

Jordan-Wigner transformation constructed for spinful fermions at spin-1/2 in two dimensions.

Zsolt Gulacsi

Department of Theoretical Physics, University of Debrecen,

H-4010 Debrecen, Bem ter 18/B, Hungary

(Dated: December 17, 2024)

Abstract

Recently a Jordan-Wigner transformation was constructed for spinful fermions at $S=1/2$ spins in one dimension connecting the spin-1/2 operators to genuine spinful canonical Fermi operators. In the presented paper this exact transformation is generalized to two dimensions.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

The Jordan-Wigner transformation mapping the quantum spin-1/2 operators to spinless Fermi operators in 1D represents a basic tool in theoretical physics for almost one century¹. After almost sixty years, maintaining the quantum spin-1/2 to spinless fermion operator interconnection, it has been generalized to two dimensions²⁻⁴, and at the beginning of the second millennium also extended to $S > 1/2$ case⁵. However, the spinless fermionic nature of the used Fermi operators in this transformation hedges the mapping possibilities of this transformation technique in between spin models (systems) and realistic fermionic systems. For example, explicitly spin dependent processes at fermionic level (as e.g. the many-body spin-orbit interaction) cannot be involved.

As Ref.[7] shows, the Jordan-Wigner transformation can be used for deducing exact solutions for 1D spin models by transforming these models in spinless fermion models. But spinless fermion is in fact a mathematical abstraction. The real fermions are spinful. Hence, the transformation of spin operators to spinful Fermi operators provides the possibility to observe (find, deduce) equivalences in between spin models (systems) and realistic fermionic models (systems). These equivalences provide new information for both models and could transpose even approximated knowledge from one model to another. In the context of 2D, where the description possibilities are much harder, such supplementary information are clearly useful. These mapping possibilities were unknown previously, and started to be known given by the presented transformation.

Recently a Jordan-Wigner type of transformation has been worked out which maps in 1D the quantum spin-1/2 spin operators to genuine spinful canonical Fermi operators⁶. This transformation is extended here in exact terms to two dimensions.

The main results of the paper are contained in Eqs.(9, 16, 17, and 30). Based on these relations, the general 2D quantum Heisenberg Hamiltonian in (8) is exactly and explicitly transformed in the language of spinful canonical Fermi operators in Eqs.(31- 37).

The remaining part of the paper is structured as follows: Section II shortly recapitulates the results related to the 1D case, Section III describes the transformation itself in 2D, Section IV explicitly transforms a 2D general Heisenberg Hamiltonian in spinful canonical Fermi operator image, and Section V containing the summary and discussions closes the presentation.

II. SUMMARY OF THE D=1 RESULTS.

The spinful Jordan-Wigner transformation for $S = 1/2$ in 1D has been defined via⁶

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{S}_i^x &= \frac{\hat{a}_i^\dagger + \hat{a}_i}{2X} + \frac{\hat{b}_i^\dagger + \hat{b}_i}{2Y}, & \hat{S}_i^y &= \frac{\hat{a}_i^\dagger - \hat{a}_i}{2iZ} + \frac{\hat{b}_i^\dagger - \hat{b}_i}{2iW}, \\ \hat{S}_i^z &= -\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{XZ} + \frac{1}{YW}\right) + \left(\frac{\hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_i}{XZ} + \frac{\hat{b}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_i}{YW}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{YZ} - \frac{1}{XW}\right)(\hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_i^\dagger + \hat{b}_i \hat{a}_i) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{YZ} + \frac{1}{XW}\right)(\hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_i + \hat{b}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_i),\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

where the \hat{a}_i, \hat{b}_i operators anticommute on-site, and commute inter-sites, and the scalars X, Y, Z, W satisfy the requirements $1 = \frac{1}{X^2} + \frac{1}{Y^2}$, $1 = \frac{1}{Z^2} + \frac{1}{W^2}$ (so one has two free parameters in the transformation). Please note that instead of \hat{S}_i^x, \hat{S}_i^y in the first row of Eq.(1), we can use \hat{S}_i^+, \hat{S}_i^- as well, where $\hat{S}_i^\pm = \hat{S}_i^x \pm i\hat{S}_i^y = 1/2[\hat{a}_i^\dagger(1/X \pm 1/Z) + \hat{a}_i(1/X \mp 1/Z) + \hat{b}_i^\dagger(1/Y \pm 1/W) + \hat{b}_i(1/Y \mp 1/W)]$ holds.

In explaining these relations, I note that in this Eq.(1), \hat{S}_i^x , and \hat{S}_i^y represent the starting point. These starting expressions take into consideration that \hat{S}_i^x, \hat{S}_i^y must be Hermitian. Now, \hat{S}_i^z is deduced from the $[\hat{S}_i^x, \hat{S}_i^y] = i\hat{S}_i^z$ commutation relation prescribed for spin operators, where \hat{S}_i^z is also Hermitian. This required commutation relation provides the \hat{S}_i^z expression presented in Eq.(1). But besides the presented commutation relation, also $[\hat{S}_i^y, \hat{S}_i^z] = i\hat{S}_i^x$, $[\hat{S}_i^z, \hat{S}_i^x] = i\hat{S}_i^y$, and $[\hat{S}_i^2, \hat{S}_i^\alpha] = 0$, where $\alpha = x, y, z$ must be satisfied. It can be easily checked that starting from Eq.(1), and taking into account the conditions presented below Eq.(1), namely $1/X^2 + 1/Y^2 = 1, 1/Z^2 + 1/W^2 = 1$, all required commutation relations are satisfied, and supplementary $\hat{S}_i^2 = 3/4$ (i.e. $S=1/2$) holds. Excepting the presented two conditions for X, Y, Z, W , these parameters are arbitrary.

Now, introducing the extended Jordan-Wigner type of transformations

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{a}_i &= \exp[-i\pi \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (\hat{c}_j^\dagger \hat{c}_j + \hat{f}_j^\dagger \hat{f}_j)] \hat{c}_i, & \hat{b}_i &= \exp[-i\pi \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (\hat{c}_j^\dagger \hat{c}_j + \hat{f}_j^\dagger \hat{f}_j)] \hat{f}_i, \\ \hat{a}_i^\dagger &= \hat{c}_i^\dagger \exp[i\pi \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (\hat{c}_j^\dagger \hat{c}_j + \hat{f}_j^\dagger \hat{f}_j)], & \hat{b}_i^\dagger &= \hat{f}_i^\dagger \exp[i\pi \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (\hat{c}_j^\dagger \hat{c}_j + \hat{f}_j^\dagger \hat{f}_j)],\end{aligned}\quad (2)$$

where the \hat{c}_i, \hat{f}_i are canonical Fermi operators, and considering⁶ $\hat{c}_i = \hat{c}_{i,\sigma}$, $\hat{f}_i = \hat{c}_{i,-\sigma}$, the mapping (1) transforms the spin-1/2 operators in genuine spinful canonical Fermi operators.

Transforming the spin-1/2 operators, the described mapping transforms in spinful fermionic language all Hamiltonians constructed from these spin operators. For exemplification, below I analyze the case of the Heisenberg Hamiltonians.

The 1D Heisenberg Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \sum_i [(J_x \hat{S}_i^x \hat{S}_{i+1}^x + J_y \hat{S}_i^y \hat{S}_{i+1}^y) + J_z \hat{S}_i^z \hat{S}_{i+1}^z] = H_{xy} + H_z, \quad (3)$$

where one considers $J_x = J(1 + \Gamma)$, $J_y = J(1 - \Gamma)$, transforms as follows: First we introduce the coupling constants

$$\begin{aligned} K_\sigma &= \frac{J}{4} \left[\left(\frac{1}{X^2} - \frac{1}{Z^2} \right) + \Gamma \left(\frac{1}{X^2} + \frac{1}{Z^2} \right) \right], \\ K_{-\sigma} &= \frac{J}{4} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Y^2} - \frac{1}{W^2} \right) + \Gamma \left(\frac{1}{Y^2} + \frac{1}{W^2} \right) \right] = \frac{J}{4} \left[- \left(\frac{1}{X^2} - \frac{1}{Z^2} \right) + \Gamma \left(2 - \left(\frac{1}{X^2} + \frac{1}{Z^2} \right) \right) \right], \\ t_\sigma &= \frac{J}{4} \left[\left(\frac{1}{X^2} + \frac{1}{Z^2} \right) + \Gamma \left(\frac{1}{X^2} - \frac{1}{Z^2} \right) \right], \\ t_{-\sigma} &= \frac{J}{4} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Y^2} + \frac{1}{W^2} \right) + \Gamma \left(\frac{1}{Y^2} - \frac{1}{W^2} \right) \right] = \frac{J}{4} \left[\left(2 - \left(\frac{1}{X^2} + \frac{1}{Z^2} \right) \right) - \Gamma \left(\frac{1}{X^2} - \frac{1}{Z^2} \right) \right], \\ K &= \frac{J}{4} \left[\left(\frac{1}{XY} - \frac{1}{WZ} \right) + \Gamma \left(\frac{1}{XY} + \frac{1}{WZ} \right) \right] = \frac{J}{4} [A + \Gamma B], \\ t &= \frac{J}{4} \left[\left(\frac{1}{XY} + \frac{1}{WZ} \right) + \Gamma \left(\frac{1}{XY} - \frac{1}{WZ} \right) \right] = \frac{J}{4} [B + \Gamma A], \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $AB = \frac{1}{X^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{X^2} \right) - \frac{1}{Z^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{Z^2} \right)$ and $A = \frac{1}{XY} - \frac{1}{WZ}$, $\frac{1}{Y^2} = 1 - \frac{1}{X^2}$, $\frac{1}{W^2} = 1 - \frac{1}{Z^2}$. Note that the parameters X, Z are independent, and arbitrary.

