

INFLATIONS AMONG QUANTUM GROTHENDIECK RINGS OF TYPE A

RYO FUJITA

Dedicated to Vyjayanthi Chari on her 65th birthday

ABSTRACT. We introduce a collection of injective homomorphisms among the quantum Grothendieck rings of finite-dimensional modules over the quantum loop algebras of type A. In the classical limit, it specializes to the inflation among the usual Grothendieck rings studied by Brito–Chari [J. Reine Angew. Math. 804, 2023]. We show that our homomorphisms respect the canonical bases formed by the simple (q, t) -characters, which in particular verifies a conjecture of Brito–Chari in loc. cit. We also discuss a categorification of our homomorphisms using the quiver Hecke algebras of type A_∞ .

1. INTRODUCTION

The monoidal category \mathcal{C} of finite-dimensional representations of quantum loop algebra associated with a complex simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} has been studied for over 30 years from various perspectives. As in the classical representation theory of simple Lie algebras, we have a highest weight type classification of simple representations in \mathcal{C} due to Chari–Pressley [3]. However, beyond such a classification result, the situation is much more intricate. For instance, there is no known closed formula for the q -characters of general simple representations in \mathcal{C} .

The quantum Grothendieck ring $K_t(\mathcal{C})$, introduced by Nakajima [20] and Varagnolo–Vasserot [22] for type ADE, and by Hernandez [8] for general type, is a one-parameter deformation of the Grothendieck ring $K(\mathcal{C})$. It carries a canonical basis formed by the t -analog of q -characters (or (q, t) -characters for short) of simple representations, constructed through the Kazhdan–Lusztig type algorithm. For type ADE, Nakajima [20] applied his theory of quiver varieties to prove an analog of Kazhdan–Lusztig conjecture in this setting, that is, the simple (q, t) -characters specialize to the simple q -characters in the classical limit $t \rightarrow 1$. Thus, it gives an explicit algorithm to compute the simple q -characters of type ADE in a uniform way.

For the other type BCFG, Hernandez, Oh, Oya, and the present author [6, 7] verified the analog of Kazhdan–Lusztig conjecture for some classes of simple representations, including all the simple representations of type B. A crucial step in their proof is the construction of a collection of isomorphisms among the quantum Grothendieck rings of different Dynkin types, respecting the canonical bases. For example, it includes some isomorphisms between the quantum Grothendieck rings of type B_n and type A_{2n-1} .

Date: December 17, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 17B37, 81R50.

This result partly motivates us to investigate further relationship among the quantum Grothendieck rings. In this paper, we restrict our attention to type A and construct a collection of injective homomorphisms among the quantum Grothendieck rings of different ranks. (Of course, by composing with the isomorphisms between type B_n and type A_{2n-1} in [6, 7], we can extend it to a collection of injective homomorphisms among type AB.) To be more precise, let \mathcal{C}_n be a monoidal skeleton of the category \mathcal{C} (= the subcategory $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ in the sense of Hernandez–Leclerc [9]) for the quantum loop algebra of \mathfrak{sl}_n , and $K_t(\mathcal{C}_n)$ its quantum Grothendieck ring. Let $I_n := \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ be the index set of simple roots of \mathfrak{sl}_n . The main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 1.1 (= Theorem 4.6). *Let n, \tilde{n} be two positive integers with $1 < n < \tilde{n}$. For any choice of height functions $\xi: I_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, $\tilde{\xi}: I_{\tilde{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ (cf. §3.3) and an increasing function $\nu: I_n \rightarrow I_{\tilde{n}}$, we have an injective homomorphism $\Psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}: K_t(\mathcal{C}_n) \rightarrow K_t(\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{n}})$ respecting the canonical bases.*

We call the homomorphisms $\Psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}$ the *quantum inflations*, as the classical limit of $\Psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}$ for a special choice of $(\xi, \tilde{\xi}, \nu)$ coincides with the inflation from $K(\mathcal{C}_n)$ to $K(\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{n}})$ studied by Brito–Chari [1, §3]. Our construction of $\Psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}$ relies on the presentation of a localization of $K_t(\mathcal{C}_n)$ due to Hernandez–Leclerc [11] depending on the choice of height function ξ .

Combined with the aforementioned result of Nakajima [20], the theorem implies that the classical limit of $\Psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}$ respects the simple classes. In particular, we find that Brito–Chari’s inflation respects the simple classes, which gives a proof of [1, Conjecture 3.2].

In the last section of this paper, we briefly discuss a categorification of our quantum inflations. By Kang–Kashiwara–Kim [13], the quantum Grothendieck ring $K_t(\mathcal{C}_n)$ is known to be isomorphic to the Grothendieck ring $K(\mathcal{T}_n)$ of a certain localization \mathcal{T}_n of the category of finite-dimensional graded modules over the quiver Hecke algebras of type A_{∞} . In the last section, we observe the following.

Theorem 1.2 (= Theorem 5.5). *For any choice of $(\xi, \tilde{\xi}, \nu)$, there is a graded exact monoidal functor $F_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}: \mathcal{T}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{n}}$ categorifying $\Psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}$.*

Organization. This paper is organized as follows. We recall some fundamental facts on \mathcal{C}_n and Brito–Chari’s inflation in §2. We give a brief review on the quantum Grothendieck ring $K_t(\mathcal{C}_n)$ and its presentation in §3. The main part of this paper is §4, where we define the quantum inflations in §4.1, prove their compatibility with the canonical bases in §4.2, and verify Brito–Chari’s conjecture in §4.3. We discuss the categorification of the quantum Grothendieck ring $K_t(\mathcal{C}_n)$ and the quantum inflations in the final §5.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, after fixing our notation in §2.1, we quickly review some basic facts on the representation theory of the quantum loop algebra of type A in §2.2. Then, we recall the definition of the inflations introduced by Brito–Chari and state their conjecture in §2.3.

2.1. Notation. Throughout the paper, we use the following conventions. For a mathematical statement P , we set $\delta(P)$ to be 1 or 0 according that P is true or false. As a special case, we set $\delta_{i,j} := \delta(i = j)$. We denote by \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q} , and \mathbb{C} the sets of integers, rational numbers, and complex numbers respectively. For $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a \leq b$, we set $[a, b] := \{k \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a \leq k \leq b\}$. Given $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we write $a \equiv_n b$ when $a - b \in n\mathbb{Z}$.

Fix $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$. Let $P_n = \bigoplus_{i \in [1, n]} \mathbb{Z}\epsilon_i$ be a free abelian group of rank n equipped with a standard bilinear pairing $(\epsilon_i, \epsilon_j) = \delta_{i,j}$. The symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n of degree n acts on P_n from the left in the natural way. For $a, b \in [1, n]$ with $a < b$, we put $\alpha_{a,b} := \epsilon_a - \epsilon_b \in P_n$. The set $R_n^+ := \{\alpha_{a,b} \mid a, b \in [1, n], a < b\}$ is identical to the set of positive roots of type A_{n-1} . Let $I_n := [1, n-1]$. For each $i \in I_n$, let $\alpha_i := \alpha_{i, i+1} \in P_n$ be the i -th simple root and $s_i \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ the i -th simple reflection (the transposition of i and $i+1$). Let $c_{i,j} := (\alpha_i, \alpha_j)$ be the Cartan integer for $i, j \in I_n$.

We consider the set

$$\widehat{I}_n := \{(i, p) \in I_n \times \mathbb{Z} \mid p \equiv_2 i\}$$

and the ring of Laurent polynomials

$$\mathcal{Y}_n := \mathbb{Z}[Y_{i,p}^{\pm 1} \mid (i, p) \in \widehat{I}_n].$$

Let \mathcal{M}_n^+ (resp. \mathcal{M}_n) be the subset of \mathcal{Y}_n consisting of all the monomials (resp. Laurent monomials) in the variables $Y_{i,p}$, $(i, p) \in \widehat{I}_n$. It is an abelian monoid (resp. group) by the multiplication. We refer to an element $m \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$ as a *dominant monomial*.

2.2. Quantum loop algebras and q -characters. Let $U_q(L\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ be the quantum loop algebra, associated with the complex simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{sl}_n of type A_{n-1} . This is a Hopf algebra over \mathbb{C} . We refer to [2, Chapter 12] for its precise definition. In this paper, we assume that q is not a root of unity.

Recall that simple finite-dimensional modules of type **1** over $U_q(L\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ are parametrized by the multiplicative monoid $(1 + z\mathbb{C}[z])^{I_n}$ of Drinfeld polynomials up to isomorphism by the fundamental result of Chari–Pressley [2, 3]. For $\pi \in (1 + z\mathbb{C}[z])^{I_n}$, we denote by $L(\pi)$ the corresponding simple $U_q(L\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})$ -module. We regard the monoid \mathcal{M}_n^+ of dominant monomials as a submonoid of $(1 + z\mathbb{C}[z])^{I_n}$ through the correspondence

$$Y_{i,p} \mapsto (1 - \delta_{i,j} q^p z)_{j \in I_n}.$$

Then we consider the category \mathcal{C}_n of finite-dimensional $U_q(L\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ -modules whose composition factors are isomorphic to $L(m)$'s for $m \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$. This category is the same as Hernandez–Leclerc's category $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ for $U_q(L\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ in [9]. The category \mathcal{C}_n is closed under taking tensor products and duals. Therefore, it carries a natural structure of rigid monoidal abelian category.

