

SPECTRAL PROPERTIES OF POSITIVE DEFINITE MATRICES OVER SYMMETRIZED TROPICAL ALGEBRAS AND VALUED ORDERED FIELDS

MARIANNE AKIAN¹, STEPHANE GAUBERT², DARIUSH KIANI³, AND HANIEH TAVAKOLIPOUR⁴

ABSTRACT. We investigate the properties of positive definite and positive semi-definite symmetric matrices within the framework of symmetrized tropical algebra, an extension of tropical algebra adapted to ordered valued fields. We focus on the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of these matrices. We prove that the eigenvalues of a positive (semi)-definite matrix in the tropical symmetrized setting coincide with its diagonal entries. Then, we show that the images of the valuation of the eigenvalues of a positive definite matrix over a valued nonarchimedean ordered field coincide with the eigenvalues of an associated matrix in the symmetrized tropical algebra. Moreover, under a genericity condition, we characterize the images of the eigenvectors under the map keeping track both of the nonarchimedean valuation and sign, showing that they coincide with tropical eigenvectors in the symmetrized algebra. These results offer new insights into the spectral theory of matrices over tropical semirings, and provide combinatorial formulæ for log-limits of eigenvalues and eigenvectors of parametric families of real positive definite matrices.

[2020]Primary 15A18, 12J15, 12J25, 15A80, 16Y60; Secondary 14T10, 16Y20

Positive definite matrices; eigenvalues; eigenvectors; tropical algebra; max-plus algebra; symmetrized tropical semiring; hyperfields; valued fields; valuations; ordered fields.

1. INTRODUCTION

Tropical algebra has been introduced by several authors under various names such as max-plus algebra, max algebra, and it has opened up new pathways in mathematical research, particularly in areas requiring a combinatorial or optimization-focused approach, but also in algebraic geometry. The fundamental operations in tropical algebra over real numbers, \mathbb{R}_{\max} , involve taking the maximum of real numbers in place of addition and using standard addition in place of multiplication. Within this framework, symmetrized tropical algebra, \mathbb{S}_{\max} , has emerged as a vital extension, enabling more complex algebraic structures by introducing a symmetry playing the role of a negation and allowing for some term cancellation—a feature absent in traditional tropical algebra. This semiring was introduced in [Max90] as a tool to solve systems of linear equations with sign conditions. It has numerous implications, particularly in the study of matrices, eigenvalues, and eigenvectors, polynomials, see for instance [BCOQ92, AGG14, AGN18a, AGT23]. Related equivalent extensions of tropical algebra have been introduced in the framework of hyperfields, or semiring systems, and have been used with the same purpose in [Vir10, JSY20, Lor22, Gun21, Gun22, Row22, AGR22]. In the framework of hyperfields, they are called the real tropical hyperfield or the signed tropical hyperfield.

(1, 2) INRIA AND CMAP, ECOLE POLYTECHNIQUE, CNRS, INSTITUT POLYTECHNIQUE DE PARIS

(3, 4) AMIRKABIR UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE

E-mail addresses: (1) marianne.akian@inria.fr, (2) stephane.gaubert@inria.fr, (3) dkiani@aut.ac.ir,

(4) h.tavakolipour@aut.ac.ir.

Date: December 17, 2024.

(3, 4) The study of third and fourth authors was funded by Iran National Science Foundation (INSF) (Grant No. 99023636).

(4) This work began when the fourth author was a postdoc at Inria and CMAP, Ecole polytechnique, CNRS, Institut Polytechnique de Paris.

The tropical algebra is intimately related to the notion of valuation over a field. Indeed, a valuation can be seen as a “morphism” from a field to the tropical algebra, and to make this morphism property rigorous, one can use the concepts of hyperfields or semiring systems (see for instance [BL21, Row22, AGR22]). Valuations are related to asymptotics and the role of tropical algebra in asymptotics was recognized by Maslov [Mas87, Ch. VIII], see also [KM13], and by Viro [Vir01, KM13]. Valuations are also a way to define notions of tropical geometry, see for instance [IMS09, MS15]. Valuations with general ordered groups of values can also be considered.

As said before, the symmetrized tropical algebra \mathbb{S}_{\max} is related to a semiring system and to the signed tropical hyperfield. The latter extends the tropical hyperfield, and its elements correspond to the signed elements in \mathbb{S}_{\max} , which form the whole set \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} . Then, signed valuations serve as morphisms from ordered valued fields to \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} . To any element in the field, they assign the valuation while also indicating their sign. Signed valuations are useful in the understanding of real algebraic geometry [JSY20]. They are also useful in understanding usual optimization problems [AGS20] and allow to define tropical optimization problems, see for instance [AAGS23]. When applied to polynomials, signed valuations reveal the “signed roots” [Gun21, Gun22, AGT23].

Positive (semi-)definite symmetric matrices are of particular interest due to their role in various mathematical and engineering applications, such as stability analysis, optimization problems, and systems theory. In [Yu15], Yu defined and characterized unsigned tropical positive definite matrices. In [AAGS23], the authors use \mathbb{S}_{\max} to define signed tropical positive semi-definite symmetric matrices (see Definition 3.1 for the definition) and show that they coincide with the signed valuations of positive semi-definite matrices. In [AAGS23, Theorem 4.2], they gave a characterization of positive semi-definite matrices which involve “minors” of principal submatrices of size 1 or 2 (only).

In classical algebra, the properties of positive definite matrices, particularly their eigenvalues and eigenvectors, are well understood and have been extensively studied. Here, we introduce and study the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of tropical positive definite matrices in the context of symmetrized tropical algebra. These concepts take on new forms, leading to intriguing challenges. With these concepts, we show that generically, the signed valuations of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a positive definite matrix over a real closed field coincide with the signed tropical eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the signed valuation of the matrix.

Our primary contribution is the proof that, in \mathbb{S}_{\max} , the eigenvalues of a positive (semi-)definite matrix are given by its diagonal entries, see Section 3.3. This result offers practical computational advantages, as it simplifies the determination of eigenvalues in symmetrized tropical setting. We build upon the results presented in [AGT23] and specially Theorem 2.44 to demonstrate that the characteristic polynomial of a positive definite matrix over \mathbb{S}_{\max} admits a unique factorization. This result helps us to define the multiplicity of the eigenvalues of such a matrix and to show that the multiplicity of any eigenvalue coincides with the number of its occurrences as a diagonal element of the matrix.

Some notions of generalized eigenvectors associated to the eigenvalues over \mathbb{R}_{\max} have already been investigated in the literature in particular in the work of Nishida and co-authors, see [NWW20, NSW21, NWW21]. In Definition 2.51, we define a (generalized) notion of geometric eigenvalue and eigenvector over \mathbb{S}_{\max} . Moreover in Definition 2.53, we introduce refined concepts by weakening and strengthening this definition. This offers more tools for analyzing the algebraic structure of matrices in this context, and allows us in some cases to determine eigenvectors using the adjoint matrix (see Section 2.6.3).

Using these tools, we identify candidate for all the eigenvectors of a positive definite matrix over \mathbb{S}_{\max} (see Corollary 3.16). Furthermore in Section 3.6, we characterize these candidate eigenvectors using the Kleene star operation, and in Section 3.7, we show that generically these candidate eigenvectors are the unique eigenvectors.

Finally, in Section 4, we relate tropical eigenvalues and eigenvectors with eigenvalues and eigenvectors of usual positive definite matrices over any ordered valued field, by using the signed valuation. This can be compared to a characterization of the asymptotic behavior of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a parametric family of positive definite matrices over an ordered field, using the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a positive definite matrix over \mathbb{S}_{\max} . This result provides new insights into the nature of eigenvalues and eigenvectors of usual positive (semi-)definite matrices. We then show a Gershgorin type bound for the eigenvalues of a positive definite real matrix.

This paper is structured as follows: we begin with a review of the basic principles of tropical and symmetrized tropical algebra, emphasizing the construction and properties of eigenvalues and eigenvectors within these algebraic frameworks. We then explore the concepts of positive (semi-)definite matrices over \mathbb{S}_{\max} , detailing the theoretical developments and methods used to derive our results. Then we find the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of these matrices over \mathbb{S}_{\max} . We also give characterizations of all the eigenvectors using the Kleene's star. Finally, we examine the relationship between the eigenvalues of matrices over ordered fields and their counterparts in symmetrized tropical algebra. We finish by illustrating the results by some numerical results on the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of parametric families of positive definite matrices.

2. DEFINITIONS AND ELEMENTARY PROPERTIES

In this section, we review some necessarily definitions, notations and results of max-plus or tropical and symmetrized max-plus or tropical algebra. See for example [BCOQ92, But10] for more information.

2.1. Preliminaries of max-plus or tropical algebra \mathbb{R}_{\max} and \mathbb{T}_{\max} .

Definition 2.1. Let \mathbb{R} be the set of real numbers. The tropical semiring, \mathbb{R}_{\max} , is the set $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ equipped with the addition $(a, b) \mapsto a \oplus b := \max\{a, b\}$, with the zero element $0 := -\infty$ and the multiplication $(a, b) \mapsto a \odot b := a + b$, with the unit element $1 := 0$.

Example 2.2. Over \mathbb{R}_{\max} , we have

- $1 \oplus -2 = 1$
- $6 \odot 2 = 8$
- $2^3 = 2 \odot 2 \odot 2 = 6$.

We shall also use the more general family of tropical semifields defined as follows, see also [AGT23].

Definition 2.3. Given a (totally) ordered abelian group $(\Gamma, +, 0, \leq)$, we consider an element \perp satisfying $\perp \leq a$ for all $a \in \Gamma$, and which does not belong to Γ . Then, the *tropical semifield* over Γ , denoted $\mathbb{T}_{\max}(\Gamma)$, is the set $\Gamma \cup \{\perp\}$, equipped with the addition $(a, b) \mapsto a \oplus b := \max(a, b)$, with zero element $0 := \perp$, and multiplication $(a, b) \mapsto a \odot b := a + b$, and $\perp a = a \perp = \perp$, for all $a, b \in \Gamma$, so with unit $1 := 0$.

In particular, the zero element \perp is absorbing. The n -th power of an element $a \in \Gamma$ for the multiplicative law \odot , $a^n := a \odot \dots \odot a$ (n -times), coincides with the sum $a + \dots + a$ (n -times), also denoted by na . We say that the group Γ is *divisible*, if for all $a \in \Gamma$ and for all positive integers n , there exists b such that $nb = a$. In this case, b is unique (since Γ is ordered). We say that Γ is *trivial* if it is equal to $\{0\}$. When $\Gamma = \mathbb{R}$, we recover \mathbb{R}_{\max} .

2.2. Preliminaries of symmetrized max-plus algebra \mathbb{S}_{\max} . Here we recall the construction and basic properties of the symmetrized tropical semiring. We refer the reader to [BCOQ92, Gau92, AGG14] for information at a more detailed level in the case where $\Gamma = \mathbb{R}$. We describe here the generalization to the case of any ordered group Γ , which was presented in [AGT23].

Let us consider the set $\mathbb{T}_{\max}^2 := \mathbb{T}_{\max} \times \mathbb{T}_{\max}$ endowed with operations \oplus and \odot :

$$(a_1, a_2) \oplus (b_1, b_2) = (a_1 \oplus b_1, a_2 \oplus b_2),$$

$$(a_1, a_2) \odot (b_1, b_2) = (a_1 b_1 \oplus a_2 b_2, a_1 b_2 \oplus a_2 b_1),$$

with $\mathbb{0} := (\perp, \perp)$ as the zero element and $\mathbb{1} := (0, \perp)$ as the unit element. Define the following three operators on $a = (a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{T}_{\max}^2$:

$$\begin{aligned} \ominus a &= (a_2, a_1) && \text{minus operator } \mathbb{T}_{\max}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\max}^2; \\ |a| &= a_1 \oplus a_2 && \text{absolute value } \mathbb{T}_{\max}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\max}; \\ a^\circ &= a \ominus a = (|a|, |a|) && \text{balance operator } \mathbb{T}_{\max}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\max}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The operator \ominus satisfies all the properties of a minus sign except that $a \ominus a$ is not zero except when $a = \mathbb{0}$. We also define the *balance relation* over \mathbb{T}_{\max}^2 as follows:

$$(a_1, a_2) \nabla (b_1, b_2) \Leftrightarrow a_1 \oplus b_2 = a_2 \oplus b_1 .$$

It satisfies

$$(1) \quad a \nabla b \Leftrightarrow a \ominus b \nabla \mathbb{0} .$$

Balance relation is reflexive, symmetric, and compatible with addition and multiplication of \mathbb{T}_{\max}^2 . However, it is not an equivalence relation, because it lacks the expected transitive property. For example (for $\Gamma = \mathbb{R}$), we have $(1, 2) \nabla (3, 3)$, $(3, 3) \nabla (1, 1)$, but $(1, 2) \not\nabla (1, 1)$. We then consider the following relation \mathcal{R} on \mathbb{T}_{\max}^2 which refines the balance relation:

$$(a_1, a_2) \mathcal{R} (b_1, b_2) \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} a_1 \oplus b_2 = a_2 \oplus b_1 & \text{if } a_1 \neq a_2, b_1 \neq b_2, \\ (a_1, a_2) = (b_1, b_2) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Example 2.4. To better understanding the difference of ∇ and \mathcal{R} , in the following table we compare them for few examples (with $\Gamma = \mathbb{R}$).

	(1, 4)	(4, 1)	(4, 4)	(3, 3)
(1, 4)	∇, \mathcal{R}	∇, \mathcal{R}	∇, \mathcal{R}	∇, \mathcal{R}
(4, 1)	∇, \mathcal{R}	∇, \mathcal{R}	∇, \mathcal{R}	∇, \mathcal{R}
(4, 4)	∇, \mathcal{R}	∇, \mathcal{R}	∇, \mathcal{R}	∇, \mathcal{R}
(3, 3)	∇, \mathcal{R}	∇, \mathcal{R}	∇, \mathcal{R}	∇, \mathcal{R}

One can check that \mathcal{R} has the transitive property and so is an equivalence relation on \mathbb{T}_{\max}^2 . Also it is compatible with \oplus and \odot of \mathbb{T}_{\max}^2 , ∇ , \ominus , $|\cdot|$ and $^\circ$ operators, which then can be defined on the quotient $\mathbb{T}_{\max}^2/\mathcal{R}$.

Definition 2.5 (\mathbb{S}_{\max}). The *symmetrized tropical semiring* is the quotient semiring $(\mathbb{T}_{\max}^2/\mathcal{R}, \oplus, \odot)$ and is denoted by \mathbb{S}_{\max} or $\mathbb{S}_{\max}(\Gamma)$. We denote by $\mathbb{0} := (\overline{\perp, \perp})$ the zero element and by $\mathbb{1} := (\overline{0, \perp})$ the unit element.

We distinguish three kinds of equivalence classes ([Gau92]):

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{(c, \perp)} &= \{(c, a_2) \mid a_2 < c\}, c \in \Gamma && \text{positive elements} \\ \overline{(\perp, c)} &= \{(a_1, c) \mid a_1 < c\}, c \in \Gamma && \text{negative elements} \\ \overline{(c, c)} &= \{(c, c)\}, c \in \Gamma \cup \{\perp\} && \text{balance elements.} \end{aligned}$$

Then, we denote by $\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\oplus}$, $\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\ominus}$ and $\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\circ}$ the set of positive or zero elements, the set of negative or zero elements, and the set of balance elements, respectively. Therefore, we have:

$$\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\oplus} \cup \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\ominus} \cup \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\circ} = \mathbb{S}_{\max},$$

where the pairwise intersection of any two of these three sets is reduced to $\{0\}$.

Property 2.6. *The subsemiring $\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\oplus}$ of \mathbb{S}_{\max} can be identified to \mathbb{T}_{\max} , by the morphism $c \mapsto (\overline{c}, \perp)$. This allows one to write $a \ominus b$ instead of $(\overline{a}, \perp) \oplus (\perp, \overline{b})$.*

Property 2.7. *Using the above identification, the absolute value map $a \in \mathbb{S}_{\max} \mapsto |a| \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\oplus}$ is a morphism of semirings.*

Definition 2.8 (Signed tropical elements). The elements of $\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} := \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\oplus} \cup \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\ominus}$ are called *signed tropical elements*, or simply *signed elements*. They are either positive, negative or zero.

Remark 2.9. The elements of $\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\circ}$ play the role of the usual zero element. Moreover, the set $\mathbb{S}_{\max} \setminus \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\circ} = \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} \setminus \{0\}$ is the set of all invertible elements of \mathbb{S}_{\max} .

2.3. Relations over \mathbb{S}_{\max} .

Definition 2.10. We define the following relations, for $a, b \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}$:

- i) $a \preceq b \iff b = a \oplus c$ for some $c \in \mathbb{S}_{\max} \iff b = a \oplus b$
- ii) $a \prec b \iff a \preceq b, a \neq b$
- iii) $a \preceq^{\circ} b \iff b = a \oplus c$ for some $c \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\circ}$

The relations \preceq and \preceq° in Definition 2.10 are partial orders (they are reflexive, transitive and antisymmetric).

