

DIAGRAMMATICS FOR DICYCLIC GROUPS

PETER DEBELLO AND DANIEL TUBBENHAUER

ABSTRACT. Using that the dicyclic group is the type D subgroup of $SU(2)$, we extend the Temperley–Lieb diagrammatics to give a diagrammatic presentation of the complex representation theory of the dicyclic group.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Representation theory of dicyclic groups	2
3. Diagrammatics for Dicyclic Groups	6
4. Infinite dicyclic categories	9
5. Finite dicyclic categories	12
6. Example computations in Magma	13
References	16

1. INTRODUCTION

The complex representation theory of the group $SU(2)$, or equivalently the representation theory of its complexified Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$, is very well understood and serves as a toy example for understanding representations of a general complex semisimple Lie algebra. The theory may be summarized as follows: the vector representation $V = \mathbb{C}^2$ of $SU(2)$ contains, in principle, all the data concerning its finite dimensional representations. Every simple representation V_d is a symmetric power of V , uniquely classified by its highest weight, which is given by a single natural number. In other words, every simple representation appears in some tensor power of V , and each tensor power contributes a new simple. Furthermore, it is a well-known result that endomorphisms of tensor powers of V correspond to Temperley–Lieb diagrams, or Rumer–Teller–Weyl diagrams for chemists. The endomorphism algebra of the k th tensor power of V is the Temperley–Lieb algebra $TL_k(\delta)$ when the parameter $\delta = -2$. More generally, the category of finite dimensional representations of $SU(2)$ is equivalent to a diagrammatic category often called the Temperley–Lieb category $\mathbf{TL}(\delta)$, again for $\delta = -2$, see [RTW32] for an early reference. In this description, the representations V_d correspond to certain diagrammatic idempotents called Jones–Wenzl projectors.

The finite subgroups of $SU(2)$ are given by the ADE classification, and by the McKay correspondence their representation theory differs from $SU(2)$ according to their affine Dynkin diagrams $\tilde{A}_n, \tilde{D}_n, \tilde{E}_6, \tilde{E}_7, \tilde{E}_8$ [McK80]. Since finite groups have finitely many simple representations, this equivalence no longer holds when one restricts to a finite subgroup of $SU(2)$: eventually $V^{\otimes k}$ cannot produce new summands anymore. A natural question one may ask is therefore: for finite subgroups of types A, D, or E, how does the Temperley–Lieb category change?

The finite subgroup of $SU(2)$ corresponding to type A is (a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ extension of) the cyclic group, so every simple is one dimensional and the description of the category of its representation is rather trivial. Turning to the next case, in this paper, we answer this question in the case of the type D subgroups of $SU(2)$, called *dicyclic* groups, which are $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ extensions of dihedral groups. We examine the case of the infinite dicyclic group, denoted Dic_∞ , as well as the finite dicyclic group of degree n , denoted Dic_n . We show that morphisms in the category of representations of the infinite dicyclic group Dic_∞ are described by a certain type of *decorated Temperley–Lieb diagrams*. We denote the corresponding endomorphism algebras by $DiTL_k(\delta)$. These algebras first appear in [Gre98], but in a different context: in their work, the author constructs a diagram calculus for the analog of the Temperley–Lieb algebra corresponding to a quotient of the type D Hecke algebra. We prove that a further, explicit, quotient of this calculus by a simple relation yields an equivalence to the category of representations of the infinite dicyclic group. As a consequence, we also obtain a diagrammatic description of the representation theory of the finite dicyclic group Dic_n , but with an additional generating morphism that depends on n . We also compute the analogs of the Jones–Wenzl projectors $JW_n: V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V_n$ in the case of the infinite dicyclic group. That is, we compute diagrammatically

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 18M05, 18M15; Secondary: 14E16, 20C15.

Key words and phrases. Diagrammatics, representation theory of $SU(2)$, Temperley–Lieb algebras, McKay correspondence.

the idempotents in $\text{End}_G(V^{\otimes n})$ for $G = \text{Dic}_\infty$ projecting to the unique two dimensional simple summand of multiplicity one. Finally, we provide `Magma` [BC23] code for some of our calculations.

Many extensions of the Temperley–Lieb category have appeared in the literature; too many to cite here. However, let us mention a few relevant references. First, the paper [BBH16] computes the endomorphism algebras of the dicyclic groups, and serves as an important piece in our proofs, and the overall idea dates back at least to [GdlHJ89]. The paper [RT16] extends the Temperley–Lieb category to include Jones–Wenzl projectors as objects, avoiding the usual idempotent completion. We think it is interesting and feasible to do the same for our diagrammatic categories. Finally, recall that $\mathbf{TL}(\delta)$ is a (very nice) cellular category in the sense of [GL96, Wes09, EL16] with relation to classifications of bilinear forms, as proven in many works, e.g. [GL96, AST18, And20, Tub23]. It seems likely that the same is true for the dicyclic case, maybe with an adjustment of what one understands by “cellular” as, e.g., in [Tub22] or “bilinear form.”

Acknowledgments. The authors thank Ben Elias, Nigel Higson, and Ryan Reynolds for helpful comments and discussions about McKay diagrammatics and on compact groups, and PD would like to thank Nigel Higson for guidance and mentorship at Penn. State, as this paper represents a starting point for PD’s PhD thesis. The authors give a special thank you to the organizers of QUACKS 2022, as it was through this event that we were brought together and the collaboration was sparked.

PD was sponsored by a Graduate Teaching Associateship at Penn. State. DT was sponsored by the ARC Future Fellowship FT230100489 and pain.

2. REPRESENTATION THEORY OF DICYCLIC GROUPS

Throughout, our ground field is \mathbb{C} . Representations are assumed to be finite dimensional over \mathbb{C} , and actions are from the left. This section is mostly self-contained, and we only use standard facts from representation theory that can be found in many books, e.g. in [FH91, Sim96].

2A. The type D subgroups Dic_∞ and Dic_n . The *infinite dicyclic group*, denoted Dic_∞ , is the subgroup of $SU(2) = SU_2(\mathbb{C})$ generated by the matrices

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta} \end{pmatrix},$$

where θ is any irrational multiple of π . Note that by choosing θ in this way, the generator a has infinite order. We will often drop the word “infinite” when referring to Dic_∞ throughout this paper.

Lemma 2A.1. *We have the following properties of Dic_∞ .*

(a) *We have the generators and relations presentation:*

$$\text{Dic}_\infty \cong \langle x, a \mid x^{-1}ax = a^{-1}, x^4 = 1 \rangle.$$

(b) *The dicyclic group is a disconnected noncompact subgroup of $SU(2)$. The closure of the dicyclic group is the subgroup of $SU(2)$ generated by x and all matrices of the form $\text{diag}(e^{i\theta}, e^{-i\theta})$, without an additional condition on θ .*

(c) *The quotient group $\text{Dic}_\infty / \langle x^2 \rangle$ is isomorphic to the infinite **dihedral** group D_∞ . Taking a further quotient of D_∞ by the subgroup $\langle a^n \rangle$ would yield an isomorphism with the finite dihedral group Dih_n of degree n .*

Proof. Standard and omitted. □

By definition, the quotient of the dicyclic group by the subgroup $\langle x^2 a^n \rangle$, is the *finite dicyclic group of degree n* , denoted Dic_n .

Lemma 2A.2. *We have the following properties of Dic_n .*

(a) *We have*

$$\text{Dic}_n \cong \langle a, x \mid a^{2n} = 1, x^2 = a^n, x^{-1}ax = a^{-1} \rangle.$$

(b) *The finite dicyclic groups fit into two exact sequences*

$$\mathbb{Z}/2n\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \text{Dic}_n \twoheadrightarrow \{\pm 1\}, \quad \{\pm 1\} \hookrightarrow \text{Dic}_n \twoheadrightarrow \text{Dih}_n.$$

(c) *The group Dic_n is isomorphic to the subgroup of $SU(2)$ generated by the matrices*

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{\pi i}{n}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\frac{\pi i}{n}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Again, standard facts. For the final point, observe that the degree n dicyclic group can be viewed as the preimage of the dihedral group Dih_n inside of $SO(3)$, under the double covering map $\pi: SU(2) \rightarrow SO(3)$. In this way, the degree n dicyclic group is a subgroup of $SU(2)$ of order $4n$. □

The relationship between dicyclic and dihedral groups of finite and infinite order may be summarized in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Dic}_\infty & \xrightarrow{\langle x^2 \rangle} & \text{Dih}_\infty \\ \langle x^2 a^n \rangle \downarrow & & \downarrow \langle a^n \rangle \\ \text{Dic}_n & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \text{Dih}_n \end{array}$$

where we have indicated the various quotients.

