

Symplectization of certain Hamiltonian systems in fibered almost-symplectic manifolds

Francesco Fassò* and Nicola Sansonetto†

(December 17, 2024)

Abstract

There is an important difference between Hamiltonian-like vector fields in an almost-symplectic manifold (M, σ) , compared to the standard case of a symplectic manifold: in the almost-symplectic case, a vector field such that the contraction $i_X \sigma$ is closed need not be a symmetry of σ . We thus call *partially-Hamiltonian* those vector fields which have the former property and *fully-Hamiltonian* those which have both properties. We consider $2n$ -dimensional almost symplectic manifolds with a fibration $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ by Lagrangian tori. Trivially, all vertical partially-Hamiltonian vector fields are fully-Hamiltonian. We investigate the existence and the properties of non-vertical fully-Hamiltonian vector fields. We show that this class is non-empty, but under certain genericity conditions that involve the Fourier spectrum of their Hamiltonian, these vector fields reduce, under a (possibly only semi-globally defined, if $n \geq 4$) torus action, to families of standard symplectic-Hamiltonian vector fields.

Keywords: Almost-symplectic systems; fully-Hamiltonian systems. Symplectization. Hamiltonization. *MSC (2010):* 53D15, 37J40, 70H08.

1 Introduction

1.1 Hamiltonian-like vector fields on almost-symplectic manifolds. An almost-symplectic manifold (M, σ) is a generalization of a symplectic manifold, in which a smooth manifold M of dimension $2n$, with $n \geq 2$, is equipped with a non-degenerate but not closed 2-form σ . Hamiltonian-like systems on almost-symplectic manifolds arise, for instance, in nonholonomic mechanics [8, 34]; moreover, their study might be an intermediate step to the study of the more general case of (generalized) Hamiltonian-like systems on twisted almost-Dirac manifolds considered in [11, 45, 48]. There is however a crucial difference from the symplectic context.

A Hamiltonian vector field X on a symplectic manifold (M, σ) is commonly defined as a vector field such that the contraction $i_X \sigma$ is exact. By the closedness of σ , this definition is equivalent to the vanishing of the Lie derivative $L_X \sigma = i_X d\sigma + d(i_X \sigma)$, that

*Università di Padova, Dipartimento di Matematica “Tullio Levi-Civita”, Via Trieste 63, 35121 Padova, Italy. (E-mail: fasso@math.unipd.it)

†Università di Verona, Dipartimento di Informatica, Strada le Grazie 15, 37134 Verona, Italy.

is, to the fact that X is a symmetry of the symplectic form. This fact has far reaching consequences, being the reason of the Lie algebra structure of the (locally) Hamiltonian vector fields, which is typical of the symplectic world and dominates, e.g., the field of complete integrability.

In the almost-symplectic setting this link is broken. One may still retain as definition of “being Hamiltonian” the exactness of the contraction $i_X\sigma$, as e.g. in [13], but then, since $d\sigma \neq 0$, these vector fields need not be symmetries of σ . This destroys the Lie algebra structure of the (locally) Hamiltonian vector fields and is related in an obvious way to the failure of the Jacobi identity of the almost-Poisson bracket induced by an almost-symplectic structure.

Nevertheless there may exist, in an almost-symplectic manifold, special vector fields which have both properties $d(i_X\sigma) = 0$ and $L_X\sigma = 0$. These vector fields, that closely resemble the Hamiltonian vector fields of the standard symplectic case, were called “strongly-Hamiltonian” in [24] and “Hamiltonian” in [47]. In view of the fact that they have the two qualifying properties of the Hamiltonian vector fields of the symplectic case, here we prefer to call them *fully-Hamiltonian*. Correspondingly, in order to avoid ambiguities, we will call *partially-Hamiltonian* those vector fields X for which $i_X\sigma$ is exact. We will occasionally call “standard Hamiltonian” those vector fields which are Hamiltonian with respect to a symplectic structure.

Fully-Hamiltonian vector fields were identified in [24] (even though they had already appeared in [11] as infinitesimal generators of group actions in the framework of almost-Dirac structures). Subsequently, they have been considered in [47, 44, 28]. In particular, Vaisman [47] points out that fully-Hamiltonian vector fields are rather special and that, since on a given almost-symplectic manifold there might exist very few or even none of them which are non-zero, it is of interest to provide examples of the existence and non-existence of these vector fields and investigate their structure, and does it. The present paper provides contributions in this direction.

1.2 Aim of the paper. Specifically, we study the structure of fully-Hamiltonian vector fields on a particular class of almost-symplectic manifolds, which was considered in [24] in connection with a generalization of the known patterns of integrability from the symplectic to the almost-symplectic context. The almost-symplectic manifolds we consider are equipped with a fibration whose fibers are Lagrangian tori (namely, σ vanishes on them) and has the additional property that the partially-Hamiltonian vector field of any basic (namely, constant on the fibers) function is fully-Hamiltonian. We will call *fully-Lagrangian toric fibration* a fibration of this type. The *semi-global* structure of these fibrations (namely, their structure in a saturated neighbourhood of each fiber) has been studied in [24]. In particular, it is there proven that only cases with $n := \frac{1}{2} \dim M \geq 3$ are interesting, because if $n = 2$ then σ is necessarily symplectic.

On any such fibered manifold there are two distinct classes of fully-Hamiltonian vector fields: those which are vertical (namely, tangent to the fibers of the fibration) and those which are not vertical, and we are mostly interested to their semi-global structure that we will investigate through the introduction of action-angle coordinates.

Vertical fully-Hamiltonian vector fields have already been considered in [24]. They are exactly the partially-Hamiltonian vector fields whose Hamiltonians are basic functions and are integrable, in the sense that their dynamics is conjugate to a linear flow on \mathbb{T}^n , with $n = \frac{1}{2} \dim M$. Moreover, semi-globally, they are Hamiltonian (and completely integrable, in the sense that they possess n almost everywhere independent Poisson commuting first integrals) with respect to a semi-global *symplectic* structure defined by the almost-symplectic structure. Thus, the semi-global structure of these fully-Hamiltonian vector field is not particularly interesting. Globally, things could be different: if the fibration is topologically non trivial, then there might not exist a global symplectic structure in M with respect to which all vertical fully-Hamiltonian vector fields are Hamiltonian. However, we leave this global question for future investigations.

The semi-global structure of *non-vertical* fully-Hamiltonian vector fields is much more interesting, and is the main topic of this work. We will make certain hypotheses on the fully-Lagrangian toric fibration (it must be produced by a free “fully-Hamiltonian” action of \mathbb{T}^n) and on the fully-Hamiltonian vector fields we look for (which involve certain genericity properties of the Fourier spectrum of their Hamiltonians). In short, we will show that, under the considered hypotheses:

- i. If $n = 3$, then there are no non-vertical fully-Hamiltonian vector fields (Theorem 29).
- ii. If $n = 4$, then, semi-globally, every non-vertical fully-Hamiltonian vector field is Hamiltonian (and completely integrable) with respect to some semi-globally defined symplectic structure (Theorem 30).
- iii. For any $n \geq 4$, every non-vertical fully-Hamiltonian vector field is equivariant under a \mathbb{T}^k -sub-action of the \mathbb{T}^n -action that produces the fibration, for some $3 \leq k \leq n$, and reduces to a family of standard Hamiltonian vector fields on reduced *symplectic* manifolds of dimension $2n - 2k$ (Theorem 31). The reduction process uses an almost-symplectic version of the standard Marsden-Meyer-Weinstein symplectic reduction procedure due to [11, 47].
- iv. If $n \geq 5$, non-vertical fully-Hamiltonian vector fields need not be integrable.

We will provide examples of all these cases.

In the current terminology, particularly in the field of nonholonomic mechanics (see e.g. [17, 25, 29, 12, 4, 10]), a result like iii. would be considered a *Hamiltonization* result. In the terminology that we adopt in this work, which focuses on the difference between symplectic and almost-symplectic, we rather regard it as a *symplectization* result.

Remarks 1. (i.) *Motivations for this work come also from dynamics, specifically, from the study of almost-symplectic perturbations of standard completely integrable Hamiltonian systems, a topic of which very little is known. For certain types of perturbations, such systems can be seen as defined in a fully-Lagrangian toric fibration (created by the standard integrable Hamiltonian system). Our results indicate that the case of fully-Hamiltonian and partially-Hamiltonian perturbations could be very*

different, since the former could be reduced to that of a family of standard Hamiltonian perturbations of standard integrable Hamiltonian systems.

- (ii.) *A class of almost-symplectic systems which has received a certain attention is that of conformally symplectic systems (see e.g. [46, 39, 6, 40]). However almost-symplectic manifolds that carry fully-Lagrangian toric fibrations are not conformally symplectic.*

1.3 Organization of the paper. Sections 2 and 3 introduce a number of topics which are necessary for the present study. In particular, Section 2 has two purposes. The first is to recall—and here and there to develop—some basic facts about almost-symplectic systems and almost-symplectic Lie group actions and reduction. The second is to prove a general constraint on fully-Hamiltonian vector fields on any almost-symplectic manifold: roughly speaking, their Hamiltonian functions are locally independent of at least three coordinates (Propositions 8 and 9). To a certain extent, this fact is the ultimate reason for our symplectization result.

In Section 3, recalling and extending [24], we introduce the “fully-Lagrangian toric fibrations” and we add a characterization of these structures in terms of invariance under a fully-Hamiltonian torus action, which is needed in view of the symplectization procedure (Proposition 25).

In Section 4 we first introduce the genericity assumptions that enter our symplectization results and then state them (Theorems 29, 30 and 31). The genericity assumptions require a Fourier series on torus bundles, that we introduce following previous ideas from [18, 19, 22, 20]. Theorems 29 and 31 are then proved in Section 5.

Section 6 describes and comments the “reconstruction” procedure needed to deduce the dynamical properties of non-vertical fully-Hamiltonian vector fields from those of the reduced vector fields.

Unless said differently, all objects are assumed to be smooth and all vector fields are assumed to be complete. “Fibration” means “locally trivial fibration”. The flow of a vector field X will be denoted Φ^X , with time- t maps Φ_t^X . Of course, all angles and their sums have to be understood mod 2π .

2 Hamiltonian-like systems in almost-symplectic manifolds

2.1 Partially- and fully-Hamiltonian vector fields. An *almost-symplectic* manifold (M, σ) is an even-dimensional manifold M equipped with a non-degenerate 2-form σ . Note that we do not require σ to be closed, and thus regard symplectic manifolds as particular almost-symplectic manifolds.

If $\dim M = 2n$, then we say that n is the *number of degrees of freedom* of (M, σ) . The case of interest is $n \geq 2$, because when $n = 1$ every 2-form is closed and (M, σ) is symplectic. Nevertheless, since as a result of reductions under group actions we will reach cases with $n = 1$ or even 0, we include the case $n = 1$ in all our definitions relative to almost-symplectic manifolds, and make the convention that if M is zero-dimensional then (M, σ) , with $\sigma = 0$, is a symplectic manifold.

Definition 2. A vector field X on an almost-symplectic manifold (M, σ) is:

- i. *Partially-Hamiltonian* if $i_X\sigma$ is exact. Thus $i_X\sigma = -df$ for some function $f \in C^\infty(M)$ that we call a *Hamiltonian* of X , and we write X_f^σ for X .
- ii. *Fully-Hamiltonian* if, besides being partially-Hamiltonian, it is a symmetry of σ , namely $L_X\sigma = 0$.

If X_f^σ is fully-Hamiltonian, then we say that f is a *fully-Hamiltonian function* on (M, σ) . The set of all fully-Hamiltonian functions on (M, σ) is denoted $\mathcal{H}_F^\sigma(M)$.

As already noticed, $L_X\sigma = i_X(d\sigma) + d(i_X\sigma)$ and the full-Hamiltonianity of a partially-Hamiltonian vector field is equivalent to

$$i_X d\sigma = 0. \quad (1)$$

Clearly, $L_{X_f^\sigma}f = 0$ for any function f , so every partially-Hamiltonian vector field has its own Hamiltonian as a first integral.

We will occasionally use also local versions of these objects:

Definition 3. A vector field X on an almost-symplectic manifold (M, σ) is *locally partially-Hamiltonian* if $i_X\sigma$ is closed, and *locally fully-Hamiltonian* if, moreover, $i_X d\sigma = 0$.

