

# The Hecke-Baxter operators via Heisenberg group extensions

A.A. Gerasimov, D.R. Lebedev and S.V. Oblezin

December 17, 2024

**Abstract.** The  $GL_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{R})$  Hecke-Baxter operator was introduced as an element of the  $O_{\ell+1}$ -spherical Hecke algebra associated with the Gelfand pair  $O_{\ell+1} \subset GL_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{R})$ . It was specified by the property to act on an  $O_{\ell+1}$ -fixed vector in a  $GL_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{R})$ -principal series representation via multiplication by the local Archimedean  $L$ -factor canonically attached to the representation. In this note we propose another way to define the Hecke-Baxter operator, identifying it with a generalized Whittaker function for an extension of the Lie group  $GL_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{R}) \times GL_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{R})$  by a Heisenberg Lie group. We also show how this Whittaker function can be lifted to a matrix element of an extension of the Lie group  $Sp_{2\ell+2}(\mathbb{R}) \times Sp_{2\ell+2}(\mathbb{R})$  by a Heisenberg Lie group.

## 1 Introduction

Introducing the  $GL_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{R})$  Hecke-Baxter operator in [GLO08] is a result of combining two different lines of research. Along the one direction, to each admissible representation of the split real Lie group  $GL_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{R})$  one might canonically attach a local Archimedean  $L$ -factor, a function in one complex variable capturing data of the considered representation (see e.g. [ILP] for pedagogical introduction). Consideration of this particular function is motivated by deep connections with the theory of zeta-functions associated with global number fields. Also Archimedean  $L$ -factors attached to representations of non-compact real Lie groups play an essential role in formulation of the Langlands correspondence for such groups. However the standard construction of  $L$ -factor for a given representation followed a very round-about strategy pioneered in [JL].

Meanwhile, along quite different line of research R. Baxter introduced a special type of operators acting in the space of states of lattice quantum integrable systems [Ba]. This type of operators turns out to be a particular instance of a universal construction instrumental in finding exact solutions of various quantum integrable systems. For example, in [PG] the Baxter operator is proposed for the closed Toda chain. In spite of large amount of work,

the underlying reason for considering these particular operators is not manifested (which is quite usual case with *ad hoc* constructions in the theory of quantum integrable systems).

Fortunately, these two lines of development intersect in the theory of  $GL_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{R})$ -Toda chains, one of the simplest but still interesting exactly solvable quantum mechanical systems having numerous ties with various branches of mathematics and mathematical physics. Solutions of  $GL_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{R})$ -Toda chains (common eigenfunctions of the mutually commuting quantum Hamiltonians) may be expressed in terms of a particular set of matrix elements in principal series spherical representations of  $GL_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{R})$ . These matrix elements appear to be generalizations of a particular class of the Whittaker functions [Ja]. This quantum integrable system also allows explicit construction of the Baxter operator as an integral operator. In this regard in [GLO08] (see also [G]), a particular element of the spherical Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}(GL_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{R}), O_{\ell+1})$  associated with the Gelfand pair  $O_{\ell+1} \subset GL_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{R})$  is introduced. It is demonstrated that its action on the  $GL_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{R})$ -Whittaker functions descends to the action of the Baxter integral operator for the  $GL_{\ell+1}$ -Toda chain. Moreover the Whittaker function is an eigenfunction of this integral operator with the eigenvalue equal to the local Archimedean  $L$ -factor attached to the considered principal series  $GL_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{R})$ -representation. This result is not restricted to the Whittaker functions, since the action of this particular element of the spherical Hecke algebra on the spherical vector in principal series representation is reduced to multiplication by the corresponding  $L$ -factor. We coined the term Hecke-Baxter operator for this element of spherical Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}(GL_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{R}), O_{\ell+1})$ .

Although general framework for constructing the Baxter operators in representation theory started to emerge, the question of why we should consider this particular element of the spherical Hecke algebra is yet to be clarified. Its relation with local Archimedean  $L$ -factor is intriguing but does not substantiate the appearance of this particular element of spherical Hecke algebra as the Hecke-Baxter operator (the theory of  $L$ -factors itself is a kind of mystery and requires further clarifications).

In this note we demonstrate that the  $O_{\ell+1}$ -biinvariant spherical function on  $GL_{\ell+1}$  entering the description of the Hecke-Baxter operator may be identified with a (generalized) Whittaker function on a non-reductive Lie group obtained as an extension  $\mathcal{H}GL_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{R})$  of  $GL_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{R}) \times GL_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{R})$  by a Heisenberg Lie group. Precisely, generalizing the definition of Whittaker function to the case of non-reductive Lie groups, we construct the Whittaker function as a matrix element of an appropriate irreducible representation of the Lie group extension  $\mathcal{H}GL_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{R})$  of  $GL_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{R}) \times GL_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{R})$  by a Heisenberg Lie group. The corresponding Heisenberg Lie algebra  $\mathcal{H}^{(\ell+1)}$  is the standard Heisenberg algebra associated with the vector space  $\text{Mat}_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{R})$  of real  $(\ell+1) \times (\ell+1)$  matrices. The right and left actions of two copies of  $GL_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{R})$  on  $\text{Mat}_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{R})$  provides the extension  $\mathcal{H}GL_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{R})$  of  $GL_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{R}) \times GL_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{R})$  by the Heisenberg Lie group. The resulting extension may be called the matrix hyperbolic/inverted harmonic oscillator group, since in the case  $\ell = 0$  this group reduces to the hyperbolic version of the harmonic oscillator group (see e.g. [K],[Ho]).

Our construction of Whittaker functions for  $\mathcal{H}GL_{\ell+1}$  has some obvious hidden symmetries. This suggests that the considered Whittaker functions might be naturally lifted to the Whittaker functions given by the matrix elements of larger Lie groups. Indeed at the end of this note we construct Whittaker functions for a Heisenberg group extension of  $Sp_{2\ell+2} \times Sp_{2\ell+2}$  and demonstrate that a special instance of these functions reproduce

the Whittaker functions for  $\mathcal{H}GL_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{R})$  constructed earlier. Thus representation theory of symplectic Lie groups and their Heisenberg extensions looks like a natural habitat for the  $GL_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{R})$  Hecke Baxter operator.

The appearance of matrix version of the hyperbolic harmonic oscillator Lie group is significant for several reasons. First of all, the hyperbolic harmonic oscillator algebra plays an important role in attempts of spectral interpretation of the Riemann zeta-function (see [BK] and [GLO09]). This relation is to be the subject of our forthcoming publications. Also note that classical interpretation of the Gamma-function (a basic building block of the local Archimedean  $L$ -factors) as a matrix element of the affine Lie group  $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R} \rtimes \mathbb{R}^*$  (see e.g. [V]) corroborates our interpretation of the Hecke-Baxter operator as a matrix element, since  $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{R})$  is a subgroup of the hyperbolic harmonic oscillator group. In particular, spectral theory of  $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{R})$  naturally fits into framework of the inverted oscillator group. (see e.g [Ho]). Next, it is worth noting that the hyperbolic harmonic oscillator group is also instrumental in the description of the Gelfand-Kapranov-Zelevinsky hypergeometric functions as matrix elements [GLO23], [GLO22]. The proposed representation of the Hecke-Baxter operator by Whittaker function for extensions of reductive Lie groups might lead to interesting applications. Thus it would be fruitful to consider matrix generalization of the Gelfand-Kapranov-Zelevinsky construction [GKZ] by using matrix hyperbolic harmonic oscillator group we encounter in this note. This would provide a kind of general construction for the matrix analogs of various special functions (compare e.g. with [Ka]). Finally let us note that the Hecke-Baxter operators are also constructed for other series of classical Lie groups in [GL]. Their interpretation along the line of this note would be an interesting and useful task.

The paper organized as follows. Section 2 contains basic facts on the  $GL_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{R})$  Hecke-Baxter operator from [GLO08]. In Section 3 a simple example of the basic construction for  $GL_1(\mathbb{R})$  is considered. In Section 4 the general construction for  $GL_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{R})$  is presented. Finally in Section 5 we lift the functions constructed in Section 4 to a matrix element of the Heisenberg group extension of  $Sp_{2\ell+2} \times Sp_{2\ell+2}$ .

*Acknowledgements:* The research of S.V.O. is partially supported by the Beijing Natural Science Foundation grant IS24004.