2B. Representation theory of dicyclic groups. We now state and prove facts about the complex representation theory of the dicyclic group $G = \text{Dic}_\infty$ and then pass to its degree n quotient. All of this is easy or well known (one can use e.g. [Lemma 2A.2.\(b\)](#)) but hard to find explicitly spelled out, so we do this here.

To start, recall that the dicyclic group is a subgroup of $SU(2)$. Hence, it has a two dimensional faithful representation, given by the natural action of the defining matrices in the dicyclic group on \mathbb{C}^2 . We denote this representation by V .

Lemma 2B.1. *We have the following.*

- (a) *The representation V is self-dual and simple.*
- (b) *The tensor products $V^{\otimes k}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ are semisimple.*
- (c) *All finite dimensional simples of Dic_∞ occur as a summand of $V^{\otimes k}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.*

Proof. The first point is easy and omitted, while the final two facts are not immediately clear, as Dic_∞ is not a compact subgroup of $SU(2)$. However, since $G = \text{Dic}_\infty$ acts continuously on V , the result follows after passing to the closed subgroup \bar{G} . \square

We will study the tensor powers of the natural representation V .

Lemma 2B.2. *We have $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{End}_G(V^{\otimes 2}) = 3$, and the tensor square contains nonisomorphic simple Dic_∞ -representations of dimensions 1 (the trivial representation $V_0 = \mathbb{C}$), 1 (denoted $V_0' = \bar{\mathbb{C}}$) and 2.*

Proof. Let v_1, v_2 denote the standard basis vectors in \mathbb{C}^2 . Observe that $\{v_1 \otimes v_1, v_2 \otimes v_2\} \subset V \otimes V$ generates a two dimensional simple submodule, and that the element $v_2 \otimes v_1 - v_1 \otimes v_2$ spans a copy of the trivial representation. The complement of these two summands, spanned by $v_1 \otimes v_2 + v_2 \otimes v_1$, is a one dimensional simple not isomorphic to the trivial representation because $x \in G$ acts by a sign. \square

Denote by $e_{\bar{1}}$ the primitive idempotent in $\text{End}_G(V^{\otimes 2})$ projecting to $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$.

Lemma 2B.3. *The idempotent $e_{\bar{1}}$ annihilates $v_1 \otimes v_1, v_2 \otimes v_2 \in V^{\otimes 2}$ and maps the other basis vectors $v_1 \otimes v_2, v_2 \otimes v_1 \in V^{\otimes 2}$ to $v_1 \otimes v_2 + v_2 \otimes v_1$.*

Proof. Immediate. \square

Let us then rescale this idempotent map so that the image of $v_1 \otimes v_2$ and $v_2 \otimes v_1$ is $-(v_1 \otimes v_2 + v_2 \otimes v_1)$ and $e_{\bar{1}}^2 = -2e_{\bar{1}}$. Recall that the identification of V with its dual V^* corresponds to an *evaluation* map $\text{ev} \in \text{Hom}_G(V^{\otimes 2}, \mathbb{C})$, given by

$$\text{ev}(v_1^{\otimes 2}) = 0 = \text{ev}(v_2^{\otimes 2}), \quad \text{ev}(v_1 \otimes v_2) = 1, \quad \text{ev}(v_2 \otimes v_1) = -1.$$

The dual map, *coevaluation*, $\text{coev} \in \text{Hom}_G(\mathbb{C}, V^{\otimes 2})$ is given by $1 \mapsto v_2 \otimes v_1 - v_1 \otimes v_2$. We write id for the appropriate identity morphism.

Lemma 2B.4. *The idempotent $e_{\bar{1}} \in \text{End}_G(V^{\otimes 2})$ satisfies the following relations:*

- (1) $e_{\bar{1}} \circ \text{ev} = \text{coev} \circ e_{\bar{1}} = 0$,
- (2) $e_{\bar{1}}^2 = -2e_{\bar{1}}$,
- (3) $(\text{id} \otimes \text{ev}) \circ (e_{\bar{1}} \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes \text{coev}) = \text{id}$,
- (4) $(\text{ev} \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes e_{\bar{1}}) \circ (\text{coev} \otimes \text{id}) = \text{id}$.


Proof. Relation (1) follows from Schur's lemma, and relation (2) is explained above. We directly compute relation (3):

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{id} \otimes \text{ev}) \circ (e_{\bar{1}} \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes \text{coev})(v_1 \otimes 1) &= (\text{id} \otimes \text{ev}) \circ (e_{\bar{1}} \otimes \text{id})(v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_1 - v_1 \otimes v_1 \otimes v_2) \\ &= (\text{id} \otimes \text{ev})(-v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_1 - v_2 \otimes v_1 \otimes v_1) \\ &= v_1. \\ (\text{id} \otimes \text{ev}) \circ (e_{\bar{1}} \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes \text{coev})(v_2 \otimes 1) &= (\text{id} \otimes \text{ev}) \circ (e_{\bar{1}} \otimes \text{id})(v_2 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_1 - v_2 \otimes v_1 \otimes v_2) \\ &= (\text{id} \otimes \text{ev})(v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_2 + v_2 \otimes v_1 \otimes v_2) \\ &= v_2. \end{aligned}$$

A nearly identical, and omitted, calculation proves relation (4). \square

Moreover, for $k = n$ the corresponding representation is $V_n \oplus V_{n'}$.

- (c) Let V be the Dic_n -representation induced from the Dic_∞ -representation on $V = \mathbb{C}^2$. Then all the above simple Dic_n -representations appear as direct summands of some $V^{\otimes k}$.
- (d) The fusion graph of tensoring with V is the affine type D Dynkin diagram:



Proof. The degree n dicyclic group Dic_n consists of $n + 3$ conjugacy classes. The center is a union of the singleton classes $\{1\}, \{x^2\}$. There are $n - 1$ classes of size two, given by the elements $\{a, a^{-1}\}, \{a^2, a^{-2}\}$, up to $\{a^{n-1}, a^{-n+1}\}$, and finally two classes with n elements: $\{x, xa^2, \dots, xa^{2n-2}\}, \{xa, xa^3, \dots, xa^{2n-1}\}$. Hence, the count comes out as $n + 3$ simple Dic_n -representations. One can then check that the given matrix representations are pairwise nonisomorphic and simple, and the claim follows.

Alternatively, we briefly outline the construction of all the simples as summands of tensor powers of the natural representation, in the same way as above for Dic_∞ . For $1 \leq k < n$, the decomposition is completely identical: the two dimensional simple V_k appears as a multiplicity one summand of $V^{\otimes k}$. Indeed, if $k < n$, the simple tensors $\{v_1^{\otimes k}, v_2^{\otimes k}\}$ generate the two dimensional summand $V_k \subset V^{\otimes k}$. There are two new one dimensional simple representations for Dic_n . Observe that for $k = n$, the element $v_1^{\otimes k} + v_2^{\otimes k}$ is acted on by a sign by $a \in G$ and fixed by $x \in G$ when n is even and hence generates a 1-dimensional simple not isomorphic to \mathbb{C} . In the case of n odd, one takes $v_1^{\otimes k} - v_2^{\otimes k}$. Denote this simple representation by V_n . Finally, also inside of $V^{\otimes k}$ for $k = n$ with n even, the element $v_1^{\otimes k} - v_2^{\otimes k}$ is transformed by a sign under both $a, x \in G$ and hence generates another one dimensional simple, not isomorphic to V_n, \mathbb{C} , or the trivial representation: we denote it by $V_{n'}$. Again, if n is odd, one needs to change a sign on the generating element, but $V_{n'}$ remains a summand. \square

As outlined in the introduction, we would like to study the endomorphism algebra $A_k := \text{End}_G(V^{\otimes k})$ for both the dicyclic group Dic_∞ and its finite quotient group $G = \text{Dic}_n$, and compare it to the Temperley–Lieb algebra $TL_k(-2)$.