Let $K(\mathcal{C}_n)$ be the Grothendick ring of the category \mathcal{C}_n . It comes with the free \mathbb{Z} -basis $\{[L(m)] \mid m \in \mathcal{M}_n^+\}$ of simple isomorphism classes. The q -character map, in the sense of Frenkel–Reshetikhin [5], induces an injective ring homomorphism

$$\chi_q: K(\mathcal{C}_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_n.$$

By Frenkel–Mukhin [4], the image of χ_q coincides with the intersection

$$(2.1) \quad \bigcap_{i \in I_n} \mathbb{Z}[Y_{i,p}(1 + A_{i,p+1}^{-1}), Y_{j,s}^{\pm 1} \mid j \neq i, p \equiv_2 i, s \equiv_2 j],$$

where $A_{i,p} := Y_{i,p-1}Y_{i,p+1}Y_{i-1,p}^{-1}Y_{i+1,p}^{-1}$ with $Y_{0,p} = Y_{n,p} = 1$ by convention. In what follows, we often identify $K(\mathcal{C}_n)$ with the image of χ_q .

A simple module of the form $L(Y_{i,p})$ for some $(i,p) \in \widehat{I}_n$ is called a *fundamental module*. As a commutative ring, $K(\mathcal{C}_n)$ is freely generated by the classes of fundamental modules.

2.3. Brito–Chari’s inflation. Let $n, \tilde{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$ satisfying $\tilde{n}/n \in \mathbb{Z}$. In [1], Brito–Chari introduced a injective ring homomorphism

$$\Psi_{\tilde{n},n}: K(\mathcal{C}_n) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{n}})$$

given by the assignment $\chi_q(L(Y_{i,p})) \mapsto \chi_q(L(Y_{\tilde{n}i/n, \tilde{n}p/n}))$ for all $(i,p) \in \widehat{I}_n$. The homomorphism $\Psi_{\tilde{n},n}$ is called the *inflation*.

Conjecture 2.1 (Brito–Chari [1, Conjecture 3.2]). *The inflation $\Psi_{\tilde{n},n}$ respects the simple isomorphism classes. More precisely, letting*

$$\psi_{\tilde{n},n}: \mathcal{M}_n^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{n}}^+$$

be the homomorphism given by $Y_{i,p} \mapsto Y_{\tilde{n}i/n, \tilde{n}p/n}$ for $(i,p) \in \widehat{I}_n$, we have

$$(2.2) \quad \Psi_{\tilde{n},n}([L(m)]) = [L(\psi_{\tilde{n},n}(m))]$$

for any $m \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$.

In [1, Theorem 2], Brito–Chari verifies the relation (2.2) for some special classes of simple modules $L(m)$ such as the (usual and higher order) KR-modules, snake modules, and arbitrary simple modules in a level-one subcategory \mathcal{C}_ξ associated with an increasing height function ξ as in [10].

Later in §4.3, we give a proof of Conjecture 2.1 by constructing an analog of the inflation $\Psi_{\tilde{n},n}$ between the quantum Grothendieck rings and showing that it respects their canonical bases.

3. QUANTUM GROTHENDIECK RINGS OF TYPE A

In this section, we recall the definition of the quantum Grothendieck ring of the category \mathcal{C}_n and their canonical bases following Nakajima [20] and Hernandez [8]. We also recall its presentation as the bosonic extension of the half of the quantized enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{sl}_n due to Hernandez–Leclerc [11]. The presentation depends on the choice of a height function on the Dynkin diagram of \mathfrak{sl}_n and plays a key role in our construction of the quantum analog of inflation in §4.

3.1. Definition. Let z be a formal parameter and $C(z) := (\frac{z^{c_{i,j}} - z^{-c_{i,j}}}{z - z^{-1}})_{i,j \in I_n}$ a deformed Cartan matrix. For any $i, j \in I_n$, the (i, j) -entry $\tilde{C}_{i,j}(z)$ of the inverse matrix $C(z)^{-1}$ is a rational function in z . We write its Laurent expansion at $z = 0$ as

$$\tilde{C}_{i,j}(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{c}_{i,j}(k) z^k.$$

It turns out that all the coefficients $\tilde{c}_{i,j}(k)$ are integers. It is known that we have $\tilde{c}_{i,j}(k) = 0$ if $k \leq 0$ or $k \equiv_2 i + j$ (see [11, §2]).

Define the skew-symmetric bilinear map $\mathcal{N} : \mathcal{M}_n \times \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ of abelian groups by $\mathcal{N}(Y_{i,p}, Y_{j,s}) = \mathcal{N}_{i,j}(p - s)$, where

$$\mathcal{N}_{i,j}(k) := \tilde{c}_{i,j}(k - 1) - \tilde{c}_{i,j}(k + 1) - \tilde{c}_{i,j}(-k - 1) + \tilde{c}_{i,j}(-k + 1),$$

for any $i, j \in I_n$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. An explicit formula of $\mathcal{N}_{i,j}(k)$ is given in Example 3.7 below.

Let t be another formal parameter with a square root $t^{1/2}$. We endow the free $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1/2}]$ -module

$$\mathcal{Y}_{n,t} := \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1/2}] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Y}_n = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathcal{M}_n} \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1/2}]m$$

with a $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1/2}]$ -bilinear product $*$ by $m * m' := t^{\mathcal{N}(m,m')/2}mm'$ for $m, m' \in \mathcal{M}_n$. The resulting $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1/2}]$ -algebra $\mathcal{Y}_{n,t} = (\mathcal{Y}_{n,t}, *)$ is a quantum torus, which gives a non-commutative deformation of \mathcal{Y}_n . The specialization at $t = 1$ yields a ring homomorphism $\text{ev}_{t=1} : \mathcal{Y}_{n,t} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_n$. In what follows, we often identify an element $y \in \mathcal{Y}_n$ with $1 \otimes y \in \mathcal{Y}_{n,t}$. It gives an inclusion $\mathcal{Y}_n = 1 \otimes \mathcal{Y}_n \subset \mathcal{Y}_{n,t}$ of a subgroup (not of a subalgebra).

We have the natural anti-involution $y \mapsto \bar{y}$ of $\mathcal{Y}_{n,t}$ given by $\overline{t^{1/2}} = t^{-1/2}$ and $\bar{m} = m$ for all $m \in \mathcal{M}_n$. We call it the *bar-involution*. Each element of the subgroup $\mathcal{Y}_n \subset \mathcal{Y}_{n,t}$ is fixed by this bar-involution.

For each $i \in I_n$, let $K_{t,i}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1/2}]$ -subalgebra of the quantum torus $\mathcal{Y}_{n,t}$ generated by the set

$$\{Y_{i,p}(1 + A_{i,p+1}^{-1}) \mid p \equiv_2 i\} \cup \{Y_{j,s}^{\pm 1} \mid j \neq i, s \equiv_2 j\}.$$

Following Hernandez [8], we define the *quantum Grothendieck ring* $K_t(\mathcal{C}_n)$ to be the intersection of them:

$$K_t(\mathcal{C}_n) := \bigcap_{i \in I_n} K_{t,i}.$$

By construction, $K_t(\mathcal{C}_n)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1/2}]$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{Y}_{n,t}$. By (2.1), we have

$$\text{ev}_{t=1}(K_t(\mathcal{C}_n)) = \chi_q(K(\mathcal{C}_n)) \simeq K(\mathcal{C}_n).$$

3.2. Canonical bases. By [12, Proposition 9.3 & Theorem 9.4], the q -character of a fundamental module $\chi_q(L(Y_{i,p}))$ belongs to $K_t(\mathcal{C}_n)$. This is a special feature of type A (and B).

