International Journal of Modern Physics D © World Scientific Publishing Company

# Analog model for Euclidean wormholes: Bose-Einstein condensate with dirty surfaces

Isaque P. de Freitas<sup>1</sup> and Nami F. Svaiter<sup>2</sup>

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas F´ısicas, Rua Xavier Sigaud 150, Rio de Janeiro, 22290-180 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil.  $1$ isaquepfreitas@cbpf.br  $^{2}$ nfuxsvai@cbpf.br

Gustavo O. Heymans<sup>∗</sup>

Institute of Cosmology, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Tufts University, Medford, Massachusetts 02155, USA olegario@cbpf.br

> Received Day Month Year Revised Day Month Year

We study a Bose-Einstein condensate under the effects of the non-condensate atomic cloud. We model the resulting linear interaction of the condensate with the atomic gas as a quenched disorder. Using the distributional zeta function method, we obtained a representation for the quenched free energy as a series of integral moments of the partition function. Assuming that the Bose-Einstein condensate is confined between two planar surfaces, we show that random surface fields generate non-local terms in the effective action. The non-local effects in this condensed matter system define an analog model for Euclidean wormhole.

Keywords: Bose-Einstein condensate; disorder fields; analog model; wormholes.

PACS numbers:

### 1. Introduction

The Bose-Einstein condensate is a state of matter that occurs for bosonic systems in a confined potential at extremely low temperatures.<sup>[1,](#page-12-0) [2](#page-12-1)</sup> Bellow some critical temperature, a group of atoms begins to occupy the same zero-momentum quantum state.<sup>[3–](#page-12-2)[5](#page-12-3)</sup> Since the experimental realization of the Bose-Einstein condensate,  $6$  several theoretical and phenomenological works have been developed with the aim of describing a trapped condensate considering experimental practical conditions. A practical problem is to be able to describe the Bose-Einstein condensation in a non-ideal scenario, for example, considering a gas cloud of non-condensate gas.<sup>[7,](#page-12-5)8</sup> After

<sup>∗</sup>On leave from Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas F´ısicas - CBPF

the gas was cooled and subjected to the confinement potential  $V_{\text{trap}}$ , approximately 10% of the atomic gas remains non-condensate, acting as a cloud of atomic gas surrounding the Bose-Einstein condensate. The aim of this paper is to discuss the effects of the non-condensate atomic gas to generate analog models of Euclidean wormholes in condensed matter.<sup>[9](#page-12-7)</sup>

Considering the absence of cosmological experiments of Hawking radiation, the Bose-Einstein condensate has been used to discuss the Hawking effect by studying the propagation of excitations in the condensate.<sup>[10,](#page-12-8) [11](#page-12-9)</sup> Unruh has shown that the propagation of sound waves in a hypersonic fluid is equivalent to the propagation of scalar waves in black hole spacetime.<sup>[12](#page-12-10)</sup> This opens the way to the investigation of the so-called analog models on theoretical and experimental grounds.<sup>[13](#page-12-11)[–23](#page-12-12)</sup> The analogy between wave equations in curved space-times and fluids systems can lead us beyond the semi-classical approximation. Based on the result obtained by Ford,  $^{24}$  $^{24}$  $^{24}$ Hu and Shiokawa<sup>[25](#page-12-14)</sup> and others,  $26-31$  $26-31$  an analog model for quantum gravity effects was proposed in Ref. [32.](#page-12-17) The key idea of the analog model for light cone fluctuations is to construct in a fluid acoustic perturbations where the sound cone fluctuates. $32$ These authors also discussed quantum field theory with phonons in this scenario. Further discussions about analog models for light-cone fluctuations can be found in Refs. [33,](#page-13-0) [34,](#page-13-1) [35,](#page-13-2) [36.](#page-13-3)

Based on the principles of causality and positivity of the energy, the relativistic theory of fields incorporates the principles of quantum mechanics to the classical theory of fields. In order to extend this principles to gravity, the literature has been discussing modifications in standard physics at short distances. Nevertheless, it has become increasingly clear that these modifications are not able to address many problems of quantum gravity. Long-range physics is argued to play a central role.[37](#page-13-4) Here, instead of discussing analog models of the behavior of a quantum field in a pseudo-Riemannian manifold, we assume the Euclidean version of quantum field theory.<sup>[38–](#page-13-5)[41](#page-13-6)</sup> In a Euclidean theory of quantum gravity,<sup>[42](#page-13-7)[–46](#page-13-8)</sup> it is expected that the topology of spacetime can vary.<sup>[47](#page-13-9)</sup> In this context, wormholes connect two asymptotically Euclidean regions, or two parts of the same asymptotically Euclidean region.[48](#page-13-10)[–50](#page-13-11) In this scenario, the total partition function of the system is constructed taking into account the actions of the gravitational field and matter fields. In a theory with a single scalar field, the effects of wormholes and topology fluctuations are contained in non-local matter field contribution,

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
Z = \int [dg][d\varphi] \exp\left(-S(\varphi, g) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \int \int d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \; \varphi_i(x) C_{ij}(x, y) \varphi_j(y)\right).
$$
\n(1)

in which [dq] and [d $\varphi$ ] and are functional measures and  $S(\varphi, g)$  describes the action of gravitational and matter fields, the Riemannian  $d$ -volume  $\mu$  is defined as  $d\mu = \sqrt{g}dx_1...dx_d$ . It is expected that  $C_{ij}(x, y)$  encodes the non-locality in the Riemannian manifold, where each pair  $i, j$  represents a wormhole.

In the present work, we propose an experimental realization of the scenario discussed in Ref. [51.](#page-13-12) These authors resort to methods from statistical field theory for systems with anisotropic disorder to present an analog model for Euclidean wormholes and topological fluctuations in a Riemannian manifold. In the Euclidean quantum gravity scenario one needs to average the Gibbs free energy, or the gener-ating functional of connected correlation functions of the system.<sup>[52,](#page-13-13)53</sup> Working with the Gross-Pitaevskii functional of the Bose-Einstein condensate, we propose that a resulting linear term from the interaction of the condensate with the atomic gas can be interpreted as a quenched disorder. Using the distributional zeta function method,<sup>[54](#page-13-15)[–60](#page-13-16)</sup> we obtain an effective action for the condensate with non-local terms. Such a non-locality is the practical realization of Ref. [51.](#page-13-12)

The presentation of this work follows: In Sec. [2](#page-2-0) we construct the action functional for the Bose-Einstein condensate with the contributions of the non-condensed cloud. Sec. [3](#page-5-0) presents the distributional zeta function method. The multiplicative disorder is discussed in Sec. [4.](#page-6-0) The additive disorder and the construction of the analog model are given in Sec. [5.](#page-8-0) We summarize the results and give your conclusions at Sec. [6.](#page-11-0) In the present work, we use  $\hbar = c = k_B = 1$ .