Lemma 2B.10. *Let V denote the standard representation of $G = \text{Dic}_\infty$ and χ its character. For all integers $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we have*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{End}_G(V^{\otimes k}) = \langle 1, \chi^{2k} \rangle = \frac{2^{2k}}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^{2k}(\theta) d\theta = \frac{1}{2} \binom{2k}{k}.$$

Proof. First, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{End}_G(V^{\otimes k}) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_G(\mathbb{C}, V^{\otimes 2k})$ since V is self dual. To justify the first equality, note that as a discrete subgroup of $SU(2)$, G acts continuously on $V^{\otimes 2k}$ and hence the left-hand side equals $\dim \text{Hom}_{\bar{G}}(\mathbb{C}, V^{\otimes 2k})$. Since \bar{G} is compact, we can compute the dimension with a character calculation by integrating the character χ^{2k} over all of \bar{G} and normalizing:

$$\langle 1, \chi^{2k} \rangle = \frac{2^{2k}}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^{2k}(\theta) d\theta.$$

Finally, the identity $\frac{2^{2k}}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^{2k}(\theta) d\theta = \frac{1}{2} \binom{2k}{k}$ may be proven by converting to an integral over the unit circle in the complex plane and applying the Cauchy residue theorem. \square

Let us now take the first steps to identify the dimension of A_k in the case of the degree n dicyclic group $G = \text{Dic}_n$.

Proposition 2B.11. *For all integers $1 \leq k < n$, we have*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{End}_G(V^{\otimes k}) = \langle 1, \chi^{2k} \rangle = \frac{2^{2k}}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^{2k}(\theta) d\theta = \frac{1}{2} \binom{2k}{k}.$$

Proof. As V is self-dual, $\dim \text{End}_G(V^{\otimes k})$ equals the multiplicity of the trivial representation in $V^{\otimes 2k}$. Now, use Lemma 2B.10 and its proof. \square

Note that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{End}_G(V^{\otimes n}) = \frac{1}{2} \binom{2n}{n} + 1$, which is the case when we hit the second fish tail of the affine type D Dynkin diagram. The extra morphism in the $k + 1$ endomorphism algebra arises as the primitive idempotent projecting to the summand $V_{n'}$. In the case of Dic_∞ , this summand does not exist: every tensor power of V results in a unique two dimensional summand of multiplicity one generated by the vectors $v_1^{\otimes k}, v_2^{\otimes k}$. In the case of the finite dicyclic group Dic_n , the splitting arises because the order of $a \in G$ is finite. In particular, the breaking point $k = n$ comes from the exponential $e^{\pi i/n}$ in the matrix for the generator $a \in G \subset SU(2)$.

One would expect the dimension of A_k to be $\frac{1}{2} \binom{2k}{k}$ for all k in the case of the dicyclic group Dic_∞ , since there is no “breaking point” in the tensor power decompositions. To compute the dimension of A_k in the

case of the finite dicyclic group Dic_n , we prove the following, where we write the character table using the conjugacy classes as index for the columns, with value vectors denoted by $\text{col}_{\text{index}}$. Let $[2]_{d,n} = q + q^{-1}$ for $q = \exp(di\pi/n)$.

Theorem 2B.12. *For all integers $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we have*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{End}_G(V^{\otimes k}) = \left(\sum \left(\frac{1}{4n} \cdot (1 \cdot \text{col}_1 \cdot 2^k + 1 \cdot \text{col}_{x^2} \cdot (-2)^k + \sum_{d=1}^{n-1} 2 \cdot \text{col}_{a^d, a^{-d}} \cdot [2]_{d,n}^k) \right) \right)^2,$$

where the outside sum means summing over all entries of the vector. Moreover, the vectors $\text{col}_{\text{index}}$ can be explicitly obtained from [Lemma 2A.2.\(b\)](#) and the character table of the dihedral group as in [Lemma 2B.13](#).

Proof. Recall that the fusion graph we need to study is the affine type D Dynkin diagram, see [Proposition 2B.9](#). We need to take the k th power of the adjacency matrix of this graph, and compute the sum of the squares of the entries in the first row. By standard argument, see for example [\[EGNO15, LTV23b, LTV24\]](#) and in particular [\[He24\]](#), this boils down to a character calculation, and we need the following lemma. To this end, recall that we explicitly computed the conjugacy classes of the dicyclic group in the proof of [Proposition 2B.9](#).

Lemma 2B.13. *We have the following character tables for the dihedral group. Let $\theta = 2\pi/n$. Firstly, if n is even then:*

	1	a	a^2	a^3	...	a^{m-1}	a^m	b	ab
	1	2	2	2	...	2	1	m	m
χ_0	1	1	1	1	...	1	1	1	1
χ_0^*	1	1	1	1	...	1	1	-1	-1
χ_1	2	$2 \cos(\theta)$	$2 \cos(2\theta)$	$2 \cos(3\theta)$...	$2 \cos((m-1)\theta)$	-2	0	0
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	...	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
χ_{m-1}	2	$2 \cos((m-1)\theta)$	$2 \cos(2(m-1)\theta)$	$2 \cos(3(m-1)\theta)$...	$2 \cos((m-1)^2\theta)$	$(-1)^{m-1} 2$	0	0
χ_m	1	-1	1	-1	...	$(-1)^{m-1}$	$(-1)^m$	-1	1
χ_m^*	1	-1	1	-1	...	$(-1)^{m-1}$	$(-1)^m$	1	-1

Second, if n is odd, then:

	1	a	a^2	a^3	...	a^{m-1}	a^m	b
	1	2	2	2	...	2	2	n
χ_0	1	1	1	1	...	1	1	1
χ_0^*	1	1	1	1	...	1	1	-1
χ_1	2	$2 \cos(\theta)$	$2 \cos(2\theta)$	$2 \cos(3\theta)$...	$2 \cos((m-1)\theta)$	$2 \cos(m\theta)$	0
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	...	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
χ_m	2	$2 \cos(m\theta)$	$2 \cos(2m\theta)$	$2 \cos(3m\theta)$...	$2 \cos((m-1)m\theta)$	$2 \cos(m^2\theta)$	0

Here m is determined by $n = 2m$ or $n = 2m + 1$. The first row is a representative of the associated conjugacy class, and the second row is the number of elements in the class.

Proof. This is well-known, e.g. this follows from [\[Ser77, Section 5.3\]](#), and omitted. See also the additional file for the paper [\[GTW24\]](#) where this is stolen from. \square

Lemma 2B.14. *The character values χ on V are as follows:*

- (a) *The conjugacy class $\{1\}$ takes value $\chi = 2$.*
- (b) *The conjugacy class $\{x^2\}$ takes value $\chi = -2$.*
- (c) *The conjugacy class $\{a^d, a^{-d}\}$ takes value $\chi = [2]_{d,n}$.*
- (d) *The conjugacy classes with n elements take values $\chi = 0$.*

Proof. The character table of the dihedral groups is well known; see the proof of [Lemma 2B.13](#) above. We combine this with [Lemma 2A.2.\(b\)](#). \square

Following [\[He24\]](#) and using [Lemma 2B.14](#), one gets the desired result. \square

Carrying out the character calculation explicitly, the formula of [Theorem 2B.12](#) gives us:


$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{End}_G(V^{\otimes k}) = \frac{2^{2k+1}}{4n} \left(1 + \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \cos^{2k} \left(\frac{m\pi}{n} \right) \right).$$

3. DIAGRAMMATICS FOR DICYCLIC GROUPS

We now describe the representation category of the dicyclic group diagrammatically. Our to-go reference for Temperley–Lieb diagrammatics is [\[KL94\]](#), where the reader can find many facts that we implicitly use.

3A. The dicyclic Temperley–Lieb algebra $DiTL_k(\delta)$. To proceed towards our goal of modifying the Temperley–Lieb category for $SU(2)$, we must now more concretely identify the structure of the endomorphism algebras A_k .

We start this section with the construction of what we are calling the *dicyclic Temperley–Lieb algebras*, which are quotients of the type D Temperley–Lieb algebras. We will recall the definition and diagrammatic interpretation of these algebras here, but for a more thorough treatment see [Gre98] or [LS22]. The type D Dynkin diagram, which we now need instead of the affine one, is:



For each vertex labeled $1, \bar{1}, 2, \dots, k-1$ in the diagram, we associate a generator e_i .

Definition 3A.1. The *type D Temperley–Lieb algebra* is the free \mathbb{C} -algebra generated by (generators indexed by the vertices of D_k) $e_1, e_{\bar{1}}, e_2, \dots, e_{k-1}$, subject to the relations:

- (a) $e_i^2 = \delta e_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \bar{1}, 2, \dots, k-1\}$.
- (b) $e_i e_j = e_j e_i$ if i, j are disconnected in the diagram.
- (c) $e_i e_j e_i = e_i$ if i, j are connected in the diagram.

Here, as throughout, we fix the so-called circle parameter $\delta \in \mathbb{C}$. ◇


Remark 3A.2. We could also work over $\mathbb{C}[\delta]$ and make δ a parameter. Since we will only need $\delta = -2$ the above formulation is sufficient for us. ◇

This is the usual construction of the Temperley–Lieb algebra for the type A diagram, but with a single additional node $\bar{1}$:


Lemma 3A.3. The subalgebra generated by e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{k-1} is the usual Temperley–Lieb algebra $TL_k(\delta)$.

