

# Exact solution of the three-dimensional (3D) $Z_2$ lattice gauge theory

Zhidong Zhang

Shenyang National Laboratory for Materials Science, Institute of Metal Research,  
Chinese Academy of Sciences, 72 Wenhua Road, Shenyang, 110016, P.R. China

## Abstract

In this work, the origin of nonlocal effects is inspected and the contributions of nontrivial topological structures to physical properties are investigated in details for both the 3D Ising model and the  $Z_2$  lattice gauge model. Then the exact solution for the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory is derived by the duality between the two models. Several fundamental issues, such as dimensionality, duality, symmetry, manifold, degenerate states, are investigated for these many-body interacting spin systems. The connections with superfluid, superconductors, etc. are evaluated. Furthermore, physical significances and mathematical aspects of the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory are discussed with respect to topology, geometry, and algebra.

Keywords: Exact solution;  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory; 3D Ising model; critical behaviors.

PACS: 75.10.Hk Classical spin models; 75.10.-b General theory and models of magnetic ordering; 11.15.Ha Lattice gauge theory; 64.60.-i General studies of phase transitions

The corresponding author: Z.D. Zhang, e-mail address: [zdzhang@imr.ac.cn](mailto:zdzhang@imr.ac.cn)

## 1.Introduction

Phase transitions occur in almost every physical system, which have attracted great interests of physicists. Specially, the continuous (second-order) phase transitions in condensed matters (such as, magnets, superconductors, superfluid, etc.) implicate abundant physical phenomena. The study of these continuous phase transitions and the critical phenomena at a critical point reveals the nature of interactions between spins (or particles). Because the models (for instance, the Ising model) for describing a magnet or a superconductor are very basic, they can uncover the fundamental characters of many-body interacting spin (or particle) systems, thus can be applicable for understanding the nature in other physical systems. In particular, the duality relationship may exist between some models that are utilized to interpret the physics in different fields as diverse as condensed matter physics, particle physics and high-energy physics.

Non-Abelian gauge theories [1,2] have become the focus of widespread interest, owing to their central role in understanding the evolution of fundamental physical processes: the quantum flavor dynamics of electroweak interactions and the quantum chromodynamics of strong interactions. The non-Abelian gauge theories were invented by Yang and Mills about seventy years ago [1]. The well-known Glashow-Weinberg-Salam model unifies electromagnetic with weak interactions, where gauge fields are identified with massless photons, and with the hypothetical massive vector mesons mediating weak interactions [3-8]. In the electroweak theory, a key point is that the mass was introduced with Higgs mechanism by spontaneous symmetry breaking [9-12].

For the theory of strong interactions, it follows a fact that the forces in the dynamical model is asymptotic freedom, becoming negligible at short distances [13-15]. Consequently, Yang-Mills SU(3) fields coupled to quarks (quantum chromodynamics) provided the only realistic framework, by which experiments on high-energy lepton-nucleon scattering can be accommodated. It is a fact (up to date) that the model containing non-Abelian Yang-Mills gauge fields is the only theory in which this particular behavior appears possible [16]. Of course, the Yang-Mills field equations have not been solved exactly, not even in the context of classical field theory [17].

The lattice gauge theories can be utilized to imitate some behaviors of the Yang-Mills gauge theories, however, in the limit of lattice space. Wegner invented Ising lattice gauge theory in 1971 [18]. The  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory is the simplest one among the lattice gauge theories [18-22], since it possesses the simplest symmetry  $Z_2$ , compared with other lattice gauge theories with U(1), SU(2) and SU(3) symmetries. We shall be interested in the large distance properties of a  $Z_2$  gauge theory assuming that the effective coupling is sufficiently large so that we can use Wilson's strong-coupling methods [19]. An ultraviolet cutoff is introduced into the field theory through a spatial lattice. Most of the space-time symmetries of relativistic field theories are destroyed by this construction, so the theory discussed here is not a realistic Yang-Mills theory. However, following Wilson [19], we are mainly interested in determining the special effects of exact gauge invariance in strongly coupled gauge theories. Keeping these in mind, what we are sure is that the exact solution of the three-dimensional (3D)  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory is extremely important for physicists not only for understanding

the behaviors of this theory itself, but also for figuring out the basic features of other 3D lattice gauge theories with  $U(1)$ ,  $SU(2)$  and  $SU(3)$  symmetries [18-24]. Up to date, no exact solution for the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory has been reported yet.

Duality was illustrated for between the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory and the 3D Ising model [18-24]. The Ising model is one of the simplest physical models describing many-body spin (or particle) interactions [25-31]. In the previous work [27-29], two conjectures were proposed by the present author in [27] and then proven in collaboration with Suzuki and March [29] for solving the ferromagnetic 3D Ising model at the zero external magnetic field. The analytical solution of the 3D Ising model provides an opportunity to determine the analytical solution of the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory. The remainder of this paper is arranged along the following line of presentation: In Section 2, we inspect the origin of nonlocal effects in details. In Section 3, we explore the consequences of the obtained solutions in the dual formulation to derive the analytical solutions for the physical properties (including partition function, critical point, spontaneous magnetization, spin correlation, critical exponents, etc.) of the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory. In Section 4, we discuss the physical significances and the mathematical aspects of the solutions. The results obtained in the 3D Ising model and the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory are connected with superfluid, superconductors, particle physics, with new thoughts on dimensionality, duality, symmetry, manifold, degenerate states, also with respect to topology, geometry, and algebra. Section 5 is for conclusions.

## **2. Nonlocal effects and nontrivial topological structures in the 3D Ising models**

In this section, we inspect the origin of the nonlocal effect in details. Specially we focus our attentions on contributions of nonlocal effects and nontrivial topological structures to the physical properties in the 3D Ising models.

Two conjectures were proposed by the present author in [27] for analytically solving the ferromagnetic 3D Ising model. The first Zhang's conjecture states that the topologic problem of a 3D Ising model can be dealt with by introducing an additional rotation in a four-dimensional (4D) space. The second Zhang's conjecture states that three weight factors (i.e. topological phase factors) appear on the eigenvectors of the 3D Ising model. Zhang, Suzuki and March [29] rigorously proved four theorems by the Clifford approach, and Suzuki and Zhang [32,33] employed the method of Riemann-Hilbert problem. Proving the theorems in [29,32,33] means that we have proven Zhang's two conjectures in [27]. In the previous work [27-29,32-37], we uncovered the nonlocal effects and nontrivial topological structures in the 3D Ising models, and in this work, we uncover their origin as follows:

In the quantum statistics mechanism, transfer matrices are used to represent the partition function of a physical system, to describe the probability of finding the system in a given configuration. The elements of the transfer matrices are determined by calculations of energies for all configurations of spins located at every lattice point in the system. As revealed in our previous work [27-29,32-37], the nonlocal effects in the 3D Ising model originate from the contradictory between the 3D character of the lattice and the 2D character of the transfer matrices used in the quantum statistics mechanism. In what the follows, we inspect the origin of the nonlocal effect in details.