The H_{xy} Hamiltonian now becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_{xy} &= \sum_i \sum_\sigma [K_\sigma \hat{c}_{i,\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i+1,\sigma}^\dagger (1 - 2\hat{n}_{i,-\sigma}) + t_\sigma \hat{c}_{i,\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i+1,\sigma} (1 - 2\hat{n}_{i,-\sigma}) + K \hat{c}_{i,\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i+1,-\sigma}^\dagger (1 - 2\hat{n}_{i,-\sigma}) \\ &\quad + t \hat{c}_{i,\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i+1,-\sigma} (1 - 2\hat{n}_{i,-\sigma}) + h.c.] \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Similarly, for \hat{H}_z one finds

$$\hat{H}_z = J_z \sum_i \{ \hat{P}_1(i, i+1) + \hat{P}_2(i, i+1) + \hat{P}_3(i, i+1) \} \quad (6)$$

where, introducing the particle number operator $\hat{n}_{i,\sigma} = \hat{c}_{i,\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i,\sigma}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}_1(i, i+1) &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{XZ} + \frac{1}{YW} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{XZ} + \frac{1}{YW} \right) \left\{ \left[\left(\frac{\hat{n}_{i,\uparrow}}{XZ} + \frac{\hat{n}_{i,\downarrow}}{YW} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{YZ} - \frac{1}{XW} \right) (\hat{c}_{i,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i,\downarrow}^\dagger + \hat{c}_{i,\downarrow} \hat{c}_{i,\uparrow}) \right] \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{YZ} + \frac{1}{XW} \right) (\hat{c}_{i,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i,\downarrow} + \hat{c}_{i,\downarrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i,\uparrow}) \left. \right\} + \left[\left(\frac{\hat{n}_{i+1,\uparrow}}{XZ} + \frac{\hat{n}_{i+1,\downarrow}}{YW} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{YZ} - \frac{1}{XW} \right) (\hat{c}_{i+1,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i+1,\downarrow}^\dagger + \hat{c}_{i+1,\downarrow} \hat{c}_{i+1,\uparrow}) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{YZ} + \frac{1}{XW} \right) (\hat{c}_{i+1,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i+1,\downarrow} + \hat{c}_{i+1,\downarrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i+1,\uparrow}) \left. \right\}, \\ \hat{P}_2(i, i+1) &= \left(\frac{\hat{n}_{i,\uparrow}}{XZ} + \frac{\hat{n}_{i,\downarrow}}{YW} \right) \left(\frac{\hat{n}_{i+1,\uparrow}}{XZ} + \frac{\hat{n}_{i+1,\downarrow}}{YW} \right) + \left(\frac{\hat{n}_{i,\uparrow}}{XZ} + \frac{\hat{n}_{i,\downarrow}}{YW} \right) \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{YZ} - \frac{1}{XW} \right) (\hat{c}_{i+1,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i+1,\downarrow}^\dagger + \hat{c}_{i+1,\downarrow} \hat{c}_{i+1,\uparrow}) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{YZ} + \frac{1}{XW} \right) (\hat{c}_{i+1,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i+1,\downarrow} + \hat{c}_{i+1,\downarrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i+1,\uparrow}) \left. \right] + \left(\frac{\hat{n}_{i+1,\uparrow}}{XZ} + \frac{\hat{n}_{i+1,\downarrow}}{YW} \right) \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{YZ} - \frac{1}{XW} \right) (\hat{c}_{i,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i,\downarrow}^\dagger + \hat{c}_{i,\downarrow} \hat{c}_{i,\uparrow}) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{YZ} + \frac{1}{XW} \right) (\hat{c}_{i,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i,\downarrow} + \hat{c}_{i,\downarrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i,\uparrow}) \left. \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{YZ} + \frac{1}{XW} \right) (\hat{c}_{i,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i,\downarrow} + \hat{c}_{i,\downarrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i,\uparrow}), \\
\hat{P}_3(i, i+1) & = \frac{1}{4} (\hat{c}_{i,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i,\downarrow}^\dagger + \hat{c}_{i,\downarrow} \hat{c}_{i,\uparrow}) \left[\left(\frac{1}{YZ} - \frac{1}{XW} \right)^2 (\hat{c}_{i+1,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i+1,\downarrow}^\dagger + \hat{c}_{i+1,\downarrow} \hat{c}_{i+1,\uparrow}) \right. \\
& + \left(\frac{1}{(YZ)^2} - \frac{1}{(XW)^2} \right) (\hat{c}_{i+1,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i+1,\downarrow} + \hat{c}_{i+1,\downarrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i+1,\uparrow}) \left. \right] + \frac{1}{4} (\hat{c}_{i,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i,\downarrow} + \hat{c}_{i,\downarrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i,\uparrow}) \left[\left(\frac{1}{(YZ)^2} - \frac{1}{(XW)^2} \right) \right. \\
& * (\hat{c}_{i+1,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i+1,\downarrow}^\dagger + \hat{c}_{i+1,\downarrow} \hat{c}_{i+1,\uparrow}) + \left. \left(\frac{1}{YZ} + \frac{1}{XW} \right)^2 (\hat{c}_{i+1,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i+1,\downarrow} + \hat{c}_{i+1,\downarrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i+1,\uparrow}) \right]. \tag{7}
\end{aligned}$$

In order to obtain Eq.(7), we introduce in Eq.(3) the \hat{S}_i^α values from Eq.(1), effectuate the required products ($\hat{S}_i^\alpha \hat{S}_{i+1}^\alpha$) for all $\alpha = x, y, z$, add the three α terms and obtain the Hamiltonian in term of \hat{a}_i and \hat{b}_i operators. After this step, using Eq.(2), where $\hat{c}_i = \hat{c}_{i,\sigma}$, $\hat{f}_i = \hat{c}_{i,-\sigma}$, one obtains Eq.(7). Note that the X, Y, Z, W parameters are not unknown quantities. They can be such chosen to map a fermionic model of interest given in terms of realistic (spinful) fermions in a quantum spin model language written for S=1/2 spins.

III. THE D=2 DIMENSIONAL SPINFUL JORDAN-WIGNER TRANSFORMATION.

One considers a general D=2 dimensional lattice, with Bravais vectors $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2$ (say for simplicity, in x and y directions). An arbitrary lattice site n is given by a pair of indices (i,j). The nearest-neighbors of (i,j) are (i+1,j) in \mathbf{a}_1 , and (i,j+1) in \mathbf{a}_2 direction. The Heisenberg Hamiltonian containing nearest-neighbor interactions defined on this lattice has J_1 coupling in \mathbf{a}_1 , and J_2 coupling in \mathbf{a}_2 direction. Hence

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{(i,j)} [(J_1^x \hat{S}_{i,j}^x \hat{S}_{i+1,j}^x + J_1^y \hat{S}_{i,j}^y \hat{S}_{i,j+1}^y + J_1^z \hat{S}_{i,j}^z \hat{S}_{i+1,j}^z) + (J_2^x \hat{S}_{i,j}^x \hat{S}_{i,j+1}^x + J_2^y \hat{S}_{i,j}^y \hat{S}_{i,j+1}^y + J_2^z \hat{S}_{i,j}^z \hat{S}_{i,j+1}^z)] \tag{8}$$

The transformation of spin-1/2 operators in $\hat{a}_{i,j}, \hat{b}_{i,j}$ hybrid operators (anticommute on-site, and commute inter-site) is given by (1) generalized to 2D

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{S}_{i,j}^x & = \frac{\hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger + \hat{a}_{i,j}}{2X} + \frac{\hat{b}_{i,j}^\dagger + \hat{b}_{i,j}}{2Y}, \quad \hat{S}_{i,j}^y = \frac{\hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger - \hat{a}_{i,j}}{2iZ} + \frac{\hat{b}_{i,j}^\dagger - \hat{b}_{i,j}}{2iW}, \\
\hat{S}_{i,j}^z & = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{XZ} + \frac{1}{YW} \right) + \left(\frac{\hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i,j}}{XZ} + \frac{\hat{b}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i,j}}{YW} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{YZ} - \frac{1}{XW} \right) (\hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i,j}^\dagger + \hat{b}_{i,j} \hat{a}_{i,j}) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{YZ} + \frac{1}{XW} \right) (\hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i,j} + \hat{b}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i,j}), \tag{9}
\end{aligned}$$

where the scalars X, Y, Z, W satisfy $1 = \frac{1}{X^2} + \frac{1}{Y^2}$, $1 = \frac{1}{Z^2} + \frac{1}{W^2}$.