# <span id="page-2-0"></span>2. Action functional for the Bose-Einstein condensate with a non-condensed cloud

The main objective of this section is to construct the action functional of the Bose-Einstein condensate considering the interaction of the condensate with the surrounding non-condensed cloud. The entire system is confined within a limited space region due to the influence of a trapped potential  $V_{\text{tran}}$ . We can achieve a unified description of the Bose-Einstein condensate and the non-condensed atomic gas system through the state of the complete system,  $|\psi\rangle$ , such that:

$$
|\psi\rangle = |\varphi\rangle + |\chi\rangle,\tag{2}
$$

where  $|\varphi\rangle$  and  $|\chi\rangle$  are refereed to condensate and non-condensed gas, respectively. We consider that the atomic interaction occurs via a Hartree-Fock pseudo-potential:  $V_{\text{int}}(|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|) = g\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')$ , where g is related to the scattering parameter and the delta function indicates that the interaction is only through contact between particles located at nearby positions. The interaction between the gas and the condensate occurs only at the surface of the condensate.

The Hamiltonian operator of the total system can be defined as

$$
H_{\text{total}} = H_{\varphi} + H_{\chi} + H_{\text{int}} \tag{3}
$$

where  $H_{\varphi}$ ,  $H_{\chi}$  are defined respectively by

$$
H_{\varphi} = \frac{1}{2m_{\varphi}} \sum_{l=1}^{N_{\varphi}} p^2(\varphi_l), \tag{4}
$$

$$
H_{\chi} = \frac{1}{2m_{\chi}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{\chi}} p^2(\chi_k)
$$
 (5)

in which  $p(\varphi_l)$  and  $p(\chi_k)$  are, respectively, the linear momentum of the *l*-th particle of the Bose-Einstein condensate and of k-th particle of the non-condensed gas.  $N_{\varphi}$  is the number of particles in the condensate and  $N_{\chi}$  is the number of particles in the non-condensed gas. The interacting Hamiltonian, which describes the interaction between particles of the entire system, is written as

$$
H_{\rm int} = V_{\rm trap}(\mathbf{r}) + \sum_{\substack{s,n=1\\n\neq l}}^N V_{\rm int}(|\mathbf{r}_s - \mathbf{r}_n|) = V_{\rm trap}(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{g}{2} \sum_{\substack{s,n=1\\n\neq s}}^N \delta(\mathbf{r}_s - \mathbf{r}_n),\qquad(6)
$$

where N denotes the total number of particles in the system, that is,  $N = N_{\varphi} + N_{\chi}$ .

The states  $|\varphi\rangle$  are the direct sum of the individual states of the bosons, while the states  $|\chi\rangle$  are the direct sum of the individual states of the gas particles,

$$
|\varphi\rangle = \bigoplus_{l=1}^{N_{\varphi}} |\varphi_l\rangle, \quad |\chi\rangle = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{N_{\chi}} |\chi_k\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad |\psi\rangle = \bigoplus_{q=1}^{N} |\psi_q\rangle. \tag{7}
$$

In the mean-field approximation  $|\psi_q\rangle = |\psi_{q'}\rangle$  for any q and q'. This approximation is valid for low-density systems. Consequently, the only interaction between particles is a contact interaction, described by the Hartree-Fock potential.

As usual, we decompose the field  $\langle \mathbf{r}, t | \psi \rangle = \psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$  into a classical field  $\psi(\mathbf{r})$  and the quantum fluctuating part, represented by  $\omega(\mathbf{r},t)$ , as follows:

$$
\psi(\mathbf{r},t) = e^{i\mu t} \left[ \psi(\mathbf{r}) + \omega(\mathbf{r},t) \right]
$$
\n(8)

where  $\mu$  is the chemical potential. For the stationary solution, we consider the classical field approximation by disregarding  $\omega(\mathbf{r}, t)$ , since  $|\psi(\mathbf{r})| \gg |\omega(\mathbf{r}, t)|$ . In this approximation, the expected value of the total Hamiltonian,  $\mathcal{H}_{total}$ , is given by

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\mathcal{H}_{\text{total}} = \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r} \left[ N_{\varphi} \varphi^*(\mathbf{r}) \left( -\frac{\Delta}{2m_{\varphi}} + V_{\text{trap}}(\mathbf{r}) \right) \varphi(\mathbf{r}) + N_{\chi} \chi^*(\mathbf{r}) \left( -\frac{\Delta}{2m_{\chi}} + V_{\text{trap}}(\mathbf{r}) \right) \chi(\mathbf{r}) \right] + \mathcal{H}_2.
$$
\n(9)

In the above equation  $\varphi(\mathbf{r})$  and  $\chi(\mathbf{r})$  are the degrees of freedom of the condensate and of the atomic cloud, respectively. The contribution of the interaction  $\mathcal{H}_2$  between the condensate and the gas cloud in the total Hamiltonian is given by

$$
\mathcal{H}_2 = \frac{g}{2} N(N-1) \int d\mathbf{r} \Big[ |\varphi(\mathbf{r})|^4 + 2\chi^*(\mathbf{r})\varphi(\mathbf{r})|\varphi(\mathbf{r})|^2 + 2\chi(\mathbf{r})\varphi^*(\mathbf{r})|\varphi(\mathbf{r})|^2 \n+ \varphi^2(\mathbf{r})\chi^{*2}(\mathbf{r})\varphi^{*2}(\mathbf{r})\chi^2(\mathbf{r}) + 4|\varphi(\mathbf{r})|^2|\chi(\mathbf{r})|^2 + 2\varphi(\mathbf{r})\chi^*(\mathbf{r})|\chi(\mathbf{r})|^2 \n+ 2\varphi^*(\mathbf{r})\chi(\mathbf{r})|\chi(\mathbf{r})|^2 + |\chi(\mathbf{r})|^4 \Big].
$$
\n(10)