At first, let us start from the origin of the nontrivial topological structures. In a 3D Ising model, Ising spins are assigned on every lattice point of a 3D lattice with the lattice size  $N = mnl$ . The numbers  $(i, r, s)$  running from  $(1, 1, 1)$  to  $(m, n, l)$  denote lattice points along three crystallographic directions in the 3D lattice. One may denote the lattice points, a layer by a layer, by the number  $j = [mn(s-1) + m(r-1) + i]$ , which runs in a sequence as  $1, 2, 3, \dots, mnl$ . Such two representations are equivalent. The size of the transfer matrices in spinor representation for the 3D Ising model is  $2^N \times 2^N$ . It should be emphasized that the sequence in a process of a layer by a layer is the simplest one for mapping a 3D lattice into a 2D “lattice” (a matrix). For a study of the 3D Ising model, this sequence can remain some basic characters of the 2D Ising model, and make the procedure for solving the 3D Ising model as simple as possible. It is understood that any other sequences will make the problems much more complicated.

Let us inspect the running of  $j$ . For the first layer ( $s = 1$ ), corresponding to the running from  $(1, 1, 1)$  to  $(m, n, 1)$ , we have  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m$  for the first line ( $r = 1$ ),  $j = m+1, m+2, m+3, \dots, 2m$  for the second line ( $r = 2$ ), ..., and  $j = (n-1)m+1, (n-1)m+2, \dots, mn$  for the last line ( $r = n$ ). For the second layer ( $s = 2$ ), corresponding to  $(1, 1, 2)$  to  $(m, n, 2)$ ,  $j$  runs from  $(mn+1), (mn+2), (mn+3), \dots, 2mn$ . It runs in all the way to the last layer ( $s = l$ ),  $j$  runs from  $(mn(l-1)+1), (mn(l-1)+2), (mn(l-1)+3), \dots, mnl$ . The first spin  $(1, 1, 1)$  in the first layer and the first spin  $(1, 1, 2)$  in the second layer correspond to  $j = 1$  and  $j = (mn+1)$ , respectively. Figure 1 illustrates an Ising model on a 3D lattice with the size of  $3 \times 3 \times 3$ , for an example, which is mapped into the spin arrangement on a 2D lattice with the size of  $(3 \times 3 + 3 \times 3 + 3 \times 3)$ , as arranged in the transfer matrix. Green, purple,

blue colors represent the interactions along three crystallographic directions (although their values are equal for the simple cubic lattice, the colors are used for a clear illustration). In the transfer matrix, the interaction with blue color show the crossings. Clearly, the interaction between the two nearest neighboring spins behaves as a long range interaction, which involves the entanglements of all the spins in a plane. It is emphasized here that for solving the solution of the 3D Ising model, the system is in the thermodynamic limit ( $m \rightarrow \infty, n \rightarrow \infty, l \rightarrow \infty$ ). This is the origin of the nontrivial topological structures in the 3D Ising models.




Figure 1. Illustration of a 3D Ising model on a  $3 \times 3 \times 3$  lattice, for an example, which is mapped into the 2D spin arrangement on a  $(3 \times 3 + 3 \times 3 + 3 \times 3)$  lattice, as in the transfer matrix. Green, purple, blue colors represent the interactions along three crystallographic directions. In the transfer matrix, the interaction with blue color show the crossings, indicating the existence of non-trivial topological structures in the 3D Ising model.

For simplicity, we can apply the cylindrical crystal model preferred by Onsager [26] and Kaufman [38], in which we wrap our crystal on cylinders. However, unlike in the

solid energy band theory for one-electron approximation in which the periodic boundary conditions can be applied along three crystallographic directions, in the present many-body interaction system, we can perform the periodic boundary condition only along one crystallographic direction. After performing the periodic boundary condition, the running number  $j$  can be reduced to  $j = [(s-1)n + r]$ , running as  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, nl$  in a plane. The size of the transfer matrices in spinor representation for the 3D Ising model is reduced to be  $2^{nl} \times 2^{nl}$ . However, this simplicity does not alter the fact that in the 3D Ising model, the nonlocal effects, viewed as the long-range spin entanglements or the nontrivial topological structures, exist.

According to the topological theory [39-42], one can find that the Kauffman bracket polynomial is identical to the partition function of an Ising model. A mapping exists between the states of the crossings and the Ising spin alignments (spin up and spin down) with values of +1 and -1 [33], so that one finds the equivalence between a 2D knot and a 2D Ising model [39], and the equivalence between a braid and a spin chain [33]. The mapping between the Ising spin lattice and the knot structure can be generalized to the 3D case [33]. In the 3D Ising model (and the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory), two contributions to the partition functions exist: 1) the local alignments of spins and 2) the global effects of long-range spin entanglements. The 3D Ising model can be described as spin alignments at the 3D lattice plus braids along the third spatial direction. During the procedures for deriving the solution of the 3D Ising models, we reveal some characters of the systems, which are helpful for setting up novel quantum statistics mechanics, which accounts the contributions of the nontrivial topological structures. In [43], we defined it as topological quantum statistical mechanics that is compatible with quantum mechanics, statistical mechanics and special relativity.



In order to deal with the nontrivial topological structure in the 3D Ising model, we have introduced a rotation with an angle  $K''' = K'K''/K$  in an additional dimension for the local gauge transformation for the simple orthorhombic Ising lattices [27-29]. The critical exponents of the 3D Ising models are  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\beta = 3/8$ ,  $\gamma = 5/4$ ,  $\delta = 13/3$ ,  $\eta = 1/8$  and  $\nu = 2/3$  [27]. In ref. [44], experimental data were compared with these theoretical results to show that the 3D Ising universality indeed exists for critical indices in a certain class of magnets and at fluid–fluid phase transition. It is worth noticing that in a recent Monte Carlo simulation [45,46] the critical exponents of the 3D Ising model obtained by taking into account the long-range interactions of spin chains (namely, the nontrivial topological contribution) agree well with our exact solution.

### 3 Exact solution of a 3D $Z_2$ lattice gauge theory




Figure 2. A simple cubic lattice (black solid lines) and its dual lattice (blue dashed lines). The black solid circles and the blue open circles represent the corners of the original lattice and the corners of the dual lattice, respectively. The blue open squares represent the intersect points at which the edges (black solid lines) of the original lattice

and the faces of the dual lattice. Meanwhile, the black solid squares represent the intersect points at which the faces of the original lattice and the edges (blue dashed lines) of the dual lattice.

