

# Chopin: An Open Source R-language Tool to Support Spatial Analysis on Parallelizable Infrastructure

Insang Song

Kyle P. Messier

2024-12-13

An increasing volume of studies utilize geocomputation methods in large spatial data. There is a bottleneck in scalable computation for general scientific use as the existing solutions require high-performance computing domain knowledge and are tailored for specific use cases. This study presents an R package `chopin` to reduce the technical burden for parallelization in geocomputation. Supporting popular spatial analysis packages in R, `chopin` leverages parallel computing by partitioning data that are involved in a computation task. The partitioning is implemented at regular grids, data hierarchies, and multiple file inputs with flexible input types for interoperability between different packages and efficiency. This approach makes the geospatial covariate calculation to the scale of the available processing power in a wide range of computing assets from laptop computers to high-performance computing infrastructure. Testing use cases in environmental exposure assessment demonstrated that the package reduced the execution time by order of processing units used. The work is expected to provide broader research communities using geospatial data with an efficient tool to process large scale data.

## Introduction

The growing body of literature across many scientific disciplines such as environmental health, medical geography, remote sensing, and ecology utilize large spatial and spatio-temporal data. This trend is driven by the increasing availability of geospatial data and the recognition of the importance of place in driving environmental factors influence on outcomes of interest. This paper introduces an R-language based open source tool to simplify computational intensive spatial and spatio-temporal analyses by bridging the gap between spatial and parallel computation packages.

The spatially and temporally varying nature of environmental data calls for the adaptation of geographic information systems (GIS) to capture them from geospatial data, making it popular in the health sciences. The analyses require expertise in GIS and proficiency in specialized software and terminology for efficient analysis of large data. Since geospatial data involve multiple dimensions, including coordinates and time, exposure assessment becomes computationally intensive. This demand has been augmented by the increasing volume of fine-resolution geospatial data and the finer spatial and temporal scale of exposure assessment. In particular, the fine-resolution exposure assessment is essential for understanding spatially varying health disparities (Parvez and Wagstrom 2020; Paoletta et al. 2018; Saha, Presto, and Robinson 2023).

Locations are the key for exposure assessment. Exposure assessment is usually conducted through land use regression (LUR) models. These models estimate the spatial distribution of air pollution or other environmental exposures by analyzing the relationship between exposure levels and land use characteristics (Eum et al. 2015; Liu et al. 2015). LUR models are widely employed in environmental epidemiology and health geography due to their ability to provide flexible and interpretable estimates of exposures at fine spatial scales. Despite the common practice of leveraging LUR, the covariates (often referred to as *geographic variables* or *spatial features*) (Briggs et al. 1997; Eum et al. 2015; Liu et al. 2015) extraction was lightly described even though it would be a major part of model development. Computational demand for feature extraction could be increasing exponentially depending on, to name a few, the number of features used, the spatial and temporal resolution of the raw datasets, and the definition of attributable range (i.e., buffer radius) per datasets (Ma et al. 2024; Clark et al. 2024).

The parallel computing environment is virtually ubiquitous, where ordinary consumer laptops are equipped with multi-core processors. Such advances opened up a computational breakthrough for general users to “think big (Clarke 2003)”, allowing GIS communities to leverage parallel computing to process large volumes of geospatial datasets in a fast and efficient manner (Yang et al. 2020). Theoretical and practical aspects of parallel computing in geospatial data processing have been discussed in the literature (Armstrong and Densham 1992; Mineter and Dowers 1999; Lemmens et al. 2018; Bocher et al. 2018; Zhang et al. 2019), where the topics have been expanded from the data decomposition by data attributes to parallel processing and modeling as a service on shared infrastructure. However, the practical application of parallel computing in geospatial data processing is still limited to specialized software and in-house systems, which require a high level of technical expertise to operate and maintain.

Existing solutions for large-scale geospatial data processing include ArcGIS (Esri 2023), PostGIS (The PostGIS Development Group 2024), and tools on distributed file systems such as GeoSpark (Gao and Yue 2018). These solutions are capable of parallel processing, while posing challenges in licensing cost, database configuration, and Structured Query Language syntax, which require additional human and financial resources. In Python, `dask` extension of `dask_geopandas` (Bossche et al. 2023) supports native parallel processing functions. This package, however, is based on `geopandas` (Jordahl et al. 2020), specialized in processing vector data (e.g., census boundaries and individual address points) such that common operations

with vector and raster data require additional works to perform raster-vector overlay using **rasterio** (Gillies et al. 2013) and **xarray** (Hoyer and Hamman 2017). Another Python tool named PCML (Shook et al. 2016) supports parallel processing of vector data, but it is at mature stage with little active development. For R language, to the best of our knowledge, a few functions in **terra** supports parallel processing to aggregate raster layers and there are no comparable solutions for parallel geospatial processing.

The major bottleneck to utilizing parallel computing is the knowledge barrier between developers and users. Parallel computing requires users to understand hardware and software configurations in order to distribute computation demands to multiple computing units in various cyberinfrastructures, including high-performance computers, commercial cloud computing services, and research-purpose supercomputers (Yin et al. 2019; Wang 2010; Wang, Liu, and Padmanabhan 2016). Improved usability is key to expediting the examination of hypotheses and providing evidence for the location-based measurement of environmental stressors. With this in mind, this study presents computation of spatial data by **hierarchical** and **objective partitioning** of **inputs** for parallel processing (**chopin**) package. This package provides a compact solution for parallelizing spatial computation for exposure assessment in R.