For  $N \gg 1$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_2$  is written as:

$$
\mathcal{H}_2 = \frac{9}{10} N \int d\mathbf{r} \left[ \varphi^*(\mathbf{r}) \, \eta(\mathbf{r}) \varphi(\mathbf{r}) + g_\varphi |\varphi(\mathbf{r})|^4 + \varphi(\mathbf{r}) h_\chi^*(\mathbf{r}) + \varphi^*(\mathbf{r}) h_\chi(\mathbf{r}) \right. \\
\left. + \varphi^2(\mathbf{r}) m^*(\mathbf{r}) + \varphi^{*2}(\mathbf{r}) m(\mathbf{r}) \right] + \frac{1}{10} N \int d\mathbf{r} \left[ g_\chi |\chi(\mathbf{r})|^4 + \chi(\mathbf{r}) h_\varphi^*(\mathbf{r}) + \chi^*(\mathbf{r}) h_\varphi(\mathbf{r}) \right].\n\tag{11}
$$

To simplify the notation we defined

<span id="page-4-1"></span><span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
\eta(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{20}{9} g N |\chi(\mathbf{r})|^2, \quad g_{\varphi} = \frac{5}{9} g N, \quad h_{\chi}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{10}{9} g N \chi(\mathbf{r}) |\chi(\mathbf{r})|^2, \tag{12}
$$

<span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
m(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{5}{9}gN\chi^2(\mathbf{r}), \quad g_\chi = 5gN, \quad h_\varphi(\mathbf{r}) = 10gN\varphi(\mathbf{r})|\varphi(\mathbf{r})|^2. \tag{13}
$$

The terms  $\eta(\mathbf{r})$ ,  $h_{\varphi}(\mathbf{r})$ ,  $h_{\chi}(\mathbf{r})$ , and  $m(\mathbf{r})$  are functions that only exist at the interface between the condensate and the non-condensed gas. Using Eq. [\(11\)](#page-4-0), Eq.  $(12)$  and Eq.  $(13)$  into Eq.  $(9)$ , the expected value of the Hamiltonian of the Bose-Einstein condensate,  $H$ . One should note that we are considering only the terms dependent on the condensate order parameter,  $\varphi(\mathbf{r})$ . The expected value H accounts only for the contribution of the condensate's kinetic energy, along with the energies associated with the trapping potential, the contact interaction between the condensate atoms (self-interaction), and the interaction with the non-condensed gas cloud at the condensate's surface. Therefore, we have:

$$
\mathcal{H} = \frac{9}{10} N \int d\mathbf{r} \left[ \varphi^*(\mathbf{r}) \left( -\frac{\Delta}{2m_\varphi} + V_{\text{trap}}(\mathbf{r}) + \eta(\mathbf{r}) \right) \varphi(\mathbf{r}) + \varphi^2(\mathbf{r}) m^*(\mathbf{r}) + \varphi^2(\mathbf{r}) m(\mathbf{r}) + g_\varphi |\varphi(\mathbf{r})|^4 + \varphi(\mathbf{r}) h_\chi(\mathbf{r}) + g_\chi(\mathbf{r}) h_\chi(\mathbf{r}) \right], \qquad (14)
$$

where  $\eta(\mathbf{r})$ ,  $h_{\chi}(\mathbf{r})$ , and  $m(\mathbf{r})$  are disorder fields. The Gross-Pitaevskii action functional is  $S(\varphi, \varphi^*, h, h^*, m, m^*, \eta) = S_0(\varphi, \varphi^*) + S_1(h, h^*, m, m^*, \eta)$ . For simplicity, we denote  $S_1(h, h^*, m, m^*, \eta) \equiv S_1$ , we get:

<span id="page-4-3"></span>
$$
S_0(\varphi, \varphi^*) + S_1 = \int d\mathbf{r} \left[ \varphi^*(\mathbf{r}) \left( -\frac{\Delta}{2m_\varphi} + m_0^2(\mathbf{r}) + \eta(\mathbf{r}) \right) \varphi(\mathbf{r}) + g_\varphi |\varphi(\mathbf{r})|^4 + \varphi(\mathbf{r}) h^*(\mathbf{r}) + \varphi^*(\mathbf{r}) h(\mathbf{r}) + \varphi^2(\mathbf{r}) m^*(\mathbf{r}) + \varphi^{*2}(\mathbf{r}) m(\mathbf{r}) \right],
$$
\n(15)

where it was redefined  $h_\chi(\mathbf{r}) \equiv h(\mathbf{r})$ . We also defined  $m_0^2(\mathbf{r}) \equiv V_{\text{trap}}(\mathbf{r}) - \mu_\varphi$  as the effective mass of one particle of the condensate. Additionally, it is also possible to construct the Gross-Pitaevskii equation for the condensate, including the linear and quadratic response terms, and we will obtain the same result as described in Ref. [8.](#page-12-6) In the next section, we will review the distributional zeta function method for the case of additive disorder.

## <span id="page-5-0"></span>3. The distributional zeta function method

From the action in the Eq. [\(15\)](#page-4-3), we can define the disordered partition functional

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
Z(h, h^*, m, m^*, \eta) = \int [d\varphi][d\varphi^*] e^{-S(\varphi, \varphi^*, h, h^*, m, m^*, \eta)}
$$
(16)

for the condensate. In the Eq. [\(15\)](#page-4-3),  $h(\mathbf{r})$ ,  $m(\mathbf{r})$  and  $\eta(\mathbf{r})$  may be interpreted as additive and multiplicative disorders, respectively. For completeness, in this section we present the distributional zeta-function method as an approach to compute the average of  $\ln Z(h, h^*)$ . The results obtained here can be extended to the case of multiplicative disorder.<sup>[61](#page-13-17)</sup> By disregarding the multiplicative disorders in Eq.  $(15)$ and substituting it in Eq. [\(16\)](#page-5-1), the partition functional  $Z(h, h^*)$  is written as:

$$
Z(h, h^*) = \int [d\varphi][d\varphi^*] \exp \left\{ \int d\mathbf{r} \left[ \varphi^*(\mathbf{r}) \left( -\frac{\Delta}{2m\varphi} + m_0^2(\mathbf{r}) \right) \varphi(\mathbf{r}) + g_\varphi |\varphi(\mathbf{r})|^4 \right. \\ \left. + \varphi(\mathbf{r}) h^*(\mathbf{r}) + \varphi^*(\mathbf{r}) h(\mathbf{r}) \right] \right\}.
$$
 (17)