## 2 The Hecke-Baxter operator for $GL_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{R})$

In this Section we recall the construction [GLO08] of the Hecke-Baxter operator for the real group  $GL_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{R})$ . For pedagogical survey of the spherical Hecke algebra basics see e.g. [ILP]. In the following, we write  $GL_{\ell+1} := GL_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{R})$  for more concise notation. Let  $O_{\ell+1}$  be the orthogonal subgroup in  $GL_{\ell+1}$ . The convolution operation defines an action of compactly supported smooth functions on  $GL_{\ell+1}$  on the function space  $L^1(GL_{\ell+1})$ . This results in the commutative convolution algebra structure on the space of compactly supported smooth functions given by

$$(f_1 * f_2)(g) = \int_G d\mu^H(\tilde{g}) f_1(g\tilde{g}^{-1}) f_2(\tilde{g}), \quad (2.1)$$

Equivalently, (2.1) provides the action of the function  $f_1$  on  $f_2 \in L^1(GL_{\ell+1})$  via the associated integral operator with kernel

$$K_{f_1}(g, \tilde{g}) = f_1(g\tilde{g}^{-1}) d\mu^H(\tilde{g}). \quad (2.2)$$

Here  $d\mu^H$  is a Haar measure on  $GL_{\ell+1}$ , which is unique up to multiplication by an element of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . Thus the multiplication structure (2.1) depends on the choice of the Haar measure. To get rid of this dependence one might consider the space of compactly supported measures on  $GL_{\ell+1}$  with its natural convolution action on the space  $L^1(GL_{\ell+1})$ . To recover (2.1), consider the measure on  $GL_{\ell+1}$  of the form

$$d\mu^f(g) = f(g) d\mu^H(g), \quad (2.3)$$

where  $f$  is a positive smooth compactly supported function. In the following we use less invariant formulation (2.1) with a fixed choice of the Haar measure, but we comment on the relevance of a more invariant formulation in terms of measures at the end of this Section.

Consider the space of functions on  $GL_{\ell+1}$  invariant under the left and right action of  $O_{\ell+1}$ . The algebra structure (2.1) descends to the subspace of the  $O_{\ell+1}$ -biinvariant functions and defines the structure of spherical Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}(GL_{\ell+1}, O_{\ell+1})$ . We extend the standard definition slightly by allowing  $O_{\ell+1}$ -biinvariant functions to be in the Schwartz space of  $GL_{\ell+1}$ .

Let  $B_- \subset GL_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{R})$  be the Borel subgroup of lower triangular matrices, let  $N \subset B_-$  be the maximal unipotent subgroup and let us identify the Cartan torus  $A \subset B_-$  with the subgroup of diagonal matrices, so that  $B_- = N \rtimes A$ . Then for  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell+1}$ , let  $\chi_\gamma : B_- \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  be the  $B_-$ -character, for  $b = na \in B_-$ , given by

$$\chi_\gamma(na) = \prod_{j=1}^{\ell+1} |a_j|^{\gamma_j - \rho_j}, \quad \rho_j = \frac{\ell}{2} + 1 - j. \quad (2.4)$$

Let  $(\pi_\gamma, \mathcal{V}_\gamma)$  be the spherical principal series irreducible  $GL_{\ell+1}$ -representation induced from (2.4) realized in the space  $\mathcal{V}_\gamma = \text{Ind}_{B_-}^{GL_{\ell+1}}(\chi_\gamma)$  of  $B_-$ -equivariant functions:

$$f(bg) = \chi_\gamma(b) f(g), \quad b \in B_-. \quad (2.5)$$

Then for  $g \in G$ , the group action in  $\mathcal{V}_\gamma$  is given by

$$(\pi_\gamma(g)f)(h) = f(hg), \quad f \in \mathcal{V}_\gamma. \quad (2.6)$$

The spherical principal series representation  $(\pi_\gamma, \mathcal{V}_\gamma)$  is unitarizable, and there exists an invariant skew-Hermitian pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $\mathcal{V}_\gamma$ .

By the multiplicity one theorem [Sha], there is a unique (up to multiplication by an element of  $\mathbb{C}^*$ )  $O_{\ell+1}$ -invariant vector  $\varphi$  in  $\mathcal{V}_\gamma$ . In the following we impose the normalization condition  $\langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle = 1$ . Then there is a linear continuous map  $F : \mathcal{V}_\gamma \rightarrow L^2(G)$  sending every non-zero  $v \in \mathcal{V}_\gamma$  to the matrix element of  $(\pi_\gamma, \mathcal{V}_\gamma)$ :

$$F_v(g) = \langle \varphi, \pi_\gamma(g)v \rangle, \quad g \in G. \quad (2.7)$$

Every  $O_{\ell+1}$ -biinvariant function  $\Phi \in \mathcal{H}(GL_{\ell+1}, O_{\ell+1})$  provides convolution action on  $F_v(g)$ , so every matrix element of the form (2.7) is a  $\Phi$ -eigenfunction (by uniqueness of  $\varphi$ ),

$$(\Phi * F_v)(g) = \Lambda_\Phi F_v(g), \quad g \in GL_{\ell+1}. \quad (2.8)$$

Therefore, taking the eigenvalues defines a character of the Hecke algebra

$$\Lambda : \mathcal{H}(GL_{\ell+1}, O_{\ell+1}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \Phi \mapsto \Lambda_\Phi. \quad (2.9)$$

In the following we consider two specific matrix elements of the given principal series representation  $(\pi_\gamma, \mathcal{V}_\gamma)$ . The first one is the zonal spherical function:

$$\Phi_\gamma(g) = \langle \varphi, \pi_\gamma(g) \varphi \rangle, \quad \Phi_\gamma(\text{Id}) = 1, \quad (2.10)$$

where  $\text{Id} \in GL_{\ell+1}$  is the identity element. To define the other matrix element, let us pick a principal character  $\psi_{N_+}$  of the maximal unipotent subgroup  $N_+ \subset GL_{\ell+1}$ ; the latter can be identified with the subgroup of upper triangular unipotent matrices. Then the Jacquet-Whittaker function is given by the matrix element [Ja],

$$\Psi_\gamma(g) = \langle \varphi, \pi_\gamma(g) \psi \rangle, \quad (2.11)$$

where the Whittaker vector  $\psi \in \mathcal{V}_\gamma$  is singled out by the following  $N_+$ -equivariance condition:

$$\Psi_\gamma(gn) = \psi_{N_+}(n) \Psi_\gamma(g), \quad n \in N_+. \quad (2.12)$$

As a consequence of (2.8), for any  $\Phi \in \mathcal{H}(GL_{\ell+1}, O_{\ell+1})$ , both matrix elements (2.10) and (2.11) are  $\Phi$ -eigenfunctions with the same eigenvalue:

$$(\Phi * \Phi_\gamma)(g) = \Lambda_\Phi(\gamma) \Phi_\gamma(g), \quad (\Phi * \Psi_\gamma)(g) = \Lambda_\Phi(\gamma) \Psi_\gamma(g). \quad (2.13)$$

Following the Langlands philosophy (see e.g. [ILP],[Kna]) to the principal series representation  $(\pi_\gamma, \mathcal{V}_\gamma)$  of  $GL_{\ell+1}$  one attaches the corresponding local Archimedean  $L$ -factor,

$$L(s) = \prod_{j=1}^{\ell+1} \pi^{-\frac{s-\nu\gamma_j}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s-\nu\gamma_j}{2}\right). \quad (2.14)$$

One should stress that the particular expression (2.14) for the local Archimedean  $L$ -factor implicitly includes normalization associated with the global structures of number fields (captured by the functional equation of the corresponding global zeta-function). Purely local characteristic of representations over the field of real numbers gives rise to a more general form of  $L$ -factor,

$$L(s; c) = \prod_{j=1}^{\ell+1} (2c)^{\frac{s-\nu\gamma_j}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s-\nu\gamma_j}{2}\right), \quad (2.15)$$

depending on a parameter  $c \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Thus local Archimedean  $L$ -factor is better understood as an  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -torsor. The expression (2.14) arises upon fixing the section  $2c = \pi^{-1}$  of the  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -torsor.

One of the main results of [GLO08] may be formulated as follows:  
 Let  $Q_{s,c}(g)$  be a two-parameter family of  $O_{\ell+1}$ -biinvariant functions on  $GL_{\ell+1}$  given by

$$Q_{s,c}(g) = |\det(g)|^{s+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2c}\text{Tr}(g^\top g)}, \quad s \in \mathbb{C}, \quad c \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad (2.16)$$

where  $g^\top$  is the standard matrix transposition of  $g$ . Then with an appropriate normalization of the Haar measure, the function  $Q_{s,c} \in \mathcal{H}(GL_{\ell+1}, O_{\ell+1})$  acts on the spherical vector  $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}_\gamma$  via multiplication by the corresponding  $L$ -factor (2.14).

One should notice that here we imply a bit different normalization conventions for the Haar measure, so that (2.16) differs from that in [GLO08] by the factor  $2^{\ell+1}$ , the size of the intersection  $O_{\ell+1} \cap A = \{\pm 1\}^{\ell+1}$  with the Cartan torus  $A \subset GL_{\ell+1}$ . We also adapt the expressions for a more general form of  $L$ -factor (2.15). This more general form actually appears quite naturally in the related Quantum Field Theory considerations [GLO11].

The structure of  $Q_{s,c}$  in (2.16) is rather simple: it is a product of the multiplicative  $GL_{\ell+1}$ -character  $|\det(g)|^s$  and the  $O_{\ell+1}$ -biinvariant Gaussian function. This suggests considering the  $O_{\ell+1}$ -biinvariant Gaussian function as a part of the measure on the Lie group,

$$d\mu^{\text{Gauss}}(g) = e^{-\frac{1}{2c}\text{Tr}(g^\top g)} d\mu^H(g), \quad (2.17)$$

in concordance with (2.3). Appearance of the Gaussian measure is tied up with the definition of local Archimedean  $L$ -factors and ultimately is imposed by functional equations for the corresponding global zeta-functions [W]. The underlying meaning of (2.17) is that it is the Archimedean counterpart of the characteristic function of the subset  $G(\mathbb{Z}_p) \subset G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  arising in the non-Archimedean case. Nevertheless its appearance still remains rather mysterious.