Proof. Not difficult (and not needed) and omitted. □

Diagrammatically, the generators e_i may be thought of as the usual cup-cap generators of the Temperley–Lieb algebra, cf. Lemma 3A.3, together with the following *decorated diagram* for the generator $e_{\bar{1}}$ corresponding to the new node:




When interpreted this way, we have the following rules for *decoration removal* when we multiply diagrams:



Note that in the type D Temperley–Lieb algebra, loops with one decoration do not evaluate to δ . This means that a basis will include some diagrams with loops. However, if we further impose the relation $e_1 e_{\bar{1}} = e_{\bar{1}} e_1 = 0$ on the type D Temperley–Lieb algebra, the third decoration removal relation vanishes, and we get:

$$\text{loop with one dot} = \text{loop with two dots} = 0.$$

Example 3A.4. The diagram corresponding to the element $e_{\bar{1}} e_2 e_1$ in the 3 strand algebra is:



Where we used the standard zigzag relations of the Temperley–Lieb algebra. ◇

We now define one of our main players:

Definition 3A.5. The *dicyclic Temperley–Lieb algebra* on k strands, denoted $DiTL_k(\delta)$, is the quotient of the type D Temperley–Lieb algebra by the two-sided ideal generated by $e_1 e_{\bar{1}}$. \diamond


Lemma 3A.6. The dimension of the dicyclic Temperley–Lieb algebra $DiTL_k(\delta)$ is $\frac{1}{2} \binom{2k}{k}$. The algebra has a basis consisting of diagrams with satisfying the following two conditions:

- (a) There are an even number of decorations.
- (b) Any decorated strand is exposed to the left boundary of the diagram.

Proof. This is [Gre98, Lemma 6.5]. \square

The second condition in Lemma 3A.6, in other words, says that any decorated strand can be pulled out from the left-hand side of the diagram.

Example 3A.7. For example, the following ten diagrams constitute a basis for $DiTL_3(\delta)$:



Note that there are only zero or two dots. \diamond

Remark 3A.8. We note that these algebras are also called the *forked* Temperley–Lieb algebras due to the fish tail of the Dynkin diagram. \diamond

3B. The dicyclic Temperley–Lieb category $DiTL(\delta, \infty)$. The reader might now want to recall some basics of monoidal categories, see e.g. [EGNO15, Tub21].

Definition 3B.1. The *Temperley–Lieb category* $TL(\delta)$, is the free \mathbb{C} -linear pivotal category generated by a single object \bullet of categorical dimension -2 . The relations thus are:

$$(1) \text{ ev} \circ \text{coev} = \delta \cdot \text{id}, \quad (2) (\text{ev} \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes \text{coev}) = (\text{coev} \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes \text{ev}) = \text{id},$$

The morphisms ev and coev are the ones coming from the pivotal structure of $TL(\delta)$. \diamond

Note that dots are always reachable from the left, e.g.:

$$\text{allowed : } \begin{array}{c} | \\ \bullet \\ | \end{array} , \quad \text{not allowed : } \begin{array}{c} | \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array} .$$

This means we need to work with (right) module categories. Recall a right module category over a monoidal category, see e.g. [HO01, Section 2] or many other references such as [Wei94, EGNO15, ST19]. The categorical version of the above is:

Definition 3B.2. The *dicyclic Temperley–Lieb category* $DiTL(\delta, \infty)$, is the free \mathbb{C} -linear $TL(\delta)$ -module category generated by a morphism $e_{\bar{1}} \in \text{End}(\bullet^{\otimes 2})$ subject to the following relations:

$$(3) (\text{id} \otimes \text{ev}) \circ (e_{\bar{1}} \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes \text{coev}) = \text{id}, \quad (4) (\text{ev} \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes e_{\bar{1}}) \circ (\text{coev} \otimes \text{id}) = \text{id},$$

$$(5) e_{\bar{1}} \circ \text{ev} = \text{coev} \circ e_{\bar{1}} = 0, \quad (6) e_{\bar{1}}^2 = \delta \cdot e_{\bar{1}}.$$

Note that we also have (1) and (2) from $TL(\delta)$, by definition. \diamond

The relations (1) and (2) are those of the Temperley–Lieb category (where we preferred the notation using evaluations and coevaluations over e_i), while relations (3)–(6) encode the properties of the generator $e_{\bar{1}}$ in $DiTL_n(\delta)$. Loops with two decorations evaluate to a scalar just like in the Temperley–Lieb category, however, by equation (5), loops with a single decoration vanish.

Example 3B.3. Drawing a decorated cup-cap for the generating morphism $e_{\bar{1}}$, we may interpret the above relations diagrammatically as follows:

Moreover, relations (1) and (2) take the usual form as the diagrammatic Temperley–Lieb relations. \diamond

Remark 3B.4. Note that the decoration in our diagrams does not represent a generating object of the category $\mathbf{DiTL}(\delta, \infty)$, but we remark here that one could alternatively present this category with an additional generating self dual object of dimension 1 which satisfies the above relations analogously. \diamond

Define a *crossing map* as follows (we only give the diagrammatic version):

$$(3B.5) \quad \begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \diagdown \end{array} = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \\ \hline \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \cup \\ \cap \end{array} .$$


We can use this to interpret dots on strands that are not reachable from the left by:

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \bullet \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} , \text{ etc.}$$

We can then define a monoidal product on morphisms in $\mathbf{DiTL}(\delta, \infty)$ by juxtaposition.

Lemma 3B.6. *This gives $\mathbf{DiTL}(\delta, \infty)$ the structure of a pivotal category.*

Proof. This follows by interpreting dots as winding around an annulus:



In this interpretation the monoidal product becomes

$$\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \dots \\ \hline f \\ \hline \dots \\ \hline \end{array} \otimes \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \dots \\ \hline g \\ \hline \dots \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \dots \\ \hline f \\ \hline \dots \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \dots \\ \hline g \\ \hline \dots \\ \hline \end{array}$$

This is a well-known result, see e.g. [HO01, MS21, LTV23a] for details. \square

We will from now on use the monoidal and pivotal structure given by Lemma 3B.6.

4. INFINITE DICYCLIC CATEGORIES

We now study the infinite case carefully.

4A. An equivalence $\mathbf{Kar}(\mathbf{DiTL}(-2, \infty)) \cong \mathbf{Rep}(\mathbf{Dic}_\infty)$. As before, let V denote the standard representation of the dicyclic group $G = \mathbf{Dic}_\infty$, viewed as a subgroup of $SU(2)$. Recall the idempotent $e_{\bar{1}} \in \text{End}_G(V^{\otimes 2})$ projecting to the nontrivial one dimensional summand $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $\mathbf{Rep}(\mathbf{Dic}_\infty)$ denote the category of finite dimensional complex representations of \mathbf{Dic}_∞ , and let \mathcal{C} denote the full monoidal subcategory of $\mathbf{Rep}(\mathbf{Dic}_\infty)$ monoidally generated by V .

Lemma 4A.1. *The morphisms coev , ev , and $e_{\bar{1}}$ monoidally generate \mathcal{C} .*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 2B.5 and the same arguments as in the Temperley–Lieb case, which can be copied from [RTW32]. \square

Lemma 4A.2. *The three categories $\mathbf{DiTL}(-2, \infty)$, \mathcal{C} and $\mathbf{Rep}(\mathbf{Dic}_\infty)$, are ribbon (with structures specified in the proof).*

Proof. For $\mathbf{DiTL}(-2, \infty)$ we use (3B.5) as the braiding, and we use the morphisms ev and coev for the pivotal structure. For \mathcal{C} and $\mathbf{Rep}(\mathbf{Dic}_\infty)$ we take the flip map and the duality coming from the Hopf algebra structure as our structures. One easily checks that these are ribbon structures. For $\mathbf{DiTL}(-2, \infty)$ one, for example, calculates:

$$\begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \diagdown \\ \diagdown \\ \diagup \end{array} = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \text{since it restricts from } SU(2).$$

The Reidemeister 3 move and the naturality relations can be checked by hand as well, or with Magma if the reader prefers to do that. \square

From now on we will use exclusively the ribbon structures as defined in the proof of Lemma 4A.2 for the categories in that lemma.