Example 2.11. We have the following inequalities:

- i) $0 \preceq \ominus 2 \preceq \ominus 3, 0 \preceq 2 \preceq 3, 2 \preceq \ominus 3$
- ii) 3 and $\ominus 3$ are not comparable with \preceq .
- iii) $1 \preceq^{\circ} 2^{\circ}, \ominus 1 \preceq^{\circ} 2^{\circ}, \ominus 2 \preceq^{\circ} 2^{\circ}$.

Property 2.12.

- i) If $a, b \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}$ such that $|a| \prec |b|$ then $a \oplus b = b$.
- ii) If $a, b \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}$ such that $a \preceq b, |a| = |b|$ and $b \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee}$ then $a = b$.
- iii) If $b \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee}$ then $a \preceq^{\circ} b$ iff $a = b$.
- iv) If $|a| \preceq |b|$ and $b \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\circ}$ then $a \preceq^{\circ} b$ and so $a \preceq b$.
- v) $a \oplus b = b \Rightarrow |a| \preceq |b|$.

In [AAGS23], the authors equipped \mathbb{S}_{\max} with other “order” relations (by using a relation on \mathbb{T}_{\max}^2 and then quotienting) and used them to define positive semidefinite matrices over \mathbb{S}_{\max} . We give the definition directly on \mathbb{S}_{\max} in Definition 2.13 below, while replacing the notations \preceq and \prec of [AAGS23] by the notations \leq and $<$, since we already used the notation \preceq for the natural order of \mathbb{S}_{\max} .

Definition 2.13. [AAGS23] For $a, b \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}$:

- i) $a \leq b \iff b \ominus a \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\oplus} \cup \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\circ}$
- ii) $a < b \iff b \ominus a \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\oplus} \setminus \{0\}$.

Example 2.14. Using the relations in Definition 2.13 we have the following results:

- i) $\ominus 3 < \ominus 2 < 0 < 2 < 3$,
- ii) $<$ is not an order on \mathbb{S}_{\max} : $2 < 3^{\circ}, 3^{\circ} < 1^{\circ}$ but $2 \not< 1^{\circ}$.

The relation \leq is reflexive, but it is not antisymmetric, nor transitive on \mathbb{S}_{\max} . Also, the relation $<$ is not reflexive, nor antisymmetric nor transitive on \mathbb{S}_{\max} , as shown in the examples above. However, on \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} , \leq is a total order and $<$ coincides with “ \leq and \neq ”, see Proposition 2.15 and Property 2.16 below.

Proposition 2.15. [AAGS23] Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}$.

- i) $a \leq a$ for any $a \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}$ (\leq is reflexive);
- ii) $a \leq b$ and $b \leq a$ if and only if $a \nabla b$; hence \leq is antisymmetric on \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} ;
- iii) If $a \leq b$ and $b \leq c$ and $b \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee}$ then $a \leq c$; hence \leq is transitive on \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} .

Property 2.16. If we identify the elements of \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} with elements of \mathbb{R} by the map $\ominus a \mapsto -\exp(a)$, $\oplus a \mapsto \exp(a)$ and $\mathbb{0} \mapsto 0$, then, we get that the relations \leq and $<$ on \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} are the usual order \leq and the strict relation $<$ on \mathbb{R} . Moreover, on $\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\oplus}$, the relations \leq and $<$ are equivalent to the relations \preceq and \prec , and to the usual order and its strict version on the set \mathbb{T}_{\max} .

We have also the following properties, which can be deduced easily from Definition 2.13.

Lemma 2.17. Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee}$. Then we have

- i) $a \leq b, c \geq \mathbb{0} \Rightarrow ac \leq bc$,
- ii) $a < b, c > \mathbb{0} \Rightarrow ac < bc$.

□

Lemma 2.18. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee}$. Then $a^2 < b^2$ if and only if $|a| < |b|$. Similarly, $a^2 \leq b^2$ if and only if $|a| \leq |b|$.

Proof. Any $a \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee}$ can be written as $a = |a|$ or $a = \ominus|a|$, using the above identifications. So $a^2 = |a|^2$, where $|a| \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\oplus}$. Then, we only need to check the equivalences of the lemma for $a, b \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\oplus}$. Since in $\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\oplus}$, $<$ and \leq are equivalent to \prec and \preceq , respectively, or to the usual order and its strict version on \mathbb{T}_{\max} , we obtain the result of the lemma. □

Property 2.19. The relation ∇ satisfies the following properties, for $a, b \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}$:

- i) We have $a \nabla b \Leftrightarrow a \ominus b \nabla \mathbb{0}$.
- ii) If $a, b \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee}$ and $a \nabla b$, then we have $a = b$.
- iii) If $b \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee}$, $a \nabla b$ and $a \preceq b$, then we have $a = b$.

2.4. Matrices. Given any semiring $(\mathcal{S}, \oplus, \mathbb{0}, \odot, \mathbb{1})$ (such as \mathbb{R}_{\max} , \mathbb{T}_{\max} or \mathbb{S}_{\max}), we denote by \mathcal{S}^n and $\mathcal{S}^{n \times m}$ the sets of n -dimensional vectors and of $n \times m$ matrices with entries in \mathcal{S} . Then, the matrix operations over \mathcal{S} , such as multiplication and addition, are defined as in usual linear algebra. For example if $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathcal{S}^{n \times m}$ and $B = (b_{ij}) \in \mathcal{S}^{m \times p}$, then $AB \in \mathcal{S}^{n \times p}$ and is given by $(AB)_{ij} = \sum_k^{\oplus} a_{ik} b_{kj}$. Also, for any $n \geq 1$, we denote by $\mathbb{0}$, and call the zero vector, the vector with all entries equal to $\mathbb{0}$, and by I , the $n \times n$ identity matrix over \mathcal{S} with diagonal entries equal to $\mathbb{1}$ and off-diagonal entries equal to $\mathbb{0}$. Moreover, for a square $n \times n$ matrix A , we denote $A^2 = A \odot A$, etc, with A^0 equal to the identity matrix I .

For any positive integer n , denote by $[n]$ the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$. We denote by \mathfrak{S}_n , the set of all permutations of $[n]$. Recall that a *cycle* in $[n]$ is a sequence $\sigma = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$ of different elements of $[n]$, with the convention that $i_{k+1} = i_1$, and that any permutation π of $[n]$ can be decomposed uniquely into disjoint cycles which cover $[n]$, meaning that $\pi(i_\ell) = i_{\ell+1}$ for all $\ell \in [k]$ and all cycles $\sigma = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$ of π .

Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathcal{S}^{n \times n}$ be a matrix. For any permutation π of $[n]$, the weight of π associated to A is given by

$$w(\pi) = \prod_{i \in [n]} a_{i\pi(i)} ,$$

and the weight of any cycle $\sigma = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$ associated to A is given by

$$w(\sigma) = \prod_{\ell \in [k]} a_{i_\ell i_{\ell+1}} .$$

Then, as in usual algebra, the weight of a permutation is the product of the weights of its cycles.

Definition 2.20. The *permanent* of a matrix $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathcal{S}^{n \times n}$ is

$$\text{per}(A) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n}^{\oplus} \prod_{i \in [n]} a_{i\pi(i)} = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n}^{\oplus} w(\pi) .$$

When the semiring \mathcal{S} has a negation map, we can also define the determinant. We only give the definition in \mathbb{S}_{\max} .

Definition 2.21 (Determinant). Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ matrix over \mathbb{S}_{\max} . The *determinant* is

$$\det(A) := \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n}^{\oplus} \text{sgn}(\pi) \prod_{i \in [n]} a_{i\pi(i)} = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n}^{\oplus} \text{sgn}(\pi) w(\pi) ,$$

where

$$\text{sgn}(\pi) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{1} & \text{if } \pi \text{ is even;} \\ \ominus \mathbb{1} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This allows one to define also the adjugate matrix.

Definition 2.22 (Adjugate). The adjugate matrix of $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{n \times n}$ is the matrix $A^{\text{adj}} \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{n \times n}$ with entries:

$$(A^{\text{adj}})_{i,j} := (\ominus \mathbb{1})^{i+j} \det(A[\hat{j}, \hat{i}]) ,$$

where $A[\hat{j}, \hat{i}]$ is the matrix obtained after eliminating the j -th row and the i -th column of A .

For any matrix A with entries in \mathbb{S}_{\max} , we denote by $|A|$ the matrix with entries in \mathbb{T}_{\max} obtained by applying the modulus map $|\cdot|$ entrywise.

Remark 2.23. For $A \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max})^{n \times n}$, we have $|\det(A)| = \text{per}(|A|)$.

Lemma 2.24 ([AGG09]). Let $A \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^{n \times n}$. Then the following balance relation holds

$$AA^{\text{adj}} \succ^{\circ} \det(A)I.$$

In particular if $\det(A) \nabla \mathbb{0}$ then $AA^{\text{adj}} \nabla \mathbb{0}$.

We now recall some results about the solution of linear systems over \mathbb{S}_{\max} .

Theorem 2.25 ([Max90, AGG14]). Let $A \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max})^{n \times n}$ and $b \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max})^n$, then

- every solution $x \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^n$ of the linear system $Ax \nabla b$ satisfies the relation

$$(2) \quad \det(A)x \nabla A^{\text{adj}}b .$$

- If $A^{\text{adj}}b \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^n$ and $\det(A)$ is invertible, then

$$\tilde{x} = \det(A)^{-1} A^{\text{adj}}b$$

is the unique of $Ax \nabla b$ in $(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^n$.

Remark 2.26. Let D_{x_i} , be the determinant of the matrix obtained by replacing the i -th column of A with b . Then $(A^{\text{adj}}b)_i = D_{x_i}$. Assume $\det(A)$ is invertible, then Equation (2) is equivalent to $(\forall i) x_i \nabla \det(A)^{-1} D_{x_i}$, where the right hand side of this equation is exactly the classical i -th Cramer formula.

Theorem 2.27 ([Max90, AGG14]). Let $A \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max})^{n \times n}$. Assume that $\det(A) \neq \mathbb{0}$ (but possibly $\det(A) \nabla \mathbb{0}$). Then for every $b \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max})^n$ there exists a solution $x \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^n$ of $Ax \nabla b$, which can be chosen in such a way that $|x| = |\det(A)|^{-1} |A^{\text{adj}}b|$.

Theorem 2.28 (Homogeneous systems over \mathbb{S}_{\max} [Max90, Th. 6.5], see also [AGG14, Th. 6.1]). Let $A \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max})^{n \times n}$, then there exists a solution $x \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^n \setminus \{\mathbb{0}\}$ to the linear system $Ax \nabla \mathbb{0}$ if and only if $\det(A) \nabla \mathbb{0}$.

We shall also use the following construction. The semirings \mathbb{R}_{\max} , \mathbb{T}_{\max} , and \mathbb{S}_{\max} are all topological semirings (meaning that operations are compatible with the topology), when endowed with the topology of the order \leq for \mathbb{T}_{\max} and \preceq for \mathbb{S}_{\max} . They are also idempotent meaning that $a \oplus a = a$ for all a , so that the sum of elements is also the supremum. They are also relatively complete for their associated order, meaning that the supremum of an upper bounded set always exists, or that it becomes complete when adding a top element to \mathcal{S} . In what follows, \mathcal{S} will be \mathbb{R}_{\max} , \mathbb{T}_{\max} , and \mathbb{S}_{\max} , but it can be any idempotent semiring which is relatively complete for its associated order.

Definition 2.29. (Kleene's star) The Kleene's star of a matrix $A \in \mathcal{S}^{n \times n}$, denoted A^* , is defined as the sum $\sum_{k \geq 0}^{\oplus} A^k$, if the series converges to a matrix over \mathcal{S} . Recall that $A^0 = I$ the identity matrix.

To any matrix $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathcal{S}^{n \times n}$, we associate the weighted directed graph $\mathcal{G}(A)$ with set of nodes $[n]$, set of edges $E = \{(i, j) : a_{ij} \neq 0, i, j \in [n]\}$, and in which the weight of an edge (i, j) is a_{ij} . Then, a path in $\mathcal{G}(A)$ of length $k \geq 1$ is a sequence (i_1, \dots, i_{k+1}) such that $(i_\ell, i_{\ell+1}) \in E$, for all $\ell \in [k]$, it has initial node i_1 , final node i_{k+1} , and weight $\prod_{\ell \in [k]} a_{i_\ell i_{\ell+1}}$. By convention, a path of length 0 has weight $\mathbb{1}$ and its initial and final nodes are equal. We say that the matrix A is irreducible if $\mathcal{G}(A)$ is strongly connected, meaning that there is a path from each node to another node.

Property 2.30. Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathcal{S}^{n \times n}$ be such that A^* exists. Then, for all $i, j \in [n]$, the entry A^*_{ij} is equal to the supremum of the weights of all paths with initial node i and final node j .

If A is irreducible, then, A^* has no zero entries.

2.5. Polynomials over \mathbb{R}_{\max} , \mathbb{T}_{\max} and \mathbb{S}_{\max} .

Definition 2.31 (Formal polynomial). Given any semiring $(\mathcal{S}, \oplus, \mathbb{0}, \odot, \mathbb{1})$ (such as \mathbb{R}_{\max} , \mathbb{T}_{\max} or \mathbb{S}_{\max}), a (univariate) *formal polynomial* P over \mathbb{S}_{\max} can be defined as usual, it is a sequence $(P_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{S}$, where \mathbb{N} is the set of natural numbers (including 0), such that $P_k = \mathbb{0}$ for all but finitely many values of k . We denote a formal polynomial P as a formal sum, $P = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}}^{\oplus} P_k X^k$, and the set of formal polynomials as $\mathcal{S}[X]$. This set is endowed with the following two internal operations, which make it a semiring: coefficient-wise sum, $(P \oplus Q)_k = P_k \oplus Q_k$; and Cauchy product, $(PQ)_k = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq k}^{\oplus} P_i Q_{k-i}$.

A formal polynomial reduced to a sequence of one element is called a *monomial*. When the semiring \mathcal{S} is \mathbb{S}_{\max} , we apply the absolute value map $|\cdot|$ and the relations of Definition 2.10 and Definition 2.13 to formal polynomials coefficient-wise.

Example 2.32. For example $P = X^4 \oplus \mathbb{1} \circ X^3 \oplus \mathbb{1} \circ X^2 \oplus \mathbb{1} \circ X \oplus \mathbb{1}$ and $Q = X^4 \oplus \mathbb{1}$, are two examples of formal polynomials over \mathbb{S}_{\max} .

Definition 2.33 (Degree, lower degree and support). The *degree* of P is defined as

$$(3) \quad \deg(P) := \sup\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid P_k \neq \mathbb{0}\},$$

and *lower degree* of P is defined as

$$(4) \quad \text{ldeg}(P) := \inf\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid P_k \neq \mathbb{0}\}.$$

In the case where $P = \mathbb{0}$, we have $\deg(P) = -\infty$ and $\text{ldeg}(P) = +\infty$.

We also define the *support* of P as the set of indices of the non-zero elements of P , that is $\text{supp}(P) := \{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid P_k \neq \mathbb{0}\}$. We say that a formal polynomial has a *full support* if $P_k \neq \mathbb{0}$ for all k such that $\text{ldeg}(P) \leq k \leq \deg(P)$.

Definition 2.34 (Polynomial function). To any $P \in \mathcal{S}[X]$, with degree n and lower degree m , we associate a *polynomial function*

$$(5) \quad \widehat{P} : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} ; x \mapsto \widehat{P}(x) = \sum_{m \leq k \leq n}^{\oplus} P_k x^k.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{S}_{\max})$, the set of polynomial functions \widehat{P} .

We now consider the special case where \mathcal{S} is \mathbb{R}_{\max} , \mathbb{T}_{\max} or \mathbb{S}_{\max} semiring. From now on, we shall assume that Γ is **divisible**.

2.5.1. *Roots of polynomials over \mathbb{R}_{\max} and \mathbb{T}_{\max} .* When the semiring \mathcal{S} is \mathbb{R}_{\max} or \mathbb{T}_{\max} , the addition in (5) is the maximization. We then define roots of a polynomial as follows.

Definition 2.35 (\mathbb{R}_{\max} and \mathbb{T}_{\max} -roots and their multiplicities). Given a formal polynomial P over \mathbb{R}_{\max} (resp. \mathbb{T}_{\max}), and its associated polynomial function \widehat{P} , the non-zero \mathbb{R}_{\max} (resp. \mathbb{T}_{\max})-roots of P or \widehat{P} are the points x at which the maximum in the definition (5) of \widehat{P} as a supremum of monomial functions, is attained at least twice (i.e. by at least two different monomials). Then, the multiplicity of x is the difference between the largest and the smallest exponent of the monomials of P which attain the maximum at x .

If P has no constant term, then $\mathbb{0}$ is also a \mathbb{R}_{\max} (resp. \mathbb{T}_{\max})-root of P , and its multiplicity is equal to the lower degree of P .

Non-zero \mathbb{R}_{\max} -roots of a formal polynomial P are also the points of non-differentiability of \widehat{P} , and their multiplicity is also the change of slope of the graph of \widehat{P} at these points.

The following theorem states the fundamental theorem of tropical algebra which was shown by Cuninghame–Green and Meijer for \mathbb{R}_{\max} and stated in [AGT23] for \mathbb{T}_{\max} .

Theorem 2.36 ([CGM80] for \mathbb{R}_{\max}). *Every formal polynomial $P \in \mathbb{R}_{\max}[X]$ (resp. $\mathbb{T}_{\max}[X]$) of degree n has exactly n roots $c_1 \geq \dots \geq c_n$ counted with multiplicities, and the associated polynomial function \widehat{P} can be factored in a unique way as*

$$\widehat{P}(x) = P_n(x \oplus c_1) \cdots (x \oplus c_n) .$$

The following result was shown for \mathbb{R}_{\max} in [BCOQ92] and stated for \mathbb{T}_{\max} in [AGT23].

Lemma 2.37 (See [BCOQ92, p. 123] for $\Gamma = \mathbb{R}$). *Consider a formal polynomial P over \mathbb{R}_{\max} (resp. \mathbb{T}_{\max}) of lower degree m and degree n .*

- *If P is of the form $P = P_n(X \oplus c_1) \cdots (X \oplus c_n)$ (where c_i maybe equal to $\mathbb{0}$), then P has full support and satisfies:*

$$(6) \quad P_{n-1} - P_n \geq P_{n-2} - P_{n-1} \geq \dots \geq P_m - P_{m+1}.$$

- *Conversely, if P satisfies (6), then P has full support, the numbers $c_i \in \mathbb{R}_{\max}$ defined by*

$$c_i := \begin{cases} P_{n-i} - P_{n-i+1} & 1 \leq i \leq n - m; \\ \mathbb{0} & n - m < i \leq n. \end{cases}$$

are such that $c_1 \geq \dots \geq c_n$ and P can be factored as $P = P_n(X \oplus c_1) \cdots (X \oplus c_n)$.

If P satisfies one of the above conditions, we shall say that P is factored.

Note that, over \mathbb{R}_{\max} , the condition (6) means that the coefficient map from \mathbb{N} to $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ is concave.

2.5.2. *Roots of polynomials over \mathbb{S}_{\max} .* Let us denote by $\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee}[\mathbf{X}]$ the subset of $\mathbb{S}_{\max}[\mathbf{X}]$ of formal polynomials over \mathbb{S}_{\max} with coefficients in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} . In [AGT23], we only considered roots of such polynomials and their multiplicities. Since characteristic polynomials of matrices need not have coefficients in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} , one may need to generalize these notions. For this purpose, we shall consider below a notion equivalent to the notion of “corner root” introduced in [AGN18b, Section 6] for a general semiring with a symmetry and a modulus, which is then used to define eigenvalues of matrices, and which applies in particular to the case of \mathbb{S}_{\max} semiring.

Definition 2.38 (\mathbb{S}_{\max} or \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} -roots and factorization). Suppose that $P \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}[\mathbf{X}]$. Define P^{\vee} as the element of $\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee}[\mathbf{X}]$ such that for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $P_i^{\vee} = P_i$ if $P_i \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee}$ and $P_i^{\vee} = 0$ otherwise. Then, the \mathbb{S}_{\max} -roots (resp. \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} -roots) of P are the signed elements $r \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee}$ for which $\widehat{P}(r) \nabla 0$ (resp. $\widehat{P}(r) = \widehat{P}^{\vee}(r) \nabla 0$). When $P \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee}[\mathbf{X}]$, \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} -roots of \widehat{P} are defined as \mathbb{S}_{\max} -roots or equivalently \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} -roots of P .

Example 2.39. i) Let $P = \mathbf{X}^2 \ominus \mathbf{X} \oplus \mathbb{1}^{\circ}$. Then there are an infinite number of \mathbb{S}_{\max} -roots of P , since any r with $|r| \leq \mathbb{1}$ is a \mathbb{S}_{\max} -root of P . However to be a \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} root of P (or corner root in [AGN18b, Section 6]) one need that $x^2 \ominus x = x^2 \ominus x \oplus \mathbb{1}^{\circ} \nabla 0$ and the only solution is $\mathbb{1}$.
ii) Let $P = \mathbf{X}^3 \oplus \mathbf{X}^2 \oplus 2^{\circ} \mathbf{X} \oplus 2^{\circ}$. Then, again any r with $|r| \leq \mathbb{1}$ is a \mathbb{S}_{\max} -root of P . However, P has no \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} -root.

Definition 2.40. (Factorable polynomial fuction) Moreover, we say that the polynomial function \widehat{P} can be factored (into linear factors) if there exist $r_i \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee}$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, such that

$$\widehat{P}(x) = P_n(x \ominus r_1) \cdots (x \ominus r_n) .$$

Let us denote by $\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee}[\mathbf{X}]$ the subset of $\mathbb{S}_{\max}[\mathbf{X}]$ of formal polynomials over \mathbb{S}_{\max} with coefficients in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} .

Theorem 2.41 (Sufficient condition for factorization, see [AGT23, Th. 4.4]). *Let $P \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee}[\mathbf{X}]$. A sufficient condition for \widehat{P} to be factored is that the formal polynomial $|P|$ is factored (see Lemma 2.37). In that case, we have $\widehat{P}(x) = P_n(x \ominus r_1) \cdots (x \ominus r_n)$, with $r_i \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee}$, $i \in [n]$, such that $r_i P_{n-i+1} = \ominus P_{n-i}$ for all $i \leq n - \text{ldeg}(P)$ and $r_i = 0$ otherwise. Moreover, $|r_1| \geq \cdots \geq |r_n|$ are the \mathbb{T}_{\max} -roots of $|P|$, counted with multiplicities.*

Corollary 2.42 (Sufficient condition for unique factorization, see [AGT23, Cor. 4.6]). *Let $P \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee}[\mathbf{X}]$. Assume that $|P|$ is factored (see Lemma 2.37), and let the r_i be as in Theorem 2.41. If all the r_i with same modulus are equal, or equivalently if for each \mathbb{T}_{\max} -root $c \neq 0$ of $|P|$, c and $\ominus c$ are not both \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} -roots of P , then the factorization of \widehat{P} is unique (up to reordering).*

The following definition of multiplicities of roots of polynomials was introduced in [BL21] in the framework of hyperfields, and adapted in [AGT23, §5] to the more general framework of semiring systems. We write it below over \mathbb{S}_{\max} . Note that it only applies to polynomials with coefficients in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} .

Definition 2.43 (Multiplicity of \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} -roots, compare with [BL21] and [AGT23, §5]). For a formal polynomial $P \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee}[\mathbf{X}]$, and a scalar $r \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee}$, we define the *multiplicity* of r as a \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} -root of P , and denote it by $\text{mult}_r(P)$, as follows. If r is not a root of P , set $\text{mult}_r(P) = 0$. If r is a root of P , then

$$(7) \quad \text{mult}_r(P) = 1 + \max\{\text{mult}_r(Q) \mid Q \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee}[\mathbf{X}], P \nabla (\mathbf{X} \ominus r)Q\} .$$

Characterization of multiplicities of polynomials over \mathbb{S}_{\max} are given in [AGT23] and in the work of Gunn [Gun21, Gun22]. In the special case of Corollary 2.42, the computations can be reduced as follows.

Theorem 2.44 (Multiplicities and unique factorization, see [AGT23, Th. 6.7]). *Let $P \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee}[\mathbf{X}]$ satisfy the conditions of Corollary 2.42. Then the multiplicity of a \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} -root r of P coincides with the number of occurrences of r in the unique factorization of \widehat{P} . It also coincides with the multiplicity of the \mathbb{T}_{\max} -root $|r|$ of $|P|$.*

2.6. Eigenvalues and eigenvectors over \mathbb{R}_{\max} , \mathbb{T}_{\max} and \mathbb{S}_{\max} .

2.6.1. \mathbb{T}_{\max} -eigenvalues. Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in (\mathbb{T}_{\max})^{n \times n}$. Then, the \mathbb{T}_{\max} -formal characteristic polynomial of A is:

$$P_A := \text{per}(\mathbf{X}I \oplus A) = \sum_{k=0, \dots, n}^{\oplus} (P_A)_k \mathbf{X}^k \in \mathbb{T}_{\max}[\mathbf{X}] ,$$

in which the expression of $\text{per}(\mathbf{X}I \oplus A)$ is developed formally. Equivalently, the coefficients of P_A are given by $(P_A)_k = \sum_{I \subset [n], \text{card}(I)=n-k}^{\oplus} \text{per}(A[I, I])$, in which $A[I, I]$ is the submatrix of A with rows and columns in I . The polynomial function \widehat{P}_A associated to P_A is called the \mathbb{T}_{\max} -characteristic polynomial function of A .

Definition 2.45 (\mathbb{T}_{\max} -algebraic eigenvalue). Let $A \in (\mathbb{T}_{\max})^{n \times n}$. The \mathbb{T}_{\max} -algebraic eigenvalues of A , denoted by $\mu_1(A) \geq \dots \geq \mu_n(A)$, are the \mathbb{T}_{\max} -roots of its \mathbb{T}_{\max} -characteristic polynomial.

The term algebraic is used here since a \mathbb{T}_{\max} -algebraic eigenvalue μ may not satisfy the eigenvalue-eigenvector equation $Au = \mu u$ for some $u \in (\mathbb{T}_{\max})^n$, $u \neq 0$. Nevertheless, the maximal such an eigenvalue μ is equal to the maximal algebraic eigenvalue $\mu_1(A)$ and is also equal to the maximal cycle mean of A . The \mathbb{T}_{\max} -characteristic polynomial function and therefore the \mathbb{T}_{\max} -algebraic eigenvalues of $A \in (\mathbb{T}_{\max})^{n \times n}$ can be computed in $O(n^4)$ [BB03] which can be reduced to $O(n^3)$, using parametric optimal assignment techniques [GK10]. However, no polynomial algorithm is known to compute all the coefficients of the \mathbb{T}_{\max} -formal characteristic polynomial P_A (see e.g. [BL07]). The computational complexity of computing the \mathbb{T}_{\max} -eigenvalues can be reduced to polynomial time when considering special classes of matrices, such as symmetric matrices over $\{0, -\infty\}$, pyramidal matrices, Monge and Hankel matrices, tridiagonal Toeplitz and pentadiagonal Toeplitz matrices (see [BL07], [TS20], [TS18]).

As said before, for a general algebraic eigenvalue μ , there may not exist a vector $u \in (\mathbb{T}_{\max})^n$, $u \neq 0$ such that $Au = \mu u$. Generalizations of the notion of eigenvectors have been considered in [IR11], by replacing the equalities in $Au = \mu u$ by the conditions “the maximum is attained at least twice”, and are handled by embedding \mathbb{T}_{\max} into the supertropical semiring of Izhakian [IR10]. More special generalizations have been considered in [NWW20, NSW21, NWW21], where a constructive change of side of terms in each equation of $Au = \mu u$ is given, and depend on the eigenvalue μ . In the next section, we shall consider another extension which uses signs and thus the embedding of \mathbb{T}_{\max} into \mathbb{S}_{\max} .

2.6.2. \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalues and \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvectors.

Definition 2.46 (\mathbb{S}_{\max} -formal characteristic polynomial). The \mathbb{S}_{\max} -formal characteristic polynomial of $A \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max})^{n \times n}$ is $P_A := \det(\mathbf{X}I \ominus A) \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}[\mathbf{X}]$, and its \mathbb{S}_{\max} -characteristic polynomial function is $\widehat{P}_A(x) := \det(xI \ominus A)$.

We can also write the coefficients of P_A in terms of compound matrices of A .

Definition 2.47. (k -th compound) For $k \in [n]$, the k -th compound of a matrix $A \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max})^{n \times n}$ is the matrix $\bigwedge^k A \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max})^{\binom{n}{k} \times \binom{n}{k}}$ whose rows and columns are indexed by the subsets K and K' of $[n]$ of cardinality k , $\text{card}(K) = \text{card}(K') = k$, and whose entries are defined as $\left(\bigwedge^k A \right)_{K, K'} = \det(A[K, K'])$ where $A[K, K']$ is the $k \times k$ submatrix obtained by selecting from A the rows $i \in K$ and columns $j \in K'$. We also set $\bigwedge^0 A$ to be the 1×1 identity matrix.

Definition 2.48. (*k*-th trace) The *k*-th trace of $A \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max})^{n \times n}$ is defined as

$$\mathrm{tr}_k A = \mathrm{tr} \left(\bigwedge^k A \right) = \sum_{\substack{K \subset [n] \\ \mathrm{card}(K)=k}}^{\oplus} \det(A[K, K])$$

for all $k \in [n]$, where $\bigwedge^k A$ is the *k*-th compound of A , see Definition 2.47.

Lemma 2.49. For $A \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max})^{n \times n}$ we have

$$P_A = \sum_{k=0, \dots, n}^{\oplus} \left((\ominus \mathbb{1})^{n-k} \mathrm{tr}_{n-k} A \right) \chi^k .$$

Example 3.10 is an example of computing the \mathbb{S}_{\max} -characteristic polynomial by using Lemma 2.49.

Definition 2.50 (\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} -algebraic eigenvalues and their multiplicity). Let $A \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max})^{n \times n}$. Then, the \mathbb{S}_{\max} -roots (resp. \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} -roots) of P_A (see Definition 2.38) are called the \mathbb{S}_{\max} (resp. \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})-*algebraic eigenvalues* of A . If the characteristic polynomial P_A has coefficients in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} , then the multiplicity of γ as a \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} -root of P_A is called the *multiplicity* of γ as a \mathbb{S}_{\max} (or \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})-algebraic eigenvalue of A .

Here, we define two different notions of eigenvalues of a matrix over \mathbb{S}_{\max} . In [AGN18b, Section 6], “eigenvalues over \mathbb{S}_{\max} ” were defined as the corner roots of the characteristic polynomial, which correspond to \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} -algebraic eigenvalues in our definition. We also denote by $\mathbb{0}$ any vector of $(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^n$ with zero coordinates.

Definition 2.51 (\mathbb{S}_{\max} -geometric eigenvalues and eigenvectors). Let $A \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max})^{n \times n}$. Let $v \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee}$. We say that v is a \mathbb{S}_{\max} -*eigenvector* of A associated with the \mathbb{S}_{\max} -*geometric eigenvalue* γ if

$$(8) \quad Av \nabla \gamma v .$$

Since the last equation is equivalent to $(A \ominus \gamma I)v \nabla \mathbb{0}$, the following property follows from the characterization of homogeneous systems in \mathbb{S}_{\max} recalled in Theorem 2.28.

Theorem 2.52. Let $A \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max})^{n \times n}$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee}$. Then, γ is a \mathbb{S}_{\max} -algebraic eigenvalue if and only if there exists a \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector $v \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^n \setminus \{0\}$ associated to γ : $Av \nabla \gamma v$. \square

This shows that γ is a \mathbb{S}_{\max} -geometric eigenvalue if and only if it is a \mathbb{S}_{\max} -algebraic eigenvalue, as in usual algebra. Then γ is called a \mathbb{S}_{\max} -*eigenvalue*. Note however that, even when P_A has coefficients in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} , the multiplicity of γ as a \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} -geometric eigenvalue of A is difficult to define since there are several notions of independence and thus of dimension over \mathbb{S}_{\max} (see for instance [AGG09]).

We can weaken or strengthen the notion of \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector as follows.

Definition 2.53. Let $A \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max})^{n \times n}$ and let γ be a \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalue.

Weak eigenvector: If $v \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max})^n$ has at least one coordinate in $\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} \setminus \{0\}$ and satisfies (8) then we say that v is a *weak* \mathbb{S}_{\max} -*eigenvector*.

Strong eigenvector: Moreover, if $v \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^n \setminus \{0\}$ satisfies $Av = \gamma v$, then we say that v is a *strong* \mathbb{S}_{\max} -*eigenvector* and that γ is a *strong* \mathbb{S}_{\max} -*geometric eigenvalue*.

Using the above definitions, we have that a strong \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector is necessarily a \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector, and a \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector is necessarily a weak \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector.

2.6.3. *Some special \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvectors.* One effective approach to computing an \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector associated to the \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalue γ is to use the columns of the adjugate of the matrix $A \ominus \gamma I$. The following states this approach.

Proposition 2.54. *Suppose that $A \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max})^{n \times n}$, let γ be a \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalue of A and denote*

$$B = \gamma I \ominus A .$$

Then

$$(9) \quad AB^{\text{adj}} \nabla \gamma B^{\text{adj}} .$$

Proof. Since γ is an \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalue of A , using Definition 2.50 we have $\det(B) \nabla 0$, and by Lemma 2.24, we have

$$B B^{\text{adj}} \succ^{\circ} 0 .$$

So

$$A B^{\text{adj}} \ominus \gamma B^{\text{adj}} \nabla 0 .$$

Then by (1), we obtain (9). □

Property (9) implies that all the columns of B^{adj} with at least one entry in $\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} \setminus \{0\}$ are weak \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvectors associated with the \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalue γ . In usual algebra, a necessary and sufficient condition to obtain an eigenvector in this way is that the eigenvalue be simple (as a geometric eigenvalue), or equivalently that the matrix B has rank $n - 1$. In \mathbb{S}_{\max} a similar condition, namely that there exists at least one $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$ minor of B in $\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} \setminus \{0\}$, or equivalently that B^{adj} has at least one entry in $\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} \setminus \{0\}$ is sufficient to obtain one weak \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector. However, it may not be sufficient to obtain one \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector in this way. Below we give a stronger condition which is sufficient.

Let $C \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{n \times n}$. In the following by $C_{i,:}$ and $C_{:,j}$ we mean the i -th row of C and the j -th column of C , respectively. Moreover, $C_{i,\hat{j}}$ (resp. $C_{\hat{i},j}$) stands for the submatrix of $C_{i,:}$ (resp. $C_{:,j}$) obtained by eliminating the j th column (resp. the i -th row). Recall that $C[\hat{i},\hat{j}]$ is the submatrix of C obtained by eliminating the i -th row and the j th column.

Theorem 2.55 (A sufficient condition for geometric simple \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalue). *Consider A , γ and B as in Proposition 2.54, and let v be a \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector associated to the \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalue γ .*

- i) *Assume that there exists an entry of B^{adj} which is invertible, that is $B_{i,j}^{\text{adj}} \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} \setminus \{0\}$ for some $i, j \in [n]$. Then, there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $v \nabla \lambda B_{:,j}^{\text{adj}}$.*
- ii) *Assume there exists a column j of B^{adj} that is non-zero and has only \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} entries: $B_{:,j}^{\text{adj}} \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^n \setminus \{0\}$. Then $B_{:,j}^{\text{adj}}$ is a \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector associated to the \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalue γ , and there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $v = \lambda B_{:,j}^{\text{adj}}$.*

Proof. First, v is a \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector associated to the \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalue γ if and only if v satisfies:

$$(10) \quad v \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^n \setminus \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad Bv \nabla 0 .$$

Moreover if $j \in [n]$ is such that $B_{:,j}^{\text{adj}} \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^n \setminus \{0\}$, then, by Proposition 2.54, we know that $B_{:,j}^{\text{adj}}$ is a \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector associated to the \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalue γ and thus a solution of (10).

Proof of i): Let $i, j \in [n]$ be such that $B_{i,j}^{\text{adj}} \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} \setminus \{0\}$. Denote $F := B[\hat{j},\hat{i}]$, $b := B_{j,i}$. Denote also P and Q the permutation matrices associated to the cycles $(1, \dots, i)$ and $(1, \dots, j)$ respectively. Then applying these permutations on B , we obtain:

$$(11) \quad B' := QBP^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ b & F \end{pmatrix} .$$

Applying the corresponding permutation on v , we obtain $v' := Pv = \begin{pmatrix} v_i \\ \tilde{v} \end{pmatrix}$ where \tilde{v} is obtained by eliminating the i -th entry of v . Then, we have:

$$(12) \quad Bv\nabla 0 \Leftrightarrow B'v'\nabla 0 \Rightarrow F\tilde{v}\nabla \ominus v_i b .$$

We claim that

$$(13) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \det(F) \\ \ominus F^{\text{adj}} b \end{pmatrix} = (\ominus \mathbb{1})^{i+j} P B_{:,j}^{\text{adj}} .$$

Let us first assume that (13) holds and show that any \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector v associated to the \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalue γ , or equivalently any solution of (10) satisfies $v \nabla \lambda B_{:,j}^{\text{adj}}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} \setminus \{0\}$.

Indeed, by (12), any solution v of (10) satisfies necessarily the equation $F\tilde{v}\nabla \ominus v_i b$. Then, applying the first part of Theorem 2.25 (Cramer's theorem), we deduce that $\det(F)\tilde{v} \nabla F^{\text{adj}}(\ominus v_i b) = \ominus v_i F^{\text{adj}} b$. Since $B_{i,j}^{\text{adj}} \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} \setminus \{0\}$, it is invertible, and it follows for instance from (13) that $\det(F) = (\ominus \mathbb{1})^{i+j} B_{i,j}^{\text{adj}}$ so is invertible. So, $\tilde{v} \nabla \det(F)^{-1}(\ominus v_i F^{\text{adj}} b)$. Using (13), we obtain that $Pv \nabla \det(F)^{-1} v_i \begin{pmatrix} \det(F) \\ \ominus F^{\text{adj}} b \end{pmatrix} = \det(F)^{-1} v_i (\ominus \mathbb{1})^{i+j} P B_{:,j}^{\text{adj}}$. Therefore $v \nabla \det(F)^{-1} v_i (\ominus \mathbb{1})^{i+j} B_{:,j}^{\text{adj}}$. In particular, if $v_i = 0$, then $v \nabla 0$ and so v is not in $(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^n \setminus \{0\}$, a contradiction with (10). Therefore $v_i \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} \setminus \{0\}$, and we get that any solution v of (10) satisfies $v \nabla \lambda B_{:,j}^{\text{adj}}$ for $\lambda = \det(F)^{-1} v_i (\ominus \mathbb{1})^{i+j} \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} \setminus \{0\}$.

Let us now show our claim, that is (13). First, we have that $(B')^{\text{adj}} = (P^{-1})^{\text{adj}} B^{\text{adj}} Q^{\text{adj}} = \det(P)^{-1} P B^{\text{adj}} \det(Q) Q^{-1}$ since P and Q are invertible matrices (see for instance [AGN18b, Cor. 2.35]). Therefore, we have $(B')_{:,1}^{\text{adj}} = (\ominus \mathbb{1})^{i+j} (P B^{\text{adj}})_{:,j}$, which is the right hand side of (13). The coordinates of $w = (B')_{:,1}^{\text{adj}}$ are $w_k = (B')_{k,1}^{\text{adj}} = (\ominus \mathbb{1})^{k+1} \det(B'[\hat{1}, k])$, $k \in [n]$. Using (11), we have clearly $w_1 = \det(F)$.

For $k \in [n-1]$, let us denote by F_k the matrix obtained from F after replacing its k -th column with b . Then, by Remark 2.26, we have that $(F^{\text{adj}} b)_k = \det(F_k)$. Let $B'[\hat{1}, :]$ be the matrix obtained from B' after eliminating the first row, we have $B'[\hat{1}, :] = \begin{pmatrix} b & F \end{pmatrix}$. Since b is the first column of this matrix, we have that F_k can also be obtained from the matrix $B'[\hat{1}, :]$ after eliminating the $k+1$ column, then doing $k-1$ swapping from the first column to the k -th column. So, $\det(F_k) = (\ominus \mathbb{1})^{k-1} \det(B'_{\hat{1}, k+1})$ and therefore, we have

$$\ominus (F^{\text{adj}} b)_k = \ominus \det(F_k) = (\ominus \mathbb{1})^k \det(B'_{\hat{1}, k+1}) = (B')_{k+1,1}^{\text{adj}} .$$

Proof of ii): If now $B_{:,j}^{\text{adj}} \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^n \setminus \{0\}$, with $(B^{\text{adj}})_{i,j} \neq 0$, then Point i) shows that $v \nabla \lambda B_{:,j}^{\text{adj}}$ for $\lambda = \det(F)^{-1} v_i (\ominus \mathbb{1})^{i+j} \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} \setminus \{0\}$. Since both sides of the balance equations are in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} , the second part of Property 2.19 implies the equality, and so we get that $v = \lambda B_{:,j}^{\text{adj}}$, which finishes the proof of (13). Note that this second part of the theorem can also be shown using the second part of Theorem 2.25 (Cramer's theorem). \square

Theorem 2.56. *Let A , γ and B as in Proposition 2.54. Assume that there exists an entry of B^{adj} which is non-zero, that is $B_{i,j}^{\text{adj}} \neq 0$ for some $i, j \in [n]$. Then there exists a \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector v associated to the \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalue γ such that $|v| = |B_{:,j}^{\text{adj}}|$ and $v_i = B_{i,j}^{\text{adj}}$ for all $i \in [n]$ satisfying $B_{i,j}^{\text{adj}} \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee}$.*

Proof. Using the same arguments and notations as in the proof of first point of Theorem 2.55, we have that v is a \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector v associated to the \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalue γ if and only the vector \tilde{v} satisfies (12). Moreover, $\det(F) = (\ominus \mathbb{1})^{i+j} B_{i,j}^{\text{adj}}$, so that $\det(F) \neq 0$. Applying Theorem 2.27,

we get that for any $v_i \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee}$, there exists \tilde{v} satisfying (12) and $|\tilde{v}| = |\det(F)|^{-1} |F^{\text{adj}}(\ominus v_i b)|$. Using again the same arguments as in the proof of first point of Theorem 2.55, we deduce that $|Pv| = |v_i| |\det(F)|^{-1} |PB_{:,j}^{\text{adj}}|$. Since P is a permutation matrix, choosing $v_i = |\det(F)|$, we obtain $|v| = |B_{:,j}^{\text{adj}}|$.

Now by the first point of Theorem 2.55, we know that there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $v \nabla \lambda B_{:,j}^{\text{adj}}$. If there exists $i \in [n]$ such that $B_{i,j}^{\text{adj}} \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} \setminus \{0\}$, then by the second point of Property 2.19, we have $v_i = \lambda B_{i,j}^{\text{adj}}$ and since $|v_i| = |B_{i,j}^{\text{adj}}|$, we deduce that $\lambda = \mathbb{1}$ or $\ominus \mathbb{1}$. Replacing v by $\lambda^{-1}v$, we get that v is a \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector v associated to the \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalue γ and is such that $v \nabla B_{:,j}^{\text{adj}}$ and $|v| = |B_{:,j}^{\text{adj}}|$. Using again the second point of Property 2.19, we deduce that $v_i = B_{i,j}^{\text{adj}}$ for all $i \in [n]$ such that $B_{i,j}^{\text{adj}} \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee}$. \square

3. TROPICAL POSITIVE (SEMI-)DEFINITE MATRICES AND THEIR EIGENPROBLEM

Tropical positive semi-definite matrices were introduced in [Yu15] and generalized in [AAGS23]. Here we consider also tropical positive definite matrices.

3.1. Tropical positive (semi-)definite matrices.

Definition 3.1 (TPD and TPSD matrices, compared with [AAGS23]). Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^{n \times n}$ be a symmetric matrix. It is said to be *tropical positive definite* (TPD) if

$$(14) \quad 0 < x^T A x, \text{ that is } x^T A x \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\oplus} \setminus \{0\}, \text{ for all } x \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^n \setminus \{0\} .$$

If the strict inequality required in Equation (14) is weakened to $0 \leq x^T A x$, then A is said to be *tropical positive semi-definite* (TPSD).

Throughout the paper, the set of $n \times n$ TPD and TPSD matrices over \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} , are denoted by $\text{TPD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})$ and $\text{TPSD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})$, respectively. Therefore we have $\text{TPD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee}) \subseteq \text{TPSD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})$.

We recall in Theorem 3.2 below the characterization of tropical positive definite matrices shown in [AAGS23].

Theorem 3.2 ([AAGS23]). *The set $\text{TPSD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})$ is equal to the set*

$$\{A = (a_{ij}) \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^{n \times n} : 0 \leq a_{ii} \forall i \in [n], a_{ij} = a_{ji} \text{ and } a_{ij}^2 \leq a_{ii} a_{jj} \forall i, j \in [n], i \neq j\} .$$

Using Theorem 3.2, one can obtain the following similar result for TPD matrices. We give a detailed proof in Appendix.

Theorem 3.3. *The set $\text{TPD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})$ is equal to the set*

$$\{A = (a_{ij}) \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^{n \times n} : 0 < a_{ii} \forall i \in [n], a_{ij} = a_{ji} \text{ and } a_{ij}^2 < a_{ii} a_{jj} \forall i, j \in [n], i \neq j\} .$$

Note that, in the above characterizations of TPSD and TPD matrices, the inequalities involve diagonal entries or the square of non-diagonal entries, which are all elements of $\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\oplus}$.

3.2. The \mathbb{S}_{\max} -characteristic polynomial of TPSD and TPD matrices. The following result will help us to compute the characteristic polynomial.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $A \in \text{TPSD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})$ with the diagonal elements $d_n \leq \dots \leq d_1$. Then, we have $\text{tr}_k A = \prod_{i \in [k]} d_i$ or $\text{tr}_k A = (\prod_{i \in [k]} d_i)^{\circ}$, so $\text{tr}_k A \geq 0$, and for $A \in \text{TPD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})$ we have $\text{tr}_k A = \prod_{i \in [k]} d_i > 0$.*

The proof will follows from the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in \text{TPSD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})$. Let σ be a cycle (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k) of length $k > 1$ in $[n]$ and let us denote by $[\sigma] = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k\}$ the set of its elements. Then*

- i) $|w(\sigma)| \leq \prod_{i \in [\sigma]} a_{ii}$.
- ii) Moreover, if $A \in \text{TPD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee)$ we have $|w(\sigma)| < \prod_{i \in [\sigma]} a_{ii}$.

Proof. Proof of Part 1: Let σ be the cycle (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k) . Since $A \in \text{TPSD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee)$ by Theorem 3.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{j_1 j_2}^2 &\leq a_{j_1 j_1} a_{j_2 j_2} \ , \\ a_{j_2 j_3}^2 &\leq a_{j_2 j_2} a_{j_3 j_3} \ , \\ &\vdots \\ a_{j_k j_1}^2 &\leq a_{j_k j_k} a_{j_1 j_1} \ . \end{aligned}$$

So, by the first part of Lemma 2.17 we have $a_{j_1 j_2}^2 a_{j_2 j_3}^2 \cdots a_{j_k j_1}^2 \leq a_{j_1 j_1}^2 a_{j_2 j_2}^2 \cdots a_{j_k j_k}^2$. Finally, using Lemma 2.18,

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{j_1 j_2} a_{j_2 j_3} \cdots a_{j_k j_1}| &\leq |a_{j_1 j_1} a_{j_2 j_2} \cdots a_{j_k j_k}| \\ &= a_{j_1 j_1} a_{j_2 j_2} \cdots a_{j_k j_k} \ , \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is due to the positiveness of diagonal elements of A .

Proof of Part 2: The proof of the Part 2 is obtained similarly by using the definition of TPD matrices instead of TPSD matrices and the second part of Lemma 2.17. \square

Lemma 3.6. *Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in \text{TPSD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee)$. Let π be any permutation of $[n]$. Then*

- i) $|w(\pi)| \leq \prod_{i \in [n]} a_{ii}$, with equality when π is the identity permutation.
- ii) Moreover, if $A \in \text{TPD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee)$ and π is different from the identity permutation, we have $|w(\pi)| < \prod_{i \in [n]} a_{ii}$.

Proof. Since every permutation of $[n]$ can be decomposed uniquely into disjoint cycles which cover $[n]$, Part 1 of Lemma 3.5 is true for any permutation, when replacing $[\sigma]$ by $[n]$. Moreover, if the permutation is different from identity, then applying Part 2 of Lemma 3.5 to all the cycles of length > 1 , we get Part 2 of Lemma 3.6. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.4. Let $k \in [n]$ and $A \in \text{TPSD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee)$. For any subset K of $[n]$ with cardinality k , the submatrix $A[K, K]$ is a positive semi-definite matrix over \mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee . Applying Part 1 of Lemma 3.6 to this matrix, we obtain that $|\det(A[K, K])| = \prod_{i \in K} a_{ii}$. Then, by Definition 2.48, and using that $d_1 \geq \cdots \geq d_n$, we get that $|\text{tr}_k A| = \prod_{i \in [k]} d_i$. Since, $\prod_{i \in [k]} d_i$ is one of the summands in the formula of $\text{tr}_k A$, we have $\text{tr}_k A \succcurlyeq \prod_{i \in [k]} d_i$. Therefore we conclude two possible cases: $\text{tr}_k A = \prod_{i \in [k]} d_i$ or $\text{tr}_k A = (\prod_{i \in [k]} d_i)^\circ$. Also, for $A \in \text{TPD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee)$, and any subset K of $[n]$ with cardinality k , the submatrix $A[K, K]$ is a positive definite matrix over \mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee . Therefore, applying Part 2 of Lemma 3.6 to this matrix, we obtain that there is no permutation π of K such that $|w(\pi)| = \prod_{i \in K} a_{ii}$, other than identity permutation. Hence, $\det(A[K, K]) = \prod_{i \in K} a_{ii}$. Since all the terms $\det(A[K, K])$ are in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^\oplus , we get that $\text{tr}_k A$ is also in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^\oplus , and so $\text{tr}_k A = \prod_{i \in [k]} d_i$. \square

Corollary 3.7. *For $A = (a_{ij}) \in \text{TPD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee)$ with the diagonal elements $d_n \leq \cdots \leq d_1$ we have*

$$P_A = \sum_{k=0}^n \oplus \left((\oplus \mathbb{1})^{n-k} \left(\prod_{i \in [n]-k} d_i \right) \right) \mathbf{X}^k \ .$$

Example 3.8. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & \mathbb{1} \\ \mathbb{1} & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{TPSD}_2(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\oplus)$. By Lemma 2.49, the formal characteristic polynomial of A is $P_A = \mathbf{X}^2 \ominus \mathbf{X} \oplus \mathbb{1}^\circ$, which shows that the formal characteristic polynomial associated to TPSD matrices may have balance elements. In Example 2.39 we considered the \mathbb{S}_{\max} -roots and \mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee -roots of P_A which are the same as \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalues and \mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee -eigenvalues of A .

Remark 3.9. In usual algebra, semi-definite matrices which are not definite have the eigenvalue 0, here this is replaced by the fact that the characteristic polynomial has a balanced constant coefficient and that there is an infinite number of \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalues.

Example 3.10. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. We have $A \in \text{TPD}_3(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee)$ and $\wedge^1 A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$,

$$\wedge^2 A = \begin{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} & \det \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \det \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \det \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \det \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \det \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \det \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \det \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \det \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 4 & 3^\circ \\ 4 & 4 & 3 \\ 3^\circ & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix},$$

and $\wedge^3 A = \det \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 6$. Therefore $\text{tr}_0 A = \mathbb{1}$, $\text{tr}_1 A = 3$, $\text{tr}_2 A = 5$ and $\text{tr}_3 A = 6$. So, we have $P_A = \mathbb{X}^3 \ominus 3\mathbb{X}^2 \oplus 5\mathbb{X} \ominus 6$. Figure 1 illustrates the plot of P_A .




Figure 1. Plot of $P_A = \mathbb{X}^3 \ominus 3\mathbb{X}^2 \oplus 5\mathbb{X} \ominus 6$ in Example 3.10. The solid black line illustrates \widehat{P}_A . The points of discontinuity of \widehat{P}_A are 1, 2 and 3 which are the roots of P_A .

3.3. \mathbb{T}_{\max} -Eigenvalues and \mathbb{S}_{\max} -Eigenvalues of TPSD and TPD matrices. Let A be a TPSD matrix. In the following theorem, we compute the \mathbb{T}_{\max} -eigenvalues of $|A|$.

Theorem 3.11. *Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in \text{TPSD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee)$. Then the \mathbb{T}_{\max} -eigenvalues of $|A| = (|a_{ij}|) \in (\mathbb{T}_{\max})^{n \times n}$ are the diagonal elements of $|A|$ counted with multiplicities.*

Proof. Let $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n$ be the diagonal elements of $|A|$. W.l.o.g let $d_1 \neq 0$. Therefore, for $i \in [n]$ we get that $\text{tr}_i A \neq 0$. Otherwise $d_1 = \dots = d_n = 0$ and since $A \in \text{TPSD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee)$ we have $A = 0$ and the proof is straightforward. Using Remark 2.23 the characteristic polynomial of $|A|$ over \mathbb{T}_{\max} is $P_{|A|} = \sum_{k=0, \dots, n}^\oplus (\text{tr}_{n-k} A) \mathbf{X}^k$. By Theorem 3.4 for $k = 2, \dots, n$

$$d_{k-1} = \text{tr}_{k-1} A - \text{tr}_{k-2} A \geq \text{tr}_k A - \text{tr}_{k-1} A = d_k.$$

Finally, using Equation (6) together with Lemma 2.37 we deduce the result. \square

Let us consider Example 3.8 again. The \mathbb{S}_{\max} -characteristic polynomial of A has the polynomial function $\widehat{P}_A(x) = x^2 \oplus \mathbb{1}^\circ$ which is not a polynomial function in $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee)$. So we are not interested in considering the \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalues of TPSD matrices. From here on we prove our results only for the case of TPD matrices.

Theorem 3.12. *Let $A \in \text{TPD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee)$. The diagonal elements of A are precisely the \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalues of A , counted with multiplicities.*

Proof. Let $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n$ be the diagonal elements of A . Using Corollary 3.7 we have

$$(15) \quad P_A(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_k^\oplus ((\ominus \mathbb{1})^k d_1 \dots d_k) \mathbf{X}^{n-k}$$

and therefore by Equation (6) and Lemma 2.37 we have

$$|P_A|(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_k^\oplus (d_1 \dots d_k) \mathbf{X}^{n-k} = (\mathbf{X} \oplus d_1) \dots (\mathbf{X} \oplus d_n).$$

Moreover, using Equation (15) we have $P_{n-i+1} = (\ominus \mathbb{1})^{i-1} \text{tr}_{i-1} A$ and $\ominus P_{n-i} = (\ominus \mathbb{1})^{i+1} \text{tr}_i A$. Therefore $d_i P_{n-i+1} = \ominus P_{n-i}$ and by Theorem 2.41, d_i , $i \in [n]$ are the \mathbb{S}_{\max} -roots of P_A . Also since all the diagonal elements of A (d_i , $i \in [n]$) are positive, Corollary 2.42 and Theorem 2.44 give us that P_A has a unique factorization and that the multiplicity of a diagonal element as a \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalue of A coincides with the number of its occurrences as a diagonal element. \square

3.4. \mathbb{S}_{\max} -Eigenvectors of TPD matrices. We now specialize some of the properties proved in Section 2.6.3

Proposition 3.13. *Let $A \in \text{TPD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee)$, and set $\gamma_i = a_{ii}$ for $i \in [n]$. Assume that $\gamma_1 \succ \gamma_2 \succ \dots \succ \gamma_n$, and define $B_k = \gamma_k I \ominus A$ for some $k \in [n]$. Then, all the diagonal entries of $(B_k)^{\text{adj}}$ are non-zero and they are all in \mathbb{S}_{\max}° except possibly the k -th diagonal entry, which is also in \mathbb{S}_{\max}° if and only if γ_k is not a simple \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalue.*

Proof. Note that all the γ_k are different from 0 . Indeed, the modulus of B_k is a positive semi-definite matrix with diagonal entries equal to $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-1}, \gamma_k, \dots, \gamma_n$. So all $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ principal submatrices are also of same type and so have a determinant modulus equal to the product of its diagonal entries moduli. Since the determinant is also \succ to this product, it is non-zero and we get that it is in \mathbb{S}_{\max}° , if the product is in \mathbb{S}_{\max}° . This is the case for all the principal submatrices which contain the k -th diagonal element of B_k . This is also the case, when γ_k is not a simple \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalue. If γ_k is a simple \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalue, then one can show that the k th diagonal entry of $(B_k)^{\text{adj}}$ is equal to $(\ominus \mathbb{1})^{k-1} \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_{k-1} \gamma_k^{n-k}$, so is not in \mathbb{S}_{\max}° . \square

Note that γ_k is a simple \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalue if and only if $\gamma_{k-1} \succ \gamma_k \succ \gamma_{k+1}$, with the convention $\gamma_{n+1} = 0$. By Proposition 2.54, special weak \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvectors associated to the eigenvalue γ_k are the columns of $(B_k)^{\text{adj}}$ which are not in $(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\circ)^n$. When γ_k is simple, the above result shows that among the columns of $(B_k)^{\text{adj}}$, the k -th column is necessarily a weak \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector associated to γ_k , and that the other columns cannot be \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvectors. Hence, the k -th column is the only candidate to be a \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector, we shall denote it by $v^{(k)}$.

Corollary 3.14. Let $A \in \text{TPD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee)$, and $\gamma = \gamma_k$ and $B = B_k$ be as in Proposition 3.13. Assume that γ is a simple \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalue. Let

$$(16) \quad v^{(k)} := (B_k)_{:,k}^{\text{adj}}.$$

Then we have the following properties:

- i) $v^{(k)}$ is a weak \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector associated to γ , such that $v^{(k)} \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee \setminus \{0\}$.
- ii) There exists a \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector v associated to γ such that $|v| = |v^{(k)}|$ and $v_i = v_i^{(k)}$ for all $i \in [n]$ satisfying $v_i^{(k)} \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee$, in particular for $i = k$.
- iii) Any \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector v associated to γ satisfies $v \nabla \lambda v^{(k)}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. Since γ is simple, Proposition 3.13 shows that $(B_k)_{k,k}^{\text{adj}} \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee \setminus \{0\}$. Then, Point i) follows from Proposition 2.54.

Point ii) follows from Theorem 2.56, using that $(B_k)_{k,k}^{\text{adj}} \neq 0$, and the fact that $i = k$ is possible follows from $(B_k)_{k,k}^{\text{adj}} \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee \setminus \{0\}$.

Point iii) follows from the first part of Theorem 2.55 using that $(B_k)_{k,k}^{\text{adj}} \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee \setminus \{0\}$. \square

Remark 3.15. If γ is not necessarily simple, then Point ii) in Corollary 3.14 still holds, except that $i = k$ may not satisfy the property. Indeed, this follows from Theorem 2.56, using that $(B_k)_{k,k}^{\text{adj}} \neq 0$, and the later is always true for a positive definite matrix A . Moreover, the same holds by replacing $v^{(k)}$ by any column of $(B_k)^{\text{adj}}$, since all diagonal entries of $(B_k)^{\text{adj}}$ are non-zero, by Proposition 3.13.

Corollary 3.16. Let $A \in \text{TPD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee)$, and $\gamma = \gamma_k$ and $B = B_k$ be as in Proposition 3.13. Assume there exists a column j of B^{adj} which is in $(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee)^n \setminus \{0\}$ (as in Theorem 2.55). Then, $j = k$, and any \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector is a multiple of $B_{:,j}^{\text{adj}}$ and γ is a simple (algebraic) \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalue of A .

Proof. Assume there exists a column j of B^{adj} which is in $(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee)^n \setminus \{0\}$. Proposition 3.13 shows that any column of B^{adj} different from the k -th column has a non-zero balanced coefficient, and so $j = k$. Also, if γ is not simple, the same holds for the j -th column. This shows that γ is a simple (algebraic) \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalue of A . Finally, by the second part of Theorem 2.55, any \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector associated to the eigenvalue γ is a multiple of $B_{:,k}^{\text{adj}}$. \square

In Example 3.18 and Example 3.19, we shall see that even though the entries of A are in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee , and that A has n distinct eigenvalues, there may exist eigenvalues γ_k such $v^{(k)}$ (and thus any column of $(B_k)^{\text{adj}}$) is not a \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector, and that this may hold for the maximal eigenvalue, see Example 3.19.

Example 3.17. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & \ominus 2 & 1 \\ \ominus 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. It is immediate to see that $A \in \text{TPD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee)$ with the \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalues $\gamma_1 = a_{11} = 3$, $\gamma_2 = a_{22} = 2$ and $\gamma_3 = a_{33} = 1$. We get

$$B_1 = \gamma_1 I \ominus A = \begin{pmatrix} 3^\circ & 2 & \ominus 1 \\ 2 & 3 & \ominus 1 \\ \ominus 1 & \ominus 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow (B_1)^{\text{adj}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{6} & \ominus 5 & 4 \\ \ominus 5 & \mathbf{6}^\circ & 4^\circ \\ 4 & 4^\circ & \mathbf{6}^\circ \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow v^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ \ominus 5 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

For the \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector associated to $\gamma_2 = a_{22}$ we have

$$B_2 = \gamma_2 I \ominus A = \begin{pmatrix} \ominus 3 & 2 & \ominus 1 \\ 2 & 2^\circ & \ominus 1 \\ \ominus 1 & \ominus 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow (B_2)^{\text{adj}} = \begin{pmatrix} 4^\circ & \ominus 4 & 3^\circ \\ \ominus 4 & \ominus 5 & \ominus 4 \\ 3^\circ & \ominus 4 & 5^\circ \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow v^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \ominus 4 \\ \ominus 5 \\ \ominus 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

Also, we have

$$B_3 = \gamma_3 I \ominus A = \begin{pmatrix} \ominus 3 & 2 & \ominus 1 \\ 2 & \ominus 2 & \ominus 1 \\ \ominus 1 & \ominus 1 & 1^\circ \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow (B_3)^{\text{adj}} = \begin{pmatrix} 3^\circ & 3^\circ & \ominus 3 \\ 3^\circ & 4^\circ & \ominus 4 \\ \ominus 3 & \ominus 4 & \mathbf{5} \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow v^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} \ominus 3 \\ \ominus 4 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that $v^{(1)} \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee)^n \setminus \{0\}$ and

$$Av^{(1)} = \gamma_1 v^{(1)} = (9 \ \ominus 8 \ 7)^T.$$

Therefore $v^{(1)}$ is a strong \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector. Also, $v^{(2)}$ and $v^{(3)}$ are \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvectors since $v^{(2)}$ and $v^{(3)} \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee)^n \setminus \{0\}$ and

$$Av^{(2)} = (7^\circ \ \ominus 7 \ \ominus 6)^T \nabla \gamma_2 v^{(2)} = (\ominus 6 \ \ominus 7 \ \ominus 6)^T,$$

and

$$Av^{(3)} = (6^\circ \ 6^\circ \ 6)^T \nabla \gamma_3 v^{(3)} = (\ominus 4 \ \ominus 5 \ 6)^T.$$

They are not strong eigenvectors.

Example 3.18. For another example, let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. As in the previous example, $A \in$

$\text{TPD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee)$ with the \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalues $\gamma_1 = a_{11} = 3$, $\gamma_2 = a_{22} = 2$ and $\gamma_3 = a_{33} = 1$. We obtain this time:

$$v^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 5 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad v^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ \ominus 5 \\ \ominus 4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad v^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 3^\circ \\ \ominus 4 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that $v^{(1)} \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee)^n \setminus \{0\}$ and

$$Av^{(1)} = \gamma_1 v^{(1)} = (9 \ 8 \ 7)^T.$$

Therefore $v^{(1)}$ is a strong \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector. Also, $v^{(2)}$ is a \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector but not a strong one since $v^{(2)} \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee)^n \setminus \{0\}$ and

$$Av^{(2)} = (7^\circ \ \ominus 7 \ \ominus 6)^T \neq \gamma_2 v^{(2)} = (6 \ \ominus 7 \ \ominus 6)^T,$$

and $v^{(3)}$ is a weak \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector and not a \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector since it has one balanced entries.

Example 3.19. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & \ominus 2 & 0 \\ \ominus 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{TPD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee)$ with again \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalues $\gamma_1 = a_{11} = 3$,

$\gamma_2 = a_{22} = 2$ and $\gamma_3 = a_{33} = 1$. We have $Av^{(1)} = \gamma_1 v^{(1)}$, but

$$v^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ \ominus 5 \\ 3^\circ \end{pmatrix} \notin (\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee)^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

By Corollary 3.14 we know that there is at least one \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvectors of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ \ominus 5 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ \ominus 5 \\ \ominus 3 \end{pmatrix}$.

In this example, both are \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvectors.

3.5. Computing the leading \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector using Kleene's star. In Corollary 3.16 we gave a condition under which a \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector associated to a \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalue of a tropical positive definite matrix is unique up to a multiplicative constant. We shall give here another characterization of such a \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector using Kleene's star of matrices, see Definition 2.29. We shall first consider the case when the eigenvalue is the greatest one, in which case, we speak of a *leading \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector*.

The following well known result is usually written using the maximal cycle mean which is equal to the maximal (algebraic or geometric) eigenvalue of A .

Lemma 3.20. *For $A \in (\mathbb{T}_{\max})^{n \times n}$, A^* exists (in \mathbb{T}_{\max}) if and only if all its eigenvalues are ≤ 1 , and then $A^* = I \oplus A \oplus \dots \oplus A^{n-1}$.*

The following result follows from idempotency of addition in \mathbb{S}_{\max} .

Lemma 3.21. *For $A \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max})^{n \times n}$ we have $\sum_{k=0, \dots, m}^{\oplus} A^k = (I \oplus A)^m$. □*

Lemma 3.22. *If $A \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max})^{n \times n}$ and $|A|^*$ exists, then $A^* \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max})^{n \times n}$ exists.*

Proof. $\{\sum_{k=0, \dots, m}^{\oplus} A^k\}_m$ is a non-decreasing sequence with respect to m for the order relation \preceq (Definition 2.10) and its absolute value $|\sum_{k=0, \dots, m}^{\oplus} A^k| = \sum_{k=0, \dots, m}^{\oplus} |A|^k$ which is stationary for $m \geq n$, and equal to $|A|^*$, by Lemma 3.20. So for $m \geq n$ the sequence is non-decreasing but can only take a finite number of values (the matrices B such that $|B| = |A|^*$). Therefore, there exists $m_0 \geq n$ such that $\sum_{k=0, \dots, m}^{\oplus} A^k$ is stationary for $m \geq m_0$. □

We first state the main result of this section, which computes the vector $v^{(1)}$ for a matrix $A \in \text{TPD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})$ as in Proposition 3.13, using Kleene's star of the matrix $\gamma^{-1}A$.

Theorem 3.23. *Let $A \in \text{TPD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})$, γ_k and B_k be as in Proposition 3.13. Assume that $\gamma = \gamma_1$ is simple as an algebraic \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalue of A , that is $\gamma_1 \succ \gamma_2$. Then, we have*

$$v^{(1)} = (\gamma I \ominus A)_{:,1}^{\text{adj}} = \gamma^{n-1} (\gamma^{-1} A)_{:,1}^* .$$

Moreover $Av^{(1)} = \gamma v^{(1)}$. In particular, when $v^{(1)} \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^n$, $v^{(1)}$ is the unique leading \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector, and this is a strong \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector.

We decompose the proof of Theorem 3.23 into the following lemmas which hold for A as in the theorem.

Lemma 3.24. *Let \underline{A} be the matrix obtained by replacing the diagonal entries of A by $\mathbb{0}$. Then, we have $(I \ominus \gamma^{-1}A)_{:,1}^{\text{adj}} = (I \ominus \gamma^{-1}\underline{A})_{:,1}^{\text{adj}}$.*

Proof. We have $A = \underline{A} \oplus D$ where D is the diagonal matrix with same diagonal entries as A , that is $D = (d_{ij})$ with $d_{ij} = 0$ for $i \neq j$ and $d_{ij} = a_{ij}$ for $i = j$. The matrix $I \ominus \gamma^{-1}D$ is a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries equal to $\mathbb{1}$ except for the first one which is equal to $\mathbb{1} \ominus \gamma^{-1}d_{11} = \mathbb{1}^{\circ}$. So we get that

$$(I \ominus \gamma^{-1}A)_{:,1}^{\text{adj}} = (I \ominus \gamma^{-1}D \ominus \gamma^{-1}\underline{A})_{:,1}^{\text{adj}} = (I \ominus \gamma^{-1}\underline{A})_{:,1}^{\text{adj}}$$

where the last equality is by the fact that the entries of $I \ominus \gamma^{-1}\underline{A}$ and $I \ominus \gamma^{-1}D \ominus \gamma^{-1}\underline{A}$ only differ in the $(1, 1)$ entry, which does not change the first column (and first row) of the adjugate matrix. □

Definition 3.25 (Definite matrix). A matrix $F = (f_{ij}) \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max})^{n \times n}$ is definite if $\det(F) = f_{ii} = \mathbb{1} \forall i \in [n]$.

Lemma 3.26. *Let \underline{A} be as in Lemma 3.24. Then $I \ominus \gamma^{-1}\underline{A}$ is definite.*

Proof. Let $F = (f_{ij}) := I \ominus \gamma^{-1}\underline{A}$. We have $f_{ii} = \mathbb{1} \forall i \in [n]$, and $f_{ij} = \ominus\gamma^{-1}a_{ij}$ for $i \neq j \in [n]$. Since A is a TPD matrix with all diagonal entries $\preceq \gamma$, we get that all off-diagonal entries of $\gamma^{-1}A$. Therefore all weights of cycles of $\gamma^{-1}\underline{A}$ have an absolute value $\prec \mathbb{1}$. This implies that $\det(F) = \mathbb{1}$ and so F is a definite matrix. \square

Lemma 3.27 (Corollary of [AGN18b, Th. 2.39]). *Let A and \underline{A} be as in Lemma 3.24. Then, $(\gamma^{-1}\underline{A})^*$ exists and we have $(\gamma I \ominus \underline{A})^{\text{adj}} = \gamma^{n-1}(\gamma^{-1}\underline{A})^*$.*

Proof. As said in the previous proof, all weights of cycles of $\gamma^{-1}\underline{A}$ have an absolute value $\prec \mathbb{1}$. Since $\gamma^{-1}\underline{A}$ has diagonal entries equal to 0, and $I \ominus \gamma^{-1}\underline{A}$ is definite by previous lemma, we get the assertions in Lemma 3.27, by applying [AGN18b, Th. 2.39]. \square

Lemma 3.28. *Let A and \underline{A} be as in Lemma 3.24. Then $(\gamma^{-1}\underline{A})^* = (\gamma^{-1}A)^*$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we prove the result when $\gamma = \mathbb{1}$. Using Lemma 3.20 together with Lemma 3.22, we get that A^* exist. Suppose that the sequences $\{\sum_{k \in [m]}^{\oplus} A^k\}_m$ and $\{\sum_{k \in [m]}^{\oplus} \underline{A}^k\}_m$ be stationary for $m \geq m_1$ and $m \geq m_2$, respectively. Let $m' = \max\{m_1, m_2\}$. Then we have

$$\underline{A}^* = \sum_{k=0, \dots, m'}^{\oplus} \underline{A}^k = (I \oplus \underline{A})^{m'} = (I \oplus A)^{m'} = \sum_{k=0, \dots, m'}^{\oplus} A^k = A^*,$$

where the second and fourth equalities are by Lemma 3.21, and the third one is by definition of \underline{A} . \square

Proof of Theorem 3.23. Consider $A' = \gamma^{-1}A$. Using the multi-linearity of determinant, or using [AGN18b, Cor. 2.35], we get

$$v^{(1)} = (\gamma I \ominus A)^{\text{adj}}_{:,1} = \gamma^{n-1}(I \ominus A')^{\text{adj}}_{:,1}$$

and using Lemma 3.24, Lemma 3.27 and Lemma 3.28, we get the respective equalities

$$(I \ominus A')^{\text{adj}}_{:,1} = (I \ominus \gamma^{-1}\underline{A})^{\text{adj}}_{:,1} = (\gamma^{-1}\underline{A})^*_{:,1} = (\gamma^{-1}A)^*_{:,1} = (A')^*_{:,1}.$$

This shows the first assertion of Theorem 3.23. Since $(A')^* = I \oplus A'(A')^*$ and $[A'(A')^*]_{11} \succ A'_{11} = \mathbb{1}$, we get that $(A')^*_{11} = \mathbb{1} \oplus [A'(A')^*]_{11} = [A'(A')^*]_{11}$, and so $A'(A')^*_{:,1} = (A')^*_{:,1}$, which with the first assertion, shows the second assertion of Theorem 3.23. The last assertion follows from Corollary 3.16. \square

Corollary 3.29. *Let A be as in Theorem 3.23. Assume that all the entries of A are positive or 0, that is are in $\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\oplus}$. Then, $v^{(1)}$ has also positive or 0 entries, and thus it is necessarily a strong \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector.*

Remark 3.30. The previous result shows that for a positive definite matrix with positive or zero entries, the leading \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector of A is positive. This is not the case in general for the other eigenvectors. For instance, in Example 3.18, $v^{(2)}$ contains positive and negative coordinates, and since it is in $(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^n$, this is the unique eigenvector associated to γ_2 . Moreover, $v^{(3)}$ contains balance entries.

Remark 3.31. Consider the matrix A in Example 3.19 and let $\gamma = 3$. We have

$$(\gamma^{-1}A)^* = (\gamma^{-1}\underline{A})^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \ominus(-1) & (-3)^{\circ} \\ \ominus(-1) & 0 & -2 \\ (-3)^{\circ} & -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So by Theorem 3.23, $v^{(1)} = \gamma^2(\gamma^{-1}A)^*_{:,1} = 6 \odot (0 \ \ominus(-1) \ (-3)^{\circ})^{\top}$. Theorem 3.23 also shows that $Av^{(1)} = \gamma v^{(1)}$. However, $v^{(1)}$ is not in $(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^n$, and the two possible \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvectors mentioned in Example 3.19 are not strong \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvectors.

The later remark is indeed a general result, as follows.

Corollary 3.32. *Let A and γ be as in Theorem 3.23. If $v^{(1)}$ does not belong to $(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^n$, then A has no strong \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector associated to the eigenvalue γ .*

Proof. Assume that w is a strong \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector associated to the eigenvalue γ . This means that $w \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $\gamma w = Aw$. This implies $w = (\gamma^{-1}A)^*w$ and so $w \succ (\gamma^{-1}A)_{:,1}^* w_1$. Since w is necessarily a \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector, Corollary 3.14 shows that there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $w \nabla \lambda v^{(1)}$. We also have $v_1^{(1)} \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} \setminus \{0\}$, so $w_1 = \lambda v_1^{(1)}$, by Property 2.19. By Theorem 3.23, we have $v^{(1)} = \gamma^{n-1}(\gamma^{-1}A)_{:,1}^* = v_1^{(1)}(\gamma^{-1}A)_{:,1}^*$, therefore $\lambda v^{(1)} = w_1(\gamma^{-1}A)_{:,1}^* \preceq w$. Since $w \nabla \lambda v^{(1)}$, and $w \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^n \setminus \{0\}$ this implies, by Property 2.19, that $w = \lambda v^{(1)}$ and so $v^{(1)} \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^n \setminus \{0\}$, a contradiction. \square

3.6. Computing all \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvectors using Kleene's star.

Theorem 3.33. *Let A , γ_k and B_k be as in Proposition 3.13. Assume that $\gamma = \gamma_k$ is simple as an algebraic \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalue of A . Let $D^{(k)}$ be the $n \times n$ diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-1}, 0, \dots, 0$, let $A^{(k)}$ be the complementary of $D^{(k)}$ in A , that is $A^{(k)}$ has entries $[A^{(k)}]_{ij} = a_{ij}$ when $i \neq j$ or $i = j \geq k$, and 0 elsewhere, so that $A = D^{(k)} \oplus A^{(k)}$. Then, we have*

$$v^{(k)} = (B_k)_{:,k}^{\text{adj}} = \lambda_k ((\gamma I \ominus D^{(k)})^{-1} A^{(k)})_{:,k}^* \quad \text{with } \lambda_k = (\ominus \mathbb{1})^{k-1} \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{k-1} \gamma^{n-k},$$

and $v^{(k)}$ satisfies $A^{(k)} v^{(k)} = \gamma v^{(k)} \ominus D^{(k)} v^{(k)}$.

Moreover, if $v^{(k)} \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^n$, $v^{(k)}$ is the unique \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector associated to the eigenvalue γ (up to a multiplicative factor).

Proof. Let A , γ_k , B_k , $D^{(k)}$ and $A^{(k)}$ be as in the theorem. We have $A = D^{(k)} \oplus A^{(k)}$, so $B_k = \gamma I \ominus A = \gamma I \ominus D^{(k)} \ominus A^{(k)}$. Since $\gamma_1 \succ \cdots \succ \gamma_{k-1} \succ \gamma_k = \gamma \succ \gamma_{k+1} \cdots \gamma_n$, the matrix $\gamma I \ominus D^{(k)}$ is diagonal with diagonal entries equal to $\ominus \gamma_1, \dots, \ominus \gamma_{k-1}, \gamma, \dots, \gamma$, so is invertible. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} (B_k)^{\text{adj}} &= (I \ominus (\gamma I \ominus D^{(k)})^{-1} A^{(k)})^{\text{adj}} (\gamma I \ominus D^{(k)})^{\text{adj}} \\ &= (I \ominus (\gamma I \ominus D^{(k)})^{-1} A^{(k)})^{\text{adj}} \det(\gamma I \ominus D^{(k)}) (\gamma I \ominus D^{(k)})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, setting $\lambda_k = (\ominus \mathbb{1})^{k-1} \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{k-1} \gamma^{n-k}$, we get that the k -th column satisfies $v^{(k)} = (B_k)_{:,k}^{\text{adj}} = \lambda_k (I \ominus (\gamma I \ominus D^{(k)})^{-1} A^{(k)})_{:,k}^{\text{adj}}$. Let $A' = (\gamma I \ominus D^{(k)})^{-1} A^{(k)}$. Then, the formula of $v^{(k)}$ in the theorem holds as soon as $(A')_{:,k}^* = (I \ominus A')_{:,k}^{\text{adj}}$.

One shows this property by the same arguments as for the proof of Theorem 3.23.

First, writting $A' = \underline{A}' \oplus D$ where D is the diagonal matrix with same diagonal entries as A' , and $\underline{A}' = (\gamma I \ominus D^{(k)})^{-1} \underline{A}$, we see that $I \ominus D$ is a diagonal matrix with entries equal to $\mathbb{1}$ except for the k -th entry which is equal to $\mathbb{1}^\circ$. So by the same arguments as in Lemma 3.24, we get $(I \ominus A')_{:,k}^{\text{adj}} = (I \ominus \underline{A}')_{:,k}^{\text{adj}}$.

Second, although some off-diagonal entries of A' may have an absolute value $\succ \mathbb{1}$, all weights of cycles of length ≥ 2 have an absolute value $\prec \mathbb{1}$. So, as in the proof of Lemma 3.26, we have that $I \ominus A'$ is definite.

Then, the arguments of Lemma 3.27 and Lemma 3.28 apply when replacing the matrix $\gamma^{-1}A$ by A' and $\gamma^{-1}\underline{A}$ by \underline{A}' , and shows that $(A')^*$ exists and $(I \ominus \underline{A}')^{\text{adj}} = (\underline{A}')^*$ and $(\underline{A}')^* = (A')^*$.

All together, this implies that $(A')_{:,k}^* = (\underline{A}')_{:,k}^* = (I \ominus \underline{A}')_{:,k}^{\text{adj}} = (I \ominus A')_{:,k}^{\text{adj}}$, which is what we wanted to prove, and finishes the proof of the first assertion.

For the last assertion, we proceed again as in the proof of Theorem 3.23. Since $(A')^* = I \oplus A'(A')^*$ and $[A'(A')^*]_{kk} \succ A'_{kk} = \mathbb{1}$, we get that $(A')_{kk}^* = \mathbb{1} \oplus [A'(A')^*]_{kk} = [A'(A')^*]_{kk}$, and so $A'(A')_{:,k}^* = (A')_{:,k}^*$, which with the first assertion, shows the second assertion of Theorem 3.33.

The last assertion follows from Corollary 3.16. \square

The construction of the system of equations $A^{(k)}v^{(k)} = \gamma v^{(k)} \ominus D^{(k)}v^{(k)}$ in Theorem 3.33 can be compared to the ones proposed in [NWW20, NSW21, NWW21] for the definition of “algebraic eigenvectors”. The novelty here is to consider *signed* tropical matrices. Moreover, considering symmetric positive definite matrices leads to a special form of this construction: the “multi-circuits” of the above references are only unions of loops, so that the equation involves a diagonal matrix.

We can also show a similar result as Corollary 3.32 for all the eigenvalues.

Corollary 3.34. *Let A and γ be as in Theorem 3.33. If $v^{(k)}$ does not belong to $(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^n$, or if A is irreducible, then A has no strong \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector associated to the eigenvalue γ .*

Proof. Assume by contradiction that w is a strong \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector associated to the eigenvalue γ . This means that $w \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $\gamma w = Aw$. Using the decomposition $A = A^{(k)} + D^{(k)}$ of Theorem 3.33, we see that $\gamma_k w \succcurlyeq D^{(k)}w$, hence $\gamma_k w_i \succcurlyeq \gamma_i w_i$, for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$. Since $\gamma_i \succcurlyeq \gamma_k$, this implies that $w_i = 0$, for all $i = 1, \dots, k-1$. Then $D^{(k)}w = 0$ and we get $A^{(k)}w = Aw = \gamma w = (\gamma I \ominus D^{(k)})w$. This implies $w = ((\gamma \ominus D^{(k)})^{-1}A)^*w$. Using the same arguments as in the proof of Corollary 3.32, with Theorem 3.33 instead of Theorem 3.23, we deduce that $w = \lambda v^{(k)}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} \setminus \{0\}$. This implies that $v^{(k)} \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^n \setminus \{0\}$ and that the first entries $v_i^{(k)}$ with $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ are equal to 0. If A is irreducible, then so does $(\gamma \ominus D^{(k)})^{-1}A$, and by Property 2.30, $((\gamma \ominus D^{(k)})^{-1}A)^*$ has only non-zero entries. This implies that the vector $v^{(k)} = \lambda_k((\gamma \ominus D^{(k)})^{-1}A)^*_{:,k}$ has no zero entries, a contradiction. \square

3.7. Generic uniqueness of \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalues and \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvectors. Example 3.19 shows that a \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector is not necessarily unique up to a multiplicative constant, even when the corresponding \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalue is simple and even when this eigenvalue is the greatest one.

Although there are many examples as above, we also have the following result, which shows that generically the \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalues are unique and the \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvectors are unique up to a multiplicative constant.

To any matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in [n]} \in \text{TPD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})$, we associate the vector $\Psi(A) = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n}$ of $(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^{n(n+1)/2}$. The map Ψ is one to one and we denote by UTP the image of $\text{TPD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})$ by the map Ψ . Similarly to univariate polynomials, see Section 2.5, multivariate formal polynomials and polynomial functions can be defined in any semiring \mathcal{S} . We can also consider formal Laurent polynomials, which are sequences $P_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{S}$ indexed by elements $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ (where d is the number of variables and \mathbb{Z} is the set of relative integers), such that $P_{\alpha} = 0$ for all but a finite number of indices α . Then the Laurent polynomial function \widehat{P} can only be applied to vectors $x \in (\mathcal{S} \setminus \{0\})^d$.

The following result shows that generically, a matrix $A \in \text{TPD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})$ has simple \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalues and unique \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvectors associated all its eigenvalues, up to multiplicative constants, and these \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvectors are the vectors $v^{(k)}$.

Theorem 3.35. *There exist a finite number of formal polynomials $(P_k)_{k \in I}$ over \mathbb{S}_{\max} , with coefficients in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} and $n(n+1)/2$ variables, such that for any matrix $A \in \text{TPD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})$, and $x = \Psi(A)$, satisfying $\widehat{P}_k(x) \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} \setminus \{0\}$ for all $k \in I$, we have that A has simple \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalues and all the vectors $v^{(k)}$ defined as above are in $(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} \setminus \{0\})^n$.*

Proof. Let $x = \Psi(A)$ for some matrix $A \in \text{TPD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})$, then $x = (x_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^{n(n+1)/2}$, $x_{ii} \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\oplus}$, for all $i \in [n]$ and $x_{ij} < x_{ii} \odot x_{jj}$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. The \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalues of A are simple if and only if $x_{ii} \neq x_{jj}$ for all $i \neq j$. Take for all $i \neq j$, the formal polynomial P_{ij} equal to $x_{ii} \ominus x_{jj}$, we get that the latter holds if and only if $\widehat{P}_{ij}(x)$ is not balanced for all $i \neq j$.

