabla_{\iota_*X}^Z \iota_*X, \nu) = -k^Z(\nabla_\nu^Z \iota_*(\nabla_X^V X), \nu) = 0,$$

since $\nabla_X^V X \in TV$.

(ii) The complete contraction of the Gauss-Codazzi equation gives

$$R_{\iota^*k} = R_k - 2\text{Ric}^Z(n, n) + h_k^2 - |A_k|^2,$$

where h_k is the mean curvature of k . $h_k^2 = |A_k|^2 = 0$ in the totally geodesic case, and $\text{Ric}^Z(n, n)$ vanishes by (i). \square

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

In this section, we prove the main theorem. One direction is trivial: if X admits a PSC metric g_0 , then the product metric on $X \times \mathbb{S}^1$ has PSC. We prove the other direction by our codimension two approach combined with conformal geometry.

As a possible approach to the \mathbb{S}^1 -stability conjecture in the other direction, if we start with $R_h = R_{\iota^*g} > 0$ on $X \times \mathbb{S}^1$, then $R_{\iota^*g}|_{X \times \{P\}} > 0$. In the notation of (2), if we somehow knew that $R_{\iota^*g}|_{X \times \{P\}} - R_{\tau^*\iota^*g}|_X < 0$ by some Gauss-Codazzi manipulations, then $R_{\tau^*\iota^*g} > 0$ on X . Unfortunately, it is very hard to estimate the second order derivatives of the metric in the Gauss-Codazzi equation. Instead, we make two modifications: (i) we introduced the extra dimension $[0, 1]$ in order to produce the elliptic operator L' on W in Lemma 2.2; (ii) motivated by [8], we consider a conformal transformation of g to \tilde{g} . In terms of (2), the extra dimension in (i) allows us to transfer geometric information up the right hand side, *i.e.*, from (W, σ^*g) to (M, g) . The conformal transformation in (ii) produces (M, \tilde{g}) in the upper right corner, and Gauss-Codazzi moves us first to the upper left corner and then down to $(X, \tau^*\iota^*\tilde{g})$ in the lower left corner. In the end, we will prove that $\tau^*\iota^*\tilde{g}$ is a PSC metric on X , provided the h -angle between μ and ∂_θ is not too large on some $X \times \{P\}$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a closed, oriented manifold. If $X \times \mathbb{S}^1$ has a PSC metric with*

$$\angle_h(\mu, \partial_\theta) < \cos^{-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{2n-1}} \right)$$

on $X \times \{P\}$ for some $P \in \mathbb{S}^1$, then X has a PSC metric.

Most of the proof works with a general conformal transformation u_W of σ^*g on W ; it is only in (27) that we specify that u_W satisfies (5).

Proof. We collect a few facts in preparation for the proof.

- We fix $p \in \mathbb{N}, p \gg 0$, such that $\mathcal{W}^{2,p}(W, \sigma^*g) \hookrightarrow C^{1,\alpha}(W)$ is a compact inclusion.
- Pick $\eta \ll 1$ and $\delta = \delta(\eta) > 0$ such that for the function $F = F(p, C, \delta)$ in Lemma 2.1, the solution u_W of (12) satisfies $\frac{1}{2} < \|u_W\|_{C^0} < \frac{3}{2}$; automatically, $\sup_{i,k} \|\partial_{x_k}^i u_W\|_{C^{0,\alpha}} \leq \eta$ by Proposition 2.1 and the notation above the Proposition.
- $|B_1(u_W, g)(w, P)| < K_1 = K_1(\eta)$ by Lemma 2.4. Recall that $K_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $\eta \rightarrow 0$, so shrinking the choice of η above improves this estimate.
- Fix $P \in \mathbb{S}^1$. Choose $C = C(P, u, \eta) \gg 1$ such that

$$(18) \quad C > \frac{3}{2} \max_{x \in X} (2 |\text{Ric}_{i^*g}(\mu, \mu)(x, P)| + h_{i^*g}^2(x, P) + |A_{i^*g}|^2(x, P)) + K_1 + 1.$$