Lemma 4A.3. *There is a well-defined ribbon \mathbb{C} -linear functor $\mathcal{F}: \mathbf{DiTL}(-2, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ determined by mapping $\bullet \mapsto V$ and the cap, cup, and decorated cup-cap morphisms to ev , $coev$, and $e_{\bar{1}}$ respectively.*

Proof. Lemma 2B.4 shows that $coev$, ev , and $e_{\bar{1}}$ satisfy the defining relations of $\mathbf{DiTL}(-2, \infty)$. The rest of the statement is easy to verify. \square

Theorem 4A.4. *The functor \mathcal{F} from Lemma 4A.3 is fully faithful.*


Proof. In a pivotal category, where $*$ denotes the dual, we can focus on the endomorphism algebras by using the following, well-known result that we repeat for completeness (the argument works more general, but we will not need this):

Lemma 4A.5. *Let X, Y, Z be objects in a pivotal category \mathcal{C} . Then we have:*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X \otimes Y, Z) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X^* \otimes Z), \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y \otimes X, Z) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, Z \otimes X^*),$$

and similar isomorphism pulling X from the second into the first component.

Proof. Let us construct isomorphisms for the first case, all others cases are similar. We define



That these are inverses follows from the zigzag relations. \square

It thus suffices to prove that the corresponding family of algebra morphisms $f_k : \mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{DiTL}(-2)}(\bullet^{\otimes k}) \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(V^{\otimes k})$ are all isomorphisms. By Lemma 3A.6, the dimension of $\mathbf{DiTL}_k(-2)$ is $\frac{1}{2} \binom{2k}{k}$, which matches that of $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(V^{\otimes k})$ by Proposition 2B.11. It now suffices to show that f_k is surjective for all k , which amounts to showing that every idempotent in $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(V^{\otimes k})$ is in the image of f_k . By Proposition 2B.5, we need only show that the idempotent projecting to $V_k \subset V^{\otimes k}$ is in the image. Writing 1 for the identity morphism on V , define:

$$f_1 := 1, \quad f_2 := (f_1 \otimes 1) + \frac{1}{2}(ev \circ coev + e_{\bar{1}}),$$

$$f_{j+1} = (f_j \otimes 1) + (f_j \otimes 1) \circ (1^{\otimes j-1} \otimes (coev \circ ev) \otimes 1^{\otimes n-j}) \quad \text{for } j \geq 2.$$

Observe that this defines a sequence $\{f_j\}$ of idempotents projecting to the subrepresentation generated by the simple tensors $\{v_1^{\otimes j}, v_2^{\otimes j}\} \subset V^{\otimes j}$, which is V_j . Since f_1, f_2 are in the image of f_1, f_2 , it follows that f_k is in the image of f_k , and we are done. \square

Remark 4A.6. The idempotents $\{f_j\}$ constructed above are scalar multiples of the images of the classical Jones–Wenzl projectors under restriction to the dicyclic group $\mathrm{Dic}_{\infty} \subset \mathrm{SU}(2)$. We elaborate on their diagrammatic interpretation in the next section. \diamond

Corollary 4A.7. *There is an equivalence of ribbon \mathbb{C} -linear additive categories $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}: \mathrm{Kar}(\mathbf{DiTL}(-2, \infty)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Rep}(\mathrm{Dic}_{\infty})$ determined by \mathcal{F} .* \square

Proof. Immediate from Theorem 4A.4, the usual Yoga of idempotent completions, and Lemma 2B.1. \square

4B. Dicyclic Jones–Wenzl projectors. We wish to generalize the above theorem to the finite degree n dicyclic group Dic_n . In order to define the appropriate diagrammatic category for Dic_n , we need to start with the dicyclic Temperley–Lieb category $\mathbf{DiTL}(-2, \infty)$ and make some adjustments. First, as before, denote by $e_{\bar{1}}$ the decorated cup-cap in the dicyclic Temperley–Lieb category.

Lemma 4B.1. *Under the equivalence in Corollary 4A.7, $e_{\bar{1}}$ is (a scalar multiple of) the idempotent projecting onto \mathbb{C} .*

Proof. By construction. \square

Remark 4B.2. We will be brief below. All the classical arguments in the Temperley–Lieb case as e.g. in [KL94, Section 3.3] work with the adjustment coming from Lemma 2B.7 and Lemma 4B.1. See also [STWZ23, Section 3] for a detailed explanation of the corresponding “idempotent construction strategy”. \diamond

Next, we define **dicyclic Jones–Wenzl projectors**. To this end, define $f_1 := \text{id}_V$ and $f_2 := f_1 + \frac{1}{2}(\text{ev} \circ \text{coev} + e_{\bar{1}})$, and let $n > 2$. Then, as in the proof of [Theorem 4A.4](#), let us recursively define a sequence of morphisms $\{f_j\}$ for $2 \leq j \leq n-1$ by:

$$(4B.3) \quad f_{j+1} = f_j + f_j e_j f_j.$$

We slightly abuse the notation and write f_j in the above expression instead of $f_j \otimes 1$. We will also use the usual **box notation** for these projectors. Then, for $i \geq 2$, (4B.3) becomes:

$$f_i = f_{i-1} + \left(\begin{array}{c} \boxed{f_{i-1}} \\ \boxed{f_{i-2}} \\ \boxed{f_{i-1}} \end{array} \right).$$

Example 4B.4. The first projector f_1 is the identity, and for example the next two projectors in the sequence are:

$$f_2 = \left| \begin{array}{c} | \\ | \end{array} \right| + \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} \cup \\ \cup \end{array} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \cup \\ \bullet \end{array} \right),$$

$$f_3 = \left| \begin{array}{c} | \\ | \\ | \end{array} \right| + \left| \begin{array}{c} \cup \\ | \\ \cup \end{array} \right| + \frac{3}{4} \left(\begin{array}{c} \cup \\ | \\ \cup \end{array} \right) + \frac{3}{4} \left(\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \cup \\ \bullet \end{array} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \left(\begin{array}{c} \cup \\ \bullet \\ \cup \end{array} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \left(\begin{array}{c} \cup \\ | \\ \cup \end{array} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \left(\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \cup \\ \bullet \end{array} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \left(\begin{array}{c} \cup \\ \bullet \\ \cup \end{array} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} \cup \\ \cup \\ \cup \end{array} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \cup \\ \bullet \end{array} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} \cup \\ \cup \\ \cup \end{array} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \cup \\ \bullet \end{array} \right).$$

This follows by direct calculation. \diamond

We state the following properties (written in terms of the category but omitting $\otimes \text{id}$ etc.), where the indexes are all admissible possibilities:

$$(4B.5) \quad f_i \neq 0, \quad f_i f_i = f_i, \quad f_i \text{coev} = \text{ev} f_i = 0, \quad f_i e_{\bar{1}} = e_{\bar{1}} f_i = 0.$$

In box notation the relations take a familiar form:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \boxed{f_i} \\ \boxed{f_i} \end{array} \right) = \boxed{f_i}, \quad \left(\begin{array}{c} \boxed{f_i} \\ \cup \\ \boxed{f_i} \end{array} \right) = 0 = \left(\begin{array}{c} \boxed{f_i} \\ \bullet \\ \boxed{f_i} \end{array} \right), \quad \left(\begin{array}{c} \boxed{f_i} \\ \cup \\ \bullet \\ \cup \\ \boxed{f_i} \end{array} \right) = 0 = \left(\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \cup \\ \bullet \\ \cup \\ \boxed{f_i} \end{array} \right).$$

Lemma 4B.6. (4B.5) hold and characterize the dicyclic Jones–Wenzl projectors uniquely

Proof. Keeping in mind that the scalar changes due to [Lemma 2B.7](#), the usual arguments work. \square

Lemma 4B.7. The dicyclic Jones–Wenzl projectors satisfy the following.

(a) We have **hom vanishing**, i.e. for $0 \leq j \leq i$ we have

$$(4B.8) \quad f_j \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}\text{TL}(-2, \infty)}(\bullet^i, \bullet^j) f_i = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}\{f_i\} & \text{if } i=j, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Similarly if $j \geq i$.

(b) We have **absorption**, i.e.

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \boxed{f_j} \\ \boxed{f_i} \end{array} \right) = \boxed{f_i} = \left(\begin{array}{c} \boxed{f_i} \\ \boxed{f_j} \end{array} \right) \quad \text{where } 0 \leq j \leq i.$$

(c) We have **partial trace properties**, i.e. for $i \geq 2$:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \boxed{f_i} \\ \cup \\ \boxed{f_i} \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \boxed{f_i} \\ \bullet \\ \boxed{f_i} \end{array} \right) = -\boxed{f_{i-1}}.$$

Similar partial traces, but with different scalars, hold for $i = 0, 1$.

Proof. One can copy the calculations in [\[Tub21, Section 10\]](#). \square

The following justifies the name dicyclic Jones–Wenzl projectors.

