

RADICAL PRESERVATION AND THE FINITISTIC DIMENSION

ODYSSEAS GIATAGANTZIDIS

ABSTRACT. We introduce the notion of radical preservation and prove that a homological radical-preserving homomorphism of Artin algebras with superfluous kernel reflects the finiteness of the little finitistic, the big finitistic and the global dimension. As an application, we prove that every bound quiver algebra with quasi-uniform Loewy length, a class of algebras introduced in this paper, has finite (big) finitistic dimension. Moreover, we construct an explicit family of such finite dimensional algebras where the finiteness of their big finitistic dimension does not follow from the existing results in the literature.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and main results	1
2. Preliminaries on semiperfect rings	4
3. Radical-preserving homomorphisms	5
4. Algebras of quasi-uniform Loewy length	10
References	16

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

One of the most important homological dimensions of a ring R is the *little finitistic dimension* introduced by Auslander and Buchsbaum [6], denoted by $\text{fin. dim } R$, which is defined to be the supremum of the projective dimensions of finitely generated modules with finite projective dimension. Its usefulness lies on the fact that it provides a more accurate measure for the homological complexity of the category of finitely generated modules compared to the global dimension, denoted by $\text{gl. dim } R$.

A few years later, Bass [7] publicized the question of Rosenberg and Zelinsky whether the little finitistic dimension is finite for every ring. We know now that there are rings with infinite little finitistic dimension, with one of the first examples given by Nagata in the early 1960's in the context of commutative noetherian rings, see for example [21]. Another example was given by Kirkman and Kuzmanovich [19] in the context of semiprimary non-noetherian rings. However, the question remains open for Artin algebras and it has been promoted to a conjecture, namely the *Finitistic Dimension Conjecture* (FDC), often considered in the context of finite dimensional algebras. We refer to the survey [17] for an overview of classes of Artin algebras that were known to satisfy the (FDC) up to 1995.

Another relevant dimension which has received its fair share of attention is the big finitistic dimension due to Kaplansky (see introduction of [7]), denoted by $\text{Fin. dim } R$, which resembles the little one with the difference that the supremum is taken over all modules (not necessarily finitely generated) with finite projective dimension. Huisgen [15, 16] proved that this dimension can be strictly larger than its little counterpart by considering appropriate monomial bound quiver algebras.

Date: December 23, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16E05, 16E10, 16G20, 16L30, 16N20, 18A22.

Key words and phrases. Radical preservation, Jacobson radical, Induction functor, Finitistic dimension, Global dimension, Bound quiver algebras, Quasi-uniform Loewy length.

In this paper, we introduce the notion of radical preservation which turns out to be a key notion for the establishment of new reduction results for the finiteness of the finitistic dimensions of Artin algebras, such as Theorem A of this paper and the results of the forthcoming paper [11]. Moreover, we introduce the class of bound quiver algebras with quasi-uniform Loewy length, see definition below, and prove that every such algebra satisfies the (FDC).

A ring homomorphism $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ is called *radical-preserving* if the image of the Jacobson radical of A under ϕ is contained in the Jacobson radical of B . Furthermore, the kernel of ϕ is called *superfluous* if it is contained in the Jacobson radical of A , and ϕ is called *homological* if the flat dimension of B_A is finite.

Our first main result restricted to the class of Artin algebras is the following.

Theorem A (Theorem 3.11). *If $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ is a radical-preserving homomorphism of Artin algebras with superfluous kernel, then it holds that*

$$\text{fin. dim } A \leq \text{fin. dim } B + \text{fd } B_A.$$

Moreover, the same inequalities hold for the big finitistic dimensions and for the global dimensions of the algebras.

We remark that special instances of radical-preserving monomorphisms, like radical embeddings [8] and radical-full monomorphisms [27], have been studied before.

It is also worth mentioning that a similar result to the above exists for ring epimorphisms under fewer assumptions on the rings, see [26, Theorem 1] and [20, Theorem 1.8]. However, the technique of [26] cannot be employed when the homomorphism is not surjective; see end of Section 3 for more details. In contrast to that technique, we compute the projective dimensions of modules via minimal projective resolutions instead of arbitrary projective resolutions of minimal length. For this reason, Theorem A is actually proven in the contexts of left noetherian semiperfect rings (for fin. dim and gl. dim) and of left perfect rings (for Fin. dim and gl. dim).

An important feature of radical-preserving homomorphisms is that they are abundant and extend the class of ring epimorphisms by far. For instance, we show that every homomorphism $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ of Artin algebras is radical-preserving whenever B is basic, see Proposition 3.13. In particular, any homomorphism of bound quiver algebras is radical-preserving.

A consequence of the above and the fact that every Artin algebra is Morita equivalent to a basic Artin algebra is that *the (FDC) holds for Artin algebras if and only if for every basic Artin algebra A there exists a homological homomorphism $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ with superfluous kernel, where B is a basic Artin algebra that satisfies the (FDC)*. Clearly, it is not an easy task to establish such a homomorphism in general, not even for bound quiver algebras over a field.

Nonetheless, for any bound quiver algebra Λ , we may construct an algebra Λ^* with uniform Loewy length related to Λ via a radical-preserving monomorphism $i: \Lambda \hookrightarrow \Lambda^*$, see Construction 4.3 and Lemma 4.5. The Loewy length of Λ is called *uniform* if the Loewy length of every direct summand of the regular module ${}_{\Lambda}\Lambda$ is maximal, i.e. equal to the Loewy length of Λ . It is well-known that the big finitistic dimension of an algebra with uniform Loewy length is zero, see beginning of Section 4. It turns out that the monomorphism i is homological exactly when the Loewy length of Λ is quasi-uniform, a notion that we introduce as follows.

Definition (Definition 4.1). For a bound quiver algebra Λ , let e_{∞} denote the sum of the trivial paths whose corresponding simple module has infinite injective dimension. We say that the Loewy length of Λ is *quasi-uniform* if every projective module that occurs as a direct summand of ${}_{\Lambda}\Lambda e_{\infty}$ has maximal Loewy length.

Our second main result is an application of Theorem A for the monomorphism $i: \Lambda \hookrightarrow \Lambda^*$ described above.

Theorem B (Theorem 4.6). *For any bound quiver algebra Λ , it holds that*

$$\text{Fin. dim } \Lambda \leq \text{id top}_\Lambda \Lambda(1 - e_m)$$

where e_m denotes the sum of the trivial paths of Λ such that the corresponding projective module has maximal Loewy length. In particular, if the Loewy length of Λ is quasi-uniform, then $\text{Fin. dim } \Lambda$ is finite and bounded above by $\text{fin. dim } \Lambda^{\text{op}}$.

It should be noted that it follows from Theorem B that, if the global dimension of a bound quiver algebra is finite, then it is equal to the injective dimension of a simple module whose projective cover has non-maximal Loewy length; see Corollary 4.7.

Moreover, we construct an infinite two-parameter family of bound quiver algebras with quasi-uniform Loewy length, see Example 4.8, where the finiteness of their big finitistic dimension does not follow from the existing results in the literature. Although the finiteness of their little finitistic dimension can be deduced from the vertex removal operation [13], we show that our bound is arbitrarily smaller for the family. To the best of our knowledge, our example is the first one showing that the difference $\text{fin. dim } \Lambda^{\text{op}} - \text{Fin. dim } \Lambda$ can be arbitrarily big for a non-monomial bound quiver algebra Λ with non-zero little finitistic dimension, see Corollary 4.9.

