

SPECTRALITY OF A CLASS OF MORAN MEASURES ON \mathbb{R}^2

JING-CHENG LIU, QIAO-QIN LIU, JUN JASON LUO, AND JIA-JIE WANG

ABSTRACT. Let $\mu_{\{M_n\},\{D_n\}}$ be a Moran measure on \mathbb{R}^2 generated by a sequence of expanding matrices $\{M_n\} \subset GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and a sequence of integer digit sets $\{D_n\}$ where $D_n = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{n_1} \\ \alpha_{n_2} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{n_1} \\ \beta_{n_2} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha_{n_1} - \beta_{n_1} \\ -\alpha_{n_2} - \beta_{n_2} \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ with $\alpha_{n_1}\beta_{n_2} - \alpha_{n_2}\beta_{n_1} \notin 2\mathbb{Z}$. If $|\det(M_n)| > 4$ for $n \geq 1$, $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|M_n^{-1}\| < 1$ and $\#\{D_n : n \geq 1\} < \infty$, then we show that $\mu_{\{M_n\},\{D_n\}}$ is a spectral measure if and only if $M_n \in GL(2, 2\mathbb{Z})$ for $n \geq 2$. If $|\det(M_n)| = 4$ for $n \geq 1$, we also establish a necessary and sufficient condition for a class of special Moran measures to be spectral measures.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let μ be a Borel measure supported on a measurable subset of \mathbb{R}^n . If there exists a countable discrete set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that the complex exponential functions $e^{2\pi i \langle \lambda, x \rangle}$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$ form an orthonormal basis for the Hilbert space $L^2(\mu)$, then the measure μ is called a *spectral measure*, and the set Λ is called a *spectrum* of μ . A central question in harmonic analysis or Fourier analysis is:

What measure is a spectral measure?

The notion of spectral measure is naturally generalized from the classical spectral set in functional analysis. A measurable set $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with positive and finite Lebesgue measure is called a *spectral set* if $L^2(T)$ has an orthonormal basis consisting of complex exponentials, that is, the Lebesgue measure on T is a spectral measure. It is well known that the unit cube $[0, 1]^n$ is a spectral set with the spectrum \mathbb{Z}^n .

In 1974, Fuglede [12] proved that if T is a Nikodym region, then Segal's problem is true if and only if T is a spectral set. In the same paper, he proposed the famous spectral set conjecture: *T is a spectral set if and only if T can tile \mathbb{R}^n by translations.* Although the conjecture was eventually disproved that neither side of the conjecture is true on \mathbb{R}^n for $n \geq 3$ [16, 17, 29], it raises a lot of interesting questions. First, it remains unresolved on \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{R}^2 . Secondly, with some additional assumptions, the conjecture is still valid and many special cases have been studied, e.g., Lev and Matolcsi [19] recently proved that the conjecture is true in all dimensions when T is a convex domain. Thirdly, we can relax the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 28A80; Secondary 42B10, 42C05.

Key words and phrases. Moran measure; Admissible pair; Spectral measure; Fourier transform.

The research is supported in part by the NNSF of China (Nos. 12071125 and 11831007), the Hunan Provincial NSF (No.2024JJ3023), the Hunan Provincial education Department Important Foundation of Hunan province in China (No. 23A0059), the Natural Science Foundation of Chongqing (No. CSTB2023NSCQ-MSX0553).

Lebesgue measure to certain singular fractal measures and study their spectrality. The more interesting development is on the Cantor measures and self-affine measures. The first singular continuous spectral measure was given by Jorgensen and Pedersen [15] in 1998. They showed that the 1/4-Cantor measure is a spectral measure with spectrum $\Lambda = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n 4^k l_k : l_k \in \{0, 1\}, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$. Shortly later, Strichartz [28] extended the construction of Cantor measures to a larger class of measures and spectra including self-affine measures and Moran measures. Since then, a great number of spectral fractal measures have been extensively investigated, please see [1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 18, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 27, 31] and references therein.

A matrix $M \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ is said to be expanding if its all eigenvalues have moduli greater than one. Given an expanding matrix M and a finite digit set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we can define an *iterated function system (IFS)* $\{f_d\}_{d \in D}$ on \mathbb{R}^n by

$$f_d(x) = M^{-1}(x + d), \quad d \in D.$$

Then there exists a unique Borel probability measure $\mu := \mu_{M,D}$ [14] satisfying

$$\mu_{M,D} = \frac{1}{\#D} \sum_{d \in D} \mu_{M,D} \circ f_d^{-1} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\#$ denotes the cardinality of a set. We call $\mu_{M,D}$ a *self-affine measure*. Clearly, $\mu_{M,D}$ is supported on the compact invariant set of the IFS.

In order to construct spectral self-affine measures, Strichartz [28] introduced a useful device called *admissible pairs*.

Definition 1.1. *Let $M \in GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ be an expanding matrix and $D, L \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be two finite digit sets with the same cardinality (i.e., $\#D = \#L$). We say that (M, D) is an admissible pair (or (M, D, L) is a Hadamard triple) if the matrix*

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\#D}} \left(e^{2\pi i \langle M^{-1}d, \ell \rangle} \right)_{d \in D, \ell \in L}$$

*is unitary, i.e., $H^*H = I$, where H^* means the transposed conjugate of H and I is the identity matrix.*

Recently, Dutkay *et al.* [8] proved that if (M, D) is an admissible pair, then $\mu_{M,D}$ is a spectral measure. But the converse is usually not true, for example, $\mu_{M,D}$ is a spectral measure on \mathbb{R} for $M = 4$ and $D = \{0, 1, 8, 9\}$, however, (M, D) is not an admissible pair. For the planar Sierpinski-type self-affine measures, the references [2, 24, 25] gave a complete characterization on their spectrality. More recently, Chen *et al.* [3] gave some necessary and sufficient conditions for a class of self-affine measures $\mu_{M,D}$ on \mathbb{R}^2 to be spectral measures.

Theorem 1.2 ([3]). *Let $\mu_{M,D}$ be as in (1.1) where $M \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is expanding and D is given by*

$$D = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha_1 - \beta_1 \\ -\alpha_2 - \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \quad (\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1 \notin 2\mathbb{Z}).$$

Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $\mu_{M,D}$ is a spectral measure;
- (ii) $M \in GL(2, 2\mathbb{Z})$;
- (iii) (M, D) is an admissible pair.

Motivated by previous known works, the main purpose of this paper is to extend Theorem 1.2 from self-affine measures to Moran measures. The Moran measure is a generalization of self-affine measures, which has infinite product structure. Throughout the paper, the Moran measure we concern is always determined by the following Moran IFS:

$$f_{n,d}(x) = M_n^{-1}(x + d), \quad d \in D_n, n \geq 1,$$

where $\{M_n\} \subset GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is a sequence of expanding matrices and $\{D_n\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ is a sequence of digit sets of the form:

$$D_n = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{n_1} \\ \alpha_{n_2} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{n_1} \\ \beta_{n_2} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha_{n_1} - \beta_{n_1} \\ -\alpha_{n_2} - \beta_{n_2} \end{pmatrix} \right\} \quad (\alpha_{n_1}\beta_{n_2} - \alpha_{n_2}\beta_{n_1} \notin 2\mathbb{Z}). \quad (1.2)$$

A discrete measure supported on a finite set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is defined by

$$\delta_E = \frac{1}{\#E} \sum_{e \in E} \delta_e$$

where δ_e is the point mass at e . For each integer $n \geq 1$, we write the finite convolution of discrete measures as

$$\mu_n = \delta_{M_1^{-1}D_1} * \delta_{M_1^{-1}M_2^{-1}D_2} * \cdots * \delta_{M_1^{-1}M_2^{-1}\cdots M_n^{-1}D_n}$$

where the notation $*$ stands for the convolution of measures. If the sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ converges weakly to a Borel probability measure, then the weak limit is called the infinite convolution product measure, and denoted by

$$\mu_{\{M_n\}, \{D_n\}} = \delta_{M_1^{-1}D_1} * \delta_{M_1^{-1}M_2^{-1}D_2} * \cdots * \delta_{M_1^{-1}M_2^{-1}\cdots M_n^{-1}D_n} * \cdots \quad (1.3)$$

Such $\mu_{\{M_n\}, \{D_n\}}$ (if exists) is usually called a *Moran measure*. It is known [20] that if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \max_{d \in D_n} \|M_1^{-1}M_2^{-1}\cdots M_n^{-1}d\|_2 < \infty \quad (1.4)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_2$ is the Euclidean norm, then the Moran measure $\mu_{\{M_n\}, \{D_n\}}$ always exists. Define the operator norm $\|A\| = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2}$ of matrix $A \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. The following relationship is trivial but useful:

$$\|Ax\|_2 \leq \|A\| \cdot \|x\|_2.$$

One of our main results is to establish a necessary and sufficient condition for the Moran measure $\mu_{\{M_n\}, \{D_n\}}$ to be a spectral measure.