From the disordered functional  $W(h, h^*) = \ln Z(h, h^*)$ , we define the quenched free-energy  $\mathbb{E}[W(h, h^*)]$ . Therefore

<span id="page-5-2"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}[W(h, h^*)] = \int [dh][dh^*] P(h, h^*) \ln Z(h, h^*), \qquad (18)
$$

where  $[dh][dh^*]P(h, h^*)$  is the probability distribution of the disorder. To proceed, let us discuss the distributional zeta-function  $\Phi(s)$ , defined by

<span id="page-5-3"></span>
$$
\Phi(s) = \int [dh][dh^*]P(h, h^*) \frac{1}{Z^s(h, h^*)}, \quad \text{Re}(s) > 0
$$
\n(19)

The description of the Bose-Einstein is considered such that its action is invariant under the transformation  $\varphi \to -\varphi$ . Immediately we have  $Z(h, h^*) = Z(-h, -h^*)$ , and one can see that  $Z(0) \leq Z(h, h^*)$ . Then, the function  $\Phi(s)$  converges and is welldefined for the positive real part of the complex plane and an analytic continuation is unnecessary. By comparing Eq. [\(18\)](#page-5-2) and Eq. [\(19\)](#page-5-3), we have that

$$
\mathbb{E}[W(h, h^*)] = -\frac{d}{ds}\Phi(s)\Big|_{s \to 0^+}.
$$
\n(20)

The average free energy can be represented by the following series of the moments of the partition function

<span id="page-5-4"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}[W(h, h^*)] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1} c^k}{k! k} \mathbb{E}[Z^k(h, h^*)] + \log c + \gamma - R(c), \quad c > 0 \tag{21}
$$

where  $\gamma$  is the Euler-Mascheroni constant and  $|R(c)| \leq \frac{1}{Z(0)} \frac{e^{-Z(0)c}}{c}$  $\frac{c}{c}$ . The contribution of  $R(c)$  to the free energy can be made as small as desired by taking a large enough. In the next section we will apply the zeta distributional method for the Bose-Einstein condensate with multiplicative disorder.

## <span id="page-6-0"></span>4. Multiplicative disorder in the Bose-Einstein condensate

In order to evaluate the quenched free-energy with multiplicative disorder it is useful to discuss some standard approximations to the complete action in Eq. [\(15\)](#page-4-3). Let us resort to approximations already made in the literature on the dynamics of the Bose-Einstein condensate, considering the coupling of its order parameter with the non-condensed degrees of freedom  $\chi(\mathbf{r})$ . Studies about the dynamics of the complete system usually resort to approximations that will depend on the desired modeling. In the Ref. [62,](#page-13-18) Griffin resort to the well-known Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov aproximation by disregarding the three-field correlation function of the non-condensate gas in the Gross-Pitaevskii equation.<sup>[63](#page-13-19)</sup> The three-field correlation function of the non-condensate is given by  $h(\mathbf{r})$ . In Ref. [64,](#page-13-20) Hutchinson and Zaremba performed a Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov-Popov aproximation and disregard the terms  $m(\mathbf{r})$  and  $h(\mathbf{r})$  in the Gross-Pitaevskii equation, keeping only the atomic density of the noncondensate, represented by  $\eta(\mathbf{r})$ . More about Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov-Popov approximation is presented in Ref. [65.](#page-14-0) Here, we intend to consider Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov and Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov-Popov approximations in the condensate action presented in Eq. [\(15\)](#page-4-3). Our focus here is to model the contribution of  $\eta(\mathbf{r})$ as a multiplicative disorder after disregard  $m(\mathbf{r})$  and  $h(\mathbf{r})$  in the Gross-Pitaevskii functional for the condensate, by doing the Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov-Popov approximation.

We start discussing a Bose-Einstein condensate trapped in a volume  $V$  by two parallel surfaces, which are separated by a distance  $L$  in the  $z$  axis, as shown in the illustrative diagram in Fig. [1.](#page-7-0) We might assume the presence of a non-condensed atomic gas cloud in the regions surrounding the surface of the condensate. We interpret the gas cloud as generating a Gaussian disorder distributed around the interface between the BEC and the non-condensate cloud, due to the interaction via Hartree-Fock pseudo-potencial between the condensate and the gas cloud.

Now we use  $\mathbf{r} = (\mathbf{x}, z)$ , where x represents the two-dimensional region where the planar surfaces of the condensate are defined. By considering the Hatree-Fock-Bogoliubov-Popox approximation, the Gross-Pitaevskii action for the Bose-Einstein condensate with the multiplicative disorder is

$$
S(\varphi, \varphi^*, \eta) = \int d^2 \mathbf{x} \int_0^L dz \left\{ \varphi^*(\mathbf{x}, z) \left[ -\frac{\Delta}{2m\varphi} + m_0^2(\mathbf{x}, z) + \eta(\mathbf{x}, z) \right] \varphi(\mathbf{x}, z) + g_\varphi |\varphi(\mathbf{x}, z)|^4 \right\}.
$$
\n(22)

We define the disordered functional  $W(\eta) = \ln Z(\eta)$ , just as presented in the Sec. [3,](#page-5-0) in order to resort to the distributional zeta-function method. By considering the probability density of the disorder  $P(\eta)$  defined as:

$$
P(\eta) = p_0 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\rho^2} \int d^2 \mathbf{x} \int_0^L dz \int d^2 \mathbf{x}' \int_0^L dz' \eta(\mathbf{x}, z) F^{-1}(\mathbf{x}, z; \mathbf{x}', z') \eta(\mathbf{x}', z')\right),\tag{23}
$$



<span id="page-7-0"></span>Fig. 1. Illustrative diagram of a Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) with planar surfaces trapped in a region of length L. The non-condensed gas cloud is assumed to be located in the external region of the condensate's planar surfaces.