Lemma 3.1. *For $(i, p), (j, s) \in \widehat{I}_n$, we have*

$$\chi_q(L(Y_{i,p})) * \chi_q(L(Y_{j,s})) = \chi_q(L(Y_{j,s})) * \chi_q(L(Y_{i,p})) \quad \text{if } |p - s| < |i - j| + \delta_{i,j}.$$

Proof. This follows from [6, Lemma 9.11(3)]. See also Remark 3.2 below. \square

Write a dominant monomial $m \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$ as $m = Y_{i_1, p_1} \cdots Y_{i_d, p_d}$ with $p_1 \geq \cdots \geq p_d$. Then, we set

$$(3.1) \quad E_t(m) := t^{-\sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq d} \mathcal{N}(Y_{i_k, p_k}, Y_{i_l, p_l})/2} \chi_q(L(Y_{i_1, p_1})) * \cdots * \chi_q(L(Y_{i_d, p_d})).$$

Since $\chi_q(L(Y_{i,p}))$ and $\chi_q(L(Y_{j,p}))$ mutually commute with respect to $*$ by Lemma 3.1, and $\mathcal{N}_{i,j}(0) = 0$ for any $i, j \in I_n$, the element $E_t(m)$ is well-defined (independent of the ordering of the factors of m). The set $\{E_t(m) \mid m \in \mathcal{M}_n^+\}$ gives a free $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1/2}]$ -basis of $K_t(\mathcal{C}_n)$, called the *standard basis*.

Remark 3.2. In [8], the standard basis element $E_t(m)$ is defined in terms of the element $F_t(Y_{i,p})$ such that $Y_{i,p}$ is the unique dominant monomial occurring in $F_t(Y_{i,p})$, instead of $\chi_q(L(Y_{i,p}))$. Our definition of $E_t(m)$ is equivalent to the one in loc. cit. as $F_t(Y_{i,p}) = \chi_q(L(Y_{i,p}))$ holds in type A.

Theorem 3.3 (Nakajima [20], Hernandez [8], see also [12, Remark 7.8]). *For each dominant monomial $m \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$, there exists a unique element $\chi_{q,t}(L(m))$ of $K_t(\mathcal{C}_n)$ satisfying*

$$\overline{\chi_{q,t}(L(m))} = \chi_{q,t}(L(m)) \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_{q,t}(L(m)) - E_t(m) \in \sum_{m' \in \mathcal{M}_n^+} t^{-1} \mathbb{Z}[t^{-1}] E_t(m').$$

Moreover, the set $\{\chi_{q,t}(L(m)) \mid m \in \mathcal{M}_n^+\}$ forms a free $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1/2}]$ -basis of $K_t(\mathcal{C}_n)$, called the canonical basis of $K_t(\mathcal{C}_n)$.

The element $\chi_{q,t}(L(m))$ is called the t -analog of q -character (or the (q, t) -character for short) of the simple module $L(m)$. For a fundamental module $L(Y_{i,p})$, we have $\chi_{q,t}(L(Y_{i,p})) = \chi_q(L(Y_{i,p}))$.

The following fundamental result was proved by Nakajima using the geometry of quiver varieties.

Theorem 3.4 (Nakajima [20]). *For each $m \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$, we have*

$$\text{ev}_{t=1} \chi_{q,t}(L(m)) = \chi_q(L(m)).$$

3.3. Presentations. We say that a function $\xi: I_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is a *height function* if it satisfies $\xi(1) \equiv_2 1$ and $|\xi(i) - \xi(i+1)| = 1$ for all $i \in [1, n-2]$. A height function ξ defines a Coxeter element $\tau_\xi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ by $\tau_\xi := s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_{n-1}}$, where we chose a total ordering $I_n = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n-1}\}$ satisfying $\xi(i_1) \leq \xi(i_2) \leq \cdots \leq \xi(i_{n-1})$. The element τ_ξ does not depend on the choice of such a total ordering. Following [11, §2], we recursively define the bijection

$$\phi_\xi: \widehat{I}_n \xrightarrow{\sim} R_n^+ \times \mathbb{Z}$$

by the following two requirements:

- (1) we have $\phi_\xi(i, \xi(i)) = (\sum_{j \in I_n, \xi_j - \xi_i = |j-i|} \alpha_j, 0)$ for any $i \in I_n$,
- (2) if $\phi_\xi(i, p) = (\alpha, k)$, we have

$$\phi_\xi(i, p \pm 2) = \begin{cases} (\tau_\xi^{\pm 1}(\alpha), k) & \text{if } \tau_\xi^{\pm 1}(\alpha) \in R_n^+, \\ (-\tau_\xi^{\pm 1}(\alpha), k \pm 1) & \text{if } \tau_\xi^{\pm 1}(\alpha) \notin R_n^+. \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.5. Our τ_ξ is the same as τ^{-1} in [11, §2]. Our bijection ϕ_ξ is the same as the bijection φ in loc. cit.

For future use, we recall a relation between the bilinear form \mathcal{N} and the bijection ϕ_ξ .

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\xi: I_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be a height function. For any $(i, p), (j, s) \in \widehat{I}_n$ with $(i, p) \neq (j, s)$, we have*

$$\mathcal{N}(Y_{i,p}, Y_{j,s}) = (-1)^{k+l+\delta(k \geq l)} (\alpha, \beta),$$

where $(\alpha, k) = \phi_\xi(i, p)$ and $(\beta, l) = \phi_\xi(j, s)$.

Proof. This follows from [11, Proposition 3.2] and [6, Lemma 9.11(2)]. \square

Example 3.7. The most important case for the purpose of this paper is when our height function ξ is *increasing*, namely when it satisfies

$$\xi(i) = i + 2c \quad \text{for any } i \in I_n$$

with a constant $c \in \mathbb{Z}$. In this case, the Coxeter element τ_ξ is $s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n-1}$, which acts on P_n by $\epsilon_i \mapsto \epsilon_{i+1}$ for all $i \in [1, n]$. Here $\epsilon_{n+1} := \epsilon_1$ by convention. The bijection ϕ_ξ is easy to compute as follows. For an integer $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, we write it as

$$(3.2) \quad p = k_n(p)n + r_n(p) \quad \text{with } k_n(p) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } r_n(p) \in [1, n].$$

Then, we have

$$\phi_\xi(i, \xi(i) + 2p) = \begin{cases} (\alpha_{r_n(p), r_n(p+i)}, 2k_n(p) + 1) & \text{if } r_n(p) < r_n(p+i), \\ (\alpha_{r_n(p+i), r_n(p)}, 2k_n(p) + 2) & \text{if } r_n(p) > r_n(p+i), \end{cases}$$

for any $i \in I_n$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, the inverse bijection ϕ_ξ^{-1} is given as

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} \phi_\xi^{-1}(\alpha_{a,b}, 2k+1) = (b-a, \xi(b-a) + 2(kn+a)), \\ \phi_\xi^{-1}(\alpha_{a,b}, 2k+2) = (n+a-b, \xi(n+a-b) + 2(kn+b)). \end{cases}$$

With these computations, Lemma 3.6 yields an explicit formula of $\mathcal{N}_{i,j}(k)$: for any $i, j \in I_n$ and $k \geq \delta_{i,j}$, we have

$$\mathcal{N}_{i,j}(k) = \delta(k \equiv_{2n} i+j) - \delta(k \equiv_{2n} i-j) - \delta(k \equiv_{2n} -i+j) + \delta(k \equiv_{2n} -i-j).$$

Now, we recall a presentation of the quantum Grothendieck ring $K_t(\mathcal{C}_n)$, which depends on the choice of height function.

Definition 3.8 (Bosonic extension). Let $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n$ be a $\mathbb{Q}(t^{1/2})$ -algebra presented by the generators $\{e_{i,k} \mid i \in I_n, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ with the following relations:

(R1) For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any $i, j \in I_n$, we have

$$\begin{cases} e_{i,k}^2 e_{j,k} - (t+t^{-1})e_{i,k} e_{j,k} e_{i,k} + e_{j,k} e_{i,k}^2 = 0 & \text{if } |i-j| = 1, \\ e_{i,k} e_{j,k} - e_{j,k} e_{i,k} = 0 & \text{if } |i-j| > 1. \end{cases}$$

(R2) For any $k, k' \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $k < k'$ and $i, j \in I_n$, we have

$$e_{i,k} e_{j,k'} = t^{(-1)^{k+k'} c_{i,j}} e_{j,k'} e_{i,k} + (1-t^{-2}) \delta_{(i,k), (j,k'-1)}.$$

The algebra $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n$ is often called the *bosonic extension* of the positive half U_n^+ of the quantized enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{sl}_n (see §4.1).

Put

$$K_t(\mathcal{C}_n)_{loc} := K_t(\mathcal{C}_n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1/2}]} \mathbb{Q}(t^{1/2}).$$

Theorem 3.9 (Hernandez–Leclerc [11], F.–Hernandez–Oh–Oya [6]).