We close this introduction by outlining the contents of the paper. In Section 2, we collect standard facts about semiperfect rings and useful implications thereof. In Section 3, we showcase the good homological behavior of the tensor functor induced by a radical-preserving homomorphism under natural conditions on the rings. In particular, we show that an Artin algebra homomorphism is radical-preserving exactly when the induction functor preserves projective covers or, equivalently, when the coinduction functor preserves injective envelopes of finitely generated modules, see Corollary 3.9. Furthermore, we prove Theorem A and apply it in order to extend results from the literature such as [28, Corollary 1.4] and [3, Corollary 11]. In Section 4, we construct the *uniformization algebra* of a bound quiver algebra and the aforementioned natural connecting monomorphism, and prove Theorem B. Finally, we conclude the paper with Example 4.8 and Corollary 4.9 mentioned above.

Notation. We denote by $J(R)$ the Jacobson radical of an associative ring R with unit. A module over a ring will be a *left* module unless stated otherwise. For a module ${}_R M$, we denote by $\text{rad}_R M$ its radical and by $\text{top}_R M$ the induced quotient of M . By $\text{pd } {}_R M$, $\text{fd } {}_R M$ and $\text{id } {}_R M$ we denote the projective, flat and injective dimension of M , respectively. Similarly, we write N_R to denote a right R -module, its radical is denoted by $\text{rad } N_R$ and so on. We denote by $R\text{-Mod}$ (resp. $R\text{-mod}$) the category of left (finitely generated) R -modules. The respective right R -module categories are denoted by $R^{\text{op}}\text{-Mod}$ and $R^{\text{op}}\text{-mod}$. The little finitistic, big finitistic and global dimension of R are denoted by $\text{fin. dim } R$, $\text{Fin. dim } R$ and $\text{gl. dim } R$, respectively. If R is a semiprimary ring, then $\ell(R)$ denotes its *Loewy length*. Similarly, we denote by $\ell({}_R M)$ the Loewy length of a module ${}_R M$.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank my Ph.D. supervisor, Chrysostomos Psaroudakis, for several useful discussions regarding this paper. I would also like to express my gratitude to Steffen Koenig for giving me the opportunity to present the first part of this paper in the Algebra Seminar of the University of Stuttgart in the winter semester of 2023.

The present research project was supported by the Hellenic Foundation for Research and Innovation (3rd Call for HFRI Ph.D. Fellowships, FN: 47510/03.04.2022).

2. PRELIMINARIES ON SEMIPERFECT RINGS

In this section, we collect well-known facts about semiperfect rings and derive useful implications thereof. We refer the reader to [1] for more details.

A ring R is called *semiperfect* if any of the following equivalent conditions holds.

- (i) R is semilocal and idempotents lift modulo $J(R)$.
- (ii) Every finitely generated R -module possesses a projective cover.
- (iii) Every simple R -module possesses a projective cover.
- (iv) There is a decomposition of R into a direct sum of local R -modules.

Recall that R is called *semilocal* if it is semisimple modulo its Jacobson radical.

We remark that semiperfectness is a left-right symmetric notion, as is evident from condition (i), and condition (iv) readily implies that every local ring is semiperfect being a local module over itself.

A finite subset $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ of a semiperfect ring R is called a *complete set of primitive orthogonal idempotents* if the following hold. (i) Every e_i is a primitive idempotent, that is $e_i^2 = e_i$ and the left ideal Re_i is indecomposable; (ii) it holds that $e_{i_1}e_{i_2} = 0$ for every pair of distinct indices i_1 and i_2 ; (iii) $\sum_i e_i = 1_R$. Moreover, the set $\{e_i\}_{i \in I'}$ for a subset $I' \subseteq I$ is called a *basic set of primitive orthogonal idempotents* if for every index $i \in I$ there is a unique index $i' \in I'$ such that ${}_RRe_i \simeq {}_RRe_{i'}$.

A semiperfect ring R is called *basic* if a complete set of primitive orthogonal idempotents for R is also basic. In the following lemma, we relate that property with a connection between the Jacobson radical of R and the set $N(R)$ of nilpotent elements in R . Recall that a subset of R is called *nil* if it is contained in $N(R)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let R be a semiperfect ring. If R is basic, then the set $N(R)$ is contained in $J(R)$. Conversely, the ring R is basic if the two sets are equal. In particular, if $J(R)$ is nil, then R is basic if and only if $J(R) = N(R)$.*

Proof. If $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a complete set of primitive orthogonal idempotents for R , then $R/J = \bigoplus_{i \in I} (R/J)(e_i + J)$ is a decomposition of R/J into a direct sum of simple R/J -modules as idempotents lift modulo $J = J(R)$. Assume that I' is a subset of I such that $\{e_i + J\}_{i \in I'}$ is a basic set of primitive orthogonal idempotents for R/J and set $m = |I'|$. According to the Wedderburn-Artin structure theorem for semisimple rings, there are division rings D_1, \dots, D_m such that R/J is the direct product

$$R/J \simeq \times_{j=1}^m \mathbb{M}_{\kappa_j}(D_j)$$

where κ_j is the number of indices $i \in I$ such that $(R/J)(e_i + J) \simeq (R/J)(e_j + J)$ for every $j \in I'$, and $\mathbb{M}_{\kappa_j}(D_j)$ is the full ring of $\kappa_j \times \kappa_j$ matrices over $D_j = \text{End}_R(Re_j/Je_j)^{\text{op}}$.

It holds that R is basic if and only if R/J is basic, see [1, Proposition 17.18]. Therefore, if R is basic then $I' = I$ and $\kappa_j = 1$ for every j . In particular, the ring R/J cannot contain any non-zero nilpotent elements as it is isomorphic to the direct product of $|I|$ division rings. As a consequence, if $x \in N(R)$ then $x + J$ is nilpotent in R/J implying that $x \in J$. Conversely, assume that $J = N(R)$ and R is not basic. Then $\kappa_j > 1$ for some $j \in I'$ and, therefore, the ring $\mathbb{M}_{\kappa_j}(D_j)$ contains non-zero nilpotent elements, for example the matrix with first row $(0 \ 1 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0)$ and zero in every other position. We deduce that the ring R/J contains non-zero nilpotent elements. If $x + J$ is such an element, there is an integer $\nu > 1$ such that $x^\nu \in J$ and, since J is nil, it holds that $x \in N(R) = J$, a contradiction. \square

For the sake of completeness, we prove the following fact which is mentioned in [1, p. 303] and will be needed in the next section.

Lemma 2.2. *For a semiperfect ring R , the Jacobson radical is the unique largest ideal of R that contains no non-zero idempotents.*

Proof. For any ring R it holds that its Jacobson radical $J = J(R)$ does not contain any non-zero idempotents, see [1, Corollary 15.11]. Let now I be an ideal that does not contain any non-zero idempotents and assume that I is not contained in J . Then $(I + J)/J$ is a non-zero submodule of ${}_R R/J$. Since ${}_R R/J$ is semisimple and idempotents lift modulo J , there is a non-zero idempotent $e \in I + J$ which can be assumed to be primitive by considering a simple direct summand of ${}_R(I + J)/J$ for example. Let $e = x + y$ for $x \in I$ and $y \in J$. Then we may assume without loss of generality that $x = exe$ and $y = eye$, and it follows that $e - eye \in I$ and $eye \in eJe = J(eRe)$. Since eRe is a local ring, we deduce that $exe = e - eye$ is invertible in eRe implying that $e \in I$, a contradiction. \square

A ring R is called *left perfect* if it satisfies any of the next equivalent conditions.

- (i) Every left R -module possesses a projective cover.
- (ii) R is semilocal and $J(R)$ is left T-nilpotent.
- (iii) Every flat left R -module is projective.

Recall that a subset $S \subseteq R$ is called *left T-nilpotent* if for every sequence a_1, a_2, \dots in S there is a positive integer n such that $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n = 0$. If S is in particular an ideal of R , then S is left T-nilpotent if and only if SM is a superfluous submodule of M for all modules ${}_R M$ or, equivalently, if the same property holds for M ranging over the projective R -modules.

