

Self-Exciting Random Evolutions (SEREs) and Their Applications

Anatoliy Swishchuk¹

Department Mathematics & Statistics

University of Calgary

Calgary, Canada T2N 1N4

aswish@ucalgary.ca

Abstract

This paper is devoted to the study of a new so-called self-exciting random evolutions (SEREs) and their applications. We introduce a new random process $x(t)$ such that it is based on a superposition of a Markov chain x_n and a Hawkes process $N(t)$, i.e., $x(t) := x_{N(t)}$. We call this process self-walking imbedded semi-Hawkes process (Swish Process or SwishP). Then the self-exciting REs (SEREs) can be constructed in similar way as, e.g., semi-Markov REs, but instead of semi-Markov process $x(t)$ we have SwishP. We give classifications and examples of self-exciting REs (SEREs). Then we consider limit theorems for SEREs such as averaging, diffusion approximation, normal deviations and rates of convergence of SEREs. Applications of SEREs are devoted to the so-called self-exciting traffic/transport process and self-exciting summation on a Markov chain, which are examples of continuous and discrete SERE. From these processes we can construct many other self-exciting processes, e.g., such as impulse traffic/transport process, self-exciting risk process, etc. We present averaged, diffusion and normal deviated self-exciting processes. Rates of convergence in these cases are presented as well. The originality and novelty of the paper associated with new features of REs and their many applications, namely, self-exciting and clustering effects.

Keywords: self-exciting random evolutions (SEREs); self-walking imbedded semi-Hawkes process (SwishP); averaging; diffusion approximation; normal deviations; rates of convergence; self-exciting traffic/transport process; self-exciting summation on a Markov chain.

1 Introduction

The Random Evolutions (REs) can be described by two objects: 1) operator dynamical system $V(t)$ and 2) random process x_t . Depending on structure

¹Dedicated to Korolyuk, V. (100 years), Skorokhod, A. (95 years) and Kozachenko, Y. (85 years) In Honour of Their Anniversaries

of $V(t)$ and properties of the stochastic process x_t we have different kind of REs: continuous, discrete, Markov, semi-Markov, etc.

From mathematical point of view, a RE is a solution of stochastic operator integral equation in a Banach space. The operator coefficients of such equations depend on random parameters. The RE, from physical point of view, is a model for a dynamical system whose state of evolution is subject to random variations. Such systems arise in many branches of science, e.g., random Hamiltonian and Schrödinger's equations with random potential in quantum mechanics, Maxwell's equation with a random reflective index in electrodynamics, transport equation, storage equation, etc. There is a lot of applications of REs in financial and insurance mathematics [16, 15, 17]. One of the recent applications of RE is associated with geometric Markov renewal processes which are a regime-switching models for a stock price in financial mathematics [17]. Another recent applications of RE is a semi-Markov risk process in insurance mathematics [15], and regime-switching financial models, including such models with jumps [16]. The REs are also examples of more general mathematical objects such as multiplicative operator functionals (MOFs) [10, 15, 16], which are random dynamical systems in Banach space. Semi-Markov REs were studied in [6, 7]. Discrete-time semi-Markov REs were studied in [9]. Inhomogeneous random evolutions, limit theorems for them and their applications were considered in [32]. LLN and CLT for inhomogeneous semi-Markov processes were studied in [33]. We note, that the handbook [15] contains a survey of results associated with different and various REs and their applications up to 1997. Some results on random operators were introduced in many papers and books, for example, random linear operators were studied in [12] and integration theory in Hilbert spaces were investigated in [13].

This paper is devoted to the study of a new so-called self-exciting REs and their applications. We introduce a new random process $x(t)$ such that it is based on a superposition of a Markov chain x_n and Hawkes process $N(t)$, i.e., $x(t) := x_{N(t)}$. We call this process self-walking imbedded semi-Hawkes process (Swish Process or SwishP). Then the self-exciting REs can be constructed in similar way as, e.g., semi-Markov REs, but instead of semi-Markov process $x(t)$ we have SwishP. We give first classifications and examples of self-exciting REs (SEREs). Then we consider limit theorems for SEREs such as averaging, diffusion approximation, normal deviations and rates of convergence of SEREs. Applications of SEREs are devoted to the so-called self-exciting traffic/transport process and self-exciting summation on a Markov chain, which are examples of continuous and discrete SERE. From these processes we can construct many other self-exciting processes, e.g., such as impulse traffic/transport process, self-exciting risk process, etc. We present averaged, diffusion and normal deviated self-exciting processes. Rates of convergence in these cases are presented as well.

We note that Hawkes process was introduced in [4, 5] and was studied in many papers and books, and was applied to many problems in finance and

insurance. For example, risk process based on general compound Hawkes process was studied in [21, 22, 28, 31], compound Hawkes process applied to limit order books (LOBs) was investigated in [20], general compound Hawkes process applied to LOBs was intensively studied in [23, 24, 25, 29, 30]. Geometric compound Hawkes process with applications in finance and insurance was considered in [26]. Merton investment problem in finance and insurance for the Hawkes-based models was studied in [27]. Multivariate Hawkes-based models in LOBs applied to European and spread option pricing were considered in [3].

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes Swish process. Section 3 introduces self-exciting REs (SEREs) with classifications and examples. Limit theorems for SERE are presented in section 4. It contains averaging, diffusion approximation, normal deviations and rates of convergence of SEREs. Applications of SEREs are considered in section 5. Applications are devoted to the self-exciting traffic/transport process and self-exciting summation on a Markov chain, which are continuous and discrete SERE. From these processes we can construct many other self-exciting processes, e.g., such as impulse traffic/transport process, self-exciting risk process, etc. We present averaged, diffusion and normal deviated self-exciting processes. Rates of convergence in these cases are presented as well. Conclusion and Discussion are considered in section 6.

2 Self-Walking Imbedded Semi-Hawkes Process (SwishP)

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathcal{P})$ be a probability space, $t \in R_+ := [0, +\infty]$, and (X, Ξ) be a measurable phase space.

2.1 Definition of SwishP

Definition 1 (Hawkes Process [4, 5]). The Hawkes process $N(t)$ is a counting process with stochastic intensity function $\lambda(t)$:

$$\lambda(t) = \lambda + \int_0^t \mu(s) dN(s),$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is a background intensity and $\mu(t)$ is a self-exciting function. We suppose that $\int_0^{+\infty} \mu(s) ds := \hat{\mu} < 1$.

Moments of jumps (or arrival times of events) of the Hawkes process are τ_n , and inter-arrival times are $\theta_n \in R_+$, therefore $\tau_n := \sum_{k=0}^n \theta_k$. Let we also have Markov chain $x_n \in X$, where X is a space of states (or phase space) for x_n .

Definition 2 (SwishP). Swish Process (SwishP) is defined in the following way:

$$x_t := x_{N(t)}, \tag{1}$$

where x_n is a Markov chain and $N(t)$ is a Hawkes process, and they are independent.

We note that $(x_n, \theta_n; n \geq 0)$ is a Markov renewal process, $x_n \in X$, $\theta_n \in R_+$, $n \geq 0$, with stochastic kernel

$$Q(x, A, t) := P(x, A)G(t), \quad (2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P(x, A) &:= \mathcal{P}(x_{n+1} \in A/x_n = x) \\ G(t) &:= \mathcal{P}(\theta_{n+1} \leq t). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Remark. The following process $x_t := x_{N(t)}$ is a semi-Markov process, if $N(t) := \max\{n : \tau_n \leq t\}$, $\tau_n := \sum_{k=0}^n \theta_k$, $x_n = x_{\tau_n}$. In this case, $N(t)$ is a renewal process. We also note, that if $G_x(t) = 1 - e^{-\lambda(x)t}$, where $\lambda(x)$ is a measurable and bounded function on X , then x_t is called a jump Markov process.

3 Self-Exciting Random Evolutions (SERE)

3.1 Definition and Classification of SERE

Let $(B, \mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a separable Banach space [1, 2].

Let $\{\Gamma(x); x \in X\}$ be a family of operators on the dense subspace $B_0 \in B$, which is common domain for $\Gamma(x)$, independent of x , non-commuting and unbounded in general, such that map $\Gamma(x)f : X \rightarrow B$ is strongly Ξ/\mathcal{B} -measurable for all $f \in B$, $\forall t \in R_+$; also, let $\{\mathcal{D}(x); x \in X\}$ is a family of bounded linear operators on B , such that map $\mathcal{D}(x)f : X \times X \rightarrow B$ is $\Xi \times \Xi/\mathcal{B}$ -measurable, $\forall f \in B$.

