

From Spinors to Horospheres: A Geometric Tour

Daniel V. Mathews

School of Mathematics, Monash University
School of Physical and Mathematical Sciences, Nanyang Technological University
dan.v.mathews@gmail.com

Varsha

Department of Mathematics, University College London
varsha.varsha.24@ucl.ac.uk

December 17, 2024

Abstract

This article is an exposition and elaboration of recent work of the first author on spinors and horospheres. It presents the main results in detail, and includes numerous subsidiary observations and calculations. It is intended to be accessible to graduate and advanced undergraduate students with some background in hyperbolic geometry.

The main result is the spinor–horosphere correspondence, which is a smooth, $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -equivariant bijection between two-component complex spin vectors and spin-decorated horospheres in three-dimensional hyperbolic space. The correspondence includes constructions of Penrose–Rindler and Penner, which respectively associate null flags in Minkowski spacetime to spinors, and associate horospheres to points on the future light cone. The construction is presented step by step, proceeding from spin vectors, through spaces of Hermitian matrices and Minkowski space, to various models of 3-dimensional hyperbolic geometry. Under this correspondence, we show that the natural inner product on spinors corresponds to a 3-dimensional, complex version of lambda lengths, describing a distance between horospheres and their decorations.

We also discuss various applications of these results. An ideal hyperbolic tetrahedron with spin-decorations at its vertices obeys a Ptolemy equation, generalising the Ptolemy equation obeyed by 2-dimensional ideal quadrilaterals. More generally we discuss how real spinors describe 2-dimensional hyperbolic geometry. We also discuss the relationships between spinors, horospheres, and various sets of matrices.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Overview	2
1.2	Horospheres and their decorations	3
1.3	Spinor inner product and distances between horospheres	6
1.4	Equivariance	8
1.5	Ptolemy equation and matrices	8
1.6	The journey ahead: overview of proofs and constructions	9
1.7	Notation	12
1.8	Acknowledgments	13

2	From spinors to null flags to decorated horospheres	13
2.1	From spin vectors to Hermitian matrices	13
2.2	From Hermitian matrices to the positive light cone in Minkowski space	18
2.3	Flags	24
2.4	From Minkowski space to the hyperboloid model	41
2.5	From the hyperboloid model to the disc model	55
2.6	From the disc model to the upper half space model	59
2.7	Putting the maps together	60
3	Spin decorations and complex lambda lengths	66
3.1	Spin-decorated horospheres	66
3.2	Topology of spaces and maps	70
3.3	Complex lambda lengths	73
3.4	Proof of Theorem 3	75
4	Applications	77
4.1	Three-dimensional hyperbolic geometry	77
4.2	Real spinors and 2-dimensional hyperbolic geometry	79
4.3	Polygons, polyhedra and matrices	84
A	Notation	87
	References	88

1 Introduction

1.1 Overview

At least since Descartes, mathematics has sought ways to describe geometry using algebra — usually, though perhaps not always, in the hope that complicated geometric problems can be reduced to simpler algebraic calculations.

In this paper we discuss a way to describe certain objects in 3-dimensional *hyperbolic* geometry, called *horospheres*, using pairs of complex numbers. Our use of pairs of complex numbers builds on that of Roger Penrose and Wolfgang Rindler in their book [20], where they were considered as *spinors*. Our results build on their work, so we follow their terminology.

Spinors arise in various contexts in physics. At least since Einstein, physics has sought ways to describe physical objects geometrically. From this perspective, this paper discusses how to describe spinors in terms of the geometry of horospheres.

Horospheres are standard objects in hyperbolic geometry. Though we define them below, we do assume some background in hyperbolic geometry. However, this paper is designed to be broadly accessible, and we hope that, for readers with a little knowledge of hyperbolic geometry, reading this paper may strengthen that knowledge, and inspire them to learn more.

The goal of this paper is to explain in detail the following theorem of the first author in [13], and some of its ramifications. The theorem says that pairs of complex numbers correspond to horospheres with some decorations on them, which we will define in due course.

Theorem 1. *There exists an explicit, smooth, bijective, $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -equivariant correspondence between nonzero spinors, and horospheres in hyperbolic 3-space \mathbb{H}^3 with spin decorations.*

So, given a pair of complex numbers (ξ, η) , what is the corresponding horosphere, and what is the decoration? We give an explicit answer in Theorem 2.

Having a bijective correspondence between two mathematical objects is good, but it is even better when that correspondence preserves various structures on each side. A particularly nice aspect the

correspondence in Theorem 1 is that it can tell us the *distance* between horospheres, and more, from some elementary operations on complex numbers. Theorem 3 tells us how to do this.

A bijective correspondence between two mathematical objects is also nice when structures on one side can illuminate structures on the other. We will see various instances of this throughout the paper. One example is that, when we have four pairs of complex numbers, they obey certain equations called *Plücker relations*. These correspond to equations relating distances between horospheres which we call *Ptolemy equations*, as they have the same form as Ptolemy’s theorem from classical Euclidean geometry [23].

The full proof of Theorem 1 takes us on a tour through various interesting mathematical constructions. Along the way we will see, for instance, Pauli matrices from quantum mechanics, Minkowski space from relativity theory, the Hopf fibration, stereographic projection, and the hyperboloid, conformal disc, and upper half space models of hyperbolic space. It is quite a journey and in this paper we take the time to explain each step along the way, making various observations as we proceed. In this sense, this paper is a fuller exposition of [13], with some further details, pictures, and calculations. The proof brings together several existing constructions in relativity theory and hyperbolic geometry, including the null flag construction of Penrose–Rindler in [20] and the relation of the light cone to horocycles given by Penner in [18].

It is perhaps worth noting that part of the motivation for Penrose–Rindler’s work [20] was that, using their constructions, complex numbers describe structures from both quantum mechanics, and relativity theory. Such phenomena arise here where, as we will see, for instance, the Pauli matrices of quantum mechanics arise in a relativistic context, and the group $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ plays several roles, simultaneously describing linear transformations of spinors, conformal transformations of the celestial sphere (regarded as \mathbb{CP}^1), and isometries of Minkowski space (i.e. Lorentz transformations). The potential for these mathematical ideas to describe physics has been taken up in the program of *twistor theory* (see e.g. [10, 21]). In that context, the results of this paper give a further, very concrete and explicit, geometric interpretation of spinors, that may be of relevance elsewhere. However, the constructions we consider here are prior to the notion of twistors; they only concern spinors. As far as relativity theory is concerned, it is the special theory, not the general theory.

Whatever the case, the spinor–horosphere correspondence of Theorem 1 has already found several applications within geometry and topology, from generalising Descartes’ circle theorem [15], to finding hyperbolic structures [14], and inter-cusp distances in knot complements [9].

1.2 Horospheres and their decorations

So, what is a horosphere?

Definition 1.1.

- (i) *A horoball is the limit of increasing hyperbolic balls tangent to a given plane in \mathbb{H}^3 at a given point on a given side, as their radius tends to infinity.*
- (ii) *A horosphere is the boundary of a horoball.*

See Figure 1 for a picture of this construction. It may not be particularly informative at first instance, but horospheres appear distinctively in the various standard models of hyperbolic 3-space \mathbb{H}^3 . In this paper we consider the hyperboloid model, which we denote \mathbb{H} ; the conformal ball model, which we denote \mathbb{D} ; and the upper half space model, which we denote \mathbb{U} . These are discussed in texts on hyperbolic geometry such as [1, 3, 11, 24, 25, 27].




Figure 1: Horosphere definition in the (a) disc model and (b) upper half space model.

In the hyperboloid model \mathbb{H} , a horosphere \hat{h} appears as the intersection of the hyperboloid with an affine 3-plane whose normal lies in the light cone. Roughly speaking, such planes are “on a 45 degree angle”; in the context of conic sections, they are the planes which intersect the cone in parabolic sections. In the conformal ball model \mathbb{D} , a horosphere appears as a sphere tangent to the sphere at infinity. This point at infinity is called the *centre* of the horosphere. In the upper half space model \mathbb{U} , with the boundary at infinity regarded as $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ in the usual way, a horosphere appears either as a horizontal plane, if its centre is ∞ , and otherwise a sphere tangent to \mathbb{C} at its centre. See Figure 2.




Figure 2: Horospheres \hat{h} , \hat{h}_1 , \hat{h}_2 in the (a) hyperboloid model (drawn schematically, one dimension down), (b) conformal ball model and (c) upper half space model.

As it turns out, a horosphere is isometric to the Euclidean plane. Even though hyperbolic 3-space \mathbb{H}^3 is negatively curved, horospheres are flat surfaces living inside \mathbb{H}^3 . Perhaps this is most easily seen for those horospheres which appear as horizontal planes in the upper half space model \mathbb{U} . Using the standard description of \mathbb{U} as

$$\mathbb{U} = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid z > 0\} \quad \text{with Riemannian metric} \quad ds^2 = \frac{dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2}{z^2}, \quad (1.2)$$

fixing z to be a constant z_0 shows that the hyperbolic metric on the horosphere $z = z_0$ is a constant multiple of the Euclidean metric on the xy -plane.

The *decorations* we consider on horospheres take advantage of their Euclidean geometry. If we place a tangent vector at a point on a horosphere \hat{h} , we may transport it around \hat{h} by parallel translation, to obtain a *parallel tangent vector field* on \hat{h} . Note this cannot be done on surfaces with nonzero curvature: parallel transport of a vector around a loop will in general not result in the same vector. By the Gauss–Bonnet theorem, the vector will be rotated by an angle equal to the curvature inside the loop.

In a horosphere decoration, we are only interested in the direction of the vector, not its length. So a decoration is a *parallel oriented line field*. (Alternatively, we could consider it as a parallel unit vector field.) Some decorated horospheres in the disc model and upper half space models are shown in Figure 3.




Figure 3: Decorated horospheres in the (a) conformal ball and (b) upper half space models.

A decoration on a horosphere can be rotated through any angle. If we rotate it through an angle of 2π , it returns to the same decoration. It turns out that it is possible to define a *spin decoration*, which *does not* return to the same decoration after rotating through 2π , but *does* return to the same decoration after rotation through 4π . A rigorous definition is given in Definition 3.11. It requires some technical details relating to the geometry of *spin*, the same geometry that allows an electron to return to its initial state after rotating through 4π , but not 2π .

If we do not worry about spin, then Theorem 1 also gives a smooth, bijective, $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -equivariant correspondence between nonzero spinors *up to sign*, and decorated horospheres. The $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ action then factors through $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$. We prove this in Proposition 3.15.

It is most convenient to describe a decorated horosphere explicitly in the upper half space model \mathbb{U} . It is common to think of the horizontal, xy -plane in \mathbb{U} as the complex plane, and introduce a complex coordinate $z = x + yi$. The boundary at infinity of hyperbolic space can then be regarded as $\partial\mathbb{U} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. Thus, \mathbb{U} can alternately be described as

$$\mathbb{U} = \{(z, h) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R} \mid h > 0\} = \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}^+.$$

A horosphere \mathfrak{h} in \mathbb{U} thus has its centre in $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. If \mathfrak{h} has centre ∞ then it appears as a horizontal plane in \mathbb{U} at some height, and because it is parallel to \mathbb{C} , directions along \mathfrak{h} may be specified by complex numbers. If \mathfrak{h} has centre at $z \neq \infty$, then it appears as a Euclidean sphere in \mathbb{U} , with some diameter; and at its highest point, or *north pole*, its tangent space is again parallel to \mathbb{C} , so directions along \mathfrak{h} may be specified by complex numbers. (Two complex numbers which are positive multiples of each other specify the same direction.) Because a decoration is a *parallel* oriented line field on \mathfrak{h} , it suffices to describe a decoration on \mathfrak{h} at one point, and the north pole will suffice. Further details are given in Section 2.6.1.

Theorem 2. *Under the correspondence of Theorem 1, a nonzero spinor $(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ corresponds to a horosphere \mathfrak{h} in \mathbb{U} , centred at ξ/η , with a spin-decoration.*

- (i) *If $\eta \neq 0$, then \mathfrak{h} appears in \mathbb{U} as a sphere with Euclidean diameter $|\eta|^{-2}$, and its decoration is specified at the north pole by $i\eta^{-2}$.*
- (ii) *If $\eta = 0$ then \mathfrak{h} appears in \mathbb{U} as a plane at height $|\xi|^2$, and its decoration is specified by $i\xi^2$.*

This theorem makes Theorem 1 explicit, and in particular locates precisely the horosphere corresponding to a spinor. See Figure 4. However, it only describes decorations, rather than spin decorations. Indeed, in Theorem 2, the spinors $\pm(\xi, \eta)$ both yield the same decorated horosphere. When spin is fully taken into account, the two spinors (ξ, η) and $-(\xi, \eta)$ correspond to spin-decorations on the same horosphere which differ by a 2π rotation.




Figure 4: Decorated horospheres in the upper half space model corresponding to spinors $\kappa = (\xi, \eta)$.

1.3 Spinor inner product and distances between horospheres

How can we describe the distance between two horospheres — or even better, between two spin-decorated horospheres?

Consider two horospheres h_1, h_2 , with centres p_1, p_2 . Then the geodesic γ from p_1 to p_2 intersects both horospheres orthogonally. Let the intersection points of γ with h_1, h_2 be q_1, q_2 respectively. Assuming h_1, h_2 are disjoint, the shortest path from h_1 and h_2 is given by γ from q_1 to q_2 . Denote this shortest distance between the horospheres by ρ .

If h_1, h_2 have decorations, then we can say more — there is also an *angle* between them. Precisely, the decoration on h_1 describes a direction at q_1 , and if we parallel translate this direction along γ to q_2 , then there is some angle θ , such that rotating the direction at q_2 by θ around γ aligns the two decorations.

The angle θ between the two decorations is well defined modulo 2π . If we consider *spin* decorations, then the angle is well defined modulo 4π . Rigorous definitions are given in Section 3.3. See Figure 5.




Figure 5: Complex translation distance between decorated horospheres.

In this way, we can define a *complex distance* d between spin-decorated horospheres, given by

$$d = \rho + i\theta.$$

Our next theorem shows us that we can find the complex distance between two spin-decorated horospheres, from an elementary operation on the corresponding spinors.

Theorem 3. *Given two spinors κ_1, κ_2 , with corresponding spin-decorated horospheres h_1, h_2 ,*

$$\{\kappa_1, \kappa_2\} = \exp\left(\frac{d}{2}\right),$$

where $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is the inner product of spinors, and d is the complex distance between h_1 and h_2 .

Thus, the complex distance — including both the distance between horospheres, and angle between decorations — can be calculated simply from the inner product of spinors. But what is this inner product? As it turns out, it just amounts to arranging the two complex numbers of κ_1 , and the two complex numbers of κ_2 , as the columns of a matrix, and taking the determinant.

Definition 1.3. *The spinor inner product $\{\cdot, \cdot\}: \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined for $\kappa_1 = (\xi_1, \eta_1)$ and $\kappa_2 = (\xi_2, \eta_2)$ by*

$$\{\kappa_1, \kappa_2\} = \det(\kappa_1, \kappa_2) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 & \xi_2 \\ \eta_1 & \eta_2 \end{pmatrix} = \xi_1 \eta_2 - \xi_2 \eta_1.$$

Equivalently, $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ can be regarded as the standard complex symplectic form on \mathbb{C}^2 . If \mathbb{C}^2 has coordinates (z_1, z_2) , then the inner product above is (up to conventions about constants) just $dz_1 \wedge dz_2$.

We call the quantity $\exp(d/2)$ the *complex lambda length* between spin-decorated horospheres, denoted λ .

$$\lambda = \exp \left(\frac{d}{2} \right).$$

It generalises the notion of *lambda length*, defined by Penner in [18] as a real quantity in the 2-dimensional context. In two dimensions, one can define a distance between horocycles, but there is no angle involved. Our λ here is a generalised, 3-dimensional, complex version of the lambda lengths from [18]. It is worth pointing out that the case when our spinors have *real* coordinates essentially reduces to 2-dimensional geometry, though with some technicalities; and when the spinors are *integers*, we can recover Ford circles: we discuss this in Section 4.2.

Note that as θ is well defined modulo 4π , d is well defined modulo $4\pi i$, so $d/2$ is well defined modulo $2\pi i$, and hence $\lambda = \exp(d/2)$ is well defined. However, if we drop spin and only consider decorations, then θ is only well defined modulo 2π , so d is only well defined modulo $2\pi i$, and λ is then only well defined up to sign. The spinors κ_1, κ_2 are then also only well defined up to sign, so Theorem 3 still holds, but with a sign ambiguity.

Although we have assumed the two horospheres h_1, h_2 are disjoint, in fact Theorem 3 applies to any two spin-decorated horospheres. When horospheres overlap, the distance ρ is well defined and negative; when they have the same centre, $\rho \rightarrow -\infty$ and $\lambda = 0$. We discuss this in Section 3.3.

Taken together, Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 provide a powerful method for computations involving horospheres. Given a spinor, we can say precisely where the corresponding horosphere is, and what its decoration looks like. Conversely, given decorated horospheres, it is not difficult to find corresponding spinors. And given two spin-decorated horospheres, we can find the complex distance, or lambda length, between them, simply by taking a determinant.

Example. Consider the spinor $\kappa_1 = (1, 0)$. By Theorem 2 it corresponds to the horosphere h_1 in \mathbb{U} , centred at ∞ — hence a horizontal plane — at height 1, with decoration specified by i .

Similarly, $\kappa_2 = (0, 1)$ corresponds to the horosphere h_2 in \mathbb{U} , centred at 0, with Euclidean diameter 1, and decoration specified at the north pole by i .

These two horospheres are tangent at $(0, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{U}$, and their decorations agree there. It turns out that their spin decorations agree too, so their complex distance is given by $d = \rho + i\theta$ where $\rho = 0$ and $\theta = 0$, i.e. $d = 1$. Hence their lambda length is $\lambda = \exp(d/2) = 1$. We verify Theorem 3 by checking that $\{\kappa_1, \kappa_2\} = 1$ also, given by taking the determinant of the identity matrix.

Multiplying κ_1 by $re^{i\theta}$ with $r > 0$ and θ real moves the plane h_1 to height r^2 in \mathbb{U} , i.e. upwards by $2 \log r$, and rotates its decoration by 2θ . The complex distance between h_1, h_2 becomes $d = 2 \log r + 2\theta i$, and we then find $\lambda = \exp(d/2) = re^{i\theta}$, which again agrees with $\{\kappa_1, \kappa_2\}$. The situation is as in Figure 5.

1.4 Equivariance

Theorem 1 includes a statement that the spinor–horosphere correspondence is $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -equivariant. This means that there are actions of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on the space \mathbb{C}^2 of spinors, and on the space of spin-decorated horospheres, and that the correspondence respects those actions.

The action of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on \mathbb{C}^2 is not complicated: it is just matrix-vector multiplication! It is easily computable.

The action of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on spin-decorated horospheres, on the other hand, is a little more subtle. The orientation-preserving isometry group of \mathbb{H}^3 is well known to be $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$, and this isomorphism can be made quite explicit in the upper half space model, where elements of $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ describe Möbius transformations. Thus, $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts on \mathbb{H}^3 by isometries, and hence also on horospheres and decorated horospheres.

However, spin decorations on horospheres live in a more complicated space. The group $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ is the double and universal cover of $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$, and can be regarded as the group of orientation-preserving isometries of \mathbb{H}^3 which also preserve spin structures. It is then possible to define an action of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on spin-decorated horospheres, and we do this precisely in Section 3.2.3.

The equivariance of Theorem 1 thus means that applying an $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ linear transformation to a spinor corresponds to applying the corresponding isometry to a spin-decorated horosphere. This can be useful.

1.5 Ptolemy equation and matrices

First appearing in Ptolemy’s 2nd century *Almagest* [23] is *Ptolemy’s theorem*, that in a cyclic quadrilateral $ABCD$ in the Euclidean plane one has

$$AC \cdot BD = AB \cdot CD + AD \cdot BC.$$




Figure 6: Ptolemy’s theorem.

See Figure 6. Similar *Ptolemy equations* arise in various mathematical contexts, such as representations of 3-manifold groups, e.g. [7, 30], and more generally in *cluster algebras*, see e.g. [4, 5, 29]. As part of their spinor algebra, Penrose–Rindler in [20] discuss an antisymmetric quantity ε_{AB} describing the inner product $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$. In particular, it obeys a Ptolemy-like equation (e.g. [20, eq. 2.5.21])

$$\varepsilon_{AC}\varepsilon_{BD} = \varepsilon_{AB}\varepsilon_{CD} + \varepsilon_{AD}\varepsilon_{BC}.$$

In our context, we obtain a Ptolemy equation as follows.

Theorem 4. *For any ideal tetrahedron in \mathbb{H}^3 , with spin-decorated horospheres h_i ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3$) about its vertices, and λ_{ij} the lambda length between h_i and h_j ,*

$$\lambda_{02}\lambda_{13} = \lambda_{01}\lambda_{23} + \lambda_{12}\lambda_{03}. \tag{1.4}$$

See Figure 7. Penner in [18] gave a similar equation for real lambda lengths in an ideal quadrilateral in the hyperbolic plane. Theorem 4 extends this result into 3 dimensions, using complex lambda lengths.




Figure 7: Decorated horospheres and complex lambda lengths along the edges of an ideal tetrahedron.

It is perhaps more standard in 3-dimensional geometry and topology to describe hyperbolic ideal tetrahedra using *shape parameters*, which are also *cross-ratios* of the four ideal vertices. Shape parameters were used famously by Thurston to develop gluing and completeness equations for hyperbolic 3-manifolds [26]. As we discuss in Section 4.1.2, from the lambda lengths of an ideal tetrahedron, one can recover the shape parameters.

The spinor–horosphere correspondence allows us to consider horospheres and their decorations via spinors, which are vectors in \mathbb{C}^2 . So if we have *several* spin-decorated horospheres, we then have *several* vectors in \mathbb{C}^2 , which can be arranged as the columns of a *matrix*. We can then approach problems involving multiple horospheres, or ideal *polygons* or *polyhedra* by using the algebra of matrices. In a sense, Theorem 4 is the first result in this regard.

An ideal polyhedron in \mathbb{H}^3 has some number d of ideal vertices. Decorating each ideal vertex with a spin-decorated horosphere, we obtain a bijective correspondence between suitably decorated ideal polyhedra, and $2 \times d$ complex matrices satisfying certain conditions. Moreover, if we want to consider such polyhedra up to *isometry*, we can take a quotient by the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ action. Taking a quotient of a space of $2 \times d$ matrices by a left action of 2×2 matrices is well known to produce *Grassmannians*. So the spinor–horosphere correspondence allows us to relate spaces of polyhedra to Grassmannian-like objects built from matrices. We explore these ideas in Section 4.3; they are also developed in [13]. Similarly, we can relate *ideal polygons* in \mathbb{H}^2 with d ideal vertices to $2 \times d$ *real* matrices. Lambda lengths are then real, and their sign can then be related to cyclic ordering around the circle at infinity; we discuss this in Section 4.2.2.

1.6 The journey ahead: overview of proofs and constructions

As we have mentioned, proving our main theorems involves a journey through several areas of mathematics. Let us now give an overview of where this journey will take us. Essentially, the proof of Theorem 1 consists of carefully tracking spinors through various constructions. In [13] several steps are elided, and various spaces are implicitly identified. Here here we treat them separately.

The journey proceeds in two stages, in Section 2 and Section 3. The first stage, in Section 2, goes from spinors to decorated horospheres, but does not incorporate spin. The second stage, in Section 3, upgrades the spaces and maps of the first stage, to incorporate spin.

Once these two stages are complete, in Section 4 we consider some applications.

1.6.1 Pre-spin stage

The first, or “pre-spin” stage, in Section 2, has five steps. (In [13] they are elided to two.)

The first step goes from *spinors* to *Hermitian matrices*, and it is implicit when Penrose–Rindler form the expression

$$\kappa^A \bar{\kappa}^{A'}.$$

This corresponds to taking a spinor $\kappa = (\xi, \eta)$, regarding it as a column vector, and multiplying it by its conjugate transpose κ^* . The result is a 2×2 Hermitian matrix.

$$\kappa\kappa^* = \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\xi} & \bar{\eta} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The second step goes from *Hermitian matrices* to *Minkowski space* $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$, which has coordinates (T, X, Y, Z) and metric $g = dT^2 - dX^2 - dY^2 - dZ^2$. The key fact is that 2×2 Hermitian matrices are precisely those which can be written in the form

$$\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} T + Z & X + iY \\ X - iY & T - Z \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} (T\sigma_T + X\sigma_X + Y\sigma_Y + Z\sigma_Z) \quad (1.5)$$

and hence such matrices can be *identified* with points in $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$. Here we observe the appearance of the *Pauli matrices* of quantum mechanics,

$$\sigma_T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_Z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Putting these two steps together, from a nonzero spinor we obtain a 2×2 Hermitian matrix, and then a point of $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$. This construction arguably goes back much further than Penrose–Rindler, to the first uses of spinors in quantum theory. In any case, it turns out that the resulting point in Minkowski space always lies on the *positive* or *future light cone* L^+ , which is given by

$$T^2 - X^2 - Y^2 - Z^2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad T > 0.$$

Thus, to a spinor, our first two steps associate a point in L^+ . This association, however, is not bijective, indeed far from it. After all, \mathbb{C}^2 is 4-dimensional, but L^+ is 3-dimensional. Thus Penrose–Rindler consider not just points on the light cone, but *flags*. Roughly speaking, a flag consists of a *point* on L^+ , the *ray* through that point, and a *2-plane* containing the ray. The possible 2-planes provide an extra dimension of flexibility, and eventually provides the direction of a spin-decoration. So as it turns out, we must associate to a spinor not just a point on the light cone, but a *flag*. Roughly, a flag consists of a point on the light cone (0-dimensional), the ray through it (1-dimensional), and a tangent plane (2-dimensional). See Figure 8. We think of the ray as the flagpole, and the 2-plane as a flag unfurled from it!




Figure 8: A flag in Minkowski space (drawn a dimension down).

However, if we are to proceed carefully and step by step, then flags in Minkowski space must come from spinors via an intermediate step in Hermitian matrices. As it turns out, we must consider flags in the space of Hermitian matrices. So the first two steps of our construction produce maps

$$\{\text{Spinors}\} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{f}} \{\text{Hermitian matrices}\} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{g}} \{\text{Future light cone in } \mathbb{R}^{1,3}\}$$

which are then upgraded to maps

$$\{\text{Spinors}\} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{F}} \{\text{Flags in Hermitian matrices}\} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{G}} \{\text{Flags in } \mathbb{R}^{1,3}\}.$$

These steps are carried out in Section 2.1 to Section 2.3, making various observations along the way. (The composition $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}$ is essentially the Hopf fibration under stereographic projection!) Roughly, Section 2.1 considers the map \mathbf{f} , Section 2.2 considers the map \mathbf{g} , and Section 2.3 considers flags and upgrades the maps to \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{G} . As it turns out, each step has a “lower case” version, which considers simpler structures, and an “upper case” version, which includes some sort of tangent structure such as a flag or decoration. (In [13], these two steps are elided into one, with \mathbf{f} and \mathbf{g} becoming ϕ_1 , and \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G} becoming Φ_1 .) These ideas are all in [20]; we give them a slightly different, detailed and explicit treatment.

The third step, covered in Section 2.4, goes from the *light cone* to *horospheres in the hyperboloid model* \mathbb{H} of hyperbolic space, and from *flags* to *decorated horospheres in* \mathbb{H} . This step builds on a construction of Penner [18], one dimension down. Given a point $p \in L^+$, we consider the 3-plane in $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ consisting of x satisfying the linear equation

$$\langle p, x \rangle = 1 \tag{1.6}$$

in the Minkowski inner product. This is exactly the type of plane that intersects the hyperboloid \mathbb{H} in a horosphere, and indeed it yields a map

$$\{\text{Future light cone in } \mathbb{R}^{1,3}\} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{h}} \{\text{Horospheres in } \mathbb{H}\}.$$

See Figure 9. It turns out that, if we also have a *flag* based at the point w , then that flag intersects the horosphere in a way that precisely gives a decoration, and so this map can be upgraded to a map

$$\{\text{Flags in } \mathbb{R}^{1,3}\} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{H}} \{\text{Decorated horospheres in } \mathbb{H}\}.$$




Figure 9: Decorated horosphere in \mathbb{H} arising from a flag (drawn a dimension down).

The fourth and fifth steps, covered in Section 2.5 and Section 2.6 respectively, are standard isometries between models of \mathbb{H}^3 . As it turns out, for us the most straightforward route from the hyperboloid model \mathbb{H} to the upper half space model \mathbb{U} is via the conformal disc model \mathbb{D} . Our maps transfer various structures between models,

$$\{\text{Horospheres in } \mathbb{H}\} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{i}} \{\text{Horospheres in } \mathbb{D}\} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{j}} \{\text{Horospheres in } \mathbb{U}\},$$

the latter involving stereographic projection. The upper-case versions handle decorations,

$$\{\text{Decorated horospheres in } \mathbb{H}\} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{I}} \{\text{Decorated horospheres in } \mathbb{D}\} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{J}} \{\text{Decorated Horospheres in } \mathbb{U}\}.$$

(In [13], all models of \mathbb{H}^3 are identified, so $\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}$ are elided into ϕ_2 and $\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{I}, \mathbf{J}$ into Φ_2 .)

Having completed these five steps, in Section 2.7 we put them together. We have a sequence of maps which start from a spinor, proceed to obtain a flag at a point on L^+ , and then eventually finish up at a horosphere with a decoration. In Proposition 2.152 we prove Theorem 2 for decorated horospheres.

Much of this story already appears in [20], if we forget horospheres. The point p on L^+ obtained from the spinor $\kappa = (\xi, \eta)$ yields a point on the celestial sphere \mathcal{S}^+ , which is also the boundary at infinity of hyperbolic space $\partial\mathbb{H}^3$. Regarding this sphere as $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ via stereographic projection, the point p is at ξ/η ; it is the centre of the corresponding horosphere. The flag and/or decoration yields a tangent direction to $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ at ξ/η , as discussed in [20, ch. 1]. See Figure 10.




Figure 10: Spinor κ with (a) corresponding null flag, and (b) projection to $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$.

1.6.2 Spin cycle

In the second stage of our constructions, having completed the five steps of maps $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}$ and their upgrades to flags and decorations $\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{I}, \mathbf{J}$, we do need to go through the five steps in detail again. In Section 3 we just upcycle them to include spin!

First there are the technicalities: we must define spin-decorated horospheres and various related notions. We do this in Section 3.1.

Once this is done, in Section 3.2 we consider the topology of the maps $\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{I}, \mathbf{J}$ and spaces involved. Upcycling our maps to spin versions is essentially just lifting to universal covers, and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{Spinors}\} &\xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathbf{F}}} \{\text{Spin flags in Hermitian matrices}\} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}} \{\text{Spin flags in } \mathbb{R}^{1,3}\} \\ &\xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathbf{H}}} \{\text{Spin-decorated horospheres in } \mathbb{H}\} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathbf{I}}} \{\text{Spin-decorated horospheres in } \mathbb{D}\} \\ &\xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathbf{J}}} \{\text{Spin-decorated Horospheres in } \mathbb{U}\}. \end{aligned}$$

We can then prove Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

It remains to prove Theorem 3. In Section 3.3 we properly define lambda lengths, and in Section 3.4 we prove the theorem.

1.6.3 Post-spin cycle

Having completed the spin cycle, we then examine a few applications in Section 4. Section 4.1 considers three-dimensional hyperbolic geometry, including the Ptolemy equation of Theorem 4. Section 4.2 considers what happens when spinors are real; we obtain some 2-dimensional hyperbolic geometry, and relations to positivity, triangulated polygons, and Ford circles and Farey fractions. Section 4.3 considers generalising to ideal hyperbolic polygons and polyhedra, and matrices built out of spinors.

1.7 Notation

In the careful calculations and step-by-step approach of this paper, there is unavoidably much notation. We have tried to be consistent throughout and avoid duplication of notation. We have followed some

notation of Penrose–Rindler [20], some that is standard in Minkowski geometry, and some that is standard in hyperbolic geometry; some however is probably not standard.

Throughout, complex numbers are denoted by lower case Greek letters, matrices are denoted by upper case Latin letters, and real numbers usually by lower case Latin letters. (These letters however can also denote other things.) The set of $m \times n$ matrices with entries from a set \mathbb{F} , is denoted $\mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$. A ring, field or vector space \mathbb{F} without its zero element is denoted \mathbb{F}_\times . In particular, the space of nonzero spinors $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ is abbreviated to \mathbb{C}_\times^2 . Hyperbolic 3-space (independent of model) is denoted \mathbb{H}^3 and we use $\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{D}, \mathbb{U}$ to refer to various models.

An overline $\bar{\alpha}$ is common to denote both complex conjugates, and elements of quotient spaces. We use both in close proximity, so to avoid potential confusion, we denote the latter by underlines. That is, $\bar{\alpha}$ is the complex conjugate of α , and \underline{S} is an element of a quotient space.

In Appendix A there is a table of notation for the reader’s convenience.

Unfortunately for our notation, the letter H is ubiquitous in this subject. Already in this introduction we have seen hyperbolic, hyperboloid, horospheres, Hermitian, height, \mathbb{H} , \mathfrak{h} , h , \mathbf{h} , \mathbf{H} and $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}$. There will also be \mathcal{H} , \mathfrak{H} , and \mathbf{h}_∂ . We can only apologise.

1.8 Acknowledgments

The first author is supported by Australian Research Council grant DP210103136.

2 From spinors to null flags to decorated horospheres

In this section we establish the necessary constructions for the main theorems (without spin). We start with a definition following the terminology of [20] as we need it.

Definition 2.1. *A spin vector, or two-component spinor, or just spinor, is a pair of complex numbers.*

2.1 From spin vectors to Hermitian matrices

The first step in our journey goes from spin vectors to Hermitian matrices via the map \mathbf{f} . In Section 2.1.1 we introduce various families of Hermitian matrices; they may seem obscure but we will see in Section 2.2 that they correspond to standard objects in Minkowski space. In Section 2.1.2 we define and discuss the map \mathbf{f} . In Section 2.1.3 we discuss $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ actions and show \mathbf{f} is $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -equivariant. Finally in Section 2.1.4 we consider some derivatives of \mathbf{f} , motivating the need for flags.

2.1.1 Hermitian matrices and their properties

Definition 2.2.

- (i) *The set of Hermitian matrices in $\mathcal{M}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{C})$ is denoted \mathcal{H} .*
- (ii) *$\mathcal{H}_0 = \{S \in \mathcal{H} \mid \det S = 0\}$ is the set of elements of \mathcal{H} with determinant zero.*
- (iii) *$\mathcal{H}_0^{0+} = \{S \in \mathcal{H}_0 \mid \text{Trace } S \geq 0\}$ is the set of elements of \mathcal{H}_0 with non-negative trace.*
- (iv) *$\mathcal{H}_0^+ = \{S \in \mathcal{H}_0 \mid \text{Trace}(S) > 0\}$ is the set of elements of \mathcal{H}_0 with positive trace.*

Observe that \mathcal{H} is a 4-dimensional real vector space with respect to, for instance, the Pauli basis

$$\sigma_T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_Z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note however that none of \mathcal{H}_0 , \mathcal{H}_0^{0+} or \mathcal{H}_0^+ is closed under addition, hence none is a vector space. However, \mathbb{R} acts on \mathcal{H}_0 by multiplication: a real multiple of an element of \mathcal{H}_0 again lies in \mathcal{H}_0 .

Similarly, the non-negative reals \mathbb{R}^{0+} act on \mathcal{H}_0^{0+} by multiplication, and the positive reals \mathbb{R}^+ act on \mathcal{H}_0^+ by multiplication.

We observe some basic facts about Hermitian matrices of determinant zero.

Lemma 2.3. *For $S \in \mathcal{H}_0$:*

- (i) *The diagonal elements are both ≥ 0 , or both ≤ 0 .*
- (ii) *$S \in \mathcal{H}_0^{0+}$ iff both diagonal entries are non-negative.*
- (iii) *$S \in \mathcal{H}_0^+$ iff at least one diagonal entry is positive.*
- (iv) *$\mathcal{H}_0^+ \subset \mathcal{H}_0^{0+}$, with $\mathcal{H}_0^{0+} \setminus \mathcal{H}_0^+ = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Letting $S = \begin{pmatrix} a & b+ci \\ b-ci & d \end{pmatrix}$ where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$, we observe that $\det S = ad - b^2 - c^2 = 0$.

- (i) Since $ad = b^2 + c^2 \geq 0$, either $a, d \geq 0$ or $a, d \leq 0$.
- (ii) From (i), $\text{Trace } S = a + d \geq 0$ iff $a, d \geq 0$.
- (iii) From (i) $\text{Trace } S = a + d > 0$ iff at least one of a, d is positive.
- (iv) It is immediate from the definition that $\mathcal{H}_0^+ \subseteq \mathcal{H}_0^{0+}$. If $S \in \mathcal{H}_0^{0+} \setminus \mathcal{H}_0^+$ then $\det S = 0 = \text{Trace } S$, so from (ii) $a = d = 0$, thus $b^2 + c^2 = 0$, so $b = c = 0$, i.e., $S = 0$.

□

Thus \mathcal{H}_0^{0+} can be defined as all $S \in \mathcal{H}_0$ with both diagonal entries non-negative. Similarly \mathcal{H}_0^+ can be defined as all $S \in \mathcal{H}_0$ with one diagonal entry positive.

2.1.2 The map from spin vectors to Hermitian matrices

Definition 2.4. *The map \mathbf{f} from spin vectors to Hermitian matrices is given by*

$$\mathbf{f}: \mathbb{C}^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad \mathbf{f}(\kappa) = \kappa \kappa^*.$$

Here we view κ as a column vector, regarding \mathbb{C}^2 as $\mathcal{M}_{2 \times 1}(\mathbb{C})$.

Lemma 2.5. *The map \mathbf{f} is smooth and has the following properties:*

- (i) $\mathbf{f}(\mathbb{C}^2) = \mathcal{H}_0^{0+}$.
- (ii) $\mathbf{f}(\kappa) = 0$ iff $\kappa = 0$.
- (iii) *The map \mathbf{f} restricts surjectively to a map $\mathbb{C}_\times^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_0^+$ (which we also denote \mathbf{f}).*

Proof. For general $\kappa = (\xi, \eta)$ we describe \mathbf{f} explicitly; it is manifestly smooth.

$$\mathbf{f}(\xi, \eta) = \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\xi} & \bar{\eta} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi \bar{\xi} & \xi \bar{\eta} \\ \eta \bar{\xi} & \eta \bar{\eta} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} |\xi|^2 & \xi \bar{\eta} \\ \eta \bar{\xi} & |\eta|^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.6)$$

- (i) Observe $\mathbf{f}(\kappa)$ has determinant zero and trace $|\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2 \geq 0$. Thus the image of \mathbf{f} lies in \mathcal{H}_0^{0+} .

To see that the image is \mathcal{H}_0^{0+} , take $S = \begin{pmatrix} a & re^{i\theta} \\ re^{-i\theta} & b \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{H}_0^{0+}$, where $r \geq 0$ and $a, b, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $ab = r^2$, and by Lemma 2.3(ii) we have $a, b \geq 0$. Letting $\sqrt{\cdot}$ denote the non-negative square root of a non-negative real number, we may take, for example, $(\xi, \eta) = (\sqrt{ke^{i\theta}}, \sqrt{l})$ or $(\sqrt{k}, \sqrt{l}e^{-i\theta})$, and then $\mathbf{f}(\xi, \eta) = S$.

- (ii) Clearly $\mathbf{f}(0) = 0$. If $\mathbf{f}(\kappa) = 0$ then the diagonal elements of $\mathbf{f}(\kappa)$ are $|\xi|^2 = |\eta|^2 = 0$, so $\kappa = 0$.
- (iii) If $\kappa \neq 0$ then at least one of the diagonal entries of $\mathbf{f}(\kappa)$ is positive, so by Lemma 2.3(iii), $\mathbf{f}(\kappa) \in \mathcal{H}_0^+$. For surjectivity, take $S \in \mathcal{H}_0^+$, which by Lemma 2.3(iv) is equivalent to $S \in \mathcal{H}_0^{0+}$ and $S \neq 0$. By (i) there exists $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}^2$ such that $\mathbf{f}(\kappa) = S$. By (ii), $\kappa \neq 0$, i.e. $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}_\times^2$.

□

The map \mathbf{f} is not injective; the next lemma describes precisely the failure of injectivity.

Lemma 2.7. $\mathbf{f}(\kappa) = \mathbf{f}(\kappa')$ iff $\kappa = e^{i\theta}\kappa'$ for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. If $\kappa = e^{i\theta}\kappa'$ then we have $\mathbf{f}(\kappa) = \kappa\kappa^* = (\kappa'e^{i\theta})(e^{-i\theta}\kappa'^*) = \kappa'\kappa'^* = \mathbf{f}(\kappa')$.

For the converse, suppose $\mathbf{f}(\kappa) = \mathbf{f}(\kappa')$. If $\mathbf{f}(\kappa) = \mathbf{f}(\kappa') = 0$ then by Lemma 2.5(ii) we have $\kappa = \kappa' = 0$ so the result holds trivially. Thus we assume $\mathbf{f}(\kappa) = \mathbf{f}(\kappa') \neq 0$, and hence, again using Lemma 2.5(ii), $\kappa, \kappa' \neq (0, 0)$. Let $\kappa = (\xi, \eta)$ and $\kappa' = (\xi', \eta')$.

Considering (2.6) and equating diagonal entries gives $|\xi| = |\xi'|$ and $|\eta| = |\eta'|$. We then have $\xi = e^{i\theta}\xi'$ and $\eta = e^{i\phi}\eta'$ for some $\theta, \phi \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus

$$\mathbf{f}(\kappa) = \begin{pmatrix} \xi\bar{\xi} & \xi\bar{\eta} \\ \eta\bar{\xi} & \eta\bar{\eta} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi'\bar{\xi}' & e^{i(\theta-\phi)}\xi'\bar{\eta}' \\ e^{i(\phi-\theta)}\eta'\bar{\xi}' & \eta'\bar{\eta}' \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{while} \quad \mathbf{f}(\kappa') = \begin{pmatrix} \xi'\bar{\xi}' & \xi'\bar{\eta}' \\ \eta'\bar{\xi}' & \eta'\bar{\eta}' \end{pmatrix},$$

therefore $\theta = \phi \pmod{2\pi}$, and we have $(\xi, \eta) = e^{i\theta}(\xi', \eta')$ as desired. □

Remark: \mathbf{f} is the cone on the Hopf fibration. The *Hopf fibration* is a fibration of S^3 as an S^1 bundle over S^2 . We will discuss it in more detail in Section 2.2.2 and Section 2.2.3, but we can see it already.

The restriction of \mathbf{f} to $S^3 = \{(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2 = 1\}$, since it is smooth and identifies precisely those pairs $(\xi, \eta), (\xi', \eta')$ such that $(\xi, \eta) = e^{i\theta}(\xi', \eta')$, must topologically be the Hopf fibration $S^3 \rightarrow S^2$. Similarly, the restriction of \mathbf{f} to $\mathbb{C}_\times^2 \cong S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ is topologically the product of the Hopf fibration with the identity map on \mathbb{R} , $S^3 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. Extending to the full domain \mathbb{C}^2 then cones off both these spaces with the addition of a single extra point, extending $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ to \mathbb{C}^2 (the cone on S^3) and extending $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ to the cone on S^2 . In other words, \mathbf{f} is the cone on the Hopf fibration. The topology of \mathcal{H} and various subspaces will become clearer in Section 2.2 when we consider Minkowski space; see Lemma 2.25 and surrounding discussion.

2.1.3 $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ actions and equivariance

We now define $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ actions on \mathbb{C}^2 and \mathcal{H} . We denote a general element of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ by A and a general element of \mathcal{H} by S . We denote both actions by a dot where necessary. We already mentioned the action on \mathbb{C}^2 in the introductory Section 1.4.

Definition 2.8. $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts from the left on \mathbb{C}^2 by usual matrix-vector multiplication, $A \cdot \kappa = A\kappa$.

Lemma 2.9. For any $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in \mathbb{C}^2$ and $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, we have

$$\{A \cdot \kappa_1, A \cdot \kappa_2\} = \{\kappa_1, \kappa_2\}.$$

In other words, the action of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on \mathbb{C}^2 is by symplectomorphisms, preserving the complex symplectic form $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$.