## Methods

Two major factors were considered in the development of the **chopin** package. First, the package should be user-friendly and interoperable with existing geospatial packages in R. Second, the package should provide succinct syntax for parallelization to flatten the learning curve for users with challenges with processing large amount of data. The R language has an array of established spatial data analysis packages such as **sf** (Pebesma 2018), **terra** (Hijmans 2024; R Core Team 2024), both of which utilize low-level functions in Geospatial Data Abstraction Library (GDAL) (GDAL/OGR contributors 2024) and Geometry Engine, Open Source (GEOS) (GEOS contributors 2021).

R-level parallelization functions are adopted from **mirai**, **future** and **future.apply** (Bengtsson 2021). **exactextractr** provides a faster solution to calculate zonal statistics in consideration of partial overlap between vector segments and raster cells (Baston 2023, 2024). Functions for load balancing strategies were developed based on **igraph** (Csárdi and Nepusz 2006; Csárdi et al. 2024) for utilizing minimum spanning tree to merge adjacent grids with a few points or polygons and **antyclust** to generate balanced clusters of points (Papenberg and Klau 2021). The intersection of different packages that are mostly based on low-level languages such as C and C++ contributes to enhance the processing while enabling the high-level language R to be the main interface for users with **future** based parallelization to leverage parallelizable computing environment (Figure 1). Combined, **chopin** bridges the ecosystem of spatial processing solutions in R and parallel computing environment by partitioning the data into the smaller data for parallel workers in the middle of software layers of parallel geographical applications (Mineter and Dowers 1999).




Figure 1: Dependent packages and their roles in chopin package.



Basic strategy for parallelization is to partition the large data into smaller subsets for parallel processing and calculation. Partitions are defined either by spatial extents or by file attributes. For the extent based divisions, users can generate artificial grids or use the hierarchy existing in datasets to distribute computations per partition to parallel workers. Three common types of partitions were identified: dividing the entire region into regular grids (`par_grid`), using hierarchy explicitly or implicitly available in the data (`par_hierarchy`), and distributing operations across multiple raster files (`par_multirasters`). All approaches are subject to data parallelism, where the same operation is applied to different parts of the data that are independent from one another (Guan and Clarke 2010). The grid partitioning approach builds upon regular decomposition of raster images (Mineter and Dowers 1999) and resembles the processing extent functionality in proprietary software ArcGIS (Esri 2021). The other two approaches help users to distribute identical operations to parallel workers depending on users data characteristics.

Programmatic implementation of the package is based on metaprogramming practices including argument injection and function wrapping. Argument injection refers to the process of passing arguments into a function call programmatically (Wickham 2019). In each `par_*` function, a generic `terra` and `sf` processing function, or other functions using `terra` or `sf` class data objects can be injected with its arguments. Besides the data objects, file paths can be directly entered in `par_*` functions, where `terra` functions are used in each parallel worker to read the file for further processing. S4 system in R language was adopted in most of the internal functions and `extract_at` to leverage multiple dispatch that allows multiple arguments in different classes to choose the correct function to apply (Wickham 2019). This approach is optimal to manage multiple dependent packages to process various data classes. An argument matching function is provided to support the parallelization of generic spatial data processing functions besides ones in `terra` or `sf` packages to attain generalizability. This allows users to use the same function with the same arguments as they would use it in the non-parallelized version. With required and selective arguments in `par_*` functions, users can easily parallelize their existing geospatial processing code with out minimal rewriting the code.

## Results

### Workflow for parallel geospatial processing with `chopin`

The standard workflow for parallel geospatial processing with `chopin` consists of running one or two functions when the user has all datasets needed to process. Before running `par_*` functions, parallel workers should be configured with a single line with `future` package function `plan` and `multisession`. When multiple threads are used, users should define the number of threads in `workers` argument:

```
library(future)
plan(multisession, workers = 2)
```

Users may change the other parallel strategies supported in `future` package such as `cluster` and `multicore` <sup>1</sup> depending on the computing environment. Other `future` extension solutions including `callr` plan from `future.callr` (Bengtsson 2024) or `mirai_cluster` and `mirai_multisession` from `future.mirai` (Bengtsson and Gao 2024) could provide parallelization options that are free from R's limits in 125 concurrent socket connections with

```
library(future)
library(future.mirai)
plan(mirai_multisession, workers = 2)
```

```
library(future)
library(future.callr)
plan(callr, workers = 2)
```

These commands enable parallel processing of `chopin` functions according to one of three partitioning strategies implemented in `par_grid`, `par_hierarchy`, and `par_multirasters`. Grid partitioning requires two main steps, whereas other partitioning strategies is simplified into one. For grid partitioning, users first create a grid set with `par_pad_grid` or `par_pad_balanced`. `par_pad_grid` is for partitioning the input data coverage into regular grids then post-processing for reducing number of grids with few intersecting features. `par_pad_balanced` divides point data into equal size clusters of adjacent points then distributes each cluster, which will result in irregular grids to subset the other input for processing. The second step is to parallelize the operation with all required inputs with `par_grid`. In other partitioning cases, `par_hierarchy` or `par_multirasters` distributes a generic operation supported in `terra` or `sf` packages or macros in `chopin`. For compatibility with `terra` and `sf` functions, `chopin` parallelization functions accept the fixed argument names `x` and `y` for the function that will be distributed to multiple processes. Users will specify which operation to parallelize and the input data according to the `sf/terra/chopin` function's arguments and up to three additional arguments in each of `par_*` functions for debugging or data selection. When a function outside these packages does not support `x` and `y` arguments, users can write a wrapper mapping `x` and `y` to its key argument names then use the wrapper in `par_grid`, `par_hierarchy`, and `par_multirasters`.