Definition 3 (Self-Exciting Random Evolution (SERE)). SERE are defined by the solution of the following stochastic operator integral equation in separable Banach space B :

$$V(t)f = f + \int_0^t V(s)\Gamma(x_s)f ds + \sum_{k=1}^{N(t)} V(\tau_k-)[\mathcal{D}(x_k) - I]f, \quad (4)$$

where $N(t)$ is a Hawkes process, x_t is a Swish process, x_k is a Markov chain, I is an identity operator on B , $\tau_k- := \tau_k - 0$, $f \in B$.

If x_t in (1) is a Markov or semi-Markov process, then SERE in (4) is called a **Markov or semi-Markov RE**, respectively. In this case, $N(t)$ is a renewal process, [15, 16].

If $\mathcal{D}(x) \equiv I$, $\forall x \in X$, then $V(t)$ in (4) is called a **continuous SERE**.

If $\Gamma(x) \equiv 0$, $\forall x \in X$, is a zero operator on B , then $V(t)$ in (4) is called a **jump RE**.

SERE $V_n := V(\tau_n)$ is called a **discrete SERE**.

Operators $\Gamma(x)$, $x \in X$, describe a continuous component $V^c(t)$ of SERE $V(t)$ in (4), and operators $\mathcal{D}(x)$ describe a jump component $V^d(t)$ of SERE $V^d(t)$ in (4).

In such a way, SERE is described by two objects: 1) operator dynamical system $V(t)$; 2) random process x_t .

We note, that it turned out to be

$$V(t) = \Gamma_{x_t}(t - \tau_{N(t)}) \prod_{k=1}^{N(t)} \mathcal{D}(x_k) \Gamma_{x_{k-1}}(\theta_k), \quad (5)$$

where $\Gamma_x(t)$ are the semigroups of operators of t generated by the operators $\Gamma(x)$, $\forall x \in X$. We also note, that SERE in (5) is called a **discontinuous SERE**. Under above introduced conditions the solution $V(t)$ of the equation (5) is unique and can be represented by product (5), that can be proved by constructive method [6].

Remark. From the definition of SERE it follows that they are examples of self-exciting MOFs, which can be defined in a similar way as SERE, as they satisfy all the conditions for MOFs [10, 15, 16].

3.2 Some Examples of SERE.

Connection of SERE with applied problems is explained by the generality of definition (4) of SERE. It includes any homogeneous linear evolutionary system. If, for example,

$$\Gamma(x) := v(x) \frac{d}{dz}, \mathcal{D}(x) \equiv I, B = C^1(R),$$

then the equation (4) is a transport equation which describes a motion of particle with random self-exciting velocity $v(x_t)$. In such a way, various interpretation of operators $\Gamma(x)$ and $\mathcal{D}(x)$ gives us many realizations of SERE.

Example 1. Self-Exciting Impulse traffic/transport process. Let $B = C(R)$ and operators $\Gamma(x)$ and $\mathcal{D}(x)$ are defined by the following way:

$$\Gamma(x)f(z) := v(z, x) \frac{d}{dz} f(z), \mathcal{D}(x)f(z) := f(z + a(x)), \quad (6)$$

where functions $v(z, x)$ and $a(x)$ are continuous and bounded on $R \times X$ and X respectively, $\forall z \in R, \forall x \in X, f(z) \in C^1(R) := B_0$. Then the equation (4) takes the form:

$$f(z_t) = f(z) + \int_0^t v(z_s, x_s) \frac{d}{dz} f(z_s) ds + \sum_{k=1}^{N(t)} [f(z_{\tau_k-} + a(x_k)) - f(z_{\tau_k-})], \quad (7)$$

and SERE $V(t)$ is defined by the relation:

$$V(t)f(z) = f(z_t),$$

$z_0 = z$. Equation (7) is a functional one for **self-exciting impulse traffic process** z_t , which satisfies the equation:

$$z_t = z + \int_0^t v(z_s, x_s) ds + \sum_{k=1}^{N(t)} a(x_k). \quad (8)$$

We note that self-exciting impulse traffic/transport process z_t in (8) is a realization of discontinuous RE. We also note that if $a(x) = 0$, then process z_t we call self-exciting traffic/transport process.

Example 2. Self-Exciting Summation on a Markov chain. Let us put $v(z, x) \equiv 0, \forall z \in R, \forall x \in X$, in (8). Then the process

$$z_t = z + \sum_{k=1}^{N(t)} a(x_k) \quad (9)$$

is a summation on a Markov chain $(x_n; n \geq 0)$ and it is a realization of a jump SERE. Let $z_n := z_{\tau_n}$ in (9). Then discrete process

$$z_n = z + \sum_{k=1}^n a(x_k)$$

is a realization of a discrete SERE. We also called it general compound Hawkes process [23, 24, ?].

Example 3. Self-Exciting Diffusion Process. Let $B = C(R)$, $B_0 = C^2(R)$, $P_x(t, z, A)$ be a Markov continuous distribution function, which respects to the diffusion process $\xi(t)$, that is the solution of the stochastic differential equation in R with SwishP switchings:

$$d\xi(t) = \mu(\xi(t), x_t) dt + \sigma(\xi(t), x_t) dw_t, \xi(0) = z, \quad (10)$$

where x_t is a Swish process independent on a standard Wiener process w_t , coefficients $\mu(z, x)$ and $\sigma(z, x)$ are bounded and continuous functions on $R \times X$. Let us define the following contraction semigroups of operators on B :

$$\Gamma_x(t)f(z) := \int_R P_x(t, z, dy) f(y), f(y) \in B, x \in X. \quad (11)$$

Their infinitesimal operators $\Gamma(x)$ have the following kind:

$$\Gamma(x)f(z) = \mu(z, x) \frac{d}{dz} f(z) + 2^{-1} \sigma^2(z, x) \frac{d^2}{dz^2} f(z), f(z) \in B_0.$$

The process $\xi(t)$ is continuous one, that is why the operators $\mathcal{D}(\S, \dagger) \equiv \mathcal{I}$, $\forall x, y \in X$, are identity operators. Then the equation (4) takes the form:

$$f(\xi(t)) = f(z) + \int_0^t [\mu(\xi(s), x_s) \frac{d}{dz} + 2^{-1} \sigma^2(\xi(s), x_s) \frac{d^2}{dz^2}] f(\xi(s)) ds, \quad (12)$$

and SERE $V(t)$ is defined by the relation

$$V(t)f(z) = E[f(\xi(t))/x_s; 0 \leq s \leq t; \xi(0) = z].$$

Equation (12) is a functional one for diffusion process $\xi(t)$ in (10) in SwishP random media x_t . We note that diffusion process $\xi(t)$ in (10) is a realization of continuous SERE.

Example 4. Self-Exciting Geometric Compound Swish Process (SEGCSwishP). Let (x_t) be a Swish process on the phase space $X \times \mathbf{R}^+$ with the kernel $Q(x, A, t)$, i.e., $x(t) := x_{N(t)}$. Let $\rho(x)$ be a bounded continuous function on X such that $\rho(x) > -1$. We define a SEGCSwishP S_t with SwishP x_t as follows:

$$S_t := S_0 \prod_{k=0}^{N(t)} (1 + \rho(x_k)), \quad (13)$$

where $S_0 > 0$ is the initial value of S_t . We call the process Self-Exciting Geometric Compound Swish Process (SEGCSwishP) which is similar to the geometric compound Poisson process

$$S_t = S_0 \prod_{k=1}^{N(t)} (1 + Y_k),$$

where $S_0 > 0$, $N(t)$ is a standard Poisson process, $(Y_k)_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_+}$ are i. i. d. random variable, which is a trading model in many financial applications as a pure jump model (see [17]).

Let $B : C_0(\mathbf{R}_+)$ be a space of continuous functions on \mathbf{R}_+ , vanishing at infinity, and let us define a family of bounded contracting operators $D(x)$ on $C_0(\mathbf{R}_+)$:

$$D(x)f(s) := f(s(1 + \rho(x))), \quad x \in X, s \in \mathbf{R}_+. \quad (14)$$

With these contraction operators $D(x)$ we define the following jump SERE $V(t)$ of SEGCSwishP in (13)

$$V(t) = \prod_{k=0}^{N(t)} D(x_k) := D(x_{N(t)}) \circ D(x_{\nu(t)-1}) \circ \dots \circ D(x_1) \circ D(x_0). \quad (15)$$

Using (14) we obtain from (15)

$$V(t)f(s) = \prod_{k=0}^{N(t)} D(x_k)f(s) = f\left(s \prod_{k=0}^{N(t)} (1 + \rho(x_k))\right) = f(S_t), \quad (16)$$

where S_t is defined in (13) and $S_0 = s$.

