Radiative cooling changes the dynamics of magnetically arrested disks: Analytics.

Akshay Singh ^(D),¹ Damien Bégué ^(D),¹ and Asaf Pe'er ^(D)

¹Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan 5290002, Israel.

ABSTRACT

We studied magnetically arrested disks (MAD) around rotating black holes (BH), under the influence of radiative cooling. We introduce a critical value of the mass accretion rate $\dot{M}_{\rm crit}$ for which the cooling by the synchrotron process efficiently radiates the thermal energy of the disk. We find $\dot{M}_{\rm crit} \approx$ $10^{-5.5}\dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$, where $\dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$ is the Eddington mass accretion rate. The normalization constant depends on the saturated magnetic flux and on the ratio of electron to proton temperatures, but not on the BH mass. We verify our analytical estimate using a suite of general relativistic magnetohydrodynamic (GRMHD) simulations for a range of black hole spin parameters $a \in \{-0.94, -0.5, 0, 0.5, 0.94\}$ and mass accretion rates ranging from $10^{-7}\dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$ to $10^{-4}\dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$. We numerically observe that the MAD parameter and the jet efficiency vary by a factor of ≈ 2 as the mass accretion rate increases above $\dot{M}_{\rm crit}$, which confirms our analytical result. We further detail how the forces satisfying the quasi-equilibrium of the disk change, with the magnetic contribution increasing as the thermal contribution decreases.

Keywords: Accretion, Black hole physics, Magnetic fields, Magnetohydrodynamics, Magnetohydrodynamical simulations

1. INTRODUCTION

Accretion disks are ubiquitous astrophysical objects commonly found around celestial objects, such as black holes (BH) and neutron stars (NS). They are known to power some of the most luminous astrophysical phenomena, such as gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) and active galactic nuclei (AGNs) (Pringle 1981; Woosley 1993; Popham et al. 1999; Narayan et al. 2001; Piran 2004; Frank et al. 2002; Kato et al. 2008). These disks are essentially rotating structures composed of gas and dust, often ionized in the form of plasma, that accumulate around the central object due to its gravity. The type and structure of an accretion disk depend on the mass accretion rate as well as the strength and configuration of the magnetic field that is generated in it or advected with the flow from large distances (for reviews see, e.g., Narayan & McClintock 2008; Abramowicz & Fragile 2013; Yuan & Narayan 2014).

Currently, two main types of accretion disks have been identified, which are discriminated by the magnetic field configuration and its dynamical effect on the accretion process, resulting in different disk structures. In the Standard and Normal Evolution (SANE) mode (Narayan et al. 2012; Sądowski et al. 2013), the magnetic field is such that the magnetic pressure exerted on the gas is weak, and the accretion process is relatively smooth, despite the turbulent nature of the flow. The magnetic field plays a key role in the transport of angular momentum via magnetorotational instability (MRI), which occurs primarily in the radial direction within the disk (Chatterjee & Narayan 2022).

The second type of disk is known as Magnetically Arrested Disk (MAD) (Bisnovatyi-Kogan & Ruzmaikin 1974, 1976; Narayan et al. 2003; Igumenshchev 2008). In the MAD state, magnetic flux accumulates near the BH horizon, where the magnetic pressure gradually increases, and eventually becomes strong enough to regulate the accretion process. While 2D simulations (Chashkina et al. 2021; Dihingia et al. 2023) showed that accretion can be nearly fully stopped due to the magnetic pressure, 3D simulations have shown that accretion in fact continues because of the non-axisymmetric instabilities and magnetic flux eruption events (Chatterjee & Narayan 2022; Ripperda et al. 2022). During these events, the magnetosphere releases a substantial amount of accumulated magnetic flux (McKinney et al.

2012; Sądowski et al. 2014; Liska et al. 2022; Chatterjee & Narayan 2022; Zhang et al. 2024). Overall, the accretion process is highly variable, with eruptions that can also lead to strong outflows (jets). The magnetic flux eruptions reduce the magnetic flux in the inner disk region, enabling a temporary increase in the accretion rate until enough flux is advected again by the flow and builds up near the horizon.

Despite great progress in understanding the accretion flow, both theoretically and numerically, a key ingredient that must be considered is the effect of radiative cooling on the gas. Radiative cooling and heating influence the stability and structure of the accretion disks and determine their temperature distribution as well as their density profile (Begelman et al. 2022; Liska et al. 2023; Dihingia et al. 2023; Scepi et al. 2024). Indeed, as matter accretes, it heats up due to compression and friction inside the accretion disk. However, it also cools by radiating away parts of its internal energy. These then affect the pressure balance inside the disk, and hence the disk structure.