## **par\_\* functions for parallelization of geospatial processing**

---

<sup>1</sup>`multicore` strategy in `future` is only supported in Unix-like operating systems such as Linux and Mac.

Table 1: `par_*` class parallel workload distribution functions. (Note: red lines indicate the division of the workload.)








Function	Example	Description
<code>par_grid</code>		Distribute workload by regular grid areas
<code>par_hierarchy</code>		Hierarchically split workload if supplementary information exists
<code>par_multiraster:</code>		Distribute repeated works with multiple raster files

Table 2: Load-balancing strategies by setting `mode` argument in `par_pad_grid` and `par_pad_balanced`. (Note: red lines indicate the division of the workload.)

mode value	Example	Description
"grid"		Regular grids
"grid_quantile"		Grids from X and Y quantiles
grid_advanced		Merge adjacent grids if there are too few points inside each grid
par_group_balanced		Split the input data into the equally sized groups

`par_pad_grid` is the universal helper functions for points and polygons where multiple modes are supported to attain balanced loads. Two load balancing modes are supported in this function. The first mode accounts for the number of intersecting features when `mode = "grid_advanced"` is set, where in each grid to merge adjacent grids with less than the threshold feature counts. In detail, this mode uses minimum spanning tree from adjacency matrix from the initial grids then weights the number of intersecting points in each grid. If two grids sharing a common edge both report the number of points inside these below the user-defined threshold, the grids are merged, which is known as union operation. One characteristic to note is that it determines the grids to be merged at once rather than using the repeated single merge operations. The other mode (`mode = "grid_quantile"`) utilizes the distribution of coordinates per dimension (i.e., x and y) to obtain irregular rectangular grids from quantiles of x and y dimension coordinates. The created grid sets are passed to the parallel processing function `par_grid`.

`par_hierarchy` splits the computation target features by their embedded hierarchy. The features in the lower hierarchy are grouped into the fewer, higher hierarchy. Examples include the hierarchy in Census geographies (e.g., census tracts in counties) in many countries and watersheds by stream order. Census case could reflect the spatial distribution of underlying information for the delineation of each census geography such as population density. The other example is watersheds, where stream hierarchies constitute the nested boundaries. Both cases will result in load balancing if computation is split along the hierarchy. `par_multirasters` operates on multiple raster files to distribute the computation to parallel workers. This function is useful when the same operation is repeated for multiple raster files with common resolution or extent across the rasters. Calculating the mean of various climate model outputs is an example. (Table 1).

Strict load balancing strategy is implemented with `par_pad_balanced`. This function divides input points into equally sized clusters based on Euclidean distance between point pairs, attaining the balanced computational loads (Papenberg and Klau 2021). As a result, it may equalize the computation time per point cluster in an ideal situation where the density of points are consistent over space and the target dataset has the similar resolution and density in the computation extent of each cluster. Users can utilize coordinate quantiles per each of horizontal and vertical dimensions if the input is a point vector data (`mode = "grid_quantile"`) or merge initial regular grids with a few features inside into larger grid groups then resulting in the fewer grids with more balanced number of features per grids (`mode = "grid_advanced"`) (Table 2).

## Convenience functions for frequent spatial data processing

`chopin` provides a set of functions to streamline the process of extracting and summarizing geospatial data for exposure assessment as auxiliary functions. These functions are designed to be user-friendly and to work seamlessly with the parallelization functions in `chopin`. Such functions are prefixed with `extract_*` and `summarize_*`, the former for raster-vector overlay and the latter for summarizing raster or vector data at vector inputs. `extract_at` is a general

wrapper function that accommodates the most common cases of exposure assessment modeling to extract raster values including climate model outputs, land use, or satellite images at point or polygon vector geometries.

`summarize_*` functions encapsulate the vector-to-vector spatial operations and attribute value statistics involving table manipulations. The `summarize_aw` function calculates the area-weighted mean of raster values at vector polygons, while `summarize_sedc` calculates the sum of exponentially decaying contributions at points from the other point data. Both weighted and nonweighted summary statistics are supported in `summarize_*` functions (Messier, Akita, and Serre 2012).




Figure 2: Raster-vector overlay runtime and peak memory usage by grid configuration in `par_grid`

Table 3: Raster-vector overlay benchmark settings.

Common conditions	Threads	Grids per dimension
N = 2,024,124 Three layers of land surface temperature	1,2,4,8,16,32	2 <sup>1</sup> ,4 <sup>1</sup> ,6,8,10,12,14,16,20,24,32

<sup>1</sup> Cases where the total number of grids is less than the number of threads were excluded from the analysis.