Proof. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{C})$ have columns κ_1, κ_2 . Then by definition $\{\kappa_1, \kappa_2\} = \det M$. Further, $AM \in \mathcal{M}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{C})$ has columns $A\kappa_1$ and $A\kappa_2$, so that $\{A\kappa_1, A\kappa_2\} = \det(AM)$. Since $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ we have $\det A = 1$ so $\det(AM) = \det M$. □

Definition 2.10. $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts from the left on \mathcal{H} by $A \cdot S = ASA^*$.

To see that we indeed have an action on \mathcal{H} note that $(ASA^*)^* = ASA^*$ and, for $A, A' \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, we have

$$(AA') \cdot S = AA'S(AA')^* = AA'SA'^*A^* = A(A'SA'^*)A^* = A \cdot (A' \cdot S). \quad (2.11)$$

Note also that, for $S, S' \in \mathcal{H}$ and $a, a' \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$A \cdot (aS + aS') = A(aS + a'S')A^* = aASA^* + a'AS'A^* = aA \cdot S + a'A \cdot S' \quad (2.12)$$

so $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts by real linear maps on \mathcal{H} .

Observe that

$$\mathbf{f}(A \cdot \kappa) = (A \cdot \kappa)(A \cdot \kappa)^* = A\kappa\kappa^*A^* = A\mathbf{f}(\kappa)A^* = A \cdot \mathbf{f}(\kappa). \quad (2.13)$$

Lemma 2.14. *The action of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on \mathcal{H} restricts to actions on \mathcal{H}_0 , \mathcal{H}_0^{0+} and \mathcal{H}_0^+ .*

Proof. If $\det S = 0$ then $\det(A \cdot S) = \det(ASA^*) = \det(A)\det(S)\det(A^*) = 0$, so \mathcal{H}_0 is preserved.

If $S \in \mathcal{H}_0^{0+}$ then by Lemma 2.5(i), $S = \mathbf{f}(\kappa)$ for some κ ; by (2.13) then $A \cdot S = A \cdot \mathbf{f}(\kappa) = \mathbf{f}(A \cdot \kappa)$, which by Lemma 2.5(i) again lies in \mathcal{H}_0^{0+} . Thus \mathcal{H}_0^{0+} is preserved.

If $S \in \mathcal{H}_0^+$ then the same argument applies, using Lemma 2.5(iii) instead of (i). If $S \in \mathcal{H}_0^+$ then $S = \mathbf{f}(\kappa)$ for some $\kappa \neq 0$. Since $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, $\kappa \neq 0$ implies $A \cdot \kappa \neq 0$. Thus $A \cdot S = A \cdot \mathbf{f}(\kappa) = \mathbf{f}(A \cdot \kappa) \in \mathcal{H}_0^+$ as desired. \square

Lemma 2.15.

(i) *The actions of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on \mathbb{C}^2 and \mathcal{H}_0^{0+} are equivariant with respect to \mathbf{f} .*

(ii) *The actions of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on \mathbb{C}_\times^2 and \mathcal{H}_0^+ are equivariant with respect to \mathbf{f} .*

Proof. The equivariance is precisely expressed by (2.13). \square

Lemma 2.16. *The action of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on \mathbb{C}_\times^2 is transitive. That is, for any $\kappa, \kappa' \in \mathbb{C}_\times^2$ there exists $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ such that $A \cdot \kappa = \kappa'$.*

(Note the A here is not unique.)

Proof. For an example of a matrix in $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ taking $(1, 0)$ to $\kappa = (\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{C}_\times^2$, consider

$$A_\kappa = \begin{pmatrix} \xi & 0 \\ \eta & \xi^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \xi & -\eta^{-1} \\ \eta & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}_\times^2$, at least one of ξ, η is nonzero, hence at least one of these matrices is well defined. Then the matrix $A_{\kappa'}A_\kappa^{-1}$ takes κ to κ' . \square

2.1.4 Derivatives of \mathbf{f}

So far, we have associated to a spinor $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}^2$ a Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{f}(\kappa)$. We now proceed to associate to it some tangent information.

Consider the derivative of \mathbf{f} , as a *real* smooth function, by regarding both \mathbb{C}^2 and \mathcal{H} as \mathbb{R}^4 . The derivative of \mathbf{f} at a point $\kappa = (\xi, \eta) = (a + bi, c + di) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ (corresponding to $(a, b, c, d) \in \mathbb{R}^4$) in the direction $\nu \in T_\kappa \mathbb{C}^2 \cong \mathbb{C}^2$ is given by

$$D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\nu) = \left. \frac{d}{ds} \mathbf{f}(\kappa + \nu s) \right|_{s=0}$$

where s is a real variable. Regarding $\kappa, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_{2 \times 1}(\mathbb{C})$, we have

$$\mathbf{f}(\kappa + \nu s) = (\kappa + \nu s)(\kappa + \nu s)^* = \kappa\kappa^* + (\kappa\nu^* + \nu\kappa^*)s + \nu\nu^*s^2$$

so that

$$D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\nu) = \kappa \nu^* + \nu \kappa^*. \quad (2.17)$$

Since \mathbf{f} has image in $\mathcal{H}_0^{0+} \subset \mathcal{H}$, and since the tangent space to a real vector space is the space itself, this derivative lies in \mathcal{H} , which is readily seen via the expression $\kappa \nu^* + \nu \kappa^*$. However, while tangent vectors to \mathcal{H}_0^{0+} can be regarded as Hermitian matrices, these matrices do not generally lie in \mathcal{H}_0^{0+} , and similar remarks apply to \mathcal{H}_0 and \mathcal{H}_0^+ . Indeed, it is straightforward to check that in general $\kappa \nu^* + \nu \kappa^*$ does not lie in \mathcal{H}_0 .

Derivatives of \mathbf{f} will be useful in the sequel and we note derivatives in some directions here.

Lemma 2.18. *For any $\kappa \in C_\times^2$ we have*

$$D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\kappa) = 2\mathbf{f}(\kappa) \quad \text{and} \quad D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(i\kappa) = 0.$$

The first of these says that as κ increases along a (real) ray from the origin, $\mathbf{f}(\kappa)$ also increases along a (real) ray from the origin. The second is equivalent to the fact from Lemma 2.7 that \mathbf{f} is constant along the circle fibres $e^{i\theta}\kappa$ over $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, and $i\kappa$ is the fibre direction.

Proof. Using equation (2.17) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\kappa) &= 2\kappa \kappa^* = 2\mathbf{f}(\kappa) \\ D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(i\kappa) &= \kappa (i\kappa)^* + i\kappa \kappa^* = \kappa \kappa^* (-i) + i\kappa \kappa^* = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

We observe that the action of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on \mathbb{C}^2 extends to tangent vectors ν in a standard way. If ν is tangent to \mathbb{C}^2 ($\cong \mathbb{R}^4$) at a point κ , and A lies in $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ (or indeed in $GL(4, \mathbb{R})$), then $A\nu$ is a tangent vector to \mathbb{C}^2 at $A\kappa$. This is just the standard fact that the derivative of a linear map on a vector space is itself. Precisely, differentiating (2.13), we obtain

$$D_{A\kappa} \mathbf{f}(A\nu) = A \cdot D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\nu), \quad (2.19)$$

so that the resulting action of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on tangent vectors is also equivariant. (Equation (2.19) also follows immediately from (2.17) and Definition 2.10.)

Thus, to a spinor κ and a “tangent spinor” ν we associate a Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{f}(\kappa)$ and a tangent $D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\nu)$. However, we want to obtain information from κ only; and we do not want to lose any information in passing from κ to $\mathbf{f}(\kappa)$ together with tangent data. We are thus interested in ν being a *function* of κ . Letting

$$\nu = \mathcal{Z}(\kappa) \quad \text{for some real smooth function} \quad \mathcal{Z}: \mathbb{R}^4 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^4,$$

we might then try to associate to a spinor κ the Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{f}(\kappa)$ and its tangent $D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)) = \kappa \mathcal{Z}(\kappa)^* + \mathcal{Z}(\kappa) \kappa^*$. However, κ is a four (real) dimensional object, and \mathbf{f} has image in the three-dimensional space \mathcal{H}_0^{0+} , so we can only reasonably expect one extra coordinate’s worth of information from tangent data. Moreover, it will be difficult to obtain equivariance under $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$. On the one hand, applying $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ to $D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa))$, we would associate to $A\kappa$ the tangent direction

$$A \cdot D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)) = A (\kappa \mathcal{Z}(\kappa)^* + \mathcal{Z}(\kappa) \kappa^*) A^*$$

at $\mathbf{f}(A\kappa)$; but on the other hand, we would associate to $A\kappa$ the tangent direction

$$D_{A\kappa} \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(A\kappa)) = A\kappa \mathcal{Z}(A\kappa)^* + \mathcal{Z}(A\kappa) (A\kappa)^*.$$

Penrose and Rindler describe a neat solution, providing the extra coordinate’s worth of information equivariantly via a certain *flag* based on $\mathbf{f}(\kappa)$. Such flags, however, are more easily seen in Minkowski space, and so we first introduce the map to Minkowski space.

2.2 From Hermitian matrices to the positive light cone in Minkowski space

Our second step is from Hermitian matrices to Minkowski space via the map \mathbf{g} which, as mentioned in the introduction, may be described by Pauli matrices. The isomorphism \mathbf{g} allows us to regard Hermitian matrices and Minkowski space as the same thing: for us, Hermitian matrices essentially *are* points in Minkowski space.

In Section 2.2.1 we discuss various notions in Minkowski space and the map \mathbf{g} . In Section 2.2.2 we consider the composition $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}$. In Section 2.2.3 we discuss how $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}$ is related to stereographic projection and the Hopf fibration. Finally, in Section 2.2.4 we discuss a relationship between the inner products on spinors and Minkowski space.

2.2.1 Minkowski space and the map \mathbf{g}

We start with definitions. Write points in Minkowski space as $p = (T, X, Y, Z)$, $p' = (T', X', Y', Z')$.

Definition 2.20.

(i) Minkowski space $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ is the 4-dimensional vector space \mathbb{R}^4 , with inner product

$$\langle p, p' \rangle = TT' - XX' - YY' - ZZ',$$

and the (3+1)-dimensional Lorentzian manifold structure on \mathbb{R}^4 with metric $ds^2 = dT^2 - dX^2 - dY^2 - dZ^2$.

(ii) The light cone $L \subset \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ is $L = \{(T, X, Y, Z) \in \mathbb{R}^{1,3} \mid T^2 - X^2 - Y^2 - Z^2 = 0\}$.

(iii) The non-negative light cone $L^{0+} \subset \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ is $L^{0+} = \{(T, X, Y, Z) \in L \mid T \geq 0\}$.

(iv) The positive light cone $L^+ \subset \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ is $L^+ = \{(T, X, Y, Z) \in L \mid T > 0\}$.

Clearly $L^+ \subset L^{0+} \subset L \subset \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$. As usual, we refer to vectors/points p as *timelike*, *lightlike/null*, or *spacelike* accordingly as $T^2 - X^2 - Y^2 - Z^2$ is positive, zero, or negative.

Definition 2.21. The (future) celestial sphere \mathcal{S}^+ is either

(i) the projectivisation of L^+ , or

(ii) the intersection of the future light cone L^+ with the plane $T = 1$ in $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$.

In other words, the celestial sphere is the set of rays of L^+ ; projectivising identifies points along rays from the origin. Alternatively, we may take a subset of L^+ containing a single point from each ray; a standard subset given by intersecting with the 3-plane $T = 1$. The two versions of \mathcal{S}^+ are related by the diffeomorphism sending each ray of L^+ to its point at $T = 1$. We will need both versions; whenever we mention \mathcal{S}^+ we will specify which version we mean.

Since the equations $T = 1$ and $T^2 - X^2 - Y^2 - Z^2 = 0$ imply $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 = 1$, we see \mathcal{S}^+ is diffeomorphic to S^2 .

The isomorphism between \mathcal{H} and $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ is already given by (1.5). Any Hermitian matrix can be uniquely written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b + ci \\ b - ci & d \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} T + Z & X + Yi \\ X - Yi & T - Z \end{pmatrix}$$

where a, b, c, d or T, X, Y, Z are real, and we map to Minkowski space accordingly.

Definition 2.22. The map \mathbf{g} from Hermitian matrices to Minkowski space is given by

$$\mathbf{g}: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1,3}, \quad \mathbf{g} \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b + ci \\ b - ci & d \end{pmatrix} \right) = (a + d, 2b, 2c, a - d).$$

Since

$$\mathbf{g}^{-1}(T, X, Y, Z) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} T + Z & X + iY \\ X - iY & T - Z \end{pmatrix},$$

it is clear that \mathbf{g} is a linear isomorphism of vector spaces, and diffeomorphism of smooth manifolds.

Under \mathbf{g} , determinant and trace become familiar expressions in Minkowski space. Our conventions perhaps produce some slightly unorthodox constants.

Lemma 2.23. *Suppose $S \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathbf{g}(S) = (T, X, Y, Z)$.*

(i) $4 \det S = T^2 - X^2 - Y^2 - Z^2$.

(ii) $\text{Trace } S = T$.

Proof. Immediate calculation. □

Lemma 2.24. *The isomorphism $\mathbf{g}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ restricts to bijections*

$$(i) \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow L, \quad (ii) \mathcal{H}_0^{0+} \rightarrow L^{0+}, \quad (iii) \mathcal{H}_0^+ \rightarrow L^+.$$

Proof. For (i), Lemma 2.23(i) shows that $\det S = 0$ iff $T^2 - X^2 - Y^2 - Z^2 = 0$. So $S \in \mathcal{H}_0$ iff $\mathbf{g}(S) \in L$.

Suppose now that $S \in \mathcal{H}_0$ and $\mathbf{g}(S) \in L$. By Lemma 2.23(ii), $\text{Trace } S \geq 0$ iff $T \geq 0$, proving (ii). Similarly, $\text{Trace } S > 0$ iff $T > 0$, proving (iii). □

The positive light cone L^+ is diffeomorphic to $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$; the slice at constant T is an S^2 with equation $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 = T^2$. The non-negative light cone is obtained by adding a singular point at the origin, and is the topological cone on S^2 . The light cone L is a double cone formed by joining two copies of the non-negative cone at the singular point; or alternatively by taking $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ and collapsing $S^2 \times \{0\}$ to a point. So we immediately have the following.

Lemma 2.25. *$\mathcal{H}_0^+ \cong L^+$ is diffeomorphic to $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{H}_0^{0+} \cong L^{0+}$ is a cone on S^2 , and $\mathcal{H}_0 \cong L$ is a double cone on S^2 .* □

The action of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on \mathcal{H} naturally gives an action on $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$, defining it to be equivariant under the linear diffeomorphism \mathbf{g} . This is a standard action.

Definition 2.26. *$SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts on $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ by*

$$A \cdot p = \mathbf{g}(A \cdot (\mathbf{g}^{-1}(p))) \quad \text{for } A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \text{ and } p \in \mathbb{R}^{1,3}.$$

Thus by definition $A \cdot \mathbf{g}(p) = \mathbf{g}(A \cdot p)$ and explicitly, for $p = (T, X, Y, Z)$,

$$A \cdot (T, X, Y, Z) = \mathbf{g} \left(A \cdot \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} T + Z & X + iY \\ X - iY & T - Z \end{pmatrix} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g} \left(A \begin{pmatrix} T + Z & X + iY \\ X - iY & T - Z \end{pmatrix} A^* \right) \quad (2.27)$$

Lemma 2.28. *For any $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, the action of A on $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ is a linear map $T_A: \mathbb{R}^{1,3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ which preserves L , L^{0+} and L^+ .*

Proof. We have already seen in (2.12) that, for given $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ the action of A on \mathcal{H} is a linear map $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$; since \mathbf{g} and \mathbf{g}^{-1} are linear, T_A is also a linear map $\mathbb{R}^{1,3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$.

By Lemma 2.14, the action of A on \mathcal{H} preserves \mathcal{H}_0 , \mathcal{H}_0^{0+} and \mathcal{H}_0^+ ; thus, applying the linear diffeomorphism \mathbf{g} and Lemma 2.24, the action of A on $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ preserves L , L^{0+} and L^+ . □

The linear maps on $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ preserving L^+ are precisely those in $O(1, 3)^+$, i.e. those which preserve the Lorentzian inner product and are orthochronous (preserve the direction of time).

The linear maps T_A in fact lie in $SO(1, 3)^+$, i.e. are also orientation-preserving. We can observe this directly by noting that the generators of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$

$$\begin{pmatrix} re^{i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{r}e^{-i\theta} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a + bi \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a + bi & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(where $a, b, r, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$) map to T_A given respectively by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{r^2+r^{-2}}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{r^2-r^{-2}}{2} \\ 0 & \cos 2\theta & -\sin 2\theta & 0 \\ 0 & \sin 2\theta & \cos 2\theta & 0 \\ \frac{r^2-r^{-2}}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{r^2+r^{-2}}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \frac{a^2+b^2}{2} & a & b & -\frac{a^2+b^2}{2} \\ a & 1 & 0 & -a \\ b & 0 & 1 & -b \\ \frac{a^2+b^2}{2} & a & b & 1 - \frac{a^2+b^2}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \frac{a^2+b^2}{2} & -a & -b & \frac{a^2+b^2}{2} \\ a & 1 & 0 & a \\ -b & 0 & 1 & -b \\ -\frac{a^2+b^2}{2} & a & b & 1 - \frac{a^2+b^2}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

which all have determinant 1.

2.2.2 Putting \mathbf{f} and \mathbf{g} together

We now compose \mathbf{f} and \mathbf{g} ,

$$\mathbb{C}^2 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{f}} \mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{g}} \mathbb{R}^{1,3}.$$

This composition sends a spinor κ to the point $(T, X, Y, Z) \in \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ such that

$$\kappa \kappa^* = \frac{1}{2} (T\sigma_T + X\sigma_X + Y\sigma_Y + Z\sigma_Z). \quad (2.29)$$

We consider some properties of this composition, and perform some calculations.

Lemma 2.30. *The map $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ is smooth and has the following properties.*

- (i) $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa) = 0$ precisely when $\kappa = 0$.
- (ii) The image of $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}$ is L^{0+} .
- (iii) $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}$ restricts to a surjective map $\mathbb{C}_\times^2 \rightarrow L^+$.
- (iv) $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa) = \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa')$ iff $\kappa = e^{i\theta} \kappa'$ for some real θ .
- (v) The actions of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on \mathbb{C}^2 and $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ are equivariant with respect to $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}$. These actions restrict to actions on \mathbb{C}_\times^2 and L, L^+, L^{0+} which are also appropriately equivariant.

Proof. Immediate from Lemma 2.5, Lemma 2.7, Lemma 2.15 and Lemma 2.24. \square

We can calculate $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}$ explicitly, and prove some of its properties. For the rest of this subsection, let $\kappa = (\xi, \eta) = (a + bi, c + di) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 2.31. *Let $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa) = (T, X, Y, Z)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} T &= |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2 = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2 \\ X &= 2 \operatorname{Re}(\xi\bar{\eta}) = 2|\eta|^2 \operatorname{Re}(\xi/\eta) = 2(ac + bd) \\ Y &= 2 \operatorname{Im}(\xi\bar{\eta}) = 2|\eta|^2 \operatorname{Im}(\xi/\eta) = 2(bc - ad) \\ Z &= |\xi|^2 - |\eta|^2 = a^2 + b^2 - c^2 - d^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (2.6) we have

$$\mathbf{f}(\kappa) = \begin{pmatrix} \xi\bar{\xi} & \xi\bar{\eta} \\ \eta\bar{\xi} & \eta\bar{\eta} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^2 + b^2 & (ac + bd) + (bc - ad)i \\ (ac + bd) - (bc - ad)i & c^2 + d^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.32)$$

Applying the definition of \mathbf{g} from Definition 2.22 and the fact $\bar{\eta} = \eta^{-1} |\eta|^2$ then gives the claim. \square

We already noted in Section 2.1.2 that \mathbf{f} is the cone on the Hopf fibration. In Minkowski space, the picture is perhaps a little more intuitive, and we can add some explicit details.

Lemma 2.33. *Let $S_r^3 = \{\kappa \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2 = r^2\}$ be the 3-sphere of radius $r > 0$ in $\mathbb{C}^2 \cong \mathbb{R}^4$, and let $S^3 = S_1^3$.*

(i) The restriction of $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}$ to each S_r^3 yields a surjective map from S_r^3 onto the 2-sphere $L^+ \cap \{T = r^2\} = r^2 \mathcal{S}^+ \cong S^2$ which is the Hopf fibration. In particular, the restriction to S^3 yields a Hopf fibration onto the celestial sphere $S^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^+ \cong S^2$.

(ii) The map $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow L^+$ is the cone on the Hopf fibration.

In (i) we regard \mathcal{S}^+ as $L^+ \cap \{T = 1\}$, i.e. Definition 2.21(ii).

Proof. In Section 2.1.2 we saw that, since $\mathbf{f}(\kappa) = \mathbf{f}(\kappa')$ iff $\kappa = e^{i\theta} \kappa'$, \mathbf{f} is a smooth map on each S_r^3 collapsing each fibre of the Hopf fibration to a point, so is the Hopf fibration. As \mathbf{g} is a diffeomorphism, the same is true for $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}$.

By Lemma 2.31, $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\xi, \eta)$ has T -coordinate $|\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2 = r^2$, and by Lemma 2.30(iii), $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\mathbb{C}_\times^2) = L^+$. So the image of S_r^3 under $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}$ is the intersection of L^+ with $T = r^2$, as claimed.

Thus, the family of 3-spheres S_r^3 foliating \mathbb{C}_\times^2 are mapped under $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}$ by Hopf fibrations to the family of 2-spheres $L^+ \cap \{T = 1\}$ foliating L^+ . See Figure 11. Hence we can regard the restriction of $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}$ to \mathbb{C}_\times^2 as the product of the Hopf fibration with the identity map, $\mathbb{C}_\times^2 \cong S^3 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^2 \times \mathbb{R} \cong L^+$.




Figure 11: The map $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}$ as the cone on the Hopf fibration (drawn one dimension down).

Adding the 0 into \mathbb{C}^2 and L^+ , since $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(0) = 0$, $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}$ is the cone on the Hopf fibration. \square

The following computation will be useful when we consider lines and planes containing $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa)$.

Lemma 2.34. For any $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}_\times^2$, the line $\mathbb{R}(\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa))$ intersects \mathcal{S}^+ in the unique point

$$\left(1, \frac{2(ac + bd)}{a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2}, \frac{2(bc - ad)}{a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2}, \frac{a^2 + b^2 - c^2 - d^2}{a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2}\right).$$

Here we regard \mathcal{S}^+ as $L^+ \cap \{T = 1\}$, i.e Definition 2.21(ii).

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 2.31, scaling $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa)$ to have T -coordinate 1. \square

2.2.3 The Hopf fibration and stereographic projection

We have seen the Hopf fibration in $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}$; we can also describe this directly and explicitly. Perhaps the most standard definition of the Hopf fibration is as follows.

Definition 2.35. The Hopf fibration is the map

$$\text{Hopf}: S^3 \rightarrow S^2 \cong \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1, \quad (\xi, \eta) \mapsto \frac{\xi}{\eta}.$$

Here we regard S^3 as $\{(\xi, \eta) \mid |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2 = 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$, and $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ as S^2 . We can translate from the Riemann sphere to the unit 2-sphere in \mathbb{R}^3 by stereographic projection; again, perhaps the most standard definition is as follows. It is the map obtained from projecting the xy -plane in \mathbb{R}^3 , viewed as \mathbb{C} , to the unit sphere, as in Figure 10. It extends to a map from $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$.

Definition 2.36. Stereographic projection *is the map*

$$\text{Stereo}: \mathbb{CP}^1 \longrightarrow S^2, \quad a + bi \mapsto \left(\frac{2a}{1+a^2+b^2}, \frac{2b}{1+a^2+b^2}, \frac{-1+a^2+b^2}{1+a^2+b^2} \right), \quad \infty \mapsto (0, 0, 1).$$

If we compute the Hopf fibration from the standard $S^3 \subset \mathbb{CP}^1$, to the standard Euclidean $S^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ using stereographic projection, we obtain expressions we have seen before!

Lemma 2.37. *Let $\pi_{XYZ}: \mathbb{R}^{1,3} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be the projection onto the XYZ 3-plane in Minkowski space. Then the composition $\text{Stereo} \circ \text{Hopf}: S^3 \longrightarrow S^2$ is given by*

$$\text{Stereo} \circ \text{Hopf} = \pi_{XYZ} \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}|_{S^3}.$$

Here the projection π_{XYZ} simply maps $(X, Y, Z, T) \mapsto (X, Y, Z)$. In other words, the X, Y, Z coordinates of $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}$ are precisely the Hopf fibration computed with stereographic projection.

Proof. Let $(\xi, \eta) = (a + bi, c + di) \in S^3$ where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$. We compute

$$\text{Hopf}(\xi, \eta) = \frac{a + bi}{c + di} = \frac{ac + bd}{c^2 + d^2} + i \frac{bc - ad}{c^2 + d^2}$$

and then applying Stereo yields

$$\left(\frac{2 \left(\frac{ac+bd}{c^2+d^2} \right)}{1 + \left(\frac{ac+bd}{c^2+d^2} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{bc-ad}{c^2+d^2} \right)^2}, \frac{2 \left(\frac{bc-ad}{c^2+d^2} \right)}{1 + \left(\frac{ac+bd}{c^2+d^2} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{bc-ad}{c^2+d^2} \right)^2}, \frac{-1 + \left(\frac{ac+bd}{c^2+d^2} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{bc-ad}{c^2+d^2} \right)^2}{1 + \left(\frac{ac+bd}{c^2+d^2} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{bc-ad}{c^2+d^2} \right)^2} \right)$$

which, fortunately enough, simplifies to

$$\frac{1}{a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2} (2(ac + bd), 2(bc - ad), a^2 + b^2 - c^2 - d^2).$$

Since $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2 = |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2 = 1$, comparison with Lemma 2.31 gives the desired result. \square

2.2.4 Inner products on spinors and Minkowski space

Two spinors $\kappa, \kappa' \in \mathbb{C}^2$ have an inner product $\{\kappa, \kappa'\}$; we also now have the two points in the light cone $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa)$, $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa')$, on which we can consider the Lorentzian inner product $\langle \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa), \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa') \rangle$. If one of κ, κ' is a real multiple of the other, then $\{\kappa, \kappa'\} = 0$, and equally, $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa)$ and $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa')$ are proportional lightlike vectors, so $\langle \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa), \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa') \rangle = 0$. In fact, we have the following. Compare [19, lem. 4.5].

Proposition 2.38. *For $\kappa, \kappa' \in \mathbb{C}_{\times}^2$,*

$$2|\{\kappa, \kappa'\}|^2 = \langle \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa), \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa') \rangle.$$

Let $\kappa = (\xi, \eta)$, $\kappa' = (\xi', \eta')$, and $\xi = a + bi$, $\eta = c + di$, $\xi' = a' + b'i$, $\eta' = c' + d'i$ where $a, b, c, d, a', b', c', d'$ are all real. It is convenient for the proof to think of κ, κ' as real vectors (a, b, c, d) , (a', b', c', d') , and consider the 2×4 matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ a' & b' & c' & d' \end{pmatrix}$$

with those vectors as its rows. We denote by M_{ij} the submatrix of M formed from its i and j columns. Thus, for instance,

$$M_{34} = \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix}, \quad \det M_{13} = ac' - ca', \quad \text{etc.}$$

It is then true that

$$\det M_{13} \det M_{24} = \det M_{12} \det M_{34} + \det M_{14} \det M_{23}. \quad (2.39)$$

This can be checked directly; it is a Plücker relation, which arises in the theory of Grassmannians (see e.g. [8, ch. 1.5]). We will use it later in Section 4.1 to prove our Ptolemy equation. The strategy of the proof of Proposition 2.38 is to write all quantities in terms of the M_{ij} .

Lemma 2.40. *With κ, κ' as above,*

$$\{\kappa, \kappa'\} = (\det M_{13} - \det M_{24}) + (\det M_{14} + \det M_{23}) i.$$

This lemma is really a general fact about 2×2 complex matrices N : if we make its entries into 1×2 real matrices, and obtain a 2×4 real matrix M , then $\det N$ is given by the right hand side above.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \det \begin{pmatrix} a + bi & a' + b'i \\ c + di & c' + d'i \end{pmatrix} &= (a + bi)(c' + d'i) - (a' + b'i)(c + di) \\ &= (ac' - ca' + db' - bd') + (ad' - da' + bc' - cb') i, \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired combination of determinants. \square

Lemma 2.41. *With κ, κ' as above,*

$$\frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa), \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa') \rangle = \det M_{13}^2 + \det M_{14}^2 + \det M_{23}^2 + \det M_{24}^2 - 2 \det M_{12} \det M_{34}.$$

Proof. Using Lemma 2.31 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa) &= (a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2, 2(ac + bd), 2(bc - ad), a^2 + b^2 - c^2 - d^2) \\ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa') &= (a'^2 + b'^2 + c'^2 + d'^2, 2(a'c' + b'd'), 2(b'c' - a'd'), a'^2 + b'^2 - c'^2 - d'^2) \end{aligned}$$

so applying $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ yields $\langle \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa), \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa') \rangle$ as

$$\begin{aligned} (a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2) (a'^2 + b'^2 + c'^2 + d'^2) &- 4(ac + bd)(a'c' + b'd') - 4(bc - ad)(b'c' - a'd') \\ &- (a^2 + b^2 - c^2 - d^2) (a'^2 + b'^2 - c'^2 - d'^2) \end{aligned}$$

This simplifies to

$$2(ac' - ca')^2 + 2(ad' - da')^2 + 2(bc' - cb')^2 + 2(bd' - db')^2 - 4(ab' - ba')(cd' - dc')$$

giving the desired equality. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.38. By Lemma 2.40 and Lemma 2.41, it remains to show that the following equation holds:

$$(\det M_{13} - \det M_{24})^2 + (\det M_{14} + \det M_{23})^2 = \det M_{13}^2 + \det M_{14}^2 + \det M_{23}^2 + \det M_{24}^2 - 2 \det M_{12} \det M_{34}.$$

Upon expanding and simplifying, this reduces to the Plücker equation (2.39). \square

2.3 Flags

We now pick up the idea, left off in Section 2.1.4, of defining a flag using the map \mathbf{f} and its derivative in a certain direction $\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)$ at each point $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}_\times^2$.

Definition 2.42. *A flag in a vector space V is an ascending sequence of subspaces*

$$V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_k.$$

Letting $d_i = \dim V_i$, the k -tuple (d_1, \dots, d_k) is called the signature of the flag.

We will use the map \mathbf{f} to span a 1-dimensional subspace of \mathcal{H} , and then use its derivative as described by \mathcal{Z} to span a 2-plane. Thus, the flag involved will be

$$\mathbb{R}\mathbf{f}(\kappa) \subset \mathbb{R}\mathbf{f}(\kappa) \oplus \mathbb{R}D_\kappa\mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)),$$

and this assignment of flags to spin vectors turns out to be equivariant under the action of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$.

Such flags are flags in \mathcal{H} , but as seen in Section 2.2, there is a linear isomorphism \mathbf{g} between \mathcal{H} and $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ preserving all relevant structure, so these flags can also be considered in $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$, after applying \mathbf{g} appropriately.

The flags we consider all have signature $(1, 2)$, but not every such flag arises by this construction. There are certain geometric constraints on the subspaces, relating to the *light cone* L of null vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$, or the space of singular Hermitian matrices \mathcal{H}_0 . Moreover, in order to obtain our desired bijections, we need further structure in our flags of a distinguished point, and orientations. Hence we call the flag structures we need *pointed oriented null flags*.

To most readers, we suspect geometric constraints are more easily understood in terms of the light cone in Minkowski space, than in terms of singular Hermitian matrices. On the other hand, the map \mathbf{f} maps directly into Hermitian matrices, while the map \mathbf{g} then applies a further linear transformation, so the algebra of flags is simpler in terms of Hermitian matrices.

Thus, we discuss flags both in \mathcal{H} and $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$, but prefer \mathcal{H} for simpler algebra, and $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ for geometric intuition. We will define flags in \mathcal{H} and $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ simultaneously.

In Section 2.3.1 we introduce the map \mathcal{Z} , needed for defining the flag direction. In Section 2.3.2 we introduce *pointed null flags*, with “null” having its usual meaning in $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$, and then in Section 2.3.3 we introduce *pointed oriented null flags*, the precise type of flag structure we need, which also have some orientation in their structure. In Section 2.3.4 we develop notation for describing flags. Then in Section 2.3.5 we can define the map \mathbf{F} from spin vectors to flags. In Section 2.3.6 we discuss the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ action on flags, and in Section 2.3.7 prove equivariance of the action. This discussion of the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ action is in terms of Hermitian matrices \mathcal{H} , so in Section 2.3.8 we translate these results into Minkowski space. In Section 2.3.9 we explicitly calculate details of flags in Minkowski space corresponding to spin vectors, and in Section 2.3.10 we consider rotating them. This allows us to show in Section 2.3.11 that the maps \mathbf{F} and $\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}$ are surjective, more precisely 2–1 maps.

2.3.1 The map \mathcal{Z}

Definition 2.43. *Define $\mathcal{Z}: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ by*

$$\mathcal{Z} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\beta} i \\ -\bar{\alpha} i \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \mathcal{Z}(\kappa) = J\bar{\kappa} \quad \text{where} \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

With this definition of \mathcal{Z} , using (2.17), we obtain

$$D_\kappa f(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)) = \kappa \mathcal{Z}(\kappa)^* + \mathcal{Z}(\kappa) \kappa^* = \kappa \kappa^T J + J \bar{\kappa} \kappa^*. \quad (2.44)$$

The following observations are significant in the sequel and help to motivate the definition of \mathcal{Z} .

Lemma 2.45. *For any $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}_\times^2$,*

(i) $\{\kappa, \mathcal{Z}(\kappa)\}$ is negative imaginary;

(ii) κ and $\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)$ form a basis for \mathbb{C}^2 as a complex vector space.

Proof. Let $\kappa = (\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{C}_\times^2$, then from Definition 1.3,

$$\{\kappa, \mathcal{Z}(\kappa)\} = \det \begin{pmatrix} \xi & \bar{\eta}i \\ \eta & -\bar{\xi}i \end{pmatrix} = \xi(-\bar{\xi}i) - \eta(\bar{\eta}i) = -(|\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2)i,$$

which is negative imaginary. Being nonzero, the matrix columns are linearly independent over \mathbb{C} . \square

For another, possibly motivating, perspective on \mathcal{Z} , identify $(\xi, \eta) = (a + bi, c + di)$ with the quaternion $q = a + b\mathbf{i} + c\mathbf{j} + d\mathbf{k}$, where $1, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}$ are the elementary quaternions. Then, as a map on quaternions, \mathcal{Z} is given by

$$\mathcal{Z}(q) = -\mathbf{k}q = -\mathbf{k}(a + b\mathbf{i} + c\mathbf{j} + d\mathbf{k}) = (d + c\mathbf{i} - b\mathbf{j} - a\mathbf{k}) \leftrightarrow (d + ci, -b - ai).$$

Thus, in the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{C}^2 \cong \mathbb{R}^4$, $\mathcal{Z}(q)$ is orthogonal to q .

On the unit S^3 centred at the origin in the quaternions, the tangent space to S^3 at κ has basis $\mathbf{i}\kappa, \mathbf{j}\kappa, \mathbf{k}\kappa$. The $\mathbf{i}\kappa$ direction is the direction of the fibre of the Hopf fibration, and \mathbf{f} is constant in that direction. This perhaps motivates why we take the $\mathbf{k}\kappa$ direction. (The choice of $-$ rather than $+$, and \mathbf{k} rather than \mathbf{j} , is somewhat arbitrary.)

2.3.2 Pointed null flags

All the flags we consider will be of signature $(1, 2)$ in $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$. By Lemma 2.24, the subset $\mathcal{H}_0^+ \subset \mathcal{H}$ corresponds under \mathbf{g} to the positive light cone $L^+ \subset \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$. Vectors on L^+ are null, hence the name.

Definition 2.46. A null flag in $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ (resp. \mathcal{H}) is a flag of signature $(1, 2)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ (resp. \mathcal{H})

$$V_1 \subset V_2$$

where

(i) V_1 is spanned by some $p \in L^+$ (resp. $S \in \mathcal{H}_0^+$).

(ii) V_2 is spanned by the same p (resp. S), together with some $v \in T_p L^+$ (resp. $U \in T_S \mathcal{H}_0^+$).

Thus in a null flag $V_1 \subset V_2$ in $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$, the first space V_1 is a line in the light cone, and the second space V_2 is a 2-plane tangent to the light cone. Although p in the above definition is null (indeed, has future-pointing lightlike position vector), the tangent vector v to L^+ at p is not null. See Figure 8.

The definitions of null flags in \mathcal{H} and $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ correspond under the isomorphism \mathbf{g} : $V_1 \subset V_2$ is a null flag in \mathcal{H} iff $\mathbf{g}(V_1) \subset \mathbf{g}(V_2)$ is a null flag in $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$. Thus \mathbf{g} provides a bijection between null flags in \mathcal{H} and null flags in $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$.

From a spinor κ , we already have a point $\mathbf{f}(\kappa) \in \mathcal{H}_0^+$ or $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa) \in L^+$, so our flags come with a distinguished basepoint, as in the following definition.

Definition 2.47. A pointed null flag in $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ (resp. \mathcal{H}) is a point $p \in L^+$ (resp. $S \in \mathcal{H}_0^+$) together with a null flag $\mathbb{R}p \subset V$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}S \subset V$).

We denote the set of pointed null flags in $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ (resp. \mathcal{H}) by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{H})$).

When the distinction between \mathcal{H} and $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ is unimportant we simply write $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$.

We denote a pointed null flag as above in

- $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ by (p, V) or $[[p, v]]$, where $v \in T_p L^+$ and V is spanned by p and v ;
- \mathcal{H} by (S, V) or $[[S, U]]$, where $U \in T_S \mathcal{H}_0^+$ and V is spanned by S and U .

All the notions in \mathcal{H} and $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ in the definition of pointed null flags correspond under the isomorphism \mathbf{g} : $(S, V) \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{H})$ iff $(\mathbf{g}(S), \mathbf{g}(V)) \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$. So \mathbf{g} yields a bijection $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$, given by $(S, V) \mapsto (\mathbf{g}(S), \mathbf{g}(V))$ or $[[S, U]] \mapsto [[\mathbf{g}(S), \mathbf{g}(U)]]$.

The notation (p, V) is unique: if $(p, V) = (p', V')$ then $p = p'$ and $V = V'$. However the same is not true for the notation $[[p, v]]$: a given pointed null flag may be described by different pairs p, v . The following lemma clarifies when two descriptions are equal.

Lemma 2.48. *Suppose $p, p' \in L^+$ and $v, v' \in \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) $[[p, v]]$ and $[[p', v']]$ describe the same pointed null flag.
- (ii) $p = p'$, and v, v' both lie in $T_p L^+$, and the real spans of (p, v) and (p', v') are 2-dimensional and equal.
- (iii) $p = p'$, and v, v' both lie in $T_p L^+$, and v, v' are not real multiples of p , and there exist real numbers a, b, c , not all zero, such that $ap + bv + cv' = 0$.

A similar statement applies for pointed null flags in \mathcal{H} , if we replace $p, p' \in L^+$ with $S, S' \in \mathcal{H}_0^+$, $v, v' \in \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ with $U, U' \in \mathcal{H}$, and $T_p L^+$ with $T_S \mathcal{H}_0^+$.

Proof. That (i) is equivalent to (ii) is immediate from the definition: the points p, p' must be equal, and the planes spanned by (p, v) and (p', v') must be tangent to L^+ (resp. \mathcal{H}_0^+) and equal. That (ii) is equivalent to (iii) is elementary linear algebra: (p, v) and (p, v') span equal 2-dimensional planes iff (p, v) and (p, v') are linearly independent but (p, v, v') is linearly dependent. \square

2.3.3 Pointed oriented null flags

In general, an *oriented flag* is a flag

$$\{0\} = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_k$$

where each quotient V_i/V_{i-1} , for $i = 1, \dots, k$, is endowed with an orientation. Equivalently, these orientations amount to orienting V_1 , and then orienting each quotient $V_2/V_1, V_3/V_2, \dots, V_k/V_{k-1}$.

We regard an *orientation* of a vector space V , in standard fashion, as an equivalence class of ordered bases of V , where two ordered bases are equivalent when they are related by a linear map with positive determinant.

A pointed null flag $(p, V) \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ already naturally contains some orientation data: the 1-dimensional space $\mathbb{R}p$ can be oriented in the direction of p . Thus it remains to orient the quotient $V/\mathbb{R}p$, as per the following definition.

Definition 2.49. *A pointed oriented null flag in $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ is the data (p, V, o) where:*

- (i) $(p, V) \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$, with $\mathbb{R}p$ is oriented in the direction of p ;
- (ii) o is an orientation of $V/\mathbb{R}p$.

The set of pointed oriented null flags in $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ is denoted $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$.

Similarly, a pointed oriented null flag in \mathcal{H} consists of (S, V, o) , where $(S, V) \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{H})$, $\mathbb{R}S$ is oriented in the direction of S , and o is an orientation of $V/\mathbb{R}S$. Since (S, V) is a pointed null flag, $S \in \mathcal{H}_0^+$, and V is a 2-dimensional subspace containing S and tangent to \mathcal{H}_0^+ . The set of pointed oriented null flags in \mathcal{H} is denoted $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{H})$.

When the distinction between \mathcal{H} and $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ is unimportant we simply write $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{O}}$.

Pointed oriented null flags are the structure we need to describe spinors. Henceforth we will simply refer to them as *flags*.

The space $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$ of pointed null flags is 4-dimensional. To see this, note that p lies in the 3-dimensional positive light cone L^+ . The tangent space $T_p L^+$ is 3-dimensional and contains $\mathbb{R}p$ as a

subspace. The set of relatively oriented 2-planes V in the 3-dimensional vector space $T_p L^+$ containing $\mathbb{R}p$ is 1-dimensional; there is an S^1 worth of such 2-planes, rotating around $\mathbb{R}p$. In fact, we will see later in Section 3.2.1 that $\mathcal{F}_p^\mathcal{O}$ naturally has the topology of $UTS^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, the product of the unit tangent bundle of S^2 with \mathbb{R} .

Just as for pointed null flags, there is a bijection $\mathcal{F}_p^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_p^\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$, as we now show. Let $(S, V, o) \in \mathcal{F}_p^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H})$, consisting of subspaces $\mathbb{R}S \subset V$. Just as for pointed null flags, we can directly apply \mathbf{g} to $S \in \mathcal{H}_0^+$ and $V \subset \mathcal{H}$ to obtain $\mathbf{g}(S)$, and $\mathbf{g}(V)$. We can also apply \mathbf{g} to the orientation o as follows. The orientation o is represented by an equivalence class of ordered bases of $V/\mathbb{R}S$. (As $V/\mathbb{R}S$ is 1-dimensional, such an ordered basis consists of just one element.) The isomorphism $\mathbf{g}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ restricts to isomorphisms $V \rightarrow \mathbf{g}(V)$ and $\mathbb{R}S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\mathbf{g}(S)$, and hence provides an isomorphism of quotient spaces $\underline{\mathbf{g}}: V/\mathbb{R}S \rightarrow \mathbf{g}(V)/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{g}(S)$. Taking \underline{B} to be an ordered basis of $V/\mathbb{R}S$ representing o , then we define $\mathbf{g}(o)$ to be the orientation represented by $\mathbf{g}(\underline{B})$.