Several works have considered the effects of radiative cooling on disk evolution. Dibi et al. (2012) used axisymmetric 2.5D simulation to show that radiative cooling becomes important in the accretion flow once the accretion rate exceeds $10^{-7}\dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$, where $\dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$ is the Eddington accretion rate. They observed that radiative cooling leads to a thinner, denser disk and substantially lower disk temperatures, especially in the inner regions. Subsequently, Yoon et al. (2020) extended that work by carrying out 3D simulations and confirmed the results of Dibi et al. (2012). A second category of work focuses on studying thin disks based on a large nonphysical cooling rate of the flow (e.g. Shafee et al. 2008; Noble et al. 2009; Penna et al. 2010; Liska et al. 2022; Scepi et al. 2024). Finally, GR-radiation-MHD (GRRMHD) simulations also take into account radiative cooling, alongside radiation transport and back-reaction (McKinney et al. 2014; Sądowski et al. 2014; Morales Teixeira et al. 2018; Liska et al. 2022; Curd & Narayan 2023). Yet, in the low mass accretion rate considered in this work, radiation back-reaction is not expected to play an important role.

As we show here, the effects of radiative cooling on the disk structure, hence the mass accretion rate and the jet efficiency, are all non-linear, with a critical value found around mass accretion rate of $10^{-5.5}\dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$. Below this accretion rate, the radiative cooling which is synchrotron-dominated, has very little effect on the disk structure, while above this accretion rate, radiative cooling substantially modifies the disk structure. We provide analytical expressions explaining this result, which is clearly identified in the general relativistic magneto-hydrodynamic (GR-MHD) simulations we carry. These simulations inherently consider the radiative cooling both by synchrotron and Bremsstrahlung. In particular, we show that the jet efficiency η and the MAD parameter ϕ_B depend on the disk cooling rate and as such change around the critical mass accretion rate. We then show how different forces balance the disk equilibrium as cooling is increased, essentially showing two different types of MAD dynamics.

This paper is structured as follows: Section 2 provides an analytical estimate of $M_{\rm crit}$. In section 3, we exploit the numerical simulations fully described in a forthcoming companion paper, Singh et al., in prep. to numerically establish the effects of increasing the cooling rates on a MAD. In particular, we discuss the evolution of the jet efficiency, of the mass accretion rate and the force balance inside the disk for different cooling rates, enlightening a change of forces responsible for the dynamics of the MAD. The conclusion follows.

2. CRITICAL MASS ACCRETION RATE CORRESPONDING TO A CHANGE OF COOLING REGIME

Simulations of MAD disks around rotating BHs show that the system reaches a quasi-steady state after a certain time depending on the numerical setup. For typical setups used, this time is about $\leq 10^4 r_g/c$, where r_g is the gravitational radius and c is the speed of light. Most importantly, the MAD parameter, defined as the magnetic flux threading the horizon normalized to $\sqrt{\dot{M}}$ (\dot{M} is the mass accretion rate) saturates around the value ~ 15-30 (in normalized units) (Tchekhovskoy et al. 2011; Chatterjee & Narayan 2022; Bégué et al. 2023; Dihingia et al. 2023; Zhang et al. 2024). This saturation allows to link the mass accretion rate \dot{M} to the magnitude of the magnetic field B close to the BH horizon, thereby directly relating the radiative cooling rate to the mass accretion rate.

Several radiative processes occur inside accretion disks. The leading ones are Bremsstrahlung, synchrotron emission and inverse Compton scattering. When considering a MAD accreting at several orders of magnitude below the Eddington limit, in regions close to the BH, cooling is dominated by synchrotron emission from thermal electrons (Yoon et al. 2020; Dihingia et al. 2023). Here, we have checked this both numerically and analytically and found that Bremsstrahlung cooling dominates over synchrotron losses only for unrealistic values of $M_{\rm BH} \leq 10^{-3} M_{\odot}$.

As the accretion rate increases, so is the cooling rate. We show here that once the accretion rate reaches a critical value, which we find to be $\sim 10^{-5.5} \dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$, radiative cooling due to the synchrotron process exceeds the gravitational potential energy gain of the accreting matter. This results in modification of the disk structure, its dynamics and the regulation of the MAD parameter.

The calculation of the critical mass accretion rate is done as follows. The MAD parameter ϕ_B is defined by

$$\phi_B = \frac{\Phi_B}{\sqrt{\dot{M}}} = \frac{\int \sqrt{-g} B^r d\Omega}{\sqrt{\int \sqrt{-g} \rho u^r d\Omega}} \approx \frac{4\pi B^r}{\sqrt{4\pi \rho u^r}} \tag{1}$$

where $\sqrt{-g}$ is the determinant of the metric tensor, B^r is the radial component of the magnetic field, ρ is the mass density of the plasma and u^r is the radial component of the 4-velocity u^{μ} . The last approximation is valid for a disk that is not very thin or very thick. The error made by using this approximation is of the order of unity. In the following equations, we assume that the only spin-dependent term is ϕ_B , whose value can vary by a factor of up to 2 - 3 for different BH spins (Narayan et al. 2022; Zhang et al. 2024; Dhruv et al. 2024).