To demonstrate the effectiveness of the parallelization implemented in `chopin`, a benchmark case was tested with a fine-resolution raster dataset and a simulated vector dataset. The land surface temperature for annual mean, 5 and 95 percentiles at 30 meters spatial resolution was obtained from OpenLandMap ([openlandmap.org](http://openlandmap.org)) and we generated 2 kilometers by 2 kilometers regular grid points in the mainland United States (i.e., lower 48 states and District of Columbia, N = 2,024,124) to calculate the mean per layer at 10 kilometers radius circular

buffers using `extract_at`. The test used `mode = "grid"` in `par_make_gridset` to divide the processing extent with a varying number of grid per dimension from 2 to 32. The advantage of parallelization was tested by setting six different numbers of CPU threads (1, 2, 4, 8, 16, and 32) (Table 3) with the `multicore` plan in `future` package, which reduces the overhead to transmit data to parallel workers. The benchmark was done in a computing node with 128 CPU threads and 1 TB memory in the high-performance (HPC) cluster at the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences. We measured the total runtime of the benchmarks as well as the peak memory usage per thread reported by the HPC’s SLURM job manager.

The benchmark results revealed a pronounced reduction in memory usage by dividing the computational extent into smaller grids. The memory usage per grid was reduced to 2.07 percent of the total memory usage compared to the single-thread calculation. The runtime showed a log-log linear relationship with the number of CPU threads, where the speedup attenuated as the number of threads increased (Figure 2).

Further, two representative use cases were tested. The first case involved summarizing land use types from the National Land Use Dataset (Dewitz 2023) at census block groups ( $N = 238,193$ ) in the mainland United States via R package `tigris` (Walker 2015). This task requires the tabulation of the frequency of categorical raster values, which is computationally expensive. The second case involved finding the distance to the nearest major road network from the Bureau of Transportation Statistics (Bureau of Transportation Statistics 2022) for the Block Group population centroids in the mainland United States, obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau (U.S. Census Bureau 2024). The runtime of the single-thread computation was compared with the elapsed time when utilizing 32 threads.

The results showed that parallelization significantly reduced the computation time for both cases. The land use frequency table case took 1338.1 seconds in the single-thread mode, whereas it only took 134.1 seconds with 32 threads. Similarly, the distance to the nearest major road network case took 4427.7 seconds with a single thread, while it was completed in just 84.7 seconds with 32 threads. The runtime was approximately 9.9 times faster for the land use frequency table case and 52.3 times faster for the distance to the nearest major road network case. These results align with the findings from the raster-vector overlay benchmark (Table 4).

Table 4: Benchmark results for two use cases (unit: seconds).

Case	Single	Parallel (32 threads)
Case 1: land use frequency table	1338.149	134.118
Case 2: distance to the closest road	4427.697	84.693

In addition to the benchmark for parallel processing, we present two use cases that demonstrate `chopin` functions.

## Case 1: Land use frequency table

A use case presents `par_grid` workflow from 10,000 random points in the state of North Carolina in the United States. Since `par_grid` operates on `future` parallel backend, users should define a `future` plan before running the functions. `future.mirai`'s `mirai_multisession` plan, which is supported in many platforms and generally faster than plain `future` multisession plan, is used below. Note that any `terra` object entered into a parallel worker is required to be converted to `sf` object. `par_grid` works with the pre-generated processing grid polygons from `par_pad_grid` function. When the input object needs to be buffered, `padding` argument value should be set larger than the buffer radius to ensure that no raster cells are missed in the summarization. Supplementary arguments in `par_grid` such as `pad_y` and `.debug` are useful to designate when the parallelized operation has the required argument `x` at which `y` values are summarized. This case dictates the case where the processing extent of `y` should be buffered to avoid missing any vector geometry or raster cells. `.debug` records error messages in the result when there is an exception while the command is run.

```
library(future)
library(future.mirai)
library(sf)
library(terra)
library(chopin)
options(sf_use_s2 = FALSE)

# setting future.mirai parallel backend
future::plan(future.mirai::mirai_multisession, workers = 2L)

# read data
nccnty_path <- system.file(
  "extdata", "nc_hierarchy.gpkg",
  package = "chopin")
ncelev_path <-
  system.file("extdata/nc_srtm15_otm.tif", package = "chopin")
nccnty <- terra::vect(nccnty_path)

ncsamp <-
  terra::spatSample(
    nccnty,
    1e4L
  )
ncsamp$pid <- 1:nrow(ncsamp)
ncsamp <- sf::st_as_sf(ncsamp)

# generate processing grids
```



```

ncgrid <- par_pad_grid(
  ncsamp, mode = "grid",
  nx = 4L, ny = 2L, padding = 10000)

# run par_grid to parallelize extract_at across grids
pg <-
  par_grid(
    grids = ncgrid,
    fun_dist = extract_at,
    x = ncelev_path,
    y = ncsamp,
    id = "pid",
    radius = 1e4,
    func = "mean",
    pad_y = FALSE,
    .debug = TRUE
  )