(1) Let $\xi: I_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be a height function. There is an isomorphism

$$\Psi_\xi: \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n \xrightarrow{\sim} K_t(\mathcal{C}_n)_{loc}$$

of $\mathbb{Q}(t^{1/2})$ -algebras satisfying

$$\Psi_\xi(e_{j,k}) = \chi_{q,t}(L(Y_{i,p})) \quad \text{if } \phi_\xi(i, p) = (\alpha_j, k).$$

- (2) Let $\xi': I_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be another height function. The automorphism $\Psi_{\xi, \xi'} := \Psi_{\xi} \circ \Psi_{\xi'}^{-1}$ of $K_t(\mathcal{C}_n)_{loc}$ induces a permutation of the simple (q, t) -characters. In other words, there is a certain permutation $\psi_{\xi, \xi'}$ of the set \mathcal{M}_n^+ such that we have

$$\Psi_{\xi, \xi'}(\chi_{q, t}(L(m))) = \chi_{q, t}(L(\psi_{\xi, \xi'}(m)))$$

for any $m \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$. In particular, $\Psi_{\xi, \xi'}$ restricts to a $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1/2}]$ -algebra automorphism

$$\Psi_{\xi, \xi'}: K_t(\mathcal{C}_n) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_t(\mathcal{C}_n).$$

Remark 3.10. In general, the permutation $\psi_{\xi, \xi'}$ of \mathcal{M}_n^+ in Theorem 3.9 (2) is only piecewise linear, not a monoid automorphism. By construction, it satisfies $\psi_{\xi, \xi'}(Y_{i, p}) = Y_{j, s}$ if $\phi_{\xi'}(i, p) = \phi_{\xi}(j, s) = (\alpha, k)$ with α being a simple root. But it is not always true if α is a non-simple root.

Remark 3.11. If $\xi - \xi' = 2c$ for some constant $c \in \mathbb{Z}$, the automorphism $\Psi_{\xi, \xi'}$ coincides with the spectral parameter shift $Y_{i, p} \mapsto Y_{i, p+2c}$. In general, $\Psi_{\xi, \xi'}$ can be written as a composition of the braid symmetries σ_i ($i \in I_n$) introduced in [14] (we use them in the proof of Proposition 4.2 below) and a spectral parameter shift. See [7, the paragraph before Proposition 6.2].

4. QUANTUM INFLATIONS

In this section, we introduce a collection of injective homomorphisms from $K_t(\mathcal{C}_n)$ to $K_t(\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{n}})$ with $n < \tilde{n}$, which we call the quantum inflations as it includes a quantum analog of Brito–Chari’s inflation in §2.3 as a special case. Our main theorem (= Theorem 4.6) in §4.2 asserts that they respect the canonical bases, and hence their classical limits respect the simple classes. In particular, we obtain in §4.3 a proof of Conjecture 2.1.

4.1. Construction. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$ and U_n^+ the positive half of the quantized enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{sl}_n over $\mathbb{Q}(t^{1/2})$. Namely, U_n^+ is the $\mathbb{Q}(t^{1/2})$ -algebra presented by the generators $\{e_i \mid i \in I_n\}$ with the quantum Serre relations:

$$\begin{cases} e_i^2 e_j - (t + t^{-1})e_i e_j e_i + e_j e_i^2 = 0 & \text{if } |i - j| = 1, \\ e_i e_j - e_j e_i = 0 & \text{if } |i - j| > 1. \end{cases}$$

For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the assignment $e_i \mapsto e_{i, k}$ gives rise to a $\mathbb{Q}(t^{1/2})$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\iota_k: U_n^+ \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n.$$

In the sequel, we use the following t -commutator notation:

$$[x, y]_{t^{1/2}} := t^{1/2}xy - t^{-1/2}yx.$$

For any $a, b \in [1, n]$ with $a < b$, we define the element $e(\alpha_{a, b})$ of U_n^+ by

$$(4.1) \quad e(\alpha_{a, b}) := \frac{[e_a, [e_{a+1}, \dots [e_{b-2}, e_{b-1}]_{t^{1/2}} \dots]_{t^{1/2}}]_{t^{1/2}}}{(t - t^{-1})^{b-a-1}}.$$

We have $e(\alpha_i) = e_i$ for any $i \in I_n$ by definition. Note that $e(\alpha_{a, b})$ and $e(\alpha_{c, d})$ commute if $b + 1 < c$.

Lemma 4.1. *For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$ and $a, b, c \in [1, n]$ with $a < b < c$, we have*

$$e(\alpha_{a,c}) = \frac{[e(\alpha_{a,b}), e(\alpha_{b,c})]_{t^{1/2}}}{t - t^{-1}}.$$

Proof. For $x, y, z \in U_n^+$, we have $[[x, y]_{t^{1/2}}, z]_{t^{1/2}} = [x, [y, z]_{t^{1/2}}]_{t^{1/2}}$ if $xz = zx$. With this remark in mind, the assertion follows by induction on $c - a$. \square

Let $n, \tilde{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$ with $n < \tilde{n}$, and $\nu: I_n \rightarrow I_{\tilde{n}}$ a (strictly) increasing function. Consider an assignment from $\{e_{i,k} \mid i \in I_n, k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n$ to $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{n}}$ given by

$$(4.2) \quad e_{i,k} \mapsto \iota_k(e(\alpha_{\nu(i), \nu(i+1)})) \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{n}} \quad \text{for each } i \in I_n \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where $\nu(n) := \tilde{n}$ by convention.

Proposition 4.2. *For any increasing function $\nu: I_n \rightarrow I_{\tilde{n}}$, the assignment (4.2) defines a $\mathbb{Q}(t^{1/2})$ -algebra homomorphism*

$$\Upsilon_\nu: \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{n}}.$$

Proof. For the sake of simplicity, we put $\tilde{e}_{i,k} := \iota_k(e(\alpha_{\nu(i), \nu(i+1)}))$ in this proof. We have to verify that the collection $\{\tilde{e}_{i,k} \mid i \in I_n, k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{n}}$ satisfies the defining relations (R1) & (R2) of the algebra $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{n}}$. We proceed by induction on $\tilde{n} - n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

First, we consider the case when $\tilde{n} = n + 1$. Note that, for any increasing function $\nu: I_n \rightarrow I_{n+1}$, there is a unique $l \in I_n$ such that we have $\nu(i) = i + \delta(i \geq l)$ for all $i \in I_n$. Then, we have

$$(4.3) \quad \tilde{e}_{i,k} = \begin{cases} e_{i,k} & \text{if } i < l, \\ (t - t^{-1})^{-1} [e_{l,k}, e_{l+1,k}]_{t^{1/2}} & \text{if } i = l, \\ e_{i+1,k} & \text{if } i > l. \end{cases}$$

The desired relations (R1) & (R2) for $\tilde{e}_{i,k}$ and $\tilde{e}_{j,k'}$ with $i, j \in I_n \setminus \{l\}$ follows immediately from this formula. For the remaining relations, we can check them by using the braid group symmetry generated by a collection $\{\sigma_l^{\pm 1} \mid l \in I_{n+1}\}$ of $\mathbb{Q}(t^{1/2})$ -algebra automorphisms of $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{n+1}$ introduced by Kashiwara–Kim–Oh–Park in [14]. Recall that these automorphisms are given by the formula

$$\sigma_l(e_{i,k}) = \begin{cases} (t - t^{-1})^{-1} [e_{i,k}, e_{l,k}]_{t^{1/2}} & \text{if } |i - l| = 1, \\ e_{i, k + \delta_{i,l}} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\sigma_l^{-1}(e_{i,k}) = \begin{cases} (t - t^{-1})^{-1} [e_{l,k}, e_{i,k}]_{t^{1/2}} & \text{if } |i - l| = 1, \\ e_{i, k - \delta_{i,l}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

See [14, Theorem 2.3] (also [16, Theorem 3.1] for more general statement with a detailed proof). Comparing the formula with (4.3), we have

$$\tilde{e}_{i,k} = \begin{cases} \sigma_{l+1}(e_{i,k}) & \text{if } i \leq l, \\ \sigma_l^{-1}(e_{i+1,k}) & \text{if } i \geq l. \end{cases}$$

Using this, the relations (R1) & (R2) for $\tilde{e}_{i,k}$ and $\tilde{e}_{j,k'}$ with $i = l$ or $j = l$ can be checked immediately. Thus, Υ_ν is well-defined when $\tilde{n} = n + 1$.

For the induction step, we note that an increasing function $\nu: I_n \rightarrow I_{\tilde{n}}$ with $\tilde{n} - n > 1$ can be factorized as $\nu = \nu'' \circ \nu'$, where $\nu': I_n \rightarrow I_{n'}$ and

$\nu'': I_{n'} \rightarrow I_{\tilde{n}}$ are some increasing functions with $n' \in [n+1, \tilde{n}-1]$. By induction hypothesis, the $\mathbb{Q}(t^{1/2})$ -algebra homomorphisms $\Upsilon_{\nu'}$ and $\Upsilon_{\nu''}$ are well-defined. We apply Lemma 4.1 repeatedly to find that

$$\tilde{e}_{i,k} = (\Upsilon_{\nu''} \circ \Upsilon_{\nu'})(e_{i,k})$$

holds for any $i \in I_n$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. This implies that the collection $\{\tilde{e}_{i,k} \mid i \in I_n, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ satisfies the desired relations (R1) & (R2). Thus, the homomorphism Υ_{ν} is also well-defined and $\Upsilon_{\nu} = \Upsilon_{\nu''} \circ \Upsilon_{\nu'}$ holds. \square

Definition 4.3 (Quantum inflations). Let $n, \tilde{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$. For any height functions $\xi: I_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \tilde{\xi}: I_{\tilde{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and any increasing function $\nu: I_n \rightarrow I_{\tilde{n}}$, we define the *quantum inflation* to be the homomorphism

$$\Psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}: K_t(\mathcal{C}_n)_{loc} \rightarrow K_t(\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{n}})_{loc}$$

to be the composition $\Psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi} := \Psi_{\tilde{\xi}} \circ \Upsilon_{\nu} \circ \Psi_{\xi}^{-1}$ (recall the isomorphisms Ψ_{ξ} and $\Psi_{\tilde{\xi}}$ from Theorem 3.9).