- Using the lower bound on $\|u_W\|$ above and $\|u_W\|_{C^{1,\alpha}} < \eta$ by Proposition 2.1, we can choose $\eta \ll 1$ so that for all $x \in X$,

$$K_2(u_W, g)(x, 0) := \left(\frac{2}{n-2} \right)^2 \left(\frac{n-2}{n-1} \frac{|\nabla_{i^*g} u_W(x, 0)|_g^2}{u_W(x, 0)} + n(n-2) \frac{\nabla_V u_W(x, 0) \nabla_V u_W(x, 0)}{u_W(x, 0)} \right)$$

has

$$(19) \quad |K_2(u_W, g)(x, 0)| \leq C_3 \eta < 1,$$

where $C_3 = C_3(g, P, u_W, n)$. This estimate will be used in the last step of the proof.

- All derivatives of u_M, ϕ_M, u_Y, ϕ_Y vanish in the \mathbb{S}^1 direction, by their definitions in Remark 2.1(ii),(iii). In particular, $\nabla_\mu \nabla_\mu \phi_Y = \nabla_V \nabla_V \phi_Y$.

We now compare Δ_g and Δ_{i^*g} (a transfer of information up the right hand side of (2)), culminating in the technical equation (22).

We can identify the normal vector field $\nu' = \pm \partial_t$ to M with the normal vector field $\nu = \pm \partial_t$ to W . Thus

$$\frac{\partial u_W}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial W \Rightarrow \frac{\partial u_M}{\partial \nu'} = 0 \text{ on } \partial M \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \phi_M}{\partial \nu'} = 0 \text{ on } \partial M.$$

Under the conformal change $\tilde{g} = e^{2\phi_M} g$ the second fundamental form on ∂M transforms by

$$(20) \quad A_{\tilde{g}}(X, Y) = e^{\phi_M} A_g(X, Y) + e^{\phi_M} \frac{\partial \phi_M}{\partial \nu'} g(X, Y), \forall X, Y \in T\partial M,$$

up to the sign of the normal vector field for the two components of ∂M . Since ∂M is totally geodesic for the metric $g = h + dt^2$, the Neumann boundary condition implies that ∂M is totally geodesic for \tilde{g} . By Lemma 2.5(i),

$$\text{Ric}_g(\nu', \nu')|_{\partial M} = 0, \text{Ric}_{\tilde{g}}(e^{-\phi_M} \nu', e^{-\phi_M} \nu')|_{\partial M} = 0.$$

By the formula for the conformal change of the Ricci tensor (noting that $\dim M = n + 1$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \text{Ric}_{\tilde{g}}(e^{-\phi_M} \nu', e^{-\phi_M} \nu') \\ &= e^{-2\phi_M} (\text{Ric}_g(\nu', \nu') - (n-1)(\nabla_{n+1} \nabla_{n+1} \phi_M - \nabla_{n+1} \phi_M \nabla_{n+1} \phi_M)) \\ &\quad - e^{-2\phi_M} (\Delta_g \phi_M + (n-1)|\nabla_g \phi_M|^2) g_{n+1, n+1} \\ &= e^{-2\phi_M} (-(n-1)\nabla_{n+1} \nabla_{n+1} \phi_M - (\Delta_g \phi_M + (n-1)|\nabla_g \phi_M|^2)), \end{aligned}$$

as $g_{n+1, n+1} = g(\partial_t, \partial_t) = 1$. Since g is a product metric and $\nabla_{n+1} \nabla_{n+1} \phi_M = \frac{\partial^2 \phi_M}{\partial t^2}$, $t = x^{n+1}$, we have for all $(y, 0) \in X \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times \{0\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -(n-1) \frac{\partial^2 \phi_M(y, 0)}{\partial t^2} - \Delta_{i^*g} \phi_M(y, 0) - \frac{\partial^2 \phi_M(y, 0)}{\partial t^2} - (n-1)|\nabla_{i^*g} \phi_M(y, 0)|^2 \\ \Rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 \phi_M(y, 0)}{\partial t^2} &= -\frac{1}{n} \Delta_{i^*g} \phi_M(y, 0) - \frac{n-1}{n} |\nabla_{i^*g} \phi_M(y, 0)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By (16),