The notion of T-nilpotence is not left-right symmetric and one may define analogously right perfect rings. A ring that is both left and right perfect is called *perfect*. Moreover, every left or right perfect ring is semiperfect with nil Jacobson radical.

3. RADICAL-PRESERVING HOMOMORPHISMS

The main objective of this section is to prove Theorem A from the introduction in its most general form. Throughout, we fix a ring homomorphism $\phi: A \rightarrow B$. We start by introducing the notion of radical-preserving homomorphisms.

Definition 3.1. A ring homomorphism $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ is called *radical-preserving* if $\phi(J(A)) \subseteq J(B)$.

For the sake of succinctness, we say that the kernel of ϕ is *superfluous* if it is contained in $J(A)$, and ϕ will be called *(left) homological* if the flat dimension of B as a right A -module through the restriction of scalars induced by ϕ is finite.

Remark 3.2. The term “superfluous” comes from the fact that a left (or right) ideal of A is a superfluous submodule of A if and only if it is contained in $J(A)$, see [1, Theorem 15.3].

We introduce yet another term that will simplify the exposition.

Definition 3.3. A non-zero module ${}_A M$ is called *ϕ -vanishing* if $B \otimes_A M = 0$ or, else, it is called *ϕ -nonvanishing*. Similarly, a non-zero homomorphism $f \in A\text{-Mod}$ is called *ϕ -vanishing* if $B \otimes_A f = 0$ or, else, it is called *ϕ -nonvanishing*.

In our first lemma, we show that the induction functor $B \otimes_A -$ induced by ϕ behaves nicely with respect to projective covers, especially when $J(B)$ is a left T-nilpotent ideal of B .

Recall that for any ring R and any projective module ${}_R P$, every superfluous submodule of P is contained in $\text{rad}_R P = J(R)P$. If P is finitely generated the converse also holds, that is every submodule of P contained in its radical is superfluous. Moreover, the same characterization of superfluous submodules holds for all projective modules if and only if $J(R)$ is left T-nilpotent.

Lemma 3.4. *Let ${}_A M$ be a non-zero module with projective cover $f: P \twoheadrightarrow M$. Assume furthermore that*

- (i) ${}_A M$ is finitely generated, or
- (ii) $J(B)$ is a left T -nilpotent ideal of B .

Then M is ϕ -vanishing if and only if P is ϕ -vanishing, if and only if f is ϕ -vanishing. Moreover, the morphism $B \otimes_A f$ is a projective cover of $B \otimes_A M$ when the latter is non-zero.

Proof. Let $i: \text{Ker} f \hookrightarrow P$ denote the inclusion homomorphism. It follows from the right exactness of the functor $B \otimes_A -$ that the sequence

$$B \otimes_A \text{Ker} f \xrightarrow{B \otimes_A i} B \otimes_A P \xrightarrow{B \otimes_A f} B \otimes_A M \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. In particular, it holds that $B \otimes_A P = 0$ implies $B \otimes_A M = 0$. We have that $\text{Ker} f \subseteq \text{rad} P = J(A)P$, since it is a superfluous submodule of P , and

$$\text{Ker}(B \otimes_A f) = \text{Im}(B \otimes_A i) \leq B \otimes J(A)P \leq J(B) \otimes P = J(B)(B \otimes_A P)$$

where the last module is equal to the radical of $B \otimes_A P$. It follows that $\text{Ker}(B \otimes_A f)$ is a superfluous submodule of $B \otimes_A P$ if either M is finitely generated (in which case P and $B \otimes_A P$ are also finitely generated) or $J(B)$ is left T -nilpotent. If $B \otimes_A M = 0$ then $\text{Ker}(B \otimes_A f) = B \otimes_A P$, implying that $B \otimes_A P$ is a superfluous submodule of itself, which may happen only if $B \otimes_A P = 0$. \square

Remark 3.5. An analog of Lemma 3.4 can be proven in the same way for arbitrary superfluous epimorphisms, that is epimorphisms whose kernel is superfluous; see also [1, Corollary 15.13 (Nakayama's Lemma), Lemma 28.3]. The only necessary additional assumption is that A is semilocal so that $\text{rad}_A M = J(A)M$ for every module over A , see [1, Corollary 15.18].

The following definition will be employed for the characterization of radical preservation from a homological point of view. We also use the analogous terms for injective envelopes in Corollary 3.9.

Definition 3.6. Let $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a covariant functor of abelian categories and let X be an object in \mathcal{C} . We say that F *preserves the projective cover of X* if there is a projective cover $f: P \twoheadrightarrow X$ in \mathcal{C} and either (i) $F(f)$ is a projective cover of the non-zero object $F(X)$ in \mathcal{D} , or (ii) both $F(X)$ and $F(P)$ are zero. Furthermore, we say that F *preserves the projective cover of X non-trivially* if (i) holds.

With the above terminology in mind, the first part of Lemma 3.4 says that the tensor functor induced by a radical-preserving homomorphism preserves the projective covers of finitely generated modules. We show next that the notion of radical preservation is in fact minimal with respect to that property.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ be a ring homomorphism. The induction functor $B \otimes_A -$ preserves the projective covers of finitely generated A -modules if and only if ϕ is radical-preserving.*

Proof. One implication is Lemma 3.4. For the converse implication, note that the natural epimorphism $f: A \twoheadrightarrow A/J(A)$ is a projective cover of $A/J(A)$. Furthermore, it can be easily verified that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B \otimes_A A & \xrightarrow{B \otimes_A f} & B \otimes_A A/J(A) \\ g \downarrow \simeq & & \simeq \downarrow h \\ B & \xrightarrow{\text{nat. epim.}} & B/BJ(A) \end{array}$$

where $g(b \otimes a) = b\phi(a)$ and $h(b \otimes (a + J(A))) = b\phi(a) + BJ(A)$ for every $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Since the module $B \otimes_A A \simeq B$ is non-zero, we have that the morphism $B \otimes_A f$ is a projective cover. In particular, it holds that $BJ(A)$ is a superfluous submodule of ${}_B B$ implying that $\phi(J(A)) \subseteq BJ(A) \subseteq J(B)$. \square

Next, we characterize the property “the kernel of ϕ is superfluous” in terms of the nonvanishing of finitely generated projective A -modules under $B \otimes_A -$ when A is at least semiperfect.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ be a ring homomorphism where A is semiperfect. Then the kernel of ϕ is superfluous if and only if every finitely generated projective A -module is ϕ -nonvanishing. Furthermore, if the kernel of ϕ is superfluous and A is left perfect, then all projective A -modules are ϕ -nonvanishing.*

Proof. Assume that A is semiperfect and let $\{e_i\}_i$ be a basic set of primitive orthogonal idempotents. For every finitely generated projective module ${}_A P$ we have

$$P \simeq \bigoplus_i Ae_i^{(S_i)} \quad (1)$$

where S_i is a finite set and $Ae_i^{(S_i)}$ is the direct sum of $|S_i|$ copies of Ae_i for every i . It is easily verified that $B \otimes_A Ae_i \simeq B\phi(e_i)$ through the B -isomorphism given by $b \otimes ae_i \mapsto b\phi(ae_i)$ with inverse $b\phi(e_i) \mapsto b\phi(e_i) \otimes e_i$ for all $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. As a consequence,

$$B \otimes_A P \simeq \bigoplus_i (B \otimes_A Ae_i)^{(S_i)} \simeq \bigoplus_i B\phi(e_i)^{(S_i)}$$

and P is ϕ -vanishing if and only if $e_i \in \text{Ker}\phi$ for all i such that S_i is non-empty.