For studying the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory, let us consider a simple cubic lattice in  $d$ -dimensional ( $d = 3$ ) Euclidean space. Label links of the lattice by a site  $n$  and a unit lattice vector  $\mu$  (or  $\nu$ ). Notice that the same link can be labeled as  $(n, \mu)$  or  $(n + \mu, -\mu)$ . Place Ising spins ( $\sigma_3 = \pm 1$ ) on links. The duality between a cube of the original cubic lattice ( $d = 3$ ) and a cube of the dual lattice was illustrated in Figure 2. The 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory with interaction  $J^*$  on a cubic lattice is described by the Action (see Eq.(5.7) of [21]):

$$S = -J^* \sum_{n, \mu\nu} \sigma_3(n, \mu) \sigma_3(n + \mu, \nu) \sigma_3(n + \mu + \nu, -\mu) \sigma_3(n + \nu, -\nu) \quad (1)$$

Note that  $J^*$  accounts for the interaction on the product of Ising spins around plaquettes (or primitive squares) of the lattice. The partition function of the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory is represented as (see also Eq. (2.26) or (2.27) of ref. [24]):

$$Z = 2^{-N/2} (\sinh 2K^*)^{-3N/2} \sum_{\{A\}} \exp \left( K^* \sum_{n, \mu\nu} \sigma_3(n, \mu) \sigma_3(n + \mu, \nu) \sigma_3(n + \mu + \nu, -\mu) \sigma_3(n + \nu, -\nu) \right) \quad (2)$$

where  $K^* = \frac{J^*}{k_B T}$ , with the Boltzmann constant  $k_B$  and the temperature  $T$ . A local gauge transformation at the site  $n$  can be defined as the operation  $G(n)$  of flipping all the spins

on links connected to that site. Because  $G(n)$  can be applied anywhere, the Action has a huge invariance group. A nontrivial action with this symmetry consists of the product of spins around plaquettes of the lattice. Clearly, an Ising spin model can be constructed with this local symmetry. As illustrated in details in [21,24], a mapping exists between the high- (low-) temperature properties of the 3D Ising gauge system and the low- (high-) temperature properties of the 3D Ising spin system. The partition function of the 3D Ising model with Ising spins ( $s_i = \pm 1$ ) is written as (see Eq. (2.20) or (2.31) of ref. [24]):

$$Z = \sum_{\{s\}} \exp\left(K \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} s_i s_j\right) = \sum_{\{s\}} \prod_{\langle i,j \rangle} \exp(K s_i s_j) \quad (3)$$

where  $K = J/(k_B T)$ . Note that for simplicity, only the interactions  $J$  between the nearest neighboring spins are considered in the 3D Ising model. The relation between the dual lattices is identified:

$$K^* = -\frac{1}{2} \ln(\tanh K) \quad (4)$$

Clearly, it is just the Kramers-Wannier relation for the definition of  $K^*$  in [24,26-29,47,48], for instance, see Eq. (14) in Onsager' paper [26]:

$$K^* = \frac{1}{2} \ln(\coth K) = \tanh^{-1}(e^{-2K}) \quad (5)$$

We also have the following identities [26]:

$$\tanh K \equiv e^{-2K^*} \quad (6)$$

$$\tanh K^* \equiv e^{-2K} \tag{7}$$

$$\sinh 2K \sinh 2K^* = \cosh 2K \tanh 2K^* = \tanh 2K \cosh 2K^* \equiv 1 \tag{8}$$

It is clear that the physical properties of the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory with interaction  $J^*$  can be determined by those of the 3D Ising model on its dual lattice with interaction  $J$ . The mapping between the 3D Ising model with the two-spin interaction  $J$  and the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory with the four-spin interaction  $J^*$  can be illustrated for simplicity in Figure 3.




Figure 3. (a) Mapping between the 3D Ising lattice and the 3D lattice of the  $Z_2$  gauge theory. (b) Mapping between a two-spin interaction for a link in the 3D Ising model and a four-spin interaction for a plaquette in the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge model.

The partition function of the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory is represented in Eq. (8),

in which the summation of spin states takes for all the possible combination of four spins  $\sigma_3(n, \mu)\sigma_3(n + \mu, \nu)\sigma_3(n + \mu + \nu, -\mu)\sigma_3(n + \nu, -\nu)$  along every plaquette. For the 2D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory, being a special case of the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory, it is a self-mapping model of the 2D Ising model. The partition function of the 2D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory is represented in the formulas as the transfer matrices  $\mathbf{V}_1$  and  $\mathbf{V}_2$  in [43] (however, with the product  $j$  running from 1 to  $n$ ) for the 2D Ising model. However, for the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory, the situation becomes much complicated. For the description of the transfer matrices with the products running  $j$ , we shall map the notation of positions  $(n, \mu), (n + \mu, \nu), (n + \mu + \nu, -\mu), (n + \nu, -\nu)$  for four spins in a plaquette, which are involved in a four-spin interaction, into the running number  $j$ . Here the total number of spins located at the edges of the original lattice (see Figure 2) is double to be  $2mn$ . For instance, for the first plaquette with spins at lattice points  $(1, 1+1/2, 1), (1, 1, 1+1/2), (1, 2, 1+1/2)$  and  $(1, 1+1/2, 2)$  correspond to  $j = 1, (mn+1), (mn+2)$  and  $(2mn+1)$ . Clearly, the four-spin interaction involves the long-range spin entanglements or the nontrivial topological structures, which implies the complicated structure of the transfer matrices with non-diagonal elements (needed an additional rotation for diagonalization). Fortunately, according the duality of the two dual lattices illustrated in Figure 2 (and also Figure 3), we can use the partition function of the 3D Ising model to describe the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge model, with applying the mapping between interactions  $K$  and  $K^*$  (Eqs. (4)-(8)). It is clear that the nontrivial topological structures do exist in the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge model. Thus, the analytical solution of the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge model has the same formulas (Eqs. (9)-(11)) as those for the 3D

Ising model (however, using the mapping). Figure 4 illustrates a 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge model on a  $3 \times 3 \times 3$  lattice, for an example, which is mapped into the 2D spin arrangement on a  $(3 \times 3 + 3 \times 3 + 3 \times 3)$  lattice, as in the transfer matrix. Green, purple, blue colors represent the four-spin interactions along each plaquette in three crystallographic directions. It is noticed that the spins are located at the edges of the lattice. Again, the different colors are utilized to illustrate the topological structures. In the transfer matrix, the interaction with blue and green colors show the crossings, indicating the existence of non-trivial topological structures in the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge model. According to the duality illustrated in Figure 2 (and also see Figure 3), the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge model (shown in Figure 4) is equivalent to the 3D Ising model on its dual lattice with interaction  $K^*$ .




Figure 4. Illustration of a 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge model on a  $3 \times 3 \times 3$  lattice, for an example, which is mapped into the 2D spin arrangement on a  $(3 \times 3 + 3 \times 3 + 3 \times 3)$  lattice, as in the transfer matrix. Green, purple, blue colors represent the four-spin interactions along each plaquette in three crystallographic directions. In the transfer matrix, the interaction with blue and green colors show the crossings, indicating the existence of non-trivial

topological structures in the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge model.