3.3 Martingale Characterization of SERE

The main approaches to the study of SERE are martingale methods. The main idea is that process

$$M_n := V_n - I - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E[V_{k+1} - V_k / \mathcal{F}_k], \quad V_0 = I, \quad (17)$$

is an \mathcal{F}_\cdot -martingale in B , where

$$\mathcal{F}_n := \sigma x_k, \tau_k; 0 \leq k \leq n, V_n := V(\tau_n),$$

E is an expectation by probability \mathcal{P} . Representation of the martingale M_n (see(14)) in the form of martingale-difference

$$M_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [V_{k+1} - E(V_{k+1}/\mathcal{F}_k)] \quad (18)$$

gives us the possibility to calculate the weak quadratic variation:

$$\langle l(M_n f) \rangle := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E[l^2((V_{k+1} - V_k)f)/\mathcal{F}_k], \quad (19)$$

where $l \in B^*$, and B^* is a dual space to B , dividing points of B . The martingale method of obtaining of the limit theorems for the sequence of RE is founded on the solution of the following problems: 1) weakly compactness of the family of measures generated by the sequences of RE; 2) any limiting point of this family of measures is the solution of martingale problem; 3) the solution of martingale problem is unique. The conditions 1)-2) guarantee the existence of weakly converging subsequence, and condition 3) gives the uniqueness of the weak limit. It follows from 1)-3) that consequence of RE converges weakly to the unique solution of martingale problem. The weak convergence of RE in series scheme we obtain from the criterion of weakly compactness of the processes with values in separable Banach space [5]. The limit RE we obtain from the solution of some martingale problem in form of some integral operator equations in Banach space B . We also use the representation

$$V_{k+1} - V_k = [\Gamma_{x_k}(\theta_{k+1})\mathcal{D}(x_k) - I]V_k, V_k := V(\tau_k), \quad (20)$$

and the following expression for semigroups of operators $\Gamma_x(t)$ [5]:

$$\Gamma_x(t)f = f + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{t^k}{k!} \Gamma^k(x)f + (n-1)^{-1} \int_0^t (t-s)^n \Gamma_x(s) \Gamma^n(x)f ds, \forall x \in X, \quad (21)$$

$\forall f \in \cap_{x \in X} Dom(\Gamma^n(x))$. Taking into account (17)-(21) we obtain the limit theorems for RE. In the previous subsection we considered the evolution equation associated with random evolutions by using the jump structure of the semi-Markov process or jump Markov process. In order to deal with more general driving processes and to consider other applications, it is useful to re-formulate the treatment of random evolution in terms of a **martingale problem**.

Suppose that we have an evolution equation of the form:

$$\frac{df}{dt} = Gf. \quad (22)$$

The **martingale problem** is to find a Markov process $x(t), t \geq 0$, and RE $V(t)$ so that for all smooth functions

$$V(t)f(x(t)) - \int_0^t V(s)Gf(x(s))ds \text{ is a martingale.} \quad (23)$$

It is immediate that this gives the required solution. Indeed, the operator

$$f \rightarrow T(t)f := E_x[V(t)f(x(t))]$$

defines a semigroup of operators on the Banach space B , whose infinitesimal generator can be computed by taking the expectation:

$$E_x[V(t)f(x(t))] - f(x) = E_x\left[\int_0^t V(s)Gf(x(s))ds\right],$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{-1}[E_x[V(t)f(x(t))] - f(x)] = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{-1}E_x\left[\int_0^t V(s)Gf(x(s))ds\right] = Gf(x).$$

Remark. In case $V(t) \equiv I$ -identity operator, the above reduces to the usual martingale problem for Markov process.

Remark. In case $B = R$ the problem reduces to the determination of a real-valued multiplicative functional, which is related to a Feynman-Kac type formula. In the case of the one-dimensional Wiener process a wide class of multiplicative functionals is provided by

$$V(t) = \exp \int_0^t a(x(s))ds + \int_0^t b(x(s))dw(s),$$

where $w(t)$ is a standard Wiener process.

Remark. Solutions of the martingale problem for discontinuous RE over a jump Markov process, semi-Markov, Wiener and diffusion processes may be found in [6, 15, 16]. And, of course, it can be reformulated for respective self-exciting jump Markov process, semi-Markov, Wiener and diffusion processes.

Likewise, analogue of Dynkin formula and boundary value problems for SERE maybe reformulated in a similar way as in [6, 15, 16].

4 Limit Theorems for Self-Exciting Random Evolutions.

The main approach to the investigation of SERE defined above in the limit theorems is a martingale method.

The martingale method of obtaining of the limit theorems (averaging and diffusion approximation) for the sequence of SERE is bounded on the solution of the following problems:

1) weakly compactness of the family of measures generated by the sequence of SERE;

2) any limiting point of this family of measures is the solution of martingale problem;

3) the solution of martingale problem is unique.

The conditions 1) - 2) guarantee the existence of weakly converging subsequence, and condition 3) gives the uniqueness of a weakly limit.

From 1) - 3) it follows that consequence of SERE converges weakly to the unique solution of martingale problem.

4.1 Weak Convergence of Self-Exciting Random Evolutions.

A **weak convergence of SMRE** in series scheme we obtain from the criterion of weakly compactness of the process with values in separable Banach space [14]. The **limit SMRE** we obtain from the solution of some martingale problem in kind of some integral operator equations in Banach space B .

The main idea is that process

$$M_n := V_n - I - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E[V_{k+1} - V_k / \mathcal{F}_k], \quad V_0 = I, \quad (24)$$

is an \mathcal{F}_n -**martingale** in B , where

$$\mathcal{F}_n := \sigma\{x_k, \tau_k; 0 \leq k \leq n\}, \quad V_n := V(\tau_n),$$

E is an expectation by probability \mathcal{P} on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$.

Representation of the martingale M_n in the form of **martingale - differences**

$$M_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [V_{k+1} - E(V_{k+1} / \mathcal{F}_k)] \quad (25)$$

gives us the possibility to calculate the **weak quadratic variation**:

$$\langle l(M_n f) \rangle := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E[l^2((V_{k+1} - V_k)f) / \mathcal{F}_k], \quad (26)$$

where $l \in B^*$, and B^* is a dual space to B , dividing points of B .

From (20) it follows that

$$V_{k+1} - V_k = [\Gamma_{x_k}(\theta_{k+1})D(x_k) - I] \cdot V_k. \quad (27)$$

We note that the following expression for semigroup of operators $\Gamma_x(t)$ is fulfilled:

$$\Gamma_x(t)f = I + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{t^k}{k!} \Gamma_{(x)}^k f + \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^n \Gamma_x(s) \Gamma_{(x)}^n f ds,$$

$$\forall x \in X, \quad \forall f \in \bigcap_x \text{Dom}(\Gamma^n(x)). \quad (28)$$

Taking into account (24)–(28) we obtain the mentioned above results.

Everywhere we suppose that the following conditions be satisfied:

A) there exists Hilbert spaces H and H^* such that compactly imbedded in Banach spaces B and B^* respectively, $H \subset B$, $H^* \subset B^*$, where B^* is a dual space to B , that divides points of B ;

B) operators $\Gamma(x)$ and $(\Gamma(x))^*$ are dissipative on any Hilbert space H and H^* respectively;

C) operators $D(x)$ and $D^*(x)$ are contractive on any Hilbert space H and H^* respectively;

D) $(x_n; n \geq 0)$ is a uniformly ergodic Markov chain with stationary distribution $\rho(A)$, $A \in \mathcal{X}$;

E) $m_i := \int_0^\infty t^i G(dt)$ are uniformly integrable, $\forall i = 1, 2, 3$, where

$$G(t) := \mathcal{P}\{\omega : \theta_{n+1} \leq t\}; \quad (29)$$

F)

$$\int_X \rho(dx) \|\Gamma(x)f\|^k < +\infty; \quad \int_X \rho(dx) \|PD_j(x)f\|^k < +\infty;$$

$$\int_X \rho(dx) \|\Gamma(x)f\|^{k-1} \cdot \|PD_j(x)f\|^{k-1} < +\infty; \quad \forall k = 1, 2, 3, 4, f \in B, \quad (30)$$

where P is on operator generated by the transition probabilities $P(x, A)$ of Markov chain $(x_n; n \geq 0)$:

$$P(x, A) := \mathcal{P}\{\omega : x_{n+1} \in A / x_n = x\}, \quad (31)$$

and $\{D_j(x); x, y \in X, j = 1, 2\}$ is a family of some closed operators;

G) $\int_0^{+\infty} \mu(s) ds < 1$;

H) $\int_0^{+\infty} s\mu(s) ds < +\infty$.

If $B := C_0(R)$, then $H := W^{l,2}(R)$ is a Sobolev space [1, 2, 14], and $W^{l,2}(R) \subset C_0(R)$ and this imbedding is compact. For the spaces $B := L_2(R)$ and $H := W^{l,2}(R)$ it is the same.