Now (8) can be expressed as follows: Introducing the notations $J_\mu^x = J_\mu(1 + \Gamma_\mu)$, $J_\mu^y = J_\mu(1 - \Gamma_\mu)$, where $\mu = 1, 2$, one finds for the in-plane component $\hat{H}_{xy} = \hat{H}_{xy}(1) + \hat{H}_{xy}(2)$ the expressions

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{H}_{xy}(1) = & \frac{J_1}{4} \sum_{i,j} \{ [(X^{-2} - Z^{-2})(\hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i+1,j}^\dagger + \hat{a}_{i,j} \hat{a}_{i+1,j}) + (X^{-2} + Z^{-2})(\hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i+1,j} + \hat{a}_{i,j} \hat{a}_{i+1,j}^\dagger) + \\
& + (Y^{-2} - W^{-2})(\hat{b}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i+1,j}^\dagger + \hat{b}_{i,j} \hat{b}_{i+1,j}) + (Y^{-2} + W^{-2})(\hat{b}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i+1,j} + \hat{b}_{i,j} \hat{b}_{i+1,j}^\dagger) \\
& + (X^{-1}Y^{-1} - W^{-1}Z^{-1})(\hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i+1,j}^\dagger + \hat{a}_{i,j} \hat{b}_{i+1,j}) + (X^{-1}Y^{-1} + W^{-1}Z^{-1})(\hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i+1,j} + \hat{a}_{i,j} \hat{b}_{i+1,j}^\dagger) \\
& + (X^{-1}Y^{-1} - W^{-1}Z^{-1})(\hat{b}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i+1,j}^\dagger + \hat{b}_{i,j} \hat{a}_{i+1,j}) + (X^{-1}Y^{-1} + W^{-1}Z^{-1})(\hat{b}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i+1,j} + \hat{b}_{i,j} \hat{a}_{i+1,j}^\dagger)] \\
& + \Gamma_1 [(X^{-2} + Z^{-2})(\hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i+1,j}^\dagger + \hat{a}_{i,j} \hat{a}_{i+1,j}) + (X^{-2} - Z^{-2})(\hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i+1,j} + \hat{a}_{i,j} \hat{a}_{i+1,j}^\dagger) \\
& + (Y^{-2} + W^{-2})(\hat{b}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i+1,j}^\dagger + \hat{b}_{i,j} \hat{b}_{i+1,j}) + (Y^{-2} - W^{-2})(\hat{b}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i+1,j} + \hat{b}_{i,j} \hat{b}_{i+1,j}^\dagger) \\
& + (X^{-1}Y^{-1} + W^{-1}Z^{-1})(\hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i+1,j}^\dagger + \hat{a}_{i,j} \hat{b}_{i+1,j}) + (X^{-1}Y^{-1} - W^{-1}Z^{-1})(\hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i+1,j} + \hat{a}_{i,j} \hat{b}_{i+1,j}^\dagger) \\
& + (X^{-1}Y^{-1} + W^{-1}Z^{-1})(\hat{b}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i+1,j}^\dagger + \hat{b}_{i,j} \hat{a}_{i+1,j}) + (X^{-1}Y^{-1} - W^{-1}Z^{-1})(\hat{b}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i+1,j} + \hat{b}_{i,j} \hat{a}_{i+1,j}^\dagger)] \}. \tag{10}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{H}_{xy}(2) = & \frac{J_2}{4} \sum_{i,j} \{ [(X^{-2} - Z^{-2})(\hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i,j+1}^\dagger + \hat{a}_{i,j} \hat{a}_{i,j+1}) + (X^{-2} + Z^{-2})(\hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i,j+1} + \hat{a}_{i,j} \hat{a}_{i,j+1}^\dagger) + \\
& + (Y^{-2} - W^{-2})(\hat{b}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i,j+1}^\dagger + \hat{b}_{i,j} \hat{b}_{i,j+1}) + (Y^{-2} + W^{-2})(\hat{b}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i,j+1} + \hat{b}_{i,j} \hat{b}_{i,j+1}^\dagger) \\
& + (X^{-1}Y^{-1} - W^{-1}Z^{-1})(\hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i,j+1}^\dagger + \hat{a}_{i,j} \hat{b}_{i,j+1}) + (X^{-1}Y^{-1} + W^{-1}Z^{-1})(\hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i,j+1} + \hat{a}_{i,j} \hat{b}_{i,j+1}^\dagger) \\
& + (X^{-1}Y^{-1} - W^{-1}Z^{-1})(\hat{b}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i,j+1}^\dagger + \hat{b}_{i,j} \hat{a}_{i,j+1}) + (X^{-1}Y^{-1} + W^{-1}Z^{-1})(\hat{b}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i,j+1} + \hat{b}_{i,j} \hat{a}_{i,j+1}^\dagger)] \\
& + \Gamma_2 [(X^{-2} + Z^{-2})(\hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i,j+1}^\dagger + \hat{a}_{i,j} \hat{a}_{i,j+1}) + (X^{-2} - Z^{-2})(\hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i,j+1} + \hat{a}_{i,j} \hat{a}_{i,j+1}^\dagger) \\
& + (Y^{-2} + W^{-2})(\hat{b}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i,j+1}^\dagger + \hat{b}_{i,j} \hat{b}_{i,j+1}) + (Y^{-2} - W^{-2})(\hat{b}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i,j+1} + \hat{b}_{i,j} \hat{b}_{i,j+1}^\dagger) \\
& + (X^{-1}Y^{-1} + W^{-1}Z^{-1})(\hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i,j+1}^\dagger + \hat{a}_{i,j} \hat{b}_{i,j+1}) + (X^{-1}Y^{-1} - W^{-1}Z^{-1})(\hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i,j+1} + \hat{a}_{i,j} \hat{b}_{i,j+1}^\dagger) \\
& + (X^{-1}Y^{-1} + W^{-1}Z^{-1})(\hat{b}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i,j+1}^\dagger + \hat{b}_{i,j} \hat{a}_{i,j+1}) + (X^{-1}Y^{-1} - W^{-1}Z^{-1})(\hat{b}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i,j+1} + \hat{b}_{i,j} \hat{a}_{i,j+1}^\dagger)] \}. \tag{11}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for the z component in (8) one obtains

$$\hat{H}_z = \sum_{\nu=1,2} \sum_n J_\nu^z \{ \hat{P}_1(n, n + \nu) + \hat{P}_2(n, n + \nu) + \hat{P}_3(n, n + \nu) \} = \sum_{\nu=1,2} \hat{H}_z(\nu) \tag{12}$$

In this expression the meaning of the abbreviations is $n = (i, j)$, $n + (\nu = 1) = (i + 1, j)$, $n + (\nu = 2) = (i, j + 1)$. One has