```

## Case 2: Counting population in 500 cities in the United States

Cloud based or distributed spatiotemporal data provision platforms such as spatiotemporal asset catalog (STAC) (STAC Contributors 2024) and cloud-optimized rasters such as cloud-optimized GeoTIFF (COG) become increasingly popular. The development of the alternatives may lead to efficient data processing as users are no longer required to download large data in which most parts may be unnecessary for users' focal area of analysis. The data partitioning strategy in `chopin` can take advantage of the partitioned data stream mechanism in COG to increase efficiency in reading partial data only relevant to the processing area (Durbin, Quinn, and Shum 2020). Due to the file path centered design, `chopin` accepts the paths with proper designation of the original files as long as users have geospatial abstraction library (GDAL) supporting such formats. An example below is provided to illustrate to summarize the total population count estimates using the COG raster file uniform resource locators (URL) from OpenLandMap (<https://www.openlandmap.org>) STAC prefixed with the `"/vsicurl/"`.

```

library(chopin)
library(terra)
library(sf)
library(future.mirai)
library(tictoc)
options(sf_use_s2 = FALSE)

# URLs 2020 and 2010 population count raster

```

```

# with /vsicurl/ prefix
vsi_header <- "/vsicurl/"
url_arco <- "https://s3.openlandmap.org/arco/"
filename_p1 <- "pop.count_ghs.jrc_m_100m_s_"
filename_p2 <- "20%d0101_20%d1231"
filename_p3 <- "_go_epsg.4326_v20230620.tif"

pop10_cog <- paste0(
  vsi_header, url_arco,
  filename_p1, sprintf(filename_p2, 10, 10), filename_p3
)
pop20_cog <- paste0(
  vsi_header, url_arco,
  filename_p1, sprintf(filename_p2, 20, 20), filename_p3
)

urls_cog <- c(pop20_cog, pop10_cog)
pop_cog <- terra::rast(c(pop20_cog, pop10_cog))

# Original data from:
# https://data.cdc.gov/download/n44h-hy2j/application%2Fzip
cities500 <- "cityboundary.gpkg"
cities500 <- terra::vect(cities500)
cities500 <- terra::project(cities500, terra::crs(pop_cog))

plan(mirai_multisession, workers = 4)
cities500sf <- sf::st_as_sf(cities500)

cities_pop <- chopin::par_hierarchy(
  regions = cities500sf,
  regions_id = "ST",
  fun_dist = extract_at,
  x = pop20_cog,
  y = cities500sf,
  radius = 0,
  id = "PLACEFIPS",
  pad_y = FALSE,
  .debug = TRUE
)

cities_pop1 <- extract_at(
  x = pop20_cog,

```

```
y = cities500,  
radius = 0,  
id = "PLACEFIPS"  
)
```

Alternatively, this task can be done by `par_multirasters` leveraging the multiple raster URLs.

```
cities_pop_ras <- chopin::par_multirasters(  
  filenames = urls_cog,  
  fun_dist = extract_at,  
  x = pop20_cog,  
  y = cities500sf,  
  radius = 0,  
  id = "PLACEFIPS",  
  .debug = TRUE  
)
```

## Discussion

This study presents an R-based parallel geospatial computation package for general users who want to process massive geospatial data but face challenges in adopting technical details. The package bridges the robust R package ecosystems for parallel processing and geospatial data analysis, significantly improving the usability of parallel spatial processing for a variety of use cases with spatial operations. Additionally, we provide user-friendly functions to assess spatial exposure using common operationalizations, such as extracting summary statistics at point buffers and generic polygons. This enhances the accessibility of these assessment methods to users with introductory or moderate proficiency in the R language. Our use cases demonstrate the usability and efficiency of the package in utilizing maximum computing capacity.

The benchmark and use cases provide useful implications for parallel processing in geospatial data analysis with `chopin`. Grid division demonstrated that coarser grids reduced memory usage while maintaining total computation time when the same number of threads were used. This suggests that data division by `chopin` could be an effective strategy for processing large data in memory-constrained environments, such as personal computers and budget-tier cloud computing services. The overall efficiency of the number of threads, calculated as the ratio of the number of threads used to the runtime of the single-thread processing, ranged from approximately 44% (32 threads) to 90% (2 threads). Considering the overhead of parallelization, it is recommended to use 2-8 threads to process per dataset in order to maximize the efficiency of parallel processing when multiple datasets are involved. This recommendation is particularly relevant in practical research circumstances where users have different datasets to process for

calculate covariates. Furthermore, the nearest feature search case demonstrated that data parallelism in vector-to-vector operations can significantly reduce processing time. However, it should be noted that such operations may yield different results compared to single-thread processing, depending on the spatial density of the data.

There are two external factors that affect the efficiency of geospatial operations with `chopin`. First, the efficiency may vary depending on the operating system, as different operating systems (OS) implement different process management strategies. For example, forking for `multicore` strategy is only supported in Unix-like OS such as Linux and Mac, as opposed to the other `multisession` or `cluster` approach utilizing socket connections that are also available in Windows. In these systems with `multicore` setting, the overhead of forking processes could be smaller than using `multisession` or `cluster` options. Second, network and storage specifications can also impact the efficiency, especially when the computing units are located remotely from the user's storage and network. This situation is often encountered in cloud computing and cyberinfrastructures. Therefore, users are advised to consider potential bottlenecks in parallel processing when using `chopin` in distant computing resources.

As an open-source software, `chopin` is developed in a collaborative manner with assurance of reliability by adopting software development methods including continuous integration and continuous development. The code base is available at the ROpenSci GitHub repository (<https://github.com/ropensci/chopin>) and is maintained with functionality improvements and bug fixes. More than ninety one percent of the code lines were tested to ensure that the functions operate as designed. Every developer is expected to abide by merge rules when one attempts to apply changes to the main branch, which is a term referring to a versioned copy of a repository with multiple users. It protects the software to be quality-controlled and robust while maintaining flexibility by accepting community contributions. External software review that is conducted via R Open Science Foundation (<https://github.com/ropensci/software-review>) provided a great opportunity to improve the usability and to match `chopin` the community standard as a scientific software. The first stable release of `chopin` with this paper will be made on the standard R package repository CRAN to make sure the package is easily available to the general users.

