

A reduction formula for the Dunkl kernel for the root systems of type A

P. Sawyer
Laurentian University
psawyer@laurentian.ca

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we provide a reduction formula for the Dunkl kernel for the root systems of type A. The Dunkl kernel for the root system A_n is expressed as an integral involving the Dunkl kernel for the root system A_{n-1} . The corresponding reduction formula for the intertwining operator V_k is given.

1. INTRODUCTION

We provide here some basic background for the paper.

A finite subset $\Sigma \subset \mathbf{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ is a root system in $(\mathbf{R}^d, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ if

- (1) The roots span \mathbf{R}^d .
- (2) The only scalar multiples of $\alpha \in \Sigma$ that are in Σ are α and $-\alpha$ (the root system is reduced).
- (3) $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma$ implies $\sigma_\alpha \beta = \beta - 2 \frac{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle}{|\alpha|^2} \alpha \in \Sigma$.
- (4) $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma$ implies $2 \frac{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle}{|\alpha|^2}$ is an integer (the root system is crystallographic).

Given a root system Σ , one can always choose a subset Σ^+ such that Σ is the disjoint union of Σ^+ and $-\Sigma^+$ and for $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma^+$, $\alpha + \beta \in \Sigma$ implies $\alpha + \beta \in \Sigma^+$. The positive Weyl chamber is the set $\mathfrak{a}^+ = \{X \in \mathbf{R}^d : \alpha(X) > 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Sigma^+\}$. The Weyl group W is generated by the reflections σ_α , $\alpha \in \Sigma$. A function $k : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is called a multiplicity function if it is invariant under the action of W on Σ .

We also introduce the building blocks of analysis on root systems. Let ∂_ξ be the derivative in the direction of $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^d$. The Dunkl operators indexed by ξ are then given by

$$T_\xi(k) f(X) = \partial_\xi f(X) + \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^+} k(\alpha) \alpha(\xi) \frac{f(X) - f(\sigma_\alpha X)}{\langle \alpha, X \rangle}.$$

The T_ξ 's, $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^d$, form a commutative family. For fixed $Y \in \mathbf{R}^d$, the Dunkl kernel $E_k(\cdot, Y)$ is then the only real-analytic solution to the system

$$T_\xi(k)|_X E_k(X, Y) = \langle \xi, Y \rangle E_k(X, Y), \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbf{R}^d$$

with $E_k(0, Y) = 1$. In fact, E_k extends to a holomorphic function on $\mathbf{C}^d \times \mathbf{C}^d$. In addition, the Dunkl kernel is W -equivariant:

$$(1.1) \quad w \circ T_\xi(k) \circ w^{-1} = T_{w\xi}(k).$$

We recall some important properties of the Dunkl kernel:

- (1) $E_k(wX, wY) = E_k(X, Y)$ for all $w \in W$.
- (2) $E_k(X, Y) = E_k(Y, X)$.
- (3) $E_k(cX, Y) = E_k(X, cY)$ for all $c \in \mathbf{C}$.
- (4) $\overline{E_k(X, Y)} = E_k(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})$.

Another important object in Dunkl theory is the intertwining V_k defined by the following properties

$$\begin{cases} T_\xi V_k &= V_k \partial_\xi, \\ V_k(\mathcal{P}_n) &= \mathcal{P}_n, \\ V_k|_{\mathcal{P}_0} &= \text{id} \end{cases}$$

where \mathcal{P}_n is the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree n . We also introduce the positive measure μ_X such that

$$V_k f(X) = \int_{\mathfrak{a}} f(H) d\mu_X(H)$$

(for the existence of the positive measure, see for example [8]). We have the following relation:

$$V_k(e^{\langle X, \cdot \rangle}) = E_k(X, \cdot).$$

For a more thorough introduction, the reader should consult [1, 7].

In this article, we concentrate on the root systems of type A . The root system A_n on $\{X \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} : x_1 + \dots + x_{n+1} = 0\}$ is given by $\Sigma = \{\mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_j, i \neq j\}$ where $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{n+1}\}$ denotes the standard basis of \mathbf{R}^{n+1} . We also use the standard scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. The Weyl group $W = S_{n+1}$ acts on $X \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ by permuting the entries of X : $(wX)_i = X_{w(i)}$. The positive roots are $\Sigma^+ = \{\mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_j, i < j\}$.

From now on, we consider instead the root system A_n on the space $\mathfrak{a} = \{X = (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}\}$ without the usual normalization $x_1 + \dots + x_{n+1} = 0$ (the first condition of a root system is then no longer satisfied). The positive Weyl chamber is $\mathfrak{a}^+ = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in \mathfrak{a} : x_i > x_{i+1}, i = 1, \dots, n\}$. We will use \widetilde{W} to denote the Weyl group for A_{n-1} . Similarly, we will use \widetilde{E}_k to denote the Dunkl kernel for A_{n-1} . The multiplicity function is given by $k(\alpha) \equiv k$ (a constant parameter). We also have

$$T_j(k) f(\lambda) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda_j}(\lambda) + k \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{f(\lambda) - f((i, j)\lambda)}{\lambda_j - \lambda_i}$$

(so that $T_j(k) = T_{\mathbf{e}_j}(k)$) where (i, j) exchanges the entries λ_i and λ_j of λ . We will assume that $k > 0$ unless otherwise specified.

Let $\pi(\lambda) = \prod_{i < j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)$ and

$$W_k(\lambda, \nu) = \left(\prod_{r=1}^{n+1} \left[\left(\prod_{s=r}^n (\lambda_r - \nu_s) \right) \left(\prod_{s=1}^{r-1} (\nu_s - \lambda_r) \right) \right] \right)^{k-1}.$$

The Dunkl kernel for the root system A_1 is given by

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & E_k((x_1, x_2), (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)) \\ &= \frac{2\Gamma(2k)}{\Gamma(k)^2} \frac{e^{x_2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}}{\pi(\lambda)^{2k}} \int_{\lambda_2}^{\lambda_1} e^{x_1\nu} e^{-x_2\nu} (\nu - \lambda_2)[(\lambda_1 - \nu)(\nu - \lambda_2)]^{k-1} d\nu \end{aligned}$$

(here, we do not assume that $x_1 + x_2 = 0 = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$).