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{P}_1(n, n + \nu) = & \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{XZ} + \frac{1}{YW} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{XZ} + \frac{1}{YW} \right) \left\{ \left[\frac{\hat{a}_n^\dagger \hat{a}_n}{XZ} + \frac{\hat{b}_n^\dagger \hat{b}_n}{YW} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{YZ} - \frac{1}{XW} \right) (\hat{a}_n^\dagger \hat{b}_n^\dagger + \hat{b}_n \hat{a}_n) \right. \right. \\
& + \left. \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{YZ} + \frac{1}{XW} \right) (\hat{a}_n^\dagger \hat{b}_n + \hat{b}_n^\dagger \hat{a}_n) \right] + \left[\frac{\hat{a}_{n+\nu}^\dagger \hat{a}_{n+\nu}}{XZ} + \frac{\hat{b}_{n+\nu}^\dagger \hat{b}_{n+\nu}}{YW} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{YZ} - \frac{1}{XW} \right) \right. \\
& * \left. \left. (\hat{a}_{n+\nu}^\dagger \hat{b}_{n+\nu}^\dagger + \hat{b}_{n+\nu} \hat{a}_{n+\nu}) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{YZ} + \frac{1}{XW} \right) (\hat{a}_{n+\nu}^\dagger \hat{b}_{n+\nu} + \hat{b}_{n+\nu}^\dagger \hat{a}_{n+\nu}) \right] \right\}, \tag{13}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{P}_2(n, n + \nu) &= \left(\frac{\hat{a}_n^\dagger \hat{a}_n}{XZ} + \frac{\hat{b}_n^\dagger \hat{b}_n}{YW} \right) \left(\frac{\hat{a}_{n+\nu}^\dagger \hat{a}_{n+\nu}}{XZ} + \frac{\hat{b}_{n+\nu}^\dagger \hat{b}_{n+\nu}}{YW} \right) + \left(\frac{\hat{a}_n^\dagger \hat{a}_n}{XZ} + \frac{\hat{b}_n^\dagger \hat{b}_n}{YW} \right) \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{YZ} - \frac{1}{XW} \right) \right. \\
&* \left. \left(\hat{a}_{n+\nu}^\dagger \hat{b}_{n+\nu}^\dagger + \hat{b}_{n+\nu} \hat{a}_{n+\nu} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{YZ} + \frac{1}{XW} \right) \left(\hat{a}_{n+\nu}^\dagger \hat{b}_{n+\nu} + \hat{b}_{n+\nu}^\dagger \hat{a}_{n+\nu} \right) \right] \\
&+ \left(\frac{\hat{a}_{n+\nu}^\dagger \hat{a}_{n+\nu}}{XZ} + \frac{\hat{b}_{n+\nu}^\dagger \hat{b}_{n+\nu}}{YW} \right) \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{YZ} - \frac{1}{XW} \right) \right] \left(\hat{a}_n^\dagger \hat{b}_n^\dagger + \hat{b}_n \hat{a}_n \right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{YZ} + \frac{1}{XW} \right) \left(\hat{a}_n^\dagger \hat{b}_n + \hat{b}_n^\dagger \hat{a}_n \right), \tag{14}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{P}_3(n, n + \nu) &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\hat{a}_n^\dagger \hat{b}_n^\dagger + \hat{b}_n \hat{a}_n \right) \left[\left(\frac{1}{YZ} - \frac{1}{XW} \right)^2 \left(\hat{a}_{n+\nu}^\dagger \hat{b}_{n+\nu}^\dagger + \hat{b}_{n+\nu} \hat{a}_{n+\nu} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{(YZ)^2} - \frac{1}{(XW)^2} \right) \right. \\
&* \left. \left(\hat{a}_{n+\nu}^\dagger \hat{b}_{n+\nu} + \hat{b}_{n+\nu}^\dagger \hat{a}_{n+\nu} \right) \right] + \frac{1}{4} \left(\hat{a}_n^\dagger \hat{b}_n + \hat{b}_n^\dagger \hat{a}_n \right) \left[\left(\frac{1}{(YZ)^2} - \frac{1}{(XW)^2} \right) \left(\hat{a}_{n+\nu}^\dagger \hat{b}_{n+\nu}^\dagger + \hat{b}_{n+\nu} \hat{a}_{n+\nu} \right) \right. \\
&+ \left. \left(\frac{1}{YZ} + \frac{1}{XW} \right)^2 \left(\hat{a}_{n+\nu}^\dagger \hat{b}_{n+\nu} + \hat{b}_{n+\nu}^\dagger \hat{a}_{n+\nu} \right) \right]. \tag{15}
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, one has for the Heisenberg Hamiltonian from (8), the relation $\hat{H} = \hat{H}_{xy} + \hat{H}_z$.




FIG. 1: Contributions to $\alpha_{i,j}$ in Eqs.(16,17). The studied (i, j) lattice site is denoted by an open circle. Black dots are denoting lattice sites contributing to $\alpha_{i,j}$.

Now we must transform the hybrid $\hat{a}_{i,j}, \hat{b}_{i,j}$ operators in genuine canonical Fermi operators. This is done via the extension of (2) to two dimensions by the expressions

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{a}_{i,j} &= \exp[-i(\hat{\alpha}_{i,j}^c + \hat{\alpha}_{i,j}^f)] \hat{c}_{i,j}, & \hat{b}_{i,j} &= \exp[-i(\hat{\alpha}_{i,j}^c + \hat{\alpha}_{i,j}^f)] \hat{f}_{i,j}, \\
\hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger &= \hat{c}_{i,j}^\dagger \exp[+i(\hat{\alpha}_{i,j}^c + \hat{\alpha}_{i,j}^f)], & \hat{b}_{i,j}^\dagger &= \hat{f}_{i,j}^\dagger \exp[+i(\hat{\alpha}_{i,j}^c + \hat{\alpha}_{i,j}^f)], \tag{16}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{c}_{i,j}, \hat{f}_{i,j}$ are canonical Fermi operators, and

$$\hat{\alpha}_{i,j}^c = \pi \left(\sum_{i'=0}^{i-1} \sum_{j'=0}^{\infty} \hat{n}_{i',j'}^c + \sum_{j'=0}^{j-1} \hat{n}_{i,j'}^c \right), \quad \hat{\alpha}_{i,j}^f = \pi \left(\sum_{i'=0}^{i-1} \sum_{j'=0}^{\infty} \hat{n}_{i',j'}^f + \sum_{j'=0}^{j-1} \hat{n}_{i,j'}^f \right), \tag{17}$$

where $\hat{n}_{i,j}^c = \hat{c}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i,j}$, and $\hat{n}_{i,j}^f = \hat{f}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{f}_{i,j}$. I note that the 2D extension of (2) presented in (16, 17), generalizes for the spinful case the technique used in Ref.².

In order to understand (17), see Fig.1. I note that for a site (i,j) (presented as an open circle in Fig.1), in $\hat{a}_{i,j}^\mu$, $\mu = c, f$, all \hat{n}^μ contributions present on the sites denoted by black dots in Fig.1 are taken into account.

In using (16,17), first one starts from the canonical Fermi operator nature of the $\hat{c}_{i,j}, \hat{f}_{i,j}$ operators. Given by this, all $\hat{n}_{i,j}^\mu$ operators, independent on $\mu = c, f$, and (i, j) commute. Hence, on-site, in bi-quadratic relations, $\hat{a}_{i,j}$ transform to $\hat{c}_{i,j}$, and $\hat{b}_{i,j}$ to $\hat{f}_{i,j}$ for all sites (i,j) (e.g. $\hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i,j} = \hat{c}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{f}_{i,j}$, etc). Consequently, the anticommutation nature of $\hat{c}_{i,j}, \hat{f}_{i,j}$ operators imply the local anticommutation of the $\hat{a}_{i,j}, \hat{b}_{i,j}$ operators. On this line I also note, that evaluating based on (16,17) e.g. $\{\hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger, \hat{b}_{i,j}^\dagger\} = \{\hat{c}_{i,j}^\dagger, \hat{f}_{i,j}^\dagger\}$, one uses as well the relation $\exp[\pm 2i\pi \hat{n}_{i,j}^\mu] = 1$ since in the fermionic case, the particle number operator $\hat{n}_{i,j}^\mu$ has only eigenvalues zero or one.

Turning now on off-site relations, first one observes that in the transformed expression of the Hamiltonian (10-15), two type of off-site relations are present: a) those connecting the sites (i, j) to $(i, j + 1)$, and b) those connecting the sites (i, j) to $(i + 1, j)$. In evaluating these, one uses the following relations that hold for an arbitrary canonical Fermi operator \hat{c} , and $\hat{n} = \hat{c}^\dagger \hat{c}$, where one also has $\exp[\pm i\pi \hat{n}] = 1 - 2\hat{n}$:

$$\hat{c}^\dagger (\exp[\pm i\pi \hat{n}]) = \hat{c}^\dagger, (\exp[\pm i\pi \hat{n}]) \hat{c}^\dagger = -\hat{c}^\dagger, \hat{c} (\exp[\pm i\pi \hat{n}]) = -\hat{c}, (\exp[\pm i\pi \hat{n}]) \hat{c} = \hat{c}. \quad (18)$$

Let us now start with the case a) mentioned above [(i,j) and (i,j+1) sites together]. Based on the above provided information (see (16-18)), one obtains e.g.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i,j+1} &= \hat{c}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i,j+1} e^{-i\pi \hat{n}_{i,j}^f}, & \hat{a}_{i,j+1} \hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger &= -\hat{c}_{i,j+1} \hat{c}_{i,j}^\dagger e^{-i\pi \hat{n}_{i,j}^f}, \\ \hat{b}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i,j+1} &= \hat{f}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{f}_{i,j+1} e^{-i\pi \hat{n}_{i,j}^c}, & \hat{b}_{i,j+1} \hat{b}_{i,j}^\dagger &= -\hat{f}_{i,j+1} \hat{f}_{i,j}^\dagger e^{-i\pi \hat{n}_{i,j}^c}, \\ \hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i,j+1} &= \hat{c}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{f}_{i,j+1} e^{-i\pi \hat{n}_{i,j}^f}, & \hat{b}_{i,j+1} \hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger &= -\hat{f}_{i,j+1} \hat{c}_{i,j}^\dagger e^{-i\pi \hat{n}_{i,j}^f}, \\ \hat{b}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i,j+1} &= \hat{f}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i,j+1} e^{-i\pi \hat{n}_{i,j}^c}, & \hat{a}_{i,j+1} \hat{b}_{i,j}^\dagger &= -\hat{c}_{i,j+1} \hat{f}_{i,j}^\dagger e^{-i\pi \hat{n}_{i,j}^c}, \\ \hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i,j+1}^\dagger &= \hat{c}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{f}_{i,j+1}^\dagger e^{+i\pi \hat{n}_{i,j}^f}, & \hat{b}_{i,j+1}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger &= -\hat{f}_{i,j+1}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i,j}^\dagger e^{+i\pi \hat{n}_{i,j}^f}, \\ \hat{b}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i,j+1}^\dagger &= \hat{f}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i,j+1}^\dagger e^{+i\pi \hat{n}_{i,j}^c}, & \hat{a}_{i,j+1}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i,j}^\dagger &= -\hat{c}_{i,j+1}^\dagger \hat{f}_{i,j}^\dagger e^{+i\pi \hat{n}_{i,j}^c}, \\ & \text{--- etc. ---} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Based on (19), it can be observed that $[\hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger, \hat{a}_{i,j+1}] = \{\hat{c}_{i,j}^\dagger, \hat{c}_{i,j+1}\} \exp[-i\pi \hat{n}_{i,j}^f]$, $[\hat{b}_{i,j}^\dagger, \hat{b}_{i,j+1}] =$

$\{\hat{f}_{i,j}^\dagger, \hat{f}_{i,j+1}\} \exp[-i\pi\hat{n}_{i,j}^c]$, etc., hence the anticommutation of the canonical Fermi operators $\hat{c}_{i,j}, \hat{f}_{i',j'}$ automatically implies the off-site commutation of the $\hat{a}_{i,j}, \hat{b}_{i',j'}$ operators.