One approach to understanding the underlying structure of the Hecke-Baxter operator (2.16) would be to look into the theory of quantum integrable systems. Recall that the operator acting on the Whittaker functions (2.11) by convolution with the kernel function (2.16) have already appeared in the theory of quantum integrable theories of Toda type as an instance of the Baxter operator [GLO08]. Although this relation with the theory of quantum integrable systems is very interesting and fruitful, it does not explain specific choice of the  $O_{\ell+1}$ -biinvariant function (2.16). Therefore, further clarifications of the appearance of the Gaussian function in (2.16) are still required.

Below we make a modest step in this direction by proposing a description of the kernel (2.16) in terms of representation theory of a non-reductive extension of the Lie group  $GL_{\ell+1}$ .

### 3 The $GL_1(\mathbb{R})$ Hecke-Baxter operator via an extension

Our objective is to describe the kernel (2.16) of the Hecke-Baxter operator as a matrix element of some extension of the Lie group  $GL_{\ell+1}$ . In this Section we treat the case of the group  $G = GL_1$ . Although it looks like a trivial exercise it allows for rather straightforward generalization to higher ranks.

We start with the Gelfand pair  $K \subset G$  with

$$G = GL_1 = \mathbb{R}^* = \mathbb{R} - \{0\}, \quad K = O_1 = \{\pm 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^*. \quad (3.1)$$

The maximal unipotent subgroup of  $GL_1$  is trivial, hence the Iwasawa decomposition reduces to

$$G = AK = \mathbb{R}_+ \times \{\pm 1\}, \quad \mathbb{R}_+ = \{t \in \mathbb{R}^* | t > 0\}. \quad (3.2)$$

Spherical principal series representation  $(\pi_\gamma, \mathcal{V}_\gamma)$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  is realized in a one-dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space with trivial action of  $O_1 = \{\pm 1\}$  and the action of the Lie algebra generator  $H \in \mathfrak{gl}_1 = \text{Lie}(GL_1)$  is given by

$$\pi_\gamma(H) \cdot v = \iota\gamma v, \quad v \in \mathcal{V}_\gamma. \quad (3.3)$$

We define a skew-Hermitian  $GL_1$ -invariant pairing on  $\mathcal{V}_\gamma$  by fixing a vector  $v \in \mathcal{V}_\gamma$ , and requiring

$$\langle v, \pi_\gamma(H)v \rangle = -\langle \pi_\gamma(H)v, v \rangle, \quad \langle v, v \rangle = 1. \quad (3.4)$$

Vector  $v$  is both spherical vector and the Whittaker vector, hence in the case of  $GL_1$  the matrix elements (2.10) and (2.11) coincide and are equal to

$$\Phi_\gamma(x) = \langle v, \pi_\gamma(|x|^H)v \rangle = |x|^{\iota\gamma} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^*. \quad (3.5)$$

The function (3.5) also gives the character of  $(\pi_\gamma, \mathcal{V}_\gamma)$ .

The  $GL_1$  Hecke-Baxter operator (2.16) is given by

$$Q_{s,c}(x) = |x|^s e^{-\frac{1}{2c}|x|^2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^*, \quad s \in \mathbb{C}, \quad c \in \mathbb{R}_+. \quad (3.6)$$

Note that the function (3.6) is obviously invariant under the subgroup  $O_1 = \{\pm 1\}$  acting via  $t \rightarrow \pm t$ , and thus indeed belongs to the spherical Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}(GL_1, O_1)$ . We fix the Haar measure as follows

$$d\mu^H(y) = \frac{dy}{y}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^*. \quad (3.7)$$

The convolution action of  $Q_{s,c}$  on the matrix element (3.5) is easy to calculate with the following result, for  $\text{Re}(s) > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} (Q_{s,c} * \Phi_\gamma)(x) &= \int_{GL_1} d\mu^H(y) Q_{s,c}(y) \Phi_\gamma(xy^{-1}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \frac{dy}{y} |y|^s e^{-\frac{1}{2c}y^2} |xy^{-1}|^{\iota\gamma} \\ &= 2|x|^{\iota\gamma} \int_0^\infty \frac{dy}{y} y^{s-\iota\gamma} e^{-\frac{1}{2c}y^2} = L(s, c) \Phi_\gamma(x), \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$L(s, c) = (2c)^{\frac{s-\iota\gamma}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s-\iota\gamma}{2}\right). \quad (3.9)$$

Thus the matrix element (3.5) is indeed a  $Q_{s,c}$ -eigenfunction with the eigenvalue equal to the Archimedean  $L$ -factor (2.14) with  $\ell = 0$  attached to the one-dimensional principal series representation  $(\pi_\gamma, \mathcal{V}_\gamma)$  of  $GL_1$ .

Now we construct an extension of the group  $GL_1$  allowing to describe the Hecke-Baxter kernel (3.6) in representation theory terms. Let us start with the Heisenberg algebra  $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$  generated by  $X, Y, C$  satisfying the following defining relations

$$[X, Y] = C, \quad [X, C] = 0, \quad [Y, C] = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

Consider the  $GL_1$ -action of on  $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$  by automorphisms, so that the orthogonal subgroup  $O_1 \subset GL_1$  acts trivially and the action of the connected component  $\mathbb{R}_+ \subset GL_1$  on  $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$  is defined by the action of the generator  $H$  of  $\mathfrak{gl}_1 = \text{Lie}(GL_1)$ :

$$[H, X] = X, \quad [H, Y] = -Y, \quad [H, C] = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

Introduce the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{hgl}_1 = \mathfrak{gl}_1 \times \mathcal{H}^{(1)}$  generated by  $H, X, Y, Z$  and with the defining relations (3.10) and (3.11). We denote by  $\mathcal{HGL}_1$  a Lie group such that  $\mathfrak{hgl}_1 = \text{Lie}(\mathcal{HGL}_1)$ . The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{hgl}_1$  may be called the Lie algebra of hyperbolic harmonic oscillator by analogy with a more standard case of the Lie algebra of harmonic oscillator (see e.g. [K]).

Representation theory of the Heisenberg Lie algebra is very simple. For a fixed nontrivial action of the central element, the Heisenberg Lie algebra  $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$  has a unique irreducible unitary representation. In turn, irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{hgl}_1$  (for invertible image of  $C$ ) are directly related with irreducible representations of  $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$  and of  $\mathfrak{gl}_1$ . To infer this, note that the universal enveloping algebra  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{hgl}_1)$  of  $\mathfrak{hgl}_1$  with the invertible generator  $C$  allows the following factorization:

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{hgl}_1) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[C]} \mathbb{C}[C, C^{-1}] \simeq \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_1) \otimes (\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}^{(1)}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[C]} \mathbb{C}[C, C^{-1}]). \quad (3.12)$$

Indeed, let the algebra in the r.h.s. be generated by  $\tilde{H} \in \mathfrak{gl}_1$  and  $X, Y, C \in \mathcal{H}^{(1)}$  satisfying the relations

$$\begin{aligned} [X, Y] &= C, & [X, C] &= 0, & [Y, C] &= 0, \\ [\tilde{H}, X] &= 0, & [\tilde{H}, Y] &= 0, & [\tilde{H}, C] &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Then one can notice that these relations become equivalent to the defining relations (3.10) and (3.11) for the algebra in l.h.s. of (3.12) via taking

$$\tilde{H} = H - \frac{1}{2}C^{-1}(XY + YX). \quad (3.14)$$

Therefore, for every irreducible  $\mathfrak{hgl}_1$ -representation  $(\pi, \mathcal{V})$  with invertible action of the central element  $C$ , vector space  $\mathcal{V}$  can be identified with a tensor product of an irreducible  $\mathfrak{gl}_1$ -representation and an irreducible representation of the Heisenberg algebra  $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$  (this tensor product evidently does not respect the structure of a  $\mathfrak{hgl}_1$ -representation).

Let  $(\pi_{\kappa, c}, \mathcal{V}_{\kappa, c})$  be irreducible  $\mathfrak{hgl}_1$ -representation realized in the space  $\mathcal{S}$  of Schwartz functions in variable  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\kappa, c}(Y) &= -c\partial_x, & \pi_{\kappa, c}(X) &= ix, & \pi_{\kappa, c}(H) &= \frac{1}{2} + \nu\kappa + x\partial_x, \\ \pi_{\kappa, c}(C) &= \nu c, \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

where  $c \in \mathbb{R}_+$  is implied. Consider the contragredient representation  $(\pi_{\kappa,c}^\vee, \mathcal{V}_{\kappa,c}^\vee)$  realized in the space of tempered distributions  $\mathcal{D}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . We write down a natural Hermitian pairing in the following explicit form

$$\langle \chi_1, \chi_2 \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx \overline{\chi_1(x)} \chi_2(x), \quad (3.16)$$

implying that  $\overline{\chi_1(x)} dx$  represents a tempered distribution. Then we have

$$\langle \chi_1, \pi_{\kappa,c}(U)\chi_2 \rangle = -\langle \pi_{\kappa,c}^\vee(U)\chi_1, \chi_2 \rangle, \quad U \in \mathfrak{hgl}_1. \quad (3.17)$$

Assume that the  $\mathfrak{hgl}_1$ -representation  $\pi_{\kappa,c}$  integrates to the Lie group action of  $\mathcal{HGL}_1$ .