In Dunkl's paper [4] an integral transform is given for the intertwining operator on the root system A_2 . Some integral formulas for $E_k(X, \lambda)$ of the system A_2 are given by Amri [2]. In [11], Xu provides an integral representation of the operator V for root systems of type A when the function depends on only one variable. As a consequence, they provide an expression for $E_k(X, \lambda)$ when $X = \mathbf{e}_j$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. Later, in [3], De Bie and Lian prove the same result using a different method.

In [5], Graczyk and Sawyer adapted a formula by Amri ([2, Theorem 1.1]) for the Dunkl kernel for the root systems of type A_2 . Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^+$, the positive Weyl chamber: we have for any $X \in \mathfrak{a}$,

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & E_k(X, \lambda) \\ &= \frac{3\Gamma(3k)}{\pi(\lambda)^{2k}\Gamma(k)^3} \int_{\lambda_3}^{\lambda_2} \int_{\lambda_2}^{\lambda_1} \{(\nu_1 - \lambda_2)(\lambda_1 - \nu_2) \widetilde{E}_k((x_1 - x_2)/2, \nu_1 - \nu_2) \\ & \quad + (\lambda_1 - \nu_1)(\lambda_2 - \nu_2) \widetilde{E}_k((x_1 - x_2)/2, \nu_2 - \nu_1)\} \\ & \quad (\nu_1 - \lambda_3)(\nu_2 - \lambda_3) e^{(x_1 + x_2 - 2x_3)(\nu_1 + \nu_2)/2} W_k(\lambda, \nu) d\nu_1 d\nu_2 \end{aligned}$$

assuming a normalization of $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0 = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3$. Amri provides another expression of the Dunkl kernel in [2, Corollary 2.2] but it is the former expression that led to (1.3).

If we forgo the normalization, (1.3) become

$$(1.4) \quad E_k(X, \lambda) = e^{x_3(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3)} \frac{3\Gamma(3k)}{\pi(\lambda)^{2k}\Gamma(k)^3} \\ \int_{\lambda_3}^{\lambda_2} \int_{\lambda_2}^{\lambda_1} \{(\nu_1 - \lambda_2)(\lambda_1 - \nu_2) E_k^{\text{rk}1}((x_1, x_2), (\nu_1, \nu_2)) \\ + (\lambda_1 - \nu_1)(\lambda_2 - \nu_2) E_k^{\text{rk}1}((x_1, x_2), (\nu_2, \nu_1))\} \\ \cdot (\nu_1 - \lambda_3)(\nu_2 - \lambda_3) e^{-x_3(\nu_1 + \nu_2)} W_k(\lambda, \nu) d\nu_1 d\nu_2.$$

We now use (1.2) (we can consider $E_k(x, \nu) = e^{\nu x}$ as the Dunkl kernel for “ A_0 ”) and (1.4) as an inspiration to propose a reduction formula valid for all n :

Theorem 1.1. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^+$. Then*

$$(1.5) \quad E_k(X, \lambda) = c_{n,k} \frac{e^{x_{n+1}(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n+1})}}{\pi(\lambda)^{2k}} \\ \int_{\lambda_{n+1}}^{\lambda_n} \dots \int_{\lambda_2}^{\lambda_1} \sum_{w \in \widetilde{W}} \epsilon(w) Q(\lambda, w\nu) \widetilde{E}_k(X', w\nu) \\ \cdot e^{-x_{n+1}(\nu_1 + \dots + \nu_n)} W_k(\lambda, \nu) d\nu_1 \dots d\nu_n$$

where $c_{n,k} = \frac{(n+1)\Gamma((n+1)k)}{\Gamma(k)^{n+1}}$, $\epsilon(w)$ is the sign of the permutation w and

$$(1.6) \quad Q(\lambda, \nu) = \frac{\prod_{r=1}^{n+1} \left[\left(\prod_{s=r}^n (\lambda_r - \nu_s) \right) \left(\prod_{s=1}^{r-1} (\nu_s - \lambda_r) \right) \right]}{\prod_{r=1}^n (\lambda_r - \nu_r)} \\ = \prod_{r=1}^{n+1} \left[\left(\prod_{s=r+1}^n (\lambda_r - \nu_s) \right) \left(\prod_{s=1}^{r-1} (\nu_s - \lambda_r) \right) \right] \\ = (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} \prod_{\substack{i \neq j \\ j < n+1, i \leq n+1}} (\lambda_i - \nu_j)$$

and $X' := (x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

This is the main result of this paper.

We will denote the domain of integration in (1.5) by $E(\lambda)$ and $d\nu$ for $d\nu_1 d\nu_2 \dots d\nu_n$ when convenient.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some auxiliaries results are introduced as well as steps needed to simplify the proof of the main theorem. Theorem 1.1 is then proved in Section 3. In Section 4, we give the corresponding reduction formula for the intertwining operator V_k . We show how Theorem 1.1 provide another alternative proof of the results by Xu mentioned above.

2. PRELIMINARIES

To prove Theorem 1.1, it suffices to show that the function defined by

$$(2.1) \quad F_k(X, \lambda) = c_{n,k} \frac{e^{x_{n+1}(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n+1})}}{V_k(\lambda)^{2k}} \\ \cdot \int_{\lambda_{n+1}}^{\lambda_n} \dots \int_{\lambda_2}^{\lambda_1} \sum_{w \in \widetilde{W}} \epsilon(w) Q(\lambda, w\nu) \widetilde{E}_k(X', w\nu) \\ \cdot e^{-x_{n+1}(\nu_1 + \dots + \nu_n)} W_k(\lambda, \nu) d\nu_1 \dots d\nu_n$$

satisfy the following conditions:

$$(2.2) \quad T_j(\lambda) F_k(X, \lambda) = x_j F_k(X, \lambda), \quad j = 1, \dots, n+1, \\ F_k(X, 0) = 1 \text{ for every } X$$

where $T_j = T_{\mathbf{e}_j}$ and $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{n+1}\}$ is the standard basis of \mathbf{R}^{n+1} .

