

# A certified classification of first-order controlled coaxial telescopes.

Audric Drogoul

Thales Alenia Space

5 Allée des Gabians, 06150 Cannes, FRANCE.

audric.drogoul@thalesaleniaspace.com

January 24, 2025

## Abstract

This paper is devoted to an intrinsic geometrical classification of three-mirror telescopes. The problem is formulated as the study of the connected components of a semi-algebraic set. Under first order approximation, we give the general expression of the transfer matrix of a reflexive optical system. Thanks to this representation, we express the semi-algebraic set for focal telescopes and afocal telescopes as the set of non-degenerate real solutions of first order optical conditions. Then, in order to study the topology of these sets, we address the problem of counting and describe their connected components. In a same time, we introduce a topological invariant which encodes the topological features of the solutions. For systems composed of three mirrors, we give the semi-algebraic description of the connected components of the set and show that the topological invariant is exact.

MSC codes: 14Q30, 14P25, 14P10

Key Words: Path connected components, Topological invariants, Semi-algebraic sets, Classification, Reflective optics, First-order optics, Optical Design

## 1 Introduction

Optical designing is a scientific and engineering discipline performed by experimented opticians, where the goal is often to construct an optical system that optimizes optical, geometrical and manufacturability criteria. During the designing process, opticians mainly focus on geometrical and optical performances and check the manufacturability and stability to misalignment a posteriori. Generally the design exploration is split in several steps which gradually converge to the target solutions as discussed in [4, 16]. A first step consists in neglecting the obscuration and considering on-axis conic-based solutions which enjoy to a rotationally symmetry cancelling the aberrations of even orders. First orders equations fix the curvatures while the third-order rotationally invariant Seidel aberrations can be corrected by conics [13]. Then the system can be unobscured by tilting the surfaces and using a combination of field-bias and offset aperture. This latter step generally introduces rotationally variant aberrations which can be corrected by additional degree of freedom on the shape of the optical surfaces which takes the name of *freeforms*. As explained in [4], the introduction of freeforms is not always sufficient to correct the optical aberrations and a large increase in freeform departure for each surface can be associated to a little performance gain. Let us note that the more the freeform departure is high the more the fabrication time is high and so solutions with few freeform sag are preferred. This is why the choice of a good starting point before introducing freeforms is important and in particular the choice of distances and curvatures, which determines conics by linear relations [13], can be crucial for the sequel of the process.

This paper addresses the study of *admissible* on-axis optical configurations which are real solutions of a set of first order equations determining for example the curvatures of the system given inter-mirror distances. In our case, an optical configuration is *admissible* if it contains no flat surfaces and if no intermediate magnification is zero (which would correspond to a zero surface size). Generally, the optical designer loops on a thousand of *admissible* on-axis configurations among which he hopes to find the one it will converge, after applying the above steps, to an admissible unobscured and aberration less feasible solution. Besides, after this first step, curvatures and distances satisfying focal or magnification constraints are not changed anymore so that each configuration verifies a set of first order equations that we want to preserve by correcting the optical aberrations during the following process. However, among this huge amount of solutions, a lot are optically similar and no guarantee of completeness is provided. Hence, understanding the geometry of the solution set associated to classical first order equations is a very important question.

In literature, classification appears as an open question linked to the understanding of optical design methods. For two-mirror systems, [20] proposes a methodology for classifying obscuration-free solutions unfolded in the plane. Two classes are heuristically identified, omitting the VAVA class presented in [1]. In [4], three mirror co-axial telescopes are classified by considering only the signs of the mirrors' curvatures using names like PNP to states that the first mirror is convex the second is concave and the last one is convex. As we will see, to classify such telescopes described as an affine variety satisfying a set of first-order conditions including the focal one, the signs of the mirrors' curvatures do not constitute an exact invariant. As last example, [16] classifies four-mirrors based configurations by considering the presence of internal intermediary images and pupils.

As we can see, the divergent ways of classifying optical configurations testify to the need to reformulate the question mathematically. The mathematical question that we propose to answer is counting and describing the connected components of this solution set and introducing a topological invariant (see Definition 3.1) and a nomenclature (Definition 3.3) that intelligibly encodes this topological invariant. Hence thanks to this meaningful nomenclature, opticians can draw the main features of the optical configuration by just knowing its name. Let us emphasize that the set of connected components of a set are the equivalence classes in the sense of the homotopy relation of that set. Hence, optical configurations lying in the same connected component are equivalent by a continuous deformation. This fact is crucial for the continuation of the optical design process, where optical configurations are continuously deformed by a gradient flow of a certain cost function. Hence answering the aforementioned question enables to (i) understand the optical/topological invariance of the on-axis optical configurations inside the classes encoded in an intelligibly nomenclature (ii) mathematically certify that the all classes are represented. Let us note that a similar approach to classify off-axis obscuration free solutions is developed in [1] where authors introduce an off-axis mathematically certified nomenclature which can be used with the on-axis present one to get a complete on/off-axis nomenclature.

To achieve this, we introduce the set of first order equations thanks to a transfer matrix formalism [17] and we explicit them for optical configurations composed of  $N$  mirrors in function of distances inter-mirrors and magnifications. Expressing these equations as polynomials ones, the set of *admissible* solutions writes as a semi-algebraic set, what enables to use powerful mathematics tools of the domain of real algebraic geometry and computer algebra as done in others engineering disciplines such as Robotics [6] or Biology [7]. We show that the set of equations satisfied for  $N = 3$  mirrors can be written as a triangular system with a parametric trinomial as a pivot equation plus an equation fixing the product of the unknowns which leads to finite fibers every where on the parameter admissible space. Inspired by the real root classification algorithm [15] which gives a way to construct explicitly homeomorphisms between a dense partition of an algebraic set and a dense subset of its canonical projection  $\pi : (\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{t+n} \rightarrow \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^t$  with  $t$  the dimension of the real algebraic set. In particular, we decompose the set into two parts separated by the set of

critical points of  $\pi$ , we show that each is homeomorphic to its projection what corresponds to the main result stated in Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.5. Next, by linking the connected components of each part to those of the initial set, we deduce the connected components of the initial set by merging the obtained components through the set of critical points of  $\pi$ . The steps of the connected components computation are summarized in algorithm 1.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we introduce the considered first order equations for focal and afocal telescopes, in section 3 we introduce the classification problem and in particular we start by section 3.1 by introducing some preliminaries of real algebraic geometry and we use it to study the connected component of a generic triangular system with parametric trinomial as pivot equation whose the product of the unknown cannot cancel in section 3.2. We summarize the step of this computation in algorithm 1. Let us note that the first step of this algorithm consists in performing a real root classification which is done in [15] for a general polynomial system. In section 3.3 we apply the previous result to give a name (see Definition 3.3), a semi-algebraic representation and a sample point for each connected component of the admissible solutions set and a graphical representation are given.

## 2 Polynomial systems

This section is a short review of first order optics from which we derive the polynomial system we propose to study in the paper. We start by introducing a parametrization of the curvatures depending on the distances between mirrors and focal plane and the lateral magnification of the mirrors. Then we specialise the polynomial system for focal (resp. afocal) telescopes where we give the expression of the polynomials associated to the focal (resp. magnification) condition, null Petzval curvature condition and telecentricity (resp. exit pupil position w.r.t entry pupil position) constraint.

### 2.1 Problem statement

Let  $N$  be the number of mirrors,  $S_k$  be the  $k$ -th mirror,  $c_k$  be its curvature for  $1 \leq k \leq N$ , and  $d_k$  the signed distances between  $S_k$  and  $S_{k+1}$  relatively to increasing  $z$  with the convention that  $S_{N+1}$  is the focal plane (possibly at infinity). By denoting  $v_1$  the inverse of the distance between the observed object and the first mirror  $S_1$ , we can deduce the position of its image after reflecting the first mirror by a first order formula:  $v_1 + \frac{1}{s'_1} = 2c_1$  with  $s'_1$  is the first intermediate image position w.r.t the center of  $S_1$  and relatively to increasing  $z$ . Re-expressing  $s'_1$  in the coordinate system of  $S_2$  enables to define  $s_2 = s'_1 - d_1$  and re-imaging  $s_2$  by the second mirror  $S_2$  gives  $s'_2$  with  $\frac{1}{s_2} + \frac{1}{s'_2} = 2c_2$ . Repeating this procedure  $N$  times and using the magnification definition of the  $k$ -th mirror  $\Omega_k = \frac{s_{k+1}}{s'_k}$  (see fig. 1) gives the following curvatures expression:

$$c_1 = \frac{(1-\Omega_1)}{2d_1} + \frac{v_1}{2}, \quad c_k = \frac{(1-\Omega_{k-1})}{2\Omega_{k-1}d_{k-1}} + \frac{(1-\Omega_k)}{2d_k} \text{ for } k \in \llbracket 2, N-1 \rrbracket, \quad c_N = \frac{(1-\Omega_{N-1})}{2\Omega_{N-1}d_{N-1}} + \frac{v'_N}{2} \quad (1)$$

where  $v_1 = 0$  if the object is at infinity and  $v'_N = \frac{1}{d_N}$ .




Figure 1: Geometrical illustration of (1). First order formula:  $\frac{1}{s_k} + \frac{1}{s'_k} = 2c_k$ , Change of coordinate system:  $d_k = s'_k - s_{k+1}$ , Magnification definition:  $\Omega_k = \frac{\rho_{k+1}}{\rho_k} = \frac{s_{k+1}}{s'_k}$

**Notations** Let  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_l)$  be a real finite sequence of length  $l$  and  $I \subset \llbracket 1, l \rrbracket$ , we denote  $X_s = \prod_1^l X_i$  and  $\widehat{X}_I = \prod_{i \in \llbracket 1, l \rrbracket \setminus I} X_i$ . with the convention that  $\prod_{i \in \emptyset} X_i = 1$ . Similarly, we adopt the convention for the sum:  $\sum_{i \in \emptyset} X_i = 0$ .

**Transfer Matrix** The use of transfer matrices to compute the propagation of rays in the sense of first order optics (small angles and spherical mirrors) is well known from opticians and makes computation easier. We refer the reader to [17] for more details on the construction of transfer matrices to model, at first order approximation, the propagation of light through different optical surfaces (lenses, mirrors, gratings) in homogeneous media. Let us recall that, in this paper, we deal only with mirrors. Let  $M_N = M_{V_N} M_{d_{N-1}} \dots M_{d_1} M_{V_1}$  be the transfer matrix of the system  $[S_1, \dots, S_N]$  with  $M_{V_k} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -V_k & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $M_{d_k} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & (-1)^k d_k \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  where  $V_k = 2(-1)^k c_k$  is the vergence of the  $k$ -th mirror in the local coordinate system associated to the optical axis, and  $(-1)^k d_k > 0$  the distance along the optical axis between mirrors  $S_k$  and  $S_{k+1}$  (see (1) and fig. 1). An incident ray  $r_e = (x_e, \alpha_e)$  on mirror  $S_1$  is transformed into an exit ray  $r_s = (x_s, \alpha_s)$  on  $S_N$  as  $r_s = M_N r_e$ . We have the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $N \geq 2$ , the transfer matrix  $M_N$  writes as*

$$M_N = \frac{1}{\Omega_{s_{N-1}}} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_N & \beta_N \\ \gamma_N & \delta_N \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_N &= \Omega_{s_{N-1}}^2 + v_1 \mathcal{S}_{N-1}, & \beta_N &= \mathcal{S}_{N-1}, \\ \gamma_N &= -\Omega_{s_{N-1}}^2 (-1)^N v'_N - \mathcal{S}_{N-1} v_1 (-1)^N v'_N + v_1, & \delta_N &= -\mathcal{S}_{N-1} (-1)^N v'_N + 1, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

with

$$\mathcal{S}_{N-1} = \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} (-1)^l d_l \Omega_l \prod_{l+1}^{N-1} \Omega_i^2. \quad (4)$$

*Proof.* Straightforward by induction.  $\square$

In the sequel, we consider only telescopes observing an object coming from infinity what leads to take  $v_1 = 0$  in (1) and (3).

## 2.2 Polynomials systems for focal telescopes

This subsection is dedicated to focal telescopes focusing on a focal plane located at a finite distance  $d_N$  w.r.t to  $S_N$ , what leads to  $v'_N = \frac{1}{d_N}$  in (1) and (3). Let  $f \neq 0$  be the focal length of the telescope and  $N$  be the number of mirrors composing it. We give a polynomial description of the image, focal, Petzval and telecentricity constraints depending on  $f$ ,  $(\Omega_k)_{1 \leq k \leq N-1}$  and  $(d_k)_{1 \leq k \leq N}$ .

**Image constraint** The total transfer matrix from the source to the focal plane is (see (2))

$$M_{d_N} M_N = \frac{1}{\Omega_s} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_N + (-1)^N d_N \gamma_N & \beta_N + \delta_N (-1)^N d_N \\ \gamma_N & \delta_N \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

The image condition writes as  $a = 0$  what is satisfied thanks to Proposition 2.1 and recalling that  $d_N = \frac{1}{v'_N}$ .

**Focal constraint** The focal  $f$  is the sensitivity of the lateral position  $x_s$  on the focal plane w.r.t the entry angle  $\alpha_e$ . By using notations of the above paragraph, the focal condition writes as  $b = f$  and we denote this relation as  $g_{1,N} = 0$  with:

$$g_{1,N} = f\Omega_s - (-1)^N d_N. \quad (5)$$

**Petzval's constraint** The vanishing Petzval curvature condition, introduced by Petzval in the mid-19th century enables to eliminate the field curvature, leading to a focal plane which is indeed plane. The Petzval constraint writes as the vanishing sum of curvatures along the optical axis that is  $\sum_{k=1}^N (-1)^k c_k = 0$ . By mutiplying this latter condition by the term  $\Omega_s d_s$  which does not cancel over the constrained semi-algebraic set (see the next paragraph about the constraints), we obtain  $g_{2,N} = 0$  with:

$$g_{2,N} = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} (-1)^{k+1} (1 - \Omega_k)^2 \widehat{\Omega_{s_k}} \widehat{d_{s_k}} + (-1)^N d_{s_{N-1}} \Omega_s. \quad (6)$$

**Telecentricity constraint** The telecentricity condition is often used for spectro-imager telescopes. Indeed, for this kind of optical configurations, the source of the spectrometer is located at the focal plane of the imager. The entrance pupil is imaged at infinity by the imager so that the source of the spectrometer can be considered as ponctual. Assuming that the entrance pupil is located on the first mirror, and setting the exit pupil at a distance  $z_N$  from the last mirror relatively to the optical axis, we obtain the condition  $\beta_N + \delta_N z_N = 0$ . The telecentricity condition corresponds to the limit of this expression as  $z_N \rightarrow \infty$  which rewrites as  $g_{3,N} = 0$ :

$$g_{3,N} = \delta_n = -\mathcal{S}_{N-1} + (-1)^N d_N, \quad (7)$$

where we recall that  $\mathcal{S}_{N-1}$  is defined in (4).

**Unknowns** By homogeneity, without loss of generality, the focal  $f$  can be taken equal to  $\pm 1$ . Let  $n \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket$  be the number of equations, the dimension of the affine space is  $2N - 1$ . We define the polynomial sequence  $\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{N}} = (g_{1,N}, \dots, g_{n,N}) \in \mathbb{Q}[X_1, \dots, X_{2N-1}]^n$  where  $(X_1, \dots, X_{2N-1}) = (d_1, \dots, d_N, \Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_{N-1})$  and  $t = 2N - 1 - n$  the dimension of the affine variety  $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{N}})$  (see section 3.1). When  $N$  is clearly specified, we denote by  $\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{n}}$  instead of  $\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{N}}$  and  $g_k$  instead of  $g_{k,N}$ .

**Constraints** The constraints correspond to positiveness of the distances along the optical axis that is  $(-1)^k d_k > 0$  for all  $k \in \llbracket 1, N \rrbracket$  and non null magnifications that is  $\Omega_s \neq 0$ . Note that the focal condition (5), combined with the requirement  $(-1)^N d_N > 0$  and the fact that  $f = \pm 1$ , implies that  $\Omega_s \neq 0$ . Hence let  $\mathcal{G}_o(X)$  be the logical semi-algebraic formula corresponding to these conditions, it is given by

$$\mathcal{G}_o(X) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^N ((-1)^i d_i > 0). \quad (8)$$

### 2.3 Polynomials systems for afocal telescope

This section is dedicated to afocal telescopes for which the locus of focused output rays lies at an infinite distance relative to  $S_N$ , resulting in  $v'_N = 0$  in (1) and (3). This condition can be understood as the limit of (5) as  $|f| \rightarrow \infty$ . In this case, it is straightforward to verify that  $\gamma_N = 0$  in (3). In this subsection, as  $d_N$  is infinite,  $d_s$  denotes the product  $\prod_{1 \leq j \leq N-1} d_j$  and  $\widehat{d}_{s_k} = \frac{d_s}{d_k}$ .