Define the spherical and Whittaker vectors,  $\phi \in \mathcal{V}_{\kappa,c}$  and  $\psi \in \mathcal{V}_{\kappa,c}^\vee$ , by the following relations:

$$\pi_{\kappa,c}(X - \imath Y) \cdot \phi = 0, \quad \pi_{\kappa,c}^\vee(X) \cdot \psi = \imath \psi. \quad (3.18)$$

Explicitly, we have

$$\phi(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{2c}x^2}, \quad \psi(x) = \delta(x-1), \quad (3.19)$$

where  $\delta(x)$  is the standard delta-function considered as a (tempered) distribution. Now for the pairing (3.16), introduce the following matrix element

$$W_{\kappa,c}(y) = \langle \psi, \pi_{\kappa,c}(|y|^H)\phi \rangle, \quad y \in GL_1 = \mathbb{R}^*, \quad (3.20)$$

which may be called the  $\mathcal{HGL}_1$ -Whittaker function (recall that  $O_1 \subset GL_1$  acts trivially on vectors (3.19)). Then substitution of (3.19) into (3.20) results in

$$W_{\kappa,c}(y) = |y|^{\frac{1}{2}+\imath\kappa} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx \delta(x-1) |y|^{x\partial_x} e^{-\frac{1}{2c}x^2} = |y|^{\frac{1}{2}+\imath\kappa} e^{-\frac{1}{2c}t^2}. \quad (3.21)$$

Therefore, for  $s = 1/2 + \imath\kappa$  we arrive at the expression (3.6) for the kernel of the  $GL_1$  Hecke-Baxter operator:

$$Q_{s,c}(y) = |y|^s e^{-\frac{1}{2c}y^2}. \quad (3.22)$$

To realize the general case of a complex  $s$  one should consider non-unitary  $\mathfrak{hgl}$ -representations  $(\pi_{\gamma,c}, \mathcal{V}_{\gamma,c})$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$  (we skip these simple modifications here). Thus we represent the  $GL_1$  Hecke-Baxter kernel by the  $\mathcal{HGL}_1$ -Whittaker function.

## 4 The $GL_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{R})$ Hecke-Baxter operator via an extension

In this Section we generalize considerations of the previous Section to the case of the general linear group  $GL_{\ell+1}$ . We start with defining the Lie group extension  $GL_{\ell+1} \subset \mathcal{HGL}_{\ell+1}$  analogous to the extension  $GL_1 \subset \mathcal{HGL}_1$  introduced in the previous Section.

Let  $\mathcal{H}^{(\ell+1)}$  be the standard Heisenberg Lie algebra associated with the vector space  $\text{Mat}_{\ell+1}$  of real  $(\ell+1) \times (\ell+1)$ -matrices. Precisely, we consider the Heisenberg Lie algebra associated with the symplectic vector space,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}^{2(\ell+1)^2} &= \text{Mat}_{\ell+1} \oplus \text{Mat}_{\ell+1}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} Y \\ X \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2(\ell+1)^2}, \\ \Omega(X, Y) &= \text{Tr}(dX \wedge dY^\top) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\ell+1} dX_{ij} \wedge dY_{ij}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

The corresponding Lie algebra  $\mathcal{H}^{(\ell+1)}$  is generated by  $X_{ij}, Y_{ij}, 1 \leq i, j \leq \ell+1$  and the central element  $C$  satisfying the relations

$$[X_{ij}, X_{kl}] = 0, \quad [Y_{ij}, Y_{kl}] = 0, \quad [X_{ij}, Y_{kl}] = C\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}. \quad (4.2)$$

Introduce the semi-direct sum  $\mathfrak{hgl}_{\ell+1}$  of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+1} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+1}$  and the Heisenberg Lie algebra  $\mathcal{H}^{(\ell+1)}$ . Let  $\{e_{ij}^L, 1 \leq i, j \leq (\ell+1)\}$  be the standard set of generators of the one copy of  $\mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+1}$  and  $\{e_{ij}^R, 1 \leq i, j \leq (\ell+1)\}$  be the standard set of generators of the other copy of  $\mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+1}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{hgl}_{\ell+1}$  is defined by the relations (4.2) and

$$[e_{ij}^{L,R}, e_{kl}^{L,R}] = \delta_{jk}e_{il}^{L,R} - \delta_{il}e_{kj}^{L,R}, \quad [e_{ij}^L, e_{kl}^R] = 0, \quad [e_{ij}^{L,R}, C] = 0, \quad (4.3)$$

$$[e_{ij}^L, X_{kl}] = -\delta_{ik}X_{jl}, \quad [e_{ij}^L, Y_{kl}] = \delta_{jk}Y_{il}. \quad (4.4)$$

$$[e_{ij}^R, X_{kl}] = \delta_{jl}X_{ki}, \quad [e_{ij}^R, Y_{kl}] = -\delta_{il}Y_{kj}. \quad (4.5)$$

The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{hgl}_{\ell+1}$  might be considered as a special kind of matrix generalization of the hyperbolic harmonic oscillator algebra. We denote by  $\mathcal{HGL}_{\ell+1}$  a Lie group such that  $\text{Lie}(\mathcal{HGL}_{\ell+1}) = \mathfrak{hgl}_{\ell+1}$ .

Irreducible representations of the extension  $\mathfrak{hgl}_{\ell+1}$  of  $\mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+1} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+1}$  may be constructed using the standard Mackey technique (see e.g [K]), but in our case direct methods are more appropriate.

**Lemma 4.1** *For the universal enveloping Lie algebra of  $\mathfrak{hgl}_{\ell+1}$  with the invertible generator  $C$ , the following factorization holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{hgl}_{\ell+1}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[C]} \mathbb{C}[C, C^{-1}] \\ &\simeq \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+1} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+1}) \otimes (\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}^{(\ell+1)}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[C]} \mathbb{C}[C, C^{-1}]). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Furthermore, any irreducible  $\mathfrak{hgl}_{\ell+1}$ -representation with an invertible action of the central element  $C$  is isomorphic to a representation  $(\pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}, \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c})$ ,  $\gamma_L, \gamma_R \in \mathbb{C}^{\ell+1}, c \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , such that the underlying vector space  $\mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}$  may be identified (but not as a representation) with  $\mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R} \otimes \mathcal{W}_c$ , where  $(\pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R}, \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R}) \simeq (\pi_{\gamma_L}, \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L}) \otimes (\pi_{\gamma_R}, \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_R})$  is an irreducible  $\mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+1} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+1}$ -representation and  $\mathcal{W}_c$  is the irreducible representation of the Heisenberg Lie algebra  $\mathcal{H}^{(\ell+1)}$ .

*Proof.* Let us start with explicit construction of the identification (4.6). Explicitly the algebra in the r.h.s. of (4.6) is generated by  $X_{ij}, Y_{ij}, C$  and  $\hat{e}_{ij}^{L,R}$  subjected to (4.2) and the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{e}_{ij}^{L,R}, \hat{e}_{kl}^{L,R}] &= \delta_{jk} \hat{e}_{il}^{L,R} - \delta_{il} \hat{e}_{kj}^{L,R}, \quad [\hat{e}_{ij}^L, \hat{e}_{kl}^R] = 0, \quad [\hat{e}_{ij}^{L,R}, C] = 0, \\ [\hat{e}_{ij}^{L,R}, X_{kl}] &= 0, \quad [\hat{e}_{ij}^{L,R}, Y_{kl}] = 0, \quad 1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq (\ell + 1), \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

where the generators  $\hat{e}_{ij}^{L,R}$  are given by

$$\hat{e}_{ij}^L = e_{ij}^L - C^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell+1} X_{jk} Y_{ik}, \quad \hat{e}_{ij}^R = e_{ij}^R + C^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell+1} X_{ki} Y_{kj}. \quad (4.8)$$

The above expressions provide the isomorphism (4.6). Taking into account (4.6), for every irreducible  $\mathfrak{hgl}_{\ell+1}$ -representation  $(\pi, \mathcal{V})$  with invertible image of  $C$ , vector space  $\mathcal{V}$  may be identified with a tensor product of a  $\mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+1} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+1}$ -irreducible representation and an irreducible representations of the Heisenberg algebra  $\mathcal{H}^{(\ell+1)}$ . By the Stone-von Neumann theorem, for a fixed invertible image of the central generator  $C$ , the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{H}^{(\ell+1)}$  has a unique irreducible representation, therefore irreducible representations of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{hgl}_{\ell+1}$  (again with invertible image of  $C$ ) are in one to one correspondence with irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+1} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+1}$ .  $\square$