For any ring A , the radical $J(A)$ does not contain any non-zero idempotents. Therefore, the inclusion $\text{Ker}\phi \subseteq J(A)$ implies that every finitely generated projective A -module is ϕ -nonvanishing. On the other hand, if $\text{Ker}\phi \not\subseteq J(A)$ then there is a non-zero idempotent $e \in \text{Ker}\phi$ according to Lemma 2.2, implying that the finitely generated projective module Ae is ϕ -vanishing. For the last part of the lemma, it suffices to recall that if A is left perfect then all projective modules are direct sums as in (1) if we allow the sets S_i to be infinite. \square

Corollary 3.9. *Let $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of Artin algebras. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) *The homomorphism ϕ is radical-preserving (with superfluous kernel).*
- (ii) *The induction functor $B \otimes_A -$ preserves all projective covers (non-trivially).*
- (iii) *The induction functor $B \otimes_A -$ preserves the projective covers of finitely generated modules (non-trivially).*
- (iv) *The coinduction functor $\text{Hom}_A(B, -)$ preserves the injective envelopes of finitely generated modules (non-trivially).*

Proof. The corollary is a direct consequence of Proposition 3.7, Lemma 3.8 and the fact that the restriction of $\text{Hom}_A(B, -)$ on finitely generated modules is naturally isomorphic to the dual induction functor $-\otimes_A B: A^{\text{op}}\text{-mod} \rightarrow B^{\text{op}}\text{-mod}$ up to the standard dualities between left and right finitely generated modules over A and B . The last fact can be easily deduced from [5, Theorem 3.1.(b), Theorem 3.3]. \square

In the following proposition, we establish the crucial property of a radical-preserving homomorphism with superfluous kernel. A module M over A will be called ϕ -flat if $\text{Tor}_i^A(B, M) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$.

Proposition 3.10 (cf. [26, Lemmas 1 and 1']). *Let $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ be a radical-preserving ring homomorphism with superfluous kernel where A is semiperfect.*

- (i) *The functor $B \otimes_A -$ preserves non-trivially the projective covers of finitely generated A -modules. If A is additionally left noetherian, then $\text{pd}_A M = \text{pd}_B B \otimes_A M$ for every finitely generated ϕ -flat module M .*
- (ii) *If A is left perfect and $J(B)$ is a left T -nilpotent ideal of B , then the functor $B \otimes_A -$ preserves non-trivially all projective covers. Moreover, it holds that $\text{pd}_A M = \text{pd}_B B \otimes_A M$ for every ϕ -flat module M .*

Proof. The first parts of (i) and (ii) follow directly from Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.8. If A is semiperfect and left noetherian, then every finitely generated module ${}_A M$ has a minimal projective resolution, say \mathbb{P}_M , and all projective modules that occur in \mathbb{P}_M are finitely generated. If ${}_A M$ is also ϕ -flat, then the complex $B \otimes_A \mathbb{P}_M$ is exact and, thus, a minimal projective resolution of $B \otimes_A M$ according to the first part of (i). Similarly, if A is left perfect and $J(B)$ is left T -nilpotent, then every module ${}_A M$ has a minimal projective resolution \mathbb{P}_M and the complex $B \otimes_A \mathbb{P}_M$ is a minimal projective resolution of $B \otimes_A M$ if ${}_A M$ is ϕ -flat. In both cases, the desired equality holds as the complexes $B \otimes_A \mathbb{P}_M$ and \mathbb{P}_M have the same length. \square

We are now ready to prove the main theorem of this subsection.

Theorem 3.11. *Let $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ be a radical-preserving ring homomorphism with superfluous kernel.*

- (i) *If A is left noetherian semiperfect, then*

$$\text{fin. dim } A \leq \text{fin. dim } B + \text{fd } B_A.$$

- (ii) *If A is left perfect and $J(B)$ is a left T -nilpotent ideal of B , then*

$$\text{Fin. dim } A \leq \text{Fin. dim } B + \text{fd } B_A.$$

In both cases, it holds that $\text{gl. dim } A \leq \text{gl. dim } B + \text{fd } B_A$.

Proof. We assume that $\text{fd } B_A = d < \infty$ as, otherwise, there is nothing to prove. Let M be an A -module with minimal projective resolution

$$\mathbb{P}_M: \quad \cdots \rightarrow P_n \xrightarrow{f_n} \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} M \rightarrow 0$$

and let $\Omega_A^i(M)$ denote the kernel of f_{i-1} for all $i \geq 0$, where $f_{-1}: M \rightarrow 0$. We have that $\text{Tor}_i^A(B, \Omega_A^d(M)) \simeq \text{Tor}_{d+i}^A(B, M) \simeq 0$ for any $i \geq 1$, where the first isomorphism is commonly called dimension shift and the second Tor-group is trivial as it can be calculated from a flat resolution of B_A .

We assume now that A is left noetherian semiperfect and take a finitely generated module ${}_A M$. All modules occurring in the minimal projective resolution of M , including the kernels, are finitely generated. In particular, the module $\Omega_A^d(M)$ is finitely generated and ϕ -flat. If $\text{pd}_A M > d$, then Proposition 3.10 implies that

$$\text{pd}_A M = d + \text{pd}_A \Omega_A^d(M) = d + \text{pd}_B B \otimes_A \Omega_A^d(M) \leq d + \text{gl. dim } B.$$

If in addition $\text{pd}_A M < \infty$, then

$$\text{pd}_A M \leq d + \text{fin. dim } B$$

as $B \otimes_A \Omega_A^d(M)$ is a finitely generated B -module of finite projective dimension equal to $\text{pd}_A M - d$. The proof of part (ii) is analogous. \square

The following corollary is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.11 as every Artin algebra is perfect and noetherian.

Corollary 3.12. *If there is a homological radical-preserving homomorphism of Artin algebras $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ with superfluous kernel, then the finiteness of $\text{fin. dim } B$ implies the finiteness of $\text{fin. dim } A$. Moreover, the same implications hold for the big finitistic dimensions and the global dimensions of the algebras.*

The condition of radical preservation is automatically satisfied in many cases according to the next proposition. In particular, every Artin algebra homomorphism $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ is radical-preserving if B is basic.

Proposition 3.13. *A ring homomorphism $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ is radical-preserving in any of the following cases.*

- (i) *The ring homomorphism ϕ is surjective.*
- (ii) *The Jacobson radical of A is nil and B is basic semiperfect.*

Proof. For the first part, see for instance [1, Corollary 15.8]. The second part follows from the fact that a ring homomorphism preserves the nilpotency of elements and Lemma 2.1. \square

As a consequence of Theorem 3.11, Proposition 3.13 and the fact that every Artin algebra is Morita equivalent to a basic Artin algebra, we obtain the following equivalent reformulation of the Finitistic Dimension Conjecture (FDC) for Artin algebras. Note that the reformulation remains valid if Artin algebras are replaced by finite dimensional algebras over a field.

Corollary 3.14. *The (FDC) holds for Artin algebras if and only if for every basic Artin algebra A there exist*

- (i) *a basic Artin algebra B with $\text{fin. dim } B < \infty$, and*
- (ii) *a homological homomorphism $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ with superfluous kernel.*

We close this section by applying Theorem 3.11 in order to (partly) recover and extend results from the literature. We begin with a classic result due to Small and Kirkman-Kuzmanovich-Small, restricted to the class of Artin algebras.

Corollary 3.15 (cf. [26, Theorem 1], [20, Theorem 1.8]). *For an Artin algebra A and a superfluous ideal K of A , it holds that*

$$\text{fin. dim } A \leq \text{fin. dim } A/K + \text{fd } A/K_A.$$

Moreover, the same inequalities hold for the big finitistic dimensions and the global dimensions of the algebras.