The power (per unit volume) radiated by synchrotron emission is given by (e.g., Rybicki & Lightman 1986),

$$Q_s = \frac{4}{3} c \sigma_T \gamma_e^2 \beta_e^2 U_B n_e. \tag{2}$$

Here, c is the speed of light, σ_T is the Thomson cross-section, γ_e is the electron Lorentz factor (corresponding to the normalized velocity β_e), U_B is the magnetic energy density and $n_e = n_p = \rho/m_p$ is the number density of the electrons / protons in the disk, where m_p is the proton mass.

The rate of energy gain by accretion of plasma towards the BH can be expressed as

$$\frac{du_g}{dt} = \left(\frac{GM}{r^2}\right) \cdot \left(\rho u^r\right) \tag{3}$$

Here, u_g is the internal energy density of the gas, and M is the BH mass. To determine the critical mass accretion rate, we equate the energy loss and energy gain rates,

$$\frac{4}{3}c\sigma_T\gamma_e^2\beta_e^2 U_B n_e = A\left(\frac{GM}{r^2}\right)\cdot(\rho u^r) \tag{4}$$

where A is an uncertainty factor, of the order unity.

The typical electron Lorentz factor γ_e is estimated as follows. For a low accretion rate, cooling can be neglected. The internal energy density is due to the conversion of gravitational energy into heat, such that $u_g = nm_p(GM/r)$. Here, $n = n_e = n_p$ is the density in the disk. Although this assumption is crude since we are considering a scenario in which the cooling is at least as efficient as accretion in regulating the internal energy of the accretion flow, it allows to give a fair estimate of the temperature for mass accretion rates up to the critical mass accretion rate.

Using the perfect gas law, $p_g = nk_BT$ where p_g is the pressure and T is the gas temperature, with the adiabatic equation of state $p_g = (\Gamma - 1)u_g$ (Γ is the adiabatic index) one finds

$$\gamma_e = 1 + \frac{3}{2} \frac{k_B T_e}{m_e c^2} \approx \frac{3}{2} \frac{k_B \tau T_p}{m_e c^2} \approx \frac{3}{2} \frac{\tau}{m_e c^2} (\Gamma - 1) \frac{GM}{r} m_p, \tag{5}$$

where $\tau = T_e/T_p$, T_e is the electron temperature and $T_p = T$ is proton temperature. Note that we specifically assumed $\gamma_e \gg 1$, implying that $\beta_e \simeq 1$.

The density n_e in Equation 4 can be expressed in terms of the mass accretion rate, $\dot{M} = 4\pi r_H^2 m_p n_e \alpha c$, where $r_H = 2GM/c^2$ is the BH gravitational radius and $\alpha \leq 1$ is a factor in the order of the unity. Using these relations in Equation 4, alongside the definitions of the MAD parameter in Equation 1 and of the Eddington mass accretion rate $\dot{M}_{edd} = L_{Edd}/c^2$, one finds the critical mass accretion rate

$$\dot{M}_{\rm crit} \approx A \cdot \alpha \cdot \frac{1}{\phi_B^2} \frac{128\pi^2}{3} \frac{1}{(\tau^2)(\Gamma - 1)^2} \left(\frac{m_e}{m_p}\right)^2 \dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$$
$$\approx 2.8 \times 10^{-6} \cdot \left(\frac{A}{1}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{\alpha}{1}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{\phi_B}{20}\right)^{-2} \cdot \left(\frac{\tau}{0.3333}\right)^{-2} \dot{M}_{\rm Edd} \tag{6}$$

In the numerical calculation, we used $\Gamma = 14/9$, as elected in our numerical simulations. For mass accretion rates smaller than $\dot{M}_{\rm crit}$, synchrotron cooling is not efficient in taping the internal energy of the disk, while it becomes efficient for larger mass accretion rate. This implies that when the accretion rates exceeds $\dot{M}_{\rm crit}$, modifications are expected in (i) the disk structure and (ii) the forces responsible for the quasi-equilibrium MAD state. These can lead to non-trivial changes in the jet efficiency, and to the efficiency of the Blandford & Znajek (1977) mechanism, if operating.

In the derivation above, we assumed that the only spin dependence is in the value of ϕ_B , although some dependence might also be found in the coefficients A and α . If they can be neglected, we can use the spin dependence of ϕ_B from e.g. Narayan et al. (2022) to predict that \dot{M}_{crit} is smaller for positive spins than it is for negative spins.

3. NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

3.1. Numerical setup

In order to validate the analytical results of the critical mass accretion rate, we carry a set of numerical simulations of accretion disks onto a rotating BH with a wide range of spin parameters, $a \in \{-0.94, -0.5, 0, 0.5, 0.94\}$. All the simulations were carried out using the GRMHD code cuHARM (Bégué et al. 2023), to which we added radiative cooling by Bremsstrahlung and synchrotron. For the cooling rates, we use the prescriptions given by Esin et al. (1996). We ignore cooling by Compton scattering, as it was found to be sub-dominant at all accretion rates (Dibi et al. 2012; Yoon et al. 2020; Dihingia et al. 2023) that we studied. We examined a wide range of mass accretion rates, ranging between $10^{-7} - 10^{-3.5} \dot{M}_{Edd}$ and also conducted simulations without cooling. In these simulations, we use the modified Kerr-Schild (MKS) coordinate-system (McKinney & Gammie 2004) in order to enhance the accuracy of the calculations close to the black hole and along the equator. The computational grid for the numerical simulation is defined with inner and outer disk boundaries at $r = 0.87r_H^+$, $r_{out} = 5 \times 10^3 r_g$ respectively. Here, $r_H^+ = (1 + \sqrt{1 + a^2})$ represents the event horizon radius of a spinning BH. The resolution of our simulations is either $N_r \times N_\theta \times N_\phi = 256 \times 128 \times 256$. A complete description of the numerical simulations appears in Singh et. al., in prep.

As initial conditions to all the simulations, we consider an axisymmetric torus in hydrostatic equilibrium, following Fishbone & Moncrief (1976). We set the inner disk radius $r_{\rm in} = 20r_g$ and the radius of maximum pressure $r_{\rm max} = 41r_g$. The magnetic field is initialized as a single magnetic field loop following Wong et al. (2021). These conditions are known to lead to the development of a MAD state. The magnetic energy density is normalized such that $\beta_{\rm max} \equiv 2p_{\rm g,max}/p_{\rm b,max} = 100$ where $p_{\rm g,max}$ and $p_{\rm b,max}$ are the maximum gas and magnetic pressure in the disk.

When radiative cooling is added, one must provide two scales, in order to determine the physical cooling rate. The first is the central BH mass which determines the length and time scales of the system, as well as the Eddington accretion rate. Here, we set the BH mass to be equal to $M_{\text{Sgr A}^*}$, namely $M_{BH} = 4 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$. The second scale is a mass scale that is needed to determine the physical density of the gas. It is used to convert the calculated mass accretion rate from the normalized code units to a physically-targeted accretion rate. To set this scale, we first run the simulation without cooling, to obtain a reference for the mass accretion rate, which is then normalized to the desired physical accretion rate (in units of the Eddington mass accretion rate \dot{M}_{Edd}). We then repeat the simulation using this factor but now with cooling, to obtain the correct cooling rate for the selected mass accretion rate.

To calculate the cooling rate, a prescription for the electron temperature needs to be provided. For these simulations, we use the simplest possible prescription and set the electron temperature to be a fraction of the proton temperature, $T_e = T_p/3$. Although it is a rough approximation, it provides an adequate estimate, as presented in Dihingia et al. (2023). Changing the value of the ratio of electron to proton temperature would result in shifting the critical mass accretion rate, $\dot{M}_{\rm crit}$ to larger or smaller values.

Our simulations are run until $3 \times 10^4 t_g$, where $t_g = r_g/c$. This is sufficient for the MAD regime to be well established and for the inflow and outflow equilibrium to be reached, at least up to a radius in the order of a few tens r_g . For all our results below, the time average is performed for data between $t = 1.5 \times 10^4 \dots 3 \times 10^4 t_g$, except in the case of accretion rate $10^{-3.5} \dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$, where we find that equilibrium is reached at later times relative to all other simulations. We therefore run this simulation up to $5 \times 10^4 t_g$, and the averages are done from $3 \times 10^4 - 5 \times 10^4 t_g$.

3.2. Transition of the MAD parameter ϕ_B and jet efficiency η at \dot{M}_{crit}

In the analysis performed in Section 2, we demonstrated that cooling by synchrotron radiation in the MAD state may change the dynamics of the accretion disk by allowing for a large amount of thermal energy to be radiated away. This has a potentially strong effect on the dynamics of the emerging jet as well. Here, we exploit the numerical simulations to show that both the MAD parameter ϕ_B and the jet efficiency η change around $\dot{M}_{crit} \sim 10^{-5.5} \dot{M}_{Edd}$.



Figure 1. $\dot{M}_{\rm crit}$ is a transition in the disk-jet evolution system for both the MAD parameter and the jet efficiency. The left panels show the time-averaged MAD parameter as a function of target mass accretion rate \dot{M} for several spins $a \in \{-0.94, -0.5, 0, 0.5, 0.94\}$. The simulations without cooling are represented for convenience with a mass accretion rate of $10^{-8}\dot{M}_{\rm edd}$. For all spins, the MAD parameter is changing around $10^{-6}\dot{M}_{\rm edd}$, in agreement with the findings of Section 2. For positive spins, it is decreasing, while for negative spins, it first increases and then decreases. The right panel shows the time-averaged jet efficiency as a function of the targeted mass accretion rate. As the mass accretion rate increases, namely as cooling increases, the jet efficiency decreases sharply for large (~ 0.9) positive spins while it is steadily increasing for lower spins.