**Magnification constraint** The lateral magnification is the sensitivity of the lateral exit position  $x_s$  on the last mirror  $S_N$  w.r.t the entrance position  $x_e$  on the first mirror  $S_1$ . Let  $G$  be the lateral magnification, this condition writes as  $\alpha_N = G$  and rewrites as  $h_{1,N} = 0$  with

$$h_{1,N} = \Omega_s - G. \quad (9)$$

**Petzval constraint** As explained in section 2.2, the Petzval condition writes as  $h_{2,N} = 0$  with

$$h_{2,N} = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} (-1)^k c_k = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} (-1)^{k+1} (1 - \Omega_k)^2 \widehat{\Omega}_{s_k} \widehat{d}_{s_k}. \quad (10)$$

**Pupil positions constraint** Let  $z_0$  and  $d_p$  denote the signed distances along the optical axis from the entrance pupil to the first mirror and from the exit pupil to the last mirror, respectively. The pupil writes as  $\alpha_N z_0 + \beta_N + d_p(\gamma_N z_0 + \delta_N) = 0$ , rewritten as  $h_{3,N} = 0$  with:

$$h_{3,N} = \Omega_s^2 z_0 + \mathcal{S}_{N-1} + d_p. \quad (11)$$

**Unknowns** By homogeneity of the equations, without loss of generality, we set  $d_1 = -1$ . Let  $n \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket$  be the number of equations, the indeterminate  $X$  is

$$\begin{aligned} (X_1, \dots, X_{2N-2}) &= (G, d_2, \dots, d_{N-1}, \Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_{N-1}) \text{ if } n \in \{1, 2\} \\ (X_1, \dots, X_{2N}) &= (G, z_0, d_p, d_2, \dots, d_{N-1}, \Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_{N-1}), \text{ if } n = 3. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathbf{h}_{n,N} = (h_{1,N}, \dots, h_{n,N}) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]^n$  be the polynomial sequence. Let  $t = 2N - n$  if  $n \in \{1, 2\}$  (resp.  $t = 2N - 3$  if  $n = 3$ ) be the dimension of the affine variety  $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{h}_{n,N})$ . When  $N$  is clearly specified, we denote by  $\mathbf{h}_n$  instead of  $\mathbf{h}_{n,N}$  and  $h_k$  instead of  $h_{k,N}$ .

**Constraints** Similarly as explained in the corresponding subparagraph of section 2.2, we define the set of constraints  $\mathcal{G}_o(X)$  associated to the polynomial sequence  $\mathbf{g}_k$  as:

$$\mathcal{G}_o(X) = \bigwedge_{l=2}^{N-1} ((-1)^l d_l > 0) \wedge (G \neq 0) \quad (12)$$

As observed, the first-order equations (5)-(6)-(7) and (9)-(10)-(11), along with the constraints (8) and (12), are polynomial functions of the variables. As we will see in section 3.3, additional constraints enforcing non-vanishing curvatures can be introduced to exclude configurations with planar mirrors, which will also be expressed as a non-zero polynomial condition. Therefore, as we are only interested in real solutions, we will apply tools from real algebraic geometry to study their topological properties.

### 3 Problem classification and real algebraic geometry

The study of semi-algebraic sets has a lot of applications, such as in robotics [9, 6], biology [7] or control theory [12]. Combined with computer algebra, algorithms involved in real algebraic geometry enable to avoid numerical instabilities due to high non linearities [5]. A direction of the research of this domain consists in designing new algorithms which enable to solve in finite time very important problems with a lot of applications such that computing at least one point per connected components of a semi-algebraic set [19], computing the dimension of semi-algebraic sets [21, 14], deciding the connectivity between two points of a semi-algebraic set [18] and computing a description of the algebraic set [11]. For example, in control theory, an important problem is to characterize the region of controls that gives admissible solutions or to know if two admissible points can be linked by a continuous path in the admissible set. In this section, we present the problem of classification that we address in this paper, and its formulation as the study of connected components of a semi-algebraic set. We take benefit from the special form of the polynomial sequences in optics derived in section 2.2 and section 2.3 by splitting the studied set in two parts separated by the locus of critical points of a well chosen canonical projection. Then, we show that each part is homeomorphic to its projection and we deduce the connected components of the initial set. Let us note that the construction of the homeomorphisms relies on a generic algorithm described in [15] which solves a root classification problem. Let us start by some preliminaries on real algebraic geometry.

#### 3.1 Preliminaries

This section presents some used concepts of real algebraic geometry which are used to solve polynomial equations under polynomial inequalities, the heart of this paper. For more details on this we refer the reader to [10, 2, 9].

**Algebraic sets and ideals** Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $\mathbb{F}$  be a sub-field of  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_s) \in \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{x}]$  be a polynomial sequence with  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ . We denote by  $\langle \mathbf{f} \rangle = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle$  the associated ideal generated by  $\{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$  in the ring  $A = \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{x}]$  defined by  $\langle \mathbf{f} \rangle = \{g = \sum_{k=1}^s a_k f_k, a_k \in A\}$ . Let  $I \subset \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{x}]$ , the set

$$\mathbf{V}(I) = \{\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d : \forall f \in I \quad f(\mathbf{x}) = 0\}$$

is the algebraic set associated to  $I$  i.e. the set of points in  $\mathbb{C}^d$  at which all polynomials in  $I$  vanish. By abuse of notation we write  $\mathbf{V}(\langle \mathbf{f} \rangle) = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{f})$ . Conversely, for an algebraic set  $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ , we denote by

$$\mathbf{I}(\mathcal{V}) = \{p \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{X}] : \forall x \in \mathcal{V} \quad p(x) = 0\}$$

the radical ideal associated to  $\mathcal{V}$ . Let  $I \subset \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]$  be an ideal such that there exists an algebraic set  $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathbb{C}^d$  such that  $I = \mathbf{I}(\mathcal{V})$  then  $\mathcal{V} = \mathbf{V}(I)$ . However, in general  $I(\mathbf{V}(I)) \neq I$ . More precisely, let  $I \subset \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]$  be an ideal, the Nullstellensatz of Hilbert [[10], [[9], Theorem 6, chapter 4, §1] states that  $\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{V}(I)) = \sqrt{I} = \{f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}], \exists k \in \mathbb{N} \quad f^k \in I\}$  and conversely for  $\mathbf{f}$  a sequence of polynomials in  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]$ , the associated algebraic set verifies  $\mathbf{V}(\sqrt{\langle \mathbf{f} \rangle}) = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{f})$ . The real trace  $\mathbf{V}(I) \cap \mathbb{R}^d$  is denoted  $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(I)$ .

The dimension of an algebraic set  $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathbb{C}^d$  is defined locally as  $d - \text{rank}(\text{jac}(\mathbf{f}))$  and also as the largest number  $r$  such that there exists  $\{i_1, \dots, i_r\} \subset \{1, \dots, d\}$  such that the projection  $\pi : x \in \mathcal{V} \mapsto (x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_r})$  is surjective outside an affine variety  $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathbb{C}^r$ . The dimension of an ideal is the dimension of the associated algebraic set. For  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ , we denote by  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  the Zariski closure of  $\mathcal{A}$  that is the smallest algebraic set containing  $\mathcal{A}$ . An algebraic set  $\mathcal{V}$  is irreducible if the following holds :  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_1 \cup \mathcal{V}_2 \implies (\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_1) \vee (\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_2)$ . The notion of irreducible algebraic sets is in one to one correspondence with the notion of prime ideals. An algebraic set is

equidimensional of dimension  $t$  if it is the union of irreducible algebraic set of dimension  $t$ . For an algebraic set  $\mathcal{V} = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{f})$  with  $\langle \mathbf{f} \rangle$  radical, if  $c$  is the co-dimension of  $\mathcal{V}$ , then the set of singular points of  $\mathcal{V}$  is the set of points of  $\mathcal{V}$  at which  $\text{rank}(\text{jac}(\mathbf{f})) < c$  and it is denoted by  $\text{sing}(\mathcal{V})$ . A smooth point of  $\mathcal{V}$  is a non singular point of  $\mathcal{V}$ . Let  $\pi : (x_1, \dots, x_d) \rightarrow (x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d)$ , we call  $\text{crit}(\pi, \mathcal{V})$  the set of critical points of the restriction of  $\pi$  to  $\mathcal{V}$ . If  $c$  is the codimension of  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_s)$  generates the vanishing ideal associated to  $\mathcal{V}$  then  $\text{crit}(\pi, \mathcal{V})$  is the set of smooth points of  $\mathcal{V}$  where the Jacobian matrix associated to  $(f_1, \dots, f_s)$  w.r.t to  $(x_1, \dots, x_l)$  has rank less than  $c$ . When  $s = c = l$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  is smooth ( $\text{sing}(\mathcal{V}) = \emptyset$ ) this set is the intersection of  $\mathcal{V}$  with the hypersurface associated to the vanishing determinant of the Jacobian matrix of  $(f_1, \dots, f_s)$  w.r.t to  $(x_1, \dots, x_l)$ . We denote  $\mathcal{K}(\pi, \mathcal{V}) = \text{sing}(\mathcal{V}) \cup \text{crit}(\pi, \mathcal{V}) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{V}, \text{rank}(\text{jac}(\mathbf{f}, (x_1, \dots, x_l))) < c\}$  which rewrites in the case  $s = c = l$  as  $\mathcal{K}(\pi, \mathcal{V}) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{V}, \det(\text{jac}(\mathbf{f}, (x_1, \dots, x_l))) = 0\}$ .

**Semi-algebraic set** A subset  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is a semi-algebraic set if it is a finite union of basic constructible sets of the form  $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \bigwedge_{P \in \mathcal{P}} (P(\mathbf{x}) = 0) \wedge \bigwedge_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} (Q(\mathbf{x}) > 0)\}$  with  $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}$  subsets of  $\mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]$ . In the sequel we assume that there exist two polynomial sequences  $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_s) \in \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]^s$  and  $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_r) \in \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]^r$  and a symbol sequence  $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r) \in \{<, \leq, \neq\}^r$  with  $s$  and  $r$  two non-negative integers, such that

$$E = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d, f_1(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \dots, f_s(\mathbf{x}) = 0, g_1(\mathbf{x})\sigma_1 0, \dots, g_r(\mathbf{x})\sigma_r 0\}. \quad (13)$$

Let us introduce some notations. For  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  we denote by  $\mathcal{Z}(\phi) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \phi(\mathbf{x})\}$ . In particular we denote  $\mathcal{G} = \mathbf{g}\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \bigwedge_1^r g_i \sigma_i 0$  and  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  its associated semi-algebraic set. The set of real solutions satisfying  $\mathbf{f} = 0$  is defined and denoted as  $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{f}) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{f} = 0) = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{f}) \cap \mathbb{R}^d$ . With these notations the set  $E$  given in (13) rewrites as  $E = \mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{f}) \cap \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})$ .

### Elimination theory

**Theorem 3.1** (The Elimination Theorem [[9], chapter 3, §1]). *Let  $\mathbb{K}$  a field,  $I \subset \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  be an ideal and let  $G$  be a Groebner basis of  $I$  w.r.t lexical order  $x_1 \succ x_2 \succ \dots \succ x_d$ . Then for every  $0 \leq l \leq d$ , the set*

$$G_l = G \cap \mathbb{K}[x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d]$$

*is a Groebner basis of the  $l$ -elimination ideal  $I_l = I \cap \mathbb{K}[x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d]$ .*

**Theorem 3.2** (The Extension Theorem [[9], chapter 3, §1]). *Let  $I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle \subset \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  and let  $I_1$  the first elimination ideal of  $I$ . For each  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , write  $f_i$  in the form*

$$f_i = c_i(x_2, \dots, x_d)x_1^{N_i} + \text{terms in which } x_1 \text{ has degree } < N_i,$$

*where  $N_i \geq 0$  and  $c_i \in \mathbb{C}[x_2, \dots, x_d]$  is nonzero. Suppose that we have a partial solution  $(a_2, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbf{V}(I_1)$ . If  $(a_2, \dots, a_n) \notin \mathbf{V}(c_1, \dots, c_s)$ , then there exists  $a_1 \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbf{V}(I)$ .*

**Theorem 3.3** (The Closure Theorem [[9], chapter 4, §7]). *Let  $\mathcal{V} = \mathbf{V}(I) \subset \mathbb{C}^d$  and  $d > l > 0$ , then*

$$\overline{\pi_l(\mathcal{V})} = \mathbf{V}(I_l)$$

*and there exists an affine variety  $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathbf{V}(I_l)$  such that*

$$\mathbf{V}(I_l) \setminus \mathcal{W} \subset \pi_l(\mathcal{V}) \text{ and } \overline{\mathbf{V}(I_l) \setminus \mathcal{W}} = \mathbf{V}(I_l)$$

*where the closure is taken in the Zariski sense [[9], chapter 4, §4] and  $\pi_l(x_1, \dots, x_d) = (x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d)$ .*

The Elimination Theorem and the Closure Theorem gives an algorithm to compute the Zariski closure of projection of algebraic sets. Indeed, it suffices to compute a Groebner basis of  $I(\mathcal{V})$  and to keep only the elements of  $G$  which belong to  $\mathbb{C}[x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d]$ . Namely, the closure theorem, in some way, precises the extension theorem in the following sense : we can extend a solution of  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{V}(I_l)$  to a solution in  $\mathbf{V}(I)$  almost everywhere in the Zariski sense.

Besides, a consequence is that the surjectivity of  $\pi_l : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d-l}$  up to a sub variety  $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathbb{C}^{d-l}$  is reached when  $\mathbf{V}(I_l) = \mathbb{C}^{d-l}$  or equivalently when  $I_l = \{0\}$ .

**Classification and connected components characterization problems** For a topological space  $X$  we note by  $\pi_0(X)$  the set of its path connected components. Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a semi-algebraic set (see (13)). We formulate the problem of classification of solutions lying in  $E$  as the study of  $\pi_0(E)$  or said differently the set of equivalent classes in  $E$  in the sense of homotopy:

$$\mathbf{x} \stackrel{E}{\sim} \mathbf{y} \iff \exists \gamma \in C^0([0, 1], E), \gamma(0) = \mathbf{x}, \text{ and } \gamma(1) = \mathbf{y} \quad (14)$$

**Real root classification algorithm** Let  $t, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that  $d = t + n$ , in view to characterize the different connected components of  $E$ , we propose to firstly classify the roots of  $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{f}) = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{f}) \cap \mathbb{R}^{t+n}$  in the parameters space as defined in the following. Let  $I = \langle \mathbf{f} \rangle \subset \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}]$  with  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_t)$  and  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . We name  $\mathbf{y}$  as the parameters and  $\mathbf{x}$  as the unknowns. We consider a monomial order  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{x}) \succ \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{y})$ . We assume that the  $n$ -th elimination ideal relatively to  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $I_n = I \cap \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{y}] = \{0\}$  or equivalently  $\mathbf{V}(I_n) = \mathbb{C}^t$ . According to the extension theorem, this last hypothesis makes the projection  $\pi : (\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \mapsto \mathbf{y}$  surjective from  $\mathbf{V}(I)$  into a Zariski open set  $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{C}^t$ . In the sequel, for  $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathbb{C}^t$ , we denote by  $\varphi_{\boldsymbol{\eta}} : f \mapsto f(\boldsymbol{\eta}, \cdot)$  the specialization map from  $\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{y})[\mathbf{x}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]$ . Let us state the main result of [15]. Let us assume that  $\langle \mathbf{f} \rangle$  is radical and  $\mathcal{V} = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{f})$  satisfies

**Assumption A1.** *There is a Zariski open set  $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{C}^t$  such that for all  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^t$ ,  $\pi^{-1}(\mathbf{y}) \cap \mathcal{V}$  is finite.*

The algorithm given in [15] aims to solve this root classification problem :

**Problem 3.1.** • *Input:  $\mathbf{f}$  such that  $\mathcal{V} = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{f})$  satisfies Assumption A1,*

• *Output: a collection of semi-algebraic sets  $S_1, \dots, S_m$  such that*

- (i) *The number of real solutions in  $\pi^{-1}(\mathbf{y}) \cap \mathcal{V}$  is constant on  $S_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,*
- (ii) *The union of  $S_i$ 's is dense in  $\mathbb{R}^t$*

*The  $S_i$  will be described by  $(\Phi_i, \mathbf{y}_i, r_i)$  with  $\Phi_i$  a semi-algebraic formula describing  $S_i$ ,  $\mathbf{y}_i$  a sampling point in  $\mathbb{Q}^t$  and  $r_i$  the corresponding number of real solutions.*

**Remark 3.1.** *Let  $\Phi(\mathbf{y}) = \bigvee_{i=1}^k \phi_i(\mathbf{y})$  be the union of the semi-algebraic formula solving Problem 3.1, then  $Z(\Phi)$  is dense in  $\pi(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{f}))$ .*

In [15], authors solve this problem generically through the use of Hermite matrices to deduce via their signature the semi-algebraic representation of the  $S_i$ . Namely, let  $G$  be a Groebner basis of  $I$  with  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{x}) \succ \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{y})$  with  $\mathcal{M}$  a monomial order\*, and  $w_{\infty}$  be the square-free part of  $\prod_{g \in G} \text{lc}_x(g)$  and  $\mathcal{W}_{\infty} = \mathbf{V}(w_{\infty}) \subset \mathbb{C}^t$ . Considering  $\mathbf{f}$  over  $\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}]$  with  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{y})$  enables to show that  $\langle \mathbf{f} \rangle_{\mathbb{K}}$  is zero dimensional and so the quotient ring  $A_{\mathbb{K}} = \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}] \setminus \langle \mathbf{f} \rangle_{\mathbb{K}}$  is a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space. Let  $\delta$  be its dimension and  $\mathcal{B} = (b_1, \dots, b_{\delta})$  its basis. At this step, the notion of parametric Hermite matrix [[3]-4.6] is introduced and defined as the matrix representation of the quadratic form of

\*In [15] authors takes  $\mathcal{M} = \text{grevlex}$  for computational complexity reasons but the theory holds for whatever monomial order  $\mathcal{M}$ .