It should be noticed that the transformation between the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory and the 3D Ising model was performed in Eqs.(5.47)-(5.66) of Kogut's review article [21] under a transverse field, so the spins in both the models are quantum spins. If the field strength  $\lambda$  were zero, the transformation in Eq.(5.47), Eq.(5.65) and Eq.(5.66) of Kogut's review article<sup>21</sup> could not be proceeded. However, one may first assume the existence of an infinitesimal transverse field, while performing the mapping, then neglecting the effect of the transverse field to maintain the validity of the mapping. Nevertheless, the dual transformation in Wegner's paper [18] and Savit's review article [24] is held without the application of a transverse field, which are classical spin systems. It is concluded that the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory duals with the 3D Ising model. Therefore, we can utilize the solution of the ferromagnetic 3D Ising model obtained in [27] to derive the exact solution of the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory, by employing the duality between the two models as provided in [18-21,24]. The ground state, the partition function, the critical point, the specific heat, the spontaneous magnetization, the susceptibility, the spin correlation of the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory are equivalent to those of the 3D Ising model on its dual lattice, which are explicitly derived in [27-29], with a mapping shown in Eq. (4) or (5).

The partition function of the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory with interaction  $J^*$  on a cubic lattice is represented as [27,28,31]:

$$N^{-1} \ln Z = \ln 2 + \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^4} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \ln[\cosh 2K \cosh 6K - \sinh 2K \cos \omega' - \sinh 6K \times [\cos(\omega_x + \phi_x) + \cos(\omega_y + \phi_y) + \cos(\omega_z + \phi_z)]]$$

$$d\omega' d\omega_x d\omega_y d\omega_z \quad (9)$$

with a mapping of  $K = -\frac{1}{2} \ln(\tanh K^*)$ . The topological phases  $\phi_x$ ,  $\phi_y$ , and  $\phi_z$  at finite temperature equal to  $2\pi$ ,  $\pi/2$  and  $\pi/2$ , respectively [29,33]. It is noted that in the previous work [27-29,31,33], only the topological phases (or weight factors) were given, while in Eq. (9) the full dispersions for these topological phases are expressed for a better understanding.

The spontaneous magnetization of the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory with interaction  $J^*$  on a cubic lattice is represented as [27]:

$$M = \left[ 1 - \frac{16x^8}{(1-x^2)^2(1-x^6)^2} \right]^{\frac{3}{8}} \quad (10)$$

with  $x = \tanh K^*$ .

The true range  $\kappa_x$  of the correlation is obtained for the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory on the cubic lattice [27]:

$$[\kappa_x a]^{3/2} = 2(K^* - 3K) \quad (11)$$

with  $\kappa_x = 1/\xi$ ,  $\xi$  is the correlation length. At the Curie temperature  $1/K_c^*$ ,  $\kappa_x \rightarrow 0$  or  $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ ,

For the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory on its dual cubic lattice, we have to perform the same process of the local transformation with an angle  $K$ , as what we do for the 3D Ising model. The critical point of the 3D  $Z_2$  cubic lattice gauge theory is determined



also by  $K^* = 3K$ ,  $x_c^* = e^{-2K_c^*} = \left(\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}\right)^3 = 0.23606797\dots$ , thus  $K_c^* = 3K_c = 0.72181773\dots$ ,  $1/K_c^* = 1.38539128\dots$ . It is easy to check that  $x_c = \tanh K_c^*$  and  $x_c^* = \tanh K_c$ . Note that in the ferromagnetic 3D Ising model, one has a disorder phase above the critical point  $K_c$ , a ferromagnetic ordering phase below the critical point  $K_c$ ; in the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory, one has a phase transition from a weak-coupling deconfining phase to a strong coupling confining phase at the critical point  $K_c^*$  [50]. The critical exponents of the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory are in the same universality class as the 3D Ising model, which are  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\beta = 3/8$ ,  $\gamma = 5/4$ ,  $\delta = 13/3$ ,  $\eta = 1/8$  and  $\nu = 2/3$ , satisfying the scaling laws [27].

#### 4. Physical significance and mathematical aspects

In what follows, we shall discuss the physical significance and the mathematical aspects of the analytical solution of the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory, which are quite helpful for understanding the phenomena in other physical systems.

##### 4.1 Dimensionality, duality, symmetry, manifold, degenerate states and surface modes

At first, it is interesting to study in more details the following fundamental issues:

*Dimensionality*: The dimensionality is one of the most important factors in many-body interacting spin (particle) systems, which crucially determine the topological structures of the systems, thus require the particular mathematical techniques. The 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory (the same as the 3D Ising model) must be dealt with in the (3+1)-dimensional space-time [27-29], within the Jordan-von Neumann-Wigner framework [51] with employing Jordan algebras [52,53], which provides the mathematical basis of

quantum mechanics and quantum statistical mechanics [43]. Furthermore, it is necessary to perform a time average of  $t$  systems of the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge models for calculating the physical properties of the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory [27-29,43], while considering the geometry of the space-time. This verifies that the ergodic hypothesis working for the equilibrium statistical mechanics that usually takes only the ensemble average is violated in the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory as in the 3D Ising model [27-29,43,54].

*Duality:* It has been well accepted that the 2D Ising model is self-dual, and its high-temperature paramagnetic phase is dual to its low-temperature ferromagnetic phase. Onsager utilized the Kramers-Wannier relation [47] for the definition of  $K^*$  to derive the exact solution of the 2D Ising model [26]. It should be pointed out that such a duality is not invalidated by different numbers of states of paramagnetic and ferromagnetic phases. The ferromagnetic phase of the 2D Ising model has two degenerate ground states (spin up and spin down), while the paramagnetic phase has a unique ground-state (all spins in a disorder state). However, as detailed analyzed below, the degenerates of the paramagnetic phase are also two, owing to the  $Z_2$  symmetry of spins. Thus, it does not cause any problems for the mapping between the ferromagnetic and paramagnetic phases. A spontaneous symmetrical breaking occurs at the critical point, as soon as the system chooses to align spins pointing to the direction of one of the two degenerate states, the system is de-degenerated. It means that one of two degenerate states in the paramagnetic phase is mapped to one of the two ferromagnetic states. In this work, we have employed a well-accepted mapping between the high- (low-) temperature

properties of the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge system and the low- (high-) temperature properties of the 3D Ising spin system [18,21,24]. In [55], the solution of ferromagnetic 2D Ising model with a transverse field is derived by equivalence between the ferromagnetic 2D transverse-field Ising model and the ferromagnetic 3D Ising model, as developed by M. Suzuki [56] (see also [50]). The 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory can be mapped also to the ferromagnetic 2D Ising model with a transverse field [50]. It is emphasized here that mapping/equivalence between the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge system, the 3D Ising spin system and the 2D transverse-field Ising system is exact, as clearly seen from the connection between their Hamiltonians. The numbers of the ground states do not cause any problems of these mappings, since the degenerates of the ground states of the ferromagnetic/paramagnetic phases are invariance.

