

# SPHERICAL QUADRILATERAL WITH THREE RIGHT ANGLES AND ITS APPLICATION FOR DIAMETER OF EXTREME POINTS OF A CONVEX BODY

MAREK LASSAK

ABSTRACT. We prove a theorem on the relationships between the lengths of sides of a spherical quadrilateral with three right angles. They are analogous to the relationships in the Lambert quadrilateral in the hyperbolic plane. We apply this theorem in the proof of our second theorem that if  $C$  is a two-dimensional spherical convex body of diameter  $\delta \in (\frac{1}{2}\pi, \pi)$ , then the diameter of the set of extreme points of  $C$  is at least  $2 \arccos(\frac{1}{4}(\cos \delta + \sqrt{\cos^2 \delta + 8}))$ . This estimate cannot be improved.

**Keywords:** *Spherical convex body, spherical quadrilateral, right angle, extreme points, diameter*

**Mathematical Subject Classification:** *52A55*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Denote by  $S^n$  be the unit sphere of the  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $E^{n+1}$ . In this article we mostly deal with  $S^2$ .

Let us recall some needed notions from the spherical geometry. By a *great circle* of  $S^2$  we mean the intersection of  $S^2$  with any two-dimensional linear subspace of  $E^3$ . By a pair of *antipodes* of  $S^2$  we understand any pair of points being the intersection of  $S^2$  with a one-dimensional subspace of  $E^3$ . Observe that if two different points  $p, q \in S^2$  are not antipodes, there is exactly one great circle passing through them. By the *arc*  $pq$  connecting these points we mean the shorter part of the great circle through  $p$  and  $q$ , and by the *distance*  $|pq|$  of  $p$  and  $q$  we understand the length of the arc  $pq$ .

A subset of  $S^2$  is called *convex* if it does not contain any pair of antipodes of  $S^2$  and if together with every two points it contains the arc connecting them. A closed convex set  $C \subset S^2$  with non-empty interior is called a *convex body*. Its boundary is denoted by  $\text{bd}(C)$ . A point of  $C$  is called *extreme* if it

does not belong to the relative interior of any arc with end-points in  $C$ . The set of extreme points of  $C$  is denoted by  $E(C)$ . Let us add that convexity in  $S^n$  is considered in very many papers and monographs (see [1–12]).

The set of points of  $S^2$  in the distance at most  $\rho$ , where  $0 < \rho \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ , from a fixed point of  $S^2$  is called a *spherical disk* of *radius*  $\rho$ . Spherical disks of radius  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  are called *hemispheres*. Two hemispheres whose centers are antipodes are called *opposite hemispheres*. The set of points of a great circle of  $S^2$  which are at a distance at most  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  from a fixed point  $p$  of this great circle is called a *semicircle*. We call  $p$  the *center* of this semicircle.

If non-opposite hemispheres  $G$  and  $H$  are different, then  $L = G \cap H$  is called a *lune*. As in [5] and [6], the semicircles bounding  $L$  and contained in  $G$  and  $H$ , respectively, are denoted by  $G/H$  and  $H/G$ . The *thickness*  $\Delta(L)$  of  $L$  is defined as the distance between the centers of  $G/H$  and  $H/G$ . The two common points of the semicircles bounding  $L$  are called *corners* of  $L$ .

Recall a lemma from [9]: *for every convex body  $C \subset S^2$  of diameter at most  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  we have  $\text{diam}(E(C)) = \text{diam}(C)$* . In the present paper we consider an analogous property for every convex body  $C \subset S^2$  of diameter over  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ . Namely, it is proved in Theorem 2 that  $\text{diam}(E(C)) > 2 \arccos\left(\frac{1}{4}(\cos \delta + \sqrt{\cos^2 \delta + 8})\right)$  for every convex body  $C \subset S^2$  of diameter over  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ . In order to overcome some troubles in its proof, it is necessary to find a length of a side of a quadrilateral with three right angles.

We perform the above task in Theorem 1, where we present some relationships between the lengths of sides of a spherical quadrilateral with three right angles, similar to the relationships in the Lambert quadrilateral in the hyperbolic plane similar to the relationships in the Lambert quadrilateral in the hyperbolic plane.