Theorem 1.3. *Given a sequence of expanding matrices $\{M_n\} \subset GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and a sequence of digit sets $\{D_n\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ defined by (1.2), suppose that $|\det(M_n)| > 4$ for all $n \geq 1$, $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|M_n^{-1}\| < 1$ and $\#\{D_n : n \geq 1\} < \infty$. Then $\mu_{\{M_n\}, \{D_n\}}$ in (1.3) is a spectral measure if and only if $M_n \in GL(2, 2\mathbb{Z})$ for all $n \geq 2$.*

The sufficiency of the theorem is easy to get by using [23, Theorem 1.5]. The necessity is more involved and is obtained by proving the following more general result.

Theorem 1.4. *Given a sequence of expanding matrices $\{M_n\} \subset GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and a sequence of digit sets $\{D_n\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ defined by (1.2), suppose that $|\det(M_n)| \geq 4$ for all $n \geq 1$ and the sequence $\{p_n\}$ is bounded, where $p_n = \alpha_{n_1}\beta_{n_2} - \alpha_{n_2}\beta_{n_1}$. If $\mu_{\{M_n\}, \{D_n\}}$ in (1.3) is a spectral measure, then $M_n \in GL(2, 2\mathbb{Z})$ for all $n \geq 2$.*

In the particular case when $|\det(M_n)| = 4$ for all $n \geq 1$, we also provide a necessary and sufficient condition for a class of special Moran measures to be spectral measures as follows. The idea of the proof is inspired by Wu and Xiao [31].

Theorem 1.5. *Let $\{M_n\} \subset GL(2, 2\mathbb{Z})$ be a sequence of expanding matrices with $|\det(M_n)| = 4$. Let $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ where $m \geq 2$ and $D_n = t_n \mathcal{D}$, $n = 1, \dots, m$, where $t_n \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$ and $\mathcal{D} = \{(0, 0)^t, (1, 0)^t, (0, 1)^t, (-1, -1)^t\}$. Suppose that $1 = t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_m$ are pairwise coprime numbers, then for $\sigma := (\sigma_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \Omega$, the infinite convolution*

$$\mu_{\sigma} = \delta_{M_1^{-1}D_{\sigma_1}} * \delta_{M_1^{-1}M_2^{-1}D_{\sigma_2}} * \dots$$

is a spectral measure if and only if $\sigma \notin \bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} \Sigma_l$, where $\Sigma_l = \{i_1 i_2 \dots i_l j^{\infty} \in \Omega : i_l \neq j, j \neq 1\}$.

It should be pointed out that if $\sigma \in \Sigma_l$ in the above theorem, the infinite convolution μ_{σ} can not be a spectral measure, which is due to the following consequence.

Theorem 1.6. *Given two expanding matrices $M_1 \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, $M_2 \in GL(2, 2\mathbb{Z})$ with $|\det(M_2)| = 4$ and digit sets*

$$D_n = \begin{cases} t_1 \mathcal{D} & \text{if } n = 1 \\ t_2 \mathcal{D} & \text{if } n \geq 2 \end{cases} \quad (t_1, t_2 \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1)$$

with $\mathcal{D} = \{(0, 0)^t, (1, 0)^t, (0, 1)^t, (-1, -1)^t\}$, if $M_n \equiv M_2$ for all $n \geq 2$, then the Moran measure $\mu_{\{M_n\}, \{D_n\}}$ in (1.3) is a spectral measure if and only if $t_2 \mid t_1$.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce fundamental concepts and results on the Fourier transform of Moran measures. In Section 3, we prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.4. In Section 4, we prove Theorems 1.5 and 1.6. Finally, we include some remarks and open questions for further consideration in Section 5.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we will introduce some fundamental concepts and properties related to spectral measures. For a Borel probability measure μ on \mathbb{R}^n , the Fourier transform of μ is defined by

$$\widehat{\mu}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\pi i \langle x, \xi \rangle} d\mu(x), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

By using the iteration rule, the Fourier transform of the self-affine measure $\mu_{M,D}$ in (1.1) can be written as

$$\widehat{\mu}_{M,D}(\xi) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} m_D(M^{*-j}\xi) \quad (2.1)$$

where $m_D(\cdot) = \frac{1}{\#D} \sum_{d \in D} e^{2\pi i \langle d, \cdot \rangle}$, which is named by the mask polynomial of the digit set D . It is obvious that $m_D(\cdot)$ is a \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic function when $D \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Similarly, the Fourier transform of the Moran measure $\mu_{\{M_n\},\{D_n\}}$ in (1.3) is of the form

$$\widehat{\mu}_{\{M_n\},\{D_n\}}(\xi) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} m_{D_j}((M_1^* M_2^* \cdots M_j^*)^{-1}\xi). \quad (2.2)$$

If we let $\mathcal{Z}(f) = \{x : f(x) = 0\}$ denote the zero set of a function f , then it directly follows from (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mu}_{M,D}) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} M^{*j} \mathcal{Z}(m_D)$$

and

$$\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mu}_{\{M_n\},\{D_n\}}) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} M_1^* M_2^* \cdots M_j^* \mathcal{Z}(m_{D_j}). \quad (2.3)$$

Let Λ be a countable subset of \mathbb{R}^n , then $E_\Lambda := \{e^{2\pi i \langle \lambda, x \rangle} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ forms an orthogonal family for $L^2(\mu)$ if and only if $\widehat{\mu}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) = 0$ holds for any $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 \in E_\Lambda$, i.e.,

$$(\Lambda - \Lambda) \setminus \{0\} \subset \mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mu}). \quad (2.4)$$

We call Λ an *orthogonal set* (respectively, *spectrum*) of μ if E_Λ forms an orthogonal family (respectively, Fourier basis) for $L^2(\mu)$. The following lemma indicates that the spectrality of Moran measure in (1.3) is invariant under a similarity transformation. We omit the proof here as it is analogous to [9, Lemma 4.2].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\{D_n\}, \{\widetilde{D}_n\}$ be two sequences of finite digit sets and $\{M_n\}, \{\widetilde{M}_n\}$ be two sequences of expanding matrices. If there exists an invertible matrix Q such that $\widetilde{M}_n = Q M_n Q^{-1}$ and $\widetilde{D}_n = Q D_n$ for all $n \geq 1$, then $\mu_{\{M_n\},\{D_n\}}$ is a spectral measure with spectrum Λ if and only if $\mu_{\{\widetilde{M}_n\},\{\widetilde{D}_n\}}$ is a spectral measure with spectrum $Q^{*-1}\Lambda$.*

Lemma 2.2 ([8]). *Let $M \in GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ be an expanding matrix, and let $D, L \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be two finite digit sets with the same cardinality. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) (M, D, L) is a Hadamard triple;
- (ii) $m_D(M^{*-1}(l_1 - l_2)) = 0$ for any $l_1 \neq l_2 \in L$;
- (iii) $(\delta_{M^{-1}D}, L)$ is a spectral pair.

The next theorem is a basic criterion for an orthogonal set to be a spectrum of a measure. Denote by

$$Q_{\mu,\Lambda}(\xi) := \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |\widehat{\mu}(\xi + \lambda)|^2, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Theorem 2.3 ([15]). *Let μ be a Borel probability measure with compact support on \mathbb{R}^n , and $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ a countable set. Then*

- (i) Λ is an orthogonal set of μ if and only if $Q_{\mu,\Lambda}(\xi) \leq 1$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$;
- (ii) Λ is a spectrum of μ if and only if $Q_{\mu,\Lambda}(\xi) \equiv 1$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

In the proof of Theorem 1.3, we also need the following technical lemma, which was given by Deng and Li [6, Lemma 2.5], so we refer the readers to check it on their own.