$$(21) \quad \Delta_g \phi_M(y, 0) = \Delta_{i^*g} \phi_Y(y) + \frac{\partial^2 \phi_M(y, 0)}{\partial t^2} = \frac{n-1}{n} \Delta_{i^*g} \phi_Y(y) - \frac{n-1}{n} |\nabla_{i^*g} \phi_Y(y)|^2, \quad \forall y \in X \times \mathbb{S}^1.$$

Adding $\frac{n-2}{2} |\nabla_g \phi_M(y, 0)|^2 = \frac{n-2}{2} |\nabla_{i^*g} \phi_Y(y)|^2$ and then multiplying by $-e^{-2\phi_M(y, 0)} = -e^{-2\phi_Y(y)}$ on both sides of (21) gives

$$e^{-2\phi_Y} \left(\Delta_g \phi_Y + \frac{n-2}{2} |\nabla_g \phi_Y|^2 \right) = \frac{n-1}{n} e^{-2\phi_Y} \left(\Delta_{i^*g} \phi_Y + \frac{n-2}{2} |\nabla_{i^*g} \phi_Y|^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2} e^{-2\phi_Y} |\nabla_{i^*g} \phi_Y|^2.$$

Using Remark 2.1(iv), we can rewrite this equation as follows:

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} (u_M)^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}}(y, 0) \Delta_g u_M(x, 0) + \frac{n-2}{4} e^{-2\phi_Y}(y) |\nabla_{i^*g} \phi_Y(y)|^2 \\ = \frac{n-1}{n} u_Y^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}}(y) \Delta_{i^*g} u_Y(y), \quad \forall y \in X \times \mathbb{S}^1. \end{aligned}$$

We now work on the left side of (2) using the Gauss-Codazzi equation. As in Lemma 2.5(ii), Gauss-Codazzi for $X \times \{P\} \subset X \times \mathbb{S}^1$ gives

$$R_{\tau^*i^*\tilde{g}} = R_{i^*\tilde{g}} - 2\text{Ric}_{i^*\tilde{g}}(e^{-\phi_Y} \mu, e^{-\phi_Y} \mu) + h_{i^*\tilde{g}}^2 - |A_{i^*\tilde{g}}|^2.$$

Since ϕ_Y is constant in the \mathbb{S}^1 direction, it follows from (20) applied to $X \times \{P\} \subset X \times \mathbb{S}^1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} |A_{i^*\tilde{g}}|^2 &= e^{-2\phi_Y} \left(|A_{i^*g}|^2 + 2nh_{i^*g} \frac{\partial \phi_Y}{\partial \mu} + n^2 \left(\frac{\partial \phi_Y}{\partial \mu} \right)^2 \right), \\ h_{i^*\tilde{g}}^2 &= e^{-2\phi_Y} \left(h_{i^*g}^2 + 2n \frac{\partial \phi_Y}{\partial \mu} h_{i^*g} + n^2 \left(\frac{\partial \phi_Y}{\partial \mu} \right)^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

The formulas for the conformal transformation of $\text{Ric}_{i^*\tilde{g}}$ and $R_{i^*\tilde{g}}$ on $X \times \mathbb{S}^1$ with conformal factor $e^{2\phi_Y}$ are

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Ric}_{i^*\tilde{g}}(e^{-\phi_Y} \mu, e^{-\phi_Y} \mu) &= e^{-2\phi_Y} (\text{Ric}_{i^*g}(\mu, \mu) - (n-2)(\nabla_\mu \nabla_\mu \phi_Y - \nabla_\mu \phi_Y \nabla_\mu \phi_Y)) \\ &\quad - e^{-2\phi_Y} (\Delta_{i^*g} \phi_Y + (n-2)|\nabla_{i^*g} \phi_Y|^2) g_{nn}, \\ R_{i^*\tilde{g}} &= e^{-2\phi_Y} (R_{i^*g} - 2(n-1)\Delta_{i^*g} \phi_Y - (n-2)(n-1)|\nabla_{i^*g} \phi_Y|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Since $g_{nn} = 1$, we have