It follows from the conditions A) - B) that operators $\Gamma(x)$ and $(\Gamma(x))^*$ generate a strongly continuous contractive semigroup of operators $\Gamma_x(t)$ and

$\Gamma_x^*(t)$, $\forall x \in X$, in H and H^* respectively. From the conditions A)–C) it follows that SERE $V(t)$ in (1) is a contractive operator in H , $\forall t \in R_+$, and $\|V(t)f\|_H$ is a semimartingale $\forall f \in H$. In such a way, the conditions A) - C) supply the following result:

SERE $V(t)f$ is a tight process in B , namely, $\forall \Delta > 0$ there exists a compact set K_Δ :

$$\mathcal{P}\{V(t)f \in K_\Delta; 0 \leq t \leq T\} \geq 1 - \Delta. \quad (32)$$

This result follows from Kolmogorov - Doob inequality [4] for semimartingale $\|V(t)f\|_H$ [5].

Condition (32) is the main step in the proving of limit theorems and rates of convergence for the sequence of SERE in series scheme.

4.2 Averaging of Self-Exciting Random Evolutions.

Let's consider a SERE in series scheme:

$$V_\varepsilon(t) = f + \int_0^t \Gamma(x(s/\varepsilon))V_\varepsilon(s)f ds + \sum_{k=1}^{N(t/\varepsilon)} [D^\varepsilon(x_k) - I]V_\varepsilon(\varepsilon\tau_k-), \quad (33)$$

where

$$D^\varepsilon(x) = I + \varepsilon D_1(x) + o(\varepsilon), \quad (34)$$

$\{D_1(x); x, y \in X\}$ is a family of closed linear operators, $\|o(\varepsilon)f\|/\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, ε is a small parameter,

$$f \in B_0 := \bigcap_{x, y \in X} \text{Dom}(\Gamma^2(x)) \cap \text{Dom}(D_1^2(x, y)). \quad (35)$$

Another form for $V_\varepsilon(t)$ in (35) is:

$$V_\varepsilon(t) = \Gamma_{x(t/\varepsilon)}(t - \varepsilon\tau_{N(t/\varepsilon)}) \prod_{k=1}^{N(t/\varepsilon)} D^\varepsilon(x_k)\Gamma_{k-1}(\varepsilon\theta_k). \quad (36)$$

Under conditions A) - C) the sequence of SERE $V_\varepsilon(t)f$ is tight (see (35)).

Under conditions D), E), $i = 2, F), k = 2, j = 1$, the sequence of SERE $V_\varepsilon(t)f$ is weakly compact $\rho - a.s.$ in $D_B[0, +\infty)$ with limit points in $C_B[0, +\infty)$, $f \in B_0$.

Let's consider the following process in $D_B[0, +\infty)$:

$$M_{\nu(t/\varepsilon)}^\varepsilon f^\varepsilon := V_{\nu(t/\varepsilon)}^\varepsilon f^\varepsilon - f^\varepsilon - \sum_{k=0}^{N(t/\varepsilon)-1} E_\rho[V_{k+1}^\varepsilon f_{k+1}^\varepsilon - V_k^\varepsilon f_k^\varepsilon / \mathcal{F}_k], \quad (37)$$

where $V_n^\varepsilon := V_\varepsilon(\varepsilon\tau_n)$,

$$f^\varepsilon := f + \varepsilon f_1(x(t/\varepsilon)),$$

$$f_k^\varepsilon := f^\varepsilon(x_k),$$

function $f_1(x)$ is defined from the equation

$$\begin{aligned} (P - I)f_1(x) &= \left[(\hat{\Gamma} + \hat{D}) - (m\Gamma(x) + PD_1(x)) \right] f, \\ \hat{\Gamma} &:= m \int_x \rho(dx) \Gamma(x), \quad \hat{D} := \int_x \rho(dx) D_1(x), \\ & m := m_1 \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

(see E)), $f \in B_0$.

The process $M_{N(t/\varepsilon)}^\varepsilon f^\varepsilon$ is an $\mathcal{F}_t^\varepsilon$ -martingale with respect to the σ -algebra $\mathcal{F}_t^\varepsilon := \sigma\{x(s/\varepsilon); 0 \leq s \leq t\}$.

The martingale $M_{N(t/\varepsilon)}^\varepsilon f^\varepsilon$ in (37) has the asymptotic representation:

$$M_{N(t/\varepsilon)}^\varepsilon f^\varepsilon = V_{N(t/\varepsilon)}^\varepsilon f - f - \varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^{N(t/\varepsilon)} (\hat{\Gamma} + \hat{D}) V_k^\varepsilon f + 0_f(\varepsilon), \quad (39)$$

where $\hat{\Gamma}, \hat{D}, f, f^\varepsilon$ are defined in (38) and

$$\|0_f(\varepsilon)\|/\varepsilon \rightarrow \text{const} \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall f \in B_0.$$

The families $l(M_{N(t/\varepsilon)}^\varepsilon f^\varepsilon)$ and

$$l \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N(t/\varepsilon)} E_\rho[(V_{k+1}^\varepsilon f_{k+1}^\varepsilon - V_k^\varepsilon f_k^\varepsilon) / \mathcal{F}_k] \right)$$

are weakly compact for all $l \in B_0^*$ is a some dense subset from B^* . Let $V_0(t)$ be a limit process for $V_\varepsilon(t)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Since (see (36))

$$[V_\varepsilon(t) - V_{N(t/\varepsilon)}^\varepsilon] = [\Gamma_{x(t/\varepsilon)}(t - \varepsilon\tau_{N(t/\varepsilon)}) - I] \cdot V_{N(t/\varepsilon)}^\varepsilon \quad (40)$$

and the right-hand side in (40) tends to zero as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, then it's clearly that the limits for $V_\varepsilon(t)$ and $V_{N(t/\varepsilon)}^\varepsilon$ are the same, namely, $V_0(t) = \rho - a.s.$

Taking into account the LLN for Hawkes process, $N(t)/t \rightarrow_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda/(1 - \hat{\mu})$, see i.e., [?], the sum $\varepsilon \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{N(t/\varepsilon)} (\hat{\Gamma} + \hat{D})V_k^\varepsilon f$ converges strongly as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ to the integral

$$\hat{\lambda} \cdot \int_0^t (\hat{\Gamma} + \hat{D})V_0(s) f ds,$$

where

$$\hat{\lambda} := \frac{\lambda}{1 - \hat{\mu}}. \quad (41)$$

The quadratic variation of the martingale $l(M_{\nu(t/\varepsilon)}^\varepsilon f^\varepsilon)$ tends to zero, and, hence,

$$M_{\nu(t/\varepsilon)}^\varepsilon f^\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall f \in B_0, \quad \forall e \in B_0^*.$$

Passing to the limit in (39) as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and taking into account the all previous reasonings we obtain that the limit process $V_0(t)$ satisfies the equation:

$$0 = V_0(t)f - f - \hat{\lambda} \int_0^t (\hat{\Gamma} + \hat{D})V_0(s) f ds, \quad (42)$$

where $\hat{\lambda}$ is defined in (41).

The **uniqueness** of the limit evolution $V_0(t)f$ in **averaging** scheme follows from the equation (42) and the fact that if the operator $\hat{\Gamma} + \hat{D}$ generates a semigroup, then $V_0(t)f = \exp\{\hat{\lambda}(m\hat{\Gamma} + \hat{D}) \cdot t\}f$ and this representation is unique.

Remark. In a similar way we can consider averaging of self-exciting random evolutions in reducible phase space, or merged self-exciting random evolutions. See [6].

4.3 Diffusion Approximation (Functional Central Limit Theorem) of Self-Exciting Random Evolutions

Let us consider SERE $V_\varepsilon(t/\varepsilon^2)$, where $V_\varepsilon(t)$ is defined in (33), with the operators

$$D^\varepsilon(x) := I + \varepsilon D_1(x) + \varepsilon^2 D_2(x) + 0(\varepsilon^2), \quad (43)$$

$\{D_i(x); \quad x \in X, \quad i = 1, 2\}$ are closed linear operators and $\|0(\varepsilon^2)f\|/\varepsilon^2 \rightarrow 0, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \forall f \in B_0 &:= \bigcap_{x \in X} \text{Dom}(\Gamma^4(x)) \bigcap \text{Dom}(D_2(x)), \\ \text{Dom}(D_2(x)) &\subseteq \text{Dom}(D_1(x)); \quad D_1(x) \subseteq \text{Dom}(D_1(x)), \\ \forall x \in X, \quad \Gamma^i(x) &\subset \text{Dom}(D_2(x)), \quad i = \overline{1, 3}. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

In such a way

$$V_\varepsilon(t/\varepsilon^2) = \Gamma_{x(t/\varepsilon^2)}(t/\varepsilon - \varepsilon\tau_{N(t/\varepsilon^2)}) \prod_{k=1}^{N(t/\varepsilon^2)} D^\varepsilon(x_k) \Gamma_{x_{k-1}}(\varepsilon, \theta_k), \quad (45)$$

where $D^\varepsilon(x)$ are defined in (43).