*Symmetry:* The symmetry of many-body interacting spin systems and the symmetry of spins both are very crucial for physical properties. The solutions of the many-body interacting spin (or particle) systems should satisfy the symmetries of the system and the spins (or particles) [27-29,32,33,43]. There are several phase transitions with symmetrical breaking down in the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory (or the 3D Ising model). Besides the critical point at finite temperature between the ferromagnetic and paramagnetic phases, a topological phase transition occurs at/near infinite temperature for the 3D Ising model [27-29,43], which can be mapped to a gap at/near zero temperature of the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory. This consists with the third thermodynamic law, which states that the absolutely zero temperature cannot be realized in the physical systems (like the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge model and the 3D Ising

model). Meanwhile, the gap existing at/near the absolutely zero in the 3D Ising model can be mapped into a topological phase transition at/near infinite temperature in the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory [43]. Besides, there are some connections between the structural symmetry of the models and the solution of the critical point. The solution of the critical point is connected to the most beautiful number in the nature (the golden ratio for the 3D Ising cubic lattice model or its third power for the 3D  $Z_2$  cubic lattice gauge theory), indicating that the balance between the interaction and the thermal activity in a many-body interacting physical system with the symmetry for the three spatial directions reaches a critical point of the most beautiful number for an order-disorder phase transition.

*Manifold:* It is worth inspecting manifolds of the 3D Ising model and the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory. Let us first look at the manifold of the 2D Ising model on a lattice  $R^2$ . The manifold can be constructed by connecting the boundaries along two crystallographic directions to be a torus  $S^1 \times S^1$ . This process can be generalized to the 3D cases on a lattice  $R^3$ , so that the manifold of the 3D Ising model (or the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory) is  $S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$ . However, due to the long-range spin entanglement of internal factors, the nontrivial topological structure exists in the transfer matrices of the 3D Ising model, [27-29,32,33,43]. It requires the introduction of an additional dimension to the 3D Ising systems, performing the time average, so that the (3+1)D space-time framework is constructed to form a manifold  $S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1 \times R^1$ . If we perform the time average from  $-\infty$  to  $\infty$  (or with a closed path from  $-\pi$  to  $\pi$ ) for the monodromy representation, the manifold  $S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1 \times R^1$  can be transformed to  $S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$ .

Trivializing the nontrivial topological structure leads to a trivial topological structure in the partition function, while generating a nontrivial manifold for quaternionic wavefunctions with topological phases  $\phi_x$ ,  $\phi_y$ , and  $\phi_z$  (see the eigenvectors in Eq. (33) and discussion on page 5323 of [27]). Note that  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$  here denote three directions  $i$ ,  $j$ , and  $k$  for quaternionic spaces of wavefunctions, not for crystallographic directions of the original Ising spin lattice. It is known that the unit quaternions can be thought of as a choice of a group structure on the 3-sphere  $S^3$ . So, the manifold of the quaternionic wavefunctions can be represented as  $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}^1$  (or 4-ball). In this way, a nontrivial topological problem in a manifold  $(S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^1)$  can be transformed to a trivial topological problem in a manifold (4-ball) with nontrivial topological phases [27-29,32,33,43].

*Degenerate states:* The degenerate states of many-body interacting spin systems rely on the symmetry of spins and the dimensionality of the system. For the 2D Ising model, it is clearly visible that two degenerate states (all spin up and all spin down) exist in the ferromagnetic phase below the critical point, which correspond to two minima in the free energy. Only one minimum appears in the free energy of the paramagnetic phase above the critical point. However, the paramagnetic phase in which all the spins align disorderly has two degenerates also, because due to the  $Z_2$  symmetry of Ising spins, all the spins in a degenerate of the disorder phase always point to opposite directions of the spins in another degenerate of the disorder phase. For the 3D Ising models, the topological phases  $\phi_x$ ,  $\phi_y$ , and  $\phi_z$  generated on quaternionic wavefunctions provide the chance of more degenerate states, a kind of topological degenerates. By

geometrical and topological concerns, the topological phases  $\phi_x$ ,  $\phi_y$ , and  $\phi_z$  at finite temperature are determined to be  $2\pi$ ,  $\pi/2$  and  $\pi/2$ , respectively [29,33]. From the symmetry of the system,  $(\phi_x, \phi_y, \phi_z)$  may have three possibilities  $(2\pi, \pi/2, \pi/2)$ ,  $(\pi/2, 2\pi, \pi/2)$ ,  $(\pi/2, \pi/2, 2\pi)$  [27]. This does not contribute to degenerates, since as we choose the first dimension for the transfer matrix  $\mathbf{V}_1$ , or if we choose one of the direction as the z-axis, the order for  $(\phi_x, \phi_y, \phi_z)$  is fixed, and we have to choose one possibility from three, say  $(2\pi, \pi/2, \pi/2)$  [27]. In addition to the dimensionality of the system, the important factor for degenerates is the symmetry of spins, which results in different rotation directions of the topological phases, namely, different chiralities with respect to the directions of spins. The positive/negative angle of the topological phases may correspond to clockwise/counter-clockwise rotations on the manifold, with respect to the  $Z_2$  symmetry of Ising spins, which does not change the partition function and the free energy at long wavelength limit ( $\omega_x = 0$ ,  $\omega_y = 0$ ,  $\omega_z = 0$ ) since all  $(\phi_x, \phi_y, \phi_z)$  are in the cos terms (see Eq. (15) above). The combination of positive/negative signs in  $(\pm 2\pi, \pm \pi/2, \pm \pi/2)$  leads to that the number of degenerates of the ground-states in the ferromagnetic/paramagnetic phases of the 3D Ising models (and the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge models) is eight.

#### 4.2 Superfluid, superconductors, and particle physics

Second, it is worth connecting the present magnetic model to other physical systems.

*Superfluid:* The superfluid is one of the most exciting topics in condensed matter physics [57]. The exchange interactions coupling the spins of electrons localized in an

assembly of orbitals, of which a typical pair, can be represented as exchange terms in Heisenberg Hamiltonian (and also Ising Hamiltonian) [58]. In certain condition, the Heisenberg (or Ising) Hamiltonian can be mapped to Hubbard model (or t-J model) for hopping and pairing of electrons [59,60]. The 3D lattice gauge theories can be used to investigate the confining flux tube and the electric flux passing through the link in different fields (such as, superconductors, superfluid, Majorana fermions, etc.) [21,48]. The electric flux on a link is quantized in units of the charge  $g$ . Let us focus our interest in the application of the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory to superfluid. The connection exists between the hopping and pairing model [61] for free spinless fermions and our 3D Ising models [21,48]. First, take the 2D Ising model as an example, the pair amplitudes going counter-clockwise (say) around an elementary plaquette have the ratios  $1 : i : -1 : -i$  where  $i$  is the imaginary unit. One has to wrap the square lattice around a torus (doughnut)  $S^1 \times S^1$  and thread flux through a hole. The phase diagram breaks into two superfluid phases: weak-pairing and strong-pairing, both of which have a " $p_x + ip_y$ "-wave pair wavefunction (if considering chirality, it would be " $p_x \pm ip_y$ ") and an energy gap in the bulk of the lattice. The flux is quantized in units of  $\hbar c/2e$  where  $\hbar c/e$  is the fundamental flux quantum for fermions with charge  $e$  ( $\hbar$  is Planck's constant). Second, in three dimensions, an elementary plaquette can exist along three crystallographic directions with interactions  $J^*$ . With the pair amplitudes analogous to the 2D case, we can have also two superfluid phases. As mentioned above, the (3+1)D manifold  $S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$  forms as a result of wrapping the cubic lattice along three dimensions and performing the time average in a close path. Then a nontrivial (3+1)D manifold  $S^3 \times R^1$