Furthermore, one observes that in (19), turning from the bi-quadratic product of the hybrid operators $\hat{a}_{i,j}, \hat{b}_{i',j'}$ to the bi-quadratic product of the canonical Fermi operators $\hat{c}_{i,j}, \hat{f}_{i',j'}$, a phase factor term appears (multiplying the canonical Fermi operators), which in the most general case can be denoted by $\phi_{i,j;i',j'}^{\mu,\mu'}$, where (i,j) denotes the starting site, (i',j') the ending site, μ being the annihilation operator type present at (i,j) (creation operator is denoted by $\bar{\mu}$), while μ' specifies the operator type at (i',j') . For example, based on (19), one has e.g.

$$\phi_{i,j;i,j+1}^{\bar{c},c} = -\pi\hat{n}_{i,j}^f, \quad \phi_{i,j;i,j+1}^{\bar{f},f} = -\pi\hat{n}_{i,j}^c, \quad \phi_{i,j;i,j+1}^{\bar{c},f} = -\pi\hat{n}_{i,j}^f, \quad \phi_{i,j;i,j+1}^{\bar{f},c} = -\pi\hat{n}_{i,j}^c, \quad (20)$$

Consequently, the transformations from (19) can be similarly written as e.g.

$$\hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i,j+1} = \hat{c}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i,j+1} e^{i\phi_{i,j;i,j+1}^{\bar{c},c}}, \quad \hat{b}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i,j+1} = \hat{f}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{f}_{i,j+1} e^{i\phi_{i,j;i,j+1}^{\bar{f},f}}, \quad \hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i,j+1} = \hat{c}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{f}_{i,j+1} e^{i\phi_{i,j;i,j+1}^{\bar{c},f}} \quad (21)$$

Interchanging the operator order in (21), the phase factor $e^{i\phi}$ remains the same, but given by (18), a global sign change appears. In this manner, starting from (16,17) the hybrid operators $\hat{a}_{i,j}, \hat{b}_{i',j'}$ transform in genuine canonical Fermi operators $\hat{c}_{i,j}, \hat{f}_{i',j'}$.

Note that based on (16,17) this property remains valid for arbitrary (i,j) to $(i,j+n)$ term, only the phase factor is changing. For example

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i,j+n} &= \hat{c}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i,j+n} \exp[i\phi_{i,j;i,j+n}^{\bar{c},c}], & \hat{a}_{i,j+n} \hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger &= -\hat{c}_{i,j+n} \hat{c}_{i,j}^\dagger \exp[i\phi_{i,j;i,j+n}^{\bar{c},c}], \\ \phi_{i,j;i,j+n}^{\bar{c},c} &= -\pi[\hat{n}_{i,j}^f + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (\hat{n}_{i,j+k}^c + \hat{n}_{i,j+k}^f)] \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Consequently, $[\hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger, \hat{a}_{i,j+n}] = \{\hat{c}_{i,j}^\dagger, \hat{c}_{i,j+n}\} \exp[i\phi_{i,j;i,j+n}^{\bar{c},c}]$, hence, since in the right side $\{\hat{c}_{i,j}^\dagger, \hat{c}_{i,j+n}\} = 0$ holds, $[\hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger, \hat{a}_{i,j+n}] = 0$ is also satisfied. Exemplified by Eq.(22), (see also Eqs.(25, 29)), it can be seen that the presented transformation can be applied not only to a Heisenberg Hamiltonian as presented in Eq.(8), but for an arbitrary spin Hamiltonian written in terms of quantum spin-1/2 operators.

Let us now analyze the case b) when sites (i,j) and $(i+1,j)$ occur together in the transformed Hamiltonian (10-15). The transformations from $\hat{a}_{i,j}, \hat{b}_{i',j'}$ to $\hat{c}_{i,j}, \hat{f}_{i',j'}$ based on (16,17) remain basically of the form presented in (21), but comparing to (20) where one component phases are present, the phase terms ϕ become much more complicated even for

nearest neighbors. Let us analyze some examples

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i+1,j} &= \hat{c}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i+1,j} \exp[i\phi_{i,j;i+1,j}^{\bar{c},c}], \quad \hat{a}_{i+1,j} \hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger = -\hat{c}_{i+1,j} \hat{c}_{i,j}^\dagger \exp[i\phi_{i,j;i+1,j}^{\bar{c},c}], \\
b_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i+1,j} &= \hat{f}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{f}_{i+1,j} \exp[i\phi_{i,j;i+1,j}^{\bar{f},f}], \quad \hat{b}_{i+1,j} \hat{b}_{i,j}^\dagger = -\hat{f}_{i+1,j} \hat{f}_{i,j}^\dagger \exp[i\phi_{i,j;i+1,j}^{\bar{f},f}], \\
a_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i+1,j} &= \hat{c}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{f}_{i+1,j} \exp[i\phi_{i,j;i+1,j}^{\bar{c},f}], \quad \hat{b}_{i+1,j} \hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger = -\hat{f}_{i+1,j} \hat{c}_{i,j}^\dagger \exp[i\phi_{i,j;i+1,j}^{\bar{c},f}], \\
b_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i+1,j} &= \hat{f}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i+1,j} \exp[i\phi_{i,j;i+1,j}^{\bar{f},c}], \quad \hat{a}_{i+1,j} \hat{b}_{i,j}^\dagger = -\hat{c}_{i+1,j} \hat{f}_{i,j}^\dagger \exp[i\phi_{i,j;i+1,j}^{\bar{f},c}], \\
a_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i+1,j}^\dagger &= \hat{c}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{f}_{i+1,j}^\dagger \exp[i\phi_{i,j;i+1,j}^{\bar{c},\bar{f}}], \quad \hat{b}_{i+1,j}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger = -\hat{f}_{i+1,j}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i,j}^\dagger \exp[i\phi_{i,j;i+1,j}^{\bar{c},\bar{f}}], \quad (23)
\end{aligned}$$

As seen, the transformation to genuine canonic Fermi operators works well also in this case, since e.g. $[\hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger, \hat{a}_{i+1,j}] = \{\hat{c}_{i,j}^\dagger, \hat{c}_{i+1,j}\} \exp[i\phi_{i,j;i+1,j}^{\bar{c},c}]$, hence, since in the right side $\{\hat{c}_{i,j}^\dagger, \hat{c}_{i+1,j}\} = 0$ holds, $[\hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger, \hat{a}_{i+1,j}] = 0$ is also satisfied. The phase factors however are much more complicated, namely

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\phi}_{i,j;i+1,j}^{\bar{c},c} &= -\pi[\hat{n}_{i,j}^f + \sum_{\mu=c,f} (\sum_{j'=j+1}^{\infty} \hat{n}_{i,j'}^\mu + \sum_{j'=0}^{j-1} \hat{n}_{i+1,j'}^\mu)], \\
\hat{\phi}_{i,j;i+1,j}^{\bar{f},f} &= -\pi[\hat{n}_{i,j}^c + \sum_{\mu=c,f} (\sum_{j'=j+1}^{\infty} \hat{n}_{i,j'}^\mu + \sum_{j'=0}^{j-1} \hat{n}_{i+1,j'}^\mu)], \\
\hat{\phi}_{i,j;i+1,j}^{\bar{c},f} &= -\pi[\hat{n}_{i,j}^f + \sum_{\mu=c,f} (\sum_{j'=j+1}^{\infty} \hat{n}_{i,j'}^\mu + \sum_{j'=0}^{j-1} \hat{n}_{i+1,j'}^\mu)], \\
\hat{\phi}_{i,j;i+1,j}^{\bar{f},c} &= -\pi[\hat{n}_{i,j}^c + \sum_{\mu=c,f} (\sum_{j'=j+1}^{\infty} \hat{n}_{i,j'}^\mu + \sum_{j'=0}^{j-1} \hat{n}_{i+1,j'}^\mu)], \\
\hat{\phi}_{i,j;i+1,j}^{\bar{c},\bar{f}} &= +\pi[\hat{n}_{i,j}^f + \sum_{\mu=c,f} (\sum_{j'=j+1}^{\infty} \hat{n}_{i,j'}^\mu + \sum_{j'=0}^{j-1} \hat{n}_{i+1,j'}^\mu)], \quad (24)
\end{aligned}$$

For better understanding, the sites contributing in the sums present in the right side of (24) are presented by black dots in Fig.2.