Definition 2.50. *The map \mathbf{G} from (pointed oriented null) flags in \mathcal{H} , to (pointed oriented null) flags in $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$, is given by*

$$\mathbf{G}: \mathcal{F}_p^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_p^\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3}), \quad \mathbf{G}(S, V, o) = (\mathbf{g}(S), \mathbf{g}(V), \mathbf{g}(o)).$$

Lemma 2.51. *\mathbf{G} is well defined and a bijection.*

In other words, $(S, V, o) \in \mathcal{F}_p^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H})$ iff $(\mathbf{g}(S), \mathbf{g}(V), \mathbf{g}(o)) \in \mathcal{F}_p^\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$

Proof. The isomorphism \mathbf{g} maps $S \in \mathcal{H}_0^+$ to a point $\mathbf{g}(S) \in L^+$ (Lemma 2.24). The 2-plane V is spanned by S and an element of $T_S \mathcal{H}_0^+$, so $\mathbf{g}(V)$ is a 2-plane spanned by $\mathbf{g}(S)$ and an element of $T_{\mathbf{g}(S)} L^+$. Thus $\mathbb{R}\mathbf{g}(S) \subset \mathbf{g}(V)$ is a null flag in $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ and in fact $(\mathbf{g}(S), \mathbf{g}(V)) \in \mathcal{F}_p(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$.

Considering orientations, since $\mathbf{g}(S) \in L^+$, the 1-dimensional space $\mathbb{R}\mathbf{g}(S)$ is oriented towards the future, in the direction of $\mathbf{g}(S)$. To see that $\mathbf{g}(o)$ is well defined, let $\underline{B}, \underline{B}'$ be two ordered bases of $V/\mathbb{R}S$ representing o (in fact each basis consists of one vector); we show that $\mathbf{g}(\underline{B}), \mathbf{g}(\underline{B}')$ represent the same orientation of $\mathbf{g}(V)/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{g}(S)$. Since $\underline{B}, \underline{B}'$ represent o and consist of single vectors, then $\underline{B}' = m\underline{B}$ where m is positive real, so $\mathbf{g}(\underline{B}') = M\mathbf{g}(\underline{B})$. As $m > 0$ then $\mathbf{g}(\underline{B}')$ and $\mathbf{g}(\underline{B})$ represent the same orientation $\mathbf{g}(V)/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{g}(S)$. So $\mathbf{g}(o)$ is well defined, and indeed \mathbf{G} is well defined.

The same arguments applied to the isomorphism \mathbf{g}^{-1} show that \mathbf{G}^{-1} is a well defined inverse to \mathbf{G} , so \mathbf{G} is a bijection. \square

2.3.4 Describing flags

Above we introduced notation $[[p, v]]$ for pointed null flags. We now extend this notation to (pointed oriented null) flags.

Definition 2.52. *Let $p \in L^+$ and $v \in T_p L^+$, such that p, v are linearly independent. Then $[[p, v]]$ denotes $(p, V, o) \in \mathcal{F}_p^\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$, where V is the span of p and v , and o is the orientation on $V/\mathbb{R}p$ represented by $v + \mathbb{R}p$.*

The definition works similarly in $\mathcal{F}_p^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H})$: for $S \in \mathcal{H}_0^+$ and $U \in T_S \mathcal{H}_0^+$, such that S, U are linearly independent, $[[S, U]]$ denotes $(S, V, o) \in \mathcal{F}_p^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H})$ where V is the span of S and U , and o is the orientation on $V/\mathbb{R}S$ given by $U + \mathbb{R}S$.

Intuitively, the orientations can be understood as follows. The 2-plane V is spanned by p and v ; p gives an orientation on the line $\mathbb{R}p$, which is towards the future in $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ since $p \in L^+$. Choosing an orientation on $V/\mathbb{R}p$ amounts to choosing one of the two sides of the line $\mathbb{R}p$ on the plane V ; we choose the side to which v points.

We have seen that flags in \mathcal{H} and $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ are related by the bijection \mathbf{G} , which has a simple description in this notation.

Lemma 2.53. *For $[[S, U]] \in \mathcal{F}_p^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H})$, we have $\mathbf{G}[[S, U]] = [[\mathbf{g}(S), \mathbf{g}(U)]]$.*

Proof. Let V be the 2-plane spanned by S, U and o the orientation on $V/\mathbb{R}S$ given by U , so $[[S, U]] = (S, V, o)$. Applying \mathbf{G} to this flag, by Definition 2.50, yields $(\mathbf{g}(S), \mathbf{g}(V), \mathbf{g}(o))$. Now $\mathbf{g}(V)$ is the span of $\mathbf{g}(S)$ and $\mathbf{g}(U)$, and $\mathbf{g}(o)$ is the orientation on $\mathbf{g}(V)/\mathbb{R}\mathbf{g}(S)$ induced by $\mathbf{g}(U)$, so $(\mathbf{g}(S), \mathbf{g}(V), \mathbf{g}(o)) = [[\mathbf{g}(S), \mathbf{g}(U)]]$. \square

Just as for pointed null flags, a given $(p, V, o) \in \mathcal{F}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$ can be described by many different $[[p, v]]$, and the following lemma, refining Lemma 2.48, describes when they are equal.

Lemma 2.54. *Suppose $p, p' \in L^+$ and $v, v' \in \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$. The following are equivalent.*

- (i) $[[p, v]]$ and $[[p', v']]$ describe the same (pointed oriented null) flag.
- (ii) $p = p'$, and v, v' both lie in $T_p L^+$, and the sets

$$\mathbb{R}p + \mathbb{R}^+v = \{ap + bv \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}, b > 0\}, \quad \mathbb{R}p' + \mathbb{R}^+v' = \{ap' + bv' \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}, b > 0\}$$

are equal 2-dimensional half-planes.

- (iii) $p = p'$, and v, v' both lie in $T_p L^+$, and v, v' are not real multiples of p , and there exist real numbers a, b, c such that $ap + bv + cv' = 0$, where b, c are nonzero and have opposite sign.

As usual, a similar statement applies to flags in \mathcal{H} , replacing $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ with \mathcal{H} , $p, p' \in L^+$ with $S, S' \in \mathcal{H}_0^+$, $v, v' \in \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ with $U, U' \in \mathcal{H}$, and $T_p L^+$ with $T_S \mathcal{H}_0^+$.

Note that when v, v' are not real multiples of p , then an equation $ap + bv + cv' = 0$ with a, b, c not all zero must have b and c nonzero, and so can be rewritten as $v' = dv + ep$ or $v = d'v' + e'p$, expressing v' in terms of the basis $\{v, p\}$, or v in terms of the basis $\{v', p\}$ respectively. Having b and c of opposite sign is then equivalent to d and d' being positive, since $d = -b/c$ and $d' = -c/b$. In other words, v is a positive multiple of v' , modulo multiples of p ; and equivalently, v' is a positive multiple of v modulo multiples of p .

Proof. First we show the equivalence of (i) and (ii). By Lemma 2.48, $[[p, v]]$ and $[[p', v']]$ describe the same pointed null flag if and only if $p = p'$, v, v' both lie in $T_p L^+$, and the real spans of (p, v) and (p', v') are 2-dimensional and equal; let this span be V . It remains to show that the orientations on $V/\mathbb{R}p$ given by $v + \mathbb{R}p$ and $v' + \mathbb{R}p$ are equal if and only if $\mathbb{R}p + \mathbb{R}^+v = \mathbb{R}p + \mathbb{R}^+v'$.

Now V is divided into two half planes by the line $\mathbb{R}p$. They are respectively given by

$$\mathbb{R}p + \mathbb{R}^+v = \{ap + bv \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}, b > 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{R}p - \mathbb{R}^+v = \{ap - bv \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}, b > 0\}.$$

These two half-planes map down to the 1-dimensional quotient space $V/\mathbb{R}p$ to give the two components of the complement of the origin: the first half-plane yields the positive real span of $v + \mathbb{R}p$; the second yields the negative real span of $v + \mathbb{R}p$. The first defines the co-orientation given by $v + \mathbb{R}p$. For (p, v') we have a similar description of two half-planes $\mathbb{R}p + \mathbb{R}^+v'$ and $\mathbb{R}p - \mathbb{R}^+v'$, and we see that the half-plane $\mathbb{R}p + \mathbb{R}^+v'$ yields the positive real span of $v' + \mathbb{R}p$ in $V/\mathbb{R}p$, corresponding to the orientation given by $v' + \mathbb{R}p$. Thus, the two orientations are equal if and only if the two claimed sets are equal.

Now we show that (ii) is equivalent to (iii). We note that if the two sets in (ii) are equal, then $v' = ap + bv$ for some real a, b with b positive. Then $ap + bv - v' = 0$ provides the equation required for (iii). Conversely, if $ap + bv + cv' = 0$ with b, c of opposite sign, then we may write $v' = dv + ep$ where d is positive. Thus $v' \in \mathbb{R}p + \mathbb{R}^+v$, so the half-plane $\mathbb{R}p + \mathbb{R}^+v$ must coincide with the half-plane $\mathbb{R}p + \mathbb{R}^+v'$. \square

2.3.5 The map from spin vectors to flags

We now upgrade the map \mathbf{f} to \mathbf{F} . Whereas \mathbf{f} associates to a spinor κ a matrix in \mathcal{H}_0^{0+} , the map \mathbf{F} associates to κ a flag in \mathcal{H} . The point in the pointed flag is just $\mathbf{f}(\kappa)$. As discussed at the beginning of Section 2.3, the 2-plane incorporates tangent data, using the derivative of \mathbf{f} in a direction specified by the map \mathcal{Z} . We will see that the resulting construction is equivariant.

Definition 2.55. *The map \mathbf{F} from nonzero spin vectors to (pointed oriented null) flags is given by*

$$\mathbf{F}: \mathbb{C}_\times^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_P^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{H}), \quad \mathbf{F}(\kappa) = [[\mathbf{f}(\kappa), D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa))]].$$

Using (2.44) we thus have, for $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}_\times^2$,

$$\mathbf{F}(\kappa) = [[\mathbf{f}(\kappa), \kappa \kappa^T J + J \bar{\kappa} \kappa^*]]. \quad (2.56)$$

Although \mathbf{F} as stated could equally well map to less elaborate structures, for instance dropping the “pointed or “oriented” details, we need the full data of a pointed oriented null flag for our construction.

The domain of \mathbf{F} is \mathbb{C}_\times^2 rather than \mathbb{C}^2 , since $\mathbf{f}(0) = 0$, which does not span a 1-dimensional subspace in \mathcal{H} ; moreover there is no well defined tangent space to \mathcal{H}_0^+ or \mathcal{H}_0^{0+} there. For $\kappa \neq 0$ we have $0 \neq \mathbf{f}(\kappa) \in \mathcal{H}_0^+$, so we obtain a well defined 1-dimensional subspace for our null flag. Although it is clear $D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)) \in T_{\mathbf{f}(\kappa)} \mathcal{H}_0^+$, it is perhaps not so clear that, with $\mathbf{f}(\kappa)$, it spans a 2-dimensional vector space. We verify this, and in fact prove something stronger, in Lemma 2.58 below.

We saw in Lemma 2.51, that the linear isomorphism $\mathbf{g}: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ induces a bijection \mathbf{G} on flags; this immediately allows us to transport the flags on \mathcal{H} , constructed by \mathbf{F} , over to Minkowski space.

Before proving Lemma 2.58 to verify that \mathbf{F} is well defined, we first prove a general observation in linear algebra about factorisation of spin vectors. Statements equivalent to this first lemma appear in Penrose and Rindler [20], and probably elsewhere. Recall (Section 1.7) that $\mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ denotes $m \times n$ matrices with entries in \mathbb{F} , and $\mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})_\times$ denotes such matrices which are nonzero.

Lemma 2.57. *Suppose $M, M' \in \mathcal{M}_{2 \times 1}(\mathbb{C})_\times$, and $N, N' \in \mathcal{M}_{1 \times 2}(\mathbb{C})_\times$. If $MN = M'N'$ then there exists $\mu \in \mathbb{C}_\times$ such that $M = \mu M'$ and $N = \mu^{-1} N'$.*

Proof. Let

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}, \quad M' = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha' \\ \beta' \end{pmatrix}, \quad N = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}, \quad N' = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma' & \delta' \end{pmatrix}. \quad \text{Also let } v = \begin{pmatrix} -\delta \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix}$$

so that $Nv = 0$. Then $M'N'v = MNv = 0$, which can be written out as

$$M'N'v = M' \begin{pmatrix} \gamma' & \delta' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\delta \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix} = M'(-\gamma'\delta + \delta'\gamma) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since M' is nonzero, we have $-\gamma'\delta + \delta'\gamma = 0$, so that N and N' are (complex) proportional. A similar argument shows that M and M' are (complex) proportional. Since $MN = M'N'$, these proportions are inverses. Thus $M = \mu M'$ and $N = \mu^{-1} N'$ for some complex μ . \square

Lemma 2.58. *For any $\kappa \neq 0$, the three Hermitian matrices*

$$\mathbf{f}(\kappa), \quad D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)), \quad D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(i\mathcal{Z}(\kappa))$$

are linearly independent over \mathbb{R} .

It follows that $D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa))$ is not a real multiple of $\mathbf{f}(\kappa)$, and hence \mathbf{F} is well defined.

Proof. Applying (2.44), we must show that for all $\kappa \neq 0$, the Hermitian matrices

$$\kappa \kappa^*, \quad \kappa \kappa^T J + J \bar{\kappa} \kappa^*, \quad -i(\kappa \kappa^T J - J \bar{\kappa} \kappa^*)$$

are linearly independent over \mathbb{R} . Suppose to the contrary that they are not: then we have

$$a\kappa \kappa^* + b(\kappa \kappa^T J + J \bar{\kappa} \kappa^*) - ci(\kappa \kappa^T J - J \bar{\kappa} \kappa^*) = 0,$$

for some real a, b, c , not all zero. We may rewrite this as

$$\kappa(a\kappa^* + b\kappa^T J - ci\kappa^T J) = (bJ\bar{\kappa} + ciJ\bar{\kappa})(-\kappa^*).$$

Let $\beta = b + ci$. Note $\beta = 0$ implies $a\kappa\kappa^* = 0$, a contradiction since $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}_\times^2$ and a, b, c are not all zero; so $\beta \neq 0$. The equation can be written as

$$\kappa (a\kappa^* + \bar{\beta}\kappa^T J) = (J\bar{\kappa}) (-\beta\kappa^*),$$

where both sides are a product of a 2×1 and 1×2 complex matrix. On the right hand side, both factors are nonzero, hence the same must be true on the left hand side.

Applying Lemma 2.57 we have $\kappa = \mu J\bar{\kappa}$ for some $\mu \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Letting $\kappa = (\xi, \eta)$ we thus have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} = \mu \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\xi} \\ \bar{\eta} \end{pmatrix} = \mu \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\eta} i \\ -\bar{\xi} i \end{pmatrix},$$

so that $\xi = \mu\bar{\eta}i$ and $\eta = -\mu\bar{\xi}i$, hence $\bar{\eta} = \bar{\mu}\xi i$. But putting these together yields

$$\xi = \mu\bar{\eta}i = \mu(\bar{\mu}\xi i)i = -|\mu|^2\xi.$$

Thus $\xi = 0$, which implies $\eta = 0$, contradicting $\kappa \neq 0$. \square

After Lemma 2.58, we can give quite a precise description of the derivative of \mathbf{f} . At a point κ , the derivative $D_\kappa\mathbf{f}$ is a real linear map between tangent spaces $T_\kappa\mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow T_{\mathbf{f}(\kappa)}\mathcal{H}$. As both \mathbb{C}^2 and \mathcal{H} are real vector spaces, we may identify these tangent spaces with \mathbb{C}^2 and \mathcal{H} respectively.

Lemma 2.59. *For any $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}_\times^2$, the derivative $D_\kappa\mathbf{f}$, considered as a real linear map $\mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, has the following properties.*

- (i) *The kernel of $D_\kappa\mathbf{f}$ is 1-dimensional, spanned by $i\kappa$.*
- (ii) *$\kappa, \mathcal{Z}(\kappa), i\mathcal{Z}(\kappa) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{R} , and their 3-dimensional span maps isomorphically onto the image of $D_\kappa\mathbf{f}$.*

We will see later in Lemma 2.80 some nice properties of the three vectors in (ii) and their images.

Proof. By Lemma 2.45, $\{\kappa, \mathcal{Z}(\kappa)\}$ is a complex basis for \mathbb{C}^2 , hence $\{\kappa, i\kappa, \mathcal{Z}(\kappa), i\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)\}$ is a real basis for \mathbb{C}^2 . We consider the effect of $D_\kappa\mathbf{f}$ on this basis.

We saw in Lemma 2.18 that $i\kappa \in \ker D_\kappa\mathbf{f}$, so the kernel of $D_\kappa\mathbf{f}$ has dimension ≥ 1 and the image of $D_\kappa\mathbf{f}$ has dimension ≤ 3 .

Since $D_\kappa\mathbf{f}(\kappa) = 2\mathbf{f}(\kappa)$ (Lemma 2.18), Lemma 2.58 tells us that the images of $\kappa, \mathcal{Z}(\kappa), i\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)$ under $D_\kappa\mathbf{f}$ are linearly independent. So the image of $D_\kappa\mathbf{f}$ has dimension exactly 3, spanned by the image of these 3 vectors, and the kernel has dimension has exactly 1, spanned by $i\kappa$. \square

Combining Definition 2.55, equation (2.56) and Lemma 2.53, we immediately obtain the following description of $\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}: \mathbb{C}_\times^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$. This shows how to associate a flag in Minkowski space to a spin vector.

Lemma 2.60.

$$\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}(\kappa) = [[\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa), \mathbf{g}(D_\kappa\mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)))] = [[\mathbf{g}(\kappa\kappa^*), \mathbf{g}(\kappa\kappa^T J + J\bar{\kappa}\kappa^*)]].$$

\square

2.3.6 $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ action on flags in \mathcal{H}

We now explain how $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts on flags in \mathcal{H} . In Section 2.3.7 we consider equivariance of \mathbf{F} with respect to this action.

We have considered flags both in \mathcal{H} and $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$, but the isomorphism \mathbf{G} shows that it is equivalent to consider either space of flags. Although $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ is perhaps easier to understand geometrically, it is more straightforward algebraically to consider the action on flags in \mathcal{H} , and so we will consider \mathcal{H} first. From Section 2.3.8 onwards we will consider $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$.

To define the action of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on the space of flags $\mathcal{F}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{H})$, we need to consider its actions on subspaces of \mathcal{H} , their quotient spaces, and their orientations. We start with subspaces, extending the action on \mathcal{H} from Definition 2.10.

Definition 2.61. *Let V be a real vector subspace of \mathcal{H} , and $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$. Then the action of A on V is given by*

$$A \cdot V = \{A \cdot S \mid S \in V\} = \{ASA^* \mid S \in V\} = AVA^*.$$

The same calculation as for \mathcal{H} (2.11) shows that, for $A, A' \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, we have $(AA') \cdot V = A \cdot (A' \cdot V)$, so we indeed have an action of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on the set of subspaces of \mathcal{H} .

In fact, as we now see, this action is by linear isomorphisms.

Lemma 2.62. *Let V be a real k -dimensional subspace of \mathcal{H} and $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$.*

(i) *The map $V \rightarrow A \cdot V$ defined by $S \mapsto A \cdot S$ for $S \in V$ is a linear isomorphism. In particular, $A \cdot V$ is also a k -dimensional subspace of \mathcal{H} .*

(ii) *Definition 2.61 defines an action of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on the set of real k -dimensional subspaces of \mathcal{H} .*

The set of k -dimensional subspaces of \mathcal{H} forms the *Grassmannian* $\text{Gr}(k, \mathcal{H})$, so the above lemma says that $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts on $\text{Gr}(k, \mathcal{H})$ by linear isomorphisms.

Proof. The map $V \rightarrow A \cdot V$ is given by the action of A on individual elements S of \mathcal{H} , i.e. $S \mapsto A \cdot S = ASA^*$. This is a real linear map, as shown explicitly in (2.12). It is also invertible, with inverse given by the action of A^{-1} . Thus V and $A \cdot V$ must have the same dimension. \square

Next we consider the action of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on quotients of subspaces of \mathcal{H} , and their bases.

For the rest of this subsection, $V \subset W$ are real subspaces of \mathcal{H} , and $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$.

Lemma 2.63.

(i) *$A \cdot V \subset A \cdot W$, so the quotient $(A \cdot W)/(A \cdot V)$ is well defined.*

(ii) *Let $\underline{S} = S + V \in W/V$, i.e. $S \in W$ represents \underline{S} . Then $A\underline{S}A^*$ is a well-defined element of $(A \cdot W)/(A \cdot V)$, represented by $A \cdot S = ASA^* \in A \cdot W$.*

(iii) *The map $W/V \rightarrow (A \cdot W)/(A \cdot V)$ defined by $\underline{S} \mapsto A\underline{S}A^*$ is a linear isomorphism.*

(iv) *If $\underline{S}_1, \dots, \underline{S}_k$ is a basis of W/V , then $A\underline{S}_1A^*, \dots, A\underline{S}_kA^*$ is a basis of $(A \cdot W)/(A \cdot V)$.*

In (ii) above, we think of $A\underline{S}A^*$ as the action of A on $\underline{S} \in W/V$, and define $A \cdot \underline{S} = A\underline{S}A^* \in (A \cdot W)/(A \cdot V)$. If $A, A' \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ then for \underline{S} an element of W/V , we have a similar calculation as (2.11)

$$(AA') \cdot \underline{S} = (AA')\underline{S}(AA')^* = AA'\underline{S}A'^*A^* = A \cdot (A'\underline{S}A'^*) = A \cdot (A' \cdot \underline{S}), \quad (2.64)$$

showing that we have a group action of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on quotients of subspaces of \mathcal{H} .

Proof.

(i) An element of $A \cdot V$ can be written as $A \cdot S$ for some $S \in V$; as $V \subset W$ then $S \in W$, so $A \cdot S \in A \cdot W$. Thus $A \cdot V \subset A \cdot W$.

- (ii) If $S' \in [S]$ is another representative of \underline{S} , then $S - S' \in V$, so $A \cdot S - A \cdot S' = A \cdot (S - S') \in A \cdot V$.
- (iii) The same calculation as in (2.12) shows that $\underline{S} \mapsto A\underline{S}A^*$ is linear in \underline{S} . And as in Lemma 2.62, this linear map is invertible, with inverse given by the action of A^{-1} .
- (iv) Immediate from the previous part, since a linear isomorphism sends a basis to a basis. □

In (iv) above, we think of the basis $A\underline{S}_iA^*$ as the action of A on the basis \underline{S}_i . Writing $\underline{B} = (\underline{S}_1, \dots, \underline{S}_k)$ for the ordered basis, we define $A \cdot \underline{B} = (A \cdot \underline{S}_1, \dots, A \cdot \underline{S}_k)$.

For $A, A' \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and \underline{B} an ordered basis, we then have $(AA') \cdot \underline{B} = A \cdot (A' \cdot \underline{B})$, by a similar calculation as (2.64). Thus, we have a group action of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on ordered bases of quotients of subspaces of \mathcal{H} .

Next, consider *two* ordered bases $\underline{B} = (\underline{S}_1, \dots, \underline{S}_k)$ and $\underline{B}' = (\underline{S}'_1, \dots, \underline{S}'_k)$, and their orientations. By Lemma 2.63(iv) then $A \cdot \underline{B}$ and $A \cdot \underline{B}'$ are ordered bases of $(A \cdot W)/(A \cdot V)$.

Lemma 2.65. *Let $\underline{B}, \underline{B}'$ be two ordered bases of W/V as above.*

- (i) *Let M be the linear map of W/V taking the ordered basis \underline{B} to \underline{B}' , and N the linear map of $(A \cdot W)/(A \cdot V)$ taking the ordered basis $A \cdot \underline{B}$ to $A \cdot \underline{B}'$. Then $\det M = \det N$.*
- (ii) *If \underline{B} and \underline{B}' are ordered bases of W/V representing the same orientation, then $A \cdot \underline{B}$ and $A \cdot \underline{B}'$ represent the same orientation of $(A \cdot W)/(A \cdot V)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.63(iii), the map $T_A: W/V \rightarrow (A \cdot W)/(A \cdot V)$ given by $\underline{S} \mapsto A \cdot \underline{S}$ is a linear isomorphism, and by definition it sends the ordered basis \underline{B} to $A \cdot \underline{B}$ and \underline{B}' to $A \cdot \underline{B}'$. Thus $T_A M = N T_A$, and the matrix of M with respect to \underline{B} (or \underline{B}') is equal to the matrix of N with respect to $A \cdot \underline{B}$ (or $A \cdot \underline{B}'$). Thus $\det M = \det N$.

If $\underline{B}, \underline{B}'$ represent the same orientation, then $\det M > 0$, so $\det N = \det M > 0$. Thus $A \cdot \underline{B}$ and $A \cdot \underline{B}'$ represent the same orientation. □

Recall from Definition 2.49 that the orientations in flags are orientations on quotients of subspaces. For an orientation o on W/V then we can define $A \cdot o$ to be the orientation on $(A \cdot W)/(A \cdot V)$ represented by $A \cdot \underline{B}$, where \underline{B} is any ordered basis of W/V representing o . By the above lemma, $A \cdot o$ is well defined.

For $A, A' \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, we observe that $(AA') \cdot o = A \cdot (A' \cdot o)$. Indeed, taking a basis \underline{B} representing o , we saw that $(AA') \cdot \underline{B} = A \cdot (A' \cdot \underline{B})$, which are bases representing the orientations $(AA') \cdot o$ and $A \cdot (A' \cdot o)$ respectively. Thus we have a group action of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on orientations of quotients of subspaces of \mathcal{H} .

We can now define an action of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on flags in \mathcal{H} .

Definition 2.66. *Consider $(S, V, o) \in \mathcal{F}_P^O(\mathcal{H})$ and let $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$. Define A to act on (S, V, o) by*

$$A \cdot (S, V, o) = (A \cdot S, A \cdot V, A \cdot o).$$

Lemma 2.67. *Definition 2.66 defines an action of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on $\mathcal{F}_P^O(\mathcal{H})$.*

Proof. First we check that $(A \cdot S, A \cdot V, A \cdot o)$ is indeed a pointed oriented null flag. We know that $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts on \mathcal{H}_0^+ (Lemma 2.14), so $A \cdot S \in \mathcal{H}_0^+$. As the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ action preserves 2-dimensional subspaces (Lemma 2.62), $A \cdot V$ is 2-dimensional. We also observe that $\mathbb{R}S \subset V$ implies $\mathbb{R}(A \cdot S) = \mathbb{R}(ASA^*) = A(\mathbb{R}S)A^* \subset AVA^* = A \cdot V$.

As $(S, V) \in \mathcal{F}_P(\mathcal{H})$, by definition there exists $v \in T_S \mathcal{H}_0^+$ such that S and v span V . Since the action of A on subspaces is by linear isomorphisms (Lemma 2.62), then $A \cdot S$ and $A \cdot v$ span $A \cdot V$, and moreover, since \mathcal{H}_0^+ lies in the vector space \mathcal{H} , on which the action of A is linear, we have $A \cdot v \in T_{A \cdot S} \mathcal{H}_0^+$. Thus $\mathbb{R}(A \cdot S) \subset A \cdot V$ is a null flag and $(A \cdot S, A \cdot V) \in \mathcal{F}_P(\mathcal{H})$.

By Lemma 2.65 and subsequent remarks, $A \cdot o$ is an orientation on $(A \cdot V)/(A \cdot \mathbb{R}S)$. Thus $(A \cdot S, A \cdot V, A \cdot o)$ is a pointed oriented null flag.

The actions of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on \mathcal{H} , subspaces of \mathcal{H} , and orientations are all group actions, by Definition 2.10, Definition 2.61, and Lemma 2.65 (and subsequent comments) respectively. So for $A, A' \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ we have $(AA') \cdot (S, V, o) = A \cdot (A' \cdot (S, V, o))$, yielding the desired group action. \square

The action of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on $\mathcal{F}_P^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{H})$ is described naturally in the notation $[[S, U]]$ of Definition 2.52.

Lemma 2.68. *Let $[[S, U]] \in \mathcal{F}_P^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{H})$, and $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, then*

$$A \cdot [[S, U]] = [[A \cdot S, A \cdot U]] = [[ASA^*, AU A^*]].$$

Proof. Letting V be the real span of S and U , and o the orientation induced by U on $V/\mathbb{R}S$, we have $[[S, U]] = (S, V, o)$. In particular, $\underline{U} = U + \mathbb{R}S \in V/\mathbb{R}S$ is an (ordered!) basis of the 1-dimensional quotient space $V/\mathbb{R}S$, and o is the orientation given by \underline{U} .

By Definition 2.66, $A \cdot (S, V, o) = (A \cdot S, A \cdot V, A \cdot o)$. As S, U is a basis of V , and A acts by linear isomorphisms (Lemma 2.62), then $A \cdot S, A \cdot U$ is basis of $A \cdot V$. Moreover, the action of A induces an isomorphism of quotient spaces $V/\mathbb{R}S \rightarrow (A \cdot V)/(A \cdot \mathbb{R}S)$ sending \underline{U} to $A \cdot \underline{U}$ (Lemma 2.63), and $A \cdot o$ is the orientation given by $A \cdot \underline{U}$.

In other words, $A \cdot o$ is the orientation induced by $A \cdot U$ on $(A \cdot V)/(A \cdot \mathbb{R}S)$. Thus $(A \cdot S, A \cdot V, A \cdot o) = [[A \cdot S, A \cdot U]]$. \square

2.3.7 Equivariance of actions on spin vectors and flags in \mathcal{H}

In this section prove equivariance of \mathbf{F} , as follows.

Proposition 2.69. *The actions of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on \mathbb{C}_\times^2 and $\mathcal{F}_P^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{H})$ are equivariant with respect to \mathbf{F} . In other words, for $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}_\times^2$ and $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$,*

$$A \cdot \mathbf{F}(\kappa) = \mathbf{F}(A \cdot \kappa).$$

The proof of Proposition 2.69 is essentially the first time we actually use $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$: the actions of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ in Definition 2.10, Lemma 2.15, and Definition 2.61–Lemma 2.68 all work for $A \in GL(2, \mathbb{C})$.

We will give two proofs of Proposition 2.69, one conceptual, and one explicit. The first, conceptual proof is based on the following lemma.

Lemma 2.70. *For two spinors $\kappa, \nu \in \mathbb{C}_\times^2$, the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $\{\kappa, \nu\}$ is negative imaginary,
- (ii) $\nu = \alpha\kappa + b\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, b \in \mathbb{R}^+$,
- (iii) $[[\mathbf{f}(\kappa), D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\nu)]] = \mathbf{F}(\kappa)$.

To motivate this lemma, note that all three equivalent conditions say, in various senses, that “ ν is like $\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)$ ”. Lemma 2.45 tells us that $\{\kappa, \mathcal{Z}(\kappa)\}$ is negative imaginary, so (i) says that $\{\kappa, \nu\}$ is like $\{\kappa, \mathcal{Z}(\kappa)\}$. Condition (ii) says that ν is, up to multiples of κ , a positive multiple of $\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)$. And (2.56) tells us that $\mathbf{F}(\kappa) = [[\mathbf{f}(\kappa), D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa))]]$, so (iii) says that using the directional derivative of \mathbf{f} in the direction ν yields the same flag as \mathbf{F} , which uses the direction $\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)$.

Proof. We first show (i) and (ii) are equivalent. Since $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is complex bilinear, if (ii) holds then

$$\{\kappa, \nu\} = \alpha\{\kappa, \kappa\} + b\{\kappa, \mathcal{Z}(\kappa)\} = b\{\kappa, \mathcal{Z}(\kappa)\}$$

which is negative imaginary by Lemma 2.45, so (i) holds. For the converse, if $\{\kappa, \nu\}$ is negative imaginary then $\{\kappa, b\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)\} = \{\kappa, \nu\}$ for some positive b . As $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is a complex symplectic form on a complex 2-dimensional vector space, any two vectors yielding the same value for $\{\kappa, \cdot\}$ differ by a complex multiple of κ , so (ii) holds.

Next we show (ii) and (iii) are equivalent. For convenience, let $S = \mathbf{f}(\kappa)$, $U = D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\nu)$ and $U' = D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa))$. Suppose (ii) holds, so that $\nu = \alpha\kappa + b\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)$, and we show that

$$[[\mathbf{f}(\kappa), D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\nu)]] = [[\mathbf{f}(\kappa), D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa))]], \quad \text{i.e.} \quad [[S, U]] = [[S, U']].$$

Let $\alpha = c + di$, where $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$. Then by the (real) linearity of the derivative of \mathbf{f} , and using the calculations of derivatives in the κ direction (proportional to $\mathbf{f}(\kappa)$ and $i\kappa$ directions (the fibre direction) from Lemma 2.18, we have

$$\begin{aligned} U &= D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\nu) = D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(c\kappa + di\kappa + b\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)) \\ &= cD_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\kappa) + dD_\kappa \mathbf{f}(i\kappa) + bD_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)) \\ &= 2c\mathbf{f}(\kappa) + bD_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)) = 2cS + bU'. \end{aligned}$$

We now apply Lemma 2.54. Since $\mathbf{F}(\kappa) = [[S, U']]$ is a bona fide flag, U' is not a real multiple of S . Since $U = 2cS + bU'$, we see that U is not a real multiple of S either. The equation $-2cS + U - bU' = 0$ above is a linear dependency between S, U, U' with coefficients of opposite sign on U and U' . Thus the flags are equal. Alternatively, one can observe that $\mathbb{R}S + \mathbb{R}^+U = \mathbb{R}S + \mathbb{R}^+U'$.

For the converse, suppose $[[S, U]] = [[S, U']]$. By Lemma 2.54, we have a linear dependency and rearranging it, we have $U = aS + bU'$ where a, b are real and $b > 0$. Thus

$$D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\nu) = a\mathbf{f}(\kappa) + bD_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)).$$

Since $D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\kappa) = 2\mathbf{f}(\kappa)$ (Lemma 2.18), using the real linearity of $D_\kappa \mathbf{f}$, we have

$$D_\kappa \mathbf{f}\left(\nu - \frac{a}{2}\kappa - b\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)\right) = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.59, $D_\kappa \mathbf{f}$ has kernel spanned by $i\kappa$. Thus we have $\nu - \frac{a}{2}\kappa - b\mathcal{Z}(\kappa) = ci\kappa$ for some real c . Letting $\alpha = a/2 + ci$, we have $\nu = \alpha\kappa + b\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)$, as required for (ii). \square

Proof 1 of Proposition 2.69. We have $\mathbf{F}(\kappa) = [[\mathbf{f}(\kappa), D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa))]]$ so

$$A \cdot \mathbf{F}(\kappa) = [[A \cdot \mathbf{f}(\kappa), A \cdot D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa))]] = [[\mathbf{f}(A\kappa), D_{A\kappa} \mathbf{f}(A(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)))]],$$

applying Lemma 2.68, equivariance of \mathbf{f} (Lemma 2.15) and its derivative (2.19). Now as $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, by Lemma 2.9 it acts on \mathbb{C}^2 by symplectomorphisms, so $\{A\kappa, A(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa))\} = \{\kappa, \mathcal{Z}(\kappa)\}$. But $\{\kappa, \mathcal{Z}(\kappa)\}$ is negative imaginary (Lemma 2.45), so by Lemma 2.70 then $[[\mathbf{f}(A\kappa), D_{A\kappa} \mathbf{f}(A(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)))]] = \mathbf{F}(A\kappa)$. \square

The second, explicit proof of Proposition 2.69 is based on the following, perhaps surprising, identity.

Proposition 2.71. *For any spin vector $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}^2$ and $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$,*

$$[A\kappa\kappa^T J A^* + A J \bar{\kappa} \kappa^* A^*] (\kappa^* A^* A \kappa) = [A\kappa\kappa^T A^T J + J \bar{A} \bar{\kappa} \kappa^* A^*] (\kappa^* \kappa) + [A\kappa\kappa^* A^*] (\kappa^T J A^* A \kappa + \kappa^* A^* A J \bar{\kappa}).$$

Proof. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$ and $\kappa = \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix}$, and expand and simplify, using $\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma = 1$. \square

Proof 2 of Proposition 2.69. From Definition 2.55 we have $\mathbf{F}(\kappa) = [[\mathbf{f}(\kappa), D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa))]]$, and by Lemma 2.68 we have

$$A \cdot \mathbf{F}(\kappa) = [[A \cdot \mathbf{f}(\kappa), A \cdot D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa))]].$$

On the other hand, A acts on κ simply by matrix-vector multiplication, and we have

$$\mathbf{F}(A \cdot \kappa) = \mathbf{F}(A\kappa) = [[\mathbf{f}(A\kappa), D_{A\kappa} \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(A\kappa))]]$$

We now use Lemma 2.54 to show the two claimed pointed flags are equal, verifying (iii) there, which has three conditions.

The first condition is $A \cdot \mathbf{f}(\kappa) = \mathbf{f}(A\kappa)$; call this point p . This follows from equivariance of \mathbf{f} (Lemma 2.15).

The second condition is that $A \cdot D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa))$ and $D_{A\kappa} \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(A\kappa))$ both lie in the tangent space to \mathcal{H}_0^+ at p , and are not real multiples of p .

Since \mathbf{f} has image in \mathcal{H}_0^+ , the image of the derivative $D_\kappa \mathbf{f}$ lies in $T_{\mathbf{f}(\kappa)} \mathcal{H}_0^+$, and hence $D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)) \in T_{\mathbf{f}(\kappa)} \mathcal{H}_0^+$. Moreover, by Lemma 2.58, $D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa))$ is not a real multiple of $\mathbf{f}(\kappa)$. As A acts linearly on \mathcal{H} preserving \mathcal{H}_0^+ , then $A \cdot D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)) \in T_p \mathcal{H}_0^+$. Similarly, the image of the derivative of \mathbf{f} at $A\kappa$ lies in $T_{\mathbf{f}(A\kappa)} \mathcal{H}_0^+$, so $D_{A\kappa} \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(A\kappa)) \in T_p \mathcal{H}_0^+$.

Applying A , which acts linearly on \mathcal{H} , sends $\mathbf{f}(\kappa)$ to $A \cdot \mathbf{f}(\kappa) = p$ and $D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa))$ to $A \cdot D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa))$. If these two did not span a plane, then the action of A would send a 2-plane to a smaller dimensional subspace, contradicting Lemma 2.62. Thus $A \cdot D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa))$ is not a real multiple of p . Applying Lemma 2.58 to $A\kappa$ gives that $D_{A\kappa} \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(A\kappa))$ is not a real multiple of $\mathbf{f}(A\kappa) = p$ either.

The third condition is that there exist real numbers a, b, c such that

$$a(p) + b(A \cdot D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa))) + c(D_{A\kappa} \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(A\kappa))) = 0, \quad (2.72)$$

where b and c have opposite signs. We calculate $p = A \cdot \mathbf{f}(\kappa) = A\kappa\kappa^*A^*$, and from (2.56) we have $D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)) = \kappa\kappa^T J + J\bar{\kappa}\kappa^*$ so

$$A \cdot D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)) = A \cdot (\kappa\kappa^T J + J\bar{\kappa}\kappa^*) = A(\kappa\kappa^T J + J\bar{\kappa}\kappa^*) A^*$$

and

$$D_{A\kappa} \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(A\kappa)) = (A\kappa)(A\kappa)^T J + J\overline{(A\kappa)}(A\kappa)^* = A\kappa\kappa^T A^T J + J\bar{A}\bar{\kappa}\kappa^* A^*$$

We can then rewrite Proposition 2.71 as

$$[A \cdot D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa))] (\kappa^* A^* A\kappa) - [D_{A\kappa} \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(A\kappa))] (\kappa^* \kappa) - [p] (\kappa^T J A^* A\kappa + \kappa^* A^* A J \bar{\kappa}) = 0,$$

where the expressions in parentheses are real numbers. For any $\tau \in \mathbb{C}_\times^2$ written as a column vector, $\tau^* \tau$ is positive real; taking τ to be $A\kappa$ and κ respectively, we see that $\kappa^* A^* A\kappa > 0$ and $-\kappa^* \kappa < 0$. Thus we have the required a, b, c for (2.72). \square

2.3.8 $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ action on flags in Minkowski space

We now translate all the above results on flags in \mathcal{H} into Minkowski space, using the maps $\mathbf{g}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ (Definition 2.22) and $\mathbf{G}: \mathcal{F}_p^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_p^\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$ (Definition 2.50). Essentially, \mathbf{g} and \mathbf{G} preserve all the structure required, so statements about flags in \mathcal{H} translate immediately to Minkowski space.

We have already defined a null flag (Definition 2.46), pointed null flag (Definition 2.47), pointed oriented null flag (Definition 2.49), and $[[p, v]]$ notation for flags (Definition 2.52) in both \mathcal{H} and $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$, and observed that \mathbf{g} sends each object in \mathcal{H} to the corresponding object in $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$, giving rise to the bijection \mathbf{G} .

We now define the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ action on $\mathcal{F}_p^\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$ and show \mathbf{G} is equivariant. We extend the action of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ (Definition 2.26) to subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$, quotient spaces, and orientations. As in Definition 2.26, these actions are imported directly from the corresponding actions in \mathcal{H} .

Throughout this section, $V \subset W$ are subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$, and $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$.

Definition 2.73. *The action of A on:*

(i) *a vector subspace V of $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ is given by*

$$A \cdot V = \{A \cdot v \mid v \in V\} = \{\mathbf{g}(A \cdot (\mathbf{g}^{-1}v)) \mid v \in V\} = \mathbf{g}(A \cdot (\mathbf{g}^{-1}(V))) = \mathbf{g}(A(\mathbf{g}^{-1}V)A^*);$$

(ii) *a quotient space W/V is given by $A \cdot (W/V) = A \cdot W/A \cdot V$;*

(iii) *an orientation o on W/V is given by $A \cdot o = \mathbf{g}(A \cdot \mathbf{g}^{-1}(o))$;*

(iv) a flag $(p, V, o) \in \mathcal{F}_p^\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$, is given by $A \cdot (p, V, o) = (A \cdot p, A \cdot V, A \cdot o)$.

Note that as $V \subset W$, then $A \cdot V \subset A \cdot W$, so (ii) above makes sense.

All these actions essentially derive from the action of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$. If $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts on $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ via a linear map $M \in SO(1, 3)^+$, then all of the actions above essentially just apply M . In particular, for a flag (p, V, o) , we have $A \cdot (p, V, o) = (Mp, MV, Mo)$.

It follows immediately from the fact that \mathbf{g} is a linear isomorphism, and the results of Section 2.3.6, that these definitions give actions of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on the following sets.

- (i) The set of subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$, acting by linear isomorphisms, using Lemma 2.62; also on each Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(k, \mathbb{R}^{1,3})$.
- (ii) The set of quotients of subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$, acting by linear isomorphisms, using Lemma 2.63 and subsequent comment.
- (iii) The set of orientations of quotients of subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$, using Lemma 2.65 and subsequent comment.
- (iv) the set of flags $\mathcal{F}_p(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$, using Lemma 2.67 and subsequent comment.

Similarly we obtain the following immediate translation of Lemma 2.68

Lemma 2.74. For $[[p, v]] \in \mathcal{F}_p^\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$, we have

$$A \cdot [[p, v]] = [[A \cdot p, A \cdot v]]$$

□

All the actions of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on objects in $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ are defined by applying \mathbf{g}^{-1} , then apply the action in \mathcal{H} , then applying \mathbf{g} . Hence they are all equivariant. In particular, We obtain the following statement.

Proposition 2.75. The actions of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on $\mathcal{F}_p^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{F}_p^\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$ are equivariant with respect to \mathbf{G} . In other words, for any $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and any $(S, V, o) \in \mathcal{F}_p^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H})$,

$$\mathbf{G}(A \cdot (S, V, o)) = A \cdot \mathbf{G}(S, V, o), \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_p^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{G}} & \mathcal{F}_p^\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3}) \\ \downarrow A & & \downarrow A \\ \mathcal{F}_p^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{G}} & \mathcal{F}_p^\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3}) \end{array} \quad \text{commutes.}$$

□

2.3.9 Flag intersection with the celestial sphere

Let us calculate some details of the flag of a spin vector. In particular, it will be useful to describe its intersections with the celestial sphere $\mathcal{S}^+ = L^+ \cap \{T = 1\}$ (Definition 2.21(ii))

Given a flag $(p, V, o) \in \mathcal{F}_p^\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$, the line $\mathbb{R}p$ intersects \mathcal{S}^+ in a point q . The 2-plane V contains $\mathbb{R}p$, so is transverse to the 3-plane $T = 1$, and intersects this 3-plane in a 1-dimensional line. Because V is tangent to the light cone, the line $V \cap \{T = 1\}$ is tangent to \mathcal{S}^+ at q . The orientation o on $V/\mathbb{R}p$ yields an orientation on this line $V \cap \{T = 1\}$.