## 2. SOME PROPERTIES OF LUNES

The following function, where  $\delta \in (\frac{1}{2}\pi, \pi)$ , plays an important role in our research:

$$\varphi(\delta) = \arccos\left(\frac{1}{4}(\cos \delta + \sqrt{\cos^2 \delta + 8})\right).$$

**Lemma.** *The function  $\varphi(\delta)$  is increasing and thus one-valued in the interval  $(\frac{1}{2}\pi, \pi)$ . The set of its values is the interval  $(\frac{1}{4}\pi, \frac{1}{3}\pi)$ . We have  $\cos \delta = \frac{2\cos^2\varphi-1}{\cos\varphi}$  for  $\varphi$  from this interval, where  $\varphi(\delta)$  is shortly denoted by  $\varphi$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\cos \delta + \sqrt{\cos^2\delta + 8}$  is a decreasing function  $\delta$  in the interval  $(0, \frac{1}{2}\pi)$ , then  $\varphi(\delta)$  is increasing there. Hence it is one-valued. The second thesis results by a simple calculation.  $\square$

**Proposition.** *Denote by  $L$  a lune of thickness  $\delta \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$  bounded by semi-circles  $G$  and  $H$ . Denote by  $g$  the center of  $G$  and by  $h$  the center of  $H$ . Let  $i$  and  $j$  be the two points of  $G$  in the distance  $\varphi(\delta) = \arccos(\frac{1}{4}(\cos \delta + \sqrt{\cos^2\delta + 8}))$  from  $g$ . For any  $k \in ij$ , by  $k'$  we mean the intersection of  $H$  with the great circle through  $k$  orthogonal to  $H$ .*

*I. The distances  $|ih|$  and  $|jh|$  are equal  $2\varphi(\delta)$ . Moreover,  $|kh| \geq 2\varphi(\delta)$  for every  $k \in ij$ .*

*II. The triangle  $ijh$  is equilateral with the length  $2\varphi(\delta)$  of its sides.*

*III. If  $l \in hk'$ , then  $|kl| \geq 2\varphi(\delta)$ .*

*Proof.* Denote by  $c_i$  this corner of  $L$  which is closer to  $i$ , and by  $c_j$  this one closer to  $j$ . Of course,  $|gh| = \delta$  and  $|hc_i| = |hc_j| = \frac{1}{2}\pi$  and  $|hx|$  grows as  $x$  moves from  $c_i$  (or from  $c_j$ ) to  $g$  on  $G$ . Hence there exists a unique point  $y$  on  $gc_i$  such that  $|yh| = 2|yg|$ . Also on  $gc_j$ , there is exactly one. Clearly,  $hgy$  is a right triangle with the right angle at  $g$ . Of course,  $\cos |yh| = \cos |gy| \cos |gh|$ . Denote  $|yg|$  by  $\psi$ . Then  $|yh| = 2\psi$ . Hence  $\cos 2\psi = \cos \psi \cos \delta$ . From  $|gh| = \delta$  and the trigonometric formula  $\cos 2\psi = 2\cos^2\psi - 1$  we obtain the equation  $2\cos^2\psi - 1 = \cos \psi \cos \delta$  with the unknown  $\psi$ . Its solutions is  $\psi = \arccos(\frac{1}{4}(\cos \delta + \sqrt{\cos^2\delta + 8}))$ . We see that the required point  $y$  can be only at  $i$  or  $j$ . This confirms the first thesis of Part I. The second thesis of Part I results from the earlier observed property that  $|hx|$  grows as  $x$  moves from  $c_i$  (or from  $c_j$ ) to  $g$  on  $G$ .

From Part I we obtain Part II.

Let us show Part III. Observe that  $|kk'|$  is at least  $|gh| = \delta$ , which implies that it is over  $\frac{1}{2}\pi$ . Clearly,  $|hy|$  grows as  $y$  moves from  $h$  to  $k'$  on  $hk'$ . So  $|kl| > \frac{1}{2}\pi$  for every  $l \in hk'$ . Hence  $|kl| > |kh|$ . Consequently, by the second statement of Part I we get  $|kl| \geq 2\varphi(\delta)$ .  $\square$

By the way, having in mind Part II of Proposition, the author expects that a larger equilateral triangle cannot be a subset of  $L$ .

By the second statement of Part II of Proposition we conclude the following claim.