The jet efficiency is defined through

$$\eta(r = r_H) = 1 + \frac{\dot{E}(r = r_H)}{\dot{M}(r = r_H)}$$
(7)

where $\dot{E}(r) = -\int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\pi} \int_{\phi=0}^{\phi=2\pi} (\sqrt{-g}\rho T_t^r d\phi) d\theta$ is the energy flux through the horizon, and T_{ν}^{μ} is the stress energy tensor.

Figure 1 shows the key findings of our study. At a low accretion rate, $\dot{M} \ll \dot{M}_{\rm crit}$, both the MAD parameter and jet efficiency are roughly constants, i.e., independent on \dot{M} . This is not surprising, given that at a low accretion rate cooling is sub-dominant. However, when the accretion rate exceeds $\dot{M}_{\rm crit}$, both the MAD parameter and the jet efficiency evolve. For positive spins, the MAD parameter decreases as \dot{M} increases, namely as cooling increases. For negative spins instead, it first increases a little before starting to decrease around $\dot{M} = 10^{-5} \dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$. More interestingly, the jet efficiency is also changing around $\dot{M}_{\rm crit}$. It is increasing with mass accretion rate for small spin $a \leq 0.5$, while it is sharply decreasing for large positive spins.

3.3. Force Balance

Our analysis directly implies that to reach a quasi-equilibrium state, as is observed in the numerical simulations, a MAD must change its configuration. Indeed as \dot{M} increases, resulting in a faster rate of energy loss via the synchrotron radiation process, the internal energy of the disk drops, as it is radiated away. This implies that the contribution of the pressure gradient to the forces responsible for the equilibrium decreases with increasing \dot{M} .

Without considering cooling, Chatterjee & Narayan (2022) found that the pressure gradient is the dominant contribution to the radial equilibrium above radius $r \gtrsim 10r_g$ and still has an important contribution up until the BH, being only 2 times smaller than the magnetic contribution around $r \sim 5r_g$.

To explore the effects of cooling on the force balance, we follow Chatterjee & Narayan (2022) and split the stressenergy tensor T^{μ}_{ν} into three contributions, namely the energy density, which behaves like inertia and will be referred to as dynamical component; the gas pressure, and the magnetic stresses. We note that the magnetic energy density contributes to the dynamical component. These terms are respectively defined as

$${}^{e}T^{\mu}_{\ \nu} = \left(\rho + u_g + \frac{b^2}{2}\right) u^{\mu} u_{\nu},\tag{8}$$

$${}^{p}T^{\mu}_{\ \nu} = pu^{\mu}u_{\nu} + p\delta^{\mu}_{\ \nu},\tag{9}$$



6



Figure 2. Time and ϕ average of the radial force balance as a function of the polar angle for a MAD around a rotating BH with spin a = 0.94 at $r = 7r_g$ for simulations with the cooling rate increasing from $\dot{M} = 10^{-7}\dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$ (top left), to $\dot{M} = 10^{-4}\dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$ (bottom right panel). We show the contribution of the gradient of the thermal pressure (green), of the magnetic stresses (blue), as well as of the inertia (orange). Each component is normalized by gravity. The vertical dashed red lines show the disk thickness at this radius. In all cases, the dynamical component dominates. As cooling increases, the contribution of the thermal pressure decreases, while that of the magnetic stresses increases. However, the contribution of the magnetic stresses saturates for mass accretion rate around $10^{-5}\dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$. At an even larger mass accretion rate, the smaller contribution of the gas (thermal) pressure is replaced by the contribution of inertia.

$${}^{m}T^{\mu}{}_{\nu} = \frac{b^{2}}{2}u^{\mu}u_{\nu} + \frac{b^{2}}{2}\delta^{\mu}{}_{\nu} - b^{\mu}b_{\nu}.$$
(10)

Here $b^{\nu} = u_{\mu} {}^{\star} F^{\mu\nu}$ is the 4-vector magnetic field, ${}^{\star} F^{\mu\nu}$ is the dual of the Faraday tensor, and $b^2 = b^{\mu}b_{\mu}$. Note that in the normalized units we use, both the magnetic energy density and the magnetic pressure are equal to $b^2/2$. The radial (r) conservation equation is given by $T^{\mu}_{r;\mu} = 0$. For each of the contributions to the energy-momentum tensor given in Equations (8), (9), and (10), we independently perform the following operations. First, the contribution of gravity is subtracted from the conservation equations, which are then time- (t) and ϕ - averaged. This contribution is given by

$${}^{i}\mathcal{G} = \Gamma^{r}_{\ rr}{}^{i}T^{r}_{\ r} - \Gamma^{t}_{\ rt}{}^{i}T^{t}_{\ t} \tag{11}$$

where $i \in \{e, p, m\}$. We then define the average dynamical contribution,

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\sqrt{-g}^{e} T_{r}^{r}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\sqrt{-g}^{e} T_{r}^{\theta}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} (\sqrt{-g}^{e} T_{r}^{\phi}) - {}^{e} \mathcal{G} + \Gamma_{r\kappa}^{\lambda} {}^{e} T_{\lambda}^{\kappa} \right\rangle_{t,\phi}.$$
 (12)

The magnetic and gas pressure contributions are obtained by changing the component of the energy-momentum tensor to their respective expressions.