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} R_{\tau^*i^*\tilde{g}} &= e^{-2\phi_Y} (R_{i^*g} - 2\text{Ric}_{i^*g}(\mu, \mu) + h_{i^*g}^2 - |A_{i^*g}|^2) \\ &+ e^{-2\phi_Y} (-2(n-1)\Delta_{i^*g}\phi_Y - (n-1)(n-2)|\nabla_{i^*g}\phi_Y|^2) \\ &+ e^{-2\phi_Y} (2(n-2)(\nabla_\mu\nabla_\mu\phi_Y - \nabla_\mu\phi_Y\nabla_\mu\phi_Y) + 2(\Delta_{i^*g}\phi_Y + (n-2)|\nabla_{i^*g}\phi_Y|^2)). \end{aligned}$$

Here $R_{\tau^*i^*\tilde{g}}$ is evaluated at $x \in X$, and the right hand side of (24) is evaluated at $(x, P) \in X \times \{P\}$.

Now we replace the second order terms in ϕ_Y in (24) with terms in u_Y . Using Remark 2.1(iv), we get

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} R_{\tau^*i^*\tilde{g}} &= u_Y^{-\frac{4}{n-2}} (R_{i^*g} - 2\text{Ric}_{i^*g}(\mu, \mu) + h_{i^*g}^2 - |A_{i^*g}|^2) \\ &+ u_Y^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} (-4\Delta_{i^*g}u_Y) + e^{-2\phi_Y} (n-2)|\nabla_{i^*g}\phi_Y|^2 \\ &= u_Y^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} (-2\text{Ric}_{i^*g}(\mu, \mu)u_Y + h_{i^*g}^2u_Y - |A_{i^*g}|^2u_Y) \\ &+ u_Y^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} (4\nabla_V\nabla_Vu_Y - 4\Delta_{i^*g}u_Y + R_{i^*g}u_Y) \\ &+ e^{-2\phi_Y} ((n-2)|\nabla_{i^*g}\phi_Y|^2 - n(n-2)\nabla_V\phi_Y\nabla_V\phi_Y). \end{aligned}$$

By (22), this becomes

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} R_{\tau^*i^*\tilde{g}} &= u_Y^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} (-2\text{Ric}_{i^*g}(\mu, \mu)u_Y + h_{i^*g}^2(y)u_Y - |A_{i^*g}|^2(y)u_Y) \\ &+ u_Y^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \left(4\nabla_Vu_Y\nabla_Vu_Y - 4\frac{n}{n-1}\Delta_gu_Y + R_{i^*g}(y, P)u_Y \right) \\ &+ e^{-2\phi_Y} \left(-\frac{n-2}{n-1}|\nabla_{i^*g}\phi_Y|^2 - n(n-2)\nabla_V\phi_Y\nabla_V\phi_Y \right) \\ &= u_Y^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} (-2\text{Ric}_{i^*g}(\mu, \mu)u_Y + h_{i^*g}^2(y)u_Y - |A_{i^*g}|^2(y)u_Y) \\ &+ u_Y^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \left(4\nabla_Vu_Y\nabla_Vu_Y - \frac{4n}{n-1}\Delta_gu_Y + R_{i^*g}u_Y \right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{2}{n-2} \right)^2 u_Y^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \left(-\frac{n-2}{n-1} \frac{|\nabla_{i^*g}u_Y|^2}{u_Y} - n(n-2) \frac{\nabla_Vu_Y\nabla_Vu_Y}{u_Y} \right) \\ &= u_Y^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} (-2\text{Ric}_{i^*g}(\mu, \mu)u_Y + h_{i^*g}^2(y)u_Y - |A_{i^*g}|^2(y)u_Y) \\ &+ u_Y^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \left(4\nabla_Vu_Y\nabla_Vu_Y - \frac{4n}{n-1}\Delta_gu_Y + R_{i^*g}(y, P)u_Y \right) \\ &+ u_Y^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} K_2(u_W, g). \end{aligned}$$