Now, given a spin vector $\kappa = (\xi, \eta)$, by Lemma 2.60 the associated flag $\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}(\kappa)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ is $[[p, v]]$, where $p = \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa)$, and $v = \mathbf{g}(D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)))$. The 2-plane V is the span of p and v , with orientation on $V/\mathbb{R}p$ given by v . In Section 2.2.2 we gave explicit descriptions of p (Lemma 2.31), and the intersection point q of the line $\mathbb{R}p$ with \mathcal{S}^+ (Lemma 2.34):

$$p = \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa) = (a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2, 2(ac + bd), 2(bc - ad), a^2 + b^2 - c^2 - d^2)$$

$$q = \left(1, \frac{2(ac + bd)}{a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2}, \frac{2(bc - ad)}{a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2}, \frac{a^2 + b^2 - c^2 - d^2}{a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2} \right).$$

As we now see, v has no T -component, and so gives a tangent vector to \mathcal{S}^+ at q , which is the oriented direction of the line $V \cap \{T = 1\}$. See Figure 12.




Figure 12: The intersection of a flag with the celestial sphere.

For the rest of this section, we let $\kappa = (\xi, \eta) = (a + bi, c + di) \in \mathbb{C}_\times^2$ where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 2.76. *The 2-plane of the flag $\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}(\kappa)$ intersects any 3-plane of constant T in a 1-dimensional line, and the orientation on the flag yields an orientation on this line. The oriented line's direction is*

$$v = \mathbf{g}(D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa))) = 2(0, 2(cd - ab), a^2 - b^2 + c^2 - d^2, 2(ad + bc)).$$

To see why v has T -component zero, observe that κ lies in a 3-sphere S_r^3 of radius $r = |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2 > 0$, and by Lemma 2.33, each such 3-sphere maps under $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}$ to a constant- T slice of L^+ , namely $L^+ \cap \{T = r^2\}$. Now the tangent vector $\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)$ at κ in \mathbb{C}^2 is in fact tangent to S_r^3 . Indeed, as discussed in Section 2.3.1, regarding κ as a quaternion, $\mathcal{Z}(\kappa) = -\mathbf{k}\kappa$, so that $\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)$ is orthogonal to the position vector of κ . Thus, under $D_\kappa(\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}) = \mathbf{g} \circ D_\kappa \mathbf{f}$, the vector $\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)$ tangent to S_r^3 is mapped to a tangent vector to $L^+ \cap \{T = r^2\}$, hence has T -component zero.

The expressions for p and v look quite similar. Indeed, their X, Y, Z coordinates can be obtained from each other by permuting variables, coordinates, and signs. As we see in the next section, this is not a coincidence.

In any case, we now calculate this vector.

Proof. Using Definition 2.43 and (2.44), we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)) &= \kappa \kappa^T J + J \bar{\kappa} \kappa^* = \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi & \eta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\xi} \\ \bar{\eta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\xi} & \bar{\eta} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -i\xi\eta & i\xi^2 \\ -i\eta^2 & i\xi\eta \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} i\bar{\xi}\bar{\eta} & i\bar{\eta}^2 \\ -i\bar{\xi}^2 & -i\bar{\xi}\bar{\eta} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} i(\bar{\xi}\bar{\eta} - \xi\eta) & i(\xi^2 + \bar{\eta}^2) \\ -i(\bar{\xi}^2 + \eta^2) & i(\xi\eta - \bar{\xi}\bar{\eta}) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, applying Definition 2.22,

$$\begin{aligned} v = \mathbf{g}(D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa))) &= (0, 2\operatorname{Re}(i(\xi^2 + \bar{\eta}^2)), 2\operatorname{Im}(i(\xi^2 + \bar{\eta}^2)), 2i(\bar{\xi}\bar{\eta} - \xi\eta)) \\ &= (0, -2\operatorname{Im}(\xi^2 + \bar{\eta}^2), 2\operatorname{Re}(\xi^2 + \bar{\eta}^2), 4\operatorname{Im}(\xi\eta)), \end{aligned} \quad (2.77)$$

using the identities $i(\bar{z} - z) = 2\operatorname{Im} z$, $\operatorname{Re}(iz) = -\operatorname{Im}(z)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(iz) = \operatorname{Re}(z)$. We then directly calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^2 + \bar{\eta}^2 &= (a + bi)^2 + (c - di)^2 = a^2 - b^2 + c^2 - d^2 + 2(ab - cd)i, \\ \xi\eta &= (a + bi)(c + di) = ac - bd + (ad + bc)i \end{aligned}$$

and substituting real and imaginary parts give the desired expression for v .

Since v has T -coordinate 0, when we intersect V with a 3-plane $T = \text{constant}$, V yields a line in the direction of v . The orientation on $V/\mathbb{R}p$ given by v yields the orientation on this line given by v . \square

Example 2.78. Let us compute the flag of the spinor $\kappa_0 = (1, 0)$. By direct calculation, or using Lemma 2.31, we have $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa_0) = (1, 0, 0, 1)$; let this point be p_0 . From Lemma 2.76 we have

$$\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}(\kappa_0) = [[p_0, (0, 0, 1, 0)]]$$

i.e. the flag points in the Y -direction. The quotient $V/\mathbb{R}p_0$ is spanned and oriented by $(0, 0, 1, 0)$.

More generally, if we take $\kappa = (e^{i\theta}, 0)$, we obtain $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa_0) = (1, 0, 0, 1) = p_0$ again, but now (again using Lemma 2.76 with $a = \cos \theta$, $b = \sin \theta$), we have

$$\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}(\kappa) = [[p_0, (0, -\sin 2\theta, \cos 2\theta, 0)]].$$

Now $V/\mathbb{R}p_0$ is spanned and oriented by the vector $(0, -\sin 2\theta, \cos 2\theta, 0)$.

Thus as κ rotates from $(1, 0)$ by an angle of θ , multiplying κ by $e^{i\theta}$, p remains constant, but the flag rotates by an angle of 2θ . Indeed, as the direction is $(0, \sin(-2\theta), \cos(-2\theta), 0)$, it may be better to say that the flag rotates by an angle of -2θ .

We will next see that this principle applies to spinors generally: multiplying a spinor by $e^{i\theta}$ rotates a flag by -2θ , in an appropriate sense.

2.3.10 Rotating flags

Given $p \in L^+$, we now consider the set of flags (p, V, o) based at p . We first consider which 2-planes V may arise, and for this we need a description of the tangent space to the light cone.

Lemma 2.79. *At any $p \in L^+$, the tangent space to L^+ is the orthogonal complement p^\perp with respect to the Minkowski inner product:*

$$T_p L^+ = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^{1,3} \mid \langle p, v \rangle = 0\} = p^\perp.$$

Proof. A smooth curve $p(s)$ on L^+ passing through $p(0) = p$ satisfies $\langle p(s), p(s) \rangle = 0$ for all s . Differentiating and setting $s = 0$ yields $\langle p, p'(0) \rangle = 0$. Thus $T_p L^+ \subseteq p^\perp$. As both are 3-dimensional linear subspaces they are equal. \square

Thus, the 2-planes V which may arise in a flag based at $p \in L^+$ are precisely those satisfying $\mathbb{R}p \subset V \subset p^\perp = T_p L^+$. Since $p \in L^+$, p has positive T -coordinate, so the ray $\mathbb{R}p$ is transverse to any 3-plane $T = \text{constant}$; moreover, V and p^\perp are also transverse to $T = \text{constant}$. Thus such a V intersects a 3-plane $T = \text{constant}$ in a line, which also lies in p^\perp . Conversely, a line in a 3-plane $T = \text{constant}$, which also lies in p^\perp spans, together with p , a 2-plane V such that $\mathbb{R}p \subset V \subset p^\perp$. So the 2-planes V arising in pointed null flags starting from p can be characterised via their 1-dimensional intersections with 3-planes of constant T . The intersections of such 2-planes V with the 3-plane $T = 0$ are precisely the 1-dimensional subspaces of the 2-plane $\{T = 0\} \cap p^\perp$.

A flag also includes an orientation o on $V/\mathbb{R}p$. As p has positive T -coordinate, each vector in $V/\mathbb{R}p$ has a unique representative with T -coordinate zero, giving an isomorphism $V/\mathbb{R}p \cong V \cap \{T = 0\}$. The orientation o on $V/\mathbb{R}p$ is thus equivalent to an orientation on the 1-dimensional subspace $V \cap \{T = 0\}$.

Thus, the flags based at p can be characterised by their oriented intersections with $\{T = 0\}$, and correspond precisely to the oriented 1-dimensional subspaces of the 2-plane $\{T = 0\} \cap p^\perp$. There is an S^1 family of oriented lines through the origin in a 2-plane, and so there is an S^1 family of flags based at p .

To investigate how flags rotate, we set up a useful basis.

Let $\kappa = (\xi, \eta) = (a + bi, c + di) \in \mathbb{C}_\times^2$ where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $|\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2 = r^2$, where $r > 0$. Also let $S_r^3 = \{\kappa \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2 = r^2\}$ be the 3-sphere of radius $r > 0$ in \mathbb{C}^2 . The corresponding flag $\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}(\kappa)$ is $[[p, v]]$ where $p = \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa) \in L^+$ and $v = \mathbf{g} \circ D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)) \in T_p L^+$ (Lemma 2.60). We calculated p and v explicitly in Lemma 2.31 and Lemma 2.76. In Section 2.3.9 we observed the algebraic similarity between the expressions for p and v . We now extend them to provide a useful basis of the XYZ 3-plane.

The T -coordinate of p is r^2 , so $p \in L^+ \cap \{T = r^2\}$, which is a 2-sphere of Euclidean radius r in the 3-plane $T = r^2$ in Minkowski space. Indeed $L^+ \cap \{T = r^2\} = r^2 \mathcal{S}^+$, where the celestial sphere $\mathcal{S}^+ = L^+ \cap \{T = 1\}$ is the unit sphere in the plane $T = 1$ (Definition 2.21(ii)). Indeed, as observed in Lemma 2.33, $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}$ restricts to a Hopf fibration $S_r^3 \rightarrow r^2 \mathcal{S}^+$.

Thus the projection of p to the XYZ 3-plane has Euclidean length r . Similarly, (because of the algebraic similarity of p and v), one can check that the XYZ -projection of v also has length r . Since

$v \in T_p L^+ = p^\perp$ we have $\langle p, v \rangle = 0$, and since the T -coordinate of v is 0 (Lemma 2.76 and discussed in Section 2.3.9), we deduce that the XYZ -projections of p and v are orthogonal in \mathbb{R}^3 . Thus, they extend naturally to an orthogonal basis where all vectors have length r .

When $r = 1$, i.e. $\kappa \in S^3$, we saw in Lemma 2.37 that the XYZ -projection of $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}$ is the Hopf fibration composed with stereographic projection. And in this case we obtain an orthonormal basis.

Lemma 2.80. *For any $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}_\times^2$, the vectors $e_1(\kappa), e_2(\kappa), e_3(\kappa)$ below all have length r and form a right-handed orthogonal basis of \mathbb{R}^3 . Moreover, identifying \mathbb{R}^3 with the $T = 0$ plane in $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$, $e_1(\kappa)$ and $e_2(\kappa)$ form an orthogonal basis for the 2-plane $\{T = 0\} \cap p^\perp$.*

$$\begin{aligned} e_1(\kappa) &= (a^2 - b^2 - c^2 + d^2, 2(ab + cd), 2(bd - ac)) &= \frac{1}{2}\pi_{XYZ} \circ \mathbf{g} \circ D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(i\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)) \\ e_2(\kappa) &= (2(cd - ab), a^2 - b^2 + c^2 - d^2, 2(ad + bc)) &= \frac{1}{2}\pi_{XYZ}(v) = \frac{1}{2}\pi_{XYZ} \circ \mathbf{g} \circ D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)) \\ e_3(\kappa) &= (2(ac + bd), 2(bc - ad), a^2 + b^2 - c^2 - d^2) &= \pi_{XYZ}(p) = \frac{1}{2}\pi_{XYZ} \circ \mathbf{g} \circ D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\kappa) \end{aligned}$$

In Lemma 2.59 we identified 3 vectors $\kappa, \mathcal{Z}(\kappa), i\mathcal{Z}(\kappa) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, which are orthogonal and have equal length r ; at κ they consist of a radial vector and two tangent vectors to S_r^3 . We showed that their images under the the derivative of \mathbf{f} spanned the image of $D_\kappa \mathbf{f}$. Here we calculate that their images under the derivative of $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}$ are also orthogonal and have equal length r .

Proof. These are direct calculations. In addition to the preceding lemmas mentioned above giving $e_2(\kappa)$ and $e_3(\kappa)$, we can also use Lemma 2.18 that $D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\kappa) = 2\mathbf{f}(\kappa)$. A similar method as in the proof of Lemma 2.76, using (2.17), gives $e_1(\kappa)$. One can check that the cross product of the first and second vectors yields $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2 = r^2$ times the third, so we have the correct orientation.

Now $p = (r^2, e_3(\kappa))$, using Lemma 2.31. When regarded in $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$, the e_i have T -coordinate zero, so $\langle p, e_i \rangle = -e_3 \cdot e_i$, which is zero for $i = 1, 2$. Thus $e_1, e_2 \in \{T = 0\} \cap p^\perp$. Since e_1, e_2 are orthogonal, and since as argued above $\{T = 0\} \cap p^\perp$ is 2-dimensional, we have an orthogonal basis. \square

We now have an explicit picture of the intersection of the flag of κ in the 3-plane $T = r^2$ of Minkowski space. In this 3-plane, the light cone appears as a 2-sphere of radius r^2 , p appears at $e_3(\kappa)$, and the tangent space to the light cone $T_p L^+ = p^\perp$ appears as the tangent 2-plane to the 2-sphere at p . The flag 2-plane appears as an oriented line through p in the direction of $e_2 \sim v$; the possible flag 2-planes based at p appear as oriented lines through p tangent to the 2-sphere. See Figure 13.




Figure 13: The intersection of the light cone, tangent space, and flag with the plane $T = r^2$.

As an aside, we note that

$$\kappa = (\xi, \eta) \in S^3 \quad \text{corresponds to a matrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \xi & -\bar{\eta} \\ \eta & \bar{\xi} \end{pmatrix} \in SU(2),$$

which in turn corresponds to a rotation of \mathbb{R}^3 , under the standard double covering map $SU(2) \rightarrow SO(3)$ (a subset of the double cover $SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow SO(1, 3)^+$ considered at length here). The images of the standard basis vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 under this rotation are precisely the $e_i(\kappa)$ here.

When $\kappa = (1, 0)$, from Example 2.78, e_1, e_2, e_3 are just unit vectors in the X, Y, Z directions respectively, and we calculated that multiplying κ by $e^{i\theta}$ preserved $e_3 (= \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa))$ but rotated the flag direction e_2 by -2θ about e_3 .

We now show this holds in general. In general, a rotation of \mathbb{R}^3 about e_3 by angle θ fixes e_3 , sends $e_1 \mapsto e_1 \cos \theta + e_2 \sin \theta$, and $e_2 \mapsto -e_1 \sin \theta + e_2 \cos \theta$.

Lemma 2.81. *Each $e_i(e^{i\theta}\kappa)$ is obtained from $e_i(\kappa)$ by a rotation of angle -2θ about $e_3(\kappa)$.*

Proof. We first observe that $\mathbf{f}(\kappa) = \mathbf{f}(e^{i\theta}\kappa)$ (Lemma 2.7) implies $e_3(\kappa) = e_3(e^{i\theta}\kappa)$. We now calculate $e_2(e^{i\theta}\kappa)$ directly. In (2.77) we calculated an expression for $\mathbf{g} \circ D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa))$ in terms of (ξ, η) ; replacing them with $e^{i\theta}(\xi, \eta)$ we obtain

$$\mathbf{g} \circ D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(e^{i\theta}\kappa)) = (0, -2 \operatorname{Im}(e^{2i\theta}\xi^2 + e^{-2i\theta}\bar{\eta}^2), 2 \operatorname{Re}(e^{2i\theta}\xi^2 + e^{-2i\theta}\bar{\eta}^2), 4 \operatorname{Im}(e^{2i\theta}\xi\eta)).$$

Now direct computations yield

$$\begin{aligned} e^{2i\theta}\xi^2 + e^{-2i\theta}\bar{\eta}^2 &= ((a^2 - b^2 + c^2 - d^2) \cos 2\theta - 2(ab + cd) \sin 2\theta) \\ &\quad + i(2(ab - cd) \cos 2\theta + (a^2 - b^2 - c^2 + d^2) \sin 2\theta) \\ e^{2i\theta}\xi\eta &= ((ac - bd) \cos 2\theta - (ad + bc) \sin 2\theta) + i((ad + bc) \cos 2\theta + (ac - bd) \sin 2\theta) \end{aligned}$$

so that $\pi_{XYZ} \circ \mathbf{g} \circ D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(e^{i\theta}\kappa))$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} 2\left(2(cd - ab) \cos 2\theta + (-a^2 + b^2 + c^2 - d^2) \sin 2\theta, (a^2 - b^2 + c^2 - d^2) \cos 2\theta - 2(ab + cd) \sin 2\theta, \right. \\ \left. 2(ad + bc) \cos 2\theta + 2(ac - bd) \sin 2\theta\right) \end{aligned}$$

hence $e_2(e^{i\theta}\kappa) = \frac{1}{2}\pi_{XYZ} \circ \mathbf{g} \circ D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(e^{i\theta}\kappa))$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \cos 2\theta (2(cd - ab), a^2 - b^2 + c^2 - d^2, 2(ad + bc)) + \sin 2\theta (-a^2 + b^2 + c^2 - d^2, -2(ab + cd), 2(ac - bd)) \\ = e_2(\kappa) \cos(-2\theta) + e_1(\kappa) \sin(-2\theta) \end{aligned}$$

Thus both e_2 and e_3 behave as claimed. Since $e_1(e^{i\theta}\kappa)$ forms a right-handed orthonormal basis with $e_2(e^{i\theta}\kappa)$ and $e_3(e^{i\theta}\kappa)$, the same must be true of e_1 . \square

2.3.11 Surjectivity of maps to flags

We now show that all flags arise via the maps \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{G} .

Proposition 2.82. *The maps \mathbf{F} and $\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}$ are surjective.*

Proof. Since \mathbf{G} is a bijection, it suffices to prove $\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}$ is a surjection $\mathbb{C}_\times^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$. As explained in Section 2.3.10 above, there is an S^1 family of flags at a given basepoint $p \in L^+$, which can be characterised by their oriented 1-dimensional intersections with $\{T = 0\}$, and these intersections are precisely the oriented 1-dimensional subspaces of the 2-plane $\{T = 0\} \cap p^\perp$.

Section 2.3.10 essentially shows that multiplying a spinor by $e^{i\theta}$ fixes the basepoint of a flag, but rotates through this S^1 family of flags based at p by an angle of -2θ .

To see this explicitly, take $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}_\times^2$, which yields the flag $\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}(\kappa) = [[p, \mathbf{g} \circ D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa))]]$ based at p , where $p = \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa)$ (Lemma 2.60). Since $\mathbf{g} \circ D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa))$ has T -coordinate zero (Lemma 2.76), the 2-plane of the flag intersects $\{T = 0\}$ along $\mathbf{g} \circ D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa))$. So the flag $\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}(\kappa)$ corresponds to the oriented 1-dimensional subspace of $\{T = 0\} \cap p^\perp$ given by $\mathbf{g} \circ D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa))$ or, if we regard \mathbb{R}^3 as the $T = 0$ subset of Minkowski space, by $e_2(\kappa)$. By Lemma 2.80, $e_1(\kappa)$ and $e_2(\kappa)$ span the 2-plane $\{T = 0\} \cap p^\perp$. By Lemma 2.81, multiplying κ by $e^{i\theta}$ rotates this plane in \mathbb{R}^3 by an angle of -2θ , about the orthogonal vector $e_3(\kappa)$. Thus as θ ranges through $[0, 2\pi]$ (or even just $[0, \pi]$), all flags based at p are obtained.

Thus, if $\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}$ contains in its image a flag based at a point $p \in L^+$, then it contains all flags based at p . It thus remains to show that all points of L^+ arise in the image of $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}$. But we showed this in Lemma 2.30. \square

Lemma 2.83. *The maps \mathbf{F} and $\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}$ are 2–1. More precisely, $\mathbf{F}(\kappa) = \mathbf{F}(\kappa')$ iff $\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}(\kappa) = \mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}(\kappa')$ iff $\kappa = \pm\kappa'$.*

Proof. Again as \mathbf{G} is a bijection it suffices to show that $\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}$ is 2–1. Suppose two spinors κ, κ' yield the same flag. Then in particular these flags have the same basepoint p , i.e. $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa) = \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa') = p$. Hence $\kappa' = e^{i\theta}\kappa$ (Lemma 2.30). We have seen (Lemma 2.81) that the flag of $e^{i\theta}\kappa$ is obtained from that of κ by rotation by an angle of -2θ through the S^1 family of flags based at p . This S^1 family is characterised by the family of oriented lines in a 2-dimensional Euclidean plane, namely $\{T = 0\} \cap p^\perp$. Thus, rotating a flag, we obtain the same flag when the rotation angle is an integer multiple of 2π . Thus $\kappa = \pm\kappa'$. The converse follows equally from these observations: $-\kappa = e^{i\pi}\kappa$ has flag obtained from that of κ by a rotation of -2π , hence yields the same flag. \square

(If we ignore orientations, and consider only pointed null flags as per Definition 2.47, then flags coincide when they are rotated by π rather than 2π , yielding 4–1 rather than 2–1 maps.)

We point out that there should be an extension of Proposition 2.38 using rotations between flags. There we found that for two spinors κ, κ' , the magnitude of $\{\kappa, \kappa'\}$ gave the Minkowski inner product of $p = \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa)$ and $p' = \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa')$. The argument of $\{\kappa, \kappa'\}$ should be related to the angles between the geodesic connecting p to p' , and the flag directions of $\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}(\kappa), \mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}(\kappa')$ at p, p' respectively (or indeed, the directions $e_2(\kappa), e_2(\kappa')$).

2.4 From Minkowski space to the hyperboloid model

The third step in our journey is from Minkowski space to the hyperboloid model; we now finally enter hyperbolic space. We define the map \mathbf{h} from the light cone to horospheres, and the map \mathbf{H} from flags to decorated horospheres.

We proceed as follows. We first introduce and discuss the hyperboloid model (Section 2.4.1) and horospheres (Section 2.4.2). In Section 2.4.3 we define and discuss the map \mathbf{h} ; in Section 2.4.4 we prove it is $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -equivariant. We briefly digress in Section 2.4.5 to discuss distances between horospheres, and how they can be found from spinors. In Section 2.4.6 we introduce the map \mathbf{H} , which produces an oriented line field on a horosphere; however at this stage we do not know that the line field is parallel. In Section 2.4.7 we compute in detail flags and horospheres and decorations from the single spinor $(1, 0)$; this work then pays off in Section 2.4.8 when we show that oriented line fields obtained from \mathbf{H} are parallel. In Section 2.4.9 we define decorated horospheres and show \mathbf{H} is a bijection. Finally, in Section 2.4.10 we show \mathbf{H} is $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -equivariant.

2.4.1 The hyperboloid model

Definition 2.84. *The hyperboloid model \mathbb{H} is the Riemannian submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ consisting of $x = (T, X, Y, Z) \in \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ such that*

$$T > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle x, x \rangle = T^2 - X^2 - Y^2 - Z^2 = 1,$$

with metric $ds^2 = dX^2 + dY^2 + dZ^2 - dT^2$.

To see that \mathbb{H} is a Riemannian (not Lorentzian or semi-Riemannian) manifold, observe that, by essentially the same proof as Lemma 2.79 for the light cone (which, like the hyperboloid, is part of a level set of the Minkowski norm function), we have, for any $q \in \mathbb{H}$,

$$T_q \mathbb{H} = q^\perp. \tag{2.85}$$

As q by definition has timelike position vector, all nonzero vectors in q^\perp are spacelike. Thus all nonzero tangent vectors to \mathbb{H} are spacelike. Reversing the sign of the metric on $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$, we have a positive definite Riemannian metric on \mathbb{H} .

The cross section of \mathbb{H} with a 3-plane of constant $T \geq 1$ is a Euclidean 2-sphere (of radius $\sqrt{T^2 - 1}$). The cross section of L^+ with such a 3-plane is also a Euclidean 2-sphere (of radius T). When T becomes

large, these 2-spheres become arbitrarily close and represent the possible directions of geodesics from a point in \mathbb{H} . Thus we may regard the *sphere at infinity* of \mathbb{H} , which we write as $\partial\mathbb{H}$, as the celestial sphere \mathcal{S}^+ (the projectivisation of L^+ , Definition 2.21(i)).

We denote the isometry group of \mathbb{H} by $\text{Isom } \mathbb{H}$, and its subgroup of orientation-preserving isometries by $\text{Isom}^+ \mathbb{H}$. It is well known that $\text{Isom } \mathbb{H} \cong O(1, 3)^+$ and $\text{Isom}^+ \mathbb{H} \cong SO(1, 3)^+$, acting by linear transformations on $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$. We saw a few examples in Section 2.2.1 of how the action of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ gives rise to linear transformations of $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ in $SO(1, 3)^+$. It is well known that this map $SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow SO(1, 3)^+$ is a surjective homomorphism which is 2-1, with kernel $\pm I$.

2.4.2 Horospheres

Horospheres in \mathbb{H} are given by intersection with certain 3-planes Π in $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$; we now say precisely which. As mentioned in Section 1.2, they are analogous to 2-planes which cut out parabolic conic sections.

Lemma 2.86. *Let Π be an affine 3-plane in $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$. The following are equivalent.*

- (i) Π has a lightlike tangent vector, and no timelike tangent vector.
- (ii) There exist a lightlike vector n and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ so that $\Pi = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{1,3} | \langle x, n \rangle = c\}$.
- (iii) Π is parallel to n^\perp where n is lightlike.

We call such a plane a lightlike 3-plane.

Proof. Let n be a Minkowski normal vector to Π , so that $\Pi = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{1,3} | \langle x, n \rangle = c\}$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Such n is unique up to a nonzero real scalar; we take it to be future pointing, i.e. have non-negative T -coordinate. The tangent space to Π is then the orthogonal complement n^\perp , and Π is parallel to n^\perp .

If n is timelike, after changing basis by a rotation in the XYZ 3-plane (which is an isometry in $SO(1, 3)^+$), we may arrange that $n = (T, X, 0, 0)$ where $T, X > 0$. Similarly, if n is spacelike (resp. timelike) then by a change of basis by boost in the XT 2-plane, we may assume $n = (0, X, 0, 0)$ and $X > 0$ (resp. $(T, 0, 0, 0)$ and $T > 0$).

If n is spacelike, $n = (0, X, 0, 0)$ then n^\perp contains $(1, 0, 0, 0)$, which is timelike. Thus none of (i)–(iii) hold. Similarly, if n is timelike, $n = (T, 0, 0, 0)$, then $n^\perp = \{p = (T, X, Y, Z) | T = 0\}$, so every nonzero vector in n^\perp is spacelike, and again none of (i)–(iii) hold.

If n is lightlike, $n = (T, X, 0, 0)$ with $T, X > 0$, then $n^\perp = \{x = (T, X, Y, Z) | T = X\}$. Any such x satisfies $\langle x, x \rangle = -Y^2 - Z^2 \leq 0$ so is lightlike or spacelike. Thus all of (i)–(iii) hold. \square

Not all lightlike 3-planes intersect \mathbb{H} ; some pass below (in the past of) the positive light cone.

Lemma 2.87. *A lightlike 3-plane Π satisfies $\Pi \cap \mathbb{H} \neq \emptyset$ iff $\Pi = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{1,3} | \langle x, n \rangle = c, n \in L^+, c > 0\}$ for some n and c .*

Any lightlike 3-plane has an equation $\langle x, n \rangle = c$ where $n \in L^+$; the point here is that only those with $c > 0$ intersect \mathbb{H} .

Proof. Let Π have equation $\langle x, n \rangle = c$ with $n \in L^+$. By a change of basis in $SO(1, 3)^+$, we may assume $n = (1, 1, 0, 0)$. Such a change of basis preserves $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and L^+ , hence Π is given by an equation of the desired form iff its equation satisfies the desired form after this change of basis. The 3-plane Π then has equation $T - X = c$. The plane intersects \mathbb{H} iff there exist (T, X, Y, Z) such that $T - X = c, T > 0$ and $T^2 - X^2 - Y^2 - Z^2 = 1$.

Substituting the former into the latter yields $T^2 - (T - c)^2 - Y^2 - Z^2 = 1 = 2cT - c^2 - Y^2 - Z^2 = 1$. If $c \leq 0$ then, as $T > 0$, every term on the left is non-positive and we have a contradiction. If $c > 0$ then there certainly are solutions, for instance $(T, X, Y, Z) = ((1 + c^2)/2c, (1 - c^2)/2c, 0, 0)$. \square

Definition 2.88. *A horosphere in \mathbb{H} is a non-empty intersection of \mathbb{H} with a lightlike 3-plane. The set of all horospheres in \mathbb{H} is denoted $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{H})$.*

It is perhaps not obvious that this definition agrees with Definition 1.1; it is better seen via other models. In any case, a lightlike 3-plane Π intersecting \mathbb{H} determines a horosphere \mathfrak{h} ; and conversely, \mathfrak{h} determines the plane Π as the unique affine 3-plane containing \mathfrak{h} . So there is a bijection

$$\{\text{Lightlike 3-planes } \Pi \text{ such that } \Pi \cap \mathbb{H} \neq \emptyset\} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{H}),$$

given by intersection with \mathbb{H} .

A horosphere determines a distinguished point at infinity, i.e. ray on the light cone, as follows.

Lemma 2.89. *Let $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{H})$ be the intersection of \mathbb{H} with the lightlike 3-plane Π with equation $\langle x, n \rangle = c$, where $n \in L^+$ and $c > 0$. Then Π intersects every ray of L^+ except the ray containing n .*

Proof. The 3-plane Π is parallel to, and disjoint from, the 3-plane n^\perp , which contains the ray of L^+ through n . Thus Π does not intersect the ray containing n .

To see that Π intersects every other ray, let $p \in L^+$ be a point not on the ray through n . By a change of basis as in Lemma 2.87, we may assume $n = (1, 1, 0, 0)$, so Π has equation $T - X = c$. Let $p = (T_0, X_0, Y_0, Z_0)$. Note that $T_0 > X_0$, for if $T_0 \leq X_0$ then $T_0^2 \leq X_0^2$ so $0 = \langle p, p \rangle = T_0^2 - X_0^2 - Y_0^2 - Z_0^2 \leq -Y_0^2 - Z_0^2$, so $Y_0 = Z_0 = 0$, so p is on the ray through n . We then observe that the point $cp/(T_0 - X_0)$ lies on both the ray through p (since it is a positive multiple of p), and Π (since the T -coordinate $cT_0/(T_0 - X_0)$ and X -coordinate $cX_0/(T_0 - X_0)$ differ by c). \square

Definition 2.90. *Let $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{H})$, corresponding to the lightlike 3-plane Π . The centre of \mathfrak{h} is the unique point of $\partial\mathbb{H} \cong \mathcal{S}^+$ such that Π does not intersect the corresponding ray of L^+ .*

Here we regard \mathcal{S}^+ as the projectivisation of L^+ , Definition 2.21(i). By Lemma 2.89, if Π has equation $\langle x, n \rangle = c$ where $n \in L^+$ and $c > 0$, then the centre of \mathfrak{h} is the point of \mathcal{S}^+ corresponding to the ray through the normal vector n .

Definition 2.91. *Let \mathfrak{h} be a horosphere, corresponding to the 3-plane Π . The horoball bounded by \mathfrak{h} is the subset of \mathbb{H} bounded by \mathfrak{h} , on the same side of Π as its centre. The centre of a horoball is the centre of its bounding horosphere.*

We may regard a horoball as a neighbourhood in \mathbb{H} of its centre, a point at infinity in $\partial\mathbb{H}$.

Remark. A horosphere appears in the hyperboloid model as a 2-dimensional paraboloid. To see this, again as in Lemma 2.87 we may change basis in $SO(1, 3)^+$ and assume the lightlike 3-plane has equation $T - X = c$ where $c > 0$ (we could in fact obtain equation $T - X = 1$). Eliminating T from $T - X = c$ and $T^2 - X^2 - Y^2 - Z^2 = 1$ yields $(X + c)^2 - X^2 - Y^2 - Z^2 = 1$, so $2cX - Y^2 - Z^2 = 1 - c^2$, hence $X = \frac{1}{2c}(Y^2 + Z^2 + 1 - c^2)$, which is the equation of a 2-dimensional paraboloid in \mathbb{R}^3 . Thus the horosphere is the image of the paraboloid $X = \frac{1}{2c}(Y^2 + Z^2 + 1 - c^2)$ in \mathbb{R}^3 under the injective linear map $\mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ given by $(X, Y, Z) \mapsto (X + c, X, Y, Z)$.

This remark makes clear that a horosphere has the topology of a 2-plane. In fact, a horosphere is isometric to the Euclidean plane; this is easier to see in other models of hyperbolic space.

2.4.3 The map from the light cone to horospheres

The following idea, assigning horospheres to points of L^+ , goes back at least to Penner [18], at least in 2-dimensional hyperbolic space.

Definition 2.92. *There is a bijection*

$$\mathfrak{h}: L^+ \longrightarrow \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{H})$$

which sends $p \in L^+$ to the horosphere \mathfrak{h} given by the intersection of \mathbb{H} with the lightlike 3-plane with equation $\langle x, p \rangle = 1$.

Proof. If $p \in L^+$ then by Lemma 2.87 the 3-plane $\langle x, p \rangle = 1$ is lightlike and intersects \mathbb{H} nontrivially, yielding a horosphere, so the map is well defined.

To show \mathbf{h} is bijective, we construct its inverse. So let \hat{h} be a horosphere, with corresponding lightlike 3-plane Π . By Lemma 2.87, Π has an equation of the form $\langle x, n \rangle = c$ where $n \in L^+$ and $c > 0$. Dividing through by c , Π has equivalent equation $\langle x, n/c \rangle = 1$. Now $n/c \in L^+$, and with the constant normalised to 1, Π has a unique equation of this form. Thus n/c is the unique point in L^+ such that $\mathbf{h}(n/c) = \hat{h}$. \square

By Lemma 2.89, the horosphere $\mathbf{h}(p)$ has centre given by the ray through p .

Let us consider the geometry of the map \mathbf{h} . As p is scaled up or down by multiples of $c > 0$, the 3-plane $\langle x, p \rangle = 1$ is translated through a family of lightlike 3-planes with common normal, namely the ray through p . This is because $\langle x, cp \rangle = 1$ is equivalent to $\langle x, p \rangle = \frac{1}{c}$. The family of lightlike 3-planes are disjoint, and their intersections with \mathbb{H} yield a family of horospheres with common centre foliating \mathbb{H} . As p goes to infinity, the 3-planes approach tangency with the light cone, and the corresponding horospheres also “go to infinity”, bounding decreasing horoballs, and eventually becoming arbitrarily far from any given point in \mathbb{H} .

The set $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{H})$ naturally has the topology of $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. For instance, a horosphere is uniquely specified by its centre, a point of $\partial\mathbb{H} \cong S^+$ $\cong S^2$, and a real parameter specifying the position of \hat{h} in the foliation of \mathbb{H} by horospheres about p . With this topology, \mathbf{h} is a diffeomorphism.

Forgetting everything about the horosphere except its centre, we obtain the following, which is useful in the sequel.

Definition 2.93. *The map from the positive light cone to the boundary at infinity of \mathbb{H}*

$$\mathbf{h}_\partial: L^+ \longrightarrow \partial\mathbb{H} = S^+$$

sends p to the centre of $\mathbf{h}(p)$.

Since the centre of $\mathbf{h}(p)$ is the ray through p , \mathbf{h}_∂ is just the projectivisation map collapsing each ray of $L^+ \cong S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ to a point, producing $S^+ = \partial\mathbb{H}$.

The map \mathbf{h} also provides a nice description of the tangent spaces of a horosphere. We demonstrate this after giving a straightforward lemma that will be useful in the sequel.

Lemma 2.94. *Let $q \in \mathbb{H}$ and $1 \leq k \leq 4$ be an integer. The intersection of the 3-plane $T_q\mathbb{H} = q^\perp$ with a k -plane $V \subset \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ containing a lightlike or timelike vector is transverse, and hence $T_q\mathbb{H} \cap V$ has dimension $k - 1$.*

Proof. As $T_q\mathbb{H}$ is spacelike, but V contains a lightlike or timelike vector, $T_q\mathbb{H} + V$ has dimension more than 3, hence 4. Thus the intersection is transverse, and the intersection is as claimed. \square

Lemma 2.95. *Let $p \in L^+$ and let q be a point on the horosphere $\mathbf{h}(p)$. Then the tangent space $T_q\mathbf{h}(p)$ is the 2-plane given by the following transverse intersection of 3-planes:*

$$T_q\mathbf{h}(p) = p^\perp \cap q^\perp.$$

Proof. Observe that p^\perp is the tangent space to the 3-plane $\langle x, p \rangle = 1$ cutting out $\mathbf{h}(p)$, and q^\perp is the tangent 3-plane to \mathbb{H} at q , by (2.85). So $T_q\mathbf{h}(p)$ is given as claimed. We explicitly calculated that horospheres are paraboloids, hence 2-dimensional manifolds, so the intersection must be transverse to obtain a 2-dimensional result. This can also be seen directly from Lemma 2.94, since p^\perp contains the lightlike vector p . \square

2.4.4 $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ action on hyperboloid model

We have seen that $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts on $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ in Definition 2.26, by linear maps in $SO(1, 3)^+$. Linear maps in $SO(1, 3)^+$ preserve the Minkowski metric, the positive light cone L^+ , the hyperboloid \mathbb{H} , and lightlike 3-planes. They also send rays of L^+ to rays of L^+ , send horospheres to horospheres, and act as orientation-preserving isometries on \mathbb{H} . Thus we can make the following definitions.

Definition 2.96.

- (i) $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts on \mathbb{H} by restriction of its action on $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$.
- (ii) $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts on $\partial\mathbb{H}$ by restriction of its action to L^+ and projectivisation to $\mathcal{S}^+ = \partial\mathbb{H}$.
- (iii) $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts on $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{H})$ via its action on \mathbb{H} .

Lemma 2.97.

- (i) The actions of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on L^+ and $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{H})$ are equivariant with respect to \mathbf{h} .
- (ii) The actions of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on L^+ and $\partial\mathbb{H}$ are equivariant with respect to \mathbf{h}_∂ .

That is, for $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $p \in L^+$,

$$\mathbf{h}(A \cdot p) = A \cdot (\mathbf{h}(p)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{h}_\partial(A \cdot p) = A \cdot \mathbf{h}_\partial(p).$$

Proof. The horosphere $\mathbf{h}(p)$ is cut out of \mathbb{H} by the 3-plane $\langle x, p \rangle = 1$. Upon applying A , we see that $A \cdot \mathbf{h}(p)$ is cut out of \mathbb{H} by the equation $\langle A^{-1} \cdot x, p \rangle = 1$, which is equivalent to $\langle x, A \cdot p \rangle = 1$, and this equation cuts out $\mathbf{h}(A \cdot p)$. Thus $A \cdot \mathbf{h}(p) = \mathbf{h}(A \cdot p)$ as desired for (i). Forgetting everything but points at infinity, we obtain (ii). \square

We will need the following in the sequel. To those familiar with hyperbolic geometry it will be known or a simple exercise, but we can give an argument using spinors, which may be of interest.

Lemma 2.98. *The action of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{H})$ is transitive.*

In other words, if $\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}'$ are horospheres then there exists $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ such that $A \cdot \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}'$. This A is not unique.

Proof. As \mathbf{h} is bijective (Definition 2.92) and $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}: \mathbb{C}_\times^2 \rightarrow L^+$ is surjective (Lemma 2.30), there exist $\kappa, \kappa' \in \mathbb{C}_\times^2$ such that $\mathbf{h} \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa) = \mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathbf{h} \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa') = \mathfrak{h}'$. Now by Lemma 2.16 the action of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on \mathbb{C}_\times^2 is transitive, so there exists $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ such that $A \cdot \kappa = \kappa'$. Then by equivariance of \mathbf{h} (Lemma 2.97) and $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}$ (Lemma 2.30) we have

$$A \cdot \mathfrak{h} = A \cdot (\mathbf{h} \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa)) = \mathbf{h} \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(A \cdot \kappa) = \mathbf{h} \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa') = \mathfrak{h}'$$

as desired. \square

2.4.5 Distances between horospheres

We now consider distances between horospheres and points in \mathbb{H}^3 . Later, in Section 3.3, we will define *complex* and *directed* distances between horospheres with decorations, but for now we only need a simpler, undirected notion of distance. The arguments of this subsection are based on [18].

Let $\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}'$ be two horospheres, with centres $p \neq p'$ respectively. Let γ be the geodesic with endpoints p, p' , and let $q = \gamma \cap \mathfrak{h}$ and $q' = \gamma \cap \mathfrak{h}'$. If \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{h}' are disjoint, then the shortest arc from \mathfrak{h} to \mathfrak{h}' is the segment $\gamma_{q,q'}$ of the geodesic γ between q and q' . When $\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}'$ overlap, one might think their distance should be zero, but instead we it turns out to be useful to use the same segment $\gamma_{q,q'}$, but count the distance negatively. When $\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}'$ have the same centre, there is no distinguished geodesic γ , we define a distance of $-\infty$ (see Section 3.3 for justification).

Definition 2.99. The signed (undirected) distance ρ between \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{h}' is defined as follows.

- (i) If $p = p'$ then $\rho = -\infty$.
- (ii) If $p \neq p'$ and
 - (a) $\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}'$ are disjoint, then ρ is the length of $\gamma_{q,q'}$;
 - (b) $\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}'$ are tangent, then $\rho = 0$;
 - (c) $\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}'$ overlap, then ρ is the negative length of $\gamma_{q,q'}$.

We can apply a similar idea for the distance between a horosphere \mathfrak{h} and a point q . Let p be the centre of \mathfrak{h} , let γ the geodesic with an endpoint at p passing through q , and let $q' = \mathfrak{h} \cap \gamma$. let $\gamma_{q,q'}$ be the segment of γ between q and q' . This segment provides the shortest path between \mathfrak{h} and q .

Definition 2.100. The signed distance ρ between \mathfrak{h} and q is defined as follow.

- (i) If q lies outside the horoball bounded by \mathfrak{h} , then ρ is the length of $\gamma_{q,q'}$.
- (ii) If q lies on \mathfrak{h} , then $\rho = 0$.
- (iii) If q lies inside the horoball bounded by \mathfrak{h} , then ρ is the negative length of $\gamma_{q,q'}$.

Lemma 2.101. Let $q_0 = (1, 0, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{H}$ and $p = (T, X, Y, Z) \in L^+$. Then the signed distance ρ between $\mathbf{h}(p) \in \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{H})$ and q_0 is $\log T$.

Here q_0 can be regarded as “the centre of \mathbb{H} ”, the unique point with X, Y, Z -coordinates all zero.

Proof. The strategy is as follows: consider the affine line in $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ from p to q_0 ; calculate where this line intersects the cone on the horosphere $\mathbf{h}(p)$; this intersection point will be on the ray through the the point of $\mathbf{h}(p)$ closest to q_0 ; then we find the desired distance.

As the horosphere $\mathbf{h}(p)$ consists of the points $x \in \mathbb{H}$ (which satisfy $\langle x, x \rangle = 1$) with $\langle x, p \rangle = 1$, the cone on $\mathbf{h}(p)$ consists of constant multiples cx ($c \in \mathbb{R}$) of such points, which satisfy $\langle cx, p \rangle = c$ and $\langle cx, cx \rangle = c^2$, hence $\langle cx, p \rangle = \langle cx, cx \rangle^2$.

Recall that the centre of $\mathbf{h}(p)$ is the point of $\partial\mathbb{H}$ represented by p , i.e. the ray through p . Note $\langle p, p \rangle = 0$. For points x on this ray we have $\langle x, x \rangle^2 = 0 = \langle x, p \rangle^2$.