Lemma 2.4 ([6]). *Let $p_{i,j}$ be positive numbers such that $\sum_{j=1}^n p_{i,j} = 1$ and $q_{i,j}$ be nonnegative numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^m \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \{q_{i,j}\} \leq 1$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n p_{i,j} q_{i,j} = 1$ if and only if $q_{i,1} = \cdots = q_{i,n}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m q_{i,1} = 1$.*

Let μ be a Borel probability measure on \mathbb{R}^n , we define an *integer periodic zero set* of μ as follows:

$$\mathbf{Z}(\mu) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \widehat{\mu}(\xi + k) = 0 \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}.$$

This concept was first proposed by Dutkay *et al.* [8], which plays an important role in seeking spectral measures. For further analysis, we also need a sequence of measures $\{\nu_n\}$ induced by $\mu_{\{M_n\},\{D_n\}}$ in (1.3). The ν_n 's are defined by

$$\nu_n := \delta_{M_{n+1}^{-1}D_{n+1}} * \delta_{M_{n+1}^{-1}M_{n+2}^{-1}D_{n+2}} * \cdots \quad (2.5)$$

for all $n \geq 1$.

Recently, Miao and Wang [27] gave the following useful condition for the infinite convolution generated by a sequence of admissible pairs to be a spectral measure.

Theorem 2.5 ([27]). *Given a sequence of admissible pairs $\{(M_n, D_n)\}$ on \mathbb{R}^n , suppose that the infinite convolution $\mu_{\{M_n\},\{D_n\}}$ defined by (1.3) exists and satisfies*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|M_1^{-1}M_2^{-1} \cdots M_n^{-1}\| = 0.$$

If there exists a subsequence $\{\nu_{n_j}\}$ given in (2.5) which converges weakly to ν with $\mathbf{Z}(\nu) = \emptyset$, then $\mu_{\{M_n\},\{D_n\}}$ is a spectral measure.

In proving Theorem 1.5, it suffices to verify the condition $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|M_n^{-1}\| < 1$, which is stronger than the above one.

3. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.3 AND 1.4

First of all, we provide several technical lemmas and then use them to prove Theorem 1.4. Secondly, we apply Theorem 1.4 to complete the proof of Theorem 1.3 at the end of this section.

Let $Q_0 = I$, $Q_n := \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{n_1} & \beta_{n_1} \\ \alpha_{n_2} & \beta_{n_2} \end{pmatrix}$, $n \geq 1$, and let

$$\widetilde{M}_n = Q_n^{-1} M_n Q_{n-1}, \quad \mathcal{D} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Since $D_n = Q_n \mathcal{D}$ and $M_1^{-1} \cdots M_n^{-1} D_n = \widetilde{M}_1^{-1} \cdots \widetilde{M}_n^{-1} \mathcal{D}$, we can rewrite the Moran measure $\mu_{\{M_n\}, \{D_n\}}$ defined in (1.3) as the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\{M_n\}, \{D_n\}} &= \delta_{\widetilde{M}_1^{-1} \mathcal{D}} * \delta_{\widetilde{M}_1^{-1} \widetilde{M}_2^{-1} \mathcal{D}} * \cdots * \delta_{\widetilde{M}_1^{-1} \widetilde{M}_2^{-1} \cdots \widetilde{M}_n^{-1} \mathcal{D}} * \cdots \\ &:= \mu_{\{\widetilde{M}_n\}, \mathcal{D}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

For simplicity, we use μ to denote $\mu_{\{\widetilde{M}_n\}, \mathcal{D}}$ in the rest of this section.

According to the assumption of Theorem 1.4, the sequence $\{p_n = \det(Q_n)\}$ is bounded. Hence p_n 's only take a finite number of values. Without loss of generality, we may assume that these values are p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m , and denote by $p = \text{lcm}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m)$.

For $n > 1$, denote by

$$\mathcal{F}_n = \{(\ell_1, \ell_2)^t : \ell_1, \ell_2 \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathring{\mathcal{F}}_n := \mathcal{F}_n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}. \quad (3.2)$$

From the definitions of mask polynomials and zero sets in the preceding section, it is immediate to find the relation

$$\mathcal{Z}(m_{\mathcal{D}}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathring{\mathcal{F}}_2 + \mathbb{Z}^2. \quad (3.3)$$

In the following, we investigate the structure of the spectrum of the Moran measure μ . Let Λ be a spectrum of μ with $\mathbf{0} \in \Lambda$. Then by (2.3), (2.4) and (3.3), we have

$$\Lambda \subset \{\mathbf{0}\} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{M}_1^* \widetilde{M}_2^* \widetilde{M}_3^* \cdots \widetilde{M}_n^* \mathcal{Z}(m_{\mathcal{D}}) \quad \text{and} \quad 2p \widetilde{M}_1^{*-1} \Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^2. \quad (3.4)$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{F}_p \oplus p\mathcal{F}_2$ is a complete residue system of the diagonal matrix $2pI$. Hence for any $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, there exist $s \in \mathcal{F}_p, l \in \mathcal{F}_2$ and $\mathbf{k}' \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that

$$\mathbf{k} = s + pl + 2p\mathbf{k}'. \quad (3.5)$$

By (3.4) and (3.5), the spectrum Λ of μ has the following decomposition:

$$\Lambda = \frac{\widetilde{M}_1^*}{2p} \bigcup_{s \in \mathcal{F}_p} \bigcup_{l \in \mathcal{F}_2} (s + pl + 2p\Lambda_{s,l}) \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$\Lambda_{s,l} = \{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : s + pl + 2p\gamma \in \Lambda\} \quad (3.7)$$

and $s + pl + 2p\Lambda_{s,l} = \emptyset$ if $\Lambda_{s,l} = \emptyset$. In addition, $\Lambda_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}} \neq \emptyset$ since $\mathbf{0} \in \Lambda$.

So far, we give the construction of the spectrum Λ of μ under the assumption that μ is a spectral measure. In the following, we turn to characterize the spectrum of the following Moran measure

$$\mu_1 = \delta_{\widetilde{M}_2^{-1} \mathcal{D}} * \delta_{\widetilde{M}_2^{-1} \widetilde{M}_3^{-1} \mathcal{D}} * \cdots \quad (3.8)$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let Λ be a spectrum of μ with $\mathbf{0} \in \Lambda$. For any $s \in \mathcal{F}_p$, select an $l_s \in \mathcal{F}_2$ and let*

$$\Gamma = \bigcup_{s \in \mathcal{F}_p} \left(\frac{s + pl_s}{2p} + \Lambda_{s, l_s} \right)$$

where Λ_{s, l_s} is as in (3.7). If $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$, then Γ is a spectrum of μ_1 defined by (3.8).

Proof. Our proof is divided into two steps.

Step I. To show that Γ is an orthogonal set of μ_1 .

For any $\varsigma_1 \neq \varsigma_2 \in \Gamma$, it follows from the definition of Γ that there exist $s_k \in \mathcal{F}_p$, $l_{s_k} \in \mathcal{F}_2$ and $\gamma_k \in \Lambda_{s_k, l_{s_k}}$, $k = 1, 2$ such that

$$\varsigma_k = \frac{s_k + pl_{s_k}}{2p} + \gamma_k.$$

Thus, by (2.4) and (3.6), we have $\widetilde{M}_1^*(\varsigma_1 - \varsigma_2) \in (\Lambda - \Lambda) \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} \subset \mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mu})$. Note that $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, the \mathbb{Z}^2 -periodicity of $m_{\mathcal{D}}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \widehat{\mu}(\widetilde{M}_1^*(\varsigma_1 - \varsigma_2)) = m_{\mathcal{D}}(\varsigma_1 - \varsigma_2) \widehat{\mu}_1(\varsigma_1 - \varsigma_2) \\ &= m_{\mathcal{D}} \left(\frac{s_1 - s_2 + p(l_{s_1} - l_{s_2})}{2p} + \gamma_1 - \gamma_2 \right) \widehat{\mu}_1(\varsigma_1 - \varsigma_2) \\ &= m_{\mathcal{D}} \left(\frac{s_1 - s_2}{2p} + \frac{l_{s_1} - l_{s_2}}{2} \right) \widehat{\mu}_1(\varsigma_1 - \varsigma_2). \end{aligned}$$

Once we have $m_{\mathcal{D}} \left(\frac{s_1 - s_2}{2p} + \frac{l_{s_1} - l_{s_2}}{2} \right) \neq 0$, we derive $\widehat{\mu}_1(\varsigma_1 - \varsigma_2) = 0$, which indicates that Γ is an orthogonal set of μ_1 .

Next, we shall verify $m_{\mathcal{D}} \left(\frac{s_1 - s_2}{2p} + \frac{l_{s_1} - l_{s_2}}{2} \right) \neq 0$ by considering the sequel two cases.