where  $\rho$  is the strength of the multiplicative disorder  $\eta(\mathbf{x}, z)$  and  $F(\mathbf{x}, z; \mathbf{x}', z')$  is the correlation function of the disorder. Therefore, the correlation of the disorder  $\eta(\mathbf{x}, z)$ is  $\mathbb{E}[\eta(\mathbf{x},z)\eta(\mathbf{x}',z')] = \rho^2 F(\mathbf{x},z;\mathbf{x}',z')$ . According to the Eq. [\(21\)](#page-5-4), the effective action for the Bose-Einstein condensate is given by

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
S_{\text{eff}}(\varphi, \varphi^*) = \int d^2 \mathbf{x} \int_0^L dz \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi_i^* (\mathbf{x}, z) \left[ -\frac{\Delta}{2m_\varphi} + m_0^2(\mathbf{x}, z) \right] \varphi_i(\mathbf{x}, z)
$$

$$
+ \int d^2 \mathbf{x} d^2 \mathbf{x}' \int_0^L dz \int_0^L dz' \sum_{i,j=1}^k \left( \delta_{ij} g_\varphi - \rho^2 F(\mathbf{x}, z; \mathbf{x}', z') \right) \varphi_j^{*2}(\mathbf{x}, z) \varphi_i^{2}(\mathbf{x}', z'). \tag{24}
$$

The effective action  $S_{\text{eff}}$  in Eq. [\(24\)](#page-7-1) refers to the contribution of quadratic disorder in the condensate being just a general result, since we have not yet defined exactly  $F(\mathbf{x}, z; \mathbf{x}', z')$ . The random field  $\eta(\mathbf{x}, z)$  interacts with the Bose-Einstein condensate only on the planar surfaces. We model the interaction between the condensate and the non-condensed gas cloud as a Gaussian disorder distributed around the interface between the BEC and the non-condensate cloud. We can compute the result for  $F(\mathbf{x}, z; \mathbf{x}', z') = \delta^2(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') [\delta(z) + \delta(z - L)]$ , since the planar surfaces of the condensate are located at  $z = 0$  and  $z = L$ . For this scenario, the  $\delta^2(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')$  indicates a Gaussian distribution of the non-condensate along the surfaces of contact

with the condensate. By considering the boundary condition  $\varphi(\mathbf{x},0) = \varphi(\mathbf{x},L)$ , we obtain:

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
S_{\text{eff}}(\varphi, \varphi^*) = \int d^2 \mathbf{x} \int_0^L dz \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi_i^* (\mathbf{x}, z) \Big[ -\frac{\Delta}{2m_\varphi} + m_0^2(\mathbf{x}, z) \Big] \varphi_i(\mathbf{x}, z)
$$

$$
+ \int d^2 \mathbf{x} \int_0^L dz \sum_{i,j=1}^k \Big( \delta_{ij} g_\varphi - 2\rho^2 \Big) {\varphi_j}^{*2}(\mathbf{x}, 0) {\varphi_i}^2(\mathbf{x}, z). \tag{25}
$$

Note that we obtained a non-local effective action.<sup>[66,](#page-14-1) [67](#page-14-2)</sup> Such kind of theory does not allow us to interpret as Euclidean wormhole effects in condensed matter systems, since the effective action in Eq. [\(25\)](#page-8-1) is related to the quartic terms of  $\varphi$ . In the next section we will show that the situation is quite different for the case of additive disorder.

## <span id="page-8-0"></span>5. Euclidean wormholes in disordered condensate

The aim of this section is to discuss the situation in which the term  $h(\mathbf{r})$  is not ignored, in the configuration of planar surfaces. Taking into accounting only the additive disorder, the partition function is given by:

$$
Z(h, h^*) = \int [d\varphi][d\varphi^*] \exp\left\{-\int d^2 \mathbf{x} \int_0^L dz \left[\varphi^*(\mathbf{x}, z) \Big(-\frac{\Delta}{2m\varphi} + m_0^2(\mathbf{x}, z)\Big)\varphi(\mathbf{x}, z) + g_\varphi[\varphi(\mathbf{x}, z)]^4 + \varphi(\mathbf{x}, z)h^*(\mathbf{x}, z) + \varphi^*(\mathbf{x}, z)h(\mathbf{x}, z)\right]\right\},
$$
\n(26)

Here we define the disorder correlation as being  $\mathbb{E}[h^*(\mathbf{x},z)h(\mathbf{x}',z')] =$  $\sigma^2 F(\mathbf{x}, z; \mathbf{x}', z')$ , where  $\sigma$  is the strength of the additive disorder  $h(\mathbf{x}, z)$ . In our analyses, the non-Gaussian contribution is irrelevant. From of Eq. [\(21\)](#page-5-4), the Gaussian effective Gross-Pitaevskii action is:

$$
S_{\text{eff}}(\varphi, \varphi^*) = \int d^2 \mathbf{x} d^2 \mathbf{x}' \int_0^L dz \int_0^L dz' \sum_{i,j=1}^k \varphi_j^*(\mathbf{x}, z) \left[ \left( -\frac{\Delta}{2m\varphi} + m_0^2(\mathbf{x}, z) \right) \delta_{ij} \right. \\ \times \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') \delta(z - z') - \sigma^2 F(\mathbf{x}, z; \mathbf{x}', z') \left[ \varphi_i(\mathbf{x}', z'). \tag{27}
$$

Using the compact notation, where  $\mathbf{r} = (\mathbf{x}, z)$  and  $\mathbf{r}' = (\mathbf{x}', z')$  the operator

$$
\mathcal{G}_{ij}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = [-\Delta + m_0^2(\mathbf{x}, z)] \delta_{ij} \delta^3(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') - \sigma^2 F(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')
$$
(28)

is the  $ij$ -component of a  $k \times k$  real symmetric matrix. The procedure for diagonalizing the effective action was addressed in more detail in Ref. [68.](#page-14-3) Hence, the resulting diagonal matrix  $\mathcal{G}_D(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$  is:

$$
\mathcal{G}_D(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{G}_0(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{G}_0(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \mathcal{G}_0(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') - k\sigma^2 F(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \end{pmatrix}_{k \times k}
$$
(29)

where  $\mathcal{G}_0(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = [-\Delta + m_0^2(\mathbf{x}, z)] \delta^3(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$ . The structure of the diagonal matrix  $\mathcal{G}_D(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$  allows us to separate the effective action in two contributions. One of the parts is related to the bare contribution to the connected two-points correlation functions even in the absence of disorder averaging, and was chosen to be related to the diagonal fields  $\phi_1, ..., \phi_{k-1}$ . The other part contains the contribution of  $F(\mathbf{x}, z; \mathbf{x}', z')$  acting on the k-the vector field. The splitting is possible because the modulus of the Jacobian is unity, since we have an orthogonal transformation. That is:

