

GEOMETRIC REALIZATIONS OF NON-SYMPLECTIC INVOLUTIONS ON THE HILBERT SQUARE OF A K3 SURFACE

ANA QUEDO

ABSTRACT. We give new examples of geometric constructions of non-natural non-symplectic involutions of IHS manifolds whose existence is guaranteed by previous results of Bossière-Cattaneo-Nieper-Wiesskirchen-Sarti in [6] and Bossière-Camere-Sarti in [7].

1. INTRODUCTION

The Beauville-Bogomolov Decomposition Theorem asserts that a compact Kähler manifold with trivial first Chern class can be constructed exclusively using three distinct types of building blocks: complex tori, Calabi-Yau manifolds, and irreducible holomorphic symplectic (IHS) manifolds.

Calabi-Yau and IHS manifolds represent distinct approaches to generalizing K3 surfaces in higher dimensions. An IHS manifold is a smooth, compact, simply connected, complex Kähler manifold with a holomorphic 2-form everywhere that is non-degenerate, and unique up to scalar multiplication.

In the present paper, we focus on automorphisms of a specific type of IHS fourfold, namely the Hilbert scheme of two points on a K3 surface. Given a complex projective K3 surface S , we define the Hilbert scheme of 2 points on a K3 surface S , or simply the *Hilbert square* $S^{[2]}$ as the Hilbert scheme of subschemes of dimension 0 and length 2 on S . There are two main reasons why this particular IHS is interesting. First, they are one of the two known examples of IHS fourfolds (up to deformation families). Also, we can apply some aspects of our knowledge of automorphisms of K3 surfaces to study the automorphisms of these fourfolds.

Many papers have worked towards the goal of studying and classifying automorphisms of the Hilbert square of a K3 surface for example [7], [5], [6]. However, in general, these classification results do not provide a geometric description of these automorphisms, that is given an automorphism $\sigma: S^{[2]} \rightarrow S^{[2]}$ and a point $p \in S^{[2]}$, we are not able to calculate $\sigma(p)$. Examples of geometric realizations of automorphisms of hyperkähler manifolds have been provided by several authors, including Beauville [1], the famous Beauville involutions (see Definition 26), O’Grady [18] and, more recently, Beri and Cattaneo [4] and Ohashi and Wandel [17].

In this paper, we give new geometrical constructions of non-natural non-symplectic involutions of the Hilbert square of a K3 surface following the same philosophy: involutions whose construction is somehow derived from K3 surfaces, even though they are non-natural. In this way, we expect to create a new set of examples that are easier to understand geometrically.

In section 3, we use the set of examples of K3 surfaces S_n constructed by Paiva and the author in [19, Example 1] for that. This set of surfaces was first studied because of its relation with Gizatullin’s problem, and it has remarkable properties, for example, S_n admits a square two ample divisor W and $\mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_2 \subseteq \text{Aut}(S_n)$.

In fact, for S an algebraic K3 surface such that $\text{Pic}(S) = \mathbb{Z}L$ with $L^2 = 2t$ with t satisfying certain numerical conditions, [6, Theorem 5.5] (Theorem 29) predicts the existence of a non-symplectic involution σ on the Hilbert square $S^{[2]}$.

We show that $S_n^{[2]}$ admits Beauville involutions i_1 and i_2 , then consider $\kappa_1 := i_2 i_1 i_2$ and $\kappa_2 := i_1 i_2 i_1$ and show that under certain conditions the non-symplectic involution σ on $S^{[2]}$ can be deformed to both κ_1 and κ_2 . More precisely, we prove

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14J50, 14J28, 14J35.

Key words and phrases. *Key words and phrases.* Irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds, Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces, Non-symplectic involutions.

Theorem 1. Consider (S, L) a K3 surface with polarization L of square $2t$, $t \geq 2$ and $\text{Pic}(S) = \mathbb{Z}L$. Then, $S^{[2]}$ admits a unique non-symplectic involution σ such that $(S^{[2]}, \sigma)$ can be deformed into $(S_n^{[2]}, \kappa_i)$, $j=1,2$, in a way that every element of the deformation family is of the form $(\Sigma^{[2]}, j)$, where Σ is $2t$ -polarized K3 surface and j an involution of $\Sigma^{[2]}$ if and only if t can be written as $(64n^2 - 7)^2 + 1$ or $2^{12} \cdot 5^2 k^4 - 2^5 \cdot 7k^2 + 2$.

In section 4, we accomplish another main goal of this paper: we use again the set of surfaces S_n to produce a new geometric realization of a non-natural involution of the Hilbert scheme of two points. Bossière, Camere, and Sarti classified all possible non-symplectic automorphisms of an IHS manifold of type $K3^{[2]}$ in [7] by studying p -elementary-lattices. However, there are several cases where examples are still unknown.

The second main theorem in this paper is the following:

Theorem 2. There is a geometric example of a non-natural involution on the Hilbert scheme of 2 points of a K3 with the invariant lattice of $\langle -2 \rangle \oplus \langle 2 \rangle$.

Although examples for involutions on an IHS of type $K3^{[2]}$ with this invariant lattice are already known in the literature, a construction done by Ohashi and Wandel in [17] and the natural involution $\varphi^{[2]}$ on $S^{[2]}$ induced by an involution φ on the original K3 S , our example has an explicit geometric construction, even though it is a non-natural involution. In fact, in our construction, we consider the natural involution induced on $S_n^{[2]}$ by the 2-square divisor of the surface S_n and conjugate it with a Beauville involution.

The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 gathers the necessary background to the main results. It covers basic definitions of Pell equations, Lattices, Isometries, and Hyperkähler manifolds and their automorphisms. The new results of this paper are presented in sections 3 and 4. In section 3, we present geometric constructions of automorphisms through the deformation of non-symplectic involutions as stated in Theorem 1. In section 4, we present a new example of geometric non-natural involution with a rank 2 invariant lattice as stated in Theorem 2.