Case i: $s_1 = s_2$. Then $l_{s_1} = l_{s_2}$. It is clear that

$$m_{\mathcal{D}} \left(\frac{s_1 - s_2}{2p} + \frac{l_{s_1} - l_{s_2}}{2} \right) = m_{\mathcal{D}}(0) = 1 \neq 0.$$

Case ii: $s_1 \neq s_2$. Assume conversely that $m_{\mathcal{D}} \left(\frac{s_1 - s_2}{2p} + \frac{l_{s_1} - l_{s_2}}{2} \right) = 0$. Then

$$\frac{s_1 - s_2}{2p} + \frac{l_{s_1} - l_{s_2}}{2} \in \mathcal{Z}(m_{\mathcal{D}}) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus 2\mathbb{Z}^2).$$

It yields that $s_1 - s_2 \in p\mathbb{Z}^2$, which is impossible as $s_1, s_2 \in \mathcal{F}_p$.

Step II. To show that Γ is a spectrum of μ_1 .

Firstly, it is easy to verify that the triple $(2I, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}_2)$ is a Hadamard triple by Lemma 2.2 (ii). For fixed $s \in \mathcal{F}_p$, it follows from Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 (ii) that

$$\sum_{l \in \mathcal{F}_2} \left| m_{\mathcal{D}} \left(\frac{\xi + s + pl}{2p} \right) \right|^2 = 1 \quad (3.9)$$

holds for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Because Λ is a spectrum of μ and $\Lambda_{s,l} \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$, using Theorem 2.3(ii), \mathbb{Z}^2 -periodicity of $m_{\mathcal{D}}$, (3.6) and (3.9), we can get, for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathbb{Q}^2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &\equiv \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |\widehat{\mu}(\widetilde{M}_1^* \xi + \lambda)|^2 \\
&= \sum_{s \in \mathcal{F}_p} \sum_{l \in \mathcal{F}_2} \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda_{s,l}} \left| \widehat{\mu} \left(\widetilde{M}_1^* \xi + \frac{\widetilde{M}_1^*(s + pl + 2p\gamma)}{2p} \right) \right|^2 \\
&= \sum_{s \in \mathcal{F}_p} \sum_{l \in \mathcal{F}_2} \left| m_{\mathcal{D}} \left(\frac{\xi + s + pl}{2p} \right) \right|^2 \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda_{s,l}} \left| \widehat{\mu}_1 \left(\frac{\xi + s + pl}{2p} + \gamma \right) \right|^2. \tag{3.10}
\end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$p_{s,l} = \left| m_{\mathcal{D}} \left(\frac{\xi + s + pl}{2p} \right) \right|^2$$

and

$$q_{s,l} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda_{s,l}} \left| \widehat{\mu}_1 \left(\frac{\xi + s + pl}{2p} + \gamma \right) \right|^2 \geq 0.$$

Clearly $p_{s,l} > 0$ as $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathbb{Q}^2$ and (3.3). Thus (3.10) becomes

$$\sum_{s \in \mathcal{F}_p} \sum_{l \in \mathcal{F}_2} p_{s,l} q_{s,l} = 1. \tag{3.11}$$

By (3.9), we have $\sum_{l \in \mathcal{F}_2} p_{s,l} = 1$. Furthermore, since Γ is an orthogonal set of μ_1 , it follows from Theorem 2.3 (i) that $\sum_{s \in \mathcal{F}_p} \max_{l \in \mathcal{F}_2} \{q_{s,l}\} \leq 1$. Combining (3.11) and Lemma 2.4, it concludes that

$$\sum_{s \in \mathcal{F}_p} \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda_{s,l^*}} \left| \widehat{\mu}_1 \left(\frac{\xi + s + pl^*}{2p} + \gamma \right) \right|^2 = 1, \quad l^* \in \mathcal{F}_2 \tag{3.12}$$

and

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda_{s,l_1}} \left| \widehat{\mu}_1 \left(\frac{\xi + s + pl_1}{2p} + \gamma \right) \right|^2 = \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda_{s,l_2}} \left| \widehat{\mu}_1 \left(\frac{\xi + s + pl_2}{2p} + \gamma \right) \right|^2 \tag{3.13}$$

for any $s \in \mathcal{F}_p$ and $l_1, l_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2$.

By the continuity of Fourier transform, (3.12) and (3.13) hold for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$. According to Theorem 2.3 (ii), Γ is a spectrum of μ_1 for any group $\{l_s\}_{s \in \mathcal{F}_p}$. We complete the proof of the lemma. \square

Remark 3.2. For $n \geq 1$, let

$$\mu_n = \delta_{\widetilde{M}_{n+1}^{-1} \mathcal{D}} * \delta_{\widetilde{M}_{n+1}^{-1} \widetilde{M}_{n+2}^{-1} \mathcal{D}} * \cdots.$$

By repeatedly using Lemma 3.1, we actually obtain that if μ is a spectral measure, then μ_n is a spectral measure for each $n \geq 1$.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Suppose that μ is a spectral measure with spectrum Λ and $\mathbf{0} \in \Lambda$. Firstly, we construct a spectrum Γ of μ_1 in (3.8). For any $s \in \mathcal{F}_p$, we choose $l_s \in \mathcal{F}_2$ such that $s + pl_s \in 2\mathbb{Z}^2$, then Lemma 3.1 shows that

$$\Gamma = \bigcup_{s \in \mathcal{F}_p} \left(\frac{s + pl_s}{2p} + \Lambda_{s, l_s} \right)$$

is a spectrum of μ_1 and $\mathbf{0} \in \Gamma$. By (3.6), the spectrum Γ can also be decomposed as the following form:

$$\Gamma = \frac{\widetilde{M}_2^*}{2p} \bigcup_{s' \in \mathcal{F}_p} \bigcup_{l' \in \mathcal{F}_2} (s' + pl' + 2p\Lambda'_{s', l'}). \quad (3.14)$$

Using (3.13) to μ_2 , we conclude that $\Lambda'_{\mathbf{0}, l'} \neq \emptyset$ for all $l' \in \mathcal{F}_2$ since $\Lambda'_{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}} \neq \emptyset$. For any $l' \in \mathcal{F}_2$, choose an element $z'_{l'} \in \Lambda'_{\mathbf{0}, l'}$, then (3.14) implies that there exist $s \in \mathcal{F}_p$, $l_s \in \mathcal{F}_2$ and $z \in \Lambda_{s, l_s}$ such that

$$s + pl_s + 2pz = \widetilde{M}_2^*(pl' + 2pz'_{l'}) = Q_1^* M_2^* Q_2^{*-1}(pl' + 2pz'_{l'}). \quad (3.15)$$

Note that $\det(Q_2) \mid p$ and $s + pl_s \in 2\mathbb{Z}^2$, it follows from (3.15) that for any $l' \in \mathcal{F}_2$,

$$Q_1^* M_2^* Q_2^{*-1} pl' = (s + pl_s + 2pz) - Q_1^* M_2^* Q_2^{*-1} 2pz'_{l'} \in 2\mathbb{Z}^2.$$

Write $B_2 := pQ_1^* M_2^* Q_2^{*-1}$. It can be verified easily that $B_2(1, 0)^t, B_2(0, 1)^t, B_2(1, 1)^t$ all lie in $2\mathbb{Z}^2$. Hence $B_2 \in GL(2, 2\mathbb{Z})$, and $p \det(Q_1^*) M_2^* = \det(Q_1^*) Q_1^{*-1} B_2 Q_2^* \in GL(2, 2\mathbb{Z})$. As $p \det(Q_1^*) \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$, we prove that $M_2 \in GL(2, 2\mathbb{Z})$. Repeating the similar argument, we further have $M_n \in GL(2, 2\mathbb{Z})$ for any $n > 2$. That finishes the proof of Theorem 1.4. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. The necessity is due to Theorem 1.4. Now we show the sufficiency. From the assumption: $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|M_n^{-1}\| < 1$ and $\#\{D_n : n \geq 1\} < \infty$, it follows that $\sup_{n \geq 1} \sup_{d \in D_n} \|M_n^{-1} d\|_2 < \infty$. Moreover, as $M_n \in GL(2, 2\mathbb{Z})$ for any $n \geq 2$, Theorem 1.2 implies that $\{(M_n, D_n)\}_{n=2}^\infty$ forms a sequence of admissible pairs. On the other hand, by [5, Lemma 2.6], the spectrality of the Moran measure $\mu_{\{M_n\}, \{D_n\}}$ is independent of the choice of M_1 . Therefore, we can take $M_1 = 4I$, which forces (M_1, D_1) to be an admissible pair as well by Theorem 1.2. Consequently, the sufficiency follows from [23, Theorem 1.5]. \square

4. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.5 AND 1.6

The proof scheme of Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.6 is as follows: Firstly, we give some basic lemmas for proving the sufficiency of Theorem 1.5 and then prove Theorem 1.6; finally we prove Theorem 1.5.