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
\prod_{a=1}^k [d\phi] \longrightarrow \prod_{a=1}^{k-1} [d\phi_a][d\phi] \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{a=1}^k [d\phi_a^*] \longrightarrow \prod_{a=1}^{k-1} [d\phi_a^*][d\phi^*],\tag{30}
$$

where  $\phi$  in the equation above corresponds to k-th field  $\phi_k$ . In fact, in Eq. [\(30\)](#page-9-0) is implicit the new fields obtained from the diagonalization:

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \\ \vdots \\ \phi_{k-1} \\ \phi_k \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \\ \vdots \\ \phi_{k-1} \\ \phi \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1^* \\ \phi_2^* \\ \vdots \\ \phi_{k-1}^* \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1^* \\ \phi_2^* \\ \vdots \\ \phi_{k-1}^* \end{bmatrix} . \tag{31}
$$

Therefore, one can write  $\mathbb{E}[Z^k(h, h^*)]$  as:

$$
\mathbb{E}[Z^k(h, h^*)] = \int \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} [d\phi_a][d\phi_a^*] e^{-S_0(\phi_a, \phi_a^*)} \int [d\phi][d\phi^*] e^{-S_\sigma(\phi, \phi^*)}, \tag{32}
$$

where  $S_0(\phi_a, \phi_a^*)$  is the total action for the fields  $\phi_1, ..., \phi_{k-1}$  and is given by

$$
S_0\left(\phi_a, \phi_a^*\right) = \int d^2 \mathbf{x} \int_0^L dz \sum_{a=1}^{k-1} \phi_a^*(\mathbf{x}, z) \left[ -\Delta + m_0^2(\mathbf{x}, z) \right] \phi_a(\mathbf{x}, z) \tag{33}
$$

and  $S_{\sigma}(\phi, \phi^*)$  is the action for the k-th field that encodes the disorder correlation function  $F(\mathbf{x}, z; \mathbf{x}', z')$ . The functional  $S_{\sigma}(\phi, \phi^*)$  is

<span id="page-9-1"></span>
$$
S_{\sigma}(\phi, \phi^*) = \int d^2 \mathbf{x} d^2 \mathbf{x}' \int_0^L dz \int_0^L dz' \phi^*(\mathbf{x}, z) \Big\{ \left[ -\Delta + m_0^2(\mathbf{x}, z) \right] \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') \delta(z - z')
$$

$$
-k \sigma^2 F(\mathbf{x}, z; \mathbf{x}', z') \Big\} \phi(\mathbf{x}', z'). \tag{34}
$$

Note that we have not yet defined  $F(\mathbf{x}, z; \mathbf{x}', z')$  in Eq. [\(34\)](#page-9-1). First, we can resort to the definition for  $F(\mathbf{x}, z; \mathbf{x}', z')$  similar to the way mentioned in Sec. [3.](#page-5-0) Now we choose the covariance as  $F(\mathbf{x}, z; \mathbf{x}', z') = \delta^2(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') [\delta(z) + \delta(z - L)]$ , with this choice, the action becomes

<span id="page-9-2"></span>
$$
S_{\sigma}(\phi, \phi^*) = S_G(\phi, \phi^*) - k\sigma^2 \int d^2 \mathbf{x} \int_0^L dz \, \phi(\mathbf{x}, z) \left[\phi^*(\mathbf{x}, 0) + \phi^*(\mathbf{x}, L)\right] \tag{35}
$$

where  $S_G(\phi, \phi^*)$  refers to the integration with the operator  $[-\Delta + m_0^2(\mathbf{x}, z)]$  in Eq. [\(34\)](#page-9-1). The second integral in Eq. [\(35\)](#page-9-2) is a series of connections between the points

on the surfaces and other internal points of the condensate in the same direction in x. In addition to the connections between the points on one of the surfaces and points in the internal region, there are connections between two points on the two parallel surfaces of the Bose-Einstein condensate.

However, for an analog model of Euclidean wormholes, the contribution of  $F(\mathbf{x}, z; \mathbf{x}', z')$  is interesting when it is not  $\delta$ -correlated along the z axis. Therefore, consider  $F(\mathbf{x}, z; \mathbf{x}', z') = \delta^2(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')C(z - z')$ , in which  $C(z - z')$  is expected to encode the non-locality. Therefore, Eq. [\(34\)](#page-9-1) becomes:

<span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
S_{\sigma}(\phi, \phi^*) = S_G(\phi, \phi^*) - k\sigma^2 \int d^2 \mathbf{x} \int_0^L dz \int_0^L dz' \phi^*(\mathbf{x}, z) C(z - z')\phi(\mathbf{x}, z')
$$
 (36)

The second integral in Eq. [\(36\)](#page-10-0) indicates the non-local connections between two points on the z axis in the condensate. Due to the Gaussian distribution of disorder along the x direction, the non-local connection will only be between points that are at the same x coordinate. The above result shows similarity to the action for Euclidean quantum gravity (see Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0)). In this comparison,  $C(z - z')$  would play the same role as  $C_{ij}(x, y)$  in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0), thus configuring the Bose-Einstein condensate interacting with the non-condensed atomic gas cloud as an analogous model for Euclidean wormholes. One can say that the disorder average of the free energy leads to a superposition of contributions of many points of condensate connected by Euclidean wormholes.



Fig. 2. Illustrative diagram of non-local connections in the disordered Bose-Einstein condensate. These non-local connections are described by the same mathematical structure as Euclidean wormholes.