If at fixed j , instead of the $(i+1,j)$ site $(i+n,j)$ site appears, the (23) relations type remains true, e.g.

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i+n,j} &= \hat{c}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i+n,j} \exp[i\phi_{i,j;i+n,j}^{\bar{c},c}], \quad \hat{a}_{i+n,j} \hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger = -\hat{c}_{i+n,j} \hat{c}_{i,j}^\dagger \exp[i\phi_{i,j;i+n,j}^{\bar{c},c}], \\
b_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i+n,j} &= \hat{f}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{f}_{i+n,j} \exp[i\phi_{i,j;i+n,j}^{\bar{f},f}], \quad \hat{b}_{i+n,j} \hat{b}_{i,j}^\dagger = -\hat{f}_{i+n,j} \hat{f}_{i,j}^\dagger \exp[i\phi_{i,j;i+n,j}^{\bar{f},f}], \quad (25)
\end{aligned}$$

but in the phase terms (24), all intermediate columns in between (i,j) and $(i+n,j)$ enter in the contributing sums

$$\hat{\phi}_{i,j;i+n,j}^{\bar{c},c} = -\pi[\hat{n}_{i,j}^f + \sum_{\mu=c,f} (\sum_{j'=j+1}^{\infty} \hat{n}_{i,j'}^\mu + \sum_{k=i+1}^{i+n-1} \sum_{j'=0}^{\infty} \hat{n}_{k,j'}^\mu + \sum_{j'=0}^{j-1} \hat{n}_{i+n,j'}^\mu)],$$

$$\hat{\phi}_{i,j;i+n,j}^{\bar{f},f} = -\pi[\hat{n}_{i,j}^c + \sum_{\mu=c,f} (\sum_{j'=j+1}^{\infty} \hat{n}_{i,j'}^{\mu} + \sum_{k=i+1}^{i+n-1} \sum_{j'=0}^{\infty} \hat{n}_{k,j'}^{\mu} + \sum_{j'=0}^{j-1} \hat{n}_{i+n,j'}^{\mu})], \quad (26)$$

For exemplification, the sites contributing in the sums from (26) are presented for the $n=2$




FIG. 2: Sites contributing in the sum present in $\hat{\phi}$ phases in (24) are denoted by black dots. The open circles are representing the sites (i,j) and $(i+1,j)$.

case in Fig.3a as black dots. Besides, based on (25) type of relations, the transformation of the hybrid operators in canonical Fermi operators is successfully performed at arbitrary n .

Now one considers the most general arbitrary case relating the (i,j) site to an arbitrary $(i+n,j+m)$ site. The same properties as presented above remain. For exemplification

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i,j}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{i+n,j+m} &= \hat{c}_{i,j}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{i+n,j+m} \exp[i\phi_{i,j;i+n,j+m}^{\bar{c},c}], \quad \hat{a}_{i+n,j+m} \hat{a}_{i,j}^{\dagger} = -\hat{c}_{i+n,j+m} \hat{c}_{i,j}^{\dagger} \exp[i\phi_{i,j;i+n,j+m}^{\bar{c},c}], \\ b_{i,j}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{i+n,j+m} &= \hat{f}_{i,j}^{\dagger} \hat{f}_{i+n,j+m} \exp[i\phi_{i,j;i+n,j+m}^{\bar{f},f}], \quad \hat{b}_{i+n,j+m} \hat{b}_{i,j}^{\dagger} = -\hat{f}_{i+n,j+m} \hat{f}_{i,j}^{\dagger} \exp[i\phi_{i,j;i+n,j+m}^{\bar{f},f}], \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where one has

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\phi}_{i,j;i+n,j+m}^{\bar{c},c} &= -\pi[\hat{n}_{i,j}^c + \sum_{\mu=c,f} (\sum_{j'=j+1}^{\infty} \hat{n}_{i,j'}^{\mu} + \sum_{k=i+1}^{i+n-1} \sum_{j'=0}^{\infty} \hat{n}_{k,j'}^{\mu} + \sum_{j'=0}^{j+m-1} \hat{n}_{i+n,j'}^{\mu})], \\ \hat{\phi}_{i,j;i+n,j+m}^{\bar{f},f} &= -\pi[\hat{n}_{i,j}^c + \sum_{\mu=c,f} (\sum_{j'=j+1}^{\infty} \hat{n}_{i,j'}^{\mu} + \sum_{k=i+1}^{i+n-1} \sum_{j'=0}^{\infty} \hat{n}_{k,j'}^{\mu} + \sum_{j'=0}^{j+m-1} \hat{n}_{i+n,j'}^{\mu})], \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

For better understanding, Fig.3b presents the sites contributing in the sums from (28) by black dots (in the particular case $n=3, m=2$). Given by (27), as exemplified in (29)

$$[\hat{a}_{i,j}^{\dagger}, \hat{a}_{i+n,j+m}] = \{\hat{c}_{i,j}^{\dagger}, \hat{c}_{i+n,j+m}\} \exp[i\phi_{i,j;i+n,j+m}^{\bar{c},c}],$$




FIG. 3: a) Sites contributing in the sum present in $\hat{\phi}$ phases in (26) denoted by black dots in the particular case $n = 2$. Note that the sites (i,j) and $(i+2,j)$ are denoted by open circles. b) Sites contributing in the sum present in $\hat{\phi}$ phases in (28) denoted by black dots in the particular case $n = 3, m = 2$. Note that the open circles are representing the sites (i,j) and $(i+3,j+2)$.

$$[\hat{b}_{i,j}^\dagger, \hat{b}_{i+n,j+m}] = \{\hat{f}_{i,j}^\dagger, \hat{f}_{i+n,j+m}\} \exp[i\phi_{i,j;i+n,j+m}^{\bar{f},f}]. \quad (29)$$

As can be seen, the canonical Fermi operator nature of the \hat{c}_n, \hat{f}_n operators, based on (16,17), besides the on-site anticommutativity, provide also the off-site commutativity property for the \hat{a}_n, \hat{b}_n operators at arbitrary sites. Hence, based on (16,17), the transformation in genuine canonical Fermi operators has been effectuated. Now for these, one takes⁶

$$\hat{c}_{i,j} = \hat{c}_{i,j,\sigma}, \quad \hat{f}_{i,j} = \hat{c}_{i,j,-\sigma}. \quad (30)$$

Consequently, the transformation in 2D of $S=1/2$ spin operators to genuine spinful canonical Fermi operators has been performed.

IV. TRANSCRIPTION OF THE HAMILTONIAN

The \hat{H}_z term (12-15) contains only on-site terms, so its expression in terms of spinful canonical Fermi operators will not contain phase terms. Concerning the notations, here one