Acknowledgments I would like to thank Alessandra Sarti and Pietro Beri for the many discussions that culminated in this paper, for the careful reading of its first drafts, and particularly to Alessandra for introducing me to this new subject, and for all the support during its redaction. Furthermore, gratitude is expressed to CAPES (Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior) for the financial support received during my stay in Poitiers, grant number 88887.511749/2020-00, which made this article possible. During the process of finalization of this paper, I was also supported by the funding from the European Union - NextGenerationEU under the National Recovery and Resilience Plan (PNRR) - Mission 4 Education and research - Component 2 From research to business - Investment 1.1, Prin 2022 "Geometry of algebraic structures: moduli, invariants, deformations", DD N. 104, 2/2/2022, proposal code 2022BTA242 - CUP J53D23003720006.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Pell equations. Given d a positive non-square number and $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, a diophantine equation of the form

$$(1) \quad P_d(m): x^2 - dy^2 = m$$

is called a *generalized Pell equation*. When $m = 1$, we called it the *standard Pell equation*. We say that a solution $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ of **1** is a *positive solution* if both $x > 0$ and $y > 0$ and the *minimal positive solution* (x_1, y_1) is the one with minimal positive x_1 . The next theorem is due to Lagrange [13], but a simple proof of this assertion can be found in [10, Theorem 2.3].

Theorem 3. For every positive non-square integer d , the standard Pell equation $P_d(1): x^2 - dy^2 = 1$ has a positive solution.

Corollary 4. If a generalized Pell equation $P_d(m) = x^2 - dy^2 = m$ has a positive solution, then it has infinitely many solutions.

Proof. Given (a, b) a solution of standard Pell equation $P_d(1)$ and a solution of (u, v) of $P_d(m)$, we can verify that $(ua + vbd, va + ub)$ is another solution for $P_d(m)$. By induction, we have infinitely many solutions. \square

Proposition 5. *Let $d_n = 4(n^2 - 2)$. If n is a multiple of four, the Pell equation $P_{d_n}(-8) : x^2 - d_n y^2 = -8$ does not have solutions.*

Proof. Suppose $n = 4n'$, then we can rewrite the equation as

$$P_{d_n}(-8) : x^2 - 8(8n'^2 - 1)y^2 = -8.$$

For any solution (x, y) of $P_{d_n}(-8)$, it is clear that x^2 is a multiple of 8, and since it is a square it is also a multiple of 16 and we can write $x^2 = 16x'^2$. Thus, the equation $x^2 - 8(8n'^2 - 1)y^2 = -8$ has integer solutions if and only if

$$(2) \quad 2x'^2 - (8n'^2 - 1)y^2 = -1$$

has integer solutions. Let's see that the last equation does not have solutions modulo 8. We recall that there are 3 possibilities for a square number modulo 8:

- $a \equiv 2$ or $6 \pmod{8} \implies a^2 \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$.
- $a \equiv 0$ or $4 \pmod{8} \implies a^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$.
- a is odd $\implies a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$.

If x' is an even number, the equation (2) modulo 8 is reduced to $y^2 = 7 \pmod{8}$, which obviously does not have solutions. Similarly if x' is an odd number, (2) modulo 8 is reduced to $y^2 = 5 \pmod{8}$. We then prove our result. \square

2.2. Lattices.

Definition 6 (Lattice). *A lattice L is a free \mathbb{Z} -module with finite rank together with a symmetric bilinear form*

$$(\cdot, \cdot) : L \times L \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

- (1) *A lattice is called even if $(x, x) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for all $x \in L$.*
- (2) *Since L is a free \mathbb{Z} module, we can choose a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and represent the symmetric bilinear form by the associated Gram matrix, i.e, the matrix G with entries $G_{ij} = (x_i, x_j)$. The determinant of G is called the discriminant of L , denoted by $\text{disc}(L)$, and it is independent of the choice of basis. If $\text{disc}(L) \neq 0$, L is called non-degenerate.*
- (3) *The bilinear form (\cdot, \cdot) can be extended to a symmetric bilinear form on the real vector space $L \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Assuming the lattice L is non-degenerate, the bilinear form on $L \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is equivalent to one represented by a diagonal matrix whose nontrivial entries are either 1 or -1 . The signature of L is the pair (n_+, n_-) , where n_{\pm} is the number of ± 1 on the diagonal.*
- (4) *The lattice is called definite if either $n_+ = 0$ or $n_- = 0$, otherwise is called indefinite.*

Definition 7 (Discriminant group). *We can define an injection of finite index*

$$L \hookrightarrow L^\vee := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(L, \mathbb{Z})$$

$$x \mapsto (x, \cdot)$$

The lattice L^\vee is called the dual lattice of L . We set $L \otimes \mathbb{Q} := L_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and denote by $L_{\mathbb{Q}}^\vee$ the dual vector space, we notice that $L^\vee \hookrightarrow L_{\mathbb{Q}}^\vee \simeq L_{\mathbb{Q}}$. So, we have

$$L^\vee = \{x \in L_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid (x, y) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for any } y \in L\}.$$

The quotient $A(L) := L^\vee / L$ is called the discriminant group, which is a group of order $|\text{disc}(L)|$.

- (1) *We say L is unimodular if $L^\vee \simeq L$, or equivalently if $\text{disc}(L) = \pm 1$.*
- (2) *We denote by $l(A(L))$ the minimal number of generators of $A(L)$ (i.e. the length of $A(L)$).*
- (3) *A lattice L is called p -elementary, for a prime p , if $A(L) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^a$, for some positive integer $a = l(A(L))$,*

We see some examples of lattices.

Example 8. $\langle k \rangle$ denotes the lattice of rank 1 such that $(x, x) = k$ for any generator x of $\langle k \rangle$.

Example 9. The hyperbolic plane is the lattice

$$U := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

i.e. $U \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2 = \mathbb{Z}e \oplus \mathbb{Z}f$ with $(e, e) = (f, f) = 0$ and $(e, f) = 1$. Clearly, $\text{disc}(U) = -1$.

Example 10. The E_8 -lattice is given by the intersection matrix

$$E_8 := \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & & & & & & \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & & & & & \\ & -1 & 2 & -1 & -1 & & & \\ & & -1 & 2 & & & & \\ & & -1 & & 2 & -1 & & \\ & & & & -1 & 2 & -1 & \\ & & & & & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ & & & & & & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and is, therefore, even, unimodular, positive definite of rank eight with $\text{disc}(E_8) = 1$.

We end this subsection with a lemma.