Consider the  $\mathcal{HGL}_{\ell+1}$ -representation  $(\pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}, \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c})$  obtained by lifting (in the sense of Lemma 4.1) the spherical principal series  $GL_{\ell+1} \times GL_{\ell+1}$ -representation  $\mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R} = \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_R}$  via representation  $\mathcal{W}_c, c \in \mathbb{R}_+$  of the Heisenberg group with the central element  $C$  taking value  $\imath c \in \imath \mathbb{R}_+$ . By Lemma 4.1, the underlying vector space  $\mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}$  may be identified with the tensor product  $\mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_R} \otimes \mathcal{W}_c$ . We consider a realization of the Heisenberg group representation  $\mathcal{W}_c$  in the space  $\mathcal{S}(\text{Mat}_{\ell+1})$  of Schwartz functions on the space  $\text{Mat}_{\ell+1}$  of real  $(\ell+1) \times (\ell+1)$ -matrices  $x = \|x_{ij}\|$ . The  $\mathfrak{hgl}_{\ell+1}$ -action on smooth vectors in  $\mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}$  is defined by, for  $1 \leq i, j \leq (\ell+1)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}(X_{ij}) &= \imath x_{ij}, \quad \pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}(Y_{ij}) = -c \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{ij}}, \quad \pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}(C) = \imath c, \\ \pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}(e_{ij}^L) &= - \sum_{k=1}^{\ell+1} x_{jk} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{ik}} - \frac{\ell+1}{2} \delta_{ij} + \pi_{\gamma_L}(\hat{e}_{ij}^L), \\ \pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}(e_{ij}^R) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\ell+1} x_{ki} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{kj}} + \frac{\ell+1}{2} \delta_{ij} + \pi_{\gamma_R}(\hat{e}_{ij}^R), \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

where  $\pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R}(\hat{e}_{ij}^{L,R})$  denotes the action of the  $\mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+1} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+1}$ -generators in the spherical principal series representation  $\mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R} = \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_R}$ . Let  $(\pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}^\vee, \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}^\vee)$  be the contragredient representation in the dual space  $\mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}^\vee \simeq \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_R}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{W}_c^\vee$ , where the space  $\mathcal{W}_c^\vee$  is given by the space  $\mathcal{D}(\text{Mat}_{\ell+1})$  of tempered distributions on  $\text{Mat}_{\ell+1}$ . We write down a natural pairing in the following form

$$\langle \chi_1, \chi_2 \rangle = \int_{\text{Mat}_{\ell+1}} d\mu(x) \overline{\chi_1(x)} \chi_2(x), \quad d\mu(x) = \prod_{i,j=1}^{\ell+1} dx_{ij}, \quad (4.10)$$

so that  $\overline{\chi_1(x)} d\mu(x)$  represents a tempered distribution on  $\text{Mat}_{\ell+1}$ . According to the definition of contragredient representation,

$$\langle \chi_1, \pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}(U) \chi_2 \rangle = -\langle \pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}^\vee(U) \chi_1, \chi_2 \rangle, \quad U \in \mathfrak{hgl}_{\ell+1}. \quad (4.11)$$

Assume the  $\mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+1} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+1}$ -action via  $\pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}(e_{ij})$  integrates to the  $GL_{\ell+1} \times GL_{\ell+1}$ -action which supplies  $\mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}$  with a structure of  $\mathcal{H}GL_{\ell+1}$ -module. Recall the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{hgl}_{\ell+1} = (\mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+1} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+1}) \ltimes \mathcal{H}^{(\ell+1)}. \quad (4.12)$$

For the subgroup  $O_{\ell+1} \subset GL_{\ell+1}$  of orthogonal matrices, let  $K_{ij}^{L,R} = e_{ij}^{L,R} - e_{ji}^{L,R}$ ,  $i < j$  be generators of the two copies of spherical subalgebras  $\mathfrak{so}_{\ell+1} = \text{Lie}(O_{\ell+1}) \subset \mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+1}$ . Then by (4.4) and (4.5) the following relations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} [e_{ij}^L - e_{ji}^L, X_{kl}] &= \delta_{jk} X_{il} - \delta_{ik} X_{jl}, & [e_{ij}^L - e_{ji}^L, Y_{kl}] &= -\delta_{ik} Y_{jl} + \delta_{jk} Y_{il}, \\ [e_{ij}^R - e_{ji}^R, X_{kl}] &= -\delta_{il} X_{kj} + \delta_{jl} X_{ki}, & [e_{ij}^R - e_{ji}^R, Y_{kl}] &= \delta_{jl} Y_{ki} - \delta_{il} Y_{kj}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

As a consequence we have for arbitrary  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} [e_{ij}^L - e_{ji}^L, (X_{kl} + \alpha Y_{kl})] &= \delta_{jk} (X_{il} + \alpha Y_{il}) - \delta_{ik} (X_{jl} + \alpha Y_{jl}), \\ [e_{ij}^R - e_{ji}^R, (X_{kl} + \alpha Y_{kl})] &= -\delta_{il} (X_{kj} + \alpha Y_{kj}) + \delta_{jl} (X_{ki} + \alpha Y_{ki}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Next, given the  $\mathcal{H}GL_{\ell+1}$ -representation  $(\pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}, \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c})$ , define the spherical vector  $\phi \in \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}$  by the following relations,

$$\pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}(X_{ij}) \cdot \phi = \imath \pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}(Y_{ij}) \cdot \phi, \quad \pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}(K_{ij}^{L,R}) \cdot \phi = 0, \quad (4.15)$$

that are consistent due to (4.14). The equations (4.15) allow the following solution:

$$\phi(x) = \varphi_{\gamma_L} \otimes \varphi_{\gamma_R} \otimes e^{-\frac{1}{2c} \text{Tr}(x^\top x)}, \quad \varphi_{\gamma_{L,R}} \in \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_{L,R}}, \quad (4.16)$$

where  $\varphi_{\gamma_{L,R}}$  are the  $O_{\ell+1}$ -spherical vectors in the  $GL_{\ell+1}$ -representations  $(\pi_{\gamma_{L,R}}, \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_{L,R}})$  normalized by  $\langle \varphi_{\gamma_{L,R}}, \varphi_{\gamma_{L,R}} \rangle = 1$ .

For the contragredient representation  $(\pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}^\vee, \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}^\vee)$ , introduce the Whittaker vector  $\psi \in \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}^\vee$  defined by

$$\pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}^\vee(X_{ij}) \cdot \psi = \imath \delta_{ij} \psi, \quad \pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}^\vee(e_{ij}^L + e_{ij}^R) \cdot \psi = 0, \quad (4.17)$$

so that the consistency follows by (4.4),(4.5). Finding a non-trivial solution to the equations (4.17) on the Whittaker vector  $\psi \in \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}^\vee$  requires imposing  $\gamma_L + \gamma_R = 0$  so that  $\mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L} \simeq \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_R}^\vee$ . In the following we denote  $\gamma_R = \gamma$  and keep the notation  $(\pi_{\gamma, c}, \mathcal{V}_{\gamma, c})$  for the representation  $(\pi_{-\gamma, \gamma, c}, \mathcal{V}_{-\gamma, \gamma, c})$ . Then under these assumptions, the equations (4.17) allow the following solution,

$$\psi(x) = \text{Id}_{\text{End}(\mathcal{V}_\gamma)} \otimes \prod_{i,j=1}^{\ell+1} \delta(x_{ij} - \delta_{ij}), \quad \text{Id}_{\text{End}(\mathcal{V}_\gamma)} \in \text{End}(\mathcal{V}_\gamma), \quad (4.18)$$

where  $\text{Id}_{\text{End}(\mathcal{V}_\gamma)}$  is the canonical unit element of the algebra  $\text{End}(\mathcal{V}_\gamma) \simeq \mathcal{V}_\gamma^\vee \otimes \mathcal{V}_\gamma$  given by the identity endomorphism. Note that the former relations in (4.17) and the delta-factor  $\prod_{i,j=1}^{\ell+1} \delta(x_{ij} - \delta_{ij})$  in (4.18) are invariant under the adjoint action of the diagonal subgroup  $GL_{\ell+1} \subset GL_{\ell+1} \times GL_{\ell+1}$ .

Now we introduce the  $\mathcal{H}GL_{\ell+1}$ -matrix element given by the pairing (4.10) with vectors  $\phi \in \mathcal{V}_{\gamma,c}$  and  $\psi \in \mathcal{V}_{\gamma,c}^\vee$ :

$$W_{\gamma,c}(g_1, g_2) = \langle \psi, \pi_{\gamma,c}(g_1 \otimes g_2) \phi \rangle, \quad g_1 \otimes g_2 \in GL_{\ell+1} \times GL_{\ell+1}. \quad (4.19)$$

Then a direct calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\gamma,c}(g_1, g_2) &= \int_{\text{Mat}_{\ell+1}} dx \prod_{i,j=1}^{\ell+1} \delta(x_{ij} - \delta_{ij}) \Phi_\gamma(g_1^{-1} g_2) e^{-\frac{1}{2c} \text{Tr} [(g_1^{-1} x g_2)^\top g_1^{-1} x g_2]} \\ &= \Phi_\gamma^{\mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+1}}(g_1^{-1} g_2) e^{-\frac{1}{2c} \text{Tr} [(g_1 g_2^{-1})^\top g_1 g_2^{-1}]}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

where  $\Phi_\gamma^{\mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+1}}(g)$  is the zonal spherical function of the principal series  $\mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+1}$ -representation  $\mathcal{V}_\gamma$  defined in (2.10). Actually the function (4.20) on  $GL_{\ell+1} \times GL_{\ell+1}$  is an integral kernel of the convolution with the function

$$W_{\gamma,c}(g) = \langle \psi, \pi_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, c}(\text{Id} \otimes g) \phi \rangle = \Phi_\gamma^{\mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+1}}(g) e^{-\frac{1}{2c} \text{Tr} g^\top g}, \quad (4.21)$$

with respect to the Haar measure on  $GL_{\ell+1}$ .