with topological phases is constructed by the topological transformation. A quaternionic " $p_t + ip_x + jp_y + kp_z$ "-wave pair wavefunction is constructed for two superfluid phases. Here,  $i$ ,  $j$ , and  $k$  are the imaginary units, while  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$  denote the directions of quaternionic wavefunction. This situation is similar to the band structure of a 3D material, which is determined by three wave-vectors  $k_x$ ,  $k_y$  and  $k_z$  along three momentum axes (see page 5322 of [27] for a detailed discussion). The combination of positive/negative signs of  $(\pm p_x, \pm p_y, \pm p_z)$  ( $\pm$  denotes chirality) again leads to eight degenerate states of the 3D Ising models (and the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge models). What we obtained above is a complete set of chiral p-wave pair wavefunction with orbital angular momentum ( $l = 0, 1$ ) eigenstates. In a superfluid phase in 3D, flux is quantized also, which threads through three holes. This fact is consistent with the quaternionic wavefunction with topological phases. Eight states can be labelled as  $(0,0,0)$ ,  $(0,0,1)$ ,  $(0,1,0)$ ,  $(1,0,0)$ ,  $(1,1,0)$ ,  $(1,0,1)$ ,  $(0,1,1)$ ,  $(1,1,1)$  with the entries labelling the parity (even versus odd) of the number of flux quantum going through each of the three holes in the  $(3+1)D$  manifold  $S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1 \times R^1$ . The number of degenerates of the ground-states in the 3D superfluid model agrees with that of the 3D Ising models derived above. It is interesting to remark that in 2D vortices exist, while in 3D more complicated topological structures may exist, which are composed of vortices in three directions.

*Superconductors:* The mechanisms of superconductors, specially, high- $T_c$  superconductors, are one of the most important topics in condensed matter physics [59-63]. The results obtained for superfluid above are also appropriate for superconductors, which provide some new ideas for understanding superconductors. Usually,



superconductors are catalogued to be three types: s-wave, p-wave and d-wave. The p-wave superconductors have been described by the " $p_x \pm ip_y$ " wave pair wavefunction, which has been thought to be suitable for high- $T_c$  superconductors. Since one believes that the superconducting (transport of pairing electrons) is limited in a 2D layer in the high- $T_c$  superconductors, the models used to understand their mechanisms are limited to be the 2D models. However, although the interaction between the 2D layers is very weak, it cannot be neglected. As long as the interaction  $K''$  along the third direction is unequal to zero, the system should be treated as a 3D system. The high- $T_c$  superconductors should be investigated in the pairing models in the 3D space, in which the nontrivial topological contribution from bulk to physical properties (such as the energy gap, the critical point) may exist. Thus, the physical model for the high- $T_c$  superconductors should be extended to the (3+1)D framework for Hubbard model [59] (or t-J model [60]), as what we have done for the 3D Ising models. The quaternionic " $p_t \pm ip_x \pm jp_y \pm kp_z$ "-wave pair wavefunction are analogous to the p-wave orbitals of electrons in an atom, which have momentums  $l = 0, 1$ , with four states ( $l = 0, m = 0; l = 1, m = 0$  and  $\pm 1$ ). Again, there are eight degenerates if we consider the  $Z_2$  symmetry of spins or chirality. Therefore, we have to construct the quaternionic chiral " $p_t \pm ip_x \pm jp_y \pm kp_z$ "-wave pair wavefunction in quaternionic momentum spaces for p-wave high- $T_c$  superconductors

*Particle physics:* The mathematical structures for the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory can be generalized to be applicable for other lattice gauge theories and gauge field theories [43]. In particular, the discussion for the duality, manifold, degenerate states,

surface modes, and others, are very helpful for understanding other many-body interacting spin (particle) systems, thus for solving the problems of the physics of fundamental interactions in particle physics and high-energy physics [1-24]. For instance, the contribution of nontrivial topological structure to physical properties (such as energy) may be applicable for particle systems, which means that an extra energy term needs to be added owing to the contribution of nontrivial topological structures existing in the physical system (or Universe) [27-29,32,33,43]. Such an extra energy may interpret the origin of parts of the dark energy that related with electromagnetic, weak and strong forces, and may give some implications on the dark energy related with gravity.

#### 4.3 Topology, geometry and algebra

Third, it is important to point out that the 3D Ising models can serve as a platform for the interplay between the physical properties of many-body interacting systems, topology, geometry, and algebra.

*Topology:* The nontrivial topologic structure indeed exists in the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory, caused by the long-range entanglement between spins, even if only the nearest-neighboring spin interactions are considered in the system [27-34,36,37,43,54]. Performing the topological transformation [40-42] as well as the gauge transformation [1,20,21,24] to trivialize the nontrivial topologic structure adds an additional contribution to the partition function, the free energy and other thermodynamic physical properties of the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory. The topological contribution to the physical properties can be connected to the Jones polynomial [64] with the formulas of Wilson

loop [19,65] and Witten integral [66] for the action of the gauge group. This indicates that any approaches concerning only local environments of spins cannot give a correct answer to the desired solution.

*Geometry:* The geometrical structure of the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory should be described as geometric relations in hyperbolic 3-sphere (or 4-ball) represented in a (3+1)-dimensional Poincaré ball model [27-29], which is an extension of a hyperbolic triangle in the 2D Poincaré disk model for the 2D Ising system [26]. The topological/geometric phase factors generate on the quaternionic eigenvectors (and the eigenvalues) of the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory, which are significances analogous to the phase factors in the Aharonov-Bohm effect, the Berry phase effect and quantum Hall effect, etc. [65,67-69]. The topological phases observed in the 3D Ising model and the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory originate from the gauge transformation in a 3D many-body interacting spin (or particle) system, again being connected with the Jones polynomial [64], the formulas of Wilson loop [19,65] and Witten integral [66].

*Algebra:* Besides Jordan algebras [51-53], the use of Clifford algebra representation is important to uncover the long-range spin entanglement and the nontrivial topologic structure of the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory [29,36,37]. Such a nontrivial topologic structure does exist also in the local spin language, but it is not easy to see evidently the global effect in the local spin representation. It is important to construct quaternionic eigenvectors for the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory, since in this way, the quaternionic geometric phases appear in quaternionic Hilbert space as a result of the topological transformation and the monodromy representation [27-29,32,33,43].

The quaternion basis constructed for the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory represents naturally a rotation in a  $(3 + 1)$ -dimensional space-time. This framework is closely related with several well-developed theories, such as, complexified quaternion [70], quaternionic quantum mechanics [71], and quaternion and special relativity [72].