Now we replace Δ_g with Δ_{σ^*g} , which is a transfer of information from lower right to upper right in (2). In the first line of (27), we use (15) and Remark 2.2; in the second line, we use that $u_W(x, 0) = u_Y(x, P)$ on $X \times \{P\} \times \{0\}$ by Remark 2.2(iv); in the third line, we use (5) and Lemma 2.5(ii). Again, $R_{\tau^*i^*\tilde{g}}$ is evaluated at $x \in X$; $u_Y, h_{i^*g}, |A_{i^*g}|^2, B_1(u_Y, P)$ are evaluated at $(x, P) \in X \times \{P\}$; $u_W, B_1(u_W, g), K_2(u_W, g)$ are evaluated at $(x, 0) \in W$; R_g is evaluated at

$(x, 0, P) \in M$. Then for u_W solving (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{\tau^*i^*\tilde{g}} &= u_Y^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \left(-2\text{Ric}_{i^*g}(\mu, \mu) u_Y + h_{i^*g}^2 u_Y - |A_{i^*g}|^2 u_Y \right) \\
&\quad + u_Y^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \left(4\nabla_V \nabla_V u_Y - \frac{4n}{n-1} \Delta_{\sigma^*g} u_Y + R_{i^*g} u_Y \right) \\
&\quad - u_Y^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \left(\frac{4n}{n-1} B_1(u_Y, g) \right) + u_Y^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} K_2(u_W, g) \\
(27) \quad &= (u_W)^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \left(-2\text{Ric}_{i^*g}(\mu, \mu) u_W + h_{i^*g}^2 u_W - |A_{i^*g}|^2(y) u_W \right) \\
&\quad + (u_W)^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \left(4\nabla_V \nabla_V u_W - \frac{4n}{n-1} \Delta_{\sigma^*g} u_W + R_{i^*g} u_W \right) \\
&\quad - (u_W)^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \left(\frac{4n}{n-1} B_1(u_W, g) \right) + (u_W)^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} K_2(u_W, g) \\
&= (u_W)^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \left(-2\text{Ric}_{i^*g}(\mu, \mu) u_W + h_{i^*g}^2 u_W - |A_{i^*g}|^2 u_W \right) \\
&\quad + (u_W)^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \left(F + R_g - \frac{4n}{n-1} B_1(u_W, g) + K_2(u_W, g) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1, $F = C + 1$ on $X \times \{0\}$, so by (8), (18), and (19),

$$\begin{aligned}
(28) \quad R_{\tau^*i^*\tilde{g}} &> u_W^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \left(C + 1 - \frac{3}{2} \max_{x \in X} (2|\text{Ric}_{i^*g}(\mu, \mu)(y, P)| + h_{i^*g}^2(y) + |A_{i^*g}|^2(y)) - K_1 - 1 \right) \\
&> 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.1. The technical difficulty in the proof is assuring that the operator L' in Lemma 2.2 is elliptic, so that we get a smooth conformal factor u_W . The difficult term $\nabla_\mu \nabla_\mu$ in L' appears because (i) we have to work on $M = X \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times [0, 1]$, as explained before Lemma 2.2, and (ii) to pass from M to X , we have to use Gauss-Codazzi for \tilde{g} twice:

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{\tau^*i^*\tilde{g}} &= R_{i^*\tilde{g}} - 2\text{Ric}_{i^*\tilde{g}} \left(e^{-2\phi_Y} \mu, e^{-2\phi_Y} \mu \right) + h_{i^*\tilde{g}}^2 - |A_{i^*\tilde{g}}|^2 \\
&= R_{\tilde{g}} - 2\text{Ric}_{\tilde{g}} \left(e^{-2\phi_M} \nu', e^{-2\phi_M} \nu' \right) + h_{\tilde{g}}^2 - |A_{\tilde{g}}|^2 \\
&\quad - 2\text{Ric}_{i^*\tilde{g}} \left(e^{-2\phi_Y} \mu, e^{-2\phi_Y} \mu \right) + h_{i^*\tilde{g}}^2 - |A_{i^*\tilde{g}}|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