$A_{\mathbb{K}} \times A_{\mathbb{K}}$  associated to  $(f, g) \mapsto \text{tr}(\mathcal{L}_{fg})$  where  $\mathcal{L}_f$  for  $f \in A_{\mathbb{K}}$  is the multiplication endomorphism of  $A_{\mathbb{K}}$ . On the basis  $\mathcal{B}$ , this quadratic form can be represented by a matrix  $\mathcal{H} = (h_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq \delta}$  where  $h_{i,j} = \text{tr}(\mathcal{L}_{b_i b_j})$ , whose the entries are lying in  $\mathbb{K}$ . Authors carefully makes the link between the parametric Hermite matrix  $\mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{\eta})$  and the usual Hermite matrix  $\mathcal{H}_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$  associated to the specialized ideal  $I_{\boldsymbol{\eta}} = \langle \varphi_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(\mathbf{f}) \rangle$  at some  $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathbb{C}^t \setminus \mathcal{W}_{\infty}$  by showing that  $\mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{\eta}) = \mathcal{H}_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$ . This enables to use well known results on Hermite matrices associated to the zero dimensional ideal  $I_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$ , that is, the rank of  $\mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{\eta})$  is equal to the number of distinct complex roots and its signature to the number of distinct real roots of  $\mathbf{f}(\boldsymbol{\eta}, \cdot)$  [[3]-Theorem 4.102]. Finally, the sequence  $W = [M_1, \dots, M_{\delta}]$  of the leading minors of  $\mathcal{H}$ , and  $w_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathbf{n}/\text{gcd}(\mathbf{n}, w_{\infty})$  where  $\mathbf{n}$  is the square-free product of  $\det(\mathcal{H})$  is introduced. Let  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathbf{V}(w_{\mathcal{H}}) \subset \mathbb{C}^t$  be the vanishing algebraic set associated to  $w_{\mathcal{H}}$ . Let us defined the sign function  $\text{sign}$  as  $\text{sign}(x) = -1$  if  $x < 0$ ,  $\text{sign}(x) = +1$  if  $x > 0$  and  $\text{sign}(x) = 0$  if  $x = 0$ . The semi algebraic cells  $(S_i)_i$  of Problem 3.1 deduce from the following representation

$$\Phi(\mathbf{y}) = \bigvee_{\boldsymbol{\eta} \in L} \phi_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(\mathbf{y}) \quad \text{with} \quad \phi_{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(\mathbf{y}) = \left( \bigwedge_{k=1}^{\delta} [\text{sign}(M_k(\mathbf{y})) = \text{sign}(M_k(\boldsymbol{\eta}))] \right) \wedge (w_{\infty}(\mathbf{y}) \neq 0) \quad (15)$$

for  $\boldsymbol{\eta}$  lying in a set  $L \subset \mathbb{Q}^t$  sampling the connected components of  $\mathbb{R}^t \setminus (\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}} \cup \mathcal{W}_{\infty})$  [8, 19]. Note that if  $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathbb{C}^t$  is such that  $\text{signature}(\mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{\eta})) = 0$ , this implies that the system  $\mathbf{f}(\boldsymbol{\eta}, \cdot)$  has no real solutions. Hence classifying the real roots of  $\mathbf{f}(\boldsymbol{\eta}, \cdot)$  leads to consider only the subset  $L_o = \{\boldsymbol{\eta} \in L, \text{signature}(\mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{\eta})) \neq 0\}$ .

**Lemma 3.1** ([15]-Prop. 11). *Grant Assumption A1,  $\pi(K(\pi, \mathcal{V})) \cup \mathcal{W}_{\infty} \subset \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}} \cup \mathcal{W}_{\infty}$ .*

Lemma 3.1 shows that the algebraic set  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}$  is intimately linked to  $\mathcal{K}(\pi, \mathcal{V})$ . Besides, combined with the implicit theorem, the lemma provides that on each  $S_i$  there is a constant number  $r_i$  of continuous function on the connected components of  $S_i$  called *branch* solution and denoted  $\xi_{1,S_i}, \dots, \xi_{r_i,S_i}$  from  $S_i$  to  $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathbb{R}^d$ . Let  $(C'_{i,j})_j$  be the connected components of  $S_i$  and  $\xi_{1,C'_{i,j}}, \dots, \xi_{r_i,C'_{i,j}}$  such that  $\xi_{k,C'_{i,j}} = \xi_{k,S_i|C'_{i,j}}$ .

**Inequalities constraints and branch extension** We set  $Q \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}]$ , the square-free part of the product  $g_1 \dots g_r$ . Let us assume that  $\mathcal{V}_Q = \mathbf{V}(\langle \mathbf{f} \rangle + \langle Q \rangle)$  is of dimension  $t - 1$  and its projection is included in the vanishing algebraic set associated to the polynomial  $w_Q \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{y}]$  and denoted by  $\mathcal{W}_Q = \mathbf{V}(w_Q)$ , that is  $\overline{\pi(\mathcal{V}_Q)} \subset \mathcal{W}_Q$ . We denote by  $w_B = w_{\mathcal{H}} w_{\infty} w_Q$  the so-called border polynomial [22] and  $\mathcal{W}_B$  its vanishing algebraic set. Applying the algorithm of [15] gives the sequence of sets  $(S_i)_{1 \leq i \leq m}$  such that on each  $S_i$  the number of real roots is constant. We define  $F_o = \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^m S_i} = \pi(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{f}))$  and recall that  $E = \mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{f}) \cap \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $(S_i, r_i)_{1 \leq i \leq m}$  be the sequence of semi-algebraic sets and number of real solutions, outputs of Problem 3.1. For each  $C \in \pi_0(F_o \setminus \mathcal{W}_B)$  there exists  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $J \subset \{1, \dots, r_i\}$  and a sequence of functions  $(\xi_j)_{j \in J}$ , such that  $S_i \supset C$  and  $\xi_j \in C^0(C, E)$  for all  $j \in J$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $C \in \pi_0(F_o \setminus \mathcal{W}_B)$ , there exists  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  such that  $C$  is included in a certain  $S_i$  itself included in  $F_o \setminus (\mathcal{W}_{\infty} \cup \mathcal{W}_H)$ . Thanks to Lemma 3.1, for any  $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in C$ ,  $\pi^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\eta})$  does not meet  $\mathcal{K}(\pi, \mathcal{V})$  what enables to show, thanks to the implicit function theorem, the existence of  $r_i$  continuous functions from  $C$  to  $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{f})$  denoted  $\xi_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq r_i$ . Since  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^t \setminus \mathcal{W}_Q$  and  $\mathcal{W}_Q \supset \pi(\mathcal{V}_Q)$  it follows that  $\xi_j(\boldsymbol{\eta}) \in \mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{f}) \setminus \partial E$ . Thus, either  $\xi_j(C) \subset \overset{\circ}{E}$  or  $\xi_j(C) \subset {}^c E$  where  $\overset{\circ}{E}$  and  ${}^c E$  represent the interior and the complement of  $E$  as subset of  $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{f})$ , relatively to the Euclidean topology. In the first case the  $\xi_j(C)$  is retained, while in the second case,  $\xi_j(C)$  is excluded. At the end, we obtain a set  $J \subset \{1, \dots, r_i\}$  such that for each  $j \in J$ ,  $\xi_j$  is continuous from  $C$  to  $E$  what completes the proof.  $\square$

Let us note that the obtained connected cells defined as the image of a certain connected component of  $F_o \setminus \mathcal{W}_B$  by  $\xi_j$  is not necessarily a connected components of  $E$ . Firstly because a point  $\eta \in \mathcal{W}_Q$  is not necessarily extendable to a solution  $(\boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\chi}) \in \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{f})$  (see Theorem 3.2), and a special consideration must be done to the sets  $\mathcal{W}_\infty$  and  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}$  on which some continuous connexions in  $E$  can be done as we will see in a special triangular quadratic case studied in the following subsection.

### 3.2 Special case of quadratic triangular system

In the sequel of this subsection we do some assumptions which are verified by equations and constraints derived in section 2.2 and section 2.3.

**Notations and statement of the problem** Let us recall that  $\pi$  is the canonical projection  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^{t+n} \ni (\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \mapsto \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^t$ . We denote by  $(Q_k)_{k \in \mathcal{J}}$  the irreducible factors of  $\mathcal{Q}$  for some  $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathbb{N}$  finite and  $\mathcal{G}$  the logical clause associated to inequalities. Let  $F \subset \mathbb{R}^t$  and  $\xi : F \subset \mathbb{R}^t \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , we define  $\Gamma(\xi) = \{(\mathbf{y}, \xi(\mathbf{y})), \mathbf{y} \in F\} \subset \mathbb{R}^t \times \mathbb{R}^n$  the graph of  $\xi$ .

**Assumption A2.** We assume that  $n \in \{2, 3\}$  and there exists  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}]^n$  such that

(a)  $E = \mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{f}) \cap \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})$ ,

(b) There exists  $\mathbb{R}^{t+n} \supset E_o \supset E$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{f}} \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}]^{n+1}$  such that  $E_o \cap \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{f}) = E_o \cap \mathbf{V}(\tilde{\mathbf{f}})$  where  $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}$  is given by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 x_1^2 + B_1 x_1 + C_1 \\ A_2 x_2 + B_2 \\ \vdots \\ A_n x_n + B_n \\ x_n x_{n-1} - C_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{f}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{f}_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (16)$$

with  $(A_1, \dots, A_n, B_1, C_1, C_0) \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{y}]^{n+3}$  and  $B_k = u_k x_1 + v_k$  with  $(u_k, v_k) \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{y}]^2$  for  $k \in J_n = \{2, \dots, n\}$ .

(c)

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(A_1, B_1, C_1) &= 1 \\ \forall k \in J_n \quad \gcd(A_k, u_k, v_k) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\gcd(\mathbf{n}, A_k) = 1 \text{ and } \begin{cases} \exists \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{y}] : \forall k \in J_n \\ A_2 C_0 + B_2 x_1 = \mathbf{n} \tilde{f}_1 \text{ i.e. } \begin{cases} u_2 = A_1 \mathbf{n} \\ v_2 = B_1 \mathbf{n} \\ A_2 C_0 = C_1 \mathbf{n} \end{cases} & \text{if } n = 2, \\ A_2 A_3 C_0 - B_2 B_3 = \mathbf{n} \tilde{f}_1 \text{ i.e. } \begin{cases} u_2 u_3 = A_1 \mathbf{n} \\ v_3 u_2 + u_3 v_2 = B_1 \mathbf{n} \\ v_3 v_2 - A_2 A_3 C_0 = C_1 \mathbf{n} \end{cases} & \text{if } n = 3. \end{cases}$$

**Remark 3.2.** For  $n = 2$ , Assumption A2 could be replaced by  $\mathbf{f} = (A_1 x_1^2 + B_1 x_1 + C_1, x_1 x_2 - C_0)$  and  $\{\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2, \tilde{f}_3\}$  is a Groebner basis of  $\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$  for  $\text{revlex}(\mathbf{x}) \succ \text{revlex}(\mathbf{y})$ . The shape of  $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}$  is convenient to describe the definition domain of the map solution (see (18)). Let us note that the  $n + 1$ -th equation is redundant with the  $n$  first equations:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 \tilde{f}_2 - A_2 \tilde{f}_3 &= \mathbf{n} \tilde{f}_1 \quad \text{if } n = 2, \\ \tilde{f}_2 \tilde{f}_3 - A_2 A_3 \tilde{f}_4 - B_2 \tilde{f}_3 - B_3 \tilde{f}_2 &= \mathbf{n} \tilde{f}_1 \quad \text{if } n = 3, \end{aligned}$$

but it is needed to ensure the finiteness of the fiber  $\pi^{-1}(\eta)$  for  $\eta$  lying in  $\cup_{1 \leq k \leq n} \mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(A_k)$  as we will see latter.

Let  $\Delta = w_{\mathcal{H}} = B_1^2 - 4A_1C_1$ ,  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(w_{\mathcal{H}})$  and  $F_o = \mathcal{Z}(\Delta \geq 0) \subset \mathbb{R}^t$ , similarly as done by the classification eq. (15), the number of real root of  $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}$  is constant over each connected components of  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus (\mathcal{W}_{\infty} \cup \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}})$  where  $\mathcal{W}_{\infty} = \mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(w_{\infty})$  with  $w_{\infty} = \text{sqf}(\prod_{k=1}^n A_k)$  where  $\text{sqf}(P)$  is the square free part of  $P \in \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}]$ . Let  $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ , we set

$$x_1^{(\epsilon)} = \frac{-B_1 + \epsilon\sqrt{\Delta}}{2A_1}, \quad x_k^{(\epsilon)} = -\frac{B_k^{(\epsilon)}}{A_k} \quad \text{where } B_k^{(\epsilon)} = \varphi^{(\epsilon)}(B_k) \quad \forall k \in J_n, \quad (17)$$

with  $\varphi^{(\epsilon)} : \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{y}, x_1] \rightarrow C^0(F_o \setminus \mathcal{W}_{\infty})$  the specialisation map such that  $\varphi^{(\epsilon)}(p) = p(\cdot, x_1^{(\epsilon)}(\cdot))$  and let us define the branch solution

$$\xi^{(\epsilon)} : \mathbf{y} \mapsto (x_1^{(\epsilon)}(\mathbf{y}), \dots, x_n^{(\epsilon)}(\mathbf{y})) \quad (18)$$

which is continuously defined on  $F_o \setminus \mathcal{W}_{\infty}$  and where we abuse notation by writing  $\mathcal{W}_{\infty}$  instead of  $\mathcal{W}_{\infty} \cap F_o$ . As root of polynomial system,  $\xi^{(\epsilon)}$  is a semi-algebraic function whose the graph co-restricted to  $E$  verifies

$$\Gamma(\xi^{(\epsilon)}) \cap E \subset \{(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+t} : \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = 0 \wedge \epsilon(2A_1x_1 + B_1) \geq 0 \wedge \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})\}.$$

Let us note that the inclusion can be strict, particularly if the fiber  $\pi^{-1}(\eta)$  at points  $\eta$  lying in  $\mathcal{W}_{\infty}$  is not finite. The sequel will be mainly devoted to show that under some assumptions verified for our optical application, the equality holds true.