**Claim.** *For every  $\delta \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$  we have  $\delta > 2\varphi(\delta)$ .*

### 3. QUADRILATERALS WITH THREE RIGHT ANGLES

Let  $Q = abcd$  be a spherical quadrilateral with right angles at  $a, b$  and  $c$ . Put  $\kappa = |ab|$ ,  $\lambda = |bc|$ ,  $\mu = |cd|$  and  $\nu = |da|$ . Recall that for the alike hyperbolic Lambert quadrilateral  $abcd$  we have  $\sinh \mu = \sinh \kappa \cosh \nu$ ,  $\tanh \mu = \cosh \lambda \tanh \kappa$ ,  $\sinh \nu = \sinh \lambda \cosh \mu$ ,  $\tanh \nu = \cosh \kappa \tanh \lambda$ . Here is a theorem in which we present some relationships in the quadrilateral  $Q$  analogous to the ones in the hyperbolic Lambert quadrilateral.


**Theorem 1.** *In the spherical quadrilateral  $Q$  we have*

- (1)  $\sin \mu = \sin \kappa \cos \nu$ ,
- (2)  $\tan \mu = \tan \kappa \cos \lambda$ ,
- (3)  $\cos \nu = \sqrt{\cos^2 \mu \cos^2 \lambda + \sin^2 \mu}$ ,
- (4)  $\cos \nu = \frac{\cos \lambda}{\sqrt{1 - \sin^2 \lambda \sin^2 \kappa}}$ .

*Proof.* Denote  $|bd|$  by  $\xi$  (see Figure 1). From the right triangle  $bcd$  we get  $\cos \xi = \cos \mu \cos \lambda$ . From the right triangle  $dab$  we get  $\cos \xi = \cos \nu \cos \kappa$ . Hence  $\cos \mu \cos \lambda = \cos \nu \cos \kappa$ .

Prolong the arcs  $cd$  and  $ba$  up to the intersection point  $e$  (we mean that  $d \in ce$  and  $a \in eb$ ). From the right triangle  $ead$  we see that  $\cos |de| = \cos |ea| \cos |ad|$ . Moreover, since  $ce$  and  $ba$  are perpendicular to the great circle containing  $bc$ , we have  $|ce| = 90^\circ$  and  $|be| = 90^\circ$ . Consequently, from  $\cos |de| = \cos(90^\circ - \mu) = \sin \mu$  and  $\cos |ea| = \cos(90^\circ - \kappa) = \sin \kappa$  we obtain (1).

The preceding two paragraphs imply (2). Still it is sufficient to divide left and right sides of  $\sin \mu = \cos \nu \sin \kappa$  by left and right sides of  $\cos \mu \cos \lambda = \cos \nu \cos \kappa$ , respectively, which gives  $\frac{\tan \mu}{\cos \lambda} = \tan \kappa$ . This is equivalent to (2).



**Fig. 1.** Spherical quadrilateral with three right angles

Let us show (3). From (2) we get  $\tan^2 \mu = \tan^2 \kappa \cos^2 \lambda$  which equals  $\frac{\sin^2 \kappa}{1 - \sin^2 \kappa} \cos^2 \lambda$ . Applying (1) we substitute here  $\sin \kappa$  by  $\frac{\sin \mu}{\cos \nu}$ . We get  $\tan^2 \mu = \frac{\sin^2 \mu}{\cos^2 \nu - \sin^2 \mu} \cos^2 \lambda$ . Consequently,  $\cos^2 \nu = \cos^2 \mu \cos^2 \lambda + \sin^2 \mu$ , which gives (3).

Finally, we intend to show (4). We start from the squared (3). Since  $\cos^2 \mu = 1 - \sin^2 \mu$ , we have  $\cos^2 \nu = (1 - \sin^2 \mu) \cos^2 \lambda + \sin^2 \mu = \sin^2 \mu (1 - \cos^2 \lambda) + \cos^2 \lambda = \sin^2 \mu \sin^2 \lambda + \cos^2 \lambda$ . Having in mind (1), let us substitute here  $\sin^2 \mu = \sin^2 \kappa \cos^2 \nu$ . We get  $\cos^2 \nu = \sin^2 \kappa \cos^2 \nu \sin^2 \lambda + \cos^2 \lambda$ . Hence  $\cos^2 \nu (1 - \sin^2 \kappa \sin^2 \lambda) = \cos^2 \lambda$ , which implies (4).  $\square$