Lemma 11. [19, Lemma 9] Consider a lattice $L = \mathbb{Z}h_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}h_2$ with bilinear form given by the matrix Q

$$(3) \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & b \\ b & 2c \end{pmatrix}$$

Let $r := -\text{discr}(L)$ and $k \neq 0$ an integer number. Then, the following assertions hold:

- (1) For any $v \in L$ we have that $4v^2 = (v \cdot h_1)^2 - rn^2$, for some integer n .
- (2) There are vectors $v \in L$ such that $v^2 = 0$ if and only if r is a square.
- (3) If the Generalized Pell equation $x^2 - ry^2 = 8k$ has no integer solutions, then there are no vectors $v \in L$ such that $v^2 = 2k$.

2.3. Isometries. We define isometries of lattices.

Definition 12 (Isometry). An isometry between lattices $(L_1, (\cdot, \cdot)_{L_1})$ and $(L_2, (\cdot, \cdot)_{L_2})$ is an isomorphism of \mathbb{Z} -modules $\varphi: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ such that $(\varphi(x), \varphi(y))_{L_2} = (x, y)_{L_1}$ for every $x, y \in L_1$. We denote by $O(L)$ the group of isometries of a lattice L into itself and call it the orthogonal group of L .

Definition 13. [15, Definition 1.8] An embedding of lattices is an injective morphism $i: M \rightarrow L$ of \mathbb{Z} -modules such that $(x, y)_M = (i(x), i(y))_L$.

- (1) An embedding $M \hookrightarrow L$ of lattices is primitive if L/M is free.
- (2) An element $x \in L$ is primitive if the inclusion $i: \langle x \rangle \hookrightarrow L$ is primitive. In other words, there is no $x' \in L$ such that $x = kx'$ for some integer $k \geq 2$.
- (3) Two primitive embeddings $\varphi_1: M \rightarrow L_1$, $\varphi_2: M \rightarrow L_2$ are isomorphic if there is an isometry $f: L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ which induces the identity map on M , i.e., the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} & L_1 \\ \downarrow \text{Id} & & \downarrow f \\ M & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} & L_2 \end{array}$$

We recall the definition of reflection by an element D of the lattice.

Definition 14 (Reflection of an element D). Consider L a non-degenerate lattice. Given $D \in L$, we define the reflection

$$R_D: l \mapsto l - 2 \frac{(l, D)}{(D, D)} D$$

We call $-R_D$ the anti-reflection in D .

2.4. Hyperkähler Manifolds.

Definition 15 (Hyperkähler Manifold). A Hyperkähler manifold is a compact complex simply connected Kähler manifold X such that $H^0(X, \Omega_X^2) = \mathbb{C}\omega$, where ω is an everywhere non-degenerate holomorphic 2-form on X .

K3 surfaces are the easiest examples of hyperkähler manifolds. We recall some properties that will be useful for us.

Definition 16. *The Positive cone $P(S)$ of a K3 surface S is the connected component of*

$$\{x \in \text{Pic}(S) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \mid \langle x, x \rangle > 0\}$$

which contains ample classes.

A (-2) -curve on S is an irreducible curve C with $C^2 = -2$. In particular, by adjunction, (-2) -curves are rational, i.e., $C \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$, and since they are the only curves of a K3 surfaces with negative self-intersection. We have the following description for an ample cone of a K3 surface.

Proposition 17. [11, Corollary 1.7, Chapter 8]

For a K3 surface S , the ample cone is given by

$$\text{Amp}(S) = \{x \in P(S) \mid x \cdot C > 0 \text{ for all } (-2)\text{-curves } C\}.$$

The following result is a combination of results proven in [20], but the following formulation can be found in [14, Theorem 5].

Theorem 18. *Let S be a K3 surface and H a nef line bundle with $H^2 \geq 4$. Then, H is very ample if and only if it satisfies the following 3 conditions:*

- *There is no irreducible curve E such that $E^2 = 0$ and $H \cdot E \in \{1, 2\}$.*
- *There is no irreducible curve E such that $E^2 = 2$, and $H \sim 2E$.*
- *There is no irreducible curve E such that $E^2 = -2$ and $E \cdot H = 0$.*

In higher dimensions, Beauville proved in [2, Theoreme 3], that given S a projective K3 surface, the corresponding Hilbert scheme of n points on S , denoted here by $S^{[n]}$, is an example of a projective hyperkähler manifold of dimension $2n$. We recall now some properties of this class of varieties. For that, we base ourselves on the exposition done by Beauville in [2, Section 6].

Example 19. *Let S be a K3 surface. The Hilbert scheme of n points $S^{[n]}$ parametrizes the 0-dimensional subschemes of length n of S . We denote by $S^{(n)}$ the quotient of S^n by the symmetric group \mathcal{S}_n , by $\pi: S^n \rightarrow S^{(n)}$ the quotient map and notice that $S^{(n)}$ can be thought of as the variety of 0-effective cycles of degree n of S . Moreover, we denote by $\epsilon: S^{[n]} \rightarrow S^{(n)}$ the Hilbert-Chow morphism, which is the map that associates to a finite subscheme the corresponding 0-cycle. Finally, considering the set $\Delta_{ij} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in S^n \mid x_i = x_j\} \subset S^n$, $\Delta = \cup_{i \neq j} \Delta_{ij}$ and $D = \pi(\Delta) \subset S^{(n)}$, we can state some properties associated with this example.*

- *$S^{[n]}$ is smooth and the singular locus of $S^{(n)}$ is D .*
- *The morphism $\epsilon: S^{[n]} \rightarrow S^{(n)}$ is birational and a desingularization of $S^{(n)}$.*
- *$\epsilon^{-1}(D) = E$ an irreducible divisor.*

If X is deformation equivalent to $S^{[n]}$ for some K3 surface S , we say X is of type $K3^{[n]}$. There are few known examples of hyperkähler manifolds up to deformation equivalence. Besides K3 surfaces and $K3^{[n]}$, the other known deformation classes of hyperkähler manifolds are generalized Kummer varieties and the constructions given by O'Grady in [18] and [16].

We see now that for a projective hyperkähler manifold X , the group $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ carries a natural lattice structure.

Proposition 20. *Let X be a projective hyperkähler manifold with non-degenerate holomorphic 2-form ω , there is a natural integral symmetric bilinear form on $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ such that the pair $(H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}), \langle, \rangle)$ is a lattice of signature $(3, b_2(X) - 3)$. The bilinear \langle, \rangle is called the Beauville–Bogomolov form, and it satisfies the following properties*

- *$\langle \omega, \omega \rangle = 0, \langle \omega, \bar{\omega} \rangle > 0$,*
- *$H^{1,1}(X) = (H^{2,0}(X) \oplus H^{0,2}(X))^{\perp} \subset H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$,*
- *$\langle x, x \rangle > 0$ for every Kähler class x on X .*

For a K3 surface S , the Beauville–Bogomolov form coincides with the intersection product of the surface, for the Hilbert scheme of n points, we also have a nice description of this form.