Remark 4.4.

- (1) When ν is the identity (that is, when $n = \tilde{n}$), the homomorphism Υ_{ν} is the identity automorphism of $K_t(\mathcal{C}_n)$ and we have $\Psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi} = \Psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \xi}$ in the notation of Theorem 3.9 (2).
- (2) Let $n_1, n_2, n_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$ with $n_1 \leq n_2 \leq n_3$. For any height functions $\xi_i: I_{n_i} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ ($i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$) and increasing functions $\nu_i: I_{n_i} \rightarrow I_{n_{i+1}}$ ($i \in \{1, 2\}$), we have $\Psi_{\xi_3, \nu_2, \xi_2} \circ \Psi_{\xi_2, \nu_1, \xi_1} = \Psi_{\xi_3, \nu_2 \circ \nu_1, \xi_1}$. This follows from the construction and the equality $\Upsilon_{\nu_2} \circ \Upsilon_{\nu_1} = \Upsilon_{\nu_2 \circ \nu_1}$ (see the proof of Proposition 4.2).

Remark 4.5. When $\tilde{n} = n+1$, the homomorphism $\Upsilon_{\nu}: \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_{n+1}$ can be thought of the bosonic extension of an edge contraction $U_n^+ \rightarrow U_{n+1}^+$ in the sense of Li [19]. In general, Υ_{ν} is the bosonic extension of an iteration of edge contractions.

4.2. Compatibility with canonical bases. We prove that the quantum inflations respect the canonical bases of the quantum Grothendieck rings. We retain the notation from the previous subsection.

Theorem 4.6. *The quantum inflation $\Psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}$ in Definition 4.3 induces an injective map from the canonical basis of $K_t(\mathcal{C}_n)$ into the canonical basis of $K_t(\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{n}})$. In other words, there is an injective map $\psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}: \mathcal{M}_n^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{n}}^+$ such that we have*

$$(4.4) \quad \Psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}(\chi_{q,t}(L(m))) = \chi_{q,t}(L(\psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}(m)))$$

for any $m \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$. In particular, $\Psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}$ restricts to an injective $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1/2}]$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\Psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}: K_t(\mathcal{C}_n) \hookrightarrow K_t(\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{n}}).$$

Proof. Let $\xi': I_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and $\tilde{\xi}': I_{\tilde{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be another pair of height functions. By Remark 4.4, we have $\Psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi} = \Psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\xi}'} \circ \Psi_{\tilde{\xi}', \nu, \xi'} \circ \Psi_{\xi', \xi}$. Therefore, as soon as we prove the statement for $\Psi_{\tilde{\xi}', \nu, \xi'}$ with an injective map $\psi_{\tilde{\xi}', \nu, \xi'}: \mathcal{M}_n^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{n}}$, the statement for $\Psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}$ also follows with the map $\psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi} := \psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\xi}'} \circ$

$\psi_{\tilde{\xi}', \nu, \xi'} \circ \psi_{\xi', \xi}$, thanks to Theorem 3.9 (2). Thus, it suffices to consider the special case when both height functions ξ and $\tilde{\xi}$ are increasing, which is treated in Proposition 4.7 below. \square

With a given increasing function $\nu: I_n \rightarrow I_{\tilde{n}}$, we associate an injective map $\nu_*: R_n^+ \rightarrow R_{\tilde{n}}^+$ by

$$\nu_*(\alpha_{a,b}) := \alpha_{\nu(a), \nu(b)} \quad \text{for any } a, b \in [1, n] \text{ with } a < b,$$

where $\nu(n) := \tilde{n}$ by convention.

Proposition 4.7. *Assume that both $\xi: I_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and $\tilde{\xi}: I_{\tilde{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ are increasing height functions. Then, the equality (4.4) holds for any $m \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$ with $\psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}: \mathcal{M}_n^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{n}}^+$ being the injective monoid homomorphism given by*

$$\psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}(Y_{i,p}) := Y_{j,s} \quad \text{if } (j, s) = (\phi_{\tilde{\xi}}^{-1} \circ (\nu_* \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}}) \circ \phi_{\xi})(i, p)$$

for each $(i, p) \in \widehat{I}_n$.

Proof. Recall that a height function $\xi: I_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ defines a Dynkin quiver Q_{ξ} of type A_{n-1} so that we have an arrow $i \rightarrow j$ in Q_{ξ} if and only if $|i - j| = 1$ and $\xi(i) > \xi(j)$. For example, when ξ is increasing, Q_{ξ} is a monotone quiver: $Q_{\xi} = (1 \leftarrow 2 \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow n - 1)$. Associated to a Dynkin quiver Q of type A_{n-1} , the rescaled dual PBW generator $\tilde{E}_Q^*(\alpha) \in U_n^+$ is defined for each positive root $\alpha \in R_n^+$ as in [11, §6.1]. (Note that $\tilde{E}_Q^*(\alpha)$ is written simply as $\tilde{E}^*(\alpha)$ in loc. cit. and our t is equal to v in loc. cit.) For an arbitrary height function ξ , by the construction of the isomorphism Ψ_{ξ} and [11, Theorem 6.1], we know that the isomorphism $\Psi_{\xi}: \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_n \rightarrow K_t(\mathcal{C}_n)_{loc}$ sends $\iota_k(\tilde{E}_{Q_{\xi}}^*(\alpha))$ to $\chi_{q,t}(L(Y_{i,p}))$ with $(i, p) = \phi_{\xi}^{-1}(\alpha, k)$ for any $(\alpha, k) \in R_n^+ \times \mathbb{Z}$.

Now, we assume that ξ is increasing. Then, it is straightforward to check that the rescaled PBW generator $\tilde{E}_{Q_{\xi}}^*(\alpha)$ coincides with the element $e(\alpha)$ defined in (4.1) for any $\alpha \in R_n^+$. Therefore, in this case, we have $\Psi_{\xi}(\iota_k(e(\alpha))) = \chi_{q,t}(L(Y_{i,p}))$ with $(i, p) = \phi_{\xi}^{-1}(\alpha, k)$ for any $(\alpha, k) \in R_n^+ \times \mathbb{Z}$.

Thus, assuming that both ξ and $\tilde{\xi}$ are increasing, we have

$$(4.5) \quad \Psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}(\chi_{q,t}(L(Y_{i,p}))) = \chi_{q,t}(L(\psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}(Y_{i,p})))$$

for any $(i, p) \in \widehat{I}_n$. In addition, since $(\alpha, \beta) = (\nu_*\alpha, \nu_*\beta)$ holds for any $\alpha, \beta \in R_n^+$, Lemma 3.6 yields

$$(4.6) \quad \mathcal{N}(Y_{i,p}, Y_{j,s}) = \mathcal{N}(\psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}(Y_{i,p}), \psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}(Y_{j,s}))$$

for any $(i, p), (j, s) \in \widehat{I}_n$. From (4.5) and (4.6), together with Lemma 3.1, it follows that

$$\Psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}(E_t(m)) = E_t(\psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}(m))$$

for all $m \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$ (recall the definition of $E_t(m)$ in (3.1)). Moreover, it is immediate to see from the construction that $\Psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}$ commutes with the bar-involutions. Therefore, for any $m \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$, we find the element $\Psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}(\chi_{q,t}(L(m)))$ satisfies the two characterizing properties of $\chi_{q,t}(L(\psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}(m)))$ in Theorem 3.3. Thus, we obtain the desired equality (4.4). \square

Remark 4.8. For general height functions ξ and $\tilde{\xi}$, the injective map $\psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}^{\sim}: \mathcal{M}_n^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{n}}^+$ is not a monoid homomorphism. It is only piecewise linear. See Remark 3.10.