Recall the assumptions of Theorem 1.5. $D_n = t_n \mathcal{D}, n = 1, \dots, m$ where $t_n \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1, \mathcal{D} = \{(0, 0)^t, (1, 0)^t, (0, 1)^t, (-1, -1)^t\}$, and $1 = t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_m$ are pairwise coprime numbers. $\{M_n\} \subset GL(2, 2\mathbb{Z})$ is a sequence of expanding matrices

with $|\det(M_n)| = 4$. It is easy to verify that the moduli of two eigenvalues of every M_n are both equal to 2. Hence $\iota := \sup_{n \geq 1} \|M_n^{-1}\| = 1/2 < 1$. Let

$$\gamma = \max\{\|d\|_2 : d \in D_n, 1 \leq n \leq m\} < \infty.$$

For any $\sigma = (\sigma_k)_{k=1}^\infty \in \Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}^\mathbb{N}$, the infinite convolution μ_σ is

$$\mu_\sigma = \delta_{M_1^{-1}D_{\sigma_1}} * \delta_{M_1^{-1}M_2^{-1}D_{\sigma_2}} * \cdots. \quad (4.1)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|M_1^{-1}M_2^{-1} \cdots M_n^{-1}d_{\sigma_n}\|_2 &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|M_1^{-1}\| \cdot \|M_2^{-1}\| \cdots \|M_n^{-1}\| \cdot \|d_{\sigma_n}\|_2 \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma \iota^n = \frac{\gamma \iota}{1 - \iota} = \gamma < \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

it follows from (1.4) that μ_σ exists and has a compact support denoted by $\text{spt}(\mu_\sigma)$.

We define a metric d on the symbol space Ω by $d(\sigma, \tau) = 2^{-\min\{n \geq 1: \sigma_n \neq \tau_n\}}$ where $\sigma = (\sigma_n)_{n=1}^\infty, \tau = (\tau_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in \Omega$. Trivially, (Ω, d) is a compact metric space. We say that a sequence $\{\sigma(j)\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \Omega$ converges to $\tau \in \Omega$, if for each $n \geq 1$, there exists $j_0 \geq 1$ such that for all $j \geq j_0$,

$$\sigma_1(j)\sigma_2(j) \cdots \sigma_n(j) = \tau_1\tau_2 \cdots \tau_n.$$

The convergence of sequences in Ω yields the weak convergence of the sequences of the corresponding measures due to the following result. The proof is analogous to [21, Lemma 5.1].

Lemma 4.1. *Given a sequence $\{\sigma(j)\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \Omega$ and $\tau \in \Omega$. If $\{\sigma(j)\}_{j=1}^\infty$ converges to τ , then $\{\mu_{\sigma(j)}\}_{j=1}^\infty$ defined by (4.1) converges weakly to μ_τ .*

Proof. For $n \geq 1$ and $\sigma \in \Omega$, we define

$$\mu_{\sigma,n} = \delta_{M_1^{-1}D_{\sigma_1}} * \delta_{M_1^{-1}M_2^{-1}D_{\sigma_2}} * \cdots * \delta_{M_1^{-1} \cdots M_n^{-1}D_{\sigma_n}}$$

and

$$\mu_{\sigma,>n} = \delta_{M_1^{-1} \cdots M_{n+1}^{-1}D_{\sigma_{n+1}}} * \delta_{M_1^{-1} \cdots M_{n+2}^{-1}D_{\sigma_{n+2}}} * \cdots.$$

It is clear that $\mu_\sigma = \mu_{\sigma,n} * \mu_{\sigma,>n}$. Let $B(x, r)$ denote the closed ball with center $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and radius r . From (4.2), it can be seen that the supports of the measures satisfy

$$\text{spt}(\mu_{\sigma,n}) \subset B(\mathbf{0}, \gamma), \quad \text{spt}(\mu_{\sigma,>n}) \subset B(\mathbf{0}, \frac{\gamma}{2^n}).$$

Let f be any bounded continuous function on \mathbb{R}^2 , then f is uniformly continuous on the closed ball $B(\mathbf{0}, \frac{\gamma}{2^n})$. Thus, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in B(\mathbf{0}, \frac{\gamma}{2^n})$, we have

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon \quad \text{provided} \quad \|x - y\|_2 < \delta.$$

Take a sufficiently large n_0 such that $\frac{\gamma}{2^{n_0}} < \frac{\delta}{2}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x) d\mu_\sigma(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x) d\mu_{\sigma,n} * \mu_{\sigma,>n}(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} f(x+y) d\mu_{\sigma,n} \times \mu_{\sigma,>n}(x,y) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x+y) d\mu_{\sigma,>n}(y) d\mu_{\sigma,n}(x) \end{aligned}$$

holds for any $\sigma \in \Omega$ and $n \geq 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x) d\mu_\sigma(x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x) d\mu_{\sigma,n_0}(x) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (f(x+y) - f(x)) d\mu_{\sigma,>n_0}(y) d\mu_{\sigma,n_0}(x) \right| \\ &\leq \int_{B(\mathbf{0},\gamma)} \int_{B(\mathbf{0},\frac{\gamma}{2^{n_0}})} |f(x+y) - f(x)| d\mu_{\sigma,>n_0}(y) d\mu_{\sigma,n_0}(x) \\ &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Because $\{\sigma(j)\}_{j=1}^\infty$ converges to τ , for any $n \geq 1$, there exists $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $j \geq j_0$, we have $\sigma_1(j)\sigma_2(j) \cdots \sigma_n(j) = \tau_1\tau_2 \cdots \tau_n$. Hence $\mu_{\sigma(j),n} = \mu_{\tau,n}$. Therefore, it induces that, for $j \geq j_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f d\mu_{\sigma(j)} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f d\mu_\tau \right| &\leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f d\mu_{\sigma(j)} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f d\mu_{\sigma(j),n_0} \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f d\mu_\tau - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f d\mu_{\tau,n_0} \right| \\ &< 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $\{\mu_{\sigma(j)}\}_{j=1}^\infty$ converges weakly to μ_τ . \square

Next, we will consider the situation when the integral periodic zero set of μ_σ is an empty set.

Lemma 4.2. *Let μ_σ be defined by (4.1). Then the integral periodic zero set $\mathbf{Z}(\mu_\sigma) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $t_{\sigma_i} \equiv t \neq 1$ for all $i \geq 1$.*

Proof. We first prove the sufficiency. Suppose $t_{\sigma_i} \equiv t \neq 1$ for all $i \geq 1$, then

$$\mu_\sigma = \delta_{M_1^{-1}t\mathcal{D}} * \delta_{M_1^{-1}M_2^{-1}t\mathcal{D}} * \cdots * \delta_{M_1^{-1}M_2^{-1}\cdots M_n^{-1}t\mathcal{D}} * \cdots.$$

Since $M_n \in GL(2, 2\mathbb{Z})$ and $|\det(M_n)| = 4$, it follows that $M_n^* = 2\bar{M}_n$ with $\bar{M}_n \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and $|\det(\bar{M}_n)| = 1$. Obviously, $\bar{M}_n\mathbb{Z}^2 = \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $\bar{M}_n(2\mathbb{Z}^2) = 2\bar{M}_n\mathbb{Z}^2 = 2\mathbb{Z}^2$

for any $n \geq 1$. Then by (2.3), one may obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mu}_\sigma) &= \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} M_1^* M_2^* \cdots M_j^* \frac{1}{2t} (\mathring{\mathcal{F}}_2 + \mathbb{Z}^2) \\
&= \frac{1}{t} \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j-1} \bar{M}_1^* \bar{M}_2^* \cdots \bar{M}_j^* (\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus 2\mathbb{Z}^2) \\
&= \frac{1}{t} \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j-1} (\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus 2\mathbb{Z}^2) \\
&= \frac{1}{t} (\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

For any $\mathbf{0} \neq \alpha \in \mathcal{F}_{|t|}$, by (4.3), we have $\widehat{\mu}(\frac{\alpha}{t} + k) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. This shows $\frac{1}{t} \mathring{\mathcal{F}}_{|t|} \subset \mathbf{Z}(\mu_\sigma) \neq \emptyset$.