Proposition 21. [2, Proposition 6 and Remarque] *There exists an injective homomorphism $j: H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(S^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z})$ preserving the Beauville–Bogomolov form such that $H^2(S^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z}) = j(H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})) \oplus \mathbb{Z}\delta$ and $2\delta = E$ and $\langle \delta, \delta \rangle = 2 - 2n$. Moreover, $NS(S^{[n]}) = j(NS(S)) \oplus \mathbb{Z}\delta$.*

Remark 22. Let S be a K3 surface and $S^{[2]}$ the corresponding Hilbert scheme of 2 points. To simplify our notation, from now on, we denote by \langle, \rangle both the intersection form on S and the Beauville-Bogomolov form on $S^{[2]}$. Also, given $H \in NS(S)$, we denote by $[H]$ the image $j(H) \in NS(S^{[2]})$.

Corollary 23. Let $S^{[n]}$ be the Hilbert scheme of n points of a K3 surface S . The integral cohomology $H^2(S^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z})$ endowed the Beauville-Bogomolov form is abstractly isomorphic to the lattice

$$H^2(S^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq E_8(-1)^{\oplus 2} U^{\oplus 3} \oplus \langle 2 - 2n \rangle.$$

2.5. Automorphisms. Some results about automorphisms of K3 surfaces can be generalized for hyperkähler manifolds. For example, the Global Torelli Theorem still holds for hyperkähler manifolds, as proven by Verbitsky in [21].

From now on, we focus on the involutions of the Hilbert scheme of 2 points of a K3 surface, also known as the Hilbert square of a K3. As one can guess, an involution of a K3 surface induces naturally an involution of the Hilbert scheme of 2 points. This is formalized in our next definition.

Definition 24 (Natural involution). Let $i: S \rightarrow S$ be an involution of a K3 surface S and $Z \in S^{[2]}$. Since Z is a 0-dimensional subscheme of length 2 of S , we can define the natural involution $i^{[2]}$ on the Hilbert square $S^{[2]}$ in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} i^{[2]}: S^{[2]} &\rightarrow S^{[2]} \\ Z &\mapsto i(Z) \end{aligned}$$

An important property of natural automorphisms of Hilbert schemes of n point was proven by Bossière and Sarti. Here we state the result for natural involutions on the Hilbert square of a K3 surface.

Theorem 25. [9, Theorem 1] Let S be a K3 surface. An involution f of $S^{[2]}$ is natural if and only if it leaves globally invariant the exceptional divisor of $\epsilon: S^{[n]} \rightarrow S^{(n)}$, or equivalently $f^*(\delta) = \delta$.

In general, it is not easy to give geometrical constructions of non-natural involutions of $S^{[2]}$. We present now one of the few examples that are known, a construction due to Beauville [1].

Consider $S \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ a smooth quartic surface. We have that a general point Z of the Hilbert scheme $S^{[2]}$ corresponds to a set of two distinct points in S . These two points define a line $l(Z) \subset \mathbb{P}^3$. If this line is not contained in S , $l(Z)$ meets S generically in 4 four distinct points, that is

$$l(Z) \cap S = Z \cup Z'$$

where $Z' \in S^{[2]}$. By associating Z to Z' , we define a birational map

$$i: S^{[2]} \dashrightarrow S^{[2]}.$$

This map is an isomorphism if and only if S has no lines, as proved in [1, Proposition 11]. Furthermore, assuming that S has no lines and H is the very ample divisor of square 4 that defines the embedding $S \subset \mathbb{P}^3$, O' Grady showed in [18, Section 4.1.2] that i^* acts on $H^2(S^{[2]}, \mathbb{Z})$ as the reflection in the ample divisor class $D = [H] - \delta$. Therefore, we can define:

Definition 26 (Beauville Involution). Let S be a smooth quartic surface. A Beauville involution on $S^{[2]}$ is a non-symplectic involution i whose invariant lattice has rank 1 and is generated by an ample divisor D of the form $D = [H] - \delta$, where H is an ample divisor of square 4 in S .

In the next theorem, we describe an association between ample square 2 divisors and nonsymplectic involution for hyperkähler manifolds of type $K3^{[2]}$. But before, we give an important definition.

Definition 27 (Invariant Lattice). Given g an automorphism of a hyperkähler manifold X , we can define its invariant lattice

$$H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^g = \{x \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \mid g^*x = x\}.$$

Theorem 28. [5, Theorem 3.1]

- (1) Let (X, D) be an ample $\langle 2 \rangle$ -polarized hyperkähler manifold of type $K3^{[2]}$. Then X admits a nonsymplectic involution σ whose action on $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is the anti-reflection (see Definition 14) in the class of D in $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

- (2) Conversely, let X be a hyperkähler manifold of type $K3^{[2]}$ with a nonsymplectic involution σ whose invariant lattice $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^\sigma$ has rank 1. Then $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^\sigma$ is generated by the class of an ample divisor D of square 2 and σ acts on $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ as the anti-reflection (see Definition 14 in the class of D).

We finish this section with an important result of Bossière-Cattaneo-Nieper-Wiesskirchen-Sarti [6] about the existence of automorphisms on the Hilbert square of a K3 surface.

Theorem 29. [6, Theorem 5.5] *Let S be an algebraic K3 surface such that $\text{Pic}(S) = \mathbb{Z}L$ with $L^2 = 2t$, $t \geq 2$. Then $S^{[2]}$ admits a non-trivial automorphism if and only if one of the following equivalent conditions is satisfied:*

- (1) t is not a square, the generalized Pell equation $P_{4t}(5) : x^2 - 4ty^2 = 5$ has no solution and the generalized Pell equation $P_t(-1) : x^2 - ty^2 = -1$ has a solution;
- (2) There exists an ample class $D \in NS(S^{[2]})$ such that $D^2 = 2$. Moreover, in this case, the class D is unique, the automorphism is unique and it is a non-symplectic involution whose action on $H^2(S^{[2]}, \mathbb{Z})$ is the anti-reflection in the span of D .