At an algebraic level, the reason for considering fully-Hamiltonian vector fields is the following. The almost-symplectic form σ induces an almost-Poisson bracket on smooth functions of M , which is defined by

$$\{f, g\}^\sigma := -\sigma(X_f^\sigma, X_g^\sigma) \quad \forall f, g \in C^\infty(M). \quad (2)$$

As in the symplectic case, $\{f, g\}^\sigma = -L_{X_f^\sigma}g$. However, because of the non-closedness of σ , this bracket does not satisfy the Jacobi identity [13]. Therefore, it does not make $C^\infty(M)$ a Lie algebra and does not induce an (anti-)homomorphism between functions and partially-Hamiltonian vector fields on M . However, there is an analogue of this homomorphism for the restriction of the bracket to $\mathcal{H}_F^\sigma(M)$. This is a consequence of the following fact (from [24], where its statement contains an obvious flaw that we fix here):

Proposition 4. Let Y and Z be two vector fields on an almost-symplectic manifold (M, σ) . If Y is locally partially-Hamiltonian and Z is a symmetry of σ then $[Y, Z]$ is partially-Hamiltonian with Hamiltonian $-\sigma(Y, Z)$:

$$[Y, Z] = -X_{\sigma(Y, Z)}^\sigma.$$

Proof. Since $d(i_Y\sigma) = 0$ and $L_Z\sigma = 0$, $d(\sigma(Y, Z)) = d(i_Z i_Y\sigma) = L_Z(i_Y\sigma) - i_Z d(i_Y\sigma) = i_Y(L_Z\sigma) + i_{[Z, Y]}\sigma = i_{[Z, Y]}\sigma = -i_{[Y, Z]}\sigma$. \square

Corollary 5. If two vector fields Y and Z are locally fully-Hamiltonian, then their Lie bracket $[Y, Z]$ is fully-Hamiltonian.

Proof. If Y and Z are locally fully-Hamiltonian, then $[Y, Z] = -X_{\sigma(Y, Z)}$ is partially-Hamiltonian and $L_{[Y, Z]}\sigma = (L_Y \circ L_Z - L_Z \circ L_Y)\sigma = 0$. \square

Therefore, the set of all locally fully-Hamiltonian vector fields is a Lie subalgebra of the Lie algebra of all vector fields on M . In particular, for all fully-Hamiltonian functions $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$,

$$[X_f^\sigma, X_g^\sigma] = -X_{\{f, g\}^\sigma},$$

so that, equipped with the bracket (2), $\mathcal{H}_F^\sigma(M)$ is a Lie algebra and the map $f \mapsto X_f^\sigma$ is an anti-homomorphism between it and the Lie algebra of fully-Hamiltonian vector fields.

In the class of partially-Hamiltonian vector fields, those vector fields which are fully-Hamiltonian have also special dynamical properties. For instance, partially-Hamiltonian vector fields need not preserve the volume form σ^n : an example is $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}$ on $M = \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \{0\}$ with $\sigma = x_3 dx_1 \wedge dx_2 + dx_3 \wedge dx_4$. Instead, since $L_X \sigma^n = n\sigma^{n-1} \wedge L_X \sigma$:

Proposition 6. *Every locally fully-Hamiltonian vector field on an almost-symplectic manifold (M, σ) preserves the volume σ^n .*

Remark 7. *There are many studies on the Hamilton-Jacobi theory outside the standard symplectic framework, see e.g. [14, 5, 16]. However, as pointed out in [7] in connection with the almost-symplectic formulation of non-holonomic systems [8], the absence of the Lie algebra (anti-)homomorphism between partially Hamiltonian functions and partially Hamiltonian vector implies that, for an almost-symplectic system, the existence of a complete integral of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation does not imply, without further hypotheses related to full-Hamiltonianity, the integrability of the system.*

2.2 An estimate on the number of (independent) locally fully-Hamiltonian vector fields. As remarked by [47], locally fully-Hamiltonian vector fields on (M, σ) define a generalized distribution \mathcal{D}_F^σ on M , whose fiber at each point $m \in M$ is the set of the values in m of all the locally fully-Hamiltonian vector fields. This set is clearly a subspace of $T_m M$. As proven in [47], this generalized distribution is integrable and endows M with a Dirac structure. Here, we add the following simple quantitative estimate, which will play a key role in the subsequent analysis.

Proposition 8. *\mathcal{D}_F^σ has rank $\leq 2n - 3$ at each point $m \in M$ at which $d\sigma(m) \neq 0$.*

Proof. By (1), all vectors $u \in T_m M$ which belong to the fiber of \mathcal{D}_F^σ over m annihilate the 3-form $d\sigma$ at m , that is, $i_u d\sigma(m) = 0$. The statement now follows from the fact that the kernel of a p -form η has codimension $\geq p$ at each point at which it is nonzero. [If $\eta(m) \neq 0$, then there exist p linearly independent vectors $u_1, \dots, u_p \in T_m M$ such that $\eta(m)(u_1, \dots, u_p) \neq 0$. Therefore $i_{u_j} \eta(m) \neq 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, p$ and every set of linearly independent vectors that annihilate $\eta(m)$ has cardinality $\leq 2n - p$.] \square

This implies that, locally, in a neighbourhood of each point at which $d\sigma$ is non-zero, there are no more than $2n - 3$ linearly independent (germs of) locally fully-Hamiltonian vector fields.

Under suitable stronger conditions it is possible to say more about the structure of the (local) Hamiltonian of the locally fully-Hamiltonian vector fields. For instance:

Proposition 9. *Assume that $d\sigma(m) \neq 0$ at a point $m \in M$ and that in a neighbourhood of m the generalized distribution \mathcal{D}_F^σ is generated by a finite set of $2n - s$ everywhere linearly independent locally fully-Hamiltonian vector fields.*

Then, $s \geq 3$ and there exists a coordinate system in a neighbourhood V of m such that the restriction to $V \cap U$ of any local Hamiltonian $h \in C^\infty(U)$, with U a neighbourhood of m , of a locally fully-Hamiltonian vector field is independent of the last s coordinates.

Proof. That $s \geq 3$ follows from Proposition 8 because, under the stated hypotheses, \mathcal{D}_F^σ has rank $2n - s$ at m .

Choose a set of locally fully-Hamiltonian vector fields X_1, \dots, X_r which generate \mathcal{D}_F^σ in a neighbourhood V_0 of m . In a neighbourhood $V_1 \subseteq V_0$ of m these vector fields have local Hamiltonians $f_1, \dots, f_r \in C^\infty(V_1)$, $X_j = X_{f_j}^\sigma$. By the non-degeneracy of σ , f_1, \dots, f_r are everywhere functionally independent in V_1 and can be completed to a coordinate system $(f_1, \dots, f_r, g_1, \dots, g_s)$ in a neighbourhood $V \subseteq V_1$ of m . Since $X_{f_1}^\sigma, \dots, X_{f_r}^\sigma, X_{g_1}^\sigma, \dots, X_{g_s}^\sigma$ are everywhere linearly independent in V and the $X_{f_j}^\sigma$'s generate \mathcal{D}_F^σ at each point of V , the $X_{g_j}^\sigma$ do not belong to \mathcal{D}_F^σ at any point of V .

Consider now a locally partially-Hamiltonian vector field Y , with a local Hamiltonian h in a neighbourhood U of m . In $V \cap U$,

$$Y = \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{\partial h}{\partial f_j} X_{f_j}^\sigma + \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{\partial h}{\partial g_j} X_{g_j}^\sigma.$$

Thus, $Y|_{V \cap U}$ is a section of \mathcal{D}_F^σ , namely locally fully-Hamiltonian, if and only if all $\frac{\partial h}{\partial g_j} = 0$. \square

Even though we will not actually use this result in the subsequent analysis, it should be kept in mind as an underlying motivation for it.

We note that the upper bound $2n - 3$ on the maximum number of independent fully-Hamiltonian functions given in Proposition 8 is *de facto* met in all examples in [47], and so is the existence of a coordinate system as in Proposition 9.

2.3 Almost-symplectic diffeomorphisms, group actions, and reduction. We conclude this section with some (rather obvious) remarks on the almost-symplectic analogues of symplectomorphisms, of symplectic and Hamiltonian group actions, and of the reduction procedure which will be needed in the following.

Definition 10. *An almost-symplectic diffeomorphism between two almost-symplectic manifolds (M, σ) and (M', σ') is a diffeomorphism $\rho : M \rightarrow M'$ such that $\rho_*\sigma = \sigma'$.*

Proposition 11. *If ρ is an almost-symplectic diffeomorphism of (M, σ) onto (M', σ') , then:*

i. $\rho_* X_f^\sigma = X_{\rho_* f}^{\sigma'}$ for all $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

ii. If $f \in \mathcal{H}_F^\sigma(M)$, then $\rho_*f \in \mathcal{H}_F^{\sigma'}(M')$.

Proof. Write X for X_f^σ . Then $i_{\rho_*X}(\rho_*\sigma) = \rho_*(i_X\sigma) = -\rho_*df = -d(\rho_*f)$ and $L_{\rho_*X}(\rho_*\sigma) = \rho_*(L_X\sigma) = 0$. \square

Proposition 12. *If X is a locally fully-Hamiltonian vector field on an almost-symplectic manifold (M, σ) , then, for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, Φ_t^X is an almost-symplectic diffeomorphism of (M, σ) onto itself.*

Proof. From $\frac{d}{dt}(\Phi_t^X)_*\sigma = (\Phi_t^X)_*L_X\sigma = 0$ it follows $(\Phi_t^X)_*\sigma = (\Phi_0^X)_*\sigma = \sigma$. \square

We consider now an action Ψ of a Lie group G on an almost-symplectic manifold (M, σ) . As usual, we denote \mathfrak{g} the Lie algebra of G and \exp its exponential map. For any $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, we denote Y_ξ the infinitesimal generator $\left. \frac{d}{dt} \Psi_{\exp(t\xi)} \right|_{t=0}$.

Definition 13. *The action Ψ of G on M is:*

- i. *Almost-symplectic if $(\Psi_g)_*\sigma = \sigma$ for all $g \in G$.*
- ii. *(Locally) partially-Hamiltonian if, for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, Y_ξ is (locally) partially-Hamiltonian.*
- iii. *(Locally) fully-Hamiltonian if, for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, Y_ξ is (locally) fully-Hamiltonian.*

Fully-Hamiltonian actions are called *strongly-Hamiltonian* in [11] and *Hamiltonian* in [47].

Proposition 14. *i. Any almost-symplectic and (locally) partially-Hamiltonian action is (locally) fully-Hamiltonian.*

ii. *Assume that the exponential map of G is surjective. Then any locally fully-Hamiltonian action is almost-symplectic.*

Proof. (i.) Assume that Ψ is almost-symplectic. Then, $\forall \xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, $(\Psi_{\exp(t\xi)})_*\sigma = \sigma$ for all t and hence, since $\Psi_{\exp(t\xi)} = \Phi_t^{Y_\xi}$, $L_{Y_\xi}\sigma = 0$. It follows that, if Ψ is (locally) partially-Hamiltonian, then it is (locally) fully-Hamiltonian.

(ii.) If the action is locally fully-Hamiltonian, then all infinitesimal generators Y_ξ , $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, are locally fully-Hamiltonian. Therefore, by Proposition 12, $\Psi_{\exp(\xi)}$ is an almost-symplectic diffeomorphism $\forall \xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ and, if \exp is surjective, so is Ψ_g for all $g \in G$. \square

A partially-Hamiltonian action has a momentum map

$$J : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$$

which is defined by the fact that, for each $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, the function $J_\xi := \langle J, \xi \rangle$ (with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the duality $\mathfrak{g}\text{-}\mathfrak{g}^*$) satisfies $dJ_\xi = -i_{Y_\xi}\sigma$, and hence $Y_\xi = X_{J_\xi}^\sigma$. If the action is fully-Hamiltonian, then so is its momentum map, in the sense that all functions J_ξ are fully-Hamiltonian. Moreover (see [11, 24]):

Proposition 15. *Consider a partially-Hamiltonian G -action Ψ on an almost-symplectic manifold (M, σ) with momentum map J . Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ be a G -invariant function. Then:*

- i. J is constant along the flow of X_f^σ .*
- ii. If either the action Ψ or the function f is fully-Hamiltonian, then X_f^σ is G -invariant.*

Proof. (i.) If f is G -invariant then $L_{X_f^\sigma} J_\xi = -i_{X_f^\sigma} i_{Y_\xi} \sigma = -L_{Y_\xi} f$ vanishes for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$.

(ii.) Fix $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. Since at least one among the two partially-Hamiltonian vector fields X_f^σ and $Y_\xi = X_{J_\xi}^\sigma$ is fully-Hamiltonian, Proposition 4 gives $[X_f^\sigma, Y_\xi] = -X_{\{f, J_\xi\}^\sigma}^\sigma$. But $\{f, J_\xi\}^\sigma = L_{X_f^\sigma} J_\xi$ which, by item i., vanishes. \square

As usual, we say that a momentum map J of Ψ is *equivariant* if it is equivariant with respect to the action Ψ on M and to the coadjoint action of G on \mathfrak{g}^* . The equivariance of J implies that, for each $c \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, G_c (the isotropy subgroup of c relative to the coadjoint action of G) acts on $J^{-1}(c)$.