Proposition 4B.9. Under the equivalence with $\mathbf{Rep}(Dic_\infty)$, the dicyclic Jones–Wenzl projectors are the idempotents projecting to the leading summand of $V^{\otimes k}$. This also holds for the finite group for $1 \leq k < n$.

Proof. Again, not very different from the Temperley–Lieb case and omitted. \square

Remark 4B.10. The recursive formula (4B.3) for these idempotents is the dicyclic version of the Jones–Wenzl idempotent recursion with one crucial difference: the appearing scalar is 1 in (4B.3) and $k/(k+1)$ for the Temperley–Lieb algebra. The difference comes from [Lemma 2B.7](#) where the ratio would be $k/(k+1)$ for $SU(2)$. \diamond

5. FINITE DICYCLIC CATEGORIES

We now study the finite case.

5A. The degree n dicyclic Temperley–Lieb category $\mathbf{DiTL}(\delta, n)$. Let us write e_i short for either the evaluation or the coevaluation applied in spot i . We have the following analog of $\mathbf{DiTL}(\delta, \infty)$:

Definition 5A.1. The *dicyclic Temperley–Lieb category of degree n* $\mathbf{DiTL}(\delta, n)$ is defined exactly as $\mathbf{DiTL}(\delta, \infty)$ but with the additional morphism $J = J_n \in \text{End}(\bullet^{\otimes n})$, the *degree n dicyclic Jones–Wenzl projector*, and the additional relations:

$$(1) f_j J = J = J f_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-1, \quad (2) J^2 = J, \quad (3) f_n J = 0 = J f_n,$$

$$(4) e_n J e_n = -\frac{1}{2} f_{n-1}, \quad (5) e_i J = 0 = J e_i, \quad 1 \leq i < n, \quad (6) e_i J = J e_i, \quad i > n, \quad (7) J \otimes \text{id} = -2 J e_n J,$$

where the dicyclic Jones–Wenzl projectors $\{f_j\} \in \text{End}(\bullet^{\otimes j})$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ are defined as before. \diamond

As alluded to above, the relations in the definition of $\mathbf{DiTL}(\delta, n)$ are type D analogs of the relations satisfied by the classical Jones–Wenzl projectors.

Lemma 5A.2. *The category $\mathbf{DiTL}(-2, n)$ is ribbon by inheriting (as an extension) the structures from $\mathbf{DiTL}(\delta, \infty)$.*

Proof. As in Lemma 4A.2. \square

We, inspired by [Com20], would like to draw a jellyfish for J . Or, alternatively, an $2n$ valent vertex, a notation we learned from [Eli16]. However, these are taken, so we instead draw:

$$J \rightsquigarrow \boxed{J}.$$

The relations then take the following form:

$$\begin{array}{c} \boxed{f_j} \\ | \\ \boxed{J} \end{array} = \boxed{J} = \begin{array}{c} \boxed{J} \\ | \\ \boxed{f_j} \end{array} \quad \text{where } 0 \leq j \leq i, \quad \begin{array}{c} \boxed{J} \\ \boxed{J} \end{array} = \boxed{J}, \quad \begin{array}{c} \boxed{J} \\ \boxed{f_n} \end{array} = 0 = \begin{array}{c} \boxed{f_n} \\ \boxed{J} \end{array},$$

for (1) to (3). The relations (6) is a far commutativity relation and not displayed, while

$$\begin{array}{c} \boxed{J} \\ \cup \end{array} = -\frac{1}{2} \cdot \boxed{f_{n-1}}, \quad \begin{array}{c} \boxed{J} \\ \cap \end{array} = 0 = \begin{array}{c} \boxed{J} \end{array},$$

are relations (4) and (5). The final relation (7) is (equivalent to):

$$\boxed{J} \mid = -2 \cdot \begin{array}{c} \boxed{J} \\ | \\ \boxed{f_{i-2}} \\ | \\ \boxed{J} \end{array}.$$

Remark 5A.3. Note that

$$\boxed{J} \neq \boxed{f_n},$$

and indeed their partial trace scalar down to f_{n-1} is different. Moreover, relation (7) prevents a new idempotent of the same type as J to appear. \diamond

5B. An equivalence $\mathbf{Kar}(\mathbf{DiTL}(-2, n)) \cong \mathbf{Rep}(\mathbf{Dic}_n)$. We are ready to prove our final statements. Let $\mathbf{Rep}(\mathbf{Dic}_n)$ denote the category of finite dimensional complex representations of \mathbf{Dic}_n , and let \mathcal{C}_n denote the full monoidal subcategory of $\mathbf{Rep}(\mathbf{Dic}_n)$ monoidally generated by V .

Lemma 5B.1. *We have the following.*

- (a) *The morphisms coev , ev , and $e_{\bar{1}}$ monoidally generate \mathcal{C}_n together with the projector J_{rt} factoring $V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V_{n'} \rightarrow V^{\otimes n}$.*
- (b) *The three categories $\mathbf{DiTL}(-2, n)$, \mathcal{C}_n and $\mathbf{Rep}(\mathbf{Dic}_n)$, are ribbon with structures coming from their infinite counterparts.*
- (c) *There is a well-defined ribbon \mathbb{C} -linear functor $\mathcal{F}_n: \mathbf{DiTL}(-2, n) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_n$ determined by mapping $\bullet \mapsto V$ and the cap, cup, and decorated cup-cap morphisms to ev , coev , and $e_{\bar{1}}$ respectively, and J to J_{rt} .*

Proof. Using Proposition 2B.9, this is not much different from the infinite case. The only interesting thing to check are the relations involving J . However, all of them follow directly from Proposition 2B.9 and the usual properties of Jones–Wenzl projectors. \square

Theorem 5B.2. *The functor \mathcal{F}_n from Lemma 5B.1 is fully faithful.*


Proof. Note that the generating morphism J can only exist in hom sets $\text{Hom}(\bullet^{\otimes k}, \bullet^{\otimes m})$ where one of $k, m \geq n$. We have established that the dicyclic Temperley–Lieb category $\mathbf{DiTL}(-2, \infty)$ corresponds to the representation theory of the infinite dicyclic group Dic_∞ . The additional generating morphism J in the degree n category $\mathbf{DiTL}(\delta, n)$ corresponds to the idempotent obtained by passing to the finite group Dic_n and studying the breaking point in the decomposition of $V^{\otimes n}$; this is the idempotent projecting to $V_{n'} \subset V^{\otimes n}$ discussed previously in Proposition 2B.9.

The defining relations for f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, J are satisfied by the Jones–Wenzl idempotents $\text{Res}_{\text{Dic}_n}^{SU(2)}(JW_k)$, see Lemma 5B.1. To see that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is fully faithful one can, as in the infinite case, restrict to endomorphism algebras. Then [BBH16, Proposition 1.50] applies. \square

Corollary 5B.3. *There is an equivalence of ribbon \mathbb{C} -linear additive categories $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_n: \text{Kar}(\mathbf{DiTL}(-2, n)) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\text{Dic}_n)$ determined by \mathcal{F}_n .* \square

Proof. Immediate from Theorem 5B.2, the usual Yoga of idempotent completions, and Lemma 2B.1. \square

We give an example of a basis for a hom-set that includes the generator J . For now let us work in the small case of $n = 3$ and $k = 4$. The three strand algebra has dimension $11 = \frac{1}{2} \binom{6}{3} + 1$, and the only morphism that is not a decorated Temperley–Lieb diagram in a basis set is the generator J . The dimension of the four strand algebra in the case of the dicyclic group of degree three has dimension 43, which, compared to any dicyclic group of degree ≥ 4 , contains 8 new linearly independent morphisms depending on J . A basis in this case consists of all dicyclic (decorated) Temperley–Lieb diagrams on four strands, together with a basis for the subalgebra generated by J , which gives us the remaining eight diagrams as in the following display (in this case the J -box has three strands):



In general, for the degree n dicyclic group, the $n + 1$ strand algebra has $2(n + 1)$ additional diagrams of the above form in its basis. Note that by the McKay correspondence, a basis for any endomorphism algebra would be in bijection with the collection of all walks on the affine type D Dynkin diagram \tilde{D}_n . However, it is a more difficult problem to give a diagrammatic description of a basis for higher strand algebras $\text{End}_{\text{Dic}_n}(V^{\otimes k})$ for $k > n + 1$ in terms of decorated Temperley–Lieb diagrams, due to the nature of the defining relations in the presentation of the degree n dicyclic Temperley–Lieb category. We will not give such a description here.