Remark 30. We denote by (a, b) the minimal positive solution of Pell's equation $P_t(-1)$ and by $[L]$ the class of L in $NS(S^{[2]}) = NS(S) \oplus \mathbb{Z}\delta$. In fact, from the proof of the above theorem, we have that the divisor $D = (b[L] - a\delta)$.

2.6. Deformation equivalence. In this section, we discuss the basic definitions of deformation equivalence, focusing on hyperkähler manifolds, specifically the Hilbert square of K3 surfaces. We base ourselves on the exposition of [11, Chapter 7, Section 1] and [12].

Definition 31 (Deformation equivalence). *Two compact complex manifolds X_1 and X_2 are said to be deformation equivalent if there exists a smooth proper holomorphic morphism $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ over a (possibly singular) connected base B , points $t_1, t_2 \in B$ and isomorphisms $\mathcal{X}_{t_1} \simeq X_1$ and $\mathcal{X}_{t_2} \simeq X_2$.*

Let $\pi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow T$ be a smooth proper family of hyperkähler manifolds over a connected smooth analytic space T . We define a *family of non-symplectic involutions over \mathcal{X}* as the pair (\mathcal{X}, I) , where $I: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a holomorphic involution such that $\pi \circ I = \pi$ and the restriction to a fiber $I_t: \mathcal{X}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_t$ is a non-symplectic involution $\forall t \in T$.

Definition 32 (Deformation equivalence of involutions). *Let X_1 and X_2 be hyperkähler manifolds and i_1 and i_2 non-symplectic involutions on X_1 and X_2 , respectively. The pairs (X_1, i_1) and (X_2, i_2) are deformation equivalent, if there exists a family $(\pi, I) : \pi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow T$ of non-symplectic involutions and points $t_j \in T$ with $(X_{t_j}, I_{t_j}) \simeq (X_j, i_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$.*

We recall some results and notations established in [5, Section 4]. We fix a primitive embedding $j: \langle 2 \rangle \rightarrow U^{\oplus 3} \oplus E_8(-1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \langle -2 \rangle := L$. Such an embedding is unique up to an isometry of $U^{\oplus 3} \oplus E_8(-1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \langle -2 \rangle$ (see [7, Proposition 8.2]). We denote by $\rho \in O(L)$ the anti-reflection in the ray $j(\langle 2 \rangle)$. By Bossière-Camere-Sarti [8, Theorem 4.5, Theorem 5.6], there is a quasi-projective coarse moduli space $\mathcal{M}_\rho^{(2)}$ parametrizing triples (X, i, l) , where X is a hyperkähler manifold of type $K3^{[2]}$, $l: \langle 2 \rangle \hookrightarrow NS(X)$ is a primitive embedding and i is a non-symplectic involution whose action on $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is conjugated to ρ . In fact, as a consequence of Theorem 28, the triples in $\mathcal{M}_\rho^{(2)}$ can be parametrized by the pairs (X, D) , where $D \in NS(X)$ is the ample divisor that generates $l(\langle 2 \rangle)$ and i is the anti-reflection in D . This result is expressed in [5, Theorem 4.1]. Moreover, we have:

Theorem 33. [5, Theorem 5.2] *Any two points $(X, i_X, l_X), (Y, i_Y, l_Y) \in \mathcal{M}_\rho^{(2)}$ are deformation equivalent.*

Although, any two points $(X, i_X, l_X), (Y, i_Y, l_Y) \in \mathcal{M}_\rho^{(2)}$ are deformation equivalent, we are interested in studying deformations such that every element of the family \mathcal{X} is of the form $S^{[2]}$, where S is a K3 surface. The following proposition is a combination of a result of P. Beri's thesis ([3, Proposition 3.11]) and Theorem 29) and it will establish that. We denote by K_{2t} the moduli space of $2t$ -polarized K3 surfaces.

Proposition 34. *Let $t \geq 2$ be a non-squared integer number. Assume that the equation $P_t(-1) : x^2 - ty^2 = -1$ has a minimal positive solution (a, b) and that $P_{4t}(5) : x^2 - 4ty^2 = 5$ has no solution. Let $U \subset K_{2t}$ be the subset of points $(S, L) \in K_{2t}$ such that $b[L] - a\delta$ is ample. Then for any two pairs (S_1, L_1) and $(S, L_2) \in U$ there exists a deformation family of hyperkähler manifolds $\pi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$ over an analytic connected base B , a line bundle \mathcal{L} on \mathcal{X} and points $0, p \in B$ such that :*

- $(\pi^{-1}(0), \mathcal{L}|_{\pi^{-1}(0)}) \simeq (S_1^{[2]}, b[L_1] - a\delta)$ and $(\pi^{-1}(p), \mathcal{L}|_{\pi^{-1}(p)}) \simeq (S_2^{[2]}, b[L_2] - a\delta)$
- for every $s \in B$, the pair $(\pi^{-1}(s), \mathcal{L}|_{\pi^{-1}(s)}) \simeq (S^{[2]}, b[H] - a\delta)$ for some $(S, H) \in U$.

Remark 35. Let the notation be as in Proposition 34 and denote by i_1 the involution associated to the divisor $b[L_1] - a\delta$ and i_2 the involution associated to the divisor $b[L_2] - a\delta$ as in Theorem 28. Then $(S_1^{[2]}, i_1)$ and $(S_2^{[2]}, i_2)$ are deformation equivalent in the sense of Definition 32, where every element of the deformation family is of the form $(S^{[2]}, i)$, where S is a $2t$ -polarized K3 surface.

3. DEFORMATION OF NON-SYMPLECTIC INVOLUTIONS

For S be an algebraic K3 surface such that $\text{Pic}(S) = \mathbb{Z}L$ with $L^2 = 2t$ with t satisfying certain numerical conditions, Theorem 29 predicts the existence of a non-symplectic involution σ on the Hilbert square $S^{[2]}$. However, this Theorem describes σ in terms of its action on $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ without giving a precise geometric description of it.

In this section, we show that the set of surfaces S_n in Example 1 constructed by Paiva and the author in [19] can be used to build geometric realizations for σ for some values of t using similar ideas as in [5, Example 6.1] and [3, Proposition 3.15]. More precisely, we show that $(S^{[2]}, \sigma)$ and $(S_n^{[2]}, \kappa_1)$ are deformation equivalent, where κ_1 is a combination of two Beauville involutions, and every element of the deformation family is of the form $(S^{[2]}, i)$, where S is a $2t$ -polarized K3 surface. But first, we will prove a proposition that will be helpful to us.