From the previous two paragraphs, we observe that points x in the cone on $\mathbf{h}(p)$ and on the ray through p satisfy $\langle x, p \rangle^2 = \langle x, x \rangle$. Conversely, if a point x satisfies $\langle x, p \rangle^2 = \langle x, x \rangle$ then we claim it is either on this cone or this ray. To see this, note the equation implies $\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0$. If $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$, we have $\langle x, p \rangle = 0$, so that x lies on the ray through p . If $\langle x, x \rangle > 0$ then there is a real multiple x' of x on \mathbb{H} , and then we have $\langle x', x' \rangle = 1$ and $\langle p, x' \rangle^2 = 1$. But as $p \in L^+$ and $x' \in \mathbb{H}$ we cannot have $\langle p, x' \rangle < 0$; thus $\langle p, x' \rangle = 1$, so $x' \in \mathbf{h}(p)$ and x lies on the cone on $\mathbf{h}(p)$.

Therefore, the equation

$$\langle x, p \rangle^2 = \langle x, x \rangle \tag{2.102}$$

characterises points in the cone on $\mathbf{h}(p)$ and the ray through p . We now parametrise the affine line from p to q_0 by $x(s) = sp + (1-s)q_0$ and find where $x(s)$ satisfies (2.102). We calculate

$$\langle x, p \rangle = \langle sp + (1-s)q_0, p \rangle = s\langle p, p \rangle + (1-s)\langle q_0, p \rangle = (1-s)T,$$

using $p = (T, X, Y, Z)$, $q_0 = (1, 0, 0, 0)$, and since $p \in L^+$ so that $\langle p, p \rangle = 0$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, x \rangle &= s^2\langle p, p \rangle + 2s(1-s)\langle p, q_0 \rangle + (1-s)^2\langle q_0, q_0 \rangle \\ &= 2s(1-s)T + (1-s)^2 = (1-s)(2sT + 1-s). \end{aligned}$$

The equation $\langle x, p \rangle^2 = \langle x, x \rangle$ then yields

$$(1-s)^2T^2 = (1-s)(2sT + 1-s)$$

The solution $s = 1$ corresponds to $x = p$, the other solution is $s = \frac{T^2-1}{T^2+2T-1}$. For this s , $x(s)$ lies on the cone above $\mathbf{h}(p)$ at the point closest to q_0 , and normalising its length gives the closest point in $\mathbf{h}(p)$ to q_0 as

$$q' = \left(\frac{T^2+1}{2T^2}T, \frac{T^2-1}{2T^2}X, \frac{T^2-1}{2T^2}Y, \frac{T^2-1}{2T^2}Z \right),$$

When $T > 1$, the X, Y, Z coordinates of q' are positive multiples of X, Y, Z , so q' lies on the geodesic from q_0 to the point at infinity represented by p , on the same side of q_0 as p . The horoball bounded by $\mathbf{h}(p)$ is thus disjoint from q_0 , so $\rho > 0$. Conversely, when $T < 1$, $\rho < 0$.

The distance d from q' to q_0 can now be found from the formula $\cosh d = \langle x, y \rangle$, where d is the hyperbolic distance between points $x, y \in \mathbb{H}$. (Note $d = \pm\rho$.) Thus

$$\cosh d = \langle q', q_0 \rangle = \frac{T^2+1}{2T} = \frac{1}{2} \left(T + \frac{1}{T} \right).$$

Since $\cosh d = \frac{1}{2}(e^d + e^{-d})$, we have $e^d = T$ or $e^d = \frac{1}{T}$, i.e. $d = \pm \log T$. We just saw that when $T > 1$, $\rho > 0$ and when $T < 1$, $\rho < 0$. Thus $\rho = \log T$. \square

Proposition 2.103. *Let $q \in \mathbb{H}$ and $p \in L^+$. Then the signed distance between q and the horosphere $\mathbf{h}(p)$ is $\log \langle q, p \rangle$.*

Proof. We reduce to the previous lemma. Let $M \in SO(1, 3)^+$ be an isometry which sends q to q_0 , and let $M(p) = (T, X, Y, Z) \in L^+$. By Lemma 2.101, the signed distance ρ between q_0 and $\mathbf{h}(M(p))$ is given by $\rho = \log T = \log \langle q_0, (T, X, Y, Z) \rangle$. Now as M is an isometry, we have $\langle q_0, (T, X, Y, Z) \rangle = \langle M(q), M(p) \rangle = \langle q, p \rangle$. Thus $\rho = \log \langle q, p \rangle$. \square

Lemma 2.104. *Let $p_0 = (1, 0, 0, 1)$ and $p = (T, X, Y, Z)$ be points on L^+ . Then the signed distance between the two horospheres $\mathbf{h}(p)$ and $\mathbf{h}_0 = \mathbf{h}(p_0)$ is $\log \frac{T-Z}{2}$.*

Note that for any point $(T, X, Y, Z) \in L^+$, $T \geq Z$, with equality iff the point is a multiple of p_0 . The case $T = Z$ arises when p_0 and p lie on the same ray of L^+ , and we regard $\log 0$ as $-\infty$.

Proof. We follow a similar strategy to the previous lemma. The two horospheres have centres on $\partial\mathbb{H}$ given by rays through p_0 and p . We consider the affine line between p and p_0 , parametrised as $x(s) = sp + (1-s)p_0$, and find which points on this line lie on the cones of $\mathbf{h}(p)$ and \mathbf{h}_0 . The cone on $\mathbf{h}(p)$ is defined again by $\langle x, p \rangle^2 = \langle x, x \rangle$, and the cone on \mathbf{h}_0 is defined by $\langle x, p_0 \rangle^2 = \langle x, x \rangle$. We find that the closest points on $\mathbf{h}(p)$ and \mathbf{h}_0 to each other are

$$q = \left(\frac{T}{2} + \frac{1}{T-Z}, \frac{X}{2}, \frac{Y}{2}, \frac{Z}{2} + \frac{1}{T-Z} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad q_0 = \frac{1}{2(T-Z)} (3T - Z, 2X, 2Y, T + Z).$$

respectively.

Now \mathbf{h}_0 is cut out of \mathbb{H} by the equation $T - Z = 1$, and $T - Z = 0$ contains its centre p_0 . So the horoball bounded by \mathbf{h}_0 consists of points in \mathbb{H} satisfying $T - Z < 1$. Thus the two horoballs are disjoint iff q lies outside the horoball of \mathbf{h}_0 , which occurs iff q satisfies $T - Z > 1$. This happens precisely when

$$\left(\frac{T}{2} + \frac{1}{T-Z} \right) - \left(\frac{Z}{2} + \frac{1}{T-Z} \right) = \frac{T-Z}{2} > 1.$$

Thus the horoballs are disjoint precisely when $T - Z > 2$. We then find the distance d between the closest points using $\cosh d = \langle q, q_0 \rangle$, which reduces to

$$\frac{1}{2} (e^d + e^{-d}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{T-Z}{2} + \frac{2}{T-Z} \right).$$

Thus $e^d = \frac{T-Z}{2}$ or $\frac{2}{T-Z}$, i.e. $d = \pm \log \frac{T-Z}{2}$. As we have seen, when $T - Z > 2$ the horoballs are disjoint, so that $d > 0$. Hence $\rho = \log \frac{T-Z}{2}$ as desired. \square

Proposition 2.105 (Cf. [18] lemma 2.1). *Let $p, p' \in L^+$. Then the signed distance ρ between the horospheres $\mathbf{h}(p), \mathbf{h}(p')$ satisfies*

$$\langle p, p' \rangle = 2e^\rho. \quad (2.106)$$

Further, suppose $\kappa, \kappa' \in \mathbb{C}_\times^2$ satisfy $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa) = p$ and $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa') = p'$. Then

$$|\{\kappa, \kappa'\}|^2 = e^\rho \quad (2.107)$$

Equation (2.107) is equivalent to the modulus of the equation in Theorem 3. It is perhaps interesting that we can obtain this result without yet having considered spin at all. This proposition is closely related to Proposition 2.38.

Proof. We begin with equation (2.107), reducing it to the previous lemma. By Lemma 2.16, there exists $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ such that $A(\kappa) = (1, 0)$. Let $A(\kappa') = \kappa''$. Then by Lemma 2.9,

$$\{\kappa, \kappa'\} = \{A\kappa, A\kappa'\} = \{(1, 0), \kappa''\}. \quad (2.108)$$

As A acts by an isometry of hyperbolic space, the signed distance between the horospheres $A \cdot \mathbf{h} \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa)$ and $A \cdot \mathbf{h} \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa')$ is also ρ . By equivariance of $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}$ these horospheres can also be written as $\mathbf{h} \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(1, 0)$ and $\mathbf{h} \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa'')$. Now $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(1, 0) = p_0 = (1, 0, 0, 1)$. Let $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa'') = (T, X, Y, Z)$. By Lemma 2.104, $\rho = \log \frac{T-Z}{2}$. Rearranging this and noting that $\langle p_0, (T, X, Y, Z) \rangle = T - Z$, we have

$$e^\rho = \frac{1}{2} \langle p_0, (T, X, Y, Z) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(1, 0), \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa'') \rangle.$$

Applying Proposition 2.38 we then obtain

$$e^\rho = |\{(1, 0), \kappa''\}|^2,$$

which by (2.108) is equal to $|\{\kappa, \kappa'\}|^2$ as desired.

To obtain equation (2.106), note that as $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}$ is surjective, there exist κ, κ' such that $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa) = p$ and $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa') = p'$. Then the first equation follows directly from the second, using Proposition 2.38. \square

2.4.6 The map from flags to horospheres

We consider how flags behave under \mathbf{h} and how to obtain corresponding tangent data on a horosphere. So, let $(p, V, o) \in \mathcal{F}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$ and consider the effect of \mathbf{h} . The situation is schematically depicted in Figure 9.

First, consider the point p . Under \mathbf{h} , p corresponds to a horosphere $\mathbf{h}(p) \in \mathfrak{H}$. At a point q of $\mathbf{h}(p)$, by Lemma 2.95 we have $T_q \mathbf{h}(p) = p^\perp \cap q^\perp$

Second, consider the 2-plane V ; recall $\mathbb{R}p \subset V \subset p^\perp$ (Lemma 2.79). Consider how V intersects the tangent space to $\mathbf{h}(p)$ at q . We have

$$T_q \mathbf{h}(p) \cap V = (q^\perp \cap p^\perp) \cap V = q^\perp \cap V,$$

where the latter equality used $V \subset p^\perp$. Now as $\mathbb{R}p \subset V$, V contains the lightlike vector p , so by Lemma 2.94 the latter intersection is transverse and the result is 1-dimensional.

Third, consider the orientation o ; recall o is an orientation on the 1-dimensional space $V/\mathbb{R}p$. We will try to use o to provide an orientation on the 1-dimensional space $T_q \mathbf{h}(p) \cap V$. We can regard o as singling out as positive one the two sides of the origin in the line $V/\mathbb{R}p$ (the other side being negative). Then, any vector $w \in V$ which does not lie in $\mathbb{R}p$ obtains a sign, depending on the side of $\mathbb{R}p$ to which it lies; these two sides of $\mathbb{R}p$ project to the two sides of the origin in $V/\mathbb{R}p$.

Lemma 2.109. *If $p \in L^+$, $q \in \mathbf{h}(p)$ and $\mathbb{R}p \subset V \subset p^\perp$ (as above), then $T_q \mathbf{h}(p) \cap V \neq \mathbb{R}p$.*

Proof. As $T_q \mathbf{h}(p) \cap V \subset T_q \mathbb{H}$, it is spacelike, so cannot contain the lightlike vector p . \square

Thus the 1-dimensional subspace $T_q\mathbf{h}(p) \cap V$ is a line in the 2-plane V transverse to $\mathbb{R}p$. So o singles out one side of the origin in this line; or equivalently, induces an orientation on this line.

To summarise: given a flag (p, V, o) , the point $p \in L^+$ singles out a horosphere $\mathbf{h}(p)$; at a point q on this horosphere, V singles out a distinguished 1-dimensional subspace $T_q\mathbf{h}(p) \cap V$ of the tangent space $T_q\mathbf{h}(p)$ to the horosphere; and o induces an orientation on the 1-dimensional space $V \cap T_q\mathbf{h}(p)$.

Considering the above construction over all $q \in \mathbf{h}(p)$, the 1-dimensional spaces $T_q\mathbf{h}(p) \cap V$ form a *tangent line field* on the horosphere $\mathbf{h}(p)$, and with the orientation from o we in fact have an *oriented tangent line field* on the horosphere $\mathbf{h}(p)$, i.e. a smoothly varying choice of oriented 1-dimensional subspace of each tangent space $T_q\mathbf{h}(p)$. We denote this oriented tangent line field by $V \cap T\mathbf{h}(p)$, as it is given by intersections with the various fibres in the tangent bundle to $\mathbf{h}(p)$.

We can then make the following definitions.

Definition 2.110. *An overly decorated horosphere is a pair (\mathfrak{h}, L^O) consisting of $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{H})$ together with an oriented tangent line field L^O on \mathfrak{h} . The set of overly decorated horospheres is denoted $\mathfrak{H}_D^O(\mathbb{H})$.*

Definition 2.111. *The map \mathbf{H} sends (pointed oriented null) flags in $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ to overly decorated horospheres*

$$\mathbf{H}: \mathcal{F}_p^O(\mathbb{R}^{1,3}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{H}_D^O(\mathbb{H}), \quad \mathbf{H}(p, V, o) = (\mathbf{h}(p), V \cap T\mathbf{h}(p)),$$

where $V \cap T\mathbf{h}(p)$ is endowed with the orientation induced from o .

We say the horospheres are “overly” decorated, because it turns out that the oriented line fields $V \cap T\mathbf{h}(p)$ are of a very specific type: they are *parallel*. A parallel oriented line field is determined by the single oriented line at one point; keeping track of an entire oriented line field is overkill.

2.4.7 Illustrative examples from the spinor $(1, 0)$

Let us return to the spinor $\kappa_0 = (1, 0)$. In Example 2.78 we calculated that, in Minkowski space, the flag $\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}(\kappa_0)$ is based at $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa_0) = (1, 0, 0, 1)$; let this point be p_0 . We also calculated that the flag has 2-plane V spanned by p_0 and the vector $(0, 0, 1, 0)$ in the Y -direction, which we denote ∂_Y . This flag has $V/\mathbb{R}p_0$ is oriented in the direction of ∂_Y . In other words, the flag is $[[p_0, \partial_Y]]$

Example 2.112 (The horosphere of $(1, 0)$ and oriented line field at a point). Let us now find the corresponding horosphere, which we denote \mathfrak{h}_0 , i.e. $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathbf{h}(p_0) = \mathbf{h} \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa_0)$. It is cut out of \mathbb{H} by the 3-plane Π with equation $\langle x, p_0 \rangle = 1$, i.e. $T - Z = 1$. Thus, \mathfrak{h}_0 is the paraboloid defined by equations $T^2 - X^2 - Y^2 - Z^2 = 1$ and $T - Z = 1$. By the comment after Definition 2.92, the centre of \mathfrak{h}_0 is the ray of L^+ through p_0 .

A useful perspective on this horosphere \mathfrak{h}_0 may be obtained by noting that Π , with equation $T - Z = 1$, is foliated by lines in the direction $(1, 0, 0, 1)$ (i.e. the direction of the position vector of p_0). Each such line contains exactly one point with $T = 0$, i.e. in the XYZ 3-plane. Since $T - Z = 1$, when $T = 0$ we have $Z = -1$. This Π intersects the XYZ 3-plane in the 2-plane consisting of points of the form $(0, X, Y, -1)$. Denote this 2-plane Π_{XY} . It is a Euclidean 2-plane.

Each of the lines parallel to p_0 foliating Π intersects the horosphere \mathfrak{h}_0 exactly once. To see this, note that such a line has parametrisation $(0, X, Y, -1) + s(1, 0, 0, 1) = (s, X, Y, s - 1)$, and intersects \mathfrak{h}_0 when it intersects \mathbb{H} , i.e. when $s^2 - X^2 - Y^2 - (s - 1)^2 = 1$. This equation is linear in the parameter s and has a unique solution, giving the unique intersection point with \mathfrak{h}_0 .

Thus the projection $\Pi \longrightarrow \Pi_{XY}$, projecting along the lines in the direction of p_0 , restricts to a bijection $\mathfrak{h}_0 \longrightarrow \Pi_{XY}$. In fact, as p_0 is a lightlike direction and the tangent planes to Π are precisely the orthogonal complement p_0^\perp , this bijection is an isometry. This shows the horosphere \mathfrak{h}_0 is isometric to a Euclidean 2-plane. It also shows that a point of \mathfrak{h}_0 is determined by its X and Y coordinates, and that all $(X, Y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ arise as X, Y coordinates of points on \mathfrak{h}_0 . See Figure 14.




Figure 14: Projection of the plane Π to Π_{XY} (schematically drawn a dimension down).

Let us examine the horosphere h_0 at a particular point. One can verify that $(1, 0, 0, 0) \in h_0$; let this point be q_0 .

The tangent space of \mathbb{H} at q_0 is q_0^\perp by (2.85), which has equation $T = 0$. So $T_{q_0}\mathbb{H}$ is the XYZ 3-plane.

The tangent space of h_0 at q_0 is $p_0^\perp \cap q_0^\perp$ by Lemma 2.95, thus is defined by equations $T - Z = 0$ and $T = 0$. So $T_{q_0}h_0$ is the XY 2-plane.

The decoration, or oriented line, obtained on the horosphere in $\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}(\kappa_0)$, at q_0 , by Definition 2.111 is given by $V \cap T_{q_0}h_0$. We have calculated that V is spanned by p_0 and ∂_Y , while $T_{q_0}h_0$ is the XY -plane, so the intersection is the line in the Y direction. Since the flag $V/\mathbb{R}p_0$ is oriented in the direction of ∂_Y , this line is oriented in the ∂_Y direction.

Note that a quotient by $\mathbb{R}p_0$, when restricted to the 3-plane Π , is essentially the same as the projection along the lines in the p_0 direction discussed above. At each point of Π (given by $T - Z = 1$), the tangent space is given by $p_0^\perp = \{T - Z = 0\}$, and V is a 2-dimensional subspace of this tangent space. When we project $\Pi \rightarrow \Pi_{XY}$, the 2-plane V of the flag projects to a 1-dimensional subspace of Π_{XY} , which we may regard as $V/\mathbb{R}p_0$. Since V is spanned by p_0 and ∂_Y , the projection along p_0 is spanned by ∂_Y .

Example 2.113 (Action of parabolic matrices on flag and horosphere of $(1, 0)$). Consider the following matrices in $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$:

$$P_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, \quad P = \{P_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{C}\}. \quad (2.114)$$

It is not difficult to see that P is a subgroup of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$. Indeed, for $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathbb{C}$ we have $P_\alpha P_{\alpha'} = P_{\alpha'} P_\alpha = P_{\alpha + \alpha'}$, and the correspondence $\alpha \mapsto P_\alpha$ gives an isomorphism from \mathbb{C} , as an additive group, to P . Thus $P \cong \mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{R}^2$.

The matrices P_α are all *parabolic* in the sense that they have trace 2. They are also *parabolic* in the sense that, at least when $\alpha \neq 0$, as complex linear maps on \mathbb{C}^2 , they have only one 2-dimensional eigenspace (i.e. their Jordan block decomposition consists of a single 2-dimensional block). The word *parabolic* can have other meanings too, which do not concern us here.

As a subgroup of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, P acts on all the spaces that $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ does. It will be useful to consider its action on various objects deriving from the spinor $\kappa_0 = (1, 0)$ of the previous example.

Each P_α acts on \mathbb{C}^2 by complex linear maps preserving κ_0 . In fact, for the action of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on \mathbb{C}^2 of Definition 2.8, P is precisely the stabiliser of κ_0 .

Under the map $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}$ from \mathbb{C}^2 to $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$, κ_0 maps to p_0 . As P preserves κ_0 , by equivariance of $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}$ (Lemma 2.30), the action of P on $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ preserves p_0 . Precisely, for any $P_\alpha \in P$ we have

$$P_\alpha \cdot p_0 = P_\alpha \cdot ((\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f})(\kappa_0)) = (\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f})(P_\alpha \cdot (\kappa_0)) = (\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f})(\kappa_0) = p_0 \quad (2.115)$$

Thus, each P_α acts on $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ by a real linear map in $SO(1, 3)^+$ (Lemma 2.28 and subsequent comments) which preserves p_0 , and hence also p_0^\perp . So, it can't be "too bad"; we compute it explicitly.

On the Hermitian matrix S corresponding to the point $2(T, X, Y, Z) \in \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ (see Definition 2.22), P_α acts by

$$\begin{aligned} P_\alpha \cdot S &= P_\alpha S P_\alpha^* = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T+Z & X+iY \\ X-iY & T-Z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \bar{\alpha} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} T+Z + \alpha(X-iY) + \bar{\alpha}(X+iY) + |\alpha|^2(T-Z) & X+iY + \alpha(T-Z) \\ X-iY + \bar{\alpha}(T-Z) & T-Z \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

This is equal to the Hermitian matrix corresponding to a point $2(T', X', Y', Z') \in \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} T' + Z' & X' + iY' \\ X' - iY' & T' - Z' \end{pmatrix}$$

where, letting $\alpha = a + bi$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} T' &= T + aX + bY + \frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}(T-Z), & X' &= X + a(T-Z), \\ Y' &= Y + b(T-Z), & Z' &= Z + aX + bY + \frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}(T-Z) \end{aligned} \quad (2.116)$$

Indeed, one can verify that $(T, X, Y, Z) = p_0$ implies $(T', X', Y', Z') = p_0$. This describes the action of P on $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$.

Now consider the action of P on the flag $\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}(\kappa_0) = [[p_0, \partial_Y]] \in \mathcal{F}_P^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$ from Example 2.78 and the previous Example 2.112. Using equivariance again (of $\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}$ this time, Proposition 2.69 and Proposition 2.75), as P stabilises κ_0 , it also stabilises $[[p_0, \partial_Y]]$. Precisely, for $P_\alpha \in P$ we have

$$P_\alpha \cdot [[p_0, \partial_Y]] = P_\alpha \cdot (\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F})(\kappa_0) = (\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F})(P_\alpha \cdot (\kappa_0)) = (\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F})(\kappa_0) = [[p_0, \partial_Y]]$$

Thus each P_α must fix the flag 2-plane V spanned by p_0 and ∂_Y ; we saw in (2.115) that P_α fixes p_0 ; we compute $P_\alpha \cdot \partial_Y$ explicitly to see how P acts on V . Using (2.116) gives

$$P_\alpha \cdot \partial_Y = P_\alpha \cdot (0, 0, 1, 0) = (b, 0, 1, b) = \partial_Y + bp_0.$$

Thus indeed each P_α preserves the plane V spanned by p_0 and ∂_Y . In fact, it acts as the identity on $V/\mathbb{R}p_0$, so definitely preserves the orientation in the flag.

Each P_α fixes p_0^\perp , the 3-dimensional orthogonal complement of p_0 , which has a basis given by p_0, ∂_Y and $\partial_X = (0, 1, 0, 0)$. We have already computed P_α on the first two of these; the third is no more difficult, and we find that P_α acts on p_0^\perp by

$$P_\alpha \cdot p_0 = p_0, \quad P_\alpha \cdot \partial_X = \partial_X + ap_0, \quad P_\alpha \cdot \partial_Y = \partial_Y + bp_0, \quad (2.117)$$

adding multiples of p_0 to ∂_X and ∂_Y according to the real and imaginary parts of α .

Having considered both p_0 and p_0^\perp , we observe that $\mathbb{R}p_0 \subset p_0^\perp$ and so we can consider their quotient $p_0^\perp/\mathbb{R}p_0$. This is a 2-dimensional vector space, and has a basis represented by ∂_X and ∂_Y . From (2.117) we observe that each P_α acts on $p_0^\perp/\mathbb{R}p_0$ as the identity.

Next we turn to horospheres. Example 2.112 above calculated $\mathbf{h}(p_0) = \mathbf{h} \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa_0)$ to be the horosphere \hat{h}_0 cut out of \mathbb{H} by the plane Π with equation $T - Z = 1$. We found that the point $q_0 = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ was on this horosphere. At this point we have $T_{q_0}\mathbb{H}$ equal to the XYZ 3-plane, $T_{q_0}\mathbf{h}(p_0)$ equal to the XY 2-plane, and the oriented decoration $V \cap T_{q_0}\mathbf{h}(p_0)$ given by ∂_Y . Again by equivariance (Lemma 2.30, Lemma 2.97), P must fix \hat{h}_0 : for any $P_\alpha \in P$ we have

$$P_\alpha \cdot \hat{h}_0 = P_\alpha \cdot (\mathbf{h} \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f})(\kappa_0) = (\mathbf{h} \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f})(P_\alpha \cdot (\kappa_0)) = \mathbf{h} \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa_0) = \hat{h}_0.$$

Let us see explicitly how P_α acts on the horosphere \hat{h}_0 , starting from the point q_0 . Using (2.116), and recalling that every point of \hat{h}_0 satisfies $T - Z = 1$, we obtain

$$P_\alpha \cdot q_0 = \left(1 + \frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}, a, b, \frac{|\alpha|^2}{2} \right) = \left(1 + \frac{a^2 + b^2}{2}, a, b, \frac{a^2 + b^2}{2} \right). \quad (2.118)$$

The X and Y coordinates of $P_\alpha \cdot q_0$ are the real and imaginary parts of α , and as mentioned in Example 2.112, X and Y coordinates determine points of \mathfrak{h}_0 . Thus for any point $q \in \mathfrak{h}_0$ there is precisely one $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $P_\alpha \cdot q_0 = q$, namely $\alpha = X + Yi$. In other words, the action of P on \mathfrak{h}_0 is simply transitive. The expression in (2.118) is a parametrisation of \mathfrak{h}_0 by $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ or $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. If we project \mathfrak{h}_0 to Π_{XY} as in Example 2.112, then P_α acts by addition by $(0, a, b, 0)$.

Example 2.119 (Oriented line field on the horosphere of $(1, 0)$). We again consider the horosphere $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathbf{h}(p_0) = \mathbf{h} \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa_0)$. In Example 2.112 we found the tangent space to \mathfrak{h}_0 at a specific point q_0 , and its intersection with the flag $\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}(\kappa_0)$. In Example 2.113 we found that the group P acts simply transitively on \mathfrak{h}_0 , so each point $q \in \mathfrak{h}_0$ can be written as $P_\alpha \cdot q_0$ for a unique $\alpha = a + bi$. We now find the tangent space to \mathfrak{h}_0 at q explicitly, and its decoration, given by intersection with the flag $\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}(\kappa_0)$.

Having calculated q explicitly in (2.118), using (2.85) we have

$$T_q \mathbb{H} = q^\perp = \left\{ (T, X, Y, Z) \mid \left(1 + \frac{|\alpha|^2}{2} \right) T - aX - bY - \frac{|\alpha|^2}{2} Z = 0 \right\} \quad (2.120)$$

The tangent space to the horosphere \mathfrak{h}_0 at q is given by the intersection of $T_q \mathbb{H}$ with p_0^\perp (Lemma 2.95). As in Example 2.112, the 3-plane p_0^\perp has equation $T - Z = 0$. Substituting $T = Z$ into (2.120) simplifies the equation to

$$Z = aX + bY$$

and so we can obtain various descriptions of the tangent space to \mathfrak{h}_0 at q ,

$$\begin{aligned} T_q \mathfrak{h}_0 &= q^\perp \cap p_0^\perp = \{(T, X, Y, Z) \mid T = Z, Z = aX + bY\} \\ &= \{(aX + bY, X, Y, aX + bY) \mid X, Y \in \mathbb{R}\} \\ &= \text{Span}\{(a, 1, 0, a), (b, 0, 1, b)\} = \text{Span}\{\partial_X + ap_0, \partial_Y + bp_0\} \end{aligned}$$

As in Example 2.78 and Example 2.112, the flag 2-plane V of $\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}(\kappa_0)$ is spanned by p_0 and ∂_Y , with $V/\mathbb{R}p_0$ oriented by ∂_Y . One of the generators of $T_q \mathfrak{h}_0$ identified above already lies in this subspace, so the line field on \mathfrak{h}_0 at q is given by

$$V \cap T_q \mathfrak{h}_0 = \text{Span}\{(b, 0, 1, b)\} = \text{Span}\{\partial_Y + bp_0\}$$

The orientation on $V/\mathbb{R}p_0$ given by $\partial_Y + \mathbb{R}p_0$ induces the orientation on the 1-dimensional space $V \cap T_q \mathfrak{h}_0$ given by $\partial_Y + bp_0$. In other words, the oriented line field of $\mathbf{H} \circ \mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}(\kappa_0)$ at $q = P_\alpha \cdot p_0$ is spanned and oriented by $\partial_Y + bp_0$. Denote this oriented line field by L^O , so that its value at q is given by

$$L_q^O = \text{Span}\{\partial_Y + bp_0\}.$$

In the parametrisation of (2.118) by $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, L_q^O points in the direction of constant a and increasing b , i.e. the partial derivative with respect to b .

Since the action of P on $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ is linear and preserves \mathbb{H} , V , and \mathfrak{h}_0 , it also preserves tangent spaces of \mathfrak{h}_0 : for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, we have $P_\alpha \cdot T_q \mathfrak{h}_0 = T_{P_\alpha \cdot q} \mathfrak{h}_0$. Hence the action of P must preserve the intersections $V \cap T_q \mathfrak{h}_0$ which form the decoration on \mathfrak{h}_0 :

$$P_\alpha \cdot (V \cap T_q \mathfrak{h}_0) = V \cap T_{P_\alpha \cdot q} \mathfrak{h}_0$$

Indeed, we can check this explicitly at any $q \in \mathfrak{h}_0$. Letting $q = P_\alpha \cdot q_0$, we just saw that the oriented line field at q is spanned and oriented by $\partial_Y + bp_0$. Applying $P_{\alpha'}$, where $\alpha' = a' + b'i$ with $a', b' \in \mathbb{R}$, from (2.116) we obtain

$$P_{\alpha'} \cdot (\partial_Y + bp_0) = P_{\alpha'} \cdot (b, 0, 1, b) = (b + b', 0, 1, b + b') = \partial_Y + (b + b')p_0,$$

the same vector spanning and orienting $L_{q'}^O$ where $q' = P_{\alpha'} \cdot q = P_{\alpha+\alpha'} q_0$. So, for any $q \in \mathfrak{h}_0$ and any $A \in P$,

$$A \cdot L_q^O = L_{A \cdot q}^O$$

Thus, the oriented line field L^O on \mathfrak{h}_0 given by $\mathbf{H} \circ \mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}(\kappa_0)$ is a quite special type of oriented line field: it is parallel. Its value at any one point determines all the others, by applying the isometries given by P . The group P of isometries of \mathbb{H} is precisely the set of translations of \mathfrak{h}_0 , which acts simply transitively on \mathfrak{h}_0 and carries with it the oriented line field L^O .

It is worth noting what happens if we project \mathfrak{h}_0 to the plane Π_{XY} from Example 2.112. As discussed there, this projection is an isometry, and is effectively a quotient by $\mathbb{R}p_0$, expressing \mathfrak{h}_0 as a Euclidean 2-plane. Under this projection, V becomes an oriented line field in the direction ∂_Y . We saw in Example 2.113 that after applying this projection, P_α acts by translation by $(0, a, b, 0)$. Thus in particular it preserves the oriented line field in the direction ∂_Y , which is the oriented line field of $\mathbf{H} \circ \mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}(\kappa_0)$.

2.4.8 Parallel line fields

The type of oriented line field found as $\mathbf{H} \circ \mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}(1, 0)$ is known as *parallel*, which we now define.

Definition 2.121. *An element $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, or the corresponding element $M \in SO(1, 3)^+$, is called*

- (i) *parabolic if $\text{Trace } A = \pm 2$;*
- (ii) *elliptic if $\text{Trace } A \in (-2, 2)$.*
- (iii) *loxodromic if $\text{Trace } A \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [-2, 2] = \pm 2$.*

(There are other characterisations of these types of elements, but this is all we need.) It follows that the type of A and any conjugate MAM^{-1} are the same.

All the matrices P_α of the previous section are parabolic. (Their negatives $-P_\alpha$ are also parabolic, but a matrix $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and its negative $-A$ produce the same element of $SO(1, 3)^+$, so these do not produce any new isometries of \mathbb{H}).

The oriented line field calculated on \mathfrak{h}_0 in the previous section thus satisfies the following definition.

Definition 2.122. *Let $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{H})$. An oriented line field on \mathfrak{h} is parallel if it is invariant under the parabolic isometries of \mathbb{H} fixing \mathfrak{h} .*

Thus, to describe a parallel oriented line field on a horosphere \mathfrak{h} , it suffices to describe it at one point: the oriented lines at other points can be found by applying parabolic isometries. Indeed, a horosphere is isometric to the Euclidean plane, and the parabolic isometries preserving \mathfrak{h} act by Euclidean translations. A parallel oriented line field is therefore parallel in the sense of ‘‘invariant under parallel translation’’. By the Gauss–Bonnet theorem no such line field exists on a surface of nonzero curvature.

As we now see, all oriented line fields produced by \mathbf{H} (Definition 2.111) are parallel.

Lemma 2.123. *Let $(p, V, o) \in \mathcal{F}_p^O(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$ be a flag, and let $\mathbf{H}(p, V, o) = (\mathbf{h}(p), L^O) \in \mathfrak{H}_2^O(\mathbb{H})$ the corresponding overly decorated horosphere. Then the oriented line field L^O on $\mathbf{h}(p)$ is parallel.*

Proof. The proof proceeds by reducing to the examples of the previous Section 2.4.7.

As $\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}$ is surjective (Proposition 2.82), there exists $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}_\times^2$ such that $(p, V, o) = \mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}(\kappa)$. As the action of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on \mathbb{C}_\times^2 is transitive (Lemma 2.16), there exists $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ be a matrix such that $A \cdot \kappa = (1, 0)$. Then by equivariance of $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}$ (Lemma 2.30, Lemma 2.97) A sends the given horosphere $\mathbf{h}(p)$ to $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathbf{h}(p_0) = \mathbf{h} \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(1, 0)$ from Section 2.4.7:

$$A \cdot \mathbf{h}(p) = A \cdot (\mathbf{h} \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa)) = \mathbf{h} \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(A \cdot \kappa) = \mathbf{h} \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(1, 0) = \mathfrak{h}_0.$$

Similarly, by equivariance of \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{G} , A sends the flag (p, V, o) to the standard one $\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}(1, 0)$ from Section 2.4.7, which we denote (p_0, V_0, o_0) :

$$A(p, V, o) = A \cdot (\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}(\kappa)) = \mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}(A \cdot \kappa) = \mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}(1, 0) = (p_0, V_0, o_0).$$

Consider now the action of A on oriented line fields. Recall that $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts on $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ via linear maps in $SO(1, 3)^+$. If there is an oriented line field L^O on $\mathbf{h}(p)$, then A (via its derivative; but A acts on $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ by a linear map) takes L^O to an oriented line field on $\mathbf{h}(p_0)$, and A^{-1} does the opposite. Thus A and A^{-1} provide a bijection

$$\{\text{Oriented line fields on } \mathbf{h}(p)\} \cong \{\text{Oriented line fields on } \mathbf{h}_0\}. \quad (2.124)$$

Now, if P is a parabolic isometry fixing $\mathbf{h}(p)$ then APA^{-1} is a parabolic isometry fixing $\mathbf{h}_0 = A \cdot \mathbf{h}(p)$. This conjugation operation $P \mapsto APA^{-1}$ has inverse $P \mapsto A^{-1}PA$, and provides a bijection between parabolic isometries fixing $\mathbf{h}(p)$ and parabolic isometries fixing $\mathbf{h}_0 = A \cdot \mathbf{h}(p)$.

Thus, if we have a parallel oriented line field L^O on $\mathbf{h}(p)$, then it is preserved under all parabolics P fixing $\mathbf{h}(p)$, $P \cdot L^O = L^O$. Then the corresponding line field AL^O on $\mathbf{h}_0 = A \cdot \mathbf{h}(p)$ is preserved by all parabolics APA^{-1} fixing \mathbf{h}_0 , so $A \cdot L^O$ is parallel. In other words, the bijection (2.124) above restricts to a bijection

$$\{\text{Parallel oriented line fields on } \mathbf{h}(p)\} \cong \{\text{Parallel oriented line fields on } \mathbf{h}_0\}. \quad (2.125)$$

Now taking the given oriented line field L^O from $\mathbf{H}(p, V, o)$ and applying A gives an oriented line field on \mathbf{h}_0 . We compute

$$AL^O = A(V \cap T\mathbf{h}(p)) = A \cdot V \cap T(A \cdot \mathbf{h}(p)) = V_0 \cap T\mathbf{h}_0$$

which is precisely the oriented line field from $\mathbf{H} \circ \mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}(1, 0)$ in Section 2.4.7, which we calculated to be parallel. As A sends L^O to a parallel oriented line field, by (2.125) L^O is also parallel. \square

The proof above essentially shows that any horosphere \mathbf{h} , and the group of parabolics preserving it, behave like any other. The group of parabolics preserving a horosphere is isomorphic to the additive group \mathbb{C} and acts by Euclidean translations on the horosphere. By a similar argument as above, one can show that if A is parabolic and fixes $p \in L^+$, then A fixes the horosphere $\mathbf{h}(p)$, the line $\mathbb{R}p$, the orthogonal complement p^\perp , and the quotient $p^\perp/\mathbb{R}p$, where it acts by translations.

2.4.9 Decorated horospheres

Parallel oriented line fields are precisely the type of decoration we want on horospheres (at least, until we introduce spin in Section 3). As we see now, they make \mathbf{H} into a bijection.

Definition 2.126. *An decorated horosphere is a pair (\mathbf{h}, L_p^O) consisting of $\mathbf{h} \in \mathfrak{H}$ together with an oriented parallel line field L_p^O on \mathbf{h} . The set of all decorated horospheres is denoted $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}$.*

We often refer to the oriented parallel line field on a horosphere as its *decoration*. By definition, $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}} \subset \mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathfrak{O}}$.

Note that Definition 2.126 does not refer to any particular model of hyperbolic space. When we refer to decorated horospheres in a particular model we add it in brackets, e.g. $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathbb{H})$.

Although \mathbf{H} was originally defined (Definition 2.111) as a map $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{O}}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathfrak{O}}(\mathbb{H})$, by Lemma 2.123 \mathbf{H} in fact has image $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathbb{H})$. Thus, we henceforth regard \mathbf{H} as a map to the set of decorated horospheres, i.e.

$$\mathbf{H}: \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{O}}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathbb{H}).$$

We will no longer need to refer to arbitrary line fields or overly decorated horospheres.

Lemma 2.127. $\mathbf{H}: \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{O}}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathbb{H})$ is a bijection.

Proof. From Definition 2.92, $\mathbf{h}: L^+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{H})$ is a bijection. Since the horosphere of $\mathbf{H}(p, V, o)$ is just $\mathbf{h}(p)$, every horosphere is obtained in the image of \mathbf{H} .

As explained in Section 2.3.10, there is an S^1 family of flags at any given basepoint $p \in L^+$. The 2-planes V in this family all contain the line $\mathbb{R}p$, and rotate in the 3-dimensional subspace $T_p L^+$ of $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$. In defining the map \mathbf{H} , the horosphere $\mathbf{h}(p)$ is cut out of \mathbb{H} by the 3-plane Π with equation $\langle x, p \rangle = 1$. This 3-plane is parallel to the 3-plane $\langle x, p \rangle = 0$, which is $p^\perp = T_p L^+$. So in fact the tangent space to Π at any point is just $T_p L^+$. We saw in Section 2.4.6 that V always intersects the tangent space to $\mathbf{h}(p)$ in a 1-dimensional set, i.e. transversely in Π , and we saw in Lemma 2.123 that the resulting oriented line field is always parallel, hence determined by its value at one point. Moreover, the horosphere (being a spacelike surface) is transverse to the lightlike direction $\mathbb{R}p$. So as the flags based at p rotate about $\mathbb{R}p$, they can also be considered to rotate in $T_p L^+ \cong T\Pi$, and transversely and bijectively cut out the S^1 family of oriented parallel directions on the 2-dimensional horosphere $\mathbf{h}(p)$ at each point. \square

2.4.10 $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ action on decorated horospheres

Definition 2.128. $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts on $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathbb{H})$ via its action on $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{H})$ and its derivative.

This action of $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ derives from its action on $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ (Definition 2.26) via linear maps in $SO(1, 3)^+$, the orientation-preserving isometries of \mathbb{H} . A horosphere \mathfrak{h} is sent to $A \cdot \mathfrak{h}$ as in Definition 2.96. The derivative of this linear map (which is the same linear map, on the tangent space to the horosphere) applies to the decoration. Thus if $(\mathfrak{h}, L_p^{\mathcal{O}})$ is a decorated horosphere then $A \cdot (\mathfrak{h}, L_p^{\mathcal{O}}) = (A \cdot \mathfrak{h}, A \cdot L_p^{\mathcal{O}})$ where both $A \cdot \mathfrak{h}$ and $A \cdot L_p^{\mathcal{O}}$ mean to apply A as a linear map in $SO(1, 3)^+$.

Lemma 2.129. The actions of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on $\mathcal{F}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$ (Definition 2.73), and $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathbb{H})$ are equivariant with respect to \mathbf{H} .

Proof. The equivariance basically follows from the fact that A acts via a linear map in $SO(1, 3)^+$ on both spaces. Explicitly, let $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, and let $M \in SO(1, 3)^+$ be the induced map on $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$. For a flag $(p, V, o) \in \mathcal{F}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$, the action of A on p, V and o is via the linear map M on $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$, and we have $A \cdot (p, V, o) = (Mp, MV, Mo)$ where M acts linearly in the usual way.

Now $\mathbf{H}(p, V, o) = (\mathbf{h}(p), V \cap T\mathbf{h}(p))$ where the horosphere $\mathbf{h}(p) \in \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{H})$ is cut out of \mathbb{H} by the plane with equation $\langle x, p \rangle = 1$, and $V \cap T\mathbf{h}(p)$ is a line which obtains an orientation from o .

Thus, $A \cdot \mathbf{H}(p, V, o) = (M\mathbf{h}(p), M(V \cap T\mathbf{h}(p)))$ is simply obtained by applying the linear map M to the situation.

On the other hand, $\mathbf{H}(Mp, MV, Mo) = (\mathbf{h}(Mp), MV \cap M(T\mathbf{h}(p)))$. By equivariance of \mathbf{h} (Lemma 2.97), $\mathbf{h}(Mp) = M\mathbf{h}(p)$. And $M(V \cap T\mathbf{h}(p)) = MV \cap M(T\mathbf{h}(p)) = MV \cap TM\mathbf{h}(p)$: the image under M of the intersection of 2-plane V with the tangent space of $\mathbf{h}(p)$ is the intersection of MV with the tangent space of $M\mathbf{h}(p) = \mathbf{h}(Mp)$. \square

2.5 From the hyperboloid model to the disc model

The fourth step of our journey is from the hyperboloid model \mathbb{H} to the disc model \mathbb{D} , via the maps \mathbf{i} (and \mathbf{I}) from horospheres (with decorations) in \mathbb{H} to horospheres (with decorations) in \mathbb{D} . The map from \mathbb{H} to \mathbb{D} is a standard isometry and we discuss it briefly. All constructions in \mathbb{H} translate directly to \mathbb{D} , but we only consider the model briefly here. In Section 2.5.1 we introduce the model and the maps \mathbf{i} and \mathbf{I} ; in Section 2.5.2 we discuss $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ actions and equivariance; in Section 2.5.3 we discuss some examples and computations.

2.5.1 The disc model

For a point $(X, Y, Z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ let r be its Euclidean length, i.e. $r > 0$ is such that $r^2 = X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2$.

Definition 2.130. The disc model \mathbb{D} of \mathbb{H}^3 is the set

$$\{(X, Y, Z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid r < 1\} \quad \text{with Riemannian metric} \quad ds^2 = \frac{4(dX^2 + dY^2 + dZ^2)}{(1-r^2)^2}.$$

The boundary at infinity $\partial\mathbb{D}$ of \mathbb{D} is $\{(X, Y, Z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid r = 1\}$.




Figure 15: From the hyperboloid \mathbb{H} to the disc \mathbb{D} (drawn a dimension down).

The standard isometry from the hyperboloid model \mathbb{H} to the disc model \mathbb{D} regards \mathbb{D} as the unit 3-disc in the 3-plane $T = 0$, i.e.

$$\mathbb{D} = \{(0, X, Y, Z) \mid X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 < 1\},$$

and is given by straight-line projection from $(-1, 0, 0, 0)$. See Figure 2.5.1. This gives the following map.

Definition 2.131. The isometry \mathbf{i} from the hyperboloid model \mathbb{H} to the disc model \mathbb{D} is given by

$$\mathbf{i}: \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}, \quad \mathbf{i}(T, X, Y, Z) = \frac{1}{1+T}(X, Y, Z).$$

The map \mathbf{i} extends to a map on spheres at infinity, which is essentially the identity on \mathcal{S}^+ , but the domain can be taken to be L^+ ,

$$\mathbf{i}: \partial\mathbb{H} = \mathcal{S}^+ \longrightarrow \partial\mathbb{D} \text{ or } L^+ \longrightarrow \partial\mathbb{D}, \quad \mathbf{i}(T, X, Y, Z) = \left(\frac{X}{T}, \frac{Y}{T}, \frac{Z}{T} \right).$$

The map \mathbf{i} yields a map on horospheres, which we also denote \mathbf{i} ,

$$\mathbf{i}: \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{H}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{D}).$$

Horospheres in \mathbb{D} appear as Euclidean spheres tangent to the boundary sphere $\partial\mathbb{D}$. The point of tangency with $\partial\mathbb{D}$ is the centre of the horosphere. The horoball bounded by the horosphere is the interior of the Euclidean sphere.

If a horosphere in \mathbb{H} has an oriented tangent line field, we can transport it to \mathbb{D} using the derivative of \mathbf{i} . One of these oriented tangent line fields is parallel if and only if the other is. So we obtain the following.

Definition 2.132. The map

$$\mathbf{I}: \mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathbb{H}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathbb{D}).$$

is given by \mathbf{i} and its derivative.

It is clear that \mathbf{i} and \mathbf{I} are both bijections.

2.5.2 $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ action on disc model

The action of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ extends to \mathbb{D} and $\partial\mathbb{D}$, $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{D})$, as follows:

Definition 2.133. *The action of $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on*

- (i) \mathbb{D} sends each $x \in \mathbb{D}$ to $A \cdot x = \mathbf{i}(A \cdot (\mathbf{i}^{-1}x))$.
- (ii) $\partial\mathbb{D}$ sends each $x \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ to $A \cdot x = \mathbf{i}(A \cdot (\mathbf{i}^{-1}x))$.
- (iii) $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{D})$ is induced by the action on \mathbb{D} , which sends $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{D})$ to $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{D})$.
- (iv) $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathbb{D})$ is induced by its action on $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{D})$ and its derivative.

Note that in (i), $\mathbf{i}^{-1}x \in \mathbb{H}$, so $A \cdot \mathbf{i}^{-1}(x)$ uses the action on \mathbb{H} , and in (ii), $\mathbf{i}^{-1}(x) \in \partial\mathbb{H}$, so $A \cdot \mathbf{i}^{-1}(x)$ uses the action on $\partial\mathbb{H}$ (Definition 2.96).

The actions on \mathbb{D} and $\partial\mathbb{D}$ are equivariant by definition: if we take a point $p \in \mathbb{H}$ or $\partial\mathbb{H}$, then $\mathbf{i}(p) \in \mathbb{D}$ or $\partial\mathbb{D}$, and by definition

$$A \cdot \mathbf{i}(p) = \mathbf{i}(A \cdot p).$$

The action on $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{D})$ is induced by the pointwise action on \mathbb{D} , immediately giving the following.

Lemma 2.134. *The actions of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on*

- (i) \mathbb{H} and \mathbb{D} ,
- (ii) $\partial\mathbb{H}$ and $\partial\mathbb{D}$,
- (iii) $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{H})$ and $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{D})$

are equivariant with respect to \mathbf{i} . □

Lemma 2.135. *The actions of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathbb{H})$ and $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathbb{D})$ are equivariant with respect to \mathbf{I} .*

Proof. We just saw the action of $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{H})$ and $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{D})$ are equivariant with respect to \mathbf{i} . Both A and \mathbf{I} transport tangent line fields using the derivative, so they commute. □

2.5.3 Examples and computations

We give some facts about the isometry \mathbf{i} .

Lemma 2.136. *Under the map $\mathbf{i}: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$,*

- (i) $q_0 = (1, 0, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{H}$ maps to the origin $(0, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{D}$.
- (ii) The point in $\partial\mathbb{H}$ represented by the ray in L^+ through $(1, X, Y, Z)$, maps to $(X, Y, Z) \in \partial\mathbb{D}$.
- (iii) In particular, the point of $\partial\mathbb{H}$ represented by the ray of L^+ through $p_0 = (1, 0, 0, 1)$, maps to the north pole $(0, 0, 1) \in \partial\mathbb{D}$.

Proof. These are immediate from Definition 2.131. □

Example 2.137 (Decorated horosphere in \mathbb{D} of spinor $(1, 0)$). Let $\kappa_0 = (1, 0)$. The horosphere $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathbf{h}(p_0) = \mathbf{h} \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa_0)$ in \mathbb{H} , considered at length in the examples of Section 2.4.7, corresponds to a horosphere $\mathfrak{h}'_0 = \mathbf{i}(\mathfrak{h}_0)$ in \mathbb{D} . Since \mathfrak{h}_0 has centre the ray through $p_0 = (1, 0, 0, 1)$ and passes through $q_0 = (1, 0, 0, 0)$, using Lemma 2.136, \mathfrak{h}'_0 has centre $(0, 0, 1)$ and passes through the origin. Thus it is a Euclidean sphere of diameter 1.

In (2.118) we found a parametrisation of \mathfrak{h}_0 by $\alpha = a + bi \in \mathbb{C}$ or $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Applying \mathbf{i} yields a parametrisation of \mathfrak{h}'_0 ,

$$\mathbf{i} \left(1 + \frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}, a, b, \frac{|\alpha|^2}{2} \right) = \frac{2}{4 + a^2 + b^2} \left(a, b, \frac{a^2 + b^2}{2} \right). \quad (2.138)$$

One can verify explicitly that this parametrises a Euclidean sphere in \mathbb{D} , tangent to $\partial\mathbb{D}$ at $(0, 0, 1)$ and passing through the origin (except for the point of tangency).

In Example 2.119 we found the oriented tangent line field L^O on \mathfrak{h}_0 given by $\mathbf{H} \circ \mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}(\kappa_0)$ explicitly: at the point q parametrised by (a, b) , L_q^O is spanned and oriented by $(b, 0, 1, b)$, which is the direction of constant a and increasing b . Applying \mathbf{I} we obtain a decoration on \mathfrak{h}'_0 . This amounts to applying the derivative of \mathbf{i} in the appropriate direction, which is just the partial derivative of \mathbf{i} with respect to b . We find that the corresponding oriented line field on \mathfrak{h}'_0 is spanned and oriented by

$$\frac{2}{(4 + a^2 + b^2)^2} (-2ab, 4 + a^2 - b^2, 4b). \quad (2.139)$$

This gives an explicit description of $\mathbf{I} \circ \mathbf{H} \circ \mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}(\kappa_0)$. In particular, at the origin $(a, b) = (0, 0)$, the decoration points in the direction $(0, 1, 0)$.

For a general spin vector κ , we can explicitly compute the centre of the corresponding horosphere in \mathbb{D} .

Lemma 2.140. *For $\kappa = (a + bi, c + di) \in \mathbb{C}_\times^2$ with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$\mathbf{i} \circ \mathbf{h}_\partial \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa) = \frac{1}{a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2} (2(ac + bd), 2(bc - ad), a^2 + b^2 - c^2 - d^2).$$

Proof. In Section 2.4.3 we observed that \mathbf{h}_∂ is just the projectivisation map $L^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^+$. So $\mathbf{h}_\partial \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa)$ is the point on $\partial\mathbb{H}$ given by the ray through $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa)$, calculated in Lemma 2.31. Applying \mathbf{i} to a point on that ray, such as the point calculated in Lemma 2.34, we obtain the result. \square

A few further remarks:

- In Section 2.3.9 we considered $\mathbf{g} \circ D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa))$, which is involved in defining the flag $\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}(\kappa)$. Explicit calculation (Lemma 2.76) showed $\mathbf{g} \circ D_\kappa \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{Z}(\kappa))$ has no T -component. It thus defines a tangent vector to the S^2 given by intersecting L^+ with any slice of constant positive T . The map from this S^2 to $\partial\mathbb{D}$ is just a dilation from the origin, and so we immediately obtain these flag directions on $\partial\mathbb{D}$. From Lemma 2.76 we find that when $\kappa = (a + bi, c + di)$ with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$, the direction is

$$(2(cd - ab), a^2 - b^2 + c^2 - d^2, 2(ad + bc)). \quad (2.141)$$

- More generally, in Section 2.3.10 we found an orthogonal basis $e_1(\kappa), e_2(\kappa), e_3(\kappa)$ for \mathbb{R}^3 , obtained by projecting to the XYZ 3-plane the point $p = \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa)$, and derivatives of $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}$ in the directions $\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)$ and $i\mathcal{Z}(\kappa)$. As discussed there, this basis yields an explicit picture of the flag of κ in the 3-plane $T = r^2$, on which the light cone appears as a 2-sphere of radius r^2 . Projection to the XYZ 3-plane, and rescaling to the unit sphere, then gives a description of the flag on $\partial\mathbb{D}$. So Figure 13 can be regarded also as a picture of a flag in \mathbb{D} .
- With this in mind, return to the decorated horosphere \mathfrak{h}'_0 of Example 2.137: described by $\kappa_0 = (1, 0)$, it has centre $(0, 0, 1)$, Euclidean diameter 1, parametrisation (2.138), and decoration (2.139). From (2.141), the flag direction at $(0, 0, 1)$ is (setting $\kappa = \kappa_0$) is $(0, 1, 0)$.

Now consider what happens as a point q in the horosphere approaches $(0, 0, 1) \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ along the line field. This corresponds to holding a constant and letting $b \rightarrow \pm\infty$. One can check that the oriented line field on \mathfrak{h}'_0 approaches $(0, -1, 0)$. This is the negative of the flag direction at $(0, 0, 1)$ calculated above, and we appear to have a ‘‘mismatch’’ of decorations at infinity. See Figure 16.

This is worth noting, to avoid future confusion, but not particularly surprising: in Minkowski space, the flag direction along L^+ and the oriented line field on a horosphere come from intersections with different, parallel 3-planes. Also note that, approaching the centre of the horosphere from other directions on the horosphere, the oriented line field can approach any arbitrary direction.




Figure 16: Decoration “mismatch” at ∞ .

2.6 From the disc model to the upper half space model

Finally, in our fifth step, we pass to the upper half space model \mathbb{U} , via the maps \mathbf{j} (and \mathbf{J}) sending horospheres (with decorations) from \mathbb{D} to \mathbb{U} . We have already discussed \mathbb{U} to some extent in the introduction. The map $\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$ is another standard isometry and we discuss it briefly. We introduce \mathbb{U} , \mathbf{j} and \mathbf{J} in Section 2.6.1 and prove their $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ equivariance in Section 2.6.2.

2.6.1 The upper half space model, horospheres, and decorations

As discussed in introductory Section 1.2, we may denote points in \mathbb{U} by Cartesian coordinates (x, y, z) with $z > 0$, or combine x and y into a complex number $x+yi$, writing points of \mathbb{U} as $(x+yi, h) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}^+$. Regarding \mathbb{C} as $\mathbb{C} \times \{0\}$, the boundary at infinity is $\partial\mathbb{U} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$.

Stereographic projection $S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ (the inverse of the map in Definition 2.36) yields the map $\partial\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \partial\mathbb{U}$.

Definition 2.142. *The isometry \mathbf{j} from the disc model \mathbb{D} to the upper half space model \mathbb{U} is induced by its map on spheres at infinity,*

$$\mathbf{j} = \text{Stereo}^{-1} : \partial\mathbb{D} = S^2 \rightarrow \partial\mathbb{U} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}, \quad \mathbf{j}(x, y, z) = \frac{x + iy}{1 - z}.$$

This map extends uniquely to an isometry $\mathbf{j} : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$ and then restricts to a map on horospheres, which we also denote \mathbf{j} ,

$$\mathbf{j} : \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{U}).$$

As with \mathbf{i} and \mathbf{I} , the derivative of the isometry \mathbf{j} can be used to transport a decoration on a horosphere from \mathbb{D} to \mathbb{U} .

Definition 2.143. *The map*

$$\mathbf{J} : \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{D}}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{D}}(\mathbb{U})$$

is given by $\mathbf{j} : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$ and its derivative.

Clearly \mathbf{j} (in all its forms) and \mathbf{J} are bijections.

We have discussed horospheres and decorations in \mathbb{U} in introductory Section 1.2; we now elaborate. A horosphere $\hat{h} \in \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{U})$ centred at ∞ appears in \mathbb{U} as a horizontal Euclidean plane. The group of parabolic isometries fixing \hat{h} appear in \mathbb{U} as horizontal translations. An oriented tangent line field on \hat{h} is then parallel if and only if it appears *constant*. So to describe a decoration on \hat{h} , we only need to specify a direction at one point; the decoration points in the same direction at all other points. Since \hat{h} appears in \mathbb{U} as a plane parallel to the complex plane, we can describe a decoration by a complex number. Since it is an oriented line field, that complex number is only well defined up to multiplication by positive reals. See Figure 3(b).

On the other hand, if a horosphere $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{U})$ is not entered at ∞ , then it appears in \mathbb{U} as a Euclidean sphere tangent to \mathbb{C} . As discussed in Section 2.4.8, to specify a decoration, it suffices to specify an oriented tangent line at any point of \mathfrak{h} ; the oriented line field then propagates over the rest of \mathfrak{h} by parallel translation. The point at which it is most convenient to specify a decoration is at the point which appears highest in \mathbb{U} , which we call the *north pole* of \mathfrak{h} . The tangent space to \mathfrak{h} at its north pole is parallel to \mathbb{C} , and so a decoration there can be specified by a complex number (again, up to multiplication by positive reals). Precisely, at the north pole, a tangent vector $(a, b, 0)$ in Cartesian coordinates corresponds to the complex number $a + bi$. See Figure 4.

Definition 2.144. *Let $(\mathfrak{h}, L_P^O) \in \mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathbb{U})$, where \mathfrak{h} is a horosphere and L_P^O a parallel oriented line field.*

- (i) *If the centre of \mathfrak{h} is ∞ , then a specification of L_P^O is a complex number directing L_P^O at any point of \mathfrak{h} , identifying each tangent space of \mathfrak{h} with \mathbb{C} .*
- (ii) *If the centre of \mathfrak{h} is not ∞ , then a north-pole specification, or just specification, of L_P^O is a complex number directing L_P^O at the north pole n of \mathfrak{h} , identifying $T_n\mathfrak{h}$ with \mathbb{C} .*

Thus any decorated horosphere in \mathbb{U} has a specification, but it is not unique: if $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ is a specification for \mathfrak{h} , then so is $c\alpha$ for any $c > 0$.

2.6.2 $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ action on the upper half space model

The $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ actions on various aspects of \mathbb{U} are similar to previous models of \mathbb{H}^3 , using actions defined previously.

Definition 2.145. *The action of $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on*

- (i) \mathbb{U} sends each $x \in \mathbb{U}$ to $A \cdot x = \mathbf{j}(A \cdot (\mathbf{j}^{-1}x))$.
- (ii) $\partial\mathbb{U}$ sends each $x \in \partial\mathbb{U}$ to $A \cdot x = \mathbf{j}(A \cdot (\mathbf{j}^{-1}x))$.
- (iii) $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{U})$ is induced by the action on \mathbb{U} , which sends $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{U})$ to $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{U})$.
- (iv) $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathbb{U})$ is induced by its action on $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{U})$ and its derivative.

As with the disc model, the actions on \mathbb{U} and $\partial\mathbb{U}$ are defined to be equivariant, and as the action on $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{U})$ is induced pointwise by the action on \mathbb{U} , we immediately have the following.

Lemma 2.146. *The actions of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on*

$$(i) \mathbb{D} \text{ and } \mathbb{U}, \quad (ii) \partial\mathbb{D} \text{ and } \partial\mathbb{U}, \quad (iii) \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{D}) \text{ and } \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{U})$$

are equivariant with respect to \mathbf{j} . □

Similarly, both \mathbf{J} and $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ transport line fields using the derivative, giving the following.

Lemma 2.147. *The actions of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathbb{D})$ and $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathbb{U})$ are equivariant with respect to \mathbf{J} .* □

2.7 Putting the maps together

We now have two sequences of maps, $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}$ and $\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{I}, \mathbf{J}$, as discussed in the introduction. We now consider their compositions.

In Section 2.7.1 we consider the effect of these maps on points at infinity, and show that the action of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on $\partial\mathbb{U}$ yields the standard description of isometries via Möbius transformation. In Section 2.7.2, we calculate the compositions of $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}$ and $\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{I}, \mathbf{J}$.

2.7.1 Boundary points and isometries

Before considering the composition of $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}$, we consider the composition

$$\mathbb{C}_\times^2 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{f}} \mathcal{H}_0^+ \xrightarrow{\mathbf{g}} L^+ \xrightarrow{\mathbf{h}_\partial} \partial\mathbb{H} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{i}} \partial\mathbb{D} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{j}} \partial\mathbb{U}.$$

These map to the points of $\partial\mathbb{H}, \partial\mathbb{D}, \partial\mathbb{U}$ which are the centres of the horospheres produced by $\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}$. For convenience, we abbreviate the composition to

$$\mathbf{k}_\partial = \mathbf{j} \circ \mathbf{i} \circ \mathbf{h}_\partial \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}$$

There are $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ actions on all these spaces. A matrix $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts on \mathbb{C}_\times^2 via matrix-vector multiplication (Definition 2.8); on $S \in \mathcal{H}_0^+$, A acts as $A \cdot S = ASA^*$ (Lemma 2.15); on $L^+ \subset \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$, A essentially has the same action, which via \mathbf{g} becomes a linear map in $SO(1, 3)^+$ (Definition 2.26); for $x \in \partial\mathbb{H}$, $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts similarly (Definition 2.96); the action is then transferred to the other models using the isometries \mathbf{i} and \mathbf{j} (Definition 2.133, Definition 2.145).

We have seen that these actions are all equivariant with respect to these maps: \mathbf{f} Lemma 2.15, \mathbf{g} (remark after Definition 2.26), \mathbf{h}_∂ (Lemma 2.97), \mathbf{i} (Lemma 2.134), and \mathbf{j} (Lemma 2.146). Thus, \mathbf{k}_∂ is also $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -equivariant.

Let us now compute the composition \mathbf{k}_∂ !

Proposition 2.148. *The composition $\mathbf{k}_\partial = \mathbf{j} \circ \mathbf{i} \circ \mathbf{h}_\partial \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}: \mathbb{C}_\times^2 \rightarrow \partial\mathbb{U} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ is given by*

$$\mathbf{k}_\partial(\xi, \eta) = \frac{\xi}{\eta}.$$

We give two proofs of this result. This first is more conceptual, using our previous observations about the Hopf fibration and stereographic projection. The second is explicitly computational.

Lemma 2.149. *Let $\mathbf{p}: \mathbb{C}_\times^2 \rightarrow S^3$ be the map that collapses each real ray from the origin to its intersection with the unit 3-sphere. Then*

$$\text{Stereo} \circ \text{Hopf} \circ \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{i} \circ \mathbf{h}_\partial \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}$$

In other words, the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & S^3 & \xrightarrow{\text{Hopf}} & \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 & & \\ & \nearrow \mathbf{p} & & & & \searrow \text{Stereo} & \\ \mathbb{C}_\times^2 & & & & & & S^2 = \partial\mathbb{D} \\ & \searrow \mathbf{f} & & & & \nearrow \mathbf{i} & \\ & & \mathcal{H}_0^+ & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{g}} & L^+ & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{h}_\partial} & \partial\mathbb{H} \end{array}$$

Proof. We already saw in Lemma 2.37 that, for $\kappa = (\xi, \eta) \in S^3$, the XYZ coordinates of $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa)$ are precisely $\text{Stereo} \circ \text{Hopf}(\kappa)$. In this case (Lemma 2.31), the T coordinate of $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa)$ is 1. Now the map \mathbf{h}_∂ (Definition 2.93) projectivises the light cone, and then \mathbf{i} (Definition 2.142) maps it to the unit Euclidean sphere in such a way that the ray through $(1, X, Y, Z)$ maps to (X, Y, Z) . Hence we have

$$\mathbf{i} \circ \mathbf{h}_\partial \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa) = \text{Stereo} \circ \text{Hopf}(\kappa) \quad \text{for } \kappa \in S^3 \quad (2.150)$$

Now for general $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}_\times^2$, let $\kappa = r\kappa'$ where $r > 0$ and $\kappa' \in S^3$. Then $\mathbf{p}(\kappa) = \kappa'$ and $\mathbf{i} \circ \mathbf{h}_\partial \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa') = \text{Stereo} \circ \text{Hopf}(\kappa')$. Applying \mathbf{f} we have $\mathbf{f}(\kappa) = \mathbf{f}(r\kappa') = (r\kappa')(r\kappa')^* = r^2\kappa'\kappa'^* = r^2\mathbf{f}(\kappa')$. Applying the linear map \mathbf{g} we then have $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa) = r^2\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa')$; then \mathbf{h}_∂ then collapses rays to a point, so $\mathbf{h}_\partial \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa) = \mathbf{h}_\partial \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa')$. Putting this together we obtain the result:

$$\mathbf{i} \circ \mathbf{h}_\partial \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa) = \mathbf{i} \circ \mathbf{h}_\partial \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa') = \text{Stereo} \circ \text{Hopf}(\kappa') = \text{Stereo} \circ \text{Hopf} \circ \mathbf{p}(\kappa).$$

□

Proof 1 of Proposition 2.148. From the preceding lemma, we may replace $\mathbf{i} \circ \mathbf{h}_\partial \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}$ with $\text{Stereo} \circ \text{Hopf} \circ \mathbf{p}$. The final map \mathbf{j} (Definition 2.142) is the inverse of Stereo (Definition 2.36). Thus

$$\mathbf{k}(\xi, \eta) = \mathbf{j} \circ \mathbf{i} \circ \mathbf{h}_\partial \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\xi, \eta) = \text{Stereo}^{-1} \circ \text{Stereo} \circ \text{Hopf} \circ \mathbf{p}(\xi, \eta) = \text{Hopf} \circ \mathbf{p}(\xi, \eta).$$

Writing $(\xi, \eta) = r(\xi', \eta')$ where $r > 0$ and $(\xi', \eta') \in S^3$, we have $\mathbf{p}(\xi, \eta) = (\xi', \eta')$ and

$$\text{Hopf} \circ \mathbf{p}(\xi, \eta) = \text{Hopf}(\xi', \eta') = \frac{\xi'}{\eta'} = \frac{\xi}{\eta}.$$

□

Proof 2 of Proposition 2.148. Let $\xi = a + bi$ and $\eta = c + di$ where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$. In Lemma 2.31 we computed

$$\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\xi, \eta) = (a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2, 2(ac + bd), 2(bc - ad), a^2 + b^2 - c^2 - d^2) \in L^+.$$

The map \mathbf{h}_∂ then projectivises, and \mathbf{i} (Definition 2.131) then maps $(T, X, Y, Z) \mapsto (X/T, Y/T, Z/T)$, so we have

$$\mathbf{i} \circ \mathbf{h}_\partial \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\xi, \eta) = \left(\frac{2(ac + bd)}{a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2}, \frac{2(bc - ad)}{a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2}, \frac{a^2 + b^2 - c^2 - d^2}{a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2} \right).$$

(This may also be obtained from Lemma 2.34). Finally, applying \mathbf{j} (Definition 2.142) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{k}_\partial(\xi, \eta) = \mathbf{j} \circ \mathbf{i} \circ \mathbf{h}_\partial \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\xi, \eta) &= \frac{\frac{2(ac+bd)}{a^2+b^2+c^2+d^2} + i \frac{2(bc-ad)}{a^2+b^2+c^2+d^2}}{1 - \frac{a^2+b^2-c^2-d^2}{a^2+b^2+c^2+d^2}} = \frac{(ac + bd) + i(bc - ad)}{c^2 + d^2} \\ &= \frac{(a + bi)(c - di)}{(c + di)(c - di)} = \frac{a + bi}{c + di} = \frac{\xi}{\eta}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.151. *An $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts on $\partial\mathbb{U} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} = \mathbb{CP}^1$ by Möbius transformations:*

$$\text{if } A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } z \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \text{ then } A \cdot z = \frac{\alpha z + \beta}{\gamma z + \delta}.$$

Note that when A is the negative identity matrix, the corresponding Möbius transformation is just the identity. Thus the above action of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ descends to an action of $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$. It is a standard fact that a Möbius transformation on $\partial\mathbb{U}$ extends to an orientation-preserving isometry of \mathbb{U} . In fact, the orientation preserving isometry group of \mathbb{U} is $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$, acting in this way.

Proof. We use the equivariance of $\mathbf{k}_\partial: \mathbb{C}_\times^2 \rightarrow \partial\mathbb{U} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. Starting from $\kappa = (\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{C}_\times^2$ we have

$$A \cdot \kappa = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha\xi + \beta\eta \\ \gamma\xi + \delta\eta \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the other hand we just computed $\mathbf{k}_\partial(\kappa) = \xi/\eta$. Thus the action of A on this point of $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ is given by

$$A \cdot \mathbf{k}_\partial(\kappa) = \mathbf{k}_\partial(A \cdot \kappa) = \mathbf{k}_\partial \begin{pmatrix} \alpha\xi + \beta\eta \\ \gamma\xi + \delta\eta \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\alpha\xi + \beta\eta}{\gamma\xi + \delta\eta}$$

which is precisely the action of the claimed Möbius transformation on ξ/η . Every point of $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ can be written as ξ/η for some such (ξ, η) , and hence the action on $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ is as claimed. Even better, we can regard \mathbb{CP}^1 and its points as $[\xi : \eta]$, and then A simply acts linearly. □

2.7.2 Maps to horospheres and decorations

Consider now the following compositions, which map to horospheres and decorated horospheres.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}_\times^2 &\xrightarrow{\mathbf{f}} \mathcal{H}_0^+ \xrightarrow{\mathbf{g}} L^+ \xrightarrow{\mathbf{h}} \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{H}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{i}} \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{D}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{j}} \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{U}), \\ \mathbb{C}_\times^2 &\xrightarrow{\mathbf{F}} \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{G}} \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{H}} \mathfrak{H}_\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{H}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{I}} \mathfrak{H}_\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{D}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{J}} \mathfrak{H}_\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{U}). \end{aligned}$$

We abbreviate the compositions to

$$\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{j} \circ \mathbf{i} \circ \mathbf{h} \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{K} = \mathbf{J} \circ \mathbf{I} \circ \mathbf{H} \circ \mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}.$$

Again, $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts on all these spaces; additionally to those seen in Section 2.7.1, $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts on horospheres $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{H})$ via its action on $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ (Definition 2.96), and on horospheres in other models by using the isometries between the models (Definition 2.133, Definition 2.145). We have seen these actions are all equivariant with respect to \mathbf{h} (Lemma 2.97), \mathbf{i} (Lemma 2.134), and \mathbf{j} (Lemma 2.146). Further, $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts on a flag $(p, V, o) \in \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H})$ via its action on \mathcal{H} (Definition 2.66); on a flag in $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ via the isomorphism \mathbf{g} (Definition 2.73); on a decorated horosphere in \mathbb{H} via its action on \mathbb{H} (and its derivative) (Definition 2.128); and on decorated horospheres in other models by the using isometries between the models (Definition 2.133, Definition 2.145). Moreover, all the maps are equivariant: \mathbf{F} (Proposition 2.69), \mathbf{G} (Proposition 2.75), \mathbf{H} (Lemma 2.129), \mathbf{I} (Lemma 2.135), and \mathbf{J} (Lemma 2.147).

Thus, the compositions \mathbf{k} and \mathbf{K} are $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -equivariant.

It is worth pointing out that this composition \mathbf{K} is *almost* a bijection. Only \mathbf{F} is not a bijection, but we have seen that it is surjective and 2-1, with $\mathbf{F}(\kappa) = \mathbf{F}(\kappa')$ iff $\kappa = \pm\kappa'$ (Lemma 2.83). We have seen that $\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{I}, \mathbf{J}$ are bijections (Lemma 2.51, Lemma 2.127, remark after Definition 2.132, remark after Definition 2.143). Indeed, it is not hard to see that $\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{I}, \mathbf{J}$ are all smooth and have smooth inverses, so we in fact have diffeomorphisms between these spaces. We will see how to produce a complete bijection in Section 3.2.3.

We now compute the compositions. The following proposition includes a precise statement of Theorem 2, for (non-spin-)decorated horospheres.

Proposition 2.152. *For $(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{C}_\times^2$ the decorated horosphere $\mathbf{K}(\xi, \eta) \in \mathfrak{H}_\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{U})$ is centred at ξ/η and*

- (i) *is a sphere with Euclidean diameter $|\eta|^{-2}$ and decoration north-pole specified by $i\eta^{-2}$, if $\eta \neq 0$;*
- (ii) *is a horizontal plane at Euclidean height $|\xi|^2$ and decoration specified by $i\xi^2$, if $\eta = 0$.*

The horosphere $\mathbf{k}(\xi, \eta) \in \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{U})$ is the horosphere of $\mathbf{K}(\xi, \eta)$, without the decoration.

Specifications here are in the sense of Definition 2.144. As in Section 2.7.2, the strategy is to prove the proposition for $(1, 0)$ and build to the general case by equivariance.

The strategy is to first prove the proposition for $\kappa = (1, 0)$, then use equivariance to prove it for $(0, 1)$, then general κ . We have studied the horosphere of $(1, 0)$ extensively; we now just need to map it to \mathbb{U} via \mathbf{j} .

Lemma 2.153. *The map \mathbf{j} has the following properties, illustrated in Figure 17.*

- (i) *It maps the following points $\partial\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \partial\mathbb{U} \cong \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{j}(-1, 0, 0) &= -1, & \mathbf{j}(0, -1, 0) &= -i, & \mathbf{j}(0, 0, -1) &= 0, \\ \mathbf{j}(1, 0, 0) &= 1, & \mathbf{j}(0, 1, 0) &= i, & \mathbf{j}(0, 0, 1) &= \infty. \end{aligned}$$

- (ii) *Denoting by $[p \rightarrow q]$ the oriented geodesic from a point at infinity $p \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ or $\partial\mathbb{U}$ to q , we have*

$$\mathbf{j}[(-1, 0, 0) \rightarrow (1, 0, 0)] = [-1 \rightarrow 1] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{j}[(0, -1, 0) \rightarrow (0, 1, 0)] = [-i \rightarrow i].$$




Figure 17: The map \mathbf{j} , showing various boundary points, geodesics, and horospheres.

(iii) \mathbf{j} maps $(0, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{D}$ to $(0, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{U}$, and at this point the derivative maps $(0, 1, 0)$ to $(0, 1, 0)$.

Proof. Applying Definition 2.142 immediately gives (i). Since \mathbf{j} is an isometry $\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$, it must preserve geodesics and their endpoints at infinity, so (ii) follows. Finally, the origin in \mathbb{D} is the intersection point of the two geodesics in \mathbb{D} specified in (ii), so maps to the intersection of the two corresponding geodesics in \mathbb{U} . The intersection point in \mathbb{U} of the geodesics $[-1 \rightarrow 1]$ and $[-i \rightarrow i]$ is $(0, 0, 1)$. The specified tangent direction at the origin in \mathbb{D} is the direction of the latter geodesic, thus it maps to the claimed tangent direction at $(0, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{U}$. \square

Lemma 2.154. $\mathbf{k}(1, 0) \in \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{U})$ is centred at ∞ at (Euclidean) height 1. $\mathbf{K}(1, 0) \in \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{D}}(\mathbb{U})$ is the same horosphere, with decoration specified by i .

Proof. In Example 2.137 we described explicitly the decorated horosphere in \mathbb{D} given by $(1, 0)$, i.e. $\mathbf{I} \circ \mathbf{H} \circ \mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}(1, 0)$. It is the horosphere in \mathbb{D} centred at $(0, 0, 1)$, passing through the origin $(0, 0, 0)$. At the origin, the decoration points in the direction of $(0, 1, 0)$. Forgetting the decoration yields $\mathbf{i} \circ \mathbf{h} \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(1, 0)$.

Applying \mathbf{j} , Lemma 2.153 shows that the horosphere centre $(0, 0, 1)$ maps to ∞ , the origin of \mathbb{D} maps to $(0, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{U}$, and the direction $(0, 1, 0)$ at the origin maps to the direction $(0, 1, 0)$ at $(0, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{U}$.

Thus $\mathbf{k}(1, 0)$ is centred at ∞ and passes through $(0, 0, 1)$, hence lies at Euclidean height 1. The decoration $(0, 1, 0)$ there is the i direction, so the decoration on $\mathbf{K}(1, 0)$ is specified by i . See Figure 17 \square

Lemma 2.155. $\mathbf{k}(0, 1) \in \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{U})$ is centred at 0 and has Euclidean diameter 1. $\mathbf{K}(0, 1) \in \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{D}}(\mathbb{U})$ is the same horosphere, with decoration north-pole specified by i .

Proof. We use the previous lemma and equivariance. Note

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where} \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{C}),$$

so

$$\mathbf{K} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{K} \left(A \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = A \cdot \left(\mathbf{K} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

and similarly for \mathbf{k} . Thus $\mathbf{K}(0, 1)$ is obtained from $\mathbf{K}(1, 0)$ of Lemma 2.154 by applying A , and similarly for \mathbf{k} .




Figure 18: The decorated horospheres $\mathbf{K}(1,0)$ and $\mathbf{K}(0,1)$ are related by the Möbius transformation $z \mapsto -1/z$.

On \mathbb{U} , A acts by the Möbius transformation $z \mapsto -1/z$, which is an involution sending $\infty \leftrightarrow 0$. It yields an isometry of \mathbb{U} which is a half turn about the geodesic between $-i$ and i . As the point $(0,0,1)$ lies on this geodesic, it is fixed by the action of A . The vector $(0,1,0)$ at $(0,0,1)$ is tangent to the geodesic, so is also preserved by the half turn.

Since $\mathbf{k}(1,0)$ has centre ∞ and passes through $(0,0,1)$, then $A \cdot \mathbf{k}(1,0)$ has centre 0 and also passes through $(0,0,1)$. Hence $\mathbf{k}(0,1)$ has centre 0 and Euclidean diameter 1 . The decoration of $\mathbf{K}(1,0)$ is directed by $(0,1,0)$ at $(0,0,1)$, and this vector is preserved by A . Hence this vector also directs the oriented parallel line field of $\mathbf{K}(0,1)$, which is thus north pole specified by $(0,1,0)$, corresponding to the complex number i . See Figure 18. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.152. We use the previous two lemmas and $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -equivariance. Observe that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi & 0 \\ 0 & \xi^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta^{-1} & \xi \\ 0 & \eta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $\eta = 0$, then we have

$$\mathbf{K} \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{K} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \xi & 0 \\ 0 & \xi^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} \xi & 0 \\ 0 & \xi^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \left(\mathbf{K} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

and similarly for \mathbf{k} . The matrix $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ involved corresponds to the isometry of \mathbb{U} described by the Möbius transformation $z \mapsto \xi^2 z$. Thus $\mathbf{K}(\xi, 0)$ is the image of $\mathbf{K}(1, 0)$ under this isometry. By Lemma 2.154, $\mathbf{K}(1, 0)$ is the horosphere centred at ∞ at Euclidean height 1 with decoration specified by i . In \mathbb{U} , the isometry appears as a Euclidean dilation from the origin by factor $|\xi|^2$, and a rotation about the z -axis by $2 \arg \xi$. The resulting horosphere is again centred at ∞ , i.e. a plane, but now has height $|\xi|^2$, and parallel oriented line field directed by $i\xi^2$. Thus $\mathbf{K}(\xi, 0)$ is as claimed, and forgetting the decoration, $\mathbf{k}(\xi, 0)$ is as claimed.

If $\eta \neq 0$ then

$$\mathbf{K} \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{K} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \eta^{-1} & \xi \\ 0 & \eta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} \eta^{-1} & \xi \\ 0 & \eta \end{pmatrix} \cdot \left(\mathbf{K} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

The matrix $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ involved corresponds to the Möbius transformation $z \mapsto z\eta^{-2} + \xi\eta^{-1}$. The desired decorated horosphere $\mathbf{K}(\xi, \eta)$ is the image under A of $\mathbf{K}(0, 1)$, i.e. (by Lemma 2.155) the decorated horosphere centred at 0 of Euclidean diameter 1 and north-pole specification i . In \mathbb{U} , the corresponding isometry appears as a dilation from the origin by factor $|\eta|^{-2}$, a rotation about the z -axis by $-2 \arg \eta$, and then a translation in the horizontal (\mathbb{C}) plane by ξ/η . The resulting decorated

horosphere $\mathbf{K}(\xi, \eta)$ has Euclidean diameter $|\eta|^{-2}$, center ξ/η , and north-pole specification $i\eta^{-2}$, as claimed. Forgetting the decoration, $\mathbf{k}(\xi, \eta)$ is as claimed. \square

Remark. It is perhaps not so surprising that a pair of complex numbers (ξ, η) should correspond to an object centred at $\xi/\eta \in \partial\mathbb{U}$, with a tangent decoration in the direction of i/η^2 . These are precisely the type of things preserved by Möbius transformations. Indeed, a Möbius transformation

$$m: \mathbb{CP}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1, \quad m(z) = \frac{\alpha z + \beta}{\gamma z + \delta}, \quad \text{corresponding to } \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{C}),$$

sends

$$\frac{\xi}{\eta} \mapsto \frac{\alpha \frac{\xi}{\eta} + \beta}{\gamma \frac{\xi}{\eta} + \delta} = \frac{\alpha \xi + \beta \eta}{\gamma \xi + \delta \eta} = \frac{\xi'}{\eta'}$$

where

$$\xi' = \alpha \xi + \beta \eta \quad \text{and} \quad \eta' = \gamma \xi + \delta \eta, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \xi' \\ \eta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Its derivative is then

$$m'(z) = \frac{1}{(\gamma z + \delta)^2}, \quad \text{so that} \quad m' \left(\frac{\xi}{\eta} \right) = \frac{1}{\left(\gamma \frac{\xi}{\eta} + \delta \right)^2} = \frac{\eta^2}{(\gamma \xi + \delta \eta)^2} = \frac{\eta^2}{\eta'^2}.$$

When applied to a tangent vector i/η^2 at ξ/η , one obtains

$$m' \left(\frac{\xi}{\eta} \right) \frac{i}{\eta^2} = \frac{\eta^2}{\eta'^2} \frac{i}{\eta^2} = \frac{i}{\eta'^2} \quad \text{at} \quad m \left(\frac{\xi}{\eta} \right) = \frac{\xi'}{\eta'}.$$

In other words, a tangent decoration i/η^2 at ξ/η maps to a tangent decoration i/η'^2 and ξ'/η' . In this way, the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ equivariance arises naturally and geometrically.

3 Spin decorations and complex lambda lengths

Finally, we incorporate spin into our considerations.

3.1 Spin-decorated horospheres

We now define the requisite notions for spin decorations on horospheres. In section Section 3.1.1 we discuss how decorations on horospheres give rise to certain frame fields; then we can define spin frame and spin isometries (Section 3.1.2), and then spin decorations (Section 3.1.3).

Throughout this section we consider hyperbolic 3-space \mathbb{H}^3 independent of model. We will use the cross product \times of vectors in the elementary sense that if v, w are tangent vectors to \mathbb{H}^3 at the same point $p \in \mathbb{H}^3$ making an angle of θ , then $v \times w$ has length $|v||w|\sin\theta$ and points in the direction perpendicular to v and w as determined by the right hand rule.

We will make much use of frames. By *frame* we mean right-handed orthonormal frame in \mathbb{H}^3 . In other words, a frame is a triple (f_1, f_2, f_3) where all f_i are unit tangent vectors to \mathbb{H}^3 at the same point and $f_1 \times f_2 = f_3$.

3.1.1 Frame fields of decorated horospheres

Throughout this section, let \hat{h} be a horosphere in \mathbb{H}^3 . As with any smooth surface in a 3-manifold, at any point of \hat{h} there are two normal directions.

Definition 3.1.




Figure 19: A decoration L_O^P on a horosphere \mathfrak{h} determines inward and outward frame fields.

- (i) The outward normal direction to \mathfrak{h} is the normal direction towards its centre. The outward unit normal vector field to \mathfrak{h} is denoted N^{out} .
- (ii) The inward normal direction to \mathfrak{h} is the normal direction away from its centre. The inward unit normal vector field to \mathfrak{h} is denoted N^{in} .

Intuitively, “inwards” means in towards the bulk of \mathbb{H}^3 , and “outwards” means out towards the boundary at infinity. (This means that the “outwards” direction from a horosphere points into the horoball it bounds.)

We now associate *frames* to horospheres equipped with certain vector fields. .

Definition 3.2. Let \mathcal{V} be a unit parallel vector field on \mathfrak{h} .

- (i) The outward frame field of \mathcal{V} is the frame field on \mathfrak{h} given by

$$f^{out}(\mathcal{V}) = (N^{out}, \mathcal{V}, N^{out} \times \mathcal{V}).$$

- (ii) The inward frame field of \mathcal{V} is the frame field on \mathfrak{h} given by

$$f^{in}(\mathcal{V}) = (N^{in}, \mathcal{V}, N^{in} \times \mathcal{V}).$$

A frame field on \mathfrak{h} is an outward (resp. inward) frame field if it is the outward (resp. inward) frame field of some unit parallel vector field on \mathfrak{h} .

Definition 3.3. If $(\mathfrak{h}, L_P^O) \in \mathfrak{H}_D$ with oriented parallel line field L_P^O , the associated outward (resp.inward) frame field on \mathfrak{h} is the outward (resp. inward) frame field of \mathcal{V} , where \mathcal{V} is the unit tangent vector field on \mathfrak{h} directing L^O .

A decoration on \mathfrak{h} thus determines an outward and an inward frame field on \mathfrak{h} . See Figure 19.

3.1.2 Spin frames and spin isometries

The bundle of (right-handed orthonormal) frames over \mathbb{H}^3 is a principal $SO(3)$ bundle. As $\pi_1(SO(3)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, the double cover of $SO(3)$ is also its universal cover, and this is the spin group $\text{Spin}(3)$.

Definition 3.4. Denote by $\text{Fr} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$ the principal $SO(3)$ bundle of (right-handed orthonormal) frames over \mathbb{H}^3 , and $\text{Spin} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$ its double cover, a principal $\text{Spin}(3)$ bundle.

A point of (the total space of) Fr consists of a point of \mathbb{H}^3 together with a frame there; similarly, a point of Spin consists of a point of \mathbb{H}^3 together with one of the two lifts of a frame there.

Definition 3.5. *A point of the total space of Spin is called a spin frame.*

The orientation preserving isometry group $\text{Isom}^+ \mathbb{H}^3$ of \mathbb{H}^3 acts simply transitively on Fr : there is a unique orientation-preserving isometry sending any frame at any point of \mathbb{H}^3 to any other frame at any other point. Using the isomorphism $\text{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^3) \cong \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ yields a diffeomorphism

$$\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \cong \text{Fr}. \quad (3.6)$$

We can make this homeomorphism explicit by choosing a specific frame, a “base frame” f_0 . The identity $1 \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ corresponds to the frame f_0 , and then a general element $A \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \cong \text{Isom}^+ \mathbb{H}^3$ corresponds to the frame obtained by applying the isometry A (and its derivative) to f_0 . In other words, the correspondence is given by $A \leftrightarrow A \cdot f_0$. The actions of $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ on itself by multiplication, and on Fr by orientation-preserving isometries, are equivariant with respect to this correspondence; so we have an identification of $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -spaces.

This identification then lifts to universal covers: a path in $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ from 1 to an element A corresponds to a path in Fr from f_0 to $A \cdot f_0$. Recalling the definition of a universal cover, this gives an identification between points of the universal cover of $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, and the universal cover of Fr . These universal covers are $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, and the space of spin frames Spin , respectively. So we obtain a homeomorphism which identifies $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ with spin frames.

$$SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \cong \text{Spin} \quad (3.7)$$

Under this identification, the two matrices $A, -A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ lifting $\pm A \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ correspond to the two spin frames above the frame $(\pm A) \cdot f_0$. The two spin frames lifting a common frame are related by a 2π rotation about any axis at their common point.

Indeed, $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts freely and transitively on Spin , whose elements are spin frames in \mathbb{H}^3 .

Definition 3.8. *A spin isometry is an element of the universal cover of $\text{Isom}^+ \mathbb{H}^3$.*

Thus, a spin isometry is just an element of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, regarded as the double/universal cover of $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \cong \text{Isom}^+ \mathbb{H}^3$. Each orientation-preserving isometry of \mathbb{H}^3 lifts to two spin isometries, which differ by a 2π rotation. Just as an orientation-preserving isometry sends frames to frames, a spin isometry sends spin frames to spin frames.

3.1.3 Spin decorations

Let \mathfrak{h} be a horosphere in \mathbb{H}^3 . A frame field on \mathfrak{h} is a continuous section of Fr along \mathfrak{h} , and such a frame field has two continuous lifts to Spin .

Definition 3.9. *An outward (resp. inward) spin decoration on \mathfrak{h} is a continuous lift of an outward (resp. inward) frame field on \mathfrak{h} from Fr to Spin .*

In other words, an outward (resp. inward) spin decoration on \mathfrak{h} is a choice of lift to Spin of a frame field of the form $f^{\text{out}}(\mathcal{V})$ (resp. $f^{\text{in}}(\mathcal{V})$), for some unit parallel vector field \mathcal{V} on \mathfrak{h} .

Given an inward frame field $f^{\text{in}}(\mathcal{V}) = (N^{\text{in}}, \mathcal{V}, N^{\text{in}} \times \mathcal{V})$ on \mathfrak{h} corresponding to a unit parallel vector field \mathcal{V} , we can obtain $f^{\text{out}}(\mathcal{V}) = (N^{\text{out}}, \mathcal{V}, N^{\text{out}} \times \mathcal{V})$ by rotating the frame at each point by an angle of π about \mathcal{V} . This rotation preserves \mathcal{V} and sends N^{in} to N^{out} , hence sends one frame to the other, and a similar rotation sends $f^{\text{out}}(\mathcal{V})$ back to $f^{\text{in}}(\mathcal{V})$. Each rotation of angle π can be done in either direction around \mathcal{V} . However, once we take spin lifts, rotations of angle π clockwise or anticlockwise about \mathcal{V} yield distinct results, since the results are related by a 2π rotation. Thus we make the following definition, where rotations about vectors are made in the usual right-handed way.

Definition 3.10.

- (i) If W^{out} is an outward spin decoration on \mathfrak{h} lifting an outward frame field $(N^{out}, \mathcal{V}, N^{out} \times \mathcal{V})$ for some unit parallel vector field \mathcal{V} , the associated inward spin decoration is the inward spin decoration obtained by rotating W^{out} by angle π about \mathcal{V} at each point of \mathfrak{h} .
- (ii) If W^{in} is an inward spin decoration on \mathfrak{h} lifting an inward frame field $(N^{in}, \mathcal{V}, N^{in} \times \mathcal{V})$ for some unit parallel vector field \mathcal{V} , the associated outward spin decoration is the outward spin decoration obtained by rotating W^{in} by angle $-\pi$ about \mathcal{V} at each point of \mathfrak{h} .

The choice of π and $-\pi$ is somewhat arbitrary but is required for our main theorem to hold.

By construction, if W^{out} (resp. W^{in}) is a lift of $f^{out}(\mathcal{V})$ (resp. $f^{in}(\mathcal{V})$), then the associated inward (resp. outward) spin decoration is a spin decoration lifting $f^{in}(\mathcal{V})$ (resp. $f^{out}(\mathcal{V})$). Moreover, these associations are inverses so we obtain pairs (W^{in}, W^{out}) where each is associated to the other. Given \mathcal{V} , the frame fields $f^{in}(\mathcal{V})$ and $f^{out}(\mathcal{V})$ are determined, and then there are two choices of lift for W^{in} and two choices of lift for W^{out} . Each choice of W^{in} has an associated W^{out} . Thus, the choice of W^{in} determines the associated W^{out} and vice versa.

Later, in Section 3.3, inward and outward fields feature equally in the definition of a complex lambda length. So we prefer to use both of them, as a pair, in the following definition.

Definition 3.11. A spin decoration on \mathfrak{h} is a pair $W = (W^{in}, W^{out})$ where W^{in} is an inward spin decoration on \mathfrak{h} , W^{out} is an outward spin decoration on \mathfrak{h} , and each is associated to the other. The pair (\mathfrak{h}, W) is called a spin-decorated horosphere.

Remark. Under the identification $PSL(2, \mathbb{C}) \cong \text{Fr}$, decorated horospheres correspond to certain cosets of $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$. Let us make the homeomorphism (3.6) explicit by choosing the base frame f_0 to be the frame $(e_z, e_y, -e_x) \in \text{Fr}$ at the point $p_0 = (0, 0, 1)$ in the upper half space model, where e_x, e_y, e_z denote unit vectors in the x, y, z directions. Then $1 \in PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ corresponds to the base frame f_0 at p_0 . This f_0 forms part of an outward frame field f_0^{out} on the horosphere \mathfrak{h}_0 centred at ∞ passing through p_0 . This outward frame field f_0^{out} arises from the decoration on \mathfrak{h}_0 in the y -direction.

The frames of f_0^{out} are obtained from f_0 by parabolic isometries which appear as horizontal translations in \mathbb{U} . These isometries form the subgroup of $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ given by

$$\underline{P} = \left\{ \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

The cosets $g\underline{P}$, over $g \in PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$, then yield the outward frame fields associated to oriented parallel line fields on horospheres, and we obtain a bijection

$$PSL(2, \mathbb{C})/\underline{P} \cong \mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}. \tag{3.12}$$

Definition 3.13. The set of all spin-decorated horospheres is denoted $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathbb{S}}$.

There is a 2-1 projection map $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathbb{S}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}$ given as follows. A spin decorated horosphere (\mathfrak{h}, W) contains a pair $W = (W^{in}, W^{out})$ of associated inward and outward spin decorations on a horosphere \mathfrak{h} , which project down to inward and outward frame fields on \mathfrak{h} . The inward frame is of the form $f^{in}(\mathcal{V})$ for some unit parallel vector field \mathcal{V} on \mathfrak{h} , and the outward frame is of the form $f^{out}(\mathcal{V})$, for the same \mathcal{V} . This \mathcal{V} directs an oriented parallel line field L_P^O on \mathfrak{h} , i.e. a decoration on \mathfrak{h} . The spin decoration W projects to the decoration L_P^O . There are two spin decorations on \mathfrak{h} which project to this L_P^O , namely W , and the spin decoration $W' = (W'^{in}, W'^{out})$ obtained from rotating W^{in} and W^{out} through 2π at each point.

Remark. Just as decorated horospheres correspond to certain cosets of $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ (3.12), spin-decorated horospheres correspond to certain cosets of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$. Starting from the identification $SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \cong \text{Spin}$ (3.7), we can make it explicit by choosing a base spin frame \tilde{f}_0 , a lift of the base frame f_0 . An $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, being a point of the universal cover of $PSL(2, \mathbb{C}) \cong \text{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^3)$, can be regarded as a (homotopy class of a) path in $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ from the identity to the element $\pm A$ of

$PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$. This can be regarded as a path of isometries starting at the identity, and its action on frames yields a path from \tilde{f}_0 to the spin frame corresponding to A . On $\mathfrak{h}_0 \in \mathfrak{H}$ centred at ∞ passing through p_0 , the frame f_0 forms part of a unique outward frame field f_0^{out} . This outward frame field lifts to two distinct outward spin decorations on \mathfrak{h}_0 . One of these contains \tilde{f}_0 , corresponding to the identity in $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, and the spin frames of this outward spin decoration correspond to the elements of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ forming the parabolic subgroup

$$P = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

The other lift of f_0^{out} is the outward spin decoration on \mathfrak{h}_0 whose spin frames are obtained from those of the previous spin decoration by a 2π rotation; these correspond to the negative matrices in $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, and correspond to the coset

$$-P = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} P.$$

In general, cosets gP , over $g \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, yield the outward spin decorations corresponding to spin decorations on horospheres, and we obtain a bijection

$$SL(2, \mathbb{C})/P \cong \mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathbb{C}}. \quad (3.14)$$

3.2 Topology of spaces and maps

We now consider the various spaces and maps in the composition **K**:

$$\mathbb{C}_{\times}^2 \xrightarrow{\mathbf{F}} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{G}} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{H}} \mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathbb{H}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{I}} \mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathbb{D}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{J}} \mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathbb{U}).$$

In turn, we consider the topology of spaces (Section 3.2.1), the topology of the maps (Section 3.2.2), then lift them to incorporate spin (Section 3.2.3).

3.2.1 Topology of spaces

Topologically, $\mathbb{C}_{\times}^2 \cong \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \{0\} \cong S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$, which is simply connected: $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}_{\times}^2) \cong \pi_1(S^3) \times \pi_1(\mathbb{R})$ is trivial.

The space of flags $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$ naturally has the topology of $UTS^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, where UTS^2 is the unit tangent bundle of S^2 . A point of UTS^2 describes a point on the celestial sphere $S^+ \cong S^2$, or equivalently a lightlike ray, together with a tangent direction to S^+ at that point, which precisely provides a flag 2-plane containing that ray. There is also an \mathbb{R} family of points on each lightlike ray. This provides an identification $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3}) \cong UTS^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ and we use it to provide a topology and smooth structure on $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$. Since \mathbf{g} is a linear isomorphism between \mathcal{H} and $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$, we can similarly identify $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{H}) \cong UTS^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ so that \mathbf{G} is a diffeomorphism.

The space UTS^2 is not simply connected; it is diffeomorphic to $SO(3)$. One way to see this standard fact is to note that a point of S^2 yields a unit vector v_1 in \mathbb{R}^3 ; a unit tangent vector to S^2 at v_1 yields an orthonormal unit vector v_2 ; and then v_1, v_2 uniquely determines a right-handed orthonormal frame for \mathbb{R}^3 . This gives a diffeomorphism between UTS^2 and the space of frames in \mathbb{R}^3 , i.e. $UTS^2 \cong SO(3)$. Thus $\pi_1(UTS^2) \cong \pi_1(SO(3)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, and each space of flags has fundamental group $\pi_1(UTS^2 \times \mathbb{R}) \cong \pi_1(UTS^2) \times \pi_1(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

The spaces of decorated horospheres $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}$ naturally have the topology of $UTS^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, with fundamental group $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. This is true for any model of \mathbb{H}^3 . A point of UTS^2 describes the point at infinity in $\partial\mathbb{H}^3 \cong S^2$ of a horosphere, together with a parallel tangent field direction, and at each point at infinity there is an \mathbb{R} family of horospheres. This provides an identification $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}} \cong UTS^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ and we use it to provide a topology and smooth structure on $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}$. Since \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} are isometries between different models of \mathbb{H}^3 , \mathbf{I} and \mathbf{J} provide diffeomorphisms between $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathbb{H})$, $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathbb{D})$ and $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathbb{U})$.

3.2.2 Topology of maps

We saw above that $\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{I}, \mathbf{J}$ are diffeomorphisms, so it remains to consider the maps \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{H} , which topologically are maps $S^3 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow UTS^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ and $UTS^2 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow UTS^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ respectively.

First, consider the map \mathbf{F} . Since \mathbf{G} is a diffeomorphism, we may equivalently consider the map $\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}: S^3 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow UTS^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. Both $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ and $UTS^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ are both naturally S^1 bundles over $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, the former via the Hopf fibration, the latter as a unit tangent bundle. We saw in Lemma 2.33 that $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}: S^3 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L^+$, sends each 3-sphere S_r^3 of constant radius r , to the 2-sphere $L^+ \cap \{T = r^2\}$, via a Hopf fibration. Since $L^+ \cong S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, topologically $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}: S^3 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ is the product of the Hopf fibration with the identity.

The map $\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}$ is then a map $S^3 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow UTS^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ which adds the data of a flag to the point on L^+ described by $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}$. It thus projects to $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}$ under the projection map $UTS^2 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. That is, the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^3 \times \mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}} & UTS^2 \times \mathbb{R} \\ & \searrow \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f} & \downarrow \\ & & S^2 \times \mathbb{R} \end{array}$$

Another way of viewing this diagram is that $\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}$ is a map of S^1 bundles over $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. Let us consider the fibres over a point $p \in S^2 \times \mathbb{R} \cong L^+$, which can equivalently be described by a pair $\underline{p} \in \mathcal{S}^+ \cong \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$, and a length $r > 0$ (or T -coordinate $T = r^2$). In $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$, the fibre over $p \in S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ is the set of (ξ, η) such that $|\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2 = r^2$ and $\xi/\eta = \underline{p}$. Given one point in the fibre (ξ_0, η_0) over p , the other points in the fibre are of the form $e^{i\theta}(\xi_0, \eta_0)$, by Lemma 2.30, and form an S^1 . Under $\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}$, this fibre maps to the fibre of unit tangent directions to S^2 at p , or equivalently, the fibre of flag directions over $\mathbb{R}p$.

Proceeding around an S^1 fibre in $\mathbb{C}_\times^2 \cong S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ corresponds to a path $e^{i\theta}(\xi_0, \eta_0)$ for θ from 0 to 2π . Proceeding around the S^1 factor in a fibre in $\mathcal{F}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$ corresponds to rotating the 2-plane of a null flag through 2π about a fixed ray. As we saw in Section 2.3.10, and explicitly in Lemma 2.81, as we move through the S^1 fibre above p in $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$, the point $e^{i\theta}(\xi_0, \eta_0)$ under $\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}$ produces a flag rotation of angle -2θ . So $\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}$ is a smooth 2–1 map on each fibre. We discussed this explicitly in the proof of Proposition 2.82.

The map \mathbf{G} is also a bundle isomorphism: \mathbf{g} is a linear isomorphism between \mathcal{H} and $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$, and the diffeomorphism provided by \mathbf{G} between $\mathcal{F}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{F}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$, both diffeomorphic to $UTS^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, respects their structure as S^1 bundles over $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$.

Thus, both \mathbf{F} and $\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}$ are bundle maps $S^3 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow UTS^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ of S^1 -bundles over $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, which are 2–1 on each fibre. They are also covering maps, since $UTS^2 \cong \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^3$, so topologically both \mathbf{F} and $\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F}$ they are maps $S^3 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ which are topologically the product of the 2-fold covering map with the identity.

We now turn to the map $\mathbf{H}: \mathcal{F}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathbb{H})$, which is topologically a map $UTS^2 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow UTS^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. Again, both spaces are S^1 -bundles over $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. As discussed in Section 2.4.3, the map $\mathbf{h}: L^+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{H})$ is a diffeomorphism, both spaces being diffeomorphic to $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. We have seen that $\mathcal{F}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$ is an S^1 -bundle over $L^+ \cong \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$, with an S^1 worth of flag directions at each point of L^+ . And $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathbb{H})$ is an S^1 -bundle over $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{H})$, with an S^1 of decorations over each horosphere. Thus we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} UTS^2 \times \mathbb{R} \cong \mathcal{F}_p^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{H}} & \mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathbb{H}) \cong UTS^2 \times \mathbb{R} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S^2 \times \mathbb{R} \cong L^+ & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{h}} & \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{H}) \cong S^2 \times \mathbb{R} \end{array}$$

As argued in Lemma 2.127, \mathbf{H} maps the S^1 fibre of flags above a point $p \in L^+$, to the S^1 fibre of decorations on the horosphere $\mathbf{h}(p) \in \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{H})$, in bijective fashion. This map is in fact smooth: as the 2-plane of the flag rotates, the same 2-plane rotates to provide different decorations on a horosphere, always intersecting the horosphere transversely. So \mathbf{H} is a diffeomorphism and a bundle isomorphism.

Combining the above with Lemma 2.83, we have now proved the following. This is the non-spin version of the main Theorem 1, using spinors up to sign.

Proposition 3.15. *The map $\mathbf{K}: \mathbb{C}_\times^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{U})$ is smooth, surjective, 2–1, and $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -equivariant. It yields a smooth, bijective, $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -equivariant map*

$$\frac{\mathbb{C}_\times^2}{\{\pm 1\}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{U})$$

between nonzero spin vectors up to sign, and decorated horospheres. The action of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on both $\mathbb{C}_\times^2/\{\pm 1\}$ and $\mathfrak{H}_\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{U})$ factors through $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$. \square

3.2.3 Spin lifts of maps and spaces

Let us now consider spin lifts, or universal covers, of the above spaces.

We observe that the 2–1 projection $\mathfrak{H}_\mathfrak{D}^\mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_\mathfrak{D}$ is a double cover. This can be seen directly, or via the identifications with $SL(2, \mathbb{C})/P$ and $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})/\underline{P}$ of (3.14) and (3.12). Since $\mathfrak{H}_\mathfrak{D}^\mathfrak{S}$ is a double cover of $\mathfrak{H}_\mathfrak{D} \cong UTS^2 \times \mathbb{R} \cong SO(3) \times \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{RP}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$, we have $\mathfrak{H}_\mathfrak{D}^\mathfrak{S} \cong S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$, and $\mathfrak{H}_\mathfrak{D}^\mathfrak{S}$ is in fact the universal cover of $\mathfrak{H}_\mathfrak{D}$. We also have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} SL(2, \mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & SL(2, \mathbb{C})/P & \cong & \mathfrak{H}_\mathfrak{D}^\mathfrak{S} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ PSL(2, \mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & PSL(2, \mathbb{C})/\underline{P} & \cong & \mathfrak{H}_\mathfrak{D} \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are double covers and universal covers.

Similarly, the spaces $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{O}$ are diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{RP}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$, so have double and universal covers diffeomorphic to $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$, and these arise from bundle maps which are 2–1 on each fibre. In $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{O}$, a fibre is the S^1 family of flags with a given base point and flagpole. In the double cover, rotating a flag about its flagpole through 2π (and keeping the base point fixed) does not return to the same null flag, but a rotation of 4π does return to the same fixed point.

Definition 3.16. *We denote by $\mathcal{SF}_\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{SF}_\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$ the double (universal) covers of $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$ respectively. We call an element of $\mathcal{SF}_\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H})$ or $\mathcal{SF}_\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$ a spin flag.*

A spin flag in [20] is called a *null flag*.

The maps $\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{I}, \mathbf{J}$ are all diffeomorphisms, and these lift to diffeomorphisms of double covers of spaces $\mathfrak{H}_\mathfrak{D}^\mathfrak{S}$ and $\mathcal{SF}_\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{O}$. We denote these diffeomorphisms $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}, \tilde{\mathbf{H}}, \tilde{\mathbf{I}}, \tilde{\mathbf{J}}$.

Since \mathbb{C}_\times^2 is simply connected, we also obtain a lift $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}$ of \mathbf{F} from \mathbb{C}_\times^2 to $\mathcal{SF}_\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H})$. The result is a sequence of diffeomorphisms lifting $\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{I}, \mathbf{J}$, between spaces all diffeomorphic to $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$; they are also isomorphisms of S^1 bundles over $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$.

$$\mathbb{C}_\times^2 \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathbf{F}}} \mathcal{SF}_\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathbf{G}}} \mathcal{SF}_\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3}) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathbf{H}}} \mathfrak{H}_\mathfrak{D}^\mathfrak{S}(\mathbb{H}) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathbf{I}}} \mathfrak{H}_\mathfrak{D}^\mathfrak{S}(\mathbb{D}) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathbf{J}}} \mathfrak{H}_\mathfrak{D}^\mathfrak{S}(\mathbb{U}). \quad (3.17)$$

We have already seen that $\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{I}, \mathbf{J}$ are all $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ equivariant; we now argue that their lifts are too. First, note that the actions of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H})$, $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$ and $\mathfrak{H}_\mathfrak{D}$ all factor through $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$. The action on $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H})$ derives from the action of $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on $S \in \mathcal{H}$ as $S \mapsto ASA^*$, which when $A = -1$ is trivial. The same is true for the action on $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$, which is equivalent via the diffeomorphism \mathbf{G} . Similarly for the action on $\mathfrak{H}_\mathfrak{D}$, the action of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ factors through $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ since $PSL(2, \mathbb{C}) \cong \text{Isom}^+ \mathbb{H}^3$.

As $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ is the universal cover of $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$, we may regard elements of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ as homotopy classes of paths in $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ starting from the identity, and the action of elements in such a path on \mathbb{C}_\times^2 , $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{H})$, $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$, or $\mathfrak{H}_\mathfrak{D}$ in any model of hyperbolic space, is equivariant. The resulting paths in $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{O}$ or $\mathfrak{H}_\mathfrak{D}$ lifts to paths in the universal covers $\mathcal{SF}_\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{O}$ or $\mathfrak{H}_\mathfrak{D}^\mathfrak{S}$, and so we obtain equivariant actions of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on the universal covers, proving the following proposition.

Proposition 3.18. *The maps $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}, \tilde{\mathbf{G}}, \tilde{\mathbf{H}}, \tilde{\mathbf{I}}, \tilde{\mathbf{J}}$ are all diffeomorphisms, equivariant with respect to the actions of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on \mathbb{C}_\times^2 , $\mathcal{SF}_\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{H})$, $\mathcal{SF}_\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$, $\mathfrak{H}_\mathbb{D}^{\mathfrak{S}}(\mathbb{H})$, $\mathfrak{H}_\mathbb{D}^{\mathfrak{S}}(\mathbb{D})$ and $\mathfrak{H}_\mathbb{D}^{\mathfrak{S}}(\mathbb{U})$. \square*

Abbreviating the composition to

$$\tilde{\mathbf{K}} = \tilde{\mathbf{J}} \circ \tilde{\mathbf{I}} \circ \tilde{\mathbf{H}} \circ \tilde{\mathbf{G}} \circ \tilde{\mathbf{F}},$$

and observing that $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$ projects to \mathbf{K} upon forgetting spin, mapping spin-decorated horospheres to decorated horospheres, we now have the following precise version of the main Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

Theorem 3.19. *The map $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}: \mathbb{C}_\times^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_\mathbb{D}^{\mathfrak{S}}(\mathbb{U})$ is an $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -equivariant diffeomorphism. Under $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$, a nonzero spinor corresponds to a spin-decorated horosphere which projects to the decorated horosphere described in Proposition 2.152.*

3.3 Complex lambda lengths

We define requisite notions for lambda lengths. In this section we consider \mathbb{H}^3 independent of model.

Definition 3.20. *Let q be a point on an oriented geodesic γ in \mathbb{H}^3 .*

- (i) *Let $f = (f_1, f_2, f_3)$ be a (right-handed orthonormal) frame at q . We say f is adapted to γ if f_1 is positively tangent to γ .*
- (ii) *Let \tilde{f} be a spin frame at q . We say \tilde{f} is adapted to γ if it is the lift of a frame adapted to γ .*

Suppose now that γ is an oriented geodesic in \mathbb{H}^3 , and q_1, q_2 are two points on this line (not necessarily distinct). Suppose we have a frame f^i at q_i adapted to γ , for $i = 1, 2$; let $f^i = (f_1^i, f_2^i, f_3^i)$. We can then consider parallel translation along γ from q_1 to q_2 ; this translation is by some distance ρ , which we regard as positive or negative by reference to the orientation on γ . This parallel translation takes f^1 to a frame $f^{1'}$ at q_2 . Since f^1 is adapted to γ , its first vector points positively along γ , and since $f^{1'}$ is related to f^1 by parallel translation along γ , $f^{1'}$ is also adapted to γ . Thus $f^{1'}$ and f^2 lie at the same point q_2 and have the same first vector. A further rotation of same angle θ about γ (signed using the orientation of γ , using the standard right-handed convention) then takes $f^{1'}$ to f^2 . We regard $\rho + i\theta$ as a complex length from f^1 to f^2 , which we also denote by d . Note that θ is only well defined modulo 2π .

If the frames f^1, f^2 are lifted to spin frames, the same applies, except that θ is then well defined modulo 4π . We summarise in the following definition.

Definition 3.21. *Let f^1, f^2 be frames, or spin frames, at points q_1, q_2 on an oriented geodesic γ , adapted to γ . The complex translation distance, or just complex distance from f^1 to f^2 is $d = \rho + i\theta$, where a translation along γ of signed distance ρ , followed by a rotation about γ of angle θ , takes f^1 to f^2 .*

Two arbitrarily chosen frames, or spin frames, will usually not be adapted to any single oriented geodesic. If they are both adapted to a single oriented geodesic, then that geodesic is unique. So we may simply speak of the complex distance from f^1 to f^2 , when it exists, without reference to any geodesic.

The complex distance between two frames adapted to a common geodesic is well defined modulo $2\pi i$. The complex distance between two spin frames adapted to a common geodesic is well defined modulo $4\pi i$.

Suppose now that we have two horospheres. We first consider decorations on them, then lift to spin decorations.

So, let $(\mathfrak{h}_i, L_i^{\mathcal{O}}) \in \mathfrak{H}_\mathbb{D}$, for $i = 1, 2$, with $\mathfrak{h}_i \in \mathfrak{H}$ and $L_i^{\mathcal{O}}$ an oriented parallel line field on \mathfrak{h}_i . Let $p_i \in \partial\mathbb{H}^3$ be the centre of \mathfrak{h}_i , and assume $p_1 \neq p_2$. Let γ_{12} be the oriented geodesic from p_1 to p_2 . Let $q_i = \gamma_{12} \cap \mathfrak{h}_i$. So if $\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{h}_2$ are disjoint then q_1 is the closest point on \mathfrak{h}_1 to \mathfrak{h}_2 , q_2 is the closest point

on \mathfrak{h}_2 to \mathfrak{h}_1 , and γ_{12} is the unique common perpendicular geodesic to \mathfrak{h}_1 and \mathfrak{h}_2 , oriented from p_1 to p_2 . However, these constructions apply even if $\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{h}_2$ are tangent or overlap. The oriented parallel line field L_i^O on \mathfrak{h}_i determines an associated outward frame field f_i^{out} , and inward frame field f_i^{in} , on \mathfrak{h}_i . Note that $f_1^{in}(q_1)$ and $f_2^{out}(q_2)$ are both adapted to γ_{12} , while $f_1^{out}(q_1)$ and $f_2^{in}(q_2)$ are not; rather $f_1^{out}(q_1)$ and $f_2^{in}(q_2)$ are both adapted to the oriented geodesic γ_{21} from p_2 to p_1 .

If we instead have spin decorations $(\mathfrak{h}_i, W_i) \in \mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathfrak{S}}$, then each $\mathfrak{h}_i \in \mathfrak{H}$ has a spin decoration W_i , from which we obtain an outward spin decoration W_i^{out} and an inward spin decoration W_i^{in} on each \mathfrak{h}_i . Note that W_i^{out} and W_i^{in} here project to f_i^{out} and f_i^{in} as in the previous paragraph. So $W_1^{in}(q_1)$ and $W_2^{out}(q_2)$ are adapted to γ_{12} , and $W_1^{out}(q_1)$ and $W_2^{in}(q_2)$ are adapted to γ_{21} .




Figure 20: Complex Translation Distance between f^{in} and f^{out}

Definition 3.22.

- (i) If $(\mathfrak{h}_1, L_1^O), (\mathfrak{h}_2, L_2^O) \in \mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}$ have distinct centres, the complex lambda length from (\mathfrak{h}_1, L_1^O) to (\mathfrak{h}_2, L_2^O) is

$$\lambda_{12} = \exp\left(\frac{d}{2}\right),$$

where d is the complex distance from $f_1^{in}(q_1)$ to $f_2^{out}(q_2)$.

- (ii) If $(\mathfrak{h}_1, W_1), (\mathfrak{h}_2, W_2) \in \mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathfrak{S}}$ have distinct centres, the complex lambda length from (\mathfrak{h}_1, W_1) to (\mathfrak{h}_2, W_2) is

$$\lambda_{12} = \exp\left(\frac{d}{2}\right),$$

where d is the complex distance from $W_1^{in}(q_1)$ to $W_2^{out}(q_2)$.

If $\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{h}_2$ have common centre then in both cases $\lambda_{12} = 0$.

See Figure 20. We abbreviate complex lambda length to *lambda length*.

In the decorated case, d is well defined modulo $2\pi i$, so λ_{12} is a well defined complex number up to sign. In the spin-decorated case, λ_{12} is a well defined complex number. In either case $|\lambda_{12}|$ is well defined.

Assume $\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{h}_2$ have distinct centres, so the geodesic γ and the points q_1, q_2 exist. Writing the complex distance d from $f_1^{in}(q_1)$ to $f_2^{out}(q_2)$ or $W_1^{in}(q_1)$ to $W_2^{out}(q_2)$ as $d = \rho + i\theta$ with $\rho, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$, then ρ is the signed distance from q_1 to q_2 along the oriented geodesic γ_{12} . When $\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{h}_2$ are disjoint, then ρ is positive, and gives the shortest distance between \mathfrak{h}_1 and \mathfrak{h}_2 . When $\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{h}_2$ are tangent, $\rho = 0$. When $\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{h}_2$ overlap, ρ is negative.

Setting $\lambda_{12} = 0$ when \mathfrak{h}_1 and \mathfrak{h}_2 have the same centre extends λ to a continuous function $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathfrak{S}} \times \mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathfrak{S}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, since when two horospheres (of fixed size, say, as they appear in the disc model) approach each other, their common perpendicular geodesic moves out to infinity and the length of the interval lying in the intersection of the horoballs becomes arbitrarily large, so that $\rho \rightarrow -\infty$ and hence $\lambda \rightarrow 0$.

These observations show that ρ agrees with the signed undirected distance of Definition 2.99. Although d is defined in a “directed” way from \mathfrak{h}_1 to \mathfrak{h}_2 , its real part ρ does not depend on the

direction. Its imaginary part, the angle θ , is also undirected in the decorated case, but in the spin-decorated case θ does depend on the direction, as we see below in Lemma 3.23.

Taking moduli of both sides of the equations in Definition 3.22, we obtain

$$|\lambda_{12}| = \exp\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right).$$

which by (2.106) and (2.107) implies

$$|\lambda_{12}|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{h}^{-1}(\mathfrak{h}_1), \mathbf{h}^{-1}(\mathfrak{h}_2) \rangle = |\{\kappa_1, \kappa_2\}|^2$$

where $\mathbf{h}^{-1}(\mathfrak{h}_i) \in L^+$ is the point on the light cone corresponding to the horosphere \mathfrak{h}_i under \mathbf{h} , and κ_i is a spinor corresponding to the horosphere \mathfrak{h}_i , i.e. such that $\mathbf{h} \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}(\kappa_i) = \mathfrak{h}_i$. These equations include the modulus of the equation in Theorem 3.

We now show that lambda length is antisymmetric, in the sense that if we measure it between spin-decorated horospheres in reverse order, it changes by a sign. This is necessary for Theorem 3, since the spinor inner product $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ of Definition 1.3 is also antisymmetric.

Lemma 3.23. *Let $(\mathfrak{h}_i, W_i) \in \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}}^{\mathbb{S}}$, for $i = 1, 2$. Let d_{ij} be the complex distance from $W_i^{in}(q_i)$ to $W_j^{out}(q_j)$, so that $\lambda_{ij} = \exp(d_{ij}/2)$ is the lambda length from (\mathfrak{h}_i, W_i) to (\mathfrak{h}_j, W_j) . Then*

$$d_{ij} = d_{ji} + 2\pi i \pmod{4\pi i} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{ij} = -\lambda_{ji}.$$

Proof. First, if the horospheres have common centre then $\lambda_{ij} = \lambda_{ji} = 0$, by definition. So we may assume they have distinct centres. Then $\lambda_{ij} = \exp(d_{ij}/2)$, where d_{ij} is the complex distance from W_i^{in} to W_j^{out} along γ_{ij} , the oriented geodesic from the centre of \mathfrak{h}_i to the centre of \mathfrak{h}_j . Let W_i^{in}, W_j^{out} project to the frames $f_i^{in}(\mathcal{V}_i), f_j^{out}(\mathcal{V}_j)$ of unit parallel vector fields $\mathcal{V}_i, \mathcal{V}_j$ on $\mathfrak{h}_i, \mathfrak{h}_j$.

Recall that W_2^{in} is obtained from W_2^{out} by a rotation of π about \mathcal{V}_2 , and W_1^{out} is obtained from W_1^{in} by a rotation of $-\pi$ about \mathcal{V}_1 (Definition 3.10). Let Y_1^{out} be obtained from W_1^{in} by a rotation of π about \mathcal{V}_1 , so Y_1^{out} and W_1^{out} both project to f_1^{out} , but differ by a 2π rotation.

Now the spin isometry which takes $W_1^{in}(p_1)$ to $W_2^{out}(p_2)$ also takes $Y_1^{out}(p_1)$ to $W_2^{in}(p_2)$, since the latter pair are obtained from the former pair by rotations of π about $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2$ respectively. So the complex distance from $W_1^{in}(p_1)$ to $W_2^{out}(p_2)$ along γ_{12} is equal to the complex distance from $W_2^{in}(p_2)$ to $Y_1^{out}(p_1)$ along γ_{21} . But this latter complex distance is equal to $d_{21} + 2\pi i \pmod{4\pi i}$, since $Y_1^{out}(p_1)$ and $W_1^{out}(p_1)$ differ by a 2π rotation. Thus we obtain $d_{12} = d_{21} + 2\pi i \pmod{4\pi i}$, hence $\lambda_{12} = -\lambda_{21}$ as desired. \square

3.4 Proof of Theorem 3

The strategy of the proof of Theorem 3 is to first prove it in simple cases, and then extend to the general case by equivariance. Before doing so, however, we first establish how lambda lengths are invariant under $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$.

Lemma 3.24. *Let $(\mathfrak{h}_i, W_i) \in \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{S}}^{\mathbb{S}}$ for $i = 1, 2$ and let $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$. Let λ_{12} be the complex lambda length from (\mathfrak{h}_1, W_1) to (\mathfrak{h}_2, W_2) , and let $\lambda_{A1, A2}$ be the complex lambda length from $A \cdot (\mathfrak{h}_1, W_1)$ to $A \cdot (\mathfrak{h}_2, W_2)$. Then $\lambda_{12} = \lambda_{A1, A2}$.*

Proof. As $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, the universal cover of $\text{Isom}^+ \mathbb{H}^3 \cong PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$, A is represented by a path of isometries $M_t \in PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$, where M_0 is the identity and $M_1 = \pm A$. As in the definition of complex lambda length, let γ_{12} be the oriented geodesic from the centre of \mathfrak{h}_1 to the centre of \mathfrak{h}_2 , and let $q_i = \gamma_{12} \cap \mathfrak{h}_i$. Then the spin frames $W_1^{in}(q_1)$ and $W_2^{out}(q_2)$ are adapted to γ_{12} and their complex distance d satisfies $\lambda_{12} = \exp(d/2)$.

As each M_t is an isometry, applying M_t to the horospheres and spin frames involved yields a 1-parameter family of horospheres $M_t \cdot \mathfrak{h}_1, M_t \cdot \mathfrak{h}_2$ for $t \in [0, 1]$, with mutually perpendicular geodesic

$M_t \cdot \gamma_{12}$, intersecting the horospheres at points $q_1^t = M_t \cdot q_1$ and $q_2^t = M_t \cdot q_2$, at which there are spin frames $M_t \cdot W_1^{in}(q_1^t), M_t \cdot W_2^{out}(q_2^t)$ adapted to $M_t \cdot \gamma_{12}$. As M_t is an isometry, the complex distance d between the spin frames $M_t \cdot W_1^{in}(q_1^t)$ and $M_t \cdot W_2^{out}(q_2^t)$ remains constant. Hence the lambda length $\lambda_{12} = \exp(d/2)$ also remains constant.

At time $t = 1$, we arrive at the decorated horospheres $A \cdot (\mathfrak{h}_1, W_1)$ and $A \cdot (\mathfrak{h}_2, W_2)$. Their complex distance remains d , and their lambda length $\lambda_{A1, A2}$ remains equal to $\lambda = e^{d/2}$. \square

Lemma 3.25. *Let $\kappa_1 = (1, 0)$ and $\kappa_2 = (0, 1)$, and let $(\mathfrak{h}_1, W_1), (\mathfrak{h}_2, W_2) \in \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{D}}^{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbb{U})$ be the corresponding spin-decorated horospheres under $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$. Then the lambda length from (\mathfrak{h}_1, W_1) to (\mathfrak{h}_2, W_2) is 1.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.152, \mathfrak{h}_1 is centred at ∞ , at Euclidean height 1, with spin decoration W_1 projecting to the decoration specified by i . Similarly, \mathfrak{h}_2 is centred at 0, with Euclidean diameter 1, and spin decoration W_2 projecting to the decoration north-pole specified by i . These two horospheres are tangent at $q = (0, 0, 1)$, and both spin decorations W_1^{in} and W_2^{out} both project to the same frame at q , namely $(-e_z, e_y, e_x)$. So the complex distance from $W_1^{in}(q)$ to $W_2^{out}(q)$ is $d = i\theta$, where the rotation angle θ is 0 or $2\pi \bmod 4\pi$; we claim it is in fact $0 \bmod 4\pi$.

To see this, consider the following path in $PSL(2, \mathbb{C}) \cong \text{Isom}^+ \mathbb{U}$:

$$M_t = \pm \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t \\ \sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix} \in PSL(2, \mathbb{C}), \quad \text{from } t = 0 \quad \text{to} \quad t = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

As an isometry of \mathbb{U} , each M_t is a rotation by angle $2t$ about the oriented geodesic δ from $-i$ to i . Hence M_t preserves each point on δ , including q . Thus M_t rotates \mathfrak{h}_1 about δ through to the horosphere $M_{\pi/2} \mathfrak{h}_1$, which is centred at $M_{\pi/2}(0) = \infty$ and passes through q , hence is \mathfrak{h}_2 . Throughout this family of rotations, the point q is preserved, as is the tangent vector at q in the y -direction, which is positively tangent to δ . In particular, over $t \in [0, \pi/2]$, the family of rotations M_t rotates the frame of W_1^{in} to the frame of W_2^{in} .

In fact, the path M_t rotates the *spin* frame of W_1^{in} to the spin frame W_2^{in} . The path M_t is a path in $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ starting at the identity, and lifts to a unique path in $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ starting at the identity

$$\tilde{M}_t = \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t \\ \sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{from} \quad \tilde{M}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{to} \quad A = \tilde{M}_{\frac{\pi}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Regarding $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ as a universal cover of $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$, M_t is a path representing the spin isometry A . Note that $A \cdot (0, 1) = (1, 0)$, i.e. $A \cdot \kappa_1 = \kappa_2$. So by $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -equivariance (Theorem 3.19), we have $A \cdot (\mathfrak{h}_1, W_1) = (\mathfrak{h}_2, W_2)$, and hence $A \cdot W_1^{in} = W_2^{in}$.

Thus on the one hand $A \cdot W_1^{in} = W_2^{in}$. But on the other hand, A is represented by the path M_t , which rotates about the geodesic δ by an angle of $2t$, for $t \in [0, \pi/2]$. Therefore $W_2^{in}(q)$ is obtained from $W_1^{in}(q)$ by a rotation of angle π about e_y , the vector pointing along δ .

Then, by Definition 3.10, $W_2^{out}(q)$ is obtained from $W_2^{in}(q)$ by a rotation of angle $-\pi$ about e_y , i.e. by $-\pi$ about the oriented geodesic δ .

Thus, from $W_1^{in}(q)$, we obtain $W_2^{in}(q)$ by a rotation of π about δ ; and then obtain $W_2^{out}(q)$ by a rotation of $-\pi$ about δ . So $W_1^{in}(q) = W_2^{out}(q)$, and the rotation angle θ is $0 \bmod 4\pi$ as claimed. Then $d = 0$ and $\lambda = \exp(d/2) = 1$. \square

Lemma 3.26. *Let $0 \neq D \in \mathbb{C}$, and let $\kappa_1 = (1, 0)$ and $\kappa_2 = (0, D)$. Let $(\mathfrak{h}_1, W_1), (\mathfrak{h}_2, W_2) \in \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{D}}^{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbb{U})$ be the corresponding spin-decorated horospheres under $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$. Then the lambda length from (\mathfrak{h}_1, W_1) to (\mathfrak{h}_2, W_2) is D .*

Proof. The previous Lemma 3.25 verified this statement when $D = 1$. As there, \mathfrak{h}_1 is centred at ∞ , of height 1, with spin decoration W_1 projecting to the decoration specified by i . By Proposition 2.152, \mathfrak{h}_2 is centred at 0, with Euclidean height $|D|^{-2}$, and spin decoration W_2 projecting to the decoration north-pole specified by iD^{-2} . The common perpendicular geodesic γ_{12} is the vertical line in \mathbb{U} from ∞ to 0, which intersects \mathfrak{h}_1 at $q_1 = (0, 0, 1)$ and \mathfrak{h}_2 at $q_2 = (0, 0, |D|^{-2})$. Thus the signed distance from

q_1 to q_2 along γ is $\rho = 2 \log |D|$. The rotation angle θ between decorations, measured with respect to γ_{12} is $2 \arg D$, modulo 2π . We will show that θ is in fact $2 \arg D$ modulo 4π .

From Lemma 3.25, we know that when $D = 1$, the points q_1, q_2 coincide, and the frames W_1^{in} and W_2^{out} coincide at this point. Denote the spin-decorated horosphere $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}(0, 1)$ by $(h_{2,D=1}, W_{2,D=1})$. We consider a spin isometry taking the $D = 1$ case to the general D case.

Consider the following path M_t in $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ for $t \in [0, 1]$, representing the spin isometry A :

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} D^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_t = \pm \begin{pmatrix} e^{-t(\log |D| + i \arg D)} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{t(\log |D| + i \arg D)} \end{pmatrix}$$

Note M_t effectively has diagonal entries D^{-t} and D^t , we just make them precise using logarithm and argument. We can take, for instance, $\arg D \in [0, 2\pi)$. The path M_t lifts to a path in $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ beginning at the identity and ending at A , so indeed M_t represents A .

On the one hand, $A \cdot (0, 1) = (0, D)$, so by equivariance (Theorem 3.19), when applied to the corresponding horospheres, $A \cdot (h_{2,D=1}, W_{2,D=1}) = (h_2, W_2)$.

On the other hand, each M_t is a loxodromic isometry of \mathbb{U} , which translates along γ_{12} by signed distance $2t \log |D|$, and rotates around the oriented geodesic γ_{12} by angle $2t \arg D$, for $t \in [0, 1]$. So $A \cdot (h_{2,D=1}, W_{2,D=1}) = (h_2, W_2)$ is obtained from $(h_{2,D=1}, W_{2,D=1})$ by a translation along γ_{12} of distance $2 \log |D|$, and rotation around γ_{12} of angle $2 \arg D$.

Now from Lemma 3.25, the spin frames $W_1^{in}(q_1)$ and $W_{2,D=1}^{out}(q_1)$ coincide. From above, $W_2^{out}(q_2)$ is obtained from $W_{2,D=1}^{out}(q_1)$ by a complex translation of $d = 2 \log |D| + 2i \arg D$. Thus the lambda length from (h_1, W_1) to (h_2, W_2) is

$$\lambda_{12} = e^{d/2} = \exp(\log |D| + i \arg(D)) = D.$$

□

We now state and prove a precise version of Theorem 3.

Theorem 3.27. *Let $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in \mathbb{C}_\times^2$, and let $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}(\kappa_1) = (h_1, W_1)$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}(\kappa_2) = (h_2, W_2)$ be the corresponding spin-decorated horospheres. Then the lambda length λ_{12} from (h_1, W_1) to (h_2, W_2) is given by*

$$\lambda_{12} = \{\kappa_1, \kappa_2\}.$$

Proof. If κ_1, κ_2 are linearly dependent then one is a complex multiple of the other, and the two horospheres h_1, h_2 have the same centre. Then $\{\kappa_1, \kappa_2\} = \lambda_{12} = 0$. We can thus assume κ_1, κ_2 are linearly independent.