**Connected components study of  $E$**  The algebraic consequence of Assumption A2 is

**Lemma 3.3.** *Grant Assumption A2, there exists  $(q_k, p_k) \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{y}]^2$  such that for all  $k \in J_n$ :*

$$\begin{cases} 2A_1v_k - B_1u_k = u_k p_k \\ \Delta = p_k^2 + q_k A_k \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

with

$$p_2 = \frac{v_2}{\mathbf{n}} = B_1, \quad q_2 = -\frac{4A_1C_0}{\mathbf{n}} \quad \text{if } n = 2 \quad (20a)$$

$$p_2 = -p_3 = \frac{(u_3v_2 - u_2v_3)}{\mathbf{n}}, \quad q_2 = \frac{4A_3A_1C_0}{\mathbf{n}}, \quad q_3 = \frac{4A_2A_1C_0}{\mathbf{n}} \quad \text{if } n = 3. \quad (20b)$$

*Proof.* The following relations are easily obtained:

$$\begin{cases} 2A_1v_2 - B_1u_2 = u_2 p_2 \\ \Delta = p_2^2 + q_2 A_2 \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} p_2 = \frac{v_2}{\mathbf{n}} = B_1 \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{y}] \\ q_2 = -\frac{4A_1C_0}{\mathbf{n}} \end{cases} \quad \text{if } n = 2, \quad \begin{cases} p_2 = \frac{u_3v_2 - u_2v_3}{\mathbf{n}} \\ q_2 = -\frac{4A_1A_3C_0}{\mathbf{n}} \end{cases} \quad \text{if } n = 3.$$

Let us assume that  $n = 2$ . By using that  $u_2 p_2 \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{y}]$  and  $\text{gcd}(u_2, \mathbf{n}) = 1$ , we obtain that  $p_2 \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{y}]$ . Similarly, since  $q_2 A_2 \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{y}]$  and  $\text{gcd}(A_2, \mathbf{n}) = 1$  we deduce that  $q_2 \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{y}]$ . Same reasoning holds to show the existence of  $p_3$  and  $q_3$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{y}]$  for the  $n = 3$  case.  $\square$

We define the sets

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W}_1^{(\epsilon)} &= \mathcal{W}_{A_1} \cap \{\epsilon B_1 \leq 0\} \\
\mathcal{W}_k^{(\epsilon)} &= \mathcal{W}_{A_k} \cap \{\epsilon p_k \geq 0\}, \quad \forall k \in J_n \\
\mathcal{W}_\infty^{(\epsilon)} &= \bigcup_{k=1}^n \mathcal{W}_k^{(\epsilon)} \\
F_\epsilon &= F_o \setminus \mathcal{W}_\infty^{(\epsilon)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where we  $\mathcal{W}_{A_k} = \mathbf{V}_\mathbb{R}(A_k) \cap F_o$ . The following hypothesis leads to finite fibers.

**Assumption A3.** *There exists a set  $E_o$  such that  $\mathbb{R}^{t+n} \supset E_o \supset E$  and the following holds:*

$$(\mathcal{H}) \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
(a) \quad E_o \cap \mathbf{V}(C_0) = \emptyset \\
(b) \quad \forall k \in J_n \quad E_o \cap \mathbf{V}(A_1, A_k) = \emptyset \\
(c) \quad E_o \cap \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{n}) = \emptyset \\
(d) \quad E_o \cap \mathbf{V}(A_1, B_1) = \emptyset \\
(e) \quad \forall k \in J_n \quad E_o \cap \mathbf{V}(A_k, p_k) = \emptyset \\
(f) \quad \forall k \in J_n \quad E_o \cap \mathbf{V}(A_k, u_k) = \emptyset
\end{array} \right. \tag{22}$$

This following theorem is the main result of this subsection.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Grant Assumption A2 and Assumption A3,  $\xi^{(\epsilon)} : F_\epsilon \subset \mathbb{R}^t \rightarrow \xi^{(\epsilon)}(F_\epsilon) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is continuous, that is, for each  $C \in \pi_0(F_\epsilon)$ ,  $\xi^{(\epsilon)}$  is continuous from  $C$  to  $\xi^{(\epsilon)}(C)$  and the following holds:*

$$E = \bigcup_{\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}} E^{(\epsilon)} \quad \text{with} \quad E^{(\epsilon)} = \{(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \in E, \mathbf{y} \in F_\epsilon, \epsilon(2A_1x_1 + B_1) \geq 0\}$$

and  $E^{(\epsilon)} \cong \pi(E^{(\epsilon)})$  that is  $(I \times \xi^{(\epsilon)}) \circ \pi|_{E^{(\epsilon)}} = I|_{E^{(\epsilon)}}$ .

*Proof.* See Appendix A. □

**Corollary 3.1.** *Grant Assumption A2 and Assumption A3, and assuming that  $E$  is of the form  $E = \{(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbf{V}_\mathbb{R}(\mathbf{f}) : \mathcal{G}_{oo}(\mathbf{y})\}$  with  $\mathcal{G}_{oo} = \bigwedge_1^r g_k \sigma_k 0$  where  $\sigma_k \in \{<, \neq\}$ , then Theorem 3.4 is equivalent to states that  $E^{(\epsilon)} \cong F_\epsilon$  with  $F_o$  given by  $F_o = \mathcal{Z}(\Delta \geq 0 \wedge \mathcal{G}_{oo}) \subset \mathbb{R}^t$ .*

We denote by  $E_{\mathcal{H}} = \{(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \in E, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}\}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}} = \mathbf{V}(\tilde{\mathbf{f}})$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *Grant Assumption A2 and Assumption A3, the singular points of  $\pi$  restricted to  $E$  defined by  $\mathcal{K}(\pi, E) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\mathbf{z} \in E : d_{\mathbf{z}}\pi T_{\mathbf{z}}\tilde{\mathcal{V}} \subsetneq \mathbb{R}^t\}$  are given by  $E_{\mathcal{H}}$  and  $\pi(\mathcal{K}(\pi, E)) \subset \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}}$ .*

*Proof.* By writing that  $\mathcal{K}(\pi, E) = \{(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \in E : \text{rank}(\text{jac}_{\mathbf{x}}(\tilde{\mathbf{f}})) < n\}$  and writing that all the  $n$ -th minors of  $\text{jac}_{\mathbf{x}}(\tilde{\mathbf{f}})$  vanish and using Assumption A2-(c), we get  $\mathcal{K}(\pi, E) = \{(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \in E : 2A_1x_1 + B_1 = 0\} = \{(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \in E : \Delta = 0\} = E_{\mathcal{H}}$ . □

The immediate consequence of Theorem 3.4 and Lemma 3.4 is

**Corollary 3.2.** *Grant Assumption A2 and Assumption A3, each connected components of  $E$  write as a finite union of those of  $E^{(\epsilon)}$  for  $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$  which can intersect on  $E_{\mathcal{H}}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $C \in \pi_0(E)$ , the number of connected components of a semi-algebraic set being finite ([3]-Theorem 5.21) we can define

$$\hat{C} = \bigcup_{\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}} \bigcup_{\substack{C' \in \pi_0(E^{(\epsilon)}) \\ C' \subset C}} C'$$

Clearly  $\widehat{C} \subset C$ ; let us assume that there exists  $(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \in C \setminus \widehat{C}$ , then by Theorem 3.4, there exists  $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$  such that  $\mathbf{x} = \xi^{(\epsilon)}(\mathbf{y})$  and  $\widetilde{C} \in \pi_0(E^{(\epsilon)})$  such that  $(\mathbf{y}, \xi^{(\epsilon)}(\mathbf{y})) \in \widetilde{C}$ . We deduce that  $\widetilde{C} \not\subset C$  otherwise it would belong to  $\widehat{C}$ , hence the contradiction with the maximality of  $C$ . The fact that the pairwise intersection between connected components of the the distinct branches is included in  $E_{\mathcal{H}}$  comes from the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta, \chi) \in E &\iff \exists \epsilon \in \{-1, 1\} : \chi = \xi^{(\epsilon)}(\eta) \\ (\eta, \chi) \in E \wedge (\chi = \xi^{(-1)}(\eta) = \xi^{(1)}(\eta)) &\stackrel{[3] \text{ Prop 4.96}}{\iff} \chi \text{ is a singular point of } \widetilde{\mathbf{f}}(\eta, \cdot) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Lemma 3.4}}{\iff} (\eta, \chi) \in E_{\mathcal{H}} \end{aligned}$$

□

Let us denote by  $I = \langle \widetilde{\mathbf{f}} \rangle$  and  $\mathcal{V}_{Q_k} = \mathbf{V}(I + \langle Q_k \rangle)$ .

**Assumption A4.** *There exists  $E_o \supset E$  s.t for all  $k \in \mathcal{J}$ ,  $\mathbf{V}(I + \langle Q_k \rangle) \cap E_o = \mathbf{V}(q_k, \alpha_k x_1 + \beta_k, \widetilde{f}_2, \dots, \widetilde{f}_{n+1}) \cap E_o$  with  $q_k \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{y}]$  and  $(\alpha_k, \beta_k) \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{y}]^2$  and  $E_o \cap \mathbf{V}(\alpha_k) = \emptyset$ .*

**Lemma 3.5.** *Grant Assumption A2, Assumption A3, Assumption A4, and assume that  $E_o$  is of the form,  $E_o = \{(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{t+n} : \mathcal{G}_{oo}(\mathbf{y})\}$ , let  $\mathcal{V}_{Q_k}^{(\epsilon)} = \mathcal{V}_{Q_k} \cap \Gamma(\xi^{(\epsilon)})$ , with  $\xi^{(\epsilon)} : F_\epsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{t+n}$  with  $F_\epsilon$  defined by (21) by setting  $F_o = \mathcal{Z}(\Delta \geq 0 \wedge \mathcal{G}_{oo})$ , then for both the cases  $n \in \{2, 3\}$ :*

$$\mathcal{V}_{Q_k}^{(\epsilon)} = \{(\mathbf{y}, \xi^{(\epsilon)}(\mathbf{y})), \mathbf{y} \in F_\epsilon : (q_k(\mathbf{y}) = 0) \wedge (\underbrace{\epsilon \alpha_k (-2A_1 \beta_k + B_1 \alpha_k)}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -s_k(\mathbf{y})} \geq 0)\} \quad (23)$$

and projects as

$$\pi(\mathcal{V}_{Q_k}^{(\epsilon)}) = \{\mathbf{y} \in F_\epsilon : (q_k(\mathbf{y}) = 0) \wedge (\epsilon s_k(\mathbf{y}) \leq 0)\}. \quad (24)$$

*Proof.* Let  $H_k^{(\epsilon)}$  be the set of the right-hand side of (23).

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{V}_{Q_k}^{(\epsilon)} &\iff \mathbf{y} \in F_\epsilon \wedge (\mathbf{x} = \xi^{(\epsilon)}(\mathbf{y})) \wedge (Q_k(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = 0) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Theorem 3.4}}{\iff} \mathbf{y} \in F_\epsilon \wedge (\widetilde{f}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = 0) \wedge (\epsilon(2Ax_1 + B_1) \geq 0) \wedge (Q_k(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = 0) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Assumption A4}}{\iff} \mathbf{y} \in F_\epsilon \wedge \alpha_k x_1 + \beta_1 = \widetilde{f}_2(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = \dots = \widetilde{f}_{n+1}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = 0 \wedge q_k(\mathbf{y}) = 0 \\ &\quad \wedge (\epsilon(2Ax_1 + B_1) \geq 0) \\ &\iff (\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \in H_k^{(\epsilon)} \end{aligned}$$

□

In the sequel, we assume that there exists  $E_o$  satisfying Assumption A2, Assumption A3 and Assumption A4 and of the form given in Lemma 3.5 with  $\mathcal{G}_{oo} : \mathbb{R}^t \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ . We abuse notation and denote by  $F_\epsilon$  the set defined in (21) by replacing  $F_o$  by  $F_o = \mathcal{Z}(\Delta \geq 0 \wedge \mathcal{G}_{oo})$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{W}_{Q_k}^{(\epsilon)} = \pi(\mathcal{V}_{Q_k}^{(\epsilon)})$ ,  $G_\epsilon = F_\epsilon \setminus \cup_{1 \leq k \leq N} \mathcal{W}_{Q_k}^{(\epsilon)}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} L &\leftarrow \text{Sampling}(\{(\Delta > 0) \wedge (w_\infty w_Q \neq 0)\}), \\ L_o^{(\epsilon)} &= \{\eta \in L, (\eta, \xi^{(\epsilon)}(\eta)) \in E\}, \\ G_{o,\epsilon} &= \bigcup_{\substack{C \in \pi_0(G_\epsilon) \\ C \cap L_o^{(\epsilon)} \neq \emptyset}} C. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where **Sampling** denotes an exhaustive semi-algebraic set sampling algorithm (CAD (see [8]) or more recent algorithms based on Morse Theory (see [19] and [15]-Corollary 3)).

**Theorem 3.5.** *Grant Assumption A2, Assumption A3 and Assumption A4, if for all  $k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$   $\sigma_k \in \{\neq, >\}$ , then  $E^{(\epsilon)}$  is homeomorphic to  $G_{o,\epsilon}$ .*

*Proof.* Let us start by remarking that since  $\xi^{(\epsilon)}$  is continuous on  $F_\epsilon$  (see Theorem 3.4), then  $\Gamma(\xi|_{F_\epsilon}^{(\epsilon)})$  is homeomorphic to  $F_\epsilon$  via  $h_\epsilon(\mathbf{y}) = (\mathbf{y}, \xi^{(\epsilon)}(\mathbf{y}))$  bi-continuous from  $F_\epsilon$  into  $\Gamma(\xi|_{F_\epsilon}^{(\epsilon)})$ .

Since  $G_{o,\epsilon} \subset F_\epsilon$ ,  $\xi^{(\epsilon)} : G_{o,\epsilon} \rightarrow \xi^{(\epsilon)}(G_{o,\epsilon})$  is continuous and for each  $C \in \pi_0(G_{o,\epsilon})$ ,  $\xi^{(\epsilon)}(C)$  does not meet  $\mathcal{V}_Q^{(\epsilon)} = \cup_{k \in \mathcal{J}} \mathcal{V}_{Q_k}^{(\epsilon)}$ . Hence  $\xi^{(\epsilon)}(C)$  is connected and as there exists  $\eta \in L_o^{(\epsilon)} \cap C$  we deduce that  $\Gamma(\xi|_C^{(\epsilon)}) \subset E$  and is homeomorphic to  $C$  via  $h_\epsilon$ . Hence by finite union,  $\Gamma(\xi|_{G_{o,\epsilon}}^{(\epsilon)}) \subset E \cap \Gamma(\xi|_{F_\epsilon}^{(\epsilon)}) = E^{(\epsilon)}$ .

Let us now prove that  $E \cap \Gamma(\xi|_{F_\epsilon}^{(\epsilon)}) \subset \Gamma(\xi|_{G_{o,\epsilon}}^{(\epsilon)})$ . Let us assume that there is  $\eta \in F_\epsilon$  such that  $(\eta, \xi^{(\epsilon)}(\eta)) \in (E \cap \Gamma(\xi|_{F_\epsilon}^{(\epsilon)})) \setminus \Gamma(\xi|_{G_{o,\epsilon}}^{(\epsilon)})$ , then  $(\eta, \xi^{(\epsilon)}(\eta)) \notin \mathcal{V}_Q^{(\epsilon)}$  and there exists  $C \in \pi_0(G_\epsilon)$  such that  $C \ni \eta$ . Since  $\mathcal{W}_\infty$  and  $\mathcal{W}_Q$  are sets of empty interior in  $\mathbb{R}^t$  and  $\xi^{(\epsilon)}$  is continuous around  $\eta$ , the set  $C$  contains a connected component of  $\{\Delta > 0, (w_\infty w_Q) \neq 0\}$ . As **Sampling** is exhaustive,  $C \subset G_{o,\epsilon}$  hence the contradiction. Finally, we have shown that  $\Gamma(\xi|_{G_{o,\epsilon}}^{(\epsilon)}) = E \cap \Gamma(\xi|_{F_\epsilon}^{(\epsilon)})$  and that each  $C \in \pi_0(G_{o,\epsilon})$  is homeomorphic to  $\Gamma(\xi|_C^{(\epsilon)})$ . The fact that  $\Gamma(\xi|_C^{(\epsilon)})$  is maximal for the inclusion and belongs to  $\pi_0(\Gamma(\xi|_{G_{o,\epsilon}}^{(\epsilon)}))$  comes from the fact that  $\pi(E^{(\epsilon)}) \subset F_\epsilon$  and that  $\pi(\mathcal{V}_{Q_k}^{(\epsilon)}) = \mathcal{W}_{Q_k}^{(\epsilon)}$  for all  $k \in \mathcal{J}$ . □

**Algorithm to compute  $G_{o,\epsilon}$**  Let us assume that  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{G}_{oo}(\mathbf{y}) \wedge \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})$ . Up to replace  $F_o$  by  $\mathcal{Z}(\Delta \geq 0 \wedge \mathcal{G}_{oo})$ , we assume that  $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_r) \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}]^r$  and  $\sigma \in \{<, \neq\}^r$  define the logical formula  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} = \mathbf{g}\sigma 0$ . Let  $L_X$  be a list of semi-algebraic connected sub-graphs of  $\mathbb{R}^t \times \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $W \subset \mathbb{R}^t$  a semi-algebraic set, we denote by **Merge**( $L_X, W$ ) an algorithm which merges recursively a pair of elements of  $L_X$  whose the projection intersects in  $W$ . Namely, for  $(X_i, X_j) \in L_X^2$  if  $\pi(X_i) \cap \pi(X_j) \cap W \neq \emptyset$  then  $X_i$  and  $X_j$  are merged in one set  $X_i \cup X_j$ . This operation is repeated while no pairs in  $L_X$  intersects in  $W$ . Such algorithm exists in particular if the intersection between the frontiers of the elements of  $L_X$  and  $W$  are known in closed form. Grant Assumption A2, Assumption A3 and Assumption A4, Theorem 3.4, Theorem 3.5 and Corollary 3.2 hold and we deduce that algorithm 1 enables to describe the connected components of  $E$  from the ones of  $\pi(E^{(\epsilon)})$  for  $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ .

---

**Algorithm 1** Computation of  $(G_{o,\epsilon})_{\epsilon=\pm 1}$  and  $\pi_0(E)$

---

$$\begin{aligned}
F_o &= \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^t : \mathcal{G}_{oo}(\mathbf{y}) \wedge \Delta(\mathbf{y}) \geq 0\} \text{ and } \mathcal{W}_k^{(\epsilon)}, \mathcal{W}_\infty^{(\epsilon)}, F_\epsilon \text{ given by (21)} \\
\mathcal{W}_{Q_i}^{(\epsilon)} &\text{ given by (24) and } G_\epsilon = F_\epsilon \setminus \cup_{l \in \mathcal{J}} \mathcal{W}_{Q_l}^{(\epsilon)} \\
L &\leftarrow \text{Sampling}(\{(\Delta > 0) \wedge (w_\infty w_Q \neq 0)\}) \text{ and } L_o^{(\epsilon)} = \{\eta \in L, (\eta, \xi^{(\epsilon)}(\eta)) \in E\} \\
G_{o,\epsilon} &= \bigcup_{\substack{C \in \pi_0(G_\epsilon) \\ C \cap L_o^{(\epsilon)} \neq \emptyset}} C \text{ and } \mathcal{C}^{(\epsilon)} = \{\Gamma(\xi|_C^{(\epsilon)}), C \in \pi_0(G_{o,\epsilon})\} \\
\pi_0(E) &\leftarrow \text{Merge}(\cup_{\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}} \mathcal{C}^{(\epsilon)}, \mathcal{W}_\mathcal{H})
\end{aligned}$$


---

**Topological invariant** To identify the connected components we introduce the notion of topological invariant as

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $N$  be a countable set, we say that  $\mathcal{S} : X \rightarrow N$  is a topological invariant over  $X$  if

$$\forall (x, x') \in X^2 \ [\exists \gamma \in C^0([0, 1], X) : \gamma(0) = x \wedge \gamma(1) = x'] \implies \mathcal{S}(x) = \mathcal{S}(x')$$

We say that  $\mathcal{S}$  is exact if we replace the implication by an equivalence.

**Lemma 3.6.** Let us assume that  $\sigma_k \in \{>, \neq\}$  for all  $k \in \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket$  and let  $r' \leq r$  such that  $\{i_1, \dots, i_{r'}\} \subset \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket$  such that  $\sigma_{k'} \in \{\neq\}$  for all  $k' \in \{i_1, \dots, i_{r'}\}$ . Then,  $\mathcal{S} : E \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}^{2+r'}$  defined by  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = \text{sign}[x_{n-1}, x_n, g_{i_1}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}), \dots, g_{i_{r'}}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})]$  is a topological invariant over  $E$ .

*Proof.* Grant  $\mathcal{H} - (a)$  of Assumption A3, the product  $x_{n-1}x_n$  does not cancel out over  $E$ , hence  $\mathcal{S}_1 = \text{sign}[x_{n-1}, x_n]$  is a topological invariant over  $E$ . Similarly, since  $\sigma_k \in \{\neq, <\}$  the product  $\prod_{k=1}^r g_k$  does not vanish over  $E$ . By keeping only the  $g_k$  associated to the operator  $\neq$ , we define the topological invariant  $\mathcal{S}_2 = \text{sign}[g_{i_1}, \dots, g_{i_{r'}}]$  and we deduce that  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_1 \times \mathcal{S}_2$  is a topological invariant over  $E$ .  $\square$

### 3.3 Application to optics

Let us start by defining the *optical admissible solutions* set  $E$ . Let  $N \geq 3$  be the number of mirrors,  $n \in \{2, 3\}$  the number of polynomial equations. We still denote by  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^t$  and  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that the union of  $\mathbf{y}$  and  $\mathbf{x}$  corresponds to the unknowns described in section 2.2 and section 2.3. Let  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^{t+n} \ni (\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \mapsto \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^t$  the canonical projection, and  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}]^n$  the polynomial sequence corresponding to either  $\mathbf{g}_{n,N}$  (see section 2.2) or  $\mathbf{h}_{n,N}$  (see section 2.3),  $n$  will be frequently named as the codimension of the associated algebraic set  $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{f}) \subset \mathbb{C}^{t+n}$ . Let us recall that  $\mathcal{G}_o(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})$  is the set of constraints given by (8) and (12) for respectively focal and afocal telescopes. We assume that  $\mathcal{G}_o(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})$  can be decomposed as

$$\mathcal{G}_o(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{G}_{oo}(\mathbf{y}) \wedge \mathcal{H}_o(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}),$$

and we define  $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{oo}$  the logical clause induced by  $\mathcal{G}_{oo}$  over  $\mathbb{R}^{t+n}$  such that  $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{oo}(\mathbf{y}, \cdot) = \mathcal{G}_{oo}(\mathbf{y})$ . We set  $E_o = \mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{f}) \cap \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}_o)$ ,  $E_{oo} = \mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{oo})$ , and  $F_{oo} = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}_{oo})$ . Each symbolic quantity  $\Phi$  depending explicitly on  $(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})$  are abusely denoted as  $\Phi(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})$ . For  $k \in \llbracket 1, N \rrbracket$ , let  $Q_k \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}]$  be the polynomial such that for all  $(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \in E_o$ :

$$c_k(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = 0 \iff Q_k(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = 0. \quad (26)$$

These polynomials are given by<sup>†</sup>

$$Q_1 = \Omega_1 - 1, \quad Q_k = \Omega_{k-1}(d_{k-1} - d_k) + d_k - \Omega_k \Omega_{k-1} d_{k-1} \quad \text{for } k \in \llbracket 2, N-1 \rrbracket$$

$$Q_N = \begin{cases} (1 - \Omega_{N-1})d_N + \Omega_{N-1}d_{N-1}, & \text{Focal case} \\ \Omega_{N-1} - 1, & \text{Afocal case} \end{cases}$$

and we define

$$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_o \wedge \mathcal{G}_Q \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{G}_Q = \bigwedge_{1 \leq k \leq N} (Q_k \neq 0).$$

**Definition 3.2** (Optical admissible solutions). We define the set of optical admissible solutions as  $E = \mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{f}) \cap \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}) = E_o \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^N E_{Q_k}$ , where  $E_{Q_k} = \mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(\langle \mathbf{f} \rangle + \langle Q_k \rangle) \cap \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}_o)$ . The set of optically admissible solutions consists of real, non-optically-degenerate real solutions to  $\mathbf{f} = 0$ .

<sup>†</sup>The two conditions which are used here are  $f_1 = 0$  and  $\mathcal{G}_o$ .

To name the connected components of  $E$ , we introduce a nomenclature based on the signature of the vector formed by magnifications and curvatures, which serves as a topological invariant over  $E$  (see Definition 3.1 and Lemma 3.7):

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $\mathbf{c} : \mathbb{R}^{t+n} \ni (\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \mapsto (c_1, \dots, c_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$  (see (1)),  $\boldsymbol{\Omega} : \mathbb{R}^{t+n} \ni (\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \mapsto (\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_{N-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$  and

$$\Psi : \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times \mathbb{R}^N \longrightarrow \{-1, 1\}^{2N-1} \\ (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \mapsto ((\text{sign}(a_k))_k, ((-1)^k \text{sign}(b_k))_k). \end{cases}$$

We introduce  $\mathcal{S} : E \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{2N-1}$  the signature of the set of magnifications and curvatures:

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = \Psi(\boldsymbol{\Omega}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}), \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})).$$

In order to distinguish the magnifications and the curvatures sign in the name, we put the letter  $P$  (resp. digit 1) when magnification (resp. curvature) is positive and  $N$  (resp. digit 0) otherwise.

**Remark 3.3.** For example, a typical nomenclature is  $PP101$  what means that the two magnifications are positive and  $-c_1 > 0$  (convex),  $c_2 < 0$  (concave),  $-c_3 > 0$  (convex).

**Lemma 3.7.**  $\mathcal{S}$  is a topological invariant over the set  $E$  of Definition 3.2.

*Proof.* Following the proof of Lemma 3.6 and remarking that the product  $\Omega_s$  does not vanish over  $E$ , and using (26) we get the result.  $\square$

The collection of topological invariants that make up  $\mathcal{S}$  are illustrated in fig. 2.




Figure 2: Topological invariant features. (a) Positive and (b) Negative magnifications. (c) Convex and (d) Concave mirrors.

**Remark 3.4.** Grant Assumption A2, Assumption A4 is verified if there exists  $(\mathcal{Q}_k)_{k \in \mathcal{J}}$  such that

$$\forall k \in \mathcal{J} \quad \mathbf{V}(\tilde{\mathbf{f}}) + \langle \mathcal{Q}_k \rangle \cap E_{oo} = \mathbf{V}(\tilde{\mathbf{f}}) + \langle \mathcal{Q}_k \rangle \cap E_{oo} = \mathbf{V}(q_k, \alpha_k x_1 + \beta_k, \tilde{f}_2, \dots, \tilde{f}_{n+1}) \cap E_{oo} \quad (27)$$

where  $q_k \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{y}]$  and  $\alpha_k, \beta_k \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{y}]$  are obtained by using Theorem 3.1 and a Groebner basis computation. For  $N = 3$ , let us note that if the focal relation  $0 = \Omega_1 \Omega_2 f + d_3$  (see (5)) holds true, then  $(\mathcal{Q}_k)_{k \in [1,3]}$  can be substituted with  $(\mathcal{Q}_k)_{k \in [1,3]}$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{Q}_1 = \Omega_1 - 1 \quad \mathcal{Q}_2 = \Omega_1 f (d_1 - d_2) + d_2 f + d_1 d_3 \quad \mathcal{Q}_3 = \Omega_1 f + d_3 - d_2. \quad (28)$$

Analogously, if the magnification relation  $0 = \Omega_1\Omega_2 - G$  (see (9)) holds true, then  $Q_k$  can be substituted with  $\mathcal{Q}_k$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{Q}_1 = \Omega_1 - 1 \quad \mathcal{Q}_2 = \Omega_1(d_1 - d_2) + d_2 - Gd_1, \quad \mathcal{Q}_3 = G - \Omega_1. \quad (29)$$

In the sequel of the paper, we assume that  $N = 3$  and we use notations of section 3.2. We will verify Assumption A2, Assumption A3 and Assumption A4 in order to apply algorithm 1. When all the topological invariants associated with each connected set that composes  $\mathcal{C}^{(\epsilon)}$  are different, we denote it by the topological invariant with the branch indicated as a superscript.

### 3.3.1 Systems of codimension $n = 2$

Let us remark that in this case,  $\mathcal{G}_o$  depends only on  $\mathbf{y}$  so that  $\mathcal{G}_{oo} = \mathcal{G}_o \circ \pi$ .

**Focal case** We recall that  $f \in \{-1, 1\}$ , we set  $\mathbf{y} = (d_1, d_2, d_3)$  and  $\mathbf{x} = (\Omega_1, \Omega_2)$ . We set  $\mathcal{G}_{oo}(\mathbf{y}) = (d_1 < 0) \wedge (d_2 > 0) \wedge (d_3 < 0)$ . We consider the ideal  $I = \langle g_1, g_2 \rangle$  on  $\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}]$  with  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(f)$ . A Groebner basis of  $I$  for  $\text{revlex}(\mathbf{x}) \succ \text{revlex}(\mathbf{y})$  is  $\{d_3\tilde{g}_1, d_3\tilde{g}_2, \tilde{g}_3\}$  where

$$\tilde{g}_1 = A_1\Omega_1^2 + B_1\Omega_1 + C_1, \quad \tilde{g}_2 = A_2\Omega_2 + B_2, \quad \tilde{g}_3 = \Omega_1\Omega_2f + d_3, \quad (30)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= f(d_1f + d_2d_3), & B_1 &= f(-d_1d_2 + 2d_1d_3 - 2d_2d_3), & C_1 &= d_3C'_1 \\ C'_1 &= d_1d_3 + d_2f, & A_2 &= C'_1f, & B_2 &= u_2\Omega_1 + v_2, & u_2 &= A_1, & v_2 &= B_1. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that  $\tilde{\mathbf{f}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\tilde{g}_1, \tilde{g}_2, \tilde{g}_3)$  is of the form (16) and that Assumption A2 is verified with  $\mathbf{n} = 1$ . We set  $\tilde{I} = \langle \tilde{\mathbf{f}} \rangle$ . We easily check Assumption A3 with  $E_{oo} \supset E$  and deduce that Theorem 3.4 holds. The discriminant defining  $F_o = \mathcal{Z}(\Delta \geq 0 \wedge \mathcal{G}_{oo})$  is given by

$$\Delta = -fd_1d_2(-fd_1d_2 + 4d_3((f + d_3)^2 + fd_1 - fd_2)).$$

Let us remark that for  $f = -1$ ,  $\Delta > 0$  on  $F_{oo}$ . By (27) and (28), we get that

$$\mathcal{V}_{Q_k} \cap E_{oo} = \mathbf{V}(q_k \alpha_k x_1 + \beta_k, \tilde{f}_2, \tilde{f}_3) \cap E_{oo},$$

with  $q_k$  obtained by computing  $G_k \cap \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{y}]$  with  $G_k$  a Groebner basis of  $I_k = (\tilde{I} + \langle Q_k \rangle) \cap \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{y}]$  for  $\text{revlex}(\mathbf{x}) \succ \text{revlex}(\mathbf{y})$ :