**Theorem 4.1** *For  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $(\pi_\kappa, \mathcal{V}_\kappa)$  be the one-dimensional representation of  $GL_{\ell+1} \times GL_{\ell+1}$  given by*

$$\pi_\kappa : (g_1, g_2) \longmapsto |\det(g_1^{-1} g_2)|^{\kappa}, \quad (4.22)$$

and let  $\mathcal{W}_c, c \in \mathbb{R}_+$  be the irreducible  $\mathcal{H}^{(\ell+1)}$ -representation with the image of central element being  $\iota c$ . Let  $(\pi_{\kappa,c}, \mathcal{V}_{\kappa,c})$  be the irreducible  $\mathcal{H}GL_{\ell+1}$ -module with the representation space  $\mathcal{V}_{\kappa,c} \simeq \mathcal{V}_\kappa^\vee \otimes \mathcal{V}_\kappa \otimes \mathcal{W}_c$  according to Lemma 4.1. Then the  $GL_{\ell+1}$  Hecke-Baxter operator defined in (2.16),

$$Q_{s,c}(g) = |\det(g)|^{s+\frac{s}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2c} \text{Tr}(g^\top g)}, \quad (4.23)$$

allows the following  $\mathcal{H}GL_{\ell+1}$  matrix element representation:

$$Q_{s,c}(g) = \langle \psi, \pi_{\kappa,c}(1, g) \phi \rangle, \quad s = \kappa + \frac{1}{2}, \quad g \in GL_{\ell+1}. \quad (4.24)$$

where  $\phi$  is given by (4.16) and  $\psi$  is given by (4.18).

*Proof.* Given the  $\mathcal{H}GL_{\ell+1}$ -representation  $(\pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}, \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c})$  labeled by  $\gamma_L, \gamma_R \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell+1}, c \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , by Lemma 4.1, the representation space  $\mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}$  may be realized (as a vector space) by the tensor product  $\mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c} = \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R} \otimes \mathcal{W}_c$  of irreducible  $GL_{\ell+1} \times GL_{\ell+1}$ -representation

$(\pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R}, \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R})$  with  $\mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R} = \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_R}$  and irreducible  $\mathcal{H}^{(\ell+1)}$ -representation  $\mathcal{W}_c$ . Specifying the  $GL_{\ell+1} \times GL_{\ell+1}$ -representation  $\mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R} = \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_R}$  to be a one-dimensional representation (4.22) the corresponding spherical function (2.10) equals

$$\Phi_{\kappa}^{\mathfrak{gl}^{\ell+1}}(g) = |\det(g)|^{\nu\kappa}. \quad (4.25)$$

Substituting this into (4.20) results in

$$W_{\kappa, c}(g) = |\det g|^{\nu\kappa + \frac{\ell+1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2c} \text{Tr}(g^{\top} g)}. \quad (4.26)$$

Thus we have reconstructed the Hecke-Baxter operator (2.16) for  $s = \nu\kappa + 1/2$  as a special case of  $\mathcal{H}GL_{\ell+1}$ -Whittaker function.  $\square$

Actually, the matrix elements (4.19), (4.21) provide a natural generalization of the Hecke-Baxter operator (4.23) with the integral kernel given by the Whittaker function (4.20). It would be interesting to find the corresponding eigenvalues for convolution action of the general integral operators (4.19) on  $GL_{\ell+1}$ -spherical functions. While leaving this for another occasion, we only notice here a trivial fact that the action of the generalized Hecke-Baxter operator (4.20) in a one-dimensional  $GL_{\ell+1}$ -representation reduces to multiplication by the local Archimedean  $L$ -factor (2.14).

## 5 Lifting to symplectic Lie group matrix elements

In this Section we construct a lifting of the  $\mathcal{H}GL_{\ell+1}$ -Whittaker function considered in the previous Section to a matrix element of the larger Lie group  $\mathcal{H}Sp_{2\ell+2}$  given by the semidirect product of  $Sp_{2\ell+2} \times Sp_{2\ell+2}$  and the Heisenberg group associated with the matrix space  $\text{Mat}_{\ell+1}$ . Let us start with description of the corresponding Lie algebra  $\mathcal{H}\mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2} = \text{Lie}(\mathcal{H}Sp_{2\ell+2})$ .

Recall that the symplectic Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2}$  allows the following embedding:

$$\mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & -A^{\top} \end{pmatrix} : B^{\top} = B, \quad C^{\top} = C \right\} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_{2\ell+2}, \quad (5.1)$$

with the block-diagonal subalgebra  $\mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+1} = \text{diag}\{A, -A^{\top}\} \subset \mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2}$ . Denote by  $a_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq (\ell+1)$  and  $b_{ij}, c_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq (\ell+1)$  the corresponding generators of  $\mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2}$ . In the following we consider two copies of  $\mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2}$  with the two sets of generators:  $a_{ij}^L, b_{ij}^L, c_{ij}^L$  and  $a_{ij}^R, b_{ij}^R, c_{ij}^R$ . The generators  $a_{ij}^{L,R}, b_{ij}^{L,R}, c_{ij}^{L,R}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq \ell+1$  of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2}$  satisfy the following commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [b_{ij}^{L,R}, b_{kl}^{L,R}] &= [c_{ij}^{L,R}, c_{kl}^{L,R}] = 0, & [a_{ij}^{L,R}, a_{kl}^{L,R}] &= \delta_{jk} a_{il}^{L,R} - \delta_{il} a_{kj}^{L,R}, \\ [b_{ij}^{L,R}, c_{kl}^{L,R}] &= \delta_{ik} a_{jl}^{L,R} + \delta_{jl} a_{ik}^{L,R} + \delta_{jk} a_{il}^{L,R} + \delta_{il} a_{jk}^{L,R}, & & (5.2) \\ [a_{ij}^{L,R}, b_{kl}^{L,R}] &= \delta_{jk} b_{il}^{L,R} + \delta_{jl} b_{ik}^{L,R}, & [a_{ij}^{L,R}, c_{kl}^{L,R}] &= -\delta_{ik} c_{jl}^{L,R} - \delta_{il} c_{jk}^{L,R}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we introduce the semidirect sum  $\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2} = (\mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2}) \ltimes \mathcal{H}^{(\ell+1)}$  as follows. The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2}$  is generated by  $a_{ij}^{L,R}, X_{ij}, Y_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq \ell+1$ , and  $b_{ij}^{L,R}, c_{ij}^{L,R}$ ,  $1 \leq j$

and the central element  $C$  satisfying the commutation relations (5.2) and (4.2),(4.4),(4.5) with the following additional relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [b_{ij}^L, Y_{kl}] &= 0, & [b_{ij}^L, X_{kl}] &= \delta_{jk}Y_{il} + \delta_{ik}Y_{jl}, \\ [c_{ij}^L, X_{kl}] &= 0, & [c_{ij}^L, Y_{kl}] &= \delta_{jk}X_{il} + \delta_{ik}X_{jl}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [b_{ij}^R, X_{kl}] &= 0, & [b_{ij}^R, Y_{kl}] &= -\delta_{il}X_{kj} - \delta_{jl}X_{ki}, \\ [c_{ij}^R, Y_{kl}] &= 0, & [c_{ij}^R, X_{kl}] &= -\delta_{il}Y_{kj} - \delta_{jl}Y_{ki}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

It is easy to check directly that thus defined action of the Lie algebra of  $\mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2}$  is compatible with the structure of the Heisenberg Lie algebra

$$[X_{ij}, X_{kl}] = 0, \quad [Y_{ij}, Y_{kl}] = 0, \quad [X_{ij}, Y_{kl}] = C\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}. \quad (5.5)$$

Using the injective homomorphism,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+1} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+1} &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2}, \\ (e_{ij}^L, e_{ij}^R) &\longmapsto (a_{ij}^L, a_{ij}^R), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq \ell + 1, \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

we obtain the embedding of the Lie algebra (4.12) into  $\mathfrak{hsp}_{2\ell+2}$ :

$$\mathfrak{hgl}_{\ell+1} = (\mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+1} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+1}) \ltimes \mathcal{H}^{(\ell+1)} \subset \mathfrak{hsp}_{2\ell+2}. \quad (5.7)$$

In the following, we consider representations of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{hsp}_{2\ell+2}$ . Similarly to the  $\mathfrak{hgl}_{\ell+1}$ -representations  $(\pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}, \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c})$  considered in the previous Section, the  $\mathfrak{hsp}_{2\ell+2}$ -representations are labeled by the weights  $\gamma = (\gamma_L, \gamma_R)$ ,  $\gamma_{L,R} \in \mathbb{C}^{\ell+1}$  of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2}$  and by the value  $\iota c$  of  $C \in \mathcal{H}^{(\ell+1)}$ . Note that we keep the same notations for the labels of representations as in the case of  $\mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+1} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_{\ell+1}$  by taking into account the embedding (5.7).