#### 4.4 Application of the duality in computational complexity

Finally, it is worth noting that the duality the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory and the 3D Ising model can be generalized to the spin-glass 3D Ising system [49]. With the help of the duality, we proved that the spin-glass 3D Ising model can be mapped to a K-SAT problem for  $K \geq 4$  in the consideration of random interactions and frustrations. We also proved that the absolute minimum core model in the spin-glass 3D Ising model is equivalent to the K-SAT problem for  $K = 3$ , and determined the lower bound of the computational complexity of the K-SAT problem (and also the spin-glass 3D Ising model) [49]. We propose here the following strategy for developing an optimum algorithm for calculations of physical properties (such as, the ground state, the free energy, the critical point, the phase transitions and the critical phenomena, etc.) of the three-dimensional spin-glass Ising model.

- 1) Fix  $z$ -layers ( $z = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) of the absolute minimum core model as an element of the algorithms, while performing a parallel computation of  $l/z$  layers of this element.
- 2) Compare the precision as well as the accuracy of the results obtained by the above procedures, and determine the optimum value of  $z$ .

In this way, one can design the optimum algorithm to find/reach the exact solution with the sufficient accuracy and within the high precision in the shortest time. It can be

improved greatly from the present status of  $O(1.3^N)$  to  $O((1 + \varepsilon)^N)$  [30,49]. The study on the computational complexity and the optimum algorithm can be extended to be applicable for other NP-problems, for instance, traveling salesman problem, knapsack problem, neural networks, etc.

## **5. Conclusions**

In conclusion, the exact solution of the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory is derived analytically by the duality between the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory and the 3D Ising model, based on the exact solution of the 3D Ising model, which is conjectured in [27] and proven in [29,32,33]. The critical exponents of the 3D  $Z_2$  lattice gauge theory are in the same universality class as the 3D Ising model. The exact solution for the critical exponents of the 3D Ising model agree with experimental data in various materials [44,73-76]. The present work would play an important role acting as a network for strengthening the discipline not only between different fields in physics (such as condensed matter physics and high-energy particle physics, etc.) and but also among physics, mathematics, and computer science.

## **Acknowledgements**

This work has been supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant number 52031014.

**Data availability** Data available upon request from the author.

**Conflict of interest** The author declares that this contribution is no conflict of interest.

## References:

- [1] Yang C N, Mills R L 1954 Conservation of isotopic spin and isotopic gauge invariance, *Phys. Rev.* **96**, 191-195.
- [2] Jackson J D, Okun L B 2001 Historical roots of gauge invariance, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **73**, 663-680.
- [3] Glashow S L 1980 Towards a unified theory: Threads in a tapestry, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **52**, 539-543.
- [4] Weinberg S 1967 A model of leptons, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **19**, 1264-1266.
- [5] Weinberg S 1974 Recent progress in gauge theories of the weak, electromagnetic and strong interactions, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **46**, 255-277.
- [6] Weinberg S 1980 Conceptual foundations of the unified theory of Weak and electromagnetic interactions, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **52**, 515-523.
- [7] Salam A 1968 in *Elementary Particle Theory*, Proceedings of the 8th Nobel Symposium, edited by N. Svartholm (Almqvist and Wiksell, Stockholm).
- [8] Salam A 1980 Gauge unification of fundamental forces, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **52**, 525-538.
- [9] Higgs P W 1964 Broken symmetries, massless particles and gauge fields, *Phys. Lett.* **12**, 132-133.
- [10] Anderson P W 1963 Plasmons, gauge Invariance, and mass, *Phys. Rev.* **130**, 439–442.
- [11] Nambu Y 2009 Nobel Lecture: Spontaneous symmetry breaking in particle physics: A case of cross fertilization, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **81**, 1015-1018.

- [12] Bernstein J 1974 Spontaneous symmetry breaking, gauge theories, the Higgs mechanism and all that, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **46**, 7-48.
- [13] 't Hooft G 1971 Renormalizable Lagrangians for massive Yang-Mills field, *Nucl. Phys. B* **35**, 167-188.
- [14] Gross D J, Wilczek F 1973 Ultraviolet behavior of non-Abelian gauge theories, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **30**, 1343-1346.
- [15] Politzer H D 1973 Reliable perturbative results for strong interactions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **30**, 1346-1349.
- [16] Jackiw R 1980 Introduction to the Yang-Mills quantum theory, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **52**, 661-673.
- [17] Actor A 1979 Classical solutions of SU(2) Yang—Mills theories, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **51**, 461-525.
- [18] Wegner F 1971 Duality in generalized Ising models and phase transitions without local order parameters, *J. Math. Phys.* **12**, 2259-2272.
- [19] Wilson K G 1974 Confinement of quarks, *Phys. Rev. D* **10**, 2445-2459.
- [20] Kogut J, Susskind L 1975 Hamiltonian formulation of Wilsons lattice gauge theories, *Phys. Rev. D* **11**, 395-408.
- [21] Kogut J B 1979 An introduction to lattice gauge theory and spin systems, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **51**, 659-713.
- [22] Kogut J B 1983 The lattice gauge theory approach to quantum chromodynamics, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **55**, 775-836.

- [23] Seo K, Okawa M, Sugamoto A 1979 Dual transformation in non-Abelian gauge theories, *Phys. Rev. D* **19**, 3744-3753.
- [24] Savit R 1980 Duality in field theory and statistical systems, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **52**, 453-487.
- [25] Ising E 1925 Beitrag zur Theorie des Ferromagnetismus, *Z Phys*, **31**, 253-258.
- [26] Onsager L 1944 Crystal Statistics I: A two-dimensional model with an order-disorder transition, *Phys. Rev.* **65**, 117-149.
- [27] Zhang Z D 2007 Conjectures on the exact solution of three - dimensional (3D) simple orthorhombic Ising lattices, *Phil. Mag.* **87**, 5309-5419.
- [28] Zhang Z D 2013 Mathematical structure of the three - dimensional (3D) Ising model, *Chinese Phys. B* **22**, 030513.
- [29] Zhang Z D, Suzuki O, March N H 2019 Clifford algebra approach of 3D Ising model, *Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras*, **29**, 12.
- [30] Zhang Z D 2020 Computational complexity of spin-glass three-dimensional (3D) Ising model, *J. Mater. Sci. Tech.* **44**, 116-120.
- [31] Zhang Z D 2008 Response to “Comment on a recent conjectured solution of the three-dimensional Ising model”, *Phil. Mag.* **88**, 3097-3101.
- [32] Suzuki O, Zhang Z D 2021 A method of Riemann-Hilbert problem for Zhang’s conjecture 1 in a ferromagnetic 3D Ising model: trivialization of topological structure, *Mathematics*, **9**, 776.
- [33] Zhang Z D, Suzuki O 2021 A method of the Riemann-Hilbert problem for Zhang’s conjecture 2 in a ferromagnetic 3D Ising model: topological phases, *Mathematics*, **9**,



2936.

[34] Zhang Z D 2016 Mathematical structure and the conjectured exact solution of three-dimensional (3D) Ising model, *Acta Metallurgica Sinica*, **52**, 1311-1325.

[35] Zhang Z D 2023 Exact solution of ferromagnetic three-dimensional (3D) Ising model and spontaneous emerge of time, *Acta Metallurgica Sinica*, **59**, 514-526.

[36] Zhang Z D 2017 The nature of three dimensions: Non-local behavior in the three-dimensional (3D) Ising model, *J. Phys. Conf. Series* **827**, 012001.

[37] Zhang Z D 2018 Topological effects and critical phenomena in the three-dimensional (3D) Ising model, Chapter 27 in "Many-body approaches at different scales : a tribute to Norman H. March on the occasion of his 90th birthday", G. G. N. Angilella and C. Amovilli, editors (New York: Springer)

[38] Kaufman B 1949 Crystal Statistics II: Partition function evaluated by spinor analysis, *Phys. Rev.* **76**, 1232-1243.

[39] Nechaev S 2000 Statistics of knots and entangled random walks. In *Topological Aspects of Low Dimensional Systems*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 1–79.

[40] Kauffman L H 2001 *Knots and Physics*, 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed. (World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd, Singapore).

[41] Kauffman L H 2005 The mathematics and physics of knots, *Rep. Prog. Phys.*, **68**, 2829-2857.

[42] Kauffman L H 2007 *Knot Theory and Physics*, in the *Encyclopedia of Mathematical Physics*, ed. J.P. Francoise, G.L. Naber and T.S. Tsun, (Elsevier Inc.).

[43] Zhang Z D 2022 Topological quantum statistical mechanics and topological

quantum field theories, *Symmetry* **14**, 323.

[44] Zhang Z D and March N H 2011 Three – dimensional (3D) Ising universality in magnets and critical indices at fluid-fluid phase transition, *Phase Transitions* **84**, 299-307.

[45] Li B C and Wang W 2024 Exploration of dynamic phase transition of 3D Ising model with a new long-range interaction by using the Monte Carlo Method, *Chinese Journal of Physics*, **90**, 15-30.

[46] Li B C and Wang W 2024 Influence of a new long-range interaction on the magnetic properties of a 2D Ising layered model by using Monte Carlo method, *Chinese Journal of Physics*, **87**, 525-539.

[47] Kramers H A, Wannier G H 1941 Statistics of the two-dimensional ferromagnet, *Phys. Rev.* **60**, 252-262.

[48] Schultz T D, Mattis D C, Lieb E H 1964 Two-dimensional Ising model as a soluble problem of many fermions, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **36**, 856-871.

[49] Zhang Z D 2023 Mapping between spin-glass three-dimensional (3D) Ising model and Boolean satisfiability problems, *Mathematics*, **11**, 237.

[50] Fradkin E 2013 *Field Theories of Condensed Matter Physics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

[51] Jordan P, von Neumann J, Wigner E 1934 On an algebraic generalization of the quantum mechanical formalism, *Ann. of Math.* **35**, 29-64.

[52] Jordan P 1932 Über eine Klasse nichtassoziativer hyperkomplexer Algebren, *Nachr. d. Ges. d. Wiss. Göttingen*, 569-575.

- [53] Jordan P 1933 Über Verallgemeinerungsmöglichkeiten des Formalismus der Quantenmechanik, Nachr. d. Ges. d. Wiss. Göttingen, 209-217.
- [54] Zhang Z D 2009 Response to the Comment on 'Conjectures on exact solution of three dimensional (3D) simple orthorhombic Ising lattices', Phil. Mag. **89**, 765–768.
- [55] Zhang Z D 2021 Exact solution of two-dimensional (2D) Ising model with a transverse field: a low-dimensional quantum spin system, Physica E **128**, 114632.
- [56] Suzuki M 1976 Relationship between d-dimensional quantal spin systems and (d+1) - dimensional Ising systems - Equivalence, critical exponents and systematic approximants of the partition function and spin correlation, Progr. Theor. Phys. **56**, 1454-1469.
- [57] Kosterlitz J M, Thouless D J 1973 Ordering, metastability and phase transitions in two-dimensional systems, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys., **6**, 1181-1203.
- [58] Anderson P W 1963 Theory of magnetic exchange interactions: Exchange in insulators and semiconductors, Solid State Physics **14**, 99-214.
- [59] Hubbard J 1963 Electron correlations in narrow energy bands, Proc. Royal Soc. London, A **276**, 238-257.
- [60] Zhang F C, Rice T M 1988 Effective Hamiltonian for the superconducting Cn oxides, Phys. Rev. B **37**, 3759-3761.
- [61] Read N, Green D 2000 Paired states of fermions in two dimensions with breaking of parity and time-reversal symmetries and the fractional quantum Hall effect, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 10267-10297.
- [62] Bardeen J, Cooper L N, Schrieffer J R 1957 Theory of Superconductivity, Phys.

Rev. **108**, 1175-1204.

[63] Bednorz J G, Müller K A 1986 Possible high  $T_c$  superconductivity in the Ba-La-Cu-O system, Z. Phys. B **64**, 189-193.

[64] Jones V F R 2007 The Jones Polynomial, in the Encyclopedia of Mathematical Physics, ed. J.P. Francoise, G.L. Naber and T.S. Tsun, (Elsevier Inc.).

[65] Makeenko Y M 2010 Brief introduction to Wilson loops and large N, Physics of Atomic Nuclei, **73**, 878–894.

[66] Witten E 1988 Topological sigma models, Commun. Math. Phys. **118**, 411-449.

[67] Aharonov Y, Bohm D 1959 Significance of electromagnetic potentials in the quantum theory, Phys. Rev. **115**, 485-491.

[68] Berry M V 1984 Quantal phase-factors accompanying adiabatic changes, Proc. R. Soc. London, A **392**, 45-57.

[69] Barrett T W 2008 Topological Foundations of Electromagnetism, (World Scientific, Singapore).

[70] de Leo S 1997 Rodrigues W.A Quantum mechanics: From complex to complexified quaternions, Inter. J. Theor. Phys., **36**, 2725 -2757.

[71] Adler S L 1995 Quaternion Quantum Mechanics and Quantum Fields, (Oxford University Press, New York and Oxford).

[72] de Leo S. 1996 Quaternions and special relativity, J. Math. Phys. **37**, 2955-2968.

[73] Ho J T, Litster J D 1969 Magnetic equation of state of  $\text{CrBr}_3$  near the critical point, Phys. Rev. Lett., **22**, 603-606.

[74] Schofield P, Litster J D, Ho J T 1969 Correlation between critical coefficients and critical exponents, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **23**, 1098-1102.

[75] Ghosh K, Lobb C J, Greene R L 1998 Critical phenomena in the double-exchange ferromagnet  $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ , *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **81**, 4740-4743.

[76] Liu Y, Ivanovski V N, Petrovic C 2017 Critical behavior of the van der Waals bonded ferromagnet  $\text{Fe}_{3-x}\text{GeTe}_2$ , *Phys. Rev. B* **96**, 144429.