If this holds, then there is a permutation of the indices $i \in [n]$, such that x satisfies $x_{11} > \dots > x_{nn}$. Then, the vectors $v^{(k)}$ are given by the formula in Theorem 3.33, that is, for all $i \neq k$, we

have $v_i^{(k)} = \lambda_k((\gamma_k I \ominus D^{(k)})^{-1} A^{(k)})_{i,k}^*$ where $\gamma_k = a_{kk} = x_{kk}$, $\lambda_k = v_k^{(k)} = (\ominus \mathbb{1})^{k-1} \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{k-1} \gamma_k^{n-k}$, $\gamma_k I \ominus D^{(k)}$ is the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $\ominus \gamma_1, \dots, \ominus \gamma_{k-1}, \gamma_k, \dots, \gamma_k$, or equivalently $\ominus x_{11}, \dots, \ominus x_{k-1,k-1}, x_{kk}, \dots, x_{kk}$, and $A^{(k)}$ has entries $A_{ij}^{(k)} = a_{ij} = x_{ij}$ when $i < j$, or $i = j \geq k$, $A_{ij}^{(k)} = a_{ij} = x_{ji}$ when $j < i$, and $\mathbb{0}$ elsewhere. Using that the above Kleene's star exists and is equal to the finite sum of the powers of the matrix up to power n , we deduce that the expressions of all the $v_i^{(k)}$ are Laurent polynomial functions in the entries of x . These polynomials correspond to the weights of paths from i to k in the graph of A , defined by the matrix $(\gamma_k I \ominus D^{(k)})^{-1} A^{(k)}$, and since we assumed that the \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalues are simple, the above matrix has no circuit of weight $\mathbb{0}$ (see the proof of Theorem 3.33), then we can restrict the sum to elementary paths (that is paths with no circuits). Let Q_{ki} be the formal (Laurent) polynomial corresponding to the expression of $v_i^{(k)}$, then the above properties imply that each monomial in the coordinates of x appears only once, and so the coefficients of all monomials are in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee . Moreover, due to the multiplication of the weights by λ_k , the degree of each monomial with respect to each variable is ≥ 0 that is Q_{ki} is an ordinary polynomial. Then, all the $v_i^{(k)}$ are in $(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee)^n$ if and only if $\widehat{Q_{ki}}(x) \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee$ for all $k \neq i$. This property holds indeed if $x_{11} > \cdots > x_{nn}$. Now take apply any permutation σ of the indices on the polynomials Q_{ki} , we obtain polynomials Q_{ki}^σ . The previous properties imply that if $\widehat{P_{ij}}(x)$ is not balanced for all $i \neq j$ and $\widehat{Q_{ki}^\sigma}(x) \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee$ for all $k \neq i$ and σ a permutation of $[n]$, then A has simple eigenvalues and all the vectors $v^{(k)}$ belong to $(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee \setminus \{\mathbb{0}\})^n$. \square

Another way to see this result is to consider polyhedral complexes, see for instance in [MS15]. A polyhedral complex \mathcal{C} in \mathbb{R}^m , $m \geq 1$, is a collection of polyhedra of \mathbb{R}^m , called cells, such that the intersection of any two polyhedra is a face of each of the two polyhedra or is empty. Then, the support of \mathcal{C} is the union of all its cells, and its dimension is the maximal dimension $p \leq m$ of its cells (and its codimension is $m - p$). If the support is convex, then the dimension of the complex is the dimension of its support. The set of cells of dimension at most $p - 1$ is a sub-polyhedral complex of \mathcal{C} with dimension $p - 1$, which is the complementary in the support of \mathcal{C} of the union of the interiors of the cells of \mathcal{C} of maximal dimension. Polyhedral complexes are useful to explain the geometric structure of tropical varieties or prevarieties. Given a m -variables formal (Laurent) polynomial P over \mathbb{R}_{\max} , the set of vectors $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that the maximum in the expression of $\widehat{P}(x)$ is attained at least twice defines a tropical hypersurface. It defines a polyhedral complex \mathcal{C} in \mathbb{R}^m covering \mathbb{R}^m , such that tropical hypersurface is exactly the support of sub-polyhedral complex of \mathcal{C} obtained by taking the cells of dimension at most $m - 1$. In particular, the set of points for which the maximum in the expression of $\widehat{P}(x)$ is attained only once is the union of the interiors of cells of maximal dimension of \mathcal{C} .

Now assume that $\Gamma = \mathbb{R}$. We denote by μ the map from $(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee)^m$ to \mathbb{R}_{\max}^m which associates to any vector x , the vector $|x|$ obtained by applying the modulus map entrywise. Then, any element of \mathbb{R}_{\max}^m has a finite preimage by μ of cardinality at most 2^m . Moreover, if P is a formal polynomial with coefficients in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee and m variables, and Q denotes the formal polynomial with coefficients $Q_\alpha = |P_\alpha|$, then the set of points $x \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee \setminus \{\mathbb{0}\})^m$ such that $\widehat{P}(x) \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^\circ$ is included in the preimage by μ of the set of points $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that the maximum in the expression of $\widehat{Q}(x)$ is attained at least twice.

Consider the set K which is the image by $\Phi := \mu \circ \Psi$ of $\text{TPD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee)$. We have

$$K \cap \mathbb{R}^{n(n+1)/2} = \{x = (x_{ij})_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \in \mathbb{R}^{n(n+1)/2} \mid 2x_{ij} < x_{ii} + x_{jj} \forall 1 \leq i < j \leq n\},$$

where we used the usual notations of \mathbb{R} . Therefore, the closure of $K \cap \mathbb{R}^{n(n+1)/2}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n(n+1)/2}$ is a convex polyhedron of dimension $n(n+1)/2$ that we shall denote by \widetilde{K} . The previous result implies the following one.

Corollary 3.36. *There exists a polyhedral complex \mathcal{C} of $\mathbb{R}^{n(n+1)/2}$ with support \widetilde{K} , such that the image by Φ of the set of matrices $A \in \text{TPD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee)$ such that all the eigenvalues of A are simple and all the vectors $v^{(k)}$ defined as above are in $\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee \setminus \{0\}$ contains all the interiors of cells of maximal dimension of \mathcal{C} .*

Proof. By Theorem 3.35, we have that if $x = \Psi(A)$ with $A \in \text{TPD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee)$, and $\widehat{P}_k(x) \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee \setminus \{0\}$ for all $k \in I$, then A has simple \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalues and all the vectors $v^{(k)}$ defined as above are in $(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee \setminus \{0\})^n$. Consider the formal polynomial $Q_k = |P_k|$, then by the above comments, $\widehat{P}_k(x) \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee \setminus \{0\}$ holds if $|x| \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and the maximum in the expression of $\widehat{Q}_k(|x|)$ is attained only once. Each polynomial Q_k defines a polyhedral complex \mathcal{C}_k covering $\mathbb{R}^{n(n+1)/2}$, such that the set of points for which the maximum in the expression of $\widehat{Q}_k(x)$ is attained only once is the union of the interiors of cells of maximal dimension of \mathcal{C}_k . Let \mathcal{C} be the refinement of all the polyhedral complexes \mathcal{C}_k . We get that if $|x| = \Phi(A)$ is in the interior of a cell of maximal dimension of \mathcal{C} , then A has simple \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalues and all the vectors $v^{(k)}$ defined as above are in $(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee \setminus \{0\})^n$.

Taking the intersection of the cells with \widetilde{K} , we obtain a polyhedral complex with support equal to \widetilde{K} , and satisfying the property in the corollary. \square

4. APPLICATIONS

4.1. Signed valuations of positive definite matrices.

Definition 4.1 (See also [AGS20]). For any ordered field \mathcal{L} , we define the *sign map* $\text{sgn} : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_s^\vee = \{0, \ominus 1, \mathbb{1}\}$ as follows, for all $b \in \mathcal{L}$,

$$\text{sgn}(b) := \begin{cases} \mathbb{1} & b > 0, \\ \ominus 1 & b < 0, \\ 0 & b = 0. \end{cases}$$

Assume that \mathcal{L} is also a valued field, with a convex valuation v with value group Γ , then the *signed valuation* on \mathcal{L} is the map $\text{sv} : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{\max} = \mathbb{S}_{\max}(\Gamma)$ which associates the element $\text{sgn}(b) \odot v(b) \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee$, with an element b of \mathcal{L} , where here $v(b)$ is seen as an element of \mathbb{S}_{\max}^\oplus .

Recall that the value group is an ordered group such that $v : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{\perp\}$ is surjective, that v is a (non-Archimedean) valuation if

$$\begin{aligned} v(b) = \perp &\iff b = 0, \\ \forall b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{L}, \quad v(b_1 b_2) &= v(b_1) + v(b_2), \\ \forall b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{L}, \quad v(b_1 + b_2) &\leq \max(v(b_1), v(b_2)) \end{aligned}$$

and that the convexity of v is equivalent to the condition that $b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{L}$, and $0 \leq b_2 \leq b_1$ implies $v(b_2) \leq v(b_1)$. Then, the signed valuation is surjective, and it is a morphism of hyperfields or of systems, meaning in the case of a map from a field \mathcal{L} to \mathbb{S}_{\max} , that it satisfies, for all $a, a', b \in \mathcal{L}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sv}(0) &= 0 \\ \text{sv}(\mathcal{L} \setminus \{0\}) &\subset \mathbb{S}_{\max}^\vee \setminus \{0\} \\ \text{sv}(-a) &= \ominus \text{sv}(a) \\ \text{sv}(a + a') &\preceq^\circ \text{sv}(a) \oplus \text{sv}(a') \\ \text{sv}(ba) &= \text{sv}(b) \odot \text{sv}(a). \end{aligned}$$

The above construction applies in particular when \mathcal{L} is a real closed valued field with a convex valuation, and so to the field of formal generalized Puiseux series.

Signed valuation can be applied to matrices over \mathcal{L} and polynomials over \mathcal{L} . Then, it is proved in [AAGS23, Th. 4.8] that any tropical positive semidefinite matrix is the image by the signed valuation of a positive semidefinite matrix over the field of formal generalized Puiseux series. We can obtain the same property for positive definite matrices, and a general field \mathcal{L} .

Proposition 4.2 (Compare with [AAGS23, Th. 4.8]). *Let \mathcal{L} be an ordered field with a convex valuation with value group Γ , and let $A \in \text{TPD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})$. Then, there exists a $n \times n$ symmetric positive definite matrix \mathbf{A} over \mathcal{L} such that $\text{sv}(\mathbf{A}) = A$.*

Proof. By the surjectivity of the signed valuation, there exists a $n \times n$ symmetric matrix \mathbf{A} over \mathcal{L} such that $\text{sv}(\mathbf{A}) = A$. Since A is positive definite in \mathbb{S}_{\max} , Lemma 3.5 shows that for any cycle σ of length $k > 1$ in $[n]$, and support I , we have $|w(\sigma)| < \prod_{i \in I} a_{ii}$. Then, the $I \times I$ submatrices of A and \mathbf{A} satisfy $\text{sv}(\det(\mathbf{A}[I, I])) = \det(A[I, I]) = \prod_{i \in I} a_{ii} \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\oplus} \setminus \{0\}$. By definition of sign valuation, this implies that $\det(\mathbf{A}[I, I]) > 0$. Since this holds for all subsets I of $[n]$ with at least two elements, and the same holds trivially for singletons $I = \{i\}$, we obtain that \mathbf{A} has all its principal minors positive and so is positive definite in \mathcal{L} . \square

Theorem 4.3. *Let \mathcal{L} be a real closed valued field with convex valuation and value group Γ , and let $A \in \text{TPD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})$. Let \mathbf{A} be a symmetric positive definite matrix over \mathcal{L} such that $\text{sv}(\mathbf{A}) = A$. Then, the signed valuations of the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} , counted with multiplicities, coincide with the algebraic \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalues of A , that is the diagonal entries of A .*

Proof. Since $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{L}^{n \times n}$, so the characteristic polynomial of \mathbf{A} , $\mathbf{Q} := \det(\mathbf{X}I - \mathbf{A})$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}[\mathbf{X}]$ and its coefficients are equal to $\mathbf{Q}_k = (-1)^{n-k} \text{tr}_{n-k}(\mathbf{A})$. Using the above morphism property of the signed valuation, we have $\text{sv}(\mathbf{Q}_k) \preceq^{\circ} (\ominus \mathbf{1})^{n-k} \text{tr}_{n-k}(A)$. Since A is symmetric positive definite $(\ominus \mathbf{1})^{n-k} \text{tr}_{n-k}(A) \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee}$, so we get the equality. This shows that the signed valuation of the characteristic polynomial \mathbf{Q} of \mathbf{A} is equal to the characteristic polynomial $P_A = \det(\mathbf{X}I \ominus A)$ of A over \mathbb{S}_{\max} . Since any symmetric matrix over a real closed field has n eigenvalues counted with multiplicities, \mathbf{Q} has n roots. Using [BL21, Prop. B] (see also [AGT23, Cor. 7.2]), we obtain that $P_A = \text{sv}(\mathbf{Q})$ has n roots in \mathbb{S}_{\max} , which coincide with the signed valuations of the roots of \mathbf{Q} , counted with multiplicity. This means that the signed valuations of the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} , counted with multiplicities, coincide with the algebraic \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalues of A . \square

Theorem 4.3 may be thought of as a non-Archimedean variation of Gershgorin's disk theorem. The latter shows that the eigenvalues of a matrix are not too far from its diagonal entries. This analogy is better explained by means of the following result, which differs from Gershgorin's theorem in that we use a "modulus of tropical positive definiteness" γ instead of a notion of diagonal dominance.

Theorem 4.4. *Suppose A is a real symmetric matrix with positive diagonal entries such that $a_{ii}a_{jj} \geq \gamma^2 a_{ij}^2$ for some $\gamma > 0$. Then,*

$$\text{spec}(A) \subset \cup_i B(a_{ii}, a_{ii}(n-1)/\gamma)$$

Proof. Set $D := \text{diag}(a_{ii}^{-1/2})$, and consider $B = D(A - \gamma I)D$. Observe that $|B_{ij}| \leq \gamma^{-1}$ for $i \neq j$ whereas $B_{ii} = 1 - \gamma/a_{ii}$. If γ is an eigenvalue of A , then the matrix B is singular, hence, it cannot have a dominant diagonal, which entails there is an index $i \in [n]$ such that $|1 - \gamma/a_{ii}| \leq (n-1)\gamma^{-1}$. It follows that $\gamma \in B(a_{ii}, a_{ii}(n-1)\gamma^{-1})$. \square

Now let us state a result concerning eigenvectors.

Theorem 4.5. *Let \mathcal{L} be a real closed valued field with convex valuation and value group Γ . Let A , γ_k and B_k be as in Proposition 3.13. Let \mathbf{A} be a symmetric positive definite matrix over \mathcal{L} such that $\text{sv}(\mathbf{A}) = A$. Let $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n > 0$ be the eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} .*

Assume that $\gamma = \gamma_k$ is simple as an algebraic \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalue of A . Then, λ_k is a simple eigenvalue. Let \mathbf{v} be the eigenvector of \mathbf{A} associated to the eigenvalue λ_k such that $\mathbf{v}_k = 1$. Then $\text{sv}(\mathbf{v}) \nabla (v_k^{(k)})^{-1} v^{(k)}$.

Assume in addition that $v^{(k)} = (B_k)_{:,k}^{\text{adj}} \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^n$. Then, $\text{sv}(\mathbf{v}) = (v_k^{(k)})^{-1} v^{(k)}$.

Proof. We have $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} = \lambda_k \mathbf{v}$. Taking the signed valuation, we have $\gamma \odot \text{sv}(\mathbf{v}) = \text{sv}(\lambda_k) \text{sv}(\mathbf{v}) = \text{sv}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}) \preceq^{\circ} A \text{sv}(\mathbf{v})$. So $\text{sv}(\mathbf{v})$ is a \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector of A . From Point (iii) of Corollary 3.14, we get that $\text{sv}(\mathbf{v}) \nabla \lambda v^{(k)}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} \setminus \{0\}$. This implies in particular that $v_i = \lambda v_i^{(k)}$ for all i such that $v_i^{(k)} \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee}$, and so for $i = k$. Since $\mathbf{v}_k = 1$, we get that $\text{sv}(\mathbf{v})_k = 1 = \lambda v_k^{(k)}$, so $\lambda = (v_k^{(k)})^{-1}$.

If we assume in addition that $v^{(k)} \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^n$. Then, $\text{sv}(\mathbf{v}) = \lambda v^{(k)} = (v_k^{(k)})^{-1} v^{(k)}$. \square

Recall that by Theorem 3.35 or Corollary 3.36, we have that generically in the moduli of the coefficients of the \mathbb{S}_{\max} -matrix A , all the eigenvalues γ_k are simple and all the vectors $v^{(k)}$ are in $(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^n$, in which case the above result allows one to obtain the valuation of all the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of \mathbf{A} .