If J is equivariant, $c \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is a regular value of J and the action of G_c on $J^{-1}(c)$ is free and proper, then

$$M_c := J^{-1}(c)/G_c$$

is a smooth manifold and the canonical projection $p_c : J^{-1}(c) \rightarrow M_c$ is a smooth submersion. Furthermore, given a G -invariant function $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$, there exists a unique function $f_c \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M_c)$ such that

$$p_c^* f_c = \iota_c^* f$$

where $\iota_c : J^{-1}(c) \hookrightarrow M$ is the canonical inclusion. Slightly extending previous results by [11, 47] (which state only item i.) we have the following almost-symplectic version of the well known Marsden-Meyer-Weinstein symplectic reduction theorem:

Proposition 16. *Assume that Ψ is almost-symplectic and fully-Hamiltonian, that J is equivariant, that c is a regular value of J and that G_c acts freely and properly on $J^{-1}(c)$. Then:*

- i. There exists an almost-symplectic form σ_c on M_c such that $p_c^* \sigma_c = \iota_c^* \sigma$.*
- ii. If $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ is G -invariant, then $X_{f_c}^{\sigma_c}$ is p_c -related to the restriction of X_f^σ to $J^{-1}(c)$ (namely, $T_m p_c \cdot X_f^\sigma(m) = X_{f_c}^{\sigma_c}(p_c(m))$ for all $m \in \iota(J^{-1}(c))$).*
- iii. If $f \in \mathcal{H}_F^\sigma(M)$, then $f_c \in \mathcal{H}_F^{\sigma_c}(M_c)$.*

Proof. (i.) See [47]. (ii.) This does not need the closedness of σ and its proof goes as in the symplectic case, see e.g. Theorem 14.6 in [38]. (iii.) Using i., $p_c^*(L_{X_{f_c}^{\sigma_c}} \sigma_c) = L_{X_f^\sigma}|_{\iota(J^{-1}(c))} \iota_c^* \sigma = \iota_c^*(L_{X_f^\sigma} \sigma) = 0$. \square

We will say that the (M_c, σ_c) 's, f_c 's and (M_c, σ_c, f_c) 's are, respectively, the *almost-symplectic reduced spaces*, *reduced Hamiltonians* and *almost-symplectic reduced systems* induced by (M, σ, f) .

Remarks 17. (i.) It is possible that, for some or all c , $d\sigma_c = 0$ and the reduced almost-symplectic space (M_c, σ_c) is in fact symplectic.

(ii.) The reduced manifolds M_c might not be connected even if M is connected. This is a delicate point, which has been studied in the symplectic case (see [2, 30, 33]); for instance, in that case, if $G = \mathbb{T}^k$ then the connectedness is guaranteed if M is compact, but not in general).

3 Fully-Lagrangian toric fibrations on almost-symplectic manifolds

In this section we introduce the class of fully-Hamiltonian vector fields that we study in the rest of the paper; essentially, we follow, and globalize, [24].

3.1 Fully-Lagrangian toric fibrations. A submanifold N of an almost-symplectic manifold (M, σ) is said to be *isotropic* if the restriction of σ to it vanishes and *Lagrangian* if it is isotropic and $\dim(N) = \frac{1}{2}\dim(M)$. A submanifold N of M is Lagrangian if and only if, for each $x \in N$, $T_x N$ equals its own σ -orthogonal $(T_x N)^\sigma := \{u \in T_x M : \sigma(u, v) = 0 \forall v \in T_x N\}$. Clearly, if a fibration $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ has Lagrangian fibers then $\dim M = 2 \dim B$.

Given a fibration $\pi : M \rightarrow B$, a p -form ($p \geq 0$) on the total space M is said to be *basic* if it is the pull-back under π of a p -form on the base B . Basic functions ($p = 0$) are the functions on M which are constant on the components of the fibers of π . A vector field on M is said to be *vertical* if it is a section of $\ker(T\pi)$, namely, it is tangent to the fibers of π .

Definition 18. Let (M, σ) be an almost-symplectic manifold. A fully-Lagrangian toric fibration of (M, σ) is a fibration $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ such that

- i. The fibers of π are compact and connected Lagrangian submanifolds of M .
- ii. All basic functions are fully-Hamiltonian.

An example of a fully-Lagrangian fibration of an almost-symplectic manifold (M, σ) is provided by a submersion

$$\Pi = (\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_n) : M \rightarrow \Pi(M) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$$

with compact and connected fibers whose components Π_1, \dots, Π_n are fully-Hamiltonian functions and are pairwise in involution, namely

$$\{\Pi_i, \Pi_j\}^\sigma = 0 \quad \forall i, j.$$

Indeed, the fibers of any such Π are obviously Lagrangian (their tangent spaces are spanned by the $X_{\Pi_i}^\sigma$'s because $L_{\Pi_i} \Pi_j = -\{\Pi_i, \Pi_j\}^\sigma = 0$, and $\sigma(X_{\Pi_i}^\sigma, X_{\Pi_j}^\sigma) = -\{\Pi_i, \Pi_j\}^\sigma = 0$) and all basic functions are fully-Hamiltonian (if $F : \Pi(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then $X_{F \circ \Pi}^\sigma = \sum_i (\frac{\partial F}{\partial \Pi_i} \circ \Pi) X_{\Pi_i}^\sigma$ and so $i_{X_{F \circ \Pi}^\sigma} d\sigma = 0$). This is the case considered in [24].

As it turns out, a submersion Π with this properties is a “semi-global” (that is, in a saturated neighbourhood of any fiber) model for any fully-Lagrangian toric fibration

$\pi : M \rightarrow B$. In fact, if $\mathcal{B} = (\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_n)$ is any set of local coordinates on the base B , then the components of the submersion $\Pi := \mathcal{B} \circ \pi$ are basic and hence fully-Hamiltonian functions, while the fact that they are pairwise in involution follows from the following fact (which is a known property of Lagrangian fibrations of symplectic manifolds and extends to the almost-symplectic context because it does not rely on the closedness of σ ; see also Proposition 7 of [24]):

Lemma 19. *Let $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ be a fully-Lagrangian toric fibration on an almost-symplectic manifold (M, σ) . A function f on M is basic if and only if any of the following two equivalent conditions is satisfied:*

- i. X_f^σ is vertical.
- ii. f is in involution with all basic functions.

Proof. (i.) f is basic $\Leftrightarrow f$ is a first integral of $\pi \Leftrightarrow \sigma(Y, X_f^\sigma) = L_Y f = 0$ for all vertical vector fields $Y \Leftrightarrow X_f^\sigma$ is σ -orthogonal to the fibers of $\pi \Leftrightarrow X_f^\sigma$ is tangent to the fibers of π , given that they are Lagrangian submanifolds.

(ii.) If f and g are basic then, by i., X_f^σ and X_g^σ are vertical and, since the fibers are Lagrangian, $\{f, g\}^\sigma = -\sigma(X_f^\sigma, X_g^\sigma) = 0$. Conversely, if $\{f, g\}^\sigma = 0$ with a basic function g , then $L_{X_f^\sigma} g \neq 0$ and X_f^σ is not tangent to the fibers of g , and thus neither to those of π , which are contained in them. \square

The requirement of the full-Hamiltonianity of the basic functions of a fully-Lagrangian toric fibration $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ implies that its fibers have a set of everywhere linearly independent tangent vector fields (e.g., for any set of local coordinates $\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_n$ on B , the vector fields $X_{\mathcal{B}_i \circ \pi}^\sigma$'s) which are pairwise in involution ($[X_{\mathcal{B}_i \circ \pi}^\sigma, X_{\mathcal{B}_j \circ \pi}^\sigma] = X_{\{\mathcal{B}_i \circ \pi, \mathcal{B}_j \circ \pi\}^\sigma} = 0$) and thus, by a well known argument, are diffeomorphic to \mathbb{T}^n . Even more, an almost-symplectic version of the Liouville-Arnold theorem is valid, which describes the semi-global almost-symplectic structure of such fibrations:

Proposition 20. [24] *Let $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ be a fully-Lagrangian toric fibration of an almost-symplectic manifold (M, σ) with $\dim(M) = 2n$ and $n \geq 1$.*

- i. *The fibers of π are diffeomorphic to \mathbb{T}^n .*
- ii. *Each fiber of π has a neighbourhood V equipped with a diffeomorphism $(a, \alpha) : V \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{T}^n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{T}^n$, such that the fibers of π coincide with the sets $a = \text{const}$ and the local representative $\sigma^{\text{aa}} := (a, \alpha)_* \sigma$ of σ has the form*

$$\sigma^{\text{aa}}(a, \alpha) = \sum_i da_i \wedge d\alpha_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} A_{ij}(a) da_i \wedge da_j \quad (3)$$

where $A(a)$ is an $n \times n$ skew-symmetric matrix that depends smoothly on a .

The trivializations (a, α) provided by Proposition 20 will be called (local) *action-angle coordinates* of the fibration π . Written in these coordinates, the partially-Hamiltonian

vector field X_f^σ of a function f has components

$$(X_f^\sigma)_{a_i} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \alpha_i}, \quad (X_f^\sigma)_{\alpha_i} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial a_i} + \sum_j A_{ij} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \alpha_j}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (4)$$

where \mathcal{F} is the local representative of f . Moreover, if \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 are the local representatives of two functions f_1 and f_2 in an action-angle chart, then the local representative of the almost-Poisson bracket $\{f_1, f_2\}^\sigma$ in that chart turns out to be

$$\{\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2\}^{\sigma \text{aa}} := \sum_i \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1}{\partial a_i} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_2}{\partial \alpha_i} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1}{\partial \alpha_i} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_2}{\partial a_i} \right) + \sum_{ij} A_{ij} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_1}{\partial \alpha_i} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_2}{\partial \alpha_j}. \quad (5)$$

As in the standard symplectic case [42, 15], the action-angle coordinates need not be defined globally in M , because of the non-triviality of the fibration $\pi : M \rightarrow B$, and are moreover not unique. But exactly as in that case, they form an atlas in which any two different sets (a, α) and $(\tilde{a}, \tilde{\alpha})$ of coordinates are related to each other, in any nonempty connected component of the intersection of their domains, by a transformation of the form

$$\tilde{a} = Za + z, \quad \tilde{\alpha} = Z^{-T} \alpha + \mathcal{G}(a) \pmod{2\pi} \quad (6)$$

with $Z \in SL_\pm(n, \mathbb{Z})$ (the group of unimodular $n \times n$ matrices with integer entries), $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and an invertible map \mathcal{G} (see [24]). The only difference from the symplectic case is that the maps \mathcal{G} need not satisfy some conditions imposed by the closedness of σ .

A consequence of the semi-global expression (3) of σ is that $d\sigma$ is a basic form. This fact plays a role in the forthcoming analysis. First of all, since when $n = 2$ any basic 2-form is closed, this implies that the case $n = 2$ is special:

Proposition 21. *If an almost-symplectic manifold (M, σ) with 2 degrees of freedom hosts a fully-Lagrangian toric fibration, then σ is symplectic.*

From now on we will thus focus on the case $n \geq 3$. Moreover, we will often regard $d\sigma$ as defined in B .

Remarks 22. (i) *According to (3), semi-globally, the almost-symplectic form is the sum of a symplectic form $(\sum_i da_i \wedge d\alpha_i)$ and of a basic form $(\frac{1}{2} \sum_i A_{ij}(a) da_i \wedge da_j)$. However, such a decomposition—and particularly such a symplectic form—might not exist globally. The reason is that, for the $\sum_i da_i \wedge d\alpha_i$ to be the local representatives of a 2-form in M , it is necessary that the functions \mathcal{G} in (6) satisfy some additional properties (they must be gradients).*

(ii) *Reference [24] considers a more general situation, which extends from the symplectic to the almost-symplectic context not only the notion of complete integrability, but also that of “noncommutative integrability” (that allows for isotropic—and not only Lagrangian—tori). It might have some interest to extend the analysis of the present article to such more general situation, because in that case the fibration by tori is typically produced not by a torus action, but by the action of a non-abelian symmetry group.*

3.2 Vertical and non-vertical Hamiltonian-like vector fields on fully-Lagrangian toric fibrations. The existence of a fully-Lagrangian toric fibration $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ on an almost-symplectic manifold (M, σ) forces special properties on the partially- and fully-Hamiltonian vector fields on M .

First, partially-Hamiltonian vector fields on a generic almost-symplectic manifold need not conserve the volume induced by the almost-symplectic form. Instead:

Proposition 23. *If an almost-symplectic manifold (M, σ) hosts a fully-Lagrangian toric Lagrangian fibration π , then all locally partially-Hamiltonian vector fields on M preserve the volume form σ^n .*

Proof. If $i_X \sigma$ is closed, then $L_X \sigma^n = n \sigma^{n-1} \wedge L_X \sigma = n \sigma^{n-1} \wedge i_X d\sigma$. From the expressions in action-angle coordinates of X and σ one sees that the local representative of $i_X d\sigma$ is a sum of terms each of which contains the wedge product of at least 2 factors da_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Hence, $\sigma^{n-1} \wedge i_X d\sigma$ is a sum of terms each of which contains the wedge product of at least $n + 1$ factors chosen among da_1, \dots, da_n , and therefore vanishes. \square

Next, all *vertical* partially-Hamiltonian vector fields are automatically fully-Hamiltonian and also integrable (in the sense that their flow is conjugate to a linear flow on tori). Indeed, from Lemma 19, if X_f^σ is vertical then f is basic and X_f^σ is fully-Hamiltonian; moreover, the local representative \mathcal{F} of f in any system of action-angle coordinates (a, α) is independent of the angles and, from (4),

$$(X_f^\sigma)_{a_i} = 0, \quad (X_f^\sigma)_{\alpha_i} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \alpha_i}(a), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

In addition, in the domain of any action-angle chart, any vertical partially-Hamiltonian vector field X_σ^f is also Hamiltonian with respect to the symplectic 2-form $\sum_i da_i \wedge d\alpha_i$.

Hence, semi-globally, vertical partially-Hamiltonian vector fields are standard completely integrable symplectic-Hamiltonian systems. Globally, however, there might not exist a symplectic structure on M with such local representatives (this situation should be understood better).

On the contrary, there may exist both partially-Hamiltonian and fully-Hamiltonian *non-vertical* vector fields. Our aim is to investigate the structure of the fully-Hamiltonian ones.

3.3 Fully-Lagrangian toric fibrations as principal \mathbb{T}^n -bundles. In the domain V of any of its action-angle charts, any fully-Lagrangian toric fibration $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ is a principal bundle under the \mathbb{T}^n -action on V given by translation of the angles ($\Psi_\beta(a, \alpha) = (a, \alpha + \beta)$). This action is fully-Hamiltonian: given any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the infinitesimal generator $Y_\xi = \sum_i \xi_i \partial_{\alpha_i}$ equals X_f^σ with the basic function $f = \sum_i \xi_i a_i$. We will consider the case in which this local action can be extended to a fully-Hamiltonian \mathbb{T}^n -action on all of M . Such a case can be characterized in terms of local systems of action-angle coordinates:

Definition 24. *A fully-Lagrangian toric fibration $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ has global actions if it has an action-angle atlas \mathcal{P} with the following properties:*

- i. All transition functions among the charts of \mathcal{P} have the action component equal the identity (all matrices $Z = \mathbb{I}$ and vectors $z = 0$ in (6)).
- ii. The map $\hat{a}^{\mathcal{P}} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ whose value at a point $m \in M$ equals the value at m of the action coordinates in one (and hence any) chart of \mathcal{P} whose domain contains m , has connected fibers.

The atlas \mathcal{P} will be called atlas with global actions and the map

$$\hat{a}^{\mathcal{P}} : M \rightarrow \hat{a}^{\mathcal{P}}(M) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \quad (7)$$

will be said to be the global action map relative to it.

Under the hypotheses of this Definition, the global action map $\hat{a}^{\mathcal{P}}$ as in (7) is a surjective submersion whose fibers are connected and diffeomorphic to \mathbb{T}^n . By the Ehresman fibration theorem, $\hat{a}^{\mathcal{P}}$ is a fibration and its fibers, being connected, coincide with the fibers of π . Thus, $\hat{a}^{\mathcal{P}} : M \rightarrow \hat{a}^{\mathcal{P}}(M)$ and $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ are different descriptions of the same fully-Lagrangian toric fibrations, and are related by the fact that the map

$$\hat{a}_B^{\mathcal{P}} : B \rightarrow \hat{a}^{\mathcal{P}}(M)$$

defined by $\hat{a}^{\mathcal{P}} = \hat{a}_B^{\mathcal{P}} \circ \pi$ is a diffeomorphism. Considering $\hat{a}^{\mathcal{P}}$ instead of π has the advantage that $\hat{a}^{\mathcal{P}}$ can be viewed as the (equivariant) momentum map of a torus action:

Proposition 25. *Let (M, σ) be an almost-symplectic manifold of dimension $2n$.*

- i. *Assume that $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ is a fully-Lagrangian toric fibration with global actions. If \mathcal{P} is an atlas with global actions, then $\hat{a}^{\mathcal{P}} : M \rightarrow \hat{a}^{\mathcal{P}}(M)$ is the momentum map of a fully-Hamiltonian free action $\Psi^{\mathbb{T}^n}$ of \mathbb{T}^n on M . In any chart of \mathcal{P} , $\Psi^{\mathbb{T}^n}$ acts by translation of the angles.*
- ii. *Consider a fully-Hamiltonian free action Ψ of \mathbb{T}^n on M which has a momentum map J with connected fibers. Then, $J : M \rightarrow J(M) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a fully-Lagrangian toric fibration with global actions. In the chart of any atlas with global actions of this fibration, Ψ acts by translation of the angles.*

Proof. (i.) This follows from the remark at the beginning of the section: for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the local representatives of $\sum_i \xi_i \partial_{\alpha_i}$ of the infinitesimal generator Y_ξ in the action-angle charts of \mathcal{P} are the local representatives of $X_{J_\xi}^\sigma$ with $J_\xi = \sum_i \xi_i \hat{a}_i^{\mathcal{P}}$. Since J_ξ is basic, $X_{J_\xi}^\sigma$ is fully-Hamiltonian. Thus, $\Psi^{\mathbb{T}^n}$ is a fully-Hamiltonian action, with momentum map $\hat{a}^{\mathcal{P}}$.

(ii.) Since the action is free, its momentum map J is a submersion and its fibers are n -dimensional submanifolds. Since the group is abelian, the fibers of J are Ψ -invariant. As such, each of them is a disjoint union of Ψ -orbits and, being connected, it is an orbit of Ψ . On the other hand, a free action of a compact group defines a principal bundle. Thus, $J : M \rightarrow J(M)$ is a principal \mathbb{T}^n -bundle; in particular, it is a fibration with compact and connected fibers.

Choose $\eta, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The infinitesimal generator $Y_\eta = X_{J_\eta}^\sigma$ is tangent to the fibers of J (because they are orbits of Ψ) and J_ξ is constant on the fibers of J . Therefore,

$L_{Y_\eta} J_\xi = 0$ and so $\sigma(Y_\eta, Y_\xi) = L_{Y_\eta} J_\xi = 0$. This proves that the fibers of J are Lagrangian submanifolds.

Since $J : M \rightarrow J(M)$ is a principal \mathbb{T}^n -bundle, there are local trivializations $(J, \phi) : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{T}^n$ in which the Ψ -action is given by translation of the angles ϕ . For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, the infinitesimal generator $Y_{e_i} = \partial_{\phi_i}$, where e_i the i -th canonical basis vector of $\mathfrak{t}^n = \mathbb{R}^n$, coincides with $X_{J_i}^\sigma$. (Here, J_i is the i -th component of the map J , and coincides with the Hamiltonian J_{e_i}).

Consider a function $\tilde{f} : J(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and let $f = \tilde{f} \circ \pi$. In a local trivialization (J, ϕ) , the partially-Hamiltonian vector field $X_f^\sigma = \sum_i \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial J_i} X_{J_i}^\sigma$ is a linear combination of fully-Hamiltonian vector fields and hence is fully-Hamiltonian. Thus, all basic functions are fully-Hamiltonian.

This proves that $J : M \rightarrow J(M)$ is a fully-Lagrangian toric fibration. It remains to prove that it has global actions. Consider an atlas of M formed by local trivializations (J, ϕ) of the \mathbb{T}^n -bundle $J : M \rightarrow J(M)$. In any one of them, the local representative of the almost-symplectic 2-form can be written as

$$\sum_{hk} P_{hk}(J, \phi) dJ_h \wedge d\phi_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{hk} Q_{hk}(J, \phi) dJ_h \wedge dJ_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{hk} R_{hk}(J, \phi) d\phi_h \wedge d\phi_k$$

with certain functions P_{hk}, Q_{hk}, R_{hk} . The fact that the fibers of J are Lagrangian submanifolds implies that all R_{hk} vanish. The fact that $X_{J_i}^\sigma = \partial_{\phi_i}$ for all i implies $P_{hk} = \delta_{hk}$ for all h, k . And the fact that $L_{\partial_{\phi_i}} \sigma = L_{X_{J_i}^\sigma} \sigma = 0$ for all i implies that all Q_{hk} are independent of the angles ϕ . Hence, the local representatives of σ have the form (3), and these local trivializations form an action-angle atlas. The local actions J_1, \dots, J_n are the restriction to the chart domains of the components of the momentum map J . From this, and the fact that J has connected fibers, it follows that this atlas has global actions. \square

Remark 26. *It follows from this proof that, in the situation of item ii. of Proposition 25, any atlas for M which is formed by local trivializations (J, ϕ) of the \mathbb{T}^n -bundle $J : M \rightarrow J(M)$ with the property that \mathbb{T}^n acts by translation of the angles α , is also an atlas with action-angle coordinates for M . We will need this remark later.*

4 Symplectization of non-vertical fully-Hamiltonian vector fields

Constraints on the structure of non-vertical fully-Hamiltonian vector fields on fully-Lagrangian toric fibrations come from Proposition 8, according to which, under suitable conditions, a fully-Hamiltonian function is locally independent of at least 3 coordinates. Our first goal will be to prove that, under suitable conditions, these coordinates can be chosen to be angles. Next, we will investigate the consequences of this fact.

4.1 Fourier series and Fourier genericity. Our investigation rests on some assumptions on the non-basic fully-Hamiltonian functions that we consider. Intuitively, we want these functions to be “as less basic as possible” or, at a local level, to depend “as much as possible” on the angles. We will consider two variants of a genericity condition introduced

by Poincaré in his study of the non-existence of first integrals in nearly integrable Hamiltonian systems ([43], Volume 1, Chapter 5; see also [9]), which refer to the abundance of harmonics of a function. In order to formulate these conditions we need a Fourier series on the fibration $\pi : M \rightarrow B$, which exists if π is a \mathbb{T}^n -principal bundle (see [18, 19, 22]).

Consider a fully-Lagrangian toric fibration $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ with global actions. Choose an atlas \mathcal{P} with global actions. Every function $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ can be uniquely written as a series

$$f = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} f^\nu, \quad (8)$$

where the functions $f^\nu \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$, that we will call the *harmonics of f relative to \mathcal{P}* , are defined as follows. The representative $\mathcal{F}(a, \alpha)$ of f in any chart of \mathcal{P} can be expanded in the Fourier series $\mathcal{F} = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mathcal{F}^\nu$, with “harmonics”

$$\mathcal{F}^\nu(a, \alpha) := \frac{e^{i\nu \cdot \alpha}}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} \mathcal{F}(a, \beta) e^{-i\nu \cdot \beta} d\beta. \quad (9)$$

Using (6) with $Z = \mathbb{I}$ and $z = 0$ it is immediate to verify that, for each $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, the ν -th harmonics of the local representatives match in the intersection of the chart domains, and hence define a function f^ν on M . Clearly, the series (8) converges absolutely on any set $\pi^{-1}(K)$ with $K \subset B$ compact.

The harmonics of a function defined in this way depend on the atlas only for their labelling with the integer vectors ν . Specifically, if \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}' are two atlases with global actions, then in each connected component of B there exists a matrix $Z \in SL_\pm(n, \mathbb{Z})$ such that the harmonics in the two atlases of the restriction of f to that set are related by

$$f_{\mathcal{P}'}^\nu = \det(Z) f_{\mathcal{P}}^{Z\nu} \quad \forall \nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n. \quad (10)$$

We say that two nonzero integer vectors $\nu, \tilde{\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ are *parallel* if $\nu = q\tilde{\nu}$ for some $q \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$. Moreover, given a function $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$, for any $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$ we define the set

$$B^\nu(f) := \{b \in B : \exists \tilde{\nu} \neq 0 \text{ parallel to } \nu \text{ s.t. } f^{\tilde{\nu}}|_{\pi^{-1}(b)} \neq 0\}. \quad (11)$$

Clearly, $B^\nu(f) = B^{\tilde{\nu}}(f)$ if ν and $\tilde{\nu}$ are parallel.

Definition 27. Consider a fully-Lagrangian toric fibration $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ with global actions. A function $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$:

- i. Has property FG1 if, for each $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$, $B^\nu(f) \subseteq B$ is either empty or open and dense in B .
- ii. Has property FG2 if, for each $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$, either $B^\nu(f) \subseteq B$ is empty or its complement is countable.

Note that only the labelling of the sets B^ν depends on the choice of the atlas, and the fact that a function has either properties FG1 or FG2 is independent of this choice.

Remarks 28. (i) *The fact that properties FG1 and FG2 allow for the possibility that all harmonics parallel to a given one are zero is certainly not a genericity condition in the class of all smooth functions. Allowing for it is however unavoidable because—essentially as a consequence of Proposition 8—the representatives of fully-Hamiltonian functions cannot depend on all angles. Thus, these properties should be thought of as genericity conditions in the class of fully-Hamiltonian functions.*

(ii) *The construction of the Fourier series does not need that the fibration π has global action, but only that there is an action-angle atlas whose all transition functions have matrices $Z = \mathbb{I}$ (one such fibration could be said to have “no monodromy” by analogy with the symplectic case [42, 15]).*

4.2 Symplectization of non-vertical fully-Hamiltonian vector fields. We state now our results on the existence and structure of non-vertical fully-Hamiltonian vector fields on fully-Lagrangian toric fibration. We will assume that M (and hence B) is connected; if not, all results apply to each component separately.

As already remarked, cases with $n = 1$ and $n = 2$ are trivial (see Proposition 21). The cases $n = 3$ and $n = 4$ are special, and we can give results specific to them.

First, generically, under mild genericity conditions on either σ or the Hamiltonian, when $n = 3$ there are no such vector fields: all fully-Hamiltonian vector fields are vertical and hence, semi-globally, Hamiltonian and completely integrable with respect to the semi-global symplectic structure $\sum_i da_i \wedge d\alpha_i$.

Theorem 29. *Let (M, σ) be a connected almost-symplectic manifold with $n = 3$ degrees of freedom that hosts a fully-Lagrangian toric fibration $\pi : M \rightarrow B$.*

- i. If $d\sigma$ is nonzero in an open and dense subset of B , then any fully-Hamiltonian vector field is vertical.*
- ii. Assume that π has global actions and that $d\sigma \neq 0$. If $f \in \mathcal{H}_F^\sigma(M)$ has property FG1, then X_f^σ is vertical.*

Instead, when $n = 4$, non-vertical fully-Hamiltonian vector fields may exist. However, under the genericity condition FG1, they are Hamiltonian and completely integrable with respect to *some* semi-global symplectic structure:

Theorem 30. *Let (M, σ) be a connected almost-symplectic manifold with $n = 4$ degrees of freedom and $d\sigma \neq 0$ which hosts a fully-Lagrangian toric fibration $\pi : M \rightarrow B$. If $f \in \mathcal{H}_F^\sigma(M)$ has property FG1, then semi-globally, in a neighbourhood V of each fiber of π , X_f^σ is Hamiltonian, and completely integrable, with respect to a symplectic structure σ_V^\sharp on V .*

Our next result is that, under the stronger genericity condition FG2, when $n \geq 5$ all non-vertical fully-Hamiltonian vector fields reduce, under almost-symplectic torus actions, to families of standard Hamiltonian vector fields on reduced *symplectic* manifolds. This is true also when $n = 3, 4$, and we thus state this result here for all $n \geq 3$; the connection with Theorems 29 and 30 will be discussed in Section 6. Remember that we make the convention that a zero-dimensional almost-symplectic manifold is a symplectic manifold.

Theorem 31. *Let (M, σ) be a connected almost-symplectic manifold with $n \geq 3$ degrees of freedom and $d\sigma \neq 0$ which hosts a fully-Lagrangian toric fibration $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ with, if $n \leq 5$, global actions. Consider a function $f \in \mathcal{H}_F^\sigma(M)$ which has property FG1 and, if $n \geq 6$, property FG2.*

Then, globally in M if $n \leq 5$, and in a neighbourhood V of each fiber of π (namely, semi-globally) if $n \geq 6$, there exist a $3 \leq k \leq n$ and a fully-Hamiltonian action $\Psi^{\mathbb{T}^k}$ of \mathbb{T}^k on M such that:

- i. f is $\Psi^{\mathbb{T}^k}$ -invariant.*
- ii. On each almost-symplectic reduced manifold (V_c, σ_c) there is a symplectic structure σ_c^s such that $X_{f_c}^{\sigma_c} = X_{f_c}^{\sigma_c^s}$.*

(Here, (V_c, σ_c) is the reduced space, in the sense of Proposition 16, of the almost-symplectic manifold $(V, \sigma|_V)$ under the action $\Psi^{\mathbb{T}^k}$).

We will discuss some aspects of the *dynamics* of these vector fields, and give some examples of their existence, in Section 6. We anticipate that the following situations are possible, depending on the number $r := n - k$ of degrees of freedom of the reduced symplectic spaces:

1. If $r = 0$, then all fully-Hamiltonian vector fields are vertical (as in the case $n = 3$ of Theorem 29).
2. If $r = 1$, then all fully-Hamiltonian vector fields are completely integrable and Hamiltonian with respect to some semi-global symplectic forms (as in the case $n = 4$ of Theorem 30).
3. If $r \geq 2$, then there can be a variety of situations; in particular, non-vertical fully-Hamiltonian vector fields need not be integrable and may have chaotic dynamics.

Remarks 32. *(i.) The \mathbb{T}^k -action in Theorem 31 is the action of a subgroup of the torus \mathbb{T}^n that generates the fully-Hamiltonian toric fibration. Correspondingly, there exists an action-angle atlas with global actions with the property that the local representative of f in each chart are independent of the first k angles.*

(ii.) Since Theorem 29 does not involve any group action, for its validity it would be sufficient to require the existence of an atlas with all changes of actions equal the identity (that is, “no monodromy”), without requiring the connectedness of the fiber of the map $\hat{a}^{\mathcal{P}}$.

5 Proof of Theorems 29, 30 and 31

At the basis of the three Theorems stands the estimate of Proposition 8. Item i. of Theorem 29 follows more or less directly from it (and from a simple consequence of it, Lemma 33 below). The proof of the other statements requires additional considerations. The key fact will be to prove that, under the hypotheses there made, there is an atlas with

global actions in which all representatives of fully-Hamiltonian functions are independent of the same 3 angles (Lemma 34). Translation of these angles gives the torus action. This directly implies item ii. of Theorem 29 and Theorem 30. The proof of Theorem 31 uses an iteration of this procedure.

5.1 The local representatives of fully-Hamiltonian functions. Consider a fully-Lagrangian toric fibration $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ of an almost symplectic manifold (M, σ) (for now, we need not require that M is connected and that π has global actions) and an action-angle chart $(a, \alpha) : V \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{T}^n$. The representative σ^{aa} of σ in this chart is as in (3). Hence

$$d\sigma^{\text{aa}} = 3 \sum_{ijk} C_{ijk} da_k \otimes da_i \otimes da_j \quad (12)$$

with

$$C_{ijk}(a) := \frac{\partial A_{ij}}{\partial a_k}(a) + \frac{\partial A_{ki}}{\partial a_j}(a) + \frac{\partial A_{jk}}{\partial a_i}(a), \quad i, j, k = 1, \dots, n \quad (13)$$

(unless otherwise said, all summation indexes run from 1 to n). The condition that σ is not closed in V is precisely that the skew-symmetric 3-tensor field C with components C_{ijk} does not vanish. (This tensor is the almost-symplectic analogue of the ‘‘Jacobiator’’ of almost-Poisson manifolds [13, 35, 3]).

A function \mathcal{F} on $\mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{T}^n$ is the local representative of a fully-Hamiltonian function on M if and only if $i_{X_{\mathcal{F}}} d\sigma^{\text{aa}} = -\sum_{ijk} C_{ijk} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \alpha_i} da_j \otimes da_k$ vanishes, namely if and only if

$$C(a) \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \alpha}(a, \alpha) = 0 \quad \forall (a, \alpha) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{T}^n. \quad (14)$$

Here, $C(a)u = 0$ means of course $\sum_k C_{ijk}(a)u_k = 0$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$.

In agreement with the fact that $d\sigma$ is a basic 3-form, we will regard the tensor field C as defined on \mathcal{A} . At each point $a \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\ker C(a) := \{u \in \mathbb{R}^n : C(a)u = 0\}$$

is thus a linear subspace of \mathbb{R}^n .

We define the *spectrum* $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{F}, a)$ of a function $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ at a point $a \in \mathcal{A}$ as

$$\text{Sp}(\mathcal{F}, a) := \{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\} : \widehat{\mathcal{F}}(a) \neq 0\} \quad (15)$$

where, for each ν , the ‘‘Fourier coefficient’’ $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^\nu$ is defined by $\mathcal{F}^\nu(a, \alpha) =: \widehat{\mathcal{F}}^\nu(a) e^{i\nu \cdot \alpha}$ with \mathcal{F}^ν the harmonics (9). Note that we have removed the zero-harmonics \mathcal{F}^0 from the spectrum.

We denote by $\langle U \rangle$ the linear subspace of \mathbb{R}^n generated by a subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, namely, the smallest subspace of \mathbb{R}^n that contains U .

Lemma 33. *Consider an action-angle chart $(a, \alpha) : V \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{T}^n$ of a fully-Lagrangian fibration on an almost-symplectic manifold with $n \geq 3$ degrees of freedom.*

i. A function \mathcal{F} on $\mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{T}^n$ is the local representative of a fully-Hamiltonian function if and only if

$$\langle \text{Sp}(\mathcal{F}, a) \rangle \subseteq \ker C(a) \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (16)$$

ii. At a point $a \in \mathcal{A}$ at which $C(a) \neq 0$, $\ker C(a)$ is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n of dimension $\leq n - 3$.

Proof. (i.) Expanding \mathcal{F} in Fourier series, the condition of full-Hamiltonianity (14) becomes

$$\sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sum_k C_{ijk}(a) \nu_k \mathcal{F}^\nu(a, \alpha) = 0 \quad \forall i, j, a, \alpha,$$

namely

$$\sum_k C_{ijk}(a) \nu_k \widehat{\mathcal{F}}^\nu(a) = 0 \quad \forall i, j, a, \nu.$$

This is equivalent to the fact that $C(a)\nu = 0$ for all ν and a such that $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}^\nu(a) \neq 0$, namely, see (15),

$$\text{Sp}(\mathcal{F}, a) \subset \ker C(a) \quad \forall a.$$

Since $\ker C(a)$ is a linear subspace of \mathbb{R}^n , this in turn implies $\langle \text{Sp}(\mathcal{F}, a) \rangle \subseteq \ker C(a)$.

(ii.) See the proof of item ii. of Proposition 8. \square

5.2 Proof of item i. of Theorem 29. Let \mathcal{F} be the representative of a fully-Hamiltonian function f in an action-angle chart $(a, \alpha) : V \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{T}^n$. Under the hypotheses of item i. of Theorem 29, the tensor C is different from zero in an open and dense subset \mathcal{A}_C of \mathcal{A} . Since $n = 3$, by Lemma 33 the kernel of C is zero-dimensional at all points of \mathcal{A}_C . Hence condition (14) implies $\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \alpha}(a, \alpha) = 0$ at all points $(a, \alpha) \in \mathcal{A}_C \times \mathbb{T}^n$. By continuity, $\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \alpha} = 0$ in all of $\mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{T}^n$ and \mathcal{F} is independent of the angles. By the arbitrariness of the chart, this proves that f is basic and, by Lemma 19, X_f^σ is vertical.

5.3 The spectrum of fully-Hamiltonian functions. For a fully-Lagrangian toric fibration $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ with global actions it is possible to extend the definition of the spectrum to functions on M (the connectedness of M is not needed for this, but will be needed in the next Lemma).

Specifically, choose an action-angle atlas \mathcal{P} with global actions, and use the Fourier series on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ relative to it. Define the *spectrum* (relative to \mathcal{P}) of a function $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ at a point $b \in B$ as the set

$$\text{Sp}(f, b) := \{ \nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\} : f^\nu|_{\pi^{-1}(b)} \neq 0 \}. \quad (17)$$

The spectrum defined in this way depends on the atlas \mathcal{P} , but the dependence is rather trivial: if \mathcal{P}' is another atlas with global actions, then, by (10), in each connected component of B there exists a unimodular $n \times n$ integer matrix Z which, for any function f and point b , relates the spectra $\text{Sp}(f, b)$ relative to \mathcal{P} and $\text{Sp}'(f, b)$ relative to \mathcal{P}' , $\text{Sp}'(f, b) = Z\text{Sp}(f, b)$.

The proofs of items ii. of Theorems 29 and 31 are based on the choice of a suitable action-angle atlas, as in the following Lemma:

Lemma 34. *Consider a connected almost-symplectic manifold (M, σ) with $n \geq 3$ degrees of freedom and $d\sigma \neq 0$ which hosts a fully-Lagrangian toric fibration $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ with global actions. For any function $f \in \mathcal{H}_F^\sigma(M)$ with property FG1 there exists an atlas \mathcal{P}^f*

of M with global actions in which all local representatives of f are independent of the last 3 angles.

Proof. Choose an atlas \mathcal{P} with global actions and use the Fourier series (8) and the spectra (17) relative to it. Define

$$\mathrm{Sp}(f) := \bigcup_{b \in B} \mathrm{Sp}(f, b) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

Since f has property FG1, for each $\nu \in \mathrm{Sp}(f)$ the set $B^\nu(f)$ as in (11) is an open and dense subset of B . Since B is a differentiable manifold, and hence a Baire space, the countable intersection

$$B^*(f) := \bigcap_{\nu \in \mathrm{Sp}(f)} B^\nu(f)$$

is a dense subset of B [32].

Given that $d\sigma$ is continuous, the subset \mathcal{O} of B where $d\sigma \neq 0$ is open (recall that since $d\sigma$ is a basic form we can regard it as defined in B). Since σ is not closed, \mathcal{O} is nonempty and $\mathcal{O} \cap B^*(f)$ is nonempty as well.

Choose a point $b^* \in \mathcal{O} \cap B^*(f)$. By the property FG1 of f , for any $\nu \in \mathrm{Sp}(f)$ there is a $\tilde{\nu} \neq 0$ parallel to ν which belongs to $\mathrm{Sp}(f, b^*)$. (Recall that $0 \notin \mathrm{Sp}(f)$). Therefore, $\mathrm{Sp}(f) \subset \langle \mathrm{Sp}(f, b^*) \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and so

$$\langle \mathrm{Sp}(f) \rangle = \langle \mathrm{Sp}(f, b^*) \rangle.$$

Since the Fourier series we are using is relative to \mathcal{P} , $\mathrm{Sp}(f, b^*)$ equals the spectrum, at the corresponding point $a^* \in \mathcal{A}$, of the representative of f in any chart of \mathcal{P} that contains b^* . Since $d\sigma(b^*) \neq 0$, it follows from Lemma 33 that $\langle \mathrm{Sp}(f, b^*) \rangle$ is a linear subspace of $\ker C(a^*)$ and hence has dimension $\leq n - 3$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{L} := \langle \mathrm{Sp}(f) \rangle \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$$

is a sublattice of \mathbb{Z}^n of rank $r \leq n - 3$. (A sublattice of \mathbb{Z}^n of rank r is the set of all the linear combinations with integer coefficients of r vectors $u_1, \dots, u_r \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, called a basis of the sublattice).

If $r = 0$, then $\mathrm{Sp}(f) = \{0\}$ and all representatives of f are independent of all angles. Assume $r \geq 1$.

The lattice \mathcal{L} is not just a generic sublattice of \mathbb{Z}^n , but it is the intersection of \mathbb{Z}^n with a linear subspace of \mathbb{R}^n . This guarantees that any basis of \mathcal{L} can be completed to a basis of \mathbb{Z}^n . [The Elementary Divisors Theorem ([37], Theorem 7.8) guarantees that for any finitely generated submodule $\neq \{0\}$ (e.g., a lattice of positive rank) of a free abelian module over a principal ideal domain (e.g., \mathbb{Z}^n) there exists a basis $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ of the latter, an integer $1 \leq r \leq n$ and integers d_1, \dots, d_r such that $\{d_1 u_1, \dots, d_r u_r\}$ is a basis of the former. In our case, all $d_i = 1$ because the lattice \mathcal{L} is the intersection of \mathbb{Z}^n with a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n .]

Choose a basis $\{u_1, \dots, u_r\}$ of \mathcal{L} and complete it to a basis $\{u_1, \dots, u_r, u_{r+1}, \dots, u_n\}$ of \mathbb{Z}^n . Then, there exists a unimodular integer matrix Z such that $Zu_i = e_i$, the i -th unit vector, for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. The change of coordinates

$$\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathbb{T}^n, \quad (a, \alpha) \mapsto (\tilde{a}, \tilde{\alpha}) = (Z^T a, Z^{-1} \alpha)$$

in any chart $(a, \alpha) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{T}^n$ of the considered atlas produces a new atlas with action-angle coordinates \mathcal{P}^f for M . The local representatives $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ of f in the charts of \mathcal{P}^f have nonzero Fourier components only for ν in the subspace generated by e_1, \dots, e_r . Hence, $\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{F}}}{\partial \alpha_i} = 0$ for all $i = r + 1, \dots, n$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is independent of the last $k = n - r \geq 3$ angles.

Just as the original atlas, the new atlas \mathcal{P}^f has all transition functions between the action coordinates given by the identity. Given the linearity of the transition functions between the actions of \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}^f , if \mathcal{P} has global actions then so does \mathcal{P}^f .

We also note, for future use, that if the atlas \mathcal{P} consists of a single chart, then also the atlas \mathcal{P}^f built in this proof consists of a single chart. \square

5.4 Proof of item ii. of Theorem 29. By Lemma 34, when $n = 3$ all local representatives in a certain action-angle atlas of a function $f \in \mathcal{H}_F^\sigma(M)$ which has property FG1 are independent of the angles. Hence f is basic and X_f^σ is vertical.

5.5 Proof of Theorem 30. Choose any one of the action-angle charts provided by Lemma 34, in which the local representative \mathcal{F} of f is independent of the last three angles. Denote these coordinates $(a, \alpha) = (I, J, \phi, \psi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{T}^1 \times \mathbb{T}^3$, so that \mathcal{F} is independent of the angles ψ . Restrict the domain of this chart to an open set V (which is the one appearing in the statement of the theorem) such that $(I, J, \phi, \psi) \in \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{J} \times \mathbb{T}^1 \times \mathbb{T}^3$ with an interval $\mathcal{J} \ni I$ and an open set $\mathcal{J} \ni J$.

The representative of σ in this chart has the form

$$\sigma^{\text{aa}} = dI \wedge d\phi + \sum_i dJ_i \wedge d\psi_i + \sum_i A_{IJ_i}(I, J) dI \wedge dJ_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} A_{J_i J_j}(I, J) dJ_i \wedge dJ_j$$

where all sum runs from 1 to 3. The vector field $X_{\mathcal{F}}^{\sigma^{\text{aa}}}$, seen as an ODE, is given by

$$\dot{I} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \phi}, \quad \dot{J}_i = 0, \quad \dot{\phi} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial I}, \quad \dot{\psi}_i = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial J_i} + A_{IJ_i} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \phi}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (18)$$

Choose an $I_0 \in \mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and define, for each i , $\mathcal{G}_i(I, J) := \int_{I_0}^I A_{IJ_i}(x, J) dx$. Along the flow of X_f^σ , $\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{G}_i = A_{IJ_i} \dot{I} = -A_{IJ_i} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \phi}$ and the change of coordinates

$$(I, J, \phi, \psi) \mapsto (I, J, \phi, \chi = \psi + \mathcal{G}(I, J)), \quad (19)$$

with $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2, \mathcal{G}_3)$, conjugates equations (18) to

$$\dot{I} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \phi}, \quad \dot{J}_i = 0, \quad \dot{\phi} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial I}, \quad \dot{\chi}_i = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial J_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

which are Hamilton equations for the symplectic 2-form

$$\tilde{\sigma}^{\text{aa}} = dI \wedge d\phi + \sum_i dJ_i \wedge d\chi_i. \quad (20)$$

The functions \mathcal{F} , J_1 , J_2 , J_3 are first integrals of these equations and, if $\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \phi} = 0$, so is also I . Since f has property FG1, $\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \phi}$ either is everywhere zero or is different from

zero in an open and dense subset of the chart domain. In the first case I, J_1, J_2, J_3 are first integrals which are in involution with respect to $\tilde{\sigma}^{\text{aa}}$ and are everywhere functionally independent in the chart domain (and X_f^σ is thus vertical in that domain). In the second case, $\mathcal{F}, J_1, J_2, J_3$ are first integrals which are in involution with respect to $\tilde{\sigma}^{\text{aa}}$ and are functionally independent in an open and dense subset of the chart domain.

Remark 35. *This proof uses only the fact that $n - k = 1$, not that $n = 4$. Thus, this argument could be used to prove statement 2. after Theorem 31; see also Section 6.2.*

5.6 Proof of Theorem 31. Lemma 34 can be reformulated by saying that, in the stated hypotheses, any fully-Hamiltonian function on M with property FG1 is invariant under a fully-Hamiltonian action of a three-dimensional torus, which corresponds to translation of the last three angles in each chart of the atlas \mathcal{P}^f . The proof of Theorem 31 is based on the fact that, for functions with property FG2, this property is semi-globally inherited by the reduced systems—as long as they are not symplectic.

Preliminarily, we state a Lemma, which we will then use with $k = 3$. We make the convention that a zero-dimensional manifold is (trivially) almost-symplectic and carries a (trivial) fully-Lagrangian toric fibration.

Lemma 36. *Let (M, σ) be a connected almost-symplectic manifold with $n \geq 3$ degrees of freedom and $d\sigma \neq 0$ which hosts a fully-Lagrangian toric fibration $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ with global actions. Consider an action-angle atlas \mathcal{P} of M with global actions and, for some $1 \leq k \leq n$, the action $\Psi^{\mathbb{T}^k}$ of \mathbb{T}^k on M by translation of the last k angles in all charts of \mathcal{P} .*

- i. $\Psi^{\mathbb{T}^k}$ is fully-Hamiltonian and, denoting $J_{\mathbb{T}^k}$ its momentum map, for each $c \in J_{\mathbb{T}^k}(M)$ the reduced manifold $(M_c = J_{\mathbb{T}^k}^{-1}(c)/\mathbb{T}^k, \sigma_c)$ has dimension $2(n - k)$ and carries a fully-Lagrangian toric fibration $\pi_c : M_c \rightarrow B_c$ with global actions.*
- ii. If $f \in \mathcal{H}_F^\sigma(M)$ is $\Psi^{\mathbb{T}^k}$ -invariant and has property FG2, then, for each $c \in J_{\mathbb{T}^k}(M)$, the reduced function $f_c \in \mathcal{H}_F^{\sigma_c}(M_c)$ has property FG2 as well.*

Proof. For $k = n$ there is nothing to prove, so we assume $k < n$.

(i.) This is all obvious except, perhaps, the existence of the fully-Lagrangian toric fibration with global actions of (M_c, σ_c) , whose proof is anyway elementary. We detail it here also because in this way we introduce some notation that we will use again below.

Denote $p_c : J_{\mathbb{T}^k}^{-1}(c) \rightarrow M_c$ the canonical projection, $\iota_c : J_{\mathbb{T}^k}^{-1}(c) \hookrightarrow M$ the immersion and f_c the reduced function induced by f on M_c , which satisfies $f_c \circ p_c = f \circ \iota_c$ (see Section 2.3).

The atlas \mathcal{P} of M induces a “quotient action-angle atlas” \mathcal{P}_c of M_c as follows. Consider a chart

$$\mathcal{C} = (I, J, \phi, \psi) : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{T}^{n-k} \times \mathbb{T}^k$$

of \mathcal{P} whose domain U has nonempty intersection with $J_{\mathbb{T}^k}^{-1}(c)$. In this chart, the representative of σ has expression (3) and $J : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is the representative of the momentum map $J_{\mathbb{T}^k}$. Thus $\widehat{U}_c := J_{\mathbb{T}^k}^{-1}(c) \cap U$ is the subset where $J = c$,

$$\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_c = (I, \phi, \psi) : \widehat{U}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \times \mathbb{T}^{n-k} \times \mathbb{T}^k$$

is a chart of $J_{\mathbb{T}^k}^{-1}(c)$,

$$\mathcal{C}_c = (I, \phi) : U_c \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \times \mathbb{T}^{n-k},$$

with $U_c := p_c(\widehat{U}_c)$, is a chart of M_c , the representative of p_c relative to the two charts $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_c$ and \mathcal{C}_c is $(I, \phi, \psi) \mapsto (I, \phi)$, and the representative of σ_c relative to \mathcal{C}_c is, from (3) and Proposition 16,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-k} dI_i \wedge d\phi_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-k} A_{ij}(I, c) dI_i \wedge dI_j.$$

Collecting together all these charts produces an atlas \mathcal{P}_c of M_c whose transition functions are inherited from those (6) of \mathcal{P} and have the form

$$\tilde{I} = I, \quad \tilde{\phi} = \phi + \mathcal{G}_\phi(I, c) \quad (21)$$

with \mathcal{G}_I the first $n - k$ components of \mathcal{G} .

From (21) it follows that there is a well defined action of \mathbb{T}^{n-k} on M_c which, in every chart of \mathcal{P}_c , acts by translation of the angles. This action is fully-Hamiltonian and, by item ii. of Proposition 25, defines a fully-Lagrangian toric fibration $\pi_c : M_c \rightarrow B_c$ with global actions (whose fibers are the sets $I = \text{const}$). \mathcal{P}_c is an action-angle atlas for $\pi_c : M_c \rightarrow B_c$ (see the Remark at the end of Section 3.3).

(ii.) That f_c is fully-Hamiltonian follows from Proposition 16. The atlas \mathcal{P} induces a Fourier series on $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ and the atlas \mathcal{P}_c introduced in the proof of item i. induces a Fourier series on $\pi_c : M_c \rightarrow B_c$. By the invariance of f , the representative \mathcal{F} of f in any chart of \mathcal{P} is independent of the last k angles and hence its restriction to $J = c$ equals the representative \mathcal{F}_c of f_c in the corresponding chart of \mathcal{P}_c , that is

$$\mathcal{F}(I, c, \phi, \psi) = \mathcal{F}_c(I, \phi). \quad (22)$$

This implies that if a harmonic f^ν of f is nonzero then necessarily $\nu = (\mu, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-k} \times \mathbb{Z}^k$ and

$$f_c^\mu \circ p_c = f^\nu \circ \iota_c.$$

Fix $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-k}$ and assume that

$$B_c^\mu(f_c) = \{b_c \in B_c : \exists \tilde{\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-k} \setminus \{0\} \text{ parallel to } \mu \text{ s.t. } f_c^{\tilde{\mu}}|_{\pi_c^{-1}(b_c)} \neq 0\}$$

is not empty (see (11)). Then, there exist $b_c \in B_c$, $\tilde{\mu}$ parallel to μ and $m_c \in \pi_c^{-1}(b_c)$ such that $f_c^{\tilde{\mu}}(m_c) \neq 0$. Now, $m_c = p_c(m)$ for some $m \in J_{\mathbb{T}^k}^{-1}(c)$, and so $f^{(\tilde{\mu}, 0)}(m) = f_c^{\tilde{\mu}}(m_c) \neq 0$. This implies that, if $B_c^\mu(f_c)$ is not empty then $B^{(\mu, 0)}(f)$ is not empty as well, and thus, since f has property FG2, the complement of $B^{(\mu, 0)}(f)$ is countable. Consequently, also the complement of $B_c^\mu(f_c)$ is countable and, by the arbitrariness of μ , f_c has property FG2. \square

Remark 37. The base B_c of the fibration $\pi_c : M_c \rightarrow B_c$ of Lemma 36 equals $J_{\mathbb{T}^{n-k}}(J_{\mathbb{T}^k}^{-1}(c))$, where $J_{\mathbb{T}^{n-k}}$ is the momentum map of the action of \mathbb{T}^{n-k} on M by translation of the first $n - k$ angles in all charts of \mathcal{P} , and also $J_{c, \mathbb{T}^{n-k}}(M_c)$, where $J_{c, \mathbb{T}^{n-k}}$ is the

momentum map of the action of \mathbb{T}^{n-k} on M_c by translation of the angles in all charts of \mathcal{P}_c (the equality of these two expressions can be proved observing that the two actions $\Psi^{\mathbb{T}^k}$ and $\Psi^{\mathbb{T}^{n-k}}$ commute and using elementary facts from an “almost-symplectic reduction in stages” that mimics the symplectic reduction in stages for commuting actions described for instance in Chapter 4 of [41]).

Proof of Theorem 31. By Lemma 34, there is an action-angle atlas \mathcal{P}^f of M with global actions such that the local representatives of f in all its charts are independent of the last 3 angles. We may thus apply Lemma 36 with $k = 3$.

This is enough to prove Theorem 31 if $n = 3, 4, 5$, because in that case all $\Psi^{\mathbb{T}^3}$ -reduced spaces of Lemma 36 have either 0, 1 or 2 degrees of freedom and are symplectic.

Consider now the case $n \geq 6$. If all reduced systems are symplectic, then the proof is concluded, again with $k = 3$.

Assume, thus, that there exist $c \in J_{\mathbb{T}^3}(M)$ and $m_c \in M_c$ such that $d\sigma_c(m_c) \neq 0$. By Proposition 16 f_c is fully-Hamiltonian and by Lemma 36 it has property FG2. However, as noticed in a Remark at the end of Section 2, the reduced space M_c might be not connected. In such a case, Lemma 34 can be applied only to the component M_c° of m_c . Accordingly, the action-angle atlas in which the representatives of f_c are independent of the last 3 (of the $n - 3$, now) angles and the result about the existence of the torus action would be valid only in a subset of M . Iterating this procedure might lead again to non-connected reduced spaces and to a proliferation of further subdivisions of M . So, in general, this procedure leads not to a global torus action on M , but to a family of semi-global actions. We thus prefer to start from the beginning from an open set in M with the properties that all the reduced spaces are connected. One such open set is the domain of an action-angle chart with the property that the actions take values in a convex subset of \mathbb{R}^n (see below). Clearly, one such chart exists in a neighbourhood of any point of M .

Consider, thus, an action-angle chart $\mathcal{C} = (a, \alpha) : V \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{T}^n$, with $V \subseteq M$, such that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is convex and the representative \mathcal{F} of f is independent of the last three angles. Write $a = (I, J) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-3} \times \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\alpha = (\phi, \psi) \in \mathbb{T}^{n-3} \times \mathbb{T}^3$. The momentum map of the \mathbb{T}^3 -action on V by translations of the angles ψ is the actions $J : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$. We now make the following two steps.

Step 1. Fix a value c_1 of J . The reduced space $V_{c_1} := J^{-1}(c_1)/\mathbb{T}^3$ has the induced action-angle chart $\mathcal{C}_{c_1} = (I, \phi) : V_{c_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{c_1} \times \mathbb{T}^{n-3}$, which is defined by the fact that the representative of the projection $p_{c_1} : V \rightarrow V_{c_1}$ relative to the two charts \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}_{c_1} is $(I, \phi, \psi) \mapsto (I, \phi)$ (see the proof of Lemma 34). Since \mathcal{A} is convex, hence connected, so is $\mathcal{A}_{c_1} = \{I \in \mathbb{R}^n : (I, c_1) \in \mathcal{A}\}$.

By Lemma 36 f_{c_1} has property FG2. Since V_{c_1} (being diffeomorphic to $\mathcal{A}_{c_1} \times \mathbb{T}^{n-3}$) is connected, Lemma 34 applies to f_{c_1} . More precisely, as noticed at the end of the proof of that Lemma, since V_{c_1} is covered by a single action-angle chart there is a matrix $\hat{Z} \in SL_{\pm}(n-3, \mathbb{Z})$ such that the local representative $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{c_1}$ of f_{c_1} in the action-angle coordinates

$$(\hat{Z}^T I, \hat{Z} \phi) : V_{c_1} \rightarrow (\hat{Z}^T \mathcal{A}_{c_1}) \times \mathbb{T}^{n-3}$$

on V_{c_1} is independent of the last three angles.

Step 2. Let $Z = \text{diag}(\widehat{Z}, \mathbb{I}_3)$, where \mathbb{I}_3 is the 3×3 unit matrix, and consider the action-angle chart

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = (\tilde{a}, \tilde{\alpha}) := (Z^T a, Z\alpha) : V \rightarrow (Z^T \mathcal{A}) \times \mathbb{T}^n$$

on V . Clearly, at the points of $J^{-1}(c)$, the representative $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ of f in this chart system is independent of the last six angles $\tilde{\alpha}$. In terms of the Fourier series for $\pi : V \rightarrow \pi(V) =: B_V$ relative to the atlas formed by the chart $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ this means that all harmonics f^ν with $\nu = (\mu, \eta) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-6} \times \mathbb{Z}^6$ and $\eta \neq 0$ vanish at the points of $J^{-1}(c)$ and hence

$$\text{Sp}(f, b) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{n-6} \times \{0\} \quad \forall b \in \pi(J^{-1}(c)). \quad (23)$$

We now extend this result to all of $B_V = \pi(V)$ (this is the key point, and uses that f has property FG2). (23) implies that, for all $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-6}$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}^6 \setminus \{0\}$, $B_V^{(\mu, \eta)}(f) \cap \pi(J^{-1}(c)) = \emptyset$ and hence

$$B_V \setminus B_V^{(\mu, \eta)}(f) \supset \pi(J^{-1}(c))$$

(the sets $B_V^\nu(f)$ are defined as in (11), but with B replaced by B_V). $\pi(J^{-1}(c))$ is diffeomorphic to $\{I \in \mathbb{R}^{n-3} : (I, c) \in \mathcal{A}\}$ and so is not countable. Since f has property FG2 (so that each $B_V \setminus B_V^\nu(f)$ either equals B_V or is countable) this implies $B_V^{(\mu, \eta)}(f) = \emptyset$ if $\eta \neq 0$. Hence, the representative of f in the chart $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is independent of the last 6 angles at all points of V . Note also that the actions of the chart $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ take values in a convex set (linear transformations map convex sets to convex sets).

This shows that the original system, restricted to the subset V , is invariant under a fully-Hamiltonian action of \mathbb{T}^6 , which is given by translation of the last 6 angles of the chart $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. If all the reduced systems (V_c, σ_c, f_c) , $c \in \mathbb{R}^6$, are symplectic, then the proof of Theorem 31 is terminated, with $k = 6$.

If not, this procedure can be repeated to obtain reduced systems with $n - 9$, $n - 12$, \dots degrees of freedom. The iteration stops when all reduced systems are symplectic; this certainly happens when 0, 1 or 2 degrees of freedom are reached.

6 Examples

6.1 The reconstruction equation. We provide now some simple examples of non-vertical fully-Hamiltonian vector fields, and describe some of their properties. This requires a reconstruction procedure from the symplectic reduced systems of Theorem 31 to the almost-symplectic unreduced one.

We assume $M = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{T}^n \ni (a, \alpha)$ and $\sigma = \sigma^{\text{aa}}$ as in (3). Consider a function $f \in \mathcal{H}_F(M)$ that has property FG2. It follows from Theorem 31 that f is invariant under the action of a k -dimensional subtorus \mathbb{T}^k of the torus \mathbb{T}^n that acts by translation of the angles α , for some $3 \leq k \leq n$.

When $k < n$, it follows from Remark i. at the end of Section 4.2 that there is a matrix $Z \in SL_{\pm}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ such that the representative of f in the new action-angle coordinates $(Za, Z^{-T}\alpha)$ (that we still denote f) is independent of the last k angles. These new action-angle coordinates can be partitioned as

$$(I, J, \phi, \psi) \in \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{T}^r \times \mathbb{T}^k \quad (24)$$

with $r = n - k$ and

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \psi} = 0.$$

The action of \mathbb{T}^k acts by translations of the angles ψ and has momentum map J .

We restrict to a level set $J^{-1}(c) = \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{T}^r \times \mathbb{T}^k \ni (I, \phi, \psi)$ of J . The restriction of the equations of motion (18) of X_f^σ to such a level set is

$$\dot{I} = -\frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi}(I, c, \phi), \quad \dot{\phi} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial I}(I, c, \phi) + A_{II}(I, c)\frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi}(I, c, \phi), \quad (I, \phi) \in \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{T}^r, \quad (25)$$

and

$$\dot{\psi} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial J}(I, c, \phi) + A_{JI}(I, c)\frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi}(I, c, \phi), \quad \psi \in \mathbb{T}^k. \quad (26)$$

The coordinates (I, ψ) serve as coordinates on the reduced space $M_c = \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{T}^r$. The symplectic structure σ_c of a reduced space M_c takes the form

$$\sigma_c(I, \phi) = dI \wedge d\phi + \frac{1}{2}A_{II}(I, c)dI \wedge dI.$$

Note that, unless $A_{II} = 0$ (which is always the case if $r = 1$, namely, $k = n - 1$), (I, ϕ) are not Darboux coordinates for σ_c . The reduced function $f_c(I, \phi)$ on M_c equals the restriction $f(I, c, \phi)$ of f to $J^{-1}(c)$ and the reduced equations of motion on M_c are

$$\dot{I} = -\frac{\partial f_c}{\partial \phi}(I, \phi), \quad \dot{\phi} = \frac{\partial f_c}{\partial I}(I, \phi) + A_{II}(I, c)\frac{\partial f_c}{\partial \phi}(I, \phi), \quad (I, \phi) \in \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{T}^r, \quad (27)$$

and are symplectic-Hamiltonian (even though written in non-Darboux coordinates).

Equation (26), together with $J = c$, is the reconstruction equation. The possibly non-symplectic nature of the system is encoded in the terms $A_{JI}\frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi}$.

6.2 Examples. We describe now various possibilities met in the above reconstruction process, and the ensuing dynamical properties of the fully-Hamiltonian systems. This will also demonstrate the three statements after Theorem 31. An important role is played by the dimension $r = n - k$ of the reduced spaces.

1. $r = 0$. This case is met when the function f is independent of all angles and is basic. Thus, as seen in Section 3.2, X_f^σ is vertical and Hamiltonian, and completely integrable, with respect to the (in this example, globally defined) symplectic structure $da \wedge d\alpha$.

We note that this argument could be generalized to prove to any dimension $n \geq 3$ the result of item ii. of Theorem 29, under however the stronger hypothesis that f has property FG2.

2. $r = 1$. In the adapted system of action-angle coordinates (24), f depends on $(I, J) = (I, J_1, \dots, J_{n-1})$ and on the single angle $\phi \in \mathbb{T}^1$. The argument used in the proof of Theorem 30 applies to this case as well (see the Remark at the end of Section 5.5) and shows that X_f^σ is Hamiltonian, and completely integrable, with respect to a certain symplectic structure $\tilde{\sigma}^{\text{aa}}$ on M , see (20).

Specifically, the n independent integrals in involution, relatively to $\tilde{\sigma}^{\text{aa}}$, are f and the $n-1$ actions J (unless $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi} = 0$, in which case they are the J 's and I , as discussed after (20)). If the common level sets of these integrals are compact, motions X_f^σ are quasi-periodic on tori of dimension n .

This generalizes to any dimension the result of Theorem 30 relative to the case $n = 3$, under the stronger hypothesis that f has property FG2.

One can say more if the regular level sets of a reduced Hamiltonian f_c are compact (hence, being one-dimensional, unions of closed curves). The reduced dynamics in the reduced space M_c consists of periodic orbits, equilibria, and curves asymptotic to the equilibria. Thus, with the exclusion of the latter, all reduced motions reconstruct to quasi-periodic motions by known results on reconstruction from periodic dynamics [26, 27, 36, 31].

An example, with $n = 4$, is the following. Consider three functions $g_2, g_3, g_4 : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and the matrix

$$A_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -g_2(a_1, a_2) & -g_3(a_1, a_3) & -g_4(a_1, a_4) \\ g_2(a_1, a_2) & 0 & a_4 & 0 \\ g_3(a_1, a_3) & -a_4 & 0 & 0 \\ g_4(a_1, a_4) & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The tensor C associated to A_4 , see (13), has all entries whose indices are a permutation of $\{2, 3, 4\}$ equal to ± 1 . Therefore, for any choice of the functions g_2, g_3, g_4 the matrix A_4 leads to a 2-form σ on $M = \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{T}^4$ which is not symplectic. (The non-closedness of σ comes only from the lower-right 3×3 diagonal block of A_4 ; the functions g_2, g_3, g_4 affect only the reconstruction, hence the properties of X_f^σ). For any function $f : \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{T}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the quantities $\sum_k C_{ijk} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha_k}$ are either 0 or $\pm \frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha_2}$, $\pm \frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha_3}$, $\pm \frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha_4}$. Therefore, X_f^σ is fully-Hamiltonian if and only if f is independent of the three angles $\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$. Symplectization of the system is obtained via reduction under the \mathbb{T}^3 -action given by translations of the angles $(\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)$. In the notation of Section 6.1, $I = a_1$, $\phi = \alpha_1$, $J = (a_2, a_3, a_4)$ and $\psi = (\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)$. Thus, X_f^σ is not-vertical if

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha_1} \neq 0.$$

For a value $c = (c_2, c_3, c_4)$ of J , the reduced Hamiltonian is $f_c(a_1, \alpha_1) = f(a_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, \alpha_1)$ and the reduced equations are $\dot{a}_1 = -\frac{\partial f_c}{\partial \alpha_1}(a_1, \alpha_1)$, $\dot{\alpha}_1 = \frac{\partial f_c}{\partial a_1}(a_1, \alpha_1)$. Hence, the reduced vector field is Hamiltonian with respect to the symplectic structure $dI \wedge d\phi$. The equations of motion of X_f^σ are (18). They are Hamilton equations relative to the symplectic form $da_1 \wedge d\alpha_1 + \sum_{i=2}^4 da_i \wedge d\chi_i$ with $\chi_i = \alpha_i - \int g_i(a_1, a_i) da_1$ (see (19)).

3. $r = 2$. In the previous two cases, all fully-Hamiltonian vector fields are Hamiltonian, and completely integrable, with respect to some symplectic structure. When $k = n - 2$, the reduced spaces have 2 degrees of freedom and there is more freedom. (The same happens if $k \leq n - 2$ as in point 4. below).

On the one hand, in this case the fully-Hamiltonian systems need not be Hamiltonian with respect to any symplectic structure, not even semi-globally. (If there is more than one

I , the argument used in the proof of Theorem 30 to build a symplectic structure requires some extra closedness conditions on the matrix A_{IJ}).

On the other hand, from a dynamical point view, the reduced systems are standard Hamiltonian systems with 2 degrees of freedom and could be chaotic rather than integrable.

As an example with $n = 5$, consider the matrix

$$A_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g_{12} & g_{13} & g_{14} & g_{15} \\ -g_{12} & 0 & g_{23} & g_{24} & g_{25} \\ -g_{13} & -g_{14} & 0 & a_5 & 0 \\ -g_{14} & -g_{24} & -a_5 & 0 & 0 \\ -g_{15} & -g_{25} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where each function g_{ij} depends on the two actions a_i and a_j . Here too, any choice of these functions gives an almost-symplectic form in $\mathbb{R}^5 \times \mathbb{T}^5$ which is not symplectic, and a partially-Hamiltonian vector field X_f^σ is fully-Hamiltonian if and only if f is independent of $\alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5$. It is non-vertical if $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha_1} \neq 0$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha_2} \neq 0$.

An instance of a fully-Hamiltonian function, in this case, is the function

$$\frac{a_1^2}{2} + a_2 - (1 + \cos \alpha_2) \cos \alpha_1 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5,$$

which describes a system formed by a periodically perturbed pendulum, which is well known to be nonintegrable and has chaotic dynamics, and three uncoupled oscillators. Thus, there are non-vertical fully-Hamiltonian vector fields with chaotic dynamics.

4. $r > 2$. Finally, we note that it could happen that the symplectic reduced systems have more than 2 degrees of freedom. This happens if, in the iteration of the proof of Theorem 31, all almost-symplectic reduced spaces with $r > 2$ degrees of freedom are symplectic, so that the iteration stops. (In fact, the reduction procedure *must* stop at that step because, in a symplectic manifold, the condition of full-Hamiltonianity does not impose any symmetry).

As a simple example, consider the almost-symplectic structure

$$\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^6 da_i \wedge d\alpha_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^5 (A_5)_{ij} da_i \wedge da_j$$

on $\mathbb{R}^6 \times \mathbb{T}^6$, where the matrix A_5 is as in item 3. above. All functions independent of $\alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5$ are now fully-Hamiltonian, but clearly, after reducing under translations of these three angles, a symplectic system with three degrees of freedom is met, and since the dependence on the angle α_6 can be anything, in general it cannot be further reduced under a \mathbb{T}^3 -action.

Acknowledgements. F.F. has been partially supported by the MIUR-PRIN project 20178CJA2B *New Frontiers of Celestial Mechanics: theory and applications*. Both authors have been partially supported by the MIUR-PRIN project 2022FPZEES *Stability in Hamiltonian dynamics and beyond*. The authors are grateful to Giovanna Carnovale,

Andrea Giacobbe, Umberto Marconi and James Montaldi for useful conversations on some technical points, and to Heinz Hanßmann for useful comments on a preliminary version. The authors are members of *GNFM-INDAM*.

References

- [1] V.I. Arnold, V.V. Kozlov and A. Neishtadt, *Mathematical Aspects of Classical and Celestial Mechanics. Dynamical Systems, III. Third Edition. Encyclopaedia Math. Sci.* **3**. (Springer, Berlin, 2006).
- [2] M.F. Atiyah, *Convexity and commuting Hamiltonians*. Bull. London Math. Soc. **14** (1982), 1-15.
- [3] P. Balseiro, *The Jacobiator of nonholonomic systems and the geometry of reduced nonholonomic brackets*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **214** (2014), 453-501.
- [4] P. Balseiro and O.E. Fernandez, *Reduction of nonholonomic systems in two stages and Hamiltonization*. Nonlinearity **28** (2015), 2873-2912.
- [5] P. Balseiro, J. C. Marrero, D. Martín de Diego and E. Padrón, *A unified framework for mechanics. Hamilton–Jacobi theory and applications*. Nonlinearity **23** (2010), 1887-1918.
- [6] A. Banyaga, *Some properties of locally conformal symplectic structures*. Comment. Math. Helv. **77** (2002), 383-398.
- [7] L. Bates, F. Fassò, N. Sansonetto, *The Hamilton-Jacobi equation, integrability, and nonholonomic systems*. J. Geom. Mech. **6** (2014), 441–449.
- [8] L. Bates and J. Śniatycki, *Nonholonomic reduction*. Rep. Math. Phys. **32** (1993), 99-115.
- [9] G. Benettin, G. Ferrari, L. Galgani and A. Giorgilli, *An extension of the Poincaré-Fermi theorem on the nonexistence of invariant manifolds in nearly integrable Hamiltonian systems*. Nuovo Cimento B (11) **72** (1982), 137-148.
- [10] I.A. Bizyaev, A.V. Borisov and I.S. Mamaev, *Hamiltonization of elementary nonholonomic systems*. Russ. J. Math. Phys. (2015), 444-453.
- [11] G. Blankenstein and A.J. van der Schaft, *Symmetry and reduction in implicit generalized hamiltonian systems*. Rep. Math. Phys. **47** (2001), 57-100.
- [12] A.V. Bolsinov, A.V. Borisov and I.S. Mamaev, *Hamiltonization of non-holonomic systems in the neighborhood of invariant manifolds*. Regul. Chaotic Dyn. **16** (2011), 443-464.
- [13] A. Cannas da Silva and A. Weinstein, *Geometric Models for Noncommutative Algebras*. Berkeley Mathematics Lecture Notes **10** (AMS, Providence, 1999).

- [14] J. F. Cariñena, X. Gràcia, G. Marmo, E. Martínez, M. C. Muñoz Lecanda and N. Romàn Roy, *Geometric Hamilton–Jacobi theory*. Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. **3** (2006), 1417–1458.
- [15] J.J. Duistermaat, *On global action-angle coordinates*. Comm. Pure and Applied Mathematics **33** (1980), 687-706.
- [16] O. Esen, M. De León, M. Lainz, C. Sardón, C., M. Zajac, *Reviewing the geometric Hamilton–Jacobi theory concerning Jacobi and Leibniz identities*. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **55** (2016), 403001.
- [17] K. Ehlers, J. Koiller, R. Montgomery and P.M. Rios, *Nonholonomic systems via moving frames: Cartan equivalence and Chaplygin Hamiltonization*. In: The breadth of symplectic and Poisson geometry. Progr. Math. **232** (Birkhäuser, Boston, 2005), 75-120.
- [18] F. Fassò, *Hamiltonian perturbation theory on a manifold*. Celestial Mech. Dynam. Astronom. **62** (1995), 43-69.
- [19] F. Fassò, *Superintegrable Hamiltonian systems: geometry and perturbations*. Acta Appl. Math. **87** (2005), 93-121.
- [20] F. Fassò, *Perturbations of Superintegrable Hamiltonian Systems*. In: Meyers, R.A. (eds) *Encyclopedia of Complexity and Systems Science* (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2022).
- [21] F. Fassò, L.C. García-Naranjo and A. Giacobbe, *Quasi-periodicity in relative quasi-periodic tori*. Nonlinearity **28** (2015), 4281-4301.
- [22] F. Fassò, D. Fontanari and D.A. Sadovskii, *An application of Nekhoroshev theory to the study of the perturbed hydrogen atom*. Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. **18** (2015), 23pp.
- [23] F. Fassò and A. Giacobbe, *Geometry of invariant tori of certain integrable systems with symmetry and an application to a nonholonomic system*. SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **3** (2007), Paper 051, 12 pp.
- [24] F. Fassò and N. Sansonetto, *Integrable almost-symplectic Hamiltonian systems*. J. Math. Phys. **48** (2007), 092902, 13 pp.
- [25] Y.N. Fedorov and B. Jovanovic, *Hamiltonization of the generalized Veselova LR system*. Regul. Chaotic Dyn. **14** (2009), 495-505.
- [26] M.J. Field, *Equivariant dynamical systems*. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **259** (1980), 185-205.
- [27] M.J. Field, *Local structure of equivariant dynamics. Singularity theory and its applications, Part II*. Lecture Notes in Math. **1463**, Springer, Berlin, (Coventry 1988/1989, 1991), 142-166.

- [28] C.K. Fok, *Picard group of isotropic realizations of twisted Poisson manifolds*. J. Geom. Mech. **8** (2016), 179-197.
- [29] L. García-Naranjo, *Reduction of almost Poisson brackets and Hamiltonization of the Chaplygin sphere*. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S **3** (2010), 37-60.
- [30] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, *Convexity properties of the moment mapping*. Invent. Math. **67** (1982), 491-513.
- [31] J. Hermans, *A symmetric sphere rolling on a surface*. Nonlinearity **8** (1995), 493-515.
- [32] J.L. Kelley, *General Topology*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics **27** (Springer, New York, 1975).
- [33] F. Kirwan, *Convexity properties of the moment mapping III*. Invent. math. **77** (1984), 547-552.
- [34] J. Koiller, *Reduction of some classical nonholonomic systems with symmetry*. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **118** (1992), 113-148.
- [35] W.S. Koon and J.E. Marsden, *Poisson reduction for nonholonomic mechanical systems with symmetry*. Rep. Math. Phys. **42** (1998), 101-134.
- [36] M. Krupa, *Bifurcations of relative equilibria*. SIAM J. Math. Anal. **21** (1990), 1453-1486.
- [37] S. Lang, *Algebra*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics **211** (Springer, New York, 2002).
- [38] P. Libermann and C.-M. Marle, *Symplectic geometry and analytical mechanics*. Mathematics and its Applications **35** (D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, 1987).
- [39] C. Liverani and M. Wojtkowski, *Conformally Symplectic Dynamics and Symmetry of the Lyapunov Spectrum*. Comm. Math. Phys. **194** (1998), 47-60.
- [40] S. Marò and A. Sorrentino, *Aubry-Mather theory for conformally symplectic systems*. Commun. Math. Phys. **354** (2017), 775-808.
- [41] J.E. Marsden, G. Misiolek, J.-P. Ortega, M. Perlmutter, T. Ratiu, *Hamiltonian Reduction by Stages*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1913** (Springer, Berlin, 2007).
- [42] N.N. Nekhoroshev, *Action-angle variables and their generalizations*. Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. **26** (1972), 180-198.
- [43] H. Poincaré, *Les méthodes nouvelles de la mécanique céleste*, Vol. 1 (Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1892).
- [44] N. Sansonetto and D. Sepe, *Twisted isotropic realizations of twisted Poisson manifolds*. J. Geom. Mech. **5** (2013), 233-256.

- [45] P. Ševera and A. Weinstein, *Poisson geometry with a 3-form background*, in “Non-commutative geometry and string theory” (Yokohama, 2001), Progr. Theoret. Phys. Suppl. **144** (2001), 145-154.
- [46] I. Vaisman, *Locally conformal symplectic manifolds*. Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci. **8** (1985), 521-536.
- [47] I. Vaisman, *Hamiltonian vector fields on almost symplectic manifolds*. J. Math. Phys. **54** (2013), 092902, 11 pp.
- [48] N.T. Zung, *A conceptual approach to the problem of action-angle variables*. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **229** (2018), 789-833.