has $n = (i, j)$, $n + (\nu = 1) = (i + 1, j)$, $n + (\nu = 2) = (i, j + 1)$. Hence one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_z &= \sum_{\nu=1,2} \sum_n J_\nu^z \{ \hat{P}_1(n, n + \nu) + \hat{P}_2(n, n + \nu) + \hat{P}_3(n, n + \nu) \}, \\ \hat{P}_1(n, n + \nu) &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{XZ} + \frac{1}{YW} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{XZ} + \frac{1}{YW} \right) \left\{ \left[\frac{\hat{c}_{n,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{n,\uparrow}}{XZ} + \frac{\hat{c}_{n,\downarrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{n,\downarrow}}{YW} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{YZ} - \frac{1}{XW} \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad * \left. \left(\hat{c}_{n,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{n,\downarrow} + \hat{c}_{n,\downarrow} \hat{c}_{n,\uparrow} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{YZ} + \frac{1}{XW} \right) \left(\hat{c}_{n,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{n,\downarrow} + \hat{c}_{n,\downarrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{n,\uparrow} \right) \right] + \left[\frac{\hat{c}_{n+\nu,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{n+\nu,\uparrow}}{XZ} \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{\hat{c}_{n+\nu,\downarrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{n+\nu,\downarrow}}{YW} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{YZ} - \frac{1}{XW} \right) \left(\hat{c}_{n+\nu,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{n+\nu,\downarrow} + \hat{c}_{n+\nu,\downarrow} \hat{c}_{n+\nu,\uparrow} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{YZ} + \frac{1}{XW} \right) \\ &\quad * \left. \left. \left(\hat{c}_{n+\nu,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{n+\nu,\downarrow} + \hat{c}_{n+\nu,\downarrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{n+\nu,\uparrow} \right) \right] \right\}, \quad (31) \\ \hat{P}_2(n, n + \nu) &= \left(\frac{\hat{c}_{n,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{n,\uparrow}}{XZ} + \frac{\hat{c}_{n,\downarrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{n,\downarrow}}{YW} \right) \left(\frac{\hat{c}_{n+\nu,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{n+\nu,\uparrow}}{XZ} + \frac{\hat{c}_{n+\nu,\downarrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{n+\nu,\downarrow}}{YW} \right) + \left(\frac{\hat{c}_{n,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{n,\uparrow}}{XZ} + \frac{\hat{c}_{n,\downarrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{n,\downarrow}}{YW} \right) \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{YZ} - \frac{1}{XW} \right) \right. \\ &\quad * \left. \left(\hat{c}_{n+\nu,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{n+\nu,\downarrow} + \hat{c}_{n+\nu,\downarrow} \hat{c}_{n+\nu,\uparrow} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{YZ} + \frac{1}{XW} \right) \left(\hat{c}_{n+\nu,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{n+\nu,\downarrow} + \hat{c}_{n+\nu,\downarrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{n+\nu,\uparrow} \right) \right] \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\hat{c}_{n+\nu,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{n+\nu,\uparrow}}{XZ} + \frac{\hat{c}_{n+\nu,\downarrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{n+\nu,\downarrow}}{YW} \right) \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{YZ} - \frac{1}{XW} \right) \left(\hat{c}_{n,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{n,\downarrow} + \hat{c}_{n,\downarrow} \hat{c}_{n,\uparrow} \right) \right. \\ &\quad + \left. \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{YZ} + \frac{1}{XW} \right) \left(\hat{c}_{n,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{n,\downarrow} + \hat{c}_{n,\downarrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{n,\uparrow} \right) \right], \quad (32) \\ \hat{P}_3(n, n + \nu) &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\hat{c}_{n,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{n,\downarrow} + \hat{c}_{n,\downarrow} \hat{c}_{n,\uparrow} \right) \left[\left(\frac{1}{YZ} - \frac{1}{XW} \right)^2 \left(\hat{c}_{n+\nu,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{n+\nu,\downarrow} + \hat{c}_{n+\nu,\downarrow} \hat{c}_{n+\nu,\uparrow} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{YZ} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{1}{XW} \right)^2 \right. \\ &\quad * \left. \left(\hat{c}_{n+\nu,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{n+\nu,\downarrow} + \hat{c}_{n+\nu,\downarrow} \hat{c}_{n+\nu,\uparrow} \right) \right] + \frac{1}{4} \left(\hat{c}_{n,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{n,\downarrow} + \hat{c}_{n,\downarrow} \hat{c}_{n,\uparrow} \right) \left[\left(\frac{1}{YZ} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{1}{XW} \right)^2 \right. \\ &\quad * \left. \left(\hat{c}_{n+\nu,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{n+\nu,\downarrow} + \hat{c}_{n+\nu,\downarrow} \hat{c}_{n+\nu,\uparrow} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{YZ} + \frac{1}{XW} \right)^2 \left(\hat{c}_{n+\nu,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{n+\nu,\downarrow} + \hat{c}_{n+\nu,\downarrow} \hat{c}_{n+\nu,\uparrow} \right) \right]. \quad (33) \end{aligned}$$

The $\hat{H}_{xy}(2)$ term has phase factors containing only one term (see (20,21)), so this term also can be easily written. One obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_{xy}(2) &= \sum_{i,j} \sum_{\sigma} [K_{2,\sigma} \hat{c}_{i,j;\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i,j+1;\sigma}^\dagger (1 - 2\hat{n}_{i,j;-\sigma}) + t_{2,\sigma} \hat{c}_{i,j;\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i,j+1,\sigma} (1 - 2\hat{n}_{i,j;-\sigma}) \\ &\quad + K_2 \hat{c}_{i,j;\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i,j+1;-\sigma}^\dagger (1 - 2\hat{n}_{i,j;-\sigma}) + t_2 \hat{c}_{i,j;\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i,j+1,-\sigma} (1 - 2\hat{n}_{i,j;-\sigma}) + h.c.], \quad (34) \end{aligned}$$

where, using the coupling constants of the Hamiltonian (8), we define $J_\mu^x = J_\mu(1 + \Gamma_\mu)$, $J_\mu^y = J_\mu(1 - \Gamma_\mu)$, where $\mu = 1, 2$. With these notations one obtains the coupling constants from (34) using $\mu = 2$ in the relations (35) presented below:

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\mu,\sigma} &= \frac{J_\mu}{4} \left[\left(\frac{1}{X^2} - \frac{1}{Z^2} \right) + \Gamma_\mu \left(\frac{1}{X^2} + \frac{1}{Z^2} \right) \right], \\ K_{\mu,-\sigma} &= \frac{J_\mu}{4} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Y^2} - \frac{1}{W^2} \right) + \Gamma_\mu \left(\frac{1}{Y^2} + \frac{1}{W^2} \right) \right] = \frac{J_\mu}{4} \left[- \left(\frac{1}{X^2} - \frac{1}{Z^2} \right) + \Gamma_\mu \left(2 - \left(\frac{1}{X^2} + \frac{1}{Z^2} \right) \right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
t_{\mu,\sigma} &= \frac{J_\mu}{4} \left[\left(\frac{1}{X^2} + \frac{1}{Z^2} \right) + \Gamma_\mu \left(\frac{1}{X^2} - \frac{1}{Z^2} \right) \right], \\
t_{\mu,-\sigma} &= \frac{J_\mu}{4} \left[\left(\frac{1}{Y^2} + \frac{1}{W^2} \right) + \Gamma_\mu \left(\frac{1}{Y^2} - \frac{1}{W^2} \right) \right] = \frac{J_\mu}{4} \left[\left(2 - \left(\frac{1}{X^2} + \frac{1}{Z^2} \right) - \Gamma_\mu \left(\frac{1}{X^2} - \frac{1}{Z^2} \right) \right) \right], \\
K_\mu &= \frac{J_\mu}{4} \left[\left(\frac{1}{XY} - \frac{1}{WZ} \right) + \Gamma_\mu \left(\frac{1}{XY} + \frac{1}{WZ} \right) \right] = \frac{J_\mu}{4} [A + \Gamma_\mu B], \\
t_\mu &= \frac{J_\mu}{4} \left[\left(\frac{1}{XY} + \frac{1}{WZ} \right) + \Gamma_\mu \left(\frac{1}{XY} - \frac{1}{WZ} \right) \right] = \frac{J_2}{4} [B + \Gamma_\mu A]. \tag{35}
\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that only the X, Z parameters are independent, one has in (35) $AB = \frac{1}{X^2}(1 - \frac{1}{X^2}) - \frac{1}{Z^2}(1 - \frac{1}{Z^2})$, $A = \frac{1}{XY} - \frac{1}{WZ}$, and $B = AB/A$. Note that $\frac{1}{Y^2} = 1 - \frac{1}{X^2}$ and $\frac{1}{W^2} = 1 - \frac{1}{Z^2}$ hold.

The remaining $\hat{H}_{xy}(1)$ term is the genuine land of the phase factor contributions (see (23,24)). Here, in order to write down explicitly the emerging terms, the sum part of the phases from (24) will be separately denoted by

$$\hat{I}_{i,j} = \exp[-i\pi \sum_{\mu=c,f} \left(\sum_{j'=j+1}^{\infty} \hat{n}_{i,j'}^\mu + \sum_{j'=0}^{j-1} \hat{n}_{i+1,j'}^\mu \right)] \tag{36}$$

When applied to a wave vector, both $\hat{I}_{i,j}$, and $\hat{I}_{i,j}^*$ provide the same sign +1 or -1. Furthermore, since all terms in $\hat{H}_{x,y}(1)$ are multiplied by $\hat{I}_{i,j}$, or $\hat{I}_{i,j}^*$, $\hat{I}_{i,j}$ it can be extracted from all terms under the sum in (10). The remaining phase factors in (24) coincide with the phase factors from (20). Consequently $\hat{H}_{xy}(1)$ will maintain the form of (34), with the own coupling constants, and the $\hat{I}_{i,j}$ term under the sum. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{H}_{xy}(1) &= \sum_{i,j} \hat{I}_{i,j} \sum_{\sigma} [K_{1,\sigma} \hat{c}_{i,j;\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i+1,j;\sigma}^\dagger (1 - 2\hat{n}_{i,j;-\sigma}) + t_{1,\sigma} \hat{c}_{i,j;\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i+1,j,\sigma} (1 - 2\hat{n}_{i,j;-\sigma}) \\
&\quad + K_1 \hat{c}_{i,j;\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i+1,j;-\sigma}^\dagger (1 - 2\hat{n}_{i,j;-\sigma}) + t_1 \hat{c}_{i,j;\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i+1,j,-\sigma} (1 - 2\hat{n}_{i,j;-\sigma}) + h.c.], \tag{37}
\end{aligned}$$

where the coupling constants are obtained from (35) using the $\mu = 1$ value. As a consequence $\hat{H}_{x,y} = \hat{H}_{xy}(1) + \hat{H}_{xy}(2)$, and the Heisenberg Hamiltonian (8) written in term of spinful canonical Fermi operators becomes $\hat{H} = \hat{H}_z + \hat{H}_{x,y}$ based on (32- 37). The fact that $\hat{I}_{i,j}$ is site dependent shows why the 1D Heisenberg Hamiltonian is much more easy to treat than the 2D Heisenberg case.