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 \cap \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{y}] &= d_1(-d_2f + d_3^2 + 2d_3f + f^2) := d_1q_1 \\ G_2 \cap \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{y}] &= d_1d_2(d_1f - d_2f + d_3^2 + 2d_3f + f^2) := d_1d_2q_2 \\ G_3 \cap \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{y}] &= d_2d_3(d_1f + d_2^2 - 2d_2d_3 - 2d_2f + d_3^2 + 2d_3f + f^2) := d_2d_3q_3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mathcal{V}_{Q_k}^{(\epsilon)}$  writes as stated in Lemma 3.5 with

$$\begin{array}{l|l|l} \alpha_1 = 1, \beta_1 = -1 & \begin{array}{l} q_1 = -fd_2 + (f + d_3)^2 \\ q_2 = f(d_1 - d_2) + (f + d_3)^2 \\ q_3 = fd_1 + (f - d_2 + d_3)^2 \end{array} & \begin{array}{l} s_1 = -(d_3 - f)(d_3 + f) \\ s_2 = (-d_2f + (d_3 + f)^2) \\ s_3 = (d_2 - 3d_3 - f)(d_2 - d_3 - f) \end{array} \end{array}$$

where  $s_k$  is a representant of  $-\alpha_k(-2A_1\beta_k + \alpha_k B_1)$  in  $\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{y}]/\langle q_k \rangle$  up to positive factors as terms of the form  $(-1)^k d_k$ . We deduce that Assumption A4 is verified and we get that Theorem 3.5 holds true. For  $f = 1$ , we obtain that  $G_\epsilon = F_o \setminus \cup_k \mathcal{W}_{Q_k}^{(\epsilon)}$  with  $\mathcal{W}_{Q_k}^{(\epsilon)} = \{q_k(\mathbf{y}) = 0 \wedge \epsilon s_k \leq 0\}$ . The pairwise

intersections of the sets  $\mathcal{W}_{Q_k}^{(\epsilon)}$  for  $k \in [1, 3]$  are easily obtained by using Groebner basis computation (see fig. 3). For  $f = -1$ , we get that  $G_\epsilon = F_o \setminus \cup_k \mathcal{W}_k^{(\epsilon)}$  with  $\mathcal{W}_1^{(\epsilon)} = F_o \cap \mathcal{Z}((A_1 = 0) \wedge (\epsilon B_1 \leq 0))$  that is  $\mathcal{W}_1^{(1)} = \emptyset$  and  $\mathcal{W}_1^{(-1)} = \mathcal{W}_{A_1}$ , and  $\mathcal{W}_2^{(\epsilon)} = F_o \cap \mathcal{Z}((A_2 = 0) \wedge (\epsilon B_1 \geq 0))$  that is  $\mathcal{W}_2^{(1)} = \mathcal{W}_{A_2}$  and  $\mathcal{W}_2^{(-1)} = \emptyset$ . By sampling the connected component of  $\{\mathbf{y} = (d_1, d_2, d_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \Delta(\mathbf{y}) > 0 \wedge d_1 < 0 \wedge d_2 > 0 \wedge d_3 > 0 \wedge (A_1 A_2 q_1 q_2 q_3)(\mathbf{y}) \neq 0\}$  for  $f \in \{-1, 1\}$ , we get a list of topological invariant name / point / branch corresponding to  $L_o^{(\epsilon)}$  used in algorithm 1 and associated to  $\mathcal{C}^{(\epsilon)}$  for  $f = 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{PP010}, (-10, 2, -2), \epsilon = -1), (\text{PP011}, (-5, 2, -2), \epsilon = -1), \\ & (\text{PP110}, (-17/16, 3/8, -3/8), \epsilon = -1), (\text{PP001}, (-31/64, 1/2, -2), \epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}), \\ & (\text{PP100}, (-3/1024, 21/32, -3/16), \epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}), (\text{PP101}, (-5/16, 1/4, -3/16), \epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}) \end{aligned}$$

and for  $f = -1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{NP101}, (-1, 1/2, -3), \epsilon = -1), (\text{PN011}, (-1, 1/2, -3), \epsilon = 1), \\ & (\text{PN101}, (-1, 2, -1/4), \epsilon = -1), (\text{NP110}, (-1, 1/2, -3), \epsilon = 1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by setting  $h_\epsilon(\mathbf{y}) = (\mathbf{y}, \xi^{(\epsilon)}(\mathbf{y}))$ , we get the following sets composing  $\mathcal{C}^{(\epsilon)}$  denoted uniquely by their topological invariant.

**(i) Case  $f = 1, \epsilon = -1$**

$$\begin{aligned} C_1^{(-1)} &= \text{PP011}^{(-1)} = h_{-1}(\{d_1 < 0, d_2 > 0, d_3 < 0, \Delta \geq 0, q_2(\mathbf{y}) < 0, q_3(\mathbf{y}) > 0\}) \\ C_2^{(-1)} &= \text{PP010}^{(-1)} = h_{-1}(\{d_1 < 0, d_2 > 0, d_3 \leq -1, q_3(\mathbf{y}) < 0\}) \\ & \cup h_{-1}(\{d_1 < 0, -1 \leq d_3 < 0, (1 + d_3)^3 < d_2 < (1 + d_3)\}) \\ C_3^{(-1)} &= \text{PP110}^{(-1)} = h_{-1}(\{d_1 < 0, d_2 > 0, d_3 < 0, \Delta \geq 0, q_1(\mathbf{y}) > 0, q_2(\mathbf{y}) < 0\}) \\ C_4^{(-1)} &= \text{PP101}^{(-1)} = h_{-1}\left(\{d_1 < 0, d_2 > 0, -\frac{1}{3} \leq d_3 < 0, \Delta \geq 0, q_3(\mathbf{y}) > 0, \right. \\ & \left. -(1 + d_3)^2 \leq d_1 \leq -4d_3^2, d_2 \leq (1 + 3d_3)\right) \\ C_5^{(-1)} &= \text{PP100}^{(-1)} = h_{-1}(\{d_1 < 0, d_2 > 0, -1 \leq d_3 < 0, q_2(\mathbf{y}) > 0, -4d_3^2 \leq d_1, \Delta \geq 0\}) \\ & \cup h_{-1}(\{d_1 < 0, d_2 > 0, -1 \leq d_3 < 0, q_2(\mathbf{y}) > 0, -(1 + d_3)^2 \leq d_1 \leq -4d_3^2, q_3(\mathbf{y}) < 0\}) \\ C_6^{(-1)} &= \text{PP001}^{(-1)} = h_{-1}(\{d_1 < 0, d_2 > 0, d_3 \leq -1, \Delta \geq 0, q_2(\mathbf{y}) > 0\}) \end{aligned}$$

**(ii) Case  $f = 1, \epsilon = 1$**

$$\begin{aligned} C_4^{(1)} &= \text{PP101}^{(1)} = h_1(\{d_1 < 0, d_2 > 0, d_3 \leq -1, \Delta \geq 0, q_1(\mathbf{y}) < 0\}) \\ & \cup h_1(\{d_1 < 0, d_2 > 0, -1 \leq d_3 < 0, \Delta \geq 0, q_3(\mathbf{y}) < 0\}) \\ & \cup h_1\left(\{d_1 < 0, d_2 > 0, -\frac{1}{3} \leq d_3 < 0, \Delta \geq 0\}\right) \\ C_5^{(1)} &= \text{PP100}^{(1)} = h_1(\{d_1 < 0, -1 \leq d_3 < 0, 1 + 3d_3 \leq d_2 \leq 1 + d_3, \Delta \geq 0, q_3(\mathbf{y}) > 0\}) \\ C_6^{(1)} &= \text{PP001}^{(1)} = h_1(\{d_1 < 0, d_2 > 0, d_3 \leq -1, \Delta \geq 0, q_1(\mathbf{y}) > 0\}) \end{aligned}$$

**(iii) Case  $f = -1, \epsilon = -1$**

$$\begin{aligned} D_1^{(-1)} &= \text{PN101}^{(-1)} = h_{-1}(\{d_1 < 0, d_2 > 0, d_3 < 0, A_2(\mathbf{y}) < 0\}) \\ D_2^{(-1)} &= \text{NP101}^{(-1)} = h_{-1}(\{d_1 < 0, d_2 > 0, d_3 < 0, A_2(\mathbf{y}) > 0\}) \end{aligned}$$

(iv) Case  $f = -1$ ,  $\epsilon = 1$

$$D_3^{(1)} = \text{PN011}^{(1)} = h_1(\{d_1 < 0, d_2 > 0, d_3 < 0, A_1(\mathbf{y}) > 0\})$$

$$D_4^{(1)} = \text{NP110}^{(1)} = h_1(\{d_1 < 0, d_2 > 0, d_3 < 0, A_1(\mathbf{y}) < 0\})$$

The sets  $C_4^{(\pm 1)}$ ,  $C_5^{(\pm 1)}$ , and  $C_6^{(\pm 1)}$ , each associated with the respective topological invariants PP101, PP100, and PP001, are combined through  $E_{\mathcal{H}}$  into three connected sets:  $C_4$ ,  $C_5$ , and  $C_6$ . We conclude that the resulting sets, outputs of Algorithm 1, represent the connected components of  $E$ . Ultimately, we obtain a list of connected sets, each associated with distinct topological invariants for the cases  $f \in \{-1, 1\}$ , confirming that  $\mathcal{S}$  as defined in Definition 3.3 is exact. These topological invariants are summarized in table 1 (codimension 2). Illustrations are provided in fig. 3 and fig. 4.

**Afocal system** We recall that  $d_1 = -1$ , we set  $\mathbf{x} = (\Omega_1, \Omega_2)$  and  $\mathbf{y} = (G, d_2)$ . We set  $\mathcal{G}_{oo}(\mathbf{y}) = (G \neq 0) \wedge (d_2 > 0)$ . We consider the ideal  $I = \langle h_1, h_2 \rangle$  on  $\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}]$  with  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(d_1)$ . A Groebner basis of  $I$  for revlex( $\mathbf{x}$ )  $\succ$  revlex( $\mathbf{y}$ ) is  $\{\widetilde{h}_1, \widetilde{h}_2, \widetilde{h}_3\}$  with :

$$\widetilde{h}_1 = A_1\Omega_1^2 + B_1\Omega_1 + C_1, \quad \widetilde{h}_2 = A_2\Omega_2 + B_2, \quad \widetilde{h}_3 = \Omega_1\Omega_2 - G,$$

and

$$A_1 = -Gd_2 + d_1, \quad B_1 = 2G(d_2 - d_1), \quad C_1 = GA_2$$

$$A_2 = Gd_1 - d_2, \quad B_2 = u_2\Omega_1 + v_2, \quad u_2 = A_1, \quad v_2 = B_1.$$

Hence,  $\tilde{\mathbf{f}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\widetilde{h}_1, \widetilde{h}_2, \widetilde{h}_3)$  takes the form (16) and Assumption A2 holds with  $\mathbf{n} = 1$ . We easily check Assumption A3 with  $E_{oo} \supset E$  and deduce that Theorem 3.4 holds. The set  $F_o$  is given by  $F_o = \mathcal{Z}((\Delta \geq 0) \wedge \mathcal{G}_{oo})$  with  $\Delta = 4Gd_1d_2(G-1)^2$  and verifies  $\text{sign}(\Delta) = -\text{sign}(G)$  which leads to  $F_o = \{(d_2, G) \in \mathbb{R}^2, d_2 > 0, G < 0\}$ . Let us remark that  $\text{sign}(B_1) = \text{sign}(G)$  which is negative on  $F_o$ , so that  $\mathcal{W}_1^{(1)} = \mathcal{W}_{A_1}$ ,  $\mathcal{W}_1^{(-1)} = \emptyset$ ,  $\mathcal{W}_2^{(-1)} = \mathcal{W}_{A_2}$  and  $\mathcal{W}_2^{(1)} = \emptyset$ . By (27) and (29) we get that  $\mathcal{V}_{Q_k} \cap E_{oo} = \mathbf{V}(q_k \alpha_k x_1 + \beta_k, \tilde{f}_2, \tilde{f}_3) \cap E_{oo}$  and  $\mathcal{V}_{Q_k}^{(\epsilon)}$  writes as stated in Lemma 3.5 with

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \alpha_1 = 1, \beta_1 = -1 \\ \alpha_2 = (d_1 - d_2), \beta_2 = d_2 - Gd_1 \\ \alpha_3 = -1, \beta_3 = G \end{array} \right| q_1 = q_2 = q_3 = G - 1 \left| s_1 = s_2 = s_3 = 0$$

showing that Assumption A4 is verified and Theorem 3.5 holds true. As for all  $k \in \llbracket 1, 3 \rrbracket$ ,  $q_k$  does not cancel out on  $F_o \subset \mathcal{Z}(G < 0)$ , we deduce that  $\mathcal{W}_{Q_k}^{(\epsilon)} = \emptyset$  and

$$G_\epsilon = F_\epsilon = \begin{cases} F_o \setminus \mathcal{W}_{A_1}, & \text{for } \epsilon = 1 \\ F_o \setminus \mathcal{W}_{A_2}, & \text{for } \epsilon = -1 \end{cases}$$

By sampling the connected components of  $\{(G, d_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2, d_2 > 0, G < 0, A_1A_2 \neq 0\}$  we get  $L = [(-2, 1), (-1/2, 1)]$  associated to the following list of topological invariant name / point / branch:

$$(\text{NP101}, (-2, 1), \epsilon = -1), (\text{PN101}, (-1/2, 1), \epsilon = -1), (\text{PN011}, (-2, 1), \epsilon = 1), (\text{NP110}, (-1/2, 1), \epsilon = 1)$$

Hence, by setting  $h_\epsilon(\mathbf{y}) = (\mathbf{y}, \xi^{(\epsilon)}(\mathbf{y}))$ , the list  $\mathcal{C}^{(\epsilon)}$  is composed by the following sets:

(i) Case  $\epsilon = -1$ 

$$\begin{aligned} C_1^{(-1)} &= \text{NP101}^{(-1)} = h_{-1}(\{G < 0, d_2 > 0, A_2(\mathbf{y}) > 0\}) \\ C_2^{(-1)} &= \text{PN101}^{(-1)} = h_{-1}(\{G < 0, d_2 > 0, A_2(\mathbf{y}) < 0\}) \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Case  $\epsilon = 1$ 

$$\begin{aligned} C_3^{(1)} &= \text{PN011}^{(1)} = h_1(\{G < 0, d_2 > 0, A_1(\mathbf{y}) > 0\}) \\ C_4^{(1)} &= \text{NP110}^{(1)} = h_1(\{G < 0, d_2 > 0, A_1(\mathbf{y}) < 0\}) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{H}} = \{G = 0\} \cap F_{oo} = \emptyset$ , this means that no merging is possible through  $E_{\mathcal{H}}$  (see Corollary 3.2), we deduce that the above sets are the outputs of algorithm 1 and represent the connected components of  $E$ , each associated with distinct topological invariants showing again that  $\mathcal{S}$  as defined in Definition 3.3 is exact. An illustration is given in fig. 5 and a summary of the topological invariant names is given in table 1 (codimension 2).

3.3.2 Systems of codimension  $n = 3$ 

Let us remark that in this case  $\mathcal{G}_{oo} \neq \mathcal{G}_o \circ \pi$ .

**Focal system** We set  $\mathbf{x} = (d_3, \Omega_1, \Omega_2)$  and  $\mathbf{y} = (d_1, d_2)$  and we consider the ideal  $I = \langle g_1, g_2, g_3 \rangle$  on  $\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}]$  with  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(f)$ . We set  $\mathcal{G}_{oo}(\mathbf{y}) = (d_1 < 0) \wedge (d_2 > 0)$  and  $\mathcal{H}_o(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = (d_3 < 0)$  and  $\mathcal{G}_o(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{G}_{oo}(\mathbf{y}) \wedge \mathcal{H}_o(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})$ . A Groebner basis of  $I$  for revlex( $\mathbf{x}$ )  $\succ$  revlex( $\mathbf{y}$ ) in  $\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}]$  gives  $\{\widehat{g}_1, \dots, \widehat{g}_7\}$ . We can show that  $d_2\widehat{g}_5, fd_1^2\widehat{g}_6, fd_1^2d_2\widehat{g}_7 \in \langle \widehat{g}_1, \dots, \widehat{g}_4 \rangle$  and  $\mathbf{V}(\widehat{g}_1, \widehat{g}_2, \widehat{g}_3, \widehat{g}_4) \cap E_{oo} = \mathbf{V}(\widetilde{g}_1, \dots, \widetilde{g}_4) \cap E_{oo}$  with

$$\widetilde{g}_1 = A_1d_3 + B_1, \quad \widetilde{g}_2 = A_2\Omega_1 + B_2, \quad \widetilde{g}_3 = A_3\Omega_2 + B_3, \quad \widetilde{g}_4 = \Omega_1\Omega_2f + d_3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= d_1d_2, & B_1 &= f((d_1 + f)^2 + (d_2 - f)^2 - f^2) = f(A_3 + d_2^2), \\ A_2 &= f^2, & B_2 &= -d_1d_3 - d_2f, \\ A_3 &= (d_1 + f)^2 - 2d_2f, & B_3 &= -d_2d_3, \end{aligned}$$

and eventually that  $\widetilde{\mathbf{f}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\widetilde{g}_1, \dots, \widetilde{g}_4)$  is of the form (16) with  $\widetilde{f}_1$  having a null coefficient in front of  $x_1^2$ . Results of section 3.2 easily adapt to this case: there is only one branch solution  $\xi \in C^0(F, \mathbb{R}^3)$  given by  $\xi(\mathbf{y}) = \left( -\frac{B_k(\mathbf{y})}{A_k(\mathbf{y})} \right)_{1 \leq k \leq 3}$  where  $F \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is defined here after. Assumption A2 is easily verified with

$$\mathbf{n} = 1, \quad u_2 = -d_1, \quad v_2 = -d_2f, \quad u_3 = -d_2, \quad v_3 = 0.$$

We remark that  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}_o \wedge (\widetilde{f}_1 = 0)) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}_{oo} \wedge (B_1 < 0) \wedge (\widetilde{f}_1 = 0))$  so that we set<sup>‡</sup>:

$$F_o = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}_{oo} \wedge (B_1 < 0)).$$

We remark that  $\mathcal{W}_{A_1} = \mathcal{W}_{A_2} = \mathcal{W}_{A_3} = \emptyset$ , hence  $\mathcal{W}_{\infty} = \emptyset$  and we set  $F = F_o$ . We easily check Assumption A3 by withdrawing the conditions on  $p_k$  and replacing  $A_1$  and  $B_1$  by respectively  $B_1$  and  $C_1$  and considering as supset  $E_{oo} \supset E$  so that Theorem 3.4 applies and adapts as  $E \cong \pi(E)$ .