Remark 2.1. In order to be able to apply the operators σ_α , $\alpha \in \Sigma$, to F_k defined in (2.1), we have to ensure that $W_k(\lambda, \nu)$ remains defined for $\nu \in E(\sigma_\alpha \lambda)$. We will consider instead

$$W_k(\lambda, \nu) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} (\lambda_j - \nu_i)^2 \right)^{(k-1)/2}.$$

Note that this does not change the value of $W_k(\lambda, \nu)$ when $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^+$ and $\nu \in E(\lambda)$. Note also that $\pi(\lambda)^{2k}$ and $W_k(\lambda, \nu)$ are symmetric (Weyl-invariant) in λ and that $W_k(\lambda, \nu)$ is also symmetric in ν .

Some further properties of the Dunkl kernel:

Lemma 2.2. *Consider the Dunkl kernel on A_{d-1} . We have*

- (1) $T_j(Y) E_k(X, wY) = x_{w^{-1}(j)} E(X, wY)$.
- (2) $T_j(Y) (e^{a(y_1 + \dots + y_d)} E_k(X, Y)) = (x_j + a) E_k(X, Y)$.
- (3) $e^{a(y_1 + \dots + y_d)} E_k(X, Y) = E_k(X + a, Y)$ where $X + a := (x_1 + a, \dots, x_d + a)$.

Proof.

- (1) We have

$$\begin{aligned} T_j(Y) E_k(X, wY) &= T_j(Y) E_k(w^{-1} X, Y) = x_{w^{-1}(j)} E(w^{-1} X, Y) \\ &= x_{w^{-1}(j)} E(X, wY). \end{aligned}$$

- (2) Straightforward computation.

- (3) Follows from 2. and $e^{a(y_1 + \dots + y_d)} E_k(X, Y)|_{Y=0} = E_k(X, 0) = 1$ which characterize $E_k(X + a, Y)$. □

The next few results will be instrumental in proving that the conditions of (2.2) are satisfied.

Lemma 2.3. *Let Q be as in (1.6). We have*

- (1) If $w \in \widetilde{W}$ then $Q(w\lambda, \nu) = Q(\lambda, w^{-1}\nu)$ (the action of $w \in \widetilde{W} = S_n$ is extended to $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}$ by $w(n+1) = n+1$).
- (2) $\sum_{w \in \widetilde{W}} \epsilon(w) Q(\lambda, w\nu) = \prod_{i < j < n+1} [(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)(\nu_i - \nu_j)] \prod_{r=1}^n (\nu_r - \lambda_{n+1})$.

Proof. (1) Let $w \in \widetilde{W}$:

$$\begin{aligned} Q(w\lambda, \nu) &= (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} \prod_{\substack{i \neq j \\ j < n+1, i \leq n+1}} (\lambda_{w(i)} - \nu_j) \\ &= (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} \prod_{\substack{i \neq j \\ j < n+1, i \leq n+1}} (\lambda_{w(i)} - \nu_{w(w^{-1}(j))}) \\ &= (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} \prod_{\substack{i \neq j \\ j < n+1, i \leq n+1}} (\lambda_i - \nu_{w^{-1}(j)}) = Q(\lambda, w^{-1}\nu). \end{aligned}$$

- (2) Let

$$\begin{aligned} (2.3) \quad R(\lambda, \nu) &= \frac{\sum_{w \in \widetilde{W}} \epsilon(w) Q(\lambda, w\nu)}{\prod_{r=1}^n (\nu_r - \lambda_{n+1})} \\ &= (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} \sum_{w \in \widetilde{W}} \epsilon(w) \prod_{i \neq j, i, j < n+1} (\lambda_{w(i)} - \nu_j) \end{aligned}$$

$R(\lambda, \nu)$ is clearly a skew-symmetric polynomial in $\lambda' := (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and in ν (thanks to (2.3)). Given that $R(\lambda, \nu)$ is of degree $n(n-1)/2$ in λ and also in ν , we must have $R(\lambda, \nu) = C \prod_{i < j < n+1} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)(\nu_i - \nu_j)$ where C is a constant. Now, let $\nu = \lambda'$ on both sides of (2.3):

$$R(\lambda, \lambda') = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} \prod_{i \neq j < n+1} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j) = \prod_{i < j < n+1} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2$$

(all terms with $w \neq \text{id}$ are null). The result follows. □

Lemma 2.4. *We consider the integrand of F_k in (2.1). Let*

$$f_k(\lambda, \nu, X) = \sum_{w \in \widetilde{W}} \epsilon(w) Q(\lambda, w\nu) \widetilde{E}_k(X', w\nu) e^{-x_{n+1}(\nu_1 + \dots + \nu_n)} W_k(\lambda, \nu).$$

Then

- (1) f_k is skew-symmetric in ν .
- (2) If $\pi_i(D) = \pi_j(D)$ for some $i \neq j$ then $\int_D f_k(\lambda, \nu, X) d\nu = 0$ (here $\pi_j: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is the projection on the j -th component).
- (3) $(1, 2)_\lambda \int_{E(\lambda)} f_k(\lambda, \nu, X) d\nu = - \int_{E(\lambda)} f_k((1, 2)\lambda, \nu, X) d\nu$.
- (4) If $j > 2$ then
 - (1, j) $_\lambda \int_{E(\lambda)} f_k(\lambda, \nu, X) d\nu = \int_{E(\lambda)} f_k((1, j)\lambda, (1, j-1)\nu, X) d\nu$.

Proof. Let f_k be as in the statement of the lemma.