Proposition 36. Let X be a hyperkähler manifold, $i: X \rightarrow X$ an involution and $f: X \rightarrow X$ an automorphism. Then, the invariant lattices $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^f$ and $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^{i \circ f \circ i}$ satisfy the following relation $i^*(H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^f) = H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^{i \circ f \circ i}$.

Proof. We denote by $\kappa = i \circ f \circ i$. Given $W \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^f$, we have $\kappa^*(i^*(W)) = i^* f^* i^*(i^*(W)) = i^* f^*(W) = i^*(W)$, and so $i^*(W) \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^{i \circ f \circ i}$. Moreover, if $D \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^\kappa$, we have $i^*(f^*(i^*(D))) = D$. Then, since i^* is an involution, we have that $i^{*-1} = i^*$, and so $f^*(i^*(D)) = i^*(D)$, concluding our proof. \square

We look at an example constructed by Paiva and the author in [19]:

Given n an integer number bigger than 1, we consider the bilinear form determined by the matrix

$$Q_n = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 8n \\ 8n & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By [15, Corollary 2.9], there is a K3 surface S_n such that the group $\mathbb{Z}H + \mathbb{Z}W$ together with the above bilinear form corresponds to the Picard Lattice of S_n .

Proposition 37. The Hilbert square $S_n^{[2]}$ admits two Beauville involutions i_1 and i_2 whose invariant lattices are given by $H^2(S_n^{[2]}, \mathbb{Z})^{i_1} = \langle [H] - \delta \rangle$ and $H^2(S_n^{[2]}, \mathbb{Z})^{i_2} = \langle [8nW - H] - \delta \rangle$.

Proof. Let $d_n = -\text{disc}(Q_n) = 8(8n^2 - 1)$, by Lemma 11, we know that if the generalized Pell equation $P_{d_n}(-8): x^2 - d_n y^2 = -8$ does not admit a solution, the surface S_n does not admit -2 -divisors, we see by Proposition 5 that this condition is satisfied by the above matrix. Also, since d_n is not a square, the only 0 -divisor that S_n admits is the trivial one. Therefore, the positive cone of the K3 surface coincides with the ample cone, so since H and $8nW - H$ are divisors of square 4 and $\langle H, 8nW - H \rangle = 64n^2 - 4 > 0$, we can suppose without loss of generality that they are both ample divisors of S_n . Moreover, using Theorem 18, we verify that they are very ample, and since S_n does not admit -2 -divisors, S_n has no lines and we conclude that $[H] - \delta$ and $[8nW - H] - \delta$ on $NS(S_n^{[2]})$ generate the invariant lattice of Beauville involutions i_1 and i_2 on $S_n^{[2]}$, respectively. \square

We define $\kappa_1 := i_2 i_1 i_2$ and $\kappa_2 := i_1 i_2 i_1$. Considering that $\langle H, 8nW - H \rangle = 64n^2 - 4 = r + 3$, we obtain the following corollary of Proposition 36.

Corollary 38. The invariant lattices $H^2(S_n^{[2]}, \mathbb{Z})^{\kappa_1}$ and $H^2(S_n^{[2]}, \mathbb{Z})^{\kappa_2}$ have rank 1 and they are generated, respectively, by the ample square 2 divisors $D_1 = (64n^2 - 5)H + 8n(64n^2 - 6)W - (64n^2 - 7)\delta$ and $D_2 = (64n^2 - 5)H - 8nW - (64n^2 - 7)\delta$.

Proof. By Proposition 36,

$$H^2(S_n^{[2]}, \mathbb{Z})^{\kappa_1} = i_2^*(H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^{i_1}) = \langle i_2^*([H] - \delta) \rangle =: \langle D_1 \rangle$$

and

$$H^2(S_n^{[2]}, \mathbb{Z})^{\kappa_2} = i_1^*(H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^{i_2}) = \langle i_1^*([8nW - H] - \delta) \rangle =: \langle D_2 \rangle,$$

which gives our first assertion.

We denote H by d_1 and $8nW - H$ by d_2 and recall that i_1 acts as the anti-reflection of $[H] - \delta$ on $H^2(S_n^{[2]}, \mathbb{Z})$, that is:

$$\begin{aligned} i_1^*: H^2(S^{[2]}, \mathbb{Z}) &\rightarrow H^2(S^{[2]}, \mathbb{Z}) \\ v &\mapsto \langle v, [d_1] - \delta \rangle ([d_1] - \delta) - v. \end{aligned}$$

We compute $D_2 = i_1^*([d_2] - \delta)$.

$$\begin{aligned} i_1^*([d_2] - \delta) &= \langle [d_2] - \delta, [d_1] - \delta \rangle ([d_1] - \delta) - [d_2] + \delta \\ &= ((r+3) - 2)([d_1] - \delta) - [d_2] + \delta \\ &= (r+1)[d_1] - [d_2] - r\delta. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $D_2 = i_1^*([8nW - H] - \delta) = (64n^2 - 5)H - 8nW - (64n^2 - 7)\delta$ and in analogous way, $D_1 = i_2^*([H] - \delta) = (64n^2 - 5)H + 8n(64n^2 - 6)W - (64n^2 - 7)\delta$. \square

Theorem 39. *Consider (S, L) a K3 surface with polarization L of square $2t$, $t \geq 2$ and $\text{Pic}(S) = \mathbb{Z}L$. Then, $S^{[2]}$ admits a unique non-symplectic involution σ such that $(S^{[2]}, \sigma)$ can be deformed into $(S_n^{[2]}, \kappa_i)$, $j=1,2$, in a way that every element of the deformation family is of the form $(\Sigma^{[2]}, j)$, where Σ is $2t$ -polarized K3 surface and j an involution of $\Sigma^{[2]}$ if and only if t can be written as $(64n^2 - 7)^2 + 1$ or $2^{12} \cdot 5^2 k^4 - 2^5 \cdot 7k^2 + 2$.*

Proof. By Theorem 29 and Remark 30, if t is not a square, the generalized Pell equation $P_{4t}(5) : x^2 - 4ty^2 = 5$ has no solution and the generalized Pell equation $P_t(-1) : x^2 - ty^2 = -1$ has a minimal solution (a, b) , then $S^{[2]}$ admits a non-symplectic involution σ that is associated with the divisor $D = b[L] - a\delta$ in the lines of Theorem 28. As a consequence of Theorem 28 and Corollary 38, we can associate κ_1 and κ_2 to D_1 and D_2 , respectively. By Remark 35, we need to verify for which values of t , $(S^{[2]}, b[L] - a\delta)$, $(S_n^{[2]}, D_1)$ and $(S_n^{[2]}, D_2)$ are deformation equivalents as in Proposition 34.