#### 4. COMPARISON BETWEEN THE DIAMETERS OF $C$ AND $E(C)$

**Theorem 2.** *For every convex body  $C \subset S^2$  of diameter  $\delta \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$  we have*

$$\text{diam}(E(C)) \geq 2 \arccos \left( \frac{1}{4} (\cos \delta + \sqrt{\cos^2 \delta + 8}) \right).$$

*This inequality cannot be improved for every  $\delta$ .*

*Proof.* Shortly saying, the inequality in our theorem is  $\text{diam}(E(C)) \geq 2\varphi(\delta)$ .

By compactness arguments, the diameter of  $E(C)$  is realized for a pair of extreme points of  $C$ . What is more, for a pair  $t, w$  of some points of  $\text{bd}(C)$ .

By Lemma 7 of [7] the semicircle  $T$  centered at  $t$  and orthogonal to  $tw$  at  $t$  supports  $C$ . Analogously, the semicircle  $W$  centered at  $w$  and orthogonal

to  $tw$  at  $w$  supports  $C$ . Denote by  $o$  the center of the lune  $L = \text{conv}(T \cup W)$ , this is the midpoint of  $tw$ . Clearly,  $C \subset L$  and  $L$  has thickness  $|tw|$ . Consider three cases.

Case 1, when  $t, w \in E(C)$ .

Since  $|tw| = \delta$ , by Claim we get the thesis of our theorem.

Case 2, when  $w \in E(C)$  and  $t \notin E(C)$  (or analogously, when  $w \notin E(C)$  and  $t \in E(C)$ ).

Since  $t \notin E(C)$ , there are  $s, u \in \text{bd}(C)$  different from  $t$  such that  $t \in su$ . Clearly, these two points belong to the semicircle  $T$ . We intend to show that  $\max\{|ws|, |wu|, |su|\} \geq 2\varphi(\delta)$ . This will imply  $\text{diam}(E(C)) \geq 2\varphi(\delta)$ , what is our aim.

Observe that for every position of  $s, u$  in  $T$  at least one of the following three sub-cases holds true. In each we obtain a consequence.

(2.1) Assume that  $|ts| \leq \varphi(\delta)$ . Then  $|ws| \geq 2\varphi(\delta)$ , as it follows by the second thesis of Part I of Proposition.

(2.2) Assume that  $|tu| \leq \varphi(\delta)$ . Then  $|wu| \geq 2\varphi(\delta)$ , as it follows by the second thesis of Part I of Proposition.

(2.3) Assume that  $|ts| \geq \varphi(\delta)$  and  $|tu| \geq \varphi(\delta)$ . Then  $|su| \geq 2\varphi(\delta)$ .

As explained earlier in Case 2, from the obtained consequences we conclude that  $\text{diam}(E(C)) \geq 2\varphi(\delta)$ .

Case 3, when  $t \notin E(C)$  and  $w \notin E(C)$ .

As in the preceding case, there are  $s, u \in \text{bd}(C)$  different from  $t$  such that  $t \in su$ . We do not lose the generality assuming that  $s, t, u$  lie counterclockwise on  $T$ . Since  $w \notin E(C)$ , there are  $v, z \in E(C)$  different from  $w$  such that  $w \in vz$  and  $v, w, z$  lie counterclockwise on  $W$ . Our aim is to show that  $\text{diam}\{s, u, v, z\} \geq 2\varphi(\delta)$ . Still it implies  $\text{diam}(E(C)) \geq 2\varphi(\delta)$ .

For every  $s, u, v, z$  at least one of the following four conditions is true.

$$(3.1) \quad |wv| \geq |ts| \text{ and } |wz| \geq |tu|,$$

$$(3.2) \quad |wv| \leq |ts| \text{ and } |wz| \leq |tu|,$$

$$(3.3) \quad |wv| \leq |ts| \text{ and } |wz| \geq |tu|,$$

$$(3.4) \quad |wv| \geq |ts| \text{ and } |wz| \leq |tu|.$$

In (3.1) consider the following three disjoint possibilities. In each we present a consequence.