# <span id="page-11-0"></span>6. Conclusions

Many approaches of quantum gravity discussed modifications in standard physics at short distances. Nevertheless has become increased clear that short distance modifications is not able to address many problems of quantum gravity. One must understand long distance physics or even topology change. Instead of discussing analog models for lightcone fluctuations in a pseudo-Riemaniann manifold, we are taking the Euclidean point of view which becomes a central tool in the mathematical study of quantum field theory. Many authors argue that quantum gravity must be formalized in a Euclidean domain. In a Euclidean theory of quantum gravity, it is expected that the topology of spacetime can vary, so that it is able to describe black holes and closed universes. Therefore, such a theory should allow topologies related to closed universes that branch off or join the flat spacetime. In this context, wormholes connect two asymptotically Euclidean regions, or two parts of the same asymptotically Euclidean region. Using methods from statistical field theory for systems with anisotropic disorder, a non-local contribution to the effective action was obtained, and therefore an analog model for Euclidean wormholes was proposed. In the present work, we propose an experimental realization of the scenario discussed.

In Refs. [7,](#page-12-5) [8](#page-12-6) authors have been stressed that the presence of a thermal cloud of non-condensate atoms may result in new phenomena. The crucial question is the coupling between the degrees of freedom of the condensate and the normal fluids. Here we discuss the effect of the non-condensate atomic cloud over the Bose-Einstein condensate. First, we demonstrate that the resulting linear term from the interaction between the condensate and the atomic gas can be interpreted as a quenched disorder. Using the distributional zeta-functional method, we obtained a representation for the quenched free energy as a series of integral moments of the partition function. Assuming two planar surfaces confining the Bose-Einstein condensate, we show that random surface fields generate non-local terms in the effective action. We claim that the interaction between the Bose-Einstein condensate and the surrounding atomic cloud can serve as an analogous model for the non-local effects in condensed matter systems, described by the same mathematical structure as Euclidean wormholes.

A natural continuation of this work is to study in details the multiplicative disorder situation. The subjective is under investigation by the authors.

## 7. Acknowledgments

I. P. F. thanks to M. M. Balbino, F. S. Sorage, and J. T. Miranda for useful discussions. G. O. H. thanks to Fundação Carlos Chagas Filho de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (FAPERJ) and Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nivel Superior (CAPES) for the financial support. This work was partially supported by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), grants nos. 305000/2023-3 (N.F.S). I. P. F. thanks to Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) due to financial suport.

## References

- <span id="page-12-0"></span>1. S. N. Bose, Zeitschrift für Physik 26 (1924) 178.
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>2. A. Einstein, Königliche Preußische Akademie der Wissenschaften 3 (1925) 261.
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>3. A. Griffin, D. W. Snoke and S. Stringari, Bose-Einstein Condensation (Cambridge University Press, 1995).
- 4. C. J. Pethick and H. Smith, Bose-Einstein Condensation in Dilute Gases (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K., 2002).
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>5. L. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, Bose-Einstein Condensation (Oxford University Press, NY, (2003)).
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>6. M. H. Anderson, J. R. Ensher, M. R. Matthews, C. E. Wieman and E. A. Cornell, Science 269 (1995) 198.
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>7. E. Zaremba, T. Nikuni and A. Griffin, Journal of Low Temperature Physics 116 (1999) 277, [arXiv:cond-mat.stat-mech/9903029](http://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat.stat-mech/9903029).
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>8. A. Griffin, T. Nikuni and E. Zaremba, Bose-Condensed Gases at Finite Temperature (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K., 2009).
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>9. W. H. Zurek, Phys. Rept. 276 (1996) 177, [arXiv:cond-mat/9607135](http://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/9607135).
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>10. N. N. Bogolyubov, J. Phys. (USSR) 11 (1947) 23.
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>11. K. T. Geier, J. Maki, A. Biella, F. Dalfovo, S. Giorgini and S. Stringari (2024) [arXiv:2407.17558 \[cond-mat.quant-gas\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2407.17558).
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>12. W. G. Unruh, Phys. Rev. Lett. 46 (1981) 1351.
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>13. M. Novello, M. Visser and G. Volovick, Artificial Black Holes (World Scientific, 2002).
- 14. W. G. Unruh and R. Schutzold, Quantum Analogues: From Phase Transitions to Black Holes and Cosmology (Springer, Hilderberger, 2010).
- 15. W. G. Unruh, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 2827.
- 16. M. Visser, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 (1998) 3436, [arXiv:gr-qc/9712016](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9712016).
- 17. T. A. Jacobson and G. E. Volovik, Phys. Rev. D 58 (1998) 064021, [arXiv:cond-mat/9801308](http://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/9801308).
- 18. C. Barcelo, S. Liberati and M. Visser, Class. Quant. Grav. 18 (2001) 1137, [arXiv:gr-qc/0011026](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0011026).
- 19. R. Balbinot, S. Fagnocchi and A. Fabbri, Phys. Rev. D 71 (2005) 064019, [arXiv:gr-qc/0405098](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0405098).
- 20. M. Cadoni and S. Mignemi, Phys. Rev. D 72 (2005) 084012, [arXiv:gr-qc/0504143](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0504143).
- 21. H. Schmitz, R. Matjeschk, C. Schneider, J. Glueckert, M. Enderlein, T. Huber and T. Schaetz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103 (2009) 090504, [arXiv:0904.4214 \[quant-ph\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/0904.4214).
- 22. L. J. Garay, J. R. Anglin, J. I. Cirac and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 (2000) 4643, [arXiv:gr-qc/0002015](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0002015).
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>23. P. Jain, S. Weinfurtner, M. Visser and C. W. Gardiner, Physical Review A 76 (2007) [arXiv:0705.2077 \[cond-mat.other\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/0705.2077).
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>24. L. H. Ford, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 1692, [arXiv:gr-qc/9410047](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9410047).
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>25. B. L. Hu and K. Shiokawa, Phys. Rev. D 57 (1998) 3474, [arXiv:gr-qc/9708023](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9708023).
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>26. L. H. Ford and N. F. Svaiter, Phys. Rev. D 54 (1996) 2640, [arXiv:gr-qc/9604052](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9604052).
- 27. L. H. Ford and N. F. Svaiter, Phys. Rev. D 56 (1997) 2226, [arXiv:gr-qc/9704050](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9704050).
- 28. H. Yu and L. H. Ford, *Phys. Rev. D* 60 (1999) 084023, arXiv: gr-qc/9904082.
- 29. R. T. Thompson and L. H. Ford, Class. Quant. Grav. 25 (2008) 154006, [arXiv:0802.1546 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/0802.1546).
- 30. R. T. Thompson and L. H. Ford, Phys. Rev. D 78 (2008) 024014, [arXiv:0803.1980](http://arxiv.org/abs/0803.1980) [\[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/0803.1980).
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>31. H. W. Yu, N. F. Svaiter and L. H. Ford, Phys. Rev. D 80 (2009) 124019, [arXiv:0904.1087 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/0904.1087).
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>32. G. Krein, G. Menezes and N. F. Svaiter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 (2010) 131301,

[arXiv:1006.3350 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1006.3350).