Under conditions A) - C) the sequence of SERE $V_\varepsilon(t/\varepsilon)f$ is tight

Under conditions D), E), $i = 3, F), k = 4$, the sequence of SERE $V_\varepsilon(t/\varepsilon)f$ is weakly compact $\rho - a.s.$ in $D_B[0, +\infty)$ with limit points in $C_B[0, +\infty)$, $f \in B_0$.

Let us the **balance condition** be satisfied:

$$\int_X \rho(dx) [m\Gamma(x) + D_1(x)]f = 0, \quad \forall f \in B_0 \quad (46)$$

Let us consider the following process in $D_B[0, +\infty)$:

$$M_{N(t/\varepsilon^2)}^\varepsilon f^\varepsilon := V_{N(t/\varepsilon^2)}^\varepsilon f^\varepsilon - f^\varepsilon - \sum_{k=0}^{N(t/\varepsilon^2)-1} E_\rho[V_{k+1}^\varepsilon f_{k+1}^\varepsilon - V_k^\varepsilon f_k^\varepsilon / \mathcal{F}_k], \quad (47)$$

where $f^\varepsilon := f + \varepsilon f_1(x(t/\varepsilon^2)) + \varepsilon^2 f_2(x(t/\varepsilon^2))$, and functions f_1 and f_2 are defined from the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} (P - I)f_1(x) &= -[m\Gamma(x) + PD_1(x, \cdot)]f, \\ (P - I)f_2(x) &= [\hat{L} - L(x)]f, \\ \hat{L} &= \int_X \rho(dx) L(x), \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

$$\begin{aligned} L(x) &:= (m\Gamma(x) + D_1(x))(R_0 - I)(m\Gamma(x) + D_1(x)) + \\ &\quad + m_2\Gamma^2(x)/2 + mD_1(x)\Gamma(x) + D_2(x), \end{aligned}$$

R_0 is a potential operator of $(x_n; n \geq 0)$.

The balance condition (46) and condition $\int_X \rho(dx)(\hat{L} - L(x)) = 0$ give the solvability of the equations in (48).

The process $M_{\nu(t/\varepsilon^2)}^\varepsilon f^\varepsilon$ is an $\mathcal{F}_t^\varepsilon$ -martingale with respect to the σ -algebra $\mathcal{F}_t^\varepsilon := \sigma\{x(s/\varepsilon^2); 0 \leq s \leq t\}$.

This martingale has the asymptotic representation:

$$M_{N(t/\varepsilon^2)}^\varepsilon f^\varepsilon = V_{N(t/\varepsilon^2)}^\varepsilon f - f - \varepsilon^2 \sum_{k=0}^{N(t/\varepsilon^2)-1} \hat{L}V_k^\varepsilon f - 0_f(\varepsilon t), \quad (49)$$

where \hat{L} is defined in (48) and

$$\|0_f(\varepsilon)\|/\varepsilon \rightarrow \text{const} \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall f \in B_0.$$

The families $l(M_{N(t/\varepsilon^2)}^\varepsilon f^\varepsilon)$ and $l(\sum_{k=0}^{N(t/\varepsilon^2)} E_\rho[(V_{k+1}^\varepsilon f_{k+1}^\varepsilon - V_k^\varepsilon f_k^\varepsilon)/\mathcal{F}_k])$ are weakly compact for all $l \in B_0^*$, $f \in B_0$.

Set $V^0(t)$ for the limit process for $V_\varepsilon(t/\varepsilon)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

From (40) we obtain that the limits for $V_\varepsilon(t/\varepsilon^2)$ and $V_{N(t/\varepsilon^2)}^\varepsilon$ are the same, namely, $V^0(t)$.

Taking into account the LLN for Hawkes process, $N(t)/t \rightarrow_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda/(1 - \hat{\mu})$, see e.g., [?], the sum $\varepsilon^2 \sum_{k=0}^{N(t/\varepsilon^2)} \hat{L} V_k^\varepsilon f$ converges strongly as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ to the integral $\hat{\lambda} \int_0^t \hat{L} V^0(s) f ds$, where $\hat{\lambda}$ is defined in (41).

Set $M^0(t)f$ be a limit martingale for $M_{\nu(t/\varepsilon^2)}^\varepsilon f^\varepsilon$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Then, from (43)–(49) and previous reasonings we have as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$:

$$M^0(t)f = V^0(t)f - f - \hat{\lambda} \cdot \int_0^t \hat{L} V^0(s) f ds. \quad (50)$$

The quadratic variation of the martingale $M^0(t)f$ has the form:

$$\langle l(M^0(t)f) \rangle = \int_0^t \int_X l^2(\sigma \Gamma(x) V^0(s) f) \rho(dx) ds, \quad (51)$$

where

$$\sigma^2 := \hat{\lambda}[m_2 - m^2],$$

where m_2, m are defined in E), (29), and $\hat{\lambda}$ in (41).

The solution of martingale problem for $M^0(t)$ (namely, to find the representation of $M^0(t)$ with quadratic variation (51)) is expressed by the integral over Wiener orthogonal martingale measure $W(dx, ds)$ with quadratic variation $\rho(dx) \cdot ds$:

$$M^0(t)f = \int_0^t \int_x \sigma \Gamma(x) V^0(s) f W(dx, ds). \quad (52)$$

In such a way, the limit process $V^0(t)$ satisfies the following equation (see (50) and (52)):

$$V^0(t)f = f + \hat{\lambda} \cdot \int_0^t \hat{L} \cdot V^0(s) f ds + \int_0^t \int_X \sigma \Gamma(x) V^0(s) f W(dx, ds). \quad (53)$$

If the operator \hat{L} generates the semigroup $U(t)$ then the process $V^0(t)f$ in (53) satisfied equation:

$$V^0(t)f = U(t)f + \int_0^t \int_x \sigma U(t-s)\Gamma(x)V^0(s)fW(dx, ds). \quad (54)$$

The **uniqueness** of the limit evolution $V^0(t)f$ in **diffusion approximation** scheme follows from the uniqueness of the solution of martingale problem for $V^0(t)f$ (see (50)–(54)). The latter is proved by **dual SERE** in series scheme by the constructing the limit equation in diffusion approximation and by using a dual identify. For more details, see [6].

Remark. In a similar way, we can consider diffusion approximation of self-exciting random evolutions in reducible phase space. See [6].

4.4 Normal Deviations of Random Evolutions.

The averaged self-exciting evolution obtained in averaging and merging schemes can be considered as the first approximation to the initial evolution. The diffusion approximation of the SERE determine the second approximation to the initial evolution, since the first approximation under balance condition - the averaged evolution - appears to be trivial.

Here we consider the **double approximation** to the SERE - the averaged and the diffusion approximation - provided that the balance condition fails. We introduce the **deviation process** as the normalized difference between the initial and averaged evolutions. In the limit we obtain the **normal deviations** of the initial SERE from the averaged one.

Let us consider the SERE $V_\varepsilon(t)$ in (33) and the averaged self-exciting evolution $V_0(t)$ in (42). Let's also consider the deviation of the initial evolution $V_\varepsilon(t)f$ from the averaged one $V_0(t)f$:

$$W_\varepsilon(t)f := \varepsilon^{-1/2} \cdot [V_\varepsilon(t) - V_0(t)]f, \quad \forall f \in B_0. \quad (55)$$

Taking into account the equations (40) and (55) we obtain the relation for $W_\varepsilon(t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} W_\varepsilon(t)f &= \varepsilon^{-1/2} \int_0^t (\Gamma(x(s/\varepsilon)) - \hat{\Gamma})V_\varepsilon(s)f ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \hat{\Gamma}W_\varepsilon(s)f ds + \\ &\varepsilon^{-1/2} [V_\varepsilon^d(t) - \int_0^t \hat{D} \cdot V_0(s)ds]f, \quad \forall f \in B_0, \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

where

$$V_\varepsilon^d(t)f := \sum_{k=1}^{N(t/\varepsilon)} [D^\varepsilon(x_k) - I]V_\varepsilon(\varepsilon\tau_k^-)f,$$

and $\hat{\Gamma}, \hat{D}$ are defined in (38).