For that, we have to find the possible minimal solutions (a, b) of $P_t(-1)$ and polarizations L_i on S , $L_i^2 = 2t$ that satisfy the following equality

$$D_i = b[L_i] - a\delta$$

We notice that the only possible value for a is $64n^2 - 7$. Also, it is easy to see that one of the possible solutions is obtained by setting $b = 1$, and $L_1 := (64n^2 - 5)H + 8n(64n^2 - 6)W$ and $L_2 := (64n^2 - 5)H - 8nW$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} (L_i - (64n^2 - 7)\delta)^2 &= 2 \\ L_i^2 - 2(64n^2 - 7)^2 &= 2 \\ L_i^2 &= 2(1 + (64n^2 - 7)^2). \end{aligned}$$

Then, for $t = 1 + (64n^2 - 7)^2$, since we can verify that $P_{4t}(5)$ does not have a solution, we conclude that given an element $(S, L) \in K_{2t}$, $\text{Pic}(S) = \mathbb{Z}H$, $S^{[2]}$ admits a non-symplectic involution σ and that setting $b = 1$ and $a = (64n^2 - 7)$, $(S^{[2]}, b[L] - a\delta)$ can be deformed into $(S_n^{[2]}, b[L_i] - a\delta)$ as in Proposition 34, implying that $(S^{[2]}, \sigma)$ can be deformed into $(S_n^{[2]}, \kappa_i)$ through a deformation as described in the statement.

We now look for possible values for $b \neq 1$. We consider

$$bL_1 = (64n^2 - 5)H + 8n(64n^2 - 6)W.$$

We have that $L_1 \in \text{Pic}(S_n)$ if and only if b is a common divisor of both $5 - 64n^2$ and $8n$, that is, iff $\text{g.c.d}(5 - 64n^2, 8n) \neq 1$, or equivalently iff n is multiple of 5. In this case, $\text{g.c.d}(5 - 64n^2, 8n) = 5$ and $b = 5$. These are also the necessary and sufficient conditions for L_2 to be an element of $\text{Pic}(S_n)$ for $bL_2 = (64n^2 - 5)H - 8nW$.

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 25L_i'^2 &= 2(1 + (64 \cdot 25k^2 - 7)^2) \\ L_i'^2 &= 2(2^{12} \cdot 5^2k^4 - 2^5 \cdot 7k^2 + 2) \end{aligned}$$

Then in an analogous way as the previous case, we obtain that for $t = 2^{12} \cdot 5^2k^4 - 2^5 \cdot 7k^2 + 2$, setting $b = 5$ and $a = (64n^2 - 7)$, $(S^{[2]}, b[L] - a\delta)$ can be deformed into $(S_n^{[2]}, b[L'_i] - a\delta)$ as in Proposition 34 and as we have seen these are the only possible cases for the deformation. \square

4. NON-NATURAL INVOLUTION WITH RANK 2 INVARIANT LATTICE

Given X a hyperkähler fourfold of type $K3^{[2]}$ and a non-symplectic automorphism ψ , studying the invariant lattice

$$H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^\psi = \{D \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \mid \psi^*D = D\} \subset H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) = U^{\oplus 3} \oplus E_8(-1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \langle -2 \rangle$$

and its orthogonal complement S_ψ tells us important information about ψ . Bossière, Camere, and Sarti gave a classification of the lattices $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^\psi$ and S_ψ in [7] for non-symplectic automorphism of order p if $p = 2, 3$ or $7 \leq p \leq 19$. In particular, for $p = 2$, they proved that both $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^\psi$ and S_ψ are indefinite 2-elementary lattices and $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^\psi$ is hyperbolic. Furthermore, they classified all non-isometric primitive embeddings of $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^\psi$ in $U^{\oplus 3} \oplus E_8(-1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \langle -2 \rangle$ ([7, Proposition 8.2]) and proved that each one of them can be realized as the invariant lattice of a non-symplectic involution of a hyperkähler manifold of type $K3^{[2]}$ ([7, Theorem 8.5]). However, although the existence of non-symplectic involutions is known, there are few geometrical examples of them.

In the case when $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^\psi = \langle 2 \rangle \oplus \langle -2 \rangle$, there are two possible primitive embeddings (up to isometries) of $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^\psi$ in $U^{\oplus 3} \oplus E_8(-1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \langle -2 \rangle$, and we can distinguish them by their orthogonal complement S_ψ . For the first case, we have that $S_\psi = U^{\oplus 2} \oplus E_8(-1) \oplus E_7(-1) \oplus \langle -2 \rangle^{\oplus 2}$ and an example of such non-symplectic involution is due to Ohashi and Wandel. Their construction uses moduli space of K3 surfaces in [17]. For the second case, $S_\psi = U^{\oplus 2} \oplus E_8(-1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \langle -2 \rangle$ and a known example is a natural involution on the Hilbert square of a K3 surface (See [7, Remark 8.4]).

In this section, we construct a new example of a non-symplectic involution on the Hilbert square $S^{[2]}$ whose invariant lattice is $\langle 2 \rangle \oplus \langle -2 \rangle$. The peculiarity of this particular construction, and what distinguishes it from the two cases above, is that our example has a clear geometrical description, whereas it is a non-natural involution.

For that, we look again at the family of surfaces S_n constructed in the previous section, using the same notation as there. Since the family of matrices Q_n has an ample divisor W of square 2, [19, Proposition 12], we have that W induces an involution φ on S_n such that $H^2(S_n, \mathbb{Z})^\varphi = \langle W \rangle$. We denote by $\varphi^{[2]}$ the induced natural involution on $S^{[2]}$. We consider $\iota = i_1\varphi^{[2]}i_1$, where i_1 is the Beauville involution defined in the previous section.

Theorem 40. ι is a geometric example of a non-natural involution on $S_n^{[2]}$ with $H^2(S_n^{[2]}, \mathbb{Z})^\iota = \langle 2 \rangle \oplus \langle -2 \rangle$ and $S_\iota = U^{\oplus 2} \oplus E_8(-1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \langle -2 \rangle$.