6. EXAMPLE COMPUTATIONS IN MAGMA

In this section we give some sample Magma code for computing in the dicyclic Temperley–Lieb category. Magma is a computer algebra system designed to solve problems in algebra, number theory, geometry and combinatorics. It was developed by Cannon and their team at the University of Sydney and version 1 was first released in August 1993. We refer to the handbook for more information: [BC23]; a beginner guide from which we stole the way to display the Magma code can be found here [TT23].

Remark 6.1. Computer algebra systems perform exact calculations; in particular, one can use Magma output in papers or theses without losing the exactness. \diamond

Remark 6.2. Magma is a non-commercial system, but the costs (such as preparation of user documentation, the fixing of bugs, and the provision of user support) need to be recovered. So Magma is **non-commercial but not free**, and the distribution is organized on a subscription basis. In order to get Magma on your machine, use this site: <http://magma.maths.usyd.edu.au/magma/ordering/>

Free, very useful, and completely enough for our calculations, is the **online calculator** <http://magma.maths.usyd.edu.au/calculator/>. All the calculations below can be copied and pasted directly into the online calculator. \diamond

Magma can compute character tables and perform character inner product calculations efficiently. For example, the code below produces the character table for the degree four dicyclic group and computes the dimensions of the endomorphism algebras $\text{End}_G(V^{\otimes k})$ for $1 \leq k \leq 8$:

```
>G:=SmallGroup(16,9);
>C:=CharacterTable(G);
>C;
```

```
>V:=C[6];
>for i in [1..5] do
>InnerProduct(C[6]^(2*i), C[1]);
>end for;
```

----result----

Character Table of Group G

Class	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Size	1	1	2	4	4	2	2
Order	1	2	4	4	4	8	8

p	=	2	1	1	2	2	2	3	3
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

X.1	+	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
X.2	+	1	1	1	1	-1	-1	-1
X.3	+	1	1	1	-1	1	-1	-1
X.4	+	1	1	1	-1	-1	1	1
X.5	+	2	2	-2	0	0	0	0
X.6	-	2	-2	0	0	0	Z1	-Z1
X.7	-	2	-2	0	0	0	-Z1	Z1

1

3

10

36

136

528

2080

8256

The printed sequence of dimensions matches that of the $n = 4$ formula from [Section 2B](#). More importantly, we can use `Magma` to check relations between algebra generators. The code below identifies the decorated cup-cap in the endomorphism algebra (again here $n = 4$) and computes the defining relations for the dicyclic Temperley–Lieb category. To speed up the calculations, we use the smallest field over which our group is semisimple.

```
>G:=SmallGroup(16,9);
>F:=GF(17);
>V:=simpleModules(G,F)[6];
//setting up the standard representation
>CUP:=Matrix(F,4,1,[0,-1,1,0]);
>CAP:=Matrix(F,1,4,[0,1,-1,0]);
>CC:=KroneckerProduct(CAP,CUP);
//defining the cup and cap morphisms as matrices
>T:=TensorPower(V,2);
>A:=EndomorphismAlgebra(T);
>P:=PrimitiveIdempotents(A);
>P;
>dCC:=-2*P[2];
//defining the decorated cup-cap appropriately
>IsZero(dCC*CUP);
>IsZero(CAP*dCC);
>IsZero(dCC^2+2*dCC);
>KroneckerProduct(ScalarMatrix(F,2,1),CAP)*KroneckerProduct(dCC,ScalarMatrix(F,2,1))
*KroneckerProduct(ScalarMatrix(F,2,1),CUP);
>KroneckerProduct(CAP,ScalarMatrix(F,2,1))*KroneckerProduct(ScalarMatrix(F,2,1),dCC)
*KroneckerProduct(CUP,ScalarMatrix(F,2,1));
//confirming the relations of the dicyclic temperley-lieb category
```

The above code prints the list of primitive idempotents in $\text{End}_G(V^{\otimes 2})$, the first two in the list being scalar multiples of the cup-cap and decorated cup-cap (over \mathbb{F}_{17} here), and the last being the second type D Jones–Wenzl projector f_2 :

----result----

```
[ 0 0 0 0]
[ 0 9 8 0]
[ 0 8 9 0]
[ 0 0 0 0],

[ 0 0 0 0]
[ 0 9 9 0]
[ 0 9 9 0]
[ 0 0 0 0],

[ 1 0 0 0]
[ 0 0 0 0]
[ 0 0 0 0]
[ 0 0 0 1]
true
true
true
[ 1 0]
[ 0 1]
[ 1 0]
[ 0 1]
```

We can also verify the diagrammatic formulas for the type D Jones–Wenzl projectors. Using the same formulas for the cup, cap, and decorated cup-cap morphisms as above, we can check that the type D projectors in the dicyclic Temperley–Lieb category correspond to the correct idempotents in $\text{End}_G(V^{\otimes k})$. The code below checks the case of f_3 :

```
>e1:=KroneckerProduct(CC,ScalarMatrix(F,2,1));
>e2:=KroneckerProduct(ScalarMatrix(F,2,1),CC);
//defining the e1,e2 generators in the 3 strand algebra
>d1:=ScalarMatrix(F,8,1);
>d2:=e2;
>d3:=(3/4)*(e1+KroneckerProduct(dCC,ScalarMatrix(F,2,1)));
>d4:=(1/2)*(e2*e1+e2*KroneckerProduct(dCC,ScalarMatrix(F,2,1))+
e1*e2+KroneckerProduct(dCC,ScalarMatrix(F,2,1))*e2);
>d5:=(1/4)*(e1*e2*KroneckerProduct(dCC,ScalarMatrix(F,2,1))+
KroneckerProduct(dCC,ScalarMatrix(F,2,1))*e2*e1);
>b3:=d1+d2+d3+d4+d5;
//the identity, e1, and other three terms in the expansion of b3
>b3;
```

The matrices d_1, d_2, \dots, d_5 represent the endomorphisms corresponding to each term in the diagrammatic expansion of f_3 . The matrix printed by **Magma** is the projection onto the submodule generated by $v_1 \otimes v_1 \otimes v_1$ and $v_2 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_2$ as we hoped:

```
----result----
[ 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0]
[ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0]
[ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0]
[ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0]
[ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0]
[ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0]
[ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0]
[ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1]
```

As discussed previously, this submodule is the unique two dimensional simple with multiplicity one as a summand (as long as $n > 3$, in this case). In general, one can produce the sequence of type D Jones–Wenzl projectors $\{f_j\}$ by applying the recursion to the matrix $\text{diag}(1, 0, 0, 1)$ appearing on the previous page, as this matrix represents f_2 . **Magma** will print a $2^j \times 2^j$ matrix with 1's in the top left and bottom right corner and zeros elsewhere, as expected. Finally, we can also use **Magma** to investigate the relations between higher projectors. We define the generator J as the primitive idempotent corresponding to the simple representation $V_{n'}$, which is a summand of the 4th tensor power in the case of $n = 4$.

```
>b2:=P[3];
>b2:=KroneckerProduct(b2,ScalarMatrix(F,2,1));
```

```

>b3:=b2+b2*e2*b2;
>b3:=KroneckerProduct(b3,ScalarMatrix(F,2,1));
>b4:=b3+b3*e3*b3;
//creates the first few generating jones-wenzl projectors
>e4:=KroneckerProduct(ScalarMatrix(F,8,1),CC);
>b:=PrimitiveIdempotents(EndomorphismAlgebra(TensorPower(V,4)))[4];
//defines the generator J, corresponding to the new summand at the nth power
>be4b:=KroneckerProduct(b,ScalarMatrix(F,2,1))*e4*KroneckerProduct(b,ScalarMatrix(F,2,1));
>b:=KroneckerProduct(b,ScalarMatrix(F,2,1));
>IsZero(-2*be4b-b);
//checks relation (7)

```

The code above corroborates the relation (7) of $\mathbf{DiTL}(\delta, n)$. All relations in the degree n dicyclic Temperley–Lieb category can be checked in this manner. Below, we present code which checks all of the other relations in the degree 4 dicyclic Temperley–Lieb category.

```

>IsZero(KroneckerProduct(b2,ScalarMatrix(F,2,1))*b3
-b3*KroneckerProduct(b2,ScalarMatrix(F,2,1)));
>IsZero(KroneckerProduct(CC,ScalarMatrix(F,4,1))*b3);
>IsZero(KroneckerProduct(b2,ScalarMatrix(F,2,1))*e3
-e3*KroneckerProduct(b2,ScalarMatrix(F,2,1)));
>IsZero(e3*b3*e3+KroneckerProduct(b2,ScalarMatrix(F,2,1))*e3);
>b4:=PrimitiveIdempotents(EndomorphismAlgebra(TensorPower(V,4)))[5];
>b4:=KroneckerProduct(b4,ScalarMatrix(F,2,1));
>IsZero(b4+2*b4*e4*b4);
//the above are relation checks for JW projectors in the usual TL category
>IsZero(KroneckerProduct(b3,ScalarMatrix(F,2,1))*b-b);
//relation (1), j=3
>IsZero(b4*b);
//relation (3), n=4;
>IsZero(e4*b*e4+(1/2)*KroneckerProduct(b3,ScalarMatrix(F,2,1))*e4);
//relation (4), n=4
>IsZero(KroneckerProduct(e3,ScalarMatrix(F,2,1))*b);
>e5:=KroneckerProduct(ScalarMatrix(F,16,1),CC);
>IsZero(e5*KroneckerProduct(b,ScalarMatrix(F,2,1))
-KroneckerProduct(b,ScalarMatrix(F,2,1))*e5);
//relations (5),(6) i=3, i=5, n=4

```

Running this code results in Magma printing one true for each `IsZero()` command.