Proof. Direct application of Theorem 3.11. \square

The crucial result proven in [26] is the equality of projective dimensions $\text{pd}_A M = \text{pd}_B B \otimes_A M$ for every ϕ -flat module ${}_A M$, where $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ is a ring epimorphism such that its kernel is a nilpotent ideal of A . The crux of the proof is to show that M is A -free if the module $B \otimes_A M$ is B -free. More specifically, it was shown that if a subset S of the module $B \otimes_A M$ is a free B -basis, then any preimage of S under the natural A -epimorphism $\eta_M: M \rightarrow {}_A B \otimes_A M$, defined by $m \mapsto 1_B \otimes m$ for every $m \in M$, is a free A -basis of M . Similarly, the same equality of projective dimensions was proven for finitely generated ϕ -flat modules under the extra assumption that A is left noetherian, whereas the kernel of ϕ may be superfluous in that case.

When ϕ is no longer surjective, the natural map $M \rightarrow {}_A B \otimes_A M$ fails to be surjective in general and, therefore, the method of [26] cannot be employed in order to prove the above equality of projective dimensions for ϕ -flat modules. Proposition 3.10 shows that this obstacle can be overcome when ϕ is radical-preserving under natural extra assumptions on the rings.

The next result concerns a class of radical-preserving monomorphisms of Artin algebras studied in [28]. Note that we derive the smaller upper bound $\text{fin. dim } B + \text{pd } B_A$ for the little finitistic dimension of A and also the analogous upper bounds for the big finitistic and the global dimension of A .

Corollary 3.16 ([28, Corollary 1.4]). *Let $i: A \hookrightarrow B$ be a monomorphism of Artin algebras such that $i(J(A))$ is a left ideal of B and the projective dimension of B as a right A -module is finite. Then $\text{fin. dim } A \leq \text{fin. dim } B + \text{pd } B_A + 2$.*

Proof. It holds that i is radical-preserving as $i(J(A))$ is a nilpotent left ideal of B , see [1, Corollary 15.10]; therefore, the result follows from Theorem 3.11. \square

More recently, it was proven in [22] that if $i: A \hookrightarrow B$ is a monomorphism of finite dimensional algebras such that the quotient B/A has finite projective dimension as an A -bimodule, that is as a module over the enveloping algebra of A , then the finiteness of $\text{fin. dim } B$ implies the finiteness of $\text{fin. dim } A$. Under those conditions, the quotient B/A has in particular finite projective dimension as a right A -module and the same holds for B due to the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow B/A \rightarrow 0$ of right A -modules. If in addition A is basic, then it follows from Proposition 3.13 that i is radical-preserving. In particular, we may recover the above implication through Theorem 3.11 and also extend it for the other two dimensions of A and B .

The last result concerns the (left) global dimension of left perfect and left noetherian semilocal rings.

Corollary 3.17 (cf. [3, Corollary 11]). *If R is a left perfect or left noetherian semilocal ring, then its weak global dimension is attained among the flat dimensions of simple right modules. In particular, it holds that*

$$\text{gl. dim } R = \max\{\text{fd } S_R \mid S_R \text{ simple}\}.$$

Proof. In both cases R is semilocal and, therefore, it holds that $\text{gl. dim } R/J(R) = 0$ and $R/J(R)_R$ is a semisimple module containing every simple right R -module up to isomorphism as a direct summand. The latter fact implies that

$$\text{fd } R/J(R)_R = \max\{\text{fd } S_R \mid S_R \text{ simple}\}.$$

Furthermore, it holds that $\text{gl. dim } R = \text{w. gl. dim } R$, where $\text{w. gl. dim } R$ denotes the weak global dimension of R . Indeed, for a left perfect (resp. left noetherian) ring, a (finitely generated) left module is projective if and only if it is flat, implying that the projective and flat dimension of a (finitely generated) left module coincide.

Applying Theorem 3.11 for the radical-preserving homomorphism $R \twoheadrightarrow R/J(R)$ if R is left perfect, or [26, Theorem 1] if R is left noetherian semilocal, yields

$$\text{gl. dim } R \leq \text{fd } R/J(R)_R$$

and the result follows from the fact that $\text{fd } R/J(R)_R \leq \text{w. gl. dim } R$. \square

Remark 3.18. Note that Corollary 3.17 recovers part of [23, Corollary 2.7]. Moreover, if we assume that R is left perfect, then its global dimension is attained among the injective dimensions of simple left modules, see [24, Lemma 13], implying that $\max\{\text{id } {}_R L \mid {}_R L \text{ simple}\} = \max\{\text{fd } S_R \mid S_R \text{ simple}\}$.

4. ALGEBRAS OF QUASI-UNIFORM LOEWY LENGTH

The main aim of this section is to prove Theorem B from the introduction.

Let Λ be a semiprimary ring such that every direct summand of the regular module ${}_\Lambda \Lambda$ has Loewy length equal to the Loewy length of the ring. Then it is a well-known fact that the big finitistic dimension of Λ is zero. Indeed, if $f: P \twoheadrightarrow M$ is a projective cover in $\Lambda\text{-Mod}$, then the Loewy length of the kernel of f is

strictly smaller than the Loewy length of P as it is contained in the radical of P which is equal to $J(\Lambda)P$. In particular, the kernel of f is not projective as all projective modules have the same Loewy length, implying that every Λ -module is either projective or has infinite projective dimension. We will say from now on that the Loewy length of a semiprimary ring as above is *uniform (from the left)*.

The following class of semiprimary rings generalizes semiprimary rings with uniform Loewy length and semiprimary rings with finite global dimension at the same time. However, it contains also rings with infinite global dimension and non-zero finitistic dimensions, see Example 4.8.

For any semiprimary ring Λ , we write $\{e_i\}_i$ to denote a fixed basic set of primitive orthogonal idempotents for Λ and e_∞ will denote the sum of all idempotents e_i such that the injective dimension of $\text{top}_\Lambda \Lambda e_i$ is infinite.

Definition 4.1. We say that the Loewy length of a semiprimary ring Λ is *quasi-uniform (from the left)* if the Loewy length of every projective module that occurs as a direct summand of ${}_\Lambda \Lambda e_\infty$ is maximal, i.e. equal to the Loewy length of Λ .

We remark that semiprimary rings with uniform Loewy length and semiprimary rings with finite global dimension are the two extremes of semiprimary rings with quasi-uniform Loewy length. Indeed, a semiprimary ring Λ has quasi-uniform Loewy length if and only if for every idempotent in $\{e_i\}_i$ it holds that (i) the Loewy length of Λe_i is maximal or (ii) the injective dimension of $\text{top}_\Lambda \Lambda e_i$ is finite. Therefore, the Loewy length of Λ is uniform exactly when condition (i) holds for every idempotent e_i and the global dimension of Λ is finite exactly when condition (ii) holds for every idempotent e_i , see [3, Corollary 11].

The following lemma is a short detour from the main goal of this section.

Lemma 4.2. *Let Λ be an Artin algebra of uniform Loewy length from the left. Then the Loewy length of Λ is quasi-uniform from the right if and only if it is uniform.*

Proof. Let us assume that the Loewy length of Λ is uniform from the left and quasi-uniform, but not uniform, from the right. Then there is a primitive idempotent e_i such that the injective dimension of $\text{top} e_i \Lambda_\Lambda$ is finite, implying that the projective dimension of $\text{top}_\Lambda \Lambda e_i$ is finite due to the standard duality D between left and right finitely generated Λ -modules, as $D(\text{top} e_i \Lambda_\Lambda) \simeq \text{top}_\Lambda \Lambda e_i$. The uniformity of the Loewy length of Λ from the left implies now that the simple module $\text{top}_\Lambda \Lambda e_i$ is projective and that $\ell(\Lambda) = \ell(\Lambda e_i) = 1$. We deduce that Λ is semisimple and, therefore, its Loewy length is uniform from both sides, a contradiction. \square

Next, we construct a bound quiver algebra of uniform Loewy length from an arbitrary bound quiver algebra in a canonical way.