If the process $W_\varepsilon(t)f$ has the weak limit $W_0(t)f$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ then we obtain:

$$\int_0^t \hat{\Gamma} W_\varepsilon(s) f ds \rightarrow \int_0^t \hat{\Gamma} W_0(s) f ds, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (57)$$

Since the operator $\Gamma(x) - \hat{\Gamma}$ satisfies to the balance condition

$$\int_X \rho(dx) (\Gamma(x) - \hat{\Gamma}) f = 0,$$

then the diffusion approximation of the first term in the right - hand side of (56) gives:

$$\varepsilon^{-1/2} \int_0^t l((\Gamma(x(s/\varepsilon)) - \hat{\Gamma}) f) ds \rightarrow l(\sigma_1 f) w(t), \quad (58)$$

when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, and where

$$l^2(\sigma_1 f) = \int_X \rho(dx) [ml((\Gamma(x) - \hat{\Gamma}) f)(R_0 - I)ml((\Gamma(x) - \hat{\Gamma}) f) + 2^{-1} \cdot m_2 l^2((\Gamma(x) - \hat{\Gamma}) f)] / m,$$

$\forall l \in B_0^*$, $w(t)$ is a standard Wiener process.

Since $\int_X \rho(dx) (PD_1(x) - \hat{D}) f = 0$, then the diffusion approximation of the third term in the right - hand side of (56) gives the following limit:

$$\varepsilon^{-1/2} \cdot l(V_\varepsilon^d(t) f) - \int_0^t \hat{D} V_0(s) f ds \rightarrow l(\sigma_2 f) \cdot w(t), \quad (59)$$

when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, and where

$$l^2(\sigma_2 f) := \int_X \rho(dx) l((D_1(x) - \hat{D}) f)(R_0 - I) \cdot l((D_1(x) - \hat{D}) f). \quad (60)$$

The passage to the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the representation (56) by encountering (57)–(59) arrives at the equation for $W_0(t)f$:

$$W_0(t)f = \int_0^t \hat{\Gamma} W_0(s) f ds + \sigma f w(t), \quad (61)$$

where the variance operator σ is determined from the relation:

$$l^2(\sigma f) := l^2(\sigma_1 f) + l^2(\sigma_2 f), \quad \forall l \in B_0, \quad \forall l \in B_0^*, \quad (62)$$

where operators σ_1 and σ_2 are defined in (58) and (59) respectively.

Double approximation of the SERE has the form:

$$V_\varepsilon(t)f \approx V_0(t)f + \sqrt{\varepsilon}W_0(t)f$$

for small ε , which perfectly fits the standard form of the *FCLT* with non-zero limiting mean value.

4.5 Rates of Convergence in the Limit Theorems for SERE.

The rates of convergence in the averaging and diffusion approximation scheme for the sequence of SMRE are considered in this section.

Rate of Convergence in Averaging Scheme for SERE. The problem is to estimate the value

$$\|E_\rho[V_\varepsilon(t)f^\varepsilon(x(t/\varepsilon)) - V_0(t)f]\|, \quad \forall f \in B_0, \quad (63)$$

where $V_0(t)$, $V_\varepsilon(t)$, f^ε , f and B_0 are defined in (42), (33), (37), (35), respectively.

We use the following representation

$$\begin{aligned} \|E_\rho[V_\varepsilon(t)f^\varepsilon(x(t/\varepsilon)) - V_0(t)f]\| &\leq \|E_\rho[V_\varepsilon(t)f - V_\varepsilon(\tau_{N(t/\varepsilon)})f]\| + \\ &+ \|E_\rho[V_\varepsilon(\tau_{N(t/\varepsilon)})f - V_0(t)f]\| + \varepsilon\|E_\rho V_\varepsilon(t)f_1(x(t/\varepsilon))\| \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

that follows from (36) and (37), (40).

For the first term in the right - hand side of (63) we obtain (see (36) and (37) as $n = 2$):

$$\|E_\rho[V_\varepsilon(t)f - V_\varepsilon(\tau_{N(t/\varepsilon)})f]\| \leq \varepsilon \cdot C_1(T, f), \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad (65)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_1(T, f) &:= \int_X \rho(dx)[C_0(T, x, f) + C_0^2(T, x, f)], \\ C_0(T, x, f) &:= T\hat{\lambda} \cdot m_2\|\Gamma(x)f\|/2, \quad \forall f \in B_0. \end{aligned}$$

For the second term in the right - hand side of (63) we have from (37) and (42) (since $E_\rho M_{\nu(t/\varepsilon)}^\varepsilon f^\varepsilon(x(t/\varepsilon)) = 0$):

$$\begin{aligned}
\|E_\rho[V_\varepsilon(\tau_{N(t/\varepsilon)})f - V_0(t)f]\| &\leq \varepsilon\|E_\rho[V_{N(t/\varepsilon)}^\varepsilon - I]f_1(x(t/\varepsilon))\| \\
&+ \varepsilon\|E_\rho[\sum_{k=0}^{N(t/\varepsilon)-1} (\hat{\Gamma} + \hat{D})V_k^\varepsilon f - \varepsilon^{-1}\hat{\lambda} \int_0^1 (m\hat{\Gamma} + \hat{D})V_0(s)f ds]\| \\
&+ \varepsilon \cdot C_2(T, f), \tag{66}
\end{aligned}$$

where constant $C_2(T, f)$ is expressed by algebraic sum of $\int_X m_i \|\Gamma^i(x)f\| \rho(dx)$ and

$$\int_X m_i \|D_1(x) \cdot \Gamma^i(x)f\| \rho(dx), \quad i = 1, 2, \quad f \in B_0,$$

and $\|R_0\|$, R_0 is a potential of Markov chain $(x_n; n \geq 0)$.

For the third term in right - hand side of (63) we obtain:

$$E_\rho\|f_1(x)\| \leq 2C_3(f), \tag{67}$$

where

$$C_3(f) := \|R_0\| \cdot \int_X p(dx)[m\|\Gamma(x)f\| + \|D_1(x)f\|].$$

Finally, from (63)–(66) we obtain the estimate of the value in (62), namely, **rate of convergence in averaging scheme for SERE**:

$$\|E_\rho[V_\varepsilon(t)f^\varepsilon(x(t/\varepsilon)) - V_0(t)f]\| \leq \varepsilon \cdot C(T, f), \tag{68}$$

where constant $C(T, f)$ is expressed by $C_i(T, f)$, $i = \overline{1, 3}$.

Rate of Convergence of SERE in Diffusion Approximation.

The problem is to estimate the value:

$$\|E_\rho[V_\varepsilon(t/\varepsilon^2)f^\varepsilon(x(t/\varepsilon^2)) - V^0(t)f]\|, \quad \forall f \in B_0, \tag{69}$$

where $V_\varepsilon(t/\varepsilon)$, f^ε , $V^0(t)$, f , B_0 are defined in (33),(47),(53),(49) respectively.

Here, we use the following representation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|E_\rho[V_{\varepsilon^2}(t/\varepsilon)f^\varepsilon(x(t/\varepsilon^2)) - V^0(t)f]\| &\leq \|E_\rho[V_\varepsilon(t/\varepsilon)f - V_\varepsilon(\tau_{N(t/\varepsilon^2)})f]\| \\
&+ \|E_\rho[V_\varepsilon(\tau_{N(t/\varepsilon^2)})f - V^0(t)f]\| + \varepsilon\|E_\rho[V_\varepsilon(t/\varepsilon)f_1(x(t/\varepsilon^2))]\| \\
&+ \varepsilon^2\|E_\rho[V_\varepsilon(t/\varepsilon)f_2(x(t/\varepsilon^2))]\|, \tag{70}
\end{aligned}$$

that follows from (40) and (43), (45), respectively.

First of all we have for the fourth term in the right - hand side of (70):

$$\varepsilon^2 \|E_\rho[V_\varepsilon(t/\varepsilon)f_2(x(t/\varepsilon^2))]\| \leq \varepsilon^2 \cdot 2\|R_0\| \cdot \int_X \rho(dx) \|L(x)f\| := \varepsilon^2 d_1(f), \quad (71)$$

where $L(x)$ is defined in (48).

For the third term in the right - hand side of (69) we obtain:

$$\varepsilon \|E_\rho[V_\varepsilon(t/\varepsilon)f_1(x(t/\varepsilon^2))]\| \leq \varepsilon \cdot d_2(f), \quad (72)$$

where

$$d_2(f) := 2\|R_0\| \cdot \int_X \rho(dx) [m\|\Gamma(x)f\| + \|D_1(x)f\|], \quad f \in B_0.$$

For the first term in the right - hand side of (69) we have from (45):

$$\|E_\rho[V_\varepsilon(t/\varepsilon)f - V_\varepsilon(\tau_{\nu(t/\varepsilon^2)})f]\| \leq \varepsilon \cdot C_1(T, f), \quad (73)$$

where $C_1(T, f)$ is defined in (64).