Here, we present the results for spin a = +0.94 as an example, although the results remain consistent across spins. A complete and thorough description across spins will be presented in Singh et. al. (in prep). Figure 2 shows, as a function of the polar angle θ , the relative contributions of the different radial forces, namely, the dynamical component, the magnetic stresses and the gradient of the thermal pressure. The four panels are for simulations with increasing mass accretion rate from $\dot{M} = 10^{-7} \dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$ (top-left panel) to $10^{-4} \dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$ in the bottom-right panel. The vertical dashed lines in Figure 2 represent the disk height. As the cooling increases, the contribution of the thermal pressure gradient decreases, as expected. This decrease is balanced by an increasingly more important contribution of the magnetic stresses, which contribute from about 15% for the simulation with the cooling rate $\dot{M} = 10^{-7} \dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$ to about 30% for $\dot{M} \gtrsim 10^{-5} \dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$. We further find that at mass accretion rates larger than $10^{-5} \dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$, the contribution of the magnetic fields to the radial balance saturate at $r = 7r_g$. Any further increase in the cooling rate results instead in an increase of the contribution of the dynamical component.

To understand why the dynamical contribution at $r = 7r_g$ becomes dominant in the disk at $\dot{M} \ge 10^{-5}\dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$, we show in Figure 3 the radial forces as a function of radius at the equator, namely at the center of the disk. At low mass accretion rate (top-left panel), the gradient of the thermal pressure dominates at radii $2.8r_g \lesssim r \lesssim 18r_g$. As \dot{M} increases, cooling of the flow in the innermost radii close to the BH becomes efficient. At these radii, the contribution of the thermal pressure gradient is replaced by magnetic stresses, which are mostly independent on the accretion rate above $10^{-6}\dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$. At $\dot{M} = 10^{-6}\dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$, there is still a range of radii $(4r_g \lesssim r \lesssim 12r_g)$ in which the thermal pressure gradient dominates all other forces, thereby regulating the accretion from large radius. Compared to the lower mass accretion rate, this leads to an increase of the radius at which magnetic forces and thermal pressure gradient are equal. As the mass accretion rate further increases, the thermal pressure gradient becomes subdominant throughout the entire disk. The disk equilibrium is then regulated either by magnetic forces close to the BH or by the dynamical component away from it. Due to the vertical contraction of the disk, the equatorial density is larger for high mass accretion rates. This in turn leads to a larger ram pressure at the equator, resulting in an increasing contribution of the dynamical (centrifugal) component, which dominates over most radii, $r \gtrsim 3r_g$.

4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we demonstrate that the saturation of the magnetic flux allows to link the cooling rate by synchrotron radiation to the mass accretion rate. The dependence on the magnetic field strength cancels out through the definition of the MAD parameter and the value at which it saturates. This leads to the definition of a critical mass accretion rate such that the energy radiated by synchrotron emission equals the energy gain by accretion. Importantly, we found that $\dot{M}_{\rm crit}$ is independent of the black-hole mass. In order to assess the validity of these analytical results derived in Section 2, we further used the results of a series of GRMHD simulations of MAD disks around a BH with various spins a = -0.94, -0.5, 0, 0.5 and 0.94. These simulations include radiative cooling and target a wide range of accretion rates ranging from 10^{-7} to $10^{-3.5}\dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$. Additional simulations without cooling were also performed in order to (i) normalize the mass accretion rate and (ii) provide a reference for comparison.

Our simulations only consider local cooling of the plasma and do not evolve the radiation field. GR radiation MHD simulations also include the effect of plasma cooling, alongside radiation transport and back-reaction (McKinney et al. 2014; Morales Teixeira et al. 2018; Liska et al. 2022; Curd & Narayan 2023). However, since these simulations are computationally expensive and challenging, the parameter space remains largely unexplored. For instance, Curd & Narayan (2023) used GRRMHD simulation of MAD disk from sub-Eddington to super-Eddington accretion to study the effects on the jet properties and the BH spin down. Similarly, Liska et al. (2022) studied the formation and properties of truncated accretion disks, while Liska et al. (2024) simulated the effect of radiation from very low mass accretion rate $(10^{-7}\dot{M}_{\rm Edd})$ to high mass accretion rate $(10^{-2}\dot{M}_{\rm Edd})$, in the context of X-ray binaries by renormalizing the mass unit every $10^4 t_g$. They also find that the disk structure starts to change around $\dot{M} \sim 10^{-6}\dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$. In this paper, we provide physical insights into these changes and interpret them as the accretion rate at which cooling by synchrotron radiation becomes dynamically important by efficiently radiating away the internal energy gain of the gas as it accretes.