REFERENCES

- [And20] H.H. Andersen. Tilting modules and cellular categories. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 224(9):106366, 29, 2020. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.00817>, doi:10.1016/j.jpaa.2020.106366.
- [AST18] H.H. Andersen, C. Stroppel, and D. Tubbenhauer. Cellular structures using U_q -tilting modules. *Pacific J. Math.*, 292(1):21–59, 2018. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1503.00224>, doi:10.2140/pjm.2018.292.21.
- [BBH16] J.M. Barnes, G. Benkart, and T. Halverson. McKay centralizer algebras. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 112(2):375–414, 2016. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1312.5254>, doi:10.1112/plms/pdv075.
- [BC23] W. Bosma and J. Cannon, editors. *Handbook of Magma Functions*. Magma is distributed by the Computational Algebra Group at the University of Sydney, 2023. URL: <https://magma.maths.usyd.edu.au/magma/handbook/>.
- [Com20] J. Comes. Jellyfish partition categories. *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, 23(2):327–347, 2020. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1612.05182>, doi:10.1007/s10468-018-09851-7.
- [COT24] K. Coulembier, V. Ostrik, and D. Tubbenhauer. Growth rates of the number of indecomposable summands in tensor powers. *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, 27(2):1033–1062, 2024. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.00885>, doi:10.1007/s10468-023-10245-7.
- [Eli16] B. Elias. The two-color Soergel calculus. *Compos. Math.*, 152(2):327–398, 2016. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1308.6611>, doi:10.1112/S0010437X15007587.
- [EL16] B. Elias and A.D. Lauda. Trace decategorification of the Hecke category. *J. Algebra*, 449:615–634, 2016. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1504.05267>, doi:10.1016/j.jalgebra.2015.11.028.
- [EGNO15] P. Etingof, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, and V. Ostrik. *Tensor categories*, volume 205 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015. doi:10.1090/surv/205.
- [FH91] W. Fulton and J. Harris. *Representation theory*, volume 129 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991. A first course, Readings in Mathematics. doi:10.1007/978-1-4612-0979-9.
- [GTW24] J. Gibson, D. Tubbenhauer, and G. Williamson. Equivariant neural networks and piecewise linear representation theory. 2024. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.00949>.
- [GdlHJ89] F.M. Goodman, P. de la Harpe, and V.F.R. Jones. *Coxeter graphs and towers of algebras*, volume 14 of *Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989. doi:10.1007/978-1-4613-9641-3.
- [GL96] J.J. Graham and G. Lehrer. Cellular algebras. *Invent. Math.*, 123(1):1–34, 1996. doi:10.1007/BF01232365.

- [Gre98] R.M. Green. Generalized Temperley–Lieb algebras and decorated tangles. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 7(2):155–171, 1998. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/q-alg/9712018>, doi:10.1142/S0218216598000103.
- [He24] D. He. Growth problems for representations of finite groups. 2024. URL: <https://www.arxiv.org/abs/2408.04196>.
- [HO01] R. Häring-Oldenburg. Actions of tensor categories, cylinder braids and their Kauffman polynomial. *Topology Appl.*, 112(3):297–314, 2001. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/q-alg/9712031>, doi:10.1016/S0166-8641(00)00006-7.
- [KL94] L.H. Kauffman and S.L. Lins. *Temperley–Lieb recoupling theory and invariants of 3-manifolds*, volume 134 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1994. doi:10.1515/9781400882533.
- [KST24] M. Khovanov, M. Sitaraman, and D. Tubbenhauer. Monoidal categories, representation gap and cryptography. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B*, 11:329–395, 2024. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.01805>, doi:10.1090/btran/151.
- [LS22] Y. Li and X. Shi. Semi-simplicity of Temperley–Lieb algebras of type D. *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, 25(5):1133–1158, 2022. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.06805>, doi:10.1007/s10468-021-10062-w.
- [LTV23a] A. Lacabanne, D. Tubbenhauer, and P. Vaz. Annular webs and Levi subalgebras. *J. Comb. Algebra*, 7(3/4):283–326, 2023. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.00947>, doi:10.4171/JCA/76.
- [LTV23b] A. Lacabanne, D. Tubbenhauer, and P. Vaz. Asymptotics in finite monoidal categories. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B*, 10:398–412, 2023. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.03044>, doi:10.1090/bproc/198.
- [LTV24] A. Lacabanne, D. Tubbenhauer, and P. Vaz. Asymptotics in infinite monoidal categories. 2024. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.09513>.
- [McK80] J. McKay. Graphs, singularities, and finite groups. In *The Santa Cruz Conference on Finite Groups (Univ. California, Santa Cruz, Calif., 1979)*, volume 37 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 183–186. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1980.
- [MS21] Y. Mousaaid and A. Savage. Affinization of monoidal categories. *J. Éc. polytech. Math.*, 8:791–829, 2021. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.13598>, doi:10.5802/jep.158.
- [RT16] D.E.V. Rose and D. Tubbenhauer. Symmetric webs, Jones–Wenzl recursions, and q -Howe duality. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (17):5249–5290, 2016. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1501.00915>, doi:10.1093/imrn/rnv302.
- [RTW32] G. Rumer, E. Teller, and H. Weyl. Eine für die Valenztheorie geeignete Basis der binären Vektorinvarianten. *Nachrichten von der Ges. der Wiss. Zu Göttingen. Math.-Phys. Klasse*, pages 498–504, 1932. In German.
- [ST19] A. Sartori and D. Tubbenhauer. Webs and q -Howe dualities in types BCD. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 371(10):7387–7431, 2019. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.02932>, doi:10.1090/tran/7583.
- [Ser77] J.-P. Serre. *Linear representations of finite groups*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 42. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. Translated from the second French edition by Leonard L. Scott.
- [Sim96] B. Simon. *Representations of finite and compact groups*, volume 10 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996. doi:10.1090/gsm/010.
- [STWZ23] L. Sutton, D. Tubbenhauer, P. Wedrich, and J. Zhu. SL2 tilting modules in the mixed case. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 29(3):39, 2023. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.07724>, doi:10.1007/s00029-023-00835-0.
- [TT23] D. Taylor and D. Tubbenhauer. *Magma in a nutshell*. 2023. YouTube playlist: <https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PLuFcVFHMIfhJ07kMJWR1SsoyD2MxprDdL>. URL: <https://www.dtubbenhauer.com/talks/magma-2023.pdf>.
- [Tub21] D. Tubbenhauer. *Quantum topology without topology*. 2021. URL: <https://www.dtubbenhauer.com/qinvariants.pdf>.
- [Tub23] D. Tubbenhauer. On rank one 2-representations of web categories. 2023. To appear in *Algebr. Comb.* URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.00785>.
- [Tub22] D. Tubbenhauer. Sandwich cellularity and a version of cell theory. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 54 (6), 1733–1773, (December 2024). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.06678>, doi:10.1216/rmj.2024.54.1733.
- [Wei94] C.A. Weibel. *An introduction to homological algebra*, volume 38 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. doi:10.1017/CB09781139644136.
- [Wes09] B.W. Westbury. Invariant tensors and cellular categories. *J. Algebra*, 321(11):3563–3567, 2009. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/0806.4045>, doi:10.1016/j.jalgebra.2008.07.004.

P.D.: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PENN STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802, USA
 Email address: pad5462@psu.edu

D.T.: THE UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS F07, OFFICE CARSLAW 827, NSW 2006, AUSTRALIA, WWW.DTUBBENHAUER.COM, ORCID 0000-0001-7265-5047
 Email address: daniel.tubbenhauer@sydney.edu.au