By Theorem 3.19, $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$ is $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -equivariant. By Lemma 2.9, the bilinear form $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is invariant under applying $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ to spin vectors. By Lemma 3.24, complex lambda length is invariant under applying $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ to spin-decorated horospheres. So it suffices to show the desired equality after applying an element A of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ to both κ_1, κ_2 and $(h_1, W_1), (h_2, W_2)$.

Since κ_1, κ_2 are linearly independent, we take A to be the unique matrix in $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ such that $A \cdot \kappa_1 = (1, 0)$ and $A \cdot \kappa_2 = (0, D)$ for some D . In fact then $D = \{\kappa_1, \kappa_2\}$. To see this, note that A is the inverse of the matrix with columns κ_1 and κ_2/D , with D chosen so that $\det A = 1$. By definition of the bilinear form $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$, we have $1 = \det A = \{\kappa_1, \kappa_2/D\} = \frac{1}{D} \{\kappa_1, \kappa_2\}$. Thus $D = \{\kappa_1, \kappa_2\}$.

Thus, it suffices to prove the result when $\kappa_1 = (1, 0)$ and $\kappa_2 = (0, D)$, i.e. that in this case the lambda length is $\{\kappa_1, \kappa_2\} = D$. This is precisely the result of Lemma 3.26. □

4 Applications

4.1 Three-dimensional hyperbolic geometry

4.1.1 Ptolemy equation for spin-decorated ideal tetrahedra

We now prove Theorem 4. In fact, we prove the following slightly stronger theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $(h_i, W_i) \in \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{D}}^{\mathbb{S}}$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ be four spin-decorated horospheres in \mathbb{H}^3 , and let λ_{ij} be the lambda length from (h_i, W_i) to (h_j, W_j) . Then*

$$\lambda_{01}\lambda_{23} + \lambda_{03}\lambda_{12} = \lambda_{02}\lambda_{13}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.19, each (h_i, W_i) corresponds via $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$ to a unique $\kappa_i = (\xi_i, \eta_i) \in \mathbb{C}_x^2$. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{2 \times 4}(\mathbb{C})$ be the matrix whose j^{th} column is κ_j . For $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, let $M_{ij} \in \mathcal{M}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{C})$ be the submatrix whose columns are κ_i and κ_j in order. By definition $\det M_{ij} = \{\kappa_i, \kappa_j\}$ and by Theorem 3.27 this is also equal to λ_{ij} . Thus the claimed equation can be rewritten as

$$\det M_{01} \det M_{23} + \det M_{03} \det M_{12} = \det M_{02} \det M_{13}$$

which is a well known Plücker relation, as seen previously in (2.39). \square

As mentioned in introductory Section 1.5, this theorem generalises Penner’s Ptolemy equation for decorated ideal triangles in \mathbb{H}^2 . When the four horosphere centres lie in a plane, Penner defines each λ_{ij} to be our $\exp(\rho_{ij}/2)$, by reference to distances only, without angles or decorations. As we will see in Section 4.2.2 below, decorations can be chosen to obtain the same λ_{ij} .

Note that multiplying any κ_i , corresponding to (h_i, W_i) , with a scalar $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ also scales each term of (1.4) containing index i . For instance, multiplying κ_1 by α scales $\lambda_{01}, \lambda_{12}$ and λ_{13} . In this case, the choice of decorated horosphere at each vertex is like a choice of “gauge”.

4.1.2 Shape parameters and Ptolemy equations

The following definition is standard in hyperbolic geometry; shape parameters for instance form the variables in Thurston’s gluing equations [26].

Definition 4.2. *Let e be an edge of an ideal hyperbolic tetrahedron Δ . Suppose the endpoints of e are placed at 0 and ∞ in \mathbb{U} , and the remaining ideal vertices are placed at 1 and $z_e \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\text{Im } z_e > 0$. Then z_e is the shape parameter of Δ along e .*

As is well known, the shape parameters at opposite edges of an ideal tetrahedron are equal. And if one shape parameter z is known, then the others can be denoted z, z', z'' such that

$$z' = \frac{1}{1-z} \quad \text{and} \quad z'' = \frac{z-1}{z}.$$

In particular, we have the equation

$$z + z'^{-1} = 1, \tag{4.3}$$

which also holds under cyclic permutations $(z, z', z'') \mapsto (z', z'', z)$.

Theorem 4.4. *Numbering the ideal vertices of Δ by 0, 1, 2, 3 as in Figure 21, let the shape parameter of edge ij by z_{ij} . Choose $(h_i, W_i) \in \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{D}}^{\mathbb{S}}$ at ideal vertex i and let λ_{ij} be the complex lambda length from (h_i, W_i) to (h_j, W_j) . Then*

$$z_{01} = z_{23} = \frac{\lambda_{02}\lambda_{13}}{\lambda_{03}\lambda_{12}}, \quad z_{02} = z_{13} = -\frac{\lambda_{03}\lambda_{12}}{\lambda_{01}\lambda_{23}}, \quad z_{03} = z_{12} = \frac{\lambda_{01}\lambda_{23}}{\lambda_{02}\lambda_{13}}. \tag{4.5}$$




Figure 21: Tetrahedron with vertices labeled 0, 1, 2, 3

Proof. Transforming a spin-decorated ideal tetrahedron by a spin isometry leaves all shape parameters and complex lambda lengths invariant. Noting the orientation of Figure 21, we may thus place the ideal vertices $0, 1, 2, 3$ at $0, \infty, z, 1 \in \partial\mathbb{H}^3$ respectively, so that $z = z_{01} = z_{23}$, $z' = z_{02} = z_{13}$ and $z'' = z_{03} = z_{12}$. Then multiplying a spinor κ_i corresponding to (\mathfrak{h}_i, W_i) by a complex scalar α , the homogeneous expressions in lambda lengths in (4.5) are invariant. Thus it suffices to prove the claim for any choice of spin decoration, or spinor, at each vertex. By Theorem 3.19, each of the following spinors κ_j corresponds under $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$ to a spin-decorated horosphere at ideal vertex j :

$$\kappa_0 = (0, 1), \quad \kappa_1 = (1, 0), \quad \kappa_2 = (z, 1), \quad \kappa_3 = (1, 1).$$

By Theorem 3 we calculate the complex lambda lengths to be

$$\lambda_{01} = -1, \quad \lambda_{02} = -z, \quad \lambda_{03} = -1, \quad \lambda_{12} = 1, \quad \lambda_{13} = 1, \quad \lambda_{23} = z - 1.$$

This immediately gives the first equation. Permuting labels $(0, 1, 2, 3) \mapsto (0, 2, 3, 1)$ on Δ and similarly the indices on each λ , and using the antisymmetry of λ , gives the subsequent equations. \square

Substituting $z = z_{01} = z_{23}$ and $z' = z_{02} = z_{13}$ with the corresponding expression in the λ_{ij} from (4.5), the equation (4.3) relating shape parameters becomes precisely the Ptolemy equation (1.4). From this perspective, arguably the shape parameter equation is a Ptolemy equation in disguise!

4.2 Real spinors and 2-dimensional hyperbolic geometry

When a spinor (ξ, η) has real coordinates, the corresponding horosphere has centre ξ/η in $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$, which forms the boundary of a copy of the upper half-plane model of 2-dimensional hyperbolic space, inside the upper half-space model \mathbb{U} of 3-dimensional hyperbolic space. With this observation, we can use real spinors to describe 2-dimensional hyperbolic geometry. Accordingly, we regard \mathbb{H}^2 as the upper half plane embedded in $\mathbb{U} = \{(x, y, z) \mid z > 0\}$ at $y = 0$.

In this section we explore some of this lower-dimensional geometry. In Section 4.2.1 we adapt spin decorations to 2 dimensions, and show they correspond to real spinors. In Section 4.2.2 we discuss the relationship between ordering points around the boundary circle of \mathbb{H}^2 , and positive lambda lengths. In Section 4.2.3 we briefly mention triangulations of polygons, and in Section 4.2.4 we discuss how Ford circles arise from integer spinors.

4.2.1 Horocycles and their decorations

Any horocycle \mathfrak{h} in \mathbb{H}^2 extends to a unique horosphere $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ in \mathbb{H}^3 , with centre in $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$, and $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ can be given a spin decoration as usual.

Definition 4.6. *Let \mathfrak{h} be a horocycle in \mathbb{H}^2 . A planar spin decoration on \mathfrak{h} is a spin decoration (W^{in}, W^{out}) on the corresponding $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}} \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathbb{H}^3)$ whose inward and outward spin frames W^{in}, W^{out} project to frames specified by i .*

The requirement that frames be specified by i determines a unique decoration on $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$, but not a unique spin decoration. There are precisely two planar spin decorations on \mathfrak{h} , corresponding to two spinors $\pm\kappa$.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $\kappa = (\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{C}_\times^2$ be a spin vector corresponding to $(\mathfrak{h}, W) \in \mathfrak{S}_\mathbb{S}^2$. Then (\mathfrak{h}, W) arises from a planar spin decoration if and only if $(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}_\times^2$.*

Proof. A spin decoration on a horosphere is planar if and only if its centre is real and its decoration is specified by i . Since the centre is ξ/η and its frames are (north-pole) specified by i/η^2 (if $\eta \neq 0$) or $i\xi^2$ (if $\eta = 0$), the decoration is planar iff ξ/η is real and η^2 is positive, or $\xi/\eta = \infty$ (i.e. $\eta = 0$) and ξ^2 is positive; i.e. iff ξ/η is real and η is real, or $\eta = 0$ and ξ is nonzero real. This is precisely the case when ξ and η are both real and not both zero. \square

Suppose we have two horocycles h_1, h_2 in \mathbb{H}^2 with planar spin decorations. Then the complex distance d_{12} from W_1^{in} to W_2^{out} will be of the form $\rho_{12} + i\theta_{12}$ where θ_{12} is 0 or 2π mod 4π . The lambda length λ_{12} from (h_1, W_1) to (h_2, W_2) will be positive or negative accordingly.

Since lambda lengths are antisymmetric (Lemma 3.23), $\lambda_{21} = -\lambda_{12}$, the two complex distances d_{12}, d_{21} satisfy $d_{21} = d_{12} + 2\pi i$ mod $4\pi i$. So in particular, θ_{12} is 0 mod 4π precisely when θ_{21} is 2π mod 4π , and vice versa.

4.2.2 Ordering and positivity in the hyperbolic plane

We will use a specific orientation of \mathbb{H}^2 . Since we regard \mathbb{H}^2 is a surface embedded in \mathbb{U} , each point of \mathbb{H}^2 has two normal directions $\pm e_y$. By Definition 4.6, the positive y -direction which corresponds to real spinors, and so we make the following definition.

Definition 4.8. *We orient \mathbb{H}^2 by the normal direction e_y . We orient $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$ as the boundary of \mathbb{H}^2 .*

Note that this is the opposite of the usual orientation on the upper half plane, and in particular $\partial\mathbb{H}^2 = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ is oriented along \mathbb{R} in the negative direction.

Definition 4.9. *A collection of distinct points $z_1, \dots, z_d \in \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ are in order around $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$ if they lie in cyclic order on the oriented circle $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$.*

Since $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$ is oriented in the negative direction on \mathbb{R} , “in order” here roughly means “in decreasing order”, but as $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$ is a circle, we allow for cyclic permutation. Thus $z_1, \dots, z_d \in \mathbb{R}$ are in order if $z_1 > z_2 > \dots > z_d$. But they are also in order if, for instance, $\infty = z_k > k_{k+1} > \dots > z_d > z_1 > z_2 > \dots > z_{k-1}$ for some k .

We will define, as usual, an ideal d -gon in the hyperbolic plane to have vertices at infinity, but we prefer the vertices to be in order around the circle at infinity. we allow various relaxations of usual assumptions, or degenerations, of those vertices, as follows.

Definition 4.10.

- (i) *An ideal d -gon is a collection of distinct points z_1, \dots, z_d in $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$, labelled in order around $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$.*
- (ii) *A generalised ideal d -gon is a collection of points z_1, \dots, z_d in $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$.*
- (iii) *A generalised ideal d -gon is degenerate if all its vertices coincide. Otherwise it is non-degenerate.*

Thus, a generalised ideal d -gon may not have its vertices labelled in order around $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$, and some or even all vertices may coincide. When all of them coincide, it is degenerate.

For the rest of this section, let h_1, \dots, h_d be horocycles in \mathbb{H}^2 , with centres $p_1, \dots, p_d \in \partial\mathbb{H}^2 = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$, and let γ_{ij} be the oriented geodesic from p_i to p_j . Let $q_{i,j}$ be the intersection of γ_{ij} with h_i .

It turns out that when the p_i are distinct and in order as per Definition 4.9, one can choose planar spin decorations on the h_i in a “coherent” way.

Proposition 4.11. *Suppose $p_1, \dots, p_d \in \partial\mathbb{H}^2$ are distinct and in order around $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$. Then there exist planar spin decorations W_1, \dots, W_d on h_1, \dots, h_d such that the lambda lengths λ_{ij} from (h_i, W_i) to (h_j, W_j) satisfy*

$$\lambda_{ij} > 0 \quad \text{when } i < j \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{ij} < 0 \quad \text{when } i > j.$$

Proof. Recall there are two choices for the spin decoration on each horocycle. Choose the spin decoration on h_1 arbitrarily, and then choose the spin decoration on each other h_j so that $\lambda_{1j} > 0$. This means that each complex distance $d_{1j} = \rho_{1j} + i\theta_{1j}$ is chosen to be real, with each $\theta_{1j} = 0$ mod 4π , and the spin frame W_j on each h_j is chosen so that $W_1^{in}(p_{1,j})$ and $W_j^{out}(p_{j,1})$ are related by parallel translation along γ_{1j} ; there is no rotation, as $\theta_{1j} = 0$ mod 4π .

It suffices then to prove that for any $i < j$ we have $\lambda_{ij} > 0$, or equivalently, $\theta_{ij} = 0$ mod 4π . We give two proofs of this claim, geometric then algebraic.




Figure 22: Constructing spin-coherent planar spin decorations.

For the first proof, consider the oriented geodesics $\gamma_{1i}, \gamma_{1j}, \gamma_{ij}$. By Definition 3.10, W_i^{out} is obtained from W_i^{in} at any point on h_i by a rotation of $-\pi$ about the decoration on W_i , which points in y -direction. Moreover, as decorations arise from parallel line fields, W_i^{in} is obtained at any point of h_i from any other by parallel translation on h_i ; similarly W_j^{in} is obtained at any point of h_j from any other by parallel translation on h_j .

Thus, we may proceed from $W_i^{in}(p_{i,j})$ to $W_j^{out}(p_{j,i})$ in several steps, as follows:

- (i) Parallel translation along h_i from $p_{i,j}$ to $p_{i,1}$ takes $W_i^{in}(p_{i,j})$ to $W_i^{in}(p_{i,1})$;
- (ii) Rotation by $-\pi$ about the y -direction takes $W_i^{in}(p_{i,1})$ to $W_i^{out}(p_{i,1})$;
- (iii) Parallel translation along γ_{1i} from $p_{i,1}$ to $p_{1,i}$ takes $W_i^{out}(p_{i,1})$ to $W_1^{in}(p_{1,i})$;
- (iv) Parallel translation along h_1 from $p_{1,i}$ to $p_{1,j}$ takes $W_1^{in}(p_{1,i})$ to $W_1^{in}(p_{1,j})$;
- (v) Parallel translation along γ_{1j} from $p_{1,j}$ to $p_{j,1}$ takes $W_1^{in}(p_{1,j})$ to $W_j^{out}(p_{j,1})$;
- (vi) Parallel translation along h_j from $p_{j,1}$ to $p_{j,i}$ takes $W_j^{out}(p_{j,1})$ to $W_j^{out}(p_{j,i})$.

Now, we note that the result of these translations must be the same, or differ by 2π , from the parallel translation along γ_{ij} , which takes $W_i^{in}(p_{i,j})$ to $W_j^{out}(p_{j,i})$. By tracking the rotation of the frame (which may be done by hand, or by measuring angles), we see that the results are the same. See Figure 22. Thus $\theta_{ij} = 0 \pmod{4\pi}$, and $\lambda_{ij} > 0$.

We give the second proof in the case where none of the p_i is ∞ , leaving the rest to the reader. Let $\kappa_j = (\xi_j, \eta_j)$ be spinors corresponding to the (h_j, W_j) . Then we have $p_j = \xi_j/\eta_j$ so

$$p_i - p_j = \frac{\xi_i}{\eta_i} - \frac{\xi_j}{\eta_j} = \frac{\xi_i \eta_j - \xi_j \eta_i}{\eta_i \eta_j} = \frac{\{\kappa_i, \kappa_j\}}{\eta_i \eta_j} = \frac{\lambda_{ij}}{\eta_i \eta_j} \quad (4.12)$$

and similarly

$$p_1 - p_i = \frac{\lambda_{1i}}{\eta_1 \eta_i}, \quad p_1 - p_j = \frac{\lambda_{1j}}{\eta_1 \eta_j}. \quad (4.13)$$

Recall $i < j$ and the p_i are in order. We consider two cases, $p_i < p_j$ and $p_j < p_i$.

If $p_i < p_j$ then since p_1, p_i, p_j are in order we have $p_i < p_1 < p_j$. So $p_1 - p_i$ and $p_1 - p_j$ have opposite signs. Hence by equation (4.13), η_i and η_j have opposite signs. Hence in equation (4.12), the left hand side is negative and the right hand side denominator is negative. Thus the numerator is positive, $\lambda_{ij} > 0$.

If $p_i > p_j$ then the ordering of p_1, p_i, p_j tells us that $p_1 < p_j < p_i$ or $p_j < p_i < p_1$. Either way, $p_1 - p_i$ and $p_1 - p_j$ have the same sign, so by (4.13), η_i and η_j have the same sign. Hence in (4.12), the left hand side is positive and the right hand side denominator is positive. Thus $\lambda_{ij} > 0$ again. \square

We formalise this ‘‘coherence’’ of spin decorations, or positivity of lambda lengths, in the following definitions.

Definition 4.14. *Let h_1, \dots, h_d be horocycles with distinct centres p_1, \dots, p_d . A set of planar spin decorations on the h_i is spin-coherent if*

$$\begin{cases} \theta_{ij} = 0 \pmod{4\pi} \text{ when } i < j \\ \theta_{ij} = 2\pi \pmod{4\pi} \text{ when } i > j, \end{cases} \quad \text{or equivalently,} \quad \begin{cases} \lambda_{ij} > 0 \text{ when } i < j \\ \lambda_{ij} < 0 \text{ when } i > j. \end{cases}$$

Definition 4.15. *A collection of spinors $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_d$ is totally positive if they are all real, and satisfy*

$$\{\kappa_i, \kappa_j\} > 0 \text{ when } i < j \text{ and } \{\kappa_i, \kappa_j\} < 0 \text{ when } i > j. \quad (4.16)$$

This notion of *total positivity* arises in other contexts in mathematics and physics. See, for example, [2, 12, 22, 28]).

Lemma 4.17. *A collection of spinors $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_d$ is totally positive and if and only if the corresponding spin-decorated horospheres have planar spin decorations and are spin-coherent.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.7, the κ_j are real if and only if the h_j have planar spin decorations. By Theorem 3, the $\{\kappa_i, \kappa_j\}$ satisfy the total positivity condition (4.16) if and only if the λ_{ij} satisfy the spin-coherence condition of Definition 4.14. \square

Note that in Definition 4.14 of spin coherence, the centres p_i are distinct, but there is no requirement that the vertices lie in order around $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$. However, it turns out that the spin coherence condition *implies* that the p_i are in order around $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$. The following converse to Proposition 4.11 is proved in [13].

Proposition 4.18. *If h_1, \dots, h_d have spin-coherent planar spin decorations, then their centres p_1, \dots, p_d are in order around $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$. In other words, p_1, \dots, p_d form an ideal d -gon as in Definition 4.10.*

4.2.3 Triangulations of polygons

Let $d \geq 3$ be an integer and consider an ideal d -gon with a triangulation T . This T consists of $d - 3$ diagonals, so the polygon and the triangulation together contain $2d - 3$ edges.

If each ideal vertex of the polygon has a planar spin decoration, we then have a lambda length associated to each edge of the polygon and the triangulation. Labelling the vertices of the d -gon from 1 to d , we write λ_{ij} for the lambda length from vertex i to vertex j .

A natural operation on a triangulation is a diagonal flip, which replaces two adjacent triangles with two different triangles. These two adjacent triangles share an edge and together they form an ideal 4-gon. If the four vertices involved in a diagonal flip have labels i, j, k, l in order, in the diagonal flip, lambda length λ_{ik} is replaced with λ_{jl} or vice versa. The variables are related by the Ptolemy equation

$$\lambda_{ij}\lambda_{kl} + \lambda_{il}\lambda_{jk} = \lambda_{ik}\lambda_{jl}.$$

Considering such variables and equations leads to the notion of a cluster algebra: see e.g. [4, 5, 29] for details.




Figure 23: Ford circles and Farey fractions.

If we allow the ideal vertices to vary along $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$ and the horospheres to also vary, the space of such choices gives a description of what Penner calls the *decorated Teichmüller space* \tilde{T} . Then each λ_{ij} can be regarded as a function $\tilde{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ and indeed the collection of λ_{ij} from the edges of the polygon and the diagonals of a triangulation yields a system of coordinates on \tilde{T} and a homeomorphism $\tilde{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2d-3}$. See e.g. [18, 19] for further details.

4.2.4 Ford circles

Suppose we have a spinor $\kappa = (\xi, \eta)$ whose coordinates ξ, η are *integers*. As a real spinor, by Lemma 4.7, κ corresponds to a horocycle with a planar spin decoration. Its centre is at the *rational* number ξ/η , and its Euclidean diameter is $1/\eta^2$. If we require that $(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{Z}_\times^2$ be *relatively prime*, then we obtain a family of horocycles with precisely one horocycle centred at each point of $\mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$. They are known as *Ford circles* [6]. See Figure 23.

A standard fact about Ford circles is that the circles at a/b and c/d , written as fractions in simplest form, are tangent if and only if $|ad - bc| = 1$. We see this immediately from the spinor-horosphere correspondence, since the corresponding real spinors $\kappa_1 = (a, b)$ and $\kappa_2 = (c, d)$ satisfy $\{\kappa_1, \kappa_2\} = ad - bc = \pm 1$ so the corresponding spin-decorated horospheres have lambda length $\lambda = \pm 1$. Thus the horocycles are tangent.

More generally, the hyperbolic distance ρ between Ford circles based at a/b and c/d , again given as fractions in simplest form, is given from $e^\rho = |\lambda|^2$ as

$$\rho = 2 \log |\lambda| = 2 \log |\{\kappa_1, \kappa_2\}| = 2 \log |ad - bc|.$$

This was observed by McShane and Sergiescu in [16, 17].

If we have two tangent Ford circles, based at fractions in simplest form a/b and c/d , then there is a unique Ford circle between them, tangent to both. This Ford circle is based at the *mediant* or *Farey sum* of a/b and c/d , namely

$$\frac{a+c}{b+d},$$

which has corresponding spinor $\kappa_1 + \kappa_2$. Its tangency with the circles corresponding to κ_1, κ_2 then follows from its lambda length, or spinor inner product, with κ_1 and κ_2 being ± 1 :

$$\{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2, \kappa_1\} = \{\kappa_2, \kappa_1\} = \pm 1, \quad \{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2, \kappa_2\} = \{\kappa_1, \kappa_2\} = \pm 1.$$

Here we used the antisymmetry of the spinor inner product, and the tangency of horospheres corresponding to κ_1, κ_2 .

4.3 Polygons, polyhedra and matrices

4.3.1 Matrices and ideal hyperbolic polygons

If we have an ideal d -gon in \mathbb{H}^2 (Definition 4.10), with horospheres and planar spin decorations on each ideal vertex (Definition 4.6), then we have a real spinor associated to each vertex (Lemma 4.7). We can arrange these spinors as the columns of a matrix. Various properties of this matrix correspond to properties of corresponding ideal polygon.

Lemma 4.19. *The map which takes planar spin-decorated horocycles $(h_1, W_1), \dots, (h_d, W_d)$ in \mathbb{H}^2 to matrices whose columns are the corresponding collection of spin vectors $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_d \in \mathbb{R}_\times^2$, induces the following correspondences:*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Generalised ideal } d\text{-gons in } \mathbb{H}^2 \\ \text{with planar spin decorations} \end{array} \right\} &\cong \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2 \times d \text{ real matrices} \\ \text{with no zero columns} \end{array} \right\} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Nondegenerate generalised ideal } d\text{-gons in } \mathbb{H}^2 \\ \text{with planar spin decorations} \end{array} \right\} &\cong \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2 \times d \text{ real matrices of rank } 2 \\ \text{with no zero columns} \end{array} \right\} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Spin-coherent ideal } d\text{-gons in } \mathbb{H}^2 \end{array} \right\} &\cong \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2 \times d \text{ real matrices} \\ \text{where all } 2 \times 2 \text{ submatrices} \\ \text{have positive determinant} \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. In a generalised ideal d -gon (Definition 4.10(ii)) there is no restriction on where the vertices lie, so any collection of $\kappa_i \in \mathbb{R}_\times^2$ provide a matrix. Generalised ideal d -gons with planar spin decorations are thus bijective with $2 \times d$ real matrices, none of whose columns consist entirely of zeroes.

Adding the requirement of nondegeneracy (Definition 4.10(iii)) means that two of the ξ_i/η_i are distinct, so that there are two linearly independent columns in the matrix, so it has rank 2.

Adding the requirement that all vertices are distinct means that all ξ_i/η_i are distinct, so all 2×2 submatrices of M have rank 2.

The requirement of spin-coherence corresponds to all 2×2 matrices have positive determinant (Lemma 4.17), and in this case the ideal vertices are in order around $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$, so we have an ideal d -gon (Proposition 4.18). \square

4.3.2 Matrices and ideal hyperbolic polyhedra

We can find similar correspondences between complex matrices and polyhedra in 3 dimensions. This notion of ideal polyhedron is somewhat unsatisfactory, forgetting all its structure except for listing out its vertices. Nonetheless it is analogous to Definition 4.10 and allows us to produce corresponding matrices. However, since $\partial\mathbb{H}^3 \cong S^2$, there is no natural way to order vertices.

Definition 4.20.

- (i) An ideal d -hedron is a collection of distinct points p_1, \dots, p_d in $\partial\mathbb{H}^3$.
- (ii) A generalised ideal d -hedron is a collection of points p_1, \dots, p_d in $\partial\mathbb{H}^3$.
- (iii) A generalised ideal d -hedron is degenerate if all of its vertices coincide. Otherwise it is non-degenerate.

Lemma 4.21. *The map which takes spin-decorated horospheres $(h_1, W_1), \dots, (h_d, W_d)$ in \mathbb{H}^3 to matrices whose columns are the corresponding collection of spin vectors $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_d \in \mathbb{C}_\times^2$, induces the*

following correspondences:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Generalised ideal } d\text{-hedra in } \mathbb{H}^3 \\ \text{with spin decorations} \end{array} \right\} \cong \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2 \times d \text{ complex matrices} \\ \text{with no zero columns} \end{array} \right\} \\ & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Nondegenerate generalised ideal } d\text{-hedra in } \mathbb{H}^3 \\ \text{with spin decorations} \end{array} \right\} \cong \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2 \times d \text{ complex matrices} \\ \text{of rank 2} \\ \text{with no zero columns} \end{array} \right\} \\ & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Ideal } d\text{-hedra in } \mathbb{H}^3 \\ \text{with spin decorations} \end{array} \right\} \cong \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2 \times d \text{ complex matrices} \\ \text{where all } 2 \times 2 \text{ submatrices} \\ \text{have nonzero determinant} \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. A generalised ideal d -hedron yields a matrix with all columns in \mathbb{C}_\times^2 , without further restriction. Just as in two dimensions, adding the requirement of nondegeneracy corresponds to the matrix having rank 2. All vertices being distinct corresponds to all 2×2 submatrices having nonzero determinant. \square

4.3.3 Ideal hyperbolic polygons up to isometry

After characterising various spaces of hyperbolic ideal polygons as spaces of matrices in Lemma 4.19, we now consider such objects *up to isometry*.

Just as $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ is the double and universal cover of $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$, $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ is the double and universal cover of $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$. The latter is the orientation-preserving isometry group of \mathbb{H}^2 . Since the polygons we have considered all have various types of spin decorations, we consider them under the action of spin isometries preserving \mathbb{H}^2 , i.e. of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$.

Definition 4.22. A spin isometry class of a set X of generalised ideal d -gons with planar spin decorations is an orbit of the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ action on X .

In this definition, X could for example be any of the sets of d -gons considered in Lemma 4.19.

The correspondence between spin vectors and spin-decorated horospheres is $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -equivariant, and $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ is the subgroup of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ which preserves real spin vectors, and also preserves \mathbb{H}^2 . Given a collection of real spin vectors $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_d$ forming a $2 \times d$ matrix M , an $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts simultaneously on all the κ_j in the matrix multiplication AM . The correspondences of Lemma 4.19 then yield correspondences between spin isometry classes of various types of decorated d -gons, and the orbit spaces of the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ action on various sets of matrices. For instance, we have the following.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Spin isometry classes of} \\ \text{generalised ideal } d\text{-gons in } \mathbb{H}^2 \\ \text{with planar spin decorations} \end{array} \right\} \cong SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \setminus \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2 \times d \text{ real matrices} \\ \text{with no zero columns} \end{array} \right\} \quad (4.23)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Spin isometry classes of} \\ \text{nondegenerate generalised ideal } d\text{-gons in } \mathbb{H}^2 \\ \text{with planar spin decorations} \end{array} \right\} \cong SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \setminus \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2 \times d \text{ real matrices} \\ \text{of rank 2} \\ \text{with no zero columns} \end{array} \right\} \quad (4.24)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Spin isometry classes of} \\ \text{spin-coherent ideal } d\text{-gons in } \mathbb{H}^2 \end{array} \right\} \cong SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \setminus \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2 \times d \text{ real matrices} \\ \text{where all } 2 \times 2 \text{ submatrices} \\ \text{have positive determinant} \end{array} \right\} \quad (4.25)$$

In the case of spin-coherent ideal d -gons, the spin isometry classes reduce to isometry classes in the standard sense.

Proposition 4.26. Let $d \geq 3$. There is a bijection between spin isometry classes of spin-coherent ideal d -gons in \mathbb{H}^2 and isometry classes of ideal d -gons in \mathbb{H}^2 , decorated with a horocycle at each vertex.

That is,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Spin isometry classes of} \\ \text{ } d\text{-gons in } \mathbb{H}^2 \\ \text{with spin-coherent decorations} \end{array} \right\} \cong \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Isometry classes of} \\ \text{ideal } d\text{-gons in } \mathbb{H}^2 \\ \text{decorated with horocycles} \end{array} \right\} \quad (4.27)$$

The latter is Penner's decorated Teichmüller space of a disc with d boundary punctures.

Proof. As discussed in Section 4.2.1, an ideal d -gon in \mathbb{H}^2 , decorated with horocycles, has two possible planar spin decorations at each ideal vertex, for a total of 2^d choices. However, as discussed in the proof of Proposition 4.11, if the decorations are spin-coherent, then once we choose one planar spin decoration at one ideal vertex, the rest are determined. Thus there are precisely 2 spin-coherent decorations. Under the action of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ the negative identity will identify planar spin decorations in pairs. So there will be 2^{d-1} spin isometry classes of planar spin decorations, but only one spin isometry class of spin-coherent decorations.

If two spin-coherent ideal d -gons are related by a spin isometry, then the underlying isometry of \mathbb{H}^2 maps the underlying ideal d -gons and horocycles to each other. So we have a map from the left to right set of (4.27). By Proposition 4.11, we can always find spin-coherent decorations on an ideal d -gon, so this map is surjective. As argued above, there is only one spin isometry class of spin-coherent decorations, so the map is injective. \square

Orbit spaces of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ action on sets of matrices are quite similar to *Grassmannians*. The real Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}(2, d)$ is the space of all real 2-planes in \mathbb{R}^d , and it can be described as

$$\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}(2, d) \cong GL(2, \mathbb{R}) \setminus \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2 \times d \text{ real matrices} \\ \text{of rank 2} \end{array} \right\}. \quad (4.28)$$

To see this, we associate to a $2 \times d$ matrix its row space, a subspace of \mathbb{R}^d . If M has rank 2 then this row space is a 2-plane in \mathbb{R}^d , hence an element of $\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}(2, d)$. This gives a map from matrices to $\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}(2, d)$. But multiplying a $2 \times d$ matrix on the left by a matrix in $GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ preserves its row space, and indeed the $GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -orbits of matrices correspond to points in $\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{R}}(2, d)$.

The correspondences in (4.23)–(4.25) describe various classes of spin isometry classes of ideal d -gons in similar terms to the Grassmannian in (4.28). Various statements along these lines are made in [13], including with complex matrices and polyhedra. It is also worth pointing out that determinants of 2×2 submatrices of the $2 \times d$ matrix, which in our context are lambda lengths, correspond to *Plücker coordinates* on the Grassmannian.

Combining (4.25) and (4.27) gives an algebraic description of the decorated Teichmüller space of a punctured disc

$$SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \setminus \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2 \times d \text{ real matrices} \\ \text{where all } 2 \times 2 \text{ submatrices} \\ \text{have positive determinant} \end{array} \right\} \cong \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Isometry classes of} \\ \text{ideal } d\text{-gons in } \mathbb{H}^2 \\ \text{decorated with horocycles} \end{array} \right\},$$

and in fact, as shown in [13], this is the *affine cone* on the *positive Grassmannian* $\text{Gr}^+(2, d)$.

A Notation

General	
$D_p f(v)$	Derivative of function f at point p in direction v
$T_p M$	Tangent space to manifold M at point p
$\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}$	Maps from spinors, to Hermitian matrices, to light cone, to horospheres
\mathbf{h}_∂	Simplification of \mathbf{h} mapping to $\partial\mathbb{H}$
$\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{I}, \mathbf{J}$	Maps from spinors, to flags, to decorated horospheres
$\tilde{\mathbf{F}}, \tilde{\mathbf{G}}, \tilde{\mathbf{H}}, \tilde{\mathbf{I}}, \tilde{\mathbf{J}}$	Spin lifts of $\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{I}, \mathbf{J}$, maps from spinors, to spin flags, to spin-decorated horospheres
$\mathbf{k}_\partial, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{K}, \tilde{\mathbf{K}}$	Compositions of $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}_\partial, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}$, and $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}$, and $\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{I}, \mathbf{J}$, and $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}, \tilde{\mathbf{G}}, \tilde{\mathbf{H}}, \tilde{\mathbf{I}}, \tilde{\mathbf{J}}$.
<hr/>	
Algebra, matrices	
$\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{0+}, \mathbb{R}^+$	Reals, non-negative reals, positive reals
i	Square root of -1
α, β	General complex numbers
a, b, c, d	General real numbers
A, A'	Matrices in $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$
M, N	General matrices
$\mathcal{M}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$	$m \times n$ matrices with entries in field \mathbb{F}
\mathbb{F}_\times	Nonzero elements of field / ring / vector space \mathbb{F}
\mathcal{H}	2×2 Hermitian matrices
\mathcal{H}_0	2×2 Hermitian matrices with determinant 0
\mathcal{H}_0^{0+}	2×2 Hermitian matrices with determinant 0 and trace ≥ 0
\mathcal{H}_0^+	2×2 Hermitian matrices with determinant 0 and trace > 0
S	Hermitian matrix
\underline{S}	Image of Hermitian matrix in quotient space
\underline{B}	Basis of quotient space of Hermitian matrices
<hr/>	
Spin vectors	
κ	Spin vector
ξ, η	Coordinates of spin vector (elements of \mathbb{C})
ν	Tangent vector to \mathbb{C}^2
$\{\cdot, \cdot\}$	Inner product of spin vectors
\mathcal{Z}	Map $\mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ in definition of \mathbf{F} giving direction of flag
J	Complex linear map in definition of \mathcal{Z}
\mathbf{p}	Projection $\mathbb{C}_\times^2 \rightarrow S^3$ (Lemma 2.149)
<hr/>	
Minkowski geometry	
L	Light cone (Definition 2.20)
L^{0+}	Non-negative light cone (Definition 2.20)
L^+	Positive light cone (Definition 2.20)
$p = (T, X, Y, Z)$	Point in Minkowski space $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$
$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$	Inner product (signature $+ - - -$) on Minkowski space $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$
π_{XYZ}	Projection to XYZ 3-plane
V, W	Subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$
S^+	Future celestial sphere (Definition 2.21)
Π	Lightlike 3-plane in $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$
e_1, e_2, e_3	Orthonormal basis determined by spinor (Lemma 2.80)

Hyperbolic geometry

\mathbb{H}^n	Hyperbolic n -space (model-independent)
$\partial\mathbb{H}^n$	Boundary at infinity of hyperbolic n -space
$\mathbb{D}, \partial\mathbb{D}$	Conformal ball model of \mathbb{H}^3 , boundary at infinity
$\mathbb{U}, \partial\mathbb{U}$	Upper half space model of \mathbb{H}^3 , boundary at infinity
x, y, z	Coordinates in upper half space model
\mathbb{H}	Hyperboloid model of \mathbb{H}^3
h	Horosphere
$d = \rho + i\theta$	Complex distance between horospheres
ρ	Signed distance between horospheres
θ	Angular distance between horosphere decorations
λ, λ_{ij}	Lambda-length, between horospheres indexed by i, j
$\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{H}), \mathfrak{H}(\mathbb{D})$	Set of all horospheres in $\mathbb{H}^3, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{D}$ (Definition 2.88)
Δ	Ideal tetrahedron in \mathbb{H}^3
ζ_i	Ideal points, ideal vertices
E	Edge of tetrahedron
z_e	Shape parameter of tetrahedron along edge e (Definition 4.2)
P_α	Parabolic matrix in $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ (2.114)
P	parabolic subgroup of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ or $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$

Flags

$\mathcal{F}_P; \mathcal{F}_P(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{F}_P(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$	Set of pointed null flags; in \mathcal{H} , in $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$
$(p, V), [[p, v]]$	Pointed null flag in \mathcal{H} or $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ (Definition 2.47, Definition 2.46)
$\mathcal{F}_P^\circ; \mathcal{F}_P^\circ(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{F}_P^\circ(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$	Set of pointed oriented null flags; in \mathcal{H} , in $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$
$(p, V, o), [[p, v]]$	Pointed oriented null flag (Definition 2.49, Definition 2.52)
$\mathcal{SF}_P^\circ(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{SF}_P^\circ(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$	Spin flags (Definition 3.16)

Frames, decorations

Fr	Frame bundle over \mathbb{H}^3 (Definition 3.4)
Spin	Spin frame bundle over \mathbb{H}^3 (Definition 3.4)
$f = (f_1, f_2, f_3)$	Frame
\tilde{f}	Spin frame
L°	Oriented line field on horosphere
$\mathfrak{H}_\mathbb{D}^\circ$	Set of overly decorated horospheres (Definition 2.110)
L_P°	Oriented parallel line field on horosphere
$\mathfrak{H}_\mathbb{D}$	Set of decorated horospheres (Definition 2.126)
N^{in}, N^{out}	Inward, outward normal vector fields to horosphere (Definition 3.1)
\mathcal{V}	Unit parallel vector field on horosphere
$f^{in}(\mathcal{V}), f^{out}(\mathcal{V})$	Inward, outward frame fields of vector field V (Definition 3.2)
W^{in}, W^{out}	Inward, outward spin decoration (Definition 3.10)
$\mathfrak{H}_\mathbb{D}^\circ$	Set of spin-decorated horospheres (Definition 3.13)

References

- [1] James W. Anderson, *Hyperbolic geometry*, second ed., Springer Undergraduate Mathematics Series, Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2005. [3]
- [2] N. Arkani-Hamed, J. Bourjaily, F. Cachazo, A. Goncharov, A. Postnikov, and J. Trnka, *Grassmannian geometry of scattering amplitudes*, Cambridge, 2016. [82]
- [3] James W. Cannon, William J. Floyd, Richard Kenyon, and Walter R. Parry, *Hyperbolic geometry*, Flavors of geometry, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 31, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997, pp. 59–115. [3]
- [4] S. Fomin, M. Shapiro, and D. Thurston, *Cluster algebras and triangulated surfaces. I. Cluster complexes*, Acta Math. **201** (2008), no. 1, 83–146. [8, 82]

- [5] S. Fomin and D. Thurston, *Cluster algebras and triangulated surfaces Part II: Lambda lengths*, Mem. AMS **255** (2018), no. 1223. [8, 82]
- [6] L. R. Ford, *Fractions*, Amer. Math. Monthly **45** (1938), no. 9, 586–601. [83]
- [7] S. Garoufalidis, M. Goerner, and C. Zickert, *Gluing equations for $PGL(n, \mathbb{C})$ -representations of 3-manifolds*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **15** (2015), no. 1, 565–622. [8]
- [8] Phillip Griffiths and Joseph Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Wiley Classics Library, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1994, Reprint of the 1978 original. [23]
- [9] J. A. Howie, D. Ibarra, D. V. Mathews, and L. Su, *Lambda lengths in the figure eight knot complement*, arxiv:2411.06368. [3]
- [10] S. A. Huggett and K. P. Tod, *An introduction to twistor theory*, second ed., London Mathematical Society Student Texts, vol. 4, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. [3]
- [11] Birger Iversen, *Hyperbolic geometry*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, vol. 25, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992. [3]
- [12] G. Lusztig, *Total positivity in reductive groups*, Lie theory and geometry, Progr. Math., vol. 123, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1994, pp. 531–568. [82]
- [13] D. V. Mathews, *Spinors and horospheres*, arxiv:2308.09233. [2, 3, 9, 11, 82, 86]
- [14] D. V. Mathews and J. Purcell, *Ptolemy equations for hyperbolic 3-manifolds*, in progress. [3]
- [15] D.V. Mathews and O. Zymaris, *Spinors and Descartes’ theorem*, arxiv:2310.11701. [3]
- [16] G. McShane, *Eisenstein integers and equilateral ideal triangles*, arxiv:2403.14375. [83]
- [17] G. McShane and V. Sergiescu, *Geometry of fermat’s sum of squares*, <https://macbuse.github.io/squares.pdf>. [83]
- [18] R. C. Penner, *The decorated Teichmüller space of punctured surfaces*, Comm. Math. Phys. **113** (1987), no. 2, 299–339. [3, 7, 9, 11, 43, 45, 48, 83]
- [19] Robert C. Penner, *Decorated Teichmüller theory*, QGM Master Class Series, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2012, With a foreword by Yuri I. Manin. [22, 83]
- [20] R. Penrose and W. Rindler, *Spinors and space-time. Vol. 1*, Cambridge, 1984. [2, 3, 8, 11, 12, 13, 29, 72]
- [21] Roger Penrose, *Twistor theory: a geometric perspective for describing the physical world*, New spaces in physics—formal and conceptual reflections, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2021, pp. 327–372. [3]
- [22] A. Postnikov, *Total positivity, Grassmannians, and networks*, arxiv:0609764. [82]
- [23] Ptolemy, *Almagest*, Princeton, 1998, Translated by G. J. Toomer. [3, 8]
- [24] Arlan Ramsay and Robert D. Richtmyer, *Introduction to hyperbolic geometry*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. [3]
- [25] John G. Ratcliffe, *Foundations of hyperbolic manifolds*, third ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 149, Springer, Cham, [2019] ©2019. [3]
- [26] W. Thurston, *The Geometry and Topology of Three-Manifolds*, electronic version, library.msri.org/books/gt3m/. [9, 78]
- [27] ———, *Three-dimensional geometry and topology. Vol. 1*, Princeton, 1997. [3]
- [28] Lauren K. Williams, *The positive Grassmannian, the amplituhedron, and cluster algebras*, arxiv:2110.10856. [82]
- [29] ———, *Cluster algebras: an introduction*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **51** (2014), no. 1, 1–26. [8, 82]
- [30] C. Zickert, *Ptolemy coordinates, Dehn invariant and the A-polynomial*, Math. Z. **283** (2016), no. 1-2, 515–537. [8]