- (1) Let $w_0 \in \widetilde{W}$, using $w' = w w_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_k(\lambda, w_0\nu, X) &= \sum_{w \in \widetilde{W}} \epsilon(w) Q(\lambda, w w_0\nu) \widetilde{E}_k(X', w w_0\nu) e^{-x_{n+1}(\nu_1 + \dots + \nu_n)} W_k(\lambda, \nu) \\ &= \sum_{w \in \widetilde{W}} \epsilon(w' w_0^{-1}) Q(\lambda, w'\nu) \widetilde{E}_k(X', w'\nu) e^{-x_{n+1}(\nu_1 + \dots + \nu_n)} W_k(\lambda, \nu) \\ &= \epsilon(w_0) f_k(\lambda, \nu, X). \end{aligned}$$

- (2) Suppose $\pi_i(D) = \pi_j(D)$ for $i \neq j$. Then using (1),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_D f_k(\lambda, \nu, X) d\nu &= \int_{(i,j)D} f_k(\lambda, \nu, X) d\nu = \int_D f_k(\lambda, (i, j)\nu, X) d\nu \\ &= - \int_D f_k(\lambda, \nu, X) d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

- (3) We have using (2),

$$\begin{aligned} (1, 2)_\lambda \int_{E(\lambda)} f_k(\lambda, \nu, X) d\nu &= \int_{E((1,2)\lambda)} f_k((1, 2)\lambda, \nu, X) d\nu \\ &= \int_{\lambda_{n+1}}^{\lambda_n} \int_{\lambda_n}^{\lambda_{n-2}} \dots \int_{\lambda_3}^{\lambda_1} \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} f_k((1, 2)\lambda, \nu, X) d\nu \\ &= \int_{\lambda_{n+1}}^{\lambda_n} \int_{\lambda_n}^{\lambda_{n-2}} \dots \left(\int_{\lambda_3}^{\lambda_2} + \int_{\lambda_2}^{\lambda_1} \right) \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} f_k((1, 2)\lambda, \nu, X) d\nu \\ &= - \int_{E(\lambda)} f_k((1, 2)\lambda, \nu, X) d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

(3) If $j > 2$, using (2) repeatedly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(1, j)_\lambda \int_{E(\lambda)} f_k(\lambda, \nu, X) d\nu &= \int_{E((1, j)_\lambda)} f_k((1, j)_\lambda, \nu, X) d\nu \\
&= \int_{\lambda_n}^{\lambda_{n-1}} \cdots \int_{\lambda_{j+1}}^{\lambda_1} \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_{j-1}} \cdots \int_{\lambda_3}^{\lambda_2} \int_{\lambda_2}^{\lambda_j} f_k((1, j)_\lambda, \nu, X) d\nu \\
&= \int_{\lambda_n}^{\lambda_{n-1}} \cdots \int_{\lambda_{j+1}}^{\lambda_1} \left[\int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} + \int_{\lambda_2}^{\lambda_3} + \cdots + \int_{\lambda_{j-2}}^{\lambda_{j-1}} \right] \cdots \int_{\lambda_3}^{\lambda_2} \int_{\lambda_2}^{\lambda_j} f_k((1, j)_\lambda, \nu, X) d\nu \\
&= \int_{\lambda_n}^{\lambda_{n-1}} \cdots \int_{\lambda_{j+1}}^{\lambda_1} \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} \cdots \int_{\lambda_3}^{\lambda_2} \left[\int_{\lambda_2}^{\lambda_3} + \int_{\lambda_3}^{\lambda_4} + \cdots + \int_{\lambda_{j-1}}^{\lambda_j} \right] f_k((1, j)_\lambda, \nu, X) d\nu \\
&= \int_{\lambda_n}^{\lambda_{n-1}} \cdots \left[\int_{\lambda_{j+1}}^{\lambda_j} + \int_{\lambda_j}^{\lambda_{j-1}} + \cdots + \int_{\lambda_2}^{\lambda_1} \right] \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} \cdots \int_{\lambda_3}^{\lambda_2} \int_{\lambda_{j-1}}^{\lambda_j} f_k((1, j)_\lambda, \nu, X) d\nu \\
&= \int_{\lambda_n}^{\lambda_{n-1}} \cdots \int_{\lambda_{j+1}}^{\lambda_j} \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} \cdots \int_{\lambda_3}^{\lambda_2} \int_{\lambda_{j-1}}^{\lambda_j} f_k((1, j)_\lambda, \nu, X) d\nu \\
&= \int_{E(\lambda)} f_k((1, j)_\lambda, (1, j-1)_\nu) d\nu.
\end{aligned}$$

□

The next three results will ensure that verifying the first condition in (2.2) for $j = 1$ suffices.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $F_k(X, \lambda)$ be as in (2.1) and suppose $n > 1$. Then for $1 < i < n + 1$, $F_k((1, i)X, (1, i)\lambda) = F_k(X, \lambda)$.*

Proof. Using Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4, if $2 < i < n + 1$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
&F_k((1, i)X, (1, i)\lambda) \\
&= c_{n,k} \frac{e^{x_{n+1}(\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{n+1})}}{\pi(\lambda)^{2k}} (1, i)_\lambda \int_{E(\lambda)} \sum_{w \in \widetilde{W}} \epsilon(w) Q(\lambda, w\nu) \\
&\quad \cdot \widetilde{E}_k((1, i)X', w\nu) e^{-x_{n+1}(\nu_1 + \cdots + \nu_n)} W_k(\lambda, \nu) d\nu_1 \cdots d\nu_n \\
&= c_{n,k} \frac{e^{x_{n+1}(\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{n+1})}}{\pi(\lambda)^{2k}} \int_{E(\lambda)} \sum_{w \in \widetilde{W}} \epsilon(w) Q((1, i)\lambda, w(1, i-1)\nu) \\
&\quad \cdot \widetilde{E}_k((1, i)X', w(1, i-1)\nu) e^{-x_{n+1}(\nu_1 + \cdots + \nu_n)} W_k(\lambda, \nu) d\nu_1 \cdots d\nu_n \\
&= c_{n,k} \frac{e^{x_{n+1}(\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{n+1})}}{\pi(\lambda)^{2k}} \int_{E(\lambda)} \sum_{w \in \widetilde{W}} \epsilon(w) Q(\lambda, \overbrace{(1, i)w(1, i-1)\nu}^{w'}) \\
&\quad \cdot \widetilde{E}_k((1, i)X', (1, i)(1, i)w(1, i-1)\nu) e^{-x_{n+1}(\nu_1 + \cdots + \nu_n)} W_k(\lambda, \nu) d\nu_1 \cdots d\nu_n \\
&= c_{n,k} \frac{e^{x_{n+1}(\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{n+1})}}{\pi(\lambda)^{2k}} \int_{E(\lambda)} \sum_{w' \in W} \epsilon((1, i)w'(1, i-1)) Q(\lambda, w'\nu) \\
&\quad \cdot \widetilde{E}_k((1, i)X', (1, i)w'\nu) e^{-x_{n+1}(\nu_1 + \cdots + \nu_n)} W_k(\lambda, \nu) d\nu_1 \cdots d\nu_n = F_k(X, \lambda)
\end{aligned}$$

since $\widetilde{E}_k((1, i)X', (1, i)w'\nu) = \widetilde{E}_k(X', w'\nu)$.

If $i = 2$, the proof is similar with a minus sign in front of the integrals and with $(1, i-1)$ replaced by the identity. The minus sign later disappears at the end since then $\epsilon((1, i)w'(1, i-1)) = -\epsilon(w')$. □

Lemma 2.6. *We have $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} T_i(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i}$.*

Proof. This is a simple verification (the reflection terms cancel out). □

Lemma 2.7. *Let $F_k(\lambda, X)$ be as in Proposition 2.5. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} T_i(\lambda) F_k(\lambda, X) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i F_k(\lambda, X).$$

Proof. We will write $\lambda + t := (\lambda_1 + t, \dots, \lambda_{n+1} + t)$. By Lemma 2.6 and using $\nu'_k = \nu_k - t$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} T_i(\lambda) F_k(\lambda, X) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} F_k(\lambda, X) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} F_k(\lambda + t, X) \\ &= c_{n,k} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \frac{e^{x_{n+1}(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n+1}) + (n+1)t x_{n+1}}}{\pi(\lambda)^{2k}} \\ &\quad \cdot \int_{\lambda_{n+1}+t}^{\lambda_n+t} \dots \int_{\lambda_2+t}^{\lambda_1+t} \sum_{w \in \widetilde{W}} \epsilon(w) Q(\lambda + t, w \nu) \widetilde{E}_k(X', w \nu) \\ &\quad \cdot e^{-x_{n+1}(\nu_1 + \dots + \nu_n)} W_k(\lambda + t, \nu) d\nu_1 \dots d\nu_n \\ &= c_{n,k} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \frac{e^{x_{n+1}(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n+1}) + (n+1)t x_{n+1}}}{\pi(\lambda)^{2k}} \\ &\quad \cdot \int_{E(\lambda)} \sum_{w \in \widetilde{W}} \epsilon(w) \overbrace{Q(\lambda + t, w(\nu' + t))}^{Q(\lambda, w \nu')} \widetilde{E}_k(X', w(\nu' + t)) \\ &\quad \cdot e^{-x_{n+1}(\nu'_1 + \dots + \nu'_n) - n t x_{n+1}} \overbrace{W_k(\lambda + t, \nu' + t)}^{W_k(\lambda, \nu')} d\nu'_1 \dots d\nu'_n \\ &= c_{n,k} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \frac{e^{x_{n+1}(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n+1}) + t x_{n+1}}}{\pi(\lambda)^{2k}} \int_{E(\lambda)} \sum_{w \in \widetilde{W}} \epsilon(w) Q(\lambda, w \nu') \\ &\quad \cdot \widetilde{E}_k(X', w(\nu' + t)) e^{-x_{n+1}(\nu'_1 + \dots + \nu'_n)} W_k(\lambda, \nu') d\nu'_1 \dots d\nu'_n \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i F_k(\lambda, X) \end{aligned}$$

using the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \widetilde{E}_k(X', w(\nu' + t)) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu'_i} \widetilde{E}_k(X', w \nu') = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i(\nu') \widetilde{E}_k(X', w \nu') \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i E_k(X', w \nu'). \end{aligned}$$

□

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

(Proof of Theorem 1.1). We will show that $F_k(X, \lambda)$ is the Dunkl kernel by showing it has the right properties thus proving Theorem 1.1. We start by showing that the second condition of (2.2) is satisfied.

First, we have using using Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
F_k(0, \lambda) &= \frac{c_{n,k}}{\pi(\lambda)^{2k}} \int_{E(\lambda)} \sum_{w \in \tilde{W}} \epsilon(w) Q(\lambda, w\nu) W_k(\lambda, \nu) d\nu_1 \cdots d\nu_n \\
&= c_{n,k} \frac{\pi(\lambda') \prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i - \lambda_{n+1})}{\pi(\lambda)^{2k}} \int_{E(\lambda)} \pi(\nu) \\
&\quad \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\nu_j - \lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_i - \lambda_{n+1}} \left(\pi(\lambda)^2 \prod_{p=1}^{n+1} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n (\nu_i - \lambda_p)}{\prod_{i \neq p} (\lambda_i - \lambda_p)} \right)^{k-1} d\nu_1 \cdots d\nu_n.
\end{aligned}$$

We use the change of variables (also used in [10])

$$(3.1) \quad t_p = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n (\nu_i - \lambda_p)}{\prod_{i \neq p} (\lambda_i - \lambda_p)}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq n+1,$$

noting that $t_p \geq 0$ for all p , $\sum_{p=1}^{n+1} t_p = 1$ and setting $\sigma = \{(t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}) : t_p \geq 0, \sum_{p=1}^{n+1} t_p = 1\}$. We have

$$\frac{D(t_i)}{D(\nu_j)} = \frac{\prod_{i < p \leq n} (\nu_i - \nu_p)}{\prod_{i < p \leq n+1} (\lambda_i - \lambda_p)},$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
F_k(0, \lambda) &= c_{n,k} \frac{\pi(\lambda') \prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i - \lambda_{n+1})}{\pi(\lambda)^{2k}} \pi(\lambda) \pi(\lambda)^{2k-2} \int_{\sigma} t_{n+1} (t_1 \cdots t_{n+1})^{k-1} dt \\
&= c_{n,k} \int_{\sigma} t_{n+1} (t_1 \cdots t_{n+1})^{k-1} dt = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from the integration of the Dirichlet distribution of order $n+1$ with parameters k, \dots, k (repeated n times) and $k+1$.

We now show that $T_1(\lambda) F_k(\lambda, X) = x_1 F_k(\lambda, X)$. We assume first that $\Re k$ is “large enough” to ensure that the integrand and its derivatives are zero on the domain of integration. Afterward, we extend the result to $\Re k > 0$ by analytic continuation on k .

Let $C_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_i}$ and $D_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let

$$H_k(X, \lambda) = e^{-x_{n+1}(\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{n+1})} \frac{\pi(\lambda)^{2k}}{c_{n,k}} F_k(X, \lambda)$$

and $\tilde{H}_k(X, \nu) = e^{-x_{n+1}(\nu_1 + \cdots + \nu_{n+1})} \tilde{E}_k(X', \nu)$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{-x_{n+1}(\lambda_1+\dots+\lambda_{n+1})} \frac{\pi(\lambda)^{2k}}{c_{n,k}} T_1 F_k(X, \lambda) \\
&= \overbrace{-2k \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_{n+1}} \right) H_k(X, \lambda)}^{B_1} + \overbrace{x_{n+1} H_k(X, \lambda)}^{L_1} \\
&\quad + \overbrace{\int_{E(\lambda)} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{w \in \widetilde{W}, w(1)=i} \epsilon(w) \frac{C_i [Q(\lambda, w\nu) W_k(\lambda, \nu)] \widetilde{H}_k(X', w\nu)}{W_k(\lambda, \nu)} W_k(\lambda, \nu) d\nu}^{B_2} \\
&\quad + \overbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{w \in \widetilde{W}, w(1)=i} \epsilon(w) \left[\int_{E(\lambda)} \left((-D_i)[Q(\lambda, w\nu) W_k(\lambda, \nu)] \widetilde{H}_k(X', w\nu) \right) d\nu + S_{w,i} \right]}^{L_2} \\
&\quad + \overbrace{\frac{k}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \int_{E(\lambda)} \sum_{w \in \widetilde{W}} \epsilon(w) (Q(\lambda, w\nu) + Q((1, 2)\lambda, w\nu)) \widetilde{H}_k(X', w\nu) W_k(\lambda, \nu) d\nu}^{B_3} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=3}^{n+1} \frac{k}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_i} \int_{E(\lambda)} \sum_{w \in \widetilde{W}} \epsilon(w) \{ Q(\lambda, w\nu) \widetilde{H}_k(X', w\nu) \\
&\quad - Q((1, i)\lambda, w(1, i-1)\nu) \widetilde{H}_k(X, w(1, i-1)\nu) \} W_k(\lambda, \nu) d\nu \quad \boxed{B_4} \\
&\quad - \overbrace{\epsilon(w) \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{w \in \widetilde{W}, w(1)=i} S_{w,i}}^S
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$S_{w,i} = k \sum_{j \neq i < n+1} \int_{E(\lambda)} Q(\lambda, w\nu) \frac{\widetilde{H}_k(X', w\nu) - \widetilde{H}_k(X', w(i, j)\nu)}{\nu_i - \nu_j} W_k(\lambda, \nu) d\nu.$$

Note that $L_2 = (x_1 - x_{n+1}) H_k(X, \lambda)$. This equality follows from taking the adjoints of the $-D_i$'s, taking into account the terms $S_{w,i}$ and Lemma 2.2.

We want to show that $B_1 + B_2 + B_3 + B_4 + S = 0$. The integrand of $e^{-x_{n+1}(\lambda_1+\dots+\lambda_{n+1})} \frac{\pi(\lambda)^{2k}}{c_{n,k}} T_1 F_k(X, \lambda)$ is skew-symmetric in ν . Thus it suffices to show that the coefficient of $k \widetilde{H}_k(X', \nu) W_k(\lambda, \nu)$ in the integrands of B_1 , B_2 , B_3 , B_4 and S (we will denote them respectively b_1 , b_2 , b_3 , b_4 and s) add up to 0.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
b_1 &= -2 \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_{n+1}} \right) Q(\lambda, \nu) \\
b_2 &= \frac{C_1 [Q(\lambda, \nu) W_k(\lambda, \nu)]}{k W_k(\lambda, \nu)} = Q(\lambda, \nu) \left(\sum_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \nu_i} + \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{\nu_1 - \lambda_i} \right), \\
b_3 &= \frac{Q(\lambda, \nu) + Q((1, 2)\lambda, \nu)}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}, \\
b_4 &= \sum_{i=3}^{n+1} \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_i} (Q(\lambda, \nu) + Q((1, i)\lambda, \nu)), \\
s &= - \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{Q(\lambda, \nu) - Q(\lambda, (1, j)\nu)}{\nu_1 - \nu_j}.
\end{aligned}$$

To compute b_2 , it is helpful to notice that

$$(3.2) \quad Q(\lambda, \nu) W_k(\lambda, \nu) = W_{k+1}(\lambda, \nu) / \prod_{j=1}^n (\lambda_j - \nu_j).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
& b_1 + b_2 + b_3 + b_4 + s \\
&= \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} \frac{Q((1, i)\lambda, \nu) - Q(\lambda, \nu)}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_i} + Q(\lambda, \nu) \left(\sum_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \nu_i} + \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} \frac{1}{\nu_1 - \lambda_i} \right) \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{Q(\lambda, \nu) - Q(\lambda, (1, j)\nu)}{\nu_1 - \nu_j} \\
&= Q(\lambda, \nu) \left(- \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{\nu_1 - \nu_i}{(\lambda_1 - \nu_i)(\nu_1 - \lambda_i)} - \frac{1}{\nu_1 - \lambda_{n+1}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \nu_i} + \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} \frac{1}{\nu_1 - \lambda_i} - \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_i}{(\lambda_1 - \nu_i)(\nu_1 - \lambda_i)} \right) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