<sup>‡</sup>For  $f = 1$ ,  $F_o$  is the set of  $(d_1, d_2)$  lying in the interior of the disk centered at  $(-f, f)$  and of radius  $f$ . For  $f = -1$  it is the quadrant where  $d_1 < 0$  and  $d_2 > 0$ .

Hence, by checking Assumption A4, we get  $E = E_o \setminus \cup_k (\mathcal{V}_{Q_k} \cap E_o)$  with  $\mathcal{V}_{Q_k} \cap E_o = \{(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{R}}(\tilde{\mathbf{f}}), \mathbf{y} \in F, q_k(\mathbf{y}) = 0\}$  with

$$q_1 = (d_1 + f)^2 - d_2 f, \quad q_2 = (-d_1 + d_2 - f), \quad q_3 = d_1 + f,$$

and  $E = \mathcal{Z}(\tilde{\mathbf{f}} = 0) \wedge \mathcal{G}_{oo} \wedge (B_1 < 0) \wedge (q_1 q_2 q_3 \neq 0)$ . Then following algorithm 1, we sample the connected components of  $\{\mathbf{y} = (d_1, d_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2, d_1 < 0, d_2 > 0, B_1(\mathbf{y}) < 0, (q_1 q_2 q_3)(\mathbf{y}) \neq 0\}$ , and we get the following list of pairs topological invariant name / point by set composing the set  $\mathcal{C}$ :

(i) case  $f = 1$

$$(\text{PP110}, (-3/2, 3/16)), (\text{PP010}, (-3/2, 1)), (\text{PP101}, (-3/4, 3/64)), \\ (\text{PP001}, (-3/4, 5/32)), (\text{PP011}, (-3/4, 1)),$$

(ii) case  $f = -1$  : (PN011, (-1, 1))

By defining  $h(\mathbf{y}) = (\mathbf{y}, \xi(\mathbf{y}))$  and by applying Corollary 3.2 and Theorem 3.5, the following list of sets output of algorithm 1 are the connected components of  $E$ :

• (i) case  $f = 1$

$$C_1 = \text{PP110} = h(\{d_1 < -f, d_2 > 0, B_1(\mathbf{y}) < 0, q_1(\mathbf{y}) > 0\}) \\ C_2 = \text{PP010} = h(\{d_1 < -f, d_2 > 0, B_1(\mathbf{y}) < 0, q_1(\mathbf{y}) < 0\}) \\ C_3 = \text{PP011} = h(\{-f < d_1 < 0, d_2 > 0, B_1(\mathbf{y}) < 0, q_2(\mathbf{y}) > 0\}) \\ C_4 = \text{PP001} = h(\{-f < d_1 < 0, d_2 > 0, B_1(\mathbf{y}) < 0, q_2(\mathbf{y}) < 0, q_1(\mathbf{y}) < 0\}) \\ C_5 = \text{PP101} = h(\{-f < d_1 < 0, d_2 > 0, B_1(\mathbf{y}) < 0, q_1(\mathbf{y}) > 0\})$$

(i) case  $f = -1$

$$D_1 = \text{PN011} = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}_{oo})$$

An illustration is given in fig. 6 and a summary of the topological invariants in table 1 (codimension 3). Let us remark that all the connected components are associated to a different topological invariant name, meaning that  $\mathcal{S}$  in Definition 3.3 is exact.

**Afocal system** We recall that we can set  $d_1 = -1$  and we set  $\mathbf{x} = (d_2, \Omega_1, \Omega_2)$  and  $\mathbf{y} = (G, z_0, d_p)$ . We set  $\mathcal{G}_{oo}(\mathbf{y}) = (G \neq 0)$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_o(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = (d_2 > 0)$  and  $\mathcal{G}_o(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{G}_{oo}(\mathbf{y}) \wedge \mathcal{H}_o(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})$ . We consider the ideal  $I = \langle h_1, h_2, h_3 \rangle$  on  $\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}]$  with  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(d_1)$ . A Groebner basis of  $I$  for  $\text{revlex}(\mathbf{x}) \succ \text{revlex}(\mathbf{y})$  is  $\{\widetilde{h}_1, \widetilde{h}_2, \widetilde{h}_3, \widetilde{h}_4, \widetilde{h}_5, \widetilde{h}_6\}$ . By remarking that  $\Omega_1 \widetilde{h}_6, \Omega_2 \widetilde{h}_5 \in \langle \widetilde{h}_1, \dots, \widetilde{h}_4 \rangle$ , we get  $\mathbf{V}(h_1, h_2, h_3) \cap E_{oo} = \mathbf{V}(\widetilde{h}_1, \dots, \widetilde{h}_4) \cap E_{oo}$  with

$$\widetilde{h}_1 = A_1 d_2^2 + B_1 d_2 + C_1, \quad \widetilde{h}_2 = A_2 \Omega_1 + B_2, \quad \widetilde{h}_3 = A_3 \Omega_2 + B_3, \quad \widetilde{h}_4 = \Omega_1 \Omega_2 - G,$$

where

$$A_1 = G^2, \quad B_1 = -G^3 d_1 - G d_1 + 2G A_2, \quad C_1 = (G^2 z_0 - G d_1 + d_p)^2 \\ A_2 = G^2 z_0 + d_p, \quad B_2 = G d_2 - G^2 d_1, \\ A_3 = 2G^2 d_1 - 2G d_1 + A_2, \quad B_3 = -G^2 d_2 + G d_1 - 2G A_2.$$

Hence,  $\tilde{\mathbf{f}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\widetilde{h}_1, \dots, \widetilde{h}_4)$  takes the form (16) and Assumption A2 is easily checked with

$$\mathbf{n} = -G, \quad u_2 = G, \quad v_2 = -G^2 d_1, \quad u_3 = -G^2, \quad v_3 = G(-2A_3 + d_1).$$

The discriminant defining  $F_o$  is given by  $\Delta = -d_1 G^2 (G-1)^2 (-d_1 (G+1)^2 + 4A_2)$  whose the sign on  $F_{oo}$  is the same as the one of  $-d_1 (G+1)^2 + 4A_2$ . Let us set

$$\widetilde{F}_o = \{(G, z_0, d_p) \in \mathbb{R}^3, G \neq 0, -d_1 (G+1)^2 + 4A_2 \geq 0\}.$$

By noting that  $\Delta < B_1^2$ , we deduce that  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}_o \cap (\tilde{f}_1 = 0)) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}_{oo} \cap (B_1 < 0) \cap (\tilde{f}_1 = 0))$  so that we set  $F_o = \widetilde{F}_o \cap \{B_1 < 0\}$  which, can also be written as  $F_o = \widetilde{F}_o \cap \{G < 0\} = \mathcal{Z}((\Delta \geq 0) \wedge (G < 0))$ . We notice that  $\mathcal{W}_{A_1} = \emptyset$  and by Lemma 3.3, we get  $p_2 = -p_3 = -G (G^2 d_1 + 2G^2 z_0 - d_1 + 2dp)$ .

Let  $\overline{p_k}$  be a representant of  $p_k$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{y}] \setminus \langle A_k \rangle$ , we get  $\mathcal{W}_k^{(\epsilon)} = \mathcal{W}_{A_k} \cap \{\epsilon \overline{p_k} \geq 0\}$ . We carefully check that  $(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \in E_o \wedge (A_k = 0) \wedge (p_k = 0) = \mathbf{False}$  and deduce that Assumption A3 is verified. Then computing a Groebner basis of  $\langle \tilde{\mathbf{f}} \rangle + \langle \mathcal{Q}_k \rangle$  for  $\text{revlex}(\mathbf{x}) \succ \text{revlex}(\mathbf{y})$  leads to  $\mathcal{V}_{Q_k} \cap E_{oo} = \mathbf{V}(q_k, \alpha x_1 + \beta_1, \tilde{f}_2, \dots, \tilde{f}_4) \cap E_{oo}$  and we deduce that  $\mathcal{V}_{Q_k}^{(\epsilon)}$  expresses as in Lemma 3.5 with

$$\begin{array}{l|l} \alpha_1 = 1, \beta_1 = -Gd_1 - Gd_p + Gz_0 + 2d_p & q_1 = (G-1) \\ \alpha_2 = 1, \beta_2 = -(G-2)(G^2 z_0 - Gd_1 + d_p) & q_2 = (G-1)(G^2 z_0 + d_p - Gd_1) \\ \alpha_3 = 1, \beta_3 = G^2 z_0 - Gd_1 + d_p & q_3 = q_2, \end{array}$$

where  $s_k$  is the reduction of  $-\alpha_k(-2A_1\beta_k + \alpha_k B_1)$  by  $q_k$  up to positive factors as terms of the form  $(-1)^k d_k$ :

$$s_1 = 0, \quad s_2 = s_3 = -G.$$

We deduce that Assumption A4 is verified and Theorem 3.5 applies. Note that  $q_1 = (G-1)$  never cancels on  $F_o$ , hence we set  $q_2^{(a)} = (G^2 z_0 + d_p - Gd_1)$ ,  $\mathcal{W}_{Q_2}^{(\pm 1)} = \mathcal{W}_{Q_3}^{(\pm 1)}$  and  $\mathcal{W}_{Q_1}^{(\pm 1)} = \emptyset$  and we deduce the expression of  $G_\epsilon$ :

$$G_\epsilon = F_\epsilon \setminus \mathcal{W}_{Q_2}^{(\epsilon)} \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{W}_{Q_2}^{(\epsilon)} = \{\mathbf{y} \in F_o : q_2^{(a)}(\mathbf{y}) = 0 \wedge \epsilon s_2(\mathbf{y}) \leq 0\}.$$

By sampling the connected components of  $\{\mathbf{y} = (G, z_0, d_p) \in \mathbb{R}^3, G < 0, -d_1 (G+1)^2 + 4A_2 > 0, (A_2 A_3 q_2^{(a)})(\mathbf{y}) \neq 0\}$ , we get  $L = [(-2, -1/32, 0), (-1/2, -1/8, 0), (-2, 1, 0), (-2, 4, 0)]$  associated to the following list of topological invariant name / point / branch :

$$\begin{array}{l} (\text{PNO11}, (-2, -1/32, 0), \epsilon = -1), (\text{NP110}, (-1/2, -1/8, 0), \epsilon = -1), (\text{NP101}, (-2, 1, 0), \epsilon = -1), \\ (\text{PN101}, (-2, 4, 0), \epsilon = -1), (\text{PNO11}, (-2, -1/32, 0), \epsilon = 1), (\text{NP110}, (-2, -1/8, 0), \epsilon = 1) \end{array}$$

We now refer the reader to fig. 7. By recalling that  $h_\epsilon(\mathbf{y}) = (\mathbf{y}, \xi^{(\epsilon)}(\mathbf{y}))$ , the following collection is obtained in the before last step of algorithm 1:

(i) Case  $\epsilon = -1$

$$\begin{aligned} C_1^{(-1)} &= \text{PNO11}^{(-1)} = h_{-1}(\{A_2 < 0, G < -1, \Delta \geq 0, \}) \\ C_2^{(-1)} &= \text{NP110}^{(-1)} = h_{-1}(\{A_2 > 0, G < -1, q_2^{(a)} > 0, \}) \\ &\quad \cup h_{-1}(\{\Delta \geq 0, -1 \leq G < 0, q_2^{(a)} < 0\}) \\ C_3^{(-1)} &= \text{NP101}^{(-1)} = h_{-1}(\{A_3 < 0, q_2^{(a)} > 0, \}) \\ C_4^{(-1)} &= \text{PN101}^{(-1)} = h_{-1}(\{A_3 > 0\}) \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Case  $\epsilon = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} C_1^{(1)} &= \text{PNO11}^{(1)} = h_1(\{A_2 > 0, -1 \leq G < 0, \}) \cup h_1(\{\Delta \geq 0, G < -1, \}) \\ C_2^{(1)} &= \text{NP110}^{(1)} = h_1(\{A_2 < 0, G \leq -1, \Delta \geq 0\}). \end{aligned}$$

The sets  $C_1^{(\pm 1)}$  and  $C_2^{(\pm 1)}$  each associated with the respective topological invariants PN011 and NP110, are combined through  $E_{\mathcal{H}}$  into two connected sets:  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ . We conclude that the resulting sets, outputs of algorithm 1, represent the connected components of  $E$ . Ultimately, we obtain a list of connected sets, each associated with distinct topological invariants, confirming that  $\mathcal{S}$  as defined in Definition 3.3 is exact. A summary of the topological invariants are given in table 1 (codimension 3) and an illustration is provided in fig. 7 .




Figure 3: Focal codim 2,  $f = 1$




Figure 4: Focal codim 2,  $f = -1$




Figure 5: Afocal codim 2




Figure 6: Focal codim 3,  $f = \pm 1$




Figure 7: Afocal of codim 3,  $d_p^* = \frac{-z_0 + 4d_1}{9}$  and  $d_p^\dagger = -G^2 z_0 + Gd_1$

## 4 Conclusion and perspectives

In this paper, we describe the connected components of the admissible sets associated with three-mirror focal and afocal telescopes that satisfy a set of first-order equations commonly used in on-axis optical design explorations. More precisely, we provide a semi-algebraic representation of their connected components and introduce an on-axis nomenclature given in Definition 3.3 which serves as a topological invariant for systems with  $N \geq 1$  mirrors over the studied admissible set. This latter is exact for all cases summarized in Table 1. As far as our knowledge, it is the first time that such mathematical aspects of optical solution set are studied. Thanks to this mathematical framework, optical designers can rely on the nomenclature defined in Definition 3.3 to ensure that all topologically similar optical configurations at the first-order level have been examined, with none overlooked. Furthermore, the semi-algebraic representation allows for faster and more precise sampling of the parameters' space. In future work, we aim to examine the case  $N = 4$ , focusing on the geometry of the solution set for four-mirror focal telescopes.

codim	case	focal		afocal
		$f = -1$	$f = 1$	
2		$f = -1$	$f = 1$	NP110, NP101, PN101, PN011 $ \pi_0(E)  = 4$
	NP101, PN101, NP110, PN011 $ \pi_0(E)  = 4$	PP010, PP110, PP001, PP011, PP100, PP101 $ \pi_0(E)  = 6$		
3		$f = -1$	$f = 1$	//
	PN011 $ \pi_0(E)  = 1$	PP010, PP110, PP001, PP011, PP101 $ \pi_0(E)  = 5$		

Table 1: Summary of classified topological invariants and number of connected components.

## 5 Acknowledgments

The author wants to express its gratitude to N. Tetaz and F. Keller for introducing the optical nomenclature given in Definition 3.3, in order to give a name to a set of telescopes which are optically similar. This has allowed the author to set the mathematical problem for studying the connected components of the admissible telescopes set as defined in Definition 3.2. Finally, the author wants to thank B. Aymard for the very helpful discussions about transfert matrix formalism.