Figure 3. Time and ϕ average contributions to the radial force balance at the equator, as a function of the radius. BH spin a = 0.94 is assumed. Mass accretion rate increases from $\dot{M} = 10^{-7} \dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$ (top left) to $\dot{M} = 10^{-4} \dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$ (bottom right panel). We show the contribution of the thermal pressure gradient (green) and of the magnetic stresses (blue), as well as of the inertia (orange). Each component is normalized by gravity. When the cooling rate increases, the contribution of the thermal pressure decreases, while that of the magnetic stresses increases. However, the contribution of the magnetic stresses saturates for mass accretion rate around $10^{-6} \dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$. At larger mass accretion rates, the smaller contribution of the gas pressure is balanced by the increase in the contribution of inertia.

Interestingly, our results find support in the work of Ricarte et al. (2023), who studied MAD for accretion rate between $10^{-1}\dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$ to $10\dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$. At high \dot{M} , the system is expected to be opaque and the effect of radiative cooling negligible. Therefore as \dot{M} increases, the MAD parameter ϕ_B should start to increase and become comparable to that of the non-radiative simulations. The jet efficiency η should follow the same mirrored evolution. Interestingly, Ricarte et al. (2023) shows that, for large positive spins, both ϕ_B and η are increasing as the mass accretion rate above $10^{-1}M_{\rm Edd}$ increases, see also Curd & Narayan (2023). They further demonstrate that this behavior only weakly (if at all) depends on the BH mass. Here, we prove analytically that the critical mass accretion rate $\dot{M}_{\rm crit}$ does not depend on the BH mass.

To summarize, the key results of our paper are as follows:

1. We derived an analytical expression for the critical accretion rate $\dot{M}_{\rm crit}$ at which the accretion disk emits a substantial amount of thermal energy by synchrotron mission. $\dot{M}_{\rm crit}$ is a threshold above which the disk properties are expected to change.

- 2. Studying the results of GRMHD simulations with cooling, we observed that the MAD parameter ϕ_B and the jet efficiency η evolve when \dot{M} becomes larger than $\dot{M}_{\rm crit}$. This numerical analysis comforts our analytical estimation.
- 3. We then analyze how the disk structure and equilibrium evolve as the mass accretion rate increases past $\dot{M}_{\rm crit}$. In addition to changes in the disk geometry (and in particular its height), we find that the forces satisfying the force balance against gravity in the radial direction change: as the contribution to the gradient of the thermal pressure decreases, the contribution of the magnetic field increases.

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

AS would like to thank John Wallace for all the discussions and assistance during the initial stage of this work. We acknowledge support from the European Research Council via the ERC consolidating grant #773062 (acronym O.M.J.). The numerical calculations in this work were carried on a prototype of *Israel-1* supercomputer, using time generously allocated to us by **NVIDIA**.

REFERENCES

- Abramowicz, M. A., & Fragile, P. C. 2013, Living Reviews in Relativity, 16, 1, doi: 10.12942/lrr-2013-1
- Begelman, M. C., Scepi, N., & Dexter, J. 2022, MNRAS, 511, 2040, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stab3790
- Bégué, D., Pe'er, A., Zhang, G. Q., Zhang, B. B., & Pevzner, B. 2023, ApJS, 264, 32, doi: 10.3847/1538-4365/aca276
- Bisnovatyi-Kogan, G. S., & Ruzmaikin, A. A. 1974, Ap&SS, 28, 45, doi: 10.1007/BF00642237
- -. 1976, Ap&SS, 42, 401, doi: 10.1007/BF01225967
- Blandford, R. D., & Znajek, R. L. 1977, MNRAS, 179, 433, doi: 10.1093/mnras/179.3.433
- Chashkina, A., Bromberg, O., & Levinson, A. 2021, MNRAS, 508, 1241, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stab2513
- Chatterjee, K., & Narayan, R. 2022, ApJ, 941, 30, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ac9d97
- Curd, B., & Narayan, R. 2023, MNRAS, 518, 3441, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stac3330
- Dhruv, V., Prather, B., Wong, G., & Gammie, C. F. 2024, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2411.12647, doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2411.12647
- Dibi, S., Drappeau, S., Fragile, P. C., Markoff, S., & Dexter, J. 2012, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 426, 1928, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.21857.x
- Dihingia, I. K., Mizuno, Y., Fromm, C. M., & Rezzolla, L. 2023, MNRAS, 518, 405, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stac3165
- Esin, A. A., Narayan, R., Ostriker, E., & Yi, I. 1996, ApJ, 465, 312, doi: 10.1086/177421
- Fishbone, L. G., & Moncrief, V. 1976, ApJ, 207, 962, doi: 10.1086/154565
- Frank, J., King, A., & Raine, D. 2002, Accretion Power in Astrophysics, 3rd edn. (Cambridge University Press), doi: 10.1017/CBO9781139164245