(3.1.a) Let  $|wz| \leq \varphi(\delta)$ . Denote by  $t^*$  the center of the great circle containing  $T$  and by  $z'$  the projection of  $z$  onto  $T$ . Clearly,  $t^* \in zz'$ . From  $|tu| \leq |wz|$  and  $t^* \in ow$ , where  $z = k, z' = k'$  and  $u = l$  when we apply Part III of Proposition, we conclude that  $u \in tz'$  and get  $|uz| \geq 2\varphi(\delta)$ .

(3.1.b) Let  $|wv| \leq \varphi(\delta)$ . Analogously as in (3.1) we get  $|sv| \geq 2\varphi(\delta)$ .

(3.1.c) Let  $|wv| > \varphi(\delta)$  and  $|wz| > \varphi(\delta)$ . Then  $|vz| > 2\varphi(\delta)$ .

We see that always  $\max\{|uz|, |sv|, |vz|\} \geq 2\varphi(\delta)$ . Hence  $\text{diam}(E(C)) \geq 2\varphi(\delta)$ .

If (3.2) is true, we deal analogously as in (3.1).

Consider (3.3).

If  $|ts| \leq \varphi(\delta)$ , then also  $|wv| \leq \varphi(\delta)$ . Taking into account the projection  $s'$  of  $s$  onto  $W$  and applying Part III of Proposition we obtain  $|sv| \geq 2\varphi(\delta)$ .

Analogously, if  $|wz| \leq \varphi(\delta)$ , then also  $|tu| \leq \varphi(\delta)$  and by Part III of Proposition we obtain  $|uz| \geq 2\varphi(\delta)$ .

If both the above assumptions (in the two preceding paragraphs) are not fulfilled, so if  $|ts| > \varphi(\delta)$  and  $|wz| > \varphi(\delta)$ , then we consider the following three possibilities.

(3.3.1) when  $|tu| > \varphi(\delta)$ . Clearly  $|su| > 2\varphi(\delta)$ .

(3.3.2) when  $|wv| > \varphi(\delta)$ . Clearly  $|su| > 2\varphi(\delta)$ .

(3.3.3) when  $|tu| < \varphi(\delta)$  and  $|wv| < \varphi(\delta)$ . Below we consider three (not disjoint) possibilities.

(3.3.3.a) when  $\frac{1}{2}\varphi(\delta) < |tu| \leq \varphi(\delta)$ . By Lemma we have  $\varphi(\delta) < \frac{1}{3}\pi$  for  $\frac{1}{2}\pi < \delta < \pi$ . Hence  $\frac{1}{2}\pi > \frac{3}{2}\varphi(\delta)$ . Thus

$$\frac{1}{2}\varphi(\delta) + \frac{1}{2}\pi > 2\varphi(\delta). \quad (*)$$

Moreover, let us show that

$$|uz| > \frac{1}{2}\varphi(\delta) + \frac{1}{2}\pi. \quad (**)$$

Denote by  $c_s$  the corner of  $L$  closer to  $s$  and by  $c_u$  the corner of  $L$  closer to  $u$ . Provide the orthogonal great circle to  $W$  through  $u$ . Clearly, it passes

through  $wc_s$ . Denote by  $u'$  the point of intersection with  $wc_s$ . Since  $z \in wc_s$ , consider the following two possibilities.

Look at the first possibility when  $z \in u'c_s$ . In particular, if  $z$  is at  $c_s$ , then  $(**)$  is true since  $uc_s$  is a part of  $T$  and  $|tu| \geq \frac{1}{2}\varphi(\delta)$ . Moreover, since  $|uu'| > \frac{1}{2}\pi$ , then also  $|ux| > \frac{1}{2}\pi$  for every  $x \in c_su'$ , which implies that if  $x$  moves on  $c_su'$  from  $c_s$  to  $u'$ , then  $|ux|$  grows. Hence if  $z \in u'c_s$  then also  $|uz| \geq \frac{1}{2}\varphi(\delta) + \frac{1}{2}\pi$ . Thus  $(**)$  is true for every  $x \in u'c_s$ .