Proof. Recall that

$$H^2(S_n^{[2]}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(S_n, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}\delta.$$

As $\varphi^{[2]}$ is a natural involution on $S_n^{[2]}$, $\varphi^{[2]*}(\delta) = \delta$, by Theorem 25. Moreover, $H^2(S_n, \mathbb{Z})^{\varphi^*} = \langle W \rangle$ by [19, Proposition 12] and so $H^2(S_n^{[2]}, \mathbb{Z})^{\varphi^{[2]*}} = \langle W, \delta \rangle$.

Since i_1^* , acts as the anti-reflection of the divisor $[H] - \delta$, we can write i_1^* in the base $\{H, W, \delta\}$ as

$$i_1^*|_{NS(S_n^{[2]})} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 8n & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -4 & -8n & -3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, $i_1^*(W) = 8nH - W - 8n\delta$ and $i_1^*(\delta) = 2H - 3\delta$. By Proposition 36, $H^2(S^{[2]}, \mathbb{Z})^\iota = \langle 8nH - W - 8n\delta, 2H - 3\delta \rangle$

Moreover, since i_1 is an automorphism, we have:

- $i_1^*(W)^2 = W^2 = 2$.
- $i_1^*(\delta)^2 = \delta^2 = -2$.
- $\langle i_1^*(W), i_1^*(\delta) \rangle = \langle \delta, W \rangle = 0$.

Finally, we denote by

$$l_1: \langle 2 \rangle \oplus \langle -2 \rangle \hookrightarrow NS(S_n^{[2]})$$

the embedding such that $l_1(\langle 2 \rangle \oplus \langle -2 \rangle) = H^2(S^{[2]}, \mathbb{Z})^{\varphi^{[2]}} = \langle W, \delta \rangle$ and by

$$l_2: \langle 2 \rangle \oplus \langle -2 \rangle \hookrightarrow NS(S_n^{[2]})$$

the embedding such that $l_2(\langle 2 \rangle \oplus \langle -2 \rangle) = H^2(S^{[2]}, \mathbb{Z})^\iota = \langle 8nH - W - 8n\delta, 2H - 3\delta \rangle$. We observe that they are isometric as a consequence of the commutativity of the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \langle 2 \rangle \oplus \langle -2 \rangle & \xleftarrow{l_1} & NS(S_n^{[2]}) \\ \downarrow id & & \downarrow i_1^* \\ \langle 2 \rangle \oplus \langle -2 \rangle & \xleftarrow{l_2} & NS(S_n^{[2]}) \end{array}$$

By [7, Proposition 8.2, Example 8.6], we conclude that our example gives orthogonal complement $S_\iota = U^{\oplus 2} \oplus E_8(-1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \langle -2 \rangle$, as in the examples of natural involutions. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Beauville. Some remarks on Kähler manifolds with $c_1 = 0$. Classification of algebraic and analytic manifolds. *PM*, 39:1–26, 1983.
- [2] A. Beauville. Variétés Kähleriennes dont la première classe de Chern est nulle. *J. Differential Geom.*, 18(4):755–782, 1983.
- [3] P. Beri. *On birational transformations and automorphisms of some Hyperkahler manifolds*. PhD thesis, 2020.
- [4] P. Beri and A. Cattaneo. On birational transformations of Hilbert schemes of points on K3 surfaces. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 301(2):1537–1554, 2022.
- [5] S. Boissière, A. Cattaneo, D. Markushevich, and A. Sarti. On the non-symplectic involutions of the Hilbert square of a K3 surface. *Izvestiya: Mathematics*, 83(4):731.
- [6] S. Boissière, A. Cattaneo, M. Nieper-Wisskirchen, and A. Sarti. *The Automorphism Group of the Hilbert Scheme of Two Points on a Generic Projective K3 Surface*, pages 1–15. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2016.
- [7] S. Boissière, C. Camere, and A. Sarti. Classification of automorphisms on a deformation family of hyperkähler four-folds by p-elementary lattices. *Kyoto J. Math.*, 56:465–499, 2016.
- [8] S. Boissière, C. Camere, and A. Sarti. Complex ball quotients from manifolds of $K3^{[n]}$ -type. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 223(3):1123–1138, 2019.
- [9] S. Boissière and A. Sarti. A note on automorphism and birational transformations of holomorphic symplectic manifolds. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 12:4053–4062, 2012.
- [10] K. Conrad. Pell’s equation, ii. <https://kconrad.math.uconn.edu/blurbs/ugradnumthy/pelleqn2.pdf>.
- [11] D. Huybrechts. *Lectures on K3 surfaces*, volume 158 of *Camb. Stud. Adv. Math.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- [12] M. Joumaaah. Non-symplectic involutions of irreducible symplectic manifolds of $K3^{[n]}$ -type. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 283(3-4):761–790, 2016.
- [13] J. Lagrange. *Solution d’un problème d’arithmétique, Oeuvres de Lagrange, Tome I*. Gauthier Villars, 1867.
- [14] S. Mori. On degrees and genera of curves on smooth quartic surfaces in P^3 . *Nagoya Math. J.*, 96:127–132, 1984.
- [15] D. Morrison. On K3 surfaces with large Picard number. *Invent Math.*, 75:105–121, 1984.
- [16] K. O’Grady. A new six-dimensional irreducible symplectic variety. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 12(3):435–505, 2003.
- [17] H. Ohashi and M. Wandel. Non-natural non-symplectic involutions on symplectic manifolds of $K3^{[2]}$. *arXiv:1305.6353v2*, 2013.
- [18] K. O’Grady. Involutions and linear systems on holomorphic symplectic manifolds. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* .15, 6:1223–1274, 2005.

- [19] D. Paiva and A. Quedo. Automorphisms of quartic surfaces and Cremona transformations. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 229(1):107850, 2025.
- [20] B. Saint-Donat. Projective models of K3 surfaces. *Am. J. Math.*, 96:602–639, 1974.
- [21] M. Verbitsky. Mapping class group and a global Torelli theorem for hyperkähler manifolds. *Duke Math J.*, 162:2929–2986, 2013.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA - UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA PIAZZA DI PORTA S. DONATO, 5, 40126 BOLOGNA BO
Email address: ana.martinsquedo@unibo.it