Now we prove the necessity. Suppose that $\mathbf{Z}(\mu_\sigma) \neq \emptyset$, then there exists $\xi_0 \in \mathbf{Z}(\mu_\sigma)$ such that $\widehat{\mu}_\sigma(\xi_0 + k) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. It is clear that $\xi_0 \notin \mathbb{Z}^2$ since $\widehat{\mu}_\sigma(\xi_0 - \xi_0) = \widehat{\mu}_\sigma(\mathbf{0}) = 1 \neq 0$. By (2.3) and (4.3), we have

$$\xi_0 + \mathbb{Z}^2 \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} M_1^* M_2^* \cdots M_j^* \frac{1}{2t_{\sigma_j}} (\mathring{\mathcal{F}}_2 + \mathbb{Z}^2) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{j-1}}{t_{\sigma_j}} (\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus 2\mathbb{Z}^2). \tag{4.4}$$

Claim: There exists $1 \neq t^* \in \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$ such that $\xi_0 + \mathbb{Z}^2 \subset \frac{\mathbb{Z}^2}{t^*}$.

Obviously, $t^* \neq 1$ since $\xi_0 \notin \mathbb{Z}^2$. Noticing $t_{\sigma_j} \in \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$, by (4.4), we have

$$\xi_0 + \mathbb{Z}^2 \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^m \frac{\mathbb{Z}^2}{t_j}.$$

We prove the **Claim** by contradiction. Suppose there exist $k_i, k'_i, k_j, k'_j \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that

$$\xi_0 + k_i = \frac{k'_i}{t_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_0 + k_j = \frac{k'_j}{t_j}$$

for some $t_i \neq t_j$, then $\frac{t_j}{t_i} k'_i = k'_j + t_j(k_i - k_j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. That contradicts $\gcd(t_i, t_j) = 1$ and $\frac{k'_i}{t_i} \notin \mathbb{Z}^2$ (because $\xi_0 \notin \mathbb{Z}^2$). Hence $\xi_0 + \mathbb{Z}^2 \subset \frac{\mathbb{Z}^2}{t^*}$ for some $t^* \in \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$.

Let $A := \{j : t_{\sigma_j} = t^*\}$. If there exists $j_0 \geq 1$ such that $t_{\sigma_{j_0}} \neq t^*$, it follows from **Claim** and (4.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_0 + \mathbb{Z}^2 &\subset \bigcup_{j \in A} \frac{2^{j-1}}{t_{\sigma_j}} (\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus 2\mathbb{Z}^2) \\
&\subset \frac{1}{t^*} \bigcup_{j=1, j \neq j_0}^{\infty} 2^{j-1} (\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus 2\mathbb{Z}^2) \\
&\subset \frac{1}{t^*} (\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus 2^{j_0-1} (\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus 2\mathbb{Z}^2)).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Let $t^* = (2^{r_0 l} + 1)$ and $t^* \xi_0 := 2^{s_0} v_0$, where $l \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1, v_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus 2\mathbb{Z}^2$. We consider the following two cases:

(i) If $s_0 = 0$, define $v^* := v_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus 2\mathbb{Z}^2$. Let m be a sufficiently large positive integer such that $t^{*2^m} = (2^{r_0 l} + 1)^{2^m} = (2^N L + 1)$ with $N > j_0$, and let $t' = (2^{j_0 - 1} - 1)$. It is easy to get that $t^{*2^m} t' = 2^{j_0 - 1} \theta - 1$ for some $\theta \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$. Hence, by (4.5), we get

$$2^{j_0 - 1} \theta v^* = t^* \xi_0 + t^{*2^m} t' v^* \subset t^* \xi_0 + t^* \mathbb{Z}^2 \subset \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus 2^{j_0 - 1} (\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus 2\mathbb{Z}^2).$$

This is a contradiction since $\theta v^* \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus 2\mathbb{Z}^2$. Therefore, $t_{\sigma_i} = t_{\sigma_j}$ for all i, j .

(ii) If $s_0 \neq 0$, define $v^* := 2^{s_0} v_0 + t^* v_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus 2\mathbb{Z}^2$, then $t^* \xi_0 + t^* \mathbb{Z}^2 = v^* + t^* \mathbb{Z}^2$. Similar to the case (i), we can also show that $t_{\sigma_i} = t_{\sigma_j}$ for all i, j .

Combining (i) and (ii), we conclude that $t_{\sigma_i} \equiv t \neq 1$ for all $i \geq 1$. So we complete the proof. \square

At the final part of this section, we first prove Theorem 1.6, then prove Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. For the sufficiency. Suppose that $t_2 \mid t_1$, then we take $r = \frac{t_1}{t_2} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let $\tilde{D}_n = \frac{1}{t_2} D_n$ for $n \geq 1$. By Lemma 2.1, we know that $\mu_{\{M_n\}, \{D_n\}}$ is a spectral measure if and only if

$$\mu_{\{M_n\}, \{\tilde{D}_n\}} = \delta_{M_1^{-1} r \mathcal{D}} * \delta_{M_1^{-1} M_2^{-1} \mathcal{D}} * \delta_{M_1^{-1} M_2^{-2} \mathcal{D}} * \cdots = \delta_{M_1^{-1} \tilde{D}_1} * v_1 \circ M_1$$

is a spectral measure, where v_1 is defined by (2.5).

Since $M_2 \in GL(2, 2\mathbb{Z})$, the measure v_1 is a spectral measure by Theorem 1.2. We claim that \mathbb{Z}^2 is the unique spectrum of v_1 containing $\mathbf{0}$. By (4.3), it is easy to see that $\mathcal{Z}(\hat{v}_1) = \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Let Γ be a spectrum of v_1 with $\mathbf{0} \in \Gamma$, then we know $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{Z}(\hat{v}_1) \cup \{\mathbf{0}\} = \mathbb{Z}^2$ by (2.4). If $\Gamma \neq \mathbb{Z}^2$, then $a \perp \Gamma$ holds for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \Gamma$. This is a contradiction, thus the claim follows.

Let $L = \frac{1}{2} M_1^* \{(0, 0)^t, (1, 0)^t, (0, 1)^t, (1, 1)^t\}$, then $(M_1, r\mathcal{D}, L)$ is a Hadamard triple. Now we construct a set $\Lambda = L + M_1^* \mathbb{Z}^2$. For any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we apply Theorem 2.3 (ii) to get

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\mu_{\{M_n\}, \{\tilde{D}_n\}}, \Lambda}(\xi) &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \left| \hat{\mu}_{\{M_n\}, \{\tilde{D}_n\}}(\xi + \lambda) \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{l \in L} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \left| \hat{\mu}_{\{M_n\}, \{\tilde{D}_n\}}(\xi + l + M_1^* k) \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{l \in L} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \left| m_{r\mathcal{D}}(M_1^{*-1}(\xi + l) + k) \right|^2 \left| \hat{v}_1(M_1^{*-1}(\xi + l) + k) \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{l \in L} \left| m_{r\mathcal{D}}(M_1^{*-1}(\xi + l)) \right|^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \left| \hat{v}_1(M_1^{*-1}(\xi + l) + k) \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{l \in L} \left| m_{r\mathcal{D}}(M_1^{*-1}(\xi + l)) \right|^2 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mu_{\{M_n\}, \{\tilde{D}_n\}}$ is a spectral measure. The sufficiency is proved.

For the necessity. Assume that $\mu_{\{M_n\},\{D_n\}}$ is a spectral measure. Analogous to (3.1), $\mu_{\{M_n\},\{D_n\}} = \mu_{\{\widetilde{M}_n\},\mathcal{D}}$, where $\widetilde{M}_1 = \frac{1}{t_1}M_1$, $\widetilde{M}_2 = \frac{t_1}{t_2}M_2$ and $\widetilde{M}_n = M_2$, $n \geq 3$. Let $p = \text{lcm}(t_1, t_2)$ and Λ containing $\mathbf{0}$ be a spectrum of $\mu_{\{\widetilde{M}_n\},\mathcal{D}}$. For any $\mathbf{0} \neq s \in \mathcal{F}_p$, choose $l_s \in \mathcal{F}_2$ such that $s + pl_s \notin 2\mathbb{Z}^2$ and $l_{\mathbf{0}} = \mathbf{0}$. It follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$\Gamma = \bigcup_{s \in \mathcal{F}_p} \left(\frac{s + pl_s}{2p} + \Lambda_{s,l_s} \right)$$

is a spectrum of μ_1 , where Λ_{s,l_s} and μ_1 are defined by (3.7) and (3.8), respectively. By (2.3), we have

$$\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mu}_1) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{M}_2^* \cdots \widetilde{M}_j^* \mathcal{Z}(m_{\mathcal{D}}) = \frac{t_1}{t_2} \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} M_2^{*j} \mathcal{Z}(m_{\mathcal{D}}) = \frac{t_1}{t_2} (\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}).$$