For the second term in the right - hand side of (69) we use the asymptotic representation (47) for the martingale $M_{\nu(t/\varepsilon^2)}^\varepsilon f^\varepsilon$ and the conditions

$$E_\rho M^\varepsilon f^\varepsilon = 0, \quad E_\rho M^0(t)f = 0, \quad \forall f \in B_0 \quad (74)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|E_\rho[V_\varepsilon(\tau_{N(t/\varepsilon^2)})f - V^0(t)f]\| &\leq \varepsilon \|E_\rho[V^\varepsilon(\tau_{\nu(t/\varepsilon^2)})f_1 - f_1(x)]\| + \\ &+ \varepsilon^2 \|E_\rho[V_\varepsilon(\tau_{N(t/\varepsilon^2)})f_2 - f_2(x)]\| + \varepsilon^2 \|E_\rho[\sum_{k=0}^{N(t/\varepsilon^2)-1} \hat{L}V_k^\varepsilon f \\ &- \varepsilon^{-2} \hat{\lambda} \int_0^t \hat{L}V^0(s)f ds]\| + \varepsilon \cdot d_3(f), \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

where constant $d_3(f)$ is expressed by algebraic sum of

$$\int_X m_i \|\Gamma^j(x)PD_k(x)f\| \rho(dx), \quad i = \overline{1,3}, \quad j = \overline{0,3}, \quad k = \overline{1,2}.$$

We note that

$$\|E_\rho[\sum_{k=0}^{N(t/\varepsilon^2)-1} \hat{L}V_k^\varepsilon f - \varepsilon^{-2} \hat{\lambda} \int_0^t \hat{L}V^0(s)f ds]\| \leq d_4(T, f). \quad (76)$$

Finally, from (69)–(75) we obtain the estimate of the value in (68), namely, **rate of convergence in diffusion approximation scheme for SERE**:

$$\|E_\rho[V_\varepsilon(t/\varepsilon^2)f^\varepsilon(x(t/\varepsilon^2)) - V^0(t)f]\| \leq \varepsilon \cdot d(T, f), \quad (77)$$

where constant $d(T, f)$ is expressed by $d_i, i = \overline{1, 4}$, and $C_1(T, f), f \in B_0$.

5 Applications of SERE

Applications of SERE will be given to self-exciting traffic/transport process and self-exciting summation on a Markov chain, which are continuous and discrete SERE. From these processes we can construct many other self-exciting processes, e.g., such as impulse traffic/transport process, self-exciting risk process, etc. We will present averaged, diffusion and normal deviated self-exciting processes. All the convergences are in Skorokhod topology [13] when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Rates of convergence in these cases are presented as well. The results here follow from sec. 4.2-4.5.

5.1 Averaged Self-exciting Traffic/Transport and Summation on a Markov Chain Processes (see sec. 4.2)

If we have self-exciting traffic/transport process in series scheme t/ε

$$z_t^\varepsilon = z + \int_0^t v(z_s^\varepsilon, x_{s/\varepsilon}) ds, \quad (78)$$

then the averaged self-exciting traffic/transport process satisfies the ODE (weak convergence when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in Skorokhod topology [11])

$$\frac{d\hat{z}(t)}{dt} = \hat{v}(\hat{z}(t)), \quad \hat{z}(0) = z, \quad (79)$$

where $\hat{v}(z) := \hat{\lambda} m \int_X \rho(dx) v(z, x)$, $\hat{\lambda} := \frac{\lambda}{1-\hat{\mu}}$.

If we have self-exciting summation on a Markov chain process in series scheme t
epsilon

$$z_t^\varepsilon = z + \varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^{N(t/\varepsilon)} a(x_k), \quad (80)$$

then the averaged self-exciting summation on a Markov chain process has the following form: (weak convergence when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in Skorokhod topology [11])

$$\hat{z}_t = z + \hat{a}t, \quad (81)$$

where $\hat{a} := \hat{\lambda} \int_X \rho(dx) a(x)$.

5.2 Diffusion Self-exciting Traffic/Transport and Summation on a Markov Chain Processes

If we have self-exciting traffic/transport process in series scheme t/ϵ^2

$$z_t^\epsilon = z + \int_0^t v(z_s^\epsilon, x_{s/\epsilon^2}) ds, \quad (82)$$

with balance condition $\hat{v}(z) = \int_X \rho(dx) v(z, x) = 0$, then the diffusion self-exciting traffic/transport process has the following SDE form (weak convergence when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in Skorokhod topology [11])

$$d\hat{z}(t) = b(\hat{z}(t))dt + \sigma(\hat{z}(t))dw(t), \quad (83)$$

where $b(z) := \hat{\lambda} \int_X \rho(dx) [m^2 v(z, x)(R_0 - I)v'(z, x) + m_2 v(z, x)v'(z, x)/2]$ and $\sigma^2(z) := 2\hat{\lambda} \int_X \rho(dx) [m^2 v(z, x)(R_0 - I)v(z, x) + m_2 v^2(z, x)/2]$, R_0 is a potential of the Markov chain ($x_n, n \geq 0$), $w(t)$ is a standard Wiener process.

If we have self-exciting summation on a Markov Chain Processes in series scheme t/ϵ^2

$$z_t^\epsilon = z + \epsilon \sum_{k=1}^{N(t/\epsilon^2)} a(x_k), \quad (84)$$

with a balance condition $\hat{a} = \int_X \rho(dx) a(x) = 0$, then the diffusion summation on a Markov chain (weak convergence when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in Skorokhod topology [11]) is a Wiener process with variance

$$\sigma^2 := 2\hat{\lambda} \int_X \rho(dx) [m^2 a(x)(R_0 - I)a(x) + m_2 a^2(x)/2]. \quad (85)$$

5.3 Normal Deviated Self-exciting Traffic/Transport and Summation on a Markov Chain Processes

Let us consider the self-exciting traffic/transport process z_t^ϵ in (77), the averaged self-exciting traffic/transport process \hat{z}_t in (78). and the normal deviated self-exciting process

$$\theta_\epsilon(t) := [z_t^\epsilon - \hat{z}_t] \times \epsilon^{-1/2}. \quad (86)$$

Then the process $\theta_\epsilon(t)$ converges weakly in Skorokhod topology (see [13]) to the diffusion process $\theta_0(t)$ that satisfies the following SDE:

$$d\theta_0(t) = a(\hat{z}_t)\theta_0(t)dt + \sigma_1(\hat{z}_t)dw(t), \quad (87)$$

where $a(z) := \int_X \rho(dx) v'_z(z, x)$, $\sigma_1^2(z) := \hat{\lambda} \int_X \rho(dx) [m^2 (v(z, x) - \hat{v}(z))(R_0 - I)(v(z, x) - \hat{v}(z)) + 2^{-1} m_2 (v(z, x) - \hat{v}(z))^2]$, $\hat{v}(z) := \int_X \rho(dx) v(z, x)$, $w(t)$ is a standard Wiener process.

Let z_t^ϵ and \hat{z}_t be self-exciting self-exciting summation on a Markov chain process in series scheme t/ϵ^2 (79) and averaged self-exciting summation on a Markov chain process (80), respectively. Consider self-exciting deviated summation on a Markov chain processes:

$$\beta_\epsilon(t) := [z_t^\epsilon - \hat{z}_t] \times \epsilon^{-1/2}. \quad (88)$$

Then this process converges weakly in Skorokhod topology [13] to the process $\beta_0(t)$ such that

$$\beta_0(t) = \beta w(t), \quad (89)$$

where $\beta^2 := \hat{\lambda} \int_X \rho(dx) [m^2(a(x) - \hat{a})(R_0 - I)(a(x) - \hat{a}) + 2^{-1}m_2(a(x) - \hat{a})^2]$, $\hat{a} := \int_X \rho(dx)a(x)$.

5.4 Rates of Convergence of Self-exciting Traffic/Transport and Summation on a Markov Chain Processes in Averaged Scheme

Let us consider the self-exciting traffic/transport process z_t^ϵ in (77) and the averaged self-exciting traffic/transport process $\hat{z}(t)$ in (78). Then we have the following rates of convergence in averaged scheme:

$$|E[z_t^\epsilon - \hat{z}(t)]| \leq \epsilon \times C(T), \quad T < +\infty, \quad (90)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C(T) &:= 5C_1 + C_2(T) + C_3(T) + \hat{\lambda}T \int_X \rho(dx)C_4(x) \\ C_1 &:= 2\|R_0\|m \sup_z \int_X \rho(dx)|v(z, x)| \\ C_2(T) &:= 2mT\hat{\lambda} \sup_z \int_X \rho(dx)|v(z, x)| \\ C_3(T) &:= 2\hat{\lambda}T \sup_z \int_X \rho(dx)[m|v(z, x)| + m_2|v(z, x)|^2], \end{aligned}$$

and $C_4(x)$ is a bounded function of x , R_0 is a potential of Markov chain $(x_n, n \geq 0)$.

Let z_t^ϵ and \hat{z}_t be self-exciting self-exciting summation on a Markov chain process in series scheme t/ϵ (79) and averaged self-exciting summation on a Markov chain process (80), respectively.

Then we have the following rate of convergence in this case:

$$|E[z_t^\epsilon - \hat{z}_t]| \leq \epsilon \times C^*(T), \quad T < +\infty, \quad (91)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C^*(T) &:= 5C_1 + C_2(T) + C_3(T) + \hat{\lambda}T \int_X \rho(dx)C_4(x) \\ C_1 &:= 2\|R_0\| \int_X \rho(dx)|a(x)| \\ C_2(T) &:= 2\hat{\lambda}T \int_X \rho(dx)|a(x)| \\ C_3(T) &:= (1/2)\hat{\lambda}T \int_X \rho(dx)[m|a(x)| + m_2|a(x)|^2], \end{aligned}$$

and $C_4(x)$ is a bounded function of x , R_0 is a potential of Markov chain $(x_n, n \geq 0)$.

Remark. In similar way we can obtain the rates of convergence of Self-exciting Traffic/Transport and Summation on a Markov Chain Processes in diffusion approximation by applying the results from sec. 4.5.

6 Conclusion and Discussion

In this paper we have developed a new class of so-called self-exciting random evolutions (SEREs) and their applications. We have first introduced a new random process $x(t)$ such that it is based on a superposition of a Markov chain x_n and a Hawkes process $N(t)$, i.e., $x_t := x_{N(t)}$. We call this process self-walking imbedded semi-Hawkes process (Swish Process or SwishP). Then the self-exciting REs (SEREs) have been constructed in similar way as, e.g., semi-Markov REs, but instead of semi-Markov process x_t we have SwishP. We gave classifications and examples of self-exciting REs (SEREs). Then we considered limit theorems for SEREs such as averaging, diffusion approximation, normal deviations and rates of convergence of SEREs. Applications of SEREs have been devoted to the so-called self-exciting traffic/transport process and self-exciting summation on a Markov chain, which are examples of continuous and discrete SERE. From these processes we can constructed many other self-exciting processes, e.g., such as impulse traffic/transport process, self-exciting risk process, etc. We also presented averaged, diffusion and normal deviated self-exciting processes. Rates of convergence in these cases have been presented as well.

The main difference between SERE and, e.g., semi-Markov REs (SMRE) is that it involves new features for the REs: self-exciting and clustering, which is not the case for the SMRE. This was incorporated into the Swish process $x_t := x_{N(t)}$, where $N(t)$ is a Hawkes process, and into the parameter $\hat{\lambda} := \frac{\lambda}{1-\hat{\mu}}$, $\hat{\mu} := \int_0^{+\infty} \mu(t)dt$, see, e.g., sec. 5.1, (80)-(81), for the averaged self-exciting summation on a Markov chain. This parameter is presented in all results for the SERE, including averaging, diffusion approximation, normal deviations, etc., and their applications. These features show originality and novelty of the paper.

Acknowledgment

The author thanks NSERC for continuing support.

References

- [1] Adams, R (1979): *Sobolev Spaces*. Academic Press.

- [2] Dunford, N. and Schwartz, J. (1958): *Linear Operators. General Theory.* Wiley.
- [3] Guo, Q., Swishchuk, A. and Remillard, B. (2023): Multivariate Hawkes-based models in limit order books: European and spread options pricing. *Intern. J. Theor. Appl. Finance.* v.25, No. 1. (Dedicated to Tom Hurd).
- [4] Hawkes, A.(1971): Point spectra of some mutually-exciting point processes. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)* 33: 438–43.
- [5] Hawkes, A. (1971): Spectra of some self-exciting and mutually exciting point processes. *Biometrika*, 1971, 58, 83-90.
- [6] Korolyuk, V. S. and Swishchuk A. V. (1995): *Evolution of Systems in Random Media.* Chapman & Hall CRC, 1995.
- [7] Korolyuk, V. S. and Swishchuk A. V. (1995): *Semi-Markov Random Evolutions.* Kluwer AP, 1995.
- [8] Kozachenko, Y., Pogorilyak, O. Rozora, I. and Tegza, A. (2016): *Simulation of Stochastic Processes with Given Accuracy and Reliability.* ISTE, Elsevir, 2016.
- [9] Limnios, N. and Swishchuk, A. (2023): *Discrete-time Semi-Markov Random Evolutions and Their Applications.* Birkhauser, SpringerNature.
- [10] Pinsky, M. (1991): *Lectures on Random Evolutions.* World Scientific Publishers, 1991.
- [11] Skorokhod, A. (1965): *Studies in the Theory of Random Processes.* Addison-Wesley. 1965.
- [12] Skorokhod, A. (1984): *Random Linear Operators.* Dordrecht, Boston, Lancaster; D. Reidel Publishing Co., 1984.
- [13] Skorokhod, A. (1974): *Integration in Hilbert Spaces,* New York, Heidelberg; Springer-Verlag, 1974.
- [14] Sobolev, S. L. *Some Applications of Functional Analysis in Mathematical Physics.* American Mathematical Society, 1991.
- [15] Swishchuk, A. V. *Random Evolutions and Their Applications.* Kluwer AP, 1997.
- [16] Swishchuk, A. V. *Random Evolutions and Their Applications. New Trends.* Kluwer AP, 2000.
- [17] Swishchuk, A. and Islam, S. (2013): *Random Dynamical Systems in Finance.* CRC Press. 2013.

- [18] Swishchuk, A., and Vadori, N. (2017): Semi-Markovian modeling of limit order markets. *SIAM J. Finan. Math.*, 2017, 8(1), pp. 240-273.
- [19] Swishchuk, A., Hoffmeister, T., Sera, K. and Schmidt, J. (2017): General semi-Markov model for limit order books. *Intern. J. Theoret. Applied Finance.*, 2017, 20, 1750019.
- [20] Swishchuk, A., Cassilas, J., Elliott, R. and Remillard, B.(2018): Compound Hawkes processes in limit order books. *Handbook of Applied Econometrics: Fin. Math., Vol. 8 Cov. Model.* Routledge Taylor & Francis, 201). SSRN: <https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstractid=2987943>
- [21] Swishchuk, A. (2017): Risk processes based on general compound Hawkes processes. Paper presented at IME 2017 Congress, Vienna, Austria, July 3–5; Available online: https://fam.tuwien.ac.at/events/ime2017/IME2017_abstracts.pdf
- [22] Swishchuk, A. (2018): Risk Model Based on Compound Hawkes Process. *Wilmott*, 2018.
- [23] Swishchuk, A. (2017): General Compound Hawkes Processes in Limit Order Books. 2017. arXiv: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.07459>
- [24] Swishchuk, A. (2020): Modelling of limit order books by general compound Hawkes processes with implementations. *Methodology and Computing in Applied Probability*, 2020, 23: 299–428.
- [25] Swishchuk, A. and He, Q. (2019): Quantitative and Comparative Analyses of Limit Order Books with General Compound Hawkes. *Risks* 2019, xx, 5; doi:10.3390/risksxx010005
- [26] Swishchuk, A. (2024): Geometric Compound Hawkes Process and its Applications in Finance, *Wilmott*, vol. 2024, iss. 130
- [27] Swishchuk, A. (2021): Merton Investment problems in finance and insurance for the Hawkes-based models. *Risks*, 2021, 9(6), 108.
- [28] Swishchuk, A. (2018): Risk model based on general compound Hawkes process. *Wilmott*, v. 2018, issue
- [29] Swishchuk, A. and Huffman, A. (2020): General Compound Hawkes Processes in Limit Order Books. *Risks* 2020, 8(1), 28.
- [30] Swishchuk, A. (2017): General Compound Hawkes Processes in Limit Order Books. SSRN: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2992802>
- [31] Swishchuk, A. (2017): Risk model based on general compound Hawkes process. *IME 2017 Congress, Abstract.* Vienna, Austria, (2017)

- [32] Swishchuk, A. (2019): *Inhomogeneous Random Evolutions and Their Applications*. CRC Press.
- [33] Vadori, N. and Swishchuk, A. (2015): Strong Law of Large Numbers and Central Limit Theorems for Functionals of Inhomogeneous Semi-Markov Processes. *Stoch. Anal. and Appl.*, Mathematics, 2 Feb 2015. DOI:10.1080/07362994.2014.980828