Three future development directions are identified. First, `chopin` will accept three-dimensional geometries with elevation values at each two-dimensional vertex, following the native support of such geometries in backend packages (e.g., `terra`). Second, there is a promising direction to develop `chopin` as a service for processing geospatial data in versatile computing environments. This will involve the development of web applications to provide a user-friendly interface for users who are not familiar with R (Kiran et al. 2018; Evans and Sabel 2012) and an extension to a data analysis pipeline solution `targets` (Landau 2021) to effectively partition large data for parallel execution. Third, the package will offer optimal configurations of load-balancing strategies to achieve faster and more efficient processing based on the user's data characteristics, along with containerization for ensuring efficient reproducibility (Choi et al. 2023). This task will require the development of a generalizable model that can predict the optimal configuration

based on factors such as processing extents, data resolutions, and hardware specifications (Yue et al. 2020).

## **Conclusion**

This study presents an R-based parallel geospatial computation package, `chopin`, which improves the usability and efficiency of for high-resolution and large-scale exposure assessment and beyond. The package offers functions for dividing data into small processing regions to distribute large workloads over computing resources. The versatility of the package allows for optimal use of computational resources equipped with multiple CPU cores. The open-source development will promote the expansion of main functionalities to other spatial data analysis and visualization tools.

## **Acknowledgements**

We appreciate Dr. Eric R. Scott and Alec L. Robitaille for the thorough and comprehensive reviews on the codebase and documentation of the earlier version (0.6.3) of the package through ROpenSci software review, and Dr. Cole Brokamp, Dr. Yang Liu and Ke Xu for the constructive comments for the usability of the initial version. We appreciate Dr. Michael Fessler at the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences for his suggestion on balancing equal loads.

## Software Availability

Name of the software: `chopin`

Version: 0.9.0

Program language: R

Year first available: 2024

Cost: free

Program size: 22.9 MB

Availability: The software is available on R-universe and GitHub.

1. R-universe: <https://https://ropensci.r-universe.dev/chopin>
2. GitHub: <https://www.github.com/ropensci/chopin>

Developers:

1. Insang Song, [aut, ctb], ORCID: 0000-0001-8732-3256
2. Kyle P Messier, [aut, cre], ORCID: 0000-0001-9508-9623

Point of Contact: Kyle P Messier [aut, ctb], [kyle.messier@nih.gov](mailto:kyle.messier@nih.gov)

## **Funding**

Funding: This work was supported by the Climate and Health Outcomes Research Data Systems initiative and the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health and the New Faculty Startup Fund from Seoul National University.

# Declaration of generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process

During the preparation of this work the authors used GitHub Copilot in order to improve the quality and legibility of `chopin` functions and examples. After using GitHub Copilot, the authors reviewed and edited the content as needed and takes responsibility for the content of the published article.

## References

- Armstrong, Marc P., and Paul J. Densham. 1992. "Domain Decomposition for Parallel Processing of Spatial Problems." *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems* 16 (6): 497–513. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-9715\(92\)90041-O](https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-9715(92)90041-O).
- Baston, Daniel. 2023. *exactextractr: Fast Extraction from Raster Datasets Using Polygons*. <https://doi.org/10.32614/CRAN.package.exactextractr>.
- . 2024. "exactextractr." Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11396420>.
- Bengtsson, Henrik. 2021. "A Unifying Framework for Parallel and Distributed Processing in R Using Futures." *The R Journal* 13 (2): 208–27. <https://doi.org/10.32614/RJ-2021-048>.
- . 2024. *future.callr: A Future API for Parallel Processing Using 'callr'*. <https://doi.org/10.32614/CRAN.package.future.callr>.
- Bengtsson, Henrik, and Charlie Gao. 2024. *future.mirai: A 'Future' API for Parallel Processing Using 'mirai'*. <https://doi.org/10.32614/CRAN.package.future.mirai>.
- Bocher, Erwan, Gwendall Petit, Jérémy Bernard, and Sylvain Palominos. 2018. "A Geoprocessing Framework to Compute Urban Indicators: The MAppUCE Tools Chain." *Urban Climate* 24 (June): 153–74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.uclim.2018.01.008>.
- Bossche, Joris Van den, Martin Fleischmann, Thomas Statham, Daniel Jahn, Tom Augspurger, Julia Signell, Pete Gadomski, et al. 2023. "Geopandas/Dask-Geopandas: V0.3.1." Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7875807>.
- Briggs, David J., Susan Collins, Paul Elliott, Paul Fischer, Simon Kingham, Erik Lebet, Karel Pryl, Hans Van Reeuwijk, Kirsty Smallbone, and Andre Van Der Veen. 1997. "Mapping Urban Air Pollution Using GIS: A Regression-Based Approach." *International Journal of Geographical Information Science* 11 (7): 699–718. <https://doi.org/10.1080/136588197242158>.
- Bureau of Transportation Statistics. 2022. "National Network Data Dictionary." <https://doi.org/10.21949/1529045>.
- Choi, Young-Don, Binata Roy, Jared Nguyen, Raza Ahmad, Iman Maghami, Ayman Nassar, Zhiyu Li, et al. 2023. "Comparing Containerization-Based Approaches for Reproducible Computational Modeling of Environmental Systems." *Environmental Modelling & Software* 167 (September): 105760. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2023.105760>.
- Clark, Lara P., Daniel Zilber, Charles Schmitt, David C. Fargo, David M. Reif, Alison A. Motsinger-Reif, and Kyle P. Messier. 2024. "A Review of Geospatial Exposure Models and



