k-loose elements and k-paving matroids

JAGDEEP SINGH

ABSTRACT. For a matroid of rank r and a non-negative integer k, an element is called k-loose if all circuits containing it have size greater than r-k. Zaslavsky and the author previously characterized all binary matroids with a 1-loose element. In this paper, we establish a linear bound on the size of a binary matroid, in terms of its rank, that contains a k-loose element. A matroid is k-paving if all its elements are k-loose. Rajpal showed that for a prime power q, the rank of a GF(q) matroid that is k-paving is bounded. We provide a bound on the rank of GF(q)-matroids that are cosimple and have two k-loose elements. Consequently, we deduce a bound on the rank of GF(q) matroids that are k-paving. Additionally, we provide a bound on the size of binary matroids that are k-paving.

1. Introduction

The notation and terminology follow [2] unless stated otherwise. All graphs and matroids considered here are finite and simple. For a matroid of rank r and a non-negative integer k, an element t of M is called k-loose if every circuit of M that contains t has size greater than r-k. A matroid M is called k-paving if every element of M is k-loose. Note that a matroid M is paving if and only if M is 1-paving. Acketa [1] provided a characterization of all 1-paving matroids that are binary, while Oxley [3] determined all ternary 1-paving matroids. Zaslavsky and the author previously characterized all binary matroids with a 1-loose element [6]. In Section 2, we show that the size of a binary matroid that contains a k-loose element is linear in terms of its rank. In particular, we prove the following.

Theorem 1.1. For $r \geq 5$ and $0 \leq k \leq \frac{r-2}{3}$, let M be a simple binary matroid of rank r with no coloops and a k-loose element. Then $|E(M)| \leq (2^k - 1)(r + 1 - k) + (r + 1)$.

We say a matroid M is a GF(q)-matroid if M is representable over the field of q elements. Section 3 considers GF(q)-matroids that have two k-loose elements. We show that the rank of such a matroid is bounded unless the two k-loose elements form a cocircuit of size two. Following is the precise statement.

Date: December 16, 2024.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 05B35.

Key words and phrases. binary matroid, paving matroid, loose elements.

Theorem 1.2. Let M be a simple GF(q)-matroid with no coloops that has two k-loose elements e and f. Then $r(M) \leq (q+1)(k-1) + 2q$, or $\{e, f\}$ is a cocircuit of M.

An immediate consequence of Theorem 1.2 is the following.

Corollary 1.3. Let M be a simple GF(q)-matroid with no coloops that is k-paving. Then M is a circuit or $r(M) \leq (q+1)(k-1) + 2q$.

Rajpal [5, Proposition 8] demonstrated the existence of a rank bound for paving matroids representable over GF(q). Corollary 1.3 provides such a bound. Observe that paving matroids are precisely 1-paving matroids. Therefore by Corollary 1.3, a paving matroid representable over GF(q) has rank at most 2q, unless it is a circuit.

It is immediate from Corollary 1.3 that a binary matroid that is k-paving has rank at most 3k + 1. In Section 4, we provide a bound on the size of binary k-paving matroids. In particular, we prove the following.

Theorem 1.4. Let M be a simple binary k-paving matroid of rank r, where M is not a circuit and $r \ge k + 4$, and let $t = \frac{3k+1-r}{2}$. Then

$$|E(M)| \le (r+1) + \sum_{i=0}^{t} 2\binom{k}{i}.$$

Note that every simple binary matroid that has rank at most k+2 is k-paving, and every affine binary matroid of rank k+3 is k-paving. We apply Theorem 1.4 to 3-paving binary matroids and obtain the following.

Corollary 1.5. Let M be a simple binary 3-paving matroid of rank at least seven. Then $r(M) \leq 10$ and $|E(M)| \leq 17$. In particular, the size of M is at most 16, 17, 12, and 13 when the rank of M is 7, 8, 9, and 10 respectively.

2. k-loose elements in binary matroids

Theorem 1.1 gives a linear bound on the size of a binary matroid containing a k-loose element, in terms of its rank. Specifically, if a binary matroid M has a 1-loose element, then the size of M is at most 2r + 1. If M has a 2-loose element, then the size of M does not exceed 4r - 2.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let e be a k-loose element of M. Note that if k = 0, then by [4, Lemma 3.1], M is a circuit and the result follows. Therefore $k \geq 1$. Suppose that e is not (k-1)-loose. It follows that there is a basis $B = \{e_1, \ldots, e_r\}$ of M such that, in the standard binary representation $P = [I_r|Q]$ of M with respect to B, the column e^P labeled by e has its top k entries equal to zero and all other entries non-zero. We call the set of indices of the non-zero entries of a column f^P in P the support, supp (f^P) , of f^P . The entries of f^P excluding the top k entries are called the root entries of f^P and the set of indices of the non-zero root entries of f^P is the root support of f^P . Let $Q - e^P$ denote the columns in Q excluding e^P .