Consider now the second possibility when  $z \in wu'$ . Observe that since  $|uu'| > \frac{1}{2}\pi$ , then also  $|uz| > \frac{1}{2}\pi$ . Thus  $|wx|$  grows as  $x$  moves from  $w$  to  $u'$  on  $wu'$ . Hence  $|uz| > |uw|$ . Moreover, by the assumption  $|tu| < \varphi(\delta)$  of (3.3.3) and Part III of Proposition we get  $|uz| \geq 2\varphi(\delta)$ . So  $|uz| > 2\varphi(\delta) = \frac{1}{2}\varphi(\delta) + \frac{3}{2}\varphi(\delta) > \frac{1}{2}\varphi(\delta) + \frac{1}{2}\pi$  (the last one results by  $\varphi > \frac{1}{3}\pi$ , see Lemma).

We see that  $(**)$  holds true for both the possibilities.

From  $(*)$  and  $(**)$  we obtain  $|uz| > 2\varphi(\delta)$ .

(3.3.3.b) when  $\frac{1}{2}\varphi(\delta) \leq |wv| \leq \varphi(\delta)$ . We deal analogously as in (3.3.3.a).

(3.3.3.c) when none of the inequalities  $\frac{1}{2}\varphi(\delta) \leq |tu| \leq \varphi(\delta)$  and  $\frac{1}{2}\varphi(\delta) \leq |wv| \leq \varphi(\delta)$  is true. We have  $|tu| \leq \frac{1}{2}\varphi(\delta)$  and  $|wv| \leq \frac{1}{2}\varphi(\delta)$ .

We may assume that, for instance,  $|wv| \leq |tu|$  (see Figure 2).


By  $u^+$  denote the point being the intersection of  $W$  with the great circle through  $u$  orthogonal to  $c_sc_u$  (so  $u^+$  is the ‘‘symmetric’’ point to  $u$  with respect to  $c_sc_u$ ).

We intend to show that  $|uv| \geq 2\varphi(\delta)$ . Since  $|uu^+| \leq |uv|$ , it is sufficient to show that  $|uu^+| \geq 2\varphi(\delta)$ . Thus it is sufficient to show that  $|uk| \geq \varphi(\delta)$ , where  $k$  denotes the midpoint of  $uu^+$ .

First let us show this in the particular worst situation when  $|ut| = \frac{1}{2}\varphi(\delta)$ . Since the quadrangle  $ukot$  has right angles at  $k, o$  and  $t$ , let us apply (3) from Theorem 1, where  $k = a, o = b, t = c$  and  $u = d$ . So in the terms of Theorem 1 we assume that  $\mu = \frac{1}{2}\varphi(\delta)$  and we intend to show that  $\nu \geq \varphi(\delta)$ . In other words, we intend to show that  $\cos \nu \leq \cos \varphi(\delta)$ . Having in mind (3) from Theorem 2, we intend to show that

$$\sqrt{\cos^2 \frac{1}{2}\varphi(\delta) \cos^2 \lambda + \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}\varphi(\delta)} \leq \cos \varphi(\delta). \quad (***)$$





**Fig. 2.** Illustration to the proof of (3.3.3.c) in Theorem 2

We deal here with an arbitrary  $\delta$  such that  $\frac{1}{2}\pi < \delta < \pi$ , so with  $\lambda \in (\frac{1}{4}\pi, \frac{1}{2}\pi)$  and  $\varphi(\delta) \in (\frac{1}{4}\pi, \frac{1}{3}\pi)$ , what Lemma says. Since  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}\delta$  we are planning to show that  $\sqrt{\cos^2 \frac{\varphi}{2} \cos^2 \frac{\delta}{2} + \sin^2 \frac{\varphi}{2}} \leq \cos \varphi$ , where for simplicity we write  $\varphi$  in place of  $\varphi(\delta)$ . Here is a sequence of equivalent statements:  $\cos^2 \frac{\varphi}{2} \cos^2 \frac{\delta}{2} + \sin^2 \frac{\varphi}{2} \leq \cos^2 \varphi \iff \frac{1+\cos \varphi}{2} \cdot \frac{1+\cos \delta}{2} + (1 - \frac{1+\cos \varphi}{2}) \leq \cos^2 \varphi(\delta)$ . Moreover by Lemma we have  $\cos \delta = \frac{2\cos^2 \varphi - 1}{\cos \varphi}$ . Putting  $x = \cos \varphi$ , we get  $\frac{1}{4}(1+x)(1 + \frac{2x^2-1}{x}) + 1 - \frac{1+x}{2} \leq x^2$ , i.e., that  $(2x-3)(x-1)(x+1) \geq 0$ .