For a finite quiver Q , we denote the sets of vertices and arrows of Q by Q_0 and Q_1 , respectively. We write \mathcal{B}_Q to denote the set of all paths in Q , including the trivial paths denoted by e_i for every vertex $i \in Q_0$. The source and target of a path p are denoted by $s(p)$ and $t(p)$, respectively, and we write pq for two paths p and q to denote the concatenation of p followed by q .

Construction 4.3 (Uniformization). Let $\Lambda = kQ/I$ be a bound quiver algebra with Loewy length $l = \ell(\Lambda)$ and vertices $Q_0 = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. We assume that the vertices are labeled so that $\ell(\Lambda e_i) = l$ if and only if $m < i \leq n$, where $0 \leq m < n$. Let Q^* denote the quiver that results from Q if we add one extra loop β_i at each vertex $i \leq m$, that is $Q^* = Q \dot{\cup} B$ where $B = \{\beta_i: i \rightarrow i \mid i = 1, \dots, m\}$. Furthermore, let I^* be the ideal of kQ^* generated by I and the set

$$S_B = \{\beta_i^l, \gamma\beta_i, \beta_i\delta \mid i = 1, \dots, m\}$$

where γ and δ range over all arrows of Q with target i or source i , respectively. Then the *uniformization* of Λ is defined to be the algebra $\Lambda^* = kQ^*/I^*$.

The natural inclusion $Q \hookrightarrow Q^*$ justifies one to view the elements of kQ as elements of kQ^* without risk of confusion. In particular, we use e_i to denote both the trivial path of kQ and kQ^* that corresponds to vertex i .

Recall that every element z of the path algebra kQ can be written uniquely as a sum $z = \sum_p \lambda_p \cdot p$ where p ranges over \mathcal{B}_Q and only finitely many coefficients $\lambda_p \in k$ are non-zero. We say that a path p *occurs* in z if λ_p is non-zero. Similarly, we say that p *occurs* in a subset S of kQ if it occurs in some element $z \in S$. Furthermore, we say that a path p is divided by a path q if $p = p_1qp_2$ for paths $p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{B}_Q$, and a subpath of p is just a path dividing p . If an arrow α divides a path p , then we say that p *passes through* α ; otherwise, we say that p *avoids* α .

Lemma 4.4. *The algebra Λ^* is a bound quiver algebra with $\ell(\Lambda^*) \leq \ell(\Lambda)$.*

Proof. Every path occurring in I^* has length at least two as the same holds for every path occurring in I or S_B . Now let p be a path in Q^* of length equal to $l = \ell(\Lambda)$. If p avoids all loops in B , then $p \in I$ implying that $p \in I^*$. If p passes through some loop $\beta_i \in B$, then either $p = \beta_i^l$ or it contains a subpath of the form $\gamma\beta_i$ or $\beta_i\delta$ for appropriate arrows γ and δ in Q . In any case, it holds that $p \in I^*$. \square

For a set of arrows $A \subseteq Q_1$, we denote by \mathcal{B}_Q^A the set of paths in Q passing through at least one arrow in A and $\mathcal{B}_Q^{\text{not}A}$ denotes the complement of \mathcal{B}_Q^A in \mathcal{B}_Q . For any element $z \in kQ$, we write z_A and $z_{\text{not}A}$ to denote the unique elements of the subspaces ${}_k\langle \mathcal{B}_Q^A \rangle$ and ${}_k\langle \mathcal{B}_Q^{\text{not}A} \rangle$, respectively, such that $z = z_A + z_{\text{not}A}$.

Lemma 4.5. *The following statements hold for a bound quiver algebra $\Lambda = kQ/I$ and its uniformization algebra $\Lambda^* = kQ^*/I^*$.*

- (i) *An element $w \in kQ^*$ is in I^* if and only if $w_{\text{not}B} \in I$ and every path occurring in w_B is divided by some path in S_B .*
- (ii) *The Loewy length of Λ^* is uniform and equal to the Loewy length of Λ .*
- (iii) *The inclusion $Q \hookrightarrow Q^*$, sending each vertex and arrow of Q to itself, induces an algebra monomorphism $i: \Lambda \hookrightarrow \Lambda^*$. Similarly, the projection $Q^* \twoheadrightarrow Q$, sending each vertex and arrow of Q to itself and every loop in B to zero, induces an algebra epimorphism $\pi: \Lambda^* \twoheadrightarrow \Lambda$. Moreover, it holds that $\pi i = \text{id}_\Lambda$.*

Proof. (i) One implication follows immediately from the definition of I^* . For the converse implication, let S be a finite generating set of relations for I . Every element $w \in I^*$ is a k -linear combination of elements of the form usv where $s \in S \cup S_B$ and u, v are paths in Q^* . If $s \in S$ and both paths u, v are in Q , then $usv \in I$. In any other case, every path occurring in usv passes through some loop in B and, in particular, it is divided by some path in S_B . Consequently, the element $w_{\text{not}B}$ is the respective k -linear combination of the elements usv of the first case, thus in I , and w_B is the k -linear combination of the elements usv of the second case.

(ii) We have to show that there is a non-zero path in Λ^* with length $l - 1$ and target i for every vertex i , as it follows from Lemma 4.4 that $\ell(\Lambda^*e_i) \leq l$. Recall that l denotes the Loewy length of Λ . For a vertex $i > m$, let p be a non-zero path of Λ with length $l - 1$ and target i , whose existence is guaranteed by the assumption that $\ell(\Lambda e_i) = l$. For a vertex $i \leq m$, let $p = \beta^{l-1}$. In both cases, it follows from (i) that p is non-zero in Λ^* .

(iii) It is immediate that the inclusion $Q \hookrightarrow Q^*$ induces an algebra monomorphism $kQ \hookrightarrow kQ^*$, see [2, Theorem II.1.8]. Furthermore, for any $z \in kQ$ it holds that $z \in I^*$ if and only if $z \in I$ according to (i). Similarly, the projection $Q^* \twoheadrightarrow Q$ induces the algebra epimorphism $kQ^* \twoheadrightarrow kQ$ that is given by the correspondence $w \mapsto w_{\text{not}B}$ for every $w \in kQ^*$, and it remains to see that $w_{\text{not}B} \in I$ for any $w \in I^*$. The equality $\pi i = \text{id}_\Lambda$ follows from the definitions. \square

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section, which is Theorem B from the introduction. For a bound quiver algebra $\Lambda = kQ/I$, we denote by e_m the sum of all trivial paths such that the corresponding indecomposable projective module has maximal Loewy length. Furthermore, we write $S_\Lambda(i)$ and $S_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}(i)$ to denote the simple left and the simple right Λ -module corresponding to every vertex $i \in Q_0$, respectively.

Theorem 4.6. *For every bound quiver algebra $\Lambda = kQ/I$, it holds that*

$$\text{Fin. dim } \Lambda \leq \text{id top}_\Lambda \Lambda(1 - e_m).$$

Moreover, if Λ has quasi-uniform Loewy length then the above bound is finite, implying that $\text{Fin. dim } \Lambda$ is finite and bounded above by $\text{fin. dim } \Lambda^{\text{op}}$.

Proof. The theorem follows from the application of Theorem 3.11 to the algebra monomorphism $i: \Lambda \hookrightarrow \Lambda^*$ of Lemma 4.5.(iii), which is radical-preserving according to Proposition 3.13. Furthermore, it holds that $\text{Fin. dim } \Lambda^* = 0$ according to Lemma 4.5.(ii) and the discussion at the beginning of this section, and it remains to show that $\text{pd } \Lambda^*_\Lambda = \text{id top}_\Lambda \Lambda(1 - e_m)$.