## 6 Appendix

### A Proof of Theorem 3.4

*Proof.* In order to show the theorem, we will show that

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\xi_{|c\mathcal{W}_1^{(\epsilon)}}^{(\epsilon)}) \cap E &= E_1 \cap \{\epsilon B_1 > 0\} \\ \Gamma(\xi_{|c\mathcal{W}_k^{(\epsilon)}}^{(\epsilon)}) \cap E &= E_k \cap \{\epsilon p_k < 0\} \quad \forall k \in J_n, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} {}^c\mathcal{W}_1^{(\epsilon)} &:= F_\epsilon \cap \mathcal{W}_{A_1} = \mathcal{W}_{A_1} \cap \{\epsilon B_1 > 0\}, \\ {}^c\mathcal{W}_k^{(\epsilon)} &:= F_\epsilon \cap \mathcal{W}_{A_k} = \mathcal{W}_{A_k} \cap \{\epsilon p_k < 0\} \quad \forall k \in J_n, \\ E_k &= \{(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \in E, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{W}_{A_k}\} \quad \forall k \in \{1, \dots, n\}. \end{aligned}$$

We recall that  $(\mathcal{H})$  is the set of assumptions described in Assumption A3. We will show that  $E^{(\epsilon)} = \{(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \in E, \epsilon(2A_1x_1 + B_1) \geq 0\} = E \cap \Gamma(\xi^{(\epsilon)}) = \{(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \in E, \mathbf{y} \in F_\epsilon, \epsilon(2A_1x_1 + B_1) \geq 0\}$  for  $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ . It is clear that  $\xi^{(\epsilon)}$  is continuous on  $F_o \setminus \mathcal{W}_\infty$  and

$$E \cap \bigcup_{\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}} \Gamma(\xi_{|_{F_o \setminus \mathcal{W}_\infty}}^{(\epsilon)}) = E \setminus (\bigcup_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}} E_k). \quad (31)$$

Let  $(\mathbf{y}_m)_m \in F_o \setminus \mathcal{W}_\infty$  be a sequence such that  $\mathbf{y}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{A_1}$ , then by setting  $\delta_m = A_1(\mathbf{y}_m) \rightarrow 0$ , and  $\bar{B}_1 = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} B_1(\mathbf{y}_m)$ ,  $\bar{C}_1 = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} C_1(\mathbf{y}_m)$ ,  $x_1^{(\epsilon)}(\mathbf{y}_m)$  writes as

$$x_1^{(\epsilon)}(\mathbf{y}_m) = \frac{-\bar{B}_1 + \epsilon|\bar{B}_1| - \frac{\bar{C}_1}{B_1}\delta_m + o(\delta_m/|\bar{B}_1|)}{\delta_m}$$

which has a limit value equal to  $-\frac{\bar{C}_1}{\bar{B}_1}$  iff the condition  $(\bar{B}_1 = \epsilon|\bar{B}_1|) \wedge (\bar{B}_1 \neq 0)$  is met that is  $\epsilon\bar{B}_1 > 0$  and eventually  $\bar{\mathbf{y}} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{y}_m \in {}^c\mathcal{W}_1^{(\epsilon)}$ . We deduce that  $\xi^{(\epsilon)}$  is extendable on  ${}^c\mathcal{W}_1^{(\epsilon)}$  by  $\xi^{(\epsilon)}(\bar{\mathbf{y}}) = (\frac{\bar{C}_1}{\bar{B}_1}, -(\frac{u_k C_1 - v_k B_1}{A_k B_1})_{k \in J_n})$ . On another side

$$(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \in E_1 \iff \begin{cases} \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = \text{True} \\ A_1 = 0 \\ B_1 x_1 + C_1 = 0 \\ A_k x_k + u_k x_1 + v_k = 0 \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

$$\mathcal{H} \stackrel{-(b)+(d)}{\iff} \begin{cases} \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = \text{True} \\ A_1 = 0 \\ x_1 = -\frac{C_1}{B_1} \\ x_k = \frac{u_k C_1 - v_k B_1}{B_1 A_k} \quad \text{for } k \in J_n \end{cases} \quad (33)$$

We deduce that  $E_1 \cap \{\epsilon B_1 > 0\} = E \cap \Gamma(\xi_{|_{{}^c\mathcal{W}_1^{(\epsilon)}}}^{(\epsilon)})$ . By  $\mathcal{H} - (d)$  we conclude that

$$E_1 = E_1 \cap \{B_1 \neq 0\} = E \cap \bigcup_{\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}} \Gamma(\xi_{|_{{}^c\mathcal{W}_1^{(\epsilon)}}}^{(\epsilon)}). \quad (34)$$

Similarly, let  $k \in J_n$  and  $(\mathbf{y}_m)_m \in F_o \setminus \mathcal{W}_\infty$  be such that  $\mathbf{y}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{A_k}$ . By  $(\mathcal{H})$ -(b)  $\bar{A}_1 = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} A_1(\mathbf{y}_m)$  does not cancel and  $x_1^{(\epsilon)}$  is continuous on a neighbourhood of  $\mathcal{W}_{A_k}$ . Similarly,  $(\mathcal{H})$ -(e) enables to say that  $p_k$  does not cancel on a neighbourhood of  $\mathcal{W}_{A_k}$ . By keeping same notations as previously, we get by Lemma 3.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} B_k^{(\epsilon)}(\mathbf{y}_m) &= \left( \frac{2A_1 v_k - u_k B_1 + u_k \epsilon \sqrt{\Delta}}{2A_1} \right) (\mathbf{y}_m) = \left( \frac{u_k p_k + u_k \epsilon \sqrt{p_k^2 + q_k A_k}}{2A_1} \right) (\mathbf{y}_m) \\ &= \frac{u_k(\bar{p}_k + \epsilon|\bar{p}_k|) + \epsilon \frac{u_k q_k}{2|\bar{p}_k|} \delta_m + o(\delta_m/\bar{p}_k)}{2A_1} \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$x_k^{(\epsilon)}(\mathbf{y}_m) = - \left( \frac{B_k^{(\epsilon)}}{A_k} \right) (\mathbf{y}_m) = - \frac{\overline{u_k}(\overline{p_k} + \epsilon|\overline{p_k}|) + \epsilon \frac{\overline{u_k} \overline{q_k}}{2|\overline{p_k}|} \delta_m + o(\delta_m/\overline{p_k})}{2\overline{A_1} \delta_m}$$

which has a finite limit value when  $\delta_m \rightarrow 0$  equal to  $\frac{\overline{u_k} \overline{q_k}}{4\overline{p_k} \overline{A_1}}$  iff the condition  $(\overline{p_k} = -\epsilon|\overline{p_k}|) \wedge (\overline{p_k} \neq 0)$  is met that is  $\epsilon\overline{p_k} < 0$  and eventually  $\overline{\mathbf{y}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{y}_m \in {}^c\mathcal{W}_k^{(\epsilon)}$ . Let us compute the limit value of  $\xi^{(\epsilon)}(\mathbf{y}_m)$  when  $\mathbf{y}_m \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{y}} \in {}^c\mathcal{W}_k^{(\epsilon)}$  in such case:

(i) **Case**  $n = 2$ . By Assumption A2,  $\overline{x_2^{(\epsilon)}} = \frac{\overline{u_2} \overline{q_2}}{4\overline{p_2} \overline{A_1}} = -\frac{\overline{A_1} \overline{C_0}}{\overline{B_1}}$ . By  $\mathcal{H} - ((a) + (c))$  and Assumption A2 we deduce  $\overline{C_1} = 0$ , and thanks to  $\mathcal{H} - (b)$  we get that  $\overline{A_1} \neq 0$ , hence  $\overline{x_1^{(\epsilon)}} = -\overline{B_1}/\overline{A_1}$  and eventually  $\overline{\xi^{(\epsilon)}} = (-\frac{\overline{B_1}}{\overline{A_1}}, -\frac{\overline{A_1} \overline{C_0}}{\overline{B_1}})$ . On another side,

$$(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \in E_2 \stackrel{\mathcal{H}-(a)}{\iff} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = \text{True} \\ A_2 = 0 \\ A_1 x_1 + B_1 = 0 \\ x_2 x_1 = C_0 \end{array} \right. \stackrel{\mathcal{H}-((b)+(e))}{\iff} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = \text{True} \\ A_2 = 0 \\ x_1 = -\frac{B_1}{A_1} \\ x_2 = -\frac{A_1 C_0}{B_1} \end{array} \right.$$

We deduce that  $E_2 \cap \{\epsilon p_2 < 0\} = E \cap \Gamma(\xi_{|{}^c\mathcal{W}_2^{(\epsilon)}}^{(\epsilon)})$ .

(ii) **Case**  $n = 3$ . Since  $\overline{n A_1 p_2} \neq 0$  by  $(\mathcal{H}) - ((b) + (c) + (e))$  we get that  $\overline{x_2^{(\epsilon)}} = \frac{\overline{u_2} \overline{q_2}}{4\overline{A_1} \overline{p_2}} = \frac{4\overline{u_2} \overline{A_3} \overline{C_0} \overline{A_1}}{4\overline{n} \overline{A_1} \overline{p_2}} = \frac{\overline{u_2} \overline{A_3} \overline{C_0}}{\overline{n} \overline{p_2}}$ . Eventually as  $\overline{u_2} \neq 0$  by  $\mathcal{H} - (f)$ , we obtain that  $\overline{x_1^{(\epsilon)}} = \frac{-\overline{B_1} + \epsilon|\overline{p_2}|}{2\overline{A_1}} = -\frac{\overline{u_2}(\overline{B_1} + \overline{p_2})}{2\overline{u_2} \overline{A_1}} \stackrel{\text{Lemma 3.3}}{=} -\frac{\overline{v_2}}{\overline{u_2}}$  and since  $\overline{A_3} \neq 0$  by  $\mathcal{H} - (b)$  we get  $\overline{x_3^{(\epsilon)}} = -\frac{-\overline{u_3} \frac{\overline{v_2}}{\overline{u_2}} + \overline{v_3}}{\overline{A_3}} = \frac{\overline{p_2} \overline{n}}{\overline{u_2} \overline{A_3}}$ . Hence  $\overline{\xi^{(\epsilon)}} = (-\frac{\overline{v_2}}{\overline{u_2}}, \frac{\overline{u_2} \overline{A_3} \overline{C_0}}{\overline{n} \overline{p_2}}, \frac{\overline{p_2} \overline{n}}{\overline{u_2} \overline{A_3}})$ . On another side,

$$(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \in E_2 \iff \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = \text{True} \\ A_2 = 0 \\ A_1 x_1^2 + B_1 x_1 + C_1 = 0 \\ u_2 x_1 + v_2 = 0 \\ A_3 x_3 + B_3 = 0 \\ x_2 x_1 = C_0 \end{array} \right. \stackrel{\text{Lemma 3.3}}{+ \mathcal{H}-((b)+(c)+(e))}{\iff} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = \text{True} \\ A_2 = 0 \\ x_1 = \frac{-B_1 + \epsilon\sqrt{p_2^2}}{2A_1} = -\frac{v_2}{u_2} \\ x_3 = -\frac{u_3 x_1 + v_3}{A_3} = \frac{p_2 \mathbf{n}}{u_2 A_3} \\ x_2 = \frac{C_0}{x_3} = \frac{u_2 A_3 C_0}{p_2 \mathbf{n}} \end{array} \right.$$

We deduce that  $E_2 \cap \{\epsilon p_2 < 0\} = E \cap \Gamma(\xi_{|{}^c\mathcal{W}_2^{(\epsilon)}}^{(\epsilon)})$ . Similarly we can show that  $E_3 \cap \{\epsilon p_3 < 0\} = E \cap \Gamma(\xi_{|{}^c\mathcal{W}_3^{(\epsilon)}}^{(\epsilon)})$ .

By  $\mathcal{H} - (e)$  we deduce, for both the cases that

$$\forall k \in J_n \quad E_k = E_k \cap \{p_k \neq 0\} = E \cap \cup_{\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}} \Gamma(\xi_{|{}^c\mathcal{W}_k^{(\epsilon)}}^{(\epsilon)}). \quad (35)$$

Finally, merging (31)-(34)-(35) we get that  $E = E \cap (\cup_{\epsilon \in \{-1,1\}} \Gamma(\xi^{(\epsilon)}))$ , hence  $E^{(\epsilon)} \subset E \cap \Gamma(\xi^{(\epsilon)})$  and the other inclusion being evident, we get the equality. By introducing  $h_\epsilon(\mathbf{y}) = (\mathbf{y}, \xi^{(\epsilon)}(\mathbf{y}))$  we get that  $h_\epsilon : \pi(E^{(\epsilon)}) \rightarrow E^{(\epsilon)}$  is continuous and  $h_\epsilon \circ \pi|_{E^{(\epsilon)}} = I|_{E^{(\epsilon)}}$ . Since  $\pi$  is continuous too, this shows that  $E^{(\epsilon)} \cong \pi(E^{(\epsilon)})$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] B. AYMARD, A. DELAHAYE, AND A. DROGOUL, *An original classification of obscuration-free telescopes designs unfolded in two dimensions*, (2025), <https://arxiv.org/abs/2501.04748>.
- [2] S. BASU, *Algorithms in real algebraic geometry: A survey*, 2014, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.1534>.
- [3] S. BASU, R. POLLACK, AND M.-F. ROY, *Algorithms in Real Algebraic Geometry*, vol. 10, 2016.
- [4] A. BAUER, E. M. SCHIESSER, AND J. P. ROLLAND, *Starting geometry creation and design method for freeform optics*, Nature Communications, 9 (2018).
- [5] B. BONNARD, M. CHYBA, A. JACQUEMARD, AND J. MARRIOTT, *Algebraic geometric classification of the singular flow in the contrast imaging problem in nuclear magnetic resonance*, Mathematical Control and Related Fields, 3 (2013), pp. 397–432, <https://doi.org/10.3934/mcrf.2013.3.397>, <https://www.aims sciences.org/article/id/40385fc1-d904-4651-99ca-d9278cc14819>.
- [6] J. CAPCO, M. S. E. DIN, AND J. SCHICHO, *Robots, computer algebra and eight connected components*, in Proceedings of the 45th International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation, ISSAC '20, New York, NY, USA, 2020, Association for Computing Machinery, p. 62–69, <https://doi.org/10.1145/3373207.3404048>, <https://doi.org/10.1145/3373207.3404048>.
- [7] C. CHAUVIN, M. ULLER, AND A. WEBER, *An application of quantifier elimination to mathematical biology*, Computer Algebra in Science and Engineering, (1994), pp. 287–296.
- [8] G. COLLINS, *Quantifier elimination for the elementary theory of real closed fields by cylindrical algebraic decomposition*, 33 (1975).
- [9] D. COX, J. LITTLE, AND D. O'SHEA, *Ideals, varieties, and algorithms. an introduction to computational algebraic geometry and commutative algebra*, (2007), <https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-0-387-35651-8>.
- [10] J.-C. FAUGÈRE AND M. SAFEY EL DIN, *De l'algèbre linéaire à la résolution des systèmes polynomiaux*, in Mathématiques Appliquées (L3), Pearson, 2009, pp. 331–388, <https://hal.science/hal-01298887>.
- [11] L. GAILLARD AND M. S. E. DIN, *Solving parameter-dependent semi-algebraic systems*, 2024, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.07782>, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.07782>.
- [12] S. GALEANI, D. HENRION, A. JACQUEMARD, AND L. ZACCARIAN, *Design of marx generators as a structured eigenvalue assignment*, Automatica, 50 (2014), pp. 2709–2717, <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.automat.2014.09.003>, <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0005109814003574>.

- [13] D. KORSCH, *Reflective Optics*, 1991.
- [14] P. LAIREZ AND M. SAFEY EL DIN, *Computing the dimension of real algebraic sets*, in Proceedings of the 2021 International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation, ISSAC '21, ACM, July 2021, p. 257–264, <https://doi.org/10.1145/3452143.3465551>, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/3452143.3465551>.
- [15] H. P. LE AND M. SAFEY EL DIN, *Solving parametric systems of polynomial equations over the reals through hermite matrices*, Journal of Symbolic Computation, 112 (2022), pp. 25–61, <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsc.2021.12.002>, <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0747717121000833>.
- [16] J. C. PAPA, J. M. HOWARD, AND J. P. ROLLAND, *Survey of the four-mirror freeform imager solution space*, Opt. Express, 29 (2021), pp. 41534–41551, <https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.442943>, <https://opg.optica.org/oe/abstract.cfm?URI=oe-29-25-41534>.
- [17] J.-P. PEREZ, *Optique Fondements et Applications*.
- [18] R. PRÉBET, M. S. E. DIN, AND ÉRIC SCHOST, *Computing roadmaps in unbounded smooth real algebraic sets i: connectivity results*, 2023, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.03961>.
- [19] M. SAFEY EL DIN AND E. SCHOST, *Polar varieties and computation of one point in each connected component of a smooth real algebraic set*, in Proceedings of the 2003 International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation, ISSAC '03, New York, NY, USA, 2003, Association for Computing Machinery, p. 224–231, <https://doi.org/10.1145/860854.860901>, <https://doi.org/10.1145/860854.860901>.
- [20] I. TRUMPER, A. Q. ANDERSON, J. M. HOWARD, G. WEST, AND D. W. KIM, *Design form classification of two-mirror unobstructed freeform telescopes*, Optical Engineering, 59 (2020), 025105, p. 025105, <https://doi.org/10.1117/1.OE.59.2.025105>.
- [21] N. N. VOROBYOV, *Complexity of computing the local dimension of a semialgebraic set*, Journal of Symbolic Computation, 27 (1999), p. 565–579.
- [22] L. YANG AND B. XIA, *Real solution classification for parametric semi-algebraic systems*, in Algorithmic Algebra and Logic, 2005, <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:17475567>.