

# A COMPUTATIONAL STUDY OF CERTAIN WEYL MODULES FOR TYPE $G_2$ IN CHARACTERISTIC 2

STEPHEN DOTY

ABSTRACT. Using the `WeylModules` GAP Package, we compute structural information about certain Weyl modules for type  $G_2$  in characteristic 2. This gives counterexamples to two conjectures stated by S. Donkin in 1990. It also illustrates capabilities of the package, which can in principle be applied to Weyl modules for any simple, simply-connected algebraic group in any characteristic, subject of course to time and space limitations of computational resources.

## 1. Introduction

There are two purposes to this paper: first, to illustrate the computational capabilities of the author's `WeylModules` package [Dot24] in the context of specific examples, and second, to give an elementary alternative computational approach to recent counterexamples of Bendel, Nakano, Pillen, and Sobaje [BNPS20b] obtained by different methods.

Let  $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{F}_p$  be the field of  $p$  elements, where  $p$  is a prime number, and let  $G$  be a simple, simply-connected algebraic group (scheme) defined and split over  $\mathbb{k}$ , with split maximal torus  $T$  and Borel subgroup  $B$  determined by the negative roots. Let  $X = X(T)$  be the group of characters of  $T$ . The set  $X$  is partially ordered by

$$\lambda \leq \mu \iff \lambda - \mu \text{ is a sum of positive roots.}$$

In a 1990 lecture at MSRI in Berkeley, S. Donkin stated two conjectures motivated by his work [Don85, Don93] on good filtrations of  $G$ -modules. (In this paper,  $G$ -modules are always assumed to be rational.)

### The Donkin conjectures.

A. The *good  $(p, r)$ -filtration conjecture*: A  $G$ -module  $M$  has a good  $(p, r)$ -filtration if and only if  $\text{St}_r \otimes M$  has a good filtration.

B. The *tilting module conjecture*: For any  $\lambda \in X_r$ , the tilting module  $T(2(p^r - 1)\rho + w_0\lambda)$  is isomorphic to  $Q_r(\lambda)$ , as  $G_r$ -modules.

See §2 for unexplained notation. Both of the above conjectures are in fact theorems for any  $p \geq 2h - 2$ , where  $h$  is the Coxeter number, but for small

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 14L17, 20G05, 20G40, 20G43.

*Key words and phrases*. Weyl modules, linear algebraic groups, hyperalgebra, algebra of distributions, GAP, computational algebra.

primes they remained open until the discovery of the first counterexamples in [BNPS20b]. The conjectures are interrelated, and the latter was inspired by an earlier conjecture of Humphreys and Verma. (The introduction to [BNPS20b] gives an excellent summary of the history of these developments.) Progress has continued in [BNPS22, BNPS24a, BNPS24b, BNPS24c] and in particular many more counterexamples are now known.

This paper is organized as follows. We define our notational conventions in §2. In §3 we explain the general ideas underlying the `WeylModules` package. The specific case study for  $G_2$  in characteristic 2 starts in §4. The computational information obtained from the `WeylModules` package is contained in Appendices I–X. The main theoretical conclusions obtained from the computations are presented in §4.4. Theorem 1 gives a new proof of the counterexample to the tilting module conjecture found in [BNPS20b]. Theorem 2 tabulates the dimensions of the intertwining Hom spaces between Weyl modules of highest weight bounded above by  $(2, 2)$ . Theorem 3 answers an old question of Jantzen [Jan80] in the negative and its Corollary gives a new counterexample to the  $p$ -filtration conjecture.

## 2. Notation and terminology

We define some general notation and terminology used in this paper.

**2.1. Notation.** We follow the notational conventions of [BNPS20a, §2.1]. In particular, we let

$\Phi$	=	root system of $(G, T)$ .
$\Phi^+$	=	positive roots.
$\Phi^-$	=	negative roots.
$\rho$	=	$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \alpha$ .
$\text{St}_r$	=	$\Delta((p^r - 1)\rho)$ , the $r$ th Steinberg module.
$\text{St}$	=	$\text{St}_1 = \Delta((p - 1)\rho)$ , the Steinberg module.
$X_+$	=	$\{\lambda \in X(T) \mid 0 \leq \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle, \text{ all simple roots } \alpha\}$ .
$L(\lambda)$	=	simple $G$ -module of highest weight $\lambda$ ( $\lambda \in X_+$ ).
$\Delta(\lambda)$	=	Weyl module of highest weight $\lambda$ ( $\lambda \in X_+$ ).
$\nabla(\lambda)$	=	$\text{ind}_B^G \lambda =$ dual Weyl module of highest weight $\lambda$ ( $\lambda \in X_+$ ).
$T(\lambda)$	=	indecomposable tilting module of highest weight $\lambda$ ( $\lambda \in X_+$ ).
$X_r$	=	$\{\lambda \in X_+ \mid \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle < p^r, \text{ all simple roots } \alpha\}$ .
$G_r$	=	$r$ th Frobenius kernel of $G$ .
$Q_r(\lambda)$	=	$G_r$ -injective hull of $L(\lambda) _{G_r}$ , for $\lambda \in X_r$ .

This is nearly the same as the notation used in Jantzen’s book [Jan03], except that our  $\Delta(\lambda)$  and  $\nabla(\lambda)$  are respectively denoted by  $V(\lambda)$  and  $H^0(\lambda)$  there.

**2.2. Weights and characters.** Elements of  $X_+$  are *dominant weights* and elements of  $X = X(T)$  are *weights*. For  $\lambda \in X_+$ ,  $\nabla(\lambda)$  has simple socle and

$\Delta(\lambda)$  has simple head, both of which are isomorphic to  $L(\lambda)$ . For  $\lambda \in X_+$ , we set

$$\chi(\lambda) = \text{ch } \Delta(\lambda) = \text{ch } \nabla(\lambda); \quad \ell(\lambda) = \text{ch } L(\lambda).$$

The  $\chi(\lambda)$  are given by Weyl's character formula, but the  $\ell(\lambda)$  are in general much harder to compute.

**2.3. Filtrations.** A module  $V$  has a *good filtration* (resp., *Weyl filtration*) if it has a filtration with each successive quotient isomorphic to  $\nabla(\lambda)$  (resp.,  $\Delta(\lambda)$ ), for some  $\lambda \in X_+$ . For any  $\lambda \in X_+$ ,  $T(\lambda)$  is the unique (up to isomorphism)  $G$ -module of highest weight  $\lambda$  having both a good and Weyl filtration (see [Don93]). We have

$$(2.3.1) \quad \Delta(\lambda) \subset T(\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla(\lambda) \text{ is a quotient of } T(\lambda).$$

Both  $L(\lambda)$  and  $T(\lambda)$  are contravariantly self-dual; that is:  $L(\lambda) = {}^\tau L(\lambda)$  and  $T(\lambda) = {}^\tau T(\lambda)$ , where  ${}^\tau M$  is the contravariant dual [Jan03, II.2.12] of the  $G$ -module  $M$ .

The representation theory of the first Frobenius kernel  $G_1$  is equivalent to the restricted representation theory of the Lie algebra  $\text{Lie}(G)$ . The region  $X_1$  in  $X_+$  is known as the *restricted region*. For any  $r \geq 1$ , any  $\lambda \in X_+$  has a unique expression of the form  $\lambda = \lambda_0 + p^r \lambda_1$ , where  $\lambda_0 \in X_r$ ,  $\lambda_1 \in X_+$ . Then we set

$$(2.3.2) \quad \Delta^{(p,r)}(\lambda) = L(\lambda_0) \otimes \Delta(\lambda_1)^{(r)}, \quad \nabla^{(p,r)}(\lambda) = L(\lambda_0) \otimes \nabla(\lambda_1)^{(r)}.$$

A *good* (resp., *Weyl*)  $(p, r)$ -*filtration* of a  $G$ -module  $M$  is a filtration with successive quotients of the form  $\nabla^{(p,r)}(\lambda)$  (resp.,  $\Delta^{(p,r)}(\lambda)$ ). In case  $r = 1$ , these filtrations are simply called  $p$ -filtrations.

**2.4. Kostant's integral form.** Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  be the complex Lie algebra with the same root system as  $\text{Lie}(G)$ . Fix a Chevalley basis

$$(2.4.1) \quad \{x_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi\} \cup \{h_\alpha \mid \alpha \text{ a simple root}\}$$

in  $\mathfrak{g}$  and set  $y_\alpha = x_{-\alpha}$  for any  $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ . Let  $U = U(\mathfrak{g})$  be the universal enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The Kostant  $\mathbb{Z}$ -form  $U_{\mathbb{Z}}$  of  $U$  is the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -subalgebra of  $U$  generated by all divided powers  $x_\alpha^{(m)} := x_\alpha^m / m!$  ( $\alpha \in \Phi$ ,  $m \geq 0$ ). The *hyperalgebra* or *algebra of distributions* of  $G$  is the algebra

$$U_{\mathbb{k}} = U_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{k}.$$

We will always identify  $x_\alpha^{(m)}$  in  $U_{\mathbb{Z}}$  with its image  $x_\alpha^{(m)} \otimes 1$  in  $U_{\mathbb{k}}$ ; similarly we identify  $\binom{h_\alpha}{m}$  with its image  $\binom{h_\alpha}{m} \otimes 1$ . The category of  $G$ -modules is equivalent to the category of  $U_{\mathbb{k}}$ -modules that admit compatible weight space decompositions.

For  $\lambda \in X_+$ , let  $V(\lambda)$  be the simple  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module of highest weight  $\lambda$ . It is generated by a unique (up to scalar) maximal vector  $v_0$  (a nonzero weight vector annihilated by all  $x_\alpha$  ( $\alpha$  simple)). It is well known that

$$(2.4.2) \quad \Delta(\lambda) \cong V(\lambda)_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{k},$$

where  $V(\lambda)_{\mathbb{Z}} = U_{\mathbb{Z}}v_0$  is the unique minimal admissible lattice in  $V(\lambda)$ .

### 3. Maximal vectors

A nonzero weight vector in a  $G$ -module is *maximal* if it is invariant under the unipotent radical  $U^+$  of the positive Borel  $B^+$  corresponding to the positive roots. Equivalently, a nonzero weight vector is maximal if it is annihilated by all positive degree divided powers of the  $x_\alpha$  ( $\alpha$  simple). Maximal vectors are also known as “highest weight vectors”. The core idea underlying the `WeylModules` package [Dot24] is that of classifying all the maximal vectors in a given Weyl module. This is computationally feasible only for small enough modules. In a sense, the package automates calculations like those of [Irv86, Xi99]. The `WeylModules` package builds on the existing support for Lie algebras and their modules in GAP [GAP24], which in particular implements Kostant’s  $\mathbb{Z}$ -form. Calculating in the admissible lattice  $V(\lambda)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , we get information about  $\Delta(\lambda)$  by reducing coefficients modulo  $p$ .