Let Λ and Λ^* be as in Construction 4.3 and note that the kernel of the algebra epimorphism $\pi: \Lambda^* \twoheadrightarrow \Lambda$ of Lemma 4.5.(iii) is equal to the ideal of Λ^* generated by the loops in B , which we denote by $\langle B + I^* \rangle$. Therefore, it holds that $\Lambda^*_\Lambda \simeq \Lambda_\Lambda \oplus \langle B + I^* \rangle_\Lambda$ due to the equality $\pi i = \text{id}_\Lambda$, where the ideal $\langle B + I^* \rangle$ is viewed as a right Λ -module via the restriction of scalars induced by i . Furthermore, the set

$$\{\beta_i^\kappa + I^* \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \kappa = 1, 2, \dots, l - 1\}$$

is a k -basis of the ideal $\langle B + I^* \rangle$, where l denotes the Loewy length of Λ , as the paths in the above set are the only paths in Q^* passing through some loop in B and not divided by the paths in S_B ; see Lemma 4.5.(i). Moreover, it holds that

$$\langle B + I^* \rangle_\Lambda \simeq \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq m} (S_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}(i))^{\oplus(l-1)}$$

because $\langle B + I^* \rangle J(\Lambda) = 0$ and $\beta_i e_i = \beta_i$ for every loop $\beta_i \in B$. Consequently,

$$\text{pd } \langle B + I^* \rangle_\Lambda = \text{id top}_\Lambda \Lambda(1 - e_m)$$

as $D(S_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}(i)) \simeq S_\Lambda(i)$ for every vertex $i \in Q_0$, where D is the standard duality between left and right finitely generated Λ -modules, and $1 - e_m = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} e_i$.

If Λ has quasi-uniform Loewy length, then the injective dimension of the simple module $S_\Lambda(i)$ is finite for every vertex $i \leq m$ because the projective module Λe_i has non-maximal Loewy length by assumption. Therefore, the injective dimension of $\text{top}_\Lambda \Lambda(1 - e_m) \simeq \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq m} S_\Lambda(i)$ is finite. Moreover, the latter injective dimension is equal to the projective dimension of the right finitely generated Λ -module $\langle B + I^* \rangle_\Lambda$ and, thus, we conclude that $\text{id top}_\Lambda \Lambda(1 - e_m) \leq \text{fin. dim } \Lambda^{\text{op}}$. \square

Corollary 4.7. *For a bound quiver algebra with finite global dimension, it holds that the latter is attained among the injective dimensions of simple modules whose projective cover has non-maximal Loewy length.*

Proof. Let Λ be a bound quiver algebra with finite global dimension. Then we have that $\text{gl. dim } \Lambda = \text{Fin. dim } \Lambda \leq \text{id top}_\Lambda \Lambda(1 - e_m) \leq \text{gl. dim } \Lambda$ due to Theorem 4.6. \square

If a bound quiver algebra $\Lambda = kQ/I$ does not satisfy any of the conditions below, then the finiteness of its (big) finitistic dimension is either immediate or follows from the mentioned paper in the parentheses.

- (i) Λ has infinite global dimension.
- (ii) Λ has non-zero finitistic dimensions.
- (iii) Λ is not Iwanaga-Gorenstein ([4]).

- (iv) Λ is not monomial ([12]).
- (v) The Loewy length of Λ is greater than 3 ([14]).
- (vi) Λ is triangular reduced ([9]).
- (vii) The projective dimension of every simple Λ -module is greater than 1 ([10]).
- (viii) Every arrow of Λ occurs in every generating set for I ([13]).

Recall that a bound quiver algebra Λ is called *triangular reduced* [13] if for every idempotent $e \neq 0, 1$ of Λ it holds that both $e\Lambda(1-e)$ and $(1-e)\Lambda e$ are non-trivial subspaces of Λ . Moreover, it holds that Λ is triangular reduced if and only if the quiver Q of Λ is path connected, see [11].

The next example contains an infinite family of bound quiver algebras with quasi-uniform Loewy length that satisfy the above conditions. In particular, the finiteness of the big finitistic dimension of the algebras, which is implied by Theorem 4.6, does not follow from the existing results in the literature.

Example 4.8. Fix two positive integers n and m , where n is a multiple of 5 and at least equal to 10. Let $\Lambda_{n,m} = kQ_{n,m}/I_{n,m}$ be the bound quiver algebra where $Q_{n,m}$ is the quiver of Figure 1, the ideal $I_{n,m}$ is the ideal generated by the relations $R_{n,m}$ on the table of the same figure, and k is any field. For every vertex i of $Q_{n,m}$, there is a loop ℓ_i at i exactly when $i = n+m$ or $1 \leq i \leq n$ and i is equivalent to 0 or 4 modulo 5. Furthermore, if $1 \leq i < n$ then there is a unique arrow with source i and target $i+1$, the arrow α_i , except for $i = 5$, and the relation $\ell_i\alpha_i^*$ is to be interpreted as both $\ell_5\alpha_5^1$ and $\ell_5\alpha_5^2$ if $i = 5$ or, else, as just the relation $\ell_i\alpha_i$.




FIGURE 1. Quiver and relations for $\Lambda_{n,m}$

We show that the big finitistic dimension of $\Lambda = \Lambda_{n,m}$ is finite by showing that its Loewy length is quasi-uniform. Note that Λ is a bound quiver algebra with $\ell\ell(\Lambda) = 5$ and the only indecomposable direct summands of ${}_{\Lambda}\Lambda$ with non-maximal Loewy length are the ones corresponding to vertices 1 and 2 ($\ell\ell(\Lambda e_1) = 3$ and $\ell\ell(\Lambda e_2) = 4$) due to the relation $\alpha_{n-2}\alpha_{n-1}\alpha_n$. Therefore, it holds that

$$\text{Fin. dim } \Lambda \leq \max\{\text{id } S_{\Lambda}(1), \text{id } S_{\Lambda}(2)\}$$

according to Theorem 4.6, as $1 - e_m = e_1 + e_2$.

The algebra Λ satisfies conditions (i) to (viii) that precede this example. Conditions (i) and (vi) follow immediately from the shape of $Q_{n,m}$ (see also [18]) and Λ is not monomial as the paths $\alpha_4\alpha_5^1$ and $\alpha_4\alpha_5^2$ are equal and non-zero in Λ . Furthermore, it holds that the little finitistic dimension of Λ is non-zero as the regular module Λ_Λ does not possess a submodule that is isomorphic to $S_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}(1)$, see [7, Lemma 6.2]. Thirdly, it can be verified that every arrow of $Q_{n,m}$ occurs in every generating set of $I_{n,m}$ and the algebra Λ is not Iwanaga-Gorenstein as [11, Corollary 4.11] applies for the loop ℓ_{n+m} and the arrow γ_m (or the arrow β_m).

To see that condition (vii) is also satisfied, note first that $S_\Lambda(i)$ has infinite projective dimension for every vertex i such that $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $i \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ or $i \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$, due to the loop ℓ_i . If we let vertex n to be represented also by 0, then for every vertex i such that $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $i \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$, it holds that the module $S_\Lambda(i-1)$ is a direct summand of $\Omega^2(S_\Lambda(i))$. Similarly, the projective dimension of $S_\Lambda(n+m)$ is infinite due to the loop ℓ_{n+m} and, if i is such that $1 \leq i \leq m-1$, then the module $S_\Lambda(n+i+1)$ is a direct summand of $\Omega^1(S_\Lambda(n+i))$. Finally, the module $S_\Lambda(n+1)$ is a direct summand of $\Omega^1(S_\Lambda(2))$ and $\Omega^2(S_\Lambda(3))$ and, for every vertex i such that $7 \leq i \leq n$ and $i \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$ or $i \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$, the module $S_\Lambda(i-5)$ is a direct summand of $\Omega^2(S_\Lambda(i))$. We conclude that all simple Λ -modules have infinite projective dimension.