By Theorem 4.6, it makes sense to consider the specialization $\Psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}|_{t=1}$ at $t = 1$ of the homomorphism $\Psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}$. It is a unique ring homomorphism from $K(\mathcal{C}_n)$ to $K(\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{n}})$ making the following diagram commute:

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K_t(\mathcal{C}_n) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}} & K_t(\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{n}}) \\ \text{ev}_{t=1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{ev}_{t=1} \\ K(\mathcal{C}_n) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}|_{t=1}} & K(\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{n}}). \end{array}$$

Corollary 4.9. *The homomorphism $\Psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}|_{t=1}$ induces an injective map from the set of simple classes of \mathcal{C}_n to that of $\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{n}}$. More precisely, we have*

$$\Psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}|_{t=1}([L(m)]) = [L(\psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}^{\sim}(m))]$$

for any $m \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$, where $\psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}^{\sim}: \mathcal{M}_n^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{n}}^+$ is as in Theorem 4.6.

Proof. The assertion follows from the commutativity of the diagram (4.7) together with Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 4.6. \square

4.3. A proof of Conjecture 2.1. Let $n, \tilde{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$ satisfying $\tilde{n}/n \in \mathbb{Z}$ as in §2.3. We define the function $\nu_{\tilde{n}/n}: I_n \rightarrow I_{\tilde{n}}$ by $\nu_{\tilde{n}/n}(i) := \tilde{n}i/n$. We also take the increasing height functions $\xi_0: I_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and $\tilde{\xi}_0: I_{\tilde{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $\xi_0(i) := i$ and $\tilde{\xi}_0(i) := i$ respectively.

Recall Brito–Chari’s inflation $\Psi_{\tilde{n}, n}: K(\mathcal{C}_n) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{n}})$ and the monoid homomorphism $\psi_{\tilde{n}, n}: \mathcal{M}_n^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{n}}^+$ from §2.3. The next proposition, together with Corollary 4.9, verifies Conjecture 2.1.

Proposition 4.10. *We have $\Psi_{\tilde{\xi}_0, \nu_{\tilde{n}/n}, \xi_0}|_{t=1} = \Psi_{\tilde{n}, n}$ and $\psi_{\tilde{\xi}_0, \nu_{\tilde{n}/n}, \xi_0}^{\sim} = \psi_{\tilde{n}, n}$.*

Proof. A direct computation using the formula (3.3) in Example 3.7 shows that the homomorphism $\psi_{\tilde{\xi}_0, \nu_{\tilde{n}/n}, \xi_0}^{\sim}$ sends $Y_{i,p}$ to $Y_{\tilde{n}i/n, \tilde{n}p/n}$ for all $(i, p) \in \hat{I}_n$. Hence, we get the equality $\psi_{\tilde{\xi}_0, \nu_{\tilde{n}/n}, \xi_0}^{\sim} = \psi_{\tilde{n}, n}$. Then, by Corollary 4.9, we have $\Psi_{\tilde{\xi}_0, \nu_{\tilde{n}/n}, \xi_0}|_{t=1}([L(Y_{i,p})]) = [L(Y_{\tilde{n}i/n, \tilde{n}p/n})]$ for any $(i, p) \in \hat{I}_n$, which proves the equality $\Psi_{\tilde{\xi}_0, \nu_{\tilde{n}/n}, \xi_0}|_{t=1} = \Psi_{\tilde{n}, n}$. \square

5. CATEGORIFICATION

We end this paper with a brief discussion on a categorification of the quantum inflations using the quiver Hecke algebras. It is built on the works of Kang–Kashiwara–Kim [13], Kashiwara–Park [17], and Kashiwara–Kim–Oh–Park [14].

5.1. Quiver Hecke algebras of type A_∞ . Let $P := \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}\epsilon_a$ be a free abelian group of countably infinite rank equipped with the standard bilinear pairing $(\epsilon_a, \epsilon_b) = \delta_{a,b}$. For each $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a < b$, we set $\alpha_{a,b} := \epsilon_a - \epsilon_b$ and $\alpha_a := \alpha_{a, a+1}$. The set $R^+ := \{\alpha_{a,b} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, a < b\}$ is identical to the set of positive roots of type A_∞ . Let $Q^+ := \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_a \subset P$.

For each $\beta \in Q^+$, the quiver Hecke algebra $R(\beta)$ of type A_∞ is defined. This is an associative \mathbb{Z} -graded algebra over \mathbb{C} given by an explicit presentation. See [13]. Let \mathcal{A}_β denote the category of finite-dimensional \mathbb{Z} -graded $R(\beta)$ -modules and set $\mathcal{A} := \bigoplus_{\beta \in Q^+} \mathcal{A}_\beta$. For $M \in \mathcal{A}_\beta$ and $M' \in \mathcal{A}_{\beta'}$, we can form their convolution product $M \circ M' \in \mathcal{A}_{\beta+\beta'}$. This gives rise to a bi-exact functor $\mathcal{A}_\beta \times \mathcal{A}_{\beta'} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\beta+\beta'}$, which makes the category \mathcal{A} into a \mathbb{Z} -graded monoidal abelian category. In particular, the Grothendieck ring $K(\mathcal{A}) := \bigoplus_{\beta \in Q^+} K(\mathcal{A}_\beta)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ -algebra, where $t^{\pm 1}$ are the grading shifts. By convention, our t is the same as q^{-1} in [13]. Taking the graded dual of the modules gives an anti-involution $M \mapsto M^*$ of \mathcal{A} . It satisfies

$$(5.1) \quad (t^{\pm 1} M)^* \simeq t^{\mp 1} M^* \quad \text{and} \quad (M \circ M')^* \simeq t^{-(\beta, \beta')} M'^* \circ M^*$$

for $M \in \mathcal{A}_\beta$ and $M' \in \mathcal{A}_{\beta'}$. The Grothendieck ring $K(\mathcal{A})$ has a free $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ -basis formed by the classes of self-dual simple modules. Any simple module in \mathcal{A} is isomorphic to a grading shift of a self-dual one.

For each positive root $\alpha \in R^+$, there is a self-dual one-dimensional module $L(\alpha) \in \mathcal{A}(\alpha)$. In [13], $L(\alpha_{a,b})$ was denoted by $L(a, b-1)$. The set $\{[L(\alpha)] \mid \alpha \in R^+\}$ generates the $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1/2}]$ -algebra $K(\mathcal{A})$ and satisfies the relation

$$(5.2) \quad (1 - t^{-2})[L(\alpha_{a,b})] = [L(\alpha_{a,b}) \circ L(\alpha_{b,c})] - t^{-1}[L(\alpha_{b,c}) \circ L(\alpha_{a,b})]$$

for any $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a < b < c$. See [13, Proposition 4.3(vi)].

5.2. Categorification of $K_t(\mathcal{C}_n)$. Given $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$, let S_n de the automorphism of P given by $S_n(\epsilon_a) = \epsilon_{a+n}$ for $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Define a bilinear form $B_n(\cdot, \cdot): P \times P \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$B_n(x, y) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} (S_n^k(x), y).$$

Then, twisting the convolution product \circ in \mathcal{A} , we set

$$(5.3) \quad M \star M' := t^{B_n(\beta, \beta')} M \circ M'$$

for $M \in \mathcal{A}_\beta$ and $M' \in \mathcal{A}_{\beta'}$. This defines another monoidal structure on \mathcal{A} , and we write $\mathcal{A}_n := (\mathcal{A}, \star)$ for the \mathbb{Z} -graded monoidal abelian category thus obtained. From (5.1) and (5.3), we have

$$(5.4) \quad (M \star M')^* \simeq t^{-(r_n(\beta), r_n(\beta'))} M'^* \star M^*,$$

for $M \in \mathcal{A}_\beta$ and $M' \in \mathcal{A}_{\beta'}$, where $r_n: P \rightarrow P_n = \bigoplus_{i \in [1, n]} \mathbb{Z}\epsilon_i$ is the homomorphism given by $r_n(\epsilon_a) := \epsilon_{r_n(a)}$ in the notation of (3.2).

In [13, §4], a certain \mathbb{Z} -graded rigid monoidal abelian category \mathcal{T}_n was constructed as a localization of the category \mathcal{A}_n . By definition, it comes with an exact monoidal functor $\Omega_n: \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_n$ satisfying the following properties and it is universal among such monoidal functors:

- (1) $\Omega_n(L(\alpha_{a,b})) = 0$ if $b - a > n$,
- (2) for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is an isomorphism between $\Omega_n(L(\alpha_{a, a+n}))$ and the unit object of \mathcal{T}_n satisfying a certain compatibility condition (see [13, Proposition A.12] for detail).

Moreover, Ω_n sends simple modules in \mathcal{A}_n to simple objects or zeros in \mathcal{T}_n , and every simple object of \mathcal{T}_n is the image of a simple module in \mathcal{A}_n under Ω_n . See [13, Proposition A.11]. We put $L_n(\alpha_{a,b}) := \Omega_n(L(\alpha_{a,b})) \neq 0$ for

$a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $b - a \in I_n = [1, n - 1]$. The monoidal category \mathcal{T}_n gives a categorification of the quantum Grothendieck ring $K_t(\mathcal{C}_n)$ as follows.