using the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
Q((1, i)\lambda, \nu) - Q(\lambda, \nu) &= Q(\lambda, (1, i)\nu) - Q(\lambda, \nu) \\
&= -Q(\lambda, \nu) \frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_i)(\nu_1 - \nu_i)}{(\lambda_1 - \nu_i)(\nu_1 - \lambda_i)} \text{ when } i < n + 1, \\
Q((1, n+1)\lambda, \nu) - Q(\lambda, \nu) &= -Q(\lambda, \nu) \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_{n+1}}{\nu_1 - \lambda_{n+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we show that $T_j(\lambda) F_k(\lambda, X) = x_j F_k(\lambda, X)$ for $1 < j < n + 1$: it suffices to use the above along (1.1) and Proposition 2.5:

$$F_k(\lambda, X) = F_k((1, j)X, (1, j)\lambda).$$

Finally, to show that $T_{n+1}(\lambda) F_k(\lambda, X) = x_{n+1} F_k(\lambda, X)$, it suffices to observe that $T_{n+1} = (T_1 + \cdots + T_{n+1}) - T_{n+1}$ and use Lemma 2.6. \square

4. FURTHER RESULTS AND CONCLUSION

The following result will serve as a tool to allow us to provide a reduction formula for the operator V_k .

Theorem 4.1 (Nachbin [6]). *Let A be a subalgebra of the algebra $C^\infty(M)$ of smooth functions on a finite dimensional smooth manifold M . Suppose that A separates the points of M and also separates the tangent vectors of M :*

for each point $m \in M$ and tangent vector v at the tangent space at m , there is a $f \in A$ such that $df(x)(v) \neq 0$. Then A is dense in $C^\infty(M)$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $f(\lambda) = f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n+1})$ be a smooth function and let

$$f_\lambda(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) = f(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n, \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i).$$

Then

$$(4.1) \quad V_k(f)(\lambda) = \frac{c_{n,k}}{\pi(\lambda)^{2k}} \int_{E(\lambda)} \sum_{w \in W} \epsilon(w) Q(\lambda, w\nu) \widetilde{V}_k(f_\lambda)(w\nu) \cdot W_k(\lambda, \nu) d\nu_1 \cdots d\nu_n$$

where \widetilde{V}_k is the corresponding operator on A_{n-1} .

Proof. Using Lemma 2.2, we can write

$$V_k(\exp(\langle \cdot, X \rangle))(\lambda) = E_k(X, \lambda) = c_{n,k} \frac{e^{x_{n+1}(\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{n+1})}}{\pi(\lambda)^{2k}} \cdot \int_{E(\lambda)} \sum_{w \in W} \epsilon(w) Q(\lambda, w\nu) \widetilde{E}_k(X' - x_{n+1}, w\nu) W_k(\lambda, \nu) d\nu_1 \cdots d\nu_n.$$

Now, if $f(\lambda) = \exp(\langle \lambda, X \rangle)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{V}_k(f_\lambda)(\nu) &= \widetilde{V}_k(\exp(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \nu_i + x_{n+1} (\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i))) \\ &= e^{x_{n+1}(\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{n+1})} \widetilde{V}_k(\exp(\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - x_{n+1}) \nu_i)) \\ &= e^{x_{n+1}(\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{n+1})} \widetilde{E}_k(X' - x_{n+1}, \nu) \end{aligned}$$

and equation (4.1) holds. Therefore, (4.1) also holds for any linear combination of exponentials of the form $\exp(\langle X, \cdot \rangle)$. Theorem 4.1 allows us to conclude. \square

Remark 4.3. We can compare the expression in Theorem 4.2 for the Dunkl kernel and the intertwining operator V_k with its Weyl-invariant counterparts, the spherical function $E^W(X, \lambda)$ and the dual Abel transform \mathcal{A}^* , in [9]:

$$\begin{aligned} E^W(X, \lambda) &= \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{w \in W} E_k(X, w\lambda) = \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{w \in W} E_k(wX, \lambda) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(k(n+1))}{(\Gamma(k))^{n+1}} \frac{e^{x_{n+1}(\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{n+1})}}{\pi(\lambda)^{2k-1}} \int_{E(\lambda)} \widetilde{E}^W(X' - x_{n+1}, \nu) \cdot \pi(\nu) W_k(\lambda, \nu) d\nu, \\ \mathcal{A}^*(f)(\lambda) &= \frac{\Gamma(k(n+1))}{(\Gamma(k))^{n+1}} \frac{1}{\pi(\lambda)^{2k-1}} \int_{E(\lambda)} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^*(f_\lambda)(\nu) \pi(\nu) W_k(\lambda, \nu) d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

It was shown in [9], for the root systems of type A , that the supports of \mathcal{A}^* and of V_k are the same, namely $C(\lambda)$ (the convex hull of $W\lambda$ in \mathfrak{a}).

We now use equation Theorem 1.1 to provide a different proof of a result by Xu ([11, Corollary 2.4]).

Theorem 4.4.

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} E_k(x_{n+1} \mathbf{e}_{n+1}) &= E_k((0, \dots, x_{n+1}), \lambda) \\ &= c_{n,k} \int_{\sigma} e^{-x_{n+1}(\lambda_1 t_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{n+1} t_{n+1})} t_{n+1} (t_1 \cdots t_{n+1})^{k-1} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned} E_k((0, \dots, x_{n+1}), \lambda) &= c_{n,k} e^{-x_{n+1}(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n+1})} \frac{\pi(\lambda') \prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i - \lambda_{n+1})}{\pi(\lambda)^{2k}} \\ &\cdot \int_{E(\lambda)} \pi(\nu) \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\nu_j - \lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_j - \lambda_{n+1}} \left(\pi(\lambda)^2 \prod_{p=1}^{n+1} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n (\nu_i - \lambda_p)}{\prod_{i \neq p} (\lambda_i - \lambda_p)} \right)^{k-1} \\ &\cdot e^{-x_{n+1}(\nu_1 + \dots + \nu_n)} W_k(\lambda, \nu) d\nu_1 \cdots d\nu_n. \end{aligned}$$