2.0.1. Let f^P be a column in $Q - e^P$. Then the sum of the number of zeroes in the top k entries of f^P and the number of non-zero root entries of f^P is at most k + 1.

Suppose otherwise. Then $e^P + f^P$ has at least k+2 zeroes, so at most r - (k+2) columns from I_r can be added to $e^P + f^P$ to obtain a column with all entries equal to zero. It follows that e is in a circuit of size at most r - k, a contradiction. Therefore 2.0.1 holds.

Let F be a set of all columns in $Q - e^P$ such that, for any pair f^P, g^P in F, the sum $f^P + g^P$ has its top k entries equal to zero. It is clear that the top k entries of the columns in F are identical.

2.0.2. Let f^P, g^P be columns in F. Then, the symmetric difference of their supports, $supp(f^P) \triangle supp(g^P)$, contains at most two elements.

Suppose not. Then $f^P + g^P$ has its top k entries equal to zero and contains at least three non-zero entries. It follows that $e^P + f^P + g^P$ has at least k+3 zeroes so it can be combined linearly over GF(2) with at most r - (k+3) columns in I_r to obtain the column that has all the entries zero. Therefore e is in a circuit of size at most r - k, a contradiction. Thus 2.0.2 holds.

2.0.3.
$$|F| \leq (r+1-k)$$
.

Choose a column f^P in F such that the root support of f^P , say S, has the largest possible size. Suppose |S| = s. It follows by 2.0.1 that $s \le k+1$. In view of 2.0.2, a column g^P in $F-f^P$ can fall into one of the following three categories, namely, $\operatorname{supp}(g^P) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(f^P)$ with $|\operatorname{supp}(f^P) - \operatorname{supp}(g^P)| = 1$, $\operatorname{supp}(g^P) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(f^P)$ with $|\operatorname{supp}(f^P) - \operatorname{supp}(g^P)| = 2$, or $\operatorname{supp}(g^P) \not\subseteq \operatorname{supp}(f^P)$. We call these columns type A, type B, and type C respectively. By 2.0.2, it follows that the number of type B columns is at most $\max\{3, s-1\}$. Note that if $s \le 1$, there is no type B column. If s = 2, there is at most one type B column. It follows that the number of type B columns does not exceed s.

First, suppose that F contains a type C column. By 2.0.2, a type C column has precisely one non-zero root entry outside S and exactly one zero entry in S. If F contains two type C columns such that their entries in S differ, then by 2.0.2, all their entries outside S are identical. In this case, the number of type C columns is at most s. On the other hand, if all type C columns in F have identical entries in S, the number of type C columns is at most r - (s + k). Therefore, the number of columns of type C in F is at most $\max\{s, r - (s + k)\}$. Given that $s \le k + 1$ and $k \le \frac{r-2}{3}$, it follows that the number of type C columns in F is at most r - (s + k). Since F contains a type C column, it is immediate from 2.0.2 that there is at most one type A column in F. Furthermore, if a type A column exists, then we have at most s - 1 type B columns. It follows that the sum of the number of type A columns and the number of type B columns does not exceed s. Therefore the size of F is at most r - (s + k) + s + 1 = r + 1 - k.

Now, suppose that no type C column exists. By 2.0.2, it follows that if a type B column exists, then the number of type A columns is at most 2 so F has size at most s+3. Since $s \leq k+1, r \geq 5$, and $k \leq \frac{r-2}{3}$, it follows that $s+3 \leq r+1-k$. Finally note that the number of type A columns is at most s so 2.0.3 holds.

Observe that if there is a column h^P in $Q - e^P$ that has its top k entries equal to zero, then by 2.0.1, the column h^P has at most one non-zero root entry so h^P has at most one non-zero entry. Since M is simple, this is a contradiction. It follows that there are at most $2^k - 1$ sets F in $Q - e^P$. Therefore $|E(M)| \leq (2^k - 1)(r + 1 - k) + (r + 1)$.

If e is (k-1)-loose, we choose the smallest non-negative integer t such that e is t-loose. By the same argument we obtain $|E(M)| \leq (2^t - 1)(r + 1 - t) + (r + 1)$. Since $t \leq k - 1, r \geq 5$, and $k \leq \frac{r-2}{3}$, it follows that $(2^t - 1)(r + 1 - t) + (r + 1) \leq (2^k - 1)(r + 1 - k) + (r + 1)$.

3. k-loose elements in GF(q)-matroids

Zaslavsky and the author in [6] showed that a ternary matroid with no coloops that contains a 1-loose element has linear size relative to its rank. In particular they proved the following.

Theorem 3.1. For $r \geq 5$, let M be a simple ternary matroid of rank r with no coloops and a 1-loose element. Then $|E(M)| \leq \lfloor \frac{41r-101}{2} \rfloor$ if r > 10. If $r \leq 10$, then $|E(M)| \leq \lfloor \frac{35r-35}{2} \rfloor$.

Furthermore, they proved that if a ternary matroid with no coloops and no cocircuits of size two contains two 1-loose elements, then the rank of the matroid is bounded. This result was shown to hold for all GF(q)-matroids.

Theorem 3.2. Let M be a simple GF(q)-matroid with no coloops that has two 1-loose elements e and f. Then $r(M) \leq 2q$, or $\{e, f\}$ is a cocircuit of M

We extend Theorem 3.2 to k-loose elements and prove Theorem 1.2. Following is the restatement of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem. Let M be a simple GF(q)-matroid with no coloops that has two k-loose elements e and f. Then $r(M) \leq (q+1)(k-1) + 2q$, or $\{e, f\}$ is a cocircuit of M.

Proof. We may assume that $\{e, f\}$ is not a cocircuit of M else the result follows directly. Since $\{e, f\}$ is not a cocircuit, there is basis B of M that is disjoint from $\{e, f\}$. Note that, in the standard GF(q)-representation $P = [I_r|Q]$ of M with respect to B, the column e^P corresponding to e and the column e corresponding to e have at most e zeroes. By row and column scaling, we may assume that e consists entirely of ones except possibly at the top e entries.

Let $f^{P'}$ denote the column obtained by removing the top k entries of f^P . Suppose $f^{P'}$ has k+2 non-zero entries that are all equal. Then f^P , e^P , and

at most r - (k + 2) columns from I_r can be combined linearly over GF(q) to obtain a column that has all its entries equal to zero. This would create a circuit of M that contains $\{e, f\}$ and has size at most r - k, a contradiction. Therefore, $f^{P'}$ has at most k + 1 non-zero entries that are equal. Since the field GF(q) has q - 1 non-zero elements, it follows that $f^{P'}$ has at most (k + 1)(q - 1) non-zero entries. Additionally, $f^{P'}$ has at most k zeroes so it has at most kq + q - 1 entries. Therefore, f^P has at most kq + q + k - 1 entries so the rank of M is at most (q + 1)(k - 1) + 2q.

Following is an immediate consequence.

Corollary 3.3. Let M be a simple and a cosimple GF(q)-matroid that has two k-loose elements. Then $r(M) \leq (q+1)(k-1) + 2q$.

The following corollary of Theorem 1.2 determines the bound whose existence was established by Rajpal [5, Proposition 8].

Corollary 3.4. Let M be a simple GF(q)-matroid with no coloops that is k-paving. Then M is a circuit, or $r(M) \leq (q+1)(k-1) + 2q$.

Proof. Note that every element of M is k-loose. If r(M) > (q+1)(k-1)+2q, it follows from Theorem 1.2 that every pair of elements of M is in a cocircuit of size two. It follows that M is a circuit.

Following special cases of Corollary 3.4 are worth noting. The binary case was shown by Rajpal [5, Proposition 6].

Corollary 3.5. Let M be a simple binary matroid with no coloops that is k-paving. Then M is a circuit, or $r(M) \leq 3k + 1$.

Corollary 3.6. Let M be a simple ternary matroid with no coloops that is k-paving. Then M is a circuit, or $r(M) \leq 4k + 2$.

4. k-paving binary matroids

It follows by Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 3.5 that the size of a binary k-paving matroid, excluding circuits, is at most $(2^k - 1)(2k + 2) + (3k + 2)$. However the bound obtained this way is crude as it represents the maximum size of a binary matroid with a k-loose element and rank at most 3k + 1. Theorem 1.4 obtains a sharper bound. Following is its restatement.

Theorem. Let M be a simple binary k-paving matroid of rank r, where M is not a circuit and $r \ge k + 4$, and let $t = \frac{3k+1-r}{2}$. Then

$$|E(M)| \le (r+1) + \sum_{i=0}^{t} 2\binom{k}{i}.$$

Proof. Suppose that M has a circuit C of size r - k + 1. For an element e of C, let B be a basis of M containing C - e. Consider the standard binary representation $P = [I_r|Q]$ of M with respect to the basis B.