Theorem 5.1 (Kang–Kashiwara–Kim [13]). *There is an isomorphism*

$$\Phi_n: K(\mathcal{T}_n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]} \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1/2}] \xrightarrow{\sim} K_t(\mathcal{C}_n)$$

of $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1/2}]$ -algebras satisfying

$$\Phi_n(t^{1/2}[L_n(\alpha_{a,b})]) = \chi_{q,t}(L(Y_{b-a,a+b}))$$

for any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $b - a \in I_n$. Moreover, there exists an exact monoidal functor $F_n: \mathcal{T}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_n$ which sends simple objects of \mathcal{T}_n to simple objects of \mathcal{C}_n and satisfies $\text{ev}_{t=1} \circ \Phi_n = [F_n]$.

Proof. This follows from [13, Theorem 4.32] and its proof. The functor F_n arises from the quantum affine Schur–Weyl duality. \square

Although the following corollary may be well-known for the experts, we shall give a detailed proof as the author could not find a proper reference.

Corollary 5.2. *The isomorphism Φ_n in Theorem 5.1 sends each simple class in $K(\mathcal{T}_n)$ to a $t^{\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}}$ -multiple of a simple (q, t) -character in $K_t(\mathcal{C}_n)$.*

Proof. As our base field \mathbb{C} is of characteristic zero, the basis of the self-dual simple modules of $K(\mathcal{A})$ correspond to the dual canonical basis of the quantum unipotent coordinate ring of type A_∞ by [21, 23]. In particular, the self-dual simple classes are parametrized by the set $(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{\oplus R^+}$ (equivalent to the set of Zelevinsky’s multi-segments) as follows. Define a total ordering \leq of the set R^+ so that we have $\alpha_{a,b} < \alpha_{a',b'}$ if $a < a'$, or $a = a'$ & $b < b'$. For each $\mathbf{c} = (c_\alpha)_{\alpha \in R^+} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{\oplus R^+}$, we define

$$M(\mathbf{c}) = t^{-\sum_{\alpha \in R^+} c_\alpha(c_\alpha - 1)/2} \overleftarrow{\circ}_{\alpha \in R^+} L(\alpha)^{\circ c_\alpha},$$

where $\overleftarrow{\circ}$ means the ordered convolution product along the above ordering of R^+ increasing from the right to left. Then, the self-dual simple class $[L(\mathbf{c})]$ in $K(\mathcal{A})$ corresponding to $\mathbf{c} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{\oplus R^+}$ is characterized by the property

$$(5.5) \quad [L(\mathbf{c})] - [M(\mathbf{c})] \in \sum_{\text{wt}(\mathbf{c}') = \text{wt}(\mathbf{c})} t^{-1} \mathbb{Z}[t^{-1}][M(\mathbf{c}')],$$

where $\text{wt}(\mathbf{c}) := \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} c_\alpha \alpha \in Q^+$. See [18, Proposition 16].

Let σ be the involution of $K(\mathcal{A}_n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]} \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1/2}]$ given by $\sigma(t^{1/2}) = t^{-1/2}$ and $\sigma([M]) = t^{(r_n(\beta), r_n(\beta))/2} [M^*]$ for $M \in \mathcal{A}_\beta$. The equation (5.4) tells us that σ is an anti-involution of $K(\mathcal{A}_n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]} \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1/2}]$. For $M \in \mathcal{A}_\beta$, we define its rescaled class $[\widetilde{M}] := t^{(r_n(\beta), r_n(\beta))/2} [M]$ so that $[\widetilde{M}]$ is fixed by σ if and only if $[M] = [M^*]$. We have

$$[\widetilde{L(\alpha_{a,b})}] = t^{(1 - \delta_{b-a, n})/2} [L(\alpha_{a,b})]$$

for any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a < b$. Letting

$$\Phi'_n := \Phi_n \circ ([\Omega_n] \otimes 1): K(\mathcal{A}_n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]} \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1/2}] \rightarrow K_t(\mathcal{C}_n),$$

we have $\overline{(\cdot)} \circ \Phi'_n = \Phi'_n \circ \sigma$ by Theorem 5.1. In particular, $\Phi'_n([\widetilde{L(\mathbf{c})}])$ is bar-invariant for any $\mathbf{c} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{\oplus R^+}$.

Observe that we have $L(\alpha) \circ L(\alpha') = L(\alpha) \star L(\alpha')$ if $\alpha \geq \alpha'$, and hence

$$[\widetilde{M(\mathbf{c})}] = t^{\sum_{\alpha > \alpha'} c_{\alpha} c_{\alpha'} (r_n(\alpha), r_n(\alpha'))/2} \star_{\alpha \in R^+}^{\leftarrow} [\widetilde{L(\alpha)}]^{*c_{\alpha}}$$

for any $\mathbf{c} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{\oplus R^+}$. We also note that

$$(r_n(\alpha_{a,b}), r_n(\alpha_{a',b'})) = -\mathcal{N}(Y_{b-a, a+b}, Y_{b'-a', a'+b'})$$

holds if $b-a, b'-a' \in I_n$ and $\alpha_{a,b} > \alpha_{a',b'}$ by Lemma 3.6 and the computation in Example 3.7. Therefore, by Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\Phi'_n([\widetilde{M(\mathbf{c})}]) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } c_{\alpha_{a,b}} \neq 0 \text{ for some } a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } b-a > n, \\ E_t(m_{\mathbf{c}}) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for any $\mathbf{c} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{\oplus R^+}$, where $m_{\mathbf{c}} := \prod_{a,b \in \mathbb{Z}, b-a \in I_n} Y_{b-a, a+b}^{c_{\alpha_{a,b}}} \in \mathcal{M}_n^+$ (recall the definition of $E_t(m)$ from (3.1)). Together with the equality (5.5) and the bar-invariance of $\Phi'_n([\widetilde{L(\mathbf{c})}])$, we find that, if $\Phi'_n([\widetilde{L(\mathbf{c})}])$ is non-zero, it satisfies the two characterizing properties of $\chi_{q,t}(L(m_{\mathbf{c}}))$ in Theorem 3.3, and hence $\Phi'_n([\widetilde{L(\mathbf{c})}]) = \chi_{q,t}(L(m_{\mathbf{c}}))$. Since every simple object of \mathcal{T}_n is the image under Ω_n of a simple module in \mathcal{A}_n , it verifies the assertion. \square

5.3. Categorification of quantum inflations. Fix $n, \tilde{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$ with $n < \tilde{n}$. Let $\nu: I_n \rightarrow I_{\tilde{n}}$ be an increasing function as before. We extend ν to an increasing function $\nu: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by $\nu(n) := \tilde{n}$ and

$$\nu(p) := k_n(p)\tilde{n} + \nu(r_n(p))$$

for any $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, where we used the notation from (3.2). Then, we have the induced homomorphism $\nu_*: P \rightarrow P$ given by $\nu_*(\epsilon_a) := \epsilon_{\nu(a)}$, which restricts to $R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ satisfying $\nu_*(\alpha_{a,b}) = \alpha_{\nu(a), \nu(b)}$ for any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a < b$. Let us consider the collection $\{L(\nu_*(\alpha_a)) \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of simple modules in \mathcal{A} , and apply the construction of Kashiwara–Park [17] to the duality datum formed by the affinizations of these simple modules. Note that the degree of the normalized R -matrix between $L(\nu_*(\alpha_a))$ and $L(\nu_*(\alpha_b))$ is equal to $-(\nu_*(\alpha_a), \nu_*(\alpha_b)) = -(\alpha_a, \alpha_b)$ as computed in [13, Proposition 4.3.(vii)]. The duality datum gives rise to a \mathbb{Z} -graded exact monoidal functor

$$\widehat{F}_{\nu}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

satisfying $\widehat{F}_{\nu}(L(\alpha_a)) \simeq L(\nu_*(\alpha_a))$ for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. By (5.2), it follows that $\widehat{F}_{\nu}(L(\alpha)) \simeq L(\nu_*(\alpha))$ for any $\alpha \in R^+$. Since $B_{\tilde{n}}(\nu_*(x), \nu_*(y)) = B_n(x, y)$ for any $x, y \in P$, the functor \widehat{F}_{ν} intertwines the twisted convolution products, and hence gives a \mathbb{Z} -graded exact monoidal functor

$$\widehat{F}_{\nu}: \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{n}}.$$

By the universality of the localizations, it is easy to see that there exists a unique \mathbb{Z} -graded exact monoidal functor

$$F_{\nu}: \mathcal{T}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{n}}$$

such that $F_{\nu} \circ \Omega_n \simeq \Omega_{\tilde{n}} \circ \widehat{F}_{\nu}$. It satisfies $F_{\nu}(L_n(\alpha_{a,b})) \simeq L_{\tilde{n}}(\alpha_{\nu(a), \nu(b)})$ for any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $b-a \in I_n$.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $\xi_0: I_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and $\tilde{\xi}_0: I_{\tilde{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the height functions given by $\xi_0(i) = i$ and $\tilde{\xi}_0(i) = i$ as in §4.3. For any increasing function $\nu: I_n \rightarrow I_{\tilde{n}}$, the following diagram commutes:*

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K(\mathcal{T}_n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]} \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1/2}] & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\Phi_n} & K_t(\mathcal{C}_n) \\ [F_\nu] \otimes 1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi_{\tilde{\xi}_0, \nu, \xi_0} \\ K(\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{n}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]} \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1/2}] & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\Phi_{\tilde{n}}} & K_t(\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{n}}). \end{array}$$

In particular, F_ν sends simple objects of \mathcal{T}_n to simple objects of $\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{n}}$.

