

Partial Chebyshev Polynomials and Fan Graphs

Wojciech Młotkowski
Instytut Matematyczny
Uniwersytet Wrocławski
Plac Grunwaldzki 2, Wrocław, 50-384 Poland
wojciech.mlotkowski@math.uni.wroc.pl

and

Nobuaki Obata
Center for Data-driven Science and Artificial Intelligence
Tohoku University
Sendai, 980-8576 Japan
obata@tohoku.ac.jp

Abstract Motivated by the product formula of the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind $U_n(x)$, we newly introduce the partial Chebyshev polynomials $U_n^e(x)$ and $U_n^o(x)$. We derive their basic properties, relations to the classical Chebyshev polynomials, and new factorization formulas for $U_n(x)$. As an application, we study the quadratic embedding constant (QEC) of a fan graph $K_1 + P_n$. By means of a new polynomial $\phi_n(x)$ which is shown to be factorized by the partial Chebyshev polynomial $U_n^e(x)$, we prove that $\text{QEC}(K_1 + P_n)$ coincides with the minimal zero of $\phi_n(x)$, of which the values and estimates are also obtained.

Keywords Chebyshev polynomials, fan graph, distance matrix, partial Chebyshev polynomials, quadratic embedding constant

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification 05C50, 06C76, 51K99, 42C05

1 Introduction

Following the standard notation, for $n \geq 0$ the *Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind* (of degree n) is defined by

$$U_n(x) = \frac{\sin(n+1)\theta}{\sin\theta}, \quad x = \cos\theta. \quad (1.1)$$

The point of our departure is the product formula of $U_n(x)$ given by

$$U_n(x) = \prod_{k=1}^n \left(2x - 2 \cos \frac{k\pi}{n+1} \right), \quad n \geq 1. \quad (1.2)$$

In fact, from the definition (1.1) we see that $U_n(x)$ is a polynomial of degree n , whose zeroes are determined by $\sin(n+1)\theta = 0$ and $\sin\theta \neq 0$. For $n \geq 0$ the *partial Chebyshev polynomials* are defined by

$$U_n^e(x) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ k: \text{even}}} \left(2x - 2 \cos \frac{k\pi}{n+1} \right), \quad (1.3)$$

$$U_n^o(x) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ k: \text{odd}}} \left(2x - 2 \cos \frac{k\pi}{n+1} \right), \quad (1.4)$$

where we tacitly understand that $U_0^e(x) = U_1^e(x) = U_0^o(x) = 1$. The obvious factorization:

$$U_n(x) = U_n^e(x) \cdot U_n^o(x), \quad n \geq 0. \quad (1.5)$$

was our original motivation of partial Chebyshev polynomials, however, in this paper we adopt the converted argument for clear comparison with classical Chebyshev polynomials. Namely, we define the partial Chebyshev polynomials as quotients of trigonometric functions in a similar spirit as the classical Chebyshev polynomials (see Section 2) and then derive the product formula (1.5). During the discussion we find some new factorization formulas for $U_n(x)$. Moreover, we show an application to spectral analysis of graphs. The quadratic embedding constant (QEC) is introduced as a new numeric invariant of finite connected graphs [14, 16] and has been studied with growing interests, see [2, 4, 8, 11, 12, 15] and references cited therein. In this paper we determine the QEC of a fan graph by means of the partial Chebyshev polynomials.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we define the partial Chebyshev polynomials and show their basic properties and formulas. Moreover, as a byproduct we obtain some new factorization formulas for $U_n(x)$, see Theorem 2.6. In Section 3 we introduce new polynomials $\phi_n(x)$ and derive their factorization by means of the partial Chebyshev polynomials. In particular, we determine their minimal zeroes, see Theorems 3.8 and 3.9. In Section 4, we complete the study of the quadratic embedding constant of a fan graph $K_1 + P_n$ initiated in the previous paper [13]. By using the partial Chebyshev polynomials, not only is the evaluation of $\text{QEC}(K_1 + P_n)$ greatly simplified, but the conjecture that $\text{QEC}(K_1 + P_n)$ forms a strictly increasing sequence is also positively resolved. Main results are stated in Theorems 4.6 and 4.7.

2 Partial Chebyshev Polynomials

2.1 Classical Chebyshev Polynomials

The Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind, denoted by $U_n(x)$, are already defined by (1.1). For $n \geq 0$ the *Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind* $T_n(x)$ is defined by

$$T_n(x) = \cos n\theta, \quad x = \cos \theta. \quad (2.1)$$

Moreover, for $n \geq 0$ let $V_n(x)$ and $W_n(x)$ be the polynomials defined by

$$V_n(x) = \frac{\cos(2n+1)\theta/2}{\cos \theta/2}, \quad W_n(x) = \frac{\sin(2n+1)\theta/2}{\sin \theta/2}, \quad x = \cos \theta. \quad (2.2)$$

These are called the *Chebyshev polynomials of the third kind* and of the *fourth kind*, respectively. Although not so widely known as the Chebyshev polynomials of the first and second kinds, those of the third and fourth kinds have been studied along with approximation problem of Chebyshev series expansion and applications to differential equations, see [10, 18] and references cited therein. Mason [9] says that the nomenclature of “third- and fourth-kind Chebyshev polynomials” appears to have been first used by Gautschi (e.g., [6]). The fourth-kind Chebyshev polynomials $W_n(x)$ appears as the *Dirichlet kernel* in Fourier analysis.

The Chebyshev polynomials of the first, second, third and fourth kinds are the orthogonal polynomials with respect to the weight functions

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}, \quad \sqrt{1-x^2}, \quad \sqrt{\frac{1+x}{1-x}}, \quad \sqrt{\frac{1-x}{1+x}},$$

on the interval $(-1, 1)$, respectively. Accordingly, these weight functions are called the *Chebyshev weights* of the first, second, third and fourth kinds, respectively. They form a special class of the Jacobi weights given by $(1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta$.

2.2 Partial Chebyshev Polynomials

With each $n \geq 0$ we associate new polynomials $U_n^o(x)$ and $U_n^e(x)$ defined by

$$U_n^e(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sin(n+1)\theta/2}{\sin \theta/2}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{\sin(n+1)\theta/2}{\sin \theta}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad x = \cos \theta, \quad (2.3)$$

$$U_n^o(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\cos(n+1)\theta/2}{\cos \theta/2}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 2 \cos \frac{(n+1)\theta}{2}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad x = \cos \theta. \quad (2.4)$$

In fact, by elementary trigonometric formulas and induction argument (using recurrence relations) we easily see that the right-hand sides of (2.3) and (2.4) are polynomials of $\cos \theta$. Moreover, we have

$$U_{2n}^e(x) = W_n(x), \quad U_{2n}^o(x) = V_n(x), \quad n \geq 0, \quad (2.5)$$

$$U_{2n+1}^e(x) = U_n(x), \quad U_{2n+1}^o(x) = 2T_{n+1}(x), \quad n \geq 0, \quad (2.6)$$

which follow easily by comparing the definitions. In particular, we have

$$\deg U_{2n}^e = \deg U_{2n}^o = \deg U_{2n+1}^e = n, \quad \deg U_{2n+1}^o = n+1, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (2.7)$$

Theorem 2.1. $U_n(x) = U_n^e(x) \cdot U_n^o(x)$ for any $n \geq 0$.

Proof. Straightforward from (2.3) and (2.4) with elementary trigonometric formulas. \square

The following table shows the first eight of the polynomials. Therein we observe a simple relation: $U_{2n}^o(-x) = (-1)^n U_{2n}^e(x)$ for $n \geq 0$, which is verified easily by definition.

n	$U_n^e(x)$	$U_n^o(x)$
0	1	1
1	1	$2x$
2	$2x+1$	$2x-1$
3	$2x$	$4x^2-2$
4	$4x^2+2x-1$	$4x^2-2x-1$
5	$4x^2-1$	$8x^3-6x$
6	$8x^3+4x^2-4x-1$	$8x^3-4x^2-4x+1$
7	$8x^3-4x$	$16x^4-16x^2+2$
8	$16x^4+8x^3-12x^2-4x+1$	$16x^4-8x^3-12x^2+4x+1$

2.3 Recurrence Relations

Theorem 2.2. For $n \geq 0$ we have

$$U_{2n}^e(x) = U_n(x) + U_{n-1}(x), \quad U_{2n}^o(x) = U_n(x) - U_{n-1}(x), \quad (2.8)$$

$$U_{2n+1}^e(x) = U_n(x), \quad U_{2n+1}^o(x) = U_{n+1}(x) - U_{n-1}(x), \quad (2.9)$$

under convention that $U_{-1}(x) = 0$.

Proof. By applying elementary trigonometric formulas to (2.3) and (2.4) we see that the four series of polynomials $P_n(x) = U_{2n}^e(x)$, $U_{2n}^o(x)$, $U_{2n+1}^e(x)$ and $U_{2n+1}^o(x)$ satisfy the common three-term recurrence relation:

$$P_{n+2}(x) - 2xP_{n+1}(x) + P_n(x) = 0, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (2.10)$$

which is satisfied also by $P_n(x) = U_n(x)$ (and $P_n(x) = T_n(x)$). Therefore, $P_n(x)$ is expressible as a linear combination of $U_n(x)$ and $U_{n-1}(x)$ (with coefficients being possibly polynomials). Then the desired relations follow easily by matching the initial conditions. \square

2.4 Factorization

Lemma 2.3. For $n \geq 2$ the zeroes of $U_n^e(x)$ are simple and given by

$$\xi_l = \cos \frac{2l\pi}{n+1}, \quad 1 \leq l \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Proof. We first consider the case where $n \geq 2$ is even. By definition we have

$$U_n^e(\xi_l) = U_n^e\left(\cos \frac{2l\pi}{n+1}\right) = \frac{\sin(n+1)\frac{l\pi}{n+1}}{\sin \frac{l\pi}{n+1}} = \frac{\sin l\pi}{\sin \frac{l\pi}{n+1}} = 0$$

for any $1 \leq l \leq n/2$. Since $\deg U_n^e = n/2$ by (2.7) and ξ_l are mutually distinct for $1 \leq l \leq n/2$, those ξ_l exhaust the zeroes of $U_n^e(x)$ and they are all simple. A similar argument is applied to the case where $n \geq 3$ is odd. \square

Lemma 2.4. For $n \geq 1$ the zeroes of $U_n^o(x)$ are simple and given by

$$\eta_l = \cos \frac{2l+1}{n+1}\pi, \quad 0 \leq l \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Proof. Similar to the proof of Lemma 2.3. \square

Theorem 2.5. For $n \geq 1$ we have

$$U_n^e(x) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ k: \text{even}}} \left(2x - 2 \cos \frac{k\pi}{n+1} \right), \quad U_n^o(x) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ k: \text{odd}}} \left(2x - 2 \cos \frac{k\pi}{n+1} \right), \quad (2.11)$$

where we tacitly understand that $U_1^e(x) = 1$ (the range of k in the product is empty).

Proof. We see from Lemma 2.3 that the zeroes of $U_n^e(x)$ are given by $\cos k\pi/(n+1)$ with even k 's with $1 \leq k \leq n$. Therefore $U_n^e(x)$ is a constant multiple of

$$\prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ k: \text{even}}} \left(x - \cos \frac{k\pi}{n+1} \right).$$

On the other hand, it follows from Theorem 2.2 that the leading term of $U_n^e(x)$ coincides with the one of $U_m(x) = (2x)^m + \dots$, where $m = n/2$ for an even n and $m = (n-1)/2$ for an odd n . Then, matching the coefficients of the leading terms, we obtain the first relation in (2.11). The second one is derived similarly using Lemma 2.4. \square

Taking the factorization of $U_n(x)$ in (1.2) into account, we see that Theorem 2.5 reproduces Theorem 2.1. The following result seems to be new, while other types of factorization of the Chebyshev polynomials are known, see e.g., [7, 17, 20]. From now on, we often write U_n for $U_n(x)$.

Theorem 2.6. For $n \geq 0$ we have

$$U_{2n} = (U_n + U_{n-1})(U_n - U_{n-1}), \quad U_{2n+1} = U_n(U_{n+1} - U_{n-1}), \quad (2.12)$$

$$U_{2n} - 1 = U_{n-1}(U_{n+1} - U_{n-1}), \quad U_{2n+1} - 1 = (U_{n+1} - U_n)(U_n + U_{n-1}), \quad (2.13)$$

$$U_{2n} + 1 = U_n(U_n - U_{n-2}), \quad U_{2n+1} + 1 = (U_{n+1} + U_n)(U_n - U_{n-1}), \quad (2.14)$$

where we tacitly understand that $U_{-1} = U_{-1}(x) = 0$ and $U_{-2} = U_{-2}(x) = -1$.

Proof. We obtain (2.12) by combining Theorems 2.1 and 2.2. Then, (2.13) and (2.14) follow from (2.12) along with $U_n^2 - U_{n+1}U_{n-1} = 1$, which is well known and verified easily by the original definition (1.1). \square

In some contexts, the “compressed” Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind defined by

$$\tilde{U}_n(x) = U_n\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \quad n \geq 0. \quad (2.15)$$

are useful. As is easily verified by the recurrence relation, we see that $\tilde{U}_n(x) = x^n + \dots$ is a monic polynomial with integer coefficients. In this connection we note the following result, which follows immediately from Theorem 2.2 but is not easily expected from Theorem 2.5.

Proposition 2.7. *For $n \geq 0$, the compressed partial Chebyshev polynomials $\tilde{U}_n^e(x) = U_n^e(x/2)$ and $\tilde{U}_n^o(x) = U_n^o(x/2)$ are monic polynomials with integer coefficients.*

3 New Polynomials $\phi_n(x)$

With each $n \geq 0$ we associate a polynomial $\phi_n(x)$ defined by

$$\phi_n(x) = ((n+1)x^2 - 3x - n)U_n(x) + (x+1)(U_{n-1}(x) + 1). \quad (3.1)$$

Obviously, $\phi_n(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $n+2$ and has integer coefficients. These polynomials are crucial in the study of $\text{QEC}(K_1 + P_n)$, see Section 4.

3.1 Factorization

For $n \geq 0$ we define polynomials $S_n(x)$ by

$$S_{2n}(x) = (2nx + x + 2n - 1)U_n(x) - (2nx + 3x + 2n + 1)U_{n-1}(x), \quad (3.2)$$

$$S_{2n+1}(x) = 2(2nx^2 + 2x^2 + 2nx - x - 1)U_n(x) - 2(2nx + 3x + 2n + 1)U_{n-1}(x). \quad (3.3)$$

Theorem 3.1. $\phi_n(x) = (x-1) \cdot U_n^e(x) \cdot S_n(x)$ for any $n \geq 0$.

Proof. Let $n \geq 0$. In view of (2.12), (2.14) and (2.8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} U_{2n} &= (U_n + U_{n-1})(U_n - U_{n-1}) = U_{2n}^e(U_n - U_{n-1}), \\ U_{2n-1} + 1 &= (U_n + U_{n-1})(U_{n-1} - U_{n-2}) = U_{2n}^e(U_{n-1} - U_{n-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{2n}(x) &= ((2n+1)x^2 - 3x - 2n)U_{2n} + (x+1)(U_{2n-1} + 1) \\ &= U_{2n}^e\{((2n+1)x^2 - 3x - 2n)(U_n - U_{n-1}) + (x+1)(U_{n-1} - U_{n-2})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we apply $U_{n-2} = 2xU_{n-1} - U_n$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{2n}(x) &= U_{2n}^e \{((2n+1)x^2 - 2x - 2n+1)U_n - ((2n+3)x^2 - 2x - 2n-1)U_{n-1}\} \\ &= U_{2n}^e (x-1) \{(2nx + x + 2n-1)U_n - (2nx + 3x + 2n+1)U_{n-1}\} \\ &= (x-1)U_{2n}^e S_{2n},\end{aligned}$$

as desired. By a similar calculation using

$$\begin{aligned}U_{2n+1} &= U_n(U_{n+1} - U_{n-1}) = U_{2n+1}^e (U_{n+1} - U_{n-1}), \\ U_{2n+1} + 1 &= U_n(U_n - U_{n-2}) = U_{2n+1}^e (U_n - U_{n-2}),\end{aligned}$$

which follow from (2.12), (2.14) and (2.9), we come to $\phi_{2n+1}(x) = (x-1)U_{2n+1}^e S_{2n+1}$. \square

3.2 Zeroes of $S_n(x)$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $n \geq 1$. For $1 \leq k \leq n$, we have*

$$S_{2n} \left(\cos \frac{2k\pi}{2n+1} \right) = 2(-1)^k \left(\cos \frac{k\pi}{2n+1} \right)^{-1} \left(n + (n+1) \cos \frac{2k\pi}{2n+1} \right), \quad (3.4)$$

$$S_{2n} \left(\cos \frac{2k-1}{2n+1} \pi \right) = (-1)^k \left(\sin \frac{2k-1}{2(2n+1)} \pi \right)^{-1} \left(1 + \cos \frac{2k-1}{2n+1} \pi \right), \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$S_{2n}(1) = 0, \quad S_{2n}(-1) = 2(-1)^{n-1}(2n+1). \quad (3.6)$$

Proof. By definition (3.2) for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}S_{2n} \left(\cos \frac{l\pi}{2n+1} \right) &= \left((2n+1) \cos \frac{l\pi}{2n+1} + 2n-1 \right) U_n \left(\cos \frac{l\pi}{2n+1} \right) \\ &\quad - \left((2n+3) \cos \frac{l\pi}{2n+1} + 2n+1 \right) U_{n-1} \left(\cos \frac{l\pi}{2n+1} \right).\end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Setting $l = 0$ and $l = 2n+1$, we obtain (3.6) by using $U_n(\pm 1) = (\pm 1)^n(n+1)$. For $1 \leq k \leq n$, (3.4) and (3.5) follow by setting $l = 2k$ and $l = 2k-1$ in (3.7) and applying the following formulas:

$$\begin{aligned}U_n \left(\cos \frac{2k\pi}{2n+1} \right) &= \frac{(-1)^k}{2} \left(\cos \frac{k\pi}{2n+1} \right)^{-1}, \\ U_{n-1} \left(\cos \frac{2k\pi}{2n+1} \right) &= \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{2} \left(\cos \frac{k\pi}{2n+1} \right)^{-1}, \\ U_n \left(\cos \frac{2k-1}{2n+1} \pi \right) &= U_{n-1} \left(\cos \frac{2k-1}{2n+1} \pi \right) = \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{2} \left(\sin \frac{2k-1}{2(2n+1)} \pi \right)^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

which are verified directly by the original definition (1.1). \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let $n \geq 1$ and set*

$$\xi_0 = 1, \quad \xi_{n+1} = -1, \quad \xi_k = \cos \frac{2k-1}{2n+1}\pi, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

Then $1 = \xi_0 > \xi_1 > \cdots > \xi_k > \xi_{k+1} > \cdots > \xi_n > \xi_{n+1} = -1$ and $S_{2n}(x)$ has a unique zero in the interval (ξ_{k+1}, ξ_k) for any $1 \leq k \leq n$. These n zeroes together with $x = \xi_0 = 1$ exhaust the zeroes of $S_{2n}(x)$, which are all simple. (The statement remains true for $n = 0$ by natural interpretation since $x = 1$ is the only zero of $S_0(x) = x - 1$.)

Proof. We will show that

$$S_{2n}(\xi_k)S_{2n}(\xi_{k+1}) < 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n. \quad (3.8)$$

It follows from Lemma 3.2 that

$$S_{2n}(\xi_k) = (-1)^k \left(\sin \frac{2k-1}{2(2n+1)}\pi \right)^{-1} \left(1 + \cos \frac{2k-1}{2n+1}\pi \right), \quad 1 \leq k \leq n,$$

and hence $S_{2n}(\xi_k)S_{2n}(\xi_{k+1}) < 0$ for any $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Namely, (3.8) is valid for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Moreover, since

$$S_{2n}(\xi_{n+1}) = S_{2n}(-1) = 2(-1)^{n-1}(2n+1)$$

by Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & S_{2n}(\xi_n)S_{2n}(\xi_{n+1}) \\ &= (-1)^n \left(\sin \frac{2n-1}{2(2n+1)}\pi \right)^{-1} \left(1 + \cos \frac{2n-1}{2n+1}\pi \right) \times (-1)^{n-1}(2n+1) < 0 \end{aligned}$$

which means that (3.8) is valid also for $k = n$. We see from (3.8) with the intermediate value theorem that $S_{2n}(x)$ has at least one zero in the interval (ξ_{k+1}, ξ_k) for each $1 \leq k \leq n$. On the other hand, we know that $S_{2n}(1) = 0$. Since $\deg S_{2n} = n+1$, we conclude that each interval (ξ_{k+1}, ξ_k) contains exactly one zero for each $1 \leq k \leq n$, and all zeroes of $S_{2n}(x)$ are simple. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let $n \geq 1$. For $1 \leq k \leq n$ we have*

$$S_{2n+1} \left(\cos \frac{2k\pi}{2n+2} \right) = 2(-1)^k \left(2n+1 + (2n+3) \cos \frac{k\pi}{n+1} \right), \quad (3.9)$$

and for $1 \leq k \leq n + 1$ we have

$$S_{2n+1}\left(\cos \frac{2k-1}{2n+2}\pi\right) = 2(-1)^k \left(\sin \frac{2k-1}{2n+2}\pi\right)^{-1} \left(1 + \cos \frac{2k-1}{2n+2}\pi\right)^2. \quad (3.10)$$

Moreover,

$$S_{2n+1}(1) = 0, \quad S_{2n+1}(-1) = 4(-1)^n. \quad (3.11)$$

Proof. By definition (3.3), for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{2n+1}\left(\cos \frac{l\pi}{2n+2}\right) &= 2\left((2n+2)\cos^2 \frac{l\pi}{2n+2} + (2n-1)\cos \frac{l\pi}{2n+2} - 1\right)U_n\left(\cos \frac{l\pi}{2n+2}\right) \\ &\quad - 2\left((2n+3)\cos \frac{l\pi}{2n+2} + 2n+1\right)U_{n-1}\left(\cos \frac{l\pi}{2n+2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Setting $l = 0$ and $l = 2n + 2$, we obtain (3.11) immediately. (3.9) and (3.10) are obtained by calculating (3.12) by using the formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} U_n\left(\cos \frac{2k\pi}{2n+2}\right) &= 0, \quad U_{n-1}\left(\cos \frac{2k\pi}{2n+2}\right) = (-1)^{k-1}, \\ U_n\left(\cos \frac{2k-1}{2n+2}\pi\right) &= (-1)^{k-1} \left(\sin \frac{2k-1}{2n+2}\pi\right)^{-1}, \\ U_{n-1}\left(\cos \frac{2k-1}{2n+2}\pi\right) &= (-1)^{k-1} \left(\sin \frac{2k-1}{2n+2}\pi\right)^{-1} \cos \frac{2k-1}{2n+2}\pi, \end{aligned}$$

which are derived from the original definition (1.1). \square

Theorem 3.5. *Let $n \geq 0$ and set*

$$\xi_0 = 1, \quad \xi_{n+2} = -1, \quad \xi_k = \cos \frac{2k-1}{2n+2}\pi, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n+1.$$

Then $1 = \xi_0 > \xi_1 > \cdots > \xi_k > \xi_{k+1} > \cdots > \xi_{n+1} > \xi_{n+2} = -1$ and $S_{2n+1}(x)$ has a unique zero in the interval (ξ_{k+1}, ξ_k) for any $1 \leq k \leq n+1$. These $n+1$ zeroes together with $x = \xi_0 = 1$ exhaust the zeroes of $S_{2n+1}(x)$, which are all simple.

Proof. For $n = 0$ we have $\xi_0 = 1$, $\xi_1 = 0$ and $\xi_2 = -1$. In view of $S_1(x) = 2(2x+1)(x-1)$ we see that $S_1(x)$ has a unique zero (in fact $x = -1/2$) in the interval $(\xi_2, \xi_1) = (-1, 0)$. Then the assertion for $n = 0$ is obvious. Now we assume that $n \geq 1$. We will show that

$$S_{2n+1}(\xi_k)S_{2n+1}(\xi_{k+1}) < 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n+1. \quad (3.13)$$

In fact, (3.13) is verified for $1 \leq k \leq n$ by using

$$S_{2n+1}(\xi_k) = 2(-1)^k \left(\sin \frac{2k-1}{2n+2} \pi \right)^{-1} \left(1 + \cos \frac{2k-1}{2n+2} \pi \right)^2, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n+1,$$

see (3.10) in Lemma 3.4. Moreover, since $S_{2n+1}(-1) = 4(-1)^n$ by in Lemma 3.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & S_{2n+1}(\xi_{n+1})S_{2n+1}(\xi_{n+2}) \\ &= 2(-1)^{n+1} \left(\sin \frac{2n+1}{2n+2} \pi \right)^{-1} \left(1 + \cos \frac{2n+1}{2n+2} \pi \right)^2 \times 4(-1)^n < 0, \end{aligned}$$

which means that (3.13) is valid also for $k = n+1$. Then the intermediate value theorem says that $S_{2n+1}(x)$ has at least one zero in the interval (ξ_{k+1}, ξ_k) for each $1 \leq k \leq n+1$. Since $S_{2n+1}(1) = 0$ and $\deg S_{2n+1} = n+2$, each interval (ξ_{k+1}, ξ_k) contains exactly one zero for each $1 \leq k \leq n+1$, and every zero of $S_{2n+1}(x)$ is simple. \square

Remark 3.6. Since $S_n(1) = 0$ for any $n \geq 0$ by Lemmas 3.2 and 3.4, we see that $S_n(x)$ is factored by $x-1$ and so is $\phi_n(x)$ by $(x-1)^2$, see Theorem 3.1. After routine calculus we may write down $S_n(x)/(x-1)$ in terms of Chebyshev series, however, no concise form has been obtained yet.

3.3 Comparison of Minimal Zeroes of $S_n(x)$ and $U_n^e(x)$

For $n \geq 2$ let β_n and γ_n be the minimal zeroes of $U_n^e(x)$ and $S_n(x)$, respectively. Note that β_1 makes no sense because $U_1^e(x) = 1$ has no zero, while $\gamma_1 = -1/2$ by $S_1(x) = 2(2x+1)(x-1)$.

Lemma 3.7. *We have*

$$\frac{1-x}{1+x} \leq \cos \pi x, \quad 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{3}, \quad (3.14)$$

where equality holds only for $x = 0$ and $x = 1/3$.

Proof. By elementary calculus. For example, we observe that the left-hand side of (3.14) is convex on the interval $(0, 1/3)$ while the right-hand side is concave thereon. \square

Theorem 3.8. *We have*

$$\beta_2 = \gamma_2 = -\frac{1}{2} = \cos \frac{2}{3}\pi, \quad (3.15)$$

$$-1 < \gamma_{2n+1} < \cos \frac{2n+1}{2n+2}\pi < \beta_{2n+1} = \cos \frac{2n}{2n+2}\pi, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (3.16)$$

$$-1 < \beta_{2n} = \cos \frac{2n}{2n+1}\pi < \gamma_{2n} < \cos \frac{2n-1}{2n+1}\pi, \quad n \geq 2. \quad (3.17)$$

Proof. For $n \geq 2$ all zeroes of $U_n^c(x)$ are known explicitly by Theorem 2.5 and we may specify the minimal one easily. In fact, we obtain

$$\beta_{2n} = \cos \frac{2n}{2n+1}\pi, \quad \beta_{2n+1} = \cos \frac{2n}{2n+2}\pi, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (3.18)$$

Then, (3.15) follows immediately from (3.18) and $S_2(x) = 3(2x+1)(x-1)$ from which we obtain $\gamma_2 = -1/2$. On the other hand, we see from Theorem 3.5 that

$$-1 < \gamma_{2n+1} < \cos \frac{2n+1}{2n+2}\pi, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (3.19)$$

Thus, (3.16) follows from (3.18) and (3.19).

For (3.17) we need to compare β_{2n} and γ_{2n} for $n \geq 2$. In view of Lemma 3.2 we have

$$S_{2n} \left(\cos \frac{2n\pi}{2n+1} \right) = 2(-1)^n \left(\cos \frac{n\pi}{2n+1} \right)^{-1} \left(n + (n+1) \cos \frac{2n\pi}{2n+1} \right), \quad (3.20)$$

$$S_{2n} \left(\cos \frac{2n-1}{2n+1}\pi \right) = (-1)^n \left(\sin \frac{2n-1}{2(2n+1)}\pi \right)^{-1} \left(1 + \cos \frac{2n-1}{2n+1}\pi \right). \quad (3.21)$$

Hence the signature of the product of (3.20) and (3.21) coincides with that of

$$n + (n+1) \cos \frac{2n\pi}{2n+1} = n - (n+1) \cos \frac{\pi}{2n+1}. \quad (3.22)$$

Setting $x = 1/(2n+1)$ in Lemma 3.7, we see that (3.22) is negative for any $n \geq 2$, and so is the product of (3.20) and (3.21). Then by the intermediate value theorem there is a zero of $S_{2n}(x)$ in the interval

$$\left(\cos \frac{2n}{2n+1}\pi, \cos \frac{2n-1}{2n+1}\pi \right).$$

Indeed, this zero is γ_{2n} as Theorem 3.3 says that there is a unique zero of $S_{2n}(x)$ in the interval

$$\left(-1, \cos \frac{2n-1}{2n+1}\pi \right).$$

Thus, combining (3.18) we obtain (3.17). \square

3.4 Minimal Zero of $\phi_n(x)$

For $n \geq 0$ let α_n be the minimal zero of $\phi_n(x) = (x - 1) \cdot U_n^e(x) \cdot S_n(x)$. We see from Remark 3.6 that the zeroes of $\phi_n(x)$ come from those of $U_n^e(x)$ and $S_n(x)$.

Theorem 3.9. *We have $\alpha_0 = 1$, $\alpha_1 = -1/2$ and*

$$\alpha_n = \min\{\beta_n, \gamma_n\} = \begin{cases} \beta_n, & \text{for an even } n \geq 2, \\ \gamma_n, & \text{for an odd } n \geq 3, \end{cases} \quad (3.23)$$

where in fact $\alpha_2 = \beta_2 = \gamma_2 = -1/2$.

Proof. We obtain $\alpha_0 = 1$ from $\phi_0(x) = (x - 1)^2$ and $\alpha_1 = -1/2$ from $\phi_1(x) = 2(2x + 1)(x - 1)^2$. Moreover, (3.23) follows immediately from Theorem 3.8. \square

Theorem 3.10. *We have*

$$1 = \alpha_0 > \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = -\frac{1}{2} > \alpha_3 > \alpha_4 > \alpha_5 > \dots \rightarrow -1. \quad (3.24)$$

Proof. In view of Theorem 3.9 it is sufficient to show that

$$\beta_{2n+2} < \gamma_{2n+1} < \beta_{2n}, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (3.25)$$

The right-half of (3.25) follows immediately from Theorem 3.8 by observing that

$$\gamma_{2n+1} < \cos \frac{2n+1}{2n+2} \pi < \cos \frac{2n}{2n+1} \pi = \beta_{2n}. \quad (3.26)$$

The left-half of (3.25) requires more careful consideration. Let δ_{2n+1} be the second smallest zero of $S_{2n+1}(x)$, while the minimal one has been denoted by γ_{2n+1} . It follows from Theorem 3.5 that

$$-1 < \gamma_{2n+1} < \cos \frac{2n+1}{2n+2} \pi < \delta_{2n+1} < \cos \frac{2n-1}{2n+2} \pi. \quad (3.27)$$

Since $S_{2n+1}(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $n + 2$, the coefficient of the leading term is positive and every zero of it is simple, we have

$$S_{2n+1}(x) < 0 \text{ for } x < \gamma_{2n+1}, \quad S_{2n+1}(x) > 0 \text{ for } \gamma_{2n+1} < x < \delta_{2n+1}, \quad \text{if } n \geq 1 \text{ is odd,} \quad (3.28)$$

$$S_{2n+1}(x) > 0 \text{ for } x < \gamma_{2n+1}, \quad S_{2n+1}(x) < 0 \text{ for } \gamma_{2n+1} < x < \delta_{2n+1}, \quad \text{if } n \geq 2 \text{ is even.} \quad (3.29)$$

On the other hand, using the exact value of β_{2n+2} in Theorem 3.8 and combining (3.27), we obtain

$$\beta_{2n+2} = \cos \frac{2n+2}{2n+3}\pi < \frac{2n+1}{2n+2}\pi < \delta_{2n+1}, \quad (3.30)$$

Therefore, for $\beta_{2n+2} < \gamma_{2n+1}$ it is sufficient to show that $S_{2n+1}(\beta_{2n+2}) < 0$ or $S_{2n+1}(\beta_{2n+2}) > 0$ according as $n \geq 1$ is odd or $n \geq 2$ is even, see (3.28) and (3.29). Thus, it is sufficient to show that

$$(-1)^n S_{2n+1}\left(\cos \frac{2n+2}{2n+3}\pi\right) > 0, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (3.31)$$

Indeed, (3.31) follows from Lemma 3.11 below together with Lemma 3.7. \square

Lemma 3.11. *For $n \geq 1$ we have*

$$S_{2n+1}\left(\cos \frac{2n+2}{2n+3}\pi\right) = 4(-1)^n(n+2) \sin \frac{\pi}{2(2n+3)} \left(\cos \frac{\pi}{2n+3} - \frac{n+1}{n+2}\right). \quad (3.32)$$

Proof. In an analogous manner as in Lemma 3.4, we obtain (3.32) by direct calculation using

$$U_{n-1}\left(\cos \frac{2n+2}{2n+3}\pi\right) = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\cos 3\omega}{\sin 2\omega}, \quad U_n\left(\cos \frac{2n+2}{2n+3}\pi\right) = (-1)^n \frac{\cos \omega}{\sin 2\omega},$$

where $\omega = \pi/(2(2n+3))$. \square

4 Application to Spectral Analysis of Graphs

4.1 Quadratic Embedding Constants of Graphs

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a finite connected graph on $n = |V| \geq 2$ vertices. For two distinct vertices $i, j \in V$ the length of a shortest walk connecting them is denoted by $d(i, j)$ and is called the *graph distance* between i and j . We set $d(i, i) = 0$ for any $i \in V$. The *distance matrix* of G is defined by $D = [d(i, j)]_{i, j \in V}$. The distance matrix determines a finite connected graph uniquely up to graph isomorphisms (labelling the vertices). Following [14, 16] the *quadratic embedding constant* (*QE constant* for short) of G is defined by

$$\text{QEC}(G) = \max\{\langle f, Df \rangle; f \in C(V), \langle f, f \rangle = 1, \langle \mathbf{1}, f \rangle = 0\}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $C(V) \cong \mathbb{R}^n$ is the space of real column vectors $f = [f(i)]_{i \in V}$, $\mathbf{1} \in C(V)$ the column vector whose entries are all one, and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the canonical inner product.

We say that a finite connected graph $G = (V, E)$ admits a *quadratic embedding* in a Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^N if there exists a map $\pi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $\|\pi(i) - \pi(j)\|^2 = d(i, j)$ for any $i, j \in V$, where the left-hand side stands for the square of the Euclidean distance. Such a graph is called *of QE class*. It follows from the classical result of Schoenberg [19] that G is of QE class if and only if the distance matrix D is conditionally negative definite. Therefore, graphs of QE class are characterized by $\text{QEC}(G) \leq 0$. This was the original motivation of the QE constant, but in recent years, the concept has been studied with growing interests as a new numeric invariant of graphs, see [2, 4, 8, 11, 12, 15] and references cited therein.

4.2 Fan Graphs

For $n \geq 1$ let P_n be the path graph on n vertices. The *fan graph* on $n + 1$ vertices is the graph join of the singleton graph K_1 and the path P_n , denoted by $K_1 + P_n$, which is by definition a graph on the vertex set $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ with the edge set $E = \{\{i, i + 1\}; 1 \leq i \leq n - 1\} \cup \{\{0, i\}; 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, see Figure 1. The distance matrix of $K_1 + P_n$ is written down in a block matrix form:

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & J \\ J & 2J - 2I - A \end{bmatrix}, \quad A = A_n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & & \\ & 1 & 0 & 1 & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ & & & & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4.2)$$

where J is the all-one matrix (the size is understood in the context), $I = I_n$ the $n \times n$ identity matrix and $A = A_n$ the adjacency matrix of P_n .




Figure 1: Fan graph $K_1 + P_n$ with $n = 5$

For $\text{QEC}(K_1 + P_n)$ we need to find the conditional maximum of the quadratic

function:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(f, g) &= \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} f \\ g \end{bmatrix}, D \begin{bmatrix} f \\ g \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle = 2\langle f, Jg \rangle + \langle g, (2J - 2I - A)g \rangle \\ &= 2f\langle \mathbf{1}, g \rangle + 2\langle \mathbf{1}, g \rangle^2 - 2\langle g, g \rangle - \langle g, Ag \rangle,\end{aligned}\quad (4.3)$$

under the conditions $f^2 + \langle g, g \rangle = 1$ and $f + \langle \mathbf{1}, g \rangle = 0$, where $f \in \mathbb{R}$ and $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Upon applying the method of Lagrange's multipliers, we set

$$\varphi(f, g, \lambda, \mu) = \psi(f, g) - \lambda(f^2 + \langle g, g \rangle - 1) - \mu(f + \langle \mathbf{1}, g \rangle).\quad (4.4)$$

Let \mathcal{S} be the set of stationary points $(f, g, \lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ of (4.4), that is the solutions to

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial f} = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial g_1} = \dots = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial g_n} = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \mu} = 0.\quad (4.5)$$

By general theory, the conditional maximum is attained at some stationary point. On the other hand, we see easily that $\psi(f, g) = \lambda$ for any $(f, g, \lambda, \mu) \in \mathcal{S}$. Thus, we come to the useful formula:

$$\text{QEC}(K_1 + P_n) = \max \lambda(\mathcal{S}),\quad (4.6)$$

where $\lambda(\mathcal{S})$ is the set of $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ appearing in the stationary points \mathcal{S} , see [16] for more details.

Calculating the derivatives in (4.5) explicitly and switching λ to a new variable $\alpha = -\lambda - 2$, the equations (4.5) are transferred into the following equations:

$$(\alpha + 1)f = \frac{\mu}{2},\quad (4.7)$$

$$(A_n - J - \alpha)g = -\frac{\mu}{2}\mathbf{1},\quad (4.8)$$

$$f^2 + \langle g, g \rangle = 1,\quad (4.9)$$

$$f + \langle \mathbf{1}, g \rangle = 0.\quad (4.10)$$

Let $\tilde{\alpha}_n$ be the minimal $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ appearing in the solutions $(f, g, \alpha, \mu) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ to the system of equations (4.7)–(4.10). Then, $\max \lambda(\mathcal{S}) = -\tilde{\alpha}_n - 2$ and (4.6) becomes

$$\text{QEC}(K_1 + P_n) = -\tilde{\alpha}_n - 2, \quad n \geq 1.\quad (4.11)$$

For $n = 1, 2$ the value is already known without having to recalculate it. In fact, $K_1 + P_1 = K_2$ and $K_1 + P_2 = K_3$ are the complete graphs of which the QE constants are -1 as is shown in [16]. Therefore, we have

$$\text{QEC}(K_1 + P_1) = \text{QEC}(K_1 + P_2) = -1\quad (4.12)$$

and

$$\tilde{\alpha}_1 = \tilde{\alpha}_2 = -1. \quad (4.13)$$

Hereafter we focus on the case of $n \geq 3$. Since $\text{QEC}(G) \geq -1$ for any graph G and equality holds only for a complete graph $G = K_n$ with $n \geq 2$, we have $\text{QEC}(K_1 + P_n) > -1$ for $n \geq 3$. Hence $\tilde{\alpha}_n < -1$ by (4.11). Thus, to obtain $\text{QEC}(K_1 + P_n)$ we need only to find the solutions to equations (4.7)–(4.10) with $\alpha < -1$. Under this condition, from (4.7) we obtain

$$f = \frac{1}{\alpha + 1} \frac{\mu}{2}. \quad (4.14)$$

Since $Jg = \langle \mathbf{1}, g \rangle \mathbf{1} = -f \mathbf{1}$ by (4.10), with the help of (4.14) we see that (4.8) becomes

$$(A_n - \alpha)g = -\frac{\alpha + 2}{\alpha + 1} \frac{\mu}{2} \mathbf{1}. \quad (4.15)$$

Thus, our task is to solve the system of equations (4.14), (4.15), (4.9) and (4.10), and to determine the minimal $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ appearing in the solutions, which is $\tilde{\alpha}_n$. We solve this task by dividing it into two cases. Let $\text{ev}(A_n)$ denote the set of eigenvalues of A_n .

(Case I) Find the solutions with $\alpha \in (-\infty, -1) \setminus \text{ev}(A_n)$ and determine the minimal α appearing therein, which is denoted by σ_n .

(Case II) Find the solutions with $\alpha \in (-\infty, -1) \cap \text{ev}(A_n)$ and determine the minimal α appearing therein, which is denoted by τ_n .

Then we have

$$\tilde{\alpha}_n = \min\{\sigma_n, \tau_n\}, \quad n \geq 3. \quad (4.16)$$

As for $\text{ev}(A_n)$, it is well known [1, 3] that $\det(x - A_n) = U_n(x/2) = \tilde{U}_n(x)$ for $n \geq 1$, where $\tilde{U}_n(x)$ is the compressed Chebyshev polynomial defined by (2.15). Then the eigenvalues of A_n are given by the zeroes of $\tilde{U}_n(x)$ as follows:

$$\text{ev}(A_n) = \left\{ \omega_k^{(n)} ; 1 \leq k \leq n \right\}, \quad \omega_k^{(n)} = 2 \cos \frac{k\pi}{n+1}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (4.17)$$

where $\omega_k^{(n)}$ is the k th largest eigenvalue of A_n .

4.3 Case I

We will find the solutions $(f, g, \alpha, \mu) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ to equations (4.14), (4.15), (4.9) and (4.10) with $\alpha \in (-\infty, -1) \setminus \text{ev}(A_n)$. Noting that $A_n - \alpha$ can be inverted in (4.15) and that $\mu = 0$ does not appear in the solutions, by simple algebra we see that (4.10) becomes

$$(\alpha + 2)\langle \mathbf{1}, (A_n - \alpha)^{-1} \mathbf{1} \rangle - 1 = 0. \quad (4.18)$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let $n \geq 1$. For $\alpha \notin \text{ev}(A_n) \cup \{\pm 2\}$ we have*

$$\langle \mathbf{1}, (A_n - \alpha)^{-1} \mathbf{1} \rangle = \frac{1}{(2 - \alpha)^2} \left\{ n(2 - \alpha) + 2 - 2 \left(\frac{\tilde{U}_{n-1}(\alpha)}{\tilde{U}_n(\alpha)} + \frac{1}{\tilde{U}_n(\alpha)} \right) \right\}. \quad (4.19)$$

Proof. We set $g = (A_n - \alpha)^{-1} \mathbf{1}$ and $g = [g_1, \dots, g_n]^T$. Then g satisfies $(A_n - \alpha)g = \mathbf{1}$, which is equivalent to the three-term recurrence relation:

$$g_{k+2} - \alpha g_{k+1} + g_k = 1, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n-1, \quad (4.20)$$

with boundary condition:

$$g_0 = g_{n+1} = 0. \quad (4.21)$$

Let ξ, η be the characteristic roots of (4.20), that is, $\xi + \eta = \alpha$ and $\xi\eta = 1$. Since $\alpha \neq \pm 2$ by assumption, we have $\xi \neq \eta$. Then the unique solution to (4.20) with (4.21) is explicitly given by

$$g_k = \frac{1}{2 - \alpha} \left(1 - \frac{\xi^k}{1 + \xi^{n+1}} - \frac{\eta^k}{1 + \eta^{n+1}} \right), \quad 0 \leq k \leq n+1,$$

and by direct computation we come to

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{1}, (A_n - \alpha)^{-1} \mathbf{1} \rangle &= \langle \mathbf{1}, g \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^n g_k \\ &= \frac{1}{(2 - \alpha)^2} \left\{ n(2 - \alpha) + 2 - 2 \left(\frac{\xi^n - \eta^n}{\xi^{n+1} - \eta^{n+1}} + \frac{\xi - \eta}{\xi^{n+1} - \eta^{n+1}} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

On the other hand, by comparing the recurrence relations we obtain

$$\frac{\xi^{n+1} - \eta^{n+1}}{\xi - \eta} = \tilde{U}_n(\alpha), \quad n \geq 0. \quad (4.23)$$

Then, (4.19) follows from (4.22) and (4.23). \square

With the help of Lemma 4.1 and by simple algebra we see that (4.18) becomes

$$((n+1)\alpha^2 - 6\alpha - 4n)\tilde{U}_n(\alpha) + 2(\alpha+2)\tilde{U}_{n-1}(\alpha) + 2(\alpha+2) = 0. \quad (4.24)$$

For any $\alpha \in (-\infty, -1) \setminus \text{ev}(A_n)$ satisfying (4.24), we have a solution to the equations (4.14), (4.15), (4.9) and (4.10). In fact, f and g are respectively determined by (4.14) and (4.15), and then μ is specified by (4.9). Therefore, σ_n is given by the minimal $\alpha \in (-\infty, -1) \setminus \text{ev}(A_n)$ satisfying (4.24). On the other hand, we see from (3.1) that the left-hand side of (4.24) coincides with $4\phi_n(\alpha/2)$. Consequently, we obtain

$$\sigma_n = \min\{\alpha \in (-\infty, -1) \setminus \text{ev}(A_n); \phi_n(\alpha/2) = 0\}. \quad (4.25)$$

Lemma 4.2. *For $n \geq 3$ we have*

$$\sigma_n = 2\gamma_n, \quad (4.26)$$

where γ_n is the minimal zero of $S_n(x)$ as in Theorem 3.8. Moreover, we have

$$\sigma_{2n+1} < \omega_{2n+1}^{(2n+1)} < \omega_{2n}^{(2n+1)}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (4.27)$$

$$\omega_{2n}^{(2n)} < \sigma_{2n} < \omega_{2n-1}^{(2n)}, \quad n \geq 2, \quad (4.28)$$

where $\omega_k^{(n)}$ is the k th largest eigenvalue of A_n as described in (4.17).

Proof. By virtue of Theorem 3.1 we first note that

$$\phi_n\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = \left(\frac{x}{2} - 1\right) \cdot U_n^c\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \cdot S_n\left(\frac{x}{2}\right).$$

Since $\det(x - A_n) = U_n(x/2) = U_n^c(x/2) \cdot U_n^o(x/2)$, any root of $U_n^c(x/2) = 0$ is an eigenvalue of A_n and does not contribute to σ_n . On the other hand, by Theorem 3.8 the minimal root of $S_n(x/2) = 0$ is given by $2\gamma_n$, which satisfies

$$2\gamma_{2n+1} < 2 \cos \frac{2n+1}{2n+2} \pi = \omega_{2n+1}^{(2n+1)} < 2 \cos \frac{2n}{2n+2} \pi = \omega_{2n}^{(2n+1)} \quad n \geq 1, \quad (4.29)$$

$$\omega_{2n}^{(2n)} = 2 \cos \frac{2n}{2n+1} \pi < 2\gamma_{2n} < 2 \cos \frac{2n-1}{2n+1} \pi = \omega_{2n-1}^{(2n)} \quad n \geq 2. \quad (4.30)$$

In particular, $2\gamma_n$ is not eigenvalue of A_n for any $n \geq 3$. Consequently,

$$\sigma_n = \min\{\alpha \in (-\infty, -1) \setminus \text{ev}(A_n); \phi_n(\alpha/2) = 0\} = 2\gamma_n.$$

Inequalities (4.27) and (4.28) are immediate from (4.29) and (4.30), respectively. \square

4.4 Case II

We will find the solutions $(f, g, \alpha, \mu) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ to equations (4.14), (4.15), (4.9) and (4.10) with $\alpha \in (-\infty, -1) \cap \text{ev}(A_n)$ by dividing them into two cases.

(Case II-1) $\mu = 0$. Then $f = 0$ by (4.14), and (4.15), (4.9) and (4.10) become

$$A_n g = \alpha g, \quad \langle g, g \rangle = 1, \quad \langle \mathbf{1}, g \rangle = 0, \quad (4.31)$$

respectively. Existence of g satisfying (4.31) is judged by Lemma 4.3 below. As a result, $\alpha = \omega_k^{(n)}$ appears in the solutions to (4.14), (4.15), (4.9) and (4.10) if and only if $\omega_k^{(n)} < -1$ and k is even.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $n \geq 1$. For $1 \leq k \leq n$ let $\omega_k^{(n)}$ be the eigenvalue of A_n defined as in (4.17). Then the eigenspace associated to $\omega_k^{(n)}$ is orthogonal to $\mathbf{1}$ if and only if k is even.*

Proof. It is easily verified that any eigenvector associated to an eigenvalue $\alpha = \omega_k^{(n)}$ is a constant multiple of $g = [g_1, \dots, g_n]^T$ given by

$$g_l = \sin \frac{lk\pi}{n+1}, \quad 1 \leq l \leq n.$$

Moreover, with the help of an elementary formula of trigonometric series we obtain

$$\langle \mathbf{1}, g \rangle = \sum_{l=1}^n \sin \frac{lk\pi}{n+1} = \sin \frac{k\pi}{2} \sin \frac{nk\pi}{2(n+1)} \left(\sin \frac{k\pi}{2(n+1)} \right)^{-1}.$$

Since $nk/2(n+1)$ is not an integer for $1 \leq k \leq n$, we see that $\langle \mathbf{1}, g \rangle = 0$ if and only if k is even. (In that case g is skew-palindromic, see [5].) \square

(Case II-2) $\mu \neq 0$. Since the right-hand side of (4.15) is not zero in this case, the equation has a solution if and only if

$$\text{rank}(A_n - \alpha) = \text{rank}[A_n - \alpha, \mathbf{1}], \quad (4.32)$$

where $[A_n - \alpha, \mathbf{1}]$ is an $n \times (n+1)$ matrix obtained by adding $\mathbf{1}$ as the $(n+1)$ -th column vector. For $1 \leq i \leq n$ let v_i be the i th row vector of $[A_n - \alpha, \mathbf{1}]$, that is,

$$v_i = [(A_n - \alpha)_{i1}, \dots, (A_n - \alpha)_{in}, 1].$$

We will show that v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n are linearly independent. In fact, suppose that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i v_i = 0, \quad \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Comparing the j th components of both sides, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i (A_n - \alpha)_{ij} = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n, \quad (4.33)$$

and for $j = n + 1$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i = 0. \quad (4.34)$$

We set $\xi = [\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]^T$. Since $A_n - \alpha$ is a symmetric matrix, (4.33) and (4.34) are equivalent to

$$(A_n - \alpha)\xi = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \mathbf{1}, \xi \rangle = 0, \quad (4.35)$$

respectively. Now we assume that $\alpha = \omega_k^{(n)}$ with an odd k . It follows from Lemma 4.3 that $\xi = 0$ is the only vector that satisfies (4.35). Therefore, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n are linearly independent. Since they are the row vectors of the matrix $[A_n - \alpha, \mathbf{1}]$, we have $\text{rank}[A_n - \alpha, \mathbf{1}] = n$. On the other hand, since α is an eigenvalue of A_n , we have $\text{rank}(A_n - \alpha) \leq n - 1$. Hence (4.32) fails and (4.15) has no solution. Consequently, $\alpha = \omega_k^{(n)}$ with an odd k never appears in the solutions, and $\alpha = \omega_k^{(n)}$ with an even k may appear therein.

Lemma 4.4. $\tau_n = 2\beta_n$ for $n \geq 3$ except $n = 3, 5$, where β_n is the minimal zero of $U_n^e(x)$. While, τ_3 and τ_5 are not determined.

Proof. Based on the results in (Case II-1) and (Case II-2), it is concluded that

$$\tau_n = \min\{\omega_k^{(n)}; 1 \leq k \leq n, k \text{ is even}\} \cap (-\infty, -1). \quad (4.36)$$

In view of the explicit values of $\omega_k^{(n)}$ we see that

$$\tau_{2n+1} = \omega_{2n}^{(2n+1)} = 2 \cos \frac{2n}{2n+2} \pi = 2\beta_{2n+1}, \quad n \geq 3, \quad (4.37)$$

$$\tau_{2n} = \omega_{2n}^{(2n)} = 2 \cos \frac{2n}{2n+1} \pi = 2\beta_{2n}, \quad n \geq 2, \quad (4.38)$$

where the values of β_{2n+1} and β_{2n} are shown in Theorem 3.8. It is also noted that the set in the right-hand side of (4.36) is empty for $n = 3$ and $n = 5$. \square

4.5 Quadratic Embedding Constants of Fan Graphs

Lemma 4.5. $\tilde{\alpha}_n = 2\alpha_n$ for $n \geq 1$, where α_n is the minimal zero of $\phi_n(x)$.

Proof. For $n = 1, 2$ we have $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = -1/2$ by Theorem 3.10 and $\tilde{\alpha}_1 = \tilde{\alpha}_2 = -1$ by (4.13). For $n = 3$, we have $\tilde{\alpha}_3 = \sigma_3 = 2\gamma_3 = 2\alpha_3$ by Lemmas 4.2, 4.4 and (4.16) and (3.23). Similarly, $\tilde{\alpha}_5 = 2\alpha_5$. Finally, for $n \geq 3$ except $n = 3, 5$, we see from Lemmas 4.2, 4.4 and (4.16) that

$$\tilde{\alpha}_n = \min\{\sigma_n, \tau_n\} = 2 \min\{\gamma_n, \beta_n\}.$$

On the other hand, by (3.23) we have $\alpha_n = \min\{\beta_n, \gamma_n\}$ for $n \geq 2$. Hence $\tilde{\alpha}_n = 2\alpha_n$. \square

Theorem 4.6. For $n \geq 1$ we have

$$\text{QEC}(K_1 + P_n) = -2\alpha_n - 2, \quad (4.39)$$

where α_n is the minimal zero of the polynomial $\phi_n(x)$. Moreover, we have

$$-1 = \text{QEC}(K_1 + P_1) = \text{QEC}(K_1 + P_2) < \text{QEC}(K_1 + P_3) < \text{QEC}(K_1 + P_4) < \cdots \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.40)$$

Proof. We obtain (4.39) immediately from (4.11) and Lemma 4.5, and (4.40) from Theorem 3.10. \square

Theorem 4.7. We have

$$\text{QEC}(K_1 + P_{2n}) = -4 \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{2(2n+1)}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (4.41)$$

$$-4 \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{2(2n+2)} < \text{QEC}(K_1 + P_{2n+1}) < -4 \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{2(2n+3)}, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (4.42)$$

Proof. (4.41) and the left-half of (4.42) follow from Theorems 3.8, 3.9 and 4.6. The right-half of (4.42) follows from $\text{QEC}(K_1 + P_{2n+1}) < \text{QEC}(K_1 + P_{2n+2})$, which is shown in (4.40). \square

Remark 4.8. We see from Theorem 4.7 that $\text{QEC}(K_1 + P_{2n}) = -\min \text{ev}(A_{2n}) - 2$ for $n \geq 1$, where A_{2n} is the adjacency matrix of P_{2n} . It is interesting to compare this with [8, Theorem 3.1].

Acknowledgements NO is supported by the JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research 23K03126.

References

- [1] Bapat RB. 2010 *Graphs and Matrices*. Springer, Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi.
- [2] Baskoro ET, Obata N. 2024 A classification of graphs through quadratic embedding constants and clique graph insights. *Commun. Combinatorics Optimization*, in press.
- [3] Brouwer AE, Haemers WH. 2012 *Spectra of Graphs*. Springer, New York.
- [4] Choudhury PN, Nandi R. 2024 Quadratic embedding constants of graphs: Bounds and distance spectra. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **680**, 108–125.
- [5] Doob M, Haemers WH 2002 The complement of the path is determined by its spectrum. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **356**, 57–65.
- [6] Gautschi W. 1992 On mean convergence of extended Lagrange interpolation. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **43**, 19–35.
- [7] Kéri G. 2022 The factorization of compressed Chebyshev polynomials and other polynomials related to multiple-angle formulas. *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest, Sect. Comp.* **53**, 93–108.
- [8] Lou ZZ, Obata N, Huang QX. 2022 Quadratic embedding constants of graph joins. *Graphs Combinatorics* **38**, 161 (22 pages).
- [9] Mason JC. 1993 Chebyshev polynomials of the second, third and fourth kinds in approximation, indefinite integration, and integral transforms. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **49**, 169–178.
- [10] Mason JC, Handscomb DC. 2003 *Chebyshev Polynomials*. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL.
- [11] Młotkowski M. 2022 Quadratic embedding constants of path graphs. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **644**, 95–107.
- [12] Młotkowski M, Obata N. 2020 On quadratic embedding constants of star product graphs. *Hokkaido Math. J.* **49**, 129–163.
- [13] Młotkowski M, Obata N. 2024 Quadratic embedding constants of fan graphs and graph joins. *arXiv:2403.16348*

- [14] Obata N. 2017 Quadratic embedding constants of wheel graphs. *Interdiscip. Inform. Sci.* **23**, 171–174.
- [15] Obata N. 2023 Complete multipartite graphs of non-QE class. *Electronic J. Graph Theory Appl.* **11**, 511–527.
- [16] Obata N, Zakiyyah AY. 2018 Distance matrices and quadratic embedding of graphs. *Electronic J. Graph Theory Appl.* **6**, 37–60.
- [17] Rayes MO, Trevisan V, Wang PS. 2005 Factorization properties of Chebyshev polynomials. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications* **50**, 1231–1240.
- [18] Rivlin TJ. 1974 *The Chebyshev Polynomials*. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- [19] Schoenberg IJ. 1935 Remarks to Maurice Fréchet’s article “Sur la définition axiomatique d’une classe d’espace distanciés vectoriellement applicable sur l’espace de Hilbert”. *Ann. of Math.* **36**, 724–732.
- [20] Wolfram DA. 2021 Factoring variants of Chebyshev polynomials with minimal polynomials of $\cos(2\pi/d)$. *arXiv:2106.14585*