**3.1. Primitive vectors.** A nonzero weight vector  $v$  in a  $G$ -module  $M$  is *primitive* if there are submodules  $M_1 \subset M_2$  of  $M$  such that  $v \in M_1$  and the image of  $v$  in  $M_1/M_2$  is maximal. Clearly, maximal vectors in  $M$  are also primitive. See [Xi99, §1.1] for basic properties of primitive vectors. In particular, if  $M$  has a primitive vector of weight  $\lambda$  then  $L(\lambda)$  is a composition factor of  $M$ . It follows that primitive vectors correspond bijectively with composition factors.

The main problem with primitive vectors is a lack of uniqueness. Suppose that  $v$  in  $M$  is a primitive vector of weight  $\lambda$ , with  $M_1 \subset M_2$  as above. Let  $P_1 = \langle v \rangle$  be the submodule generated by  $v$ , and set  $P_2 = M_2 \cap P_1$ . Then the image of  $v$  is maximal in  $P_1/P_2$ . In other words,  $v$  is determined only up to some kernel.

**3.2. Intertwining morphisms.** Classifying all the maximal vectors in some fixed  $\Delta(\lambda)$  determines (see [Jan03, II.2.13]) all the rational  $G$ -module homomorphisms of the form

$$(3.2.1) \quad \text{Hom}_G(\Delta(\mu), \Delta(\lambda))$$

where  $\mu \in X_+$  satisfies the condition  $\mu \leq \lambda$ . This makes it possible to compute the unique maximal submodule of  $\Delta(\lambda)$  and thus the simple character  $\ell(\lambda) = \text{ch } L(\lambda)$ . By Steinberg’s tensor product theorem, it is enough to do this for the highest weights  $\lambda$  in the restricted region  $X_1$ , in order to determine all the simple characters for  $G$ . This in turn determines decomposition numbers of Weyl modules, submodules of Weyl modules, and their corresponding quotients.

**3.3. Submodules and quotients.** By computing a basis for the submodule  $\langle m \rangle$  of  $\Delta(\lambda)$  generated by a maximal vector  $m$  of weight  $\mu$ , we obtain the character of the image of the corresponding morphism from  $\Delta(\mu)$  to  $\Delta(\lambda)$ .

This yields structural information on both Weyl modules; for instance,  $m$  generates a copy of  $L(\mu)$  in the socle of  $\Delta(\lambda)$  if and only if  $\text{ch}\langle m \rangle = \ell(\mu)$ . Thus, we are able to compute the socle of  $\Delta(\lambda)$ . Furthermore, by computing anew the maximal vectors in the quotient module  $\Delta(\lambda)/\langle m \rangle$  or, more generally,  $\Delta(\lambda)/S$  where  $S$  is an arbitrary submodule, we can deduce more structure. For example, taking  $S = \text{soc } \Delta(\lambda)$ , the maximal vectors of the quotient can be used to determine the second socle  $\text{soc}^2 \Delta(\lambda)$ . By iterating this, we can calculate the full socle series of  $\Delta(\lambda)$ . (See e.g., [Hum06, §13.1] for definitions of socle and radical series and their layers.) The same method can be used to compute the socle series of a quotient Weyl module; this requires package support for subquotients, which is limited at present to submodules of quotient Weyl modules.

**3.4. Extensions.** By finding a submodule  $S$  such that  $\Delta(\lambda)/S$  has length two (i.e., has two linearly independent maximal vectors, which are necessarily of distinct weights) we can find an  $L(\mu)$  which appears in the top of the radical  $\text{rad } \Delta(\lambda)$ , and thus show that  $\text{Ext}_G^1(L(\lambda), L(\mu)) \neq 0$  (see [Jan03, II.2.14]). In this way, we can obtain information about extensions between simple modules. The full radical series of  $\Delta(\lambda)$  is in general difficult to compute by the methods in the `WeylModules` package, except in special cases.

**3.5. Ambiguous modules.** Following [Dot24], we say that a rational  $G$ -module is *ambiguous* if it has at least two linearly independent maximal vectors of the same weight. Most small Weyl modules are unambiguous, in this sense. See Appendices II, IX, and X for examples of ambiguous modules.

#### 4. Case study: $G_2$ at $p = 2$

From now on, we take  $G$  to be of type  $G_2$ , and we assume that  $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{F}_2$  is the field of two elements.

**4.1. The root system.** Let  $\varpi_1, \varpi_2$  be the fundamental weights for  $G_2$ , defined by the condition  $\langle \varpi_i, \alpha_j^\vee \rangle = \delta_{i,j}$  for  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ , where  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  are the simple roots, with  $\alpha_1$  the short root. Then  $X = \mathbb{Z}\varpi_1 + \mathbb{Z}\varpi_2$ . As usual, we identify an element  $a\varpi_1 + b\varpi_2$  in  $X$  with the vector  $(a, b)$  in  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ . In this notation,  $X_+ = \{(a, b) \in X \mid a \geq 0, b \geq 0\}$  and the simple roots are

$$(4.1.1) \quad \alpha_1 = (2, -1), \quad \alpha_2 = (-3, 2).$$

Furthermore, the set of positive roots  $\Phi^+$  is given by the following table:

root	weight
$\alpha_1$	$(2, -1)$
$\alpha_2$	$(-3, 2)$
$\alpha_3 = \alpha_2 + \alpha_1$	$(-1, 1)$
$\alpha_4 = \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_1$	$(1, 0)$
$\alpha_5 = \alpha_2 + 3\alpha_1$	$(3, -1)$
$\alpha_6 = 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_1$	$(0, 1)$

and we will always use this ordering of the positive roots. Let  $x_i = x_{\alpha_i}$  and  $y_i = y_{\alpha_i}$  be positive and negative roots vectors, and  $h_i = h_{\alpha_i}$  the Cartan generators, in a Chevalley basis

$$(4.1.2) \quad x_1, \dots, x_6; \quad y_1, \dots, y_6; \quad h_1, h_2.$$

These elements determine the structure of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -form  $U_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , and hence of the hyperalgebra  $U_{\mathbb{k}}$ , as discussed in §2.4. In this paper, the Chevalley basis in (4.1.2) is the one constructed by the `ChevalleyBasis` command in GAP.

**4.2. Restricted Weyl modules.** The set  $X_1$  of restricted weights in this case is  $\{(0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1)\}$ . The modules  $\Delta(0, 0)$  and  $\Delta(1, 1)$  are of course simple, being isomorphic to the trivial and Steinberg modules, resp. We find by a computer calculation that  $\Delta(0, 1)$  has a unique maximal vector, hence must also be simple. On the other hand,  $\Delta(1, 0)$  has two maximal vectors, namely  $v_0$  and  $y_4 v_0$ , and hence is not simple. The submodule  $\langle y_4 v_0 \rangle$  generated by the latter (which has weight  $(0, 0)$ ), is isomorphic to  $L(0, 0) = \mathbb{k}$ , and the corresponding quotient  $\Delta(1, 0)/\langle y_4 v_0 \rangle$  has only one maximal vector, and hence is simple (isomorphic to  $L(1, 0)$ ). Thus,  $\Delta(1, 0)$  is uniserial of length two, and we have

$$(4.2.1) \quad \text{Ext}_G^1(L(1, 0), L(0, 0)) \cong \mathbb{k}.$$

This also determines the simple characters of restricted highest weight, as tabulated below,

$\lambda$	$(0, 0)$	$(1, 0)$	$(0, 1)$	$(1, 1)$
$\ell(\lambda) = \text{ch } L(\lambda)$	$\chi(0, 0)$	$\chi(1, 0) - \chi(0, 0)$	$\chi(0, 1)$	$\chi(1, 1)$
$\dim L(\lambda)$	1	6	14	64

and hence determines all the simple characters for  $G$ . Now that all the simple characters are available, we can analyze the structure of some Weyl modules of non-restricted highest weight.


**4.3. The (generalized) Schur algebra  $S(\pi)$ .** A theory of generalized Schur algebras was introduced by Donkin [Don86, Don87], extending the construction of the classical Schur algebras [Gre80] from type A to other types of root systems. We will refer to them simply as Schur algebras, dropping

the “generalized” modifier. We are interested in studying the structure of  $\Delta(2, 2)$ , so we take

$$\pi = \{\lambda \in X_+ \mid \lambda \leq (2, 2)\}.$$

This set of 14 weights indexes the simple and projective modules in the generalized Schur algebra  $S(\pi)$  defined by the saturated set  $\pi$ .

We depict the points of  $\pi$  below along with the alcove tiling of the plane determined by the root system and the chosen characteristic 2. In the picture, the point  $-\rho$  is at the vertex of the angle formed by the two heavy red lines, which form the boundary of the shifted dominant region  $-\rho + X_+$ .



The three dots closest to the point  $-\rho$ , in ascending order by euclidean distance, are the origin  $(0, 0)$ ,  $\varpi_1 = (1, 0)$ , and  $\varpi_2 = (0, 1)$ . The Hasse diagram (under  $\leq$ ) of  $\pi$  has the form

$$(0,0) - (1,0) - (0,1) - (2,0) - (1,1) - (3,0) - (0,2) - (2,1) - (4,0) - (1,2) - (3,1) \begin{matrix} (0,3) \\ (2,2) \\ (5,0) \end{matrix}.$$

The set  $\pi$  breaks up into just two linkage classes:  $\{(1, 1), (3, 1)\}$ , and everything else. Hence, the generalized Schur algebra  $S(\pi)$  has exactly two blocks: the Steinberg block and the trivial block. As all the points of  $\pi$  are located at vertices of the alcoves they meet, Jantzen’s translation principle carries zero information for this case study in characteristic 2.

**4.4. Main results.** We now give our main conclusions from the case study. We begin with a new computational proof of a counterexample to the tilting module conjecture.

**Theorem 1** ([BNPS20b]). *The  $G$ -socle of the tilting module  $T(2, 2)$  is not isomorphic to  $L(0, 0) \cong \mathbb{k}$ . Hence,  $T(2, 2)$  is not isomorphic to  $Q_1(0, 0)$  as  $G_1$ -modules. In other words,  $T(2, 2)$  is a counterexample to Donkin’s tilting module conjecture.*

*Proof.* The `WeylModules` package computes (unique up to scalar multiple) maximal vectors of weight  $(0, 1)$  and  $(0, 0)$  in  $\Delta(2, 2)$ ; these vectors are listed in Appendix X. It follows that  $\text{Hom}_G(\Delta(\mu), \Delta(2, 2)) \cong \mathbb{k}$  for  $\mu = (0, 1)$  and  $(0, 0)$ . As  $\Delta(0, 1) = L(0, 1)$  and  $\Delta(0, 0) = L(0, 0)$  are simple modules, it follows that the  $G$ -socle of  $\Delta(2, 2)$  contains at least  $L(0, 0) \oplus L(0, 1)$ . Furthermore, since  $\Delta(2, 2) \subset T(2, 2)$ , it follows that  $\text{soc}_G \Delta(2, 2) \subset \text{soc}_G T(2, 2)$ . Thus  $\text{soc}_G T(2, 2) \not\cong \mathbb{k}$ , proving the first claim. Now assume that  $T(2, 2)|_{G_1} \cong Q_1(0, 0)$ . Then

$$\text{soc}_{G_1} T(2, 2) \cong \mathbb{k}$$

by the definition of  $Q_1(0, 0)$  as the injective hull of  $L(0, 0) = \mathbb{k}$  in the category of rational  $G_1$ -modules. But the inclusion  $G_1 \subset G$  implies that

$$\text{soc}_G T(2, 2) \subset \text{soc}_{G_1} T(2, 2)$$

which violates the first claim. The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Remark.** Using the `WeylModules` package, we have verified the more precise fact that

$$\text{soc}_G \Delta(2, 2) \cong L(0, 1) \oplus L(0, 0).$$

See Appendix X for the complete socle series of  $\Delta(2, 2)$ .

The next result classifies the dimensions of the spaces of intertwining morphisms between Weyl modules of highest weight belonging to  $\pi$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\pi_0$  be the set of highest weights  $\lambda$  indexing simples in the trivial block of  $S(\pi)$ , and set  $\pi_1 = \pi \setminus \pi_0 = \{(1, 1), (3, 1)\}$ , the Steinberg block. Then the intertwining dimensions  $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}_G(\Delta(\mu), \Delta(\lambda))$  for any  $\lambda \leq \mu$  in  $\pi_0$  are:*

$\lambda \setminus \mu$	00	10	01	20	30	02	21	40	12	50	03	22
00	1	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
10	1	1	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
01	0	0	1	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
20	0	1	1	1	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
30	1	1	1	2	1	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
02	0	0	1	1	1	1	.	.	.	.	.	.
21	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	.	.	.	.	.
40	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	.	.	.	.
12	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	.	.	.
50	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	.	.
03	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	.
22	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1

and the same tabulation for any  $\lambda \leq \mu$  in  $\pi_1$  is given by:

$\lambda \setminus \mu$	11	31
11	1	.
31	1	1



where in both tables  $\lambda$  indexes the rows,  $\mu$  indexes the columns, and rows and columns are ordered by a linear order compatible with  $\leq$ . The modules  $\Delta(3, 0)$ ,  $\Delta(5, 0)$ , and  $\Delta(2, 2)$  are all ambiguous. To enhance readability, the punctuation has been omitted in the row and column labels (e.g.,  $(2, 2)$  is written as 22, etc).

*Proof.* This is proved by a computer calculation of all the maximal vectors in the Weyl modules  $\Delta(\lambda)$  as  $\lambda$  varies over  $\pi$ . The computed maximal vectors are listed in §4.2 and in the appendices.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** *The dual Weyl module  $\nabla(0, 2)$  does not admit a good  $p$ -filtration.*

*Proof.* (Compare with the proof of [BNPS20b, Thm. 3.5.1].) Assume for a contradiction that  $\nabla(0, 2)$  has a good  $p$ -filtration (see §2.3)

$$0 = F_0 \subset F_1 \subset \cdots \subset F_{n-1} \subset F_n = \nabla(0, 2).$$

The socle series of  $\Delta(0, 2)$  is computed in Appendix III. The radical series of  $\nabla(0, 2)$  is obtained by turning the socle series of  $\Delta(0, 2)$  upside down, so we know the radical series of  $\nabla(0, 2)$ . Thus, by the information in Appendix III, we must have

$$F_n/F_{n-1} \cong L(0, 1) \text{ and } F_{n-1}/F_{n-2} \cong \nabla(\mu)^{(1)},$$

for some dominant weight  $\mu$  such that  $L(1, 0)^{(1)}$  is the  $G$ -head of  $\nabla(\mu)^{(1)}$ . This implies that  $p\mu = 2\mu \leq (0, 2)$ , so  $\mu \leq (0, 1)$ . Hence  $\mu$  must be one of the weights  $(0, 0)$ ,  $(1, 0)$ , or  $(0, 1)$ . This forces  $\mu = (1, 0)$ , as we know that  $\nabla(0, 0) = L(0, 0)$  and  $\nabla(0, 1) = L(0, 1)$ . But  $\nabla(1, 0) \not\cong L(1, 0)$ , so  $L(1, 0)^{(1)}$  is not the  $G$ -head of  $\nabla(1, 0)^{(1)}$ . This is a contradiction.  $\square$

The module  $\nabla(0, 2)$  answers an old question of Jantzen [Jan80] (whether all  $\nabla(\lambda)$  have a good  $p$ -filtration, or equivalently, whether all Weyl modules have a Weyl  $p$ -filtration) in the negative. It also provides a new counterexample to Donkin's  $(p, r)$ -filtration conjecture stated in §1.

**Corollary.** *The module  $\nabla(0, 2)$  fails to satisfy the  $(p, r)$ -filtration conjecture.*

*Proof.* By the general result in [Don85] or [Mat90],  $\text{St} \otimes \nabla(0, 2)$  has a good filtration. But, according to Theorem 3,  $\nabla(0, 2)$  fails to have a good  $p$ -filtration.  $\square$

See the appendices for further computational results. For example, we calculate the composition factors of the socle series of all the Weyl modules of non-restricted highest weight in  $\pi$ . We also compute the complete submodule lattice for the Weyl modules  $\Delta(2, 0)$ ,  $\Delta(0, 2)$ , and  $\Delta(0, 3)$  in Appendices I, III, and VIII respectively. This in turn determines some extension groups between simple modules.

## APPENDICES

The structure of the Weyl modules of restricted highest weight was determined in §4.2 above. In the following appendices, we compile structural details about the  $\Delta(\lambda)$  such that  $\lambda$  is a non-restricted weight in the set  $\pi = \{\lambda \in X_+ \mid \lambda \leq (2, 2)\}$ . In particular, we calculate:

- All the maximal vectors in  $\Delta(\lambda)$ .
- The socle series of  $\Delta(\lambda)$ .
- The character of the image of morphisms  $\Delta(\mu) \rightarrow \Delta(\lambda)$  determined by maximal vectors of weight  $\mu$  in  $\Delta(\lambda)$ .
- The socle series of the cokernel of many of these morphisms.
- Related structural information.

It is hoped that such information will be useful in determining the structure of all the Weyl modules in the trivial block  $S(\pi_0)$ . Ultimately, one would like to describe this algebra by quiver and relations, as in Ringel's appendix to [BDM11]. This would settle an outstanding question about the algebra: what is the structure of  $T(2, 2)$  as a  $G_1$ -module? It is clear from Theorem 1 that  $T(2, 2)|_{G_1}$  contains at least  $Q_1(0, 0) \oplus Q_1(0, 1)$ . Is it equal to that module, or is the containment proper?

APPENDIX I. Structure of  $\Delta(2, 0)$ 

The highest weights of the composition factors of the socle series layers of  $\Delta(2, 0)$  are:

socle layer	highest weights
3	(2, 0)
2	(0, 0)
1	(0, 1), (1, 0).

From now on, we will always describe a socle series by such a table. This Weyl module has three maximal vectors, as listed below:

$$m(2, 0) = v_0, \quad m(0, 1) = y_1 v_0, \quad m(1, 0) = y_4 v_0.$$

The notation  $m(\lambda)$  here stands for a maximal vector of weight  $\lambda$ . If there are two or more linearly independent maximal vectors of weight  $\lambda$  (the module is ambiguous), they will be denoted by  $m_1(\lambda)$ ,  $m_2(\lambda)$ , and so on.

I.1. **The morphism**  $\Delta(0, 1) \rightarrow \Delta(2, 0)$ . The image of the morphism sending the generator of  $\Delta(0, 1)$  onto  $m(0, 1)$  is isomorphic to  $L(0, 1)$ . The highest weights of the composition factors of the socle series layers of the cokernel  $Q'$  of this morphism are:

radical layer	highest weight
1	(2, 0)
2	(0, 0)
3	(1, 0).

This is a uniserial module of length three.

I.2. **The morphism  $\Delta(1, 0) \rightarrow \Delta(2, 0)$ .** The image of the morphism sending the generator of  $\Delta(1, 0)$  onto  $m(1, 0)$  is isomorphic to  $L(1, 0)$ . The highest weights of the composition factors of the socle series layers of the cokernel  $Q$  of this morphism are:

socle layer	highest weights
2	$(2, 0)$
1	$(0, 1), (0, 0)$ .

This structure shows that  $L(0, 1)$  and  $L(0, 0)$  are both top factors of the radical of  $\Delta(2, 0)$ .

I.3. **The module  $Q'$ .** The maximal vectors in the cokernel  $Q$  are given below:

$$m(2, 0) = v_0, \quad m(0, 1) = y_1 v_0, \quad m(0, 0) = y_1 y_6 v_0 + y_3 y_5 v_0 + y_4^{(2)} v_0.$$


The pre-image of  $m(0, 0)$  in  $\Delta(2, 0)$  generates a submodule  $\langle m(0, 0) \rangle$  realizing a non-split extension of  $L(0, 0)$  by  $L(1, 0)$ , which is isomorphic to  $\nabla(1, 0)$ . In other words, a copy of  $\nabla(1, 0)$  embeds in  $\Delta(2, 0)$ . The corresponding quotient  $Q' = \Delta(2, 0)/\langle m(0, 0) \rangle$  is of length two, and realizes a non-split extension of  $L(2, 0)$  by  $L(0, 1)$ .

I.4. **Radical series and structure diagram.** It follows from the preceding subsection that the highest weights of the composition factors of the radical series layers of  $\Delta(2, 0)$  must be of the form:

radical layer	highest weight
1	$(2, 0)$
2	$(0, 1), (0, 0)$
3	$(1, 0)$ .

This demonstrates that the module  $\Delta(2, 0)$  is not rigid.

The structure diagram of  $\Delta(2, 0)$ , in the sense of Alperin diagrams (see [Alp80]), has the form:



where the nodes  $\mu$  are labeled by the highest weights of simple composition factors  $L(\mu)$ , and edges depict non-split extensions. From this we deduce that

$$(I.4.1) \quad \text{Ext}_G^1(L(2, 0), L(0, 1)) \cong \mathbb{k} \cong \text{Ext}_G^1(L(2, 0), L(0, 0))$$

and, furthermore, there are no other non-split extensions of  $L(2, 0)$  by a simple  $L(\mu)$  of highest weight  $\mu \leq (2, 0)$ .

**I.5. Remark.** The module  $Q'$  constructed in §I.3 above is isomorphic to the contravariant dual of a module, denoted by  $M$  in [BNPS20b] and constructed very differently, which plays an important role in the calculations there. We note that  $M = {}^\tau Q'$  does not have a good  $p$ -filtration, in the sense of §2.3. Indeed, if it did then necessarily its socle  $L(2, 0)$  would have to be of the form  $L(\lambda_0) \otimes \nabla(\lambda_1)^{(1)}$ , for some  $\lambda = \lambda_0 + p\lambda_1$ , where  $\lambda_0 \in X_1$ . But  $L(1, 0) \not\cong \nabla(1, 0)$  implies that  $L(2, 0) \cong L(1, 0)^{(1)}$  is not isomorphic to  $\nabla(1, 0)^{(1)}$ . The authors of the cited paper prove that  $\text{St} \otimes M$  has a good filtration. It follows (as they observe) that  $M$  is a counterexample to the good  $(p, r)$ -filtration conjecture stated in §1.

## APPENDIX II. Structure of $\Delta(3, 0)$

This interesting Weyl module illustrates many complexities. We begin by computing the highest weights of the composition factors of its socle series layers:

socle layer	highest weights
6	(3, 0)
5	(1, 0)
4	(0, 0)
3	(2, 0)
2	(2, 0), (0, 0)
1	(0, 1), (1, 0), (0, 0).

The maximal vectors in  $\Delta(3, 0)$  are listed below:

$$\begin{aligned}
m(3, 0) &= v_0, & m_1(2, 0) &= y_4 v_0, & m_2(2, 0) &= y_1 y_3 v_0, & m(0, 1) &= y_1 y_4 v_0, \\
m(1, 0) &= y_1 y_3 y_4 v_0 + y_1 y_6 v_0 + y_3 y_5 v_0, \\
m(0, 0) &= y_1 y_4 y_6 v_0 + y_3 y_4 y_5 v_0 + y_4^{(3)} v_0.
\end{aligned}$$

There are two linearly independent maximal vectors of weight  $(2, 0)$ , so  $\Delta(3, 0)$  is ambiguous, in the sense defined in §3.5.

**II.1. The morphism  $\varphi_1 : \Delta(2, 0) \rightarrow \Delta(3, 0)$ .** For  $j = 1, 2$  let  $\varphi_j$  be the morphism  $\Delta(2, 0) \rightarrow \Delta(3, 0)$  defined by sending the generator of  $\Delta(2, 0)$  onto  $m_j(2, 0)$ . The character of the image of  $\varphi_1$  is  $\ell(2, 0) + \ell(0, 1) + \ell(0, 0)$ . By Appendix I, this determines the structure of  $\text{im}(\varphi_1)$ , as it is isomorphic with a quotient of  $\Delta(2, 0)$ . The highest weights of the composition factors of the socle series layers of the cokernel of  $\varphi_1$  (isomorphic to  $Q_1 =$

$\Delta(3, 0)/\text{im}(\varphi_1)$  are:

socle layer	highest weights
6	(3, 0)
5	(1, 0)
4	(0, 0)
3	(2, 0)
2	(0, 0)
1	(1, 0).

The quotient  $Q_1$  is uniserial. We will see in Appendix III below that it appears as a homomorphic image of the (unique up to scalar multiple) morphism from  $\Delta(3, 0)$  into  $\Delta(0, 2)$ .

**II.2. The morphism  $\varphi_2 : \Delta(2, 0) \rightarrow \Delta(3, 0)$ .** The character of the image of  $\varphi_2$  is  $\ell(2, 0) + \ell(1, 0) + \ell(0, 0)$ . By Appendix I, this determines its structure. The highest weights of the composition factors of the socle series layers of the cokernel of  $\varphi_2$  are:

socle layer	highest weights
5	(3, 0)
4	(1, 0)
3	(0, 0)
2	(2, 0)
1	(0, 1), (0, 0).

**II.3. The morphism  $\varphi_1 + \varphi_2 : \Delta(2, 0) \rightarrow \Delta(3, 0)$ .** The character of the image of  $\varphi_1 + \varphi_2$  is  $\ell(2, 0) + \ell(1, 0) + \ell(0, 1) + \ell(0, 0)$ . It follows that  $\text{im}(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2)$  is isomorphic to  $\Delta(2, 0)$ ; that is, an isomorphic copy of  $\Delta(2, 0)$  embeds in  $\Delta(3, 0)$ . The highest weights of the composition factors of the socle series layers of the cokernel  $Q_3$  of  $\varphi_1 + \varphi_2$  gives a very different picture:

socle layer	highest weights
3	(3, 0)
2	(2, 0), (1, 0)
1	(0, 0), (0, 0).

This quotient is an ambiguous module. Its socle is isomorphic to the direct sum of two copies of the trivial module. We record the fact that

$$(II.3.1) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{k}, Q_3) = \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Hom}(\Delta(0, 0), Q_3) = 2.$$

The structure of the cokernel  $Q_3$  suggests that a copy of  $L(2, 0)$  appears as a top factor of  $\text{rad } \Delta(3, 0)$ , which would imply that

$$(II.3.2) \quad \text{Ext}_G^1(L(3, 0), L(2, 0)) \neq 0.$$

We have verified the truth of this suspicion as follows. First, we computed the maximal vectors in  $Q_3$ , which are given by:

$$v_0, y_1 y_3 v_0, y_1 y_6 v_0 + y_4^{(2)} v_0, y_4^{(3)} v_0, y_5 y_6 v_0$$

of respective weights  $(3, 0)$ ,  $(2, 0)$ ,  $(1, 0)$ ,  $(0, 0)$ ,  $(0, 0)$ . Then we constructed the quotient  $\Delta(3, 0)/S$ , where

$$S = \langle m_1(2, 0) + m_2(2, 0), y_1 y_6 v_0 + y_4^{(2)} v_0, y_4^{(3)} v_0, y_5 y_6 v_0 \rangle$$

is the submodule generated by  $m_1(2, 0) + m_2(2, 0)$  along with the preimages of the last three maximal vectors computed above. It turns out that  $\Delta(3, 0)/S$  is uniserial, with two composition factors  $L(3, 0)$  and  $L(2, 0)$ , justifying the claim. A similar quotient verifies that  $L(1, 0)$  appears as a top factor of  $\text{rad } \Delta(3, 0)$ , and hence that

$$(II.3.3) \quad \text{Ext}_G^1(L(3, 0), L(1, 0)) \neq 0.$$

Another way of constructing such an extension is by taking the quotient by the fourth socle. At this point we have proved that  $\Delta(3, 0)$  is not rigid, as it has at least two composition factors in the top of its radical.

**II.4. The morphism  $\Delta(0, 1) \rightarrow \Delta(3, 0)$ .** The image of the morphism sending the generator of  $\Delta(0, 1)$  onto  $m(0, 1)$  is isomorphic to  $L(0, 1) = \Delta(0, 1)$ . The highest weights of the socle series layers of the cokernel of this morphism are:

socle layer	highest weights
6	$(3, 0)$
5	$(1, 0)$
4	$(0, 0)$
3	$(2, 0)$
2	$(2, 0), (0, 0)$
1	$(1, 0), (0, 0)$ .

This is what would have been predicted by deleting the factor of highest weight  $(0, 1)$  from the socle series layers of  $\Delta(3, 0)$ .

**II.5. The morphism  $\Delta(1, 0) \rightarrow \Delta(3, 0)$ .** The image of the morphism sending the generator of  $\Delta(1, 0)$  onto  $m(1, 0)$  is isomorphic to  $L(1, 0)$ . The highest weights of the socle series layers of the cokernel of this morphism are:

socle layer	highest weights
5	$(3, 0)$
4	$(1, 0)$
3	$(0, 0)$
2	$(2, 0), (2, 0)$
1	$(0, 1), (0, 0), (0, 0)$ .

This is slightly surprising, as we picked up a second factor of  $L(0, 0)$  in the socle.

**II.6. The morphism  $\Delta(0, 0) \rightarrow \Delta(3, 0)$ .** The image of the morphism sending the generator of  $\Delta(0, 0) \cong \mathbb{k}$  onto  $m(0, 0)$  is isomorphic to  $L(0, 0) \cong \mathbb{k}$ . The highest weights of the socle series layers of the cokernel of this morphism are:

socle layer	highest weights
6	$(3, 0)$
5	$(1, 0)$
4	$(0, 0)$
3	$(2, 0)$
2	$(2, 0), (0, 0)$
1	$(0, 1), (1, 0)$ .

This is again compatible with deleting  $L(0, 0)$  from the socle layer in the socle series of  $\Delta(3, 0)$ .

Next, we examine some other interesting quotients of  $\Delta(3, 0)$ .

**II.7. The quotient  $Q_4$ .** The module  $Q_4 = \Delta(3, 0)/\langle m(0, 1), m(1, 0) \rangle$  is another interesting quotient. The highest weights of the composition factors of the socle series layers are:

socle layer	highest weights
5	$(3, 0)$
4	$(1, 0)$
3	$(0, 0)$
2	$(2, 0), (2, 0)$
1	$(0, 0), (0, 0)$ .

From this we deduce that

$$(II.7.1) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \operatorname{Hom}_G(\Delta(1, 0)^{(1)}, Q_4) = 2.$$

More precisely, there is an embedding of a direct sum of two copies of  $\Delta(1, 0)^{(1)}$  in  $Q_4$ , and  $\operatorname{soc}_G Q_4 \cong L(0, 0) \oplus L(0, 0)$ . This is yet another ambiguous module.

**II.8. The quotient  $Q_5$ .** The module  $Q_5 = \Delta(3, 0)/\langle m(1, 0), m(0, 0) \rangle$  is also interesting. The highest weights of the composition factors of the socle series layers for  $Q_5$  are:

socle layer	highest weights
5	$(3, 0)$
4	$(1, 0)$
3	$(0, 0)$
2	$(2, 0), (2, 0)$
1	$(0, 1), (0, 0)$ .

These calculations reveal various aspects of the structure of  $\Delta(3, 0)$ , but a complete understanding of the submodule structure of this module seems rather elusive at this point.

APPENDIX III. **Structure of  $\Delta(0, 2)$** 

The highest weights of the composition factors of the socle series layers of this Weyl module are given by:

socle layer	highest weights
6	$(0, 2)$
5	$(3, 0)$
4	$(1, 0)$
3	$(0, 0)$
2	$(2, 0)$
1	$(0, 1)$ .

This shows that  $\Delta(0, 2)$  is uniserial. According to [BNPS20b], this independently verifies an observation of H.H. Andersen. The maximal vectors in  $\Delta(0, 2)$  are:

$$m(0, 2) = v_0, \quad m(3, 0) = y_2 v_0,$$

$$m(2, 0) = y_2 y_4 v_0, \quad m(0, 1) = y_2 y_5 v_0 + y_3 y_4 v_0.$$

The module  $\Delta(0, 2)$  is rigid and unambiguous, and has the obvious linear Alperin diagram corresponding to its socle series layers.

III.1. **The morphisms  $\Delta(\lambda) \rightarrow \Delta(0, 2)$  for  $\lambda = (3, 0)$ ,  $(2, 0)$ , and  $(0, 1)$ .** In each case, the image of the morphism sending the generator of  $\Delta(\lambda)$  onto  $m(\lambda)$  is uniserial. Its character can be read off from the above socle series. The cokernel of each morphism is also uniserial, and its structure can also be read off from the above socle series. We note that when  $\lambda = (2, 0)$ , the image of the morphism is isomorphic to the module  ${}^\tau M$  discussed in Remark 1.5.

APPENDIX IV. **Structure of  $\Delta(2, 1)$** 

The highest weights of the composition factors of the socle series layers of  $\Delta(2, 1)$  are given by:

socle layer	highest weights
10	$(2, 1)$
9	$(0, 1), (0, 0)$
8	$(2, 0)$
7	$(0, 0)$
6	$(0, 2), (1, 0)$
5	$(3, 0)$
4	$(1, 0)$
3	$(0, 0)$
2	$(2, 0)$
1	$(0, 1)$ .



The maximal vectors in  $\Delta(2, 1)$  are listed below:

$$\begin{aligned} m(2, 1) &= v_0, & m(0, 2) &= y_1 v_0, & m(3, 0) &= y_1 y_2 v_0 + y_3 v_0, \\ m(2, 0) &= y_1 y_2 y_4 v_0 + y_2 y_5 v_0 + y_3 y_4 v_0, & m(0, 1) &= y_1 y_2 y_5 v_0 + y_1 y_3 y_4 v_0. \end{aligned}$$

This Weyl module is not ambiguous.

**IV.1. The morphism  $\Delta(0, 2) \rightarrow \Delta(2, 1)$ .** The image of the morphism sending the generator of  $\Delta(0, 2)$  onto  $m(0, 2)$  has character  $\ell(0, 2) + \ell(3, 0) + \ell(2, 0) + \ell(0, 1) + \ell(1, 0) + \ell(0, 0)$ . It follows from the structure of  $\Delta(0, 2)$  that the image of this morphism is isomorphic to  $\Delta(0, 2)$ . In other words, a copy of  $\Delta(0, 2)$  embeds in  $\Delta(2, 1)$ . The highest weights of the composition factors of the socle series layers for the cokernel of this morphism are:

socle layer	highest weights
5	(2, 1)
4	(0, 1), (0, 0)
3	(2, 0)
2	(0, 0)
1	(1, 0).

**IV.2. The morphism  $\Delta(3, 0) \rightarrow \Delta(2, 1)$ .** The image of the morphism sending the generator of  $\Delta(3, 0)$  onto  $m(3, 0)$  has character  $\ell(3, 0) + \ell(2, 0) + \ell(0, 1) + \ell(1, 0) + \ell(0, 0)$ . This image is a uniserial module. The highest weights of the composition factors of the socle series layers of the cokernel of this morphism are:

socle layer	highest weights
5	(2, 1)
4	(0, 1), (0, 0)
3	(2, 0)
2	(0, 0)
1	(0, 2), (1, 0).

**IV.3. The morphism  $\Delta(2, 0) \rightarrow \Delta(2, 1)$ .** The image of the morphism sending the generator of  $\Delta(2, 0)$  onto  $m(2, 0)$  has character  $\ell(2, 0) + \ell(0, 1)$ . This image is isomorphic to the module  ${}^{\tau}M$  which was discussed in Remark I.5. The highest weights of the composition factors of the socle series layers of

the cokernel of this morphism are:


socle layer	highest weights
8	(2, 1)
7	(0, 1), (0, 0)
6	(2, 0)
5	(0, 0)
4	(0, 2), (1, 0)
3	(3, 0)
2	(1, 0)
1	(0, 0).

IV.4. **The morphism**  $\Delta(0, 1) \rightarrow \Delta(2, 1)$ . The image of the morphism sending the generator of  $\Delta(0, 1)$  onto  $m(0, 1)$  is isomorphic to  $L(0, 1)$ . The socle series layers for its cokernel can be deduced from those for  $\Delta(2, 1)$  itself.

IV.5. **The radical of**  $\Delta(2, 1)$ . The module  $\Delta(2, 1)$  contains a primitive vector

$$z = y_1 y_2 y_5 v_0 + y_4^{(2)} v_0$$

of weight  $(0, 1)$  that generates the simple module  $L(0, 1)$  in the quotient of  $\Delta(2, 1)$  by its eighth socle. Consider the quotient  $Q_1 = \Delta(2, 1)/\langle z \rangle$  by the submodule generated by the primitive vector  $z$ . The module  $Q_1$  has three composition factors and three maximal vectors, and has an Alperin diagram of the form



which shows in particular that  $L(0, 2)$  is a top composition factor in the radical of  $\Delta(2, 1)$ . We conclude that  $\Delta(2, 1)$  is not rigid. Furthermore, we have

$$(IV.5.1) \quad \text{Ext}_G^1(L(2, 1), L(0, 2)) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ext}_G^1(L(2, 1), L(0, 0)) \neq 0.$$

There is another primitive vector  $z' = y_1 y_2 y_5 y_6 v_0$  generating a top composition factor isomorphic to  $L(0, 0)$  in the ninth socle of  $\Delta(2, 1)$ . The corresponding quotient  $Q_2 = \Delta(2, 1)/\langle z' \rangle$  is a highest weight module with two composition factors,  $L(2, 1)$  and  $L(0, 1)$ , which shows that

$$(IV.5.2) \quad \text{Ext}_G^1(L(2, 1), L(0, 1)) \neq 0.$$

This proves that the second radical layer of  $\Delta(2, 1)$  has at least three composition factors.

APPENDIX V. **Structure of  $\Delta(4, 0)$** 

The socle series layers of this Weyl module have composition factors of the following highest weights:

socle layer	highest weights
9	$(4, 0)$
8	$(0, 0)$
7	$(0, 2), (2, 0)$
6	$(3, 0)$
5	$(2, 1), (1, 0)$
4	$(0, 1), (0, 0)$
3	$(2, 0)$
2	$(0, 0)$
1	$(1, 0), (0, 0)$ .

The maximal vectors in  $\Delta(4, 0)$  are listed below:

$$\begin{aligned}
 m(4, 0) &= v_0, & m(2, 1) &= y_1 v_0, & m(3, 0) &= y_4 v_0, & m(2, 0) &= y_1 y_3 y_4 v_0, \\
 m(1, 0) &= y_1 y_4 y_6 v_0 + y_3 y_4 y_5 v_0, \\
 m(0, 0) &= y_1 y_3 y_5 y_6 v_0 + y_1^{(2)} y_3 y_4 y_6 v_0 + y_4 y_5 y_6 v_0.
 \end{aligned}$$

This Weyl module is not ambiguous, in contrast to  $\Delta(3, 0)$ .

**V.1. The morphism  $\Delta(3, 0) \rightarrow \Delta(4, 0)$ .** The character of the image of the morphism sending the generator of  $\Delta(3, 0)$  onto  $m(3, 0)$  is  $\ell(3, 0) + \ell(2, 0) + 2\ell(1, 0) + 2\ell(0, 0)$ . The highest weights of the composition factors of the socle series layers of its cokernel are:

socle layer	highest weights
4	$(4, 0)$
3	$(0, 2), (0, 0)$
2	$(2, 1), (2, 0)$
1	$(0, 1), (0, 0)$ .

The structure of this quotient suggests the possibility of a non-split extension of  $L(4, 0)$  by  $L(0, 2)$ . We will return to this question a bit later.

**V.2. The morphism  $\Delta(2, 1) \rightarrow \Delta(4, 0)$ .** The character of the image of the morphism sending the generator of  $\Delta(2, 1)$  to  $m(2, 1)$  is  $\ell(2, 1) + \ell(2, 0) + \ell(0, 1) + \ell(1, 0) + 2\ell(0, 0)$ . The highest weights of the composition factors of

the socle series layers of the cokernel of this morphism are:

socle layer	highest weights
6	(4, 0)
5	(0, 0)
4	(0, 2), (2, 0)
3	(3, 0)
2	(1, 0)
1	(0, 0).

**V.3. The morphism  $\Delta(2, 0) \rightarrow \Delta(4, 0)$ .** The character of the image of the morphism sending the generator of  $\Delta(2, 0)$  onto  $m(2, 0)$  is  $\ell(2, 0) + \ell(1, 0) + \ell(0, 0)$ . This of course determines the structure of this image. The highest weights of the composition factors of the socle series layers of the cokernel of this morphism are:

socle layer	highest weights
6	(4, 0)
5	(0, 0)
4	(0, 2), (2, 0)
3	(3, 0)
2	(2, 1), (1, 0)
1	(0, 1), (0, 0), (0, 0).

This quotient is an ambiguous module, since its socle contains a direct sum of two copies of the trivial module  $L(0, 0) \cong \mathbb{k}$ .

**V.4. The morphisms  $\Delta(1, 0) \rightarrow \Delta(4, 0)$ ,  $\Delta(0, 0) \rightarrow \Delta(4, 0)$ .** There are also morphisms defined by sending the generators of  $\Delta(1, 0)$  and  $\Delta(0, 0)$  respectively to  $m(1, 0)$  and  $m(0, 0)$ . The images of these morphisms are simple modules. We omit giving the socle series layers of their cokernels.

**V.5. Top factors of the radical.** Now we return to the issue of extensions of  $L(4, 0)$ . We have constructed quotients of  $\Delta(4, 0)$  of length two which verify that

$$(V.5.1) \quad \text{Ext}_G^1(L(4, 0), L(0, 2)) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ext}_G^1(L(4, 0), L(0, 0)) \neq 0.$$

This information shows that  $\Delta(4, 0)$  is not rigid.

APPENDIX VI. **Structure of  $\Delta(1, 2)$** 

The highest weights of the simple factors of the socle series layers of this module are given by:

socle layer	highest weights
12	(1, 2)
11	(2, 0)
10	(0, 2), (0, 0)
9	(4, 0)
8	(0, 0)
7	(0, 2), (2, 0)
6	(3, 0)
5	(2, 1), (1, 0)
4	(0, 1), (0, 0)
3	(2, 0)
2	(0, 0)
1	(1, 0).

The maximal vectors in  $\Delta(1, 2)$  are:

$$\begin{aligned}
 m(1, 2) &= v_0, & m(4, 0) &= y_2 v_0, & m(2, 1) &= y_1 y_2 v_0, \\
 m(3, 0) &= y_2 y_4 v_0, & m(2, 0) &= y_1 y_2 y_3 y_4 v_0, \\
 m(1, 0) &= y_1 y_2 y_4 y_6 v_0 + y_2 y_3 y_4 y_5 v_0 + y_3 y_4 y_6 v_0.
 \end{aligned}$$

This module is not ambiguous. It is also not rigid. There is an extension of  $L(1, 2)$  by  $L(0, 2)$ , in addition to the extension of  $L(1, 2)$  by  $L(2, 0)$ . To prove this, look at the quotient by the submodule generated by the generator of the 11th socle layer.

**VI.1. The morphism  $\Delta(4, 0) \rightarrow \Delta(1, 2)$ .** The character of the image of the morphism sending the generator of  $\Delta(4, 0)$  onto  $m(4, 0)$  is  $\ell(4, 0) + \ell(2, 1) + \ell(0, 2) + \ell(3, 0) + 2\ell(2, 0) + \ell(0, 1) + 2\ell(1, 0) + 3\ell(0, 0)$ . The highest weights of the composition factors of the socle series layers of the cokernel of this morphism are:

socle layer	highest weights
3	(1, 2)
2	(2, 0)
1	(0, 2), (0, 0).

**VI.2. The morphism  $\Delta(2, 1) \rightarrow \Delta(1, 2)$ .** The character of the image of the morphism sending the generator of  $\Delta(2, 1)$  onto  $m(2, 1)$  is  $\ell(2, 1) + \ell(2, 0) + \ell(0, 1) + \ell(1, 0) + 2\ell(0, 0)$ . The highest weights of the composition factors of

the socle series layers of the cokernel of this morphism are:

socle layer	highest weights
8	(1, 2)
7	(2, 0)
6	(0, 2), (0, 0)
5	(4, 0)
4	(0, 0)
3	(0, 2), (2, 0)
2	(3, 0)
1	(1, 0).

VI.3. **The morphism  $\Delta(3, 0) \rightarrow \Delta(1, 2)$ .** The character of the image of the morphism sending the generator of  $\Delta(3, 0)$  onto  $m(3, 0)$  is  $\ell(3, 0) + \ell(2, 0) + 2\ell(1, 0) + 2\ell(0, 0)$ . The highest weights of the composition factors of the socle series layers of the cokernel of this morphism are:

socle layer	highest weights
7	(1, 2)
6	(2, 0)
5	(0, 2), (0, 0)
4	(4, 0)
3	(0, 2), (0, 0)
2	(2, 1), (2, 0)
1	(0, 1).

VI.4. **The morphism  $\Delta(2, 0) \rightarrow \Delta(1, 2)$ .** The character of the image of the morphism sending the generator of  $\Delta(2, 0)$  onto  $m(2, 0)$  is  $\ell(2, 0) + \ell(1, 0) + \ell(0, 0)$ . The highest weights of the composition factors of the socle series layers of the cokernel of this morphism are:

socle layer	highest weights
9	(1, 2)
8	(2, 0)
7	(0, 2), (0, 0)
6	(4, 0)
5	(0, 0)
4	(0, 2), (2, 0)
3	(3, 0)
2	(2, 1), (1, 0)
1	(0, 1), (0, 0).

VI.5. **The morphism  $\Delta(1, 0) \rightarrow \Delta(1, 2)$ .** There is also a morphism mapping the generator of  $\Delta(1, 0)$  onto  $m(1, 0)$ , with simple image isomorphic to  $L(1, 0)$ . We omit the socle series layers for the cokernel of this morphism.

APPENDIX VII. **Structure of  $\Delta(3, 1)$** 

The module  $\Delta(3, 1)$  has just two composition factors. The highest weights of its socle layers are:

socle layer	highest weights
2	(3, 1)
1	(1, 1).

The maximal vectors in  $\Delta(3, 1)$  are:

$$m(3, 1) = v_0$$

$$m(1, 1) = y_1 y_2 y_5 v_0 + y_1 y_3 y_4 v_0 + y_1 y_6 v_0 + y_3 y_5 v_0 + y_4^{(2)} v_0.$$

The module is uniserial. We have  $\text{St} = L(1, 1) = \Delta(1, 1) = T(1, 1)$ . Furthermore,  $\Delta(3, 1) \cong \text{St} \otimes \Delta(1, 0)^{(1)}$ . The tilting module  $T(3, 1)$  is uniserial length three, with character  $\ell(3, 1) + 2\ell(1, 1)$ , and head and socle isomorphic to  $L(1, 1) = \text{St}$ .

APPENDIX VIII. **Structure of  $\Delta(0, 3)$** 

The highest weights of the composition factors of the socle series layers of  $\Delta(0, 3)$  are:

socle layer	highest weights
9	(0, 3)
8	(2, 0)
7	(0, 0)
6	(4, 0)
5	(0, 0)
4	(0, 2), (2, 0)
3	(3, 0)
2	(1, 0)
1	(0, 0).

Its maximal vectors are:

$$m(0, 3) = v_0, \quad m(4, 0) = y_2 y_3 v_0, \quad m(0, 2) = y_2 y_5 v_0 + y_3 y_4 v_0 + y_6 v_0,$$


$$m(3, 0) = y_2 y_3 y_4 v_0 + y_2 y_6 v_0, \quad m(1, 0) = y_2 y_3^{(2)} y_4 y_5 v_0,$$

$$m(0, 0) = y_2 y_3 y_4 y_5 y_6 v_0.$$

One can read off the image of the nonzero intertwining morphisms  $\Delta(\lambda) \rightarrow \Delta(0, 3)$ , where  $\lambda$  is the weight of a maximal vector, from the Alperin diagram displayed below.

**VIII.1. Structure diagram of  $\Delta(0, 3)$ .** The module  $\Delta(0, 3)$  is not ambiguous. By constructing submodules, we have determined that every primitive vector in the socle series generates a submodule whose composition

factors consist of all those below it. Thus, this Weyl module is rigid and its structure is determined by its Alperin diagram



The submodule generated by  $m(4, 0)$ , which is the image of the morphism sending the generator of  $\Delta(4, 0)$  onto  $m(4, 0)$ , provides another piece of information about  $\Delta(4, 0)$ . This analysis also shows that

$$(VIII.1.1) \quad \text{Ext}_G^1(L(0, 3), L(2, 0)) \cong \mathbb{k}$$

and there are no other non-split extensions of  $L(0, 3)$ .

APPENDIX IX. **Structure of  $\Delta(5, 0)$**

The highest weights of the composition factors of the socle series layers of  $\Delta(5, 0)$  are described by:

socle layer	highest weights
11	(5, 0)
10	(1, 0)
9	(1, 2), (3, 0)
8	(2, 0)
7	(0, 2), (0, 0)
6	(4, 0)
5	(0, 0)
4	(4, 0), (0, 2), (2, 0)
3	(0, 2), (3, 0), (0, 0)
2	(2, 1), (2, 0), (1, 0)
1	(0, 1), (0, 0).

The maximal vectors in  $\Delta(5, 0)$  are listed below:

$$m(5, 0) = v_0, \quad m(1, 2) = y_1^{(2)}v_0, \quad m_1(4, 0) = y_1y_3v_0, \quad m_2(4, 0) = y_4v_0,$$



$$\begin{aligned}
m(2, 1) &= y_1 y_4 v_0, & m(0, 2) &= y_1^{(3)} y_3 v_0, \\
m(3, 0) &= y_1 y_3 y_4 v_0 + y_1 y_6 v_0 + y_3 y_5 v_0, \\
m(2, 0) &= y_1 y_4 y_6 v_0 + y_3 y_4 y_5 v_0 + y_4^{(3)} v_0, \\
m(0, 1) &= y_1 y_3 y_4 y_5 v_0 + y_1 y_4^{(3)} v_0, \\
m(1, 0) &= y_1 y_3 y_4^{(3)} v_0 + y_1 y_3 y_5 y_6 v_0 + y_1 y_4^{(2)} y_6 v_0 + y_3 y_4^{(2)} y_5 v_0 + y_4 y_5 y_6 v_0, \\
m(0, 0) &= y_1 y_3 y_4 y_5 y_6 v_0.
\end{aligned}$$

The module  $\Delta(5, 0)$  is ambiguous, as it has two linearly independent maximal vectors of weight  $(4, 0)$ .

**IX.1. Top composition factors of the radical.** The vector  $z = y_4^{(4)} v_0$  is a primitive vector of weight  $(1, 0)$  in  $\Delta(5, 0)$ . It generates the top composition factor of the the ninth socle. The quotient  $\Delta(5, 0)/\langle z \rangle$  by the submodule generated by  $z$  is a highest weight module with two composition factors, namely  $L(5, 0)$  and  $L(4, 0)$ . Thus we have

$$(IX.1.1) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Ext}_G^1(L(5, 0), L(4, 0)) \neq 0.$$

This shows immediately that  $\Delta(5, 0)$  is not rigid. We also have that

$$(IX.1.2) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{Ext}_G^1(L(5, 0), L(1, 0)) \neq 0.$$

Indeed, a non-zero element of this space is obtained by constructing the quotient  $\Delta(5, 0)$  by its ninth socle.

**IX.2. The morphism  $\Delta(1, 2) \rightarrow \Delta(5, 0)$ .** The character of the image of the morphism sending the generator of  $\Delta(1, 2)$  to  $m(1, 2)$  is  $\ell(1, 2) + \ell(4, 0) + \ell(2, 1) + 2\ell(0, 2) + \ell(3, 0) + 2\ell(2, 0) + \ell(0, 1) + \ell(1, 0) + 3\ell(0, 0)$ . This image is isomorphic to the quotient of  $\Delta(1, 2)$  by its third socle. The highest weights of the composition factors of the socle series layers of the cokernel of the morphism are:

socle layer	highest weights
4	$(5, 0)$
3	$(4, 0), (1, 0)$
2	$(3, 0), (0, 0)$
1	$(0, 2), (2, 0)$ .

**IX.3. The morphism  $\varphi_1 : \Delta(4, 0) \rightarrow \Delta(5, 0)$ .** Let  $\varphi_j$  be the morphism sending the generator of  $\Delta(4, 0)$  to the maximal vector  $m_j(4, 0)$ , for  $j = 1, 2$ . The image of  $\varphi_1$  has character  $\ell(4, 0) + \ell(0, 2) + \ell(3, 0) + \ell(2, 0) + \ell(1, 0) + 2\ell(0, 0)$ .

The socle layers of the cokernel of  $\varphi_1$  are:

socle layer	highest weights
9	(5, 0)
8	(1, 0)
7	(1, 2), (3, 0)
6	(2, 0)
5	(0, 2), (0, 0)
4	(4, 0)
3	(0, 2), (0, 0)
2	(2, 1), (2, 0)
1	(0, 1).

IX.4. **The morphism**  $\varphi_2 : \Delta(4, 0) \rightarrow \Delta(5, 0)$ . The image of  $\varphi_2$  has character  $\ell(4, 0) + \ell(2, 1) + \ell(0, 2) + \ell(2, 0) + \ell(0, 1) + 2\ell(0, 0)$ . The socle layers of its cokernel are given by:

socle layer	highest weights
10	(5, 0)
9	(1, 0)
8	(1, 2), (3, 0)
7	(2, 0)
6	(0, 2), (0, 0)
5	(4, 0)
4	(0, 0)
3	(0, 2), (2, 0)
2	(3, 0)
1	(1, 0).

IX.5. **The morphism**  $\varphi_1 + \varphi_2 : \Delta(4, 0) \rightarrow \Delta(5, 0)$ . The character of the image of the morphism  $\varphi_1 + \varphi_2$  is  $\ell(4, 0) + \ell(2, 1) + \ell(0, 2) + \ell(3, 0) + \ell(2, 0) + \ell(0, 1) + \ell(1, 0) + 2\ell(0, 0)$ . The socle layers of its cokernel are:

socle layer	highest weights
5	(5, 0)
4	(4, 0), (1, 0)
3	(1, 2), (3, 0), (0, 0)
2	(2, 0), (2, 0)
1	(0, 2), (0, 2), (0, 0).

IX.6. **A quotient of  $\Delta(5, 0)$ .** The submodule of  $\Delta(5, 0)$  generated by both  $m_1(4, 0)$  and  $m_2(4, 0)$  may also be of interest. Its character is  $2\ell(4, 0) + \ell(2, 1) + 2\ell(0, 2) + \ell(3, 0) + 2\ell(2, 0) + \ell(0, 1) + \ell(1, 0) + 3\ell(0, 0)$ . The corresponding quotient module  $\Delta(5, 0)/\langle m_1(4, 0), m_2(4, 0) \rangle$  has socle layers of

the form:

socle layer	highest weights
5	(5, 0)
4	(1, 0)
3	(1, 2), (3, 0)
2	(2, 0)
1	(0, 2), (0, 0).

IX.7. **The morphism**  $\Delta(2, 1) \rightarrow \Delta(5, 0)$ . The character of the image of the morphism mapping the generator of  $\Delta(2, 1)$  onto  $m(2, 1)$  is  $\ell(2, 1) + \ell(0, 1) + \ell(0, 0)$ . The socle layers of the cokernel of this morphism are:

socle layer	highest weights
10	(5, 0)
9	(1, 0)
8	(1, 2), (3, 0)
7	(2, 0)
6	(0, 2), (0, 0)
5	(4, 0)
4	(0, 0)
3	(4, 0), (0, 2), (2, 0)
2	(3, 0), (0, 0)
1	(0, 2), (2, 0), (1, 0).

IX.8. **The morphism**  $\Delta(0, 2) \rightarrow \Delta(5, 0)$ . The character of the image of the morphism sending the generator of  $\Delta(0, 2)$  to  $m(0, 2)$  is  $\ell(0, 2) + \ell(3, 0) + \ell(1, 0) + \ell(0, 0)$ . The layers of the socle series of the cokernel of this morphism are:

socle layer	highest weights
9	(5, 0)
8	(1, 0)
7	(1, 2), (3, 0)
6	(2, 0)
5	(0, 2), (0, 0)
4	(4, 0)
3	(4, 0), (0, 2), (0, 0)
2	(2, 1), (2, 0), (0, 0)
1	(2, 0), (0, 1).

IX.9. **The morphism**  $\Delta(3, 0) \rightarrow \Delta(5, 0)$ . The character of the image of the morphism sending the generator of  $\Delta(3, 0)$  to  $m(3, 0)$  is  $\ell(3, 0) + \ell(1, 0) +$

$\ell(0, 0)$ . The layers of the socle series of the cokernel of this morphism are:

socle layer	highest weights
9	(5, 0)
8	(1, 0)
7	(1, 2), (3, 0)
6	(2, 0)
5	(0, 2), (0, 0)
4	(4, 0)
3	(4, 0), (0, 2), (0, 0)
2	(2, 1), (2, 0), (0, 0)
1	(0, 2), (2, 0), (0, 1).

IX.10. **The morphism  $\Delta(2, 0) \rightarrow \Delta(5, 0)$ .** The character of the image of the morphism  $\Delta(2, 0) \rightarrow \Delta(5, 0)$  defined by sending the generator of  $\Delta(2, 0)$  onto  $m(2, 0)$  is  $\ell(2, 0) + \ell(0, 1)$ . The socle layers of the cokernel of this morphism are:

socle layer	highest weights
11	(5, 0)
10	(1, 0)
9	(1, 2), (3, 0)
8	(2, 0)
7	(0, 2), (0, 0)
6	(4, 0)
5	(0, 0)
4	(4, 0), (0, 2), (2, 0)
3	(0, 2), (3, 0), (0, 0)
2	(2, 1), (1, 0)
1	(0, 0).

IX.11. **The morphism  $\Delta(1, 0) \rightarrow \Delta(5, 0)$ .** The character of the image of the morphism  $\Delta(1, 0) \rightarrow \Delta(5, 0)$  defined by mapping the generator of  $\Delta(1, 0)$  onto  $m(1, 0)$  is  $\ell(1, 0) + \ell(0, 0)$ . This image is isomorphic to  $\Delta(1, 0)$ . The socle layers of the cokernel of this morphism are:

socle layer	highest weights
9	(5, 0)
8	(1, 0)
7	(1, 2), (3, 0)
6	(2, 0)
5	(0, 2), (0, 0)
4	(4, 0), (4, 0)
3	(0, 2), (0, 0), (0, 0)
2	(2, 1), (0, 2), (2, 0), (2, 0)
1	(3, 0), (0, 1).

IX.12. **The morphisms  $\Delta(0, 1) \rightarrow \Delta(5, 0)$  and  $\Delta(0, 0) \rightarrow \Delta(5, 0)$ .** The images of the morphisms defined by sending the generators of  $\Delta(0, 1)$  and  $\Delta(0, 0)$  to the corresponding maximal vectors of the appropriate weight are simple modules. We omit the socle series layers of their cokernels.

APPENDIX X. **Structure of  $\Delta(2, 2)$**

The highest weights of the composition factors of the socle series layers of this Weyl module are:

socle layer	highest weights
13	(2, 2)
12	(1, 2)
11	(5, 0)
10	(1, 0)
9	(0, 3), (1, 2), (3, 0)
8	(2, 0)
7	(0, 2), (0, 0)
6	(4, 0), (2, 0)
5	(0, 0), (0, 0)
4	(4, 0), (0, 2), (2, 0)
3	(0, 2), (3, 0), (0, 0)
2	(2, 1), (2, 0), (1, 0)
1	(0, 1), (0, 0).

This module is ambiguous, with two linearly independent maximal vectors of weight (4, 0). The complete list of maximal vectors in  $\Delta(2, 2)$  is:

$$\begin{aligned}
m(2, 2) &= v_0 \\
m(0, 3) &= y_1 v_0 \\
m(5, 0) &= y_2 v_0 \\
m(1, 2) &= y_1^{(2)} y_2 v_0 \\
m_1(4, 0) &= y_1 y_2 y_3 v_0 \\
m_2(4, 0) &= y_2 y_4 v_0 \\
m(2, 1) &= y_1 y_2 y_4 v_0 \\
m(0, 2) &= y_1 y_2 y_5 v_0 + y_1 y_3 y_4 v_0 + y_1 y_6 v_0 \\
m(3, 0) &= y_1 y_2 y_3 y_4 v_0 + y_1 y_2 y_6 v_0 + y_2 y_3 y_5 v_0 + y_3 y_6 v_0 \\
m(2, 0) &= y_1 y_2 y_4 y_6 v_0 + y_2 y_3 y_4 y_5 v_0 + y_2 y_4^{(3)} v_0 + y_3 y_4 y_6 v_0 \\
m(0, 1) &= y_1 y_2 y_3 y_4 y_5 v_0 + y_1 y_2 y_4^{(3)} v_0 + y_1 y_3 y_4 y_6 v_0 \\
m(1, 0) &= y_1 y_2 y_3^{(2)} y_4 y_5 v_0 + y_2 y_4 y_5 y_6 v_0 + y_3^{(3)} y_4 y_5 v_0 \\
m(0, 0) &= y_1 y_2 y_3 y_4 y_5 y_6 v_0.
\end{aligned}$$

**X.1. The morphism  $\Delta(1, 2) \rightarrow \Delta(2, 2)$ .** The character of the image of the morphism  $\Delta(1, 2) \rightarrow \Delta(2, 2)$  defined by sending the generator of  $\Delta(1, 2)$  to  $m(1, 2)$  is  $\ell(1, 2) + \ell(4, 0) + \ell(2, 1) + 2\ell(0, 2) + \ell(3, 0) + 2\ell(2, 0) + \ell(0, 1) + \ell(1, 0) + 3\ell(0, 0)$ . This image is isomorphic to the quotient of  $\Delta(1, 2)$  by its third socle; see §VI.4. The socle layers of the cokernel of this morphism are:

socle layer	highest weights
7	(2, 2)
6	(0, 3), (1, 2)
5	(2, 0)
4	(5, 0), (0, 0)
3	(4, 0), (1, 0)
2	(3, 0), (0, 0)
1	(0, 2), (2, 0).

**X.2. The morphism  $\Delta(5, 0) \rightarrow \Delta(2, 2)$ .** The character of the image of the morphism given by sending the generator of  $\Delta(5, 0)$  to  $m(5, 0)$  is  $\ell(5, 0) + \ell(1, 2) + 2\ell(4, 0) + \ell(2, 1) + 3\ell(0, 2) + 2\ell(3, 0) + 3\ell(2, 0) + \ell(0, 1) + 2\ell(1, 0) + 4\ell(0, 0)$ . The highest weights of the composition factors of the socle series layers of the cokernel of this morphism are:

socle layer	highest weights
4	(2, 2)
3	(0, 3), (1, 2)
2	(2, 0)
1	(0, 0).

**X.3. The morphism  $\Delta(0, 3) \rightarrow \Delta(2, 2)$ .** The character of the image of the morphism sending the generator of  $\Delta(0, 3)$  to  $m(5, 0)$  is  $\ell(0, 3) + \ell(4, 0) + \ell(0, 2) + \ell(3, 0) + 2\ell(2, 0) + \ell(1, 0) + 3\ell(0, 0)$ . The socle series layers of the cokernel of this morphism are:

socle layer	highest weights
11	(2, 2)
10	(1, 2)
9	(5, 0)
8	(1, 0)
7	(1, 2), (3, 0)
6	(2, 0)
5	(0, 2), (0, 0)
4	(4, 0)
3	(0, 2), (0, 0)
2	(2, 1), (2, 0)
1	(0, 1).

**X.4. The morphism  $\varphi_1 : \Delta(4, 0) \rightarrow \Delta(2, 2)$ .** The character of the image of the morphism  $\Delta(4, 0) \rightarrow \Delta(2, 2)$  mapping the generator of  $\Delta(4, 0)$  onto

$m_1(4, 0)$  is  $\ell(4, 0) + \ell(0, 2) + \ell(3, 0) + \ell(2, 0) + \ell(1, 0) + 2\ell(0, 0)$ . The highest weights of the composition factors of the socle series layers of the cokernel of this morphism are:

socle layer	highest weights
11	(2, 2)
10	(1, 2)
9	(5, 0)
8	(1, 0)
7	(1, 2), (3, 0)
6	(2, 0)
5	(0, 2), (0, 0)
4	(4, 0)
3	(0, 3), (0, 2), (0, 0)
2	(2, 1), (2, 0), (2, 0)
1	(0, 1), (0, 0).

**X.5. The morphism  $\varphi_2 : \Delta(4, 0) \rightarrow \Delta(2, 2)$ .** The character of the image of the morphism  $\Delta(4, 0) \rightarrow \Delta(2, 2)$  sending the generator of  $\Delta(4, 0)$  onto  $m_2(4, 0)$  is  $\ell(4, 0) + \ell(2, 1) + \ell(0, 2) + \ell(2, 0) + \ell(0, 1) + 2\ell(0, 0)$ . The highest weights of the composition factors of the socle series layers of the cokernel of this morphism are:

socle layer	highest weights
12	(2, 2)
11	(1, 2)
10	(5, 0)
9	(1, 0)
8	(0, 3), (1, 2), (3, 0)
7	(2, 0)
6	(0, 2), (0, 0)
5	(4, 0)
4	(0, 0)
3	(0, 2), (2, 0), (2, 0)
2	(3, 0), (0, 0)
1	(1, 0).

**X.6. The morphism  $\varphi_1 + \varphi_2$ .** The character of the image of the morphism  $\Delta(4, 0) \rightarrow \Delta(2, 2)$  mapping the generator of  $\Delta(4, 0)$  onto  $m_1(4, 0) + m_2(4, 0)$  is  $\ell(4, 0) + \ell(2, 1) + \ell(0, 2) + \ell(3, 0) + \ell(2, 0) + \ell(0, 1) + \ell(1, 0) + 2\ell(0, 0)$ . The highest weights of the composition factors of the socle series layers of the

cokernel of this morphism are:

socle layer	highest weights
8	(2, 2)
7	(0, 3), (1, 2)
6	(2, 0)
5	(5, 0), (0, 0)
4	(4, 0), (1, 0)
3	(1, 2), (3, 0), (0, 0)
2	(2, 0), (2, 0)
1	(0, 2), (0, 2), (0, 0).

**X.7. Another quotient of  $\Delta(2, 2)$ .** The submodule  $\langle m_1(4, 0), m_2(4, 0) \rangle$  generated by both maximal vectors of weight (4, 0) has character  $2\ell(4, 0) + \ell(2, 1) + 2\ell(0, 2) + \ell(3, 0) + 2\ell(2, 0) + \ell(0, 1) + \ell(1, 0) + 3\ell(0, 0)$ . The highest weights of the composition factors of the socle series layers of the corresponding quotient module  $\Delta(2, 2)/\langle m_1(4, 0), m_2(4, 0) \rangle$  are:

socle layer	highest weights
7	(2, 2)
6	(1, 2)
5	(5, 0)
4	(1, 0)
3	(0, 3), (1, 2), (3, 0)
2	(2, 0), (2, 0)
1	(0, 2), (0, 0), (0, 0).

**X.8. The morphism  $\Delta(2, 1) \rightarrow \Delta(2, 2)$ .** The character of the image of the morphism mapping the generator of  $\Delta(2, 1)$  onto  $m(2, 1)$  is  $\ell(2, 1) + \ell(0, 1) + \ell(0, 0)$ . The highest weights of the composition factors of the socle series layers of the cokernel of this morphism are:

socle layer	highest weights
12	(2, 2)
11	(1, 2)
10	(5, 0)
9	(1, 0)
8	(0, 3), (1, 2), (3, 0)
7	(2, 0)
6	(0, 2), (0, 0)
5	(4, 0), (2, 0)
4	(0, 0), (0, 0)
3	(4, 0), (0, 2), (2, 0)
2	(3, 0), (0, 0)
1	(0, 2), (2, 0), (1, 0).



X.9. **The morphism**  $\Delta(0, 2) \rightarrow \Delta(2, 2)$ . The character of the image of the morphism sending the generator of  $\Delta(0, 2)$  onto  $m(0, 2)$  is  $\ell(0, 2) + \ell(3, 0) + \ell(1, 0) + \ell(0, 0)$ . The highest weights of the composition factors of the socle series layers of the cokernel of this morphism are:

socle layer	highest weights
11	(2, 2)
10	(1, 2)
9	(5, 0)
8	(1, 0)
7	(1, 2), (3, 0)
6	(0, 3), (2, 0)
5	(0, 2), (2, 0), (0, 0)
4	(4, 0), (0, 0)
3	(4, 0), (0, 2), (0, 0)
2	(2, 1), (2, 0), (0, 0)
1	(2, 0), (0, 1).

X.10. **The morphism**  $\Delta(3, 0) \rightarrow \Delta(2, 2)$ . The character of the image of the morphism mapping the generator of  $\Delta(3, 0)$  onto  $m(3, 0)$  is  $\ell(3, 0) + \ell(1, 0) + \ell(0, 0)$ . The highest weights of the composition factors of the socle series layers of the cokernel of this morphism are:

socle layer	highest weights
11	(2, 2)
10	(1, 2)
9	(5, 0)
8	(1, 0)
7	(0, 3), (1, 2), (3, 0)
6	(2, 0), (2, 0)
5	(0, 2), (0, 0), (0, 0)
4	(4, 0), (4, 0)
3	(0, 2), (0, 0), (0, 0)
2	(2, 1), (2, 0), (2, 0)
1	(0, 2), (0, 1).

X.11. **The morphism**  $\Delta(2, 0) \rightarrow \Delta(2, 2)$ . The character of the image of the morphism sending the generator of  $\Delta(2, 0)$  to  $m(2, 0)$  is  $\ell(2, 0) + \ell(0, 1)$ . The

socle series layers of the cokernel of this morphism are:

socle layer	highest weights
13	(2, 2)
12	(1, 2)
11	(5, 0)
10	(1, 0)
9	(0, 3), (1, 2), (3, 0)
8	(2, 0)
7	(0, 2), (0, 0)
6	(4, 0), (2, 0)
5	(0, 0), (0, 0)
4	(4, 0), (0, 2), (2, 0)
3	(0, 2), (3, 0), (0, 0)
2	(2, 1), (1, 0)
1	(0, 0).

X.12. **The morphism  $\Delta(1, 0) \rightarrow \Delta(2, 2)$ .** The character of the image of the morphism mapping the generator of  $\Delta(1, 0)$  onto  $m(1, 0)$  is  $\ell(1, 0) + \ell(0, 0)$ . The socle series layers of the cokernel of this morphism are:

socle layer	highest weights
11	(2, 2)
10	(1, 2)
9	(5, 0)
8	(1, 0)
7	(0, 3), (1, 2), (3, 0)
6	(2, 0), (2, 0)
5	(0, 2), (0, 0), (0, 0)
4	(4, 0), (4, 0)
3	(0, 2), (0, 0), (0, 0)
2	(2, 1), (0, 2), (2, 0), (2, 0)
1	(3, 0), (0, 1).

X.13. **The morphisms from  $\Delta(0, 1)$  and  $\Delta(0, 0)$  into  $\Delta(2, 2)$ .** There are two additional morphisms  $\Delta(\lambda) \rightarrow \Delta(2, 2)$  defined by mapping the generator of  $\Delta(\lambda)$  to  $m(\lambda)$ , for  $\lambda = (0, 1)$  and  $(0, 0)$ . The images of these two morphisms are simple modules. We omit giving the socle series of their cokernels.

## REFERENCES

- [Alp80] J. L. Alperin, *Diagrams for modules*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **16** (1980), no. 2, 111–119. [↑11](#)
- [BNPS20a] C. P. Bendel, D. K. Nakano, C. Pillen, and P. Sobaje, *On tensoring with the Steinberg representation*, Transform. Groups **25** (2020), no. 4, 981–1008. [↑2](#)

- [BNPS20b] C. P. Bendel, D. K. Nakano, C. Pillen, and P. Sobaje, *Counterexamples to the tilting and  $(p, r)$ -filtration conjectures*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **767** (2020), 193–202. [↑1, 2, 7, 9, 12, 16](#)
- [BNPS22] C. P. Bendel, D. K. Nakano, C. Pillen, and P. Sobaje, *On Donkin’s tilting module conjecture I: Lowering the prime*, Represent. Theory **26** (2022), 455–497. [↑2](#)
- [BNPS24a] C. P. Bendel, D. K. Nakano, C. Pillen, and P. Sobaje, *On Donkin’s tilting module conjecture II: counterexamples*, Compos. Math. **160** (2024), no. 6, 1167–1193. [↑2](#)
- [BNPS24b] C. P. Bendel, D. K. Nakano, C. Pillen, and P. Sobaje, *On Donkin’s Tilting Module Conjecture III: New generic lower bounds*, J. Algebra **655** (2024), 95–109. [↑2](#)
- [BNPS24c] C. P. Bendel, D. K. Nakano, C. Pillen, and P. Sobaje, *Restricting rational modules to Frobenius kernels* (2024), preprint, [arXiv:2405.03973](#). [↑2](#)
- [BDM11] C. Bowman, S. R. Doty, and S. Martin, *Decomposition of tensor products of modular irreducible representations for  $SL_3$* , Int. Electron. J. Algebra **9** (2011), 177–219. With an appendix by C. M. Ringel. [↑10](#)
- [BDM15] C. Bowman, S. R. Doty, and S. Martin, *Decomposition of tensor products of modular irreducible representations for  $SL_3$ : the  $p \geq 5$  case*, Int. Electron. J. Algebra **17** (2015), 105–138. [↑](#)
- [Don85] S. Donkin, *Rational representations of algebraic groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1140, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985. Tensor products and filtration. [↑1, 9](#)
- [Don86] S. Donkin, *On Schur algebras and related algebras. I*, J. Algebra **104** (1986), no. 2, 310–328. [↑6](#)
- [Don87] S. Donkin, *On Schur algebras and related algebras. II*, J. Algebra **111** (1987), no. 2, 354–364. [↑6](#)
- [Don93] S. Donkin, *On tilting modules for algebraic groups*, Math. Z. **212** (1993), no. 1, 39–60. [↑1, 3](#)
- [Dot24] S. Doty, *WeylModules, version 2.1: for simple simply-connected algebraic groups* (2024), available at <https://doty.math.luc.edu/WeylModulesNew>. A GAP package. [↑1, 4, 5](#)
- [GAP24] GAP, *Groups, Algorithms, and Programming* (2024), available at <https://www.gap-system.org>. [↑4](#)
- [Gre80] J. A. Green, *Polynomial representations of  $GL_n$* , Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 830, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1980. [↑6](#)
- [Hum06] J. E. Humphreys, *Modular representations of finite groups of Lie type*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 326, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006. [↑5](#)
- [Irv86] R. S. Irving, *The structure of certain highest weight modules for  $SL_3$* , J. Algebra **99** (1986), no. 2, 438–457. [↑4](#)
- [Jan80] J. C. Jantzen, *Darstellungen halbeinfacher Gruppen und ihrer Frobenius-Kerne*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **317** (1980), 157–199 (German). [↑2, 9](#)
- [Jan03] J. C. Jantzen, *Representations of algebraic groups*, 2nd ed., Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 107, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003. [↑2, 3, 4, 5](#)
- [Mat90] O. Mathieu, *Filtrations of  $G$ -modules*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **23** (1990), no. 4, 625–644. [↑9](#)
- [Xi99] N. Xi, *Maximal and primitive elements in Weyl modules for type  $A_2$* , J. Algebra **215** (1999), no. 2, 735–756. [↑4](#)

Email address: [doty@math.luc.edu](mailto:doty@math.luc.edu)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, LOYOLA UNIVERSITY CHICAGO,  
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60660 USA