In particular, this is true for  $x \in [0, 1]$  so if  $0 \leq \cos \varphi \leq 1$ , so if  $0 \leq \varphi \leq \frac{1}{2}\pi$ . Specifically for every  $\varphi \in (\frac{1}{4}\pi, \frac{1}{3}\pi)$ , so (see Lemma) for every  $\delta \in (\frac{1}{2}\pi, \pi)$ . We conclude that (\*\*\*) holds true for  $|tu| = \frac{1}{2}\varphi(\delta)$ .

Consequently (\*\*\*) remains true if we lessen  $|tu|$ , so also if  $0 < |tu| < \frac{1}{2}\varphi(\delta)$ . Still  $|uk|$  increases as  $|tu|$  decreases. This ends the considerations of (3.3.3.c).

In (4) we act analogously as in (3).

The inequality in our theorem cannot be improved for every  $\delta \in (\frac{1}{2}\pi, \pi)$ . This follows from the example of the regular triangle of sides of length  $2\varphi(\delta)$ , which is fulfilled when  $|ts| = |tu| = 2\varphi(\delta)$  in Case 2.  $\square$

## 5. FINAL REMARKS

Formulating and proving an  $n$ -dimensional version of Theorem 2 seems to be very difficult. Even formulating a conjecture does not seem to be easy.

From Theorem 2 and Lemma we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary.** *For every convex body  $C \subset S^2$  with  $\text{diam}(C) \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$  we have*

$$\text{diam}(E(C)) > \frac{2}{3} \cdot \text{diam}(C).$$

The inequality in Corollary 2 cannot be improved. In order to see this consider the limit of regular triangles whose bights tend to  $\pi$  from below. The author expects that the following generalization of Corollary 2 (where a convex body and its extreme points are defined as in  $S^2$ ) holds true: *for every convex body  $C \subset S^n$  of diameter  $\delta \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$  we have  $\text{diam}(E(C)) > \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{2n}}$ . This value cannot be lessened for the family of the regular simplexes inscribed in the ball of radius  $r$  as  $r \rightarrow \pi/2$  from below.*

## REFERENCES

- [1] Böröczky K. J., Sogmeister Á., Stability of the isodiametric problem on the sphere and in the hyperbolic space, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* **145** (2023) 102480.
- [2] Danzer L., Grünbaum B., Klee V., Helly's theorem and its relatives, *Proc. of Symp. in Pure Math.* vol. VII, Convexity, 1963, pp. 99–180.
- [3] Hadwiger H., Kleine Studie zur kombinatorischen Geometrie der Sphäre, *Nagoya Math. J.* **8** (1955), 45–48.
- [4] Han H., From spherical separation center set to the upper and lower bound theorems, arXiv:013797.v2.
- [5] Lassak M., Width of spherical convex bodies, *Aequationes Math.* **89** (2015), 555–567.
- [6] Lassak, M., Spherical Geometry – A Survey on Width and Thickness of Convex bodies in *Surveys in geometry I*. Papadopoulos, Athanase (ed.), Cham: Springer. 7–47 (2022).
- [7] Lassak M., Musielak M., Spherical bodies of constant width, *Aequationes Math.* **92** (2018), 627–640.
- [8] Lassak M., Musielak M., Reduced spherical convex bodies, *Bulletin Polish Acad. Sci. Math.* **66** (2018), 87–97.

- [9] Lassak M., Musielak M., Diameter of reduced spherical convex bodies, *Fasciculi Mathematici* **61** (2018), 103–108.
- [10] Santalo, L. A., Convex regions in the  $n$ -dimensional spherical surfaces, *Ann. Math.* **47** (1946), 448–459.
- [11] Whittlesay M. A., *Spherical Geometry and Its Applications*, CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group, 2020.
- [12] Van Brummelen G., *Heavenly mathematics. The forgotten art of spherical trigonometry*. Princeton University Press (Princeton, 2013).

Marek Lassak  
Instytut Matematyki i Fizyki  
University of Science and Technology  
85-796 Bydgoszcz, Poland  
email address: lassak@pbs.edu.pl