Proof. In view of Theorem 5.1, it is enough to prove that $\Psi_{\tilde{\xi}_0, \nu, \xi_0}$ sends $\chi_{q,t}(L(Y_{b-a, a+b}))$ to $\chi_{q,t}(L(Y_{\nu(b)-\nu(a), \nu(a)+\nu(b)}))$ for each $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $b-a \in I_n$. This is easily checked by Proposition 4.7 and the explicit computation of ϕ_{ξ_0} and $\phi_{\tilde{\xi}_0}$ in Example 3.7. \square

Remark 5.4. Specializing (5.6) at $t = 1$, we get the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(\mathcal{T}_n)/(t-1)K(\mathcal{T}_n) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{[F_n]} & K(\mathcal{C}_n) \\ [F_\nu] \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi_{\tilde{\xi}_0, \nu, \xi_0}|_{t=1} \\ K(\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{n}})/(t-1)K(\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{n}}) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{[F_{\tilde{n}}]} & K(\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{n}}). \end{array}$$

The composition $F_{\tilde{n}} \circ F_\nu: \mathcal{T}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\tilde{n}}$ can be constructed more directly following the recipe of [15, §6.1], where it is also proved that the resulting functor always respects the simple classes [15, Theorem 6.9]. It gives an alternative proof of Corollary 4.9 for $\Psi_{\tilde{\xi}_0, \nu, \xi_0}|_{t=1}$ (and hence an alternative proof of Conjecture 2.1) without using the quantum Grothendieck rings.

Thus, the homomorphism $\Psi_{\tilde{\xi}_0, \nu, \xi_0}$ has a categorical lift F_ν . We also have a categorical lift of the homomorphism $\Psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}$ for general height functions ξ and $\tilde{\xi}$. To see this, we recall the obvious factorization $\Psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi} = \Psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\xi}_0} \circ \Psi_{\tilde{\xi}_0, \nu, \xi_0} \circ \Psi_{\xi_0, \xi}$. As we already mentioned in Remark 3.11, the automorphism $\Psi_{\xi_0, \xi}$ can be written as a composition of braid symmetries σ_i ($i \in I_n$) and a spectral parameter shift Σ_c for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ mapping each $\chi_{q,t}(Y_{i,p})$ to $\chi_{q,t}(L(Y_{i,p+2c}))$. By Kashiwara–Kim–Oh–Park [14, Theorem 3.3], we know that the braid symmetry σ_i has a categorical lift. As for the spectral parameter shift Σ_c , we have an obvious categorical lift, that is, the auto-equivalence of \mathcal{T}_n transforming $L_n(\alpha_{a,b})$ to $L_n(\alpha_{a+c, b+c})$ for any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $b-a \in I_n$. Thus, by composition, the automorphism $\Psi_{\xi_0, \xi}$ has a categorical lift. The same holds for $\Psi_{\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\xi}_0}$ as well. Thus, together with Proposition 5.3, we obtain the following conclusion.

Theorem 5.5. *For any height functions $\xi: I_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, $\tilde{\xi}: I_{\tilde{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and any increasing function $\nu: I_n \rightarrow I_{\tilde{n}}$, there exists a \mathbb{Z} -graded exact monoidal functor*

$$F_{\tilde{\xi}, \nu, \xi}: \mathcal{T}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{n}}$$

making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K(\mathcal{T}_n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]} \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1/2}] & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\Phi_n} & K_t(\mathcal{C}_n) \\
 [F_{\xi, \nu, \xi}] \otimes 1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi_{\xi, \nu, \xi} \\
 K(\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{n}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]} \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1/2}] & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\Phi_{\tilde{n}}} & K_t(\mathcal{C}_{\tilde{n}}).
 \end{array}$$

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant No. JP23K12955.

REFERENCES

- [1] Matheus Brito and Vyjayanthi Chari. Higher order Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules for $U_q(A_n^{(1)})$, imaginary modules and monoidal categorification. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 804:221–262, 2023.
- [2] Vyjayanthi Chari and Andrew Pressley. *A guide to quantum groups*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [3] Vyjayanthi Chari and Andrew Pressley. Quantum affine algebras and their representations. In *Representations of groups (Banff, AB, 1994)*, volume 16 of *CMS Conf. Proc.*, pages 59–78. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.
- [4] Edward Frenkel and Evgeny Mukhin. Combinatorics of q -characters of finite-dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 216(1):23–57, 2001.
- [5] Edward Frenkel and Nicolai Reshetikhin. The q -characters of representations of quantum affine algebras and deformations of \mathcal{W} -algebras. In *Recent developments in quantum affine algebras and related topics (Raleigh, NC, 1998)*, volume 248 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 163–205. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [6] Ryo Fujita, David Hernandez, Se-jin Oh, and Hironori Oya. Isomorphisms among quantum Grothendieck rings and propagation of positivity. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 785:117–185, 2022.
- [7] Ryo Fujita, David Hernandez, Se-jin Oh, and Hironori Oya. Isomorphisms among quantum Grothendieck rings and cluster algebras. Preprint, [arXiv:2304.02562v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.02562v2), 2023.
- [8] David Hernandez. Algebraic approach to q, t -characters. *Adv. Math.*, 187(1):1–52, 2004.
- [9] David Hernandez and Bernard Leclerc. Cluster algebras and quantum affine algebras. *Duke Math. J.*, 154(2):265–341, 2010.
- [10] David Hernandez and Bernard Leclerc. Monoidal categorifications of cluster algebras of type A and D . In *Symmetries, integrable systems and representations*, volume 40 of *Springer Proc. Math. Stat.*, pages 175–193. Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.
- [11] David Hernandez and Bernard Leclerc. Quantum Grothendieck rings and derived Hall algebras. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 701:77–126, 2015.
- [12] David Hernandez and Hironori Oya. Quantum Grothendieck ring isomorphisms, cluster algebras and Kazhdan-Lusztig algorithm. *Adv. Math.*, 347:192–272, 2019.
- [13] Seok-Jin Kang, Masaki Kashiwara, and Myungho Kim. Symmetric quiver Hecke algebras and R-matrices of quantum affine algebras. *Invent. Math.*, 211(2):591–685, 2018.
- [14] Masaki Kashiwara, Myungho Kim, Se-jin Oh, and Euiyong Park. Braid group action on the module category of quantum affine algebras. *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, 97(3):13–18, 2021.
- [15] Masaki Kashiwara, Myungho Kim, Se-jin Oh, and Euiyong Park. Cluster algebra structures on module categories over quantum affine algebras. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 124(3):301–372, 2022.
- [16] Masaki Kashiwara, Myungho Kim, Se-jin Oh, and Euiyong Park. Braid symmetries on bosonic extensions. Preprint, [arXiv:2408.07312v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.07312v1), 2024.

- [17] Masaki Kashiwara and Euiyong Park. Affinizations and R-matrices for quiver Hecke algebras. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 20(5):1161–1193, 2018.
- [18] Bernard Leclerc, Maxim Nazarov, and Jean-Yves Thibon. Induced representations of affine Hecke algebras and canonical bases of quantum groups. In *Studies in memory of Issai Schur (Chevaleret/Rehovot, 2000)*, volume 210 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 115–153. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2003.
- [19] Yiqiang Li. Quantum groups and edge contractions. Preprint, [arXiv:2308.16306v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.16306), 2023.
- [20] Hiraku Nakajima. Quiver varieties and t -analogs of q -characters of quantum affine algebras. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 160(3):1057–1097, 2004.
- [21] Raphaël Rouquier. Quiver Hecke algebras and 2-Lie algebras. *Algebra Colloq.*, 19(2):359–410, 2012.
- [22] M. Varagnolo and E. Vasserot. Perverse sheaves and quantum Grothendieck rings. In *Studies in memory of Issai Schur (Chevaleret/Rehovot, 2000)*, volume 210 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 345–365. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2003.
- [23] M. Varagnolo and E. Vasserot. Canonical bases and KLR-algebras. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 659:67–100, 2011.

RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KITASHIRAKAWA-OIWAKE-CHO, SAKYO, KYOTO, 606-8502, JAPAN
Email address: rfujita@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp