

The charge statistic in type A via the Affine Grassmannian

Leonardo Patimo

December 18, 2024

Abstract

We give a new construction of Lascoux–Schützenberger’s charge statistic in type A which is motivated by the geometric Satake equivalence. We obtain a new formula for the charge statistic in terms of modified crystal operators and an independent proof of this formula which does not rely on tableaux combinatorics.

Introduction

Finite-dimensional representations of $SL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ are a classical topic in representation theory, with their structure and classification thoroughly explored. Irreducible representations are in bijection with partitions with at most n parts $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0)$. We denote by $L(\lambda)$ the irreducible representations of highest weight λ . The representations $L(\lambda)$ have a canonical basis, which can be constructed geometrically using the geometric Satake correspondence. This basis can be parametrized in terms of combinatorial object, such as semistandard Young tableaux or Littelmann’s paths. Moreover, this canonical basis can be endowed with an additional structure, known as *crystal graph*, which serves as a combinatorial representation theoretic “shadow” of the full structure. We denote by $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ the crystal graph of the representation $L(\lambda)$. To compute the dimension of weight spaces of $L(\lambda)$ is thus sufficient to enumerate elements in a certain explicitly defined set.

These weight multiplicities admit a q -analogue also known as the Kostka–Foulkes polynomials $K_{\lambda,\mu}(q)$. Kostka–Foulkes polynomials were originally defined in the context of symmetric functions, where they appear as the coefficients of the expansion of Schur polynomials in terms of Hall–Littlewood polynomials. Furthermore, they have a representation theoretic interpretation as they record the dimensions of the graded components of the Brylinski filtration on weight spaces [Bry89] and can also be obtained as Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials for the corresponding affine Weyl group [Lus83]. In particular, they have positive coefficients and this naturally leads to the search for combinatorial interpretations of their coefficients.

Lascoux and Schützenberger gave a combinatorial formula for the Kostka–Foulkes polynomials by defining a statistic on the set of semi-standard tableaux, called the *charge statistic* [LS78]. This is a function $c : \mathcal{B}(\lambda)_\mu \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$K_{\lambda,\mu}(q) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)_\mu} q^{c(T)}. \quad (1)$$

This charge function is defined in terms of an operation, called *cyclage*, that can be performed on the set of semistandard Young tableaux, after interpreting them as words in the plactic monoid.

Alternative constructions of the charge statistic are known. In [LLT95], Lascoux, Leclerc and Thibon gave a formula for the charge statistic in terms of the crystal graphs

while Nakayashiki and Yamada [NY97] defined the charge using the energy function on affine crystals. However, the proofs of all these formulas ultimately rely on tableaux combinatorics and are based on an operation called *cyclage* of tableaux.

The goal of this papers is to construct a charge statistic on $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ based on the geometric methods developed in [Pat23]. Motivated by the geometric Satake equivalence, we relate the charge statistic on $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ to the geometry of the affine Grassmannian $\mathcal{G}r^\vee$ of the Langlands dual group $PGL_n(\mathbb{C})$. To our knowledge, this is the first time that the charge statistic is directly linked to the geometry of the affine Grassmannian.

Recharge statistics attached to family of cocharacters

We now briefly recall the approach developed in [Pat23]. Let $T \subset SL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ denote the maximal torus consisting of diagonal matrices and let $T^\vee \subset PGL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ denote its dual torus. Let $\mathcal{G}r^\vee$ denote the affine Grassmannian of $PGL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$. Let $\widehat{T} := T^\vee \times \mathbb{C}^*$ denote the augmented torus, which acts naturally on $\mathcal{G}r^\vee$. Each cocharacter $\eta \in X_\bullet(\widehat{T})$ defines a \mathbb{C}^* -action on $\mathcal{G}r^\vee$. This in turn defines, for each weight μ , a hyperbolic localization functor HL_μ^η , on perverse sheaves on $\mathcal{G}r^\vee$ (cf. [Pat23, §2.4]).

We consider a family of cocharacters $\eta(t)$. We assume that at $t = 0$, $\eta(0)$ lies in the MV region [Pat23, Definition 2.18]. In the MV region the hyperbolic localization functors are exact, and are concentrated in a single degree when applied to intersection cohomology sheaves IC_λ . Further, we assume that for $t \gg 0$ the cocharacter $\eta(t)$ lies in the KL region, where the graded dimension of hyperbolic localization computes the Kostka–Foulkes polynomials, i.e., we have

$$K_{\lambda,\mu}(v^2) = \mathrm{grdim} \mathrm{HL}_\mu^{\eta(t)}(\mathrm{IC}_\lambda) v^{-\ell(\mu)}.$$

Our goal is to find, for each cocharacter $\eta(t)$ in the family, a statistic $r(t, -)$, called *recharge*, satisfying

$$\mathrm{grdim} \left(\mathrm{HL}_\mu^{\eta(t)}(\mathrm{IC}_\lambda) \right) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)_\mu} q^{r(t,T)} \quad (2)$$

To achieve this, we start with a trivial statistic in the MV region and then modify it appropriately whenever our family $\eta(t)$ crosses a wall of the form

$$H_{\alpha^\vee} := \left\{ \eta \in X_\bullet(\widehat{T}) \mid \langle \eta, \alpha^\vee \rangle = 0 \right\},$$

with α^\vee a real root of the affine root system of $\mathcal{G}r^\vee$.

For each wall crossing, we determine a *swapping function* (cf. [Pat23, Definition 2]), which indicates how to modify the statistic r in a way that (2) keeps being satisfied. Eventually, after doing this for all the walls crossed, we obtain a statistic $r(t, -)$ in the KL region, from which a charge statistic satisfying (1) can be easily deduced (cf. (23)).

Crystals and twisted Bruhat graphs in type A

To describe swapping functions in type A we first need some work on crystal graphs and on the combinatorics of Bruhat graphs in type A .

Let X be the character (or weight) lattice of T and let X_+ the subset of dominant characters. For $\lambda \in X_+$, we consider the crystal $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ associated to an irreducible representation of G of highest weight λ . Let f_i, e_i , for $1 \leq i \leq n$, denote the crystal operators on $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$. There is an action of W on $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$, where the simple reflection s_i acts by reversing each f_i -string.

Lecouvey and Lenart [LL21] defined an atomic decomposition of the crystal $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ in type A . Our first result is an equivalent formulation of their decomposition (cf. Proposition 1.13).

Theorem 1. *The closure of the W -orbits under the crystal operator f_n are the atoms of an atomic decomposition of $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$.*

On $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ we define crystal operators e_α, f_α for any positive root $\alpha \in \Phi_+$ by twisting the usual crystal operators f_i, e_i (see Section 1.2). For any $\alpha \in \Phi_+$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$, let $\varepsilon_\alpha(T) = \max\{k \mid e_\alpha^k(T) \neq 0\}$. Then we define the *atomic number* of T as

$$Z(T) = \langle \text{wt}(T), \rho^\vee \rangle + \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_+} \varepsilon_\alpha(T).$$

The name is explained by the main property of Z (cf. Proposition 1.18).

Theorem 2. *The atomic number Z is constant on the atoms from Theorem 1.*

We fix a family of cocharacters $\eta(t)$ going from the MV region to the KL region which crosses all the walls in a sort of lexicographic order (see Section 3.4). Whenever the family $\eta(t)$ crosses a wall H_{α^\vee} , we change the orientation of the edges of the Bruhat graph labeled by α^\vee . This procedure transforms the Bruhat graph into the *twisted Bruhat graph* studied by Dyer [Dye92]. The crucial observation is Proposition 2.17.

Proposition 3. *Let $\lambda \in X_+$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let Γ_λ^m denote the twisted Bruhat graph whose vertices are the weight smaller than λ , obtained after changing the orientation of the edges corresponding to the first m walls. Then the difference of the in-degrees in Γ_λ^m between the vertices of the edges that we reverse is always 1.*

Swapping functions and a new formula for the charge statistic

For the family of cocharacters $\eta(t)$ above, we can explicitly construct the swapping function in terms of the operators e_α (see Proposition 3.11 for a precise formulation). We denote by $<$ the Bruhat order on weights.

Proposition 4. *Let $\alpha^\vee = c\delta - \beta^\vee$ the positive real root corresponding to a reflection t . Let $\mu \in X$ such that $\mu < t\mu \leq \lambda$. We define*

$$\psi_{t\mu} : \mathcal{B}(\lambda)_{t\mu} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\lambda)_\mu, \quad \psi_{t\mu}(T) = e_\beta^{\langle \mu, \beta^\vee \rangle + c}(T). \quad (3)$$

Then, $\psi = \{\psi_\nu\}$ is a swapping function corresponding to the wall H_{α^\vee} .

To prove this, we restrict to a single atom and apply Proposition 3 after translating it into a problem on twisted Bruhat graphs.

We then use the swapping functions in (3) to give a new explicit formula for the charge statistic on $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$. This is the main result of this paper (cf. Theorem 3.5).

Theorem 5. *The function*

$$c(T) = Z(T) - \frac{1}{2}\ell(\text{wt}(T))$$

is a charge statistic on $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$, where ℓ denotes the Bruhat length on X . In particular, if $\text{wt}(T)$ is a dominant weight, we have

$$c(T) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_+} \varepsilon_\alpha(T).$$

This gives a very concise description of the charge. However, we regard as the most interesting aspect of this result the fact that this formula is established by exploiting the connection with the geometry of the affine Grassmannian. We remark in fact that our proof of Theorem 5 is independent from previous proofs [LS78, LLT95, NY97] (for example, the cyclage operation on tableaux does not play any role here). Nevertheless, in Proposition 4.1 we check that our charge statistic coincides with Lascoux–Schützenberger’s one.

Open questions and future work

In a joint project with J. Torres, we developed a similar strategy in type C , determining an atomic decomposition and defining swapping functions. This work led to a new formula for the charge statistic in type C in rank 2 [PT23], a case for which a charge was not known previously. Further investigations into type C in higher ranks are currently ongoing.

We hope these methods will shed light on the combinatorics of Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials in affine type. Even in type A , we are only able to produce a charge statistic starting from a specific family of cocharacters. Considering other families might provide further insights into the combinatorics of Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials in affine type. Additionally, it would be interesting to explore connections between these combinatorial interpretations and the new combinatorial formulas for Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials proposed in [Pat21] and [BBD⁺22].

Although they are motivated and informed by the geometry of the affine Grassmannian, the results in this paper are stated in purely combinatorial terms, relying on the structure of the crystal of the representation. It seems natural to lift this process to a more geometric level, identifying an analogue of the swapping function for MV cycles and/or MV polytopes. We believe that, by applying an analogue of the crystalline procedure outlined in this paper, it should be possible to construct distinguished bases for the intersection cohomology of Schubert varieties in the affine Grassmannian. Such an approach would yield results analogous to those obtained in [Pat22] for the finite Grassmannian.

Acknowledgments

I am grateful to Mark Shimozono for pointing out the similarity and the possible connections with Nakayashiki–Yamada’s description of the charge in terms of the energy function [NY97].

1 Crystals and the atomic decomposition

In this section, we recall the atomic decomposition of crystals of type A studied by Lecouvey and Lenart in type A [LL21]. While they work only on the dominant part $\mathcal{B}_+(\lambda)$ of the crystal, we use a version of the decomposition on the whole crystal, which has a particularly simple expression and it is easier to work with. We also introduce a function on the crystal, called the atomic number Z , which is constant on the atoms and that will play an important role in our construction of the charge.

1.1 Notation

Let G be the reductive group $SL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ and let T be the maximal torus consisting of diagonal matrices. We denote by X the character lattice of the torus T , which is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^n . The elements of X are called *weights*. Let $\Phi \subset X$ be the root system of G . It

is a root system of type A_n . Let W denote its Weyl group, which is isomorphic to the symmetric group S_n . We denote by $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ its simple roots and write

$$\alpha_{j,k} := \alpha_j + \dots + \alpha_k.$$

Let $X_+ \subset X$ be the subset of dominant weights.

For $\lambda \in X_+$ we denote by $L(\lambda)$ the corresponding irreducible representation of highest weight λ and by $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ the corresponding crystal graph. There are several equivalent different constructions of $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$. For $T \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$, we denote by $\text{wt}(T) \in X$ the weight of T .

The crystal $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ can be constructed algebraically using the quantum group of G [Kas91, Lus90]. A geometric construction of $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ can be obtained via geometric Satake [BG01, BFG06]. In this case the elements of the crystal are the MV cycles and the crystal operators are induced by a geometric operation on cycles. It is shown in [Kam07] that the algebraic and geometric constructions are equivalent. The crystal $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ can also be constructed combinatorially in terms of semistandard Young tableaux.

1.2 Modified Crystal Operators

For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let e_i and f_i be the crystal operators on $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$. We call α_i -string of T the set $\{f_i^k(T)\}_{k \geq 0} \cup \{e_i^k(T)\}_{k \geq 0}$.

On $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ there exists an action of the Weyl group with the simple reflection s_i acting by reversing the α_i -strings. We have $\text{wt}(w \cdot T) = w \cdot \text{wt}(T)$. Using the action of W , we can associate, similarly to [LL21], crystal operators to any positive roots.

Definition 1.1. Let $\alpha = \alpha_{j,k} \in \Phi_+$ be a positive root. The *modified crystal operators* f_α and e_α are defined respectively as $w f_k w^{-1}$ and $w e_k w^{-1}$, where $w = s_j s_{j+1} \dots s_{k-1}$.

Example 1.2. For any i , we have $f_{\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1}} = s_i f_{i+1} s_i$.

If $f_\alpha(T) \neq 0$, we have $\text{wt}(f_\alpha(T)) = \text{wt}(T) - \alpha$. Moreover, we can have $f_\alpha(T) = 0$ only if $\langle \text{wt}(T), \alpha^\vee \rangle \leq 0$.

Definition 1.3. For any $T \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ we define

$$\phi_\alpha(T) := \max\{N \mid f_\alpha^N(T) \neq 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_\alpha(T) := \max\{N \mid e_\alpha^N(T) \neq 0\}.$$

We call α -string of T the set $\{f_\alpha^k(T)\}_{k \geq 0} \cup \{e_\alpha^k(T)\}_{k \geq 0}$.

For any i , we have $f_i = f_{\alpha_i}$ so we simply write ϕ_i and ε_i instead of ϕ_{α_i} and ε_{α_i} . If $\alpha = \alpha_{j,k}$ we have $\phi_\alpha = \phi_k \circ w^{-1}$, where $w = s_j \dots s_{k-1}$. Moreover, we have $\phi_\alpha = \varepsilon_\alpha \circ s_\alpha$ and

$$\phi_\alpha(T) - \varepsilon_\alpha(T) = \langle \text{wt}(T), \alpha^\vee \rangle. \quad (4)$$

Notice that if $f_\alpha = w f_k w^{-1}$, then $s_\alpha = w s_k w^{-1}$, so $s_\alpha(T)$ belongs to the α -string of T .

Remark 1.4. Let $\alpha = \alpha_{j,k}$. Then $f_\alpha = v f_k v^{-1}$ for any $v \in \langle s_1, \dots, s_k \rangle$ such that $v(\alpha_k) = \alpha$ (cf. [LL21, Remark 5.1]). In fact, if $w = s_j s_{j+1} \dots s_{k-1}$ then $v^{-1} w \in \text{Stab}(\alpha_k) \cap \langle s_1, \dots, s_k \rangle$, and therefore $v^{-1} w \in \langle s_1, \dots, s_{k-2} \rangle$. Then we have $v^{-1} f_\alpha v = (v^{-1} w) f_k (v^{-1} w)^{-1} = f_k$ since the operator f_k commutes with f_j (and thus with s_j) for any j such that $\langle \alpha_j, \alpha_k^\vee \rangle = 0$.

The following computation about α -strings in rank 2 will be needed later.

Lemma 1.5. For any i with $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ the function $\varepsilon_i + \phi_{i+1}$ is constant along $(\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1})$ -strings.

Proof. After Levi branching to $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1} \rangle \subseteq \Phi$, we can assume that $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ is a crystal of type A_2 . Let $\lambda = N\varpi_1 + N'\varpi_2$, with $N, N' \geq 0$. Recall from [BS17, §11.1] that in type A_2 any $T \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ is uniquely determined by a triple of positive integers $\text{string}(T) := (a, b, c)$ where $T = f_1^a f_2^b f_1^c v$ and $v \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ is the unique element of weight λ .

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ be such that $\text{string}(T) = (a, b, c)$. From [BS17, eq. (11.10)] we have $\text{string}(s_1(T)) = \sigma_1(a, b, c)$, where

$$\sigma_1(a, b, c) := (N + b - a - 2c, b, c),$$

and $\text{string}(f_2(T)) = \theta p_1 \theta(a, b, c)$, where

$$\theta(a, b, c) := (\max(c, b - a), a + c, \min(b - c, a))$$

and $p_1(a, b, c) = (a + 1, b, c)$. From this it follows that

$$\text{string}(f_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}(T)) = \sigma_1 \theta p_1 \theta \sigma_1(\text{string}(T)).$$

We claim that

$$\text{string}(f_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}(T)) = \begin{cases} (a + 1, b + 1, c) & \text{if } a + c \geq N \\ (a, b + 1, c + 1) & \text{if } a + c < N. \end{cases}$$

Assume that $a + c \geq N$. Let $d := N + b - a - 2c$, so $d \leq b - c$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 \theta \sigma_1(a, b, c) &= (\max(c, b - d) + 1, d + c, \min(b - c, d)) = \\ &= (\max(c, b - d + 1), d + c, \min(b - c + 1, d)) = \theta \sigma_1(a + 1, b + 1, c) \end{aligned}$$

and the claim follows. The case $a + c < N$ is similar.

To conclude the proof we need to evaluate $\varepsilon_1 + \phi_2$ on the $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)$ -string of T . We have $\varepsilon_1(T) = a$ and

$$\phi_2(T) = \varepsilon_2 \sigma_2 \theta(a, b, c) = N' + a + c - \max(c, b - a) - 2 \min(b - c, a).$$

A simple computation allows us to conclude by checking that, for $T', T'' \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ such that $\text{string}(T') = (a + 1, b + 1, c)$ and $\text{string}(T'') = (a, b + 1, c + 1)$, we have

$$(\varepsilon_1 + \phi_2)(T') = (\varepsilon_1 + \phi_2)(T) = (\varepsilon_1 + \phi_2)(T''). \quad \square$$

1.3 The Atomic Decomposition of Crystals

Let $\lambda \in X_+$ and let $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ denote the corresponding crystal. We recall from [LL21] the definition of atomic decomposition.

Definition 1.6. An *atomic decomposition* of a crystal $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ is a partition of its elements $\mathcal{B}(\lambda) = \bigsqcup \mathcal{A}_i$ such that each \mathcal{A}_i satisfies the following conditions.

- $\text{wt}(T) \neq \text{wt}(T')$ for any $T, T' \in \mathcal{A}_i$ such that $T \neq T'$,
- the weights in \mathcal{A}_i form a lower interval in the Bruhat order, i.e. there exists $\mu \in X_+$ such that

$$\{\text{wt}(T) \mid T \in \mathcal{A}_i\} = \{\nu \in X \mid \nu \leq \mu\}.$$

In this case, we say that \mathcal{A}_i is an *atom of highest weight* μ .

Lecouvey and Lenart show that in type A every crystal $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ admits an atomic decomposition. Moreover, they provide an explicit construction of this decomposition that we now recall. They use a different version of modified crystal operators. For $\alpha \in \Phi_+$ they define $\tilde{f}_\alpha = wf_n w^{-1}$ and $\tilde{e}_\alpha = we_n w^{-1}$ where $w \in W$ is such that $w(\alpha_n) = \alpha$. This is well defined by [LL21, Remark 5.1]. In general, we have $f_\alpha \neq \tilde{f}_\alpha$ but $f_\alpha = \tilde{f}_\alpha$ for $\alpha = \alpha_{k,n}$. We write \tilde{f}_i and \tilde{e}_i resp. for \tilde{f}_{α_i} and \tilde{e}_{α_i} .

Definition 1.7. We define a directed graph $\mathbb{B}^+(\lambda)$ as follows

- the vertices of $\mathbb{B}^+(\lambda)$ are the elements $T \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ such that $\text{wt}(T) \in X_+$,
- there is an edge $T \rightarrow T'$ if $\tilde{f}_\alpha(T') = T$ for some $\alpha \in \Phi_+$.

Notice that Definition 1.7 is slightly different from the original definition of $\mathbb{B}^+(\lambda)$ given in [LL21, §6]. Lecouvey and Lenart impose in fact an extra condition: they require that there is an edge in $\mathbb{B}^+(\lambda)$ between T and T' if and only if $\tilde{f}_\alpha(T') = T$ and $\text{wt}(T') \succ \text{wt}(T)$.¹ However, since we are only working in type A and we are only interested in the connected components of $\mathbb{B}^+(\lambda)$, this extra condition turns out to superfluous. In fact, as the second part of the next Lemma shows, two vertices are connected in $\mathbb{B}^+(\lambda)$ if and only if they are connected in Lecouvey–Lenart’s version of $\mathbb{B}^+(\lambda)$.²

Lemma 1.8. Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Phi_+$ and $T, T' \in \mathcal{B}^+(\lambda)$.

1. Assume that $\gamma = \alpha + \beta$, that $\langle \text{wt}(T), \alpha^\vee \rangle > 0$ and $\langle \text{wt}(T), \beta^\vee \rangle > 0$. Then

$$\tilde{f}_\gamma(T) = \tilde{f}_\beta \tilde{f}_\alpha(T) = \tilde{f}_\alpha \tilde{f}_\beta(T) \neq 0. \quad (5)$$

2. If $T \rightarrow T'$ is an edge in $\mathbb{B}^+(\lambda)$ with $\tilde{f}_\gamma(T') = T$, then there exist $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r \in \Phi_+$ such that

- (a) $\gamma = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_r$,
- (b) $\tilde{f}_{\beta_i} \dots \tilde{f}_{\beta_1}(T') \in \mathbb{B}^+(\lambda)$ for any $i \leq r$ and $\tilde{f}_\gamma(T') = \tilde{f}_{\beta_r} \dots \tilde{f}_{\beta_1}(T') = T$,
- (c) $\text{wt}(T') \succ \text{wt}(\tilde{f}_{\beta_1}(T')) \succ \text{wt}(\tilde{f}_{\beta_2} \tilde{f}_{\beta_1}(T')) \succ \dots \succ \text{wt}(T)$.

Proof. The first part is case (1.i) of [LL21, Theorem 5.3].

We prove the second part by induction on $\langle \gamma, \rho^\vee \rangle$. Notice that if $\gamma = \alpha + \beta$ with $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi^+$, then $\langle \text{wt}(T'), \alpha^\vee \rangle \geq \langle \gamma, \alpha^\vee \rangle > 0$ and $\langle \text{wt}(T'), \beta^\vee \rangle > 0$. In view of the first part, it is enough to show that, if $\text{wt}(T')$ does not cover $\text{wt}(T) = \text{wt}(T') - \gamma$, then there exists $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi_+$ with $\alpha + \beta = \gamma$ such that $\text{wt}(T') - \alpha \in X_+$.

Assume that $\text{wt}(T')$ does not cover $\text{wt}(T) = \text{wt}(T') - \gamma$. Then there exist a positive combination of simple roots $\zeta \in \mathbb{N}\Delta$ such that $\text{wt}(T') \succ \text{wt}(T') - \zeta > \text{wt}(T) - \gamma$. By [Ste98, Theorem 2.6] we have $\zeta \in \Phi_+$, and $\zeta < \gamma$ because $\text{wt}(T') - \zeta > \text{wt}(T) - \gamma$. In particular, γ is not simple and we can assume $\gamma = \alpha_{j,k}$ with $j < k$. Then we have $\zeta = \alpha_{j',k'}$ for some $j \leq j' \leq k' \leq k$. We can further assume $k' \neq k$ (the case $j' \neq j$ is similar). Since $\text{wt}(T) \in X_+$ and $\text{wt}(T') - \alpha_{j',k'} = \text{wt}(T) + \alpha_{j,j'-1} + \alpha_{k'+1,k} \in X_+$, we also have $\text{wt}(T) + \alpha_{k'+1,k} = \text{wt}(T') - \alpha_{j,k'} \in X_+$. In fact,

$$\langle \text{wt}(T) + \alpha_{k'+1,k}, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle = \begin{cases} \langle \text{wt}(T), \alpha_i^\vee \rangle & \text{if } i < k' \\ \langle \text{wt}(T) + \alpha_{j,j'-1} + \alpha_{k'+1,k}, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle - \langle \alpha_{j,j'-1}, \alpha_k^\vee \rangle & \text{if } i \geq k' \end{cases}$$

is positive for any i . We conclude since $\gamma = \alpha_{j,k'} + \alpha_{k'+1,k}$. \square

¹We use the notation $\mu \succ \mu'$ for the covering relation in the dominance order of X_+ . This means that $\mu > \mu'$ and there is no $\nu \in X_+$ with $\mu > \nu > \mu'$

²This is however only true in type A . In other types, the two definitions may lead to graphs with different connected components, see [LL21, Remark 6.1].

Alternative proof of Lemma 1.8(1). Here we sketch an alternative proof for the first part which does not rely on the combinatorics of semistandard tableaux.

We can find $w \in W$ such that $w(\alpha) = \alpha_{n-1}$ and $w(\beta) = \alpha_n$. So we can restrict to a crystal of type A_2 and it is enough to prove the statement for $\alpha = \alpha_1$ and $\beta = \alpha_2$. Assume $\lambda = N\varpi_1 + N'\varpi_2$ with $N, N' > 0$.

Similarly to Lemma 1.5, we can associate to any element $B \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ in a unique way a triple of integers $\text{string}_{(2,1,2)}(B) = (a, b, c)$ such that $B = f_2^a f_1^b f_2^c(v)$ where $v \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ is the unique element of maximal weight.

Let $\text{string}_{(2,1,2)}(B) = (a, b, c)$. If $f_2(B) = \tilde{f}_2(B) \neq 0$, we have $\text{string}_{(2,1,2)}(\tilde{f}_2(B)) = p_1(a, b, c) = (a+1, b, c)$. We have $\tilde{f}_1 = s_2 s_1 p_1 s_1 s_2$ and we can explicitly compute the action of \tilde{f}_1 on strings as $\sigma_2 \theta \sigma_1 \theta p_1 \theta \sigma_1 \theta \sigma_2$. As a result, if $\tilde{f}_1(B) \neq 0$ we obtain

$$\text{string}_{(2,1,2)}(\tilde{f}_1(B)) = \begin{cases} (a, b+1, c) & \text{if } b < N' \\ (a-1, b+1, c+1) & \text{if } b \geq N'. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Let $\tilde{f}_{12} := \tilde{f}_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}$. We can compute \tilde{f}_{12} on strings as $\theta \sigma_1 \theta f_2 \theta \sigma_1 \theta$. If $\tilde{f}_{12}(B) \neq 0$, we obtain

$$\text{string}_{(2,1,2)}(\tilde{f}_{12}(B)) = \begin{cases} (a+1, b+1, c) & \text{if } b < N' \\ (a, b+1, c+1) & \text{if } b \geq N'. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Let now T be as in the statement. Since $\langle \text{wt}(T), \alpha_1^\vee \rangle > 0$, we have $\tilde{f}_1(T) \neq 0$. Moreover, $\langle \text{wt}(\tilde{f}_1(T)), \alpha_2^\vee \rangle > 0$, so also $\tilde{f}_2 \tilde{f}_1(T) \neq 0$. In the same way we see that all the terms occurring in (5) are not trivial. The equality follows now from the explicit expressions (6) and (7). \square

For any element $T \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ we denote by \bar{T} the unique element in the orbit $W \cdot T$ such that $\text{wt}(\bar{T}) \in X_+$.

Definition 1.9. We say that $T, T' \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ are *LL-equivalent* if \bar{T} and \bar{T}' lie in the same connected component of $\mathbb{B}^+(\lambda)$.

We call *LL atoms* the equivalence classes of $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ with respect to the LL equivalence.

Then [LL21, Theorem 6.5] can be easily reformulated as follows.

Theorem 1.10. *The LL atoms form an atomic decomposition of $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$.*

Remark 1.11. To prove Theorem 1.10, Lecouvey and Lenart make use of the combinatorics of semistandard tableaux and of the cyclage operations (in [LL21, Lemma 5.17 and 5.18]). This can be avoided, and we briefly explain here how one could achieve a proof independent from [LS78].

A crucial point in Lecouvey and Lenart's proof is to show that the operators \tilde{f}_α and \tilde{f}_β , as well as their inverses \tilde{e}_α and \tilde{e}_β , commute in $\mathcal{B}^+(\lambda)$ (cf. [LL21, Theorems 5.3 and 5.5]). After conjugation by an element of W , this can be reduced to show the following four commutativity statements **C1-4** (cf. [LL21, Lemma 5.4 and 5.6]).

C1. Let $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ be a crystal of type A_2 and let $T \in \mathcal{B}^+(\lambda)$ be such that $\langle \text{wt}(T), \alpha_1^\vee \rangle > 0$ and $\langle \text{wt}(T), \alpha_2^\vee \rangle > 0$, then $\tilde{f}_1 \tilde{f}_2(T) = \tilde{f}_2 \tilde{f}_1(T) = \tilde{f}_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}(T) \neq 0$.

C2. Let $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ be a crystal of type A_2 and let $T \in \mathcal{B}^+(\lambda)$ be such that $\tilde{e}_1(T) \neq 0$ and $\tilde{e}_2(T) \neq 0$, then

$$\tilde{e}_1 \tilde{e}_2(T) = \tilde{e}_2 \tilde{e}_1(T) = \tilde{e}_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}(T) \neq 0. \quad (8)$$

C3. Let $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ be a crystal of type A_3 and let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ be such that $\langle \text{wt}(T), \alpha_1^\vee \rangle > 0$ and $\langle \text{wt}(T), \alpha_3^\vee \rangle > 0$. Then $\tilde{f}_1 \tilde{f}_3(T) = \tilde{f}_3 \tilde{f}_1(T) \neq 0$.