**Lemma 5.1** *For the universal enveloping Lie algebra of  $\mathfrak{hsp}_{2\ell+2}$  with the invertible generator  $C$ , the following factorization holds*

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{hsp}_{2\ell+2}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[C]} \mathbb{C}[C, C^{-1}] \\ &\simeq \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2}) \otimes (\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}^{(\ell+1)}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[C]} \mathbb{C}[C, C^{-1}]). \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

Furthermore, any irreducible  $\mathfrak{hsp}_{2\ell+2}$ -representation with an invertible action of the central element  $C$  is isomorphic to a representation  $(\pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}, \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c})$  labeled by  $\gamma_L, \gamma_R \in \mathbb{C}^{\ell+1}, c \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , such that underlying vector space  $\mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}$  may be identified (but not as a representation) with  $\mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R} \otimes \mathcal{W}_c$ , where  $\mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R} \simeq \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_R}$  is an irreducible  $\mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2}$ -representation and  $\mathcal{W}_c$  is the irreducible representation of the Heisenberg algebra  $\mathcal{H}^{(\ell+1)}$ .

*Proof.* The proof of (5.8) is the straightforward generalization of the that for Lemma 4.1. Let the algebra  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2})$  on the r.h.s. of (5.8) is generated by the generators

$\hat{a}_{ij}^{L,R}$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq \ell + 1$  and  $\hat{b}_{ij}^{L,R}, \hat{c}_{ij}^{L,R}$ ,  $1 \leq j$ . The generators  $\hat{a}_{ij}^{L,R}$  are identified with  $\hat{e}_{ij}^{L,R}$  (4.8) due to (5.6), and the other generators, for  $i \leq j$ , are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{b}_{ij}^L &= b_{ij}^L + C^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell+1} Y_{jk} Y_{ik}, & \hat{b}_{ij}^R &= b_{ij}^R - C^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell+1} X_{ki} X_{kj}, \\ \hat{c}_{ij}^L &= c_{ij}^L - C^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell+1} X_{jk} X_{ik}, & \hat{c}_{ij}^R &= c_{ij}^R + C^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell+1} Y_{ki} Y_{kj}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

As a consequence of (5.8) every irreducible  $\mathfrak{hsp}_{2\ell+2}$ -representation with an invertible action of the central element  $C$  is equivalent to a representation  $(\pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}, \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c})$ ,  $\gamma_L, \gamma_R \in \mathbb{C}^{\ell+1}$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , such that the vector space  $\mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}$  may be identified (not as a representation) with  $\mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R} \otimes \mathcal{W}_c$ , where  $\mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R} \simeq \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_R}$  is an irreducible  $\mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2}$ -representation and  $\mathcal{W}_c$  is the irreducible representation of the Heisenberg algebra  $\mathcal{H}^{(\ell+1)}$ .  $\square$

Consider the  $\mathcal{H}Sp_{2\ell+2}$ -representation  $(\pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}, \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c})$  obtained by lifting the spherical principal series  $Sp_{2\ell+2} \times Sp_{2\ell+2}$ -representation in the space  $\mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R} = \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_R}$  via the representation  $\mathcal{W}_c$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}_+$  of the Heisenberg group with the central element  $C$  taking value  $\imath c \in \imath\mathbb{R}_+$ . We consider a realization of the Heisenberg group representation  $\mathcal{W}_c$  in the space  $\mathcal{S}(\text{Mat}_{\ell+1})$  of Schwartz functions on the matrix space  $\text{Mat}_{\ell+1}$ , so that the subalgebra  $\mathfrak{hgl}_{\ell+1} \subset \mathfrak{hsp}_{2\ell+2}$  acts on smooth vectors in  $\mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}$  via (4.9) and the action of other generators  $b_{ij}^{L,R}, c_{ij}^{L,R}$ ,  $i \leq j$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} b_{ij}^L &= \imath c \sum_{k=1}^{\ell+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{ik} \partial x_{jk}} + \pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R}(\hat{b}_{ij}^L), & b_{ij}^R &= \frac{\imath}{c} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell+1} x_{ki} x_{kj} + \pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R}(\hat{b}_{ij}^R), \\ c_{ij}^L &= \frac{\imath}{c} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell+1} x_{ik} x_{jk} + \pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R}(\hat{c}_{ij}^L), & c_{ij}^R &= \imath c \sum_{k=1}^{\ell+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{ki} \partial x_{ki}} + \pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R}(\hat{c}_{ij}^R). \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

where  $\pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R}(\hat{b}_{ij}^{L,R}), \pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R}(\hat{c}_{ij}^{L,R})$  denote the action of  $\mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2}$ -generators in the spherical principal series representation space  $\mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R} = \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_R}$ . Let  $(\pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}^\vee, \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}^\vee)$  be the contragredient representation in the dual space  $\mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}^\vee \simeq \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_R}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{W}_c^\vee$ , where the space  $\mathcal{W}_c^\vee$  is given by the space  $\mathcal{D}(\text{Mat}_{\ell+1})$  of tempered distributions on  $\text{Mat}_{\ell+1}$ . We write down the corresponding pairing in the following form

$$\langle \chi_1, \chi_2 \rangle = \int_{\text{Mat}_{\ell+1}} d\mu(x) \overline{\chi_1(x)} \chi_2(x), \quad d\mu(x) = \prod_{i,j=1}^{\ell+1} dx_{ij}, \quad (5.11)$$

so that  $\overline{\chi_1(x)} d\mu(x)$  represents a tempered distribution on  $\text{Mat}_{\ell+1}$ . The contragredient representation is defined by

$$\langle \chi_1, \pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}(U) \chi_2 \rangle = -\langle \pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}^\vee(U) \chi_1, \chi_2 \rangle, \quad U \in \mathfrak{hsp}_{2\ell+2}. \quad (5.12)$$

Assume the  $\mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2}$ -action via  $\pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}$  integrates to the  $Sp_{2\ell+2} \times Sp_{2\ell+2}$ -action which supplies  $\mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}$  with a structure of  $\mathcal{H}Sp_{2\ell+2}$ -module.

Next, we construct lifting of the defining relations (4.17),(4.15) for the vectors  $\phi, \psi$ .

**Lemma 5.2** *The subspace  $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{hsp}_{2\ell+2}$  spanned by*

$$\begin{aligned} K_{ij}^{L,R} &= a_{ij}^{L,R} - a_{ji}^{L,R}, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq \ell + 1, \\ b_{ij}^{L,R} - c_{ij}^{L,R}, \quad &1 \leq i \leq j \leq \ell + 1, \\ X_{ij} - \imath Y_{ij}, \quad &1 \leq i, j \leq \ell + 1. \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

*is a subalgebra isomorphic to the semidirect sum:*

$$\mathfrak{k} \simeq (\mathfrak{u}_{\ell+1} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_{\ell+1}) \ltimes \mathcal{A}, \quad \mathcal{A} = \text{span}\{X_{ij} - \imath Y_{ij}, 1 \leq i, j \leq \ell + 1\}. \tag{5.14}$$

*Proof.* The Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{k}$  spanned by the elements

$$\begin{aligned} K_{ij}^{L,R} &= a_{ij}^{L,R} - a_{ji}^{L,R}, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq \ell + 1, \\ b_{ij}^{L,R} - c_{ij}^{L,R}, \quad &1 \leq i \leq j \leq \ell + 1 \end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

is isomorphic to the spherical (maximal compact) subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2}$  i.e. a direct sum of unitary Lie algebras:

$$\mathfrak{u}_{\ell+1} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_{\ell+1} \subset \mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2}. \tag{5.16}$$

Consider the subalgebra  $\mathcal{A} = \text{span}\{X_{ij} - \imath Y_{ij}, 1 \leq i, j \leq \ell + 1\}$ , which is commutative:

$$[X_{ij} - \imath Y_{ij}, X_{kl} - \imath Y_{kl}] = 0, \quad 1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq \ell + 1. \tag{5.17}$$

Then by (4.14), we have

$$[K_{ij}^{L,R}, X_{kl} - \imath Y_{kl}] \subseteq \mathcal{A}, \tag{5.18}$$

and from (5.3),(5.4) we deduce

$$[b_{ij}^L - c_{ij}^L, X_{kl} - \imath Y_{kl}] \subseteq \mathcal{A}, \quad [b_{ij}^R - c_{ij}^R, X_{kl} - \imath Y_{kl}] \subseteq \mathcal{A}, \tag{5.19}$$

which entails that  $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{hsp}_{2\ell+2}$  is indeed a subalgebra and  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathfrak{k}$  is a Lie ideal. Thus, the spherical Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{k}$  is isomorphic to the semidirect sum of  $\mathfrak{u}_{\ell+1} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_{\ell+1}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$ .  $\square$

We refer to  $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{hsp}_{2\ell+2}$  as the spherical subalgebra.