Igumenshchev, I. V. 2008, ApJ, 677, 317, doi: 10.1086/529025

- Kato, S., Fukue, J., & Mineshige, S. 2008, Black-Hole Accretion Disks: Towards a New Paradigm (Kyoto University Press, Kyodai Kaikan, Japan)
- Liska, M. T. P., Kaaz, N., Chatterjee, K., Emami, R., & Musoke, G. 2024, ApJ, 966, 47, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ad344a
- Liska, M. T. P., Kaaz, N., Musoke, G., Tchekhovskoy, A., & Porth, O. 2023, ApJL, 944, L48, doi: 10.3847/2041-8213/acb6f4
- Liska, M. T. P., Musoke, G., Tchekhovskoy, A., Porth, O., & Beloborodov, A. M. 2022, The Astrophysical Journal, Letters, 935, L1, doi: 10.3847/2041-8213/ac84db
- McKinney, J. C., & Gammie, C. F. 2004, ApJ, 611, 977, doi: 10.1086/422244
- McKinney, J. C., Tchekhovskoy, A., & Blandford, R. D. 2012, MNRAS, 423, 3083, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.21074.x
- McKinney, J. C., Tchekhovskoy, A., Sadowski, A., & Narayan, R. 2014, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 441, 3177, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stu762
- McKinney, J. C., Tchekhovskoy, A., Sadowski, A., & Narayan, R. 2014, MNRAS, 441, 3177, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stu762
- Morales Teixeira, D., Avara, M. J., & McKinney, J. C. 2018, MNRAS, 480, 3547, doi: 10.1093/mnras/sty2044
- Narayan, R., Chael, A., Chatterjee, K., Ricarte, A., & Curd, B. 2022, MNRAS, 511, 3795, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stac285

- Narayan, R., Igumenshchev, I. V., & Abramowicz, M. A. 2003, PASJ, 55, L69, doi: 10.1093/pasj/55.6.L69
- Narayan, R., & McClintock, J. E. 2008, NewAR, 51, 733, doi: 10.1016/j.newar.2008.03.002
- Narayan, R., Piran, T., & Kumar, P. 2001, ApJ, 557, 949, doi: 10.1086/322267
- Narayan, R., Sadowski, A., Penna, R. F., & Kulkarni, A. K. 2012, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 426, 3241, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.22002.x
- Noble, S. C., Krolik, J. H., & Hawley, J. F. 2009, ApJ, 692, 411, doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/692/1/411
- Penna, R. F., McKinney, J. C., Narayan, R., et al. 2010, MNRAS, 408, 752
- Piran, T. 2004, Reviews of Modern Physics, 76, 1143, doi: 10.1103/RevModPhys.76.1143
- Popham, R., Woosley, S. E., & Fryer, C. 1999, ApJ, 518, 356, doi: 10.1086/307259
- Pringle, J. E. 1981, ARA&A, 19, 137, doi: 10.1146/annurev.aa.19.090181.001033
- Ricarte, A., Narayan, R., & Curd, B. 2023, ApJL, 954, L22, doi: 10.3847/2041-8213/aceda5
- Ripperda, B., Liska, M., Chatterjee, K., et al. 2022, ApJL, 924, L32, doi: 10.3847/2041-8213/ac46a1
- Rybicki, G. B., & Lightman, A. P. 1986, Radiative Processes in Astrophysics (John Wiley & Sons, Ltd)

- Scepi, N., Begelman, M. C., & Dexter, J. 2024, MNRAS, 527, 1424, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stad3299
- Shafee, R., McKinney, J. C., Narayan, R., et al. 2008, ApJL, 687, L25, doi: 10.1086/593148
- Sądowski, A., Narayan, R., McKinney, J. C., & Tchekhovskoy, A. 2014, MNRAS, 439, 503, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stt2479
- Sądowski, A., Narayan, R., Penna, R., & Zhu, Y. 2013, MNRAS, 436, 3856, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stt1881
- Tchekhovskoy, A., Narayan, R., & McKinney, J. C. 2011, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters, 418, L79, doi: 10.1111/j.1745-3933.2011.01147.x
- Wong, G. N., Du, Y., Prather, B. S., & Gammie, C. F. 2021, ApJ, 914, 55, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/abf8b8
- Woosley, S. E. 1993, ApJ, 405, 273, doi: 10.1086/172359
- Yoon, D., Chatterjee, K., Markoff, S. B., et al. 2020, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 499, 3178, doi: 10.1093/mnras/staa3031
- Yuan, F., & Narayan, R. 2014, ARA&A, 52, 529, doi: 10.1146/annurev-astro-082812-141003
- Zhang, G. Q., Bégué, D., Pe'er, A., & Zhang, B. B. 2024, ApJ, 962, 135, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ad167b