4.0.1. Let h be the sum of m columns in Q. Then h has at most k + (m-1)zeroes.

Assume that h has at least k+m entries that are zero. Then, at most r-(k+m) columns in I_r can be added to h to obtain a column with all entries equal to zero. This would result in a circuit of size at most r-k in M, a contradiction. Therefore 4.0.1 holds.

Note that the column e^P in Q corresponding to the element e of M has exactly k zeroes. By row and column scaling, we may assume that the top k entries of e^{P} are zero. We denote the set of indices of the zero entries of a column f^P in P by zeroes (f^P) . The entries of f^P excluding the top kentries are called the root entries of f^P . Let g^P be a column in $Q - e^P$ such that $|\operatorname{zeroes}(e^P) \cap \operatorname{zeroes}(q^P)| = l$ is maximum.

4.0.2.
$$r \leq 3k + 1 - 2l$$
.

By 4.0.1, q^P has at most k-l root entries that are zero and at most k+1-lroot entries that are one so g^P has at most k+(k-l)+(k+1-l)=3k+1-2lentries. Therefore 4.0.2 holds.

Let F be a set of all columns in $Q - e^P$ such that, for any pair f^P, g^P in F, the sum $f^P + g^P$ has its top k entries equal to zero. Evidently, the top k entries of the columns in F are identical.

4.0.3.
$$|F| \leq 2$$
.

Suppose not, and let a, b, and c be three columns in F. Note that, by 4.0.1, a and b have at most one root entry that is equal. Since r > k + 4, we have at least three root entries of a, say at indices j, k, and l, such that b has different entries than a at each of j, k, and l. Note that the j^{th} , k^{th} and l^{th} entries of c are either the same as those of a or b. This means that at least two root entries of c are the same as those of one of $\{a, b\}$. This is a contradiction to 4.0.1 so 4.0.3 holds.

4.0.4.
$$|E(M)| \leq (r+1) + \sum_{i=0}^{l} 2\binom{k}{i}$$
.

Observe that each column in $Q - e^P$ has at most l zero entries among the top k entries. It follows that there are at most $\sum_{i=0}^{l} {k \choose i}$ sets F in $Q - e^{P}$. Therefore, by 4.0.3, $|E(M)| \le (r+1) + \sum_{i=0}^{l} 2\binom{k}{i}$ so 4.0.4 holds. By 4.0.2, $l \le \frac{3k+1-r}{2} = t$ so by 4.0.4, the result follows.

If M has no circuit of size r-k+1, let w denote the smallest number such that M has a circuit of size r-w+1. Since all circuits of M have size greater than r - k, it is clear that w < k. By the same argument, it follows that $|E(M)| \le (r+1) + \sum_{i=0}^{s} 2\binom{w}{i}$, where $s = \frac{3w+1-r}{2}$. Since w < k, it follows that $s \le t$ and $|E(M)| \le (r+1) + \sum_{i=0}^{s} 2\binom{w}{i} \le (r+1) + \sum_{i=0}^{t} 2\binom{k}{i}$.

We apply Theorem 1.4 to 3-paving binary matroids and prove Corollary 1.5.

Proof of Corollary 1.5. It is clear from Corollary 3.5 that $r(M) \leq 10$. Note that if r(M) is 7, then t equals 1 so by Theorem 1.4, |E(M)| is at most 16. Similarly, if r(M) = 8, 9, and 10, then |E(M)| is at most 17, 12, and 13 respectively.

References

- [1] D.M. Acketa, On Binary paving matroids, Discrete Math. 70 (1988), 109–110.
- [2] J. Oxley Matroid Theory, Second edition, Oxford University Press, New York, 1992.
- [3] J. Oxley, Ternary paving matroids, Discrete Math. 91 (1991), 77--86.
- [4] J. Oxley and J. Singh, The smallest class of binary and ternary matroids closed under direct sums and complements, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 36 (2022), 2051—2072.
- [5] S. Rajpal, On binary k-paving matroids and Reed-Muller codes, Discrete Math. 190 (1998), 191–200.
- [6] J. Singh and T. Zaslavsky, Loose elements in binary and ternary matroids, in preparation.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MISSISSIPPI STATE UNIVERSITY, STARKVILLE, MISSISSIPPI

Email address: singhjagdeep070@gmail.com