- <span id="page-13-0"></span>33. E. Arias, E. Goulart, G. Krein, G. Menezes and N. F. Svaiter, Phys. Rev. D 83 (2011) 125022, [arXiv:1103.3551 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1103.3551).
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>34. E. Arias, G. Krein, G. Menezes and N. F. Svaiter, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 27 (2012) 1250129, [arXiv:1109.6080 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1109.6080).
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>35. L. Ford, V. De Lorenci, G. Menezes and N. Svaiter, Ann. of Phys. 329 (2013) 80, [arXiv:1202.3099 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1202.3099).
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>36. E. Arias, C. H. G. Bessa, J. G. Dueñas, G. Menezes and N. F. Svaiter, Int. J. Mod. Phys.  $A$  29 (2014) 1450024, [arXiv:1307.4749 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1307.4749).
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>37. S. B. Giddings (2022) [arXiv:2202.08292 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2202.08292).
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>38. K. Symanzik, New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences Report, IMM-NYU 327 (1964).
- 39. L. R. F. Guerra and B. Simon, Ann. of Math. 101 (1975).
- 40. J. Glimm and A. Jaffe, Quantum Physics: A Functional Integral point of view (Springer Verlag, NY, 1981).
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>41. A. Jaffe, Nuc. Phys. B 254 (1985) 31.
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>42. S. W. Hawking, NATO Sci. Ser. B 44 (1979) 145.
- 43. C. J. Isham, R. Penrose and D. W. Sciama, Quantum Gravity 2: A Second Oxford Symposium (Oxford Science Publications, (1981)).
- 44. S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D 37 (1988) 904.
- 45. C. Kiefer, Quantum Gravity (Oxford Science Publications, (2007)).
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>46. B. S. DeWitt and G. Esposito, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. 05 (2008) 101, [arXiv:0711.2445 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/0711.2445).
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>47. A. Anderson and B. S. DeWitt, Found. Phys. 16 (1986) 91.
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>48. S. Coleman, Nuc. Phys. B 310 (1988) 643.
- 49. J. Preskill, Nuc. Phys. B 323 (1989) 141.
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>50. S. B. Giddings and A. Strominger, Phys. Lett. B 230 (1989) 46.
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>51. G. O. Heymans, N. F. Svaiter and G. a. Krein, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 32 (2023) 2342019, [arXiv:2305.07990 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2305.07990).
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>52. N. Engelhardt, S. Fischetti and A. Maloney, Phys. Rev. D 103 (2021) 046021, [arXiv:2007.07444 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2007.07444).
- <span id="page-13-14"></span>53. K. Okuyama, JHEP 03 (2021) 073, [arXiv:2101.05990 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2101.05990).
- <span id="page-13-15"></span>54. B. F. Svaiter and N. F. Svaiter, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 31 (2016) 1650144, [arXiv:1603.05919 \[cond-mat.stat-mech\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1603.05919).
- 55. B. F. Svaiter and N. F. Svaiter (2016) [arXiv:1606.04854 \[math-ph\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1606.04854).
- 56. R. J. A. Diaz, C. D. Rodríguez-Camargo and N. F. Svaiter, *Polymers* 12 (2020) 1066, [arXiv:1609.07084 \[cond-mat.stat-mech\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1609.07084).
- 57. R. A. Diaz, G. Menezes, N. F. Svaiter and C. A. D. Zarro, Phys. Rev. D 96 (2017) 065012, [arXiv:1705.06403 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1705.06403).
- 58. R. A. Diaz, N. F. Svaiter, G. Krein and C. A. D. Zarro, Phys. Rev. D 97 (2018) 065017, [arXiv:1712.07990 \[cond-mat.stat-mech\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1712.07990).
- 59. G. O. Heymans, N. F. Svaiter and G. Krein, Phys. Rev. D 106 (2022) 125004, [arXiv:2207.06927 \[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2207.06927).
- <span id="page-13-16"></span>60. G. O. Heymans, G. Scorza, N. F. Svaiter and C. D. Rodríguez-Camargo (2024) [arXiv:2404.09923 \[gr-qc\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2404.09923).
- <span id="page-13-17"></span>61. R. Acosta-Diaz, C. A. D. Zarro, G. Krein, A. Saldivar and N. F. Svaiter, J. Phys. A 52  $(2019)$   $445401$ ,  $arXiv:1906.03108$  [cond-mat.stat-mech].
- <span id="page-13-18"></span>62. A. Griffin, Phys. Rev. B 53 (1996) 9341, [arXiv:cond-mat/9602036](http://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/9602036).
- <span id="page-13-19"></span>63. N. N. Bogolyubov, Phys. Usp. 2 (1959) 236.
- <span id="page-13-20"></span>64. D. A. W. Hutchinson, E. Zaremba and A. Griffin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 (1997) 1842,

[arXiv:cond-mat.stat-mech/9611023](http://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat.stat-mech/9611023).

- <span id="page-14-0"></span>65. V. N. Popov, Functional Integrals and Collective Modes (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1987).
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>66. S. Sachdev, Quantum Phase Transitions (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1999).
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>67. O. Aharony and V. Narovlansky, Phys. Rev. D 98 (2018) 045012, [arXiv:1803.08534](http://arxiv.org/abs/1803.08534) [\[hep-th\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1803.08534).
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>68. G. O. Heymans, N. F. Svaiter, B. F. Svaiter and G. Krein, Phys. Rev. E 109 (2024) 054108, [arXiv:2402.01588 \[cond-mat.soft\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/2402.01588).