4.2. Numerical examples. In the following, we illustrate the results of Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 4.5 by some numerical examples. Computation of classical eigenvalues and eigenvectors is done by `eig.m` command in MATLAB 2019b

Example 4.6. Consider

$$(17) \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 4 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{TPD}_5(\mathbb{S}_{\max}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{A}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} t^5 & t^4 & t^3 & t^2 & t^1 \\ t^4 & t^4 & t^3 & t^2 & t^1 \\ t^3 & t^3 & t^3 & t^2 & t^1 \\ t^2 & t^2 & t^2 & t^2 & t^1 \\ t^1 & t^1 & t^1 & t^1 & t^1 \end{pmatrix} .$$

Seeing \mathbf{A} as a matrix in the field of generalized (formal or converging) Puiseux series, we have $\text{sv}(\mathbf{A}) = A$. For any function $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we define the valuation as

$$v(f) = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \log |f(t)| / \log(t) .$$

If f is already a converging Puiseux series with parameter t (which is the case when f is a polynomial), then its valuation as a Puiseux series coincides with its valuation as a function, and it can be approximated by

$$v_t(f) = \log |f(t)| / \log(t)$$

with t large. We can then define sv and sv_t accordingly, and apply these maps entry-wise to t -parametric families of matrices and vectors. So seeing now \mathbf{A} as a family of matrices parameterized by t , we have

$$(18) \quad \text{sv}(\mathbf{A}) = \text{sv}_t(\mathbf{A}) = A \quad \forall t > 0 .$$

Denote γ_i , $i = 1, \dots, n = 5$ the \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalues of A . Using Theorem 3.12, the γ_i are the diagonal entries of A . Denote also $\lambda_1(t) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n(t)$ the (classical) eigenvalues of $\mathbf{A}(t)$. By Theorem 4.3, we have $\text{sv}(\lambda_i) = \gamma_i$, for all $i = 1, \dots, 5$. In Table 1, we show the values of γ_i and $\text{sv}_t(\lambda_i)$ for $t = 10$ and $t = 100$, which show the practical convergence of $\text{sv}_t(\lambda_i)$ towards γ_i .

Table 1. Values of γ_i and $sv_t(\lambda_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, 5$, for the matrices in (17).

	$i = 1$	$i = 2$	$i = 3$	$i = 4$	$i = 5$
γ_i	5	4	3	2	1
$sv_t(\lambda_i)(t = 10)$	5.0048	3.9543	2.9542	1.9542	0.9494
$sv_t(\lambda_i)(t = 100)$	5.0000	3.9978	2.9978	1.9978	0.9978

Example 4.7. Assume that A and $\mathbf{A}(t)$ are as in (17). Consider, for all $i = 1, \dots, 5$, the \mathbb{S}_{\max} -vector $v^{(i)}$ defined in Equation (16). By Corollary 3.14, it is a weak \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvector of A associated to the \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalue γ_i . Denote, for all $i = 1, \dots, 5$, by $\mathbf{v}^{(i)}(t)$ the classical eigenvector of $\mathbf{A}(t)$ associated to the eigenvalue $\lambda_i(t)$, satisfying $(\mathbf{v}^{(i)}(t))_i = 1$. Then, by Theorem 4.5, we have $sv(\mathbf{v}^{(i)}) \nabla (v_i^{(i)})^{-1} v^{(i)}$. In Table 2, we show the vectors $(v_i^{(i)})^{-1} v^{(i)}$, $i = 1, \dots, 5$ (in the first row) and the vectors $sv_t(\mathbf{v}^{(i)})$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ for $t = 10$ and $t = 100$ (in second and third row, respectively). The results show the practical convergence of $sv_t(\mathbf{v}^{(i)})$ when t goes to infinity, which holds because the vectors $\mathbf{v}^{(i)}(t)$ have a Puiseux series expansion in t . The limit of the vectors $sv_t(\mathbf{v}^{(i)})$ satisfy $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} sv_t(\mathbf{v}^{(i)}) = sv(\mathbf{v}^{(i)}) \nabla (v_i^{(i)})^{-1} v^{(i)}$ as expected. In particular, the k th entry of $sv(\mathbf{v}^{(i)})$ coincides with the k th entry of $(v_i^{(i)})^{-1} v^{(i)}$ when the latter is in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee} . Note that in this (nongeneric) example, for each k th entry of $(v_i^{(i)})^{-1} v^{(i)}$ which is in $\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\circ}$, the valuation of the k th entry of $\mathbf{v}^{(i)}$ (that is $|(sv(\mathbf{v}^{(i)}))_k|$, where the modulus is in the sense of \mathbb{S}_{\max}) is strictly lower than $|(v_i^{(i)})^{-1} v^{(i)}|_k$.

Table 2. Values of $(v_i^{(i)})^{-1} v^{(i)}$ and $sv_t(\mathbf{v}^{(i)})$, $i = 1, \dots, 5$, for the matrices in (17).

	$i = 1$	$i = 2$	$i = 3$	$i = 4$	$i = 5$
$(v_i^{(i)})^{-1} v^{(i)}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ -2 \\ -3 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} \ominus - 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ -2 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} (-2)^{\circ} \\ \ominus - 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} (-3)^{\circ} \\ (-2)^{\circ} \\ \ominus - 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} (-4)^{\circ} \\ (-3)^{\circ} \\ (-2)^{\circ} \\ \ominus - 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$sv_t(\mathbf{v}^{(i)})(t = 10)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -0.9591 \\ -1.9552 \\ -2.9548 \\ -3.9547 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} \ominus - 0.9542 \\ 0 \\ -0.9538 \\ -1.9493 \\ -2.9489 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -2.9450 \\ \ominus - 0.9493 \\ 0 \\ -0.9538 \\ -1.9494 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} \ominus - 5.9443 \\ -2.9447 \\ \ominus - 0.9494 \\ 0 \\ -0.9542 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -9.9638 \\ \ominus - 5.9591 \\ -2.9548 \\ \ominus - 0.9547 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$sv_t(\mathbf{v}^{(i)})(t = 100)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -0.9978 \\ -1.9978 \\ -2.9978 \\ -3.9978 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} \ominus - 0.9978 \\ 0 \\ -0.9978 \\ -1.9978 \\ -2.9978 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -2.9978 \\ \ominus - 0.9978 \\ 0 \\ -0.9978 \\ -1.9978 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} \ominus - 5.9978 \\ -2.9978 \\ \ominus - 0.9978 \\ 0 \\ -0.9978 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -9.9979 \\ \ominus - 5.9978 \\ -2.9978 \\ \ominus - 0.9978 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Example 4.8. We generate a classical random positive definite matrix of size 100×100 by using the following MATLAB commands:

```
n = 100;
s = 15; rng(s);
C1 = rand(n);
s = 12; rng(s);
C2 = rand(n);
C = C1 - C2; %
```

`B = C*C'; %`

Consider now for any $t > 0$, the map $sv_t : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{\max}$ such that $sv_t(a) = \text{sgn}(a) \log(|a|)/\log(t)$, for all $a \neq 0$ and $sv_t(0) = 0$. This is as if a is considered as the value in t of a function of t . We can extend again sv_t to matrices and vectors. We fix here $t = 10$, and compute $A = sv_t(B)$, for the matrix B randomly generated as above. Then, generically so almost surely, we have that A is a positive definite \mathbb{S}_{\max} -matrix and satisfies the conditions of Theorem 4.5. Let $\gamma_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ be the \mathbb{S}_{\max} -eigenvalues of A and $\lambda_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ be the eigenvalues of B . In Figure 2, we computed the relative error $\frac{|sv_t(\lambda_i) - \gamma_i|}{sv_t(\lambda_i)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, 100$. One can see that the errors are less than 1.6×10^{-13} .




Figure 2. The values $\frac{|sv_t(\lambda_i) - \gamma_i|}{sv_t(\lambda_i)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, 100$ and $t = 10$ in Example 4.8

REFERENCES

- [AAGS23] Marianne Akian, Xavier Allamigeon, Stéphane Gaubert, and Sergei Sergeev. Signed tropicalization of polars and application to matrix cones, 2023. arXiv:2305.05637.
- [AGG09] Marianne Akian, Stéphane Gaubert, and Alexander Guterman. Linear independence over tropical semirings and beyond. *Contemporary Mathematics*, 495:1, 2009.
- [AGG14] Marianne Akian, Stéphane Gaubert, and Alexander Guterman. Tropical Cramer Determinants Revisited. In G.L. Litvinov and S.N. Sergeev, editors, *Tropical and Idempotent Mathematics and Applications*, volume 616 of *Contemporary Mathematics*, page 45. AMS, 2014.
- [AGN18a] Marianne Akian, Stéphane Gaubert, and Adi Niv. Tropical compound matrix identities. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 551:162–206, 2018.
- [AGN18b] Marianne Akian, Stéphane Gaubert, and Adi Niv. Tropical compound matrix identities. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 551:162–206, 2018.
- [AGR22] M. Akian, S. Gaubert, and L. Rowen. Semiring systems arising from hyperrings, 2022. arXiv:2207.06739.
- [AGS20] Xavier Allamigeon, Stéphane Gaubert, and Mateusz Skomra. Tropical spectrahedra. *Discrete and Computational Geometry*, 63(3):507–548, 2020.

- [AGT23] Marianne Akian, Stephane Gaubert, and Hanieh Tavakolipour. Factorization of polynomials over the symmetrized tropical semiring and descartes' rule of sign over ordered valued fields, 2023. arXiv:2301.05483.
- [BB03] R. E Burkard and P Butkovič. Finding all essential terms of a characteristic maxpolynomial. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 130(3):367–380, 2003.
- [BCOQ92] François Baccelli, Guy Cohen, Geert Jan Olsder, and Jean-Pierre Quadrat. *Synchronization and linearity: an algebra for discrete event systems*. John Wiley & Sons Ltd, 1992.
- [BL07] P Butkovič and S Lewis. On the job rotation problem. *Discrete Optimization*, 4(2):163–174, 2007.
- [BL21] Matthew Baker and Oliver Lorscheid. Descartes' rule of signs, Newton polygons, and polynomials over hyperfields. *J. Algebra*, 569:416–441, 2021.
- [But10] P Butkovič. *Max-linear Systems: Theory and Algorithms*. Springer, 2010.
- [CGM80] R. A. Cuninghame-Green and P.F.J. Meijer. An algebra for piecewise-linear minimax problems. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 2(4):267–294, 1980.
- [Gau92] Stéphane Gaubert. *Théorie des systèmes linéaires dans les dioïdes*. PhD thesis, Paris, ENMP, 1992.
- [GK10] E Gassner and B Klinz. A fast parametric assignment algorithm with applications in max-algebra. *Networks*, 55(2):61–77, 2010.
- [Gun21] T. Gunn. A newton polygon rule for formally-real valued fields and multiplicities over the signed tropical hyperfield, 2021. arXiv:1911.12274v2.
- [Gun22] T. Gunn. Tropical extensions and baker-lorscheid multiplicities for idylls, 2022. arXiv:2211.06480.
- [IMS09] Ilia Itenberg, Grigory Mikhalkin, and Eugenii I Shustin. *Tropical algebraic geometry*, volume 35. Springer Science & Business Media, 2009.
- [IR10] Z. Izhakian and L. Rowen. Supertropical algebra. *Advances in Mathematics*, 225(4):2222–2286, 2010.
- [IR11] Zur Izhakian and Louis Rowen. Supertropical matrix algebra. III: Powers of matrices and their supertropical eigenvalues. *J. Algebra*, 341(1):125–149, 2011.
- [JSY20] Philipp Jell, Claus Scheiderer, and Josephine Yu. Real tropicalization and analytification of semialgebraic sets. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2022(2):928–958, May 2020.
- [KM13] Vassili N Kolokoltsov and Victor P Maslov. *Idempotent analysis and its applications*, volume 401. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [Lor22] O. Lorscheid. Tropical geometry over the tropical hyperfield. *Rocky Mountain J. of Math.*, 52:189–222, 2022.
- [Mas87] V. Maslov. *Méthodes opératorielles*. Mir Moscow, 1987.
- [Max90] Max Plus. Linear systems in $(\max, +)$ -algebra. In *Proceedings of the 29th Conference on Decision and Control*, Honolulu, Dec. 1990.
- [MS15] Diane Maclagan and Bernd Sturmfels. *Introduction to tropical geometry*, volume 161. American Mathematical Soc., 2015.
- [NSW21] Yuki Nishida, Kohei Sato, and Sennosuke Watanabe. A min-plus analogue of the Jordan canonical form associated with the basis of the generalized eigenspace. *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 69(15):2933–2943, 2021.
- [NWW20] Yuki Nishida, Sennosuke Watanabe, and Yoshihide Watanabe. On the vectors associated with the roots of max-plus characteristic polynomials. *Appl. Math., Praha*, 65(6):785–805, 2020.
- [NWW21] Yuki Nishida, Sennosuke Watanabe, and Yoshihide Watanabe. Independence and orthogonality of algebraic eigenvectors over the max-plus algebra, 2021.
- [Row22] L.H. Rowen. Algebras with a negation map. *Eur. J. Math.*, 8(1):62–138, 2022.
- [TS18] Hanieh Tavakolipour and Fatemeh Shakeri. On tropical eigenvalues of tridiagonal toeplitz matrices. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 539:198–218, 2018.
- [TS20] Hanieh Tavakolipour and Fatemeh Shakeri. Asymptotics of the eigenvalues for exponentially parameterized pentadiagonal matrices. *Numerical Linear Algebra with Applications*, 27(6):e2330, 2020.
- [Vir01] Oleg Viro. Dequantization of real algebraic geometry on logarithmic paper. In *European Congress of Mathematics*, pages 135–146. Springer, 2001.
- [Vir10] Oleg Viro. Hyperfields for tropical geometry i. hyperfields and dequantization, 2010. arXiv:1006.3034.
- [Yu15] Josephine Yu. Tropicalizing the positive semidefinite cone. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 143(5):1891–1895, 2015.

APPENDIX A. DETAILED PROOFS OF SOME RESULTS

Let us first prove the following result which corresponds to the statement of Theorem 3.3 for $n = 2$.

Lemma A.1. *Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee}$. Then,*

$$0 < (ax_1^2) \oplus (bx_1x_2) \oplus (cx_2^2) \quad \forall (x_1, x_2) \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$$

if and only if

$$0 < a, 0 < c, b^2 < ac .$$

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Considering $x_1 = \mathbb{1}$ and $x_2 = 0$, we get $0 < a$. Similarly, by considering $x_1 = 0$ and $x_2 = \mathbb{1}$, we have $0 < c$. This shows that $a, c \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\oplus} \setminus \{0\}$. Then taking $x_1 = a^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $x_2 = \eta c^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ with $\eta \in \{\ominus \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1}\}$, we get

$$0 < \mathbb{1} \oplus (\eta b a^{-\frac{1}{2}} c^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \oplus \mathbb{1} = \mathbb{1} \oplus (\eta b a^{-\frac{1}{2}} c^{-\frac{1}{2}}),$$

which is possible only if $|b|a^{-\frac{1}{2}}c^{-\frac{1}{2}} < \mathbb{1}$ or equivalently if $b^2 < ac$, using second part of Lemma 2.17 and Lemma 2.18.

(\Leftarrow) Assume $a, c \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\oplus} \setminus \{0\}$ and $b \in \mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee}$ are such that $b^2 < ac$. By the change of variable $x_1 = y_1 a^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $x_2 = y_2 c^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, we have $0 < (ax_1^2) \oplus (bx_1x_2) \oplus (cx_2^2)$ iff

$$(19) \quad 0 < (y_1^2) \oplus (uy_1y_2) \oplus (y_2^2),$$

where $u = ba^{-\frac{1}{2}}c^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is such that $|u| < \mathbb{1}$ (again by Lemma 2.17 and Lemma 2.18). W.l.o.g. we may assume that $|y_2| \leq |y_1|$. This implies that $y_1 \neq 0$, since $(x_1, x_2) \neq (0, 0)$, and that $y_2^2 \leq y_1^2$, by Lemma 2.18. Then $|uy_1y_2| \leq |u||y_1|^2 < |y_1|^2 = |y_1^2|$ (again by Lemma 2.17). So since \leq and $<$ coincide in $\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\oplus}$, we get $|uy_1y_2| < |y_1^2|$. Using Property 2.12, we obtain that the right hand side of (19) is equal to $y_1^2 > 0$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.3. Let S be the set given in Theorem 3.3, and let $A \in S$. We need to prove that A is a TPD matrix. Let $x \in (\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})^n \setminus \{0\}$, and $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ with $i \neq j$. Applying the “if” part of Lemma A.1 to $a = a_{ii}$, $b = a_{ij}$ and $c = a_{jj}$, we have $0 < (x_i a_{ii} x_i) \oplus (x_i a_{ij} x_j) \oplus (x_j a_{jj} x_j)$, if $(x_i, x_j) \neq (0, 0)$. Moreover the previous expression is equal to 0 if $(x_i, x_j) = (0, 0)$. By summing these inequalities over all $i \neq j$, and using that x is not the 0 vector, and idempotency of addition, we obtain $0 < x^T A x$. This shows that $S \subseteq \text{TPD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})$. Let us prove the reverse inclusion. Consider $A \in \text{TPD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee})$. Then, every 2×2 leading submatrix of A is TPD and therefore by applying the “only if” part of Lemma A.1, we obtain, for $i \neq j$, $0 < a_{ii}$, $0 < a_{jj}$ and $a_{ij}^2 < a_{ii}a_{jj}$. Hence, $A \in S$, which shows that $\text{TPD}_n(\mathbb{S}_{\max}^{\vee}) \subseteq S$ and concludes the proof of Theorem 3.3. \square