Using the change of variable given in (3.1), we obtain (4.2). We have used the fact that $\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \lambda_j t_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \lambda_j - \sum_{j=1}^n \nu_j$. Indeed, for $n = 1$, this is easy to check. We then assume true for $n - 1$, $n \geq 2$ and consider the sum as a function of ν_n ,

$$S(\nu_n) = \sum_{p=1}^{n+1} \lambda_p \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n (\nu_i - \lambda_p)}{\prod_{i \neq p} (\lambda_i - \lambda_p)}.$$

Observe that S is a polynomial of degree 1 in ν_n . Using induction, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} S(\lambda_{n+1}) &= \sum_{p=1}^n \lambda_p \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\nu_i - \lambda_p)}{\prod_{i \neq p} (\lambda_i - \lambda_p)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \nu_i, \\ S(\lambda_1) &= \sum_{p=2}^{n+1} \lambda_p \frac{\prod_{i=2}^{n-1} (\nu_i - \lambda_p)}{\prod_{1 < i \neq p} (\lambda_i - \lambda_p)} = \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} \lambda_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \nu_i. \end{aligned}$$

The only polynomial in ν_n of degree 1 satisfying these two relations is $S(\nu_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i$. \square

Corollary 4.5. *Let $1 \leq j \leq n + 1$. Then*

$$E_k(x_j \mathbf{e}_j, \lambda) = c_{n,k} \int_{\sigma} e^{-x_j(\lambda_1 t_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n+1} t_{n+1})} t_j (t_1 \cdots t_{n+1})^{k-1} dt.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} E_k(x_j \mathbf{e}_j, \lambda) &= E_k(x_j(j, n+1) \mathbf{e}_j, (j, n+1) \lambda) \\ &= c_{n,k} \int_{\sigma} e^{-x_j(\lambda_1 t_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n+1} t_j + \dots + \lambda_j t_{n+1})} t_{n+1} (t_1 \cdots t_{n+1})^{k-1} dt \\ &= c_{n,k} \int_{\sigma} e^{-x_j(\lambda_1 t_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n+1} t_{n+1})} t_j (t_1 \cdots t_{n+1})^{k-1} dt \end{aligned}$$

(exchanging t_j and t_{n+1}). \square

Similarly, Theorem 4.2 leads to a proof of [11, Theorem 2.1] of Xu.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ and define F by $F(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n+1}) = f(\lambda_j)$. Then*

$$V_k(F)(\lambda) = c_{n,k} \int_{\sigma} f(\lambda_1 t_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n+1} t_{n+1}) t_j (t_1 \cdots t_{n+1})^{k-1} dt.$$

Proof. A combination of Theorem 4.2 and the proof of Theorem 4.4 and its corollary. \square

We can write the formulas of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 4.2 more compactly using (3.2):

$$\begin{aligned} (4.3) \quad E_k(X, \lambda) &= c_{n,k} \frac{e^{x_{n+1}(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n+1})}}{\pi(\lambda)^{2k}} \\ &\cdot \int_{E(\lambda)} \sum_{w \in \widetilde{W}} \epsilon(w) \frac{\widetilde{E}_k(X' - x_{n+1}, w\nu)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i - \nu_{w(i)})} W_{k+1}(\lambda, \nu) d\nu, \\ V_k(f)(\lambda) &= \frac{c_{n,k}}{\pi(\lambda)^{2k}} \int_{E(\lambda)} \sum_{w \in \widetilde{W}} \epsilon(w) \frac{\widetilde{V}_k(f\lambda)(w\nu)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i - \nu_{w(i)})} W_{k+1}(\lambda, \nu) d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.7. One drawback of Theorem 1.1 is that when $n > 2$, not all terms in the sum in the integrand of (4.3) are positive. Indeed, if w is the permutation sending 1, 2, 3 to 2, 3, 1 respectively and $i > 3$ to i itself, then $\epsilon(w) = 1$ and

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i - \nu_{w(i)}) = (\lambda_1 - \nu_2) (\lambda_2 - \nu_3) (\lambda_3 - \nu_1) \prod_{i=4}^n (\lambda_i - \nu_i)$$

which is negative on $E(\lambda)^\circ$.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.-P. Anker. *An introduction to Dunkl theory and its analytic aspects*, Analytic, algebraic and geometric aspects of differential equations. Bedlewo, Poland, September 2015, 3–58. Springer International Publishing.
- [2] B. Amri. *On the integral representations for Dunkl kernels of type A_2* , Journal of Lie Theory, Vol. 26, 2016, 1163–1175.
- [3] H. De Bie and P. Lian. *Dunkl intertwining operator for symmetric groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 149 (2021), 4871–4880.
- [4] C. F. Dunkl. *Intertwining operators associated to the group S_3* , Trans. Amer. Math.Soc. 347 (1995), 3347–3374.
- [5] P. Graczyk and P. Sawyer. *A Formula and Sharp Estimates for the Dunkl Kernel for the Root System A_2* , to appear in the Journal of Lie Theory, 2024.
- [6] L. Nachbin, *Sur les algèbres denses de fonctions différentiables sur une variété*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 228: 1549–1551, 1949.
- [7] M. Rösler. *Dunkl operators: Theory and applications*, Orthogonal Polynomials and Special Functions, Vol. 1817, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 93–135, 2003.
- [8] M. Rösler and M. Voit. *Positivity of Dunkl’s intertwining operator via the trigonometric setting*, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2004, no. 63, 3379–3389.
- [9] P. Sawyer. *A Laplace-Type Representation of the Generalized Spherical Functions Associated with the Root Systems of Type A*, Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics, Volume 14, article number 147, (2017).
- [10] P. Sawyer. *Spherical functions on symmetric cones*, Transaction of the American Mathematical Society 349 (9) , 3569–358.
- [11] Y. Xu. *Intertwining operator associated to symmetric groups and summability on the unit sphere*, Journal of Approximation Theory Volume 272, December 2021, 105649, 1–16.