It is easy to show that $\frac{t_1}{t_2}\mathbb{Z}^2$ is the unique spectrum of μ_1 containing $\mathbf{0}$. This implies that

$$\frac{t_1}{t_2}\mathbb{Z}^2 = \bigcup_{s \in \mathcal{F}_p} \left(\frac{s + pl_s}{2p} + \Lambda_{s,l_s} \right).$$

Combining $s + pl_s \notin 2\mathbb{Z}^2$ for $s \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $t_1, t_2 \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$, it yields that $\Lambda_{s,l_s} = \emptyset$ for all $s \neq \mathbf{0}$. Hence

$$\frac{t_1}{t_2}\mathbb{Z}^2 = \Lambda_{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}} \subset \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

Therefore, $t_2 \mid t_1$, and the necessity is proved. \square

Corollary 4.3. *Given an integer $m \geq 2$ and finitely many expanding matrices $\{M_n\}_{n=1}^m \subset GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ with $|\det(M_n)| = 4$. For $n \geq m$, let $M_n \equiv M_m$ and let digit sets*

$$D_n = \begin{cases} t_n \mathcal{D} & 1 \leq n \leq m-1 \\ t_m \mathcal{D} & n \geq m \end{cases} \quad (t_n \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1, n = 1, 2, \dots, m.)$$

with $\mathcal{D} = \{(0, 0)^t, (1, 0)^t, (0, 1)^t, (-1, -1)^t\}$. If $\mu_{\{M_n\},\{D_n\}}$ defined by (1.3) is a spectral measure, then $t_m \mid t_{m-1}$.

Proof. If $\mu_{\{M_n\},\{D_n\}}$ is a spectral measure, from Theorem 1.4, we have that $M_n \in GL(2, 2\mathbb{Z})$ for all $n \geq 2$. Therefore, together with Remark 3.2, the proof of the necessity of Theorem 1.6 also yields the corollary directly. \square

Finally, we give the proof of Theorem 1.5 which is inspired by Wu and Xiao [31]. For $\sigma = (\sigma_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \Omega$, we define the shift operator

$$\varrho^n(\sigma) = \sigma_{n+1}\sigma_{n+2}\sigma_{n+3}\cdots$$

and let

$$v_{\sigma,n} = \delta_{M_{n+1}^{-1}D_{\sigma_{n+1}}} * \delta_{M_{n+1}^{-1}M_{n+2}^{-1}D_{\sigma_{n+2}}} * \cdots$$

for convenience.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We first show the sufficiency. Since $\sigma \notin \cup_{l=1}^{\infty} \Sigma_l$, where $\Sigma_l = \{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_l j^\infty \in \Omega : i_l \neq j, j \neq 1\}$, we only need to consider the following two cases.

Case i: There exists an infinite subsequence $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\sigma_{n_k} = 1$ for all k . It is clear that $\{\varrho^{n_k-1}(\sigma)\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset \Omega$ and for all $k \geq 1$ there exists $\tau_k \in \Omega$ such that $\varrho^{n_k-1}(\sigma) = 1\tau_k$. Due to the compactness of Ω , there must exist a subsequence $\{k_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ and $\tilde{\tau} \in \Omega$ such that $\{\varrho^{n_{k_j}-1}(\sigma)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ converges to $\tau = 1\tilde{\tau}$. Since $\{v_{\sigma, n_{k_j}-1}\} = \{\mu_{\varrho^{n_{k_j}-1}(\sigma)}\}$, we know that $v_{\sigma, n_{k_j}-1}$ converges weakly to μ_τ and $\mathbf{Z}(\mu_\tau) = \emptyset$ by Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2. Thus μ_σ is a spectral measure by Theorem 2.5.

Case ii: There exist $j_1 \neq j_2 \in \{2, 3, \dots, m\}$ such that j_1 and j_2 appear infinitely many times in the expression of $\sigma = (\sigma_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$. In ascending order, we let

$$\{l_1^{(i)}, l_2^{(i)}, l_3^{(i)}, \dots\} = \{n \geq 1 : \sigma_n = j_i\}$$

where $i = 1, 2$. According to the convergence and divergence of sequences, this case can be considered from two aspects.

On the one hand, if $\overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} (l_{k+1}^{(i)} - l_k^{(i)}) < \infty$ for all $i = 1, 2$. Since Ω is a compact space and $\{\varrho^k(\sigma)\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset \Omega$, there exist a subsequence $\{k_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ and $\eta \in \Omega$ such that $\{\varrho^{k_j}(\sigma)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ converges to η and j_1, j_2 also appear infinitely many times in η . Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 yield that v_{σ, k_j} converges weakly to μ_η with $\mathbf{Z}(\mu_\eta) = \emptyset$. Therefore, μ_σ is a spectral measure by Theorem 2.5.

On the other hand, if $\overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} (l_{k+1}^{(i)} - l_k^{(i)}) = \infty$ for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $i = 1$. Then there exists a subsequence $\{k_s\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} (l_{k_s+1}^{(1)} - l_{k_s}^{(1)}) = \infty$. In this situation, there is some $\zeta_s \in \Omega$ such that $\varrho^{l_{k_s}^{(1)}-1}(\sigma) = j_1 \zeta_s$ for all $s \geq 1$. Similarly, there exist a subsequence $\{\tilde{k}_s\}_{s=1}^{\infty} \subset \{k_s\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$ and $\omega = j_1 \zeta, \zeta \in \{1, 2, \dots, j_1 - 1, j_1 + 1, \dots, m\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\varrho^{\tilde{k}_s^{(1)}-1}(\sigma)$ converges to ω . So we get $v_{\sigma, \tilde{k}_s-1}$ converges weakly to μ_ω with $\mathbf{Z}(\mu_\omega) = \emptyset$ by Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2. Therefore, the desired result follows by Theorem 2.5.

Finally, we show the necessity. It suffices to show that if $\sigma \in \cup_{l=1}^{\infty} \Sigma_l$, then μ_σ is not a spectral measure. Under the assumption, there exists some $l_1 \geq 1$ such that $\sigma \in \Sigma_{l_1} = \{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{l_1} j^\infty : i_{l_1} \neq j, j \neq 1\}$. Since $\gcd(t_{\sigma_{l_1}}, t_{\sigma_{l_1+1}}) = 1$ and $t_{\sigma_{l_1+1}} \neq 1$, it concludes that μ_σ is not a spectral measure by Corollary 4.3.

The proof of Theorem 1.5 is finished. \square

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In the final section, we raise some remarks and open questions that deserve further consideration. Theorem 1.4 in this paper only concerned the case that $\alpha_{n_1} \beta_{n_2} - \alpha_{n_2} \beta_{n_1} \notin 2\mathbb{Z}$, actually, the spectrality of $\mu_{\{M_n\}, \{D_n\}}$ may be more interesting if we allow $\alpha_{n_1} \beta_{n_2} - \alpha_{n_2} \beta_{n_1} \in 2\mathbb{Z}$.

For example, let $M_{2k-1} = 4I$, $M_{2k} = 3I$ and $D_{2k-1} = 2\mathcal{D}$, $D_{2k} = \mathcal{D}$, $k \geq 1$, where

$$\mathcal{D} = \{(0, 0)^t, (1, 0)^t, (0, 1)^t, (-1, -1)^t\}.$$

Then the Moran measure $\mu_{\{M_n\}, \{D_n\}}$ is of the form

$$\mu_{\{M_n\}, \{D_n\}} = \delta_{\frac{\mathcal{D}}{2}} * \delta_{\frac{\mathcal{D}}{12}} * \delta_{\frac{\mathcal{D}}{24}} * \delta_{\frac{\mathcal{D}}{144}} * \cdots$$

which is equal to the following self-affine measure $\mu_{M,D}$ by merging every two consecutive terms:

$$\mu_{M,D} := \delta_{\frac{\mathcal{D}+6\mathcal{D}}{12}} * \delta_{\frac{\mathcal{D}+6\mathcal{D}}{144}} * \cdots$$

where $M = 12I$, $D = \mathcal{D} + 6\mathcal{D}$. It can be verified easily that

$$\frac{1}{4}\mathring{\mathcal{F}}_4 \subset \mathcal{Z}(m_D) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\mathring{\mathcal{F}}_2 + \mathbb{Z}^2\right) \cup \frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathring{\mathcal{F}}_2 + \mathbb{Z}^2\right)$$

where $\mathring{\mathcal{F}}_n$ is defined in (3.2). Then $L := M^*\mathring{\mathcal{F}}_4 \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ and (M, D, L) forms a Hadamard triple. Therefore, $\mu_{M,D} = \mu_{\{M_n\}, \{D_n\}}$ is a spectral measure by Dutkay *et al.* [8].