It remains now to check that there are minimal projective resolutions of the form

$$0 \rightarrow e_{n-3}\Lambda \rightarrow e_{n-4}\Lambda \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow e_7\Lambda \rightarrow e_6\Lambda \rightarrow e_2\Lambda \rightarrow e_1\Lambda \rightarrow S_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}(1) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow e_{n-2}\Lambda \rightarrow e_{n-3}\Lambda \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow e_8\Lambda \rightarrow e_7\Lambda \rightarrow e_3\Lambda \rightarrow e_2\Lambda \rightarrow S_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}(2) \rightarrow 0$$

implying that $\text{id } S_\Lambda(1) = \text{id } S_\Lambda(2) = 2\nu - 1$, where $\nu = \frac{n}{5}$, due to the standard duality between left and right finitely generated Λ -modules. In particular, we deduce that $1 \leq \text{Fin. dim } \Lambda \leq 2\nu - 1$.

The software [25] was used in order to verify that a preliminary version of the algebra $\Lambda_{10,0}$ had some of the properties required for the purpose of this example out of many other candidate algebras.

If $\Lambda = \Lambda_{n,m}$ is the algebra of the above example, then there is an exact sequence of the form

$$0 \rightarrow S_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}(2) \rightarrow e_{n+1}\Lambda \rightarrow e_{n+2}\Lambda \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow e_{n+m-1}\Lambda \rightarrow S_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}(n+m-1) \rightarrow 0$$

which is a truncation of the minimal projective resolution of $S_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}(n+m-1)$. In particular, it holds that $\text{id } S_\Lambda(n+m-1) = 2\nu + m - 2$. We close this section with two observations based on that fact.

Firstly, there are bound quiver algebras Λ with quasi-uniform Loewy length such that the maximum of all finite injective dimensions of simple modules is arbitrarily bigger than the upper bound for $\text{Fin. dim } \Lambda$ provided by Theorem 4.6. In particular, the upper bound for $\text{fin. dim } \Lambda$ provided by the vertex removal operation [13] can be arbitrarily bigger than the upper bound provided by Theorem 4.6.

Secondly, the difference $\text{fin. dim } \Lambda^{\text{op}} - \text{Fin. dim } \Lambda$ can be arbitrarily big for a non-monomial bound quiver algebra Λ with $\text{fin. dim } \Lambda > 0$.

Corollary 4.9. *It holds that $\text{fin. dim}(\Lambda_{n,m})^{\text{op}} - \text{Fin. dim } \Lambda_{n,m} \geq m - 1$.*

Proof. The corollary follows from Example 4.8 and the above discussion as

$$\text{Fin. dim } \Lambda_{n,m} \leq 2\nu - 1 \leq 2\nu + m - 2 \leq \text{fin. dim}(\Lambda_{n,m})^{\text{op}}$$

where $2\nu + m - 2 = \text{pd } S_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}(n+m-1)$. □

REFERENCES

- [1] F.W. ANDERSON, K.R. FULLER, *Rings and Categories of Modules*, Springer, New York, 1992.
- [2] I. ASSEM, D. SIMSON, A. SKOWRONSKI, *Elements of the Representation Theory of Associative Algebras, Volume 1: Techniques of Representation Theory*, University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [3] M. AUSLANDER, *On the dimension of modules and algebras (III): Global dimension*, Nagoya Math. J. **9** (1955), 67–77.
- [4] M. AUSLANDER, I. REITEN, *Applications of contravariantly finite subcategories*, Adv. Math. **86** (1991), no. 1, 111–152.
- [5] M. AUSLANDER, I. REITEN, S.O. SMALØ, *Representation Theory of Artin Algebras*, University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [6] M. AUSLANDER, D.A. BUCHSBAUM, *Homological dimension in local rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **85** (1957), no. 2, 390–405.
- [7] H. BASS, *Finitistic dimension and a homological generalization of semi-primary rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **95** (1960), no. 3, 466–488.
- [8] K. ERDMANN, T. HOLM, O. IYAMA, J. SCHRÖER, *Radical embeddings and representation dimension*, Adv. Math. **185** (2004), no. 1, 159–177.
- [9] R.M. FOSSUM, P.A. GRIFFITH, I. REITEN, *Trivial Extensions of Abelian Categories*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **456**, Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 1975.
- [10] K.R. FULLER, M. SAORIN, *On the finitistic dimension conjecture for artinian rings*, Manuscripta Math. **74** (1992), 117–132.
- [11] O. GIATAGANTZIDIS, *The generalized arrow removal operation*, preprint.
- [12] E.L. GREEN, E. KIRKMAN, J. KUZMANOVICH, *Finitistic dimensions of finite dimensional monomial algebras*, J. Algebra **136** (1991), no. 1, 37–50.
- [13] E.L. GREEN, C. PSAROUDAKIS, Ø. SOLBERG, *Reduction techniques for the finitistic dimension*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **374** (2021), 6839–6879.
- [14] E.L. GREEN, B.Z. HUISGEN, *Finitistic dimension of artinian rings with vanishing radical cube*, Math. Z. **206** (1991), 505–526.
- [15] B.Z. HUISGEN, *Predicting syzygies over monomial relations algebras*, Manuscripta Math. **70** (1991), 157–182.
- [16] B.Z. HUISGEN, *Homological domino effects and the first Finitistic Dimension Conjecture*, Invent. Math. **108** (1992), 369–383.
- [17] B.Z. HUISGEN, *The finitistic dimension conjectures – A tale of 3.5 decades*, in: A. Facchini, C. Menini (eds), *Abelian Groups and Modules, Mathematics and Its Applications* **343**, Springer, Dordrecht, 1995, 501–517.
- [18] K. IGUSA, S. LIU, C. PAQUETTE, *A proof of the strong no loop conjecture*, Adv. Math. **228** (2011), no. 5, 2731–2742.
- [19] E. KIRKMAN, J. KUZMANOVICH, *Algebras with large homological dimensions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **109** (1990), no. 4, 903–906.
- [20] E. KIRKMAN, J. KUZMANOVICH, L. SMALL, *Finitistic dimensions of noetherian rings*, J. Algebra **147** (1992), no. 2, 350–364.
- [21] H. KRAUSE, *Homological Theory of Representations*, University Press, Cambridge, 2021.
- [22] J.W. MACQUARRIE, F.D.R. NAVES, *Quotient bifinite extensions and the finitistic dimension conjecture*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **152** (2024), 585–590.
- [23] H.Y. MOCHIZUKI, *Finitistic global dimension for rings*, Pac. J. Math. **15** (1965), no. 1, 249–258.
- [24] B.L. OSOFSKY, *Global dimension of valuation rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1967), no. 1, 136–149.
- [25] THE QPA-TEAM, *QPA - Quivers, path algebras and representations - a GAP package*, Version **1.33** (2022), <https://folk.ntnu.no/oyvinso/QPA/>.
- [26] L.W. SMALL, *A change of rings theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (1968), no. 3, 662–666.
- [27] C.C. XI, *On the finitistic dimension conjecture II: Related to finite global dimension*, Adv. Math. **201** (2006), no. 1, 116–142.
- [28] C.C. XI, D.M. XU, *The finitistic dimension conjecture and relatively projective modules*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **15** (2013), no. 2.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ARISTOTLE UNIVERSITY OF THESSALONIKI, 54124 GREECE
 Email address: `odysgiat@math.auth.gr`