- Approaches for Health Data Integration.” *Journal of Exposure Science & Environmental Epidemiology*, September, 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41370-024-00712-8>.
- Clarke, K. C. 2003. “Geocomputation’s Future at the Extremes: High Performance Computing and Nanoclients.” *Parallel Computing* 29 (10): 1281–95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parco.2003.03.001>.
- Csárdi, Gábor, and Tamás Nepusz. 2006. “The Igraph Software Package for Complex Network Research.” *InterJournal Complex Systems*: 1695. <https://igraph.org>.
- Csárdi, Gábor, Tamás Nepusz, Vincent Traag, Szabolcs Horvát, Fabio Zanini, Daniel Noom, and Kirill Müller. 2024. *igraph: Network Analysis and Visualization in R*. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7682609>.
- Dewitz, Jon. 2023. “National Land Cover Database (NLCD) 2021 Products.” U.S. Geological Survey. <https://doi.org/10.5066/P9JZ7AO3>.
- Durbin, Chris, Patrick Quinn, and Dana Shum. 2020. “Task 51 - Cloud-Optimized Format Study.” <https://ntrs.nasa.gov/citations/20200001178>.
- Esri. 2021. “Extent (Environment Setting).” Esri, Inc. <https://desktop.arcgis.com/en/arcmap/latest/tools/environments/output-extent.htm>.
- . 2023. “ArcGIS Pro.”
- Eum, Youngseob, Insang Song, Hwan-Cheol Kim, Jong-Han Leem, and Sun-Young Kim. 2015. “Computation of Geographic Variables for Air Pollution Prediction Models in South Korea.” *Environmental Health and Toxicology* 30 (October): e2015010. <https://doi.org/10.5620/eht.e2015010>.
- Evans, Barry, and Clive E Sabel. 2012. “Open-Source Web-Based Geographical Information System for Health Exposure Assessment.” *International Journal of Health Geographics* 11 (1): 2. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-072X-11-2>.
- Gao, Fan, and Peng Yue. 2018. “A Spark-Based Parallel Framework for Geospatial Raster Data Processing.” In *2018 7th International Conference on Agro-Geoinformatics (Agro-Geoinformatics)*, 1–4. Hangzhou: IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/Agro-Geoinformatics.2018.8476009>.
- GDAL/OGR contributors. 2024. *GDAL/OGR Geospatial Data Abstraction Software Library*. Open Source Geospatial Foundation. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5884351>.
- GEOS contributors. 2021. *GEOS Computational Geometry Library*. Open Source Geospatial Foundation. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11396894>.
- Gillies, Sean et al. 2013. “Rasterio: Geospatial Raster I/O for Python Programmers.” Mapbox. <https://github.com/rasterio/rasterio>.
- Guan, Qingfeng, and Keith C. Clarke. 2010. “A General-Purpose Parallel Raster Processing Programming Library Test Application Using a Geographic Cellular Automata Model.” *International Journal of Geographical Information Science* 24 (5): 695–722. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13658810902984228>.
- Hijmans, Robert J. 2024. *Terra: Spatial Data Analysis*. <https://doi.org/10.32614/CRAN.package.terra>.
- Hoyer, S., and J. Hamman. 2017. “xarray: N-D Labeled Arrays and Datasets in Python.” *Journal of Open Research Software* 5 (1). <https://doi.org/10.5334/jors.148>.
- Jordahl, Kelsey, Joris Van den Bossche, Martin Fleischmann, Jacob Wasserman, James