For completeness I present also the expression of the Zeeman term $\hat{H}_{Zeem} = -h \sum_n S_n^z$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{H}_{Zeem} &= -h \sum_n \left\{ \left[\left(\frac{\hat{c}_{n,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{n,\uparrow}}{XZ} + \frac{\hat{c}_{n,\downarrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{n,\downarrow}}{YW} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{XZ} + \frac{1}{YW} \right) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{YZ} - \frac{1}{XW} \right) (\hat{c}_{n,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{n,\downarrow}^\dagger + \hat{c}_{n,\downarrow} \hat{c}_{n,\uparrow}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{YZ} + \frac{1}{XW} \right) (\hat{c}_{n,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{n,\downarrow} + \hat{c}_{n,\downarrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{n,\uparrow}) \right\}. \tag{38}
\end{aligned}$$

V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The paper presents the generalization to two dimensions of the recently published 1D generalized Jordan-Wigner transformation connecting the $S=1/2$ quantum spin operators to genuine spinful canonical Fermi operators⁶.

I note that several extensions from 1D to 2D are known for the Jordan-Wigner transformation connecting $S=1/2$ spin operators to spinless fermion operators. These in essence differ by the phase terms emerging during the transformation procedure. This is because²⁻⁴ these phases appearing on the fermionic side of the transformation, can be considered to be related to a gauge field created by the spin system itself. From these, I was choosing and generalizing for the treatment of the spinful fermionic case analyzed here the technique from (2), because this allows to write explicitly the transformed spin Hamiltonian in terms of the spinful canonical Fermi operators, since it provides explicitly the phase values emerging during the transformation. Since the transformation is exact, this gives the possibility to observe what kind of characteristics at Heisenberg spin system level correspond to given characteristics at fermionic level, and then to establish mappings in between these two systems. On this line e.g. one observes that xy spin-spin interaction in a given direction in the spin system correspond to (at most) correlated movement (hopping) in that direction at fermionic level (so it is not surprising, that the 1D \hat{H}_{xy} spin model is solved by an 1D itinerant and non-interacting fermionic system⁷). Or z spin-spin coupling in a given direction at the spin system level provides nearest-neighbor Coulomb interaction in that direction at the fermionic level. Furthermore, the $\hat{H}_{xy}(1)$ term also provides interesting information: i) The emerging $\hat{I}_{i,j}$ term, emphasizes why the 2D Heisenberg model is much more difficult to treat in comparison to the 1D Heisenberg case. ii) This $\hat{I}_{i,j}$ factor (containing infinite many contributions in the thermodynamic limit) introduces also long-range contributions in the 2D fermionic model describing the 2D local Heisenberg system. This underlines the observation at the point i). iii) Only $\hat{H}_{xy}(1)$ contains the $\hat{I}_{i,j}$ contribution (in the presented transformation). At the fermionic level it looks like (mimic, it seems to be equivalent to) an unidirectional disordered contribution (as an unidirectional random hopping). Considering J_1^x, J_1^y small, this could be used as a perturbation. This perturbative treatment could supply supplementary information about the 2D Heisenberg system besides the mean-field type of approaches usually used^{2,3}.

I would like to present also mapping possibilities. The technique from Ref.⁽³⁾ suggests that all sites are equally touched by similarly cumbersome phase factors. But using the technique from Ref.⁽²⁾ one observes that in fact the influence of the cumbersome phase factors can be collected on a given group of site-pairs (here those contained in $\hat{H}_{xy}(1)$). Hence it is possible to obtain exact relatively workable mappings between the 2D Heisenberg spin system, and 2D spinful Fermi systems avoiding these groups. An example is presented below emerging at $J_2^z = \Gamma_2 = 0$, $X = Z, Y = W$ obtaining on the spinful fermionic side

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{H} = & \sum_{i,j} \left\{ \sum_{\sigma} [t_{2,\sigma} \hat{c}_{i,j;\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{i,j+1;\sigma} (1 - 2\hat{n}_{i,j;-\sigma}) + t_2 \hat{c}_{i,j;\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{i,j+1;-\sigma} (1 - 2\hat{n}_{i,j;-\sigma}) + h.c.] \right. \\
& + Q [\hat{c}_{i,j;\uparrow}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{i,j;\downarrow} (\frac{\hat{n}_{i+1,j;\uparrow}}{X^2} + \frac{\hat{n}_{i+1,j;\downarrow}}{Y^2} - \frac{1}{2}) + \hat{c}_{i+1,j;\uparrow}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{i+1,j;\downarrow} (\frac{\hat{n}_{i,j;\uparrow}}{X^2} + \frac{\hat{n}_{i,j;\downarrow}}{Y^2} - \frac{1}{2}) + h.c] \\
& + V_1 (\hat{c}_{i+1,j;\uparrow}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{i+1,j;\downarrow} + h.c.) (\hat{c}_{i,j;\uparrow}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{i,j;\downarrow} + h.c.) + V_2 (\frac{\hat{n}_{i+1,j;\uparrow}}{X^2} + \frac{\hat{n}_{i+1,j;\downarrow}}{Y^2}) (\frac{\hat{n}_{i,j;\uparrow}}{X^2} + \frac{\hat{n}_{i,j;\downarrow}}{Y^2}) \\
& \left. + V_2 [\frac{1}{4} - (\frac{\hat{n}_{i+1,j;\uparrow} + \hat{n}_{i,j;\uparrow}}{2X^2} + \frac{\hat{n}_{i+1,j;\downarrow} + \hat{n}_{i,j;\downarrow}}{2Y^2})] \right\}, \tag{39}
\end{aligned}$$

whose image on the 2D Heisenberg side of the transformation is

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{(i,j)} [J_1^z \hat{S}_{i,j}^z \hat{S}_{i+1,j}^z + J_2 (\hat{S}_{i,j}^x \hat{S}_{i,j+1}^x + \hat{S}_{i,j}^y \hat{S}_{i,j+1}^y)]. \tag{40}$$

For the coupling constants of (39) one has

$$t_{2,\uparrow} = \frac{J_2}{2X^2}, \quad t_{2,\downarrow} = \frac{J_2}{2Y^2}, \quad t_2 = \frac{J_2}{2XY}, \quad Q = \frac{J_1^z}{XY}, \quad V_1 = \frac{J_1^z}{X^2 Y^2}, \quad V_2 = J_1^z. \tag{41}$$

I exemplify the mapping possibilities by (39), since this Hamiltonian describes in fact movement along one direction in a two dimensional system, a subject which is intensively analyzed nowadays. E.g. effects of the uni-axial strain in 2D systems⁸, target selection in 2D⁹, anisotropic hopping in 2D¹⁰, or even actuators enhancing unidirectional movement used in the production of shape memory devices¹¹, fields in which the interconnection in between (39-40) could provide valuable information.

Intensive future work is needed for the clarification, understanding and use of these mapping possibilities and characteristics.

¹ P. Jordan an E. Wigner, Über das Paulische Äquivalenzverbot, Z. Physik 47, 631 (1928)

- ² M. Azzouz, Interchain-coupling effect on the one dimensional spin-1/2 antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model, Phys. Rev. B48, 6136 (1993),
Available at <https://journals.aps.org/prb/pdf/10.1103/PhysRevB.48.6136>
- ³ Y. R. Wang, Ground state of the two-dimensional antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model studied using an extended Wigner-Jordan transformation, Phys. Rev. B43, 3786 (1991)
Available at <https://journals.aps.org/prb/pdf/10.1103/PhysRevB.43.3786>
- ⁴ E. Fradkin, Jordan-Wigner transformation for quantum-spin systems in two dimensions and fractional statistics, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 322 (1989)
Available at <https://journals.aps.org/prl/pdf/10.1103/PhysRevLett.63.322>
- ⁵ C. B. Batista and G. Ortiz, Generalized Jordan-Wigner transformation, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 1082 (2001),
Available at <https://journals.aps.org/prl/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.1082>
- ⁶ Z. Gulacsi, Jordan-Wigner transformation constructed for spinful fermions at $S=1/2$ spins in one dimension, accepted for publication at Phil. Mag. and published online at 28 August 2024 obtaining the DOI number: 10.1080/14786435.2024.2392703.
- ⁷ E. Lieb, T. Schultz, and D. Mattis, Two soluble models of an antiferromagnetic chain, Annals of Physics 16, 407 (1961),
Available at <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/0003491661901154>
- ⁸ C. W. Nicholson, M. Rumo, A. Pulkkinen et al., Uniaxial strain-induced phase transition in the topological semimetal $IrTe_2$, Nature Com. Mater. 2, 25 (2021) Available at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43246-021-00130-5>
- ⁹ J. Huang, F. Tian, N. Li, X. Fan, Modeling the uncertainty in 2D moving target selection, UIST-19 2019 New Orleans, USA, Available at <https://doi.org/10.1145/3332165.3347880>
- ¹⁰ V. L. Nguyen and D. T. Dang, Variable range hopping in finite one-dimensional and anisotropic two-dimensional systems, Physica B334, 88 (2003), Available at <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0921452603000218>
- ¹¹ M. Nandi, B. Maiti and D. D. Diaz, Actuators displaying unidirectional movement, Adv. Intel. Systems 3, 2000214 (2021) Available at <http://doi.org/10.1002/aisy.202000214>