**Definition 5.1** *Given spherical principal series  $\mathfrak{hsp}_{2\ell+2}$ -representation  $(\pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}, \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c})$ , we define the spherical vector  $\phi \in \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}$  by the condition*

$$\pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}(\mathfrak{k}) \cdot \phi = 0. \tag{5.20}$$

Note that the  $\mathfrak{hsp}_{2\ell+2}$ -spherical vector (5.20) extends the  $\mathfrak{hgl}_{\ell+1}$ -spherical vector defined in (4.17) due to

$$\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{hgl}_{\ell+1} = \text{span}\{K_{ij}^{L,R}, i < j; X_{ij} - \imath Y_{ij}, 1 \leq i, j \leq \ell + 1\}, \tag{5.21}$$

while the consistency of (5.20) is provided by Lemma 5.2. Therefore, (5.20) allows the same solution as in (4.16):

$$\phi(x) = \varphi_{\gamma_L} \otimes \varphi_{\gamma_R} \otimes e^{-\frac{1}{2c} \text{Tr}(x^\top x)}, \tag{5.22}$$

where  $\varphi_{\gamma_{L,R}} \in \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_{L,R}}$  are the  $U_{\ell+1}$ -spherical vectors in the  $Sp_{2\ell+2}$ -principal series representations  $(\pi_{\gamma_{L,R}}, \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_{L,R}})$  normalized by  $\langle \varphi_{\gamma_{L,R}}, \varphi_{\gamma_{L,R}} \rangle = 1$ .

**Definition 5.2** Given the contragredient spherical principal series  $\mathfrak{hsp}_{2\ell+2}$ -representation  $(\pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}^\vee, \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}^\vee)$ , we define the Whittaker covector  $\psi \in \mathcal{V}_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}^\vee$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}^\vee(X_{ij}) \cdot \psi &= i\delta_{ij} \psi, \\ \pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}^\vee(a_{ij}^L + a_{ij}^R) \cdot \psi &= \pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}^\vee(b_{ij}^L - c_{ij}^R) \cdot \psi = \pi_{\gamma_L, \gamma_R, c}^\vee(b_{ij}^R - c_{ij}^L) \cdot \psi = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.23)$$

The relations (5.23) naturally extend the defining relations (4.15) for the  $\mathfrak{hgl}_{\ell+1}$ -Whittaker covector, since the consistency of (5.23) follow by (5.3), (5.4). The equations (5.23) allow the same solution as (4.15). Thus imposing  $\gamma_L + \gamma_R = 0$  we denote  $\gamma_R = \gamma$  and keep the notation  $(\pi_{\gamma, c}, \mathcal{V}_{\gamma, c})$  for the representation  $(\pi_{-\gamma, \gamma, c}, \mathcal{V}_{-\gamma, \gamma, c})$ , so the equations (5.23) allow the solution (4.18),

$$\psi(x) = \text{Id}_{\text{End}(\mathcal{V}_\gamma)} \otimes \prod_{i,j=1}^{\ell+1} \delta(x_{ij} - \delta_{ij}), \quad (5.24)$$

where  $\text{Id}_{\text{End}(\mathcal{V}_\gamma)} \in \text{End}(\mathcal{V}_\gamma) \simeq \mathcal{V}_\gamma^\vee \otimes \mathcal{V}_\gamma$  is the identity endomorphism in the algebra  $\text{End}(\mathcal{V}_\gamma)$ .

Thus the  $\mathcal{HGL}_{\ell+1}$ -matrix elements  $W_{\gamma, c}(g_1, g_2)$  defined in (4.20), (4.19) can be lifted to the  $\mathcal{HSp}_{2\ell+2}$ -matrix element. In particular, (4.19) takes the form, for  $g_1 \otimes g_2 \in Sp_{2\ell+2} \times Sp_{2\ell+2}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\gamma, c}(g_1, g_2) &= \langle \psi, \pi_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, c}(g_1 \otimes g_2) \phi \rangle \\ &= \int_{\text{Mat}_{\ell+1}} dx \prod_{i,j=1}^{\ell+1} \delta(x_{ij} - \delta_{ij}) \Phi_\gamma(g_1^{-1} g_2) e^{-\frac{1}{2c} \text{Tr}[(g_1^{-1} x g_2)^\top g_1^{-1} x g_2]} \\ &= \Phi_\gamma^{\mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2}}(g_1^{-1} g_2) e^{-\frac{1}{2c} \text{Tr}[(g_1^{-1} g_2)^\top g_1^{-1} g_2]}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

where  $\Phi_\gamma^{\mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2}}(g)$  is the zonal spherical function of the spherical principal series  $\mathfrak{sp}_{2\ell+2}$ -representation  $(\pi_\gamma, \mathcal{V}_\gamma)$ .

## References

- [Ba] R.J. Baxter, *Exactly solved models in statistical mechanics*, Academic Press, 1982.
- [BK] M. Berry, J. Keating, *The Riemann zeroes and eigenvalue asymptotics*, SIAM Rev 41(2) (1999) 236–266.
- [GKZ] I.M. Gelfand, M.M. Kapranov, A.V. Zelevinsky, *Discriminants, Resultants, and multidimensional determinants*, Birkhauser, 1994.
- [GZ] I.M. Gelfand, A.V. Zelevinsky, *Models of representations of classical groups and their hidden symmetries*, Funct. Anal. Appl. 18 (1984) 183–198.
- [G] A. Gerasimov, *Archimedean Langlands duality and exactly solvable quantum systems*, in Proc. ICM Seoul 2014, Vol. 3, 1097–1121.

- [GL] A. Gerasimov, D. Lebedev, *On universal Baxter operators for classical groups*, 2011. Preprint [arXiv:math.RT/1104.0420](#).
- [GLO08] A. Gerasimov, D. Lebedev, S. Oblezin *Baxter operator and Archimedean Hecke algebra*, Commun. Math. Phys. 284 (2008) 867–896; Preprint [arXiv:0706.3476](#).
- [GLO09] A. Gerasimov, D. Lebedev, S. Oblezin *On Baxter  $Q$ -operators and their arithmetic implications*, Lett. Math. Phys. 88 (2009) 3–30; Preprint [arXiv:math.RT/0711.2812](#).
- [GLO11] A. Gerasimov, D. Lebedev, S. Oblezin *Archimedean  $L$ -factors and topological field theories I,II*, Commun. Number Theory and Physics 5 (2011) 101–134, 57–101; Preprints [arXiv:math.NT/0906.1065](#) and [arXiv:math.NT/0909.2016](#).
- [GLO12] A. Gerasimov, D. Lebedev, S. Oblezin *New integral representations of Whittaker functions for classical groups*, Rus. Math. Surveys 67:1 (2012) 1–92; Preprint [arXiv:0705.2886](#).
- [GLO22] A. Gerasimov, D. Lebedev, S. Oblezin, *On a matrix element representation of special functions associated with toric varieties*, in Nankai Symposium on Mathematical Dialogues. Celebrating the 110-th anniversary of the birth of Prof. S.-S. Chern, Springer, 2022; Preprint [arXiv:2112.15013](#).
- [GLO23] A. Gerasimov, D. Lebedev, S. Oblezin, *On matrix element representation for the GKZ hypergeometric functions*, Lett. Math. Phys. 113:43 (2023); [[arXiv:2209.2886](#)].
- [Ho] R. Howe, *On the role of the Heisenberg group in harmonic analysis*, Bull. AMS (N. S.) 3:2 (1980) 821–843.
- [ILP] D. Bump, J.W. Cogdell, E. de Shalit, D. Gaitsgory, E. Kowalski, S.S. Kudla, An introduction to the Langlands program, Eds. J. Bernstein, S. Gelbart, Birkhauser 2004.
- [Ja] H. Jacquet, *Fonctions de Whittaker associées aux groupes de Chevalley*, Bull.Soc.Math. France, 95 (1967), 243–309.
- [JL] H. Jacquet, R. Langlands *Automorphic forms on  $GL(2)$* , Springer Lect. Notes Math. 114, Springer 1970.
- [Ka] M. Kapranov, *Hypergeometric functions on reductive groups*, in Proc. Taniguchi Symp. “Integrable systems and algebraic geometry”, Kobe-Kyoto 1997, World Sci. Publishing 1998, 236–281; Preprint [arXiv:alg-geom/9711011](#).
- [K] A.A. Kirillov, *Elements of the theory of representations*, Springer 1976.
- [Kna] A.W. Knapp, *Local Langlands correspondence: the Archimedean case*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 55, Part 2 (1994) 393–410.
- [PG] V. Pasquier, M. Gaudin, *The periodic Toda chain and a matrix generalization of the Bessel function recursion relation*, J. Phys. A 25 (1992), 5243–5252.
- [Sha] J.A. Shalika, *The multiplicity one theorem for  $GL_n$* , Ann. Math. 100:1 (1974) 171–193.

[V] N.Ja. Vilenkin, Special functions and the theory of group representations, AMS, 1968.

[W] A.Weil, Basic number theory, Springer, 1995.

**A.A.G.** *Laboratory for Quantum Field Theory and Information,*  
*Institute for Information Transmission Problems, RAS, 127994, Moscow, Russia;*  
*E-mail address: anton.a.gerasimov@gmail.com*

**D.R.L.** *Laboratory for Quantum Field Theory and Information,*  
*Institute for Information Transmission Problems, RAS, 127994, Moscow, Russia;*  
*E-mail address: lebedev.dm@gmail.com*

**S.V.O.** *Beijing Institute of Mathematical Sciences and Applications ,*  
*Huairou District, Beijing 101408, China;*  
*E-mail address: oblezin@gmail.com*