More generally, if we let

$$M_{2k-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 \end{pmatrix}, M_{2k} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, D_{2k-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{D}, D_{2k} = \mathcal{D} \quad \text{for } k \geq 1,$$

then $\mu_{\{M_n\}, \{D_n\}} = \mu_{12I, \mathcal{D}+6\mathcal{D}}$ is also a spectral measure. Hence one may ask:

Question 5.1. *For the Moran measure $\mu_{\{M_n\}, \{D_n\}}$ as in Theorem 1.4, if we remove the condition $\alpha_{n_1}\beta_{n_2} - \alpha_{n_2}\beta_{n_1} \notin 2\mathbb{Z}$, can we find a suitable necessary and sufficient condition for $\mu_{\{M_n\}, \{D_n\}}$ to be a spectral measure?*

In [6], Deng and Li considered the Moran measure $\mu_{\{p_n\}, \{D_n\}}$ on \mathbb{R} , where $D_n = \{0, d_n\}$ and p_n, d_n are integers satisfying $|p_n| > |d_n| > 0$ and $\{d_n\}$ is bounded. They shown that $\mu_{\{p_n\}, \{D_n\}}$ is a spectral measure if and only if the numbers of factor 2 in the sequence $\{\frac{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n}{2d_n}\}$ are different. However, on \mathbb{R}^2 , the situation becomes more complicated and difficult to deal with.

Recently, Wu [30] provided a necessary and sufficient condition for the spectrality of the self-similar measure on \mathbb{R} generated by alternative contraction ratios. Luo and Mao [26] further investigated a class of Moran measures with alternative contraction ratios and extended the main result of [1]. In the present setting, it is also a good attempt to study the similar extension in higher dimensions.

In Theorem 1.5, we assumed that $|\det(M_n)| = 4$ and $D_n = t_n\mathcal{D}$ for all $n \geq 1$. In this case, the associated Moran set

$$T = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_1^{-1} M_2^{-1} \cdots M_n^{-1} D_n$$

may have positive Lebesgue measure. It is interesting to consider Fuglede's spectral set conjecture on the T . See, e.g., [22].

Furthermore, for the general digit sets $\{D_n\}$ as in (1.2), it is still worth exploring the related spectral problem of $\mu_{\{M_n\}, \{D_n\}}$ when $|\det(M_n)| = 4$ for all $n \geq 1$.

REFERENCES

- [1] L.X. An, X.G. He, A class of spectral Moran measures, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **266** (2014), 343-354.
- [2] M.L. Chen, J.C. Liu, The cardinality of orthogonal exponentials of planar self-affine measures with three-element digit sets, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **277** (2019), 135-156.
- [3] M.L. Chen, J.C. Liu, Z.Y. Wang, Fourier bases of a class of planar self-affine measures, *Pac. J. Math.*, **327**(1) (2024), 55-81.
- [4] X.R. Dai, X.G. He, K.S. Lau, On spectral N-Bernoulli measures, *Adv. Math.*, **259** (2014), 511-531.
- [5] Q.R. Deng, X.G. He, M.T. Li, Y.L. Ye, The orthogonal bases of exponential functions based on Moran-Sierpinski measures, *Acta Math. Sin.-English Series*, **40**(7) (2024), 1804-1824.
- [6] Q.R. Deng, M.T. Li, Spectrality of Moran-type self-similar measures, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **506** (2022), 125547.
- [7] Q.R. Deng, K.S. Lau, Sierpinski-type spectral self-similar measures, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **269** (2015), 1310-1326.
- [8] D.E. Dutkay, J. Haussermann, C.K. Lai, Hadamard triples generate self-affine spectral measures, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **371** (2019), 1439-1481.
- [9] D. Dutkay, P. Jorgensen, Analysis of orthogonality and of orbits in affine iterated function systems, *Math. Zeitschrift*, **256** (2007), 801-823.
- [10] X.Y. Fu, X.G. He, K.S. Lau, Spectrality of self-similar tiles, *Constr. Approx.*, **42** (2015), 519-541.
- [11] Y.S. Fu, M.W. Tang, Existence of exponential orthonormal bases for infinite convolutions on \mathbb{R}^n , *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, **30** (2024), no. 3, Paper No. 31.
- [12] B. Fuglede, Commuting self-adjoint partial differential operators and a group theoretic problem, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **16** (1974), 101-121.
- [13] T.Y. Hu, K.S. Lau, Spectral property of the Bernoulli convolutions, *Adv. Math.*, **219** (2008), 554-567.
- [14] J. Hutchinson, Fractals and self-similarity, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **30** (1981), 713-747.
- [15] P. Jorgensen, S. Pedersen, Dense analytic subspaces in fractal L^2 -spaces, *J. Anal. Math.*, **75** (1998), 185-228.
- [16] M. Kolountzakis, M. Matolcsi, Complex Hadamard matrices and the spectral set conjecture, *Collect. Math.*, **57** (2006), 281-291.
- [17] M.N. Kolountzakis, M. Matolcsi, Tiles with no spectra, *Forum Math.*, **18**(3) (2006), 519-528.
- [18] I. Laba, Y. Wang, On spectral Cantor measures, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **193** (2002), 409-420.
- [19] N. Lev, M. Matolcsi, The Fuglede conjecture for convex domains is true in all dimensions, *Acta Math.*, **228** (2022), 385-420.
- [20] W.X. Li, J.J. Miao, Z.Q. Wang, Weak convergence and spectrality of infinite convolutions, *Adv. Math.*, **404** (2022), 108425.
- [21] W.X. Li, J.J. Miao, Z.Q. Wang, Spectrality of infinite convolutions and random convolutions, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **287** (2024), 110539.
- [22] J.C. Liu, Q.Q. Liu, M.W. Tang, Spectral and tiling properties for a class of planar self-affine sets, *Chaos. Soliton. Fract.*, **173** (2023), 113594.
- [23] J.S. Liu, Z.Y. Lu, T. Zhou, Spectrality of Moran-Sierpinski type measures, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **284** (2023), 109820.
- [24] J.C. Liu, Z.Y. Wang, The spectrality of self-affine measure under the similar transformation of $GL_n(p)$, *Constr. Approx.*, **58** (2023), 687-712.
- [25] J.C. Liu, Y. Zhang, Z.Y. Wang, M.L. Chen, Spectrality of generalized Sierpinski-type self-affine measures, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, **55** (2021), 129-148.
- [26] J. Luo, L. Mao, Spectrality of Moran-type measures with staggered contraction ratios, preprint (2024).
- [27] J.J. Miao, Z.Q. Wang, The spectrality of infinite convolutions in \mathbb{R}^d , *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, **30** (2024), no. 3, Paper No. 35.

- [28] R. Strichartz, Mock Fourier series and transforms associated with certain Cantor measures, *J. Anal. Math.*, **81** (2000), 209-238.
- [29] T. Tao, Fuglede's conjecture is false in 5 and higher dimensions, *Math. Res. Lett.*, **11** (2004), 251-258.
- [30] H.H. Wu, Spectral self-similar measures with alternate contraction ratios and consecutive digits, *Adv. Math.*, **443** (2024), 109585.
- [31] S. Wu, Y.Q. Xiao, Spectrality of a class of infinite convolutions on \mathbb{R} , *Nonlinearity*, **37**(5) (2024), 055015.

KEY LABORATORY OF COMPUTING AND STOCHASTIC MATHEMATICS (MINISTRY OF EDUCATION), SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUNAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, CHANGSHA, HUNAN 410081, P.R. CHINA

Email address: jcliu@hunnu.edu.cn

KEY LABORATORY OF COMPUTING AND STOCHASTIC MATHEMATICS (MINISTRY OF EDUCATION), SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUNAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, CHANGSHA, HUNAN 410081, P.R. CHINA

Email address: lqq9991205@163.com

COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, KEY LABORATORY OF NONLINEAR ANALYSIS AND ITS APPLICATIONS (CHONGQING UNIVERSITY), MINISTRY OF EDUCATION, CHONGQING UNIVERSITY, CHONGQING, 401331, P.R. CHINA

Email address: jun.luo@cqu.edu.cn

KEY LABORATORY OF COMPUTING AND STOCHASTIC MATHEMATICS (MINISTRY OF EDUCATION), SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUNAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, CHANGSHA, HUNAN 410081, P.R. CHINA

Email address: wjj2021hnsd@163.com