- McBride, Jeffrey Gerard, Jeff Tratner, et al. 2020. “geopandas: V0.8.1.” Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3946761>.
- Kiran, A., P. K. Gupta, A. K. Jha, and S. Saran. 2018. “Online Geoprocessing Using Multi-Dimensional Gridded Data.” *ISPRS Annals of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences* IV-5 (November): 29–36. <https://doi.org/10.5194/isprs-annals-IV-5-29-2018>.
- Landau, William Michael. 2021. “The Targets r Package: A Dynamic Make-Like Function-Oriented Pipeline Toolkit for Reproducibility and High-Performance Computing.” *Journal of Open Source Software* 6 (57): 2959. <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.02959>.
- Lemmens, R., B. Toxopeus, L. Boerboom, M. Schouwenburg, B. Retsios, W. Nieuwenhuis, and C. Mannaerts. 2018. “Implementation of a Comprehensive and Effective Geoprocessing Workflow Environment.” *The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences* XLII-4/W8 (July): 123–27. <https://doi.org/10.5194/isprs-archives-XLII-4-W8-123-2018>.
- Liu, Wu, Xiaodong Li, Zuo Chen, Guangming Zeng, Tomás León, Jie Liang, Guohe Huang, et al. 2015. “Land Use Regression Models Coupled with Meteorology to Model Spatial and Temporal Variability of NO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> in Changsha, China.” *Atmospheric Environment* 116 (September): 272–80. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.06.056>.
- Ma, Xuying, Bin Zou, Jun Deng, Jay Gao, Ian Longley, Shun Xiao, Bin Guo, et al. 2024. “A Comprehensive Review of the Development of Land Use Regression Approaches for Modeling Spatiotemporal Variations of Ambient Air Pollution: A Perspective from 2011 to 2023.” *Environment International* 183 (January): 108430. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2024.108430>.
- Messier, Kyle P., Yasuyuki Akita, and Marc L. Serre. 2012. “Integrating Address Geocoding, Land Use Regression, and Spatiotemporal Geostatistical Estimation for Groundwater Tetrachloroethylene.” *Environmental Science & Technology* 46 (5): 2772–80. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es203152a>.
- Mineter, Michael J., and Steve Dowers. 1999. “Parallel Processing for Geographical Applications: A Layered Approach.” *Journal of Geographical Systems* 1 (1): 61–74. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s101090050005>.
- Paolella, David A., Christopher W. Tessum, Peter J. Adams, Joshua S. Apte, Sarah Chambliss, Jason Hill, Nicholas Z. Muller, and Julian D. Marshall. 2018. “Effect of Model Spatial Resolution on Estimates of Fine Particulate Matter Exposure and Exposure Disparities in the United States.” *Environmental Science & Technology Letters* 5 (7): 436–41. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.8b00279>.
- Papenberg, Martin, and Gunnar W. Klau. 2021. “Using Anticlustering to Partition Data Sets into Equivalent Parts.” *Psychological Methods* 26 (2): 161–74. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1089-3200.26.2.161>.
- Parvez, Fatema, and Kristina Wagstrom. 2020. “Impact of Regional Versus Local Resolution Air Quality Modeling on Particulate Matter Exposure Health Impact Assessment.” *Air Quality, Atmosphere & Health* 13 (3): 271–79. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11869-019-00786-6>.
- Pebesma, Edzer. 2018. “Simple Features for R: Standardized Support for Spatial Vector Data.” *The R Journal* 10 (1): 439–46. <https://doi.org/10.32614/RJ-2018-009>.

- R Core Team. 2024. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. <https://www.R-project.org/>.
- Saha, Provat K., Albert A. Presto, and Allen L. Robinson. 2023. “Hyper-Local to Regional Exposure Contrast of Source-Resolved PM2.5 Components Across the Contiguous United States: Implications for Health Assessment.” *Journal of Exposure Science & Environmental Epidemiology*, December. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41370-023-00623-0>.
- Shook, Eric, Michael E. Hodgson, Shaowen Wang, Babak Behzad, Kiumars Soltani, April Hiscox, and Jayakrishnan Ajayakumar. 2016. “Parallel Cartographic Modeling: A Methodology for Parallelizing Spatial Data Processing.” *International Journal of Geographical Information Science* 30 (12): 2355–76. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13658816.2016.1172714>.
- STAC Contributors. 2024. “SpatioTemporal Asset Catalog (STAC) Specification.” <https://stacspec.org>.
- The PostGIS Development Group. 2024. “PostGIS.” <https://www.postgis.net>.
- U.S. Census Bureau. 2024. *Centers of Population*. <https://www.census.gov/geographies/reference-files/time-series/geo/centers-population.html>.
- Walker, Kyle. 2015. “Tigris: Load Census TIGER/Line Shapefiles.” <https://doi.org/10.32614/CRAN.package.tigris>.
- Wang, Shaowen. 2010. “A CyberGIS Framework for the Synthesis of Cyberinfrastructure, GIS, and Spatial Analysis.” *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 100 (3): 535–57. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00045601003791243>.
- Wang, Shaowen, Yan Liu, and Anand Padmanabhan. 2016. “Open cyberGIS Software for Geospatial Research and Education in the Big Data Era.” *SoftwareX* 5: 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.softx.2015.10.003>.
- Wickham, Hadley. 2019. *Advanced R*. Second. Boca Raton: CRC Press/Taylor; Francis Group.
- Yang, Chaowei, Keith Clarke, Shashi Shekhar, and C. Vincent Tao. 2020. “Big Spatiotemporal Data Analytics: A Research and Innovation Frontier.” *International Journal of Geographical Information Science* 34 (6): 1075–88. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13658816.2019.1698743>.
- Yin, Dandong, Yan Liu, Hao Hu, Jeff Terstriep, Xingchen Hong, Anand Padmanabhan, and Shaowen Wang. 2019. “CyberGIS-Jupyter for Reproducible and Scalable Geospatial Analytics.” *Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience* 31 (11): e5040. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpe.5040>.
- Yue, Peng, Fan Gao, Boyi Shangguan, and Zheren Yan. 2020. “A Machine Learning Approach for Predicting Computational Intensity and Domain Decomposition in Parallel Geoprocessing.” *International Journal of Geographical Information Science* 34 (11): 2243–74. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13658816.2020.1730850>.
- Zhang, Junqiang, Lirui Xu, Yi Zhang, Gang Liu, Lingjun Zhao, and Yuzhu Wang. 2019. “An On-Demand Scalable Model for Geographic Information System (GIS) Data Processing in a Cloud GIS.” *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information* 8 (9): 392. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijgi8090392>.