The term $\nabla_\mu \nabla_\mu \phi_Y$ then appears in (23) from the formulas for conformal transformations from $\text{Ric}_{i^*\tilde{g}}(e^{-\phi_Y} \mu, e^{-\phi_Y} \mu)$ to $\text{Ric}_{i^*g}(\mu, \mu)$. We must use these formulas, since the theorem's hypothesis involves the metric $h = i^*g$.

There are several known classes of counterexamples to the \mathbb{S}^1 -stability conjecture, including odd degree hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3$ [7, Rmk. 1.25], and $M \# k\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ for a simply connected Kähler surface M and a positive integer k [5, Rmk. 5]. These are 4-manifolds X which admit no PSC metric, but such that $X \times S^1$ admits a PSC metric. For these and any other counterexamples, the PSC metric on $X \times S^1$ has large angles in the following sense:

Corollary 3.1. *If X admits no PSC metric, but $X \times \mathbb{S}^1$ admits a PSC metric h , then for each $P \in \mathbb{S}^1$, there exists $x = x(P)$ such that*

$$\angle_h(\mu, \partial_\theta)_{(x, P)} \geq \cos^{-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{2n-1}} \right).$$

There is an analytic consequence of Theorem 3.1. Let $\lambda_g(X)$ be the Yamabe invariant of the closed manifold X with respect to the conformal class $[g]$.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $X \times \mathbb{S}^1$ be an oriented closed manifold with metric h satisfying (1) on some $X \times \{P\}$. Then*

$$\lambda_h(X \times \mathbb{S}^1) > 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_{\tau^*h}(X) > 0.$$

Proof. If $\lambda_h(X \times \mathbb{S}^1) > 0$, there is a metric h' in the conformal class $[h]$ of constant positive scalar curvature, by the solution of the Yamabe problem. The condition (1) is conformally invariant, so h' also satisfies (1). Since the proof of Theorem 3.1 involves the conformal transformation $g = h' + dt^2 \mapsto \tilde{g}$, X admits a PSC metric in $[\tau^*h'] = [\tau^*h]$. Since the sign of the Yamabe invariant is conformally invariant, $\lambda_{\tau^*h}(X) > 0$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Agmon, A. Douglis, and L. Nirenberg. Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions I. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, 12:623–727, 1959.
- [2] A. Carlotto and C. Li. Constrained deformations of positive scalar curvature metrics. *J. Differential Geometry*, 126(2), 2024.
- [3] P. Cherrier. Problèmes de Neumann non linéaires sur les variétés Riemanniennes. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 57:154–206, 1984.
- [4] O. Chodosh. Stable minimal surfaces and positive scalar curvature. <https://web.stanford.edu/~ochodosh/Math258-min-surf.pdf>
- [5] A. Kumar and B. Sen. Positive scalar curvature and exotic structures on simply connected four manifolds. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2501.01113>. 2025.
- [6] D. Råde. Scalar and mean curvature comparison via μ -bubbles. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 62(187), 2023.
- [7] J. Rosenberg. Manifolds of positive scalar curvature: a progress report. *Surveys in Differential Geometry*, 11(1):259–294, 2006.
- [8] D. Ruberman, S. Rosenberg, and J. Xu. The conformal Laplacian and positive scalar curvature metrics on manifolds with boundary. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.05521>. 2023.
- [9] R. Zeidler. Band width estimates via the Dirac operator. *J. Differential Geom.*, 122(1):155–183, 2022.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BOSTON UNIVERSITY, BOSTON, MA, U.S.A.

Email address: sr@math.bu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHEASTERN UNIVERSITY, BOSTON, MA, U.S.A.

Email address: jie.xu@northeastern.edu