C4. Let $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ be a crystal of type A_3 and let $T \in \mathcal{B}^+(\lambda)$ be such that $\tilde{e}_1(T) \neq 0$, $\tilde{e}_3(T) \neq 0$ and $\langle \text{wt}(T), \alpha_2 \rangle \geq 1$, then

$$\tilde{e}_1 \tilde{e}_3(T) = \tilde{e}_3 \tilde{e}_1(T) \neq 0. \quad (9)$$

The equality **C1.** is shown in Lemma 1.8(1). To obtain **C2.**, notice that $\tilde{e}_2(B) = 0$ if and only if $\text{string}_{(2,1,2)}(B) = (0, b, c)$. Then, it immediately follows from (6) that $\tilde{e}_2 \tilde{e}_1(T) \neq 0$. The claim now follows by applying Lemma 1.5 to $T' := \tilde{e}_2 \tilde{e}_1(T)$.

To show **C3.** and **C4.** one can proceed in a way similar to the proof of Lemma 1.5, i.e., one can compute explicitly the action of \tilde{f}_1 on string patterns in rank 3, with respect to the reduced expression $w_0 = s_3 s_2 s_3 s_1 s_2 s_3$. This is a tedious but straightforward computation, and it will show that $\tilde{f}_1 \tilde{f}_3(T) = \tilde{f}_3 \tilde{f}_1(T)$ as long as both terms are well-defined and non-zero. Moreover, if $T \in \mathcal{B}^+(\lambda)$ is such that $\varepsilon_3(T) > 0$, then also $\varepsilon_3(\tilde{e}_1(T)) > 0$. In particular, we obtain that $\tilde{e}_1 \tilde{e}_3(T) \neq 0$ if $\tilde{e}_3(T) \neq 0$ and $\tilde{e}_3(T) \neq 0$. Finally, **C4.** follows by applying **C3.** to $T' := \tilde{e}_1 \tilde{e}_3(T) \neq 0$.

The goal of this section is to give an equivalent construction of the LL atoms which turns out to be more convenient for our purposes. We start by defining another graph.

Definition 1.12. We define $\mathbb{B}_1(\lambda)$ to be the graph with vertices the elements of $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ and such that there is an edge between T and T' if and only if $T = w(T')$ for some $w \in W$ or $T = f_n(T')$.

Proposition 1.13. *The connected components of $\mathbb{B}_1(\lambda)$ are the LL atoms of $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$.*

In the proof we make use of the following elementary Lemma about weights in type A .

Lemma 1.14. *Let $\mu \in X$. Then, either $s_n(\mu) = \mu + \alpha_n$ or there exists $w \in W$ such that both $w(\mu)$ and $w(\mu + \alpha_n)$ lie in X_+ .*

Proof. Let $x \in W$ be such that $x(\mu) \in X_+$ and assume that $x(\mu) + x(\alpha_n) \notin X_+$. This means that there exists i such that

$$\langle x(\mu), \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle x(\mu) + x(\alpha_n), \alpha_i^\vee \rangle < 0.$$

There are two cases to consider: either $\langle x(\mu), \alpha_i^\vee \rangle = 1$ and $\langle x(\alpha_n), \alpha_i^\vee \rangle = -2$ or $\langle x(\mu), \alpha_i^\vee \rangle = 0$ and $\langle x(\alpha_n), \alpha_i^\vee \rangle < 0$.

Suppose we are in the first case. Then we must have $x(\alpha_n) = -\alpha_i$ and $x(\alpha_n^\vee) = -\alpha_i^\vee$. This means that

$$\langle \mu, \alpha_n^\vee \rangle = \langle x(\mu), x(\alpha_n^\vee) \rangle = -1$$

and $s_n(\mu) = \mu + \alpha_n$.

We can assume now that $\langle x(\mu), \alpha_i^\vee \rangle = 0$ and $\langle x(\alpha_n), \alpha_i^\vee \rangle < 0$. By replacing x with $x' = s_i x$, we obtain $x'(\mu) = x(\mu) \in X_+$ and $x'(\mu + \alpha_n) = x(\mu) + x(\alpha_n) + c\alpha_i$, with $c > 0$. We can repeat this if necessary (that is, if $x(\mu) + x(\alpha_n) + c\alpha_i \notin X_+$): it will eventually terminate since any increasing sequence of roots is finite. At the end, either $s_n(\mu) = \mu + \alpha_n$ or we find $w \in W$ such that $w(\mu) = x(\mu) \in X_+$ and $w(\mu + \alpha_n) \in X_+$. \square

Proof of Proposition 1.13. Let $T, T' \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$. We assume first that T and T' are in the same connected component of $\mathbb{B}_1(\lambda)$. We want to show that T and T' are in the same LL atom.

If $T = w(T')$, then by definition $\bar{T} = \bar{T}'$ and they are trivially in the same LL atom. Therefore, it is sufficient to consider the case $T = f_n(T')$.

If $s_n(\text{wt}(T)) = \text{wt}(T) + \alpha_n = \text{wt}(T')$, then also $s_n(T) = T'$. So we can assume $s_n(\text{wt}(T)) \neq \text{wt}(T) + \alpha_n$. Then, by Lemma 1.14, there exists $w \in W$ such that $w(T) = \bar{T}$ and $w(T') = \bar{T}'$. We have

$$wf_nw^{-1}(\bar{T}') = \bar{T}.$$

If $w(\alpha_n) \in \Phi_+$ then $wf_nw^{-1} = \tilde{f}_{w(\alpha_n)}$. Otherwise, we have $\tilde{f}_{-w(\alpha_n)} = ws_n f_n s_n w^{-1} = we_n w^{-1}$, hence $\tilde{f}_{-w(\alpha_n)}(\bar{T}) = \bar{T}'$. In both cases, T and T' clearly lie in the same LL atom.

We assume now that T, T' are in the same LL atom. We can assume $T, T' \in \mathbb{B}^+(\lambda)$ and that $T = \tilde{f}_\alpha(T')$ for some $\alpha \in \Phi_+$. There exists $w \in W$ such that $\alpha = w(\alpha_n)$ and $\tilde{f}_\alpha = wf_nw^{-1}$. We conclude since the connected components of $\mathbb{B}_1(\lambda)$ are closed under the action of W and of f_n . \square

The LL atomic decomposition is preserved by some modified crystal operators.

Lemma 1.15. *Let $\lambda \in X_+$ and $\alpha = \alpha_{j,n} \in \Phi_+$ with $j \leq n$. Then f_α and e_α preserve the LL atoms of $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$. If T is contained in a LL atom \mathcal{A} of highest weight $\lambda' \in X_+$, we have $f_\alpha^k(T) \neq 0$ if and only if $\text{wt}(T) - k\alpha \leq \lambda'$.*

Proof. We have $f_\alpha = wf_nw^{-1}$ for some $w \in W$, so f_α preserves the atoms since both f_n and W do. The same holds for e_α .

It is enough to show the second statement for $k = 1$. If $f_\alpha(T) \neq 0$, then $\text{wt}(T) - \alpha$ is a weight in \mathcal{A} , hence $\text{wt}(T) - \alpha \leq \lambda'$.

On the other hand, if $\text{wt}(T) - \alpha \leq \lambda'$, then there exists $T' \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\text{wt}(T') = \text{wt}(T) - \alpha$. If $\langle \text{wt}(T), \alpha^\vee \rangle > 0$ then $f_\alpha(T) \neq 0$. If $\langle \text{wt}(T), \alpha^\vee \rangle \leq 0$, then $\langle \text{wt}(T'), \alpha^\vee \rangle < 0$ and so $e_\alpha(T') \neq 0$. In both cases, it follows that $e_\alpha(T') = T$ and $f_\alpha(T) = T' \neq 0$. \square

Remark 1.16. It is immediate from the definition that the operators \tilde{f}_α always preserve the LL atoms. In particular, since every weight in an atom has multiplicity one, we see that for any $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi_+$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$, we have $\tilde{f}_\alpha \tilde{f}_\beta(T) = \tilde{f}_\beta \tilde{f}_\alpha(T)$ as long as they are both well-defined and non-zero.

On the other hand, in general, the operators f_α do not preserve the atomic decomposition. This hints to the following interesting generalization of $\mathbb{B}_1(\lambda)$.

For every i , we can construct a graph $\mathbb{B}_i(\lambda)$ with the elements of $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ as vertices and where there is an edge between T and T' if and only if $T = w(T')$ for some $w \in W$ or $T = f_{n-j}(T')$ for $j < i$. Clearly, the connected components of $\mathbb{B}_i(\lambda)$ are union of connected components of $\mathbb{B}_{i-1}(\lambda)$, so in this way we obtain a sequence of partitions of $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$, each coarser than the previous one. These connected components of $\mathbb{B}_i(\lambda)$ seem in many cases to be related to the i -th pre-canonical basis introduced in [LPP22].

1.4 The Atomic Number

Definition 1.17. For $T \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ we define the *atomic number* $Z(T) \in \mathbb{Z}$ of T by

$$Z(T) = -\langle \text{wt}(T), \rho^\vee \rangle + \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_+} \phi_\alpha(T) = \langle \text{wt}(T), \rho^\vee \rangle + \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_+} \varepsilon_\alpha(T).$$

The goal of this section is to show that the atomic number is constant along LL atoms. The atomic number plays a direct role in the definition of the charge. Moreover, in Corollary 3.12, we show that the atomic numbers can be used to express Kazhdan–Lusztig basis $\{\underline{\mathbf{H}}_\lambda\}$ of the Hecke algebra in terms of the *atomic basis* $\{\mathbf{N}_\mu\}_{\mu \in X}$ of [LPP22, (2.4)].

Proposition 1.18. *The atomic number Z is constant along LL atoms.*

Proof. By Proposition 1.13, we only need to show that Z is constant on W -orbits and α_n -strings.

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$. We divide the proof in two parts. In Part 1 we show that, for any i , we have $Z(s_i(T)) = Z(T)$ and in Part 2 we show that $Z(f_n(T)) = Z(T)$.

1. We have $Z(s_i(T)) = Z(T)$ for any i .

We need to show that for any i we have $Z(s_i(T)) = Z(T)$. Notice that $s_i(\alpha_{j,k}) = \alpha_{j,k}$ unless $i \in \{j-1, j, k, k+1\}$. Let $\phi_{j,k} := \phi_{\alpha_{j,k}}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} Z(T) - Z(s_i(T)) &= \langle s_i(\text{wt}(T)) - \text{wt}(T), \rho^\vee \rangle + \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_+} (\phi_\alpha(T) - \phi_\alpha(s_i(T))) \\ &= \langle s_i(\text{wt}(T)) - \text{wt}(T), \rho^\vee \rangle + \phi_i(T) - \phi_i(s_i(T)) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Phi_+ \\ s_i(\alpha) = \alpha}} (\phi_\alpha(T) - \phi_\alpha(s_i(T))) \quad (11)$$

$$+ \sum_{k \geq i+1} (\phi_{i,k}(T) - \phi_{i,k}(s_i(T))) + \sum_{k \geq i+1} (\phi_{i+1,k}(T) - \phi_{i+1,k}(s_i(T))) \quad (12)$$

$$+ \sum_{j \leq i-1} (\phi_{j,i}(T) - \phi_{j,i}(s_i(T))) + \sum_{j \leq i-1} (\phi_{j,i-1}(T) - \phi_{j,i-1}(s_i(T))). \quad (13)$$

We show that each of the rows (10)-(13) in the above equation separately vanishes.

Row (10). We have $\langle s_i(\text{wt}(T)) - \text{wt}(T), \rho^\vee \rangle = -\langle \text{wt}(T), \alpha_i^\vee \rangle$. We have $\phi_i(s_i(T)) = \varepsilon_i(T)$ and, by (4), also $\phi_i(T) - \varepsilon_i(T) = \langle \text{wt}(T), \alpha_i^\vee \rangle$.

Row (11). We claim that $\phi_\alpha(s_i(T)) = \phi_\alpha(T)$ for any $\alpha \in \Phi_+$ such that $s_i(\alpha) = \alpha$. Assume $\alpha = \alpha_{j,k}$ and let $w := s_j s_{j+1} \dots s_{k-1}$ so that $\phi_\alpha = \phi_k \circ w^{-1}$. If $i \leq j-2$ or $i \geq k+2$ the claim is clear since s_i commutes with both f_k and w^{-1} .

Assume now that $j < i < k$. Then we have $w^{-1}s_i = s_{i-1}w^{-1}$ and since s_{i-1} commutes with f_k we obtain

$$\phi_\alpha(s_i(T)) = \phi_k(w^{-1}s_i(T)) = \phi_k(w^{-1}(T)) = \phi_\alpha(T).$$

Row (12). This follows from $\phi_{i,k}(s_i(T)) = \phi_k(s_{k-1} \dots s_{i+1}(T)) = \phi_{i+1,k}(T)$ and $\phi_{i+1,k}(s_i(T)) = \phi_k(s_{k-1} \dots s_i(T)) = \phi_{i,k}(T)$.

Row (13). Fix $j \leq i-1$. Let $w = s_j s_{j+1} \dots s_{i-1}$ and $T' := w^{-1}(T)$. We have

$$\phi_{j,i}(T) = \phi_i(T'), \quad \phi_{j,i-1}(T) = \phi_{i-1}(s_{i-1}w^{-1}(T)) = \varepsilon_{i-1}(T'),$$

$$\phi_{j,i}(s_i(T)) = \phi_i(s_{i-1}s_{i-2} \dots s_j s_i(T)) = \phi_i(s_{i-1}s_i s_{i-1}(T')),$$

$$\phi_{j,i-1}(s_i(T)) = \varepsilon_{i-1}(s_{i-1}s_i s_{i-2} \dots s_j(T)) = \varepsilon_{i-1}(s_{i-1}s_i s_{i-1}(T')).$$

The vanishing of Row (13) follows from the following claim. For any $T' \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ we have

$$\phi_i(T') + \varepsilon_{i-1}(T') = \phi_i(s_{i-1}s_i s_{i-1}T') + \varepsilon_{i-1}(s_{i-1}s_i s_{i-1}T').$$

This is a consequence of Lemma 1.5. In fact, T' and $s_{i-1}s_i s_{i-1}(T')$ belong to the same $\alpha_{i-1} + \alpha_i$ -string.

2. We have $Z(T) = Z(f_n(T))$.

The proof is similar to Part 1. We divide all the positive roots into s_n -orbits and consider them separately.

- If β is a root such that $s_n(\beta) = \beta$, then

$$\phi_\beta(f_n(T)) = \phi_\beta(T). \quad (14)$$

In fact, we have $\beta = \alpha_{j,k}$ for some $k \leq n-2$ and f_n commutes with f_k and $s_{k-1}s_{k-2}\dots s_j$.

- If $\beta \neq \alpha_n$ and $s_n(\beta) \neq \beta$ we claim that

$$\phi_\beta(T) + \phi_{s_n(\beta)}(T) = \phi_\beta(f_n(T)) + \phi_{s_n(\beta)}(f_n(T)). \quad (15)$$

We can assume $\beta = \alpha_{j,n}$ and $s_n(\beta) = \alpha_{j,n-1}$. Let $w = s_j \dots s_{n-1}$ so that $\phi_\beta = \phi_n \circ w^{-1}$ and $\phi_{s_n(\beta)} = \phi_{n-1} \circ s_{n-1} w^{-1} = \varepsilon_{n-1} \circ w^{-1}$. Let $T' = w^{-1}(T)$. We have

$$\phi_\beta(f_n(T)) = \phi_n(w^{-1}f_n w(T')) = \phi_n(f_{w^{-1}(\alpha_n)}(T')) = \phi_n(f_{\alpha_{n-1}+\alpha_n}(T')).$$

Equation (15) can be rewritten as

$$\phi_n(T') + \varepsilon_{n-1}(T') = \phi_n(f_{\alpha_{n-1}+\alpha_n}(T')) + \varepsilon_{n-1}(f_{\alpha_{n-1}+\alpha_n}(T')).$$

Finally, this follows directly from Lemma 1.5.

- Finally, if $\beta = \alpha_n$, then

$$\phi_n(f_n(T)) = \phi_n(T) - 1 \quad (16)$$

Notice that $\langle \text{wt}(f_n(T)), \rho^\vee \rangle = \langle \text{wt}(T), \rho^\vee \rangle - 1$. The claim easily follows by combining (14), (15) and (16). \square

2 Twisted Bruhat Graphs

In this section we study twisted Bruhat graphs in affine type A . Twisted Bruhat orders and graphs were first considered by Dyer in [Dye92]. Our main goal is to prove Proposition 2.17, which is a technical result about the number of arrows in a portion of the twisted Bruhat graph given by all the elements smaller than a certain $\lambda \in X_+$.

2.1 Biclosed Sets and Twisted Bruhat Orders

Let X^\vee denote the dual of X , i.e. the cocharacter lattice of T . Let $\Phi^\vee \subset X^\vee$ be its dual system (so both Φ and Φ^\vee are root systems of type A_n). For $\beta \in \Phi$, let β^\vee denote the corresponding coroot in Φ^\vee . We denote by Φ_+ the set of positive roots and by Φ_+^\vee the set of positive coroots. Let α_i and α_i^\vee denote the simple root and coroots. For $i \leq j$, we also define $\alpha_{i,j} := \alpha_i + \dots + \alpha_j$ and $\alpha_{i,j}^\vee := \alpha_i^\vee + \dots + \alpha_j^\vee$. Let $\varpi_1, \dots, \varpi_n$ denote the fundamental weights in X .

Let \widehat{W} denote the affine Weyl group $\widehat{W} \cong W \ltimes \mathbb{Z}\Phi$ and let

$$\widehat{\Phi}^\vee := \{m\delta + \beta^\vee \mid \beta^\vee \in \Phi^\vee, m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset X^\vee \oplus \mathbb{Z}\delta$$

denote the set of real roots of the affine root system of $PGL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$. The positive real roots are $\widehat{\Phi}_+^\vee = \{m\delta + \beta^\vee \mid m > 0\} \cup \Phi_+^\vee$ and are in bijection with the reflections in \widehat{W} . If $t \in \widehat{W}$ is a reflection we denote by $\alpha_t^\vee \in \widehat{\Phi}_+^\vee$ the corresponding root. Then \widehat{W} is a Coxeter group with simple reflections s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n , where s_0 is the reflection corresponding to $\delta - \theta^\vee$, with θ^\vee the highest root in $\widehat{\Phi}$ (see [Pat23, §1] for more details).

Definition 2.1. A subset $B \subseteq \widehat{\Phi}_+^\vee$ of positive roots is said to be *closed* if for any $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in B$ and for any $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that $k_1\alpha_1 + k_2\alpha_2 \in \widehat{\Phi}_+^\vee$ we have $k_1\alpha_1 + k_2\alpha_2 \in B$.

We say that a set B is *biclosed* if both B and $\widehat{\Phi}_+^\vee \setminus B$ are closed.

For $w \in \widehat{W}$ we denote by

$$N(w) := \{\alpha \in \widehat{\Phi}_+^\vee \mid w^{-1}(\alpha) \in \widehat{\Phi}_-^\vee\}$$

the set of inversions. If $w = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_k}$ is a reduced expression for w then

$$N(w) = \{\alpha_{i_1}^\vee, s_{i_1}(\alpha_{i_2}^\vee), \dots, s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_{k-1}}(\alpha_{i_k}^\vee)\}.$$

By [Dye19, Lemma 4.1(d)], every set $N(w)$ for $w \in \widehat{W}$ is biclosed and moreover, a biclosed set is finite if and only if it is of the form $N(w)$ for some $w \in \widehat{W}$.

Definition 2.2. An infinite word $x := s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_k} \dots$ in \widehat{W} is called *reduced* if for any j the expression $x_j := s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_j}$ is reduced. In this case we define $N(x) := \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} N(x_j)$.

If x is an infinite reduced word, then its set of inversions $N(x)$ is also biclosed.

Definition 2.3. Given a biclosed set B we can define the *B-twisted length* of $w \in \widehat{W}$ as

$$\ell_B(w) := \ell(w) - 2|N(w) \cap B| + |B|. \quad (17)$$

(We neglect the term $|B|$ if $|B| = \infty$.)

We define the *B-twisted Bruhat order* $<_B$ as the order generated by $w <_B tw$ for $t \in \widehat{W}$ a reflection and $\ell_B(w) < \ell_B(tw)$.

We remark that this slightly differs from the Dyer's original definition in [Dye92, §1] where he defines the *B-twisted length* of an element w as

$$\ell_B^{\text{Dyer}}(w) := \ell(w) - 2|N(w^{-1}) \cap B| = \ell_B(w^{-1}) - |B|.$$

We consider the action of \widehat{W} on $\widehat{\Phi}_+^\vee$ defined as

$$\underline{w}(\alpha^\vee) = \begin{cases} w(\alpha^\vee) & \text{if } w(\alpha^\vee) \in \widehat{\Phi}_+^\vee \\ -w(\alpha^\vee) & \text{if } w(\alpha^\vee) \in \widehat{\Phi}_-^\vee. \end{cases}$$

Equivalently, we have $\underline{w}\alpha_t^\vee = \alpha_{wtw^{-1}}^\vee$ for any reflection $t \in \widehat{W}$. Observe that $\underline{w}(N(w^{-1})) = N(w)$.

Lemma 2.4. For any reflection $t \in T$ we have $tw \leq_B w \iff \alpha_t^\vee \in N(w) \ominus B$, where \ominus denotes here the symmetric difference of sets.

Proof. This follows directly from [Dye92] after the opportune translations. In fact, combining [Dye92, Prop. 1.1] and [Dye92, Prop. 1.2] we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_B(tw) < \ell_B(w) &\iff \ell_B^{\text{Dyer}}(w^{-1}t) < \ell_B^{\text{Dyer}}(w^{-1}) \\ &\iff \alpha_{w^{-1}tw}^\vee = \underline{w^{-1}}(\alpha_t^\vee) \in N(w^{-1}) \ominus \underline{w^{-1}}(B) \\ &\iff \alpha_t^\vee \in \underline{w}(N(w^{-1})) \ominus B = N(w) \ominus B. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

If $B = \emptyset$ then ℓ_B and \leq_B are the usual length and Bruhat order. We are only interested in biclosed sets of the type $N(y)$ where y is either an element of W or an infinite reduced expression.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $y, v, w \in \widehat{W}$.*

1. *We have $\ell_{N(y)}(w) = \ell(y^{-1}w)$.*
2. *We have and $v \leq_{N(y)} w$ if and only if $y^{-1}v \leq y^{-1}w$ and y is the unique minimal element with respect to $\leq_{N(y)}$.*
3. *We have that*

$$tw <_{N(y)} w \iff \alpha_t^\vee \in N(w) \ominus N(y) \quad (18)$$

Proof. First notice that $N(y^{-1}w) = N(y^{-1}) \ominus \underline{y^{-1}}(N(w))$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_{N(y)}(w) &= |N(w)| - 2|N(w) \cap N(y)| + |N(y)| = |N(w) \ominus N(y)| = |N(w) \ominus \underline{y}N(y^{-1})| = \\ &= |\underline{y^{-1}}N(w) \ominus N(y^{-1})| = |N(y^{-1}w)| = \ell(y^{-1}w). \end{aligned}$$

This shows (1). Moreover, the twisted order $<_{N(y)}$ is generated by $w <_{N(y)} tw$ for $y^{-1}w < y^{-1}tw$ so we have (2). Statement (3) follows directly from Lemma 2.4. \square

Remark 2.6. The definitions given in this section generalize straightforwardly to the extended affine Weyl group $\widehat{W}_{ext} = W \ltimes X$ (cf. [Pat23, §1.4]). We can define the set of inversions as $N(w) = \{\alpha^\vee \in \widehat{\Phi}_+^\vee \mid s_\alpha w < w\}$, so that it makes sense for any $w \in \widehat{W}_{ext}$. Then we obtain the definition of B -twisted length and B -twisted Bruhat order on \widehat{W}_{ext} by simply extending Equation (17).

2.2 Twisting the Bruhat graph along an infinite word

Recall that we assumed that Φ is of type A_n , so \widehat{W} is the affine Weyl group of type \widetilde{A}_n . Consider $\underline{c} = s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots s_n$. Then $y_\infty := \underline{ccc} \dots$ is an infinite reduced expression. Let y_m be the element given by the first m simple reflections in y_∞ .

We order the roots in $N(y_\infty)$ as follows:

$$\delta - \alpha_{1,n}^\vee < \delta - \alpha_{2,n}^\vee < \dots < \delta - \alpha_n^\vee < 2\delta - \alpha_{1,n}^\vee < 2\delta - \alpha_{2,n}^\vee < \dots \quad (19)$$

In this way, the roots in $N(y_m)$ are precisely the first m roots in (19).

We just write ℓ_m and \leq_m for $\ell_{N(y_m)}$ and $\leq_{N(y_m)}$, the y_m -twisted length and the y_m -twisted Bruhat order on \widehat{W} . Recall that $X \cong \widehat{W}_{ext}/W$.

Definition 2.7. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$. We regard $\lambda \in X$ as the right coset in \widehat{W}_{ext} containing the translation τ_λ . We denote by $\lambda_m \in \widehat{W}_{ext}$ the element of minimal y_m -twisted length in the coset λ and we set $\ell_m(\lambda) := \ell_m(\lambda_m)$.

We define the twisted Bruhat order on X by setting $\mu \leq_m \lambda$ if $\mu_m \leq_m \lambda_m$.

If $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ we have

$$\lambda_m = y_m(y_m^{-1}\lambda)_0$$

where $(y_m^{-1}\lambda)_0$ denotes the minimal element with respect to the usual length. We write $\ell(\lambda)$ instead of $\ell_0(\lambda)$.

The Bruhat graph Γ_X is the graph whose vertices are the weights X , and we have an arrow $\mu \rightarrow \lambda$ if and only if there exists $\alpha^\vee \in \widehat{\Phi}^\vee$ such that $s_{\alpha^\vee}(\mu) = \lambda$ and $\mu \leq \lambda$. The Bruhat graph can be obtained as the moment graph of the affine Grassmannian of G^\vee (see [Pat23, §2.3] for details).

Definition 2.8. For every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$ we define Γ_X^m , the y_m -twisted Bruhat graph of X , to be the directed graph whose vertices are the weights X and where there is an edge $\mu \rightarrow \lambda$ if there exists $\alpha^\vee \in \widehat{\Phi}^\vee$ such that $s_{\alpha^\vee}(\mu) = \lambda$ and $\mu <_m \lambda$.

Similarly, we define $\Gamma_{\widehat{W}_{ext}}^m$ to be the directed graph with \widehat{W}_{ext} as the set of vertices and where there is an edge $w \rightarrow v$ if there exists $\alpha^\vee \in \widehat{\Phi}^\vee$ such that $s_{\alpha^\vee}w = v$ and $w <_m v$.

Because of (18), $\Gamma_{\widehat{W}_{ext}}^m$ and Γ_X^m can be obtained respectively from $\Gamma_{\widehat{W}_{ext}}$ and Γ_X by inverting the direction of all the arrows $x \rightarrow s_{\alpha^\vee}x$ such that $\alpha^\vee \in N(y_m)$.

Definition 2.9. For $\mu \in X$, we denote by $\text{Arr}_m(\mu)$ the number of arrows directed to μ in Γ_X^m .

For $\lambda \in X$, we know that the length of the minimal element in the corresponding coset is equal to the number of arrows in the moment graph Γ_X pointing to λ . The same holds for the twisted graphs.

Lemma 2.10. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $x \in \widehat{W}_{ext}$ and $\lambda \in X$.

1. The twisted length $\ell_m(x)$ is equal to the number of arrows directed to x in $\Gamma_{\widehat{W}_{ext}}^m$.
2. The twisted length $\ell_m(\lambda)$ is equal to $\text{Arr}_m(\lambda)$, the number of arrows directed to λ in Γ_X^m .

Proof. We begin with $x \in \widehat{W}_{ext}$. For any reflection r , if $ry_m^{-1}x < y_m^{-1}x$, then $y_mry_m^{-1}x <_m x$. Hence, conjugation by y_m induces a bijection between the arrows pointing to $y_m^{-1}x$ in $\Gamma_{\widehat{W}_{ext}}$ and the arrows pointing to x in $\Gamma_{\widehat{W}_{ext}}^m$.

Consider now $\lambda \in X$. We recall the following fact about reflections from [BDSW14, Theorem 1.4]. If r_1, r_2 are reflections in a Coxeter group and the product r_1r_2 belongs to a reflection subgroup W' , then either $r_1, r_2 \in W'$ or $r_1 = r_2$.

Let $\lambda_m \in \widehat{W}_{ext}$ be the minimal element in the coset of λ with respect to the length function ℓ_m . We have an edge $\mu \rightarrow \lambda$ in Γ_X^m if and only if there exists $\alpha^\vee \in \widehat{\Phi}^\vee$ such that $s_{\alpha^\vee}(\mu) = \lambda$ and $\ell_m(\mu_m) < \ell_m(\lambda_m)$.

Recall, by [Pat23, Remark 2.8], that $s_{\alpha^\vee}(\mu) = \lambda$ is equivalent to $s_{\alpha^\vee}\mathfrak{t}_\mu W = \mathfrak{t}_\lambda W$, where $\mathfrak{t}_\lambda \in \widehat{W}_{ext}$ denotes the translation by λ . Notice that we have $\mathfrak{t}_\lambda W = \lambda_m W$, so $s_{\alpha^\vee}(\mu) = \lambda$ is equivalent to $s_{\alpha^\vee}\mu_m W = \lambda_m W$.

From the first part, we know that there are $\ell_m(\lambda)$ reflections r such that $r\lambda_m <_m \lambda_m$. The claim now follows, since distinct reflections lead to different cosets. Otherwise, if for two distinct reflections r_1, r_2 there exist $w_1, w_2 \in W$ such that $r_1\lambda_m w_1 = r_2\lambda_m w_2$, then $r_1r_2 \in \lambda_m W \lambda_m^{-1}$, hence $r_1, r_2 \in \lambda_m W \lambda_m^{-1}$. It follows that $r_1\lambda_m, r_2\lambda_m$ are in the same coset of λ_m , but this is impossible since λ_m is minimal. \square

We write s'_m for the m -th simple reflection occurring in y_∞ (i.e., $s'_m = s_{m-1}$, with the index $m-1$ regarded $\pmod{n+1}$) so we have $y_m s'_{m+1} = y_{m+1}$. Consider the reflection

$$t_{m+1} := y_{m+1}y_m^{-1} = y_m s'_{m+1} y_m^{-1}.$$

Notice that $\{\alpha_{t_{m+1}}^\vee\} = N(y_{m+1}) \setminus N(y_m)$. For every $x \in \widehat{W}_{ext}$ we have

$$\ell_{m+1}(x) = \ell_m(t_{m+1}x) = \ell(y_m s'_{m+1} y_m^{-1} x) = \ell_m(x) \pm 1. \quad (20)$$

We abbreviate $t = t_{m+1}$.

Lemma 2.11. *Assume $\mu <_m t\mu$, so that $\ell_m(t\mu) = \ell_m(\mu) + 1$. Then conjugation by t induces a bijection*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{arrows directed to } \mu \\ \text{in } \Gamma_X^m \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{arrows directed to } t\mu \\ \text{in } \Gamma_X^m \end{array} \right\} \setminus \{\mu \rightarrow t\mu\}.$$

In particular, we have $\text{Arr}_m(\mu) = \text{Arr}_m(t\mu) - 1$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.10 we know that the two sets have the same cardinality, so it suffices to show that conjugation by t sends arrows directed to μ into arrows directed to $t\mu$.

Let r be a reflection such that $\ell_m(r\mu) < \ell_m(\mu)$. It is enough to show that $trtt\mu = tr\mu <_m t\mu$, or equivalently that $y_m^{-1}tr\mu < y_m^{-1}t\mu$.

$$\begin{aligned} y_m^{-1}r\mu &< y_m^{-1}\mu \\ &\wedge \\ y_m^{-1}tr\mu = s'_{m+1}y_m^{-1}r\mu &< s'_{m+1}y_m^{-1}\mu = y_m^{-1}t\mu \end{aligned}$$

where the top inequality and the inequality on the right are by hypothesis. The required inequality on the bottom follows from the Property Z of Coxeter groups [Deo85]. \square

We now want to restrict ourselves to the weights smaller than a given $\lambda \in X_+$.

Definition 2.12. For every weight $\lambda \in X_+$ let $I(\lambda) = \{\mu \in X \mid \mu \leq \lambda\}$ denote the corresponding lower interval. We denote by Γ_λ^m the restriction of Γ_X^m to $I(\lambda)$.

For $\mu \in X$ with $\mu \leq \lambda$ we denote by $\text{Arr}_m(\mu, \lambda)$ the number of arrows directed to μ in Γ_λ^m .

Recall that $I(\lambda)$ can be characterized as the set of all weights which are in the same class of $\lambda \pmod{\mathbb{Z}\Phi}$ and that are contained in the convex hull of $W \cdot \lambda$.

In general $I(\lambda)$ is not a lower interval for the twisted order $<_m$. Rather surprisingly, the analogue of Lemma 2.11 still holds for Γ_λ^m . However, on Γ_λ^m conjugation by t does not provide a bijection anymore, but we need to carefully modify it.

Recall that any two reflections in type A_n generate a group which is either of type $A_1 \times A_1$ or of type A_2 . We consider these two different possible cases in the next two Lemmas.

Lemma 2.13. *Let $t = t_{m+1}$ as before. Let $\mu \in X$ be such that $\mu < t\mu \leq \lambda$. Let r be a reflection commuting with t and such that $r\mu <_m \mu$. Then $r\mu < \mu$ and $rt\mu < t\mu$.*

Proof. Since the reflections r and t commute, the roots α_t^\vee and α_r^\vee are orthogonal.

By Lemma 2.11 we know that $tr\mu = rt\mu <_m t\mu$ in X . Recall that $\alpha_t^\vee \in N(y_{m+1})$. There are no orthogonal roots in $N(y_{m+1})$ because

$$(k_1\delta - \alpha_{j_1, n}^\vee, k_2\delta - \alpha_{j_2, n}^\vee) = (\alpha_{j_1, n}^\vee, \alpha_{j_2, n}^\vee) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } j_1 = j_2 \\ 1 & \text{if } j_1 \neq j_2. \end{cases}$$

. Hence $\alpha_r^\vee \notin N(y_m)$. It follows that $r\mu < \mu$ and $rt\mu < t\mu$. \square

Let $r \in \widehat{W}$ be a reflection. We denote by $\beta_r \in \Phi_+$ the positive root such that $\alpha_r^\vee = m\delta - \beta_r^\vee$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 2.14. *Let $\mu \in X$ be such that $\mu < t\mu \leq \lambda$. Let r be a reflection not commuting with t (i.e., the reflections r and t generate a group of type A_2 and we have $rtr = trt$) and such that $r\mu <_m \mu$.*

1. Assume $r\mu \leq \lambda$ and $tr\mu \not\leq \lambda$. Then $rt\mu \leq \lambda$ and $trt\mu \not\leq \lambda$.
2. Assume $r\mu \not\leq \lambda$ and $tr\mu \leq \lambda$. Then $rt\mu \not\leq \lambda$ and $trt\mu \leq \lambda$.

Moreover, in both cases we have $trt\mu <_m \mu$ and $rt\mu <_m t\mu$.

Proof. Consider the polytope $P_\lambda := \text{Conv}(W \cdot \lambda) \subseteq X_{\mathbb{R}} = X \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. Every $(n-1)$ -dimensional facet of P_λ is parallel to a hyperplane of the form $w(H_i)$ for some $w \in W$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$, where

$$H_i := H_{\varpi_i^\vee} = \{\nu \in X_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \langle \nu, \varpi_i^\vee \rangle = 0\}.$$

In other words, H_i is equal to the span of all the simple roots α_j , for $j \neq i$.

Assume we are in the first case, that is $r\mu \leq \lambda$ and $tr\mu \not\leq \lambda$. Hence, there exists a hyperplane H supporting a $(n-1)$ -dimensional facet of P_λ which separates $tr\mu$ from $t\mu$ and $r\mu$. Assume that H is parallel to $w(H_i)$ with $w \in W$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$.

We have

$$tr\mu = t\mu + k\beta_{rtr},$$

hence $\beta_{rtr} \notin w(H_i)$. Similarly, the difference $tr\mu - r\mu$ is a multiple of β_t . Therefore, also $\beta_t \notin w(H_i)$.

Claim 2.15. We have $\beta_r \in w(H_i)$.

Proof of the claim. The reflections r, t, rtr are the three reflections in the dihedral group $\langle r, t \rangle$, so one of $\alpha_r^\vee, \alpha_t^\vee$ and α_{rtr}^\vee is the sum of the other two. This means that for some choice of the signs we have $\alpha_r^\vee = \pm\alpha_t^\vee \pm \alpha_{rtr}^\vee$. Then, we also have

$$\beta_r = \pm\beta_t \pm \beta_{rtr}$$

(here we use that Φ is simply-laced so that $(\beta_1 + \beta_2)^\vee = \beta_1^\vee + \beta_2^\vee$). After applying w^{-1} we obtain

$$w^{-1}(\beta_r) = \pm w^{-1}(\beta_t) \pm w^{-1}(\beta_{rtr}).$$

Recall that $w^{-1}\beta_{rtr}, w^{-1}\beta_t \notin H_i$. Since we are in type A , this implies

$$\langle w^{-1}(\beta_r), \varpi_i^\vee \rangle = \pm 1, \quad \langle w^{-1}(\beta_{rtr}), \varpi_i^\vee \rangle = \pm 1, \quad \langle w^{-1}(\beta_r), \varpi_i^\vee \rangle = \pm 1 \pm 1 \in \{-2, 0, 2\}.$$

Since $w^{-1}(\beta_r)$ is a root, the only possibility is $\langle w^{-1}(\beta_r), \varpi_i^\vee \rangle = 0$ and $\beta_r \in w(H_i)$. The claim is proved.³ \square

We want to show that the graph Γ_X^m looks like in Figure 1. The black arrows are given by hypothesis.

The weights $tr\mu$ and $rtr\mu$ differ only by a multiple of β_r and, since $\beta_r \in w(H_i)$, they lie on the same side with respect to the hyperplane H . In particular, since $tr\mu \notin P_\lambda$ then $rtr\mu \notin P_\lambda$ as well.

Since $tr\mu \not\leq \lambda$ and $t\mu \leq \lambda$, we have $tr\mu > t\mu$. On the other hand, we have $r\mu <_m \mu$ and by Lemma 2.11 we obtain $tr\mu <_m t\mu$ (arrow 1 in Figure 1). This implies that we must have $\alpha_{rtr}^\vee \in N(y_m)$.

Recall that $\{\alpha_t^\vee\} = N(y_{m+1}) \setminus N(y_m)$. Therefore, both α_t^\vee and α_{rtr}^\vee lie in $N(y_{m+1})$. For all the roots $\alpha^\vee \in N(y_{m+1})$ we have $\langle \varpi_n, \alpha^\vee \rangle = -1$. Since $\alpha_r^\vee = \pm\alpha_t^\vee \pm \alpha_{rtr}^\vee$, we deduce $\alpha_r^\vee \notin N(y_{m+1})$ and, in particular, $\alpha_r^\vee \notin N(y_m)$.

³It is crucial that we are in type A as the claim does not hold for other root systems, even of simply-laced type. If in D_4 we label by 1 the simple root corresponding to the central vertex of the Dynkin diagram, the roots $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4, 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4$ are roots of a dihedral subgroup, but none of them lies in H_1 .




Figure 1: A portion of the graph Γ_X^m in the first case.

Since $trt\mu \not\leq \lambda$ and $\mu \leq \lambda$ we have $\mu < trt\mu$. Because $\alpha_{rtr} \in N(y_m)$ this is equivalent to $trt\mu <_m \mu$ (arrow 2).

Moreover, by Lemma 2.11, $trt\mu <_m \mu$ implies $rt\mu <_m t\mu$ (arrow 3). Finally, since $\alpha_r \notin N(y_m)$, this is in turn equivalent to $rt\mu < t\mu$, hence $rt\mu < \lambda$. The proof of the first part is complete.

The second part of the second case is similar, but we report it anyway for completeness. In this case, we claim that the graph Γ_X^m looks as in Figure 2.




Figure 2: A portion of the graph Γ_X^m in the second case.

Assume that $r\mu \notin P_\lambda$ and that $tr\mu \in P_\lambda$. So there is a hyperplane H supporting a $(n-1)$ -dimensional facet of P_λ dividing $r\mu$ from μ and $tr\mu$. Assume that H is parallel to the hyperplane $H = w(H_i)$, with $w \in W$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$.

As in the first part, we have $\beta_r, \beta_t \notin w(H_i)$, so β_{rtr} must lie in $w(H_i)$. Moreover, we have $\alpha_r^\vee \in N(y_m)$, hence $\alpha_{rtr}^\vee \notin N(y_m)$. The element $rt\mu$ is on the same side of $r\mu$ with respect to H , so also $rt\mu \notin P_\lambda$. We deduce that $t\mu < rt\mu$, hence $rt\mu <_m t\mu$ which is equivalent to $rtr\mu <_m \mu$ by Lemma 2.11.

Finally, since $\alpha_{rtr}^\vee \notin N(y_m)$, we also deduce that $rtr\mu < \mu < \lambda$ as required. \square

Motivated by Lemma 2.14, we give the following definition.

Definition 2.16. Let $\mu \in X$ and $\lambda \in X_+$ be such that $\mu < t\mu \leq \lambda$. Let r be a reflection such that $r\mu <_m \mu$. We say that r is *t-reversing* for μ if one of the following conditions holds:

- $r\mu \leq \lambda$ and $tr\mu \not\leq \lambda$,
- $r\mu \not\leq \lambda$ and $tr\mu \leq \lambda$.

Notice that r can be t -reversing for μ only if it does not commute with t . In fact, if r and t commute by Lemma 2.13 we have $r\mu < \mu \leq \lambda$ and $rt\mu = tr\mu < t\mu \leq \lambda$. From Lemma 2.14 we see that r is t -reversing for μ if and only if trt is also t -reversing for μ .

Proposition 2.17. *Let $\mu \in X$ and $\lambda \in X_+$ be such that $\mu < t\mu \leq \lambda$. Then*

$$\left| \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{arrows directed to } \mu \\ \text{in } \Gamma_\lambda^m \end{array} \right\} \right| = \left| \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{arrows directed to } t\mu \\ \text{in } \Gamma_\lambda^m \end{array} \right\} \right| - 1.$$

In particular, $\text{Arr}_m(\mu, \lambda) = \text{Arr}_\mu(t\mu, \lambda) - 1$.

Remark 2.18. This result is specific about type A and its analogue in other types seems to fail in most cases. See for example $\lambda = 2\varpi_1 + 2\varpi_2$ in type B_2 .

Proof. To prove the claim, we want to define a bijection between arrows pointing to μ and arrows pointing to $t\mu$. This is done modifying the bijection given by conjugation by t (cf. Lemma 2.11) by taking into account the notion of t -reversing reflections.

We begin by defining a map ϕ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : \{r\mu \in X \mid r\mu <_m \mu\} &\rightarrow \{r't\mu \in X \mid r't\mu <_m t\mu\} \setminus \{\mu\} \\ \phi(r\mu) &= \begin{cases} tr\mu & \text{if } r \text{ is not } t\text{-reversing for } \mu \\ rt\mu & \text{if } r \text{ is } t\text{-reversing for } \mu \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Notice that if $r\mu <_m \mu$, then $tr\mu <_m t\mu$ by Lemma 2.11. If r is t -reversing we are in the setting of Lemma 2.14 and $rt\mu <_m t\mu$. So the map ϕ is well defined.

By Lemma 2.11, we already know that ϕ is a map between sets with the same cardinality. To prove that ϕ is bijective, it is sufficient to show that ϕ is injective.

Suppose that $\phi(r'\mu) = \phi(r''\mu)$ with $r'\mu \neq r''\mu$. By [Pat23, Lemma 1.3] then we have $r' \neq r''$. Without loss of generality we can assume $\phi(r'\mu) = r't\mu$ and $\phi(r''\mu) = tr''\mu$ (i.e., we assume that r' is t -reversing while r'' is not) so that $r't\mu = tr''\mu$. This implies $r'' = tr't$, again by [Pat23, Lemma 1.3]. On the other hand, by Lemma 2.14 if r' is t -reversing, then so is $r'' = tr't$. This leads to a contradiction, hence ϕ is injective.

We now want to show that ϕ restricts to a bijection between arrows in Γ_λ^m . That is, we claim that ϕ restricts to a bijection as follows.

$$\phi : \{r\mu \in X \mid r\mu <_m \mu \text{ and } r\mu \leq \lambda\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{r't\mu \in X \mid r't\mu <_m t\mu \text{ and } r't\mu \leq \lambda\} \setminus \{\mu\}$$

If $r\mu \leq \lambda$ then either r is t -reversing, in which case $\phi(r\mu) = rt\mu \leq \lambda$ by Lemma 2.14, or r is not t -reversing, in which case $\phi(r\mu) = tr\mu \leq \lambda$. Similarly, if $r\mu \not\leq \lambda$ then either r is t -reversing, in which case $\phi(r\mu) = rt\mu \not\leq \lambda$, or r is not t -reversing, in which case $\phi(r\mu) = tr\mu \not\leq \lambda$. Summing up, we have shown that $r\mu \leq \lambda$ if and only if $\phi(r\mu) \leq \lambda$. \square

2.3 The twisted Bruhat graph at ∞

The Bruhat graph Γ_λ^{m+1} can be obtained from Γ_λ^m by reversing the direction of all the edges with label α_t^\vee , where $t = t_{m+1} = y_{m+1}y_m^{-1}$. Notice that $\mu < t\mu \iff \mu <_m t\mu \iff \mu >_{m+1} t\mu$. Therefore, we have

$$\text{Arr}_{m+1}(\mu, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \text{Arr}_m(\mu, \lambda) - 1 & \text{if } t\mu < \mu, \\ \text{Arr}_m(\mu, \lambda) + 1 & \text{if } \mu < t\mu \leq \lambda', \\ \text{Arr}_m(\mu, \lambda) & \text{if } \mu < t\mu \not\leq \lambda' \text{ or if } t\mu = \mu. \end{cases} \quad (21)$$




Figure 3: In this example we have $\langle \mu, \beta^\vee \rangle = 0$ and $\lambda = \mu + 3\beta$. In red, the arrows pointing to $\mu - 2\beta$ (above) and $\mu + \beta$ (below) in Γ_λ , in blue, some arrows pointing to $\mu - 2\beta$ and $\mu + \beta$ in Γ_λ^∞ .

Recall that the Bruhat graph Γ_λ^∞ can be obtained from Γ_λ by inverting the orientation of all the edges with label in $N(y_\infty)$. Since Γ_λ is finite, there exists $M \gg 0$ such that $\Gamma_\lambda^M = \Gamma_\lambda^\infty$.

Let $\mu, \nu < \lambda$ and suppose that $\nu <_\infty \mu$, so there is an edge connecting ν and μ in Γ_λ^∞ . This means that there exists $\alpha^\vee \in \widehat{\Phi}_+^\vee$ with $s_{\alpha^\vee}(\mu) = \nu$. By [Pat23, Lemma 2.7] we have in this case that $\mu - \nu = k\beta$ with $\beta \in \Phi_+$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\alpha^\vee = (k - \langle \mu, \beta^\vee \rangle)\delta - \beta^\vee$.

Let $\Phi_{n-1} \subset \Phi$ be the root subsystem with simple roots $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}$. Notice that if $\beta \in \Phi_{n-1}$, then $m\delta - \beta^\vee \notin N(y_\infty)$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus the orientation of the edge $\nu \rightarrow \mu$ in Γ_λ^∞ is different from the orientation of the same edge in Γ_λ if and only if $\beta \in \Phi_+ \setminus \Phi_{n-1}$ and $k > \langle \mu, \beta^\vee \rangle$.

Recall from [Pat23, Eq. (9)] that

$$\nu < \mu \iff \langle \mu, \beta^\vee \rangle \geq k > 0 \text{ or } \langle \mu, \beta^\vee \rangle < k < 0.$$

For $\beta \in \Phi_+ \setminus \Phi_{n-1}$, we have then that

$$\nu <_\infty \mu \iff k > 0.$$

Hence, as illustrated in Figure 3, if $\beta \in \Phi_+ \setminus \Phi_{n-1}$, the restriction of $<_\infty$ to the subset $\{\mu + k\beta\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ coincides the dominance order on weights.

Definition 2.19. For $\beta \in \Phi_+$ and $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ we denote by $\text{Arr}_m^\beta(\mu, \lambda)$ the number of arrows of the form $\mu + k\beta \rightarrow \mu$ in Γ_λ^m , with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We also denote by $\ell_m^\beta(\mu)$ the number of arrows of the form $\mu + k\beta \rightarrow \mu$ in Γ_X^m . We write $\ell^\beta(\mu)$ instead of $\ell_0^\beta(\mu)$.

By [Pat23, Lemma 2.7] we have $\sum_{\beta \in \Phi_+} \text{Arr}^m(\mu, \lambda)$. Notice that $\text{Arr}_0^\beta(\mu, \lambda) = \ell_0^\beta(\mu) =: \ell^\beta(\mu)$ for any $\mu \leq \lambda$. So we have $\sum_{\beta \in \Phi_+} \text{Arr}_0^\beta(\mu, \lambda) = \sum_{\beta \in \Phi_+} \ell^\beta(\mu) = \ell(\mu)$.

Lemma 2.20. Let $\lambda \in X_+$ and $\mu \leq \lambda$. We have

$$\text{Arr}_\infty(\mu, \lambda) = \sum_{\beta \in \Phi_+ \setminus \Phi_{n-1}} \max\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid \mu - k\beta \leq \lambda\} + \sum_{\beta \in (\Phi_{n-1})_+} \ell^\beta(\mu)$$

Proof. We need to count the number of roots $\alpha^\vee \in \widehat{\Phi}_+^\vee$ such that $s_{\alpha^\vee}(\mu) <_\infty \mu$ and $s_{\alpha^\vee}(\mu) \leq \lambda$. This is equivalent to sum $\text{Arr}_\infty^\beta(\mu, \lambda)$ over all $\beta \in \Phi_+$, where

$$\text{Arr}_\infty^\beta(\mu, \lambda) := |\{k \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \mu - k\beta <_\infty \mu \text{ and } \mu - k\beta \leq \lambda\}|.$$

For $\beta \in \Phi_+ \setminus \Phi_{n-1}$, by the discussion above, we have $\mu - k\beta <_\infty \mu$ if and only if $k > 0$. If $\beta \in (\Phi_{n-1})_+$, then $\mu - k\beta <_\infty \mu$ if and only if $\mu - k\beta < \mu$. In this case, we have $\text{Arr}_\infty^\beta(\mu, \lambda) = \ell^\beta(\mu)$. \square

3 Swapping functions and charge statistics

For $T \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ we denote by $\text{wt}(T) \in X$ the weight of T . Let $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)_\mu$ denote the set of elements of the crystal of weight μ . Let $\mathcal{B}^+(\lambda) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda) \mid \text{wt}(T) \in X_+\}$. Recall the definition of charge from [LS81, LLT95].

Definition 3.1. A *charge statistic* on $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ is a function $c : \mathcal{B}^+(\lambda) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that for any $\mu \in X_+$ with $\mu \leq \lambda$ we have

$$h_{\mu, \lambda}(q^{\frac{1}{2}}) = K_{\lambda, \mu}(q) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)_\mu} q^{c(T)} \in \mathbb{Z}[q]. \quad (22)$$

3.1 Affine Grassmannian and affine root system

Let $G = SL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ and let $G^\vee = PGL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ be the Langlands dual group. Let $\mathcal{G}r^\vee = G^\vee((t))/G^\vee[[t]]$ denote the affine Grassmannian of G^\vee . There is an action of the *augmented torus* $\widehat{T} = T^\vee \times \mathbb{C}^*$ on $\mathcal{G}r^\vee$, where $T^\vee \subseteq G^\vee$ is a maximal torus of G^\vee consisting of diagonal matrices and \mathbb{C}^* acts by loop rotations. The weight lattice X can be identified with the character lattice of T and with the cocharacter lattice of T^\vee . The fixed points of the \widehat{T} -action on $\mathcal{G}r^\vee$ are $\{L_\mu\}_{\mu \in X}$, where L_μ is the projection of the point in $T^\vee[t, t^{-1}] \subset T^\vee((t))$ defined by the morphism $\mu : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow T^\vee$.

We denote by $\widehat{X} := X \oplus \mathbb{Z}d$ the cocharacter lattice of \widehat{T} . Every cocharacter $\eta \in \widehat{X}$ determines a one-parameter subgroup $\mathbb{C}^* \subseteq \widehat{T}$ acting on the affine Grassmannian. For any weight μ , we denote by HL_μ^η the corresponding hyperbolic localization functor (cf. [Pat23, §2.4]).

We denote by IC_λ the intersection cohomology sheaf with complex coefficients supported on the Schubert variety $\overline{\mathcal{G}r}_\lambda := \overline{G^\vee[[t]]} \cdot L_\lambda \subset \mathcal{G}r^\vee$. For $\eta \in \widehat{X}$, we study the graded dimension of the hyperbolic localization of IC_λ . We call

$$\widetilde{h}_{\mu, \lambda}^\eta(v) := \text{grdim HL}_\mu^\eta(\text{IC}_\lambda)$$

the *renormalized η -Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomial*.

Each real root $\alpha^\vee \in \widehat{\Phi}^\vee$ defines a hyperplane

$$H_{\alpha^\vee} = \{\eta \in \widehat{X} \otimes \mathbb{R} \mid \langle \eta, \alpha^\vee \rangle = 0\}.$$

Let us now examine how HL^η depends on η . We consider the complement of all the walls H_{α^\vee} in $\widehat{X} \otimes \mathbb{R}$, and call *chamber* its connected components. Then the hyperbolic localization HL^η does not change for η within to the same chamber [Pat23, Proposition 2.29].

If we are interested in studying $\text{HL}_\mu^\eta(\text{IC}_\lambda)$ for a fixed λ , then we can restrict ourselves to consider the walls H_{α^\vee} for $\alpha^\vee \in \widehat{\Phi}^\vee(\lambda)$, where

$$\widehat{\Phi}^\vee(\lambda) := \{\widehat{\Phi}^\vee \mid \exists \mu \leq \lambda \text{ with } s_{\alpha^\vee}(\mu) \leq \lambda\} \subset \widehat{\Phi}^\vee.$$

The set $\widehat{\Phi}^\vee(\lambda)$ is finite for every λ , and we refer to the walls H_{α^\vee} for $\alpha^\vee \in \widehat{\Phi}^\vee(\lambda)$ as λ -walls. We refer to the connected components of the λ -walls in $\widehat{X} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ as λ -chambers. We say that two λ -walls are *adjacent* if they are separated by a single λ -wall.

When η is in the KL region (cf. [Pat23, Definition 2.17]), i.e. if $\langle \eta, \alpha^\vee \rangle > 0$ for all positive affine real roots, then η -Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials $\widetilde{h}_{\mu,\lambda}^\eta(v)$ coincide with the ordinary Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials.

We extend the definition of charge to renormalized η -Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials.

Definition 3.2. Let $\eta \in \widehat{X}$ be a regular cocharacter. A *renormalized charge statistic* (or *recharge* for short) for η on $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ is a function $r(\eta, -) : \mathcal{B}(\lambda) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\widetilde{h}_{\mu,\lambda}^\eta(q^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)_\mu} q^{r(\eta, T)} \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{2}}, q^{-\frac{1}{2}}].$$

Therefore, if η is in the KL region and $r(\eta, -)$ is a recharge for η , then we can recover a charge statistic c as in (22) by setting

$$c(T) := r(\eta, T) + \frac{1}{2}\ell(\text{wt}(T)). \quad (23)$$

Another important set of cocharacters are those in the MV region [Pat23, Definition 2.18], the subset of dominant cocharacters in $X \subset \widehat{X}$. If η_{MV} lies in the MV region, then $\text{HL}_\mu^{\eta_{MV}}(\text{IC}_\lambda)$ is concentrated in a single cohomological degree, so there is only one recharge statistic, given by

$$r(\eta_{MV}, T) = -\langle \text{wt}(T), \rho^\vee \rangle.$$

We recall the definition of swapping functions from [Pat23, Definition 2]

Definition 3.3. Let α^\vee be a positive real root of the affine root system of G^\vee , let η be a cocharacter and $r(\eta, -)$ a recharge for η . Then a *swapping function* $\psi = \{\psi_\nu\}_{\nu \in X, \nu > s_{\alpha^\vee}(\nu)}$ for $r(\eta, -)$ and α is a collection of injective functions $\psi_\nu : \mathcal{B}(\lambda)_\nu \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\lambda)_{s_{\alpha^\vee}(\nu)}$ such that

$$r(\eta, \psi_\nu(T)) = r(\eta, T) - 1 \quad \text{for every } T \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)_\nu. \quad (24)$$

Let η_1 and η_2 be two cocharacters lying on opposite sides of a wall H_{α^\vee} with $\langle \eta_1, \alpha^\vee \rangle < 0 < \langle \eta_2, \alpha^\vee \rangle$. Suppose we have a recharge $r(\eta_1, -)$ for η_1 . Then, there exists a swapping function ψ for $r(\eta_1, -)$ ([Pat23, Lemma 3.4]). We can use the swapping function to construct a recharge for η_2 on the other side of the wall H_{α^\vee} as follows.

Theorem 3.4. Let $r(\eta_2, -)$ be the function on $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ obtained by swapping the values of $r(\eta_1, -)$ as indicated by a swapping function ψ , i.e. we have

$$r(\eta_2, T) = \begin{cases} r(\eta_1, T) - 1 & \text{if } s_{\alpha^\vee}(\text{wt}(T)) < \text{wt}(T) \\ r(\eta_1, T) + 1 & \text{if } \text{wt}(T) < s_{\alpha^\vee}(\text{wt}(T)) \leq \lambda \text{ and } T \in \text{Im}(\psi) \\ r(\eta_1, T) & \text{if } \text{wt}(T) \leq s_{\alpha^\vee}(\text{wt}(T)) \text{ and } T \notin \text{Im}(\psi). \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

The $r(\eta_2, -)$ is a recharge for η_2 .

In the situation of Theorem 3.4, we say that ψ is a swapping function between η_1 and η_2 .

Assume we have a sequence of cocharacters η_0, \dots, η_M with η_0 in the MV region, η_M in the KL region and such that η_i and η_{i+1} lie in adjacent λ -chambers for any $0 \leq i < M$. Let γ_i^\vee be the root of the wall dividing η_i and η_{i+1} . Starting with $r(\eta_0, -)$ we can inductively

construct a recharge for any η_{i+1} and a swapping function for η_i and γ_i^\vee . At the end of the process we obtain a recharge in the KL chamber, hence a charge. We say that a recharge obtained through this process is a recharge *obtained via swapping operations*.

Even in type A , we do not know how to concretely construct swapping functions ψ in general. In what follows, we will restrict ourselves to the case of a specific sequence of cocharacters where we can explicitly construct the swapping functions in terms of the modified crystal operators.

3.2 Recharge in the KL Region

We now state the main result of this paper. We fix $\lambda \in X_+$ and we consider the crystal $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let η be in the KL chamber. Then*

$$r(\eta, T) = Z(T) - \ell(\text{wt}(T)) \quad (26)$$

is a recharge for η . Moreover, the recharge above can be obtained via swapping operations.

The proof of Theorem 3.5 is done by induction on the rank of Φ and it will be completed in Section 3.5. The statement is trivial if $\text{rk}(\Phi) = 0$. The case $\text{rk}(\Phi) = 1$ is discussed in detail in [Pat23, §3.1].

Definition 3.6. Let $\beta \in \Phi_+$. For $\mu \in X$, we define the *length along β* of μ as

$$\ell^\beta(\mu) = \begin{cases} \langle \mu, \beta^\vee \rangle & \text{if } \langle \mu, \beta^\vee \rangle \geq 0 \\ -\langle \mu, \beta^\vee \rangle - 1 & \text{if } \langle \mu, \beta^\vee \rangle < 0. \end{cases}$$

In rank 1, the length along β is simply the length. In general, by [Pat23, Eq. (10)], we have that

$$\ell(\mu) = \sum_{\beta \in \Phi^+} \ell^\beta(\mu).$$

We can rewrite (26) as

$$r(\eta, T) = -\langle \text{wt}(T), \rho^\vee \rangle + \sum_{\beta \in \Phi_+} \left(\phi_\beta(T) - \ell^\beta(\text{wt}(T)) \right). \quad (27)$$

3.3 The parabolic region

Let $n = \text{rk}(\Phi)$. For $k \leq n$ let $\Phi_k \subseteq \Phi$ be the sub-root system with simple roots $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ and let $\widehat{\Phi}_k^\vee \subseteq \widehat{\Phi}^\vee$ be the corresponding affine root system.

Definition 3.7. Let $\lambda \in X_+$. We say that a regular cocharacter η is in the *k -th λ -parabolic region* (or simply *k -th parabolic region*, if λ is clear from context) if

- $\langle \eta, \alpha^\vee \rangle > 0$ for every $\alpha^\vee \in (\widehat{\Phi}_k^\vee)_+$ and for every $\alpha^\vee \in \widehat{\Phi}_+^\vee$ of the form $m\delta + \beta^\vee$ such that $m \geq 0$ and $\beta \in \Phi_+$,
- $\langle \eta, \alpha^\vee \rangle < 0$ for every $\alpha^\vee \in \widehat{\Phi}_+^\vee(\lambda)$ of the form $m\delta - \beta^\vee$ such that $m > 0$ and $\beta \in \Phi_+ \setminus \Phi_k$.

Let $X_{n-1} \subseteq X$ denote the subgroup generated by $\varpi_1, \varpi_2, \dots, \varpi_{n-1}$.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $n = \text{rk}(\Phi)$ and assume Theorem 3.5 holds for $\Phi_{n-1} \subseteq \Phi$. Let η_P be in the $(n-1)$ -th parabolic region. Then*

$$r(\eta_P, T) = -\langle \text{wt}(T), \rho^\vee \rangle + \sum_{\alpha \in (\Phi_{n-1})_+} (\phi_\alpha(T) - \ell^\alpha(\text{wt}(T)))$$

is a recharge for η_P .

Proof. The walls that separate the MV region from the $(n-1)$ -th parabolic region are all of the form $H_{m\delta - \beta^\vee}$ with $m > 0$ and $\beta \in (\Phi_{n-1})_+$. Intersecting them with $\widehat{X}_{n-1} = (X_{n-1})_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathbb{R}d$, we obtain precisely the walls separating the MV region from the KL region in type A_{n-1} .

After Levi branching to Φ_{n-1} , the crystal $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ splits up as the disjoint union of crystals of type A_{n-1} . Let $\mathcal{B}(\lambda')$ be one of these crystals.

Theorem 3.5 holds for $\mathcal{B}(\lambda')$ by assumption. Hence, we can find a sequence of cocharacters $\eta'_0, \dots, \eta'_M \in X_{n-1} \otimes \mathbb{Z}d$ such that η'_0 is in the MV chamber, η'_M in the KL region and that for any i the cocharacters η'_i and η'_{i+1} are in adjacent chambers. By assumption, there exist swapping functions ψ'_i between η'_i and η'_{i+1} such that the recharge for η'_M obtained via those swapping operations is

$$r(\eta'_M, T) - r(\eta'_0, T) = \sum_{\alpha \in (\Phi_{n-1})_+} (\phi_\alpha(T) - \ell^\alpha(\text{wt}(T)))$$

We can find another sequence of cocharacters $\eta_0, \dots, \eta_M \in \widehat{X}$ such that η_0 is in the MV chamber and that, for any i , the unique wall $H = H_{m\delta - \beta^\vee}$ separating η_i from η_{i+1} is the same wall which separates η'_i and η'_{i+1} . (For example, we can take $\eta_i = \eta'_i + A\varpi_n$ with $A \gg 0$.)

Observe, by (3.1), that $r(\eta_0, -) - r(\eta'_0, -)$ is constant on the crystal $\mathcal{B}(\lambda')$. Then, by induction on i , we see that ψ'_i is also a swapping function between η_i and η_{i+1} on $\mathcal{B}(\lambda')$ and we can extend to a swapping function ψ_i on $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$. It follows that for any $T \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda')$ we have that the function

$$r(\eta_i, T) = r(\eta'_i, T) - r(\eta'_0, T) + r(\eta_0, T)$$

is a recharge for η_i . The claim follows since η_M is in the $(n-1)$ -th parabolic region. \square

Therefore, we can assume by induction that we know a recharge for η_P in $(n-1)$ -th parabolic region. It remains to be understood how to pass from the parabolic to the KL region. This inductive step is carried out in Section 3.4 by explicitly constructing the swapping functions.

Recall that if \mathcal{A} is an atom of highest weight λ' , the weights occurring in \mathcal{A} are precisely the vertices of $\Gamma_{\lambda'}$.

Definition 3.9. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ and let \mathcal{A} be the LL atom of highest weight λ' containing T . For $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, we denote by $\text{Arr}_m(T) := \text{Arr}_m(\text{wt}(T), \lambda')$ the number of arrows pointing to $\text{wt}(T)$ in $\Gamma_{\lambda'}^m$.

By (21) we have

$$\text{Arr}_{m+1}(T) = \begin{cases} \text{Arr}_m(T) - 1 & \text{if } t(\text{wt}(T)) < \text{wt}(T), \\ \text{Arr}_m(T) + 1 & \text{if } \text{wt}(T) < t(\text{wt}(T)) \leq \lambda', \\ \text{Arr}_m(T) & \text{if } \text{wt}(T) < t(\text{wt}(T)) \not\leq \lambda' \text{ or if } t(\text{wt}(T)) = \text{wt}(T), \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

and by Lemma 2.20 we have

$$\text{Arr}_\infty(T) = \sum_{\beta \in \Phi_+ \setminus \Phi_{n-1}} \phi_\beta(T) + \sum_{\beta \in (\Phi_{n-1})_+} \ell^\beta(\text{wt}(T)) \quad (29)$$

In fact, for $\beta \in \Phi_+ \setminus \Phi_{n-1}$, if T belongs to an atom of highest weight λ' , by Lemma 1.15 we have

$$\max\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{wt}(T) - k\beta\} = \phi_\beta(T).$$

Applying (28) and Definition 1.17, we can restate Lemma 3.8.

Corollary 3.10. *Assume that Theorem 3.5 holds for $\Phi_{n-1} \subseteq \Phi$. Let η_P be in the $(n-1)$ -th parabolic region. Then*

$$r(\eta_P, T) = Z(T) - \text{Arr}_\infty(T)$$

is a recharge for η_P .

3.4 A Family of Cocharacters

In this section we perform the inductive step, constructing a recharge on a crystal $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ in the KL region given a recharge in the $(n-1)$ -th parabolic region

The walls that separate the $(n-1)$ -th parabolic region from the KL region are precisely

$$H_{m\delta - \alpha_{k,n}^\vee} \quad \text{with } m > 0 \text{ and } 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

Every cocharacter η_P of the form

$$\eta_P = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i \varpi_i + Cd$$

with $0 \ll A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n-1} \ll C \ll A_n$ lies in the $(n-1)$ -th parabolic region.⁴

We consider the following family of cocharacters.

$$\eta : \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \widehat{X}_{\mathbb{Q}}, \quad \eta(t) = \eta_P + td. \quad (30)$$

Observe that $\eta(t)$ is in the KL chamber for $t \gg 0$. If the integer N is the maximum such that $N\delta - \beta^\vee$ is a root in $\widehat{\Phi}^\vee(\lambda)$ for some $\beta \in \Phi_+$, then $\eta(t)$ intersects the walls in the following order.

$$H_{N\delta - \alpha_n^\vee}, H_{N\delta - \alpha_{n-1,n}^\vee}, \dots, H_{N\delta - \alpha_{1,n}^\vee}, H_{(N-1)\delta - \alpha_n^\vee}, \dots, H_{(N-1)\delta - \alpha_{1,n}^\vee}, \dots, H_{\delta - \alpha_{1,n}^\vee}.$$

Observe that the labels of these walls are precisely the elements of $N(y_M)$, for some $M > 0$, although the order in which they occur is the opposite of (19).

This means that we can choose $0 = t_M < t_{M-1} < \dots < t_1 < t_0$ such that $\eta(t_i)$ and $\eta(t_{i+1})$ lie in adjacent λ -chambers for any i with $\eta(t_M)$ lying in the $(n-1)$ -th parabolic region and $\eta(t_0)$ in the KL region.⁵

⁴More precise conditions on η_P for this to happen are

- $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n-1} > 0$,
- $C > \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} A_i$,
- $A_n > CN$ where N is the largest integer such that $N\delta - \beta^\vee \in \widehat{\Phi}^\vee(\lambda)$ for some $\beta^\vee \in \Phi^\vee$.

⁵We enumerate the elements in t_M, \dots, t_0 in this order to make it compatible with the twisted Bruhat graphs Γ_λ^m of Section 2.2.

Set $\eta_m := \eta(t_m)$ for any $0 \leq m \leq M$. For any $\alpha^\vee \in \widehat{\Phi}_+^\vee$ we have

$$\langle \eta_m, \alpha^\vee \rangle < 0 \iff \alpha^\vee \in N(y_m).$$

The only λ -wall separating η_m and η_{m+1} is $H_{\alpha_t^\vee}$ where $t = t_{m+1}$ is as in (20). We prove that modified crystal operators induce the swapping functions and at the same time give a formula for the recharge for any cocharacter η_m in the family.

Proposition 3.11. *Assume that Theorem 3.5 holds for $\Phi_{n-1} \subset \Phi$. Let $0 \leq m \leq M$ and let $\alpha_t^\vee = c\delta - \beta^\vee$ for $c > 0$ and $\beta^\vee \in \Phi_+$ for $t = t_{m+1}$.*

1. *The map $r(\eta_m, T) = Z(T) - \text{Arr}_m(T)$ is a recharge for η_m .*
2. *For any $\mu \in X$ such that $\mu < t\mu \leq \lambda$ we define*

$$\psi_{t\mu} : \mathcal{B}(\lambda)_{t\mu} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\lambda)_\mu, \quad \psi_{t\mu}(T) = e_\beta^{\langle \mu, \beta^\vee \rangle + c}(T).$$

Then, $\psi = \{\psi_\nu\}$ is a swapping function between η_{m+1} and η_m .

Proof. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$. Let \mathcal{A} be an atom of highest weight λ' containing T .

Let M be the smallest integer with $\Gamma_{\lambda'}^M = \Gamma_{\lambda'}^\infty$. For $m = M$ the first claim directly follows from Corollary 3.10. We proceed now by reverse induction, assuming the first statement for $m + 1$ and proving both statements for m . Assume that $\text{wt}(T) = t\mu$. Define

$$\psi_{t\mu}(T) := e_\beta^{\langle \mu, \beta^\vee \rangle + c}(T).$$

We have $\text{wt}(\psi_{t\mu}(T)) = \mu$. Moreover, $\psi_{t\mu}(T) \in \mathcal{A}$ since $\beta \notin \Phi_{n-1}$. By Proposition 2.17, we have $\text{Arr}_m(\psi_{t\mu}(T)) = \text{Arr}_m(T) - 1$. Recall from (28) that $\text{Arr}_{m+1}(T) = \text{Arr}_m(T) - 1$ and that $\text{Arr}_{m+1}(\psi_{t\mu}(T)) = \text{Arr}_m(\psi_{t\mu}(T)) + 1$. It follows that

$$\text{Arr}_{m+1}(T) = \text{Arr}_{m+1}(\psi_{t\mu}(T)) - 1.$$

The atomic number Z is constant on \mathcal{A} . Therefore, for any $T \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)_{t\mu}$, we have

$$r(\eta_{m+1}, \psi_{t\mu}(T)) = Z(T) - \text{Arr}_{m+1}(\psi_{t\mu}(T)) = r(\eta_{m+1}, T) - 1.$$

Hence, ψ is a swapping function between η_{m+1} and η_m and the second part is proven for $m + 1$. We now construct $r(\eta_m, -)$ from $r(\eta_{m+1}, -)$ and ψ by (25). We can rewrite it in our setting as follows.

$$r(\eta_m, T) = \begin{cases} r(\eta_{m+1}, T) - 1 & \text{if } t(\text{wt}(T)) < \text{wt}(T), \\ r(\eta_{m+1}, T) + 1 & \text{if } \text{wt}(T) < t(\text{wt}(T)) \leq \lambda', \\ r(\eta_{m+1}, T) & \text{if } \text{wt}(T) < t(\text{wt}(T)) \not\leq \lambda' \text{ or if } t(\text{wt}(T)) = \text{wt}(T) \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

Combining (31) and (21), it follows that $r(\eta_m, T) = Z(T) - \text{Arr}_m(T)$ and the proof is complete. \square

3.5 Proof of the main theorem and consequences

We now have all the ingredients to finally prove our main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 3.5. We prove the statement by induction on the rank n . The case $n = 1$ has been established in [Pat23, §3.1].

Let Φ the root system of type A_n and assume now by induction that the statement holds in rank $n - 1$. In particular, it holds for $\Phi_{n-1} \subset \Phi$, so we can apply Proposition 3.11. We have that $r(\eta_0, T) = Z(T) - \text{Arr}_0(T)$ is a recharge in the KL chamber that can be obtained via swapping operations.

Let T be contained in an atom of highest weight λ' . Then $\Gamma_{\lambda'}^0 = \Gamma_{\lambda'}$ is the (untwisted) Bruhat graph, so the number of arrows pointing to $\text{wt}(T)$ in $\Gamma_{\lambda'}^0$ is $\ell(\text{wt}(T))$ by Lemma 2.10. In other words, we have $\text{Arr}_0(T) = \ell(\text{wt}(T))$ and the proof is complete. \square

By (23), we also obtain the following formula for the charge statistic on $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$.

$$c(T) = Z(T) - \frac{1}{2}\ell(\text{wt}(T)).$$

In particular, for $\text{wt}(T) \in X_+$, this reduces to

$$c(T) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_+} \varepsilon_\alpha(T).$$

As a consequence, we give a combinatorial formula for the coefficients $a_{\mu, \lambda}(v)$ of the Kazhdan–Lusztig basis in terms of the atomic basis.

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ denote the spherical Hecke algebra attached to the root system $\Phi \subset X$ (see [LPP22, §2.2]). Then $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ has a standard basis $\{\mathbf{H}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in X_+}$ over $\mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$ and a canonical basis, called the Kazhdan–Lusztig basis $\{\underline{\mathbf{H}}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in X_+}$ such that

$$\underline{\mathbf{H}}_\lambda = \sum_{\mu \leq \lambda} K_{\lambda, \mu}(v^2) \mathbf{H}_\mu.$$

We also have the *atomic basis* $\{\mathbf{N}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in X_+}$ defined as

$$\mathbf{N}_\lambda = \sum_{\mu \leq \lambda} v^{2\langle \lambda - \mu, \rho^\vee \rangle} \mathbf{H}_\mu$$

We can write $\underline{\mathbf{H}}_\lambda = \sum a_{\mu, \lambda}(v) \mathbf{N}_\mu$. An explicit description of the coefficients $a_{\mu, \lambda}(v)$ has been given in [LP23] for type A_2 and in [LPP22] for types A_3 and A_4 . Here, we provide a combinatorial interpretation of these coefficients for type A_n .

Corollary 3.12. *Let $\mathcal{B}(\lambda) = \bigcup_i \mathcal{A}_i$ be the LL atomic decomposition. Then*

$$\underline{\mathbf{H}}_\lambda = \sum_i v^{2(Z(\mathcal{A}_i) - \langle \text{wt}(\mathcal{A}_i), \rho^\vee \rangle)} \mathbf{N}_{\text{wt}(\mathcal{A}_i)}.$$

where $Z(\mathcal{A}_i)$ is the atomic number of any $T \in \mathcal{A}_i$ and $\text{wt}(\mathcal{A}_i)$ is the highest weight of \mathcal{A}_i .

Proof. For any $\lambda \in X_+$, by Theorem 3.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\mathbf{H}}_\lambda &= \sum_{X_+ \ni \mu \leq \lambda} h_{\mu, \lambda}(v) \mathbf{H}_\mu = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{B}^+(\lambda)} v^{2Z(T) - \ell(\text{wt}(\mu))} \mathbf{H}_{\text{wt}(T)} \\ &= \sum_i v^{2Z(\mathcal{A}_i) - \ell(\text{wt}(\mathcal{A}_i))} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{A}_i \cap \mathcal{B}^+(\lambda)} v^{\ell(\text{wt}(\mathcal{A}_i)) - \ell(\text{wt}(T))} \mathbf{H}_{\text{wt}(T)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since every dominant weight $\leq \text{wt}(\mathcal{A}_i)$ occurs exactly once as the weight of an element in \mathcal{A}_i , the claim now follows since

$$\mathbf{N}_{\text{wt}(\mathcal{A}_i)} = \sum_{X_+ \ni \mu \leq \text{wt}(\mathcal{A}_i)} v^{2\langle \lambda - \mu, \rho^\vee \rangle} \mathbf{H}_\mu = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{A}_i \cap \mathcal{B}^+(\lambda)} v^{\ell(\text{wt}(\mathcal{A}_i)) - \ell(\text{wt}(T))} \mathbf{H}_{\text{wt}(T)}. \quad \square$$

Remark 3.13. We can give another interpretation, arguably more natural, of the formula (27) for the recharge in the KL region. Let $\beta \in \Phi_+$. Moving from the MV chamber to the KL chamber we need to cross all the walls in

$$H_{M\delta-\beta^\vee}, H_{(M-1)\delta-\beta^\vee}, \dots, H_{\delta-\beta^\vee} \quad (32)$$

in the given order, for some $M \geq 0$. Consider a single β -string: we can think of it as a crystal of type A_1 , with crystal operator f_β . Each time we cross one of the walls in (32), by Proposition 3.11, the recharge on the β -string varies in the same way as the recharge does on the corresponding crystal of type A_1 .

This means that, for $T \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ and for any $\beta \in \Phi_+$, the contribution of the walls in (32) to the total variation of the recharge is equal to the total variation of the corresponding A_1 crystal. Thanks to [Pat23, Lemma 3.8], we know that this contribution is

$$\phi_\beta(T) - \ell^\beta(\text{wt}(T)).$$

To obtain the total variation of the recharge between η in the KL chamber and η_0 in the MV chamber we simply need to add up all these contributions for all $\beta \in \Phi_+$. This gives another explanation of the formula

$$r(\eta, T) = r(\eta_0, T) + \sum_{\beta \in \Phi_+} \left(\phi_\beta(T) - \ell^\beta(\text{wt}(T)) \right).$$

4 Comparison with Lascoux–Schützenberger’s charge

In this Appendix we check that the charge statistic we obtained coincides with Lascoux–Schützenberger’s one. We use the formula given by Lascoux, Leclerc and Thibon in [LLT95] which is conveniently already in terms of the crystal graph. Let $d_i(T) = \min(\varepsilon_i(T), \phi_i(T))$. For $T \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ they define

$$\gamma_n(T) = \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \sum_{\sigma \in W} \sum_{i=1}^n i d_i(\sigma(T)).$$

The function γ_n is a charge statistic on $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ and it coincides with the charge defined in [LS78] in terms of the cyclage of tableaux after composing it with the anti-involution of $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ which sends f_i to e_{n+1-i} .

Proposition 4.1. *Let $n = \text{rk}(\Phi)$. We have $c(T) = \gamma_n(T)$ for any $T \in \mathcal{B}^+(\lambda)$.*

Proof. We argue by induction on n . The statement is trivial if $n = 0$ and the case $n = 1$ is handled in detail in [Pat23, §3.1].

In [LL21, Theorem 6.5] it is shown that Lascoux–Schützenberger’s charge γ is compatible with the atomic decomposition, i.e. that if \mathcal{A} is a LL atom and $T, T' \in \mathcal{A}$ are such that $\text{wt}(T), \text{wt}(T') \in X_+$ we have

$$\gamma_n(T') = \gamma_n(T) + \langle \text{wt}(T) - \text{wt}(T'), \rho^\vee \rangle.$$

Clearly, also c is compatible with the atomic decomposition. It is enough to check $c(T) = \gamma_n(T)$ for T maximal in its atom. In this case, by Lemma 1.15 we have $\varepsilon_\alpha(T) = 0$ for any $\alpha \notin \Phi_{n-1}$, so

$$c(T) = \sum_{\alpha \in (\Phi_{n-1})_+} \varepsilon_\alpha(T).$$

After Levi branching to Φ_{n-1} , we have by induction $c(T) = \gamma_{n-1}(T)$. Moreover, we have $d_n(\sigma(T)) = 0$ for any $\sigma \in W$. Let $W_k \subseteq W$ be the subgroup generated by s_1, \dots, s_k . To conclude, it remains to show that

$$\gamma_n(T) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in W_{n-1}} \sum_{i=1}^n id_i(\sigma(T)) = \gamma_{n-1}(T). \quad (33)$$

We can rewrite (33) as follows.

$$\frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\sigma \in W_{n-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} id_i(\sigma s_n \dots s_j(T)) \right) = \sum_{\sigma \in W_{n-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} id_i(\sigma(T)).$$

This identity can be easily proven by applying the next Lemma □

Lemma 4.2. *For any $T \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ we have*

$$\sum_{\sigma \in W_{n-1}} \sum_{i=1}^n id_i(\sigma s_n(T)) = \sum_{\sigma \in W_{n-1}} \sum_{i=1}^n id_i(\sigma(T)). \quad (34)$$

Proof. For $n = 1$, (34) reduces to $d_1(s_1(T)) = d_1(T)$, which is clear by definition. We assume by induction that (34) holds for $n - 1$. Notice that

$$W_{n-1} = W_{n-2} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{j=1}^n W_{n-2} s_{n-1} \dots s_j$$

By induction we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\sigma \in W_{n-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} id_i(\sigma(T)) &= \sum_{\sigma \in W_{n-2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} id_i(\sigma(T)) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\sigma \in W_{n-2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} id_i(\sigma s_{n-1} \dots s_j(T)) \\ &= n \sum_{\sigma \in W_{n-2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} id_i(\sigma(T)) \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Notice that $d_i \circ s_j = d_i$ if $i \neq j \pm 1$. Hence, for $i \leq n - 2$ we have $d_i(\sigma(T)) = d_i(s_n \sigma(T)) = d_i(\sigma s_n(T))$ for all $\sigma \in W_{n-2}$. Setting $T' := s_n(T)$, we can rewrite (35) as

$$n(n-1) \sum_{\sigma \in W_{n-2}} d_{n-1}(\sigma(T)) + n \sum_{\sigma \in W_{n-2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} id_i(\sigma(T')). \quad (36)$$

Using the same argument, we get

$$\sum_{\sigma \in W_{n-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} id_i(\sigma(T')) = n(n-1) \sum_{\sigma \in W_{n-2}} d_{n-1}(\sigma(T')) + n \sum_{\sigma \in W_{n-2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} id_i(\sigma(T')). \quad (37)$$

Combining (35), (36) and (37), we can rewrite the original statement as follows.

$$\sum_{\sigma \in W_{n-1}} d_n(\sigma T) + (n-1) \sum_{\sigma \in W_{n-2}} d_{n-1}(\sigma T) = \sum_{\sigma \in W_{n-1}} d_n(\sigma T') + (n-1) \sum_{\sigma \in W_{n-2}} d_{n-1}(\sigma T'). \quad (38)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\sigma \in W_{n-1}} d_n(\sigma(T)) &= \sum_{\sigma \in W_{n-2}} \left(d_n(\sigma T) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d_n(\sigma s_{n-1} \dots s_j T) \right) \\ &= (n-1)! \left(d_n(T) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d_n(s_{n-1} \dots s_j T) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, after dividing by $(n-1)!$, the identity (38) is equivalent to saying that

$$d_n(T) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d_n(s_{n-1} \dots s_j(T)) + d_{n-1}(T) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} d_{n-1}(s_{n-2} \dots s_j(T))$$

does not change when replacing T with T' . This follows from $d_n(T) = d_n(T')$ and the following claim.

Claim 4.3. For any $T \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ we have

$$d_n(s_{n-1}(T)) + d_{n-1}(T) = d_n(s_{n-1}s_n(T)) + d_{n-1}(s_n(T)).$$

Proof of the claim. This is a rank 2 statement. We can thus assume $n = 2$ and $r := s_1 s_2 s_2 = s_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}$. After replacing T with $s_1(T)$ this is equivalent to

$$d_2(T) + d_1(T) = d_2(r(T)) + d_1(r(T)).$$

Recall that $r(T) = s_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}(T)$ belongs to the $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ -string of T . Assume that $d_1(T) = \varepsilon_1(T)$ and $d_2(T) = \varepsilon_2(T)$ (the other cases are similar). Then

$$d_2(T) + d_1(T) = \varepsilon_1(T) + \varepsilon_2(T) = \varepsilon_1(T) + \phi_2(T) - \langle \text{wt}(T), \alpha_2^\vee \rangle.$$

On the other side, we have

$$d_2(r(T)) + d_1(r(T)) = \phi_1(r(T)) + \phi_2(r(T)) = \varepsilon_1(r(T)) + \phi_2(r(T)) - \langle \text{wt}(T), r(\alpha_1^\vee) \rangle.$$

Now the claim follows from Lemma 1.5. □

□

Remark 4.4. It was pointed out to the author by M. Shimozono the similarity between our formula for the charge in Theorem 3.5 and Nakayashiki–Yamada’s description [NY97, Corollary 4.2]. In fact, in both formulas the terms occurring are indexed by positive roots. It seems very plausible (and confirmed by computations on examples in small rank) that the two formulas are actually equivalent, in the sense that they coincide term-wise. We remark that Nakayashiki–Yamada’s formula is of very different nature, as it involves the energy function on tensor products of Kirillov–Reshetikhin affine crystals and the combinatorial R -matrix, which do not play a role in the present paper. We believe it is worth further investigations to clarify the connections between these two descriptions of the charge statistic.

References

- [BBD⁺22] Charles Blundell, Lars Buesing, Alex Davies, Petar Veličković, and Geordie Williamson. Towards combinatorial invariance for kazhdan-lusztig polynomials. *Representation Theory of the American Mathematical Society*, 26(37):1145–1191, 2022.
- [BDSW14] Barbara Baumeister, Matthew Dyer, Christian Stump, and Patrick Wegener. A note on the transitive Hurwitz action on decompositions of parabolic Coxeter elements. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B*, 1:149–154, 2014.
- [BFG06] Alexander Braverman, Michael Finkelberg, and Dennis Gaitsgory. Uhlenbeck spaces via affine Lie algebras. In *The unity of mathematics*, volume 244 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 17–135. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2006.
- [BG01] Alexander Braverman and Dennis Gaitsgory. Crystals via the affine Grassmannian. *Duke Math. J.*, 107(3):561–575, 2001.
- [Bry89] Rance Kathryn Brylinski. Limits of weight spaces, Lusztig’s q -analogs, and fiberings of adjoint orbits. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2(3):517–533, 1989.
- [BS17] Daniel Bump and Anne Schilling. *Crystal bases*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2017. Representations and combinatorics.
- [Deo85] Vinay V. Deodhar. On some geometric aspects of Bruhat orderings. I. A finer decomposition of Bruhat cells. *Invent. Math.*, 79(3):499–511, 1985.
- [Dye92] M. J. Dyer. Hecke algebras and shellings of Bruhat intervals. II. Twisted Bruhat orders. In *Kazhdan–Lusztig theory and related topics (Chicago, IL, 1989)*, volume 139 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 141–165. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992.
- [Dye19] Matthew Dyer. On the weak order of Coxeter groups. *Canad. J. Math.*, 71(2):299–336, 2019.
- [Kam07] Joel Kamnitzer. The crystal structure on the set of Mirković–Vilonen polytopes. *Adv. Math.*, 215(1):66–93, 2007.
- [Kas91] M. Kashiwara. On crystal bases of the Q -analogue of universal enveloping algebras. *Duke Math. J.*, 63(2):465–516, 1991.
- [LL21] Cédric Lecouvey and Cristian Lenart. Atomic decomposition of characters and crystals. *Adv. Math.*, 376:51, 2021.
- [LLT95] Alain Lascoux, Bernard Leclerc, and Jean-Yves Thibon. Crystal graphs and q -analogues of weight multiplicities for the root system A_n . *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 35(4):359–374, 1995.
- [LP23] Nicolas Libedinsky and Leonardo Patimo. On the affine Hecke category for sl_3 . *Selecta Mathematica*, 29(4):64, 2023.
- [LPP22] Nicolas Libedinsky, Leonardo Patimo, and David Plaza. Pre-canonical bases on affine Hecke algebras. *Adv. Math.*, 399:Paper No. 108255, 2022.

- [LS78] Alain Lascoux and Marcel-Paul Schützenberger. Sur une conjecture de H. O. Foulkes. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, 286(7):A323–A324, 1978.
- [LS81] Alain Lascoux and Marcel-P. Schützenberger. Le monoïde plaxique. In *Non-commutative structures in algebra and geometric combinatorics (Naples, 1978)*, volume 109 of *Quad. “Ricerca Sci.”*, pages 129–156. CNR, Rome, 1981.
- [Lus83] George Lusztig. Singularities, character formulas, and a q -analog of weight multiplicities. In *Analysis and topology on singular spaces, II, III (Luminy, 1981)*, volume 101 of *Astérisque*, pages 208–229. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1983.
- [Lus90] G. Lusztig. Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 3(2):447–498, 1990.
- [NY97] Atsushi Nakayashiki and Yasuhiko Yamada. Kostka polynomials and energy functions in solvable lattice models. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 3(4):547–599, 1997.
- [Pat21] Leonardo Patimo. A combinatorial formula for the coefficient of q in Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (5):3203–3223, 2021.
- [Pat22] Leonardo Patimo. Bases of the intersection cohomology of Grassmannian Schubert varieties. *J. Algebra*, 589:345–400, 2022.
- [Pat23] Leonardo Patimo. Charges via the affine Grassmannian, 2023.
- [PT23] Leonardo Patimo and Jacinta Torres. Atoms and charge in type C_2 , 2023.
- [Ste98] John R. Stembridge. The partial order of dominant weights. *Adv. Math.*, 136(2):340–364, 1998.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI PISA, ITALY
E-mail address: `leonardo.patimo@unipi.it`